

Budget: In 2022, the implemented budget of the judicial system of Ukraine amounts to 900 806 682 €, which is 22 € per inhabitant, significantly lower than the CoE median. The implemented budget as a percentage of GDP is 0,68%, which is one of the largest budgets as a percentage of GDP in Europe. The implemented budget of the courts amounts to 11,7 € per inhabitant in 2022, compared to 12,5 € per inhabitant in 2020. 45% of the judicial system budget is allocated for prosecution services and 2% for legal aid.

Impact of the war on 2022 data - examples: The data presented for Ukraine exclude several territories, which have been under unlawful temporary occupation of the Russian Federation. The data for 2022 needs to be read in a particular context due to significant infrastructure damage, especially to courthouses. There was limited ability to collect and analyse data comprehensively. Staffing shortages have hindered data collection efforts in compliance with the CEPEJ methodology.

Court organisation: During 2022 the territorial jurisdiction of 135 local and appellate courts was changed by transfer orders of the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, due to the inability to administer justice under martial law, and the territorial jurisdiction of 50 local and appellate courts was restored.

Gender balance: The total number of male court presidents decreased significantly in 2022 compared to 2020 due to military mobilisation and thus, 89% of total court presidents were female.

Efficiency : In Ukraine, the criminal justice system appears as the most efficient area, with the lowest Disposition Times in the second instance. Conversely, civil cases are handled less efficiently. However, disposition times for all case types and at all instances are below the CoE medians. The data for the highest court could not be included in this evaluation cycle for any category of cases.



Gender Balance



Absolute gross salaries



Ratio with the average annual gross salary

Salary at the begining of career



Training of Justice Professionals



* This indicator is calculated as follows: the number of participants in live trainings is divided by the number of professionals for that category. For example, if the CoE Median for judges is 3,9, this means that, each judge in Europe participated to 3,9 live trainings (as mid value). Indeed, this analysis allows to better understand quantity of training per professional if all were trained.

CEPEJ Efficiency Indicators

Clearance Rate (CR) = (Resolved cases / Incoming cases) *100		Instance
CR > 100%, the court/judicial system is able to resolve more cases than it received => backlog is decreasing	Ukraine	1st Instance
CR < 100%, the court/judicial system is able to resolve fewer cases than it received => backlog is increasing	CoE Median	2nd Instance
Dispostion Time (DT) = (Pending cases / Resolved cases) *365		
The Disposition Time (DT) is the theoretical time for a pending case to be resolved, taken into consideration the current pace of work of the courts		Highest Instance

		Clearanc	e Rate	Disposition Time (in days)		Evolution of Disposition Time						
							2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022
Civil	1st Instance	112%	100% 99%	168	239	Civil	70	68	96	129	122	168
	2nd Instance	98%	.99%	169	200		21	50	54	152	109	169
	Highest Instance	NA	105%	NA	152		1 7	• NA	125	238	123	• NA
Criminal	1st Instance	99%	99%	66	133	Criminal	79	81	166	271	298	66
	2nd Instance	99%	99%	34	110		41	38	68	260	121	34
	Highest Instance	NA	100%	NA	101		• NA	3 0	142	261	151	• NA
Administrative	1st Instance	115%	<mark>.98</mark> %	108	292	Administrative	33	51	138	122	204	108
	2nd Instance	115%	103%	108	215		151	31	59	100	81	108
	Highest Instance	NA	102%	NA	234		240	254	187	368	147	• NA

Incoming Cases











Public Prosecution Services



Note: There are different methodologies for calculating the number of cases in the prosecution services' statistics: by event or by perpetrator. The CEPEJ collects data per case (event), but some countries present it per perpetrator.

