



 $\frac{Ollscoil NAGAIllimme}{University of Galway}$

UNITED KINGDOM · CHINA · MALAYSIA

Prisoner Death Statistics

Professor Philippa Tomczak and Dr Róisín Mulgrew



Illustrating the 'tip of the iceberg,' prisoner fatalities indicate the state of rights, health and safety within prison systems.

prisonDEDTH

DEATHS IN PRISON WORLDWIDE

- Prisoner mortality rates are up to 50% higher than rates in the community, forming a global human rights and health equity concern, and producing tremendous harms and costs.
- Multidisciplinary team from the University of Nottingham (Philippa Tomczak), University of Galway (Róisín Mulgrew) and the Norwegian University of Science and Technology (Catherine Appleton) sought to:
 - ensure prisoner deaths are on the global penal reform agenda
 - reduce the harms of deaths in prison and improve the quality of prison life globally

The UN and Prisoner Death Data

2013	2019	2019	2023
 UN Secretary General – called for 'in- depth analysis of the underlying problems and structural shortcomings' in relation to deaths in detention 	 UN High Commissioner for Human Rights - Prisoner deaths was one of the most 'important challenges pertaining to the protection of persons deprived of their liberty' 	 Human Rights Council recommended that States collect, compile and analyse prisoner death data 	 UN Special Rapporteur on Extrajudicial, Summary or Arbitrary Executions – called prisoner deaths 'a silent global tragedy' and flagged that such deaths were often preventable

Incarceration Volume 4, 2023 © The Author(s) 2023, Article Reuse Guidelines https://doi.org/10.1177/26326663231160344

Original Article

SAGE journals



Making prisoner deaths visible: Towards a new epistemological approach

Philippa Tomczak (D)¹ and Róisín Mulgrew²

Abstract

In custodial contexts, the duty of states to protect the most fundamental right – to life – is heightened. Nevertheless, prisoner deaths are a universal and frequent concern. The mortality rate among the 11.5 million prisoners globally is up to 50% higher than amongst non-imprisoned persons, forming a human rights and health equity concern. It is therefore peculiar that prisoner deaths have attracted only piecemeal scholarly attention. In this article, we problematize epistemologies of prisoner death, highlighting obfuscations and agglomerations in existing datasets based on poor definitions, reductive statistics and constrained medico-legal categorizations. We provide a springboard towards a new epistemological approach that makes the scale and breadth of prisoner deaths and deceased prisoner characteristics more visible to facilitate prevention. We advance three tenets: *count prisoners who die* rather than deaths in prison, *disaggregate* prisoner death data through rights-informed dimensions and adopt *explicitly defined, mutually exclusive categorizations*.

What did we do?

Analysed the two international datasets in light of;

Cross-disciplinary literature identified using ProQuest, and

Prison oversight reports from around the world, relying on

a) UN Subcommittee for the Prevention of Torture (22 countries 2007-2021)

b) European Committee for the Prevention of Torture (29 countries 1990-2021)

c) Inter-American Commission on Human Rights (10 countries 1993-2021)



Prisoner Death - Datasets

Council of Europe SPACE I

UNODC Mortality in Prison





Table 28 of SPACE I entitled 'Inmates who died inside penal institutions' provides the annual number and rates of (certain) deaths within penal institutions in Council of Europe member States (where available).

The data is organized around counts and percentages for homicides, suicides and other deaths, alongside overall mortality and suicide rates per 10,000 inmates per country.

COUNCIL OF EUROPE



CONSEIL DE L'EUROPE

Preventing Prisoner Deaths in the Council of Europe

- Existing data approaches disguise the scale of the issue, invisibilise prisoner characteristics and mask the circumstances of deaths
- Reliance on a narrow handful of categories conceals the avoidable nature of many deaths and, importantly, points of prevention
- Important to place on penal reform agenda at the Council of Europe

Tenets for Improving Prison Death Data

Count 'prisoners who die' not deaths within prison

Include all deaths of persons subject to ongoing detention orders, including: in external medical facilities; during escape; of prisoners on temporary leave; of prisoners pre-trial and awaiting sentence

Indicate how prisoner(s) died

Comprehensive major forms of death that are: i) detailed (reduce reliance on 'other' categorisation; represent all major forms of death); ii) distinct (reduce overlap between manners); iii) specific (have clear definitions and boundaries); and iv) verified (submitted by independent body and source(s) made clear)

Which prisoners died?

Disaggregated

Contextualised

Extend data to indicate *which prisoners died* by including a range of rightsrequired identifiers such as gender (identity), race, ethnicity, age, sexuality, disability and legal status

Who or what was involved in the death?

Add descriptive tags to enhance death prevention potential. Were drugs, alcohol, fire, sexual violence, restraints or weapons involved? Was the prisoner detained pre-trial or sentenced? Where did the death occur and who was responsible? Were mass fatalities or mass perpetrators involved?

COMPREHENSIVE DATA Count Prisoners who Die

From 2018 until 2022, statistics were only provided for deaths inside penal institutions, omitting those who died in hospital or on leave

SPACE I remains focused on deaths inside prison facilities - but in 2022, additional data included on the number of persons who died outside prisons

This inclusion of data on prisoner deaths outside penal institutions in SPACE I is welcomed and vitally important to ensure **the true scale of prisoner deaths** within the Council of Europe is represented.

COMPREHENSIVE DATA Count Prisoners Who Die

IMPORTANCE - The number of prisoners that **died** outside prison was significant in many countries (Poland, Spain, Ukraine) and in some cases, higher than the number of deaths in prison (Albania, Belgium, Georgia, Greece and Turkey).

CHALLENGES - Practical challenges in securing comparable data: not available from 13 member States

Comprehensive Data -Recommendation

Urge the Council of Europe to support the collection of this data by member States. The deaths of all persons subject to an ongoing detention order be included in SPACE I statistics

COMPREHENSIV E

Manner of Death

DETAILED (reduce reliance on other categorisation; represent major forms of death)

DISTINCT (reduce overlap between manners of death)

SPECIFIC (clear definitions and boundaries)

VERIFIED (independent source)

Disaggreg ated Data

SPACE I only provides disaggregated statistics for death by suicide

Pretrial prisoner deaths included since 2014 Gendered data on deaths and suicides included from 2010

No data on deaths of transgender prisoners or prisoners from indigenous or minority ethnic populations

Invisibilising... (What we cannot see)

(Trans)Gender Sexuality Race Ethnicity Legal status/ Nationality Disability

Groups that are susceptible to discrimination

Disaggregated Data

Datasets makes important characteristics of prisoners who die invisible

Disaggregation is necessary to ensure prevention policies are underpinned by evidence, particularly for groups susceptible to discrimination

Move away from data collection approaches that mask 'underlying disparities' and towards the incorporation of data on disadvantaged or marginalized groups

The Council of Europe should support States to collect and publish prisoner death data disaggregated by important grounds of discrimination such as gender identity, sexuality, race, migratory status and disability

Disaggregate d Data

Recommendati on



Contextualised Data

Until 2011, suicide was the only specific death category in SPACE I

SPACE I now refers to three categories of deaths: homicide, suicide or other

However, two thirds of all prisoner deaths in 2020 and over half of deaths in the 2021, 2022 and 2023 reports were classified as 'other'.

Contextualised Data

A table used in 2011 brought greater clarity by including data on deaths caused by homicide, accidents, intoxication and other causes (including illness).

The current categorises (homicide, suicide, other), introduced in 2016, conceal factors that contribute to deaths caused by or because of imprisonment.

This does not provide a comprehensive evidence base to facilitate death prevention

Categorisation Issues

NATURAL DEATHS - label can deflect attention from eminently preventable deaths – failure to provide adequate or timely medical treatment, deflecting attention from eminently preventable deaths

HOMICIDE – dark figure of unrecorded homicides, or recorded as natural causes, or misrepresented as prison suicides (hanging)

ACCIDENTAL – can be utilised to facilitate misclassification and therefore underreporting of suicide and homicide rates

Contextualised Data -Recommendation

We recommend the (re)inclusion of further, exclusive prisoner death categories.

Descriptive contextual information on the location and means of death, and who was involved in the death, should be added to enhance prevention potential







Scoil an Dlí School of Law

Improving prisoner death statistics

Policy Brief - October 2024¹



Tenets for prisoner death data

- 1. Prisoner death data should be comprehensive
 - i) count prisoners who die, not only deaths occurring within prison facilities and
 - ii) indicate how prisoners died by reporting detailed, distinct, specific and independently verified manners of death
- Prisoner death data should be disaggregated Indicate which prisoners died by including a range of rights-required identifiers such as gender (identity), race, ethnicity, age, sexuality, disability and legal status
- 3. Prisoner death data should be contextualised

Indicate *who and what was involved* in the death by adding descriptive tags. Were drugs, sexual violence, restraints or weapons involved? Was the prisoner detained pre-trial or sentenced? Where did the death occur and who was responsible? Were mass fatalities or mass perpetrators involved?

How to implement these recommendations?

- A. Review/ commission a review of
- -existing prisoner death statistics gathered nationally across the Council of Europe
- -local/ national data sources that could facilitate disaggregation and contextualisation e.g. prisoner death investigation reports, death certificates, inquest verdicts

B. Pilot a study of comprehensive, disaggregated and contextualised prisoner death data collection in a country with adequate data sources, apply its findings and evaluate it

C Create guidance to enhance international and national policy and practice in relation to prisoner death data collection and evidence-based prisoner death prevention policies

Thank you and we welcome any questions

Professor Philippa Tomczak

Faculty of Social Science, University of Nottingham Email:Philippa.Tomczak@nottingham.ac.uk Visit: www.safesoc.co.uk

Doctor Róisín Mulgrew

School of Law, University of Galway and Irish Centre for Human Rights Email:roisin.mulgrew@universityofgalway.ie





prisonDEDTH

DEATHS IN PRISON WORLDWIDE

Articles

Making Prisoner Deaths Visible: Towards a New Epistemological Approach'

Philippa Tomczak and Róisín Mulgrew (2023) *Incarceration*, 4 :1-21

'Prisoner Lives Cut Short: The Need to Address Structural, Societal and Environmental Factors to Reduce Preventable Prisoner Deaths' Róisín Mulgrew (2023) *Human Rights Law Review*, 23 (2):1-25

Investigating deaths in prison: A human rights-based approach (2023)

Deaths in prison: Examining causes, responses, and prevention of deaths in prison worldwide (2022)



