



**Reference data 2022 (01/01/2022 - 31/12/2022)**

**Start/end date of the data collection campaign : 15/03/2023 - 01/10/2023**

**Objective :**

The CEPEJ decided, at its 39th plenary meeting, to launch the ninth evaluation cycle 2024, focused on 2022 data. The CEPEJ wishes to use the methodology developed in the previous cycles to get, with the support of its national correspondents' network, a general evaluation of the judicial systems in the 46 member states of the Council of Europe as well as three observer states (Israel, Morocco and Kazakhstan).

The present questionnaire was developed by the Working group on evaluation of judicial systems (CEPEJ-GT-EVAL) in view of the previous evaluation cycles and considering the comments submitted by CEPEJ members, observers, experts and national correspondents. The aim of this exercise is to increase awareness of judicial systems in the participating states, to compare the functioning of judicial systems in their various aspects, as well as to have a better knowledge of the trends of the judicial organisation in order to help improve the efficiency of justice. The evaluation questionnaire and the analysis of the results becomes a genuine tool in favour of public policies on justice, in service of the European citizens.

For better understanding of the questions it is necessary to consult the Explanatory note that gives definitions and explanations on the CEPEJ evaluation questionnaire and the methodology needed for replying, You can download the Explanatory note as a whole document on the CEPEJ website. In addition to the Explanatory note, there is also the User manual that is a technical document to help you navigate through this application for data collection.

In case you have any questions related to these documents or on the use of the application, please do not hesitate to contact the Secretariat.

**Instruction :**

Explanatory note: <https://rm.coe.int/explanatory-note-2024-cycle-cepej-2023-2-en/1680aae30a>

Word version of the questionnaire - <https://rm.coe.int/evaluation-scheme-2024-cycle-cepej-2022-9rev1-en-30-march-2023/1680aae309>

CEPEJ COLLECT - User manual – you can download under Documentation tab

---

## 1. General and financial information

### 1.1. Demographic and economic data

#### 1.1.1. Inhabitants and economic general information



##### 001. Number of inhabitants (if possible on 1 January of the reference year +1)

[ 10 521 556 ]

Comments

=



**003. Per capita GDP (in €) in current prices for the reference year**

[ 51 520 ]

Comments

**004. Average gross annual salary (in €) for the reference year**

[ 41 782 ]

[ ] NA

Comments

**005. Exchange rate of national currency (non-Euro zone) in € on 1 January of the reference year +1:**

[ 11 ]

Allow decimals : 5

[ ] NAP

Comments

**A1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part**

Sources: Statistics Sweden and the Riksbank.

**1.1.2 Budgetary data concerning judicial system**



**006. Annual (approved and implemented) public budget allocated to the functioning of all courts, in € (without the budget of the public prosecution services and without the budget of legal aid). If you cannot separate the budget allocated to the courts from the budget of public prosecution services and/or the one allocated to legal aid, please go to question 7. If you are able to answer this question, please answer NA to question 7.**

	Approved budget (in €)	Implemented budget (in €)
<b>TOTAL - Annual public budget allocated to the functioning of all courts (1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5 + 6 + 7)</b>	717 446 352 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	709 897 184 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>1. Annual public budget allocated to (gross) salaries</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	510 429 089 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2. Annual public budget allocated to computerisation (2.1 + 2.2)</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	21 283 599 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2.1 Investments in computerisation</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	1 987 553 [ ] NA [ ] NAP

<b>2.2 Maintenance of the IT equipment of courts</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	19 296 046 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>3. Annual public budget allocated to justice expenses (expertise, interpretation, etc.)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	19 167 652 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>4. Annual public budget allocated to court buildings (maintenance, operating costs)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	98 003 640 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>5. Annual public budget allocated to investments in new (court) buildings</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>6. Annual public budget allocated to training</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	1 597 909 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>7. Other (please specify)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	59 415 294 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Please indicate any useful comment to explain the figures provided. If the annual public budget allocated to the functioning of all courts actually implemented is different from the approved annual public budget allocated to the functioning of all courts, please indicate the main reasons for the differences: Due to differences in nomenclature within different audit systems there is an inherent problem in comparing numbers. As a result, the figures presented in question 6 should be used with prudence. Annual implemented budget allocated to training for year 2020 was quite low due to lots of cancelled training opportunities during the corona pandemic. That is why the value in item 6 is higher for 2022 than 2020.

"Other" includes depreciations, consulting services, security services, costs for printing matters, postage, costs for announcements, travel expenses, some expenses for food and lodging as regards training.

**007. If you cannot answer question 6 because you cannot isolate the public budget allocated to courts from the budget allocated to public prosecution services and/or the one allocated to legal aid, please fill in only the appropriate line in the table according to your system:**

	Approved budget (in €)	Implemented budget (in €)
<b>Total annual public budget allocated to all courts and the public prosecution services together</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Total annual public budget allocated to all courts and legal aid together</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Total annual public budget allocated to all courts, public prosecution services and legal aid together</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - Please indicate any useful comment to explain the figures provided. If the annual public budget actually implemented is different from the approved annual public budget, please indicate the main reasons for the differences:

=

**008. Are litigants in general required to pay a court fee to initiate a proceeding at a court of general jurisdiction:**



	Litigants required to pay a court fee to initiate a proceeding at a court of general jurisdiction ?
for criminal cases	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, at the beginning of the procedure <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, at a later stage <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
for other than criminal cases	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, at the beginning of the procedure <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, at a later stage <input type="checkbox"/> No

Comments - If there are exceptions to the obligation to pay these court fees, could you please provide comments on those exceptions?

### 008-1. Please briefly present the methodology of calculation of these court fees:

- Applicants must pay an application fee for applications in civil cases. The fee is paid to the District Court when the application is submitted. The application fee is currently SEK 900 (in cases where the value of the claim does not exceed half of the base amount prescribed in the National Insurance Act). For other civil cases (i.e. where the value of the claim exceeds half of the base amount according to the National Insurance Act) the application fee is currently SEK 2 800. If the application fee is not paid, the court sends the applicant an order to fulfil the payment obligation. If the payment is not made despite this, the application will be rejected. Consequently the case will only begin once the District Court has received a separate application and payment.

### 008-2. The amount of court fees requested to commence an action for 3000€ debt recovery:

[ 255 ]

[ ] NA

[ ] NAP

Comments

### 009. Annual income of court fees received by the State (in €):

[ 11 672 137 ]

[ ] NA

[ ] NAP

Comments

### 012. Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid, in €.

	TOTAL	Criminal cases	Other than criminal cases
TOTAL - Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid (12.1 + 12.2)	371 204 058 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
12.1 for cases brought to court (court fees and/or legal representation)	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP

<b>12.2 for cases not brought to court (legal advice, ADR and other legal services)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
---	--	--	--

Comments It was expected that the number of appointed public defenders and public counsel in the Migration Court would be higher, which explains the increase in the approved budget. However, the actual outcome was lower. The outcome for the appropriation "Legal assistants etc." was 320 545 454 Euro (3,526 million SEK), which is 35 454 545 Euro (390 million SEK) (10.0 percent) lower than what was allocated in the state budget. The main reason is that the number of appointments of public defenders has decreased. The expenditure for the appropriation "Legal assistants etc. in court proceedings in alien cases" was 8 363 636 Euro (92 million SEK) (44.9 percent) lower than allocated in the state budget. The reason for the lower outcome is that the number of asylum cases requiring public counsel was lower than expected. Compared with 2021, expenditure decreased which is due to a decrease in the number of cases received and decided before the migration courts.

### 012-1. Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid, in €.

	TOTAL	Criminal cases	Other than criminal cases
<b>TOTAL - Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid (12-1.1 + 12-1.2)</b>	325 854 489 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>12-1.1 for cases brought to court (court fees and/or legal representation)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>12-1.2 for cases not brought to court (legal advice, ADR and other legal services)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

If the public budget actually implemented regarding legal aid is different from the annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid, please indicate the main reasons for the differences: It was expected that the number of appointed public defenders and public counsel in the Migration Court would be higher, which explains the increase in the approved budget. However, the actual outcome was lower. The outcome for the appropriation "Legal assistants etc." was 320 545 454 Euro (3,526 million SEK), which is 35 454 545 Euro (390 million SEK) (10.0 percent) lower than what was allocated in the state budget. The main reason is that the number of appointments of public defenders has decreased. The expenditure for the appropriation "Legal assistants etc. in court proceedings in alien cases" was 8 363 636 Euro (92 million SEK) (44.9 percent) lower than allocated in the state budget. The reason for the lower outcome is that the number of asylum cases requiring public counsel was lower than expected. Compared with 2021, expenditure decreased which is due to a decrease in the number of cases received and decided before the migration courts.

=

### 012-3. Do legal aid budgets indicated in Q12 and Q12-1 include:

	Amount calculated/estimated included
<b>Coverage of court fees</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP (Legal aid does not include coverage of court fees)
<b>Exemption from court fees</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP (Legal aid does not include exemption from court fees)

Comments

**013. Annual (approved and implemented) public budget allocated to the public prosecution services, in €.**

	Approved budget (in €)	Implemented budget (in €)
<b>Total annual public budget allocated to the public prosecution services, in € (including 13.1)</b>	248 743 236 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	241 015 126 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>13.1. Annual public budget allocated to training of public prosecution services</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP

Please indicate any useful comment to explain the figures provided. Moreover, if the annual public budget allocated to the public prosecution services actually implemented is different from the approved annual public budget, please indicate the main reasons for the differences:

**A2. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part**

Sources: <https://www.esv.se/contentassets/d4d5d4a846f54e688f9eeea7c396368a/utfallet-for-statens-budget-2022.pdf>

**1.1.3 Budgetary data concerning the whole justice system**

**015-1. Annual (approved and implemented) public budget allocated to the whole justice system, in € (this global budget includes the judicial system budget - see 15-2 and other elements of the justice system - see 15-3)**

	Approved budget (in €)	Implemented budget (in €)
<b>Total annual public budget allocated to the whole justice system in €</b>	5 354 391 370 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	5 222 503 952 [ ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments - Please indicate any useful comment to explain the figures provided above and specify if a large portion of the budget allocated to the whole justice system comes from an international organisation. Moreover, if the annual public budget allocated to the whole justice system actually implemented is different from the approved annual public budget, please indicate the main reasons for the differences:

**015-2. Elements of the judicial system budget (Q6, Q7, Q12, Q13)**

	Included
<b>Courts</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No [ ] NAP
<b>Legal aid</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No [ ] NAP

<b>Public prosecution services</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
------------------------------------	--

Comments

### 015-3. Other budgetary elements

	<b>Included</b>
<b>Prison system</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Probation services</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>High Judicial Council</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>High Prosecutorial Council</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Constitutional court</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Judicial management body</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Service for legal representation of the State</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Enforcement services</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Notariat</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Forensic services</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Judicial protection of juveniles</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Functioning of the Ministry of Justice</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Refugees and asylum seekers services</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Immigration Service</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Some police services (e.g. : transfer, investigation, prisoners' security)	( ) Yes ( X ) No [ ] NAP
Other	( X ) Yes ( ) No [ ] NAP

If "Other", please specify: The category "other" encompasses namely the Swedish Police; the Swedish Security Service; the Swedish Economic Crime Authority; the Swedish National Council for Crime Prevention; the Swedish Gene Technology Advisory Board; the Crime Victim Compensation and Support Authority; the Swedish Commission on Security and Integrity Protection; Economic compensation for damages suffered due to crime; Economic costs for certain claim settlements; Economic contributions to local crime prevention; the Judges Proposals Board; EU funding for EU internal security efforts.

### A3. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: The Ministry of Justice

## 2. Access to justice and all courts

### 2.1. Legal Aid

#### 2.1.1 Scope of legal aid

#### 016. Does legal aid apply to:

	Criminal cases	Other than criminal cases
Representation in court	( X ) Yes ( ) No [ ] NA [ ] NAP	( X ) Yes ( ) No [ ] NA [ ] NAP
Legal advice, ADR and other legal services	( X ) Yes ( ) No [ ] NA [ ] NAP	( X ) Yes ( ) No [ ] NA [ ] NAP

#### 016-1. Please briefly describe the organisation of the legal aid system in your country.

- Legal aid in non-criminal cases

Legal aid is financial support for individuals who need the help of a lawyer or other lawyer. The support is only given to individuals who, for example, cannot use the legal protection in their home insurance and who have limited finances.

Getting legal aid means that the state pays part of the applicant's costs for the lawyer or jurist who assists him or her in a certain legal matter.

It is mainly private individuals and in some cases estates who can receive legal aid.

The provisions on legal aid can be found in the Legal Aid Act, which also regulates which issues it is possible to get legal aid for.

If a case or case concerning the legal matter is pending before a court, the court decides on matters according to the Legal Aid Act.

Otherwise, the Legal Aid Agency decides on the issues.

The individual who is granted legal aid always pays part of the cost.



**Public defender**

The defendant in a criminal case can sometimes get a public defender appointed by the district court. A defendant may request to have a particular lawyer as his public defender but it is up to the lawyer to accept the assignment. The state pays the cost of a public lawyer. The compensation follows a special rate and is the same for all lawyers. The defendant may be required to repay all or part of the cost to the state.

**Plaintiff's counsel**

In some cases, the district court can appoint a plaintiff's attorney who helps the plaintiff and represents his or her case before and during the trial. Otherwise, it is usually the prosecutor who handles the plaintiff's case.

A plaintiff may request to have a certain lawyer as counsel, but it is up to the lawyer to accept the assignment.

The state pays the cost of a plaintiff's attorney. The compensation follows a special rate and is the same for all lawyers. The defendant may be required to repay all or part of the cost to the state.

**018. Can legal aid be granted for the fees that are related to the enforcement of judicial decisions (e.g. fees of an enforcement agent)?**

Yes

No

NAP

If yes, please specify:

**019. Can legal aid be granted for other costs (different from those mentioned in questions 16 to 18, e.g. fees of technical advisors or experts, costs of other legal professionals (notaries), travel costs etc.)?**

	<b>Criminal cases</b>	<b>Other than criminal cases</b>
<b>Legal aid granted for other costs</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If yes, please specify:

**2.1.2 Information on legal aid**

**020. Please indicate the number of cases for which legal aid has been granted:**

	<b>Total</b>	<b>Cases brought to court</b>	<b>Cases not brought to court</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>In criminal cases</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>In other than criminal cases</b>	6 700 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - Please specify when appropriate:

**020-0. Please indicate the number of recipients of legal aid:**

	Total	Cases brought to court	Cases not brought to court
<b>TOTAL</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>In criminal cases</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>In other than criminal cases</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments - Please specify when appropriate:

**020-0-1. Are there statistical data disaggregated by gender in respect of recipients of legal aid?**

- ( ) Yes
- ( X ) No

Comments

**020-0-2. If yes, please provide details on distribution by gender of recipients of legal aid:**

	Total	Males	Females
<b>Number of recipients of legal aid</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP

Comments

**020-0-3. Is it possible to divide the number of recipients of legal aid per different categories of cases?**

- ( ) Yes
- ( X ) No

Comment: If yes, please specify for which categories of cases:

**020-0-4. Are there situations where legal aid is automatically granted depending on categories of cases?**

- ( ) Yes
- ( X ) No

Comment: If yes, please specify:

**020-0-5. How many of the recipients of legal aid are alleged victims of domestic violence?**

Total	Males	Females

Number of recipients of legal aid who are alleged victims of domestic violence	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
--	--	--	--

Comments

**020-1. Please indicate the timeframes of the procedure for granting legal aid, in relation to the duration from the initial legal aid request to the final decision on the legal aid request:**

	Time in days
Maximum duration prescribed in law/regulation	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Actual average duration	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - Please specify if the envisaged timeframe is set in a statutory law, or in other regulation. Furthermore, if different timeframes are envisaged for criminal and other than criminal cases, please provide more information:

=

**021. In criminal cases, can individuals who do not have sufficient financial means be assisted by a free of charge (or financed by a public budget) lawyer?**

	Assisted by a free of charge lawyer
Accused individuals	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Victims	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

**022. In criminal cases are these individuals free to choose their lawyer within the framework of the legal aid system?**

	free selection of lawyer
Accused individuals	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Victims	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

**023-0. Does your country have an income and assets evaluation for granting full or partial legal aid?**

Yes

No

Comments - Please indicate if any other criteria are taken into account for the granting of legal aid and any comment that could explain the data provided above:

**023. If yes, please specify in the table:**

	Annual income value (for one person), (in €)	Assets value (for one person), (in €)
Full legal aid to the applicant for criminal cases	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Full legal aid to the applicant for other than criminal cases	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Partial legal aid to the applicant for criminal cases	23 600 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Partial legal aid to the applicant for other than criminal cases	23 600 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

**024. Is it possible to refuse legal aid for lack of merit of the case (for example for frivolous action or no chance of success)?**

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify the exact criteria for denying legal aid:

**025. Is the decision to grant or refuse legal aid taken by:**

the judge(s) dealing with the main case

another judge or official

an authority external to the court

several authorities (court and external bodies)

Comments

**027. Can judicial decisions direct how legal costs, paid by the parties during the procedure, will be shared:**

	Judicial decisions direct how legal costs will be shared
in criminal cases	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
in other than criminal cases	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Comments - If no, please specify how legal costs are distributed: The decision is made by the Legal Aid Authority or the court if the matter is already before the court.

**B1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part**

Sources: National Courts Administration

## 2.2. Court users and victims

### 2.2.1 Rights of the users and victims

028. Are there official internet sites/portals (e.g. Ministry of Justice, Judicial Council etc.) where general public may have free-of-charge access to the following:

	Yes, internet adresse(es)	No
Legal texts (e.g. codes, laws, regulations, etc.)	( X ) <a href="https://www.riksdagen.se/en/documents-and-laws/">https://www.riksdagen.se/en/documents-and-laws/</a>	( )
Case-law of the higher court/s	( X ) <a href="https://lagrummet.se/lagrummet/English">https://lagrummet.se/lagrummet/English</a>	( )
Information about the judicial system (organisation of courts, court proceedings, etc)	( X ) <a href="https://domstol.se">https://domstol.se</a>	( )
Other documents (e.g. forms, downloadable forms, online registration forms)	( X ) <a href="https://www.domstol.se/tjanster-och-blanketter/">https://www.domstol.se/tjanster-och-blanketter/</a>	( )

Comment - Please specify what documents and information are included in "Other documents" Other documents include the following forms: small claims (application for European small claims procedure; link to the European e-justice Portal), civil lawsuit (application for a summons, reply), legal aid (application for legal aid, application for compensation for appearance etc.), divorce (application, certificate of living apart etc.), bankruptcy (application, list of assets and debts).

<https://www.riksdagen.se/en/documents-and-laws/official-documents/> (legal texts)

<https://lagrummet.se/> (case-law)

<https://domstol.se> (information about the judicial system, not yet in English)

<https://www.domstol.se/Ladda-ner--bestall/Blanketter/> (downloadable forms, online registration forms)

029. Is there an obligation to provide information to the parties concerning the foreseeable timeframes of their proceedings?

( ) Yes, always

( ) No

( X ) Yes, only in some specific situations

Comment - If "Yes, only in some specific situations", please specify:

030. Is there a public and free-of-charge information system for providing information and facilitating access to justice:

	Information system
General for citizens	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Online information <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephone <input type="checkbox"/> Interactive chat <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> In-person (physical access on site) <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> No

<b>Specific for victims of offences</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Online information <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephone <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Interactive chat <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> In-person (physical access on site) <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Specific for minors (child-friendly systems)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Online information <input type="checkbox"/> Telephone <input type="checkbox"/> Interactive chat <input type="checkbox"/> In-person (physical access on site) <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> No

Comments - Please provide more information on these systems and specify how this assistance is provided:

**031. Are there special favourable arrangements to be applied, during judicial proceedings, to the following categories of vulnerable persons:**

	<b>Information mechanism</b>	<b>Special arrangements in hearings</b>	<b>Other specific arrangements</b>
<b>Victims of sexual violence/rape</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Victims of terrorism</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Minors (witnesses or victims)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Victims of domestic violence</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Ethnic minorities</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Persons with disabilities</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Juvenile offenders</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Other (e.g. victims of human trafficking, forced marriage, sexual mutilation)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Comments - If "Other vulnerable person" and/or "Other specific arrangements", please specify:

**031-0. If there are special arrangements for minors, what are the settings / tools / facilities / practises employed to protect them when they participate in judicial proceedings?**

- Special and child-adequate preparation for participation in trials / lawsuits (explaining in a child-friendly manner the proceedings)
- Special room in court designated for child-friendly hearings
- Special person / team of trained professional(s) (such as psychologists) to accompany a minor throughout the proceedings
- Special ways to communicate and explain meaning of court decisions
- Interagency/multidisciplinary structure such as "Children's Houses"
- Other, please specify .....

Comment

**031-1. What are the main criteria for a person under 18 years of age to act in court proceedings or to be a witness?**

	Civil proceedings	Criminal proceedings
<b>Capacity to initiate a proceeding and take other procedural actions in his/her own name</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Age threshold [Comment]16-18 <input type="checkbox"/> Capacity for discernment <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Age threshold [Comment]15 <input type="checkbox"/> Capacity for discernment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>To be a witness</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Age threshold [Comment]15 <input type="checkbox"/> Capacity for discernment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Age threshold [Comment]15 <input type="checkbox"/> Capacity for discernment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - Please specify if you selected "Other".

**031-2. If a person under 18 years of age cannot act in court proceedings in his/her own name, who can represent him/her in judicial proceedings?**

	Civil proceedings	Criminal proceedings
<b>Parent/legal guardian</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, always <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, except in some specific situations <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, always <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, except in some specific situations <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Another representative (instead of parent/legal guardian)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Social care services or other public institution <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Legal professional <input type="checkbox"/> Associations for protection of minors <input type="checkbox"/> Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Social care services or other public institution <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Legal professional <input type="checkbox"/> Associations for protection of minors <input type="checkbox"/> Other

Comment

**031-3. What are the different criteria for the criminal liability of minors? (multiple replies possible)**

- Age threshold(s)
- Capacity for discernment
- Other criteria

Comment

**031-3-1. What is the age threshold for the criminal liability of minors?**

**Criminal liability resulting in sentence without privation of liberty (for example, educational measures)**

[ 15 ]

[ ] NA

[ ] NAP

**Criminal liability resulting in sentence of privation of liberty**

[ 15 ]

[ ] NA

[ ] NAP

Comment - Please describe, briefly, the specifics of your system. Could you, please specify if the possibility of mitigation applies to the sanctions and how?

-

**032. Does your country allocate compensation for victims of offences?**

( ) Yes, but only if the offender is unknown

( X ) Yes, but only if compensation could not be obtained from the offender

( ) Yes, in both situations

( ) No

Comment

**032-0. If yes, for what types of offences the compensation is allocated?**

( X ) For all types of offences

( ) For some types of offences

[ ] NAP

Comment - Please specify:

**032-1. Is a court decision necessary in the framework of the compensation procedure?**

( ) Yes

( X ) No

Comments In order to receive a compensation it is necessary for the criminal offence to be reported to the police. The victim/s of the offence/s must also have tried to get a compensation from the insurance company. In principle, however, if the suspect has been identified, a conviction or a summary imposition of a fine is required.

**032-0. If yes, for what types of offences the compensation is allocated?**

( X ) For all types of offences

( ) For some types of offences

[ ] NAP

Comment - Please specify:

**032-1. Is a court decision necessary in the framework of the compensation procedure?**

( ) Yes

( X ) No



Comments In order to receive a compensation it is necessary for the criminal offence to be reported to the police. The victim/s of the offence/s must also have tried to get a compensation from the insurance company. In principle, however, if the suspect has been identified, a conviction or a summary imposition of a fine is required.

**032-0. If yes, for what types of offences the compensation is allocated?**

- For all types of offences
- For some types of offences

NAP

Comment - Please specify:

**032-1. Is a court decision necessary in the framework of the compensation procedure?**

- Yes
- No

Comments In order to receive a compensation it is necessary for the criminal offence to be reported to the police. The victim/s of the offence/s must also have tried to get a compensation from the insurance company. In principle, however, if the suspect has been identified, a conviction or a summary imposition of a fine is required.

**034. Is there a regular monitoring (official studies, reports etc.) allowing the evaluation of the recovery rate of the damages awarded by courts to victims?**

- Yes
- No

Comments - If yes, please illustrate with available data concerning the recovery rate, the title of the studies, the frequency of the studies and the coordinating body: There are no comprehensive or complete studies on this subject.

**035. Do public prosecutors have a specific role with respect to victims (protection and assistance)?**

- Yes
- No

Comments - If yes, please specify: Eg. help to claim compensation from the offender within the criminal procedure, and help to claim seized property which belongs to the victim.

**035-1. Do public prosecutors have a specific role with respect to minor victims (protection and assistance)?**

- Yes
- No

Comment - If yes, please specify: Same as the comment in Q 035, although it is even more common that minor victims have their own special legal representatives.

-

**036. Do victims of offences have the right to dispute a public prosecutor's decision to discontinue a case? Please verify the consistency of your answers in this question and question 105 regarding the possibility for a public prosecutor "to discontinue a case without needing a decision by a judge".**

Yes

No

NAP

Comment - If necessary, please specify:

**037. Is there a system of compensation in the following circumstances:**

	Number of requests for compensation	Number of compensations granted	Total amount of compensations granted (in €)
<b>Total</b>	2 508 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	2 246 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	8 832 545 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Excessive length of proceedings</b>	15 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Non-execution of court decisions</b>	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Wrongful arrest/detention</b>	2 493 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	2 246 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	8 832 545 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Wrongful conviction</b>	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Other</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - Where appropriate, please give details on the compensation procedure and the calculation method for the amount of the compensation (e.g., the amount per day for unjustified detentions or convictions): When it comes to cases concerning excessive length of proceedings (and non-execution of court decisions) the case management system applied by The Office of the Chancellor of Justice does not allow to clearly identify these types of cases. The claim clearly concerned excessive length of proceedings in fifteen cases that were handled in 2022. In regard to cases concerning wrongful arrest the total amount of EUR 8 832 545 includes accrued interest and costs for legal assistance. (Excluding costs for legal assistance, the compensation payments amounted to EUR 8 607 727).

**037-1. Please specify which authorities are responsible for dealing with the requests and whether a legal time limit exists to deal with these requests:**

	Responsible authorities	Legal time limit
<b>Court concerned</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Other court</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Ministry of Justice</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>High Judicial Council</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Other external bodies (e.g. Ombudsman)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments The Chancellor of Justice is appointed by the Government to settle claims for compensation regarding the actual topics. The

applications to the Chancellor are free of charge. There is no legal time limit. However, handling of claims for compensation re: length of proceedings does in general not exceed twelve months. Handling of claims for compensation re: wrongful detention does in general not exceed six months. If the applicant is not satisfied by the outcome of the Chancellors investigation and decision upon the claims the applicant can ask the Chancellor to review the decision. The applicant can always sue the state in the general courts. In that case the applicant have to pay a fee to the court and also risks to have to pay court costs.

**037-2. Are there statistical data disaggregated by gender concerning the number of:**

	<b>Existence of statistical data disaggregated by gender</b>
<b>Persons who initiate a case in other than criminal matters</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes - If yes, please specify for which categories of cases: [Comment] <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Victims recognised as such by the court</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes - If yes, please specify for which types of offences: [Comment] <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Perpetrators of criminal offences</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes - If yes, please specify for which types of offences: [Comment] <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments

**037-3. Are there statistical data on the relation between the perpetrator of the criminal offence and the victim recognised by the court?**

- Yes  
 No

If yes, please specify:

**2.2.2 Confidence and satisfaction of citizens with their justice system**

**038. Does your country implement surveys to measure trust in justice and satisfaction with the services delivered by the judicial system?**

	<b>National level</b>	<b>Court level</b>
<b>Surveys for judges</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
<b>Surveys for court staff</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
<b>Surveys for public prosecutors</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
<b>Surveys for lawyers</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc

<b>Surveys for other professionals</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
<b>Surveys for the parties</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
<b>Surveys for other court users (e.g. jurors, witnesses, experts, interpreters, representatives of governmental agencies, NGOs)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
<b>Surveys for victims</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
<b>Surveys for minors</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
<b>Surveys for the general public</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
<b>Other not mentioned</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc

NA

Comment - Please, indicate the references and links to the satisfaction surveys you mentioned above: Most of the satisfaction surveys mentioned above are being carried out at a court level. Therefore, there are neither English translation nor reference links available. The content of the court surveys conducted during 2022 was customer service of the court (e.g., reception at the court, information on proceedings, politeness and proficiency of court staff), the conduct of the hearing (e.g., respectful treatment of the parties during hearing), the judgment/decision of the court (e.g., comprehensibility, foreseeability, and fairness of the decision). The surveys also covered issues concerning the general level of trust in the justice system (e.g., whether the respondent believes that the system is generally impartial). The Swedish National Courts Administration has not conducted any nationwide surveys in 2022 but a nationwide survey for the general public is carried out once a year by The Swedish National Council for Crime Prevention with questions about e.g., confidence in the judiciary, insecurity and concern for crime and exposure to crime. Link to the survey in English, <https://bra.se/bra-in-english/home/publications/archive/publications/2022-10-11-swedish-crime-survey-2022.html>

Concerning the category "Other not mentioned" the Swedish National Courts Administration conducts the so called "media survey" every third year. The purpose of this survey is to establish the journalists' opinion and experience of their contacts with the Swedish courts. This survey is conducted as a telephone interview survey and involves around 150 journalists from all over the country. The journalists are asked for example to share their opinions about interviews that they have done with the representatives for the judiciary/courts as well as their trust in the judiciary. The survey contains both quantitative and open questions.

### 3. Organisation of the court system

#### 3.1. Courts

##### 3.1.1 Number of courts

##### 042. Number of courts - legal entities.

Number of courts
------------------

<b>Total number of all courts - legal entities (1 + 2)</b>	94 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>1 Total number of courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities (1.1 + 1.2 + 1.3)</b>	55 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>1.1 First instance courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities</b>	48 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>1.2 Second instance courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities</b>	6 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>1.3 Highest instance courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities</b>	1 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2 Total number of specialised courts - legal entities</b>	39 [ ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments

#### 043. Number of specialised courts – legal entities.

	<b>First instance</b>	<b>Higher instances</b>
<b>Total number of specialised courts - legal entities</b>	31 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	8 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>Commercial courts (excluded insolvency courts)</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
<b>Insolvency courts</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
<b>Labour courts</b>	1 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
<b>Family courts</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
<b>Rent and tenancies courts</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
<b>Enforcement of criminal sanctions courts</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
<b>Fight against terrorism, organised crime and corruption</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
<b>Internet related disputes</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
<b>Administrative courts</b>	12 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	5 [ ] NA [ ] NAP

<b>Insurance and / or social welfare courts</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Military courts</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Juvenile courts</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Other specialised courts</b>	18 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	3 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If "Other specialised courts", please specify:

#### 044. Number of courts - geographic locations.

	Number of courts (geographic locations)
<b>First instance courts geographic locations (this includes 1st instance courts of general jurisdiction and first instance specialised courts)</b>	84 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>All the courts (geographic locations) (this includes 1st instance courts of general jurisdiction, first instance specialised courts, all second instance courts and courts of appeal and all Supreme Courts)</b>	99 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

#### C. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: The Swedish National Courts Administration

### 3.2. Court staff

#### 3.2.1 Judges and non-judge staff

046. Number of professional judges sitting in courts (if possible on 31 December of the reference year). (Please give the information in full-time equivalent and for posts actually filled for all types of courts - general jurisdiction and specialised courts)

	Total	Males	Females
<b>Total number of professional judges (1 + 2 + 3)</b>	1 229 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	534 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	695 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>1. Number of first instance professional judges</b>	817 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	364 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	453 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

<b>2. Number of second instance (court of appeal) professional judges</b>	380 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	149 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	231 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>3. Number of Supreme Court professional judges</b>	32 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	21 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	11 [ ] NA [ ] NAP

Comment - Please provide any useful comment for interpreting the data above:

=

**046-1-1. Does your system allow part-time work for professional judges with proportionally reduced remuneration?**

Yes

No

Comments

**046-1-2. If yes, please specify in which situation(s) part-time work can be granted (multiple replies possible).**

Child-care

Elderly care or other dependant persons' care

Training

For the purposes of early retirement

No specific reason required

Other reason, please specify: studies

Comments

**046-1-3. If yes, what is the number of professional judges working part-time with reduced remuneration?**

	Total	Males	Females
<b>Total (1 + 2 + 3)</b>	10 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	3 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	7 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>1. At first instance level</b>	7 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	3 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	4 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2. At second instance (court of appeal) level</b>	3 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	3 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>3. At Supreme Court level</b>	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments

**046-1-4. Are there other possibilities (apart from part-time) for regular adjustment of working time or conditions with or without reduced remuneration?**

	Adjustment of working time or conditions with or without reduced remuneration
Temporary reduction of the workload	( ) Yes (X) No
Temporary reduction of the working time / special leave	( ) Yes (X) No
Other measures	( ) Yes (X) No

Comment: If such possibilities for regular adjustment exist, please specify if they imply or not a reduction of the remuneration?

**046-1-5. If yes, please specify in which situation(s) these possibilities can be used?**

- Child-care
- Elderly care or other dependant persons' care
- Training
- For the purposes of early retirement
- As part of induction process for new judges
- No specific reason required
- Other reason, please specify: .....
- NAP

Comments

=

**046-2. Number of judges (FTE) by case type:**

	Total	Civil and/or commercial	Criminal	Administrative	Other
<b>Total number of judges</b>	1 229 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[X] NA [ ] NAP	[X] NA [ ] NAP	339 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ ] NA [X] NAP
<b>First instance</b>	817 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[X] NA [ ] NAP	[X] NA [ ] NAP	205 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ ] NA [X] NAP
<b>Second instance</b>	380 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[X] NA [ ] NAP	[X] NA [ ] NAP	118 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ ] NA [X] NAP
<b>Supreme Court</b>	32 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[X] NA [ ] NAP	[X] NA [ ] NAP	16 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ ] NA [X] NAP

If "Other", please explain which types of cases:

=

**047. Number of court presidents .**

Total	Males	Females



<b>Total number of court presidents (1 + 2 + 3)</b>	68 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	37 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	31 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>1. Number of first instance court presidents</b>	56 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	31 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	25 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2. Number of second instance (court of appeal) court presidents</b>	10 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	5 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	5 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>3. Number of Supreme Court presidents</b>	2 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	1 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	1 [ ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments Owing to the fact that the Court Presidents are few, the variations affecting the distribution male/female could appear significant in terms of percentage, while in actual numbers the difference is not that significant (one, two or three judges).

**048. Number of professional judges sitting in courts on an occasional basis and who are paid as such (if possible, on 31 December of the reference year):**

	Figure
<b>Gross figure</b>	142 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>In full-time equivalent</b>	33 [ ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments - If necessary, please provide comments to explain the answer provided: The courts have had to engage more retired judges. The reasons are not all known, but one explanation could be that cases get bigger and bigger and a general increase of cases.

**048-1. Do these professional judges sitting in courts on an occasional basis deal with a significant part of cases?**

( ) Yes If yes, please give specifications on the types of cases and an estimate in percentage. ....

( X ) No

[ ] NAP

Comments

**049. Number of non-professional judges who are not remunerated but who may receive a simple defrayal of costs (if possible, on 31 December of the reference year) (e.g. lay judges or “juges consulaires”, but not arbitrators or persons sitting on a jury):**

	Figure
<b>Gross figure</b>	9 035 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>In full time equivalent</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments

**049-1. If such non-professional judges exist at first instance in your country, please specify for which types of cases:**

	Yes	No	Echevinage / mixed bench
<b>Criminal cases (severe)</b>	( )	( )	( X )
<b>Criminal cases (misdemeanour and/or minor)</b>	( )	( )	( X )
<b>Family law cases</b>	( )	( )	( X )
<b>Labour law cases</b>	( )	( X )	( )
<b>Social law cases</b>	( )	( )	( X )
<b>Commercial law cases</b>	( )	( X )	( )
<b>Insolvency cases</b>	( )	( X )	( )
<b>Other civil cases</b>	( )	( X )	( )

[ ] NAP

Comments - If "Other civil cases", please specify:

**050. Does your judicial system include trial by jury with the participation of citizens?**

( X ) Yes

( ) No

Comments

**050-1. If yes, for which type(s) of case(s)?**

[ ] Criminal cases

[ X ] Other than criminal cases

Comments

**051. Number of citizens who were involved in such juries for the year of reference:**

[ ]

[ X ] NA

[ ] NAP

Comments

=

**052. Number of non-judge staff who are working in courts (if possible on 31 December of the reference year) (this data should not include the staff working for public prosecutors; see question 60) (please give the information in full-time equivalent and for posts actually filled)**

	Total	Males	Females
<b>Total non-judge staff working in courts (1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5)</b>	4 873 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	1 195 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	3 678 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>1. Rechtspfleger (or similar bodies) (see Explanatory Note)</b>	[ ] NA [X] NAP	[ ] NA [X] NAP	[ ] NA [X] NAP
<b>2. Non-judge (judicial) staff whose task is to assist the judges such as registrars (case preparation, assistance during the hearing, helping to draft the decisions)</b>	3 256 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	606 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	2 650 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>3. Staff in charge of different administrative tasks and of the management of the courts (human resources management, material and equipment management, including computer systems, financial and budgetary management, training management)</b>	670 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	216 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	454 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>4. Technical staff</b>	177 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	125 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	52 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>5. Other non-judge staff</b>	769 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	247 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	522 [ ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments - If "Other non-judge staff", please specify:

**052-1. Number of non-judge staff by instance (if possible, on 31 December of the reference year) (this data should not include the staff working for public prosecutors; see question 60) (please give the information in full-time equivalent and for posts actually filled).**

	Total	Males	Females
<b>Total non-judge staff working in courts (1+2+3)</b>	4 873 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	1 195 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	3 678 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>1. Total non-judge staff working in courts at first instance level</b>	3 806 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	920 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	2 886 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2. Total non-judge staff working in courts at second instance (court of appeal) level</b>	928 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	243 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	685 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>3. Total non-judge staff working in courts at Supreme Court level</b>	139 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	32 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	107 [ ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments

=

**053. If there are Rechtspfleger (or similar bodies), please specify in which fields they have a role:**

- Legal aid
- Family cases
- Payment orders
- Registry cases (land and/or business registry cases)
- Enforcement of civil cases
- Enforcement of criminal cases
- Non-litigious cases
- Other cases not mentioned (please describe in comment)
- NAP

Comments - Please briefly describe their status and exact duties:

**054. Have the courts outsourced certain services under their responsibilities to external providers?**

- Yes
- No

Comments

**054-1. If yes, please specify which services have been outsourced:**

- IT services
- Training of staff
- Security
- Archives
- Cleaning
- Other types of services (please specify): .....

Comments - If "Other types of services", please specify:

NA

**C1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part**

Sources: National Courts Administration, /NCA Unit for procurement

**3.3. Public prosecution**

**3.3.1 Public prosecutors and staff**

**055. Number of public prosecutors (on 31 December of the reference year). (Please give the information in full-time equivalent and for posts actually filled.)**

Total	Males	Females

<b>Total number of prosecutors (1 + 2 + 3)</b>	1 173 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	406 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	767 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>1. Number of prosecutors at first instance level</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2. Number of prosecutors at second instance (court of appeal) level</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>3. Number of prosecutors at Supreme Court level</b>	12 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	4 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	8 [ ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments - Please indicate any useful comment for interpreting the data above: All Swedish prosecutors have the mandate to act at first instance as well as second instance level, therefore the answer to p. 1 and 2 is NA. (The total number of prosecutors at first instance and second instance level is 1161: 402 males and 759 females). However, only the Prosecutor General and specifically appointed prosecutors working in the Office of the Prosecutor General have the mandate to act in the Supreme Court.



=

**055-1-1. Does your system allow part-time work for prosecutors with proportionally reduced remuneration?**

Yes

No

Comments

**055-1-2. If yes, please specify in which situation(s) part-time work can be granted? (multiple replies possible)**

Child-care

Elderly care or other dependant persons' care

Training

For the purposes of early retirement

No specific reason required

Other reason, please specify: Studies

Comments

**055-1-3. If yes, what is the number of prosecutors working part-time with reduced remuneration?**

	<b>Total</b>	<b>Males</b>	<b>Females</b>
<b>Total (1 + 2 + 3)</b>	72 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	24 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	48 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>1. At first instance level</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP

<b>2. At second instance (court of appeal) level</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>3. At Supreme Court level</b>	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

**055-1-4. Are there other possibilities (apart of part-time work) for regular adjustment of working time or conditions with or without reduced remuneration?**

	<b>Adjustment of working time or conditions with or without reduced remuneration</b>
<b>Temporary reduction of the workload</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No
<b>Temporary reduction of the working time / special leave</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No
<b>Other measures</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No

Comment: If such possibilities for regular adjustment exist, please specify if they imply or not a reduction of the remuneration?

**055-1-5 . If yes, please specify in which situation(s) these possibilities can be used?**

- Child-care
- Elderly care or other dependant persons' care
- Training
- For the purposes of early retirement
- As part of induction process for new prosecutors
- No specific reason required
- Other reason, please specify:HR director decides after assessment of each individual case.
- NAP

Comments

**056. Number of heads of prosecution offices.**

	<b>Total</b>	<b>Males</b>	<b>Females</b>
<b>Total number of heads of prosecution offices (1 + 2 + 3)</b>	39 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	22 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	17 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>1. Number of heads of prosecution offices at first instance level</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2. Number of heads of prosecution offices at second instance (court of appeal) level</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

<b>3. Number of heads of prosecution offices at Supreme Court level</b>	1	0	1
	<input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> NA
	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Please provide any useful comment for interpreting the data above: There are 38 Heads of Prosecution Offices at first and second instance level. The Prosecutor General is the only Head at Supreme Court level. (There are three prosecutors assigned to working in the Supreme Court, who are placed at the Office of the Prosecutor General and do not belong to a prosecution office).

**057. In your judicial system, do other persons have similar duties to those of public prosecutors?**

- Yes
- No

Comments - If yes, please specify their titles and functions:

**057-1. If yes, please provide the number (in full-time equivalent):**

[            ]  
 NA

**059. If yes, is their number included in the number of public prosecutors that you have indicated under question 55?**

- Yes
- No
- NAP

Comments

**059-1. Do prosecution offices have prosecutors who are specially trained in areas of domestic violence and sexual violence?**

	-
<b>Domestic violence</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, specifically for minor victims <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Sexual violence</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, specifically for minor victims <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If yes, please specify

=

**060. Number of staff (non-public prosecutors) attached to the public prosecution services, if possible, on 31 December of the reference year and without the number of non-judge staff, see question 52 (in full-time equivalent and for posts actually filled).**

	Total	Males	Females
Number of staff (non-public prosecutors) attached to the public prosecution service	607 [ ] NA	118 [ ] NA	489 [ ] NA

Comment – please describe which categories of staff you have included in your reply: All staff other than public prosecutors (non-judge staff is not applicable for the Swedish Prosecution Authority).

## C2. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: The Swedish Prosecution Authority.

### 3.4. Gender equality

#### 3.4.1 Specific provisions for facilitating gender equality

061-2. Are there specific provisions for facilitating gender equality within the framework of the procedures for recruiting :

	Yes, please specify	No
judges	( )	( X )
prosecutors	( X ) see general comment	( )
non-judge staff	( )	( X )
lawyers	( )	( X )
notaries	( )	( X )
enforcement agents	( )	( X )

[ ] NA

Comments - if the situation changed since the reference year, please specify in the comments. If you have additional comments please specify: The Swedish National Courts Administration: The Judges Proposals Board (Sw. Domarnämnden) administers all matters regarding appointment of permanent judges and submits proposals of judges to the Government.

The Instrument of Government (Sw. Regeringsformen), Ch. 11, § 6, stipulates that, when appointing permanent judges, only grounds of fact, such as merit and skill, shall be payed attention to. According to the Public Employment Act (1994:260) (Sw. Lagen om offentlig anställning), § 4, skill shall be put first if there are no special reasons for not doing so. Furthermore, it is stated in the Employment Regulation (1994:373) (Sw. Anställningsförordningen), § 4, that regarding appointment of state employment to an authority under the Government, in addition to merit and skill, such grounds of fact as are in accordance with general labour market-, equality-, social- and employment policy objectives shall also be taken into consideration. Equality may be of decisive importance in situations where the Judges Proposals Board finds the applicants equally qualified as to merit and skill.

What is mentioned above is included in the “requirements profile” for the appointment of permanent judges. The Swedish Bar Association has projects aimed at increasing gender equality at Swedish law firms. However, there are no gender equality requirements in the process of applying for membership of the Bar Association.



**061-3. Are there specific provisions for facilitating gender equality within the framework of the procedures for promoting :**

	Yes, please specify	No
judges	( )	( X )
prosecutors	( )	( X )
non-judge staff	( )	( X )
lawyers	( )	( X )
notaries	( )	( X )
enforcement agents	( )	( X )

Comments - If the situation changed since the reference year or you have additional comments, please specify: The Swedish Bar Association: Many Swedish law firms have provisions of this kind; however, the Bar Association does not provide such provisions.

**061-3-1. Are there specific provisions for facilitating gender equality within the framework of the procedures for the appointment of:**

	Yes / No
Court president	( ) Yes If “yes”, please specify:[Comment] ( X ) No
Head of prosecution services	( X ) Yes If “yes”, please specify:[Comment] ( ) No

Comments Court presidents are judges appointed in the same procedure and according to the same framework as other judges. The Swedish Prosecution Authority; SPA, regularly evaluates the job advertisements to make sure they attract both men and women. SPA strives for an equal gender distribution among heads of chambers and other managers.

### 3.4.2 At national level

**061-5. Does your country have an overarching document (e.g. policy/strategy/action plan/program) on gender equality that applies specifically to the judiciary?**

( ) Yes

( X ) No

Comments - If the situation changed since the reference year, please indicate in the comments. Could you specify the reference or internet link of this/these document(s) or send/upload it/them to us?

**061-6. At national level, is there any specific person (e.g. an equal opportunities commissioner) / institution dealing with gender issues in the justice system concerning:**

	Yes, please specify	No
<b>The recruitment of judges</b>	( )	( X )
<b>The promotion of judges</b>	( )	( X )
<b>The recruitment of prosecutors</b>	( )	( X )
<b>The promotion of prosecutors</b>	( )	( X )
<b>The recruitment of non-judge staff</b>	( )	( X )
<b>The promotion of non-judge staff</b>	( )	( X )

Comments - Please specify the status of this person/institution and if it has a consultative function or if its opinions/decisions have legal consequences:

### 3.4.3 At court/public prosecution services level

**061-7. At the court or public prosecution services level, is there a person (e.g. an equal opportunities commissioner)/institution specifically dedicated to ensure the respect of gender equality in the organisation of judicial work?**

	Yes	No
<b>in courts (judges)</b>	( )	( X )
<b>in public prosecution services (prosecutors)</b>	( )	( X )
<b>for courts' non-judge staff</b>	( )	( X )

Comments - Please specify the details of this person/institution, in particular its titles and function:

**061-9. In order to improve gender balance in access to different judicial professions and gender equality in promotion and in access to functions of responsibility, what are the measures, in your country, which:**

have been already implemented (please specify) : The Swedish Courts Administration has assisted the courts with surveys, education both for leaders and employees, support in how to formulate verdicts taking the gender aspect into account and other activities.

are planned (please specify) : There are no specific activities planned and this is based upon that all courts are different and have different needs and resources. The Swedish Courts Administration rather function as a support to the independent courts. A few examples of what kind of activities that the courts have asked for is suggestions on education for personnel, activities, and gender mapping-activities among the courts.

Comments - If the situation changed since reference year, please specify in the comments. -

NAP

**061-10. Are there evaluation studies or official reports regarding the main causes of possible gender inequalities with regard to:**

- Recruitment procedures, please specify: .....
- Appointment to the position of court president, please specify: .....
- Appointment to the position of head of prosecution services, please specify: .....
- Promotion procedures and access to the functions of responsibility, please specify: .....
- Other studies, please specify: .....

NAP

Comments - Please specify also the reference documents.

**3.5. Use of information technologies in courts**

**3.5.1 Governance**

**ICT STRATEGY**

**062-01. Do you have an overall Information and Communication Technology (ICT) strategy in the judicial system?**

- Yes
- No

Comments The strategy (Samordnad plan för digitalisering av Sveriges Domstolar) is for 2023-2027. It is the National Court Administration that is responsible for this strategy. <https://intranatet.dom.se/var-arbetsplats/arbetsgrupper-projekt-och-samarbeten/projekt-och-uppdrag-for-sveriges-domstolar/digitalisering-av-sveriges-domstolar/> this link is to the Swedish Courts website and it is unfortunately only available in Swedish

**062-02. If there is an overall ICT strategy in the judicial system, who was involved in the process of its definition?**

- Judges (Judicial council)
- Prosecutors (Prosecutorial or judicial council)
- Ministry of justice
- Lawyers (bar association)
- Notaries (association of notaries)
- Enforcement agents (association of enforcement agents)
- Other (please specify) .....

NA

NAP

Comments

## LEGISLATION

### 062-03. Does a national legislation/regulation of ICT in the judicial system exist?

Yes

No

Comments

### 062-04. If yes, how is this legislation/regulation of ICT in the judicial system structured?

Relevant norms are included in the general e-government legislation/regulation

Relevant norms are included in specific legislation/regulation only for the judicial system

Relevant texts are included in dedicated technical documents/specifications

Other, please specify .....

NA

Comment - If more than one of the proposed models exist in your country, please select them all and explain the details

NA

## IMPACT OF IMPLEMENTATION OF ICT SYSTEMS

### 062-05. Have you already organised audits/evaluations/assessments of the impact of the implementation of the ICT system?

Yes

No

Comments

### 062-06. If these audits/evaluations/assessments were already organised, please specify their modalities:

	Format	Last conducted audit
<b>ICT Governance</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Internal <input type="checkbox"/> External <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - no audit has been organised <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> In the last 2 years <input type="checkbox"/> Between 2 and 5 years ago <input type="checkbox"/> More than 5 years ago <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - no audit has been organised <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Security and risk management</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Internal <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> External <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - no audit has been organised <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> In the last 2 years <input type="checkbox"/> Between 2 and 5 years ago <input type="checkbox"/> More than 5 years ago <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - no audit has been organised <input type="checkbox"/> NA

<b>Impact on efficiency and quality of the business processes and workflow</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Internal <input type="checkbox"/> External <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - no audit has been organised <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> In the last 2 years <input type="checkbox"/> Between 2 and 5 years ago <input type="checkbox"/> More than 5 years ago <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - no audit has been organised <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Impact on human resources (number, workload, wellbeing)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Internal <input type="checkbox"/> External <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - no audit has been organised <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> In the last 2 years <input type="checkbox"/> Between 2 and 5 years ago <input type="checkbox"/> More than 5 years ago <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - no audit has been organised <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Other, please specify in comments</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Internal <input type="checkbox"/> External <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - no audit has been organised <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> In the last 2 years <input type="checkbox"/> Between 2 and 5 years ago <input type="checkbox"/> More than 5 years ago <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - no audit has been organised <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA

Comment - If you have selected other area, please provide details. Please also add details on the content of the last organised evaluation.

**062-07. If these audits/evaluations/assessments were organised in the last 5 years, how did you apply their recommendations/results?**

- Update applications
- Define new ICT projects/modules
- Adjust legislation
- Adjust working processes
- Withdraw/stop use of a module/application
- Reporting purpose only
- Other, please specify .....
- NA
- NAP

Comments

**3.5.2 Electronic case processing**  
**ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION OF CASES**

**062-08. If it is possible to submit a case to a court electronically, what are the deployment and usage rates?**

Deployment rate	Usage rate
-----------------	------------

<b>Civil</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic submission is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic submission is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Administrative</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic submission is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic submission is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Criminal</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic submission is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic submission is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments

**062-09. If it is possible to submit a case to a court electronically, please specify the modalities:**

	<b>Electronic or paper</b>	<b>Possible to be submitted electronically by:</b>	<b>Data integration</b>
<b>Civil</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Paper submission is still possible <input type="checkbox"/> Paper submission is not possible anymore (electronic submission is the only way) <input type="checkbox"/> Double submission (paper must accompany the electronic submission) <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic submission is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lawyer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Party not represented by a lawyer <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic submission is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The data are electronically transferred to the Case Management System (CMS) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The data are manually re-entered in the CMS <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic submission is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA

<b>Administrative</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Paper submission is still possible <input type="checkbox"/> Paper submission is not possible anymore (electronic submission is the only way) <input type="checkbox"/> Double submission (paper must accompany the electronic submission) <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic submission is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lawyer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Party not represented by a lawyer <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic submission is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The data are electronically transferred to the Case Management System (CMS) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The data are manually re-entered in the CMS <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic submission is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Criminal</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Paper submission is still possible <input type="checkbox"/> Paper submission is not possible anymore (electronic submission is the only way) <input type="checkbox"/> Double submission (paper must accompany the electronic submission) <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic submission is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lawyer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Party not represented by a lawyer <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic submission is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The data are electronically transferred to the Case Management System (CMS) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The data are manually re-entered in the CMS <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic submission is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments

## SENDING ELECTRONIC DOCUMENTS TO COURT

062-10. If it is possible to send case-related documents to the courts electronically, what are the deployment and usage rates?

	Deployment rate	Usage rate
<b>Civil</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA

<b>Administrative</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Criminal</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments

**062-11. If it is possible to send electronically case related documents to the courts, please specify the modalities:**

	<b>Electronic or paper</b>	<b>Possible to be submitted electronically by:</b>	<b>Data integration</b>
<b>Civil</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Paper delivery is still possible <input type="checkbox"/> Paper delivery is not possible anymore (electronic delivery is the only way) <input type="checkbox"/> Double delivery (Paper delivery must accompany the electronic one) <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Documents sent by a lawyer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Documents sent by a party not represented by a lawyer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Documents sent by another person/institution <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The data are electronically transferred to the CMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The data are manually re-entered in the CMS <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA



<b>Administrative</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Paper delivery is still possible <input type="checkbox"/> Paper delivery is not possible anymore (electronic delivery is the only way) <input type="checkbox"/> Double delivery (Paper delivery must accompany the electronic one) <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Documents sent by a lawyer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Documents sent by a party not represented by a lawyer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Documents sent by another person/institution <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The data are electronically transferred to the CMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The data are manually re-entered in the CMS <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Criminal</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Paper delivery is still possible <input type="checkbox"/> Paper delivery is not possible anymore (electronic delivery is the only way) <input type="checkbox"/> Double delivery (Paper delivery must accompany the electronic one) <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Documents sent by a lawyer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Documents sent by a party not represented by a lawyer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Documents sent by another person/institution <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The data are electronically transferred to the CMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The data are manually re-entered in the CMS <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comment - If you have selected the option “Documents sent by another person/institution”, please specify details. Apart of documents sent by lawyers and party not represent by lawyer, Swedish authorities may for example send documents. Manual handling into CMS takes place via e-mail and then the document is scanned to be attached to a case.

## ELECTRONIC NOTIFICATIONS

**062-12. If it is possible for courts to send electronic notifications, what are the deployment and usage rates?**

	Deployment rate	Usage rate
<b>Civil</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic notifications are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic notifications are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA

<b>Administrative</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic notifications are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic notifications are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Criminal</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic notifications are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic notifications are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments Messages are sent by e-mail or automatically from the system. Certain notifications are also made by letter.

**062-13. If it is possible for courts to send electronic notifications, please specify the modalities:**

	<b>Electronic or paper</b>	<b>Type of notification</b>	<b>Data integration</b>
<b>Civil</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Paper notification is still possible <input type="checkbox"/> Paper notification is not possible anymore (electronic notification is the only way) <input type="checkbox"/> Double notification (paper notification must accompany the electronic one) <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic notifications are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notifications sent by the court to the lawyer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notifications sent by the court to the party not represented by a lawyer <input type="checkbox"/> Notifications with attached official documents sent by the courts <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notifications sent to other persons/institutions <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic notifications are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The electronic notification is generated from the CMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The electronic notification is manually generated <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic notifications are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA

<b>Administrative</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Paper notification is still possible <input type="checkbox"/> Paper notification is not possible anymore (electronic notification is the only way) <input type="checkbox"/> Double notification (paper notification must accompany the electronic one) <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic notifications are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notifications sent by the court to the lawyer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notifications sent by the court to the party not represented by a lawyer <input type="checkbox"/> Notifications with attached official documents sent by the courts <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notifications sent to other persons/institutions <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic notifications are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The electronic notification is generated from the CMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The electronic notification is manually generated <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic notifications are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Criminal</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Paper notification is still possible <input type="checkbox"/> Paper notification is not possible anymore (electronic notification is the only way) <input type="checkbox"/> Double notification (paper notification must accompany the electronic one) <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic notifications are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notifications sent by the court to the lawyer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notifications sent by the court to the party not represented by a lawyer <input type="checkbox"/> Notifications with attached official documents sent by the courts <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notifications sent to other persons/institutions <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic notifications are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The electronic notification is generated from the CMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The electronic notification is manually generated <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic notifications are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comment - If you have selected the option “Notifications sent to other persons/institutions”, please specify details. Notifications are also sent to Swedish Authorities for example. Messages are sent by e-mail or automatically from the system. Certain notifications are also made by letter.

## CONSULTATION OF A CASE ONLINE

062-14. If it is possible for external users to consult a case online, what are the deployment and usage rates?

Deployment rate	Usage rate
-----------------	------------

<b>Civil</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP - online consultation is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP - online consultation is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Administrative</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP - online consultation is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP - online consultation is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Criminal</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP - online consultation is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP - online consultation is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments

**062-15. If it is possible for external users to consult a case online, please specify the modalities:**

	<b>Content</b>	<b>Access</b>	<b>Consultation format</b>
<b>Civil</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Case status <input type="checkbox"/> Documents <input type="checkbox"/> Notifications <input type="checkbox"/> Events/calendar <input type="checkbox"/> Court decision <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP – online consultation is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Lawyer <input type="checkbox"/> Party not represented by a lawyer <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP – online consultation is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Electronic access at the court premises <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP – online consultation is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA

<b>Administrative</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Case status <input type="checkbox"/> Documents <input type="checkbox"/> Notifications <input type="checkbox"/> Events/calendar <input type="checkbox"/> Court decision <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP – online consultation is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Lawyer <input type="checkbox"/> Party not represented by a lawyer <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP – online consultation is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Electronic access at the court premises <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP – online consultation is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Criminal</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Case status <input type="checkbox"/> Documents <input type="checkbox"/> Notifications <input type="checkbox"/> Events/calendar <input type="checkbox"/> Court decision <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP – online consultation is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Lawyer <input type="checkbox"/> Party not represented by a lawyer <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP – online consultation is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Electronic access at the court premises <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP – online consultation is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comment - If you have selected the option “Other”, please specify details. There is no one else that can access the cases online except for judges and non-judge staff at a court.

## REMOTE HEARINGS

062-16. If it is possible to organise remote hearings what are the deployment and usage rates?

	Deployment rate	Usage rate
<b>Civil</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - remote hearings are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - remote hearings are not possible <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Administrative</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - remote hearings are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - remote hearings are not possible <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA

<b>Criminal</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 %
	<input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 %
	<input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 %
	<input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 %
	<input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 %
	<input type="checkbox"/> 0 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 0 %
	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP - remote hearings are not possible	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP - remote hearings are not possible
	<input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments It is possible to organize it remotely in all cases. The usage rate can not be calculated nor estimated.

**062-17. If it is possible to organise remote hearings, please specify the functionalities and modalities:**

	<b>Functionalities</b>	<b>Modalities</b>
<b>Civil</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Dedicated tool specially designed for the use by courts <input type="checkbox"/> Publicly available tools used by courts <input type="checkbox"/> Organisation of private sessions within online hearings for consultation between parties and their lawyers <input type="checkbox"/> Tools for witness protection (voice distortion, picture distortion) <input type="checkbox"/> Tools for simultaneous interpretation <input type="checkbox"/> Tools for automatic subtitling (speech-to-text) <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – remote hearings are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Agreement of the parties is needed <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The judge can impose a remote hearing <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – remote hearings are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA

<b>Administrative</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Dedicated tool specially designed for the use by courts <input type="checkbox"/> Publicly available tools used by courts <input type="checkbox"/> Organisation of private sessions within online hearings for consultation between parties and their lawyers <input type="checkbox"/> Tools for witness protection (voice distortion, picture distortion) <input type="checkbox"/> Tools for simultaneous interpretation <input type="checkbox"/> Tools for automatic subtitling (speech-to-text) <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – remote hearings are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Agreement of the parties is needed <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The judge can impose a remote hearing <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – remote hearings are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Criminal</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Dedicated tool specially designed for the use by courts <input type="checkbox"/> Publicly available tools used by courts <input type="checkbox"/> Organisation of private sessions within online hearings for consultation between parties and their lawyers <input type="checkbox"/> Tools for witness protection (voice distortion, picture distortion) <input type="checkbox"/> Tools for simultaneous interpretation <input type="checkbox"/> Tools for automatic subtitling (speech-to-text) <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – remote hearings are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Agreement of the parties is needed <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The judge can impose a remote hearing <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – remote hearings are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments

**ELECTRONIC ARCHIVES**



**062-18. If electronic archives of cases exist, what are the deployment and usage rates?**

<b>Deployment rate</b>	<b>Usage rate</b>
------------------------	-------------------

<b>Civil</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic archives do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic archives do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Administrative</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic archives do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic archives do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Criminal</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic archives do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic archives do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments An e-archive is under development. All courts have their cases stored in this, but so far there is also a paper archive.

**062-19. If an electronic archive of cases exists, please specify the modalities:**

	<b>Electronic or paper</b>
<b>Civil</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Paper archiving is still possible <input type="checkbox"/> Paper archiving is not possible anymore (electronic archiving is the only way) <input type="checkbox"/> Double archiving (paper archiving must accompany the electronic one) <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic archives do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Administrative</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Paper archiving is still possible <input type="checkbox"/> Paper archiving is not possible anymore (electronic archiving is the only way) <input type="checkbox"/> Double archiving (paper archiving must accompany the electronic one) <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic archives do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA



<b>Criminal</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Paper archiving is still possible <input type="checkbox"/> Paper archiving is not possible anymore (electronic archiving is the only way) <input type="checkbox"/> Double archiving (paper archiving must accompany the electronic one) <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic archives do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA
-----------------	---

Comments

### 3.5.3 Tools

## CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS (CMS)

062-20. If one or more case management system(s) (CMS) exist, what are the deployment and usage rates?

	Deployment rate	Usage rate
<b>Civil</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - CMS does not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - CMS does not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Administrative</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - CMS does not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - CMS does not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Criminal</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - CMS does not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - CMS does not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments

062-21. If one or more case management system(s) (CMS) exist, please specify the functionalities of these system(s):

	<b>Functionalities</b>
<b>Civil</b>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Centralised and/or interoperable CMS databases</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Active case management dashboard</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Random allocation of cases</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Case weighting</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Identification of a case between instances (unique or linked id number)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Electronic transfer of a case to another instance/court</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Anonymisation of decisions to be published</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Interoperability with other systems (civil register, tax register, insolvency register)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Access to closed/resolved cases</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced search engine</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Protected log files</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Electronic signature</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NAP – CMS does not exist</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NA</p>
<b>Administrative</b>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Centralised and/or interoperable CMS databases</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Active case management dashboard</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Random allocation of cases</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Case weighting</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Identification of a case between instances (unique or linked id number)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Electronic transfer of a case to another instance/court</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Anonymisation of decisions to be published</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Interoperability with other systems (civil register, tax register, insolvency register)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Access to closed/resolved cases</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced search engine</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Protected log files</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Electronic signature</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NAP – CMS does not exist</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NA</p>

Comment - If you have selected the option “Other special functionality”, because of its importance please specify details.

062-22. If one or more case management system(s) (CMS) exist, please specify the functionalities of these system(s):

	Functionalities
Criminal	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Centralised and/or interoperable CMS databases <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Active case management dashboard <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Random allocation of cases <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Case weighting <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Identification of a case between instances (unique or linked id number) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Electronic transfer of a case to another instance/court <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymisation of decisions to be published <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Interoperability with prosecution system <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Interoperability with other systems (civil register, tax register, insolvency register) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Access to closed/resolved cases <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced search engine <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Protected log files <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Electronic signature <input type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – CMS does not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comment - If you have selected the option “Other special functionality”, please specify the details.

## WRITING ASSISTANCE TOOLS

062-23. If writing assistance tools exist in courts, what are their deployment and usage rates?

	Deployment rate	Usage rate
Civil	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - writing assistance tools do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - writing assistance tools do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA

<b>Administrative</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - writing assistance tools do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - writing assistance tools do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Criminal</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - writing assistance tools do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - writing assistance tools do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments

**062-24. If writing assistance tools exist in courts, please describe their functionalities:**

	<b>Functionalities</b>
<b>Civil</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Templates <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Automatically generated text <input type="checkbox"/> Automatically suggested decision <input type="checkbox"/> Speech-to-text <input type="checkbox"/> Electronic signature <input type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – writing assistance tools do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Administrative</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Templates <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Automatically generated text <input type="checkbox"/> Automatically suggested decision <input type="checkbox"/> Speech-to-text <input type="checkbox"/> Electronic signature <input type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – writing assistance tools do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA

<b>Criminal</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Templates <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Automatically generated text <input type="checkbox"/> Automatically suggested decision <input type="checkbox"/> Speech-to-text <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Electronic signature <input type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – writing assistance tools do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA
-----------------	--

Comment - If you have selected the option “Other special functionality”, please specify the details.

## RECORDING OF COURT HEARINGS

**062-25. If a tool to record court hearings exists, what are the deployment and usage rates?**

	Deployment rate	Usage rate
<b>Civil</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - there is no tool for recording hearings <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - there is no tool for recording hearings <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Administrative</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - there is no tool for recording hearings <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - there is no tool for recording hearings <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Criminal</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - there is no tool for recording hearings <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - there is no tool for recording hearings <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments

**062-26. If a tool to record court hearings exist, please specify its functionalities:**

<b>Functionalities</b>
------------------------

<p><b>Civil</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Audio recording  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Video recording  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systematic recording for all hearings  <input type="checkbox"/> Automatically indexed recording  <input type="checkbox"/> Automatic transcript from recording  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Possibility to request a copy of the recording  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify  <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – there is no tool for recording hearings  <input type="checkbox"/> NA</p>
<p><b>Administrative</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Audio recording  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Video recording  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systematic recording for all hearings  <input type="checkbox"/> Automatically indexed recording  <input type="checkbox"/> Automatic transcript from recording  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Possibility to request a copy of the recording  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify  <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – there is no tool for recording hearings  <input type="checkbox"/> NA</p>
<p><b>Criminal</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Audio recording  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Video recording  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systematic recording for all hearings  <input type="checkbox"/> Automatically indexed recording  <input type="checkbox"/> Automatic transcript from recording  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Possibility to request a copy of the recording  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify  <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – there is no tool for recording hearings  <input type="checkbox"/> NA</p>

Comment - If you have selected the option “Other special functionality”, please specify the details. Metadata automatically attached to the recording regarding the case. Bookmarking an ongoing recording is done manually.

## DATABASE OF COURT DECISIONS

**062-27. If there is a national database of court decisions, please provide the percentage of the decisions published at each instance.**

	Percentage of 1st instance decisions published	Percentage of 2nd instance decisions published	Percentage of Supreme court decisions published
<b>Civil</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - There is no database for these decisions <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Administrative</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - There is no database for these decisions <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Criminal</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - There is no database for these decisions <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments

**062-28. If there is a national database of court decisions, please specify the modalities in publishing these decisions:**

	1st instance	2nd instance	Supreme court
<b>Civil</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Published online (public website) <input type="checkbox"/> Published in an internal database <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP– There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Published online (public website) <input type="checkbox"/> Published in an internal database <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP– There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Published online (public website) <input type="checkbox"/> Published in an internal database <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP– There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA

<b>Administrative</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Published online (public website) <input type="checkbox"/> Published in an internal database <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP– There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Published online (public website) <input type="checkbox"/> Published in an internal database <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP– There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Published online (public website) <input type="checkbox"/> Published in an internal database <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP– There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Criminal</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Published online (public website) <input type="checkbox"/> Published in an internal database <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP– There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Published online (public website) <input type="checkbox"/> Published in an internal database <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP– There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Published online (public website) <input type="checkbox"/> Published in an internal database <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP– There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA

- If you have selected the option “Other” because the court decisions are published online in some other way than the presented modalities, please describe. There aren’t any cases from the lower level courts in the national database. Lower level decisions are obtainable, but only from databases that are subject to a licence/subscription (and it is possible to get a decision from a specific court by asking the court in question for it)

**062-29. If there is a database of court decisions at national level, what are the functionalities of this database?**

	<b>Functionalities</b>
<b>Civil</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Automatic anonymisation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Manual anonymisation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Free public online access <input type="checkbox"/> Link to the case law of the European Court of Human Rights (ECHR) <input type="checkbox"/> Open data <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced search engine <input type="checkbox"/> Machine-readable content <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Structured content <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Metadata <input type="checkbox"/> European Case Law Identifier (ECLI) <input type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA



<b>Administrative</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Automatic anonymisation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Manual anonymisation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Free public online access <input type="checkbox"/> Link to the case law of the European Court of Human Rights (ECHR) <input type="checkbox"/> Open data <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced search engine <input type="checkbox"/> Machine-readable content <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Structured content <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Metadata <input type="checkbox"/> European Case Law Identifier (ECLI) <input type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Criminal</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Automatic anonymisation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Manual anonymisation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Free public online access <input type="checkbox"/> Link to the case law of the European Court of Human Rights (ECHR) <input type="checkbox"/> Open data <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced search engine <input type="checkbox"/> Machine-readable content <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Structured content <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Metadata <input type="checkbox"/> European Case Law Identifier (ECLI) <input type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comment - If you have selected the option “Other special functionality”, please specify the details. European Case Law Identifier (ECLI):

Under construction

Machine-readable content: Under construction

## STATISTICAL TOOLS

**062-30. If there are statistical tools for analysing court case data, what is their deployment rate?**

	Deployment rate
<b>Civil</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - there are no statistical tools <input type="checkbox"/> NA

<b>Administrative</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - there are no statistical tools <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Criminal</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - there are no statistical tools <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments

**062-31. If there are statistical tools for analysing court case data, please describe their functionalities and the data available for statistical analysis:**

	<b>Functionalities</b>	<b>Data available for statistical analysis</b>
<b>Civil</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Integration/connection with the CMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Business intelligence software <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Generation of predefined statistical reports <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Generation of customised statistical reports <input type="checkbox"/> Internal page and/or dashboard <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> External page with statistics (public website) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Real-time data availability <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Automatic consolidation of data at the national level <input type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – there are no statistical tools <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Case flow data (number of incoming, resolved, pending) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Age of a pending case <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Length of proceedings <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Number of hearings <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cases per judge <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Case weights <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Number of parties in a case <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Indicator of appeal <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Result of the appeal <input type="checkbox"/> NAP– there are no statistical tools <input type="checkbox"/> NA

<b>Administrative</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Integration/connection with the CMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Business intelligence software <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Generation of predefined statistical reports <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Generation of customised statistical reports <input type="checkbox"/> Internal page and/or dashboard <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> External page with statistics (public website) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Real-time data availability <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Automatic consolidation of data at the national level <input type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – there are no statistical tools <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Case flow data (number of incoming, resolved, pending) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Age of a pending case <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Length of proceedings <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Number of hearings <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cases per judge <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Case weights <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Number of parties in a case <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Indicator of appeal <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Result of the appeal <input type="checkbox"/> NAP– there are no statistical tools <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Criminal</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Integration/connection with the CMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Business intelligence software <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Generation of predefined statistical reports <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Generation of customised statistical reports <input type="checkbox"/> Internal page and/or dashboard <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> External page with statistics (public website) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Real-time data availability <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Automatic consolidation of data at the national level <input type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – there are no statistical tools <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Case flow data (number of incoming, resolved, pending) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Age of a pending case <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Length of proceedings <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Number of hearings <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cases per judge <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Case weights <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Number of parties in a case <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Indicator of appeal <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Result of the appeal <input type="checkbox"/> NAP– there are no statistical tools <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comment - If you have selected the option “Other special functionality”, please specify the details

## OTHER TOOLS

**062-32. Is there any application for online court-related dispute resolution?**

Yes

No

Comments

**062-33. If yes, is there a maximum value over which online court-related dispute resolution cannot be organised?**

- Yes, please specify the maximum value .....
- No

Comments

**062-34. If yes, can the online court-related dispute resolution be used in the following areas?**

- Small claim litigation
- Undisputed claim
- Payment order
- Misdemeanour criminal cases
- Enforcement of civil cases
- Other, please specify .....

Comment: Please describe the existing online procedures:

**062-35. Is there a computerised national record centralising all criminal convictions?**

- Yes
- No

Comments

**062-36. If yes, please specify the following information:**

- The computerised record includes biometric data (ex. fingerprint data, picture)
- The computerised record is linked to other European records of the same nature (ex. ECRIS)
- The content is directly available through computerised means for judges and/or prosecutors (ex. interoperability with the CMS)
- The content is directly available for purposes other than criminal (ex. civil and administrative matters)
- The record contains conviction information on third-country nationals and stateless persons

Comments The computerised record is linked to other European records of the same nature (ex. ECRIS): Yes, the Swedish Police Authority have a connection between ECRIS and other systems. However, not all checks take place automatically, some handling takes place semi-automatically. The content is directly available through computerised means for judges and/or prosecutors (ex. interoperability with the CMS): Yes, the judiciary has direct access to the centralized criminal records. The content is directly available for purposes other than criminal (ex. civil and administrative matters): Authorities that by law and regulation have direct access to the national record have the opportunity to make searches/checks themselves. Other authorities or persons can apply for information via the Swedish Police Authority.

**062-37. Is there a Document Management System (DMS) in the registry of courts?**

- Yes
- No

Comment: If yes, please provide details on the purposes and usage of this system.

**062-38. In addition to the tools listed in the ICT section of this questionnaire does your judicial system use other innovative ICT tools?**

Yes

No

Comment: If yes, please list and describe these ICT tools. The translation application: An application that translates text to 60+ languages using AI. Specialized i legal language.

Process mining: A tool for collecting data from IT-systems in order to analyze processes. Creates objective statistics in order to develop and change the work flow of the court.

Application for anonymising: Appllication that identifies and anonymizes personal information in documents submitted to the courts (pilot). Automatic transcription and translation of speech: Solution that transcribes and translates everything thats said in a court room to 60+ languages (pilot).

(m)INI - Intelligentes Notitia Iustitia: Application that uses AI to search for legal information in vast volumes of material, cases, law, preparatory work etc. Presents relevant statistics, has semantic understanding (pilot).

**3.6.Performance and evaluation**

**3.6.1National policies applied in courts and public prosecution services**

**066. Are quality standards determined for the judicial system at national level (are there quality systems for the judiciary and/or judicial quality policies)?**

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

**067. Do you have specialised personnel entrusted with implementation of these national level quality standards?**

	Yes / No
<b>within the courts</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>within the public prosecution services</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Comments

**3.6.2 Measuring court/public prosecution services**

**070. Do you regularly monitor court activities (performance and quality) concerning:**

number of incoming cases

length of proceedings (timeframes)

number of resolved cases

number of pending cases

backlogs

productivity of judges and court staff

satisfaction of court staff

- satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)
- costs of the judicial procedures
- number of appeals
- appeal ratio
- clearance rate
- disposition time

other (please specify): "Other" specified: Statistics concerning review permits in a superior court (this is often required when you appeal to a superior court) - Number of incoming cases where there is a demand for a review permit - Number of cases that receives a review permit - Time to examine if a review permit will be given Statistics concerning hearings - Number and duration of hearings in a case - Number of cancelled hearings in a case Statistics concerning parties - Number and type of parties in a case (defendants, witnesses, parties injured, plaintiffs) - Number of cases with detained persons (in custody) in a criminal case - Number of cases including minor offenders (< 18 years old) Statistics concerning various types of decisions - Number of times a judicial decision is changed in a superior court

Comments "Other": - Statistics concerning review permits in a superior court (this is often required when you appeal to a superior court): Number of incoming cases where there is a demand for a review permit; Number of cases that receives a review permit; Time to examine if a review permit will be given. - Statistics concerning hearings: Number and duration of hearings in a case; Number of cancelled hearings in a case. - Statistics concerning parties: Number and type of parties in a case (defendants, witnesses, parties injured, plaintiffs); Number of cases with detained persons (in custody) in a criminal case; Number of cases including minor offenders (< 18 years old). - Statistics concerning various types of decisions - Number of times a judicial decision is changed in a superior court

**070-1. Do you regularly monitor public prosecution activities (performance and quality) concerning:**

- number of incoming cases
- length of proceedings (timeframes)
- number of resolved cases
- number of pending cases
- backlogs
- productivity of prosecutors and prosecution staff
- satisfaction of prosecution staff
- satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the by the public prosecution)
- costs of the judicial procedures
- clearance rate
- disposition time
- percentage of convictions and acquittals
- other (please specify): .....

Comments

**071. Do you monitor the number of pending cases and cases that are not processed within a reasonable timeframe (backlogs) for:**

- civil law cases
- criminal law cases

[ X ] administrative law cases

Comments

**072. Do you monitor waiting time during judicial proceedings?**

	Yes (If yes, please specify)	No
<b>within the courts</b>	( X )	( )
<b>within the public prosecution services</b>	( X )	( )

Comments Within the public prosecution services: At all units, each prosecutor is responsible for his/her cases and monitors them, and at the end, it is the responsibility of the Chief Public Prosecutor to monitor the work of the staff on a regular basis. At the courts, we are monitoring cases with detained suspects and cases with young suspects and/or young victims. This is according to law.

**073. Do you have a system to evaluate regularly court performance based on the monitored indicators of question 70?**

( X ) Yes

( ) No

Comments

**073-0. If yes, please specify the frequency:**

( ) Annual

( ) Less frequent

( X ) More frequent

Comments - If "Less frequent" or "More frequent", please specify: Annual evaluation and occasionally when needed.

**073-1. Is this evaluation of the court activity used for the later allocation of resources within this court?**

( X ) Yes

( ) No

Comments

**073-2. If yes, which courses of action are taken (multiple replies possible)?**

[ X ] Identifying the causes of improved or deteriorated performance

[ X ] Reallocating resources (human/financial resources based on performance)

[ X ] Reengineering of internal procedures to increase efficiency

[ ] Other (please specify): .....

Comments

**073-3. Do you have a system to evaluate regularly the performance of the public prosecution services based on the monitored indicators of question 70-1?**

( X ) Yes

( ) No

Comments

**073-4. If yes, please specify the frequency:**

( ) Annual

( ) Less frequent

( X ) More frequent

Comments - If "less frequent" or "more frequent", please specify: There is a regular evaluation of the Prosecution Authority, both by internal audit and external through, e.g. meetings between the Prosecutor-General and the Ministry of Justice once a year, and with the Swedish National Audit Office.

**073-5. Is this evaluation of the activity of public prosecution services used for the later allocation of resources within this public prosecution service?**

( X ) Yes

( ) No

Comments

**073-6. If yes, which courses of action are taken (multiple replies possible)?**

[ X ] Identifying the causes of improved or deteriorated performance

[ X ] Reallocating resources (human/financial resources based on performance)

[ X ] Reengineering of internal procedures to increase efficiency

[ ] Other (please specify): .....

Comments

=

**079. Who is responsible for evaluating the performance of the courts (multiple replies possible)?**

[ ] High Judicial Council

[ X ] Ministry of Justice

[ ] Inspection authority

[ ] Supreme Court

[ ] External audit body

[ ] Other (please specify): .....

Comments

**079-1. Who is responsible for evaluating the performance of the public prosecution services (multiple replies possible)?**

[ ] Public Prosecutorial Council

[ X ] Ministry of Justice

[ ] Head of the organisational unit or hierarchically superior public prosecutor

[ X ] Prosecutor General /State public prosecutor



External audit body

Other (please specify): Internal Audit at the Prosecution Authority

Comments "Other": Internal Audit at the Prosecution Authority

### **3.6.3 Information regarding courts /public prosecution services activity**

#### **080. Is there a centralised institution that is responsible for collecting statistical data regarding the functioning of the courts?**

Yes (please indicate the name and the address of this institution): The Swedish National Courts Administration, SE-551 81 Jönköping, Sweden

No

Comments

#### **080-1. Are the statistics on the functioning of each court published?**

Yes, on the internet (please provide the link) <https://www.domstol.se>

No, only internally (on an intranet website)

No

Comments

=

#### **080-2. Is there a centralised institution that is responsible for collecting statistical data regarding the functioning of the public prosecution services?**

Yes (please indicate the name and the address of this institution): The Swedish Prosecution Authority, Box 5553, SE-11485 Stockholm, Sweden

No

Comments

#### **080-3. Are the statistics on the functioning of each public prosecution service published?**

Yes, on the internet (please provide the link) .....

No, only internally (on an intranet website)

No

Comments

=

#### **081. Are individual courts required to prepare an activity report (that includes, for example, data on the number of resolved cases or pending cases, the number of judges and administrative staff, targets and assessment of the activity)?**

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please describe the content of the report and its audience (i.e. to whom the report is primarily intended):

**081-1. If yes, please specify in which form this report is released:**

- Internet
- Intranet (internal) website
- Paper distribution

Comments

**081-2. If yes, please, indicate the periodicity at which the report is released:**

- Annual
- Less frequent
- More frequent

Comments

=

**081-3. Are public prosecution services required to prepare an activity report (that includes, for example, data on the number of incoming cases, the number of decisions, the number of public prosecutors and administrative staff, targets and assessment of the activity)?**

- Yes
- No

Comments - If yes, please describe the content of the report and its audience (i.e. for whom the report is primarily intended):

**081-4. If yes, please specify in which form this report is released:**

- Internet
- Intranet (internal) website
- Paper distribution

Comments

**081-5. If yes, please, indicate the periodicity at which the report is released:**

- Annual
- Less frequent
- More frequent

Comments

**3.6.4 Performance and evaluation of judges and public prosecutors**

**083. Are there quantitative performance targets defined for each judge (e.g. the number of resolved cases in a month or year)?**

- Yes
- No

Comments There is no system in place for evaluating judges in regard to quantitative performance targets.

**083-1. Who is responsible for setting these targets for each judge?**

- Executive power (for example the Ministry of Justice)
- Legislative power
- Judicial power (for example the High Judicial Council, Supreme Court)
- President of the court
- Other (please specify): .....
- NAP

Comments

**083-1-1. What are the consequences for a judge if these targets are not met?**

	Consequences:
<b>Without disciplinary procedure</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Warning by court's president <input type="checkbox"/> Temporary salary reduction <input type="checkbox"/> Reflected in the individual assessment <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify: [Comment]
<b>With disciplinary procedure</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Warning by court's president <input type="checkbox"/> Temporary salary reduction <input type="checkbox"/> Reflected in the individual assessment <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify: [Comment]
-	<input type="checkbox"/> No consequences
-	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP (no targets defined)

Comments

**114. Is there a system of individual evaluation of the judges' work?**

	Existence of a system of individual evaluation of the judges' work
<b>Quantitative</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Qualitative</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Comment: Please specify the criteria on which the assessment is based, the authority competent for carrying out the assessment, the purposes for which the results of the assessment are used:

**114-1. Please specify the frequency of this evaluation:**

- Annual
- Less frequent
- More frequent
- Different frequencies used, please specify: .....
- NAP

=



**083-2. Are there quantitative performance targets defined for each public prosecutor (e.g. the number of decisions in a month or year)?**

- ( ) Yes  
 ( X ) No

Comments

**083-3. Who is responsible for setting these targets for each public prosecutor?**

- [ ] Executive power (for example the Ministry of Justice)  
 [ ] Prosecutor General /State public prosecutor  
 [ ] Public Prosecutorial Council  
 [ ] Head of the organisational unit or hierarchically superior public prosecutor  
 [ ] Other (please specify): .....  
 [ X ] NAP

Comments

**083-3-1. What are the consequences for a prosecutor if these targets are not met?**

	Consequences:
<b>Without disciplinary procedure</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Warning by head of prosecution <input type="checkbox"/> Temporary salary reduction <input type="checkbox"/> Reflected in the individual assessment <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify: [Comment] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>With disciplinary procedure</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Warning by head of prosecution <input type="checkbox"/> Temporary salary reduction <input type="checkbox"/> Reflected in the individual assessment <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify: [Comment] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>No consequences</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> No consequences <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

**120. Is there a system of individual evaluation of the public prosecutors' work?**

	Existence of a system of individual evaluation of the public prosecutors' work
<b>Quantitative</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Qualitative</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Comment: Please specify the criteria on which the assessment is based, the authority competent for carrying out the assessment, the purposes for which the results of the assessment are used: Individual annual evaluation of the prosecutors' performance, approach and initiative is made by the Chief Prosecutor at each unit.

**120-1. Please specify the frequency of this evaluation:**

- Annual
- Less frequent
- More frequent
- Different frequencies used, please specify: .....
- NAP

Comments

**C4. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part**

Sources: The Swedish prosecution Authority on behalf of the prosecution services.

**4.Fair trial**

**4.1.Principles**

**4.1.1Principles of fair trial**



**084. Percentage of first instance criminal in absentia judgments (cases in which the suspect is not attending the hearing in person nor is represented by a lawyer)?**

- [            ]
- NA
- NAP

Comments - Please add methodology for calculation used.

**085. Is there a procedure to effectively challenge a judge (recusal), if a party considers that the judge is not impartial?**

- Yes
- No

Comments - Please could you briefly specify:

**085-1. If yes, what are:**

	-
<b>The total number of the initiated procedures in the reference year</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>The total number of recusals pronounced in the reference year</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP

Comment - Please, could you briefly specify:

**086. Is there in your country a monitoring system for the violations related to Article 6 of the European Convention on Human Rights?**

- For civil procedures (non-enforcement)
- For civil procedures (timeframe)
- For criminal procedures (timeframe)
- NAP

Comments - Please specify what are the terms and conditions of this monitoring system (information related to acknowledged violations by ECHR at the State/courts level; implementation of internal systems to prevent other violations (that are similar) and if possible to measure an evolution of the established violations):

**086-1. Is there in your country a possibility to review/reopen a case after a finding of a violation of the European Convention on Human Rights by the European Court of Human Rights?**

- For civil cases
- For criminal cases
- For administrative cases
- NAP

Comments

**D1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part**

Sources: 84, 85: NCA  
86: The Swedish Justice Department

**4.2. Timeframe of proceedings**

**4.2.1 General information**



**087. Are there specific procedures for urgent matters regarding:**

- civil cases
- criminal cases
- administrative cases
- There is no specific procedure for urgent matters

Comments - If yes, please specify:

**088. Are there simplified procedures for:**

- civil cases (small disputes)
- criminal cases (misdemeanour cases)
- administrative cases
- There is no simplified procedure

Comments - If yes, please specify:

**088-1. For these simplified procedures, may judges deliver an oral judgement with a written order and without the full reasoning of the judgement ?**

civil cases

criminal cases

administrative cases

Comments - If yes, please specify:

**089. Do courts and lawyers have the possibility to conclude agreements on arrangements for processing cases (presentation of files, decisions on timeframes for lawyers to submit their conclusions etc.)?**

	Yes	No
Agreement on general arrangements	( X )	( )
Agreement in specific cases	( X )	( )

Comments

#### 4.2.2 Case flow management – first instance



**091. First instance courts: number of other than criminal law cases.**

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the first instance court
<b>Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)</b>	89 194 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	240 063 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	246 209 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	83 048 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	1 105 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>1. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases (including litigious enforcement cases and if possible without administrative law cases, see category 3)</b>	26 763 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	60 817 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	61 807 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	25 773 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	529 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)</b>	7 601 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	19 517 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	19 541 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	7 577 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	2 [ ] NA [ ] NAP

<b>2.1. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases, e.g. uncontested payment orders, request for a change of name, non-litigious enforcement cases etc. (if possible without administrative law cases, see category 3; without registry cases and other cases, see categories 2.2 and 2.3)</b>	7 601 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	19 517 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	19 541 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	7 577 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	2 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2.2. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
<b>2.2.1. Non litigious land registry cases</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
<b>2.2.2 Non-litigious business registry cases</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
<b>2.2.3. Other registry cases</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
<b>2.3. Other non-litigious cases</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
<b>3. Administrative law cases</b>	51 434 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	152 422 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	157 642 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	46 214 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	304 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>4. Other cases</b>	3 396 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	7 307 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	7 219 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	3 484 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	270 [ ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments Migration cases are included in administrative law cases.

Filed civil cases excluding joint petitions decreased during 2022 mainly due to lower amounts of family cases and small claims cases cases (so called "FT cases"). From 2022 there is a new law regarding information conversations that may have affected the family cases. Filed joint petitions decreased by two percent. Civil cases pending decreased for the second year in a row, by three percent. Migration cases are included in administrative law cases. The administrative courts (including migration cases) had the highest amount ever of cases filed 2020. During 2021 and 2022 cases decreased compared to this, by 14 percent the recent year. All the twelve courts had lower amounts of filed cases. Also cases resolved decreased by 14 percent. Pending cases decreased by ten percent. Although, the proportion of cases pending older than 6 months and 12 months increased compared to previous year which is a negative development.

For the migration cases there was a decline of cases filed from previous year with 18 percent, of which asylum cases decreased with 22%. Resolved migration cases also decreased with 21% but were higher than the number of cases filed which resulted in a lower number of pending migration cases than last year, a decrease of 2% of which asylum cases decreased with 27%. The proportion of pending cases older than 6 months and 12 months decreased.

**092. If courts deal with “civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases”, please indicate the case categories included:**

. Here we included three types of cases which all go under the same name “joint petition”: joint petitions for divorce, dissolution of civil partnership and custody of children.



**093. Please indicate the case categories included in the category "other cases":**

. The category "other cases" encompasses property cases, environmental cases, cases relating to the Planning and Building Act.

**094. First instance courts: number of criminal law cases.**

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the first instance court
<b>Total of criminal law cases (1+2+3)</b>	47 337 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	122 018 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	122 925 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	46 430 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	2 432 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>1. Severe criminal cases</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2. Misdemeanour and / or minor criminal cases</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>3. Other criminal cases</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments - If you cannot make a distinction between misdemeanour criminal cases and severe criminal cases (according to the CEPEJ definitions), please specify what cases are reported in those categories. If "Other criminal cases", please specify Starting on January 1st in 2022 a distinction was made possible between severe, minor and other incoming criminal cases at district courts. However, the statistics will not be reliable until after a year or two due to continued registration of resolved cases without this refined distinction. The number of criminal cases received in total has increased over a number of years, but in 2022 the increase stopped, although the number of cases remained at very high levels.

The number of cases relating to "rapid proceedings" decreased at the district courts that have been active in the trial operation for the longest, that is the district courts in the Stockholm region. Furthermore courts joined the trial operation during 2022. Criminal cases resolved increased by one percent, and pending cases decreased by two percent for the first time since 2015.

Total of "Pending cases older than 2 years": a high amount, nearly 40 percent, of the criminal cases older than 1 year were so called appointment cases (appointment of public defender). These cases are not cases that the courts can work with actively, some of these cases will be dismissed later through a court decision due to the absence of a lawsuit.

**4.2.3 Case flow management – second instance**



**097. Second instance courts (appeal): Number of "other than criminal law" cases.**

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the second instance court
<b>Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)</b>	13 219 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	55 765 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	58 160 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	10 824 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	57 [ ] NA [ ] NAP

<b>1. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases (including litigious enforcement cases and if possible without administrative law cases, see category 3)</b>	969 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	3 133 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	3 211 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	891 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	20 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
<b>2.1. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases, e.g. uncontested payment orders, request for a change of name, non-litigious enforcement cases etc. (if possible without administrative law cases, see category 3; without registry cases and other cases, see categories 2.2 and 2.3)</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
<b>2.2. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
<b>2.2.1. Non litigious land registry cases</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
<b>2.2.2 Non-litigious business registry cases</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
<b>2.2.3. Other registry cases</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
<b>2.3. Other non-litigious cases</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
<b>3. Administrative law cases</b>	9 548 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	33 970 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	35 972 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	7 546 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	29 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>4. Other cases</b>	2 702 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	18 662 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	18 977 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	2 387 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	8 [ ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments - If "Other cases" please specify The Courts of appeal had a minor decrease of filed civil litigious cases with one percent from previous year. Also their resolved civil litigious cases increased by one percent and pending cases decreased by 8 percent. The administrative law cases are handled by the administrative courts of appeal. Migration cases are included in administrative law cases. In 2021, the Administrative courts of appeal resolved about 1100 cases less than was filed during 2021, which could explain why the number of pending cases at the beginning of 2022 increased. In 2022, the Administrative courts of appeal, excluding migration cases, had a decrease in cases filed by 12 percent, a decrease for the second year in a row. Above all, the social insurance cases and other cases decreased. The number of resolved cases was five percent less than year 2021. Regarding pending cases at the end of 2022, there was a significant decrease with 21 percent, also related to a lower amount of social security and other cases. The amount of pending cases decreased quite a lot during 2022, this could explain why the amount of pending cases older than 2 years decreased.

The category "Other cases" include environmental and property cases as well as cases related to the Planning and Building act and so called other cases. The number of "other cases" has decreased by two percent the last year, and the number of "other cases" pending

decreased by 11 percent. Although, there was a significant increase in environmental cases, by 15 percent in filed and 24 percent in pending cases.

#### 098. Second instance courts (appeal): Number of criminal law cases.

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the second instance court
<b>Total of criminal law cases (1+2+3)</b>	5 158 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	13 264 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	12 967 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	5 455 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	65 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>1. Severe criminal cases</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2. Misdemeanour and / or minor criminal cases</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>3. Other criminal cases</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments - If you cannot make a distinction between misdemeanour criminal cases and severe criminal cases (according to the CEPEJ definitions), please specify what cases are reported in those categories. If “Other criminal cases”, please specify: Starting on January 1st in 2022 a distinction was made possible between severe, minor and other incoming criminal cases at 2nd instance courts. However, the statistics will not be reliable until after at least two years due to continued registration of incoming and resolved cases without this refined distinction. The number of incoming criminal cases in second instance has increased during 2022 as well as 2021 and by 10 percent the last year. Also resolved and pending cases increased, due to the fact that the number of criminal cases has increased in first instance during the last few years. Cases pending increased by six percent 2022, and compared to 2020 the increase was 28 percent.

We have no specific reason explaining the difficulties for appellate courts to respond appropriately to the increasing number of incoming criminal cases. The turnaround time for criminal cases excluding priority cases (criminal cases involving a detained person or a defendant under 18 years of age) was unchanged between 2020 and 2021 (6,9 months) but increased during 2022 to 8,3 months.

#### 4.2.4 Case flow management – Supreme Court



#### 099. Highest instance courts (Supreme Court): Number of “other than criminal law” cases:

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the Supreme Court
<b>Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)</b>	3 074 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	12 994 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	12 952 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	3 116 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	2 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>1. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases (including litigious enforcement cases and if possible without administrative law cases, see category 3)</b>	90 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	257 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	259 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	88 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP

<b>2. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2.1. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases, e.g. uncontested payment orders, request for a change of name, non-litigious enforcement cases etc. (if possible without administrative law cases, see category 3; without registry cases and other cases, see categories 2.2 and 2.3)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2.2. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2.2.1. Non litigious land registry cases</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2.2.2 Non-litigious business registry cases</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2.2.3. Other registry cases</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2.3. Other non-litigious cases</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>3. Administrative law cases</b>	2 053 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	7 701 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	7 826 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	1 928 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	1 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>4. Other cases</b>	931 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	5 036 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	4 867 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	1 100 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	1 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If “Other cases”, please specify Administrative law cases are handled by the Supreme Administrative Court, while all the other cases in the table 99 are dealt with by the Supreme Court. Other cases at the Supreme Court are for example cases about a new trial, restoration of expired time, grave procedural error, extradition and bar association matters.

The Supreme court had an unchanged amount of civil litigious cases filed, and other cases decreased by three percent. Although, other cases pending increased by 18 percent from 2021 and by 58 percent from 2020. The Supreme Administrative Court has the same amount of filed cases as the previous year. Broken down, a reduction was seen in the largest category, the social insurance cases. The tax cases also continued to decrease sharply as a result of a reduced amount of resolved cases in the Administrative Courts of Appeal, while the quantitative cases and other cases increased instead. Following an increase of cases pending during a couple of years, the cases pending decreased by six percent, mostly due to a decrease of tax cases and social security cases.

### 099-1. At the level of the Highest court (Supreme Court), is there a procedure of manifest inadmissibility?

( X ) Yes, please indicate the number of cases closed by this procedure: 300

( ) No

Comments We have no explanation as to why the number of cases closed by a procedure of manifest inadmissibility decreased.

## 100. Highest instance courts (Supreme Court): Number of criminal law cases.

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the Supreme Court
<b>Total of criminal law cases (1+2+3)</b>	324 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	3 184 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	3 065 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	443 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>1. Severe criminal cases</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2. Misdemeanour and / or minor criminal cases</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>3. Other criminal cases</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP

Comment - If you cannot make a distinction between misdemeanour criminal cases and severe criminal cases (according to the CEPEJ definitions), please specify what cases are reported in those categories. If "Other criminal cases", please specify Starting on January 1st in 2022 a distinction was made possible between severe, minor and other incoming criminal cases at highest instance court. However, the statistics will not be reliable until after at least two years due to continued registration of incoming and resolved cases without this refined distinction. The number of incoming criminal cases to the Supreme Court has increased by 20 percent 2022, and increased the previous year as well. Resolved cases increased by 18 percent, and the cases pending by 36 percent. Compared to 2020 the increase in pending cases was 65 percent. This was due to the fact that the number of criminal cases has increased in the lower instance courts during the last few years.

### 4.2.5 Case flow management and timeframes – specific cases

## 101. Number of specific litigious cases received and processed by first instance courts.

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the first instance court
<b>Litigious divorce cases</b>	4 652 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	8 339 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	8 471 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	4 520 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	14 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>Employment dismissal cases</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>Insolvency</b>	9 198 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	9 804 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	9 872 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	9 130 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	944 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>Robbery case</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>Intentional homicide</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments Litigious divorce cases decreased from previous year in filed, resolved and pending cases. "Insolvency" includes bankruptcy

cases and company reconstruction cases. Insolvency cases mainly consists of bankruptcy cases in Sweden. Filed and resolved insolvency cases increased during 2022. Insolvency cases pending decreased marginally.



## 101-0. Number of cases relating to asylum seekers and to the right of entry and stay for aliens.

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec ref. year	Pending cases for more than 2 years
<b>Court cases relating to asylum seekers (refugee status under the 1951 Geneva Convention)</b>	4 172 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	5 472 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	6 607 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	3 037 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	43 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>Court cases relating to the right of entry and stay for aliens</b>	3 250 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	17 564 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	17 059 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	3 755 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	2 [ ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments Court cases related to asylum seekers decreased in filed cases by 52 percent from 2020. As in previous year, the inflow of these cases continued to decrease strongly and was similar to the inflow in 2015, the level before the sharp increase that started in 2016 as a result of the refugee crisis. Also cases pending decreased by 52 percent from 2020. Court cases relating to the right of entry and stay for aliens increased by 57 percent. More than half of the cases were submitted to the migration court in Stockholm. These cases are of a simpler legal nature, and the increase is believed to be due to increased traveling after the pandemic when levels were low. Pending cases decreased by three percent from year 2020.

## 101-1. Could you briefly describe the system in your country dealing with legal remedies relating to asylum seekers (refugee status under the 1951 Geneva Convention) and the right of entry and stay for aliens:

. Legal remedies are similar for asylum seekers as well as other aliens appealing right of entry or stay. Please see details below.

### Appeal

There are two levels of appeal in Sweden: the first level consists of four Migration Courts (migrationsdomstol) and the second is the Migration Court of Appeal (Migrationsöverdomstolen).

### Appeal before the Migration Court

A refusal decision by the Migration Agency can be appealed before the Migration Court and this appeal has suspensive effect under the regular procedure (Chapter 12, § 10 Alien's Act). In manifestly unfounded cases, the appeal has automatic suspensive effect until the Migration Court has decided on whether the removal shall be suspended during its proceedings (Chapter 12, § 8a Alien's Act).

There are four Migration Courts in Stockholm, Luleå, Malmö and Gothenburg. Appeals can be made both in relation to facts and/or points of law.

The asylum seeker has three weeks after having been informed of the first instance decision to lodge an appeal. The appeal is formally addressed to the Migration Court but is sent first to the Migration Agency, which has the legal obligation to review its decision based on any new evidence presented. The average processing time for the Migration Courts to adjudicate a case in 2022 was 9,5 months, which is an increase from 7.9 months in 2021. The Migration Courts changed 9,6% of the appealed asylum cases. (Statistics provided by the National Courts Authority in January 2022 and January 2023.)

Court rulings are publicly available. The rulings can be accessed either directly from the Court upon request, in paper or electronically, or via legal information databases (subject to a licence/subscription). In order to not reveal sensitive information about an applicant, the Court can decide that the name of the applicant and/or certain parts of the ruling shall be kept confidential.

Asylum seekers in the regular procedure have access to free legal aid.

### Appeal before the Migration Court of Appeal

Leave to appeal to the Migration Court of Appeal is issued if:

- it is of importance for the guidance of the application of the law that the appeal is examined by the Migration Court of Appeal or
- there are other exceptional grounds for examining the appeal. (Ch. 16, Section 12 Aliens Act.) Leave is only granted where an appeal

may be of importance as a precedent, or if there are exceptional reasons, such as a serious procedural error made by the Migration Agency or the Migration Court.

Decisions of the Migration Court of Appeal are final and non-appealable.

The Migration Court of Appeal is the main source of jurisprudence in the Swedish asylum system. Decisions by the Migration Courts are not deemed to set precedent, even though they may contain important legal reasoning.

## 101-2. Number of cases relating to child sexual abuse and child pornography received and processed by first instance courts.

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the first instance court
<b>Child sexual abuse</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>Child pornography</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments - Please explain what are the legal definitions of these categories of offences in your system:

## 102. Percentage of decisions subject to appeal, average length of proceedings and percentage of cases pending for more than 3 years for all instances for specific litigious cases. The average length of proceedings has to be calculated from the date the application for judicial review is lodged to the date the judgment is made, without taking into account the investigation phase in criminal cases as well as enforcement procedure.

	% of decisions subject to appeal	Average length in 1st instance (in days)	Average length in 2nd instance (in days)	Average length in 3rd instance (in days)	Average length of the entire procedure (in days)	% of cases pending for more than 3 years for all instances
<b>Civil and commercial litigious cases</b>	_____ Allow decimals : 2 [ X ] NA [ ] NAP	159 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	117 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	138 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	_____ Allow decimals : 2 [ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>Litigious divorce cases</b>	_____ Allow decimals : 2 [ X ] NA [ ] NAP	204 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	_____ Allow decimals : 2 [ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>Employment dismissal cases</b>	_____ Allow decimals : 2 [ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	_____ Allow decimals : 2 [ X ] NA [ ] NAP

<b>Insolvency cases</b>	_____ Allow decimals : 2 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<b>363</b> <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	_____ Allow decimals : 2 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Robbery cases</b>	_____ Allow decimals : 2 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	_____ Allow decimals : 2 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Intentional homicide cases</b>	_____ Allow decimals : 2 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	_____ Allow decimals : 2 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

**104. How is the length of proceedings calculated for the six case categories of question 102? Please give a description of the calculation method.**

. Average length of proceedings is calculated from the date when the application of summons is received by the court until the date of the judgement.

#### 4.2.6 Case flow management – public prosecution

**105. Role and powers of the public prosecutor in the criminal procedure (multiple replies possible):**

- to conduct or supervise investigation
- when necessary, to request investigation measures from the judge
- to charge
- to present the case in court
- to propose a sentence to the judge
- to appeal
- to supervise the enforcement procedure
- to discontinue a case without needing a decision by a judge (ensure consistency with question 36!)
- to end the case by imposing or negotiating a penalty or measure without requiring a judicial decision
- other significant powers (please specify): Decisions on coercive measures.

Comments

**106. Does the public prosecutor also have a role in:**

- civil cases
- administrative cases
- insolvency cases





=

### 107. Public prosecutors: Total number of 1st instance criminal cases.

	Number of cases
<b>1.Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year</b>	108 581 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2.Incoming/received cases</b>	425 341 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>3.Processed cases (3.1+3.2+3.3+3.4)</b>	433 050 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>3.1.Discontinued during the reference year (3.1.1+3.1.2+3.1.3+3.1.4.)</b>	223 940 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>3.1.1 Discontinued by the public prosecutor because the offender could not be identified</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>3.1.2 Discontinued by the public prosecutor due to the lack of an established offence or a specific legal situation</b>	30 456 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>3.1.3 Discontinued by the public prosecutor for reasons of opportunity</b>	35 234 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>3.1.4 Discontinued for other reasons</b>	158 250 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>3.2.Concluded by a penalty or a measure imposed or negotiated by the public prosecutor</b>	41 297 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>3.3.Cases brought to court</b>	167 813 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>4.Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year</b>	108 062 [ ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments Given that for the present evaluation cycle the category "cases closed for other reasons" was deleted, cases previously communicated within this category are now included in "3.1.4 Discontinued for other reasons". Namely, decisions for the following cases have been added in "3.1.4 Discontinued for other reasons": the suspicion of an offence has been closed; a preliminary investigation has been submitted to the investigating authority; the suspect is under 15 years of age. "3.2 Concluded by a penalty or a measure imposed or negotiated by the public prosecutor": the discrepancy is due to a combination of several factors. The amount of those cases where this kind of judicial proceedings and prosecution activities are relatively common (e.g. possession and abuse of narcotics and illegal driving) has decreased. During 2020, there was an extra drive fighting benefit fraud, which led to a large amount of those cases, resulting in summary penalty orders in particular. The track of using faster legal proceedings has expanded and it is there more common to prosecute directly instead of issuing a summary penalty order, even though this would have been possible, which depends on the special rules concerning service of documents.

#### 107-1. If the guilty plea procedure exists, how many cases were concluded by this procedure?

	Total	Severe criminal cases	Misdemeanour and / or minor criminal cases
<b>Total number of guilty plea procedures</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Before the main trial</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>During the main trial</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

**109. Do the figures provided in Q107 include traffic offence cases?**

Yes

No

Comments

**D2. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part**

Sources: The Swedish Prosecution Authority.

**5. Career of judges and public prosecutors**

**5.1. Recruitment and promotion**

**5.1.1 Recruitment and promotion of judges**

**110. How are judges recruited?**

through a competitive exam (open competition)

through a recruitment procedure for experienced legal professionals (for example experienced lawyers)

other (please specify): .....

Comments

**110-1. Please briefly describe the recruitment procedure(s) for judges in your country:**

. Please see answer to question 110.

**110-2. What are the recruitment requirements for judges (multiple replies possible)?**

Age

- Nationality
- Physical/Psychological capacity
- General studies in law
- Advanced studies in law (Master, PhD)
- Number of years of relevant experience
- Traineeship/judicial functions in courts
- Validation of a general state examination in law
- Validation of a specific examination for judges
- Clean criminal record
- Foreign languages
- Personal requirements (related to integrity)
- Other
- NAP

Comments - If “other”, please specify: A legally qualified judge must be a Swedish citizen and have passed the required knowledge test (a Swedish LL.M. or, under certain conditions, an equivalent Nordic law degree). The person who will work as a judge may not be in a state of bankruptcy or have a trustee under Chapter 11. Section 7 of the Parental Code.

**110-3. In the frame of these recruitments, please indicate the number of applicants for the position of judge and the number of recruitments actually made during the reference year:**

	Total	Males	Females
Number of applicants	633 <input type="checkbox"/> NA	298 <input type="checkbox"/> NA	335 <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Number of recruited persons	206 <input type="checkbox"/> NA	84 <input type="checkbox"/> NA	122 <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments

**110-4. If the number of applicants decreased in the last years did you take any remedial measures?**

- Yes
- No

Comments

**110-5. If yes, please specify what remedies you implemented:**

- Increase of salary
- Other financial incentives
- Improving working conditions
- Workload reduction at the beginning of career
- Other adjustments in the frame of the induction of new judges
- Other

Comments: If “other”, please, specify:

=

**111. Authority(ies) responsible for recruitment - are judges initially/at the beginning of their career recruited and nominated by:**

- An authority made up of judges only
- An authority made up of non-judges only
- An authority/authorities made up of judges and non-judges
- Other

Comments - Please indicate the name of the authority(ies) responsible for the whole procedure of recruitment and nomination of judges. If there are several authorities, please describe their respective roles: The Judges Proposals Board (Swe: Domarnämnden), the authority responsible for recruitment of judges is made up of judges and non judges. The body consists of 9 members, whereof 5 judges, 2 legal professionals that work outside the Swedish Courts whereof 1 lawyer (at the moment 2 lawyers) and 2 representatives for the people (at the moment 1 member of the Swedish Parliament and 1 former member of the Swedish Parliament). Each member of Domarnämnden has a personal substitute.

**111-1. How many members compose this authority?**

	Total	Males	Females
<b>Members</b>	9	5	4
	<input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> NA
	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments – Please specify what is the status of this authority and who is proposing/appointing its members:

**111-2. May non-selected candidates appeal against the decision on recruitment/appointment?**

- Yes
- No

Comments – Please specify the procedure to be followed, the competent authority, the moment for exercising the right of appeal: After an application process, Domarnämnden submits a proposal to the Government on who should be appointed as an judge. The decision to propose who should be appointed as a judge can not be appealed. The Government is not bound by proposal. Before the Government appoints a person who has not been proposed by Domarnämnden, the Board shall be given the opportunity to comment on him or her.

**112. Is the same authority (Q111) competent for the promotion of judges?**

- Yes
- No

Comments - No, please specify which authority is competent for promoting judges

**113. What is the procedure for the promotion of judges? (multiple replies possible)**

- Competitive test / Exam
- Previous individual evaluations
- Other procedure(s) (interview or other)
- No special procedure

Comments - Please specify how the promotion procedure for judges is organised (especially if there is no competition or examination) and how the publicity of promotion processes is ensured: All judges, in civil or administrative courts and at the courts of first instance or at the

courts of appeal, are equal. The process for appointing chief judges or judges of the Court of Appeal with special responsibilities "vice ordföranden" follows the usual procedure for judicial appointments, i.e. application to Domarnämnden and appointment by the Government.

**113-0. In the frame of the promotion procedures, please indicate the number of applicants and the number of promotions actually made during the reference year:**

	Total	Males	Females
Number of applicants	[ X ] NA	[ X ] NA	[ X ] NA
Number of promoted persons	[ X ] NA	[ X ] NA	[ X ] NA

Comments

**113-1. Please indicate the criteria used for the promotion of a judge? (multiple replies possible)**

- Years of experience
- Professional skills (and/or qualitative performance)
- Performance (quantitative)
- Subjective criteria (e.g. integrity, reputation)
- Other
- No criteria

Comments - Please specify any useful comment regarding the criteria (especially if you have checked the box "performance" or "other"):

**5.1.2 Status, recruitment and promotion of prosecutors**

**115. What is the status of public prosecution services?**

- Has an independent status as a separate entity among state institutions
- Is part of the executive power but enjoys functional independence (please briefly explain how and to what extent)
- Is part of the executive power (without functional independence)
- Is part of the judicial power but enjoys functional independence (please briefly explain how and to what extent)
- Is part of the judicial power (without functional independence)
- Is a mixed model (please explain)
- Has other status (please explain)

Comments - When appropriate, please specify the objective guarantees of this independence (such as funding) and where they are enshrined (Constitution, legislation etc.). Furthermore, if "mixed model" or "other", please specify. The Swedish Prosecution Authority is part of the executive power under the Ministry of Justice. The Prosecutor General is appointed by the Government. The budget of the Authority is decided by the Parliament upon proposal by the Government. The full functional independence of the Prosecution Authority is guaranteed by the Swedish Constitution.

**115-1. Are specific instructions addressed to a public prosecutor to prosecute or not prohibited by law or other regulation?**

- Yes
- No

Comments - If yes, please specify: No authority, not even the Riksdag or the Prosecutor General, may decide on how a prosecutor shall decide in a matter concerning the exercise of authority against an individual or concerning the application of law (Chapter 12, Section 2 of the Instrument of Government).

**115-2. If they are prohibited by law or other regulation, are there exceptions?**

Yes

No

NAP

Comments - Please describe these exceptions:

**115-3. Which authority can issue such specific instructions?**

General Prosecutor

Higher prosecutor/Head of prosecution office

Executive power

Other

NAP

Comments - If "Other", please specify:

**115-4. What form these instructions may take?**

Oral instruction

Oral instruction with written confirmation

Written instruction

Other

NAP

Comments - If "Other", please specify:

**115-5. In that case, are the instructions:**

Issued seeking prior advice from the competent public prosecutor

Mandatory

Reasoned

Recorded in the case file

Other

NAP

Comments - If "Other", please specify:

**115-6. What is the frequency of this type of instructions:**

Exceptional

Occasional

Frequent

Systematic

NAP

Comments

## 115-7. Can the public prosecutor oppose/report an instruction to an independent body?

Yes

No

NAP

Comments - If yes, please specify to which body/institution and please describe under which conditions.

=

## 116. How are public prosecutors recruited?

through a competitive exam (open competition)

through a recruitment procedure for experienced legal professionals (for example experienced lawyers)

other (please specify): See answer on Q. 116-1

Comments

### 116-1. Please briefly describe the recruitment procedure(s) for prosecutors in your country:

. To qualify for a position as a prosecutor, you must have a Swedish or Nordic law degree and have completed two years of service as a law clerk at a district court or administrative court. In addition, you must be a Swedish citizen. Apart from the formal merits, a number of personal qualities are required. To find out if the applicants correspond to these requirements, work psychology tests, structured interviews conducted by a representative from HR and the recruiting chief public prosecutor, and collection of references are used. The employment begins with a nine-month probationary period, followed by permanent employment during training for two years, and after that, nomination as a public prosecutor takes place on the recommendation of the chief public prosecutor. For those who have completed judge training before applying or have many years of relevant experience, a dispensation is sought to become a public prosecutor after 12 months of probationary service.

### 116-2. What are the recruitment requirements for prosecutors (multiple replies possible)?

Age

Nationality

Physical/Psychological capacity

General studies in law

Advanced studies in law (Master, PhD)

Number of years of relevant experience

Traineeship/judicial functions in courts

Validation of a general state examination in law

Validation of a specific examination for prosecutors

Clean criminal record

Foreign languages

Personal requirements (related to integrity)

Other

Comments - If "other", please specify: Please see the answer to Q. 116-1.

**116-3. In the frame of these recruitments, please indicate the number of applicants for the position of prosecutor and the number of recruitments actually made during the reference year:**

	Total	Males	Females
Number of applicants	[ X ] NA	[ X ] NA	[ X ] NA
Number of recruited persons	115 [ ] NA	[ X ] NA	[ X ] NA

Comments

**116-4. If the number of applicants decreased in the last years did you take any remedial measures?**

- ( ) Yes
- ( X ) No

Comments

**116-5. If yes, please specify what remedies you implemented:**

- [ ] Increase of salary
- [ ] Other financial incentives
- [ ] Improving working conditions
- [ ] Workload reduction at the beginning of career
- [ ] Other adjustments in the frame of the induction of new prosecutors
- [ ] Other

Comments: If "other", please, specify:

**117. Authority(ies) responsible for recruitment - Are public prosecutors initially/at the beginning of their career recruited by:**

- [ ] An authority composed of public prosecutors only
- [ ] An authority composed of non-public prosecutors only
- [ ] An authority composed of public prosecutors and non-public prosecutors
- [ X ] Other

Comments - Please indicate the name of the authority(ies) responsible for the whole procedure of recruitment and nomination of public prosecutors. If there are several authorities, please describe their respective roles:

**117-1. How many members compose this authority?**

	Total	Male	Female
Members	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP



Comments – Please specify what is the status of this authority and who is proposing/appointing its members:

**117-2. May non-selected candidates appeal against the decision on recruitment/appointment?**

- Yes
- No

Comments – Please specify the procedure to be followed, the competent authority, the moment for exercising the right of appeal:

**118. Is the same authority (Q.117) competent for the promotion of public prosecutors?**

- Yes
- No, please specify which authority is competent for promoting public prosecutors .....

Comments

**119. What is the procedure for the promotion of prosecutors? (multiple replies possible)**

- Competitive test / exam
- Previous individual evaluations
- Other procedure(s) (interview or other)
- No special procedure

Comments - Please specify how the promotion procedure for prosecutors is organised (especially if there is no competition or examination) and how the publicity of promotion processes is ensured:

**119-1. In the frame of the promotion procedures, please indicate the number of applicants and the number of promotions actually made during the reference year:**

	Total	Males	Females
Number of applicants	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA
Number of promoted persons	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments

**119-2. Please indicate the criteria used for the promotion of a prosecutor:**

- Years of experience
- Professional skills (and/or qualitative performance)
- Performance (quantitative)
- Subjective criteria (e.g. integrity, reputation)
- Other
- No criteria

Comments - Please, specify any useful comment regarding the criteria (especially if you have checked the box “performance” or “other”):

**5.1.3Mandate and retirement of judges and prosecutors**



**121. Are judges appointed to office for an undetermined period (i.e. "for life" = until the official age of retirement)?**

Yes, please indicate the compulsory retirement age:69

No

Comments - If yes, are there exceptions (e.g. dismissal as a disciplinary sanction)? Please specify:

**121-1. Can a judge be transferred to another court without his/her consent:**

For disciplinary reasons

For organisational reasons

For other reasons (please specify modalities and safeguards): .....

No

Comments

**122. Is there a probation period for judges (e.g. before being appointed "for life")? If yes, how long is this period?**

Yes, duration of the probation period (in years): .....

No

Comments

**123. Are public prosecutors appointed to office for an undetermined period (i.e. "for life" = until the official age of retirement)?**

Yes, please indicate the compulsory retirement age:68

No

Comments - If yes, are there exceptions (e.g. dismissal as a disciplinary sanction)? Please specify:

**124. Is there a probation period for public prosecutors? If yes, how long is this period?**

Yes, duration of the probation period (in years):3

No

Comments

**125. If the mandate of judges is not for an undetermined period (see question 121), what is the length of the mandate (in years)?**

[            ]

NA

NAP

Comments

**125-1. Is it renewable?**

Yes

No

NAP

Comments

126. If the mandate of public prosecutors is not for an undetermined period (see question 123), what is the length of the mandate (in years)?

[            ]

[ ] NA

[X] NAP

Comments

**126-1. Is it renewable?**

( ) Yes

( ) No

[X] NAP

Comments

**E1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part**

Sources: The Swedish National Courts Administration and the Swedish Prosecution Authority

**5.2. Training**

**5.2.1 Training of judges**

**127. Types of different trainings offered to judges:**

	Compulsory	Optional	No training proposed
<b>Initial training (e.g. attend a judicial school, traineeship in a court)</b>	( ) Yes (X) No	(X) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes (X) No
<b>General in-service training</b>	( ) Yes (X) No	(X) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes (X) No
<b>In-service training for specialised judicial functions (e.g. judge for economic or administrative issues)</b>	( ) Yes (X) No	(X) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes (X) No
<b>In-service training for management functions of the court (e.g. court president)</b>	( ) Yes (X) No	(X) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes (X) No
<b>In-service training for the use of computer facilities in courts</b>	( ) Yes (X) No	(X) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes (X) No
<b>In-service training on ethics</b>	( ) Yes (X) No	(X) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes (X) No
<b>In-service training on child-friendly justice</b>	( ) Yes (X) No	(X) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes (X) No
<b>In-service training on gender equality</b>	( ) Yes (X) No	(X) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes (X) No

<b>Other in- service training</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
-----------------------------------	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------

Comments

**128. Frequency of the in-service training of judges:**

	<b>Frequency of the judges training</b>
<b>General in-service training</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
<b>In-service training for specialised judicial functions (e.g. judge for economic or administrative issues)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
<b>In-service training for management functions of the court (e.g. court president)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
<b>In-service training for the use of computer facilities in courts</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
<b>In-service training on ethics</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
<b>In-service training on child-friendly justice</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
<b>In-service training on gender equality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
<b>Other in- service training</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed

Comments - Please indicate any information on the periodicity of the continuous training of judges:

**128-1. Do you have a minimum number of compulsory trainings per judge:**

<b>Per judge</b>
------------------

<b>Initial compulsory training – minimum number of trainings</b>	_____ Min numeric value allowed : 0  <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Initial compulsory training – minimum number of days</b>	_____ Min numeric value allowed : 0  <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>In-service compulsory trainings – minimum number of trainings per year</b>	_____ Min numeric value allowed : 0  <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>In-service compulsory trainings – minimum number of days per year</b>	_____ Min numeric value allowed : 0  <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

## 5.2.2 Training of prosecutors

### 129. Types of different trainings offered to public prosecutors:

	<b>Compulsory</b>	<b>Optional</b>	<b>No training proposed</b>
<b>Initial training</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>General in-service training</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>In-service training for specialised functions (e.g. public prosecutors specialised in organised crime)</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>In-service training for management functions (e.g. Head of prosecution office, manager)</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>In-service training for the use of computer facilities in office</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>In-service training on ethics</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>In-service training on child-friendly justice</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>In-service training on gender equality</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>Other in- service training</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No

Comments Please see comment to Q 130-1.

Examples of other in-service training at the Swedish Prosecution Authority are: - GDPR and the Data Protection reform.

- Security: how to protect the Prosecution Authority's information, personnel, premises and operation.

**130. Frequency of the in-service training of public prosecutors :**

	<b>Frequency of the in-service training</b>
<b>General in-service training</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
<b>In-service training for specialised functions (e.g. public prosecutor specialised in organised crime)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
<b>In-service training for management functions (e.g. Head of prosecution office, manager)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
<b>In-service training for the use of computer facilities in office</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
<b>In-service training on ethics</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
<b>In-service training on child-friendly justice</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
<b>In-service training on gender equality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
<b>Other in- service training</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed

Comments - Please indicate any information on the periodicity of the in-service training of prosecutors: Courses in different topics (in 2022 about 16 judicial and 15 administrative) were given during the year and the prosecutor applies for the course (and chief prosecutor approves) if interested. All employees, including prosecutors, get training on ethics and gender equality every year (approx. 2-4 h each).

**130-1. Do you have a minimum number of compulsory trainings per prosecutor:**

	<b>Per prosecutor</b>
<b>Initial compulsory training – minimum number of trainings</b>	_____ Min numeric value allowed : 0  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

<b>Initial compulsory training – minimum number of days</b>	<p>_____</p> <p>Min numeric value allowed : 0</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NAP</p>
<b>In-service compulsory trainings – minimum number of trainings per year</b>	<p>_____</p> <p>Min numeric value allowed : 0</p> <p>1</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NA</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NAP</p>
<b>In-service compulsory trainings – minimum number of days per year</b>	<p>_____</p> <p>Min numeric value allowed : 0</p> <p>2</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NA</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NAP</p>

Comments Initial compulsory training – minimum number of trainings: The new initial training (from fall 2023) consists of 8 partial courses (“weeks”, not consecutive) during the first two years

Initial compulsory training – minimum number of days per year: The new initial training (from fall 2023) consists of 34 days + preparation and afterwork (approx. 16 days) during the first two years.

In-service compulsory trainings – minimum number of trainings per year: At least one course per prosecutor per year (as a goal).

In-service compulsory trainings – minimum number of days per year: 2-5 days.

In order to become a prosecutor, you need to apply for a position. The competent candidates then undergo tests as part of the recruitment process. All newly employed prosecutors within the Swedish prosecution service have to undergo basic training for a total of 15 weeks, divided into four parts, during their first three years in the service. This basic training is compulsory. All public prosecutors must go through the basic training to become a public prosecutor. After the first three years in the service, the public prosecutors are offered continuous training. The continuous training is compulsory for specialized senior public prosecutor. - In brief: the old system was 15 weeks, the new (from fall 2023) is 8 weeks. The old and new general initial compulsory training for prosecutors will co-exist until spring 2025.

### 5.2.3 Training institutions

#### 131. Do you have public training institutions for judges and / or prosecutors?

	Initial training only	Continuous training only	Initial and continuous training
<b>Institution(s) for judges</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>Institution(s) for prosecutors</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Institution(s) for both judges and prosecutors</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments There is no public training institution for prosecutors.

#### 131-0. If yes, what is the implemented budget of such institution(s)?

	Implemented budget of the institution for the reference year, in €
<b>Institution(s) for judges</b>	<p>5 000 000</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NA</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NAP</p>
<b>Institution(s) for prosecutors</b>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> NA</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP</p>

Institution(s) for both judges and prosecutors

NA  
 NAP

Comments This is the total budget for the Judicial Training Academy and includes training for non-judge staff.

**131-1. If judges and/or prosecutors have no compulsory initial training in such institutions, please indicate briefly how judges and/or prosecutors are trained?**

. Comments regarding prosecutors: In order to become a prosecutor, you need to apply for a position. The competent candidates then undergo tests as part of the recruitment process. All newly employed prosecutors within the Swedish prosecution service have to undergo basic training – from fall 2023 -- for a total of approx 8 partial courses (3-5 days each) plus preparation time and afterwork during their first two-three years (previously the basic training was 15 weeks, divided into four parts, during their first three years in the service). This basic training is compulsory. All public prosecutors must go through the basic training to become a public prosecutor. After the first three years in the service, the public prosecutors are offered continuous training. The continuous training is compulsory for specialized senior public prosecutors. The training is offered by the Prosecution Authority (“in-house”) and is not a public training institution/body. The spent budget for the training of prosecutors was about 3,000,000 € (of a total budget for the Training Unit of about 5,000,000 €) in 2022. We are expecting a decrease in costs for the basic training for prosecutors due to the profound shortening of time spent on hotels etc. The total budget will however probably not decrease due to other new born training activities, i.a. compulsory basic training for administrators and chiefs.

**5.2.4 Number of trainings**

**131-2. Number of in-service trainings available and delivered (in days) by the public institution(s) responsible for training.**

	Number of different live (in person, hybrid, videoconference) trainings available	Number of live (in person, hybrid, videoconference) trainings delivered	Number of days of delivered live (in person, hybrid, videoconference) trainings	Number of internet-based trainings available on the e-learning platform of the training institution (not live)
<b>Total</b>	216 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	285 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	770 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	166 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>For judges</b>	94 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	159 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	459 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	20 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>For prosecutors</b>	31 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	31 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	93 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	66 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>For non-judge staff</b>	76 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	95 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	181 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	20 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>For non-prosecutor staff</b>	15 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	15 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	37 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	60 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments The figures listed are for the Swedish Prosecution Authority’s Training Unit (which is not a separate public institution).



### 131-3. Number of participants in the trainings during the reference year.

	Number of participants in live (in-person, hybrid, videoconference) trainings	Number of participants in internet-based trainings provided on the e-learning platform of the training institution (not live)
<b>Total</b>	2 304 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	302 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Judges</b>	745 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	146 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Prosecutors</b>	1 007 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Non-judge staff</b>	267 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	156 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Non-prosecutor staff</b>	285 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

### E2. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: For the prosecution services: The Swedish Prosecution Authority.  
For the judges: The Swedish Judicial Training Academy

## 5.3. Practice of the profession

### 5.3.1 Salaries and benefits of judges and prosecutors

#### 132. Salaries of judges and public prosecutors on 31 December of the reference year:

	Gross annual salary, in €	Net annual salary, in €	Gross annual salary, in local currency	Net annual salary, in local currency
<b>First instance professional judge at the beginning of his/her career</b>	76 973 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	49 263 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	846 706 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	541 892 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Judge of the Supreme Court or the Highest Appellate Court (please indicate the highest salary of a judge at this level, excluding the salary of the Court President)</b>	134 036 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	73 720 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	1 474 400 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	810 920 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Public prosecutor at the beginning of his/her career</b>	56 520 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	565 200 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

<b>Public prosecutor of the Supreme Court or the Highest Appellate Instance (please indicate the highest salary of a public prosecutor at this level, excluding the salary of the Attorney General).</b>	97 680 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	976 800 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
--	-----------------------------	---------------------	------------------------------	---------------------

Comment – Please describe briefly how the salaries are determined during the career of a judge/prosecutor: As a newly hired prosecutor, you have a starting salary. As a fully trained prosecutor, individual salary setting is applied, which is revised annually according to salary criteria. The Swedish Prosecution Authority cannot provide net annual salary, since it is not possible to calculate it accurately. Salary for prosecutor at the beginning of his/her career is calculated according to the median salary for public prosecutors.

### 133. Do judges and public prosecutors have additional benefits?

	Judges	Public prosecutors
<b>Reduced taxation</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>Special pension</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>Housing</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>Other financial benefit</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No

Comments No benefits at all.

### 134. If “other financial benefit”, please specify:

[ X ] NAP

### 135. Can judges combine their work with any of the following functions/activities?

	With remuneration	Without remuneration
<b>Teaching</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No
<b>Research and publication</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No
<b>Arbitrator</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No
<b>Consultant</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No

<b>Cultural function</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No
<b>Political function</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No
<b>Mediator</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No
<b>Other function</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No

Comments - If rules exist in your country (e.g. authorisation needed to perform these activities), please specify. If “other function”, please specify.

### 137. Can public prosecutors combine their work with any of the following functions/activities?

	With remuneration	Without remuneration
<b>Teaching</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No
<b>Research and publication</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No
<b>Arbitrator</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>Consultant</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>Cultural function</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No
<b>Political function</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No
<b>Mediator</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>Other function</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No

Comments - If rules exist in your country (e.g. authorisation needed to perform these activities), please specify. If “other function”, please specify:

### 139. Productivity bonuses: do judges receive bonuses based on the fulfilment of quantitative objectives in relation to the number of resolved cases (e.g. number of cases resolved over a given period of time)?

( ) Yes

( X ) No

Comments - If yes, please specify the conditions and if possible the amounts:

### 5.3.2 Body/institution of ethics

### 138. Is there in your country an institution / body giving guidelines and/or opinions on ethical questions of the conduct of judges (e.g. involvement in political life, use of social media by

**judges, etc.)?**

Yes

No

Comment - Please specify:

**138-1. If yes, who are the members of this institution/body?**

Only judges

Judges and other legal professionals

Other, please specify: .....

Comments

**138-2. Are the guidelines and/or opinions of this institution / body publicly available?**

Yes

No

Comments - Please describe the work of this institution / body, the frequency of the guidelines and/or opinions, etc.:

**138-2-1. How many guidelines and/or opinions were given during the reference year?**

[            ]

[ ] NA

Comments – Please specify what were the topics addressed in these guidelines and/or opinions

**138-3. Is there in your country an institution / body giving guidelines and/or opinions on ethical questions of the conduct of prosecutors (e.g. involvement in political life, use of social media by prosecutors, etc.)**

Yes

No

Comment: Please specify

**138-4. If yes, who are the members of this institution/body?**

Only prosecutors

Prosecutors and other legal professionals

Other, please specify: .....

Comments

**138-5. Are the guidelines and/or opinions of this institution / body publicly available?**

Yes

No

Comments - Please describe the work of this institution / body, the frequency of opinions, etc.

**138-5-1. How many guidelines and/or opinions were given during the reference year?**

[            ]

Comments – Please specify what were the topics addressed in these guidelines and/or opinions

### 5.4. Disciplinary procedures

#### 5.4.1 Authorities responsible for disciplinary procedures and sanctions

##### 140. Who is authorised to initiate disciplinary proceedings against judges (multiple replies possible)?

- Court users
- Relevant Court or hierarchical superior
- High Court / Supreme Court
- High Judicial Council
- Disciplinary court
- Disciplinary body
- Ombudsman
- Parliament
- Executive power (please specify): .....
- Other (please specify): The Cancellor of Justice
- This is not possible

Comments There are three authorities/organs that can initiate a disciplinary proceeding against a judge. The chief or the president of the court where the judge has office, the Chancellor of Justice (Justitiekanslern) and the Parliamentary Ombudsman (Justitieombudsmannen) can initiate a disciplinary proceeding against a judge. The Chancellor of Justice is a non-political civil servant appointed by the Government. The Parliamentary Ombudsmen (JO) can also initiate a proceeding against a judge. JO are appointed by the Swedish Parliament to ensure that public authorities and their staff comply with the laws and other statutes governing their actions.

##### 141. Who is authorised to initiate disciplinary proceedings against public prosecutors: (multiple replies possible):

- Citizens
- Head of the organisational unit or hierarchical superior public prosecutor
- Prosecutor General /State public prosecutor
- Public prosecutorial Council (High Judicial Council)
- Disciplinary court
- Disciplinary body
- Ombudsman
- Professional body
- Executive power (please specify): .....
- Other (please specify): Chancellor of Justice, the Prosecution Authority and the Swedish Economic Crime Authority
- This is not possible

Comments

#### 142. Which authority has disciplinary power over judges (multiple replies possible)?

- Court
- Higher Court / Supreme Court
- High Judicial Council
- Disciplinary court or body
- Ombudsman
- Parliament
- Executive power (please specify): .....
- Other (please specify): .....

Comments The body responsible for disciplinary proceedings is The Government Disciplinary Board for Higher Officials (Statens ansvarsnämnd)

#### 143. Which authority has disciplinary power over public prosecutors (multiple replies possible)?

- Supreme Court
- Head of the organisational unit or hierarchical superior
- Prosecutor General /State public prosecutor
- Public prosecutorial Council (High Judicial Council)
- Disciplinary court or body
- Ombudsman
- Professional body
- Executive power (please specify): .....
- Other (please specify): .....

Comments

### 5.4.2 Number of disciplinary procedures and sanctions

144. Number of disciplinary proceedings initiated during the reference year against judges and public prosecutors. (If a disciplinary proceeding is undertaken because of several reasons, please count the proceedings only once and for the main reason.)

	Judges	Prosecutors
<b>Total number (1+2+3+4)</b>	4 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>1. Breach of professional ethics</b>	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2. Professional inadequacy</b>	2 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>3. Criminal offence</b>	1 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

<b>4. Other</b>	1 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
-----------------	------------------------	------------------------

Comments - If "other", please specify: "Other": a case where the Government Disciplinary Board for Higher Officials was requested by a district court to give a statement on the question to know if a judge would lose his job if he was convicted in a criminal case decided at the district court.

### 145. Number of sanctions pronounced during the reference year against judges and public prosecutors:

	Judges	Prosecutors
<b>Total number (total 1 to 10)</b>	4 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>1. Reprimand</b>	2 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2. Suspension</b>	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>3. Withdrawal from cases</b>	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>4. Fine</b>	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>5. Temporary reduction of salary</b>	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>6. Position downgrade</b>	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>7. Transfer to another geographical (court) location</b>	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>8. Resignation</b>	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>9. Other</b>	2 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>10. Dismissal</b>	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments - If "other", please specify. If a significant difference exists between the number of disciplinary proceedings and the number of sanctions, please indicate the reasons. One of the "other" sanctions refers to question 144 and was just as described, a statement to a district court. The second "other" sanction was an indictment in respect of a case where a judge missed something in the service (i.e. a detention deadline that was not observed), it was not a crime that he committed outside of his employment.

### E3. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: The Swedish Prosecution Authority and the HR-department at the NCA.

## 6.Lawyers

### 6.1.Profession of lawyer

#### 6.1.1Status of the profession of lawyers

##### 146. Total number of lawyers practising in your country:

	Total	Males	Females
Number of lawyers	6 571 [ ] NA	4 210 [ ] NA	2 361 [ ] NA

Comments

##### 147. Does this figure include “legal advisors” who cannot represent their clients in court (for example, some solicitors or in-house counsellors)?

Yes ( )

No ( X )

Comments NAP - anyone can represent a client in Court, not just members of the Bar Association.

##### 148. Number of legal advisors who cannot represent their clients in court:

[ ]

[ ] NA

[ X ] NAP

Comments

=

##### 149. Is legal representation in courts exclusively exercised by lawyers in: (multiple replies possible)

	First instance	Second instance	Highest instance court (Supreme Court)
Civil cases	( ) Yes always ( ) Yes in some cases ( X ) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes always ( ) Yes in some cases ( X ) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes always ( ) Yes in some cases ( X ) No [ ] NAP
Dismissal cases	( ) Yes always ( ) Yes in some cases ( X ) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes always ( ) Yes in some cases ( X ) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes always ( ) Yes in some cases ( X ) No [ ] NAP
Criminal cases – Defendant	( ) Yes always ( ) Yes in some cases ( X ) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes always ( ) Yes in some cases ( X ) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes always ( ) Yes in some cases ( X ) No [ ] NAP



<b>Criminal cases – Victim</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Administrative cases</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - Please indicate any useful clarifications regarding the content of lawyers' exclusive rights:

**149-0. If other than lawyers may represent a client in court, please specify who:**

	First instance	Second instance	Highest instance court (Supreme Court)
<b>Civil society organisation</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Family member</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Self-representation</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Trade union</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Other</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Comments - If "other", please specify. In addition, for the categories selected please specify the types of cases concerned by this/these representation(s):

**149-1. In addition to the functions of legal representation and legal advice, can a lawyer exercise other activities?**

- Notarial activity
- Arbitration / mediation
- Proxy / representation
- Property manager
- Real estate agent
- Other (please specify): .....

Comments

**149-2. Professional lawyers may have the status of:**

- Self-employed lawyer
- Staff lawyer
- In-house lawyer

Comments NAP. Only members of the Swedish Bar Association that can work under the title "advokat". A Swedish advokat cannot work as an employee for anyone else than another advokat without the Board's permission.

**150. Is the lawyer profession organised through:**

a national bar association

a regional bar association

a local bar association

Comments

**151. Is there a specific initial training and/or exam to enter the profession of lawyer?**

Yes

No

Comments - Please indicate if there are other specific requirements as regards diplomas or university degrees:

**152. Is there a mandatory general in-service professional training system for lawyers?**

Yes

No

Comments

**153. Is the specialisation in some legal fields linked to specific training, levels of qualification, specific diploma or specific authorisations?**

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

**F1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part**

Sources: The Swedish Bar Association

**6.1.2 Practicing the profession of lawyer**

**154. Can court users establish easily what the lawyers' fees will be (i.e. a prior information on the foreseeable amount of fees)?**

Yes

No

Comments

**155. Are lawyers' fees freely negotiated?**

Yes

No

Comments

**156. Do laws or bar standards provide any rules on lawyers' fees (including those freely negotiated)?**

Yes, laws provide rules

Yes, standards of the bar association provide rules

No, neither laws nor bar association standards provide rules

Comments When legal aid has been granted, the normal hourly fee is fixed (as decided annually by the government or the authority designated by the government). Court appointed public defence counsels, counsels for the aggrieved party and legal aid counsels are not allowed to demand or receive further remuneration from the clients than the fee decided by the court in accordance with the relevant legislation. The hourly compensation may deviate from the hourly costs norm if it is warranted by reason of the skill and care exhibited by counsel or other significant circumstances.

Section 4.1 of the Code of Conduct includes a general rule that the fees charged must always be reasonable. What is considered at reasonable fee is determined on a case by case basis.

## 6.1.3 Quality standards and disciplinary procedures for lawyers

### 157. Have quality standards been determined for lawyers?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, what are the quality criteria used?

### 158. If yes, who is responsible for formulating these quality standards:

the bar association

the Parliament

other (please specify): .....

Comments

### 159. Is it possible to file a complaint about:

the performance of lawyers

the amount of fees

Comments - Please specify:

### 160. Which authority is responsible for disciplinary procedures?

a judge

Ministry of Justice

a professional authority

other (please specify): The Swedish Bar Association

Comments

### 161. Disciplinary proceedings initiated against lawyers. (If a disciplinary proceeding is undertaken because of several reasons, please count the proceedings only once and for the main reason.)

	Number of disciplinary proceedings
Total number of disciplinary proceedings initiated (1 + 2 + 3 + 4)	504 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

1. Breach of professional ethics	504 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
2. Professional inadequacy	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
3. Criminal offence	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
4. Other	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP

Comments - If "other", please specify:

## 162. Sanctions pronounced against lawyers.

	Number of sanctions
Total number of sanctions (1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5)	127 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
1. Reprimand	123 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
2. Suspension	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
3. Withdrawal from cases	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
4. Fine	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
5. Other	4 [ ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments - If "other", please specify. If a significant difference between the number of disciplinary proceedings and the number of sanctions exists, please indicate the reasons. 4 cases of disbarment

## 7. Court related mediation and other alternative Dispute Resolution

### 7.1. Court related mediation

#### 7.1.1 Details on court related mediation

#### 163. Does the judicial system provide for court-related mediation procedures?

( X ) Yes

( ) No

Comments

#### 163-1. In some fields, does the judicial system provide for mandatory mediation with a mediator?

Before/instead of going to court

Ordered by the court, the judge, the public prosecutor or a public authority in the course of a judicial proceeding

No mandatory mediation

Comments - If there is mandatory mediation, please specify which fields are concerned:

### 163-2. In some fields, does the legal system provide for mandatory informative sessions with a mediator?

Yes

No

Comments - If there are mandatory informative sessions, please specify which fields are concerned: Since March 2022, if a couple with children under the age of 18, wants to file for divorce and/or disagree on questions regarding custody, residence and visitation of their children they must first have participated in information sessions with the municipality in order for it to be possible to initiate proceedings in court.

It is often the family law department at the municipality that conducts the information sessions with the parents. After the sessions, a certificate is issued, which is used if the parents still do not agree and choose to submit the case before court.

### 164. Please specify, by type of cases, who provides court-related mediation services:

	Private mediator	Public authority (other than the court)	Judge	Public prosecutor
<b>Civil and commercial cases</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Family cases</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Administrative cases</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Labour cases including employment dismissals</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Criminal cases</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Consumer cases</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

### 165. Is there a possibility to receive legal aid for court-related mediation or receive these services free of charge?

Yes

No

NAP

Comments - If yes, please specify:

=



**166. Number of accredited or registered mediators for court-related mediation:**

	<b>Total</b>	<b>Males</b>	<b>Females</b>
<b>Number of mediators</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

**166-1. Could you please describe what are the requirements and what is the procedure to become an accredited or registered mediator in your country (educational requirements, working experiences, accrediting procedure etc.)?**

. There are no formal requirements for education or experience for someone to be appointed as a mediator. However, experience of working as a lawyer or judge has proved valuable in mediation assignments.

**167. Number of court-related mediations:**

	<b>Number of cases for which the parties agreed to start mediation</b>	<b>Number of finished court-related mediations</b>	<b>Number of cases in which there is a settlement agreement</b>
<b>Total (1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5 + 6 + 7)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>1. Civil and commercial cases</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2. Family cases</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>3. Administrative cases</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>4. Labour cases including employment dismissal cases</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>5. Criminal cases</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>6. Consumer cases</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>7. Other cases</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

=

**168. Do the following alternative dispute resolution (ADR) methods exist in your country?**

- Mediation other than court-related mediation
- Arbitration
- Conciliation (if different from mediation)
- Other ADR (please specify): .....

Comments

**G1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part**

Source: The National Courts Administration

**8.Enforcement of court decisions**

**8.1.Execution of decisions in civil matters**

**8.1.1 Number of enforcement agents, status and mandate**

**169. Number and type of enforcement agents in your country.**

	Total	Male	Female
<b>Total (1+2+3+4)</b>	661 <input type="checkbox"/> NA	258 <input type="checkbox"/> NA	403 <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>1. Private professionals under the authority (control) of public authorities</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2. Enforcement agents working in a public institution (civil servants paid by state)</b>	661 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	258 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	403 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>3. Judges</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>4. Other</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If other, please specify their status and competences:

**170. What are the requirements to access the profession of enforcement agent (multiple replies possible)?**

- diploma
- professional experience

specific exam

appointment procedure by the State

initial training

other

Comments - If "other", please specify:

**171. Are enforcement agents appointed to office for an undetermined period (i.e. "for life" = until the official age of retirement)?**

Yes, please indicate the age of retirement: 68

No, please specify the duration of the appointment: .....

Comments - If yes, are there exceptions (e.g. dismissal as a disciplinary sanction)? Please specify: Dismissal as disciplinary action may occur.

**8.1.2 Activities/scope of competence**



**171-1. Which debtor's information can the enforcement agent access at the beginning of the enforcement procedure?**

	Access to information	Direct electronic access to information
Address	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Date of birth	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Civil status	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Cohabitant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Employer	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Motor vehicle	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Movable property	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Immovable property	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Bank account	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Other enforcement proceedings underway	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Insolvency proceedings (bankruptcy, judicial reorganisation, collective debt settlement etc.)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Comments - If "other", please specify: Since last survey, a new law (2020:272) regarding bank deposit system has entered into force. The



Swedish Tax Authority operates this system. Every bank and financial institution is obliged to connect to the system. The Enforcement Authority has access to it and may through one question immediately ask and receive an answer from every bank and financial institution whether the debtor has a bank account or bank deposit. The answer does not give any information about the amount on the bank account. The Enforcement Authority thereafter turns to each bank/financial institution with which the debtor has a customer relationship to investigate whether a decision of attachment is possible.

**171-2. Can the enforcement agent carry out the following civil enforcement proceedings:**

	Option
<b>Seizure of movable tangible properties</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Preventive seizure of movable tangible properties</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Seizure of immovable properties</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Preventive seizure of immovable properties</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Seizure from a third party of the debtor claims regarding a sum of money</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Seizure of remunerations</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Seizure of motorised vehicles</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

<b>Eviction measures</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Seizures of boats and ships</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Seizure of aircrafts</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Seizure of electronic assets (e.g cryptocurrency)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Enforced sale by public tender of seized properties</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Sale of shares</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Other</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

**171-3. Apart from the enforcement of court decisions, what are the other activities that can be carried out by enforcement agents?**

Service of judicial and extrajudicial documents

Debt recovery

Voluntary or public auctions of moveable or immoveable property

- Custody of goods
- Recording and reporting of evidence
- Court hearings service
- Provision of legal advice
- Bankruptcy procedures
- Performing tasks assigned by judges
- Representing parties in courts
- Drawing up private deeds and documents
- Building manager
- Other

Comments

### **8.1.3 Training and ICT**

**172-1. Is there a system of mandatory general continuous training for enforcement agents?**

- Yes
- No

Comments

**172-2. Do you have an e-learning training system established for enforcement agents?**

- Yes
- No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

**172-3. Does the content of the continuous training system also include ICT (related to enforcement procedures)?**

- Yes
- No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

**172-4. Have an electronic service of documents or electronic notifications been introduced in your country?**

- Yes
- No

Comments

**172-5. Does the development of new technologies have an effect on the different stages of the enforcement procedure?**

- Yes
- No

Comments - Please explain: Successively as the debtor can find out more and more information about the enforcement procedure,

through e-services, there is less demand to answer questions. And as there is successively more access to digital information when investigating assets, the asset investigation procedure runs smoother and the likelihood for a better result increases.

### 8.1.4 Fees

**174. Are enforcement fees easily established and transparent for parties?**

Yes

No

Comments

**175-1. Are the fees charged in case of successful enforcement proceedings freely negotiated?**

Yes

No

Comments

**175-2. Who has to pay these fees if the enforcement proceedings are successful?**

The debtor

The creditor

Other – please specify .....

Comments

**176. Do laws provide any rules on enforcement fees (including those freely negotiated)?**

Yes

No

Comments

**H0. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part**

Source: The Swedish Enforcement Agency and  
17th chapter Enforcement Code and Regulation regarding enforcement costs.

### 8.1.5 Organisation of profession and efficiency of enforcement services

**177. Is there a body entrusted with supervising and monitoring the enforcement agents' activity?**

Yes

No

Comments

**178. Which authority is responsible for supervising and monitoring enforcement agents?**

professional body

judge

- Ministry of Justice
- public prosecutor
- other (please specify): .....

Comments

**181. Is there a specific mechanism for executing court decisions rendered against public authorities, including supervising such execution?**

- Yes
- No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

**182. Is there a system for monitoring how the enforcement procedure is conducted by the enforcement agent?**

- Yes
- No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

**183. What are the main complaints made by users concerning the enforcement procedure? Please indicate a maximum of 3.**

- no execution at all
- non execution of court decisions against public authorities
- lack of information
- excessive length
- unlawful practices
- insufficient supervision
- excessive cost
- unethical behaviour of enforcement agent
- other (please specify): .....

Comments

**185. Is there a system measuring the length of enforcement procedures:**

	Existence of the system
for civil cases	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
for administrative cases	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Comments In 2022 there were 1 265 707 public cases and 728 656 private cases arriving for enforcement. The complete investigation was performed within nine months in 97 % of the arriving cases.

**186. Regarding a decision on debt collection, please estimate the average timeframe to serve**

and/or notify the decision to the parties who live in the city where the court sits (one option only):

between 1 and 5 days

between 6 and 10 days

between 11 and 30 days

more (please specify): .....

NA

Comments

**187. Number of disciplinary proceedings initiated against enforcement agents. (If a disciplinary proceeding is undertaken because of several reasons, please count the proceedings only once and for the main reason.)**

	Number of disciplinary proceedings initiated
<b>Total number of initiated disciplinary proceedings (1+2+3+4)</b>	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>1. For breach of professional ethics</b>	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2. For professional inadequacy</b>	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>3. For criminal offence</b>	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>4. Other</b>	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If "other", please specify:

**188. Number of sanctions pronounced against enforcement agents:**

	Number of sanctions pronounced
<b>Total number of sanctions (1+2+3+4+5)</b>	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>1. Reprimand</b>	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2. Suspension</b>	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>3. Withdrawal from cases</b>	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>4. Fine</b>	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

5. Other

0

NA

NAP

Comments - If "other", please specify. If a significant difference between the number of disciplinary proceedings and the number of sanctions exists, please indicate the reasons:

## H1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Source: The Swedish Enforcement Agency's HR manager

## 8.2. Execution of decisions in criminal matters

### 8.2.1 Functioning of execution in criminal matters

189. Which authority is in charge of the enforcement of judgments in criminal matters? (multiple replies possible)

Judge

Public prosecutor

Prison and Probation Services

Enforcement agent

Other authority (please specify): The Swedish Police Authority

Comments - Please specify his/her functions and duties (e.g. initiative or monitoring functions).

190. Are the effective recovery rates of fines decided by a criminal court evaluated by studies?

Yes

No

Comments

191. If yes, what is the recovery rate?

80-100%

50-79%

less than 50%

Comments - Please indicate the source for answering this question:

## 9. Notaries

### 9.1. Profession of notary

#### 9.1.1 Number, status and mandate of notaries

192. Number and status of notaries in your country.

	Total	Males	Females
<b>TOTAL (1+2+3+4)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>1. Private professionals (without control from public authorities)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2. Holders of public offices appointed by the State</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>3. Civil servants (paid by the State)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>4. Other</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If "Other", please specify the status, or if "holder of a public office appointed by the State", please indicate which ministry is mainly engaged in the appointment procedure:

### 192-1. What are the access conditions to the profession of notary (multiple replies possible):

diploma

professional experience

specific exam

appointment procedure by the State

initial training

other (please specify): Sufficient language skills, not declared bankrupt, certificate of freedom from trusteeship (3 § Förordning (1982:327) om notarius publicus).

Comments "Other": Sufficient language skills, not declared bankrupt, certificate of freedom from trusteeship (3 § Förordning (1982:327) om notarius publicus).

### 192-2. Are notaries appointed to office for an undetermined period (i.e. "for life" = until the official age of retirement)?

yes, please indicate the age of retirement: 67

no, please specify the duration of the appointment: .....

Comments - are there exceptions (e.g. dismissal as a disciplinary sanction)? Please specify:

### 9.1.2 Activities/scope of competences

#### 194. What kind of activities do notaries perform (multiple options possible)?

Please select one option
--------------------------



<b>Authentication</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Certification of signatures</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Mediation</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Taking of oaths</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Non-contentious judicial procedures (e.g. acting as court commissioner in a successions file, performing divorce, division of estate, please specify)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Act as civil servant (for example performing marriage, please specify)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Other judicial functions (for example, payment orders)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Public auctions</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Other (for example collect taxes, run registers etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
---	--

Comments - If “other”, please specify. Please indicate any useful clarifications regarding the content of the notaries’ exclusive rights or, on the opposite, other bodies that also have competences for the listed activities.

**194-2. In which areas of law do notaries perform their activities (multiple replies possible)?**

- Real estate transaction
- Family law
- Succession law
- Company law
- Legality control of gambling activities
- Protection of vulnerable persons
- Other

Comments

**9.1.3 ICT, organisation of the profession and training**

**194-3. Do notaries use specialised ICT systems in their activity?**

- In their relations with the State (e.g. courts, registries, chambers of commerce, tax authorities)
- In their relations with their clients
- In their relations with other notaries (e.g. videoconferencing, system to exchange documents)

Comments No general information

**194-4. Which computerised registries can notaries consult?**

- Land registry
- Business registry
- Civil status / Population registry
- Succession / Family law registry
- Any other registry (please specify) .....
- None

Comments No general information, but everyone can consult public registries and what other registries that an individual NP can access is up to if the NP has bought access to a specific registry or not.

**194-5. Are there registries/ registry infrastructures run by the notaries?**

- Yes
- No

Comments - If yes, please specify: No information

**194-6. In which computerised registries can notaries modify data (either directly or by submitting**

an online request)?

	Directly modifying	Indirectly modifying by submitting an online request
<b>Land registry</b>	( ) Yes ( ) No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	( ) Yes ( ) No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Business registry</b>	( ) Yes ( ) No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	( ) Yes ( ) No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Civil status/ Population registry</b>	( ) Yes ( ) No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	( ) Yes ( ) No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Succession / Family law registry</b>	( ) Yes ( ) No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	( ) Yes ( ) No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Any other registry (please specify)</b>	( ) Yes ( ) No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	( ) Yes ( ) No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>None</b>	( ) Yes ( ) No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	( ) Yes ( ) No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments No information

=

### 194-7. What ICT tools are used by notaries in their relations with clients?

- Videoconferencing (e.g. digital advice)
- Digital act
- Digital identification
- Digital archiving
- Other, please specify .....
- None

Comments No general information

### 194-8. Who is responsible to run the digital archives?

- Notariat / Professional body
- Other public authority
- Another entity (please specify) Each notary is responsible for keeping his/her own archives

Comments

### 195. Is there an authority entrusted with supervising and monitoring the notaries' work?

- Yes
- No

Comments

**196. If yes, which authority is responsible for supervising and monitoring notaries (multiple options possible)?**

- professional body
- court
- Ministry of Justice
- public prosecutor

other (please specify):The county administrative boards are responsible for appointing notaries and can dismiss a notary who is unsuitable or unable to fulfill his/her duties in a satisfying way. The boards are, however, not responsible for supervising and monitoring the notaries' work. Those notaries who are lawyers and members of the Swedish Bar Association must follow the professional and ethical standards of the legal profession. If a person is dissatisfied with a member of the Bar Association, he or she can make a complaint to the Bar Association's Disciplinary Committee.

Comments

**196-1. Is there a system of general continuous training for all notaries?**

- Yes
- No

Comments It is not a requirement for notaries to have training on these subjects, however a notary must have a law degree and sufficient language skills.

**196-2. Do notaries have training on:**

	Yes	No
European law	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Law of another Member State (cross-border training programmes)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Comments - If yes, please indicate the types (e.g. traditional courses, e-learning, webinar) and the major topics of the training activities:

**I1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part**

Sources: The Swedish County Administrative Board, the NP's office assistant for the Gothenburg region

**10.Judicial experts**

**10.1.Profession of judicial expert**

**10.1.1Status of judicial experts**

**202. In your system, what types of judicial experts can participate in judicial procedures (multiple replies possible):**

Experts designated by the parties in support of their arguments but bound by a duty of independence and impartiality to the court

Experts appointed by the court or other authority independent of the parties

Other system of judicial expertise, please specify Medical Experts

Comments - Please specify who is proposing and appointing experts in an individual case.

## **202-1. Are there lists or any other form of official registration for judicial experts?**

Yes

No

Comments

### **202-1-1. If yes, at which level is the list established (multiple replies possible):**

national

administrative district or federal entity

judicial district

other

Comments - Please, indicate any other comment regarding these lists or databases of experts, if they do exist (e.g. does the expert take an oath? How are his/her skills evaluated? By whom?):

### **202-1-2. Are these lists publicly available?**

Yes, available on the internet

Yes

No

Comments

## **202-2. Which authority is competent for the registration of judicial experts?**

Ministry of justice

Courts

Administrative body

Independent body (association of judicial experts)

Other

Comments - Please also specify the registration criteria:

## **202-3. Is the registration of judicial experts limited in time?**

Yes, for how long varying 3-6 years in general

No

Comments

## **202-4. Can an expert who is not on the list or not registered be appointed in a case?**

Yes

No

Comment - If yes, please specify in which cases:

**203. Is the title of judicial experts protected?**

Yes

No

Comments - If appropriate, please explain the meaning of this protection:

**203-1. Does the judicial expert have an obligation of training?**

	Obligation of training
<b>Initial training</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Continuous training</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Comments

**203-2. If yes, does this training concern:**

judicial proceedings

the profession of expert

other

Comments

=

**204. Is the function of judicial experts regulated by legal norms?**

Yes

No

Comments

**204-1. On the occasion of a task entrusted to him/her, does the judicial expert have to report any potential conflicts of interest?**

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

**205. Number of accredited or registered judicial experts:**

	Total	Males	Females
<b>Number of experts</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

**206-1. Number of cases where an expert opinion was ordered by a judge or requested by the parties**

	Number of cases
<b>Total (1+2+3+4)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>1.Civil and commercial litigious cases</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2.Administrative cases</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>3.Criminal cases</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>4.Other cases</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

### 205-1. Who defines the amount of the expert remuneration?

	In civil/administrative cases	In criminal cases
<b>Defined by law/by-law or a special regulation</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Defined by the court/judge</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Defined by the Ministry of Justice or another ministry (setting a tariff for example)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Salary of public official (in case of forensic or another specialist – who is public employee)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Freely agreed between expert and the parties</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Other</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If other, please specify:

### 206. Are there binding provisions for judicial experts regarding:

	Yes	No
<b>Deadlines to provide expertise</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Quality of expertise</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Other	( )	( X )
-------	-----	-------

NAP

Comments - If yes, please specify, and provide details in case there are possible sanctions:

**207-1. Does the judge or another body control the progress of the expertise?**

Yes

No

If yes, please specify: The judge controls the progress of the expertise to some extent, for example as regards formulating the expert's assignment, what to include information on in a written statement, time frames. As regards expert members of the court/s/: The Judges Proposals Board is able to cancel the appointment of the expert, for example because of personal circumstances or lack of factual expertise.

**207-2. Are judicial experts' associations involved in:**

Selection processes

Initial or continuous training

Disciplinary procedures

NAP

Comments

**K1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part**

Sources: The Judges Proposals Board

**11.Reforms in judiciary**

**11.1.Foreseen reforms**

**11.1.1Reforms**

**208. Can you provide information on the current debate in your country regarding the functioning of justice? Are there undergoing or foreseen reforms? If possible, please observe the following categories:**

**208-1. (Comprehensive) reform plans**

Yes (planned)

Yes (adopted)

Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)

No

NA

Comments - If yes, please specify: Inquiry of anonymous witnesses. Anyone who has witnessed a crime must be able to feel safe



providing information in criminal investigations and in court. On 19 December 2022 the Government appointed an Inquiry with the task of propose a system that enables anonymous witnesses in special cases. The inquiry will also analyse the application of the provisions on mitigation of punishment for assisting in the investigation of another person's crime and the possibility to use early documented interrogations, within the preliminary investigation, at the trial, and decide whether the rules need to be changed in any respect. The assignment to propose a system with anonymous witnesses shall be reported no later than 2 October 2023. The other parts will be presented no later than 28 April 2024.

## 208-2. Budget

Yes (planned)

Yes (adopted)

Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)

No

NA

Comments - If yes, please specify: The government has increased the budget/financial resources for the Swedish Prosecution Authority in recent years and the number of employees working as prosecutors and other employees within the authority has increased with almost 400 during the last four years, totalling almost 1 800 employees by December 2022.

To strengthen the preconditions in fighting crime and increasing security the Government decided during 2017 to increase the number of people working as police officers and civilians within the Police agency with 10 000, totalling 38 000 employees by 2024.

## 208-3. Courts and public prosecution services (e.g. powers and organisation, structural changes - e.g. reduction of the number of courts (geographic locations), competences of the courts, management and working methods, information technologies, backlogs and efficiency, court fees, renovations and construction of new buildings)

Yes (planned)

Yes (adopted)

Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)

No

NA

Comments - If yes, please specify: The Swedish Courts Administration is responsible for all courts and court buildings, which generate that there are always a continuing process to renovate, relocate and build new court houses. During 2022, there were approximately 20 projects ongoing such as installation of security equipment, relocation of court houses and renovations.

## 208-4. Access to justice and legal aid

Yes (planned)

Yes (adopted)

Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)

No

NA

Comments - If yes, please specify:

## 208-5. High Judicial Council (competent for judges and/or prosecutors)

Yes (planned)

Yes (adopted)

Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)

No

NA

Comments - If yes, please specify:

### **208-6. Legal professionals (judges, public prosecutors, lawyers, notaries, enforcement agents, etc.): organisation, education and training, etc.**

Yes (planned)

Yes (adopted)

Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)

No

NA

Comments - If yes, please specify:

### **208-7. Gender equality**

Yes (planned)

Yes (adopted)

Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)

No

NA

Comments - If yes, please specify:

### **208-8. Reforms regarding civil, criminal and administrative laws, international conventions and cooperation activities**

Yes (planned)

Yes (adopted)

Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)

No

NA

Comments - If yes, please specify: On 1 January 2022 amended legislation came into force that increases the possibilities for courts to decide cases without a hearing. The amendments concern criminal cases in district courts and civil cases in courts of appeal. (Government bill 2020/21:214, Utökade möjligheter att avgöra mål på handlingarna i allmän domstol).

On 1 January 2022 amended legislation came into force increasing the possibilities to use early documented interrogations, within the preliminary investigation, at the trial. The purpose of the amendments is to enable evidence to be presented in the most suitable way in court and to decrease the stress of and pressure on witnesses. (Government bill 2020/21:209, Utökade möjligheter att använda tidiga förhör.)

On 1 July 2022 amended legislation came into force making it possible to mitigate a sentence for assisting in investigation of a crime committed by another person. Furthermore, measures was taken to strengthen the rights and support of witnesses during criminal proceedings. The measures include right to certain information during the preliminary investigation and on confidentiality of certain information regarding the witness whereabouts at the time of the proceedings. The amendments also include clarifications of the court's role in criminal cases, for example the court's responsibility for substantive case management. (Government bill 2021/22:186, En stärkt rättsprocess och en ökad lagföring.)

In November 2021, the Government appointed an inquiry to review the legislation on imprisonment of offenders aged 15–17 and to propose suitable reforms (Ju 2021:17). A starting point for the inquiry is the need for legislation that allows for criminal sanctions severe

enough to reflect the seriousness of grave offences, includes appropriate measures to prevent recidivism and guarantees a safe and secure environment. A report is to be submitted to the Ministry of Justice by 3 August 2023.

In June 2022, the Government appointed an inquiry to review the legislation on conditional release from prison, among other issues. The inquiry is also tasked with making suggestions on a new kind of sentence that is not limited in time, for repeat offenders that are considered especially dangerous. A report is to be submitted to the Ministry of Justice by 1 July 2024.

## **208-9. Enforcement of court decisions and in particular regarding decisions against public authorities**

- Yes (planned)
- Yes (adopted)
- Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)
- No
- NA

Comments - If yes, please specify:

## **208-10. Mediation and other Alternative Dispute Resolution**

- Yes (planned)
- Yes (adopted)
- Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)
- No
- NA

Comments - If yes, please specify: A possibility for mediation was introduced as a part of the Swedish implementation of the Digital Single Market Directive. This concerns disputes arising from a content-sharing service's decision to disable access to or remove works or other subject matter because of copyright issues. The reform entered in to force on 1 January 2023. (Government bill 2021/22:278 Upphovsrätten på den digitala inre marknaden)

## **208-11. Fight against crime**

- Yes (planned)
- Yes (adopted)
- Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)
- No
- NA

Comments - If yes, please specify: Covert coercive measures

The Government has presented a legislative proposal to expand the possibilities to use covert coercive measures, such as secret interception of electronic communications, to investigate serious crimes. The proposal would allow law enforcement authorities to use such coercive measures to prevent and investigate serious crimes to a greater extent than today. Moreover, there are currently several ongoing public inquiries that aims to further improve the use of coercive measures. Increased penalties for crimes common in criminal networks

In August 2021 an inquiry presented proposals aiming to increase the penalties for criminal offences that are common in the context of criminal networks and as a part of organized crime. The Government has moved forward with large parts of the proposals and submitted a legislative proposal to The Parliament (Riksdag). The proposal includes, among other things, increased penalties for criminal offences such as robbery, extortion and sales of illicit narcotics. The penalties will also be increased for criminal offences which involves shootings or explosions. In addition, a new offence is introduced which criminalizes the involvement of persons under the age of 18 in criminal activity. The legislative amendments came into force on 1 July 2023.

Agreement between Finland and Sweden on police cooperation

In October 2021 Finland and Sweden signed an agreement on police cooperation in the northern border area. The purpose of the agreement is to develop an in-depth cooperation and assist each other with help in urgent situations to prevent serious crime. The agreement contains measures carried out either on or without a request. A request can be made to the neighboring country if urgent assistance is needed to prevent a serious criminal offence involving danger to the life, health or physical integrity of an individual. Assistance may also be provided to the neighboring country without a request if there is risk that the danger will materialize before the host country's police arrive. Since August 2022 an investigator has had the assignment to investigate and analyse how the agreement can be incorporated into Swedish law. The investigation presented its work to the government in June 2023 and the report/memorandum has thereafter been sent out for viewpoints to concerned authorities.

#### Inquiry of the use of biometric data and technology in criminal investigations

In May 2021, the Government appointed an inquiry with the overall task to assess the use of biometric data and technology in criminal investigations. The aim of the inquiry is to increase the possibilities to identify suspects with the use of forensic biometrics technology that involve the use of unique identifiers such as fingerprints, DNA and facial recognition. In March 2022, the Government appointed the inquiry an additional task to also assess and propose how to legislate the use of commercial genealogy sites in order to solve serious crimes. In June 2023, the inquiry submitted its report to the Ministry of Justice.

#### Assignment to the Swedish National Council for Crime Prevention

In February 2023, the Government commissioned the Swedish National Council for Crime Prevention (Brå) to develop the agency's national support for crime prevention work. Specifically, Brå shall strengthen the work concerning appropriate and practical support for municipalities and other actors, the support for the County Administrative Boards, the national coordination, the follow-up of the crime prevention work, and the development of knowledge about crime prevention measures. The assignment shall be reported to the Government in 2023, 2024, and 2025.

#### Criminal law protection for journalists

The Government has proposed, among other things, several legislative proposals to strengthen criminal law protection for journalists and those performing certain vital functions in society. One of the proposals means that the penalty provisions for the offences of violence or threat against a public official and abuse of a public official in Chapter 17 Sections 1 and 2 of the Swedish Criminal Code will be applied on those performing certain vital functions in society. The legislative proposals will enter into force on 1 August 2023.

**Inquiry of body search zones** In December 2022 an inquiry was assigned the task to investigate and submit proposals on how a system with time limited and geographically defined body search zones can be implemented in Sweden. Within such a zone police shall have extended powers to carry out e.g. body searches in order to look for weapons and other dangerous objects. The aim of the proposals should be to contribute to the prevention of e.g. shootings in the criminal environment. The assignment will be reported no later than January 22, 2024.

#### Inquiry of the possibility to limit the right of individuals to stay in certain places

In May 2022 an inquiry was assigned the task to investigate if a possibility should be introduced to limit the right of individuals to stay in certain places or in certain areas, even if the person is not convicted of a crime. It could e.g. be about banning people with links to criminal networks to stay in a certain place. In June 2023, the inquiry submitted its report to the Ministry of Justice.

#### Improved opportunities to exchange information with law enforcement agencies

In April 2022 the Government appointed an inquiry to submit a proposal to improve authorities ability to share information with law enforcement authorities. The remit refers to such information that is needed in the activities of the law enforcement authorities. The inquiry will present its report on 31 October 2023.

#### Youth Crime Boards

In June 2022 an inquiry was assigned the task to analyse the Danish system with youth crime boards and propose the introduction of a similar system in Sweden, adapted to Swedish conditions and regulations. The purpose of the investigation is to achieve clearer measures from society when children and young people have ended up in crime, while the investigator's proposal should enable earlier, sufficient and more coordinated efforts to prevent continued crime. The assignment will report no later than 27 March 2024.

#### Crime prevention assignments to the SBU

The Swedish Agency for Health Technology Assessment and Assessment of Social Services (SBU) has been commissioned by the Government to evaluate the scientific evidence for initiatives about the crime prevention area that the social services can use in their work with children and young people who are caught up in antisocial and criminal behaviour. The SBU must submit its report at the latest on 31 December 2023. The Government has also instructed SBU to review the current knowledge regarding social interventions for preventing youth criminality. The instruction is to review governmental guidelines, recommendations and technical reports published by and for the Nordic countries. The aim of the review is to summarize methods to prevent youth criminality in each Nordic country and to analyse whether there are any similarities and differences between the countries. A report is to be submitted to the Ministry of Health and Social Affairs at the latest on 31 December 2023.

## 208-12. Prison system

Yes (planned)

Yes (adopted)

Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)

No

NA

Comments - If yes, please specify: On 1 October 2022, legislative amendments came into force, which means, among other things that the Swedish Prison and Probation Service can examine if a prison sentence can if a can be enforced with electronic monitoring without the convict's own request.

## 208-13. Child friendly justice

Yes (planned)

Yes (adopted)

Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)

No

NA

Comments - If yes, please specify: Information efforts for people in particularly vulnerable situations

In December 2022, the Government commissioned the Swedish Crime Victim Authority to carrying out targeted information efforts for people in particularly vulnerable situations, such as children and young people and people exposed to honour-related oppression. The aim is to give these people full knowledge of their rights and opportunities for help and support. The assignment shall be reported to the Government in June 2024.

Proposal for a national strategy

In April 2021, the Government appointed an inquiry to prepare a proposal for a national strategy to prevent and combat violence against children, including honour related violence and oppression. The strategy was intended to establish an overall perspective and enable a coherent direction for the work over the next ten years in Sweden.

The inquiry submitted its report A childhood free from violence – A national strategy to prevent and combat violence against children (SOU 2022:70) to the Ministry of Health and Social Affairs in January 2023. The report has been sent out to a large number of referral bodies and the last day for them to submit their referral response is on August 7, 2023. After that, the responses will be reviewed, within the Government Offices of Sweden. The report contains proposals for a national strategy to prevent and combat violence against children. The strategy covers all forms of violence to which children may be exposed, regardless of where or by whom the violence is inflicted. The strategy sets out an overall goal and five long-term objectives that indicate the focus for the work over the next ten years. In the report the inquiry also proposes an organisation for the strategy's implementation, the development of knowledge in this area, and follow-up. Within the strategy's five long-term objectives, five horizontal priority areas are identified where the inquiry assesses that bringing about change is particularly urgent.

Stronger focus on the risk for violence in custody cases

In September 2021, the Government appointed an inquiry to review parts of the Children and Parents Code with the aim to improve the conditions for continuity, stability and security for children placed in family homes and children experiencing violence by parents. In its report (SOU 2022:71), that was presented in January 2023, the inquiry has several proposals. Among them are the following: – A new wording of the section on the best interests of the child, in i.e. custody cases. The law should clarify that the assessment of the best interests of the child is a holistic assessment that must consider the circumstances of the individual child. The text of the law shall state that the risk of harm to the child must be part of that assessment. No other specific circumstances to be considered in the assessment shall be mentioned.

– Custody of a child permanently cared for and raised in a private home other than the parental home shall be transferable to the person(s) taking in charge the child if continuation of the existing relationship, rather than reunification with his or her parents, is best for the child.

– Upon examination of issues regarding a specially appointed guardian or temporary guardian, public counsel shall be appointed for the child and the child's guardian. The first prerequisite is that the social welfare committee or the court has initiated a transfer of custody. A second prerequisite is that the transfer of custody involves the transfer of custody of the child from the child's parents to another.

The report has been sent out to a large number of referral bodies and the last day for them to submit their referral response was on 8 May 2023. The responses are now being reviewed within the Government Offices of Sweden.

#### Lowered age limit for support to children without parental consent

From 1 July 2023 amendments has come into force, reducing the age limit for when the social services can if deemed appropriate offer support efforts without parents' or custodians' consent to children not placed in care. The age limit was lowered from when children has turned 15 years to when children has turned 12 years. The child offered the support must give hers or his consent to it. If appropriate and the child consent to it, the social services may also appoint a contact person or a specially qualified contact person to a child that has turned 12 years. An extended travel ban for children

On 3 March 2021, the Ministry of Social Affairs decided to instruct an investigator to review whether the scope of the travel ban for children that had come into force on 1 July 2020, should be extended to include the risk of a child being taken abroad or leaving Sweden for the purpose of being subjected to criminal acts other than marriage and genital mutilation. The mission also included considering whether the scope should be extended to include the risk of a child being taken abroad or leaving Sweden for the purpose of participating in terrorist crime, crime linked to criminal networks or other serious crimes. The investigator handed in the report An extended travel ban for children (Ds 2022:9) in May 2022. In the report it is proposed that the scope of the existing travel ban should be extended. An exit ban must be able to be decided if there is tangible risk that a child's health and development are at risk of being damaged due to the child being exposed to, or exposing himself to, such conditions during a stay abroad that could lead to care according to the Care of Young Persons (Special Provisions) Act. The report has been sent out for public consultation and is currently being reviewed at the Government Offices. Supporting material on violence against children and young persons with disabilities

In June 2023 the Government commissioned the Agency for Participation with charting and developing support material on violence against children and young persons with disabilities. In the assignment the agency will look at the supply, access to and need for adapted working methods and communication support for services that meet children and young persons with disabilities. The assignment includes identifying the need for support efforts both to detect and prevent exposure to violence and the use of violence, with a focus on risk situations that have already arisen. The support material will be based on established methods and the possibility of evaluating their effects. In addition, the Agency will do an in-depth charting of honour-related violence and oppression against persons with disabilities. The assignment shall be reported at the latest on 15 February 2025. Improved measures when children are suspected of having committed crimes

A legislation project regarding children who are suspected of having committed crimes has been ongoing during 2022 and new legislation will enter into force on 1 July 2023. The amendments will make it possible to hold evidentiary proceedings against children under the age of criminal responsibility (under the age of 15 years) more frequently. This will give the Social Services a better basis for their decision on which measures the child needs. The amendments also make it possible to appoint a counsel for the injured party or a special representative for a child (such a representative is appointed for a child who is an injured party when the child's custodians are unable to assert the child's rights) during a criminal investigation when the suspect is under the age of criminal responsibility.

## 208-14. Domestic violence

Yes (planned)

Yes (adopted)

Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)

No

NA

Comments - If yes, please specify: On 1 January 2022, legislative amendments on the minimum penalty for gross violation of a woman's integrity and gross violation of integrity came into force. The minimum penalty was increased from nine months to imprisonment for one year (and at most six years). At the same time the legislation on non-contact orders was amended so that an extended non-contact order, regardless of whether the intended subject of the order has breached a previous non-contact order, may be combined with an electronic monitoring provision. Also, the requirements for specially extended non-contact orders and non-contact orders related to a joint residence were changed and the scale of penalties for breaches of non-contact orders amended from a fine or imprisonment for most one year to imprisonment for (at least 14 days and) at most one year. The rule of freedom from responsibility for minor cases was replaced with a provision to the effect that in minor cases the penalty is a fine.

In February 2022 the Government appointed an inquiry tasked to inter alia considering whether the ground gender should be added as an aggravating circumstance.

On 1 July 2022, legislative amendments came into force regarding violation of the privacy of the home and unlawful intrusion. The

changes in the law consisted, among others, of raising the maximum penalty for offences of the normal degree and enhancing the scale of penalties for gross offences. Violation of the privacy of the home is often associated with men's violence against women and domestic violence.

In July 2022 the Government appointed an inquiry tasked to review the legal framework governing non-contact orders. The review aims to prevent and ensure protection for women and children subject to domestic violence. A report is to be submitted to the Ministry of Justice on the 7th of February 2024.

In August 2022 penalties of several sexual offences were increase, for example the minimum sentences for rape and rape of a child were increased from two to three years' imprisonment.

In late 2021 the Government appointed an inquiry commissioned to suggest measures against controls of girls' and womens' sexuality, so called virginity testing, virginity certificates and hymen reconstruction surgery. In 2022 the inquiry was also tasked to review the protection under criminal law regarding so called conversion attempts, which aims at changing a person's gender identity, sexual orientation, or gender expression. A report was submitted to the Ministry of Justice on 1 July 2023. Shelters for victims of violence

In August 2022, the Government subjected a draft proposal of a bill on shelters for victims of violence to public consultation. The proposal includes several measures aiming to improve the situation of children accompanying an adult guardian in shelters. According to the proposal, sheltered accommodation is to be regulated as a specific measure of the social services. Furthermore, operating a shelter will require a license from the Health and Social Care Inspectorate. Children accompanying an adult guardian in shelters will have their needs assessed and attended to by the social services. These children will also be offered a health check and their right to education is clarified. The Social Welfare Committee is to appoint a specific social worker to deal with matters concerning the child.

Moreover, the Social Welfare Committee will have the powers to restrict contact of the child with a violent guardian and to keep the location of the child secret for such guardian during the stay in the shelter. The proposals allow for more detailed regulations of staff competence and specialisation of shelters and is therefore likely to imply better adaption to the needs of different target groups in general such as victims with disabilities. The Government has declared its intention to submit a bill on shelters for victims of violence to the parliament in 2023.

## 208-15. New information and communication technologies

Yes (planned)

Yes (adopted)

Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)

No

NA

Comments - If yes, please specify: Digital judgements in criminal proceedings For the last few years, the Swedish National Courts Administration has been working on the implementation of a project called DBM (digitalization of the case management system for criminal cases). The main purpose for the project is to create a new operational support for criminal case management. The new system was launched in October 2022. The system allows for the district courts to have their judgments in criminal cases written/issued in a digital format (XML) and signed with an electronic signature. Information on criminal cases are, to a large extent, sent between the Swedish Prosecution Authority and the courts digitally and in a structured way. The structured data are then reused in the judgments. The judgments in criminal cases are reported in a structured manner to, among others, the Swedish Prosecution Authority and the Swedish Prison and Probation Service

The new system launched in October 2022 means increased traceability through the legal chain, which in turn leads to both better abilities to keep records up-to-date and correct, and time and efficiency gains. It is also a vital prerequisite for the authorities' continued joint digitization work, including tracking a crime from reporting to conviction.

## 208-16. Other

Yes (planned)

Yes (adopted)

Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)

No

NA

Comments - If yes, please specify: