

Strasbourg, 10 March 2021

T-PD-BUR(2021)5

CONSULTATIVE COMMITTEE OF THE CONVENTION FOR THE PROTECTION OF INDIVIDUALS WITH REGARD TO AUTOMATIC PROCESSING OF PERSONAL DATA

CONVENTION 108

Information note on the procedures of various monitoring mechanisms

Directorate General of Human Rights and Rule of Law

- The present document has been prepared by the Secretariat to inform the 52nd meeting of the Bureau of the Committee of Convention 108 on procedures established by different Council of Europe's committees, notably by the Group of States against Corruption (GRECO), by the Committee of Experts on the Evaluation of Anti-Money Laundering Measures and the Financing of Terrorism (MONYVAL), by the Group of Experts on Action against Trafficking in Human Beings (GRETA) and by the Committee of Social Rights. This comparative information aims at supporting the discussion regarding the process and rationale of the evaluation and follow-up mechanism (see documents T-PD(2018)20rev7 and T-PD(2018)2021rev7) to be set up under Convention 108+ in line with Article 4, paragraph 3 and Article 23, litterae e, f and h of Convention 108+.
- The comparative table hereafter describes the legal basis, the procedure, the outcome/*ex post* procedures, where applicable complaints mechanism as well as the transparency rules of the different monitoring mechanisms chosen. It also summarises rules on the access to documents as established by the Committee of Ministers. (Cf. CM/Del/Dec(1998)641/1.3 and CM/Del/Dec(2000)7351.5a)
- 3. The document is designed to be a source of inspiration for the Bureau of the Committee of Convention 108 when discussing issues related to the follow-up and evaluation procedures to be implemented by the Committee of Convention 108+ in order to present tailor made procedures to:
 - a. Evaluate a Candidate for accession in terms of the guaranteed level of protection and its compliance with Convention 108+, with a view to adopting an opinion to be presented to the Committee of Ministers;
 - b. Assess, at the request of a State or an international organisation, the compliance of their level of protection with the Convention;
 - c. Review (periodically and on an ad-hoc basis) the implementation of the Convention by a Party to the Convention.
- 4. The comparative table reflects the existence of several options for the structuring of different preparatory and follow-up reports (evaluation report, progress report, etc.), the use of a questionnaire during an evaluation, the composition of evaluation teams, the preparation of country visits, the structure of the outcome of an evaluation, the procedure for their adoption, including the possibility to comment, amend and publish, the *ex post* procedures and the assessment of progress and details on compliance and non-compliance procedures.

Greco	Moneyval	Greta	Social Charter
Article 10 – 16 of the Statute of Greco	FATF Core Recommendations (2012)	Paragraph 1 of Article 36 of the Convention (CETS No. 197)	Art 21-29 of the European Social Charter
Title II of the Rules of Procedure	Monitoring rounds (currently the 4 th)	Monitoring rounds – 4 years (unless GRETA decides otherwise by unanimity)	
		GRETA selects the specific provisions on which each evaluation round shall be based (having the first one on the overview of implementation of the Convention by each Party)	
 EVALUATION ROUNDS determined by Greco with the selection of provisions to be evaluated QUESTIONNAIRE 	 EVALUATION REPORT by monitoring rounds PROGRESS REPORT by each country (1 year after the Evaluation Report) 	1. QUESTIONNAIRE (to be sent not earlier than 1 and not later than 2 years from the entry into force of the Convention for the Party	 Biannual Report to the SG (in a form determined by the CM) Secretariat comments Committee of experts (up to 7 members appointed by the CM
 to be sent to the Secretariat REPLY by the Party concerned (if country visit is scheduled not later than 3 	 SECRETARIAT ANALYSIS (desk-based, against FATF Rec) Plenary discussion with one Rapporteur State 	public, addressed through a contact person, answers are to be sent within the deadline set by GRETA to	form a list of independent experts for 6 years + ILO can appoint a member with a consultative capacity) 4. Sub-Committee of the
 4. LIST of EXPERTS a Party can designate 5 experts on the top of its representative to the Greco 		the Secretariat, GREATA publishes the answers (unless requested by the Party) 2. ADDITIONNAL	Governmental Social Committee Prepare a Report on the basis of the reports of the Parties + Conclusions of the Committee
5. EVALUATION TEAM is set upon the proposal of the Bureau (at least 3 experts from different Parties from		 INFORMATION by writing or during country visit 3. URGENT REQUEST FOR INFORMATION if it 	of Experts and send it to the CM. Is composed by 1 representative/Party + representatives of not more
	 Article 10 – 16 of the Statute of Greco Title II of the Rules of Procedure EVALUATION ROUNDS determined by Greco with the selection of provisions to be evaluated QUESTIONNAIRE prepared by Greco, replies to be sent to the Secretariat REPLY by the Party concerned (if country visit is scheduled not later than 3 month before it) LIST of EXPERTS a Party can designate 5 experts on the top of its representative to the Greco EVALUATION TEAM is set upon the proposal of the 	 Article 10 – 16 of the Statute of Greco Title II of the Rules of Procedure FATF Core Recommendations (2012) Monitoring rounds (currently the 4th) EVALUATION ROUNDS determined by Greco with the selection of provisions to be evaluated QUESTIONNAIRE prepared by Greco, replies to be sent to the Secretariat REPLY by the Party concerned (if country visit is scheduled not later than 3 month before it) LIST of EXPERTS a Party can designate 5 experts on the top of its representative to the Greco EVALUATION TEAM is set upon the proposal of the 	Article 10 - 16 of the Statute of GrecoFATF Core Recommendations (2012)Paragraph 1 of Article 36 of the Convention (CETS No. 197)Title II of the Rules of ProcedureMonitoring rounds (currently the 4th)Monitoring rounds – 4 years (unless GRETA decides otherwise by unanimity)I. EVALUATION ROUNDS determined by Greco with the selection of provisions to be evaluated1. EVALUATION REPORT by each country (1 year after the Evaluation Report)I. EVALUATION NAIRE (to be sent to the Secretariat 3. REPLY by the Party concerned (if country visit is scheduled not later than 3 month before it)1. EVALUATION report by each country (1 year after the Evaluation Report)1. Plenary discussion with one Rapporteur State1. QUESTIONNAIRE (to be sent not earlier than 1 and not later than 2 years from the selection of provisions to be sent to the Secretariat a contact person, answers (unless requested by the Party)1. QUESTIONNAIRE (to be sent not earlier than 1 and not later than 2 years from the top of its representative to the Greco1. QUESTIONNAIRE (conserved (if country visit is scheduled not later than 3 month before it)1. Plenary discussion with one Rapporteur State1. Plenary discussion with one Rapporteur State5. EVALUATION TEAM is set upon the proposal of the5. EVALUATION TEAM is set upon the proposal of the1. ADDITIONNAL INFORMATION by writing or during country visit

		_	
the list above + Secretariat	receives reliable information		than 2 international employers
+ additional, when required	on problems which require		and 2 of international trade
scientific experts), the list is	immediate attention, it may		union organisations and
to be sent to the Party	designate a rapporteur		representatives of 2 relevant
concerned and if	and/or carry out a country		NGOs)
disagreement Bureau shall	visit, it publishes its findings	5.	Consultative Assembly
propose an alternative one	(together with comments of		SG transmits the conclusions of
6. PREPARATION of a	the Party concerned), if not		the Committee of Experts, the
COUNTRY VISIT – date is	is session Bureau decides		CA can communicate its views
to be determined by the	and President reports at the		on these to the CM
Secretariat in consultation	next Plenary	6.	Committee of Ministers
with the Party concerned	4. INFORMATION FROM		By majority of 2/3 of the
(but not earlier the 3 month	NGOs the questionnaire		members entitled to sit on the
after the reception of replies	may be sent to NGOs and		CM can make to each party any
to the Questionnaire), the	other relevant organisations		necessary recommendations
Party concerned is to	5. COUNTRY VISITS on		-
prepare a draft program to	GRETA's decision		
which the team shall agree,	appointment of a delegation		
not longer than 4 days (in	(rapporteur and 1 or more		
principle)	other GRETA members +		
7. PREPARATYORY	Secretariat, interpreters,		
MEETING – Party	specialists if required), the		
concerned + evaluation	Party concerned shall be		
team allows preliminary	informed and the visit is to		
exchange of views	be organised in cooperation		
8. FINAL ON-SITE MEETING	with the contact person (or		
discuss all outstanding	directly with NGO's and		
issues	other non-governmental		
9. COUNTRY VISIT	actors), reports back to		
10. CONCLUDING MEETING	GRETÁ		
between experts and the	6. HEARINGS and other		
Secretariat to develop a	means by the decision of		
common assessment,	GRETA		
summary is to be prepared			
by the Secretariat			
		-1	

Outcome/	1. MUTUAL EVALUATION	1. If satisfied: ADOPTION of	1. REPORT prepared by	Collective complaint mechanism
ex post /	REPORT	the Progress Report and	rapporteurs (15 experts,	(ETS No. 158)
Complaint	a. Descriptive Part based	the Analysis +	high competitive selection)	Can submit a complaint:
mechanis	on answers to the	PUBLICATION +	a. Descriptive Part	international organisations
m	questionnaire (can be	Biennial update (not	(based on the	of employers trade unions
	prepared by the	subject to Secretariat	answers to the	 international NGOs (which
	Secretariat and be sent	analysis, but subject to	questionnaire and	have consultative status as
	to the expert 1 month	Rapporteur state's	other information)	per the decision of the
	before the country visit)	considerations and peer	b. Analytical Part (a	Governmental Committee)
	b. Analytical Part	review by Moneyval	reasoned	 national organisations of
	containing observations	delegations)	observation on the	employers trade unions (for
	and recommendations	2. If not:	Party's	matters within their own
	and their motivation	a. REGULAR	implementation)	jurisdiction)
	Experts are required to send	FOLLOW UP	c. Conclusions	1. SG receives the
	written contribution (mainly on	i. In case of partially compliant	(suggestions,	COMPLAINT , notify the
	the analytical part within 14 days	or non-compliant rating:	proposals)	Party and send it to the
	from the receipt of the Descriptive	Report back to the Plenary	2. PLENARY examines,	Committee of Experts
	Part or 14 days after the country	within 2years or by request of	discusses and APPROVES	2. Committee of Experts is
	visit)	the Plenary	the report and SENDS it to	examining the case and
	\rightarrow	ii. Removal within 3 years of the	the Party concerned for	prepare a REPORT
	Secretariat draft report within 14	adoption of the 4 th round of	comments	a. Asking for more
	days from the receipt of all	MER from Regular Follow up,	3. ADOPTION of the	explanation
	contributions	if it demonstrates that it	REPORT by 2/3 of the	b. Written contributions
	\rightarrow	ensures the compliance at an	votes cast taking into	c. Organising hearings
	Expert comments on the	essentially equivalent to	account the comments sent	d. Etc.
	Secretariat draft are to be sent	compliant or largely compliant	within the deadline by the	3. Report is to be sent to the
	within 14 days	level	concerned Party	CM, Parliamentary
	\rightarrow		The Adopted report and the	Assembly and to interested
	Secretariat revised draft report is	b. ENHANCED	conclusions are transmitted	parties
	sent to experts (if difficulties	FOLLOW UP	to the Party for any FINAL	4. CM adopts by majority of
	Secretariat organises coordination	i. In case of <u>serious</u>	COMMENT within 1 month	those voting a
	meeting) and to the Party	incompliance with the	5. PUBLICATION of GRETA's	RESOLUTION and if there
	concerned	standards or if <u>it cannot exit</u>	Report, Conclusions and	is non-compliance with the
	\rightarrow	regular follow-up within 5	Final Comments of the	charter (as per the report of
		<u>years</u>	Party concerned	the Committee of Experts)

	_					
Written comments from the	3.	In any		6. COMMUNICATION		by 2/3 of those voting
Party concerned within 21 days			PLIANCE	STRATEGY to further the		adopts a
(extendable by the Secretariat) is			NCING	impact of GRETA's Report,		RECOMMENDATION
to be sent to the experts who		PROC	CEDURES can be	Conclusions		addressed to the Party (a
determine which one is acceptable,		used				Party can request the CM to
if opinions differ, Secretariat is		а.	Letter by the SG			vote by 2/3 if the expert
negotiating a compromise		b.	High-level mission	URGENT SITUATION (new) – ex:		report raises new issues to
\rightarrow			to the non-	huge arrival of asylum seekers. Not		consult the Governmental
Secretariat final draft report is to			compliant state	tight to cycles and rounds. Two		Committee)
be sent to all representatives sitting		c.	Formal public	visit have been already carried out:	5.	Information on the
on Greco at least 2 weeks before			statement inviting	Italy, Hungary		FOLLOW UP measures
the Plenary			international			which are to GIVE EFFECT
\rightarrow			community to take			to the CM's
Secretariat revised final draft			into account the			recommendations shall be
report is to be sent to the Plenary			risks			given in the next report to
if only minor drafting issues need to		Ь	Referring the			be submitted to the SG
be solved (Secretariat organises a		u.	matter for the			(every 2 years)
pre-plenary meeting between			FATF ICRG			(every 2 years)
experts and the representatives of					175 0	ases since it has been
the Party concerned)						
					create	d, 53 currently pending
2. EXAMINATION, DISCUSSION,						
ADOPTION						
a. Examination within 6						
month from the end of						
the country visit (shall						
start with an oral						
presentation by one						
expert and the response						
of the representative of						
the Party concerned)						
b. Discussion upon the						
proposal of the						
Secretariat the Bureau						
 may invite 2 intervenors						

to pose questions		
during the discussion		
(questions are to be		
sent by writing prior the		
meeting, intervenors		
shall not come from the		
same country than		
experts),		
representatives, experts		
can put oral questions		
c. ADOPTION is due at		
the close of the		
discussion with		
recommendations in the		
report for the Party		
concerned		
concerned		
3. COMPLIANCE WITH THE		
RECOMMENDATIONS		
SITUATION REPORT which		
the Greco examines and		
decides whether or not		
recommendations have been		
complied with		
4. COMPLIANCE PROCEDURE		
a. Compliance Report is		
to be prepared by two		
rapporteurs selected by		
the Greco upon the		
proposal of the Bureau,		
Secretariat shall assist		
the rapporteurs		
b. Written comments by		
the concerned Party		

r	
	within 14 days from the
	receipt of the
	Compliance Report
	c. Revised Compliance
	Report, Secretariat can
	assist the rapporteurs
	and is to be sent to all
	representatives at least
	14 days before the
	Plenary
	d. Plenary discusses the
	Compliance Report not
	later than 6 month after
	the receipt of the
	Situation Report (if not
	possible, Secretariat
	informs of the reasons)
	with the Head of
	delegation of the Party
	concerned present at
	the meeting (Greco may
	postpone the adoption
	upon founded request)
	e. Adoption of the
	Compliance Report
	shall contain an overall
	conclusion on the
	implementation of the
	recommendations an in
	addition it shall indicate
	if recommendations are
	i. Implemented
	satisfactorily
	ii. Partly
	implemented
·	

Г	. Notice a set of		
	iii. Not implemented		
	f. Second Situation		
	Report by the Party		
	concerned shall be		
	presented if ii) or iii) are		
	concluded		
	g. Second Compliance		
	Report prepared by the		
	rapporteurs based on		
	the Second Situation		
	Report		
	h. Plenary decides		
	whether to adopt the		
	Report or to apply Rule		
	32		
5. NO	N-COMPLIANCE		
PRO	DCEDURE (Rule 32) guided		
by			
	a. Equality of treatment		
	b. Graduated approach		
	c. Approval by the Plenary		
	of the steps to be taken,		
	allowing flexibility		
	3		
→	Non-compliant Party's Head		
	of delegation is requested		
	to provide report or regular		
	reports on progress		
	The president of Greco		
	sends a letter to the Head		
	of Delegation, being the		
	president of the Statutory		
	Committee in copy		
	Greco invites the President		
	of the Statutory Committee		

Transpare	 to send a letter to the Permanent representation of the Party concerned Greco invites the SG to send a letter to the Minister of Foreign Affairs Arranging high level mission (including the President and Secretary of Greco, Director of DLAPIL and selected Heads of delegations) Bringing the matter before the Statutory Committee AD HOC Monitoring (new): In topical issues, which needs more attention in a specific country in a specific point of time	All reports adopted by MONEYVAL	GRETA's report and conclusions,	For the Procedure For Examination
ncy	confidential. (Statute of GRECO Articles 15(6))	shall be public. (Article 5(13) of MONEYVAL's Statute and Rule 32 of Procedure for the 5th Round of	together with eventual comments by the party concerned, shall be made public, at the expiry of the time-limit	Of Reports Following adoption of the
	Compliance report shall be confidential. (Rules of Procedure	Mutual Evaluation)	of one month to make comments, and sent to the Committee of the	conclusions, the Committee shall instruct the Executive Secretary to
	34)	Details: The public website shall include up to	Parties. (Rule 15 of Procedure of GRETA)	transmit them to the States and to make them public. (Rules of
	Exception:	date information on the status of the		Procedure 22(4)
	GRECO may adopt a summary of	country/territory in the evaluation process, and if applicable, on the next	Details: An effective communication	
	each evaluation or RC-report (compliance report) and make it	steps. (Article 5(13) of MONEYVAL's Statute and Rule 32 of Procedure for	strategy shall be prepared to further the impact of GRETA's	
	public (Rules of Procedure 34(2)	the 5th Round of Mutual Evaluation)	reports and conclusions.	

	GRECO may make the whole evaluation report and/or a RC- report public, with the comments of the member concerned, whenever requested to do so by that member.
Access	Rules of the Committee of Ministers governing access to documents (Classification of information: Cf. CM/Del/Dec(1998)641/1.3 and CM/Del/Dec(2000)7351.5a)
	1. Documents not subject to any particular classification are public .
	 Documents classified "restricted until examined by the Committee of Ministers" are declassified after the Committee of Ministers has examined the item in question. Prior to declassification, these documents are only visible to member governments and the Secretariat of the Council of Europe.
	 Documents classified "restricted" are declassified a year after being issued. Prior to declassification, these documents are only visible to member governments and the Secretariat of the Council of Europe.
	 Documents classified "confidential" are declassified ten years after being issued. Prior to declassification, these documents are only visible to member governments and the service or committee responsible for the document. Distribution to other parties requires written permission from the author service.