Revised text of the draft Recommendation on
Human rights and the environment

including the comments received from member States and Observers¹

Promotion and protection of human rights
by ensuring a clean, healthy and sustainable environment

¹ Czech Republic, France, Georgia, Germany, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Russian Federation, Spain, Switzerland, United Kingdom, European Commission, Conference of INGOs, International Commission of Jurists, OHCHR
[PREAMBLE]

The Committee of Ministers,

[1.] Considering that the aim of the Council of Europe is to achieve a greater unity between its members, inter alia, by promoting common standards and carrying out activities in the field of human rights and fundamental freedoms;

[2.] Recalling member States’ obligation to secure to everyone within their jurisdiction the rights and freedoms defined in the European Convention on Human Rights (ETS No. 5) (hereafter “the Convention”) and the protocols thereto, including providing an effective remedy before a national authority for violation of those rights and freedoms, and where relevant their obligations arising from the European Social Charter (ETS No. 35) (hereafter “the Charter”), the European Social Charter (revised) (ETS No. 163) (hereafter “the revised Charter”) and from other European and international human rights instruments;

[3.] Reaffirming that all human rights are universal, indivisible, interdependent and interrelated and should be enjoyed by everyone without discrimination;

[XX] Recalling States’ obligations and commitments under multilateral environmental instruments and agreements on climate change such as the UNFCCC and the Paris Agreement.

[XY] Reaffirms the Paris Agreement temperature goal of holding the increase in the global average temperature to well below 2 °C above pre-industrial levels and pursuing efforts to limit the temperature increase to 1.5 °C above pre-industrial levels.

[4.] RUSSIAN FEDERATION
To delete paragraph 4 since the Resolution mentioned in it is nonconsensual.

[5.] Aware that the loss of biodiversity, depletion of natural resources and chemical pollution bring serious challenges for society, that climate change is one of the most pressing and serious threats to present and future generations, and that warming should be limited to 1.5 °C above pre-industrial levels;

[or] Recognizing that measures to anticipate, prevent or minimize the loss of biodiversity; depletion of natural resources; chemical pollution and the causes of climate change, including reducing greenhouse gas emissions, and their impact, contribute to the better enjoyment of human rights;

[or]

[5.] Aware that the loss of biodiversity, depletion of natural resources and chemical pollution bring serious challenges for society, that climate change is one of the most pressing and serious threats to
present and future generations\(^5\), and that warming should be limited to 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels\(^5\).

5. Aware that loss of biodiversity, depletion of natural resources and chemical pollution bring serious challenges for society\(^5\), that climate change is one of the most pressing and serious threats to present and future generations\(^5\), and that warming should be limited to 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels\(^5\).

5. Recognizing that measures to anticipate, prevent or minimize the loss of biodiversity, depletion of natural resources, chemical pollution and the causes of climate change, including reducing greenhouse gas emissions, and their impact, contribute to the better enjoyment of human rights\(^5\).

[or]

Acknowledging that the unprecedented and interrelated threats posed by climate change, biodiversity loss, the unsustainable management and use of natural resources, the pollution of air, land and water, the unsound management of chemicals and waste to present and future generations and that they have negative implications, both direct and indirect, for the effective enjoyment of all human rights.

We would prefer language closer to that agreed in the HRC resolution; in terms of the second para would improve the enjoyment of all human rights e.g. freedom of expression, freedom from torture, right to a fair trial, right to education etc. We would prefer, “can contribute to the ability to better enjoy some human rights”.\(^5\)

[PPS] We would prefer language closer to that agreed in the HRC resolution; in terms of the second para would improve the enjoyment of all human rights e.g. freedom of expression, freedom from torture, right to a fair trial, right to education etc. We would prefer, “can contribute to the ability to better enjoy some human rights”.\(^5\)

\[\text{PPS}\] We would prefer language closer to that agreed in the HRC resolution; in terms of the second para would improve the enjoyment of all human rights e.g. freedom of expression, freedom from torture, right to a fair trial, right to education etc. We would prefer, “can contribute to the ability to better enjoy some human rights”.\(^5\)

Commented [A7]: NORWAY We suggest a more updated text in line with HRC Resolution 48/13 (preambral paras) and Kunming Declaration, clarifying that we are facing several and interrelated threats.

Commented [RM11]: GERMANY Preference for this (more meaningful) alternative.

Commented [FAlp12]: GERMANY This reflects only one aspect. Mitigation measures themselves must also respect human rights, this should equally be reflected in the wording.

Commented [A13]: EUROPEAN COMMISSION The level of ambition seems too low in this statement.

Commented [GC14]: SUISSE

Commented [Suisse15]: A priori, cette alternative rédige de manière positive doit être préférée car elle met mieux en relation la protection de l'environnement et la jouissance des droits humains. De plus, cette alternative est plus interopérable. Par ailleurs, l'alternative n° 1 est plus complète. Nous proposons de combiner les deux alternatives.

Commented [GC16]: UK

Acknowledging that the unprecedented and interrelated threats posed by climate change, biodiversity loss, the unsustainable management and use of natural resources, the pollution of air, land and water, the unsound management of chemicals and waste to present and future generations and that they have negative implications, both direct and indirect, for the effective enjoyment of all human rights.

Commented [GC17]: FRANCE

EUROPEAN COMMISSION

NORWAY

Commented [SUISSE]: Preference for this alternative because it is stronger than the latter. Text suggestions because the reference to 1.5°C does not match what is said in the Paris Agreement.

Commented [A9]: EUROPEAN COMMISSION The grading of “serious challenges” and “most pressing and serious threats” is a bit problematic. The issues are connected, biodiversity loss and climate change are interdependent, and depletion of water and arable land e.g. is also one of the most pressing and serious threats to present and future generations. Following the UN’s usual framing one could instead refer to “the triple planetary crisis of climate change, biodiversity loss and pollution” being a serious threat to present and future generations.

Commented [TF10]: NETHERLANDS Preference for this alternative because it is stronger than the latter. Text suggestions because the reference to 1.5°C does not match what is said in the Paris Agreement.

Commented [A8]: EUROPEAN COMMISSION This should not be limited to chemical pollution.

Commented [A13]: EUROPEAN COMMISSION This should not be limited to chemical pollution.

Commented [A14]: This reflects only one aspect. Mitigation measures themselves must also respect human rights, this should equally be reflected in the wording.

Commented [FA(p12]: GERMANY This reflects only one aspect. Mitigation measures themselves must also respect human rights, this should equally be reflected in the wording.

Commented [A7]: NORWAY We suggest a more updated text in line with HRC Resolution 48/13 (preambral paras) and Kunming Declaration, clarifying that we are facing several and interrelated threats.

Commented [RM11]: GERMANY Preference for this (more meaningful) alternative.

Commented [FAlp12]: GERMANY This reflects only one aspect. Mitigation measures themselves must also respect human rights, this should equally be reflected in the wording.

Commented [A13]: EUROPEAN COMMISSION The level of ambition seems too low in this statement.

Commented [GC14]: SUISSE

Commented [Suisse15]: A priori, cette alternative rédige de manière positive doit être préférée car elle met mieux en relation la protection de l'environnement et la jouissance des droits humains. De plus, cette alternative est plus interopérable. Par ailleurs, l'alternative n° 1 est plus complète. Nous proposons de combiner les deux alternatives.

Commented [GC16]: UK

Acknowledging that the unprecedented and interrelated threats posed by climate change, biodiversity loss, the unsustainable management and use of natural resources, the pollution of air, land and water, the unsound management of chemicals and waste to present and future generations and that they have negative implications, both direct and indirect, for the effective enjoyment of all human rights.

Commented [GC17]: FRANCE

Acknowledging that the unprecedented and interrelated threats posed by climate change, biodiversity loss, the unsustainable management and use of natural resources, the pollution of air, land and water, the unsound management of chemicals and waste to present and future generations and that they have negative implications, both direct and indirect, for the effective enjoyment of all human rights.

Commented [A7]: NORWAY We suggest a more updated text in line with HRC Resolution 48/13 (preambral paras) and Kunming Declaration, clarifying that we are facing several and interrelated threats.

Commented [RM11]: GERMANY Preference for this (more meaningful) alternative.

Commented [FAlp12]: GERMANY This reflects only one aspect. Mitigation measures themselves must also respect human rights, this should equally be reflected in the wording.

Commented [A13]: EUROPEAN COMMISSION The level of ambition seems too low in this statement.

Commented [GC14]: SUISSE

Commented [Suisse15]: A priori, cette alternative rédige de manière positive doit être préférée car elle met mieux en relation la protection de l'environnement et la jouissance des droits humains. De plus, cette alternative est plus interopérable. Par ailleurs, l'alternative n° 1 est plus complète. Nous proposons de combiner les deux alternatives.

Commented [GC16]: UK

Acknowledging that the unprecedented and interrelated threats posed by climate change, biodiversity loss, the unsustainable management and use of natural resources, the pollution of air, land and water, the unsound management of chemicals and waste to present and future generations and that they have negative implications, both direct and indirect, for the effective enjoyment of all human rights.

Commented [GC17]: FRANCE

Acknowledging that the unprecedented and interrelated threats posed by climate change, biodiversity loss, the unsustainable management and use of natural resources, the pollution of air, land and water, the unsound management of chemicals and waste to present and future generations and that they have negative implications, both direct and indirect, for the effective enjoyment of all human rights.

Commented [A7]: NORWAY We suggest a more updated text in line with HRC Resolution 48/13 (preambral paras) and Kunming Declaration, clarifying that we are facing several and interrelated threats.

Commented [RM11]: GERMANY Preference for this (more meaningful) alternative.

Commented [FAlp12]: GERMANY This reflects only one aspect. Mitigation measures themselves must also respect human rights, this should equally be reflected in the wording.

Commented [A13]: EUROPEAN COMMISSION The level of ambition seems too low in this statement.

Commented [GC14]: SUISSE

Commented [Suisse15]: A priori, cette alternative rédige de manière positive doit être préférée car elle met mieux en relation la protection de l'environnement et la jouissance des droits humains. De plus, cette alternative est plus interopérable. Par ailleurs, l'alternative n° 1 est plus complète. Nous proposons de combiner les deux alternatives.

Commented [GC16]: UK

Acknowledging that the unprecedented and interrelated threats posed by climate change, biodiversity loss, the unsustainable management and use of natural resources, the pollution of air, land and water, the unsound management of chemicals and waste to present and future generations and that they have negative implications, both direct and indirect, for the effective enjoyment of all human rights.

Commented [GC17]: FRANCE
According to this paragraph warming should be limited to 1.5 °C above pre-industrial levels. This wording contradicts the provision enshrined in the Paris Agreement on “holding the increase in the global average temperature to well below 2 °C above pre-industrial levels and pursuing efforts to limit the temperature increase to 1.5 °C above pre-industrial levels”.

On the other hand, the indication of specific measures aimed at combating global warming is beyond the scope of the Committee of Ministers Recommendation.

The alternative paragraph 5 is also unacceptable since it is taken from PP7 of HRC resolution on the mandate of the Special Rapporteur on the promotion and protection of human rights in the context of climate change (A/HRC/48/L.27).

It also duplicates the content of paragraph 21.

5. Recognizing that measures to anticipate, prevent or minimize the loss of biodiversity, depletion of natural resources, chemical pollution and the causes of climate change, including reducing greenhouse gas emissions, and their impact, contribute to the better enjoyment of human rights,

[5.] Aware that loss of biodiversity, depletion of natural resources and chemical pollution bring serious challenges for society, that climate change is one of the most pressing and serious threats to present and future generations, and that global warming should be limited to below 1.5 °C above pre-industrial levels to secure the enjoyment of human rights.

And [4]

Recognizing that measures to anticipate, prevent or minimize the loss of biodiversity, depletion of natural resources, chemical pollution and the causes of climate change, including reducing greenhouse gas emissions, and their impact, contribute to the better enjoyment of human rights.

[6.] Acknowledging the growing threats to the environment and the urgent need to act in an ambitious and concerted manner at the global, regional and national levels to better ensure its sustainability and protection;

[6.] Acknowledging the growing threats to the environment and the urgent need to act in an ambitious and concerted manner at the global, regional and national level to better ensure its sustainability and protection.

2 High Level International Conference on Human Rights and Environmental Protection “Human Rights for the Planet” (5 October 2020, Strasbourg):

“Climate change, loss of biodiversity, depletion of natural resources and chemical pollution bring new challenges for society. Governments and the European Court of Human Rights: How will the Court take account of these issues when interpreting the ECHR in future cases relating to the environment?”.

3 UN Human Rights Council, Resolution 48/15 on “The human right to a safe, clean, healthy and sustainable environment”, adopted on 8 October 2020, preamble:

“Recognizing that environmental degradation, climate change and unsustainable development constitute some of the most pressing and serious threats to the ability of present and future generations to enjoy human rights, including the right to live.”

4 Paris Agreement adopted on 12 December 2015 at the twenty-first session of the Conference of the Parties to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change held in Paris from 30 November to 13 December 2015.

“Article 2

1. This Agreement, in enhancing the implementation of the Convention, including its objective, aims to strengthen the global response to the threat of climate change, in the context of sustainable development and efforts to eradicate poverty, including by: (a) holding the increase in the global average temperature to well below 2°C above pre-industrial levels and pursuing efforts to limit the temperature increase to 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels, recognizing that this would significantly reduce the risks and impacts of climate change;”

5 Shortened from PP7 of HRC resolution on the mandate of the Special Rapporteur on the promotion and protection of human rights in the context of climate change (https://undocs.org/A/HRC/48/L.27) and added the mention of biodiversity/natural resources/chemical pollution.

Commented [OHCHR18]: May wish to refer to “pollution” without qualifier “chemical”, see e.g. Special Rapporteur on human rights and environment 2019 report regarding air pollution (A/HRC/40/55) and upcoming report regarding toxic-free environments to live, work, study and play: https://www.ohchr.org/EN/Issues/Environment/Pages/ToxicFree.aspx

In addition, could update “contribute to….” to “are critical for the effective enjoyment of human rights”.

Commented [BDJ19]: CONFERENCE DES OING

Announcing new challenges, one must also note the emergence of zoonotic diseases.

See, e.g.,

“Despite having a difference in their origin, major reasons behind the emergence and spread of zoonotic pandemics are related to activities such as habitat fragmentation, deforestation, biodiversity loss, intensive agriculture and livestock farming, uncontrolled urbanization, pollution, climate change and bushmeat hunting and trade. It is important to focus on environmental and climatic factors that are involved in the emergence of such pandemics involving novel human pathogens and viruses in particular” https://www.who.int/slimh/en/PM C9005368/.

“The ongoing COVID-19 pandemic, caused by zoonotic SARS-CoV-2, has important links to biodiversity loss and ecosystem health. These links range from anthropogenic activities driving zoonotic disease emergence and spread to the pandemic affecting biodiversity conservation, environmental policy, ecosystem services, and multiple precautionary actions” https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736(21)00258-8/fulltext

Commented [GC20]: GEORGIA

Commented [GC21]: CONFERENCE DES OING
[6.] Acknowledging the growing threats to the environment and the urgent need to act in an ambitious and concerted manner at the global, regional and national level to better ensure its sustainability and protection;

[7.] Bearing in mind that life and well-being on our planet is contingent on humanity’s collective capacity to guarantee both human rights and a healthy environment to future generations and mindful of taking intergenerational equity into account in State actions;

[7.] Gardant à l’esprit que la vie et le bien-être sur notre planète dépendent de la capacité collective de l’humanité à garantir à la fois les droits de l’homme et un environnement propre, sain et durable aux générations futures, et soucieux de prendre en compte l’équité intergénérationnelle dans les actions de l’État;

[7.] Bearing in mind that life and well-being on our planet is contingent on humanity’s collective capacity to guarantee both human rights and a healthy environment to future generations and mindful of taking intragenerational and intergenerational equity into account in State actions;

[8.] Recalling United Nations General Assembly Resolution 70/1 of 25 September 2015, entitled “Transforming our world: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development”, in which the Assembly adopted the Sustainable Development Goals which are integrated and indivisible and balance the three dimensions of sustainable development: the economic, social and environmental;

[9.] RUSSIAN FEDERATION

1. To reformulate paragraph 9 as follows:

[Recalling] Taking note of the work of the United Nations Special Rapporteur on Human Rights and the Environment, in particular the Framework Principles on Human Rights and the Environment (2018) and the collected good practices in the implementation of the human right to protection of a safe, clean, healthy and sustainable environment (2019);

[Rationale]:
The Framework Principles on Human Rights and the Environment (2018) which were elaborated by the Special Rapporteur of the Human Rights Council (HRC) on the issue of human rights obligations of States under human rights law as they relate to the enjoyment of a safe, clean, healthy and sustainable environment were not agreed upon at international level.

[9.] Recalling the work of the United Nations Special Rapporteur on Human Rights and the Environment, in particular the Framework Principles on Human Rights and the Environment (2018) and the collected good practices in the implementation of the human right to a safe, clean, healthy and sustainable environment (2019);

[9.] Recalling the work of the United Nations Special Rapporteur on Human Rights and the Environment, in particular the Framework Principles on Human Rights and the Environment (2018) and the collected good practices in the implementation of the human right to a safe, clean, healthy and sustainable environment (2019);

7 Chairmanship of the Committee of Ministers, Joint Declaration on human rights and the environment by the outgoing and incoming Presidencies of the Committee of Ministers, Decl(15/05/2020), 15 May 2020:

“The outgoing (Georgia) and incoming (Greece and Germany) presidencies of the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe, mindful of conducting actions guided by intragenerational and intergenerational equity.”

8 Chairmanship of the Committee of Ministers, Joint Declaration on human rights and the environment by the outgoing and incoming Presidencies of the Committee of Ministers, Decl(15/05/2020):

“Bearing in mind that life and well-being on our planet is contingent on humanity’s collective capacity to guarantee both human rights and a healthy environment to future generations;”

[10.] Underlining the Council of Europe's commitment to environmental protection which has resulted in the elaboration of the 1979 Convention on the Conservation of European Wildlife and Natural Habitats (Bonn Convention, ETS No. 104), the 1993 Convention on Civil Liability for Damage Resulting from Activities Dangerous to the Environment (ETS No. 150) and the 1998 Convention on the Protection of the Environment through Criminal Law (ETS No. 172).

[10.] Soulignant l'engagement du Conseil de l'Europe

[10.] RUSSIAN FEDERATION
The Convention on Civil Liability for Damage Resulting from Activities Dangerous to the Environment of June 21, 1993 and the Convention on the Protection of the Environment through Criminal Law of November 4, 1998 that are mentioned in paragraph 10 (as well as in paragraphs 28 and 30 of the Annex) have not entered into force to date (more than 20 years after their opening for signature) and have a small number of States Parties (9 and 14, respectively). They should be therefore deleted from the text of the recommendation.

[11.] Noting the increased recognition of some form of the right to a healthy environment in inter alia, international instruments and national constitutions, legislation and policies and various regional human rights instruments.

PP11 – We are cautious regarding "recognition", which could suggest that the right somehow exists, but just hasn't been universally recognised. So in addition to the idea of "a" (rather than 'the') right, we'd also prefer to see a more factual statement such as: "Noting an entitlement [or if necessary "a right"] to a healthy environment has been included in a number of international instruments ...

[11.] Notant la reconnaissance accrue d'une certaine forme du droit à un environnement propre, sain et durable, notamment dans les instruments internationaux, dans divers instruments régionaux relatifs aux droits de l'homme et les constitutions, législations et politiques nationales ainsi que dans divers instruments régionaux relatifs aux droits de l'homme.

[11.] RUSSIAN FEDERATION
To delete paragraph 11 since it is a controversial statement and it is impossible to give an example of the increased recognition of some form of the right to a healthy environment in international instruments.

[12.] Recalling Parliamentary Assembly Recommendations 1431 (1999) on future action to be taken by the Council of Europe in the field of environment protection, 1614 (2003) on the environment and human rights, 1885 (2009) on drafting an additional protocol to the European Convention on Human Rights concerning the right to a healthy environment and 2211 (2021) entitled "anchoring the right to a healthy environment: need for enhanced action by the Council of Europe", which proposes to draw up an additional protocol to the European Convention on Human Rights (ETS No. 5) on the right to a safe, clean, healthy and sustainable environment, to draw up an additional protocol to the European Social Charter (ETS Nos. 35 and 163) on the right to clean, healthy and sustainable environment, to prepare a feasibility study for a "5P" convention on environmental threats and technological hazards threatening human health, dignity and life, and to revise Committee of Ministers Recommendation CM/Rec(2016)3 on Human Rights and Business.

[13.] Expressing grave concern about the disproportionate effect environmental degradation may have on the rights of those who are in vulnerable situations and conscious of the need for States to pay particular attention to respecting, promoting and considering obligations on human rights in such situations.
[13.] Expressing grave concern about the disproportionate effect environmental degradation may have on the rights of those who are in vulnerable situations and conscious of the need for States to pay particular attention to respecting, promoting and considering obligations on human rights in such situations.

[Préambule] [13]

Ne serait-il pas préférable d’harmoniser la rédaction des paragraphes 13 et 14 ? Nous suggérons la modification suivante :

« Exprimant sa sérieuse préoccupation au sujet de l’effet disproportionné que la dégradation de l’environnement peut avoir sur les droits des personnes en situation de vulnérabilité, et conscient de la nécessité pour les États de respecter, promouvoir et examiner certaines obligations relatives aux droits de l’homme dans de telles situations ; »

[13.] Expressing grave concern about the disproportionate effect environmental degradation may have on the rights of those who are in vulnerable situations and conscious of the need for States to pay particular attention to respecting, promoting and considering obligations on human rights in such situations.

[13.] / [14.] RUSSIAN FEDERATION

[13.] Expressing grave concern about the disproportionate effect environmental degradation may have on the rights of those who are in vulnerable situations and conscious of the need for States to pay particular attention to respecting, promoting and considering obligations on human rights in such situations.

[14.] Conscious of the need for States to respect the rights and knowledge of indigenous peoples and local communities, in accordance with the United Nations Declaration of the Rights of Indigenous Peoples and international human rights law.

[14.] Conscious of the need for States to respect the rights and knowledge of indigenous peoples and local communities, in accordance with the United Nations Declaration of the Rights of Indigenous Peoples and international human rights law.

[14.] Conscious of the necessity pour les États de respecter les droits et les connaissances des peuples autochtones et des communautés locales conformément à la Déclaration des Nations Unies sur les droits des peuples autochtones et au droit international des droits de l’homme ;

9 Recommendation CM/Rec(2019)6 of the Committee of Ministers to member States on the development of the Orthodoxian institution (Adopted by the Committee of Ministers on 16 October 2019 at the 125th meeting of the Ministers’ Deputies):

“Expressing grave concern about the challenging working conditions, threats, pressures and attacks which Orthodoxian institutions and their staff are at times exposed to in member States.”


“Framework principle 14
States should take additional measures to protect the rights of those who are most vulnerable to, or at particular risk from, environmental harm, taking into account their needs, risks and capacities.”

Commentary
40. As the Human Rights Council has recognized, while the human rights implications of environmental damage are felt by individuals and communities around the world, the consequences are felt most acutely by those segments of the population that are already in vulnerable situations. Persons may be vulnerable because they are unusually susceptible to certain types of environmental harm, or because they are denied their human rights, or both. Vulnerability to environmental harm reflects the “interface between exposure to the physical threats to human well-being and the capacity of people and communities to cope with those threats”.
41. Those who are at greater risk from environmental harm for either or both reasons often include women, children, persons living in poverty, members of indigenous peoples and traditional communities, elderly persons, persons with disabilities, ethnic, racial or other minorities and displaced persons.26 The many examples of potential vulnerability include the following; [...]

Le Club des Juristes, Draft Project “Global Pact for the Environment” (Paris, 24 June 2017), Préambule:

“Conscious of the need to respect, promote and consider their respective obligations on human rights, the right to health, the rights and knowledge of indigenous peoples, local communities, migrants, children, persons with disabilities and people in vulnerable situation, under their jurisdiction.”

9.13 [...] The Committee considers that, as children, the authors are particularly impacted by the effects of climate change, both in terms of the manner in which they experience such effects as well as the potential of climate change to affect them throughout their lifetime, in particular if immediate action is not taken. Due to the particular impact on children and the recognition by States parties to the Convention that children are entitled to special safeguards, including appropriate legal protection, states have heightened obligations to protect children from foreseeable harm. 15

15 Preamble; A/HRC/39/52, para. 81, CRC Report of the 2016 day of general discussion “Rights and the Environment, sustainability and the empowerment of women;”

15. Recalling the obligations under the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child which requires States Parties to take into consideration the dangers and risks of environmental pollution to ensure that children enjoy the highest attainable standard of health and which implies heightened obligations on States to protect children from foreseeable harm;15


15. Recalling the obligations under the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child which requires States Parties to take into consideration the dangers and risks of environmental pollution to ensure that children enjoy the highest attainable standard of health and which implies heightened obligations on States to protect children from foreseeable harm.15

16. Emphasizing the vital role of women in environmental and sustainable development matters and, in this respect, the need to promote gender equality and the empowerment of women;16

16. Soulignant le rôle important des femmes en matière d’environnement et de développement durable et, à cet égard, la nécessité de promouvoir l’égalité de genre et une autonomisation des femmes;16

16. Emphasizing the vital role of women in environmental and sustainable development matters and, in this respect, the need to promote gender equality and the empowerment of women.16

16. Emphasizing the vital role of women in environmental and sustainable development matters and, in this respect, the need to promote gender equality and the empowerment of women.16

16. Emphasizing the vital role of women in environmental and sustainable development matters and, in this respect, the need to promote gender equality and the empowerment of women.16

16. Emphasizing the vital role of women in environmental and sustainable development matters and, in this respect, the need to promote gender equality and the empowerment of women.16

16. Emphasizing the vital role of women in environmental and sustainable development matters and, in this respect, the need to promote gender equality and the empowerment of women.16

16. Russian Federation

To insert a footnote after the word combination "gender equality" in paragraph 16 with the meaning of "gender equality" as it is set out in the CoE Gender Equality Strategy 2018-2023:

"Gender equality entails equal rights for women and men, girls and boys, as well as the same visibility, empowerment, responsibility and participation, in all spheres of public and private life. (Council of Europe Gender Equality Strategy 2018-2023, p. 5)."

16. Recalling the obligations under the UN Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women and emphasizing the vital role of women in environmental and...
sustainable development matters and, in this respect, the need to promote gender equality and the empowerment of women;\footnote{UN General Assembly, resolution A/70/L.1 of 25 September 2015 "Transforming our world the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development", preamble, \textit{3\textsuperscript{rd} recital.}}

Stressing the fundamental importance of science and education for sustainable development and mindful that education and public awareness on environmental matters play an important role in the development of respect for human rights and the environment;\footnote{Le Club des Juristes, Draft Project "Global Pact for the Environment" (Paris, 24 June 2017), Preamble: "Stressing the fundamental importance of science and education for sustainable development and mindful that education and public awareness on environmental matters play an important role in the development of respect for human rights and the environment."}

Stressing the fundamental importance of science and education for sustainable development and mindful that education and public awareness on environmental matters play an important role in the development of respect for human rights and the environment;\footnote{Recalling the obligations under the UN Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women and emphasizing the vital role of women in sustainable development matters and, in this respect, the need to promote gender equality and the empowerment of women;\footnote{Le Club des Juristes, Draft Project "Global Pact for the Environment" (Paris, 24 June 2017), Preamble: "Emphasizing the vital role of women in sustainable development matters and the need to promote gender equality and the empowerment of women."}}

Stressing the fundamental importance of science and education for sustainable development and mindful that education and public awareness on environmental matters play an important role in the development of respect for human rights and the environment.

Soulevant l’importance fondamentale que revêtent la science et l’éducation en vue du développement durable et conscient que l’éducation et la sensibilisation du public aux questions environnementales jouent un rôle important dans le développement des droits de l’homme et de l’environnement;\footnote{Le Club des Juristes, Draft Project "Global Pact for the Environment" (Paris, 24 June 2017), Preamble: "Soulevant l’importance fondamentale que revêtent la science et l’éducation en vue du développement durable et conscient que l’éducation et la sensibilisation du public aux questions environnementales jouent un rôle important dans le développement d’un meilleur respect des droits de l’homme et de l’environnement;\footnote{16. Increasing the public awareness of environmental matters should continue into adulthood. To ensure that adults as well as children understand environmental effects on their health and well-being, States should make the public aware of the potential environmental risks that affect them and how they may protect themselves from those risks. As part of increasing public awareness, States should build the capacity of the public to understand environmental challenges and policies, so that they may fully exercise their rights to express their views on environmental issues (framework principle 5), understand environmental information, including assessments of environmental impacts (framework principles 7 and 8), participate in decision-making (framework principle 9) and, where appropriate, seek remedies for violations of their rights (framework principle 10). States should tailor environmental education and public awareness programmes to the culture, language and environmental situation of particular populations."}}

Recalling the obligations of States to take decisions based on the best available science,\footnote{Commented [GC3]: CONFERENCE DES OING} States should provide for education and public awareness on environmental matters. Commented [GC5]: SUISSE Commented [GC56]: FRANCE Commented [IBD57]: CONFERENCE DES OING

For best available science see, e.g., Paris Agreement, preamble Art 4.1 “Recognize the need for an effective and progressive response to the urgent threat of climate change on the basis of the best available scientific knowledge” see also European Court of Human Rights: Rosco v. The United Kingdom no. 49392/01 para. 47 (1998); Cassey v. The United Kingdom no. 10843/04 para. 40 (1990); Fretté v. France, no. 36515/97, para. 42 (2002); cf. Oluic v. Croatia, no. 61260/08, paras. 29-31 (2010).
PP18 – consistent UK position is to oppose references to ‘environmental human rights defenders.’ We strongly prefer ‘human rights defenders working on environmental issues.’

[18.] Underscoring the positive, important and legitimate roles of all human rights defenders, including environmental human rights defenders, in promoting the realisation of all human rights, including their contribution to the public debate by disseminating information and ideas on matters of general public interest such as health and the environment; and

[18.] RUSSIAN FEDERATION
To reformulate paragraph 18

‘[18.] Underscoring the positive, important and legitimate roles of all human rights defenders, including environmental human rights defenders, civil society institutions, in promoting the realisation of all human rights, including their contribution to the public debate by disseminating information and ideas on matters of general public interest such as health and the environment; and

[19.] RUSSIAN FEDERATION
To reformulate paragraph 19

[19.] Recognising the vital role of relevant non-State actors, including civil society, indigenous peoples and local communities, as well as cities, regions and other subnational authorities in the protection of the environment.

[19.] Recognising the vital role of non-State actors, including civil society, youth, indigenous peoples and local communities, as well as cities, regions and other subnational authorities in the protection of the environment;

[20.] Recalling the United Nations Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights, which underscore the responsibility of all business enterprises to respect human rights;

[20.] Recalling the United Nations Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights, which underscore the responsibility of all business enterprises to respect human rights, including the responsibility to prevent, mitigate and remedy adverse human rights impacts;


[20.] Recalling the United Nations Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights, which underscore the responsibility of all business enterprises to respect human rights;

[21.] Recalling the High-level Conference on Environmental Protection and Human Rights (27 February 2020, Strasbourg) and the Final Declaration by the Georgian Presidency of the Committee of Ministers which acknowledged that climate change, extinction of species, loss of biodiversity, pollution and the overall degradation of the earth’s ecosystems have a profound global impact on the enjoyment of human rights and require the widest possible cooperation by all Council of Europe member States, and that the Council of Europe has a key role to play in mainstreaming the environmental dimension into human rights and pursuing a rights-based approach to environmental protection;

[22.] Taking note of the High-level International Conference on Human Rights and Environmental Protection “Human Rights for the Planet” (5 October 2020, Strasbourg), organised by the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Georgia and hosted by the European Court of Human Rights, which underlined that a clean environment is a precondition to the enjoyment of human rights; the full enjoyment of everyone’s rights to life, health, quality private and family life or home, dependence on healthy ecosystems and their benefits to people, (Rationale: There is not such condition in the international law.)
PP22 - We can’t accept that a healthy environment is a precondition to the enjoyment of human rights, whatever this conference may have said. All individuals are entitled to enjoy their human rights whatever the conditions. And an unhealthy environment does not negate the possession or enjoyment of e.g. the right to freedom from torture, right to a fair trial, right to education etc. And even in terms of some ESC rights, e.g. the highest attainable standard of physical and mental health, these are still to be progressively realized and are deliberately phrased to be contextually achievable.

[23.] Reaffirming that the Convention and the Charter are living instruments which must be interpreted in the right of present-day conditions;

[23.] Réaffirmant que la Convention et la Charte sont des instruments vivants qui doivent être interprétés à la lumière des conditions actuelles;

Préambule [23]

« Réaffirmant que la Convention et la Charte sont des instruments vivants qui doivent être interprétés à la lumière des conditions actuelles; »

[24.] Affirming that this non-binding instrument recalls the existing standards contained, inter alia, in the Convention and the Charter and their interpretation by the European Court of Human Rights and the European Committee of Social Rights in the field of human rights and the environment, and supports the raising of awareness of the interdependence and mutual reinforcement of the norms of human rights and environmental protection; xlix

[24.] Affirmant que le présent instrument non contraignant rappelle les normes existantes contenues, notamment dans la Convention et la Charte sociale et leur interprétation par la Cour européenne des droits de l’homme et le Comité européen des droits sociaux dans le domaine des droits de l’homme et de protection de l’environnement;

[25.] Taking note in this regard of the Manual on human rights and the environment containing principles emerging from the case-law of the European Court of Human Rights and decisions and conclusions of the European Committee on Social Rights, published by the Council of Europe in 2006 and subsequently updated in 2012 and 2021, which shows that the Convention and the Charter already offers a considerable degree of protection in relation to environmental issues although even if they do not expressly recognised a right to the protection of the environment;

[25.] Prenez note à cet égard des normes en matière de droits de l’homme et de protection de l’environnement dont les principes émergent du droit communautaire des droits de l’homme et de la protection de l’environnement, telles que formulées par la Cour européenne des droits de l’homme et le Comité européen des droits sociaux dans le cadre de la prise de décision des institutions de l’Union européenne, qui démontrent que la Convention et la Charte déjà offrent un degré de protection considérable en ce qui concerne les questions environnementales bien qu’ils ne reconnaissent pas explicitement un droit à la protection de l’environnement;

RUSSIAN FEDERATION

To add a new paragraph after paragraph 25 reading:

“Bearing in mind that the Convention and the Charter have no Articles specifically designed to provide general protection of the environment as such, and, therefore, the crucial element which must be present in determining whether environmental pollution has adversely affected one of the rights safeguarded by the Convention and the Charter is the existence of a harmful effect on such a right, and not simply the general deterioration of the environment.”

To make a footnote to this new paragraph reading:

“Decision of the ECHR in the case "Kirtatos v. Greece"; complaint No. 41665/98 of May 22, 2003 (Point 52).”

[26.] Convinced that people have the fundamental right to freedom, equality and adequate conditions of life, in an environment of a quality that permits a life of dignity and well-being, and that everyone is entitled to an environment in which those rights and freedoms can be fully realized; xxiv
[26.] Convinced that every person has the right to: freedom, equality and adequate conditions of life, and that every person has a right to an environment in which those rights and freedoms can be fully realised.

PP26 - This is quasi-operative, as it essentially recognises that the right to adequate living conditions includes a right to an environment of a quality that permits dignity and well-being. We would also need to be clear what "freedom, equality and adequate conditions of life" are – as we don’t think this reflects existing treaties. We would instead propose: "Convinced that people should have... and that everyone should be entitled to an environment in which those rights and freedoms can be fully realised."

[27.] [Conscious that the primary responsibility for protecting the environment and human rights rests with member States.]

Préambule [27]
Nous n’avons pas d’objection à l’ajout de ce paragraphe.

[27.] [Conscious that the primary responsibility for protecting the environment and human rights rests with member States.]

[OPERATIVE PART]

Operative Part

Recommends that the governments of the Member States:

1. Recognize the right to a clean, healthy and sustainable environment as a human right that is derived from and inherent in existing international human rights instruments and is important for the enjoyment of human rights.

2. Recognize the right to a clean, healthy and sustainable environment as a human right that is derived from and inherent in existing international human rights instruments and is important for the enjoyment of human rights.

3. Recognize the right to a clean, healthy and sustainable environment as a human right that is derived from and inherent in existing international human rights instruments and is important for the enjoyment of human rights.

4. Recognize the right to a clean, healthy and sustainable environment as a human right that is derived from and inherent in existing international human rights instruments and is important for the enjoyment of human rights.

5. Recognize the right to a clean, healthy and sustainable environment as a human right that is derived from and inherent in existing international human rights instruments and is important for the enjoyment of human rights.

6. Recognize the right to a clean, healthy and sustainable environment as a human right that is derived from and inherent in existing international human rights instruments and is important for the enjoyment of human rights.

7. Recognize the right to a clean, healthy and sustainable environment as a human right that is derived from and inherent in existing international human rights instruments and is important for the enjoyment of human rights.

8. Recognize the right to a clean, healthy and sustainable environment as a human right that is derived from and inherent in existing international human rights instruments and is important for the enjoyment of human rights.

9. Recognize the right to a clean, healthy and sustainable environment as a human right that is derived from and inherent in existing international human rights instruments and is important for the enjoyment of human rights.

10. Recognize the right to a clean, healthy and sustainable environment as a human right that is derived from and inherent in existing international human rights instruments and is important for the enjoyment of human rights.

Final Declaration by the Georgian Presidency of the Committee of Ministers, Environmental Protection and Human Rights, High Level Conference organised under the aegis of the Georgian Presidency of the Committee of Ministers (Strasbourg, 27 February 2020):

"Development of National Policies and Actions: The primary responsibility for protecting the environment and human rights rests with Member States."
Recognize the right to a clean, healthy and sustainable environment as a human right that is derived from and inherent in existing international human rights instruments and is important for the enjoyment of human rights.

Recognize the right to a clean, healthy and sustainable environment as a human right that is derived from and inherent in existing international human rights instruments and is important for the enjoyment of human rights and foster international discussion as to the exact function and contents of this right.

De reconnaitre que le droit à un environnement propre, sain et durable est un droit de l'homme qui découle des instruments internationaux et de divers instruments régionaux existants relatifs aux droits de l'homme, qu'il est inhérent à et qui est important pour la jouissance des droits de l'homme.

DP1 – This is problematic as it recognizes the right as a component of existing rights (albeit not specifying what these are). We should aim to stick as close as possible to language used in the UNHRC resolution and ensure that it is described as an individual rather than collective right, so: Recognizes that all individuals have the right to a safe, clean, healthy and sustainable environment as a human right that is important for the enjoyment of human rights; Notes that the right to a safe, clean, healthy and sustainable environment is related to other rights that are in accordance with existing international law.

RUSSIAN FEDERATION
To delete paragraph 1.

Rationale: The right to a "clean, healthy and sustainable environment" is not formally recognized in existing international human rights treaties (including the ECHR) and is not "derived from and inherent" in them. The call for the recognition of "the right to a clean, healthy and sustainable environment" as a human right in this context seems unfounded from the point of view of the existing international legal regulation.

1. Recognize the right to a safe, clean, healthy and sustainable environment as a human right that is also derived from and inherent in existing international human rights instruments and is essential important for the enjoyment of other human rights.

RUSSIAN FEDERATION
To rephrase paragraph 2

"2. examine their national legislation and practice and consider reviewing them if necessary they are not consistent with the recommendations, principles and further guidance set out in the appendix."

2. examine their national legislation and practice and consider reviewing them if they are not consistent with the recommendations, principles and further guidance set out in the appendix.

DP2 – We would prefer to soften the paragraph further by adding "where appropriate" before "consider".


15 Even without formal recognition, the term “the human right to a healthy environment” is already being used to refer to the environmental aspects of the entire range of human rights that depend on a safe, clean, healthy and sustainable environment. The use of the term in this way — and for that matter, the adoption of a resolution recognizing the right — does not change the legal content of obligations that are based on existing human rights law […]
2. examine and review their national legislation and practice to ensure that they are consistent with the recommendations, principles and further guidance set out in the appendix;\(^{15}\)

3. ensure, by appropriate means and action, a wide dissemination and adoption of this recommendation among competent authorities and stakeholders;\(^{16}\)

4. share examples of good practices related to the implementation of this recommendation through all appropriate means, including through workshops and other events;\(^{18}\)

Russian Federation
To rephrase paragraph 4

4. share examples of good practices related to the protection of clean, healthy and sustainable environment the implementation of this recommendation, including through workshops and other events;\(^{18}\)

5. for States Parties to the European Social Charter, consider signing and ratifying the 1995 Additional Protocol to the European Social Charter providing for a System of Collective Complaints (ETS No. 158) and consider recognising the right of national non-governmental organisations (NGOs) fulfilling the criteria mentioned therein to lodge collective complaints before the European Committee of Social Rights;\(^{17}\)

\(^{15}\) Similar wording in Recommendation CM/Rec(2016)3 of the Committee of Ministers to member States on human rights and business:

"Recommend that the governments of the member States:
1. review their national legislation and practice to ensure that they comply with the recommendations, principles and further guidance set out in the appendix, and evaluate the effectiveness of the measures taken at regular intervals;"

\(^{16}\) Similar wording in Recommendation CM/Rec(2016)3 of the Committee of Ministers to member States on human rights and business (Adopted by the Committee of Ministers on 2 March 2016 at the 1246th meeting of the Ministers' Deputies):

"Recommend that the governments of the member States:
[...]
2. ensure, by appropriate means and action, a wide dissemination of this recommendation among competent authorities and stakeholders, with a view to raising awareness of the corporate responsibility to respect human rights and contribute to their realisation;"

\(^{17}\) Similar wording in Recommendation CM/Rec(2018)11 of the Committee of Ministers to member States on the need to strengthen the protection and promotion of civil society space in Europe, Appendix, para. 1:

"Member States should:
[...]
k. consider signing and ratifying the 1995 Additional Protocol to the European Social Charter providing for a System of Collective Complaints (ETS No. 158) and to consider recognising the right of national NGOs fulfilling the criteria mentioned therein to lodge collective complaints before the European Committee of Social Rights."

Commented [95]: CONFERENCE DES OING
Note: the appendix includes legal obligations so it is insufficient to simply consider reviewing if national law and practice is inconsistent

Commented [GC96]: CONFERENCE DES OING

Commented [GC97]: GEORGIA

Commented [OHCHR98]: Here may wish to add reference to engagement with and submissions to human rights mechanisms, including the Universal Periodic Review

Commented [GC99]: CONFERENCE DES OING

Commented [GC100]: SUISSE

Commented [GC101]: CONFERENCE DES OING
6. consider signing and/or ratifying, and fully implementing, the Convention of the Council of Europe on Access to Official Documents (CETS No. 205). ¹⁶

**Dispositif [6]**

Nous nous interrogeons sur la mention au dispositif de la recommandation de la Convention du Conseil de l'Europe sur l'accès aux documents publics (STCE n° 205), sachant que la Convention d'Aarhus est citée au point suivant.

   ¹⁶ Final Declaration by the Georgian Presidency of the Committee of Ministers, Environmental Protection and Human Rights, High Level Conference organised under the aegis of the Georgian Presidency of the Committee of Ministers (Strasbourg, 27 February 2003):

   "States which have not yet done so should consider signing and ratifying, inter alia, the 1998 Aarhus Convention of the United Nations on Access to Information, Public Participation in Decision-making and Access to Justice in Environmental Matters and the 2010 Tromsø Convention of the Council of Europe on Access to Official Documents which guarantee public scrutiny of decision-making on environmental issues."

7. consider signing and/or ratifying, and fully implementing, the United Nations Convention on Access to Information, Public Participation in Decision-making and Access to Justice in Environmental Matters (Aarhus Convention) and the protocol thereto;¹⁶

   consider signing and/or ratifying, and fully implementing, the United Nations Convention on Access to Information, Public Participation in Decision-making and Access to Justice in Environmental Matters (Aarhus Convention) and the protocol thereto;¹⁶

   consider signing and/or ratifying, and fully implementing, the United Nations Convention on Access to Information, Public Participation in Decision-making and Access to Justice in Environmental Matters (Aarhus Convention) and the protocol thereto;¹⁶

   consider signing and/or ratifying, and fully implementing, the United Nations Convention on Access to Information, Public Participation in Decision-making and Access to Justice in Environmental Matters (Aarhus Convention) and the protocol thereto;¹⁶

   Consider signing and/or ratifying, and fully implementing, the United Nations Convention on Access to Information, Public Participation in Decision-making and Access to Justice in Environmental Matters (Aarhus Convention) and the protocol thereto;¹⁶

   Commented [GC103]: FRANCE

   Commented [GC104]: CONFERENCE DES OING

   Commented [A105]: NORWAY Those who have or will sign and/or ratify are obliged to fully implement it. We should therefore rephrase to avoid recommending to them only to consider full implementation.

   Commented [GC107]: CONFERENCE DES OING

   Commented [GC108]: Could also add reference to the new rapid response mechanism to protect environmental defenders established under the Aarhus Convention (October 2021), Decision VII/9 on a rapid response mechanism to deal with cases related to article 3 (6) of the Convention on Access to Information, Public Participation in Decision-making and Access to Justice in Environmental Matters:

8. examine, within the Committee of Ministers, the implementation of this recommendation no later than five years after its adoption. 

DP8 – It would be helpful to understand how this para would work in practice. Presumably there is no reporting obligation, but we would not wish to support a mandatory review of national law to consider if it is consistent with a "right to a clean environment." 

RUSSIAN FEDERATION
In paragraph 8 we are not sure about the necessity of the creation of a review mechanism for the "implementation" of the Recommendation. We believe that such a mechanism would contradict the very nature of the Recommendation as a non-legally binding document. It is therefore proposed to delete paragraph 8.

[APPENDIX]
[General principles]
1. In the implementation of the present recommendation, member States should ensure the respect of general principles arising from international law in the field of environment, such as the "no harm" principle[1], the principles of prevention[2] and precaution[3], the "polluter pays" principle[4], and take into account the need for intergenerational equity[5].

AP1 – Content, though the para would benefit from an exhaustive list of which principles are included. We would certainly want to see non-retroactively included, not least to prevent historical acts falling within the scope of this.

1. Dans la mise en œuvre de la présente recommandation, les États membres devraient veiller au respect des principes généraux découlant du droit international dans le domaine de l'environnement (découlant du droit international de l'environnement), tels que le principe « d’absence de dommage »[1], les principes de prévention[2] et de précaution[3], le principe du « pollueur-payeur »[4], et tenir compte de la nécessité d’une équité intergénérationnelle[5].

Principes généraux [1]
Il nous semble que « should ensure » devrait être traduit par « devraient garantir ».

RUSSIAN FEDERATION
The meaning of the word combination 'Intergenerational equity' in paragraph 1 is not clear. It needs clarification.

We believe that the reference to the principle of the "polluter pays" should be deleted from paragraph 1. Unlike the other principles listed in this paragraph, its inclusion in the list of «principles of international law in the field of environment» is not justified.

[APPENDIX]
The principles, recommendations and further guidance set out in the appendix are based partly on legally binding existing obligations in international law, multilateral and regional agreements in the field of climate and environment, the European Convention on Human Rights and jurisprudence from the European Court of Human Rights, and partly on non-legally binding sources. The fact that they are all phrased as recommendations do not in any way alter member State’s obligations under legally binding instruments upon which the recommendations are based.

[General principles]

2. Where decisions of public authorities restrict a person’s individual rights and freedoms, such as the right to respect for private and family life, the right to property, the right to receive and impart information and ideas, the right to freedom of peaceful assembly and the right to freedom of association, including with respect to environmental matters, member States should ensure that those decisions are prescribed by law, pursue a legitimate aim and are necessary in a democratic society, striking a balance between the interest of the individual and the general interest, as set out in the European Convention on
Human Rights (hereinafter “the Convention”). Member States enjoy in principle a wide margin of appreciation in how this balance should be struck.

2. Lorsque les décisions et mesures des autorités publiques en matière d’environnement ont pour effet d’entraîner des droits et libertés individuels d’une personne, le droit au respect de la vie privée et familiale, le droit à la propriété, le droit de recevoir et de communiquer des informations et des idées, le droit à la liberté de réunion pacifique et le droit à la liberté d’association, y compris en matière d’environnement, les États membres devraient veiller à ce que ces décisions soient prévues par la loi, poursuivent un but légitime et soient nécessaires dans une société démocratique, en assurant un équilibre entre l’intérêt de l’individu et l’intérêt général, comme le prévoit la Convention européenne des droits de l’homme (ci-après « la Convention »). Les États membres jouissent en principe d’une large marge d’appréciation quant à la manière dont cet équilibre doit être atteint.

3. Lorsque les mesures prises par les États membres pour préserver l’environnement entraînent une atteinte dans les droits et libertés individuels, les États membres devraient veiller à ce que ces mesures soient prévues par la loi, poursuivent un but légitime et soient nécessaires dans une société démocratique.

4. Member States should ensure the enjoyment of the rights and freedoms set forth in the Convention and, when applicable, the European Social Charter and the revised European Social Charter (hereinafter “the Charter”), including in relation to the environment, without discrimination on any ground.

5. Les États membres devraient garantir la jouissance sans discrimination des droits et libertés énoncés dans la Convention et, le cas échéant, dans la Charte sociale européenne et la Charte sociale européenne révisée (ci-après « la Charte »), y compris en matière d’environnement sans discrimination aucune.

6. Member States should take adequate measures to protect the rights of those who are most vulnerable to, or at particular risk from, environmental harm, taking into account their needs, risks and capacities.

RUSSIAN FEDERATION

To rephrase paragraph 6

Commented [TF114]: NETHERLANDS Because the previous sentence only mentions environmental matters as an example, it cannot be said in general that High Contracting Parties enjoy a wide margin of appreciation.

Commented [Suisse115]: Les restrictions visées ici sont bien celles en matière d’environnement.

Commented [116]: One doesn’t balance individual versus collective rights: one balances the interest served by the expression against the interest harmed by the expression.

Commented [GC117]: CONFERENCE DES OING Ce paragraphe se recoupe avec le paragraphe précédent. Nous proposons de conserver seulement le paragraphe 2 qui est plus explicite.

Commented [Suisse118]: Ce paragraphe ce recoupe avec le paragraphe précédent. Nous proposons de conserver seulement le paragraphe 2 qui est plus explicite.

Commented [GC120]: Cette note est introuvable.

Commented [RM119]: GERMANY Slightly unclear: Is this meant to require an additional legitimate aim next to "preserving the environment"?

Commented [GC121]: GEORGIA

Commented [GC122]: RUSSIAN FEDERATION The meaning of the word combination "environmental benefits" in paragraph 5 is not clear. It needs clarification.

Commented [OHCHR123]: Would suggest updating to "meaningful" participation in decision-making

Commented [Suisse124]: Cette note est introuvable.
6. Member States should take adequate measures to protect the rights of those who are most vulnerable to, or at particular risk from, environmental harm, taking into account their needs, risks and capacities.

7. Member States should ensure equality between women and men and the systematic integration of the gender equality dimension in the framework of securing a clean, healthy and sustainable environment and mainstream this dimension into the planning, developing, implementing and monitoring of their environmental legislation, strategies, policies and actions.

8. Taking into consideration their vital role in the protection of the environment, Member States should consult and cooperate in the implementation of this recommendation with non-State actors and subnational entities, including civil society, economic actors, indigenous peoples and local communities, cities and regions.

9. Member States should ensure that the mandate given to National Human Rights Institutions (NHRIs) to protect and promote human rights is as broad as possible and covers linkages between human rights and the environment and that these NHRIs can operate independently, in an effective manner and in an atmosphere of impartiality, integrity, transparency and fairness.

[Environmental harm and the right to life]

10. Member States should take appropriate steps to protect the right to life, including from threats posed by environmental harm caused by other private actors or activities that are not directly connected with the State. In particular:
a. Member States should put into place a legislative and administrative framework to prevent threats to life in the context of dangerous activities and in cases of natural disasters, e.g., by making regulations which take into account the special features of a situation or an activity and the level of potential risk to life; placing particular emphasis on the public’s right to information concerning such activities and; providing for appropriate procedures for identifying shortcomings in the technical processes concerned and errors committed by those responsible.

b. Where loss of life may be the result of dangerous activities or natural disasters, Member States should promptly initiate an independent and impartial investigation and, in case of violation of the right to life, provide for remedies.

AP10. We could probably live with it to the extent it reflects existing case law. The most contentious issues are jurisdictional (e.g., liability for transboundary harm) and on the merits we are seeking to avoid automatically equating environmental harm to a breach. Can we add some ‘where appropriate’ or ‘so far as possible.’

RUSSIAN FEDERATION
To rephrase paragraph 10

Member States should take appropriate steps to protect the right to life, including from threats posed by environmental harm caused by other private actors or activities that are not directly connected with the State.

The meaning of the word combination “dangerous activities” in paragraph 10a, 10b and 17 is not clear. It needs clarification.

It is unclear in paragraph 10b from whom the independent investigation is initiated by the states. It needs to be clarified.

Paragraphe [10] Atteintes à l’environnement et droit à la vie

+ Les États membres devraient prendre des mesures appropriées pour protéger le droit à la vie, y compris contre les menaces que représentent les atteintes à l’environnement causées par d’autres acteurs ou activités privés qui ne sont pas directement liées à l’État.

10. Member States should take appropriate steps to respect and protect the right to life, including from threats posed by environmental harm caused by other persons or activities that are not directly connected with the State. In particular:

[Environmental harm and the right to respect for private and family life and the home]

11. Member States should ensure that environmental harm, stemming from State or non-state activities, does not have a directly harmful effect on, or seriously risk the enjoyment of, private and family life or home.

Commented [GC129]: NORWAY Should this point include “and participation in decision-making”? Ref. the Aarhus Convention Article 6.

Commented [Suisse130]: Dans la version française, le wording « peut résulter » préte à confusion et n’est pas assez précis. A tout le moins, il faudrait écrire « pourrait » résulter.

Commented [GC131]: UK

Commented [GC132]: FRANCE

Commented [GC133]: CONFERENCE DES OING

Commented [GC134]: UK

Commented [GC135]: CONFERENCE DES OING

AP11 and AP12 – Very broad and sweeping statements. Can we narrow by adding ‘as appropriate’

11. Member States should ensure that environmental harm, stemming from State or non-state activities, does not have a directly harmful effect on, or seriously risk the enjoyment of, private and family life or home.

Commented [GC134]: UK

Commented [GC135]: CONFERENCE DES OING

20 Council of Europe, updated Manual on Human Rights and the Environment (third edition 2021), Section A, Chapter I, para. (a): “(a) The right to life is protected under Article 2 of the Convention. This Article does not solely concern deaths resulting directly from the actions of the agents of a State, but also lays down a positive obligation on States to take appropriate steps to safeguard the lives of those within their jurisdiction. This means that public authorities have a duty to take steps to guarantee the rights of the Convention even when they are threatened by other (private) persons or activities that are not directly connected with the State.”
[Environmental harm and the protection of property]

12. Member States should ensure that environmental harm stemming from their activities does not affect the exercise of the right to property.

12. Member States should ensure that environmental harm stemming from their activities does not affect the exercise of the right to property.

12. Member States should ensure that environmental harm stemming from their activities, and under certain circumstance, from the activities of private actors, does not affect the exercise of the right to property.

12. Les États membres devraient veiller à ce que les atteintes à l'environnement découlant de leurs activités résultant d'activités étatiques ou non étatiques ne portent pas atteinte à l'exercice du droit à la propriété.

RUSSIAN FEDERATION

To rephrase paragraph 12

"12. Member States should ensure that environmental harm stemming from their activities and of those of non-state actors does not affect the exercise of the right to property.

12. Member States should ensure that environmental harm stemming from their activities or from the activities of private actors within their jurisdiction does not affect the exercise of the right to property.

[Right to receive and impart information and ideas on environmental matters]

13. Bearing in mind that in the particular context of the environment, there exists a strong public interest in enabling individuals and groups to contribute to the public debate by disseminating information and ideas on matters of general public interest such as health and the environment, Member States should take appropriate measures to ensure, in accordance with Article 10 of the Convention, that the right to freedom of expression can be effectively enjoyed, with particular regard to environmental human rights defenders.

Paragraphe [13] Droit de recevoir et de communiquer des informations et des idées sur les questions d'environnement

Le renvoi à l'article 10 de la Convention EDH ne nous semble pas nécessaire. Si on incluait ce renvoi ici, il faudrait éventuellement inclure un renvoi aux articles de la Convention dans tous les paragraphes où cela serait pertinent (paragraphe 11 et 12 notamment.)

RUSSIAN FEDERATION

To rephrase paragraph 13

13. Bearing in mind that in the particular context of the environment, there exists a strong public interest in enabling individuals and groups to contribute to the public debate by disseminating information and ideas on matters of general public interest such as health and the environment, Member States should take appropriate measures to ensure, in accordance with Article 10 of the Convention, that the right to freedom of expression can be effectively enjoyed, with particular regard to environmental human rights defenders.

[Right to assemble and associate to collectively act in the interest of environmental matters]

14. Member States should take appropriate measures to ensure, in accordance with Article 11 of the Convention, that the right to freedom of assembly and association can be effectively enjoyed. The ability to form a legal entity in order to act collectively in a field of mutual interest is one of the most important aspects of this right and includes the unobstructed peaceful assembly and association related to environmental matters.

RUSSIAN FEDERATION

To rephrase paragraph 14

14. Member States should take appropriate measures to ensure, in accordance with Article 11 of the Convention, that the right to freedom of assembly and association can be effectively enjoyed. The ability to form a legal entity in order to act collectively in a field of mutual interest is one of the most important aspects of this right and includes the unobstructed peaceful assembly and association related to environmental matters.

Commented [TF136]: NETHERLANDS “affect” is overly broad, and would mean States cannot take action which infringes on the exercise of the right to property, even when justified.

Commented [NT(g137): GERMANY Positive obligations doctrine as regards the horizontal dimension also applicable in the context of Art. 1 Prot. No. 1 to the ECHR.

Commented [Suisse138]: En ce qui concerne la protection de la propriété, la jurisprudence de la Cour prévoit-elle l’obligation de mesures positives non seulement lorsque les atteintes sont causées par des activités étatiques, mais aussi non étatiques ?
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15. As part of the appropriate steps to safeguard the right to life and the right to respect for private and family life, member States should ensure a right of access to information in relation to environmental issues, adequately informing the public, in an understandable way, about any life threatening emergencies, including natural disasters, as such that people can make informed decisions on the risks posed to themselves and their relatives.

16. Member States should ensure that public authorities possess and update environmental information which is relevant to their functions and ensure that environmental information available to the public is transparent and effectively accessible through public telecommunications networks. Additionally, member States should take measures to disseminate environmental legislation, policy documents and proposals, international treaties, conventions and agreements and other significant international documentation on environmental issues, and should encourage operators whose activities have a significant impact on the environment to inform the public regularly of the environmental impact of their activities and products.

RUSSIAN FEDERATION

15. As part of the appropriate steps to safeguard the right to life and the right to respect for private and family life, member States should ensure a right of access to information in relation to environmental issues, in line with the Aarhus Convention by adequately informing the public, in an understandable way, about any life threatening emergencies, including natural disasters, as such that people can make informed decisions on the risks posed to themselves and their relatives.

16. Member States should ensure that public authorities possess and update environmental information which is relevant to their functions and ensure that environmental information available to the public is transparent and effectively accessible through public telecommunications networks. Additionally, member States should take measures to disseminate environmental legislation, policy documents and proposals, international treaties, conventions and agreements and other significant international documentation on environmental issues, and should encourage operators whose activities have a significant impact on the environment to inform the public regularly of the environmental impact of their activities and products.

RUSSIAN FEDERATION
transparent and effectively accessible through public telecommunications networks. Additionally, member States should take measures to disseminate makes environmental legislation, policy documents and proposals, international treaties, conventions and agreements and other significant international documentation on environmental issues available, and should encourage operators whose activities have a significant impact on the environment to inform the public regularly of the environmental impact of their activities and products.

16. Les États membres devraient veiller à ce que les autorités publiques possèdent et mettent à jour des informations sur l’environnement pertinentes pour leurs fonctions et à ce que les informations sur l’environnement mises à la disposition du public soient transparentes et effectivement accessibles par les réseaux de télécommunications publics. En outre, les États membres devraient prendre des mesures pour diffuser la législation, les documents et propositions de politique générale, les traités, conventions et accords internationaux et autres documents internationaux importants sur les questions d’environnement, et encourager les acteurs privés dont les activités ont un impact important sur l’environnement à informer régulièrement le public de l’impact environnemental de leurs activités et produits.

AP16 - Useful to define what is meant by ‘transparent information’. Transparency relates more to the attitude of the public authority and the act of making it available in the first place, rather than the nature of the information. If referring to the writing style and level of content and how easy it is for the audience to understand, then surely some information that a public authority chooses to place in the public domain will be more technical than other types of information. There may be simple texts, but also datasets and scientific information that ordinary readers may find inaccessible but scientists might find invaluable. The Aarhus Convention in Article 5 talks about making the way the information is made available transparent, which is a different matter entirely (e.g. clearly signposted on websites, brought together in portals, information hubs etc.)

17. When public authorities engage in dangerous activities which they know involve adverse risks to health, member States should establish an effective and accessible procedure to enable individuals to seek all relevant and appropriate information.

RUSSIAN FEDERATION
17. When public authorities engage in dangerous activities which they know involve adverse risks to health, member States should establish an effective and accessible procedure to enable individuals to seek all relevant and appropriate information.⁹⁸⁹¹

AP17 - This is broad and potentially goes beyond the Aarhus Convention. Is it limited by the environmental context of the instrument? Are we assuming that the harm to health derives from an activity that affects the environment and therefore fits into Aarhus Convention Article 2(3)(c)? (“The state of human health and safety, conditions of human life, cultural sites and built structures, inasmuch as they are or may be affected by the state of the elements of the environment or, through these elements, by the factors, activities or measures referred to in subparagraph (b) above.”)

Paragraphe [17] Accès aux informations sur les questions environnementales
« Lorsque les autorités publiques engagent dans des activités dangereuses dont elles savent qu’elles comportent des risques néfastes pour la santé, les États membres devraient établir une procédure efficace et accessible pour permettre aux individus concernés de rechercher toutes les informations pertinentes et appropriées. »

17. When public authorities engage in dangerous activities which they knew or ought to have known involve adverse risks to health, member States should establish an effective and accessible procedure to enable individuals to seek all relevant and appropriate information.

18. Member States should guarantee the right of everyone, without distinction on any ground, to have access, on request, to official documents concerning environmental information held by public authorities. They should ensure that public authorities, in response to a request for environmental information, make such information available to the public, within the framework of national legislation, without the need to show a legal or other interest.⁹⁸⁹⁴
RUSSIAN FEDERATION

18. Member States should guarantee the right of everyone, without distinction on any ground, to have access, on request, to official documents concerning environmental information held by public authorities. They should ensure that public authorities, in response to a request for environmental information, make such information available to the public, within the framework of national legislation, without the need to show a legal or other interest.

Member States should, in accordance with Member States' international obligations in this respect, guarantee the right of everyone, without distinction on any ground, to have access, on request, to official documents concerning environmental information held by public authorities. They should ensure that public authorities, in response to a request for environmental information, make such information available to the public, within the framework of national legislation, without the need to show a legal or other interest.

[Decision-making on environmental matters and public participation in them]

19. When making decisions which have the potential of affecting the environment, member States should take into account the interests of individuals who may be affected and should allow the public to make representations in relation to such decisions, within the framework of the national legislation.

19. Lorsqu'ils prennent des décisions susceptibles d'avoir une incidence sur l'environnement, les États membres devraient tenir compte des intérêts des personnes susceptibles d'être affectées et permettre au public de faire des observations dans le cadre des processus décisionnels relatifs à ces décisions.

RUSSIAN FEDERATION

To rephrase paragraph 19

"19. When making decisions which have the potential of affecting the environment, member States should take into account the interests of individuals who may be affected and should allow the public to make representations, participate or be represented in relation to such decisions, within the framework of the national legislation.

19. When making decisions which have the potential of affecting the environment, member States should take into account the interests of individuals who may be affected or have a legitimate interest and should allow the public to make representations in relation to such decisions, within the framework of the national legislation.

20. Member States should ensure that every member of society has adequate opportunities to effectively participate in decision-making processes related to environmental matters, and ensure that the results of the public participation is taken into account in the decision. This includes, inter alia, public participation in decisions on specific activities, public participation concerning plans, programmes and policies relating to the environment and, where appropriate, participation during the preparation of executive regulations and/or generally applicable legally binding normative instruments.

RUSSIAN FEDERATION

To rephrase paragraph 20

20. Member States should ensure that every member of society has adequate opportunities to effectively participate in decision-making processes related to environmental matters, and ensure that the results of the public participation is taken into account in the decision. This includes, inter alia, public participation in decisions on specific activities, public participation concerning plans, programmes and policies relating to the environment and, where appropriate, participation during the preparation of executive regulations and/or generally applicable legally binding normative instruments.

20. Member States should ensure that every member of society affected or likely to be affected or having an interest has adequate opportunities to effectively participate in decision-making processes related to environmental matters, and ensure that the results of the public participation is taken into account in the decision. This includes, inter alia, public participation in decisions on specific activities, public participation concerning plans, programmes and policies relating to the environment and, where
appropriate, participation during the preparation of executive regulations and/or generally applicable legally binding normative instruments.

20. Les États membres devraient veiller à ce que chaque membre de la société ait des possibilités adéquates de participer efficacement aux processus décisionnels relatifs aux questions d'environnement, et veiller à ce que les résultats de la participation du public soient pris en compte dans la décision. Cela comprend, entre autres, la participation du public, notamment des jeunes, aux décisions relatives à des activités spécifiques, la participation du public aux plans, programmes et politiques relatifs à l'environnement et, le cas échéant, la participation à l'élaboration de règlements exécutifs et/ou d'instruments normatifs contraignants d'application générale.

Paragraphe [20] Processus décisionnel en matière d'environnement et participation du public

« Les États membres devraient veiller à ce que chaque membre de la société ait des possibilités adéquates de participer efficacement aux processus décisionnels relatifs aux questions d'environnement, et veiller à ce que les résultats de la participation du public soient pris en compte dans la décision. Cela comprend, entre autres, la participation du public aux décisions relatives à des activités spécifiques, la participation du public aux plans, programmes et politiques relatifs à l'environnement et, le cas échéant, la participation à l'élaboration de règlements exécutifs et/ou d'instruments normatifs contraignants d'application générale. »

21. Member States should introduce appropriate procedures requiring environmental assessment of its proposed activities that are likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment with a view to avoiding, preventing or reducing and, if possible, offsetting such effects. With a view to promoting sustainable development and ensuring a high level of environmental protection, Member States should, through strategic environmental assessment (SEA) integrate environmental considerations into the preparation and adoption of plans and programmes which are likely to have significant effects on the environment.
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To rephrase paragraph 21

21. Member States should introduce appropriate procedures requiring environmental assessment of its proposed activities and those of private actors that are likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment with a view to avoiding, preventing or reducing and, if possible, offsetting such effects. With a view to promoting sustainable development and ensuring a high level of environmental protection, Member States should, through strategic environmental assessment (SEA) integrate environmental considerations into the preparation and adoption of plans and programmes which are likely to have significant effects on the environment.

Paragraphe [21] « Les États membres devraient mettre en place des procédures appropriées exigeant des évaluations environnementales des activités de celles de leurs activités qui sont susceptibles d’avoir des effets négatifs importants sur l’environnement, dans le but d’éviter, de prévenir ou de réduire et, si possible, de compenser ces effets. En vue de promouvoir le développement durable et d’assurer un niveau élevé de protection de l’environnement, les États membres devraient, par l’intermédiaire de l’évaluation environnementale stratégique (EES), intégrer les considérations environnementales dans la préparation et l’adoption des plans et des programmes qui sont susceptibles d’avoir des incidences notables sur l’environnement. »

21. Member States should introduce appropriate procedures requiring environmental assessment of its proposed activities and those of private actors that are likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment with a view to avoiding, preventing or reducing and, if possible, offsetting such effects. With a view to promoting sustainable development and ensuring a high level of environmental protection, Member States should, through strategic environmental assessment (SEA) integrate environmental considerations into the preparation and adoption of plans and programmes which are likely to have significant effects on the environment.

2 Convention on Biological Diversity (5 June 1992), 1760 UNTS 68:

"Article 14. Impact Assessment and Minimizing Adverse Impacts
1. Each Contracting Party, as far as possible and as appropriate, shall:
22. Member States should ensure that where public authorities have to determine complex issues of environmental and economic policy, the decision-making process should involve appropriate investigations and studies in order to predict and evaluate in advance the effects on the environment and to enable them to strike a fair balance between the various conflicting interests at stake. Member States should ensure public access to the conclusions of such studies and to information which would allow the public to assess the danger to which they are exposed.

RUSSIAN FEDERATION

To rephrase paragraph 22

22. Member States should ensure that where public authorities have to determine complex issues of environmental and economic policy, the decision-making process should involve appropriate investigations and studies in order to predict and evaluate in advance the effects on the environment and to enable them to strike a fair balance between the various conflicting interests at stake. Member States should ensure public access to the conclusions of such studies and to information which would allow the public to assess the danger to which they are exposed, in accordance with national legislation.

22. Les États membres devraient veiller à ce que, lorsque les autorités publiques ont à se prononcer sur des questions complexes de politique environnementale et économique, le processus décisionnel expose les enquêtes et des études appropriées afin de prévoir et d’évaluer à l’avance les effets sur l’environnement et de leur permettre de trouver un juste équilibre entre les divers intérêts contradictoires en jeu. Les États membres devraient garantir l’accès du public aux conclusions de ces études et aux informations qui permettraient au public d’évaluer le danger auquel il est exposé.

Commented [GC161]: SUISSE

Commented [Suisse162]: Mentionner les études d’impact sur l’environnement.
La formulation de ce paragraphe pourrait être simplifiée. Nous suggérons la reformulation suivante :

« Lorsque les autorités publiques ont à se prononcer sur des questions complexes de politique environnementale et économique, les États membres devraient veiller à ce que le processus décisionnel comporte des enquêtes et des études appropriées afin de prévoir et d'évaluer à l'avance les effets sur l'environnement et de permettre aux autorités publiques de trouver un juste équilibre entre les divers intérêts en jeu. Les États membres devraient garantir l'accès du public aux conclusions de ces études et aux informations permettant au public d'évaluer le danger auquel il est exposé. »

23. Member States should ensure that where public authorities have to determine complex issues of environmental and economic policy, the decision-making process should involve appropriate investigations and studies in order to predict and evaluate in advance the effects on the environment and to enable them to strike a fair balance between the various conflicting interests at stake. Member States should ensure public access to the conclusions of such studies and to information which would allow the public to assess the danger to which they are exposed.

24. Member States should adopt policies or measures designed to promote child and youth participation related to environmental matters, including the participation of young people belonging to?

[Participation and inclusion of children and youth in environmental matters]

[Participation and inclusion of youth in environmental matters]

22. Similar wording in Guidelines of the Committee of Ministers to member States on the protection and promotion of human rights in culturally diverse societies (Adopted by the Committee of Ministers on 2 March 2016 at the 1248th meeting of the Ministers’ Deputies), p. 20, para. 58:

“58. Member States are encouraged, as far as possible, to enable all relevant segments of society, including non-governmental organisations, to participate in the preparation and consideration of legislation and policies at national, regional and local levels.”
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Commented [A165]: NORWAY Why more lenient language here (not “should”) than in other paragraphs? Such organisations have participatory rights pursuant to the Aarhus Convention (Article 2(4-5), Article 6-8).
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Commented [GC16]: OHCHR

Commented [A168]: NORWAY We suggest to include the wording “children” as the term “youth” only covers 15 to 24 years.
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23. Similar wording in Guidelines of the Committee of Ministers to member States on the protection and promotion of human rights in the context of international governmental organisations promoting environmental protection and meeting any requirements under national law, to participate in the decision-making process. They are encouraged to make consultation and collaboration with such civil society organisations a common practice when drafting relevant legislation, policies and action plans at national, regional and local levels.
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Commented [A165]: NORWAY Why more lenient language here (not “should”) than in other paragraphs? Such organisations have participatory rights pursuant to the Aarhus Convention (Article 2(4-5), Article 6-8).
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Commented [A168]: NORWAY We suggest to include the wording “children” as the term “youth” only covers 15 to 24 years.
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24. Member States should adopt policies or measures designed to promote child and youth participation related to environmental matters, including the participation of young people belonging to?

[Participation and inclusion of children and youth in environmental matters]

[Participation and inclusion of youth in environmental matters]
27. Member States should ensure [in accordance with eventual applicable criteria laid down in national law] access to administrative or judicial procedures to challenge acts and omissions by private actors and public authorities which contravene the provisions of their national law relating to the environment.

RUSSIAN FEDERATION
To delete paragraph 27 since it repeats paragraph 26.

Alternative:
To rephrase paragraph 27 as follows:

27. Member States should take all appropriate measures to ensure greater participation of women in the planning, management and preservation of the environment and the sustainable use of natural resources at all levels. *[envii]*

[Participation of women in environmental matters]

25. Member States should take all appropriate measures to ensure greater participation of women in the planning, management and preservation of the environment and the sustainable use of natural resources at all levels. *[envii]*

[Environmental risks and access to court]

26. Member States should be mindful that the right to a fair trial includes the right to access to a court. They should enable applicants to appeal to a court if they consider that their rights and interests have not been given sufficient weight in the decision-making processes on complex questions of environmental and economic policy.

26. Member States should be mindful that the right to a fair trial includes the right to access to a court. They should enable applicants to appeal to a court if they consider that their rights and interests have not been given sufficient weight in the decision-making processes on complex questions of environmental and economic policy.

26. Member States should be mindful that the right to a fair trial includes the right to access to a court. They should enable applicants to appeal to a court if they consider that their rights and interests have not been given sufficient weight in the decision-making processes on complex questions of environmental and economic policy.

26. Member States should be mindful that the right to a fair trial includes the right to access to a court. They should enable applicants to appeal to a court if they consider that their rights and interests have not been given sufficient weight in the decision-making processes on complex questions of environmental and economic policy.

26. **Member States should be mindful that the right to a fair trial includes the right to access to a court. They should enable applicants to appeal to a court if they consider that their rights and interests have not been given sufficient weight in the decision-making processes on complex questions of environmental and economic policy.**

RP26 - There is a general question about whether such a right should itself be justiciable. We do not accept that all human rights (in particular economic, social and cultural rights) are justiciable. Particularly given the argument that the right is derived from a number of existing rights, many of which are bound to be non-justiciable.

**Paragraphe [26] Risques environnementaux et accès aux tribunaux**

« Les États membres devraient garder à l'esprit que le droit à un procès équitable inclut le droit d'accéder à un tribunal. Ils devraient permettre aux requérants de faire appel à toutes personnes de pouvoir saisir un tribunal s'ils considèrent que leurs droits et intérêts n'ont pas été suffisamment pris en compte dans la détermination des actions publiques relatives aux processus décisionnels sur des questions complexes de politique environnementale et économique. »

RUSSIAN FEDERATION
To rephrase paragraph 26 as follows:

"Member States should be mindful that the right to a fair trial includes the right to access to a court. They should enable applicants to appeal to a court if they consider that their rights and interests have not been given sufficient weight in the decision-making processes on complex questions of environmental and economic policy."

RUSSIAN FEDERATION
To delete paragraph 27 since it repeats paragraph 26.

Alternative:
To rephrase paragraph 27 as follows:

27. Member States should ensure [in accordance with eventual applicable criteria laid down in national law] access to administrative or judicial procedures to challenge acts and omissions by private actors and public authorities which contravene the provisions of their national law relating to the environment.**
“Member States should ensure [in accordance with eventual applicable criteria laid down in national law] access to administrative or judicial procedures to challenge acts and omissions by private actors and public authorities which contravene provisions of its national law relating to the environment.”

27. Member States should ensure [in accordance with eventual applicable criteria laid down in national law] access to administrative or judicial procedures to challenge acts and omissions by private actors and public authorities which contravene provisions of its national law relating to the environment.

Paragraphe [27] Risques environnementaux et accès aux tribunaux
Nous soutenons l'ajout de la référence aux critères applicables fixés par le droit national.


donc accèdent à des procédures administratives ou judiciaires pour contester les actes et disproportions de leur droit national en matière d'environnement.

27. Member States should ensure [in accordance with eventual applicable criteria laid down in national law] access to administrative or judicial procedures to challenge acts and omissions by private actors and public authorities which contravene provisions of its national law relating to the environment.

28. In administrative proceedings concerning authorisations of installations and plants, member States are invited to consider broadening the locus standi before national courts and tribunals with respect to human rights related to the environment, granting any group, foundation or association which, according to its statutes, aims at the protection of the environment, the right to participate in proceedings.

Paragraphe [28] Risques environnementaux et accès aux tribunaux
Dans les procédures administratives concernant les autorisations d'installations et d'usines, les États membres sont invités à envisager d'élargir le locus standi devant les cours et tribunaux nationaux en ce qui concerne les droits de l'homme liés à l'environnement, en accordant une possibilité de participation à des procédures administratives ou judiciaires pour contester les actes et omissions des acteurs privés et publics qui contravennent les dispositions de leur droit national en matière d'environnement.

28. In administrative proceedings concerning authorisations of installations and plants, member States are invited to consider broadening the locus standi before national courts and tribunals with respect to human rights related to the environment, granting any group, foundation or association which, according to its statutes, aims at the protection of the environment, the right to participate in proceedings.

RP28. The only collective human right the UK recognises is the right of self-determination, which the UK considers applies to the entire population of a territory (i.e. not to groups within a territory). Accepting a new collective right would have far reaching consequences. That said, we could acknowledge that while the human right would accrue to individuals, in realising the right at a national level there is no obstacle to states choosing to allow the collective exercise of the right under domestic jurisdiction (the distinction between individual human rights under international law and collective rights at the national level is at the heart of our position on indigenous rights).
association qui, selon ses statuts, vise à la protection de l’environnement, le droit d’intervenir à l’instance.

29. Member States should ensure that persons who have an arguable claim that their rights and freedoms as set forth in the Convention have been violated due to environmental harm, have access to an effective remedy before a national authority and should ensure that the competent authorities shall enforce such remedies when granted. In order to ensure that this access to justice and remedies is provided on an equal footing, member States are encouraged to establish appropriate legal aid schemes.

RUSSIAN FEDERATION

To move paragraph 29 before paragraph 26. And to rephrase it as follows:

29a. Member States should ensure that persons who have an arguable claim that their rights and freedoms as set forth in the Convention have been violated due to environmental harm, have access to an effective remedy before a national authority and should ensure that the competent authorities shall enforce such remedies when granted. In order to ensure that this access to justice and remedies is provided on an equal footing, member States are encouraged to establish appropriate legal aid schemes.

Paragraphe [29] Risques environnementaux et accès aux tribunaux

Les États membres devraient veiller à ce que les personnes qui ont des raisons de penser que leurs droits et libertés, tels qu’ils sont énoncés dans la Convention, ont été violés en raison d’une atteinte à l’environnement, aient accès à un recours effectif devant une autorité nationale et devraient veiller à ce que les autorités compétentes fassent appliquer ces recours. Ainsi, afin de garantir que l’accès à la justice et aux voies de recours soit assuré sur un pied d’égalité et sans discrimination, les États membres sont encouragés à mettre en place des systèmes d’aide judiciaire (judiciaire appropriée).

[Restorative sanctions]

30. Member States are encouraged to consider including restorative sanctions as part of effective remedies, with the aim of reinstating or restoring damaged or destroyed components of the environment, or to introduce, where reasonable, the equivalent of these components into the environment.

[Sanctions réparatrices]

30. Les États membres sont encouragés à envisager d’inclure des sanctions réparatrices dans le cadre des réparations effectives, dans le but de rétablir ou de restaurer les composantes endommagées ou détruites de l’environnement, ou d’introduire, lorsque cela est raisonnable, l’équivalent de ces composantes dans l’environnement.

[Environmental pollution and the right to just conditions]

31. Particularly in dangerous industries, such as mining, where health and safety risks, including exposure to environmental pollution, cannot be eliminated, member States should take preventive and protective measures.

31. En particulier dans les industries dangereuses, telles que les mines, où les risques pour la santé et la sécurité, notamment l’exposition à la pollution de l’environnement, ne peuvent être éliminés, les États membres devraient prendre des mesures de prévention et de protection.

[Environmental pollution and the right to safe and healthy working conditions]

RUSSIAN FEDERATION

To delete paragraph 30 since this is an internal matter of the state.

[OHCHR key messages]
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Commented [GC194]: RUSSIAN FEDERATION

Commented [Suiss195]: Clarifier cette notion de sanctions réparatrices

Commented [GC196]: SUISSE

Commented [GC197]: CONFERENCE DES OING

Commented [OHCHR198]: Would suggest updating to “right to just and favourable conditions of work”, as per Article 7 of the ICESCR

Commented [OHCHR199]: Here may wish to refer to “environmental pollution and hazardous substances”. See e.g. UNEP-OCHR key messages on human rights and hazardous substances (2021):

Human Rights and Hazardous Substances: Key Messages | UNEP - UN Environment Programme

Commented [A200]: NORWAY Relationship to the principle of prevention (No 1 above)?

Commented [Suiss201]: Ajouter encore des exemples plus parlants ou plus actuels que les mines en Europe.
32. Member States should adopt, enforce and effectively monitor legislation on safety and security at the workplace to ensure that workers’ rights are not affected by environmental pollution. They should provide precise and plausible explanations and information on developments in the number of occupational accidents and on measures taken to ensure the enforcement of regulations and hence to prevent accidents.26

26 Council of Europe, updated Manual on Human Rights and the Environment (third edition 2021), Section B, Chapter II, para (a): “Article 11 on the right to protection of health has been interpreted, by the Committee, as including the right to a healthy environment. The Committee has noted the complementarity between the rights to health under Article 11 of the Charter and Articles 2 and 3 of the European Convention on Human Rights – given that health care is a prerequisite for human dignity – as well as Article 2 of the Convention. As a consequence, the Committee has concluded, on several State reports regarding the right to health that measures required under Article 11, paragraph 1, should be designed to remove the causes of ill health resulting from environmental threats such as pollution (principle of prevention). Thus, not taking measures to avoid or reduce deterioration of the environment may amount to the infringement of specific social rights.”

33. Member States should ensure that the measures they take as part of the right to protection of health include the prevention and removal of the causes of ill-health resulting from environmental threats. 26

34. When there are reasonable grounds for concern regarding the potentially dangerous effects of environmental pollution on human health, member States should take precautionary measures. The lack of full scientific certainty should not be used as a reason for postponing appropriate measures. 34

35. In light of the right to a healthy environment, member States are encouraged to develop and regularly update sufficiently comprehensive environmental legislation and regulations, take specific steps, such as modifying equipment, measuring air quality properly, introducing threshold values for emissions and environmental objectives for air quality, in order to protect citizens’ health, prevent air pollution and reduce it on a local and global scale; prevent exposure to tobacco smoke, ensure that environmental standards and rules are properly applied, through appropriate supervisory mechanisms and public consultation, on human health, member States should take precautionary measures. The lack of full scientific certainty should not be used as a reason for postponing appropriate measures.
nuclear power plants, and; ban the use, production and sale of asbestos and products containing it and take measures to manage correctly their wastes. This

Paragraph 35 – Presumes that such a right exists which we wouldn’t necessarily agree with – we would propose this be amended to "in light of the right to the enjoyment of the highest attainable standard of physical and mental health" which we do recognize under Art 12 ICESCR.

35. Compte tenu du droit à un environnement sain, les États membres sont encouragés à élaborer et à mettre régulièrement à jour une législation et une réglementation suffisamment complètes en matière d’environnement ; notamment à prendre des mesures spécifiques telles que la modification des équipements, la mesure correcte de la qualité de l’air, l’introduction de valeurs seuils pour les émissions et d’objectifs environnementaux pour la qualité de l’air, afin de protéger la santé des citoyens, de prévenir la pollution atmosphérique et de la réduire à l’échelle locale et mondiale, de prévenir l’exposition à la fumée de tabac, à veiller à ce que les normes et règles environnementales soient correctement appliquées, par le biais de mécanismes de contrôle appropriés ; à informer et éduquer le public, y compris les élèves et les étudiants, sur les problèmes environnementaux tant généraux que locaux ; à évaluer les risques sanitaires par le biais d’une surveillance épidémiologique des groupes concernés ; prendre des mesures de prévention et de protection pour garantir l’accès à l’eau potable et à l’assainissement ; prendre des mesures pour garantir la sécurité alimentaire ; prévenir la contamination des sols ; adopter des règlement et des règles juridiques sur la prévention et la réduction de la pollution sonore ; adopter des réglementations relatives à la prévention des déchets et pour la gestion appropriée des déchets selon le principe de la hiérarchie, adopter des mesures appropriées pour empêcher le transfert illégal de déchets, en particulier de déchets dangereux, protéger leur population contre les conséquences d’accidents nucléaires survenant à l’étranger et ayant un effet sur leur territoire ; prévenir les risques connexion pour les communautés vivant dans une zone à risque en raison des centrales nucléaires, et ; interdire l’utilisation, la production et la vente d’amiante et de produits qui en contiennent et prendre des mesures pour gérer correctement leurs déchets.

Paragraph [35] Droit à un environnement sain

Il nous paraît souhaitable de reformuler le paragraphe 35 au vu de sa longueur. Il semble que certains passages reprennent des éléments déjà inclus dans d’autres paragraphes ; ceux-ci pourraient être supprimés.

RUSSIAN FEDERATION

To rephrase paragraph 35 as follows:

"In light of the right to a healthy environment, member States are encouraged to develop and regularly update sufficiently comprehensive environmental legislation and regulations; take specific steps, such as modifying equipment, measuring air quality properly, introducing threshold values for emissions and environmental objectives for air quality, in order to protect citizens’ health, prevent air pollution and reduce it on a local and global scale; prevent exposure to tobacco smoke, ensure that environmental standards and rules are properly applied, through appropriate supervisory mechanisms; inform and educate the public, including pupils and students at school, about both general and local environmental problems; assess health risks through epidemiological monitoring of the groups concerned; take preventive and protective measures to ensure access to safe drinking water and sanitation; take measures to guarantee food safety; prevent soil contamination; adopt regulations and legal rules on the prevention and reduction of noise pollution; adopt regulations regarding for the prevention of waste and for the proper management of waste according to hierarchy principle, adopt proper measures to prevent illegal shipment of waste, especially hazardous waste, protect their population against the consequences of nuclear accidents taking place abroad and having an effect within their territory; prevent related hazards for communities living in an area of risk due to nuclear power plants, and; ban the use, production and sale of asbestos and products containing it and take measures to manage correctly their wastes."

36. Member States should encourage the development of such solutions that enable both the public and the healthcare system to obtain rapid and up-to-date environmental information for health-related decisions.

Paragraph [36]
37. In light of non-discrimination, member States should take measures to prevent segregation on any ground of discrimination in environmentally hazardous areas, as well in improving living conditions and the environment, and ensure that housing is located in ecologically healthy surroundings only.

37. In light of non-discrimination, member States should take measures to prevent segregation on any ground of discrimination in environmentally hazardous areas, as well in improving living conditions and the environment, and ensure that housing is located in ecologically healthy surroundings only.

[Environmental education]

38. Member States should include environmental education, as a part of education promoting sustainable development (ESD), in the curricula of all levels of education and training from early childhood to tertiary and adult education, including technical and vocational education and training (TVET) as a key to, inter alia, increase the understanding of the close relationship between humans and nature, develop respect for the natural environment, understand the need to conserve species of wild flora and fauna and their habitats, promote the importance of and the measures required for the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity, understand the causes and effects of climate change and desertification, strengthen capacity to respond to environmental challenges and attain fundamentally new systems of human-environmental interactions.

[Right to housing and the environment]

38. Les États membres devraient inclure l’éducation au développement durable, qui recouvre aussi l’éducation à l’environnement, en tant que composante du développement durable (EDD), dans les programmes d’enseignement et de formation des systèmes éducatifs des États membres à tous les niveaux de la petite enfance à l’enseignement supérieur et à l’enseignement à distance afin de sensibiliser les États membres à la nécessité d’utiliser des méthodes pédagogiques qui promeuve l’importance de la conservation et de l’utilisation durable de la biodiversité, de comprendre les causes et de s’efforcer de répondre aux défis environnementaux et de parvenir à des systèmes fondamentalement nouveaux d’interactions entre l’homme et l’environnement.
causes and effects of climate change and desertification, strengthen capacity to respond to environmental challenges and attain fundamentally new systems of human-environmental interactions.27

[Public awareness on environmental matters]

39. Member States should design, implement and promote regular national awareness-raising initiatives on environmental matters at all levels and through diverse forms of media. These initiatives should aim to increase awareness, understanding and action-preparedness among the general population, of the different forms of environmental challenges, its causes and effects (e.g., on health and well-being), of how to prevent and respond to them at individual, structural and political level (e.g., through conservation, sustainable use, risk preparedness), and of the harm they generate for individuals and society. States should build the capacity of the public to understand environmental challenges and policies, so that they may fully exercise their rights to express their views on environmental issues, understand environmental information, including assessments of environmental


“Article 29
1. States Parties agree that the education of the child shall be directed to:
(b) The development of respect for the natural environment.”

Convention on the Conservation of European Wildlife and Natural Habitats (1979), ETS No. 104, Art. 3(3):

“Article 3. Each Contracting Party shall promote education and disseminate general information on the need to conserve species of wild flora and fauna and their habitats.”

Convention on Biological Diversity (5 June 1992), 1790 UNTS 69, Art. 13:

“Article 13. Public Education and Awareness
The Contracting Parties shall:
(a) Promote and encourage understanding of the importance of and the measures required for, the conservation of biological diversity, as well as its propagation through media, and the inclusion of these topics in educational programmes; and
(b) Cooperate, as appropriate, with other States and international organizations in developing educational and public awareness programmes, with respect to conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity.”

United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) (1992), UNTS vol. 1779, Art. 6(a)(i):

“ARTICLE EDUCATION, TRAINING AND PUBLIC AWARENESS
In carrying out their commitments under Article 4, paragraph 1 (i), the Parties shall:
(a) Promote and facilitate at the national and, where appropriate, subregional and regional levels, and in accordance with national laws and regulations, and within their respective capacities:
(i) the development and implementation of educational and public awareness programmes on climate change and its effects;”


“Article 19. Capacity-building, education and public awareness
3. The Parties shall cooperate with each other and through competent intergovernmental organizations, as well as with non-governmental organizations, in undertaking and supporting public awareness and educational programmes in both affected and, where relevant, unaffected country Parties to promote understanding of the causes and effects of desertification and drought and of the importance of meeting the objective of this Convention. [...]”


“Framework principle 6: States should provide for education and public awareness on environmental matters.
Commentary
15. States have agreed that the education of the child shall be directed to, among other things, the development of respect for human rights and the natural environment. Environmental education should begin early and continue throughout the educational process. It should increase students' understanding of the close relationship between humans and nature, help them to appreciate and enjoy the natural world and strengthen their capacity to respond to environmental challenges.”

Council of Europe, updated Manual on Human Rights and the Environment (third edition 2021), Appendix VI:

“Appendix VII: Good Practices aimed at protecting the environment and respecting the obligations stemming from the European Convention on Human Rights and the European Social Charter
6. Providing education on environmental sustainability
[...]
Environmental education, as a part of education promoting sustainable development (ESD), is vital in imparting an inherent respect for nature amongst society, in enhancing public environmental awareness and in building their capacity to respond to environmental challenges. The term often implies education within the school system, from primary to post-secondary. However, it sometimes includes all efforts to educate the public and other audiences, including print materials, websites, media campaigns, etc.”

UNESCO, Berlin Declaration on Education for Sustainable Development, World Conference held from 17 to 19 May 2021:

b) Integrate ESD into all levels of education and training from early childhood to tertiary and adult education, including technical and vocational education and training (TVET), and into non-formal education and informal learning, so that all individuals are provided with lifelong and life-wide learning opportunities for sustainable development;
Les États membres devraient concevoir, mettre en œuvre et promouvoir des initiatives nationales régulières de sensibilisation aux questions environnementales à tous les niveaux et par le biais de diverses formes de médias. Ces initiatives devraient viser à accroître la prise de conscience, la compréhension et la préparation à l'action de l'ensemble de la population sur les différentes formes de défis environnementaux, leurs causes et leurs effets (par exemple, sur la santé et le bien-être), la manière de les prévenir et d'y répondre au niveau individuel, structurel et politique (par exemple, par la conservation, l'utilisation durable, la préparation aux risques) ainsi que les dommages qu'ils engendrent pour les individus et la société. Les États membres devraient faire en sorte que le public soit à même de comprendre les problèmes environnementaux et les mesures qui sont prises pour y faire face, afin qu'il puisse exercer pleinement les droits qui lui sont reconnus d'exprimer son point de vue sur les questions environnementales, de comprendre les informations relatives à l'environnement, notamment les études d'impact sur l'environnement, de participer à la prise de décisions et, le cas échéant, de se prévaloir des recours disponibles en cas de violation de ses droits.

Member States should design, implement and promote regular national awareness-raising initiatives on environmental matters at all levels and through diverse forms of media. These initiatives should aim to increase awareness, understanding and action-preparedness among the general population, of the different forms of environmental challenges, their causes and effects (e.g., on health and well-being), of how to prevent and respond to them at individual, structural and political level (e.g., through conservation, sustainable use, risk preparedness), and of the harm they generate for individuals and society, and the potential positive developmental that can be achieved through environmental and climate friendly developments. States should build the capacity of the public to understand environmental challenges and policies, so that they may fully exercise their rights to express their views on environmental issues, understand environmental information, including assessments of environmental impacts, participate in decision-making and, where appropriate, seek remedies for violations of their rights.
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Commentary

15. Increasing the public awareness of environmental matters should continue into adulthood. To ensure that adults as well as children understand environmental effects on their health and well-being, States should make the public aware of the specific environmental risks that affect them and how they may protect themselves from those risks. As part of increasing public awareness, States should build the capacity of the public to understand environmental challenges and policies, so that they may fully exercise their rights to express their views on environmental issues (framework principle 5), understand environmental information, including assessments of environmental impacts (framework principles 7 and 8), participate in decision-making (framework principle 9) and, where appropriate, seek remedies for violations of their rights (framework principle 10). States should tailor environmental education and public awareness programmes to the culture, language and environmental situation of particular populations.

16. Design, implement and promote regular national awareness-raising initiatives at all levels and through diverse forms of media (for example, the production of handbooks, guidelines, video clips available on the internet and in mainstream media, the introduction of a national day against sexism, the setting up of museums to celebrate gender equality and women’s rights). These initiatives should aim to increase awareness and understanding among the general population, especially parents, of different forms of sexism, including phenomena such as “maniplaining”, of how to prevent and respond to them, and of the harm they generate for individuals and society, including girls and boys.

3. Each Contracting Party shall promote education and disseminate general information on the need to conserve species of wild flora and fauna and their habitats.


Commentary
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[Business enterprises]

40. Member States are encouraged to adequately regulate the private industry by applying a smart mix of measures – national and international, mandatory and voluntary – to ensure compliance with its human rights responsibility related to the environment. Member States should also incorporate the environmental dimension into their national action plans on human rights and business.\textsuperscript{cxxx}

40. Member States are encouraged to adequately regulate the private industry by applying a smart mix of measures – national and international, mandatory and voluntary – to ensure compliance with its human rights responsibility related to the environment. Member States should also incorporate the environmental dimension into their national action plans on human rights and business.

40. Les États membres sont encouragés à réglementer de manière adéquate l’industrie privée en appliquant un assortiment judicieux de mesures – nationales et internationales, contrariantes et volontaires juridiquement pas contraignantes – afin de garantir le respect de sa responsabilité en matière de droits de l’homme liée à l’environnement. Les États membres devraient également introduire la dimension environnementale liée aux droits de l’homme dans leurs plans d’action sur les droits de l’homme et les entreprises à elle n’est pas traité dans d’autres plans d’actions (p. ex. concernant la responsabilité sociétale des entreprises).\textsuperscript{cResponses to the Environment}

40. Member States should be encouraged to recall their obligation to adequately regulate the acts and omissions by private actors having an impact on the environment – by applying a smart mix of measures – national and international, mandatory and voluntary – to ensure compliance with its human rights responsibility related to the environment. Member States should also incorporate the environmental dimension into their national action plans on human rights and business.

---

United Nations Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) (1992), UNTS vol. 1771, Art. 6(a)(i):

“ARTICLE 6 EDUCATION, TRAINING AND PUBLIC AWARENESS

In carrying out their commitments under Article 4, paragraph 1 (i), the Parties shall:

(i) Promote and facilitate at the national and, as appropriate, subregional and regional levels, and in accordance with national laws and regulations, and within their respective capacities:

(a) The development and implementation of educational and public awareness programmes on climate change and its effects;

(b) The Parties shall cooperate with each other and through competent intergovernmental organizations, as well as with non-governmental organizations, in undertaking and supporting public awareness and educational programmes in both affected and, where relevant, unaffected country Parties to promote understanding of the causes and effects of desertification and drought and of the importance of meeting the objective of this Convention.

Council of Europe, updated Manual on Human Rights and the Environment (3rd edition 2023), Appendix VI:


6. Providing education on environmental sustainability

[Li] Environmental education, as a part of education promoting sustainable development (ESD), is vital in imparting an inherent respect for nature amongst society, in enhancing public environmental awareness and in building their capacity to respond to environmental challenges. The term often implies education within the school system, from primary to post-secondary. However, it sometimes includes all efforts to educate the public and other audiences, including print materials, websites, media campaigns, etc.

---

29. Hatton and Others v. the United Kingdom (Judgment) (8 July 2003), ECHR Application no. 36022/97, para. 119.


“Regarding the first point – whether the matters complained of can be imputed to the state – the Committee notes that the DEH, which until its partial privatisation in 2001 was solely answerable to the Greek state, has private law status. However, as a signatory to the Charter, Greece is required to ensure compliance with its undertakings, irrespective of the legal status of the economic agents whose conduct is at issue. Moreover, the DEH’s activities are clearly subject to the general legislation on the environment and under Act 273/1999 on the liberalisation of the Greek electricity market, the Greek Government is required to supervise those activities. Finally, the state still holds a majority of the DEH’s shares (51.2% in 2005).”


“States should not assume that businesses uniformly prefer, or benefit from, State inaction, and they should consider a smart mix of measures – national and international, mandatory and voluntary – to foster business respect for human rights.”
41. **Member States should apply such measures as may be necessary to encourage or, where appropriate, require that business enterprises apply environmental and human rights due diligence throughout their operations and activities, including their supply and value chains in order for those businesses to avoid causing or contributing to adverse human rights impacts through environmental harm, to address such impacts when they occur and to seek to prevent or mitigate adverse human rights impacts that are directly linked to their operations, products or services by their business relationships.**

42. **Member States should, as part of providing protection against business-related human rights abuse resulting from environmental harm, take appropriate steps to ensure that when such abuses occur those affected have access to effective judicial and non-judicial remedies.**

[Environmental human rights defenders]

43. **Member States should respect the right of everyone to promote and protect peacefully a clean, healthy and sustainable environment, for the enjoyment of a vast range of human rights. They should ensure an enabling legal framework and a conducive political and public environment for human rights defenders, enabling individuals, groups, civil society organisations and national institutions for the promotion and protection of human rights (NHRIs) to freely carry out activities, on a legal basis, consistent with international law and standards, to strive for the protection and promotion of all human rights and rights due diligence throughout their operations, products or services by their business relationships.**

[[1]](footnote) Les États membres devraient appliquer les mesures qui peuvent être nécessaires pour encourager ou, le cas échéant, exiger que les entreprises appliquent le principe de diligence raisonnable en matière d'environnement et de droits de l'homme tout au long de leurs opérations et activités, afin que ces entreprises évitent de causer ou de contribuer à des incidences négatives sur les droits de l'homme par des atteintes à l'environnement, qu'elles s'attaque à ces incidences lorsqu'elles se produisent et qu'elles cherchent à prévenir ou à atténuer les incidences négatives sur les droits de l'homme qui sont directement liées à leurs activités, produits ou services par leurs relations commerciales.

Commented [Suisse238]: As the text proposal focus on responsible business conduct with regard not only to human rights but also the environment, references to the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises which are the oldest and most comprehensive international standard for responsible business conduct respectively the OECD Guidelines on Due Diligence for Responsible Business Conduct should be added particularly in the Preamble (see proposal above) and possibly in this paragraph or at least in the footnote lixiv.
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Reinsert subsection on: [Civil Society]

Member States should protect civil society organisations from malicious SLAPP lawsuits brought with the aim to discourage them from participating in public debate about environmental issues by draining their time and financial resources. In particular, Member States should provide a mechanism allowing the early dismissal of such suits, introducing measures to punish abuse, and minimise the consequences of SLAPPs by giving practical support to those who are sued as a result of their environmental advocacy.”

(see, e.g., for the footnotes: https://www.coe.int/en/web/commissioner/-/time-to-take-action-against-slapps)


Framework principle 12

**States should ensure the effective enforcement of their environmental standards against public and private actors.**

Commentary

34. Governmental authorities must comply with the relevant environmental standards in their own operations, and they must also monitor and effectively enforce compliance with the standards by preventing, investigating, punishing and redressing violations of the standards by private actors as well as governmental authorities. In particular, States must regulate business enterprises to protect against human rights abuses resulting from environmental harm and to provide for remedies for such abuses. States should implement training programmes for law enforcement and judicial officers to enable them to understand and enforce environmental laws, and they should take effective steps to prevent corruption from undermining the implementation and enforcement of environmental laws.”

Recommendation CM/Rec(2019)3 of the Committee of Ministers to member States on human rights and business. (Adopted by the Committee of Ministers on 2 March 2016 at the 124th meeting of the Ministers’ Deputies), para. 13:

13. **Member States should:**

- apply such measures as may be necessary to require business enterprises operating within their territorial jurisdiction to respect human rights;
- apply such measures as may be necessary to require, as appropriate, business enterprises domiciled in their jurisdiction to respect human rights throughout their operations abroad;
- encourage and support these business enterprises by other means so that they respect human rights throughout their operations.”
fundamental freedoms. Member States should additionally take measures to protect environmental human rights defenders from violations committed by both State and non-State actors.\footnote{\textit{inter alia}}

Member States should respect the right of everyone to promote and protect, in a peaceful manner, \textit{peacefully} a clean, healthy and sustainable environment, in accordance with member States' obligations \textit{inter alia} under international freedom of expression and freedom of assembly and association guarantees (i.e. Arts. 10, 11 ECHR) necessary for the enjoyment of a vast range of human rights. They should ensure an enabling legal framework and a conducive political and public environment for human rights defenders, enabling individuals, groups, civil society organisations and national institutions for the protection and promotion of human rights (NHRIs), to freely carry out activities, on a legal basis, consistent with international law and standards, to strive for the protection and promotion of all human rights and fundamental freedoms. Member States should additionally take measures to protect environmental human rights defenders from violations committed by both State and non-State actors.

**Paragraphe [43] Défenseurs des droits de l’homme liés à l’environnement**

« Les États membres devraient respecter le droit de chacun de promouvoir et de protéger pacifiquement un environnement propre, sauf et durable, nécessaire à la jouissance d’un large éventail de droits de l’homme. Ils devraient garantir un cadre juridique favorable et un environnement politique et public propice aux défenseurs des droits de l’homme, permettant aux individus, aux groupes, aux organisations de la société civile et aux institutions nationales de protection et de promotion des droits de l’homme (INDH) de mener librement des activités, sur une base légale, conformément au droit et aux normes internationales, afin de lutter pour la protection et la promotion 

\textit{des de tous les droits de l’homme et de toutes les libertés fondamentales. Les États membres devraient en outre prendre des mesures pour protéger les défenseurs des droits de l’homme liés à l’environnement contre les violations commises par des acteurs tant étatiques que non étatiques.} »

**RUSSIAN FEDERATION**

To rephrase paragraph 43 as follows:

“Member States should respect the right of everyone to promote and protect peacefully a clean, healthy and sustainable environment, necessary for the enjoyment of a vast range of human rights. They should ensure an enabling legal framework and a conducive political and public environment for human rights defenders, enabling individuals, groups, civil society organisations and national institutions to promote and protect human rights (NHRIs), to freely carry out activities, on a legal basis, consistent with international law and standards, to strive for the protection and promotion of all human rights and fundamental freedoms. Member States should additionally take measures to protect environmental human rights defenders from violations committed by both State and non-State actors.”

[Rights of indigenous peoples and their environment]

[Rights of indigenous peoples and local communities and their environment]

44. Member States should ensure the rights of indigenous peoples and local communities, particularly by recognizing the rights of ownership and possession of the peoples concerned over the lands which they traditionally occupy, and the rights of the peoples concerned to the natural resources pertaining to their lands, in accordance with the UN Declaration on indigenous peoples and other relevant international instruments.\footnote{\textit{inter alia}}

44. Les États membres devraient s’efforcer d’assurer les droits des peuples autochtones et des communautés locales, notamment en reconnaissant les droits de propriété et de possession des peuples concernés sur les terres qu’ils occupent traditionnellement et les droits des peuples concernés sur les ressources naturelles afférentes à leurs terres, conformément à la Déclaration des Nations Unies sur les peuples autochtones et aux autres instruments internationaux pertinents.

**Paragraphe [44] Droits des peuples autochtones et de leur environnement**
Les États membres devraient assurer les droits des peuples autochtones et des communautés locales, notamment en reconnaissant les droits de propriété et de possession des peuples concernés sur les terres qu'ils occupent traditionnellement et les droits des peuples concernés sur les ressources naturelles afférentes à leurs terres, conformément à la Déclaration des Nations Unies sur les peuples autochtones et, au droit international des droits de l'homme, aux autres instruments internationaux pertinents.

44. Member States should ensure the rights of indigenous peoples and local communities, particularly by recognizing the rights of ownership and possession of the peoples concerned over the lands which they traditionally occupy, and the rights of the peoples concerned to the natural resources pertaining to their lands, in accordance with the UN Declaration on Indigenous Peoples and other relevant international instruments.

45. Member States should take measures to respect, preserve and maintain knowledge, innovations and practices of indigenous peoples and local communities embodying traditional lifestyles relevant for the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity. Member States should also promote their wider application with the approval and involvement of the holders of such knowledge, innovations and practices and encourage the equitable sharing of the benefits arising from the utilization of such knowledge, innovations and practices.

45. Les États membres devraient prendre des mesures pour respecter, préserver et maintenir les connaissances, innovations et pratiques des peuples autochtones et locaux qui incarnent des modes de vie traditionnels présentant un intérêt pour la conservation et l'utilisation durable de la diversité biologique. Les États membres devraient également promouvoir leur application à plus grande échelle avec l'approbation et la participation des détenteurs de ces connaissances, innovations et pratiques et encourager le partage équitable des avantages découlant de l'utilisation de ces connaissances, innovations et pratiques.

45. Member States should take measures to respect, preserve and maintain knowledge, innovations and practices of indigenous peoples and local communities embodying traditional lifestyles relevant for the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity. Member States should also promote their wider application with the approval and involvement of the holders of such knowledge, innovations and practices and encourage the equitable sharing of the benefits arising from the utilization of such knowledge, innovations and practices.

46. Member States should take special safeguards, including appropriate legal protection, to protect children from foreseeable environmental harm.

46. Member States should take special safeguards, including appropriate legal protection, to protect children from foreseeable environmental harm.

46 bis. Member States should recognize that environmental degradation can disproportionately impact human rights of persons with disabilities and should ensure their meaningful participation, inclusion and leadership in decision-making of environmental legislations, policies, strategies and actions.

46 bis. Member States should recognize that environmental degradation can disproportionately impact human rights of persons with disabilities and should ensure their meaningful participation, inclusion and leadership in decision-making of environmental legislations, policies, strategies and actions.

Data collection

47. Member States should pay particular attention to the effect of environmental degradation on people in vulnerable situations by collecting disaggregated data and intensifying scientific research on the specific effects of environmental harm on different segments of the population.

47. Member States should pay particular attention to the effect of environmental degradation on people in vulnerable situations by collecting disaggregated data and intensifying scientific research on the specific effects of environmental harm on different segments of the population.
Les États membres devraient veiller tout particulièrement aux effets de la dégradation de l'environnement sur les personnes en situation de vulnérabilité en élaborant des données spécifiques, et en intensifiant la recherche scientifique, sur les conséquences particulières qu'ont les dommages environnementaux pour différents segments de population.

[Development of national environmental policies and actions]

48. Member States should consider encouraging public authorities to adopt a strategic approach towards the human rights challenges due to the degradation of the environment by integrating the relevant issues into related action plans.

RUSSIAN FEDERATION

To rephrase paragraph 48

«48. Member States should consider encouraging public authorities to adopt a strategic approach towards the human rights challenges due to the degradation of the environment by integrating the relevant issues into related action plans.»

49. Member States should mainstream human rights into planning, developing, implementing and monitoring of their environmental legislation, strategies, policies and actions.

50. In developing their legislations, policies, strategies and actions, member States could build upon the existing legal instruments, principles and activities of the Council of Europe.

51. Member States should explore all possible partnerships with a view to mainstreaming the environmental dimension in the domestic activities for the promotion and protection of human rights.

RUSSIAN FEDERATION

To rephrase paragraph 51

«51. Member States should explore all possible partnerships with a view to mainstreaming [taking into account the environmental dimension in the domestic activities for the promotion and protection of human rights].»

52. Member States should promote, to the best of their ability, the improvement of scientific knowledge of ecosystems and the impact of human activities. They should cooperate through exchanges of scientific and technological knowledge and by enhancing the development, adaptation, dissemination and transfer of technologies respectful of the environment, including innovative technologies.

Paragraphe [52] Développement de politiques et d’actions nationales en matière d’environnement

«Les États membres devraient promouvoir, dans toute la mesure de leurs moyens, l’amélioration des connaissances scientifiques sur les écosystèmes et l’impact des activités humaines. Ils devraient coopérer en échangeant des connaissances scientifiques et techniques et en facilitant la mise au point, l’adaptation, la diffusion et le transfert de techniques technologies respectueuses de l’environnement, y compris des techniques novatrices.»

53. Member States should consider the impact of the measures taken, for instance by developing, where appropriate, human rights indicators to measure their impact in the context of the environment.

Paragraphe [53]«Les États membres devraient [etudier envisager les effets des mesures prises, par exemple en élaborant, s’il y a lieu, des indicateurs sur les droits de l’homme permettant d’apprécier l’impact de ces mesures dans le contexte de l’environnement.]»

[Dialogue among member States related to environmental matters]
54. Member States should develop effective dialogue so that they have greater collective impact in international fora where environmental issues are addressed, including in their contribution to the UN 2030 Agenda for Sustainable development, building upon the Council of Europe’s approach and added value.
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This sentence could be made clearer. What kind of “collective impact” are we talking about – and how does the CoE added value come into the picture for UN negotiations? “The contribution to the 2030 Agenda” is also not very clear, we are in the implementation phase for the agenda.
Our domestic authorities have suggested introducing some ideas in the text, especially in order to highlight, as part of the protection of environment, the access to water and the biodiversity:

- In view of the extensive development of the human right to water and water supply since its recognition by the United Nations Assembly and the Human Rights Council, as well as the initiatives undertaken within the European Union, and given that water is one of the main environmental compartments on whose good condition human health and numerous ecosystems depend, it would be appropriate to include the following references in footnote XXV:
  - The 28th July 2010 United Nations General Assembly Resolution 64/292, which explicitly recognized water and sanitation as a human right and acknowledged that water and sanitation are essential to the realisation of all human rights.
  - The November 2002 Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights General Comment No. 15, on the right to water.
  - The 19th March 2014 Communication from the European Commission on the European Citizens’ Initiative “Water and sanitation are a human right! Water is a public good, not a commodity!”, in which citizens urge the EU institutions and Member States to ensure that all citizens can exercise their right to water and sanitation; that water supply and water resources management should not be governed by “internal market rules” and that water services should be excluded from the scope of liberalization; and that the EU should redouble its efforts to achieve universal access to water and sanitation.
  - In this line, in para. 38, after the reference made to “the causes and effects of climate change and desertification”, include the mention to “understand the importance of ensuring the access to safe drinking water and sanitation”.

Joint Statement on “Human Rights and Climate Change”. 16 September 2019.11

- The High Level International Conference on Human Rights and Environmental Protection “Human Rights for the Planet” (5 October 2021, Strasbourg): “Recognizing that environmental degradation, climate change and unsustainable development constitute some of the most pressing and serious threats to the ability of present and future generations to enjoy human rights, including the right to life.”

- The 2002 Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights General Comment No. 15, on the right to water, considered that “national action to ensure that all citizens can exercise their right to water and sanitation; that water supply and water resources management should not be governed by ‘internal market rules’ and that water services should be excluded from the scope of liberalization; and that the EU should redouble its efforts to achieve universal access to water and sanitation.”

- In this line, in para. 38, after the reference made to “the causes and effects of climate change and desertification”, include the mention to “understand the importance of ensuring the access to safe drinking water and sanitation”.


- The High Level International Conference on Human Rights and Environmental Protection “Human Rights for the Planet” (5 October 2021, Strasbourg): “Recognizing that environmental degradation, climate change and unsustainable development constitute some of the most pressing and serious threats to the ability of present and future generations to enjoy human rights, including the right to life.”

- The 2002 Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights General Comment No. 15, on the right to water, considered that “national action to ensure that all citizens can exercise their right to water and sanitation; that water supply and water resources management should not be governed by ‘internal market rules’ and that water services should be excluded from the scope of liberalization; and that the EU should redouble its efforts to achieve universal access to water and sanitation.”
"Climate change, loss of biodiversity, depletion of natural resources and chemical pollution bring new challenges for society. Governments and the European Court of Human Rights. How will the Court take account of these issues when interpreting the ECHR in future cases relating to the environment?".

vi. UN Human Rights Council, Resolution 48/13 on "The human right to a safe, clean, healthy and sustainable environment", adopted on 8 October 2021, preamble:
"Recognizing that environmental degradation, climate change and unsustainable development constitute some of the most pressing and serious threats to the ability of present and future generations to enjoy human rights, including the right to life."

xii. Paris Agreement adopted on 12 December 2015 at the twenty-first session of the Conference of the Parties to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change held in Paris from 30 November to 13 December 2015:

1. This Agreement, in enhancing the implementation of the Convention, including its objective, aims to strengthen the global response to the threat of climate change, in the context of sustainable development and efforts to eradicate poverty, including by:
(a) Holding the increase in the global average temperature to well below 2°C above pre-industrial levels and pursuing efforts to limit the temperature increase to 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels, recognizing that this would significantly reduce the risks and impacts of climate change;"
Chairmanship of the Committee of Ministers, Joint Declaration on human rights and the environment by the outgoing and incoming Presidencies of the Committee of Ministers, Decl(15/05/2020), 15 May 2020:

"The outgoing (Georgia) and incoming (Greece and Germany) presidencies of the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe, acknowledging the growing threats to the climate and the environment and the urgent need to act in an ambitious and concerted manner at the global level to better ensure their sustainability and protection, present the following:

1. Human beings are part of nature, and our human rights are intertwined with the environment in which we live. Environmental harm interferes with the enjoyment of human rights, and the exercise of human rights helps to protect the environment and to promote sustainable development.

General environmental protection is mentioned in the Constitutions of Albania, Andorra, Croatia, Estonia, Germany, Greece, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, San Marino, Sweden and Switzerland, whereas the explicit individual right to a healthy environment is additionally recognised by the Constitutions of Armenia, Azerbaijan, Belgium, Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Finland, France, Georgia, Hungary, Latvia, Montenegro, North Macedonia, Norway, Republic of Moldova, Romania, Russian Federation, Serbia, Slovak Republic, Slovenia, Spain, Turkey and Ukraine.

xxiv Tătar v. Romania (Judgment) (27 January 2009), ECHR Application no. 67021/08, para. 117; Di Sarno and others v. Italy (Judgment) (10 January 2012), ECHR Application no. 30765/08, para. 110;


1. Human beings are part of nature, and our human rights are intertwined with the environment in which we live. Environmental harm interferes with the enjoyment of human rights, and the exercise of human rights helps to protect the environment and to promote sustainable development.

General environmental protection is mentioned in the Constitutions of Albania, Andorra, Croatia, Estonia, Germany, Greece, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, San Marino, Sweden and Switzerland, whereas the explicit individual right to a healthy environment is additionally recognised by the Constitutions of Armenia, Azerbaijan, Belgium, Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Finland, France, Georgia, Hungary, Latvia, Montenegro, North Macedonia, Norway, Republic of Moldova, Romania, Russian Federation, Serbia, Slovak Republic, Slovenia, Spain, Turkey and Ukraine.

xxv Tătar v. Romania (Arrêt) (27 janvier 2009), Requête CEDH no 67021/10, para. 112; Di Sarno and others v. Italy (Arrêt) (10 janvier 2012), CEDH Requête no 30765/08, para. 110;

Chairmanship of the Committee of Ministers, Joint Declaration on human rights and the environment by the outgoing and incoming Presidencies of the Committee of Ministers, Decl(15/05/2020):

"Bear in mind that life and well-being on our planet is contingent on humanity’s collective capacity to guarantee both human rights and a healthy environment to future generations."

xxvi xxvii Joint Declaration on human rights and the environment by the outgoing and incoming Presidencies of the Committee of Ministers, Decl(15/05/2020):

"Mindful of conducting actions guided by intragenerational and intergenerational equity."

xxvii UN General Assembly, resolution A/70/L.1 of 25 September 2015 “Transforming our world: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development”, preamble, 3rd recital

xxviii Chairman of the Committee of Ministers, Joint Declaration on human rights and the environment by the outgoing and incoming Presidencies of the Committee of Ministers, Decl(15/05/2020):
udden impact on children, and the recognition by States parties to the Convention that children are entitled to special safeguards, including appropriate legal protection, states have heightened obligations to protect children from foreseeable harm.

40. As the Human Rights Council has recognized, while the human rights implications of environmental damage are felt by individuals and communities around the world, the consequences are felt most acutely by those segments of the population that are already in vulnerable situations. Persons may be vulnerable because they are unusually susceptible to certain types of environmental harm, or because they are denied their human rights, or both. Vulnerability to environmental harm reflects the ‘interface between exposure to the physical threats to human well-being and the capacity of people and communities to cope with those threats’.

41. Those who are at greater risk from environmental harm for either or both reasons often include women, children, persons living in particular members of indigenous peoples, and religious or other minorities, with disabilities, ethnic, racial or other vulnerable people and displaced persons. The many examples of potential vulnerability include the following:

[...]

Le Club des Juristes, Draft Project “Global Pact for the Environment” (Paris, 24 June 2017), Preamble

“Conscious of the need to respect, promote and consider their respective obligations on human rights, the right to health, the rights and knowledge of indigenous peoples, local communities, migrants, children, persons with disabilities and people in vulnerable situations, to protect the rights of those who are most vulnerable to, or at particular risk from, environmental harm, taking into account their needs, risks and capacities.”

Commentary

40. As the Human Rights Council has recognized, while the human rights implications of environmental damage are felt by individuals and communities around the world, the consequences are felt most acutely by those segments of the population that are already in vulnerable situations. Persons may be vulnerable because they are unusually susceptible to certain types of environmental harm, or because they are denied their human rights, or both. Vulnerability to environmental harm reflects the ‘interface between exposure to the physical threats to human well-being and the capacity of people and communities to cope with those threats’.

41. Those who are at greater risk from environmental harm for either or both reasons often include women, children, persons living in particular members of indigenous peoples and traditional communities, older persons, persons with disabilities, ethnic, racial or other minorities, and displaced persons. The many examples of potential vulnerability include the following:

[...]

Le Club des Juristes, Draft Project “Global Pact for the Environment” (Paris, 24 June 2017), Preamble

“Conscious of the need to respect, promote and consider their respective obligations on human rights, the right to health, the rights and knowledge of indigenous peoples, local communities, migrants, children, persons with disabilities and people in vulnerable situation, under their jurisdiction.”

[...]


9.13 [...] The Committee considers that, as children, the authors are particularly impacted by the effects of climate change, both in terms of the manner in which they experience such effects as well as the potential of climate change to affect them throughout their lifetime, in particular if immediate action is not taken. Due to the particular impact on children, and the recognition by States parties to the Convention that children are entitled to special safeguards, including appropriate legal protection, states have heightened obligations to protect children from foreseeable harm.

9.14 Recommendation CM/Rec(2019)6 of the Committee of Ministers to member States on the development of the Ombudsman institution (Adopted by the Committee of Ministers on 16 October 2019 at the 133rd meeting of the Ministers’ Deputies):

"Expressing great concern about the challenging working conditions, threats, pressures and attacks which Ombudsmen institutions and their staff are at times exposed to in member States."
Le Club des Juristes, Avant-projet de Pacte mondial pour l’environnement (Paris, 24 juin 2017), Préambule :

- Soulignant le rôle vital des femmes en matière de développement durable ainsi que la nécessité de promouvoir l’égalité des sexes et l’autonomisation des femmes.

UN General Assembly, resolution A/70/L.1 of 25 September 2015 “Transforming our world: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development”, preamble, 3rd recital:

Le Club des Juristes, Draft Project “Global Pact for the Environment” (Paris, 24 June 2017). Préambule:

“Emphasizing the vital role of women in sustainable development matters and the need to promote gender equality and the empowerment of women.”

UN General Assembly, resolution A/RSl/L.1 of 25 September 2015 “Transforming our world: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development”, preamble, 3rd recital:

Le Club des Juristes, Draft Project “Global Pact for the Environment” (Paris, 24 June 2017). Préambule:

“Emphasizing the vital role of women in sustainable development matters and the need to promote gender equality and the empowerment of women.”

UN Human Rights Council, Convention on Biological Diversity, preamble, 3rd recital:

Le Club des Juristes, Draft Project “Global Pact for the Environment” (Paris, 24 June 2017). Préambule:

“Emphasizing the vital role of women in sustainable development matters and the need to promote gender equality and the empowerment of women.”

Le Club des Juristes, Draft Project “Global Pact for the Environment” (Paris, 24 June 2017). Préambule:

“Emphasizing the vital role of women in sustainable development matters and the need to promote gender equality and the empowerment of women.”
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UN General Assembly, resolution A/70/L.1 of 25 September 2015 “Transforming our world: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development”, preamble, 3rd recital:

Le Club des Juristes, Draft Project “Global Pact for the Environment” (Paris, 24 June 2017). Préambule:

“Emphasizing the vital role of women in sustainable development matters and the need to promote gender equality and the empowerment of women.”


Stressing the fundamental importance of science and education for sustainable development


“Framework principle 6

States should provide for education and public awareness on environmental matters.

Commentary

15. States have agreed that the education of the child shall be directed to, among other things, the development of respect for human rights and the natural environment. Environmental education should begin early and continue throughout the educational process. It should increase students’ understanding of the close relationship between humans and nature, help them to appreciate and enjoy the natural world and strengthen their capacity to respond to environmental challenges.

16. Increasing the public awareness of environmental matters should continue into adulthood. To ensure that adults as well as children understand environmental effects on their health and well-being, States should make the public aware of the specific environmental risks that affect them and how they may protect themselves from those risks. As part of increasing public awareness, States should build the capacity of the public to understand environmental challenges and policies, so that they may fully exercise their rights to express their views on environmental issues (framework principles 7 and 8), participate in decision-making (framework principle 9) and, where appropriate, seek remedies for violations of their rights (framework principle 10). States should tailor environmental education and public awareness programmes to the culture, language and environmental situation of particular populations.”

UN Human Rights Council, Resolution on recognizing the contribution of environmental human rights defenders to the enjoyment of human rights, environmental protection and sustainable development adopted in May 2019, A/HRC/40/L.22/Rev.1

Council of Europe Commissioner for Human Rights, Human Rights Comment, Let us make Europe a safe place for environmental human rights defenders, 26/05/2021

Environmental human rights defenders
The people behind these extremely important efforts are environmental human rights defenders. The term refers to human rights defenders working on environmental issues.

Recommendation CM/Rec(2018)11 of the Committee of Ministers to member States on the need to strengthen the protection and promotion of civil society space in Europe (Adopted by the Committee of Ministers on 28 November 2018 at the 1300th meeting of the Ministers’ Deputies):

“Underscoring the positive, important and legitimate roles of all human rights defenders, including NHRIs and civil society organisations, in independently promoting the realisation of all human rights including by engaging with Governments, across local, regional, national and international levels, organising awareness raising and education activities, and contributing to the efforts to implement the obligations and commitments of States in this regard.”

Steel and Morris v. the United Kingdom (Judgment) (15 February 2005), ECHR Application no. 69416/01, para. 86:

“The Government have pointed out that the applicants were not journalists, and should not therefore attract the high level of protection afforded to the press under Article 10. The Court considers, however, that in a democratic society even small and informal campaign groups, such as London Greenpeace, must be able to carry on their activities effectively and that there exists a strong public interest in enabling such groups and individuals outside the mainstream to contribute to the public debate by disseminating information and ideas on matters of general public interest such as health and the environment (see, mutatis mutandis, Bowman v. the United Kingdom, judgment of 19 February 1998, Reports 1998-I and Appleby and Others v. the United Kingdom, no. 44306/98, ECHR 2003-V)”.


Environmental human rights defenders

The people behind these extremely important efforts are environmental human rights defenders. The term refers to human rights defenders working on environmental issues.

Recommendation CM/Rec(2018)11 of the Committee of Ministers to member States on the need to strengthen the protection and promotion of civil society space in Europe (Adopted by the Committee of Ministers on 28 November 2018 at the 1300th meeting of the Ministers’ Deputies):

“Underscoring the positive, important and legitimate roles of all human rights defenders, including NHRIs and civil society organisations, in independently promoting the realisation of all human rights including by engaging with Governments, across local, regional, national and international levels, organising awareness raising and education activities, and contributing to the efforts to implement the obligations and commitments of States in this regard.”

Steel and Morris v. the United Kingdom (Judgment) (15 February 2005), ECHR Application no. 69416/01, para. 86:

“The Government have pointed out that the applicants were not journalists, and should not therefore attract the high level of protection afforded to the press under Article 10. The Court considers, however, that in a democratic society even small and informal campaign groups, such as London Greenpeace, must be able to carry on their activities effectively and that there exists a strong public interest in enabling such groups and individuals outside the mainstream to contribute to the public debate by disseminating information and ideas on matters of general public interest such as health and the environment (see, mutatis mutandis, Bowman v. the United Kingdom, judgment of 19 February 1998, Reports 1998-I and Appleby and Others v. the United Kingdom, no. 44306/98, ECHR 2003-V)”.

“…Le Club des Juristes, Draft Project “Global Pact for the Environment” (Paris, 24 June 2017), Preambles: “Welcoming the vital role of non State actors, including civil society, economic actors, cities, regions and other subnational authorities in the protection of the environment.”

“Final Declaration by the Georgian Presidency of the Committee of Ministers, Environmental Protection and Human Rights, High Level Conference organised under the aegis of the Georgian Presidency of the Committee of Ministers (Strasbourg), 27 February 2003:

“Climate change, extinction of species, loss of biodiversity, pollution and the overall degradation of the earth’s ecosystems have a profound global impact on the enjoyment of human rights and require the widest possible cooperation by all Council of Europe Member States.”

“The protection of the environment and the protection of human rights are interconnected: one cannot be achieved without the other, nor at the expense of the other. Life and well-being on our planet is contingent on humanity’s collective capacity to guarantee both human rights and a healthy environment to future generations.”

“the Council of Europe has a key role to play in mainstreaming the environmental dimension into human rights and pursues a rights-based approach to environmental protection.”

“High-Level International Conference on Human Rights and Environmental Protection “Human Rights for the Planet” (5 October 2003, Strasbourg):

“Clean environment is a precondition to the enjoyment of human rights: the full enjoyment of everyone’s rights to life, health, privacy and family life or home, depends on healthy ecosystems and their benefits to people.”


On the basis of developments in the member States, within the Council of Europe and in other fora, update the Handbook on Human Rights and the Environment and, if appropriate, develop a draft non-binding instrument of the Committee of Ministers (e.g. recommendation, guidelines) recalling existing standards in this field.


“[...] These Guiding Principles should be understood as a coherent whole and should be read, individually and collectively, in terms of their objective of enhancing standards and practices with regard to business and human rights so as to achieve tangible results for affected individuals and communities, and thereby also contributing to a socially sustainable globalization.”

Chairmanship of the Committee of Ministers, Joint Declaration on human rights and the environment by the outgoing and incoming Presidencies of the Committee of Ministers, Decl(15/05/2020):

“Man has the fundamental right to freedom, equality and adequate conditions of life, in an environment of a quality that permits a life of dignity and well-being [...]

Universal Declaration of Human Rights (adopted 10 December 1948 UNGA Res 217 A(III) (UDHR), Art. 28:

“Everyone is entitled to a social and international order in which the rights and freedoms set forth in this Declaration can be fully realized.”


“International human rights instruments contain few specific provisions relating to the environment. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights recognizes that “Everyone is entitled to a social and international order in which the rights and freedoms set forth in this Declaration can be fully realized”. It is generally accepted that the “order” to which the Declaration refers also covers the environmental concerns of this day and age.”

Final Declaration by the Georgian Presidency of the Committee of Ministers, Environmental Protection and Human Rights, High-Level Conference organised under the aegis of the Georgian Presidency of the Committee of Ministers (Strasbourg, 27 February 2003):

“Development of National Policies and Actions

The primary responsibility for protecting the environment and human rights rests with Member States.”


“16. Even without formal recognition, the term “the human right to a healthy environment” is already being used to refer to the environmental aspects of the entire range of human rights that depend on a safe, clean, healthy and sustainable environment. The use of the term in this way — and, for that matter, the adoption of a resolution recognizing the right — does not change the legal content of obligations that are based on existing human rights law[...].”


“16. Even without formal recognition, the term “the human right to a healthy environment” is already being used to refer to the environmental aspects of the entire range of human rights that depend on a safe, clean, healthy and sustainable environment. The use of the term in this way — and, for that matter, the adoption of a resolution recognizing the right — does not change the legal content of obligations that are based on existing human rights law[...].”


“16. Even without formal recognition, the term “the human right to a healthy environment” is already being used to refer to the environmental aspects of the entire range of human rights that depend on a safe, clean, healthy and sustainable environment. The use of the term in this way — and, for that matter, the adoption of a resolution recognizing the right — does not change the legal content of obligations that are based on existing human rights law[...].”


“16. Even without formal recognition, the term “the human right to a healthy environment” is already being used to refer to the environmental aspects of the entire range of human rights that depend on a safe, clean, healthy and sustainable environment. The use of the term in this way — and, for that matter, the adoption of a resolution recognizing the right — does not change the legal content of obligations that are based on existing human rights law[...].”
16. Even without formal recognition, the term “the human right to a healthy environment” is already being used to refer to the environmental aspects of the entire range of human rights that depend on a safe, clean, healthy and sustainable environment. The use of the term in this way — and, for that matter, the adoption of a resolution recognizing the right — does not change the legal content of obligations that are based on existing human rights law. [...]  


16. Even without formal recognition, the term “the human right to a healthy environment” is already being used to refer to the environmental aspects of the entire range of human rights that depend on a safe, clean, healthy and sustainable environment. The use of the term in this way — and, for that matter, the adoption of a resolution recognizing the right — does not change the legal content of obligations that are based on existing human rights law. [...]  


16. Even without formal recognition, the term “the human right to a healthy environment” is already being used to refer to the environmental aspects of the entire range of human rights that depend on a safe, clean, healthy and sustainable environment. The use of the term in this way — and, for that matter, the adoption of a resolution recognizing the right — does not change the legal content of obligations that are based on existing human rights law. [...]  


1. Considère que l’exercice du droit de bénéficier d’un environnement propre, sain et durable est un élément important de la jouissance des droits de l’homme ;  
2. Considère que le droit à un environnement propre, sain et durable est lié à d’autres droits et au droit international existant ;  


16. Même si le droit lui-même n’est pas encore formellement reconnu, l’expression « le droit à un environnement, sain » est déjà employée pour désigner les aspects environnementaux de toute la série de droits de l’homme qui reposent sur un environnement sûr, propre, sain et durable. L’emploi de cette expression dans ce sens — pas plus, d’ailleurs, que l’adoption d’une résolution reconnaissant le droit en question — ne modifie en rien la teneur des obligations qui découlent du droit actuel des droits de l’homme. […]  

Similar wording in Recommendation CM/Rec(2016)3 of the Committee of Ministers to member States on human rights and business:  

“Recommend that the governments of the member States:  
1. review their national legislation and practice to ensure that they comply with the recommendations, principles and further guidance set out in the appendix, and evaluate the effectiveness of the measures taken at regular intervals.”  

Similar wording in Recommendation CM/Rec(2016)3 of the Committee of Ministers to member States on human rights and business:  

“3. share examples of good practices related to the implementation of this recommendation with a view to their inclusion in a shared information system, to be established and maintained by the Council of Europe, and which is to be accessible to the public, including through reference to existing information systems;”  

Similar wording in Recommendation CM/Rec(2016)3 of the Committee of Ministers to member States on human rights and business:  

“3. share examples of good practices related to the implementation of this recommendation with a view to their inclusion in a shared information system, to be established and maintained by the Council of Europe, and which is to be accessible to the public, including through reference to existing information systems;”  

Similar wording in Recommendation CM/Rec(2018)11 of the Committee of Ministers to member States on the need to strengthen the protection and promotion of civil society space in Europe, Appendix, para. 1:  

“Member States should: […]  
1. consider signing and ratifying the 1985 Additional Protocol to the European Social Charter providing for a System of Collective Complaints (ETS No. 160) and to consider recognising the right of national NGOs fulfiling the criteria mentioned therein to lodge collective complaints before the European Committee of Social Rights.”  

Final Declaration by the Georgian Presidency of the Committee of Ministers, Environmental Protection and Human Rights, High Level Conference organised under the aegis of the Georgian Presidency of the Committee of Ministers (Strasbourg, 27 February 2003):  

“States which have not yet done so should consider signing and ratifying, inter alia, the 1998 Aarhus Convention of the United Nations on Access to Information, Public Participation in Decision-making and Access to Justice in Environmental Matters and the 2010 Trapani Convention of the Council of Europe on Access to Official Documents which guarantee public scrutiny of decision-making on environmental issues.”  

Final Declaration by the Georgian Presidency of the Committee of Ministers, Environmental Protection and Human Rights, High Level Conference organised under the aegis of the Georgian Presidency of the Committee of Ministers (Strasbourg, 27 February 2003):  

“States which have not yet done so should consider signing and ratifying, inter alia, the 1998 Aarhus Convention of the United Nations on Access to Information, Public Participation in Decision-making and Access to Justice in Environmental Matters and the 2010 Trapani Convention of the Council of Europe on Access to Official Documents which guarantee public scrutiny of decision-making on environmental issues.”
Environmental Matters and the 2010 Tramèse Convention of the Council of Europe on Access to Official Documents which guarantee public scrutiny of decision-making on environmental issues.


“States which have not yet done so should consider signing and ratifying, inter alia, the 1998 Aarhus Convention of the United Nations on Access to Information, Public Participation in Decision-making and Access to Justice in Environmental Matters and the 2010 Tramèse Convention of the Council of Europe on Access to Official Documents which guarantee public scrutiny of decision-making on environmental issues.”

[2] Similar wording in Recommendation CM/Rec(2016)3 of the Committee of Ministers to member States on human rights and business:

“5. examine, within the Committee of Ministers, the implementation of this recommendation no later than five years after its adoption, with the participation of relevant stakeholders.

The principle of "no harm" from the Declaration of the United Nations Conference on the Human Environment, Stockholm, 5 to 16 June 1972 (Principle 21) has been recalled almost identically in the Rio Declaration on Environment and Development 1992 (Principle 2) and in the preamble of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) (1992), UNTS vol. 177. The principle has also been included in Arts. 3 of the Convention on Biological Diversity (5 June 1992), 1700 UNTS 69 which moreover in Art. 14(1)(c) calls for cooperation:

“Article 3: Principle
States have, in accordance with the Charter of the United Nations and the principles of international law, the sovereign right to exploit their own resources pursuant to their own environmental policies, and the responsibility to ensure that activities within their jurisdiction or control do not cause damage to the environment of other States or of areas beyond the limits of national jurisdiction.”

“Article 14. Impact Assessment and Mitigating Adverse Impacts
[a] Promote, on the basis of reciprocity, notification, exchange of information and consultation on activities under their jurisdiction or control which are likely to significantly affect adversely the biological diversity of other States or areas beyond the limits of national jurisdiction, by encouraging the conclusion of bilateral, regional or multilateral arrangements, as appropriate.”


“Article 2 GENERAL PROVISIONS
1. The Parties shall, either individually or jointly, take all appropriate and effective measures to prevent, reduce and control significant adverse transboundary environmental impact from proposed activities.”

Convention on long-range transboundary air pollution (1979), UNTS vol. 1932, Art. 1(b), 2.

“Article 1: Definitions
9. "Long-range transboundary air pollution" means air pollution whose physical origins is situated wholly or in part within the area under the national jurisdiction of one State and which has adverse effects in the area under the jurisdiction of another State at such a distance that it is not generally possible to distinguish the contribution of individual emission sources or groups of sources.

“Article 2: Fundamental Principles
The Contracting Parties, taking due account of the facts and problems involved, are determined to protect man and his environment against air pollution and shall endeavour to limit and, as far as possible, gradually reduce and prevent air pollution including long-range transboundary air pollution.”


“Article 2 GENERAL PROVISIONS
1. The Parties shall take all appropriate measures to prevent, control and reduce any transboundary impact.”


“Framework principle 13
States should cooperate with each other to establish, maintain and enforce effective international legal frameworks in order to prevent, reduce and remedy transboundary and global environmental harm that interferes with the full enjoyment of human rights.

Commentary
36. The obligation of States to cooperate to achieve universal respect for, and observance of, human rights requires States to work together to address transboundary and global threats to human rights. Transboundary and global environmental harm can have severe effects on the full enjoyment of human rights and international cooperation is necessary to address such harm. States have entered into agreements on many international environmental problems, including climate change, ozone depletion, transboundary air pollution, marine pollution, desertification and the conservation of biodiversity.”


“3. The principle of prevention
The principle of prevention must be applied in particular to dangerous activities and substances:
- likely to cause pollution, by preventing these at source;
- likely to pose a threat to health and social cohesion;
d’mener en outre, dans l’art. 14(1)(c) appelle à la c
oupons de développer.


- Article 3 : Principe

Conformément à la Charte des Nations Unies et aux principes du droit international, les États ont le droit souverain d’exploiter leurs propres ressources selon leur politique d’environnement et ils ont le devoir de faire en sorte que les
activités exercées dans les limites de leur juridiction ou sous leur contrôle ne causent pas de dommage à l'environnement dans d'autres États ou dans des régions ne relevant d'aucune juridiction nationale.

- Article 14, Études d'impact et réduction des effets nocifs

C) Encourage, sur une base de réciprocité, l'échange de renseignements et les consultations au sujet des activités réalisées par une juridiction ou sous autorité et susceptibles de nuire sensiblement à la diversité biologique d'autres États ou de zones situées hors des limites de la juridiction nationale, en encourageant la conclusion d'accords bilatéraux, régionaux ou multilatéraux, selon qu'il conviendra.


“Article 2 DISPOSITIONS GÉNÉRALES
1. Les parties prennent, individuellement ou conjointement, toutes les mesures appropriées et efficaces pour prévenir, réduire et maîtriser l'impact transfrontalier préjudiciable important sur l'environnement des activités qui entraînent la perte de l'homogénéité des biomes, de la biodiversité genétique ou de la diversité biologique à l'échelle de l'espèce, de l'espèce ou de la population, prêts à travers un juste équilibre de l'intérêt de l'individu et celui de la communauté dans son ensemble. Les États ont conventions des accords sur de nombreux problèmes environnementaux internationaux, notamment le changement climatique, l'appauvrissement de la couche d'ozone, la pollution atmosphérique transfrontale, la pollution marine, la désertification et la conservation de la biodiversité.”

CDDH-ENV(2022)02

Framework principle 3
States should prohibit discrimination and ensure equal and effective protection against discrimination in relation to the enjoyment of a safe, clean, healthy and sustainable environment.

Commentary
7. The obligations of States to prohibit discrimination and to ensure equal and effective protection against discrimination apply to the equal enjoyment of human rights relating to a safe, clean, healthy and sustainable environment. States therefore have obligations, among others, to protect against environmental harm that results from or contributes to discrimination, to provide for equal access to environmental benefits and to ensure that their actions relating to the environment do not themselves discriminate.

\[\text{...}\]


Framework principle 3
States should prohibit discrimination and ensure equal and effective protection against discrimination in relation to the enjoyment of a safe, clean, healthy and sustainable environment.

Commentary
7. The obligations of States to prohibit discrimination and to ensure equal and effective protection against discrimination apply to the equal enjoyment of human rights relating to a safe, clean, healthy and sustainable environment. States therefore have obligations, among others, to protect against environmental harm that results from or contributes to discrimination, to provide for equal access to environmental benefits and to ensure that their actions relating to the environment do not themselves discriminate.

Similar wording in Guidelines of the Committee of Ministers to member States on the protection and promotion of human rights in culturally diverse societies (Adopted by the Committee of Ministers on 2 March 2016 at the 1344th meeting of the Ministers' Deputies), p. 16, para. 26:

25. Member States should ensure the promotion of the principle of equality and the right of every person to be free from all forms of discrimination on any ground.

PACE Recommendation 2011 (2021) on “Anchoring the right to a healthy environment: need for enhanced action by the Council of Europe”:

Article 3: Principle of environmental non-discrimination
a. No one shall be discriminated against on account of his/her belonging to a particular generation.


Framework principle 3
States should prohibit discrimination and ensure equal and effective protection against discrimination in relation to the enjoyment of a safe, clean, healthy and sustainable environment.

Commentary
7. The obligations of States to prohibit discrimination and to ensure equal and effective protection against discrimination apply to the equal enjoyment of human rights relating to a safe, clean, healthy and sustainable environment. States therefore have obligations, among others, to protect against environmental harm that results from or contributes to discrimination, to provide for equal access to environmental benefits and to ensure that their actions relating to the environment do not themselves discriminate.

"Within the scope of the relevant provisions of this Convention, the public shall have access to information, have the possibility to participate in decision-making and have access to justice in environmental matters without discrimination as to citizenship, nationality or domicile and in the case of a legal person, without discrimination as to where it has its registered seat or an effective centre of its activities."


"Article 24
2. States Parties shall pursue full implementation of this right and, in particular, shall take appropriate measures:

(a) To ensure that all segments of society, in particular parents and children, are informed, have access to education and are supported in the use of basic knowledge of child health and nutrition, the advantages of breastfeeding, hygiene and environmental sanitation and the prevention of accidents;"

"Article 29
1. States Parties agree that the education of the child shall be directed to:

(1) The development of respect for the natural environment;"


"Framework principle 14
States should take additional measures to protect the rights of those who are most vulnerable to, or at particular risk from, environmental harm, taking into account their needs, risks and capacities."


"Framework principle 14
States should take additional measures to protect the rights of those who are most vulnerable to, or at particular risk from, environmental harm, taking into account their needs, risks and capacities."

Le Club des Juristes, Draft Project “Global Pact for the Environment” (Paris, 24 June 2017), Art. 14:

"Article 14
Role of non-State actors and subnational entities
The Parties shall take the necessary measures to encourage the implementation of this Pact by non-State actors and subnational entities, including civil society, economic actors, cities and regions taking into account their vital role in the protection of the environment."

Recommendation CM/Rec(2021)1 of the Committee of Ministers to member States on the development and strengthening of effective, pluralist and independent national human rights institutions (Adopted by the Committee of Ministers on 31 March 2021 at the 1400th meeting of the Ministers’ Deputies), Appendix, paras 3 and 11:

"3 Member States should ensure that the mandate given to NHRIs to protect and promote human rights is as broad as possible and in full compliance with the Paris Principles and that it allows them, inter alia, to:

[...]
11. Member States should ensure that NHRIs can operate independently, in an environment which is conducive to them carrying out their mandate in an effective manner and in a climate of impartiality, integrity, transparency and fairness."

Recommendation CM/Rec(2021)1 of the Committee of Ministers to member States on the development and strengthening of effective, pluralist and independent national human rights institutions (Adopted by the Committee of Ministers on 31 March 2021 at the 1400th meeting of the Ministers’ Deputies), Appendix, paras 3 and 11:

"3 Member States should ensure that the mandate given to NHRIs to protect and promote human rights is as broad as possible and in full compliance with the Paris Principles and that it allows them, inter alia, to:

[...]
11. Member States should ensure that NHRIs can operate independently, in an environment which is conducive to them carrying out their mandate in an effective manner and in a climate of impartiality, integrity, transparency and fairness."


"(a) The right to life is protected under Article 2 of the Convention. This Article does not solely concern deaths resulting directly from the actions of the organs of a State, but also lays down a positive obligation on States to take appropriate steps to safeguard the lives of those within their jurisdiction. This means that public authorities have a duty to take steps to guarantee the rights of the Convention even when they are threatened by other (private) persons or activities that are not directly connected with the State."
In cases of natural disasters, even though they are as such, beyond human control, in contrast to the case of dangerous activities where States are required to hold ready appropriate warning and defence mechanisms.

The Court considers, however, that in a democratic society even small and individual rights of private persons may not depend merely on the public authorities' duty not to interfere, but may require them to take positive measures to prevent infringements of the right to life as a result of dangerous activities or natural disasters. This entails, above all, the primary duty of a State to put in place a legislative and administrative framework which includes:

- making regulations which take into account the special features of a situation or an activity and the level of potential risk to life. In the case of dangerous activities this entails regulations that govern the licensing, setting-up, operation, security and supervision of such activities;
- placing particular emphasis on the public's right to information concerning such activities. In cases of natural disasters this includes the maintenance of an adequate defence and warning infrastructure;
- providing for appropriate procedures for identifying shortcomings in the technical processes concerned and errors committed by those responsible.

Secondly, where loss of life may be the result of an infringement of the right to life, the relevant public authorities must provide an adequate response, judicial or otherwise. They must ensure that the legislative and administrative framework is properly implemented and that breaches of the right to life are reported and punished as appropriate.

This response by the State includes the duty to promptly initiate an independent and impartial investigation. The investigation must, firstly, be capable of ascertaining the circumstances in which the incident took place and identifying shortcomings in the operation of the regulatory system, and secondly, it must be capable of identifying the public officials or authorities involved in the chain of events in issue.

If the infringement of the right to life is unintentional, civil, administrative or even disciplinary remedies may be a sufficient response. However, the Court has found that, in particular in the case of dangerous activities, where the public authorities were fully aware of the likely consequences and disregarded the powers vested in them, hence failing to take measures that are necessary and sufficient to avert certain risks which might involve loss of life, Article 2 may require that those responsible for endangering life be charged with a criminal offence or prosecuted.

For an issue to arise under Article 8, the environmental factors must have a directly harmful effect on or seriously risk the enjoyment of private and family life or home and correspondence of individuals. Thus, there are two issues which the Court must consider – whether a causal link exists between the activity and the negative impact on the individual and whether the adverse effect has attained a certain threshold of harm. The assessment of that minimum threshold depends on all the circumstances of the case, such as the intensity and duration of the nuisance and its physical or mental effects, as well as on the general environmental context.

While the objective of Article 8 is essentially that of protecting the individual against arbitrary interference by public authorities, it may also imply in some cases an obligation on public authorities to adopt positive measures designed to secure the rights entrenched in this Article. This obligation does not only apply in cases where environmental harm is directly caused by State activities but also when it results from private sector activities. Public authorities must make sure that such measures are implemented so as to guarantee rights protected under Article 8. The Court has further explicitly recognised that public authorities may have a duty to inform the public about environmental risks. Moreover, the Court has stated with regard to the scope of the positive obligation that it is generally irrelevant of whether a situation is assessed from the perspective of paragraph 1 of Article 8 or 2 asking whether a State interference was justified, as the principles applied are almost identical.

On the other hand, protection of the individual right to the peaceful enjoyment of one's possessions may require the public authorities to ensure certain environmental standards. The effective exercise of this right does not depend merely on the public authorities' duty not to interfere, but may require them to take positive measures to protect this right, particularly where there is a direct link between the measures an applicant may legitimately expect from the authorities and his or her effective enjoyment of his or her possessions. The Court has found that such an obligation may arise in respect of dangerous activities and to a lesser extent in situations of natural disasters.

The Court has found that the positive obligation on States may apply in the context of dangerous activities, such as nuclear tests, the operation of chemical factories with toxic emissions, waste-collection sites or man-made water reservoirs, whether carried out by public authorities themselves or by private companies. In general, the extent of the obligations of public authorities depends on factors such as the harmfulness of the dangerous activities and the foreseeability of the risks to life.

In Steel and Morris v. the United Kingdom (Judgment) (15 February 2005), ECHR Application no. 6846/01, para. 89: "The Government have pointed out that the applicants were not journalists, and should not therefore attract the high level of protection afforded to the press under Article 10. The Court considers, however, that in a democratic society even small and informal campaign groups, such as London Greenpeace, must be able to carry on their activities effectively and that there exists a strong
public interest in enabling such groups and individuals outside the mainstream to contribute to the public debate by disseminating information and ideas on matters of general public interest such as health and the environment (see, mutatis mutandis, Baerman v. the United Kingdom, judgment of 19 February 1996, Reports 1996-I, and Appetz and Others v. the United Kingdom no. 44069/98, ECHR 2003-V).

96. The right to respect freedom of peaceful assembly and freedom of association is guaranteed by Article 11 of the Convention, in order to act collectively in a field of mutual interest such as environmental matters. Restrictions by public authorities on the exercise of the right to freedom of peaceful assembly and the right to freedom of association with regard to environmental matters should be prescribed by law, pursue a legitimate aim and be necessary in a democratic society and proportionate to the legitimate aim pursued. A fair balance should be struck between the exercise of the right to freedom of assembly and freedom of association and the interests of the society as a whole.

97. Access to information is of importance to individuals because it can allay their fears and enables them to assess the environmental danger to which they may be exposed.

98. The right to freedom of association can be effectively enjoyed without discrimination on grounds of sexual orientation or gender identity; in particular, discriminatory administrative procedures, including excessive formalities for the registration and practical functioning of associations, should be prevented and removed, measures should also be taken to prevent the abuse of legal and administrative provisions, such as those related to restrictions based on public health, public morality and public order.

99. Member States should take appropriate measures to ensure, in accordance with Article 11 of the Convention, that the right to freedom of association can be effectively enjoyed without discrimination on grounds of sexual orientation or gender identity, in particular, discriminatory administrative procedures, including excessive formalities for the registration and practical functioning of associations, should be prevented and removed, measures should also be taken to prevent the abuse of legal and administrative provisions, such as those related to restrictions based on public health, public morality and public order.
Council of Europe, updated Manual on Human Rights and the Environment (third edition 2021), Section A, Chapter V, para. (f): "(f) Moreover, the Court has established criteria on the construction of the procedures used to provide information. It held that when public authorities engage in dangerous activities which they know involve adverse risks to health, they must establish an effective and accessible procedure to enable individuals to seek all relevant and appropriate information. Moreover, if environmental and health impact assessments are carried out, the public needs to have access to those study results."

1. Each Party shall ensure that, subject to the following paragraphs of this article, public authorities, in response to a request for environmental information, make such information available to the public, within the framework of national legislation, including, where requested and subject to subparagraph (b) below, copies of the actual documentation containing or comprising such information:
(a) Without an interest having to be stated;
(b) In the form requested unless:
(i) It is reasonable for the public authority to make it available in another form, in which case reasons shall be given for making it available in that form.
(ii) The information is already publicly available in another form.
‘Framework principle 7: States should provide public access to environmental information by collecting and disseminating information and by providing affordable, effective and timely access to information to any person upon request.
Commentary
[-]
19. Second, States should provide affordable, effective and timely access to environmental information held by public authorities, upon the request of any person or association, without the need to show a legal or other interest. Grounds for refusal of a request should be set out clearly and construed narrowly, in light of the public interest in favour of disclosure. States should also provide guidance to the public on how to obtain environmental information.’”

Council of Europe, updated Manual on Human Rights and the Environment (third edition 2021), Section A, Chapter VI, para. (a): "(a) When making decisions which relate to the environment, public authorities must take into account the interests of individuals who may be affected. In this context, it is important that the public is able to make representations to the public authorities.”

Convention on Access to Information, Public Participation in Decision-Making and Access to Justice in Environmental Matters (Aarhus Convention) (25 June 1998), Art. 6(8), 7, 8(c): "Article 6 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION IN DECISIONS ON SPECIFIC ACTIVITIES
8. Each Party shall ensure that in the decision due account is taken of the outcome of the public participation.
“Article 7 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION CONCERNING PLANS, PROGRAMMES AND POLICIES RELATING TO THE ENVIRONMENT
Each Party shall make appropriate practical and/or other provisions for the public to participate during the preparation of plans and programmes relating to the environment, within a transparent and fair framework, having provided the necessary information to the public. Within this framework, article 6, paragraphs 3, 4 and 8, shall be applied. The public which may participate shall be identified by the relevant public authority, taking into account the objectives of this Convention. To the extent appropriate, each Party shall endeavour to provide opportunities for public participation in the preparation of policies relating to the environment.”
"Article 8 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION DURING THE PREPARATION OF EXECUTIVE REGULATIONS AND/OR GENERALLY APPLICABLE LEGALLY BINDING NORMATIVE INSTRUMENTS
Each Party shall strive to promote effective public participation at an appropriate stage, and while options are still open, during the preparation by public authorities of executive regulations and other generally applicable legally binding rules that may have a significant effect on the environment. To this end, the following steps should be taken:
(a) Time-frames sufficient for effective participation should be fixed;
(b) Draft rules should be published or otherwise made publicly available; and
(c) The public should be given the opportunity to comment, directly or through representative consultative bodies. The result of the public participation shall be taken into account as far as possible.”

Convention on Biological Diversity (5 June 1992), 1700 UNTS 69: "Article 14 Impact Assessment and Minimizing Adverse Impacts. 1. Each Contracting Party, as far as possible and as appropriate, shall:
(a) Introduce appropriate procedures requiring environmental impact assessment of its proposed projects that are likely to have significant adverse effects on biological diversity with a view to avoiding or minimizing such effects and, where appropriate, allow for public participation in such procedures."
‘Principle 17: Environmental impact assessment, as a national instrument, shall be undertaken for proposed activities that are likely to have a significant adverse impact on the environment and are subject to a decision of a competent national authority.”
Article 7

3. Each Party shall, as appropriate, engage in adaptation planning processes and the implementation of actions, including the development or enhancement of relevant plans, policies and/or contributions, which may include:

(a) The assessment of climate change impacts and vulnerability, with a view to formulating nationally determined prioritized actions, taking into account vulnerable people, places and ecosystems;


112. [...] La Cour conclut que les autorités roumaines ont failli à leur obligation d'évaluer au préalable d'une manière satisfaisante les risques éventuels de l'activité en question et de prendre des mesures adéquates capables de protéger le droit des intéressés au respect de leur vie privée et de leur domicile et, plus généralement, à la jouissance d'un environnement sain et propice.


"The Parties shall, either individually or jointly, take all appropriate and effective measures to prevent, reduce and control significant adverse transboundary environmental impacts from proposed activities."


"The measures taken to avoid, prevent, reduce and, if possible, offset significant adverse effects on the environment, in particular on species and habitats protected under Council Directive 82/494/EEC (1) and Directive 92/43/EEC of the European Parliament and of the Council (2), should contribute to avoiding any deterioration in the quality of the environment and any net loss of biodiversity, in accordance with the Union's commitments in the context of the Convention and the objectives and actions of the Union Biodiversity Strategy up to 2020 laid down in the Commission Communication of 3 May 2011 entitled 'Our life insurance, our natural capital: an EU biodiversity strategy to 2020."

PROTOCOL ON STRATEGIC ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT TO THE CONVENTION ON ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT IN A TRANSBOUNDARY CONTEXT, Køge, 21 May 2003:

"Within view to promoting sustainable development and ensuring a high level of environmental protection, Member States should through strategic environmental assessment integrate environmental considerations into the preparation and adoption of plans and programmes which are likely to have significant effects on the environment."

Council of Europe, updated Manual on Human Rights and the Environment (third edition 2021), Section A, Chapter VI, para. (b):

"(b) Where public authorities have complex issues of environmental and economic policy to determine, the decision-making process must involve appropriate investigations and studies in order to predict and evaluate in advance the effects on the environment and to enable them to strike a fair balance between the various conflicting interests at stake. The Court has stressed the importance of public access to the conclusions of such studies and to information which would enable individuals to assess the danger to which they are exposed. However, this does not mean that decisions can be taken only if comprehensive and measurable data are available in relation to each and every aspect of the matter to be decided."

Similar wording in Guidelines of the Committee of Ministers to member States on the protection and promotion of human rights in culturally diverse societies (Adopted by the Committee of Ministers on 2 March 2016 at the 130th meeting of the Ministers' Deputies), p. 30, para. 50:

"50. Member States are encouraged, as far as possible, to enable all relevant segments of society, including non-governmental organizations, to participate in the preparation and consideration of legislation so as to ensure inclusivity and the genuine recognition of the diversity within societies."

Similar wording in Guidelines for civil participation in political decision-making (Adopted by the Committee of Ministers on 27 September 2017 at the 129th meeting of the Ministers' Deputies), Chapter IV, paras. 5.6:

"IV. Fundamentals of civil participation in political decision-making

5. Civil participation should seek to provide, collect and channel views of individuals, directly or via NGOs and/or representatives of civil society, providing a substantive exchange of information and opinions which inform the decision-making process so that public needs are met."


"Article 2 DEFINITIONS

For the purposes of this Convention,

5. "The public concerned" means the public affected or likely to be affected by, or having an interest in, the environmental decision-making, for the purposes of this definition, non-governmental organizations promoting environmental protection and meeting any requirements under national law shall be deemed to have an interest."

Similar wording in Guidelines of the Committee of Ministers to member States on the protection and promotion of human rights in culturally diverse societies (Adopted by the Committee of Ministers on 2 March 2016 at the 130th meeting of the Ministers' Deputies), p. 29, para. 68:

"68. Member States should take concrete measures to create an environment conducive to the development of civil society, including defenders of human rights, and make consultation and collaboration with civil society a common practice when drafting policies and action plans at national, regional and local levels, with a view to protecting and promoting human rights in culturally diverse societies."

Similar wording in Guidelines of the Committee of Ministers to member States on the protection and promotion of human rights in culturally diverse societies (Adopted by the Committee of Ministers on 2 March 2016 at the 130th meeting of the Ministers' Deputies), p. 21, para. 59:

"Participation and inclusion of youth."

Article 68
Article 18

59. Member States should adopt policies or measures designed to promote youth participation in society, including the participation of young people belonging to vulnerable and minority groups. They should ensure a democratic and cultural environment of respect for young people and take into account their diverse needs, circumstances and aspirations. They should also encourage and support initiatives by young people which promote mutual respect, dialogue, inclusion and responsibility for others in culturally diverse societies.

Similar wording in Recommendation CM/Rec(2012)2 of the Committee of Ministers to member States on the participation of children and young people under the age of 18 (Adopted by the Committee of Ministers on 28 March 2012 at the 1138th meeting of the Ministers’ Deputies):

“create opportunities for intergenerational dialogue in order to encourage mutual respect and co-operation.”

UN Conference on Environment and Development (Rio de Janeiro, 3-14 June 1992), Agenda 21, Chapter 25.4 :

“Chapter 25 CHILDREN AND YOUTH IN SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT

25.4. Each country should, in consultation with its youth communities, establish a process to promote dialogue between the youth community and Government at all levels and to establish mechanisms that permit youth access to information and provide them with the opportunity to present their perspectives on government decisions, including the implementation of Agenda 21.”

Newsroom: Young people must have their say in protecting the environment, says Secretary General, 11 August 2021:

“On the occasion of International Youth Day, marked on 12 August, the Secretary General of the Council of Europe Marija Pejčinov ić Burić calls for more meaningful participation of young people in decision-making processes to tackle the climate crisis and to revitalise democracy.

“The consequences of climate change affect everyone, but will of course have the greatest impact on the young generation. Many young people are frustrated that their concerns are not being factored into the decision-making process. It is only right that young people are given a seat at the table in policy discussions on how to address the climate crisis and that they are both listened to and heard. Youth work and youth participation – as promoted by the Council of Europe – are important elements in that process”, she said.”

Similar wording in Guidelines of the Committee of Ministers to member States on the protection and promotion of human rights in culturally diverse societies (Adopted by the Committee of Ministers on 2 March 2016 at the 128th meeting of the Ministers’ Deputies), p. 21, para. 59.

“Participation and inclusion of youth

59. Member States should adopt policies or measures designed to promote youth participation in society, including the participation of young people belonging to vulnerable and minority groups. They should ensure a democratic and cultural environment of respect for young people and take into account their diverse needs, circumstances and aspirations. They should also encourage and support initiatives by young people which promote mutual respect, dialogue, inclusion and responsibility for others in culturally diverse societies.”

Similar wording in Revised European Charter on the Participation of Young People in Local and Regional Life - Charter without the status of a convention, adopted by the Congress of Local and Regional Authorities of Europe (10th session – 21 May 2003 – Appendix to Recommendation 128, para. 1.10(3)): 1.10. A policy for sustainable development and for the environment

(...) Aware that environmental problems are of primary concern to the young people who will be obliged in the future to cope with the consequences of past mistakes, local and regional authorities should support activities and projects which promote sustainable development and environmental protection and which involve young people and their organisations.”

Similar wording in Recommendation CM/Rec(2012)2 of the Committee of Ministers to member States on the participation of children and young people under the age of 18 (Adopted by the Committee of Ministers on 28 March 2012 at the 1138th meeting of the Ministers’ Deputies):

“create opportunities for intergenerational dialogue in order to encourage mutual respect and co-operation.”

UN Conference on Environment and Development (Rio de Janeiro, 3-14 June 1992), Agenda 21, Chapter 25.4 :

“Chapter 25 CHILDREN AND YOUTH IN SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT

25.4. Each country should, in consultation with its youth communities, establish a process to promote dialogue between the youth community and Government at all levels and to establish mechanisms that permit youth access to information and provide them with the opportunity to present their perspectives on government decisions, including the implementation of Agenda 21.”

Newsroom: Young people must have their say in protecting the environment, says Secretary General, 11 August 2021:

“On the occasion of International Youth Day, marked on 12 August, the Secretary General of the Council of Europe Marija Pejčinov ić Burić calls for more meaningful participation of young people in decision-making processes to tackle the climate crisis and to revitalise democracy.

“The consequences of climate change affect everyone, but will of course have the greatest impact on the young generation. Many young people are frustrated that their concerns are not being factored into the decision-making process. It is only right that young people are given a seat at the table in policy discussions on how to address the climate crisis and that they are both listened to and heard. Youth work and youth participation – as promoted by the Council of Europe – are important elements in that process”, she said.”

"Article 6

3. The burden of proof shall be on the person alleging the violation of the rights set forth in the Convention."

(c) To ensure that the competent authorities shall enforce such remedies when granted."
(a) The right to just conditions of work is protected under Article 2 paragraph 4 of the Charter. In addition, Article 3 guarantees workers the right to safe and healthy working conditions. Where pollution may result in an infringement of these rights, States must adopt, apply, and effectively monitor safety and health regulations and provide additional benefits for workers engaged in dangerous or unhealthy occupations, such as mining.

(b) Under Article 3 paragraph 1 of the 1961 Charter and Article 3 paragraph 2 of the Revised Charter, States are obliged to pay particular attention to workers exposed to the dangers of asbestos and ionizing radiation. States must produce evidence that workers at risk are protected up to a level at least equivalent to that set by international reference standards."

---

"(c) States are under an obligation to apply the precautionary principle when there are reasonable grounds to believe that there is a risk of serious damage to human health. International Federation of Human Rights Leagues (FIDH) v. Greece (Decision on the Merits), ECSR Complaint No. 30/2005, paras. 223, 224, 228, 231:

223. The Committee notes that Article 3 of the Charter grants everyone the right to safe and healthy working conditions. It considers that this right stems directly from the right to personal integrity (Conclusions I, statement of interpretation of Article 3, p. 20).

224. States’ first obligation under Article 3 is to ensure the right to safe and healthy working standards of the highest possible level. Paragraph 1 of this article requires them to issue health and safety regulations providing for preventive and protective measures against most of the risks recognized by the scientific community and laid down in Community and international regulations and standards (Conclusions XIV-2, statement of interpretation of Article 3, pp. 36-37).

225. Based on the lack of effective supervision of health and safety regulations, the Committee recalls that the compliance with the Charter “cannot be ensured solely by the operation of legislation if this is not effectively applied and rigorously supervised” (International Commission of Jurists v. Portugal, decision cited above, §33). The enforcement of health and safety regulations required by Article 3 is therefore essential if the right embodied in Article 3 is to be effective.”

226. The Committee considers that in the areas such as the right to safety and health at work, which are so intimately linked with the physical integrity of individuals, the state has a duty to provide precise and plausible explanations and information on developments in the number of occupational accidents and on measures taken to ensure the enforcement of regulations and hence to prevent accidents. In the present case, the Committee considers that Greece has failed to honour its obligation to effectively monitor the enforcement of regulations on health and safety at work particularly as the Government recognises the lack of inspectors and is unable to supply precise data on the number of accidents in the mining sector.”

---

"(d) States must make it a public health priority to publicly disseminate information about environmental harm through awareness-raising campaigns and education.

(f) In order to combat air pollution, in light of the right to a healthy environment, States are required to implement an appropriate strategy which should include the following measures:
- develop and regularly update sufficient comprehensive environmental legislation and regulations;
- take specific steps, such as modifying equipment, introducing threshold values for emissions and measuring air quality, to prevent air pollution at local level and to help to reduce it on a global scale;
- ensure that environmental standards and rules are properly applied, through appropriate supervisory machinery;
- inform and educate the public, including pupils and students at school, about both general and local environmental problems.
- assess health risks through epidemiological monitoring of the groups concerned.”
(g) States must take preventive and protective measures to ensure access to safe drinking water.
(h) States must take measures to guarantee food safety in order to eliminate the threat posed by food-borne diseases and the outbreak of such diseases.
(i) States must adopt regulations and legal rules on the prevention and reduction of noise pollution.
(j) States are required to protect their population against the consequences of nuclear accidents taking place abroad and having an effect within their territory. Additionally, where the State receives (part of) its energy source from nuclear power plants, it is under the obligation to prevent related hazards for the communities living in the potential risk areas.
(k) Under Article 11 States must apply a policy which bans the use, production and sale of asbestos and products containing it.”

Marangopoulos Foundation for Human Rights (MFHR) v. Greece (Decision on the Merits), ECSR Complaint No. 30/2005, para. 208:

“203. In order to fulfill their obligations, national authorities must therefore:
- develop and regularly update sufficiently comprehensive environmental legislation and regulations (Conclusions XV-2, Addendum, Slovakia, pp. 201-206);
- take specific steps, such as modifying equipment, introducing threshold values for emissions and measuring air quality, to prevent air pollution at local level and to help to reduce it on a global scale (Conclusions 2005, Moldova, Article 11§3, pp. 452-457);
- ensure that environmental standards and rules are properly applied, through appropriate supervisory machinery (see, mutatis mutandis, International Commission of Jurists v. Portugal, aforementioned decision, § 33);
- inform and educate the public, including pupils and students at school, about both general and local environmental problems (Conclusions 2005, Moldova, Article 11§2, pp. 452-453);
- assess health risks through epidemiological monitoring of the groups concerned.”

Council of Europe, updated Manual on Human Rights and the Environment (third edition 2021), Section B, Chapter III, para. 20:

“(a) The Committee has recalled that the right to housing under Article 31, Part I, of the Revised Charter, in conjunction with Article E on non-discrimination, includes the obligation of States to adopt measures to combat any forms of segregation on racial grounds in environmentally hazardous areas. States are required to assist disadvantaged and vulnerable groups in improving their living conditions and the environment, and to ensure housing in ecologically healthy surroundings.”

Recommendation Rec(2005)4 of the Committee of Ministers to member states on improving the housing conditions of Roma and Travellers in Europe (Adopted by the Committee of Ministers on 23 February 2005 at the 916th meeting of the Ministers' Deputies), paras. 21, 34, 48 (see also: Médecins du Monde - International v. France (Decision on the Merits) (11 September 2012), ESCR Complaint No. 67/2011, para. 21):

“21. Member states must take measures to combat any forms of segregation on racial grounds in environmentally hazardous areas. This includes investing in the development of safe locations and taking steps to ensure that Roma communities have practical and affordable housing alternatives, so as to discourage settlements in near or on hazardous areas.

34. [...] Roma who are permanently and legally settled in derelict or unhealthy surroundings should receive assistance in order to improve the sanitary conditions of their homes (help for repairs, assistance in improving their living conditions and environment, measures to allow them better access to short-term loans for acquiring better housing, mediation in their relations with administrations or public services).

48. Member states, through their relevant authorities, should ensure that Roma housing is located in areas that are fit for habitation or suitable for construction under current legislation, and in ecologically healthy surroundings. Moreover, they should adopt measures that would enable Roma communities to react to unexpected events, such as natural disasters or epidemics, which often disproportionately affect vulnerable groups living in precarious settlements. The existing settlements which cannot be removed from unsuitable locations should be improved by appropriate and constructive environmental measures.”

Council of Europe, updated Manual on Human Rights and the Environment (third edition 2021), Section B, Chapter III, para. 20:

“(a) The Committee has recalled that the right to housing under Article 31, Part I, of the Revised Charter, in conjunction with Article E on non-discrimination, includes the obligation of States to adopt measures to combat any forms of segregation on racial grounds in environmentally hazardous areas. States are required to assist disadvantaged and vulnerable groups in improving their living conditions and the environment, and to ensure housing in ecologically healthy surroundings.

Recommendation Rec(2005)4 of the Committee of Ministers to member states on improving the housing conditions of Roma and Travellers in Europe (Adopted by the Committee of Ministers on 23 February 2005 at the 916th meeting of the Ministers' Deputies), paras. 21, 34, 48 (see also: Médecins du Monde - International v. France (Decision on the Merits) (11 September 2012), ESCR Complaint No. 67/2011, para. 21):

“21. Member states should take measures to combat any forms of segregation on racial grounds in environmentally hazardous areas. This includes investing in the development of safe locations and taking steps to ensure that Roma communities have practical and affordable housing alternatives, so as to discourage settlements in near or on hazardous areas.

34. [...] Roma who are permanently and legally settled in derelict or unhealthy surroundings should receive assistance in order to improve the sanitary conditions of their homes (help for repairs, assistance in improving their living conditions and environment, measures to allow them better access to short-term loans for acquiring better housing, mediation in their relations with administrations or public services).”
48. Member States, through their relevant authorities, should ensure that Roma housing is located in areas that are fit for human habitation or suitable for construction under current legislation and in ecologically healthy surroundings. Moreover, they should adopt measures that would enable Roma communities to react to unexpected events such as natural disasters or epidemics, which often disproportionately affect vulnerable groups living in precarious settlements. The existing settlements which cannot be removed from unsuitable locations should be improved by appropriate and cooperative environmental measures.


"Article 29
1. States Parties agree that the education of the child shall be directed to:
(a) The development of respect for the natural environment."

Convention on Biological Diversity (5 June 1992), 1790 UNTS 6, Art. 13:

"Article 13. Public Education and Awareness
The Contracting Parties shall:
(a) Promote and encourage understanding of the importance of and the measures required for, the conservation of biological diversity, as well as its propagation through media, and the inclusion of these topics in educational programmes; and
(b) Cooperate, as appropriate, with other States and international organizations in developing educational and public information and awareness programmes on conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity.

United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) (1992), UNTS vol. 1771, Art. 6(a)(i):

"ARTICLE 6 EDUCATION, TRAINING AND PUBLIC AWARENESS
In carrying out their commitments under Article 4, paragraph 1 (i), the Parties shall:
(a) Promote and facilitate at the national and, as appropriate, subregional and regional levels, and in accordance with national laws and regulations, and within their respective capacities:
(i) the development and implementation of educational and public awareness programmes on climate change and its effects;"


"Article 19. Capacity-building, education and public awareness
3. The Parties shall cooperate with each other and through competent intergovernmental organizations, as well as with non-governmental organizations, in undertaking and supporting public awareness and educational programmes, in both affected and, where relevant, unaffected countries, to promote understanding of the causes and effects of desertification and drought and of the importance of meeting the objective of this Convention. […]"


“Framework principle 6:
States should provide for education and public awareness on environmental matters.

Commentary
15. States have agreed that the education of the child shall be directed to, among other things, the development of respect for human rights and the natural environment. Environmental education should begin early and continue throughout the educational process. It should increase students’ understanding of the close relationship between humans and nature, help them to appreciate and enjoy the natural world and strengthen their capacity to respond to environmental challenges.”

Council of Europe, updated Manual on Human Rights and the Environment (third edition 2021), Appendix VI:

“Appendix VI: Good Practices aimed at protecting the environment and respecting the obligations stemming from the European Convention on Human Rights and the European Social Charter
6. Providing education on environmental sustainability
[a] Environmental education, as a part of education promoting sustainable development (ESD), is vital in imparting an inherent respect for nature amongst society, in enhancing public environmental awareness, and in building their capacity to respond to environmental challenges. The term often implies education within the school system, from primary to post-secondary. However, it sometimes includes all efforts to educate the public and other audiences, including print materials, websites, media campaigns, etc.”

UNESCO, Berlin Declaration on Education for Sustainable Development, World Conference held from 17 to 19 May 2021:
1. Integrate ESD into all levels of education and training from early childhood to tertiary and adult education, including technical and vocational education and training (TVET), and into non-formal education and informal learning, so that all individuals are provided with lifelong and life-wide learning opportunities for sustainable development;


“Framework principle 6:
States should provide for education and public awareness on environmental matters.

Commentary
16. Increasing the public awareness of environmental matters should continue into adulthood. To ensure that adults as well as children understand environmental effects on their health and wellbeing, States should make the public aware of the risks that affect them and how they may protect themselves from those risks. As part of increasing public awareness, States should build the capacity of the public to understand environmental
challenges and policies, so that they may fully exercise their rights to express their views on environmental issues (framework principle 5), understand environmental information, including assessments of environmental impacts (framework principles 7 and 8), participate in decision-making (framework principle 9) and, where appropriate, seek remedies for violations of their rights (framework principle 10). States should tailor educational and public awareness programmes to the culture, language, and environmental situation of particular populations.

Similar wording in Recommendation CM/Rec(2019)1 of the Committee of Ministers to member States on preventing and combating sexism (Adopted by the Committee of Ministers on 27 March 2019 at the 1342nd meeting of the Ministers’ Deputies), para. 1.8.4.

‘I.B.4. Design, implement and promote regular national awareness-raising initiatives at all levels and through diverse forms of media (for example, the production of handbooks, guidelines, video clips available on the internet and in mainstream media, the introduction of a national day against sexism, the setting up of museums to celebrate gender equality and women’s rights). These initiatives should aim to increase awareness and understanding among the general population, especially parents, of different forms of sexism, including phenomena such as “mansplaining”, of how to prevent and respond to them, and of the harm they generate for individuals and society, including girls and

Convention on the Conservation of European Wildlife and Natural Habitats (1979) ETS No. 104, Art. 3(3):
"Article 3
3. Each Contracting Party shall promote education and disseminate general information on the need to conserve species of wild flora and fauna and their habitats."

Convention on Biological Diversity (5 June 1992), UNTS vol. 1701, Art. 13(b):
"Article 13. Public Education and Awareness
The Contracting Parties shall:
(i) Cooperate, as appropriate, with other States and international organizations in developing educational and public awareness programmes, with respect to conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity."

United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) (1992), UNTS vol. 1771, Art. 6(1):
"ARTICLE 6 EDUCATION, TRAINING AND PUBLIC AWARENESS
In carrying out their commitments under Article 4, paragraph 1 (i), the Parties shall:
(a) Promote and facilitate at the national and, as appropriate, subregional and regional levels, and in accordance with national laws and regulations, and within their respective capacities:
(i) the development and implementation of educational and public awareness programmes on climate change and its effects;"

"Article 19. Capacity-building, education and public awareness
3. The Parties shall cooperate with each other and through competent intergovernmental organizations, as well as with non-governmental organizations, in undertaking and supporting public awareness and educational programmes in both affected and, where relevant, unaffected country Parties to promote understanding of the causes and effects of desertification, drought and of the importance of meeting the objective of this Convention. [...]"

Council of Europe, updated Manual on Human Rights and the Environment (Third edition 2021), Appendix VI:
"Appendix VI: Good Practices aimed at protecting the environment and respecting the obligations stemming from the European Convention on Human Rights and the European Social Charter
6. Providing education on environmental sustainability
(a) Environmental education, as a part of education promoting sustainable development (ESD), is vital in imparting an inherent respect for nature amongst society, in enhancing public environmental awareness and in building their capacity to respond to environmental challenges. The term often implies education within the school system, from primary to post-secondary. However, it sometimes includes all efforts to educate the public and other audiences, including print materials, websites, media campaigns, etc.”

Hatton and Others v. the United Kingdom (Judgment) (8 July 2003), ECHR Application no. 36022/97, para. 119:
"Marangopoulos Foundation for Human Rights (MFHR) v. Greece (Decision on the Merits) (6 December 2006), ECHR Complaint No. 30/2005, para. 190:
"Regarding the first point – whether the matters complained of can be imputed to the state – the Committee notes that the DEH, which until its partial privatisation in 2001 was solely answerable to the Greek state, has private law status. However, as a signatory to the Charter, Greece is required to ensure compliance with its undertakings, irrespective of the legal status of the economic agents whose conduct is at issue. Moreover, the DEH’s activities are clearly subject to the general legislation on the environment and under Act 2773/1999 on the liberalisation of the Greek electricity market, the Greek Government is required to supervise those activities. Finally, the state still holds a majority of the DEH’s shares (51.12% in 2005).”

"States should not assume that businesses invariably prefer, or benefit from, State inaction, and they should consider a smart mix of measures – national and international, mandatory and voluntary – to foster business respect for human rights.”

"Framework principle 12
States should ensure the effective enforcement of their environmental standards against public and private actors.
Commentary
34. Governmental authorities must comply with the relevant environmental standards in their own operations, and they must also monitor and effectively enforce compliance with the standards by preventing, investigating, punishing and redressing violations of the standards by private actors as well as governmental authorities. In particular, States must regulate business enterprises to protect against human rights abuses resulting from environmental harm and to provide for remedies for such abuses. States should implement training programmes for law enforcement and judicial
To enable them to understand and enforce environmental laws, and to ensure effective steps to prevent corruption from undermining the implementation and enforcement of environmental laws.”

Recommendation CM/Rec(2016)3 of the Committee of Ministers to member States on human rights and business (Adopted by the Committee of Ministers on 2 March 2016 at the 1249th meeting of the Ministers’ Deputies), para. 13:

“13. Member States should:
- apply such measures as may be necessary to require business enterprises operating within their territorial jurisdiction to respect human rights;
- apply such measures as may be necessary to require, as appropriate, business enterprises domiciled in their territorial jurisdiction to respect human rights throughout their operations;
- encourage and support these business enterprises by other means so that they respect human rights throughout their operations.”

coco: Final Declaration by the Georgian Presidency of the Committee of Ministers, Environmental Protection and Human Rights, High-Level Conference organised under the aegis of the Georgian Presidency of the Committee of Ministers (Strasbourg, 27 February 2003):
“Development of National Policies and Actions

[...]

The attainment of a sustainable future is impossible without collaborative and inclusive working relationships of diverse actors including corporations, civil society, human rights defenders, and independent human rights bodies. States should explore all possible partnerships with a view to mainstreaming the environmental dimension in the domestic activities for the promotion and protection of human rights. In particular, National Action Plans under the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights could set up suitable structures, mechanisms and processes to ensure responsible business conduct in respect of both human rights and environment.”

coco: Hatton et autres c. Royaume-Uni (arrêt) (8 juillet 2003), CEDH, requête n° 30022/97, para. 119 ;

Fondation Marangopoulos pour les droits de l’homme (FMDH) c. Grèce (Décision sur le bien-fondé) (6 décembre 2000), CEDS, réclamation n° 303005, para. 192 : 

En ce qui concerne le premier point - à savoir si les faits reprochés peuvent être imputés à l’État - le Comité note que la DEH, qui jusqu’à sa privatisation partielle en 2001 relève uniquement de l’État privé, n’est pas un acteur privé. Or, en tant que signataire de la Charte, la Grèce est tenue de veiller au respect des droits de l’homme dans toutes ses opérations. En vertu de la loi 2793/1999 sur la libéralisation du marché de l’électricité, le gouvernement grec est tenu de superviser ces activités. À cet égard, l’État détient toujours une majorité des actions de la DEH (51,12 % en 2005).”


- Les États ne devraient pas partir du principe que systématiquement, les entreprises préfèrent qu’ils n’agissent pas, ou bénéficient de son inaction, et elles devraient envisager un assortiment judiciaux de mesures – nationales et internationales, contraignantes et volontaires – pour favoriser le respect des droits de l’homme par les entreprises.


- Principe-cadre 12

Les États devraient veiller à l’application effective de leurs normes environnementales aux acteurs publics et privés. 

Commentaire

34. Les autorités publiques doivent se conformer aux normes environnementales applicables dans le cadre de leurs activités, et doivent également contrôler l’application de ces normes et les faire effectivement respecter, et à ce titre, prévenir les violations de la part aussi bien des acteurs privés que de l’État, enquêter sur ces violations, en sanctionner les auteurs et ordonner des mesures de réparation en faveur des victimes. Elles doivent en particulier réglementer l’activité des entreprises de façon à prévenir les atteintes aux droits de l’homme qui résulteraient de dommages environnementaux, et prévoir des voies de recours en cas de violation de cette nature. Elles devraient mettre en œuvre des programmes de formation à l’intention des membres des forces de l’ordre et du corps judiciaire pour leur permettre de comprendre et de faire respecter les normes environnementales, et prendre des mesures efficaces pour empêcher que la corruption ne vienne faire obstacle à l’application et au respect des lois sur l’environnement. 


“13. Les États membres devraient :
- appliquer les mesures qui peuvent être nécessaires pour obliger les entreprises commerciales opérant dans leur juridiction territoriale à respecter les droits de l’homme;
- appliquer les mesures nécessaires pour exiger, le cas échéant, des entreprises commerciales domiciliées dans leur juridiction qu’elles respectent les droits de l’homme dans toutes leurs opérations à l’étranger ;
- encourager et soutenir ces entreprises commerciales par d’autres moyens afin qu’elles respectent les droits de l’homme tout au long de leurs opérations.”

coco: Recommendation CM/Rec(2016)3 of the Committee of Ministers to member States on human rights and business (Adopted by the Committee of Ministers on 2 March 2016 at the 1249th meeting of the Ministers’ Deputies), para. 20:

“Member States should apply such measures as may be necessary to encourage or, where appropriate, require that:

“Human rights due diligence

[...]

18. In order to gauge human rights risks, business enterprises should identify and assess any actual or potential adverse human rights impacts with which they may be involved either through their own activities or as a result of their business relationships. This process should:

(a) Draw on internal and/or independent external human rights expertise;

(b) Involve meaningful consultation with potentially affected groups and other relevant stakeholders, as appropriate to the size of the business enterprise and the nature and context of the operation.”


“Ill. Access to remedy

A. Foundational principle

25. As part of their duty to protect against business-related human rights abuse, States must take appropriate steps to ensure, through judicial, administrative, legislative or other appropriate means, that when such abuses occur within their territory and/or jurisdiction those affected have access to effective remedy.”

Recommendation CM/Rec(2016)3 of the Committee of Ministers to Member States on human rights and business (Adopted by the Committee of Ministers on 2 March 2016 at the 1249th meeting of the Ministers’ Deputies):

“31. Member States should ensure the effective implementation of their obligations under Articles 6 and 13 of the Convention on Human Rights and other international and European human rights instruments, to grant to everyone access to a court in the determination of their civil rights, as well as to everyone whose rights have been violated under those instruments, an effective remedy before a national authority, including where such violation arises from business activity.”


“Framework principle 12

States should ensure the effective enforcement of their environmental standards against public and private actors.

Commentary

34. Governments and business enterprises must comply with the relevant environmental standards in their own operations, and they must also monitor and effectively enforce compliance with the standards by private actors as well as governmental authorities. In particular, States must regulate business enterprises to protect against human rights abuses resulting from environmental harm and to provide for remedies for such abuses. [...]”

Convention on the Protection of the Environment through Criminal Law (1998), ETS No. 172, Preamble, Art. 9:

“Recalling that environmental violations having serious consequences must be established as criminal offences subject to appropriate sanctions;

[...]

Article 9 – Corporate liability

1. Each Party shall adopt such appropriate measures as may be necessary to enable it to impose criminal or administrative sanctions or measures on legal persons on whose behalf an offence referred to in Articles 2 or 3 has been committed by their organs or by members thereof or by another representative.

2. Corporate liability under paragraph 1 of this article shall not exclude criminal proceedings against a natural person.”

Similar wording in Recommendation CM/Rec(2018)11 of the Committee of Ministers to member States on the need to strengthen the protection and promotion of civil society space in Europe (Adopted by the Committee of Ministers on 28 November 2018 at the 1330th meeting of the Ministers’ Deputies), Appendix para. 1(a):

“Appendix to Recommendation CM/Rec(2018)11

1. National legal framework and political and public environment to protect and promote civil society space

Member States should:
a. ensure an enabling legal framework and a conducive political and public environment for human rights defenders, enabling individuals, groups, civil society organisations and national institutions for the protection and promotion of human rights (NHRIs) to freely carry out activities, on a legal basis, consistent with international law and standards, to strive for the protection and promotion of all human rights and fundamental freedoms;


3. It is the duty of the State to respect the right of everyone to promote and protect a safe, clean, healthy and sustainable environment, necessary for the enjoyment of a vast range of human rights. The State has a parallel duty to promote human rights defenders from violations committed by both State and non-State actors. Nevertheless, international human rights law makes it clear that business enterprises, the media and other non-State actors are obliged to respect human rights obligations and refrain from contributing to or committing violations. The Special Rapporteur is therefore seriously concerned about the worrying numbers of killings and violence that, without doubt, underestimate the true extent of threats and risks facing environmental human rights defenders.

UN Human Rights Council, Resolution 48/13 on “The human right to a safe, clean, healthy and sustainable environment”, adopted on 8 October 2021, preamble:

“Recalling the Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights, which underscore the responsibility of all business enterprises to respect human rights, including the rights to life, liberty and security of human rights defenders working in environmental matters, referred to as environmental human rights defenders.”

Article 8. In situ Conservation

- Subject to its national legislation, respect, preserve and maintain knowledge, innovations and practices of indigenous and local communities embodying traditional lifestyles relevant for the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity and promote their wider application with the approval and involvement of the holders of such knowledge, innovations and practices and encourage the equitable sharing of the benefits arising from the utilization of such knowledge, innovations and practices;
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Deputies) in culturally diverse societies (Adopted by the Committee of Ministers on 2 March 2016 at the 1249th meeting of the Ministers’ Deputies), Annex e, para. 79:

“Member States should consider encouraging public authorities, including at local and regional level, to adopt a strategic approach towards the human rights challenges of culturally diverse societies by integrating the relevant issues into the related action plans adopted at national level.”

Similar wording in Guidelines of the Committee of Ministers to member States on the protection and promotion of human rights in culturally diverse societies (Adopted by the Committee of Ministers on 2 March 2016 at the 1238th meeting of the Ministers’ Deputies), para. 79:

“Member States should consider monitoring the impact of the measures taken, for instance by developing, where appropriate, human rights indicators to measure their impact in the context of culturally diverse societies. Adequate systems should be established to monitor the provision of health care, education or social services and social aid.”

Final Declaration by the Georgian Presidency of the Committee of Ministers, Environmental Protection and Human Rights, High Level Conference organised under the aegis of the Georgian Presidency of the Committee of Ministers (Strasbourg, 27 February 2020):

“Political Coordination among Member States”
Effective coordination should be developed so that Member States of the Council of Europe have greater collective impact in international fora where environmental issues are addressed, building upon the Council of Europe’s approach and added value.

As a first step, the Organisation could consider a common approach to contributing to the UN 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, starting with good health and well-being (Goal 3). Greater collective action at the European level would set a global precedent and reduce the foreseeable risk of irreparable harm to the human rights of future generations.