

THE CONGRESS OF LOCAL AND REGIONAL AUTHORITIES

Resolution 187 (2004)¹ on the Sustainable Spatial Development of the European Continent. Implementation by the regions of the Committee of Ministers’ Recommendation Rec(2002)1

The Congress, bearing in mind the proposal of the Chamber of Regions,

1. Considering:

a. Recommendation Rec(2002)1 of the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe on implementation of the Guiding Principles for Sustainable Spatial Development of the European Continent, adopted on 30 January 2002;

b. the fact that the Committee of Ministers has underlined that a coherent strategy for the integrated and regionally balanced development of our continent, based on the principles of subsidiarity and reciprocity, strengthens competitiveness, co-operation and solidarity among local and regional authorities across borders, thereby making a contribution to democratic stability in Europe;

c. the particular importance, consequently, of implementing the guiding principles at the local and regional levels;

d. the considerable spatial-planning powers – fundamental to implementing the guiding principles – of a number of European regions, and spatial planning’s importance, as a means of improving Europeans’ quality of life at the local and regional levels to which the Congress has several times drawn attention;

e. the key spatial-planning role of cross-border and interregional co-operation in terms of promoting cohesion among regions and creating economic and social synergies between areas on either side of borders;

2. Recalling that recent activities of the Chamber of Regions Committee on Sustainable Development have focused on the need to improve living conditions for communities in Europe, subject to the constraints on and capabilities of the regions where those communities live;

3. Emphasising that:

a. the Guiding Principles for Sustainable Spatial Development of the European Continent are directly addressed to national governments of member states and are better known at that level than at the level of the regional authorities;

b. one of the main characteristics – and the main difficulty – of interregional co-operation across borders is establishing a working relationship between bodies belonging to different national legal systems and which therefore frequently do not have the same powers and responsibilities, the same resources for action or the same administrative practices;

c. the guiding principles and the objectives on which they are based represent a great challenge for transition countries, whose inheritance from the previous system includes a range of specific problems relating to the environment and spatial development (such as the restructuring of heavy industry, coalfields or arms production facilities);

d. regional bodies in several countries have undergone reorganisation and the regional authorities in those countries need to be able to improve their human, technical and financial capabilities in order to implement the guiding principles,

4. Asks regional authorities to:

a. disseminate at the local and regional levels the Guiding Principles for Sustainable Spatial Development of the European Continent, appended to the present resolution;

b. ensure that the issues with which the guiding principles deal concern more directly regional public authorities and the general public – including young people – in the European regions, and are not restricted to the narrow confines of national government and specialist scientific agencies;

c. accordingly run training and information programmes on the ways in which the guiding principles can be implemented;

d. encourage the exchange of information between decision-makers, NGOs, research institutes, natural parks authorities and the public by setting up databases on the activities, skills and initiatives on sustainable development; this will also enable regions to increase their links with the global economy;

e. provide financial backing, in co-operation with the national authorities, for enhanced regional technological and scientific capability, in particular as regards spatial-planning policy, with a view to achieving the objectives stated by the Committee of Ministers;

f. facilitate agreements on cross-border co-operation in accordance with the principles and matters specified in Sections IV (“Principles of a planning policy for sustainable development in Europe”) and V (“Spatial development measures for different types of European regions”) of Committee of Ministers Recommendation Rec(2002)1;

g. take into account the entry into force, on 1 March 2004, of the European Landscape Convention, an instrument which, applied at regional level, may considerably assist implementation of the guiding principles adopted by the Committee of Ministers;

h. ensure that regions' sectoral policies (on such matters as economic development, employment, housing, transport, energy, culture, education and health) are properly geared to coherent objectives and reinforce the co-operation between regions within the territory of their state in order to establish the necessary integrated approach regarding sustainable spatial development;

5. Draws regional authorities' attention to:

a. the need for particular care in implementing the development measures which the Committee of Ministers suggests for the characteristic European regions (in particular coastal and island regions, mountain regions and border regions) as being specifically adapted to the particular regional context;

b. action which regions have taken in accordance with the principles adopted by the Committee of Ministers (see report CPR (11) 4 Part II) and which provides regional authorities with examples of good practice in implementing the principles;

c. the results which several European regions have achieved in action concerned with cross-border protection of the environment, sustainable tourism, integrated transport and protection of mountain regions;

6. Thanks the national authorities of Bulgaria, the Czech Republic, France, Germany, Hungary, Latvia, Norway, Portugal, the Russian Federation, Slovenia, Spain and the United Kingdom for making available the Guiding Principles for Sustainable Spatial Development of the European Continent in their respective languages.²

Appendix

Recommendation Rec(2002)1 of the Committee of Ministers to member states on the Guiding Principles for Sustainable Spatial Development of the European Continent

*(Adopted by the Committee of Ministers on
30 January 2002 at the 781st meeting of the Ministers'
Deputies)*

The Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe, under the terms of Article 15.b of the Statute of the Council of Europe,

Believing that social cohesion in Europe, which was defined as one of the main objectives of the Council of Europe by the heads of state and government of the member states of the Council of Europe at their Second Summit on 10 and 11 October 1997, has to be accompanied by sustainable spatial development policies that bring the social as well as the economic requirements to be met by the territory into line with its ecological and cultural functions;

Considering that one of the aims of the Council of Europe is to strengthen local and regional democracy in Europe by means of a territorially more balanced development of the European continent;

Considering that the Council of Europe is the European Organisation in which all the states of Europe can co-operate on an equal footing and that the European Conference of Ministers responsible for Regional Planning of the member states of the Council of Europe (Cemat) and its Committee constitute a suitable political body to contribute to the co-ordination of common, Europe-wide territorial development goals and strategies;

Convinced that the trans-European, interregional and transfrontier co-operation between states, regional authorities and local authorities in the sphere of spatial development has to be strengthened, especially between the countries of west Europe and central and east Europe in order to ensure the social and territorial cohesion of the European continent as a whole;

Having regard to the legal texts existing at international level in the field of protection and management of the natural and cultural heritage, regional and spatial planning, local self-government and transfrontier co-operation, in particular the European Cultural Convention (Paris, 19 December 1954), the Convention on the Conservation of European Wildlife and Natural Habitats (Bern, 19 September 1979), the Convention for the Protection of the Architectural Heritage of Europe (Granada, 3 October 1985), the European Convention on the Protection of the Archaeological Heritage (revised) (Valletta, 16 January 1992), the European Outline Convention on Transfrontier Co-operation between Territorial Communities or Authorities (Madrid, 21 May 1980) and its additional protocols and the European Charter of Local Self-government (Strasbourg, 15 October 1985);

Taking into consideration the Recommendation (84) 2 of the Committee of Ministers to the member states of the Council of Europe on the European Regional/Spatial Planning Charter (Torremolinos Charter);

Considering Recommendation No. R (2000) 1 of the Committee of Ministers to the member states of the Council of Europe on fostering transfrontier co-operation between territorial communities or authorities in the cultural field and Resolution (98) 4 of the Committee of Ministers on the cultural routes of the Council of Europe;

Considering the Guiding Principles for Sustainable Spatial Development of the European Continent as adopted by Resolution No. 1 on a ten-point programme for greater cohesion among the regions of Europe at the 12th Session of the European Conference of Ministers responsible for Regional Planning held in Hanover (Germany) on 7 and 8 September 2000 and as they appear in the appendix, to be:

– a major contribution for implementation of the strategy of social cohesion adopted at the Second Summit of Heads of State and Government of Council of Europe member states in 1997;

– a policy framework document which takes into account the relevant activities of the Council of Europe and its bodies, and in particular the work of its Parliamentary

Assembly and its Congress of Local and Regional Authorities of Europe (CLRAE), in the field of continental spatial development policy and which could contribute to strengthen the European integration process by means of transfrontier, interregional and transnational co-operation;

– a coherent strategy for the integrated and regionally balanced development of our continent, while based on the principles of subsidiarity and reciprocity, strengthens competitiveness, co-operation and solidarity among local and regional authorities across borders, thereby making a contribution to democratic stability in Europe;

Recalling its decision of 7 February 2001 (740/9.1 – CM(2001)6) to take into consideration the Guiding Principles for Sustainable Spatial Development of the European Continent when giving its opinion on projects with a spatial impacts,

Recommends that the member states of the Council of Europe:

– use the Guiding Principles for Sustainable Spatial Development of the European Continent, as they appears in the appendix, as a basis for planning and spatial development measures;

– implement these guiding principles in spatial development projects as appropriate;

– continue in establishing regional governmental and administrative bodies in order to facilitate better spatial integration of the various regions of Europe.

Appendix to Recommendation Rec(2002)1

Guiding Principles for Sustainable Spatial Development of the European Continent

Foreword

1. The past decade has witnessed decisive and historic steps for European integration; new tasks and priorities for the Council of Europe result from this. In adopting the Guiding Principles for Sustainable Spatial Development of the European Continent, the European Conference of Ministers responsible for Regional Planning (Cemat) is making its contribution to a strategy of social cohesion. The guiding principles stress the territorial dimension of human rights and democracy. Their objective is to define spatial development policy measures through which people in all the member states of the Council of Europe can achieve an acceptable standard of living. This is an essential precondition for the stabilisation of democratic structures in Europe's regions and municipalities.

2. The guiding principles are based on the European Charter for Regional/Spatial Planning (Torremolinos Charter, 1983).³ This charter sets out principles for national and European policies designed to improve the spatial organisation of the then twenty-two member states of the Council of Europe and to resolve problems extending beyond national frontiers.

3. The Council of Europe now includes forty-one member states⁴ and covers – with a few exceptions – the European

continent as well as northern parts of the continent of Asia. For the first time, almost all European states are united in supporting human rights and democracy; the Council of Europe has gained a continental significance. The guiding principles aim to ensure that all regions and municipalities can actively participate in this process of European integration and democratisation. In this respect, the gap between the “two Europes”, that is, between the old and the new members of the Council of Europe, including their regions and municipalities, should be rapidly bridged.

4. The European continent is characterised by diversity. The implementation of sustainable development principles valid at Europe-wide level, must be organised equally at national, regional and local levels. The guiding principles advocate the subsidiarity and reciprocity principles as a prerequisite for democracy and also as a means of preserving the “unity in diversity” bequeathed to Europe by its history and geography.

5. The Guiding Principles for Sustainable Spatial Development of the European Continent offer the member states of the Council of Europe – including their regions and municipalities – a flexible and forward-looking framework for co-operation. They represent a vision or concept for sustainable development aimed at the various political and societal bodies working at various levels inside and outside governments and administrations, preparing our future through their activities. Acceptance of these policy guidelines is based on voluntary co-operation. They are not legally binding.

I. Contribution of the guiding principles to the implementation of the social cohesion policy of the Council of Europe

6. In 1989 Europe emerged from several decades of political division, in which not only economic systems but the whole way society was organised totally diverged. Since then, democratic Europe has grown from twenty-two to forty-one countries,⁵ so that the area of the Council of Europe now has 770 million inhabitants representing nearly 14% of the world's population (see Table 1). With the accession of new states, economic disparities between the member states of the Council of Europe have increased. The GDP per head (measured in purchasing power parity) is above US\$20 000 in fourteen old member states while it is below US\$5 000 in eleven new member states (see Table 2). Considered in absolute and worldwide terms, Europe's GDP, which amounts to US\$9.9 trillion (1995), is higher than that of the states belonging to the North American Free Trade Agreement (Nafta) (US\$7.9 trillion). However, when expressed in relation to population, it amounts to US\$12 000 which is clearly below the level of Nafta (US\$20 000). It is, however, higher than that of Mercosur (US\$5 000), the Latin American free trade zone.

7. In response to these differences in social conditions, the heads of state and government declared at the Council of Europe's Strasbourg Summit in October 1997 that “social cohesion is one of the foremost needs of the wider Europe”.⁶ They instructed the Committee of Ministers “to define a social cohesion strategy to respond to the

challenges in society".⁷ The European Conference of Ministers responsible for Regional Planning (Cemat) should also make its contribution to the attainment of this objective, promoting the sustainable and regionally-balanced development of all European regions. This should contribute to the reinforcement of democratic structures in the Council of Europe's regions and municipalities and to the improvement of Europe's international competitiveness.

8. The Guiding Principles for Sustainable Spatial Development of the European Continent take account, in accordance with the concept of sustainability, of the needs of all the inhabitants of Europe's regions, without compromising the fundamental rights and development prospects of future generations. They aim in particular at bringing the economic and social requirements to be met by the territory into harmony with its ecological and cultural functions and at contributing in this way to long-term, large-scale and balanced spatial development. The implementation of the guiding principles therefore requires close co-operation between spatial planning and sectoral policies, which influence through their measures the spatial structures in Europe (spatial development policy). The guiding principles also take account of international co-operation at global level, as for instance in the context of the United Nations Commission on Sustainable Development.

9. The guiding principles draw conclusions from a variety of Council of Europe documents. These are in particular the European Outline Convention on Transfrontier Co-operation between Territorial Communities or Authorities,⁸ the 1983 Torremolinos Charter, the analytical work for a European regional planning strategy,⁹ the European Charter of Local Self-Government¹⁰ and the draft charter of regional self-government.¹¹ The document also takes account of the European Union's European Spatial Development Perspective (ESDP),¹² the Baltic Agenda 21,¹³ and existing regional development strategies for individual areas of Europe, such as the Vision and Strategies around the Baltic Sea (Vasab 2010¹⁴ – eleven co-operating countries), the Structure Scheme for Benelux¹⁵ (three co-operating countries) and the Strategy for Integrated Spatial Development in Central, Adriatic and Danubian Europe (Vision Planet¹⁶ – twelve co-operating countries at present).

II. Spatial development policies in Europe: new continent-wide challenges and prospects

10. Recognising the continental dimension of the Council of Europe opens up new prospects for spatial planning policy, and at the same time exposes it to new challenges. In a world subject to growing globalisation, the European continent must maintain and confirm its economic position. Specific potentialities of the European continent, which should be more fully exploited, may be seen in the range of landscapes and cultures that have shaped its territory, the development of mutual support and co-operation in the different areas of the continent and in the integration of western, eastern, southern and northern Europe.

1. Intercontinental relationships as strategic elements for European spatial development policy

11. Particular development opportunities on the European continent result from its geographical situation. This is characterised by an increasingly permeable link with Asia and by nearly 100 000 kilometres of coastline.

12. Since Asia is the most densely populated continent in the world and its economic growth rate is generally high, the opportunity arises to promote the potential offered by the land bridge between the Council of Europe's eastern member countries (particularly the Russian Federation, those bordering the Black Sea and Greece) and the Middle and Far East, especially through the development of new trading corridors. The eastern fringe of Europe could then become a focus for trade and co-operation between Europe and Asia. The development and organisation of energy and transport networks are particularly significant in this context.

13. Trade flows between Europe and the emerging new economic blocs on other continents such as Mercosur, Nafta and Asean, are steadily growing in the context of economic globalisation. The oceans are considered as an important resource for the future and sea transport is increasingly competitive. Many coastal regions and islands should benefit from the development of a modern maritime economy, which goes well beyond shipping activities to include, for example, specific new technologies, the exploitation of marine resources and sustainable international tourism. A prerequisite is the presence, in the coastal areas on the continent, of dynamic ports with efficient hinterland connections.

14. Europe's proximity to North Africa, which is increasing, thanks to new means of communication and transport, and the rapid population growth on the southern shore of the Mediterranean, make closer co-operation between all European and African countries bordering the Mediterranean necessary. This concerns, in particular, more intensive co-operation in the economic and tourism field as well as a greater use of the natural and cultural heritage in urban and regional development. In order to enable a more balanced, sustainable and integrated development of the Euro-Mediterranean region to take place, economic and social development in the European part of the Mediterranean region should also be accompanied by a corresponding spatial development policy. In the field of co-operation programmes, efficient synergies and co-ordination between the appropriate European Union assistance programmes, Interreg and Meda, should be made possible (see paragraph 72).

15. Moreover, with 290 million visitors from outside Europe in 1992, Europe is the world's leading international tourist destination and available forecasts indicate a doubling of the number up to 2020. With its opportunities and its risks, the international tourism economy is therefore an important strategic element in Europe's spatial development. Tourism development focuses on the most attractive, but also the most environmentally and culturally sensitive areas in Europe. This applies particularly to

coastal areas, above all the Mediterranean, islands, certain valleys, the Alps and other mountain ranges, many natural areas and various historic towns and other valuable sites from the standpoint of the history of civilisation.

2. The multiplicity of cultures

16. The European continent is characterised by a multiplicity of cultures with regional, national and transnational importance, of which the roughly sixty languages spoken are simply one indication. The diversity of cultures has influenced the forms of expression (languages, music, painting, architecture, etc.) as well as the specificity of economic activities, housing, leisure and mobility. These cultures have shaped to a considerable extent the diversity of landscapes, towns and settlement patterns, as well as the architectural heritage of Europe. This cultural diversity, which has been in the past a source of tension and conflict, nowadays represents an inestimable potential for sustainable spatial development. Modern forms of socio-economic and technological development should not level down cultural identities. The Granada Convention on the Conservation of the Architectural Heritage of Europe (Council of Europe, 1985), the Valetta European Convention on the Protection of the Archaeological Heritage (Council of Europe, 1992) as well as the Florence Charter on the protection of historic parks and gardens (Icomos-Ifla, 1981) contain important principles for the conservation and enhancement of the cultural heritage in the context of sustainable spatial development.

3. Large European regions as a basis for mutual support and co-operation

17. Europe's social cohesion is strengthened by transnational co-operation within large European regions. One of the co-operation partners is the European Union, for which the European Spatial Development Perspective (ESDP) defines spatial development principles and co-operation objectives. Within the European Union there are, in turn, several areas of international co-operation such as the Alps and the North Sea region, etc. For several years, these regions have been the focus of numerous co-operation projects aimed at strengthening balanced regional development. Outside the European Union or overlapping with it, transnational co-operation is taking place in the Baltic Sea region, in South-eastern Europe and in the Danubian region as well as in the Barents Sea region and the region of the Northern Dimension Initiative (see paragraph 71).

18. In the context of economic integration and globalisation, in addition to the desired economic growth impetus, negative impacts for instance on environmental conditions and social cohesion are also found. There is a risk, in particular in the new member states, that only isolated growth areas around metropolitan regions are being developed and that other areas within the Council of Europe with towns and cities of varying size and rural areas are being disconnected from the growth process. However, Europe has the potential for achieving a polycentric development pattern, with a number of

significant growth areas, including ones on the periphery, organised as urban networks, which will create a dynamism and the necessary external economies of scale to attract further investment. Polycentric development also contributes to the lowering of environmental pressures and social tension and helps to stabilise democratic structures. Simply reproducing a centre-periphery model across Europe would be equally damaging for the centre and for the periphery and would not correspond to the historical development of the continent's settlement pattern. Greater integration of settlement areas within and between Europe's large regions is essential for the establishment of new growth processes in the outlying parts of Europe, which can lead to the long-term strengthening of its urban structure and make it more competitive.

19. In addition to the metropolitan areas, the gateway cities through which communications and trade with other continents flow (for instance cities with ports, airports, trade fairs, and cultural centres) represent a step towards a polycentric continent-wide growth model. While gateway cities developed in the past, in particular along Europe's western and southern coasts, opportunities for the emergence of gateway cities in Europe's eastern periphery result nowadays from the development of new transport and energy corridors towards Asia.

20. The European Union's Trans-European Transport Network, the Pan-European Corridors and Areas and the Transport Infrastructure Needs Assessment (Tina) network in the associate countries (the spine of which is provided by the pan-European corridors) together provide a framework for Europe's transport infrastructure. Their priority is to link up the metropolitan areas. The Pan-European Transport Network reflects the European Union's decisions and the work of the three pan-European transport conferences and of the working group responsible for the assessment of priority infrastructure needs in the associate countries.

A true pan-European transport policy is all the more urgent as traffic congestion reaches unacceptable levels, the railway networks need urgent modernisation in many European regions, waterways, short-sea shipping and maritime routes are under-utilised and pressure on the environment does not seem to be decreasing.

21. In this respect, the growth in trade between areas that are geographically remote from each other makes a review of the organisation of transport systems necessary. When all the options are considered from a continental point of view, alternative routes, particularly in conjunction with currently less-used modes of transport, could turn out to be competitive over long distances.

4. Integration of the old and new member states

22. The economic integration of the old and new member states of the Council of Europe is progressing rapidly. In spite of significant progress already achieved in moving closer together, significant challenges still remain on the way towards social cohesion in Europe, resulting mainly from East-West differences in living standards. Most effort has to be devoted to improving the infrastructure,

developing border, rural and backward regions and strengthening small and medium-sized towns, etc. Policy must be directed towards growth, based on closer integration with regional and transport policy and on more co-operation with the private sector and should take into account the requirements of environmental protection through appropriate environmental impact assessments. The development of new communications technologies is also likely to contribute significantly to the integration of old and new member states of the Council of Europe through the increasing exchange of information and know-how.

III. Specific role of the private sector in spatial development

23. Private investments are among the driving forces of social, and therefore of spatial, development. One of the most significant tasks of spatial planning policy consists in providing private investors, in accordance with the objectives of planning policy, with forward-looking development perspectives and planning security. Together with the relevant sectoral policies, spatial development policy should also contribute to increasing the attractiveness of municipalities and regions for private investments at regional and local levels in a manner compatible with public interests.

24. Privately-financed large-scale projects should be harmonised with the development perspectives of “their” region at an early stage. In this way, large projects such as high-speed railways together with their nodal points, freight centres, airports, congress and conference centres, etc., when based on appropriate long-term spatial planning, can produce significant dynamism in the field of economic development in the surrounding areas and contribute to spatially balanced development. The respective administrative authorities have to ensure that the multiplier effects of large development projects benefit the cities and municipalities in the surrounding areas. Spatial development policy can also contribute in this way to reducing damaging competition between local authorities, which in turn has positive effects on the investment climate.

25. The attractiveness of many European regions for foreign investments should be increased. The trends of the past decade show that foreign direct investments were unequally distributed over the European continent. Their total in the 1994 to 1996 period was almost seven times higher in the old member states than in the new member states, which are facing significant investment requirements for the modernisation of their spatial and settlement structure (see Table I).

26. Because there is insufficient public finance available to cover society’s needs, particularly for technical and social infrastructure, and their associated services, private investment will be required to make a greater contribution in the coming years to achieving the objectives of regional development. Support must be given to public-private partnerships that are developing in areas formerly confined to the public sector. This applies in particular

to various infrastructure and service fields (transport, telecommunications, water supply, health, education, etc.) and the field of local development. Together with private capital, the experience of the market economy in the field of project management, should also be more intensively used. Public-private partnerships should be considered in all European regions as complementing public services, which will continue to have important functions to fulfil.

27. One key to the success of public-private partnerships is an effective administrative structure not only at national level, but also at regional and local levels. It must be capable of establishing a framework for private investors and efficiently monitoring the implementation of projects. The contractual rules must therefore be clearly laid down.

28. In the context of regionally balanced and sustainable development, the housing sector has a particular part to play because of its societal function, its quantitative significance and its multiplier effects on the economy and employment. Economic growth and demographic changes result together in changing the demand for housing in quantitative, qualitative and locational terms.

29. Housing construction is, together with the renewal and modernisation of the existing housing stock, one of the most important investment sectors in the economy and is largely financed by the private sector. The promotion of rented housing and home ownership is resulting in a mobilisation of private capital several times higher than the amount of subsidies. Promoting housing is important not only in terms of housing and regional policy, but also in terms of ownership policy. This last aspect is increasingly important in relation to the necessity, resulting from demographic changes, for people to meet their financial needs in old age to a greater extent themselves.

IV. Principles of a planning policy for sustainable development in Europe

30. The development of a sustainable spatial development policy for the territory of the Council of Europe should be based on the following principles of a regionally more balanced development:

1. Promoting territorial cohesion through a more balanced social and economic development of regions and improved competitiveness

31. Spatially relevant decisions and investments should be based on a polycentric development model both at European level and at national and regional levels. This means that the attractiveness of Europe’s metropolitan areas and gateway cities should be further developed and that the attractiveness of structurally weak regions for economic investment should be strengthened. This is particularly important in the case of old industrial regions and rural areas. To achieve this, regional and local authorities should be put in a position to actively implement spatial development policy. This requires the existence of democratically-legitimised territorial authorities, a high standard of administrative practice and applied policy, as well as increased involvement of citizen and societal groups in spatial development planning.

2. Encouraging development generated by urban functions and improving the relationship between the town and the countryside

32. Urban systems and functions, including small and medium-sized urban centres in rural regions, should be developed so as to facilitate country dwellers' access to them. The establishment and strengthening of networks of towns increases the complementarity of these towns and creates synergy and economies of scale, encourages specialisation and creates benefits for economic competition while avoiding the associated pitfalls.

33. Urban-rural partnerships have an increasingly important part to play, in particular in the fields of balanced structure of the urban framework, development of public transport networks, the revitalisation and diversification of rural economies, the increase in the productivity of infrastructures, the development of recreation areas for urban dwellers and the protection and enhancement of the natural and cultural heritage. A condition for efficient urban-rural partnerships is good co-operation on a basis of equity between local authorities.

3. Promoting more balanced accessibility

34. The Pan-European Transport Network has to be rapidly completed, as a prerequisite for ensuring good accessibility anywhere in the European continent, and should be reinforced (see paragraph 20). Agreements already concluded on the shaping of networks may have to be scrutinised and supplemented from the point of view of spatial development.

35. In the interests of achieving a regionally more balanced development, links between small and medium-sized towns as well as rural and island areas and the trans-European networks and transport centres (railways, motorways, navigable waterways and ports, airports or intermodal centres) should be improved. Regional accessibility must also be increased through the elimination of missing intra-regional links. Considering the ongoing growth of traffic flows, integrated strategies taking into account the various transport modes and – on an equal basis – spatial planning policy requirements, are necessary. The lower environmental impact of railways, waterways and maritime transport should be taken into account in doing this.

4. Developing access to information and knowledge

36. The emergence of the information society is currently one of the most significant phenomena reshaping society and its territorial structure. Particular attention should be paid to all regions to make sure that access to information is not restricted by physical and other constraints. Telecommunications networks should be improved and extended to cover the whole area. Tariffs should not be prohibitive. Encouragement should be given to national and regional interfaces between information suppliers and potential users, such as technology parks, technology transfer institutes and research and training centres, and to the establishment of on-line data banks, covering areas such as products, skills and tourism, to enable all regions to

market themselves and increase their links with the global economy.

5. Reducing environmental damage

37. Environmental problems that may result from inadequate co-ordination of sectoral policies or local decisions have to be prevented. To this end, spatial planning policy must give support to preventing or mitigating various kinds of environmental harm, for example, by promoting less damaging agricultural or forestry practices, encouraging more environmentally-friendly forms of transport and energy systems, regenerating derelict urban areas and rehabilitating their environment, preventing industrial accidents, regenerating areas damaged by industrial pollution and former military activities as well as by containing suburbanisation.

6. Enhancing and protecting natural resources and the natural heritage

38. Natural resources contribute not only to properly balanced ecosystems but also to the attractiveness of regions, their recreational value and the general quality of life. They must, therefore, be protected. The Convention on the Conservation of European Wildlife and Natural Habitats (Bern Convention, 1979) and the Pan-European Biological and Landscape Diversity Strategy¹⁷ must also be taken into account in a sustainable spatial planning policy.

39. Integrated strategies for managing water resources¹⁸ should include, among other things, the protection of surface and ground water, monitoring farmers' use of fertilisers and irrigation, sewage treatment, and so on. Interregional transfers of water should only be considered when the local resources are insufficient or cannot be used at an economically reasonable price. In order to protect the quality of drinking water from underground sources, it is essential to ensure that any expansion in water supply networks is matched by an equivalent increase in drainage and sewage treatment and disposal facilities.

40. Spatial planning policy is concerned with re-establishing and conserving ecosystems including ecological networks, as well as wetlands, which form part of such networks. Special attention must be paid to sensitive as well as high ecological value surfaces and spaces (wetlands and so on). In order to achieve this objective, various ecological elements, such as semi-natural areas, water resources, healthy climates and derelict industrial sites needing restoration, or buffer zones must be identified. Appropriate measures are needed to deal with them. The establishment of a coherent network of special protection areas within the European Union and the applicant countries, called Natura 2000,¹⁹ is one of the measures contributing to this goal. In conjunction with the European Conference of Ministers "Environment for Europe", the development of these networks should be developed on a Europe-wide scale.

7. Enhancing the cultural heritage as a factor for development

41. Increasing the appeal of localities and regions for investors, tourists and the general public by enhancing the cultural heritage makes an important contribution to economic development and to strengthening regional identity. Spatial development policy should contribute to integrated management of the cultural heritage conceived as an evolutionary process protecting and conserving the heritage and taking into account the needs of modern society. Many countries contain monuments to and bear traces of various artistic schools and movements, reflected, for example, in the Renaissance route, the routes of the Reformation and Counter-Reformation, the Venetian, Byzantine and Ottoman routes, the Hanseatic and Viking routes, the *Art nouveau* and modern art routes.

Their identification and the development of common approaches to conservation, restoration and use should be the subject of a great cultural routes programme. In the same way, valuable heritage forming part of European industrial and social history could be included in the cultural routes and so be preserved for the benefit of future generations. Not only conservation of the past is at stake, but also harmony and creativity in the spatial relationship between modern architecture, urban design and the traditional heritage.

42. In many member states, there are aspects of the cultural and historical heritage which, on account of historical changes, events and changing frontiers, belong not just to one but to several nations, language communities and religious groups, some of whom no longer even live in the regions concerned. Spatial development policy has to preserve and respect the memory of every nationality, language community and religious group which has created a specific aspect of cultural heritage.

8. Developing energy resources while maintaining safety

43. Spatial development policy supports the promotion of renewable energy sources as coherent, environment-friendly systems and the completion of energy transport networks at pan-European level. Particular attention should be paid to developing the transport of oil and gas from the North Sea, the Caspian Sea region and the Russian Federation.

44. In view of the high levels of energy consumption in some economies, priority must be given to more efficient use of the energy and facilities already available. The energy efficiency of conventional power stations should be improved and air pollution reduced. This also contributes to reducing global warming.

45. The security of older nuclear power plants should be increased. In addition, there are on the European continent numerous nuclear power plants whose service life will come to an end in the coming decades. The sites where they are located will have to be rehabilitated. This will result in new tasks for spatial development policy.

9. Encouraging high quality, sustainable tourism

46. Spatial development policy should be aimed at using the development opportunities provided by tourism, in particular in the case of disadvantaged regions. Priority should be given to developing forms of high quality and sustainable tourism. In that regard, urban and spatial development policies play a particular role. Generally, what is needed is a thorough understanding of ecosystems and of the number of visitors an area can support, as well as new control instruments (regional impact assessments). Forms of “soft tourism” that are carefully adapted to local and regional circumstances, such as ecotourism, can offer many regions a vital opportunity for development which should be exploited in the future.

10. Limitation of the impact of natural disasters

47. Natural disasters such as earthquakes, hurricanes, flooding, avalanches, forest fires and mudslides are causing considerable damage in Europe every year with serious consequences for people’s lives and health, for the economy, for the settlement structure and for landscapes.

Preventive measures should be taken in the context of spatial development policies aimed at limiting the extent of damage and at making the settlement structure less vulnerable. This should include, for example, measures in the field of land use and building.

V. Spatial development measures for different types of European regions

48. In addition to the principles related to a sustainable spatial development policy, more detailed spatial development measures for European cultural landscapes as well as specific measures aimed at achieving a more regionally-balanced and sustainable development in the individual regions of Europe are proposed. These areas are characterised by their very nature by a high degree of diversity and partly overlap. The actors concerned have to decide which of the proposed measures should be taken with which level of priority in spatial development policy.

1. Landscapes

49. Europe is composed of a plurality of landscapes. They are a significant part of European heritage and a witness of the past and present relationships between man and his natural and built environments. Developments in production techniques in agriculture, forestry and industry and changes in town planning, transport, other types of infrastructure, tourism and leisure time behaviour are accelerating the transformation of European landscapes and can also have a negative impact on their quality and use. This not only concerns valuable natural landscapes, but applies generally to all types of cultural landscape, especially those that are an essential component of the urban environment.

50. Spatial development policy can contribute to protecting, managing and enhancing landscapes by adopting appropriate measures, in particular by organising better interactions between various sectoral policies with

regard to their territorial impacts. Appropriate measures in the field of landscape protection include:

- the integration of landscape development into spatial planning as well as into sectoral policies such as those related to the economy, agriculture, infrastructure and urban development, culture, environment, social development, which all have direct or indirect effects on the development of landscapes;
- the examination and general assessment of landscapes, the analysis of their characteristics, of their ecosystems and of the forces and pressures transforming them; the definition and use of landscape quality objectives;
- the implementation of integrated policies aimed at simultaneously protecting, managing and planning landscapes;
- the consideration of landscape development in international programmes;
- stronger cross-border, transnational and interregional co-operation in the fields of landscape development, exchange of experience and research projects involving in particular local and regional authorities;
- the strengthening of the awareness of people, private organisations and territorial authorities of the value of landscapes, their economic significance, their evolution and the possibilities of conserving and improving them;
- stronger integration of landscape development into training programmes in various disciplines, and interdisciplinary training programmes.²⁰

2. Urban areas

51. In order to achieve the objective of polycentric development of the European settlement structure, further measures, in addition to strengthening economic potential, are proposed for achieving sustainable development in towns and cities. These include:

- developing strategies adapted to the local context and aimed at overcoming the effects of economic restructuring;
- controlling the expansion of urban areas (urban sprawl): limiting trends towards suburbanisation by increasing the supply of building land in towns and cities, activation of gap sites and use of space-saving building methods, developing building land near traffic nodes and railway stations, promoting inner urban development, raising the quality of living and housing conditions in urban areas, which includes the conservation of existing ecosystems and the creation of new green areas and biotopes;
- regenerating deprived neighbourhoods and producing a mix of activities and social groups within the urban structure, particularly in cities where areas of social exclusion are developing;
- carefully managing the urban ecosystem, particularly with regard to open and green spaces, water, energy, waste and noise;

– developing effective, but at the same time environmentally-friendly public transport designed to contribute to sustainable mobility;

- establishing planning bodies across local authority boundaries between individual towns and communes to co-ordinate the planning and implementation of measures;
- conserving and enhancing the cultural heritage;
- developing networks of towns.

52. The towns and cities in the new member states face special challenges, such as how to finance the construction of dwellings and how to maintain and improve the housing stock, especially reconstruction and the adjustment to new needs (increased car ownership, demand for architectural quality and increasing energy efficiency). New trends towards suburbanisation and segregation resulting from the backlog demand for home ownership can be countered by increasing the supply of building land in the agglomerations.

3. Rural areas

53. In order to achieve the independent development of the countryside as areas for living, carrying on economic and recreational activities and as physical regions, the following measures are proposed in addition to the principles:

- strengthening the spatial planning policy aimed at preserving a balance in the diversity of developments affecting rural areas (diversification of employment opportunities, changes in agricultural production, afforestation, tourism, nature protection);
- conserving and improving endogenous resources in rural areas with a view to diversifying the economic base and mobilising the local population and those involved in the economy;
- encouraging small and medium-sized towns as well as large villages to act as service suppliers for their rural hinterlands and as suitable locations for establishing small and medium-sized enterprises;
- increasing the accessibility of rural areas, in particular small and medium-sized towns and large villages;
- improving the living conditions of the inhabitants of rural areas and increasing their attractiveness for all population groups, for instance young people and retired people. This requires agricultural, forestry and mining enterprises to play an active role; conserving and further developing the natural and cultural heritage; repairing damage to the environment and establishing an adequate infrastructure and new services, in particular in the field of tourism;
- improving the supply and marketing of high quality regional agricultural, forestry and craft products from the countryside;
- encouraging farmers and foresters to adapt their land-use practices to local circumstances and contribute to preserving and restoring biological diversity and traditional

landscapes; compensation may be paid where the needs of agriculture or forestry differ from those of nature protection and the conservation of the landscape;

– encouraging the creation of highly qualified job opportunities as part of the economic diversification process, in particular in developing employment opportunities outside agriculture through the use of new information and communications technologies.

54. In some Council of Europe member states a high proportion of the population still lives in the countryside. Effective rural development policies are required to prevent undesirable large-scale outward migration from these areas. The aim should be to diversify rural employment and establish new urban-rural partnerships. To this end, processing industries and other employment generators, for example tourism, should be developed in the private sector. Rural lake districts and archipelago regions are confronted with similar problems and also require co-operation and the exchange of experience.

4. Mountains

55. Mountain regions represent an exceptional potential for Europe and fulfil numerous ecological, economic, social, cultural and agricultural functions. Spatial development policy should give special and suitable consideration to the preservation and development of mountain regions. The Parliamentary Assembly and the Congress of Local and Regional Authorities of Europe pay great attention to mountain regions in the context of the social cohesion strategy.

56. Many spatial development policy measures for urban and rural areas are also valid for mountain regions. An integrated policy for mountain regions should, however, be an autonomous part of a Europe-wide spatial development policy which should include measures of economic and social development, the protection and management of natural resources and the respecting of local traditions and cultures. It should take account of the fact that mountain regions, in spite of their diversity, which should be preserved and promoted, experience common economic, social and environmental problems because of their altitude, topography and climate. It should also consider the fact that the environmental conditions of the mountain regions represent not only a constraint, but also an opportunity for the populations living there and that it is necessary to find the right balance between their economic and social development and the protection of the environment. Integrated development policy for mountain regions should take into account the transfrontier character of some mountain regions and the need to implement a coherent policy on both sides of the border. The Salzburg Convention of 1991 on the Protection of the Alps and the Pan-European Biological and Landscape Diversity Strategy contain important principles for spatial development policies in mountain regions.

57. Spatial development policy as a co-ordinating activity should draw the attention of various sectoral policies to the special conditions of mountain regions. These include:

– economic policies, which should promote diversification and multiple job-holding, crafts and SMEs as well as co-operation between small enterprises;

– agriculture and forestry where marketing activities should be strengthened and development policy based on quality products. Agricultural and forestry initiatives contributing to the protection and management of the environment should be supported. The protection, development and sustainable use of forests should be encouraged;

– initiatives contributing to the development of quality tourism, respectful of the natural, economic, social and cultural environments of mountain regions should be fostered and supported;

– the provision of public services, with no discrimination against mountain regions as compared with the rest of the territory;

– the promotion of rail transport, in particular for international and interregional traffic;

– the protection and sustainable management of land, water, air and landscapes, the conservation of fauna and flora and their habitats, and their rehabilitation where necessary;

– the maintenance and promotion of the identity of mountain populations and the diversity and richness of their cultural heritage.

5. Coastal and island regions

58. Europe's coastal regions are not only sensitive natural heritage areas but also important focuses of economic and commercial activities, prime locations for industry and energy conversion, a starting point for the exploitation of maritime and underwater resources and particularly attractive areas for tourism.

59. Since such a range of activities in coastal strips can generate numerous conflicts, an integrated and sustainable spatial development policy, covering not only the coastal strip but also the hinterland, is essential for such regions. The concept of the integrated management of coastal areas is intended to take into account the interaction between economic activities and social and environmental requirements when making use of natural resources in coastal areas and hence facilitate the decision-making process in assessing investments. Integrated coastal management should be a systematic component of regional planning at the various levels concerned. Cross-border and transnational co-operation beyond the sea are of particular importance in this respect.

60. Most island regions in Europe, although very different in terms of geographical situation and development level, will experience additional development pressure on account of their limited resources and accessibility. There is a close relationship between the sustainable development of island regions and strategies to integrate them into international and European markets while at the same time protecting local identities and maintaining a balance between economic efficiency, social justice and

environmental protection. The following policy aspects are of particular significance:

- the diversification of employment opportunities through the enhancement of endogenous resources and the development of services, in particular on islands too dependent on tourism. Priority should be given to developing permanent jobs in this context;
- the improvement of the quality of the environment as a strategic element of local identity and of regional and international competitiveness. In this context, attention has to be paid to industrial activities in coastal states, whose cross-border impacts may affect the environmental quality of islands, in particular in the Mediterranean;
- the development of innovative systems in the field of water, energy and waste management, taking into account the scarcity of local resources as well as the sensitivity of the environment;
- the improvement of transport connections to the mainland as well as between islands.

6. Eurocorridors

61. The impetus given to local and regional economies by transport investment in the Eurocorridors is of great importance (“regional incentives”). From a spatial development policy standpoint, the latter must not be viewed solely as elements of the overall provision of transport infrastructure. Their interaction with the settlement structure, regional economy, regional transport networks and the needs of environmental and landscape protection must also be taken into account. Major transport projects should not therefore be undertaken without assessing their direct and indirect territorial impact. Structural planning measures must be taken to reduce any negative effects and highlight their positive territorial impact. Such measures should include spatial and environmental impact assessments for plans, programmes and projects, the co-ordination of regional and interregional infrastructure, large-scale landscape planning, securing protected areas, or the concentration of roads, railway lines and navigable waterways in a single corridor.

62. Major transport nodal points, such as motorway intersections, important stations, freight distribution centres, airports or inland ports, can have a particular significance for spatial development. Their impact extends beyond their immediate environment to include their entire region. The Eurocorridors should be considered as more than simply transport corridors. In the future, consideration must be given to the interaction between transport facilities and settlement development with a view to integrating spatial development and transport policies. If comprehensive plans take account of the impact of Eurocorridors on spatial development, that is, on urbanisation, population and economic trends, this could generate substantial added value in the social, environmental and economic spheres.

7. Flood plains and water meadows

63. Flood plains and water meadows present a particular challenge to spatial planning, as they are concentrated on relatively narrow strips of land. They are characterised by significant and highly valuable natural elements (water courses, wetlands with rich and sensitive ecosystems and high quality landscapes, etc.) and by intensive and diverse human activities such as industrial production and urban settlement, transport infrastructure and traffic flows, energy production, including hydroelectric and nuclear power stations, sand and gravel quarries, regulated water courses, drainage, leisure time activities and recreational facilities. They are quite often landscapes shaped in the course of centuries and possessing a high economic and ecological potential. The contribution of spatial planning to the reduction of periodic flooding affecting many European rivers systems, is not yet adequately recognised. The whole catchment area of the river should be taken into account in this context. Economic damage could be reduced in this way.

64. Conflicts between the different functions of flood plains are assessed and reduced in integrated spatial development policy. The following elements are of foremost importance:

- protecting particularly vulnerable ecosystems;
- more sustainable management of the water system in the whole catchment area, paying particular attention to water quantity, which should be related to retention, infiltration and the resistance of the river bed, of the main river and of its tributaries;
- integration of the water system management for the whole catchment area into spatial planning at the various levels;
- preventing flooding and water pollution by encouraging co-operation on the integrated and sustainable management of transfrontier and transnational river basins;
- limiting the expansion of towns in environmentally valuable areas and those with a potential flood risk;
- drawing up programmes to preserve the very small number of natural or semi-natural river courses still existing in Europe, particularly in the new member states.

8. Redundant industrial and military sites

65. The globalisation of the economy is leading to the rapid obsolescence of many industrial plants in Europe and to the dereliction of the areas concerned. The development of a security strategy and the reduction of military forces are resulting in the abandonment of military areas all over Europe which need to be put to new use. The task of spatial development policy is to rehabilitate the former industrial and military sites and their surroundings and to make them available for other uses, in order to limit the development of new land which is less acceptable from an environmental point of view. Spatial development policy measures for urban areas should also be applied here to create an attractive environment for investors. Economic diversification should be promoted particularly by:

- regenerating the environment of areas damaged by industrially polluting activities;
- regenerating towns and cities in industrial regions, particularly by providing services, cleaning up contaminated industrial sites and improving the urban environment;
- retraining and further education of unemployed workers;
- developing technopoles and technology centres concerned with technology transfer and the creation of new businesses using advanced technologies;
- improving accessibility and developing information and telecommunications technology;
- organising interregional and transnational co-operation to reduce isolation and generate new initiatives and a new impetus for growth.

9. Border regions

66. Transfrontier co-operation in the field of spatial development has developed considerably between the old member states of the Council of Europe in recent decades thanks to the action of the states, regions and local authorities. Transfrontier co-operation now represents a particular challenge to the new member states, given that their frontiers were closed for several decades, new borders were created and the border regions were generally very marginalised. The development of transfrontier co-operation is an essential precondition for the economic development of border regions and their political and social cohesion, especially since numerous minorities live on either side of their respective frontiers. About 140 Euroregions have been set up in the meantime throughout the continent which are doing pioneering work in transfrontier co-operation.

67. Specific spatial development policies in border regions and transfrontier co-operation involve the adoption of a joint approach to development in the form of transfrontier structure schemes and joint plans. Such an approach should be based on thorough analysis of relevant border regions' functional relations network, and centred on homogeneous development of the region's territories located on both sides of the frontier. Particular attention should be paid to:

- developing transfrontier transport and telecommunications infrastructures and services;
- the transfrontier conservation and sustainable use of natural resources (particularly in the case of mountain and coastal regions, forests and wetlands, etc.) and of water resources;
- taking the cross-border dimension of supplying public and private services into account;
- the coherent planning of transfrontier conurbations, cities, and settlement areas of ethnic communities;
- organising transfrontier employment catchment areas;
- combating the cross-border impact of pollution.

VI. Strengthening of co-operation between the member states of the Council of Europe and participation of regions, municipalities and citizens

1. Possibilities of conceiving a development-oriented spatial planning

68. Spatial development is a political task of co-operation and participation. The guiding principles are a basis for the assessment of projects and measures which are important from a spatial development policy point of view and which affect several states. The great diversity of structural and spatial measures in the context of spatial development policy requires inter-disciplinary integration and co-operation between the relevant political bodies and authorities. Their function consists in creating a framework for transnational, interregional and intercommunal decisions. Contradictions should be avoided and synergies increased. Long-term and large-scale planning concepts transcending national borders should offer a comprehensive and forward-looking development perspective for the region that can serve as a frame of reference for individual projects and measures. This is particularly important in the case of the new Council of Europe member states, where development is currently often based on projects promoted at local level by international and national bodies, although such projects have not yet been integrated into a larger development framework and are not accompanied by sufficient consultation of citizens and local authorities.

2. Developing Europe-wide co-operation activities on the basis of the guiding principles

69. Some European areas have already gained initial experience of co-operation in the field of spatial development. The adoption of the European Spatial Development Perspective (ESDP) was an important step taken by the European Union member states for their future co-operation. In the Baltic region, the Conference of Ministers responsible for Spatial Planning has proposed a series of measures aimed at implementing the spatial vision for the Baltic Sea region (Vasab 2010 Plus). In carrying out the Vasab 2010 Plus Project, spatial vision is being updated on the basis of experience and new information and transformed into a revised action programme for the spatial development of the region. In this way, priorities are being defined for the Interreg IIIB Community Initiative Programme for the period 2000 to 2006 and key projects are being prepared. Close co-operation in the field of spatial planning is also starting in the Central, Adriatic, Danubian and South-Eastern European Space (Cadses). The reference document in this context is the report entitled "Strategies for an integrated spatial development" (Vision Planet) presented by a group of experts. In the medium-term, all member states of the Council of Europe, such as the states bordering the Black Sea and the states of the Caucasus regions as well as other regions of the Russian Federation, should be involved in this co-operation.

70. Co-operation in the spatial development field between international organisations, particularly the institutions of the Council of Europe and the European Union, must now be intensified. At the meeting of the European

Union Ministers responsible for Spatial Development in Tampere, Finland in October 1999, the European Union member states and the European Commission proposed to strengthen political and technical co-operation with the applicant countries and with neighbouring non-member states. Positive reaction should be given to this proposal.

71. In accordance with the existing bodies of spatial development co-operation, it is advisable to proceed step by step in developing future co-operation activities, to rely on existing co-operation structures and experience and to exploit their specific potential. Transnational co-operation areas have developed in the context of the European Union Interreg Programme;²¹ they include most member states of the Council of Europe. The selected projects are intended to facilitate the implementation not only of the European Spatial Development Perspective (ESDP) but also of the guiding principles.

72. The European Union also provides specific support to spatial development in third countries through the Phare, Tacis, Meda, Sapard, and Ispa programmes. The EU should present appropriate proposals for co-ordinating the Interreg Programme with the support programmes, in order to facilitate transnational, interregional and cross-border co-operation between regions of the EU and areas in third countries.

73. The preparation of regionalised comparable spatial information, in particular in the new member states of the Council of Europe, is particularly important as a first step in transnational and cross-border co-operation. This could form the basis for comparative analyses of trends in spatial development (spatial monitoring) in all the Council of Europe's member states. Spatial monitoring should be concerned not just with quantitative indicators but also with qualitative information, particularly relating to the endogenous resources and potential of regions. The approach should be as pragmatic as possible, with a technical organisation or a small group of experts from each member country. Trend analyses should be carried out every year on a limited number of topics, accompanied by a comparative synthesis of the findings. The ECE and OECD have already produced important contributions which should be further developed.

74. In addition, projects relevant to spatial development policy can be supported by international financial institutions: the World Bank, the Council of Europe Development Bank, the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development and the European Investment Bank should be more closely involved in European co-operation activities in the field of spatial development.

3. Horizontal co-operation

75. In preparing spatial development projects, horizontal co-operation with sectoral policies having significant geographical impacts (for example, transport, agricultural and environmental policies), is particularly important. In order to be able to assess large-scale impacts of sectoral decisions better at an early stage, procedures such as the regional impact assessment of large infrastructure projects will be applied in various member states. A particularly

important task of horizontal co-operation is the cross-border co-ordination of development projects among the member states of the Council of Europe, including their regional and local authorities.

76. Horizontal co-operation is, however, not confined to sectoral policies related to the infrastructure sector. It also concerns economic, financial and social policies as a whole. The various spatial conditions and the territorial impacts to be expected from their programmes and measures should be taken into account from the outset when decisions in these sectors are being prepared.

4. Vertical co-operation

77. Co-operation between the various administrative levels is of particular importance for European spatial development policy. It should be organised in such a way as to enable local and regional authorities to adapt their spatial development objectives to measures decided on at a higher level, while the national authorities in turn take the objectives, plans and projects proposed at regional and local level into consideration in their decisions (reciprocity principle).

78. While national authorities focus mainly on general issues of transnational, national and interregional significance, the regional tier is responsible, in conjunction with local authorities and the public, for ensuring the sustainability and coherence of spatial development. All levels are required to work together in order to ensure sustainable spatial development.

79. The subsidiarity and reciprocity principles in spatial development policy can only function if appropriate powers are devolved to the regional level. Regional and local authorities have, in accordance with the European Charter of Local Self-Government and the draft European charter of regional self-government, a particular responsibility for spatial development policies.

80. The correct application of the subsidiarity and reciprocity principles is therefore particularly important for all member states of the Council of Europe. Although regionalisation has made significant advances in recent decades in the majority of the older Council of Europe member states, the new member countries are only starting to establish regional bodies. In view of the geographical polarisation of economic development and the growing regional disparities in many new member states, a strengthening of the regional tier of the political and administrative system is essential if a more sustainable and regionally-balanced form of regional development is to be achieved. Progress in implementation of regional structures in these countries must be accompanied by a corresponding transfer of power in the field of spatial development.

81. Increased co-operation, particularly between regions and cities of the old and new member states of the Council of Europe, for instance in the form of regional twinning arrangements, is extremely useful. The new member states have considerable experience of spatial planning and development. However, their experience is still limited in relation to the way market forces influence

spatial development processes, the challenges posed by transnational spatial planning and associated procedures for co-ordinating activities. Providing know-how and technical assistance to spatial planning authorities should be a systematic and integral part of co-operation between old and new member states. A training programme in this field should be established without delay.

5. Broadly-based participation of society in the spatial planning process

82. As early as 1983 the European Regional/Spatial Planning Charter drew attention to the need for active public participation in the spatial planning process. The intervening years have confirmed this need. Apart from such participation in local, regional and supranational projects, the involvement of European society and socio-economic actors, for example through non-governmental organisations, has become necessary. Their involvement at an early stage of the process makes a significant contribution not only to increasing the planning process's chances of success but also to avoiding unproductive investments. Societal consensus is very important, not only for the success of local and regional initiatives; it also creates a dynamic environment for outside investors and economic actors. The involvement of the younger generation in the planning process increases the chances

of interesting the public in the long-term planning of their home region and in efficient and innovative participation. This is essential in gaining wider acceptance of the "European idea".

Outlook

83. Europe's spatial integration is the result of an ongoing process of small steps in which co-operation, transcending national boundaries among the member states of the Council of Europe, and especially among European regions and municipalities, plays an essential part. As a vision of an integrated Europe, the guiding principles represent the policy reference document for numerous spatial development measures and initiatives taken on the European continent, and in particular for transnational and international co-operation. The spatial development activities of the European Conference of Ministers responsible for Regional Planning (Cemat) within the Council of Europe establish an important basis for Europe's harmonious integration by drawing attention to the territorial dimension of democracy and social cohesion. Adopting the Guiding Principles for the Sustainable Spatial Development of the European Continent and taking them into consideration in spatial development policy decisions will significantly facilitate Europe-wide co-operation aimed at creating a regionally-balanced and sustainable Europe.

Table 1
Europe compared with other world regions

	Population in thousands 1995	Share of world population 1995	GDP at 1995 market prices in US\$1 000 billion at current prices	Share of world production 1995	GDP at market prices/head 1995 in dollars at current prices	Direct foreign investments 1994-1996 (in million US-dollars)	Share of the total investment volume	Direct foreign investments 1994-1996 in US\$ per head
Europe	807 246	14.2	9 852.4	35.2	12 205	340 994	39.7	422.4
<i>Of which: Accession to the Council of Europe before 1990</i>	445 711	7.9	9 052.7	32.3	20 311	306 249	35.6	687.1
<i>Accession to the Council of Europe since 1990</i>	325 532	5.7	756.5	2.7	2 324	33 754	3.9	103.7
Applicant countries	36 003	0.6	43.2	0.2	1 199	991	0.1	27.5
Japan and Republic of Korea	169 434	3.0	5 590.7	20.0	32 996	6 061	0.7	35.8
<i>Of which: Japan</i>	124 439	2.2	5 134.3	18.3	41 260	1 151	0.1	9.2
Asean	470 686	8.3	749.6	2.7	1 593	67 854	7.9	144.2
Mercosur	202 002	3.6	995.6	3.6	4 929	30 419	3.5	150.6
Nafta	384 111	6.8	7 875.9	28.1	20 504	243 975	28.4	635.2
<i>Of which: Canada and Mexico</i>	121 221	2.1	846.3	3.0	6 982	53 815	6.3	443.9
United States	262 890	4.6	7 029.6	25.1	26 740	190 160	22.1	723.3
World	5 674 432	100.0	28 012.3	100.0	4 937	859 912		

Source: World Bank: World Development indicators 1998; United Nations: Statistical Yearbook, 42nd issue, 1995

Table 2
Real GDP/head in PPP terms (\$ 1997)

Countries	Real GDP per head (PPPS) 1997	Countries	Real GDP per head (PPPS) 1997
Albania	2 120	Moldova	1 500
Belgium	22 750	Netherlands	21 110
Bulgaria	4 010	Norway	24 450
Denmark	23 690	Austria	22 070
Germany	21 260	Poland	6 520
Estonia	5 240	Portugal	14 270
Finland	20 150	Romania	4 310
France	22 030	Russian Federation	4 370
Georgia	1 960	Sweden	19 790
Greece	12 769	Switzerland	25 240
Ireland	20 710	Slovak Republic	7 910
Iceland	22 497	Slovenia	11 800
Italy	20 290	Spain	15 930
Croatia	4 896	Czech Republic	10 510
Latvia	3 940	Turkey	6 350
Lithuania	4 220	Ukraine	2 190
Luxembourg	30 863	Hungary	7 200
Malta	13 180	United Kingdom	20 730
“The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia”	3 210	Cyprus	14 201

No data available for Andorra, Monaco, San Marino

Source: UNDP World Development Report 1999

1. Debated and adopted by the Chamber of Regions on 26 May 2004 and adopted by the Standing Committee of the Congress on 27 May 2004, (see Document CPR (11) 4, draft resolution presented by S. Rihtniemi (Finland, R, EPP/DC), rapporteur).
2. The national versions of the guiding principles are available on the following website:http://www.coe.int/T/E/Cultural_Co-operation/Environment/CEMAT/GPSSDEC/03_language_versions.asp#TopOfPage
3. Council of Europe, European Conference of Ministers responsible for Regional Planning (Cemat): European Charter for Regional/Spatial Planning, 20 May 1983 in Torremolinos, Spain.
4. Forty-three at the time of the adoption of the recommendation.
5. Forty-three at the time of the adoption of the recommendation.
6. Second Summit of Heads of State and Government of the Council of Europe, Strasbourg, 10 and 11 October 1997, Final Declaration.
7. Idem: Action Plan.
8. European Outline Convention on Transfrontier Co-operation between Territorial Communities and Authorities, 21 May 1980.
9. European Regional Planning Strategy, adopted at the sixth Cemat Conference in 1988 in Lausanne.
10. European Charter of Local Self-Government, Strasbourg, 15 October 1985.
11. See Congress of Local and Regional Authorities of Europe: Recommendation 34 (1997) on the draft European charter of regional self-government of 5 June 1997.
12. European Spatial Development Perspective adopted at the informal Council of Ministers responsible for Spatial Development in Potsdam, Germany, May 1999.
13. Baltic Agenda 21 for the Baltic Sea Region adopted at the 7th Conference of Foreign Ministers of the Baltic Sea Council in Nyborg, Denmark, June 1998.
14. Vision and Strategies around the Baltic Sea 2010 – Towards a Framework for Spatial Development in the Baltic Sea Region, Third Conference of Ministers responsible for Spatial Development of the Baltic Sea States, Tallinn, December, 1994. From Concept to Action, Fourth Conference of Ministers responsible for Spatial Development of the Baltic Sea States, Stockholm, October 1996.
15. Deuxième Esquisse de Structure du Benelux, Brussels, 1998.
16. Strategies for integrated Spatial Development of the Central European, Adriatic and Danubian Area adopted at the 4th Seminar of the Project Panel, Vienna, January 2000.
17. The Pan-European Biological and Landscape Diversity Strategy adopted by the Conference of Ministers on an Environment for Europe, Sofia, October 1995.
18. Implementation of Resolution No. 2 of 11th Cemat in Cyprus, October 1997.
19. Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora, O.B. L206/7 of 22 July 1992.
20. The European Landscape Convention was opened for signature in Florence on 20 October 2000.
21. Communication from the Commission to the member states of 28 April 2000 laying down guidelines for a Community Initiative concerning trans-European co-operation intended to encourage harmonious and balanced development of the European territory – Interreg III (OJEC, 2000/C 143/08 of 23 May 2000, pp. 6 to 29, http://www.inforegio.org/wbdoc/docoffic/official/interreg3/maps_eng.htm)