


# Judiciary at a glance in Slovenia

## General data


**Population:** 2 108 977      **GDP per capita:** 22 014 €      **Average annual salary:** 22 300 €

## Professionals

Judicial professionals per 100 000 inhabitants




Gross salaries of judges and prosecutors vs average annual salary in the country




## Efficiency

Clearance rate by instance and by matter (%)




Disposition time by instance and by matter (in days)



## Information and communication technology

ICT tools assessment from 2018 to 2020



\*ICT calculations are described in more details in Annex 5 - IT Calculation methodology

Synthesis table for the main indicators for: Slovenia

Economic and demographic data	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations					
										2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Population	2 058 821	2 061 085	2 061 085	2 064 188	2 065 895	2 066 880	2 080 908	2 095 861	2 108 977	<div><div></div><div>2,4%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>0,2%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>0,7%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>0,7%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>0,7%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>0,6%</div></div>
GDP per capita	17 172	17 128	18 065	18 680	19 262	20 951	22 182	22 983	22 014	<div><div></div><div>28,2%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>6,6%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>15,2%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>5,9%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>3,6%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-4,2%</div></div>
Exchange rate (local currency needed to obtain 1€)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
Average annual salary	18 300		18 483		19 020		20 179	21 043	22 300	<div><div></div><div>21,9%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>2,9%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>6,1%</div></div>		<div><div></div><div>4,3%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>6,0%</div></div>
Resources	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Professional judges per 100 000 inhab.	47,1	46,1	44,8	43,5	42,6	41,6	41,7	41,7	41,5	<div><div></div><div>-11,9%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-5,0%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-2,2%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>0,3%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>0,0%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-0,4%</div></div>
Non-judge staff per 100 000 inhab.	161,7	157,2	162,8	159,9	161,2	161,0	163,0	163,5	162,5	<div><div></div><div>0,5%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-1,0%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>1,1%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>1,2%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>0,3%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-0,6%</div></div>
Lawyers per 100 000 inh.	68,8	74,2	79,0	80,9	82,8	84,0	85,0	86,5	87,0	<div><div></div><div>26,4%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>4,9%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>2,6%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>1,1%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>1,8%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>0,5%</div></div>
Mediators	16,9	16,5	15,1	14,1	13,6	13,2	13,3	12,7	12,2	<div><div></div><div>-27,4%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-9,9%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-2,5%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>0,8%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-4,0%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-4,0%</div></div>
ICT overall assesment							6,9	6,9	6,9					0,0%	0,0%
First instance incoming cases per 100 inhab.	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Civil and commercial litigious cases	3,048	3,088	2,911	2,775	2,501	2,166	1,956	1,764	1,522	<div><div></div><div>-50,1%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-14,1%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-21,8%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-9,7%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-9,8%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-13,7%</div></div>
Administrative law cases	0,239	0,3	0,3	0,233	0,144	0,192	0,170	0,150	0,137	<div><div></div><div>-42,7%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-44,5%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>18,3%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-11,6%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-12,0%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-8,4%</div></div>
Total criminal law cases									3,479						
First instance performance indicators (Clearance Rate)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020 (percentage points)	2014-2016 (percentage points)	2016-2018 (percentage points)	2017-2018 (percentage points)	2018-2019 (percentage points)	2019-2020 (percentage points)
CR civil and commercial litigious cases	101%	102%	109%	105%	106%	108%	110%	109%	101%	<div><div></div><div>-0,96</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-2,63</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>3,34</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>1,77</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-0,40</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-8,86</div></div>
CR administrative law cases	110%	102%	103%	101%	87%	67%	91%	89%	107%	<div><div></div><div>-3,28</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-15,86</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>4,21</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>23,87</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-2,38</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>17,79</div></div>
CR total criminal law cases									96%						
First instance performance indicators (Disposition Time)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
DT civil and commercial litigious cases cases (days)	318	301	270	277	280	292	283	281	350	<div><div></div><div>10,1%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>3,9%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>1,0%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-2,9%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-0,6%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>24,4%</div></div>
DT administrative law cases (days)	130	126	112	122	282	448	406	516	443	<div><div></div><div>240,3%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>152,8%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>44,1%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-9,4%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>27,0%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-14,1%</div></div>
DT total criminal law cases (days)									165						
First instance pending cases per 100 inhab. on 31 dec.	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Civil and commercial litigious cases	2,70	2,61	2,35	2,21	2,04	1,87	1,66	1,49	1,47	<div><div></div><div>-45,5%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-12,9%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-18,5%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-10,9%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-10,6%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-1,4%</div></div>
Administrative law cases	0,09	0,09	0,08	0,08	0,10	0,16	0,17	0,19	0,18	<div><div></div><div>89,1%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>18,6%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>78,7%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>8,5%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>8,9%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-5,6%</div></div>
Total criminal law cases									1,51						
Second instance performance indicators (Clearance Rate)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020 (percentage points)	2014-2016 (percentage points)	2016-2018 (percentage points)	2017-2018 (percentage points)	2018-2019 (percentage points)	2019-2020 (percentage points)
CR civil and commercial litigious cases			106%	102%	100%	105%	105%	105%	112%		<div><div></div><div>-5,89</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>4,41</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-0,55</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>0,41</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>7,37</div></div>
CR administrative law cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP		NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
CR total criminal law cases									106%						
Second instance performance indicators (Disposition Time)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
DT civil and commercial litigious cases (days)			84	86	97	89	82	73	40		<div><div></div><div>15,6%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-15,7%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-8,0%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-10,0%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-45,5%</div></div>
DT administrative law cases (days)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP		NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
DT total criminal law cases (days)									26						
Supreme court performance indicators (Clearance Rate)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020 (percentage points)	2014-2016 (percentage points)	2016-2018 (percentage points)	2017-2018 (percentage points)	2018-2019 (percentage points)	2019-2020 (percentage points)
CR civil and commercial litigious cases			111%	106%	102%	97%	106%	111%	111%		<div><div></div><div>-8,39</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>3,62</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>8,32</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>5,54</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-0,46</div></div>
CR administrative law cases			79%	98%	101%	115%	139%	126%	100%		<div><div></div><div>21,72</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>37,69</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>23,47</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-12,65</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-25,66</div></div>
CR total criminal law cases									104%		0,00	0,00			
Supreme court performance indicators (Disposition Time)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
DT civil and commercial litigious cases (days)			167	146	150	164	119	78	59		<div><div></div><div>-10,3%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-20,9%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-27,5%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-34,4%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-24,0%</div></div>
DT administrative law cases (days)			220	220	188	159	152	103	122		<div><div></div><div>-14,4%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-19,1%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-4,1%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-32,6%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>18,4%</div></div>
DT total criminal law cases									147						

1. Judicial organisation in Slovenia


In 2020 in Slovenia, the number of courts considered as legal entities is 66. Namely, there are 60 courts of general jurisdiction and 6 specialised courts.

Among the 60 legal entities of general jurisdiction, 44 Local courts and 11 District courts act at first instance, while 4 Higher courts constitute the second instance courts of general jurisdiction. The Supreme Court is the highest instance court of general jurisdiction, as well as in respect of specialised courts.

Among the 6 legal entities of specialised jurisdiction, 5 are of first instance while the Higher Labour and Social Court constitutes the sole higher specialised court.

In terms of geographic locations, there are 70 courts of first instance encompassing the 55 first instance courts of general jurisdiction (44 Local courts and 11 District courts) and the first instance specialised courts (4 labour courts and social court + 7 branch offices of labour and social courts + 1 administrative court + 3 branch offices of the Administrative court). The number of all courts as geographic locations is 76, including the 70 first instance courts, the 4 Higher courts of general jurisdiction, the Higher Labour and Social Court, and the Supreme Court.


Distribution of general courts in Slovenia





According to 2020 data, the distribution between 1st instance and higher instances courts of general jurisdiction in Slovenia is somewhat different from the EU median of 87% - 13%.

Evolution of number of first instance courts in Slovenia

	Geographic locations	Legal entities	
		General jurisdiction	Specialised jurisdiction
2012	77	55	6
2013	77	55	5
2014	77	55	5
2015	77	55	5
2016	77	55	5
2017	77	55	5
2018	77	55	5
2019	77	55	5
2020	76	55	5



Distribution of first instance general jurisdiction and specialised courts



The distribution between number of general jurisdiction courts and specialised courts of 91,7% - 8,3% is quite different from the EU median (distribution tendency in EU: 75,5% - 24,5%).

Specialised courts	First instance	Higher instance
Total	5	1
Commercial courts (excluded insolvency courts)	NAP	NAP
Insolvency courts	NAP	NAP
Labour courts	4	1
Family courts	NAP	NAP
Rent and tenancies courts	NAP	NAP
Enforcement of criminal sanctions courts	NAP	NAP
Fight against terrorism, organised crime and corruption	NAP	NAP
Internet related disputes	NAP	NAP
Administrative courts	1	NAP
Insurance and / or social welfare courts	1	1
Military courts	NAP	NAP
Juvenile courts	NAP	NAP
Other specialised 1st instance courts	NAP	NAP

The 6 specialised courts refer to 3 labour courts (1st instance), the labour and social court (1st instance), the Administrative court (1st instance) and the Higher Labour and Social Court (2nd instance)

Although the given answer for 'labour courts' is 4 and 'insurance and/ or social welfare courts' at first instance is 1, the total number of these courts is 4, as one of the labour courts and the social court form a single legal entity – the Labour and social court in Ljubljana.

Concerning specialised courts – higher instances, although the given answer for 'labour courts' is 1 and 'insurance and/ or social welfare courts' is 1, the total number of these courts is 1, as they form a single legal entity – the Higher Labour and Social Court.

2. Professionals of justice in Slovenia

• Professional judges and non-judge staff

Evolution of the number of professional judges since 2012 (Q46)




According to 2020 data, the total number of professional judges sitting in courts (all instances) in Slovenia is 875, which is 0,2% more than in previous cycle.

More precisely, in Slovenia, there are 41,49 professional judges per 100 000 inhabitants (this figure is above the EU median of 23,92 judges per 100 000 inhabitants) and about 3,92 non-judge staff per judge .

There is no significant difference compared with previous cycle when this ratio was at 3,93 non-judge staff per judge.

Absolute number of professional judges by instance and gender

2020	Total	Distribution by instance	Male	Female	% Male	% Female
1st instance	638	72,9%	110	528	17,2%	82,8%
2nd instance	208	23,8%	48	160	23,1%	76,9%
Supreme courts	29	3,3%	18	11	62,1%	37,9%
Total	875		176	699	20,1%	79,9%



In this cycle, the total number of female professional judges (all instances) is 699, which represents 79,9% of the total number of judges.

The total number of judges is distributed among the different judicial instances in the following way: 638 are sitting in first instance courts (of which 528 are female); 208 are sitting in second instance courts (of which 160 are female) and 29 are sitting in Supreme Court (of which 11 are female).

Compared with the EU distribution of professional judges per instance, the trend in Slovenia is quite similar.

As regards the methodology of presentation of data in respect of the number of judges, it should be noticed that the provided total number of judges corresponds to the number of de facto occupied judicial posts performing their functions. Some judges are assigned to other duties (eg. to the Judicial council, Ministry of Justice, Supreme court) and are not included in the reported numbers. The information on actual presence (excluding the maternity or sick leave, but including the annual leave) is also available.

At the end of 2020, 890 judicial posts were formally occupied (FTE), although some posts were de facto vacant (e.g. judge absent due to maternity leave). Nevertheless, we report that 875 professional judges sit in courts (perform judicial function), since the rest of the judges (15 judges - difference to the total of 890 judges) were assigned to other duties (e.g. the Ministry of Justice, the Supreme Court, the Judicial Council) and do not sit in courts. The actual presence is also calculated, based on number of hours judges are actually present in court (excluding the maternity or sick leave, but including the annual leave).

The number of judges in the Slovenian judicial system in 2020 was 805,5 according to actual presence calculations.

As regards the distribution male/female, it has to be specified that female judges do not have the majority only at the level of the Supreme Court.

Absolute number of professional judges by instance and matter

In Slovenia, the distribution of judges per categories of cases in not possible.

Distribution of professional judges by instance and matter

There is no data for 2020. In 2021, the data on judges by legal fields was collected for the first time (by approximating the time and workload an individual judge is working on a certain type of cases). Since the methodology of reporting is yet to be revised and elaborated, we can only report approximate numbers.

First instance judges: Civil and/or commercial: 62% (approx. 396 judges); Criminal: 33% (approx. 214 judges); Administrative: 4% (approx. 28 judges), Other: 1% (approx. 5 judges)

Please note: the judges at the Administrative Court that resolve administrative cases at first instance have the rank of a higher judge.


Second instance judges: Civil and/or commercial: 66% (approx. 77 judges); Criminal: 34% (approx. 39 judges); Administrative: /, Other: /

Supreme court judges: Civil and/or commercial: 57% (approx. 16 judges); Criminal: 18% (approx. 5 judges); Administrative: 25% (approx. 9 judges), Other: /



Non-judge staff

Year	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Number of non-judge staff	3 330	3 239	3 355	3 300	3 330	3 328	3 391	3 427	3 427
Per 100 000 inhabitants	161,74	157,15	162,78	159,87	161,19	161,02	162,96	163,51	162,50



In 2020, Slovenia has 3 427 non-judge staff (of which 2 992 are females). The total number of non-judge staff in comparison with the previous cycle reveals a stable rate of 0,0%.

In this cycle, the non-judge staff is broken down as follows:

- 497 Rechtspfleger (or similar bodies) with judicial or quasi-judicial tasks having autonomous competence and whose decisions could be subject to appeal (among which 453 are women);
- 1 005 non-judge staff whose task is to assist the judges such as registrars (of which 874 are women);
- 1 734 staff in charge of different administrative tasks and of the court management (of which 1 575 are women);
- 191 technical staff (of which 90 are women);

In 2020, the number of non-judge staff per 100 000 inhabitants has decreased (from 163,5 in 2019 to 162,5 in 2020).

During the same period, the number of judges per 100 000 inhabitants evolves from 41,7 judges per 100 000 inhabitants in 2019 to 41,5 in 2020.

The definitions of categories are as follows:

1. “Rechtspfleger” category includes only the staff (judicial assistants and judicial advisers) with autonomous competence to adopt final decisions (decisions on the merits of the case), set explicitly in procedural laws - currently the Claim Enforcement and Security Act, the Financial Operations, Insolvency Proceedings and Compulsory Windingup Act, the Court Register of Legal Entities Act and the Land Register Act.


2. “Non-judge staff” category includes staff, whose tasks are generally set by the Courts Act. These are judicial assistants (filing applications and statements by parties for the record and, by order of a judge, perform less demanding tasks related to preparation for trial proceedings or other procedural acts, making calculations of costs, preparing drafts of decisions and performing other tasks in judicial proceedings under the orders of a judge), judicial advisers (performing work connected with the examination of parties, witnesses and experts (outside the main hearings), performing more complex preparatory work for hearings, reporting at panel meetings, drafting decisions, conducting hearings under the guidance of a judge and performing other work by order of a judge) and judicial trainees (typically do not perform significant amount of work as their role is to learn; however they can participate in hearings and drafting of court decisions in some cases).

3. All the other staff, not mentioned above and not corresponding to 4. “Technical staff” is included in 3. “Administrative staff”. The latter includes, along with the court management staff, the office support staff, whose tasks are not specifically set by the law and include case registering, administrative case preparation, court fees, typing and/or recording of court sessions etc.

Approx. 3% of all court staff (109 persons) are judicial trainees (counted under “2. Non-judge (judicial staff”).


Professional judges, non-judge staff and their ratio (Q46, Q52)

Per 100 000 inhabitants	Slovenia	EU median
Professional judges	41,49	23,92
Non-judge staff	162,50	59,00
Non-judge staff per judge	3,92	3,30



Evolution of the ratio between professional judges and non-judge staff (Q46, Q52)



	Judges per 100 000 inh.	Non-judge staff per 100 000 inh.	Ratio between professional judges and non-judge staff
2012	47,11	161,74	3,43
2013	46,14	157,15	3,41
2014	44,83	162,78	3,63
2015	43,46	159,87	3,68
2016	42,60	161,19	3,78
2017	41,56	161,02	3,87
2018	41,66	162,96	3,91
2019	41,65	163,51	3,93
2020	41,49	162,50	3,92



Public prosecutors and non-prosecutor staff

Absolute number of public prosecutors by instance and gender (Q55)

2020	Total	Distribution by instance	Male	Female	Male	Female
1st instance	151	73,3%	43	108	28,5%	71,5%
2nd instance	43	20,9%	15	28	34,9%	65,1%
Supreme courts	12	5,8%	7	5	58,3%	41,7%
Total	206		65	141	31,6%	68,4%



In this cycle, the total number of female prosecutors (all instances) is 141, which represents 68,4% of the total number of prosecutors.

The total number of prosecutors is distributed among the different judicial instances in the following way: 151 in first instance (of which 108 are female); 43 are in second instance (of which 28 are female) and 12 in final instance (of which 5 are female).

As regards the methodology of presentation of data in respect of the number of prosecutors, it should be noticed that the information is in form of gross data. According to the methodology used for reporting judges and court staff (FTE) – by which part-time employees are converted to full-time time by the calculation of working hours, the number amounts to 193, as a number of prosecutors are not working full time.

As regards the distribution of the number of prosecutors among the different judicial instances, Slovenia presents some peculiarities which should be mentioned. Namely, Slovenian state prosecutors perform their function in 13 organizational units – prosecution offices. There are 11 District State Prosecutor's Offices and one Specialized State Prosecutor's Office of the Republic of Slovenia, where local, district and senior state prosecutors are positioned. At the Office of the Prosecutor General of the Republic of Slovenia supreme state prosecutors and State Prosecutor General perform their functions. Here are also some state prosecutors of lower ranks assigned to perform demanding professional tasks.

There are no higher state prosecutor's offices as the proceedings before the courts of appeal are governed by the district prosecutor's offices. According to the State Prosecution Service Act prosecutors with the rank of at least local state prosecutor may represent a case before local courts, prosecutors with the rank of at least district state prosecutor may represent a case before district courts, prosecutors with the rank of at least senior state prosecutor may represent a case before higher courts and only supreme state prosecutors may represent a case before the supreme court. Local state prosecutors may also appear before district courts if authorized by the head of state prosecutor's office for certain categories of matters, for a particular matter, for certain categories of procedural acts or for a particular procedural act. Local and district prosecutors may in their cases appear along with a senior prosecutor before the courts of appeal if authorized by the head of an appellate division of the state prosecutor's office for a particular case. Senior and supreme state prosecutors are competent to represent a case also before first instance courts.


In the context of question 55 we counted local and district prosecutors as prosecutors at first instance level, senior prosecutors as prosecutors at second instance level and supreme prosecutors as prosecutors at Supreme Court level without regard of the rang of court before they perform their function in fact or if they are assigned to other institution for a limited period of time (e.g for the administration of State Prosecutorial Council).

As regards the distribution male/female, it has to be specified that female prosecutors do not have the majority only at the Supreme Court level.

Non-prosecutor staff by gender (Q60)

Non-prosecutor staff	Total	Male	Female
2020	321	64	257



Non-prosecutor staff by gender



Public prosecutors, non-prosecutor staff and their ratio (Q55, Q60)


Per 100 000 inhabitants	Slovenia	EU median
Public prosecutors	9,77	9,91
Non-prosecutor staff	15,22	15,22
Non-prosecutor staff per prosecutor	1,56	1,11

Public prosecutors and non-prosecutor staff per 100 000 inhabitants, and their ratio



• Salaries of professional judges and prosecutors at beginning of a career and at the highest instance (Q132, Q4)

Salaries of professional judges and prosecutors (Q132, Q4)	Average gross annual salary in €	Average net annual salary in €	Ratio with national average annual gross salary
Judge at the beginning of a career	32 628 €	20 568 €	1,46
Judge of the highest court	63 660 €	36 984 €	2,85
Prosecutor at the beginning of a career	32 628 €	20 568 €	1,46
Public prosecutor at highest instance	63 660 €	36 984 €	2,85



According to 2020 data, the absolute gross salary of a judge at the begining of a career in Slovenia of 32 628€ is somewhat below when compared to the EU median of 51 946€. As a ratio with the annual average salary of the country, the salary for a judge at the begining of career is: 1,46 compared with EU median of : 2,02.

The basic salary for judges and prosecutors is regulated by law, as well as promotion. The salary of the prosecutor is determined on the same basis, with the same supplements and in the same way as the salary of the judge. All employees in the country (including judges and public prosecutors) are also entitled to the supplement for the period of employment. As the calculation of the average pay would be too complicated, we report figures calculated from above criteria.


It is noteworthy that all figures reported include the supplement for the period of employment.

Judge/prosecutor at the beginning of the career: starting salary for local court judge and for local state prosecutor (without promotion), including the supplement for the period of employment (5 years) - approx. 1-2% of the reported amount.

Judge/Prosecutor at the highest instance: starting salary of a supreme court judge and supreme state prosecutor – counselor (not president of the Supreme Court or State Prosecutor General) including the supplement for the period of employment (44 years) - approx 15% of the reported amount.

• Lawyers

Lawyers	Absolute number	Per 100 000 inhabitants
2012	1 417	68,83
2013	1 529	74,18
2014	1 628	78,99
2015	1 669	80,86
2016	1 711	82,82
2017	1 737	84,04
2018	1 768	84,96
2019	1 813	86,50
2020	1 834	86,96



In 2020, there are 1 834 lawyers, which is 1,2% more than in 2019.


Slovenia has 87,0 lawyers per 100 000 inhabitants, which is below the EU median of 122,1 lawyers per 100 000 inhabitants.



• Judicial professionals (summary)


Judicial professionals in absolute number and per 100 000 inhabitants (Q46, Q52, Q55, Q60, Q146)

	Absolute number	Per 100 000 inhabitants	EU Median
Professional judges	873	41,65	23,92
Non-judge staff	3 427	162,50	59,00
Prosecutors	206	9,77	9,91
Non-prosecutor staff	321	15,22	15,22
Lawyers	1 834	86,96	122,09





Judicial professionals: Gender balance

	% Male	% Female
Professional judges	20,1%	79,9%
Non judge staff	12,7%	87,3%
Prosecutors	31,6%	68,4%
Non-prosecutor staff	19,9%	80,1%
Lawyers	53,2%	46,8%









3. Legal aid and court fees in Slovenia

- In Slovenia, legal aid includes:
- Coverage of court fees: 
  - Exemption from court fees: 

Exemption from court fees is regulated outside the free legal aid system by the Court Fees Act.

The situation did not change. In previous years, the answer at Exemption from court fees was NO, as exemption from court fees was (is) regulated outside the free legal aid system by another law. However, it was (is) still possible (as was explained in the general comment). This year, in line with the updated explanatory note, the answer is changed.

- In Slovenia, legal aid is available for :
- > Representation in court:
    - Criminal cases 
    - Other than criminal cases 
  - > Legal advice, ADR and other legal services:
    - Criminal cases 
    - Other than criminal cases 
  - > Fees related to enforcement of judicial decisions as fees for enforcement agents (Q18) 
  - > Other costs than above (Q19) 

The Free Legal Aid Act (FLAA) prescribes that legal aid shall mean the right of the eligible person to the entire or partial provision of funds necessary to cover the costs of legal assistance and the right to exemption of payment of the costs of the judicial proceeding. Further on the law defines that legal aid may be approved for legal advice, legal representation and other legal services, for all forms of judicial protection before all courts of general jurisdiction and specialised courts based in the Republic of Slovenia, before the Constitutional Court of the Republic of Slovenia, and before all authorities, institutions or persons in the Republic of Slovenia authorised for out-of-court settlement, as well as in the form of exemption from payment of the costs of the judicial proceeding.

The law specifically lists the costs that can be covered by the approved legal aid: for legal advice; for the formulation, verification and certification of documents on legal relations, facts and statements; for legal advice and representation in cases of out-of-court settlement; for legal advice and representation before courts in the first and second instances; for legal advice and representation involving extraordinary appeals; for legal advice and representation involving constitutional action; for legal advice and representation before international courts; for legal advice and representation involving the filing of a petition for the assessment of constitutionality; in the form of exemption from payment of the costs of the judicial or extrajudicial proceeding.

Legal aid may also be granted in the form of an exemption from payment of the costs of proceedings before courts, particularly in the form of an exemption from payment of: costs of experts, witnesses, interpreters, servicing orders and translations, costs of external operations of the court or other authority in the Republic of Slovenia, and other justified costs; security deposits for the costs or of the costs, of the implementation of the proceeding (advance payments); costs of public documents and receipts required for the proceeding before a court; other costs of the proceeding. The legal aid system does not cover the costs of the proceeding and actual expenditure of and remuneration for the person representing the opposing party.


In the proceeding of enforcement of judicial decisions the exemption from court fees (according to the Court Fees Act) and legal aid in the form of legal advice, legal representation and the exemption from payment of the procedural costs (the Free Legal Aid Act) is possible.

Number of cases for which legal aid has been granted

Absolute number (in 2020)	Total	Cases brought to court	Cases not brought to court
Total	9 876	9 138	738
In criminal cases	NA	NA	NA
In other than criminal cases	NA	NA	NA

Per 100 000 inhabitants (in 2020)	Slovenia	EU Median
Total	468,3	734,2
In criminal cases	NA	330,9
In other than criminal cases	NA	402,7

Number of cases for which legal has been granted per 100 000 inhabitants



The reported values use the categorisation by forms of legal aid granted. In a single legal aid case, the request can be granted for multiple forms of legal aid, therefore the reported numbers here can be higher than the number of resolved legal aid cases. The data on the number of resolved legal cases is not reported, since one or more forms of legal aid can be granted in a single resolved case, making impossible the distinction to “cases brought to court” or “cases not brought to court”. Cases brought to court include: 1) legal advice and representation before courts in the first and second instances and 2) involving extraordinary appeals. Cases not brought to court include: 1) legal advice; 2) legal advice and representation in cases of out-of-court settlement; 3) formulation, verification and certification of documents on legal relations, facts and statements.

The following forms of legal aid are not included in the figures : 1) legal advice and representation involving constitutional action; 2) legal advice and representation before international courts; 3) legal advice and representation involving the filing of a petition for the assessment of constitutionality and 4) exemption from payment of the costs of the judicial or extrajudicial proceedings.

Timeframes of the procedure for granting legal aid (in relation to the duration from the initial legal aid request to the final approval of the legal aid request)

- Maximum duration prescribed in law/regulations: NAP
- Actual average duration: 30

If the applicant would miss the deadline or would loose a right in the time it takes to process the application for free legal aid, the court can approve an "urgent" free legal aid, without taking in regard the material criteria for eligibility (however, the lack of merits is still checked). The material criteria are subsequently checked at a later time.

4. Performance of courts in Slovenia

• Efficiency indicators

- Clearance Rate (CR) and Disposition Time (DT)


The Clearance Rate shows the capacity of a judicial system to deal with the incoming cases. A Clearance Rate of 100% and higher does not generate backlog.

The Disposition Time determines the estimated number of days necessary for a pending case to be solved in a court.

First instance Total of other than criminal cases

- Incoming, resolved and pending cases

Evolution of number of all other than criminal cases per 100 inhabitants



The number of incoming cases in 2020 in Slovenia (26,17 per 100 inhabitants) is well above the EU median (6,82 per 100 inhabitants).


The number of resolved cases in 2020 in Slovenia (25,89 per 100 inhabitants) is well above the EU median (6,60 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of pending cases at the end of 2020 in Slovenia (4,93 per 100 inhabitants) is significantly above the EU median (2,66 per 100 inhabitants).

- Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

Other than criminal cases	CR (%)	DT (days)
2012	106%	113
2013	102%	111
2014	104%	102
2015	107%	82
2016	106%	72
2017	104%	65
2018	102%	61
2019	102%	56
2020	99%	69

Clearance Rate in % (CR) and Disposition Time in days (DT) for Other than criminal cases



With a Clearance Rate calculated at 98,9% in 2020 Slovenia seems to be able to deal with its other than criminal cases.


Between 2019 and 2020, the Clearance Rate has decreased by -2,8 points.

In 2020, other than criminal cases are solved in approximately 69 days, which is somewhat below the EU median of 109 days.

The analysis of the 2019 - 2020 period reveals a 24,3% increase of the Disposition Time.

First instance Civil (and commercial) litigious cases

◦ Incoming, resolved and pending cases



Civil (and commercial) litigious cases' at first instance include: civil litigious cases at local and district courts, various civil cases at local and district courts, legal aid at local and district courts, international legal aid at district courts, commercial litigious cases at district courts, labour law cases at labour courts, social law cases at social court, various labour and social law at labour and social courts, legal aid at labour and social courts. insolvency cases including compulsory composition, bankruptcy of legal person, bankruptcy of physical person, bankruptcy of inheritance, compulsory dissolution, simplified compulsory composition and preventive restructuring at district courts. The number includes the labour law and social law cases (before specialised labour and social law courts) due to their similarity to litigious cases in material and procedural aspects.

The number of incoming cases in 2020 in Slovenia (1,52 per 100 inhabitants) is slightly belowthe EU median (1,56 per 100 inhabitants).


The number of resolved cases in 2020 in Slovenia (1,53 per 100 inhabitants) is slightly above the EU median (1,50 per 100 inhabitants).

The decrease in the number of resolved civil and commercial litigious cases is due to the limitation of operation of courts due to Covid-19 pandemics.

The number of pending cases at the end of 2020 in Slovenia (1,47 per 100 inhabitants) is somewhat above the EU median (1,05 per 100 inhabitants).

◦ Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	CR (%)	DT (days)
2012	101,5%	318
2013	102,4%	301
2014	109,1%	270
2015	104,9%	277
2016	106,4%	280
2017	108,0%	292
2018	109,8%	283
2019	109,4%	281
2020	100,5%	350



With a Clearance Rate calculated at 100,5% in 2020, Slovenia seems to deal efficiently with its civil and commercial litigious cases.

Between 2019 and 2020, the Clearance Rate has decreased by -8,9 points.


In 2020, the civil and commercial litigious cases are solved in approximately 350 days, which is significantly above the EU median of 221 days.

The analysis of the 2019 - 2020 period reveals a 24,4% increase of the Disposition Time.

In Slovenia, there are 8 338 civil and commercial litigious cases older than 2 years. This is 26,9% of the total number of pending cases at the end of the year

First instance Administrative cases

◦ Incoming, resolved and pending cases




The number of incoming cases in 2020 in Slovenia (0,14 per 100 inhabitants) is significantly below the EU median (0,30 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of resolved cases in 2020 in Slovenia (0,15 per 100 inhabitants) is somewhat below the EU median (0,26 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of pending cases at the end of 2020 in Slovenia (0,18 per 100 inhabitants) is slightly below the EU median (0,21 per 100 inhabitants).

◦ Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

Administrative cases	CR (%)	DT (days)
2012	110,0%	130
2013	101,8%	126
2014	103,0%	112
2015	101,0%	122
2016	87,1%	282
2017	67,5%	448
2018	91,3%	406
2019	88,9%	516
2020	106,7%	443



With a Clearance Rate calculated at 106,7% in 2020, Slovenia seems to deal efficiently with its administrative cases.

Between 2019 and 2020, the Clearance Rate has increased for 17,8 points.

In 2020, the administrative cases are solved in approximately 443 days, which is slightly above the EU median of 388 days.

The analysis of the 2019 - 2020 period reveals a -14,1% decrease of the Disposition Time.

In Slovenia, there are 379 administrative law cases older than 2 years. This is 10,1% of the total number of pending cases at the end of the year.


Regarding the increase in Administrative law cases - Pending cases older than 2 years: In previous years, the Administrative court was faced with the influx of new cases, due to the implementation of the ECHR judgement 60642/08 (e.g. 24,5 % of incoming cases in 2017), as well as some new competences. This caused an increase in the number of pending and resolved cases. In the aforementioned cases, the court was also faced with new legal and factual issues, as well as administrative difficulties. In recent years, the Administrative court is also dealing with a considerable number of priority or urgent cases (e.g. asylum seekers), which means a longer waiting line for “regular” cases. Though administrative and managerial actions have been taken, the number of (older) pending cases has increased due to the aforementioned difficulties and the overburdening of the court.



Insolvency cases

◦ Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

Insolvency cases	CR (%)	DT (days)
2012	66,6%	936
2013	74,1%	924
2014	41,2%	1231
2015	54,6%	1288
2016	81,9%	1050
2017	131,0%	754
2018	153,2%	541
2019	140,7%	545
2020	138,1%	589



The Clearance Rate was calculated at 138,1% in 2020 for insolvency cases, Slovenia seems to deal efficiently with its insolvency cases.

Between 2019 and 2020, the Clearance Rate has decreased by -2,5 points.

In 2020, insolvency cases are solved in a approximately 589 days, which is well above the EU median of 281 days.

The analysis of the 2019 - 2020 period reveals a 7,9% increase of the Disposition Time.



It should be noted that the number of incoming cases is decreasing (personal bankruptcy from 2014 on and bankruptcy of legal persons from 2018 on), therefore the number of resolved and pending cases is also decreasing.

• First instance Criminal Law Cases

◦ Incoming, resolved and pending cases

	Pending cases 1 Jan	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases 31 Dec
Total	28 879	73 368	70 425	31 823
Severe criminal cases	9 170	9 550	7 546	11 174
Misdemeanour and/or minor cases	12 393	27 970	28 237	12 126
Other cases	7 316	35 848	34 642	8 523

Per 100 inhabitants	Pending cases 1 Jan	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases 31 Dec
Total	1,37	3,48	3,34	1,51
Severe criminal cases	0,43	0,45	0,36	0,53
Misdemeanour and/or minor cases	0,59	1,33	1,34	0,57
Other cases	0,35	1,70	1,64	0,40




The number of total incoming criminal cases in 2020 in Slovenia (3,48 per 100 inhabitants) is well above the EU median (1,60 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of total resolved criminal cases in 2020 in Slovenia (3,34 per 100 inhabitants) is well above the EU median (1,48 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of total pending criminal cases at the end of 2020 in Slovenia (1,51 per 100 inhabitants) is well above the EU median (0,46 per 100 inhabitants).

◦ Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

Total criminal law cases	CR (%)	DT (days)
Total	96,0%	165
Severe criminal cases	79,0%	540
Misdemeanour and/or minor cases	101,0%	157
Other cases	96,6%	90



With the Clearance Rate calculated at 96,0% in 2020 for total criminal cases, Slovenia seems to face some difficulties in dealing with its total criminal cases.

In 2020, criminal law cases were solved in approximately 165 days, which is slightly above the EU median of 139 days.

Are counted as severe criminal cases criminal cases at local and district courts, criminal cases against juveniles at district courts.

Are counted as misdemeanour cases minor offences in regular court procedure – request for judicial protection, minor offences in regular court procedure – accusation proposals, cancellation of validity of the driver's licence according to the legal limit of punitive points.

The category "Other criminal cases" encompasses: criminal investigations at district courts, criminal cases against juveniles in preparatory proceedings, execution of the sanction of prison, execution of criminal sanctions of foreign courts, criminal investigation actions at local and district courts, various criminal matters at local and district courts, cases of the out-of-hearing senate, clemency procedures at local and district courts, legal aid in criminal matters, international legal aid in criminal matters, cases of decisions to permit interventions within human rights and freedoms, legal aid in minor offences, international legal aid in minor offences, search of premises, setting a task for the good of the community or the local community, various cases in minor offences, compliance detention.



Regarding criminal investigations at district courts: Slovenia has a system where the state public prosecutor can request a (first instance) court to perform a criminal investigation (or individual investigatory acts). When this procedure at court is finished, the case is returned to the state prosecutor, who can decide whether to dismiss a case or file an accusatory act at the (same) court. When the accusatroy act is filed, a criminal trial (i.e. deliberating on the responsibility and sanctioning of the offender) begins.

The number of incoming Severe criminal cases decreased by 1% in 2019 and the number of resolved cases decreased by 2% in 2019. However, in 2020 the number of incoming cases decreased by 1%, and the number of resolved cases decreased by 23%, mostly due to limitations of operations of courts due to the Covid-19 pandemics. Consequently, the number of pending cases has also increased by 22%.

The number of pending Severe criminal cases, older than 2 years increased in 2019 (by 15%) and stayed roughly the same in 2020, while the number of Misdemeanour cases stayed roughly the same in 2019 and increased significantly (by 128%) in 2020. No specific explanation can be given for any of the mentioned changes. This two factors resulted in increase in total number of pending cases older than 2 years.

Overall efficiency by instance and by case matter

	CR (%)			DT (days)		
	1st instance	2nd instance	Supreme Court	1st instance	2nd instance	Supreme Court
Civil and commercial litigious cases	100,5%	112,4%	110,9%	350	40	59
Administrative cases	106,7%	NAP	100,3%	443	NAP	122
Total criminal law cases	96,0%	105,5%	103,8%	165	26	147



The Clearance Rate indicator appears above the 100% for all matters at all instances, except with regard to first instance criminal cases where it is slightly below the efficiency threshold.

As to the Disposition Time, it is considerably above the EU medians established in respect of all legal matters at first instance (civil - 221 days; administrative - 388 days, criminal - 139 days). As already explained above, on the one hand the decrease in the number of resolved civil litigious and criminal cases was due in 2020 to the limitation of operation of courts due to Covid-19 pandemics. On the other hand, the difficulties faced by the first instance administrative court have various causes, namely the occurrence of new legal and factual issues, administrative difficulties, the priority given in the recent years to urgent cases (e.g. asylum seekers), leading to a longer waiting line for “regular” cases.

In contrast with the first instance, at second instance the Disposition Time is meaningfully below the respective EU medians in civil and criminal matters (177 days and 101 days). It is noteworthy that the decrease in pending cases at the beginning and the end of the year is due to the fact that higher courts are successfully reducing the number of pending civil and criminal cases. Thus, in 2020, the decrease in incoming and resolved such cases was partially due to the national trend observed in general, and partially due to the limitation of operation of courts due to Covid-19 pandemics.

Concerning the Supreme Court, only in the criminal legal field the Disposition Time is above the EU median of 120 days, while in civil and administrative matters it is well below the respective EU medians (224 days and 281 days). It is to be highlighted that the decrease in the number of all pending cases is due to the efficient work of the Supreme Court in 2019 and 2020 in the different legal fields.

5. Public prosecution services in Slovenia

• Role and powers of the public prosecutor

In the criminal procedure, the public prosecutor in Slovenia has the following 8 out of 11 possible roles and powers:

To conduct or supervise police investigation	✗	To appeal	✓
To conduct investigations	✗	To supervise the enforcement procedure	✗
When necessary, to request investigation measures from the judge	✓	To discontinue a case without needing a decision by a judge	✓
To charge	✓	To end the case by imposing or negotiating a penalty or measure without requiring a judicial decision	✓
To present the case in the court	✓	Other significant powers	✓
To propose a sentence to the judge	✓		

In Slovenian criminal justice system state prosecutors are exclusively authorized to conduct public prosecution in criminal matters on behalf of society and in the public interest. The Constitution and law guarantee autonomy in status and functioning of state prosecutors. Decisions made by the state prosecutor shall not be interfered with, except by way of general instructions and assigning of a case in the manner determined by the law.

The primary function of Slovenian prosecutors is to decide whether or not to initiate or continue a prosecution of the alleged perpetrator of criminal offence before a court. The role of investigating crimes is attributed to the police, but the prosecutors can set guidelines for police work by giving directions, expert opinions and proposals. They can also coordinate national or international joint investigation teams.

Prosecutors cannot impose or negotiate a penalty without the judicial approval. They can divert the prosecution of cases that meet statutory conditions by imposing a measure (elimination of or compensation for damage, payment of a contribution to a public institution or a charity or fund for compensation for damage to victims of criminal offences, performance of community service, fulfillment of a maintenance obligation) or transfer the case to a settlement procedure – both only upon consent of the injured party and a suspect.



"Other": Prosecutors can file extraordinary legal remedies against final judicial decisions and file a lawsuit against the defendant to forfeit the assets of illegal origin.

The public prosecutor also has a role in civil, administrative and insolvency cases.

Supreme state prosecutors can file an extraordinary legal remedy - the petition for protection of legality against final judicial decisions in civil, administrative and minor offences cases, when there was a violation of material or procedural law.

Public prosecutors: Number of first instance criminal cases

Type of cases	Absolute number	Per 100 inhabitants
1. Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	275 591	13,07
2. Incoming/received cases	61 789	2,93
3. Processed cases (3.1 + 3.2 + 3.3 + 3.4)	28 472	1,35
3.1. Discontinued during the reference year (3.1.1 + 3.1.2 + 3.1.3 + 3.1.4)	38 743	1,84
3.1.1 Discontinued by the public prosecutor because the offender could not be identified	NAP	NAP
3.1.2 Discontinued by the public prosecutor due to the lack of an established offence or a specific legal situation	35 984	1,71
3.1.3 Discontinued by the public prosecutor for reasons of opportunity	2 759	0,13
3.1.4 Discontinued for other reasons	NAP	NAP
3.2. Concluded by a penalty or a measure imposed or negotiated by the public prosecutor	1 298	0,06
3.3. Cases closed by the public prosecutor for other reasons	NAP	NAP
3.4. Cases brought to court	9 130	0,43
4. Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	281 332	13,34



Methodology used - statistical data are kept on the accused, accused or convicted persons, and the data is related to the main crime per defendant (methodology as used in the Joint Annual Report on the Work of State Prosecutor's Offices). Data also includes unfinished criminal complaints against unknown perpetrators (on average, between 30.000 and 60,000 complaints a year against unknown perpetrators). These are included in statistical data as unresolved cases until they are completed (for example, statute of limitations and no legal signs of a crime).

1.Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. Year - the data represent transferred unresolved criminal complaints against known perpetrators and include adults, minors and legal entities. As mentioned above, data on transferred unresolved complaints against unknown perpetrators are also provided.

2. Incoming/received cases: Criminal denunciations against known and unknown offenders including cases that were received by the prosecution office as cases with unknown offenders whose identity was discovered during the reporting period. Cases against known offenders are counted by the number of persons involved, while cases against unknown offenders are counted by files.

3. Processed cases: all resolved criminal complaints in the reporting year. There can be multiple criminal complaints in one case file, however the resolution is only one – hence the difference between the sum of subcategories (3.1, 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4) and the actual number of resolved criminal complaints.

3.1.2 Discontinued by the public prosecutor due to the lack of an established offence or a specific legal situation: dismissed denunciations for other reasons than opportunity and accomplishing some tasks imposed or negotiated by state prosecutor; cases where prosecutor refrains from prosecution after the finish of judicial investigation. The great majority of cases against unknown offenders are dismissed because the pending time exceeds limitation period for criminal prosecution. In the case of proposed criminal offenses, the motion of the injured party is a procedural precondition for conducting criminal proceedings. If this presumption does not exist (it is no longer due to withdrawal), the legal consequence is rejection. For the most part, public prosecutors rejected complaints for other reasons, including cases in which it was not possible to continue the proceedings due to a lack of procedural or material preconditions (the act is not a criminal offense, there is no reasonable suspicion that the suspect committed a criminal offense).

3.1.3 Discontinued by the public prosecutor for reasons of opportunity: cases where denunciations were dismissed because the consequences of criminal prosecution would prove disproportionate to the weight of criminal offence and dismissed denunciations against minors because the proceedings against him/her would not be appropriate in view of the nature of the offence and circumstances in which it was committed, as well as in view of the past conduct of the minor and his personal traits.

3.2.Concluded by a penalty or a measure imposed or negotiated by the public prosecutor: dismissed denunciations due to concluded settlement between an offender and the aggrieved person or due to the offender accomplishing some tasks imposed by public prosecutor.

3.4.Cases brought to court: natural persons and legal entities charged before district and local courts and motions for punishment or educational measure for minors.

4. Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year: unresolved criminal complaints as at 31 December of the previous year for all categories (adults, minors and legal entities).

Inconsistencies within the table are possible due to the peculiarity of the IT system - it is a "live" system (dynamic reporting), meaning that the reported figures for a specific date or period of time inevitably vary for different reasons (e.g. the data was not promptly entered into the CMS; in some instances, the decision, in which category some specific new cases should be included, may be subsequently changed and when data are unified some figures change; there is also the possibility that a mistake was done when entering the data and was later detected in the quality check and corrected.). Every category (row in the table) is calculated (counted) separately.


In 2020, pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year includes 12.452 cases against known perpetrators and 263.139 cases against unknown perpetrators. Incoming/received cases includes 27.770 cases against known offenders and 34.019 cases against unknown offenders. Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year includes 12.072 cases against known offenders and 269.260 cases against unknown offenders.



6. Existence and use of alternative dispute resolution in Slovenia

Number of mediators

Mediators	Total	Per 100 000 inhabitants
2012	347	16,9
2013	341	16,5
2014	311	15,1
2015	292	14,1
2016	281	13,6
2017	272	13,2
2018	276	13,3
2019	267	12,7
2020	258	12,2



In 2020, there are 258 accredited or registered mediators who practise court related mediation which represents 12,2 accredited or registered mediators per 100 000 inhabitants.

The variation between 2019 and 2020 is about -3,4%.

Number of court related mediations

Type of cases	Number of cases for which the parties agreed to start mediation	Number of finished court-related mediations	Number of cases in which there is a settlement agreement
All cases	2437	2164	922
Civil and commercial	2076	1814	756
Family cases	NA	NA	NA
Administrative	NAP	NAP	NAP
Employment dismissal	361	350	166
Criminal cases	NAP	NAP	NAP
Consumer cases	NA	NA	NA

It is noteworthy that under category “1. Civil and commercial cases”, all mediation cases at local and district courts are reported (including family cases and consumer cases).

## 7. ICT tools of courts in Slovenia

### •The ICT tools of courts and for court users

The use of ICT in courts in 2020 has been evaluated as :

EU Median

<b>Total</b>	<b>6,9</b>	<b>6,6</b>
Assistance tools (0 to 3)	2,0	2,0
Case management system (0 to 7)	6,0	5,2
Financial management tools (0 to 3)	2,0	1,3
Measurement tools to assess the workload (0 to 5)	5,0	2,5
Electronic communication (0 to 10)	4,4	6,9


The calculation of this values for each field is based on the answers for that question/s and weighted according the availability or deployment rate. The total value is normalised to max 10 points for readability and comparison.

The details of the calculation are given in Annex 5 - IT calculations

The result by area may be summarized in these graphics, where each field has been evaluated from 0 to 4 points.

*Note: index is modified based on the available questions. This cycle the recalculation was made for the last three cycles to be able to follow the development.*

### ICT tools assessment from 2018 to 2020



The writing assistance tools are included in the CMSs, provided by the Project management Service at the Supreme Court. The templates (including pre-written texts) are verified by the judges. Writing assistance tools also exist in respect of civil enforcement on the basis of the authentic document procedure.

All courts are equipped by voice recording tools, maintained by courts and the Ministry of Justice.

Civil enforcement on the basis of the authentic document is another informatised procedure where status of case is available on-line. Approx. 21% of all incoming cases is civil enforcement on the basis of the authentic document.

Electronic communication concerns civil and/or Commercial: insolvency cases (eINS) and civil enforcement cases (elzvršba), where all courts are equipped with CMS which enable electronic submission. The electronic submission in civil and commercial litigious cases is not yet possible. Electronic submission in family matters became available for social services in 2020. All courts are also equipped with CMS which enable electronic submission in registry cases (eZK) and business registry cases (iSRG).

Criminal, Administrative (and civil and commercial litigious): currently, efforts are taking place to upgrade the informatised CMS to allow the submission in electronic forms.

Other: Civil enforcement on the basis of the authentic document is an informatised procedure where claims can be filed on-line, with specific legislative framework, without the need for simultaneous submission of cases in paper form, and integrated to CMS. In 2020, more than 116.000 claims were filed, 99,90% of them electronically.

## 8. Systems for measuring and evaluating the performance of courts and public prosecution services in Slovenia

In Slovenia, quality standards are determined for the judicial system at national level (e.g. quality systems for the judiciary and/or judicial quality policies). Specialised personnel within the courts and the public prosecution services is entrusted with implementation of these national level quality standards.

The Office for Court Management Development at the Supreme Court promotes the improvement in leadership and management of courts and the increase in effectiveness and efficiency. It is responsible for the preparation of different reports and analysis regarding work of courts and the promotion of best practices.

The Supreme Court's Data warehouse, containing all court cases, as well as financial data and human resources data was implemented in 2011. The data are collected based on CEPEJ Guidelines on Judicial statistics (GOJUST). A special office at the Supreme Court with specialised knowledge was introduced in order to monitor the quality and define quality policies on the level of entire judiciary and individual courts. Quality standards based on SATURN guidelines are taken into account in several predefined BI system reports.

The important role in the determination of quality standards is played by the Supreme Court's „Opening of the judicial year“ document, in which a set of priorities is determined. The priorities are subsequently monitored throughout the judicial year by automated BI tools and customised analysis at the Supreme Court.

The 2013 amendment to the Courts Act provides that the Supreme Court shall adopt the Criteria for quality of work for courts for the next (judicial) year, based on its Yearly report on efficiency and effectiveness of courts. Since 2015, the Supreme Court has been adopting the timeframes for different types of procedures as well as for different procedural phases for next year (as a part of the Criteria for quality of work).

As for public prosecution, the criteria for quality of work are defined in the Prosecution Policy (adopted by the Prosecutor General), while the quantitative aspects of work are defined in the Criteria for evaluating the performance of the state prosecutor's offices adopted by the State Prosecutorial Council. The Department for the Organization and Development of Management of the Supreme State Prosecutor's Office of the Republic of Slovenia is responsible for monitoring the implementation of the Prosecution Policy and the Criteria for the Success of Prosecution of State Prosecutor's Offices.

### • Systems for measuring and evaluating courts' performance

A regular monitoring system of court activities is in place concerning:

Number of incoming cases	✓	Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)	✓
Length of proceedings (timeframes)	✓	Costs of the judicial procedures	✓
Number of resolved cases	✓	Number of appeals	✓
Number of pending cases	✓	Appeal ratio	✓
Backlogs	✓	Clearance rate	✓
Productivity of judges and court staff	✓	Disposition time	✓
Satisfaction of court staff	✓	Other	✗

In Slovenia there is a regular monitoring system in a form of collecting data on court statistics. Court statistics are collected and published four times a year by the Ministry of Justice. They include the data on the number of judges and court staff, number of incoming, resolved and pending cases, age of unresolved cases, length of proceedings, average time to resolve a case, type of decision, court backlogs, legal remedies and time to issue a court decision.

Besides that, the data on court activities are automatically on national level, thus statistical analysis are made possible. All courts have access to a wide range of special reports, generated in the Court management information system. Reports include detailed information on court activities (for example length of specific phases of a court proceeding, top 20 oldest cases in certain area of law, etc.), human resources, court performance indicators (the critical indicators are marked red for unsatisfactory performance and green when meeting the standards) that provide guidance to presidents and directors of courts. The business intelligence system that creates priority reports derives the data from the Data warehouse of the Supreme Court. The same source is used for Court statistics publications by the Ministry of Justice. Each court is able to access the above mentioned reports at any moment, while some data are quarterly collected and published on national level (as prescribed by the Court rules).

The satisfaction surveys are performed and results published bi-annually.

In Slovenia, there is a system to regularly evaluate the court performance based primarily on defined indicators and the frequency of the reporting is annual.

Performance and quality indicators are defined for the activity of each court.

The following indicators are used:

Number of incoming cases	✓	Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)	✗
Length of proceedings (timeframes)	✓	Costs of the judicial procedures	✓
Number of resolved cases	✓	Number of appeals	✓
Number of pending cases	✓	Appeal ratio	✓
Backlogs	✓	Clearance rate	✓
Productivity of judges and court staff	✓	Disposition time	✓
Satisfaction of court staff	✗	Other	✗

The Annual work programme consists of the assessment of the expected number of incoming cases, timeframes for typical procedural acts and solving the cases and the plan of operating results. The latter includes the expected number of resolved cases and criteria of efficiency (resolved cases to staff ratio), effectiveness (expected time to resolution) and economy (budgetary funds to solved cases ratio) (the Courts Act, art. 71.b).

The number of complaints is monitored as a performance indicator, however it is not directly considered as a measure of quality of work.

The data on satisfaction of court staff and users is also collected, however it is not yet used as quality indicator.

The evaluation of the courts' activities is used for the later allocation of means in the courts.

• Systems for measuring and evaluating public prosecution services' performance

A regular monitoring system of public prosecution services activities is in place concerning:

Number of incoming cases	✓	Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the public prosecution)	✗
Length of proceedings (timeframes)	✓	Costs of the judicial procedures	✗
Number of resolved cases	✓	Clearance rate	✓
Number of pending cases	✓	Disposition time	✓
Backlogs	✓	Percentage of convictions and acquittals	✓
Productivity of prosecutors and prosecution staff	✓	Other	✓
Satisfaction of prosecution staff	✗		

The BI tools that use data gathered in information system of the State Prosecutor's Office provide the heads of prosecution offices with up-to-date overview of the performance of state prosecutors and the functioning of the office. Heads can customize the level and content of information presented to them for the purpose of making quantitative data supported decisions on allocation of work among prosecutors, control of the case-flow.

"Other": percentage of different types of decisions, value of proceeds of crime under freezing order, pronounced criminal sanctions etc.

In Slovenia, there is a system to evaluate regularly the activity of each public prosecution service and the reporting is more frequent than annual.

The quantitative indicators are defined in the Criteria for evaluating the performance of the state prosecutor's offices adopted by the State Prosecutorial Council. General guidelines for the work of state prosecutors and priority fields of prosecution are defined in a Prosecution Policy by prosecutor general. Heads of offices implement both criteria in their Annual Work Program. Twice a year the prosecutor general, the State Prosecutorial Council and the Minister discuss the performance of state prosecutor's offices at joint meetings held with the heads of state prosecutor's offices and adopt and/or coordinate the measures required for implementation of annual work programmes. An evaluation of attained goals set in the adopted Annual Work Programme, Criteria and Prosecution Policy are an integral part of Annual Report of each prosecution office. The prosecutor general compiles Joint Annual Report on the work of the whole state prosecutor offices. The Minister and State Prosecutorial Council may submit their opinion to this report.

Performance and quality indicators are defined for the activity of each public prosecution service.

The following indicators are used:

Number of incoming cases	✗	Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the public prosecutors)	✗
Length of proceedings (timeframes)	✓	Costs of the judicial procedures	✗
Number of resolved cases	✓	Clearance rate	✓
Number of pending cases	✓	Disposition time	✓
Backlogs	✓	Percentage of convictions and acquittals	✓
Productivity of prosecutors and prosecution staff	✓	Other	✓
Satisfaction of prosecution staff	✗		

The State Prosecutorial Council adopts the Criteria for evaluating the performance of the state prosecutor's offices on the proposal of the State Prosecutor General. The criteria define indicators and their target values for the appraisal of the work efficiency and realization of prosecution policy.

The criteria for the success of the prosecution of public prosecutors determine the following indicators: the number of unresolved cases at the end of the period, clearance rate, expected solution time, time criteria for typical process actions, (from the initiative of the police to the submission of a proposal to carry out urgent investigative actions, from the receipt to the rejection of the criminal complaint, from the receipt of the criminal complaint to the submission of a request for investigation or a proposal for individual investigative actions, from the receipt of the criminal complaint (without investigation) to the filing of the indictment, from the end of the investigation or individual investigative actions until the filing of the indictment, from the receipt of the complaint to the decision of the public prosecutor on the postponed prosecution and settlement, efficiency indicator, cost-effectiveness indicator, proportions of prosecution decisions, shares of rejected complaints according to individual reasons, shares of decisions alternative to criminal prosecution, share of penal orders, share of convictions, shares of imposed criminal sanctions.

The evaluation of the public prosecution services' activities is used for the later allocation of means in the public prosecution services.

Slovenia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Table General Data: Economic and demographic data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)																		
Q1 Number of inhabitants	2 058 821	2 061 085	2 061 085	2 064 188	2 065 895	2 066 880	2 080 908	2 095 861	2 108 977	2,4%	0,1%	0,0%	0,2%	0,1%	0,0%	0,7%	0,7%	0,6%
Q.3 GDP Per capita (in €) in current prices	17 172	17 128	18 065	18 680	19 262	20 951	22 182	22 983	22 014	28,2%	-0,3%	5,5%	3,4%	3,1%	8,8%	5,9%	3,6%	-4,2%
Q5. Exchange rate of Nat currency to € on 1 Jan	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Indicator 1: Systems for measuring and evaluating the performance of courts and prosecution services (Indicator 4 in 2019)																		
Table 1.1 to Table 1.10 (Q66, Q67, Q77, Q78, Q77-1, Q78-1, Q73, Q73-0, Q73-1, Q73-2, Q73-3, Q73-4, Q73-5, Q73-6, Q70, Q70-1, Q71, Q72, Q83-2, Q83-3, Q120 and Q120-1)																		
66 Qlty standards formulated_jud system	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
67 Specialised court staff entrusted_qlty standards	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
77 Performance and quality indicators of court activities	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
078.1.1 Number of incoming cases							True	True	True									
078.1.2 Length of proceedings (timeframes)							True	True	True									
078.1.3 Number of resolved cases							True	True	True									
078.1.4 Number of pending cases							True	True	True									
078.1.5 Backlogs							True	True	True									
078.1.6 Productivity of judges and court staff							True	True	True									
078.1.7 Satisfaction of court staff							False	False	False									
078.1.8 Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)							False	False	False									
078.1.9 Costs of the judicial procedures							True	True	True									
078.1.10 Number of appeals							True	True	True									
078.1.11 Appeal ratio							True	True	True									
078.1.12 Clearance rate							True	True	True									
078.1.13 Disposition time							True	True	True									
078.1.14 Other							False	False	False									
077-1.1.1 Defined performance and quality indicators									True									



Slovenia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
078-1.1.1 Number of incoming cases									False									
078-1.1.2 Length of proceedings (timeframes)									True									
078-1.1.3 Number of resolved cases									True									
078-1.1.4 Number of pending cases									True									
078-1.1.5 Backlogs									True									
078-1.1.6 Productivity of prosecutors and prosecution staff									True									
078-1.1.7 Satisfaction of prosecution staff									False									
078-1.1.8 Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the public prosecution)									False									
078-1.1.9 Costs of the judicial procedures									False									
078-1.1.10 Clearance rate									True									
078-1.1.11 Disposition time									True									
078-1.1.12 Percentage of convictions and acquittals									True									
078-1.1.13 Other									True									
73 Regular system_evaluation_performance_each court	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
073-0.1.1 Annual					True	True	True	True	True									
073-0.1.2 Less frequent					False	False	False	False	False									
073-0.1.3 More frequent					False	False	False	False	False									
073-1.1.1 Evaluation used for the allocation of resources within the court			Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
073-2.1.1 Courses of action taken in the evaluation is used for the allocation of resources							True	True	True									
073-2.1.2 Reallocating resources (human/financial resources based on performance)							True	True	True									
073-2.1.3 Reengineering of internal procedures to increase efficiency							True	True	True									
073-2.1.4 Other							False	False	False									
073-3.1.1 Regular evaluation of the public prosecution services performance									True									

Slovenia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
073-4.1.1 Annual									False									
073-4.1.2 Less frequent									False									
073-4.1.3 More frequent									True									
073-5.1.1 Evaluation used for the allocation of resources within the public prosecution services									True									
073-6.1.1 Identifying the causes of improved or deteriorated performance									True									
073-6.1.2 Reallocating resources (human/financial resources based on performance)									True									
073-6.1.3 Reengineering of internal procedures to increase efficiency									True									
073-6.1.4 Other									False									
070.1.1 number of incoming cases	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
070.1.2 length of proceedings (timeframes)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
070.1.3 number of resolved cases	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
070.1.4 number of pending cases							True	True	True									
070.1.5 backlogs							True	True	True									
070.1.6 productivity of judges and court staff							True	True	True									
070.1.7 satisfaction of court staff							True	True	True									
070.1.8 satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)							True	True	True									
070.1.9 costs of the judicial procedures							True	True	True									
070.1.10 number of appeals							True	True	True									
070.1.11 appeal ratio							True	True	True									
070.1.12 clearance rate							True	True	True									

Slovenia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
070-1.1.1 Number of incoming cases									True									
070-1.1.2 Length of proceedings (timeframes)									True									
070-1.1.3 Number of resolved cases									True									
070-1.1.4 Number of pending cases									True									
070-1.1.5 Backlogs									True									
070-1.1.6 Productivity of prosecutors and prosecution staff									True									
070-1.1.7 Satisfaction of prosecution staff									False									
070-1.1.8 Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the public prosecution)									False									
070-1.1.9 Costs of the judicial procedures									False									
070-1.1.10 Clearance rate									True									
070-1.1.11 Disposition time									True									
070-1.1.12 Percentage of convictions and acquittals									True									
070-1.1.13 Other									True									
071.1.1 Monitoring backlogs in Civil law cases									True									
071.1.2 Monitoring backlogs in Criminal law cases									True									
071.1.3 Monitoring backlogs in Administrative law cases									True									
072.1.1 Monitoring timeframes Within the courts									True									
072.1.2 Monitoring timeframes Within the public prosecution services									True									
083-2.1.1 Quantitative performance tagets defined for each prosecutors									True									
083-3.1.1 Body responsible - Executive power (for example the Ministry of Justice)									False									
083-3.1.2 Body responsible - Prosecutor General /State public prosecutor									False									
083-3.1.3 Body responsible - Public Prosecutorial Council									True									
083-3.1.4 Body responsible - Head of the organisational unit or hierarchically superior public prosecutor									False									
083-3.1.5 Body responsible - Other									False									

Slovenia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
120.1.1 Qualitative individual assessment of the public prosecutors' work									True									
120-1.1.1 Feequency - Annual									False									
120-1.1.2 Feequency - Less frequent									True									
120-1.1.3 Feequency - More frequent									False									
Indicator 2: The judicial organisation																		
Tables 2.1a; 2.1b; 2.2a; 2.2b; 2.3a; 2.3b; 2.4 and 2.5(EC) (Q42, Q43 and Q44)																		
Q42.1.1Total number of all courts - legal entities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	66	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Q42.1.2 Total number of courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	60	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Q42.1.3 First instance courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities	55	55	55	55	55	55	55	55	55	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%
Q42.1.4 Second instance courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Q42.1.5 Highest instance courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Q42.1.6 Total number of specialised courts - legal entities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.1 Total number of specialised courts of first instance	6	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	-16,7%	-16,7%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%
43.1.2 Commercial courts (excluded insolvency courts)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.3 Insolvency courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.4 Labour courts	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%
43.1.5 Family courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.6 Rent and tenancies courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.7 Enforcement of criminal sanctions courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.8 Fight against terrorism, organised crime and corruption	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.9 Internet related disputes	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.10 Administrative courts	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%
43.1.11 Insurance and / or social welfare courts	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%
43.1.12 Military courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.13 Juvenile courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Slovenia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
43.1.14 Other specialised courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.1 Total number of specialised courts of higher instances	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.2 Commercial courts (excluded insolvency courts)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.3 Insolvency courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.4 Labour courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.5 Family courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.6 Rent and tenancies courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.7 Enforcement of criminal sanctions courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.8 Fight against terrorism, organised crime and corruption	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.9 Internet related disputes	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.10 Administrative courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.11 Insurance and / or social welfare courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.12 Military courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.13 Juvenile courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.14 Other specialised courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44.1.1 First instance courts geographic locations	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	70	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44.1.2 All courts geographic locations	77	77	77	77	77	77	77	77	76	-1,3%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	-1,3%



Slovenia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions										
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020		
Indicator 3: The performance of courts at all stages of the proceedings																				
Tables 3.1.1.1 to 3.1.1.4 (all years) Number of other than criminal cases (Q91)																				
Table 3.3.1 to 3.3.3 Variation of first instance other than criminal cases per 100 inhabitants (Q1, Q91)																				
Table 3.13.7 (EC) to 3.13.12 (EC) First instance other than criminal cases (Q91)																				
91.1.1 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	356 071	303 220	285 279	251 889	192 231	148 701	122 514	109 533	98 134	-72,4%	-14,8%	-5,9%	-11,7%	-23,7%	-22,6%	-17,6%	-10,6%	-10,4%		
91.1.2 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	56 651	55 486	53 815	48 384	45 550	42 220	38 624	34 645	31 115	-45,1%	-2,1%	-3,0%	-10,1%	-5,9%	-7,3%	-8,5%	-10,3%	-10,2%		
91.1.3 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	187 198	170 745	118 604	82 719	61 003	49 196	44 288	-	-	-	-8,8%	-30,5%	-30,3%	-26,3%	-19,4%	-10,0%		
91.1.4 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	200 131	188 531	177 648	164 736	113 760	77 127	56 402	44 203	39 854	-80,1%	-5,8%	-5,8%	-7,3%	-30,9%	-32,2%	-26,9%	-21,6%	-9,8%		
91.1.5 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	9 550	6 009	4 844	5 592	4 601	4 993	4 434	-	-	-	-37,1%	-19,4%	15,4%	-17,7%	8,5%	-11,2%		
91.1.6 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious land registry cases	44 990	14 705	8 593	5 376	4 442	5 179	4 119	4 610	4 061	-91,0%	-67,3%	-41,6%	-37,4%	-17,4%	16,6%	-20,5%	11,9%	-11,9%		
91.1.7 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non-litigious business registry cases	839	477	957	633	402	413	482	383	373	-55,5%	-43,1%	100,6%	-33,9%	-36,5%	2,7%	16,7%	-20,5%	-2,6%		
91.1.8 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
91.1.9 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
91.1.10 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Administrative law cases	2 430	1 936	1 841	1 668	1 619	2 000	3 292	3 600	3 946	62,4%	-20,3%	-4,9%	-9,4%	-2,9%	23,5%	64,6%	9,4%	9,6%		
91.1.11 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	51 030	42 085	42 425	31 092	26 458	21 762	19 595	22 092	18 785	-63,2%	-17,5%	0,8%	-26,7%	-14,9%	-17,7%	-10,0%	12,7%	-15,0%		
91.2.1 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	929 328	921 342	871 916	800 360	710 366	664 648	638 075	630 234	551 822	-40,6%	-0,9%	-5,4%	-8,2%	-11,2%	-6,4%	-4,0%	-1,2%	-12,4%		
91.2.2 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	62 761	63 636	59 996	57 277	51 659	44 772	40 700	36 979	32 097	-48,9%	1,4%	-5,7%	-4,5%	-9,8%	-13,3%	-9,1%	-9,1%	-13,2%		
91.2.3 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	587 442	533 591	483 065	457 958	437 669	438 320	382 730	-	-	-	-9,2%	-9,5%	-5,2%	-4,4%	0,1%	-12,7%		
91.2.4 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	250 169	250 918	228 724	205 756	184 457	169 702	163 899	164 614	135 459	-45,9%	0,3%	-8,8%	-10,0%	-10,4%	-8,0%	-3,4%	0,4%	-17,7%		
91.2.5 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	358 718	327 835	298 608	288 256	273 770	273 706	247 271	-	-	-	-8,6%	-8,9%	-3,5%	-5,0%	0,0%	-9,7%		
91.2.6 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious land registry cases	306 951	284 854	295 833	266 056	240 849	234 035	222 701	224 102	204 992	-33,2%	-7,2%	3,9%	-10,1%	-9,5%	-2,8%	-4,8%	0,6%	-8,5%		
91.2.7 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Non-litigious business registry cases	50 144	58 288	62 885	61 779	57 759	54 221	51 069	49 604	42 279	-15,7%	16,2%	7,9%	-1,8%	-6,5%	-6,1%	-5,8%	-2,9%	-14,8%		
91.2.8 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
91.2.9 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
91.2.10 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Administrative law cases	4 930	5 234	5 345	4 804	2 972	3 976	3 540	3 139	2 893	-41,3%	6,2%	2,1%	-10,1%	-38,1%	33,8%	-11,0%	-11,3%	-7,8%		
91.2.11 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	254 373	258 412	219 133	204 688	172 670	157 942	156 166	151 796	134 102	-47,3%	1,6%	-15,2%	-6,6%	-15,6%	-8,5%	-1,1%	-2,8%	-11,7%		

Slovenia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
91.3.1 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	981 418	938 955	904 958	859 760	753 615	690 542	650 931	641 379	545 936	-44,4%	-4,3%	-3,6%	-5,0%	-12,3%	-8,4%	-5,7%	-1,5%	-14,9%
91.3.2 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	63 689	65 194	65 432	60 082	54 982	48 354	44 677	40 444	32 262	-49,3%	2,4%	0,4%	-8,2%	-8,5%	-12,1%	-7,6%	-9,5%	-20,2%
91.3.3 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	603 557	585 504	518 674	479 405	449 352	443 040	384 687	-	-	-	-3,0%	-11,4%	-7,6%	-6,3%	-1,4%	-13,2%
91.3.4 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	261 325	261 450	241 289	256 504	220 914	190 165	175 982	168 777	135 087	-48,3%	0,0%	-7,7%	6,3%	-13,9%	-13,9%	-7,5%	-4,1%	-20,0%
91.3.5 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	362 268	329 000	297 760	289 240	273 370	274 263	249 600	-	-	-	-9,2%	-9,5%	-2,9%	-5,5%	0,3%	-9,0%
91.3.6 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious land registry cases	337 182	290 939	299 060	266 990	240 018	235 094	222 205	224 654	207 271	-38,5%	-13,7%	2,8%	-10,7%	-10,1%	-2,1%	-5,5%	1,1%	-7,7%
91.3.7 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Non-litigious business registry cases	50 506	57 993	63 208	62 010	57 742	54 146	51 165	49 609	42 329	-16,2%	14,8%	9,0%	-1,9%	-6,9%	-6,2%	-5,5%	-3,0%	-14,7%
91.3.8 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.3.9 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.3.10 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Administrative law cases	5 424	5 329	5 504	4 853	2 589	2 682	3 233	2 792	3 088	-43,1%	-1,8%	3,3%	-11,8%	-46,7%	3,6%	20,5%	-13,6%	10,6%
91.3.11 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	263 292	258 050	230 465	209 321	177 370	160 101	153 669	155 103	125 899	-52,2%	-2,0%	-10,7%	-9,2%	-15,3%	-9,7%	-4,0%	0,9%	-18,8%
91.4.1 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	303 220	285 117	251 814	192 153	148 653	122 613	109 512	98 206	103 876	-65,7%	-6,0%	-11,7%	-23,7%	-22,6%	-17,5%	-10,7%	-10,3%	5,8%
91.4.2 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	55 486	53 813	48 389	45 579	42 227	38 638	34 647	31 180	30 950	-44,2%	-3,0%	-10,1%	-5,8%	-7,4%	-8,5%	-10,3%	-10,0%	-0,7%
91.4.3 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	170 653	118 497	82 668	61 078	49 175	44 298	42 187	-	-	-	-30,6%	-30,2%	-26,1%	-19,5%	-9,9%	-4,8%
91.4.4 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	188 531	177 392	164 581	113 655	77 068	56 472	44 175	39 862	40 086	-78,7%	-5,9%	-7,2%	-30,9%	-32,2%	-26,7%	-21,8%	-9,8%	0,6%
91.4.5 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	6 072	4 842	5 600	4 606	5 000	4 436	2 101	-	-	-	-20,3%	15,7%	-17,8%	8,6%	-11,3%	-52,6%
91.4.6 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious land registry cases	14 705	8 615	5 438	4 440	5 181	4 118	4 614	4 058	1 778	-87,9%	-41,4%	-36,9%	-18,4%	16,7%	-20,5%	12,0%	-12,1%	-56,2%
91.4.7 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non-litigious business registry cases	477	1 011	634	402	419	488	386	378	323	-32,3%	111,9%	-37,3%	-36,6%	4,2%	16,5%	-20,9%	-2,1%	-14,6%
91.4.8 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.4.9 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.4.10 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Administrative law cases	1 936	1 841	1 682	1 619	2 000	3 294	3 599	3 947	3 751	93,8%	-4,9%	-8,6%	-3,7%	23,5%	64,7%	9,3%	9,7%	-5,0%
91.4.11 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	42 085	42 445	31 090	26 458	21 758	19 603	22 091	18 781	26 988	-35,9%	0,9%	-26,8%	-14,9%	-17,8%	-9,9%	12,7%	-15,0%	43,7%

Slovenia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions										
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020		
Table 3.2.1.1 to 3.2.1.2 (all years) First instance courts: Clearance rate and disposition time for other than criminal cases (Q91)																				
Table 3.3.4 to 3.3.7 Variation of Clearence Rate and Disposition Time of first instance other than criminal cases (Q91)																				
Table 3.13.1 (EC) to 3.13.6 (EC) First instance courts: Disposition time and clearance rate for other than criminal cases (Q91)																				
CR Total of other than criminal law cases	105,6%	101,9%	103,8%	107,4%	106,1%	103,9%	102,0%	101,8%	98,9%	- 6,32	- 3,50	1,84	3,50	- 1,24	- 2,07	- 1,81	- 0,24	- 2,79		
CR Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	101,5%	102,4%	109,1%	104,9%	106,4%	108,0%	109,8%	109,4%	100,5%	- 0,95	0,96	6,45	- 3,82	1,46	1,47	1,64	- 0,37	- 8,10		
CR Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	102,7%	109,7%	107,4%	104,7%	102,7%	101,1%	100,5%	-	-	-	6,80	- 2,15	- 2,50	- 1,92	- 1,55	- 0,56		
CR General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	104,5%	104,2%	105,5%	124,7%	119,8%	112,1%	107,4%	102,5%	99,7%	- 4,53	- 0,25	1,24	18,17	- 3,93	- 6,43	- 4,18	- 4,51	- 2,73		
CR Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	101,0%	100,4%	99,7%	100,3%	99,9%	100,2%	100,9%	-	-	-	- 0,63	- 0,64	0,63	- 0,49	0,35	0,74		
CR Non litigious land registry cases	109,8%	102,1%	101,1%	100,4%	99,7%	100,5%	99,8%	100,2%	101,1%	- 7,95	- 7,02	- 1,02	- 0,73	- 0,69	0,80	- 0,67	0,47	0,86		
CR Non-litigious business registry cases	100,7%	99,5%	100,5%	100,4%	100,0%	99,9%	100,2%	100,0%	100,1%	- 0,60	- 1,22	1,02	- 0,14	- 0,40	- 0,11	0,33	- 0,18	0,11		
CR Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
CR Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
CR Administrative law cases	110,0%	101,8%	103,0%	101,0%	87,1%	67,5%	91,3%	88,9%	106,7%	- 2,98	- 7,46	1,14	- 1,90	- 13,77	- 22,57	35,39	- 2,61	20,01		
CR Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	103,5%	99,9%	105,2%	102,3%	102,7%	101,4%	98,4%	102,2%	93,9%	- 9,30	- 3,52	5,32	- 2,76	0,45	- 1,32	- 2,93	3,84	- 8,12		
DT Total of other than criminal law cases	113	111	102	82	72	65	61	56	69	-38,4%	-1,7%	-8,4%	-19,7%	-11,7%	-10,0%	-5,2%	-9,0%	24,3%		
DT Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	318	301	270	277	280	292	283	281	350	10,1%	-5,3%	-10,4%	2,6%	1,2%	4,0%	-2,9%	-0,6%	24,4%		
DT Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	103	74	58	47	40	36	40	-	-	-	-28,4%	-21,2%	-20,1%	-14,1%	-8,6%	9,7%		
DT General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	263	248	249	162	127	108	92	86	108	-58,9%	-6,0%	0,5%	-35,0%	-21,3%	-14,9%	-15,5%	-5,9%	25,6%		
DT Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	6	5	7	6	7	6	3	-	-	-	-12,2%	27,8%	-15,3%	14,9%	-11,6%	-48,0%		
DT Non litigious land registry cases	16	11	7	6	8	6	8	7	3	-80,3%	-32,1%	-38,6%	-8,5%	29,8%	-18,9%	18,5%	-13,0%	-52,5%		
DT Non-litigious business registry cases	3	6	4	2	3	3	3	3	3	-19,2%	84,6%	-42,5%	-35,4%	11,9%	24,2%	-16,3%	1,0%	0,1%		
DT Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
DT Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
DT Administrative law cases	130	126	112	122	282	448	406	516	443	240,3%	-3,2%	-11,5%	9,2%	131,6%	59,0%	-9,4%	27,0%	-14,1%		
DT Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	58	60	49	46	45	45	52	44	78	34,1%	2,9%	-18,0%	-6,3%	-3,0%	-0,2%	17,4%	-15,8%	77,0%		

Slovenia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Table 3.4.1 (all years) First instance courts, number of cases for specific case categories (Q101)																			
101.1.1 Pending cases on 1 Jan. Litigious divorce case	1 068	1 022	1 048	1 033	896	815	727	721	638	-40,3%	-4,3%	2,5%	-1,4%	-13,3%	-9,0%	-10,8%	-0,8%	-11,5%	
101.1.2 Pending cases on 1 Jan. Employment dismissal case	622	657	743	598	551	570	412	370	361	-42,0%	5,6%	13,1%	-19,5%	-7,9%	3,4%	-27,7%	-10,2%	-2,4%	
101.1.3 Pending cases on 1 Jan. Insolvency	3 667	4 558	5 288	9 169	11 999	12 995	11 661	9 449	7 916	115,9%	24,3%	16,0%	73,4%	30,9%	8,3%	-10,3%	-19,0%	-16,2%	
101.2.1 Incoming cases_Litigious divorce case	1 954	1 917	1 839	1 709	1 748	1 644	1 607	1 326	1 143	-41,5%	-1,9%	-4,1%	-7,1%	2,3%	-5,9%	-2,3%	-17,5%	-13,8%	
101.2.2 Incoming cases_Employment dismissal case	1 038	1 085	932	905	887	722	642	650	837	-19,4%	4,5%	-14,1%	-2,9%	-2,0%	-18,6%	-11,1%	1,2%	28,8%	
101.2.3 Incoming cases_Insolvency	2 669	2 819	6 596	6 224	5 517	4 306	4 158	3 766	3 033	13,6%	5,6%	134,0%	-5,6%	-11,4%	-22,0%	-3,4%	-9,4%	-19,5%	
101.3.1 Resolved cases_Litigious divorce case	2 000	1 891	1 851	1 842	1 829	1 732	1 614	1 409	975	-51,3%	-5,5%	-2,1%	-0,5%	-0,7%	-5,3%	-6,8%	-12,7%	-30,8%	
101.3.2 Resolved cases_Employment dismissal case	1 003	999	1 075	952	868	881	683	658	615	-38,7%	-0,4%	7,6%	-11,4%	-8,8%	1,5%	-22,5%	-3,7%	-6,5%	
101.3.3 Resolved cases_Insolvency	1 778	2 089	2 717	3 398	4 519	5 642	6 370	5 298	4 190	135,7%	17,5%	30,1%	25,1%	33,0%	24,9%	12,9%	-16,8%	-20,9%	
101.4.1 Pending cases on 31 Dec. Litigious divorce case	1 022	1 048	1 036	900	815	727	720	638	806	-21,1%	2,5%	-1,1%	-13,1%	-9,4%	-10,8%	-1,0%	-11,4%	26,3%	
101.4.2 Pending cases on 31 Dec. Employment dismissal case	657	743	600	551	570	411	371	362	583	-11,3%	13,1%	-19,2%	-8,2%	3,4%	-27,9%	-9,7%	-2,4%	61,0%	
101.4.3 Pending cases on 31 Dec. Insolvency	4 558	5 288	9 167	11 995	12 997	11 659	9 449	7 917	6 759	48,3%	16,0%	73,4%	30,8%	8,4%	-10,3%	-19,0%	-16,2%	-14,6%	

Table 3.5.1 (all years) First instance courts: Clearance rate and disposition time for specific case categories (Q101)																									
Table 3.6.1 and 3.6.2 Variations of CR and DT for specific case categories of first instance cases (Q101)																									
CR Litigious divorce cases	102,4%	98,6%	100,7%	107,8%	104,6%	105,4%	100,4%	106,3%	85,3%	-	16,66	-	3,63	2,04	7,08	-	2,92	0,69	-	4,67	5,80	-	19,72		
CR Employment dismissal cases	96,6%	92,1%	115,3%	105,2%	97,9%	122,0%	106,4%	101,2%	73,5%	-	23,96	-	4,71	25,27	-	8,80	-	6,97	24,69	-	12,81	-	4,85	-	27,42
CR Insolvency cases	66,6%	74,1%	41,2%	54,6%	81,9%	131,0%	153,2%	140,7%	138,1%	-	107,38	11,24	-	44,41	32,54	50,03	59,96	16,92	-	8,17	-	1,80			
DT Litigious divorce cases	187	202	204	178	163	153	163	165	302	61,8%	8,5%	1,0%	-12,7%	-8,8%	-5,8%	6,3%	1,5%	82,6%							
DT Employment dismissal cases	239	271	204	211	240	170	198	201	346	44,7%	13,5%	-25,0%	3,7%	13,5%	-29,0%	16,4%	1,3%	72,3%							
DT Insolvency cases	936	924	1 231	1 288	1 050	754	541	545	589	-37,1%	-1,3%	33,3%	4,6%	-18,5%	-28,1%	-28,2%	0,7%	7,9%							

Slovenia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Table 3.7.1 to 3.7.5 (2019 and 2020) Second instance other than criminal cases (Q97)																			
Table 3.9.1 to 3.9.3 (2019 and 2020) Variation of second instance other than criminal cases (Q97)																			
97.1.1 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			6 158	4 818	4 215	4 143	3 383	2 799	2 424	-	-	-	-21,8%	-12,5%	-1,7%	-18,3%	-17,3%	-13,4%	
97.1.2 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			3 924	3 141	2 887	2 868	2 388	1 996	1 614	-	-	-	-20,0%	-8,1%	-0,7%	-16,7%	-16,4%	-19,1%	
97.1.3 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			2 234	1 677	1 328	1 275	995	803	810	-	-	-	-24,9%	-20,8%	-4,0%	-22,0%	-19,3%	0,9%	
97.1.4 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			2 129	1 590	1 249	1 207	922	763	789	-	-	-	-25,3%	-21,4%	-3,4%	-23,6%	-17,2%	3,4%	
97.1.5 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			105	87	79	68	73	40	21	-	-	-	-17,1%	-9,2%	-13,9%	7,4%	-45,2%	-47,5%	
97.1.6 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious land registry cases			83	74	54	60	68	33	15	-	-	-	-10,8%	-27,0%	11,1%	13,3%	-51,5%	-54,5%	
97.1.7 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non-litigious business registry cases			22	13	25	8	5	7	6	-	-	-	-40,9%	92,3%	-68,0%	-37,5%	40,0%	-14,3%	
97.1.8 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
97.1.9 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
97.1.10 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Administrative law cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
97.1.11 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other cases			-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
97.2.1 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			22 257	20 565	18 684	16 544	14 786	13 333	11 186	-	-	-	-7,6%	-9,1%	-11,5%	-10,6%	-9,8%	-16,1%	
97.2.2 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			12 913	11 943	10 798	9 348	8 541	7 648	6 545	-	-	-	-7,5%	-9,6%	-13,4%	-8,6%	-10,5%	-14,4%	
97.2.3 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			9 344	8 622	7 886	7 196	6 245	5 685	4 641	-	-	-	-7,7%	-8,5%	-8,7%	-13,2%	-9,0%	-18,4%	
97.2.4 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			8 730	8 096	7 442	6 718	5 775	5 265	4 250	-	-	-	-7,3%	-8,1%	-9,7%	-14,0%	-8,8%	-19,3%	
97.2.5 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			614	526	444	478	470	420	391	-	-	-	-14,3%	-15,6%	7,7%	-1,7%	-10,6%	-6,9%	
97.2.6 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious land registry cases			526	411	345	403	367	360	324	-	-	-	-21,9%	-16,1%	16,8%	-8,9%	-1,9%	-10,0%	
97.2.7 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Non-litigious business registry cases			88	115	99	75	103	60	67	-	-	-	30,7%	-13,9%	-24,2%	37,3%	-41,7%	11,7%	
97.2.8 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
97.2.9 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
97.2.10 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Administrative law cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
97.2.11 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Other cases			-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	



Slovenia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
97.3.1 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			23 597	21 170	18 756	17 304	15 370	13 708	12 428	-	-	-	-10,3%	-11,4%	-7,7%	-11,2%	-10,8%	-9,3%
97.3.2 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			13 696	12 199	10 817	9 828	8 933	8 030	7 354	-	-	-	-10,9%	-11,3%	-9,1%	-9,1%	-10,1%	-8,4%
97.3.3 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			9 901	8 971	7 939	7 476	6 437	5 678	5 074	-	-	-	-9,4%	-11,5%	-5,8%	-13,9%	-11,8%	-10,6%
97.3.4 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			9 269	8 437	7 484	7 003	5 934	5 239	4 678	-	-	-	-9,0%	-11,3%	-6,4%	-15,3%	-11,7%	-10,7%
97.3.5 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			632	534	455	473	503	439	396	-	-	-	-15,5%	-14,8%	4,0%	6,3%	-12,7%	-9,8%
97.3.6 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious land registry cases			535	431	339	395	402	378	327	-	-	-	-19,4%	-21,3%	16,5%	1,8%	-6,0%	-13,5%
97.3.7 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Non-litigious business registry cases			97	103	116	78	101	61	69	-	-	-	6,2%	12,6%	-32,8%	29,5%	-39,6%	13,1%
97.3.8 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.9 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.10 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Administrative law cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.11 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Other cases			-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.1 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			4 818	4 216	4 143	3 383	2 799	2 424	1 181	-	-	-	-12,5%	-1,7%	-18,3%	-17,3%	-13,4%	-51,3%
97.4.2 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			3 141	2 888	2 868	2 388	1 996	1 614	805	-	-	-	-8,1%	-0,7%	-16,7%	-16,4%	-19,1%	-50,1%
97.4.3 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			1 677	1 328	1 275	995	803	810	376	-	-	-	-20,8%	-4,0%	-22,0%	-19,3%	0,9%	-53,6%
97.4.4 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			1 590	1 249	1 207	922	763	789	361	-	-	-	-21,4%	-3,4%	-23,6%	-17,2%	3,4%	-54,2%
97.4.5 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			87	79	68	73	40	21	15	-	-	-	-9,2%	-13,9%	7,4%	-45,2%	-47,5%	-28,6%
97.4.6 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious land registry cases			74	54	60	68	33	15	11	-	-	-	-27,0%	11,1%	13,3%	-51,5%	-54,5%	-26,7%
97.4.7 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non-litigious business registry cases			13	25	8	5	7	6	4	-	-	-	92,3%	-68,0%	-37,5%	40,0%	-14,3%	-33,3%
97.4.8 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.9 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.10 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Administrative law cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.11 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other cases			-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.5.1 2nd inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			-	-	2	1	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-50,0%	200,0%	-	-
97.5.2 2nd inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			-	-	2	1	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-50,0%	200,0%	-	-
97.5.10 2nd inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Administrative law cases			-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-



Slovenia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Table 3.8.1 and 3.8.2 (2019 and 2020): Second instance clearance rate and disposition time for other than criminal law cases (Q97)																			
Table 3.9.4 and 3.9.5 (2019 and 2020): Variation of second clearance rate and disposition time for other than criminal law cases (Q97)																			
CR Total of other than criminal law cases			106,0%	102,9%	100,4%	104,6%	103,9%	102,8%	111,1%	-	-	-	- 2,90	- 2,48	4,19	- 0,62	- 1,09	8,06	
CR Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			106,1%	102,1%	100,2%	105,1%	104,6%	105,0%	112,4%	-	-	-	- 3,70	- 1,93	4,95	- 0,52	0,39	7,02	
CR Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			106,0%	104,0%	100,7%	103,9%	103,1%	99,9%	109,3%	-	-	-	- 1,81	- 3,24	3,20	- 0,79	- 3,10	9,46	
CR General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			106,2%	104,2%	100,6%	104,2%	102,8%	99,5%	110,1%	-	-	-	- 1,85	- 3,50	3,66	- 1,43	- 3,16	10,62	
CR Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			102,9%	101,5%	102,5%	99,0%	107,0%	104,5%	101,3%	-	-	-	- 1,37	0,94	- 3,44	8,15	- 2,33	- 3,10	
CR Non litigious land registry cases			101,7%	104,9%	98,3%	98,0%	109,5%	105,0%	100,9%	-	-	-	- 3,10	- 6,30	- 0,25	11,76	- 4,14	- 3,88	
CR Non-litigious business registry cases			110,2%	89,6%	117,2%	104,0%	98,1%	101,7%	103,0%	-	-	-	- 18,74	30,82	- 11,24	- 5,71	3,68	1,30	
CR Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR Administrative law cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Total of other than criminal law cases			75	73	81	71	66	65	35	-	-	-	-2,5%	10,9%	-11,5%	-6,9%	-2,9%	-46,3%	
DT Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			84	86	97	89	82	73	40	-	-	-	3,2%	12,0%	-8,4%	-8,0%	-10,0%	-45,5%	
DT Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			62	54	59	49	46	52	27	-	-	-	-12,6%	8,5%	-17,1%	-6,3%	14,4%	-48,1%	
DT General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			63	54	59	48	47	55	28	-	-	-	-13,7%	8,9%	-18,4%	-2,3%	17,1%	-48,8%	
DT Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			50	54	55	56	29	17	14	-	-	-	7,5%	1,0%	3,3%	-48,5%	-39,8%	-20,8%	
DT Non litigious land registry cases			50	46	65	63	30	14	12	-	-	-	-9,4%	41,3%	-2,7%	-52,3%	-51,7%	-15,2%	
DT Non-litigious business registry cases			49	89	25	23	25	36	21	-	-	-	81,1%	-71,6%	-7,1%	8,1%	41,9%	-41,1%	
DT Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Administrative law cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Slovenia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Table 3.10.1 to 3.10.5 (2019 and 2020) Supreme courts, number of other than criminal law cases (Q99)																			
Table 3.12.1 to 3.12.3 (2019 and 2020) Variation of the supreme courts, number of other than criminal law cases (Q99)																			
99.1.1 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			1 375	1 377	1 282	1 230	1 182	912	606	-	-	-	0,1%	-6,9%	-4,1%	-3,9%	-22,8%	-33,6%	
99.1.2 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			1 091	903	798	759	806	690	467	-	-	-	-17,2%	-11,6%	-4,9%	6,2%	-14,4%	-32,3%	
99.1.3 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			28	20	13	9	21	9	16	-	-	-	-28,6%	-35,0%	-30,8%	133,3%	-57,1%	77,8%	
99.1.4 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			25	15	11	8	18	9	14	-	-	-	-40,0%	-26,7%	-27,3%	125,0%	-50,0%	55,6%	
99.1.5 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			3	5	2	1	3	-	2	-	-	-	66,7%	-60,0%	-50,0%	200,0%	-	-	
99.1.6 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious land registry cases			3	5	2	1	3	-	2	-	-	-	66,7%	-60,0%	-50,0%	200,0%	-	-	
99.1.7 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.1.8 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.1.9 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.1.10 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Administrative law cases			256	454	471	462	355	213	123	-	-	-	77,3%	3,7%	-1,9%	-23,2%	-40,0%	-42,3%	
99.1.11 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.2.1 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			2 770	2 715	2 719	2 583	2 398	2 370	2 062	-	-	-	-2,0%	0,1%	-5,0%	-7,2%	-1,2%	-13,0%	
99.2.2 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			1 782	1 885	1 808	1 846	2 008	1 970	1 621	-	-	-	5,8%	-4,1%	2,1%	8,8%	-1,9%	-17,7%	
99.2.3 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			37	30	22	29	22	53	76	-	-	-	-18,9%	-26,7%	31,8%	-24,1%	140,9%	43,4%	
99.2.4 High inst courts_Incoming cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			26	27	21	25	20	45	66	-	-	-	3,8%	-22,2%	19,0%	-20,0%	125,0%	46,7%	
99.2.5 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			11	3	1	4	2	8	10	-	-	-	-72,7%	-66,7%	300,0%	-50,0%	300,0%	25,0%	
99.2.6 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious land registry cases			11	3	1	4	2	8	10	-	-	-	-72,7%	-66,7%	300,0%	-50,0%	300,0%	25,0%	
99.2.7 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.2.8 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.2.9 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.2.10 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Administrative law cases			951	800	889	708	368	347	365	-	-	-	-15,9%	11,1%	-20,4%	-48,0%	-5,7%	5,2%	
99.2.11 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Slovenia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
99.3.1 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			2 768	2 810	2 770	2 631	2 668	2 676	2 233	-	-	-	1,5%	-1,4%	-5,0%	1,4%	0,3%	-16,6%
99.3.2 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			1 970	1 991	1 847	1 799	2 124	2 193	1 797	-	-	-	1,1%	-7,2%	-2,6%	18,1%	3,2%	-18,1%
99.3.3 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			45	36	26	17	34	46	70	-	-	-	-20,0%	-27,8%	-34,6%	100,0%	35,3%	52,2%
99.3.4 High inst courts_Resolved cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			36	30	24	15	29	40	63	-	-	-	-16,7%	-20,0%	-37,5%	93,3%	37,9%	57,5%
99.3.5 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			9	6	2	2	5	6	7	-	-	-	-33,3%	-66,7%	0,0%	150,0%	20,0%	16,7%
99.3.6 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious land registry cases			9	6	2	2	5	6	7	-	-	-	-33,3%	-66,7%	0,0%	150,0%	20,0%	16,7%
99.3.7 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.8 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.9 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.10 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Administrative law cases			753	783	897	815	510	437	366	-	-	-	4,0%	14,6%	-9,1%	-37,4%	-14,3%	-16,2%
99.3.11 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.1 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			1 377	1 282	1 231	1 182	912	606	435	-	-	-	-6,9%	-4,0%	-4,0%	-22,8%	-33,6%	-28,2%
99.4.2 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			903	797	759	806	690	467	291	-	-	-	-11,7%	-4,8%	6,2%	-14,4%	-32,3%	-37,7%
99.4.3 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			20	14	9	21	9	16	22	-	-	-	-30,0%	-35,7%	133,3%	-57,1%	77,8%	37,5%
99.4.4 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			15	12	8	18	9	14	17	-	-	-	-20,0%	-33,3%	125,0%	-50,0%	55,6%	21,4%
99.4.5 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			5	2	1	3	-	2	5	-	-	-	-60,0%	-50,0%	200,0%	-	-	150,0%
99.4.6 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious land registry cases			5	2	1	3	-	2	5	-	-	-	-60,0%	-50,0%	200,0%	-	-	150,0%
99.4.7 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.8 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.9 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.10 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Administrative law cases			454	471	463	355	213	123	122	-	-	-	3,7%	-1,7%	-23,3%	-40,0%	-42,3%	-0,8%
99.4.11 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.5.1 High inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			-	-	9	46	8	30	32	-	-	-	-	-	411,1%	-82,6%	275,0%	6,7%
99.5.2 High inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			-	-	6	5	-	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-16,7%	-	-	0,0%
99.5.10 High inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Administrative law cases			-	-	3	40	8	27	29	-	-	-	-	-	1233,3%	-80,0%	237,5%	7,4%

Slovenia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions											
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020			
Table 3.11.1 and 3.11.2 Supreme courts, clearance rate and disposition time for other than criminal law cases (Q97)																					
Table 3.12.4 and 3.12.5 Variation of the supreme courts, clearance rate and disposition time for other than criminal law cases (Q97)																					
CR Total of other than criminal law cases			99,9%	103,5%	101,9%	101,9%	111,3%	112,9%	108,3%	-	-	-	3,57	-	1,57	-	0,02	9,23	1,48	-	4,09
CR Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			110,5%	105,6%	102,2%	97,5%	105,8%	111,3%	110,9%	-	-	-	4,46	-	3,28	-	4,60	8,54	5,24	-	0,42
CR Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			121,6%	120,0%	118,2%	58,6%	154,5%	86,8%	92,1%	-	-	-	1,33	-	1,52	-	50,40	163,64	-	43,84	6,12
CR General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			138,5%	111,1%	114,3%	60,0%	145,0%	88,9%	95,5%	-	-	-	19,75	2,86	-	47,50	141,67	-	38,70	7,39	
CR Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			81,8%	200,0%	200,0%	50,0%	250,0%	75,0%	70,0%	-	-	-	144,44	-	-	75,00	400,00	-	70,00	-	6,67
CR Non litigious land registry cases			81,8%	200,0%	200,0%	50,0%	250,0%	75,0%	70,0%	-	-	-	144,44	-	-	75,00	400,00	-	70,00	-	6,67
CR Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Administrative law cases			79,2%	97,9%	100,9%	115,1%	138,6%	125,9%	100,3%	-	-	-	23,61	3,09	14,09	20,39	-	9,13	-	20,38	
CR Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Total of other than criminal law cases			182	167	162	164	125	83	71	-	-	-	-8,3%	-2,6%	1,1%	-23,9%	-33,8%	-	-14,0%		
DT Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			167	146	150	164	119	78	59	-	-	-	-12,7%	2,7%	9,0%	-27,5%	-34,4%	-	-24,0%		
DT Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			162	142	126	451	97	127	115	-	-	-	-12,5%	-11,0%	256,9%	-78,6%	31,4%	-	-9,6%		
DT General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			152	146	122	438	113	128	98	-	-	-	-4,0%	-16,7%	260,0%	-74,1%	12,8%	-	-22,9%		
DT Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			203	122	183	548	-	122	261	-	-	-	-40,0%	50,0%	200,0%	-	-	-	114,3%		
DT Non litigious land registry cases			203	122	183	548	-	122	261	-	-	-	-40,0%	50,0%	200,0%	-	-	-	114,3%		
DT Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Administrative law cases			220	220	188	159	152	103	122	-	-	-	-0,2%	-14,2%	-15,6%	-4,1%	-32,6%	-	18,4%		
DT Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Slovenia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Table 3.14.1 to 3.14.5 First instance criminal law cases (Q94)																			
094.1.1 Total - pending 1 Jan									28 879	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.1.2 Severe cases - pending 1 Jan									9 170	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.1.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 1 Jan									12 393	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.1.4 Other - pending 1 Jan									7 316	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.2.1 Total -incoming									73 368	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.2.2 Severe cases - incoming									9 550	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.2.3 Misdemeanour cases - incoming									27 970	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.2.4 Other - incoming									35 848	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.3.1 Total - resolved									70 425	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.3.2 Severe cases -resolved									7 546	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.3.3 Misdemeanour cases - resolved									28 237	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.3.4 Other - resolved									34 642	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.4.1 Total - pending 31 Dec									31 823	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.4.2 Severe cases - pending 31 Dec									11 174	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.4.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 31 Dec									12 126	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.4.4 Other - pending 31 Dec									8 523	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.5.1 Total - pending more then 2 years									4 272	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.5.2 Severe cases - pending more then 2 years									3 222	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.5.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending more then 2 years									521	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.5.4 Other - pending more then 2 years									529	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Slovenia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Table 3.15.1 to 3.10.2 CR and DT for first instance criminal law cases (Q94)																			
CR of Total									96,0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR o2 Severe cases									79,0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR of Misdemeanour cases									101,0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR of Other									96,6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT of Total									165	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT of Severe cases									540	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT of Misdemeanour cases									157	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT of Other									90	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Table 3.16.1 to 3.16.5 Second instance criminal law cases (Q98)																			
098.1.1 Total - pending 1 Jan									606	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
098.1.2 Severe cases - pending 1 Jan									494	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
098.1.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 1 Jan									104	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
098.1.4 Other - pending 1 Jan									8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
098.2.1 Total -incoming									4 597	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
098.2.2 Severe cases - incoming									3 297	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
098.2.3 Misdemeanour cases - incoming									979	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
098.2.4 Other - incoming									321	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
098.3.1 Total - resolved									4 852	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
098.3.2 Severe cases -resolved									3 479	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
098.3.3 Misdemeanour cases - resolved									1 051	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
098.3.4 Other - resolved									322	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	



Slovenia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
098.4.1 Total - pending 31 Dec									351	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.4.2 Severe cases - pending 31 Dec									312	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.4.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 31 Dec									32	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.4.4 Other - pending 31 Dec									7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.5.1 Total - pending more then 2 years									2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.5.2 Severe cases - pending more then 2 years									-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.5.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending more then 2 years									2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.5.4 Other - pending more then 2 years									-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Table 3.17.1 to 3.17.2 CR and DT for second instance criminal law cases (Q98)																		
CR of Total									105,5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR o2 Severe cases									105,5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR of Misdemeanour cases									107,4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR of Other									100,3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Total									26	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Severe cases									33	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Misdemeanour cases									11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Other									8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Slovenia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Table 3.18.1 to 3.18.5 Supreme court criminal law cases (Q100)																			
100.1.1 Total - pending 1 Jan									303	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.1.2 Severe cases - pending 1 Jan									294	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.1.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 1 Jan									9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.1.4 Other - pending 1 Jan									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.2.1 Total -incoming									663	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.2.2 Severe cases - incoming									622	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.2.3 Misdemeanour cases - incoming									42	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.2.4 Other - incoming									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.3.1 Total - resolved									688	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.3.2 Severe cases -resolved									650	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.3.3 Misdemeanour cases - resolved									38	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.3.4 Other - resolved									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.4.1 Total - pending 31 Dec									278	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.4.2 Severe cases - pending 31 Dec									266	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.4.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 31 Dec									12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.4.4 Other - pending 31 Dec									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.5.1 Total - pending more then 2 years									2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.5.2 Severe cases - pending more then 2 years									2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.5.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending more then 2 years									-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.5.4 Other - pending more then 2 years									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Slovenia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Table 3.19.1 to 3.19.2 CR and DT for supreme court criminal law cases (Q100)																			
CR of Total									103,8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR o2 Severe cases									104,5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR of Misdemeanour cases									90,5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR of Other									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT of Total									147	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT of Severe cases									149	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT of Misdemeanour cases									115	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT of Other									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Slovenia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Indicator 5: Access to justice																		
Legal aid																		
Table 5.1 to Table 5.6 (Q12-2, Q16, Q18, Q19, Q20, Q20-1)																		
12-2.1.1 Coverage of court fees									NAP									
12-2.1.2 Exemption from court fees									True									
16.1.1 Legal aid applies to representation in court (criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
16.1.2 Legal aid applies to legal advice (criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
16.2.1 Legal aid applies to representation in court (other than criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
16.2.2 Legal aid applies to legal advice (other than criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
18.1.1 Legal aid for the enforcement of judicial decisions					True	True	True	True	True									
19.1.1 Legal aid granted for other costs - criminal cases									True									
19.1.2 Legal aid granted for other costs - other than criminal cases									True									
020.1.1 Total									9 876									
020.1.2 Total - criminal cases									NA									
020.1.3 Total - other than criminal cases									NA									
020.2.1 Total brought to court									9 138									
020.2.2 Brought to court - criminal cases									NA									
020.2.3 Brought to court - other then criminal									NA									
020.3.1 Total not brought to court									738									
020.3.2 Not brought to court - criminal cases									NA									
020.3.3 Not brought to court - other then criminal									NA									
020-1.1.1 Maximum duration prescribed in law/regulation									NAP									
020-1.1.2 Average duration									30									

Slovenia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
System for compensating users																		
Table 5.7.1 and Table 5.7.2 (Q37)																		
037.1.1 Requests for compensation - Total									32									
037.1.2 Requests for compensation - Excessive length of proceedings									15									
037.1.3 Requests for compensation - Non-execution of court decisions									NAP									
037.1.4 Requests for compensation - Wrongful arrest									15									
037.1.5 Requests for compensation - Wrongful conviction									2									
037.1.6 Requests for compensation - Other									NAP									
037.2.1 Condemnations - Total									11									
037.2.2 Condemnations - Excessive length of proceedings									3									
037.2.3 Condemnations - Non-execution of court decisions									NAP									
037.2.4 Condemnations - Wrongful arrest									4									
037.2.5 Condemnations - Wrongful conviction									4									
037.2.6 Condemnations - Other									NAP									
037.3.1 Amount - Total									389 871 €									
037.3.2 Amount - Excessive length of proceedings									61 615 €									
037.3.3 Amount - Non-execution of court decisions									NAP									
037.3.4 Amount - Wrongful arrest									242 108 €									
037.3.5 Amount - Wrongful conviction									86 147 €									
037.3.6 Amount - Other									NAP									

Slovenia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Indicator 6: The ICT tools of courts and for court users																		
Table 6.1 to Table 6.11 (Q62-7, Q62-7-1, Q62-8, Q62-8-1, Q63-1, Q63-1-1, Q63-2 Q63-6, Q63-7, Q63-7-1, Q64-2, Q64-4, Q64-6, Q64-3, Q64-3-1, Q64-7, Q64-7-1, Q64-9)																		
62-7 Writing assistance tools coordinated at national level							True	True	True									
62-7-1.1 Deployment rate in civil matter							100%	100%	100%									
62-7-1.2 Deployment rate in criminal matter							100%	100%	100%									
62-7-1.3 Deployment rate in administrative matter							100%	100%	100%									
62-8 Voice recording tools							True	True	True									
62-8-1.1.1 Availability of simple dictation tools in civil matter							in all courts	in all courts	in all courts									
62-8-1.1.2 Availability of simple dictation tools in criminal matter							in all courts	in all courts	in all courts									
62-8-1.1.3 Availability of simple dictation tools in administrative matter							in all courts	in all courts	in all courts									
62-8-1.2.1 Availability of multiple speakers recording tools in civil matter							in all courts	in all courts	in all courts									
62-8-1.2.2 Availability of multiple speakers recording tools in criminal matter							in all courts	in all courts	in all courts									
62-8-1.2.3 Availability of multiple speakers recording tools in administrative matter							in all courts	in all courts	in all courts									
62-8-1.3.1 Availability of voice recognition in civil matter							No	No	No									
62-8-1.3.2 Availability of voice recognition in criminal matter							No	No	No									
62-8-1.3.3 Availability of voice recognition in administrative matter							No	No	No									
062-9 Availability of intranet site within the judicial system for distribution of news/novelties						-	100%	100%	100%									
63.1 Is there a case management system?							True	True	True									
63.1-1.1 CMS for civil matter (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
63.1-1.1 CMS for criminal matter (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
63.1-1.1 CMS for administrative matter (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
63.1-1.2 CMS for civil matter (status of case online)						-	Accessible to parties	Accessible to parties	Accessible to parties									
63.1-1.2 CMS for criminal matter (status of case online)						-	Not accessible at all	Not accessible at all	Not accessible at all									
63.1-1.2 CMS for administrative matter (status of case onlinee)						-	Not accessible at all	Not accessible at all	Not accessible at all									



Slovenia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
63.1-1.3 CMS for civil matter (Centralised or interoperable database)						-	True	True	True									
63.1-1.3 CMS for criminal matter (Centralised or interoperable database)						-	True	True	True									
63.1-1.3 CMS for administrative matter (Centralised or interoperable database)						-	True	True	True									
63.1-1.4 CMS for civil matter (Early warning signals)						-	True	True	True									
63.1-1.4 CMS for criminal matter (Early warning signals)						-	True	True	True									
63.1-1.4 CMS for administrative matter (Early warning signals)						-	True	True	True									
63-1-1.5 Statistics in CMS civil matter							Fully integrated including BI	Fully integrated including BI	Fully integrated including BI									
63-1-1.5 Statistics in CMS criminal matter							Not integrated but connected	Not integrated but connected	Not integrated but connected									
63-1-1.5 Statistics in CMS administrative matter							Fully integrated including BI	Fully integrated including BI	Fully integrated including BI									
63-2.1 Deployment rate for computerised registries managed by courts - land registry							100%	100%	100%									
63-2.1 Deployment rate for computerised registries managed by courts - business registry							100%	100%	100%									
63-2.2 Data consolidated at national level for land registry						-	True	True	True									
63-2.2 Data consolidated at national level for business registry						-	True	True	True									
63-2.3 Service available online for land registry						-	True	True	True									
63-2.3 Service available online for business registry						-	True	True	True									
63-2.4 Statistical module integrated or connected for land registry						-	True	True	True									
63-2.4 Statistical module integrated or connected for business registry						-	True	True	True									
063-6.1.1 Budgetary and financial management of courts (deployment rate)						-	100%	100%	100%									
063-6.1.2 Justice expenses management (deployment rate)						-	100%	100%	100%									
063-6.1.3 Other financial management tools (deployment rate)						-	NA	0% (NAP)	NA									
063-6.2.1 Budgetary and financial management of courts (Data consolidated at national level)						-	True	True	True									
063-6.2.2 Justice expenses management (Data consolidated at national level)						-	True	True	True									
063-6.2.3 Other financial management tools (Data consolidated at national level)						-	False	NAP	False									
063-6.3.1 Budgetary and financial management of courts (System communicating with other ministries)						-	True	True	True									
063-6.3.2 Justice expenses management (System communicating with other ministries)						-	True	True	True									
063-6.3.3 Other financial management tools (System communicating with other ministries)						-	False	NAP	False									

Slovenia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
63-7.1 Measurement tools to assess the workload							True	True	True									
63-7-1.1.1 Deployment rate - workload of judges							100%	100%	100%									
63-7-1.1.2 Deployment rate - workload of prosecutors							100%	100%	100%									
63-7-1.1.3 Deployment rate - workload of non-judge and non-prosecutor staff							100%	100%	100%									
63-7-1.2.1 Monitoring on national level - judges							True	True	True									
63-7-1.2.2 Monitoring on national level - prosecutors							False	False	False									
63-7-1.2.2 Monitoring on national level - non-judge and non-prosecutor staff							False	False	False									
63-7-1.3.1 Monitoring on court level - judges							True	True	True									
63-7-1.3.2 Monitoring on court level - prosecutors							False	False	False									
63-7-1.3.3 Monitoring on court level - non-judge and non-prosecutor staff							False	False	False									
064-2 - Possibility to submit a case to courts by electronic means							True	True	True									
064-2 - Civil and/or commercial							100%	100%	100%									
064-2 - Criminal							1-9%	1-9%	1-9%									
064-2 - Administrative							1-9%	1-9%	1-9%									
064-2 - Submission in paper remains mandatory - civil							False	False	False									
064-2 - Submission in paper remains mandatory - criminal							False	False	False									
064-2 - Submission in paper remains mandatory - administrative							False	False	False									
064-2 - Specific legislative framework - civil							False	False	False									
064-2 - Specific legislative framework - criminal							False	False	False									
064-2 - Specific legislative framework - administrative							False	False	False									
064-2 - Integrated/connected with the CMS - civil							True	True	True									
064-2 - Integrated/connected with the CMS - criminal							True	True	True									
064-2 - Integrated/connected with the CMS - administrative							True	True	True									

Slovenia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
064-3 - Is it possible to request for granting legal aid by electronic means?							False	False	False									
064-3-1.1 - Equipment rate																		
064-3-1.2 - Request in paper mandatory							False	-	-									
064-3-1.3 - Specific legislative framework							False	-	-									
064-3-1.4 - Granting LA is also electronic							False	-	-									
064-3-1.5 - Information available in CMS							False	-	-									
064-4 - Possibility to transmit summons to a judicial meeting or a hearing by electronic means							True	True	True									
064-4-1.1.1 - Summons produced by CMS- civil							True	True	True									
064-4-1.1.2 - Summons produced by CMS- criminal							False	False	False									
064-4-1.1.3 - Summons produced by CMS- administrative							False	False	False									
064-4-1.2.1 - Simultaneous summon in paper form remains mandatory- civil							False	False	False									
064-4-1.2.2 - Simultaneous summon in paper form remains mandatory- criminal							False	False	False									
064-4-1.2.3 - Simultaneous summon in paper form remains mandatory- administrative							False	False	False									
064-4-1.3.1 - Consent of the user - civil							True	True	True									
064-4-1.3.2 - Consent of the user - criminal							False	False	False									
064-4-1.3.3 - Consent of the user - administrative							False	False	False									
064-6.1.1 - Civil and/or commercial (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
064-6.1.2 - Criminal (deployment rate)							1-9%	1-9%	1-9%									
064-6.1.3 - Administrative (deployment rate)							1-9%	1-9%	1-9%									

Slovenia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
064-6.2.1 - Civil and/or commercial (Trial phases concerned)							Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling Decision transmission	Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling Decision transmission	Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling Decision transmission									
064-6.2.2 - Criminal (Trial phases concerned)							Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling	Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling	Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling									
064-6.2.3 - Administrative (Trial phases concerned)							Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling	Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling	Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling									
064-6.3.1 - Civil and/or commercial (Modalities)							Specific application	Specific application	Specific application									
064-6.3.2 - Criminal (Modalities)							Specific application	Specific application	Specific application									
064-6.3.3 - Administrative (Modalities)							Specific application	Specific application	Specific application									
064-6.4.1 - Civil and/or commercial (specific legal framework)							True	True	True									
064-6.4.2 - Criminal (specific legal framework)							False	False	False									
064-6.4.3 - Administrative (specific legal framework)							False	False	False									
064-6.5.1 - Civil and/or commercial (availability for)																		
064-6.5.2 - Criminal (availability for)																		
064-6.5.3 - Administrative (availability for)																		

Slovenia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
064-7.1.1 - Electronic communication of enforcement agents and courts (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
064-7.1.2 - Electronic communication of notaries and courts (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
064-7.1.3 - Electronic communication of experts and courts (deployment rate)							0% (NAP)	0% (NAP)	0% (NAP)									
064-7.1.4 - Electronic communication of judicial police and courts (deployment rate)							0% (NAP)	0% (NAP)	0% (NAP)									
064-7.2.1 - Electronic communication of enforcement agents and courts (Modalities)							Specific application	Specific application	Specific application									
064-7.2.2 - Electronic communication of notaries and courts (Modalities)							Specific application	Specific application	Specific application									
064-7.2.3 - Electronic communication of experts and courts (Modalities)																		
064-7.2.4 - Electronic communication of judicial police and courts (Modalities)																		
064-7.3.1 - Electronic communication of enforcement agents and courts (specific legal framework)							True	True	True									
064-7.3.2 - Electronic communication of notaries and courts (specific legal framework)							True	True	True									
064-7.3.3 - Electronic communication of experts and courts (specific legal framework)							False	False	False									
064-7.3.4 - Electronic communication of judicial police and courts (specific legal framework)							False	False	False									
064-9 - Existance of online processing devices of specialised litigation							True	True	True									

Slovenia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Indicator 7: Professionals of justice (Indicator 9 in 2019)																			
Table 7.1.1 to 7.5.6 for judges, non judge staff, prosecutors, non prosecutor staff and salaries																			
46.1.1 Total Number of professional judges	970	951	924	897	880	859	867	873	875	-9,8%	-2,0%	-2,8%	-2,9%	-1,9%	-2,4%	0,9%	0,7%	0,2%	
46.1.2 Number of 1st inst professional judges	753	738	724	665	641	628	636	634	638	-15,3%	-2,0%	-1,9%	-8,1%	-3,6%	-2,0%	1,3%	-0,3%	0,6%	
46.1.3 Number of 2nd inst professional judges	183	116	171	202	208	199	199	209	208	13,7%	-36,6%	47,4%	18,1%	3,0%	-4,3%	0,0%	5,0%	-0,5%	
46.1.4 Number of Supreme court professional judges	34	33	29	30	31	32	32	30	29	-14,7%	-2,9%	-12,1%	3,4%	3,3%	3,2%	0,0%	-6,3%	-3,3%	
46.2.1 Number of professional judges_males	217	212	202	201	185	187	186	181	176	-18,9%	-2,3%	-4,7%	-0,5%	-8,0%	1,1%	-0,5%	-2,7%	-2,8%	
46.2.2 Number of 1st instance professional judges_males	148	122	139	126	115	120	119	111	110	-25,7%	-17,6%	13,9%	-9,4%	-8,7%	4,3%	-0,8%	-6,7%	-0,9%	
46.2.3 Number of 2nd instance professional judges_males	48	16	45	57	52	50	48	52	48	0,0%	-66,7%	181,3%	26,7%	-8,8%	-3,8%	-4,0%	8,3%	-7,7%	
46.2.4 Number of Supreme court professional judges_males	21	20	18	18	18	17	19	18	18	-14,3%	-4,8%	-10,0%	0,0%	0,0%	-5,6%	11,8%	-5,3%	0,0%	
46.3.1 Number of professional judges_females	753	739	722	696	695	672	681	692	699	-7,2%	-1,9%	-2,3%	-3,6%	-0,1%	-3,3%	1,3%	1,6%	1,0%	
46.3.2 Number of 1st inst professional judges_females	605	589	585	539	526	508	517	523	528	-12,7%	-2,6%	-0,7%	-7,9%	-2,4%	-3,4%	1,8%	1,2%	1,0%	
46.3.3 Number of 2nd inst professional judges_females	135	73	126	145	156	149	151	157	160	18,5%	-45,9%	72,6%	15,1%	7,6%	-4,5%	1,3%	4,0%	1,9%	
46.3.4 Number of Supreme court professional judges_females	13	13	11	12	13	15	13	12	11	-15,4%	0,0%	-15,4%	9,1%	8,3%	15,4%	-13,3%	-7,7%	-8,3%	
046-2.1.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.1.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.1.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.1.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.2.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Civil and commercial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.2.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Civil and commercial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.2.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Civil and commercial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.2.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Civil and commercial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.3.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Criminal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.3.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Criminal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.3.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Criminal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.3.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Criminal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	



Slovenia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
046-2.4.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Administrative	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.4.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Administrative	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.4.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Administrative	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.4.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Administrative	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.5.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.5.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.5.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.5.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.1.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts	3 330	3 239	3 355	3 300	3 330	3 328	3 391	3 427	3 427	2,9%	-2,7%	3,6%	-1,6%	0,9%	-0,1%	1,9%	1,1%	0,0%
52.1.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)	346	425	505	481	516	511	506	494	497	43,6%	22,8%	18,8%	-4,8%	7,3%	-1,0%	-1,0%	-2,4%	0,6%
52.1.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges	481	838	1 080	659	826	802	970	1 068	1 005	108,9%	74,2%	28,9%	-39,0%	25,3%	-2,9%	20,9%	10,1%	-5,9%
52.1.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks	NA	1 562	1 639	1 998	1 796	1 822	1 716	1 679	1 734	-	-	4,9%	21,9%	-10,1%	1,4%	-5,8%	-2,2%	3,3%
52.1.5 Number of Technical staff	NA	414	131	162	192	193	199	186	191	-	-	-68,4%	23,7%	18,5%	0,5%	3,1%	-6,5%	2,7%
52.1.6 Number of Other non judge staff	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.2.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts(men)	-	-	420	405	406	411	402	407	435	-	-	-	-3,6%	0,2%	1,2%	-2,2%	1,2%	6,9%
52.2.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)(men)	-	-	NA	49	49	43	41	38	44	-	-	-	-	0,0%	-12,2%	-4,7%	-7,3%	15,8%
52.2.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges(men)	-	-	NA	109	124	132	131	144	131	-	-	-	-	13,8%	6,5%	-0,8%	9,9%	-9,0%
52.2.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks(men)	-	-	NA	172	136	132	120	126	159	-	-	-	-	-20,9%	-2,9%	-9,1%	5,0%	26,2%
52.2.5 Number of Technical staff(men)	-	-	NA	75	97	104	110	99	101	-	-	-	-	29,3%	7,2%	5,8%	-10,0%	2,0%
52.2.6 Number of Other non judge staff(men)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.3.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts(women)	-	NA	2 935	2 892	2 924	2 917	2 989	3 020	2 992	-	-	-	-1,5%	1,1%	-0,2%	2,5%	1,0%	-0,9%
52.3.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)(women)	-	NA	NA	429	467	468	465	456	453	-	-	-	-	8,9%	0,2%	-0,6%	-1,9%	-0,7%
52.3.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges(women)	-	NA	NA	550	702	670	839	924	874	-	-	-	-	27,6%	-4,6%	25,2%	10,1%	-5,4%
52.3.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks(women)	NA	NA	NA	1 826	1 660	1 690	1 596	1 553	1 575	-	-	-	-	-9,1%	1,8%	-5,6%	-2,7%	1,4%
52.3.5 Number of Technical staff(women)	NA	NA	NA	87	95	89	89	87	90	-	-	-	-	9,2%	-6,3%	0,0%	-2,2%	3,4%
52.3.6 Number of Other non judge staff(women)	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Slovenia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
052-1.1.1 Non-judge staff (Total)									3 427	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.1.2 Non-judge staff at first instance (total)									3 035	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.1.3 Non-judge staff at second instance (total)									269	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.1.4 Non-judge staff at Supreme court (total)									123	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.2.1 Non-judge staff (Males)									435	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.2.2 Non-judge staff at first instance (males)									349	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.2.3 Non-judge staff at second instance (males)									52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.2.4 Non-judge staff at Supreme court (males)									34	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.3.1 Non-judge staff (females)									2 992	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.3.2 Non-judge staff at first instance (females)									2 686	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.3.3 Non-judge staff at second instance (females)									217	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.3.4 Non-judge staff at supreme court (females)									89	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.1.1 Prosecutors (total)									206	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.1.2 Prosecutors (1st inst.)									151	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.1.3 Prosecutors (2nd inst.)									43	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.1.4 Prosecutors (Highest instance)									12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.2.1 Prosecutors - Males -total									65	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.2.2 Prosecutors - Males, 1st inst.									43	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.2.3 Prosecutors - Males, 2nd inst.									15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.2.4 Prosecutors - Males, Supreme courts									7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.3.1 Prosecutors - Females, Total									141	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.3.2 Prosecutors - Females, 1st inst.									108	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.3.3 Prosecutors - Females, 2nd inst.									28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.3.4 Prosecutors - Females, Supreme courts									5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Slovenia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
060.1.1 Number of non-prosecutor staff Total									321	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
060.2.1 Number of non-prosecutor staff Males									64	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
060.3.1 Number of non-prosecutor staff Females									257	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
004 Annual average salary in the country						-	-		22 300 €	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.1.1 Gross annual salary, in € - Professional judge at the beginning of career						-	-		32 628 €	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.1.2 Gross annual salary, in € - Judge of the Supreme Court						-	-		63 660 €	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.1.3 Gross annual salary, in € - Public prosecutor at the beginning of career						-	-		32 628 €	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.1.4 Gross annual salary, in € - Public prosecutor of the Supreme Court or the Highest Appellate Instance						-	-		63 660 €	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.2.1 Net annual salary, in € - Professional judge at the beginning of career						-	-		20 568 €	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.2.2 Net annual salary, in € - Judge of the Supreme Court						-	-		36 984 €	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.2.3 Net annual salary, in € - Public prosecutor at the beginning of career						-	-		20 568 €	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.2.4 Net annual salary, in € - Public prosecutor of the Supreme Court or the Highest Appellate Instance						-	-		36 984 €	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
133.1.1.1 - Additional benefits for judges - Reduced taxation						-	-		False									
133.1.2.1 - Additional benefits for judges - Special pension						-	-		False									
133.1.3.1 - Additional benefits for judges - Housing						-	-		True									
133.1.4.1 - Additional benefits for judges - Other financial benefit						-	-		False									
133.2.1.1 - Additional benefits for prosecutors - Reduced taxation						-	-		False									
133.2.2.1 - Additional benefits for prosecutors - Special pension						-	-		False									
133.2.3.1 - Additional benefits for prosecutors - Housing						-	-		True									
133.2.4.1 - Additional benefits for prosecutors - Other financial benefit						-	-		False									

Slovenia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
144.1.1 Disciplinary procedures for Judges - Total number (1+2+3+4)						-	-		3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.1.2 Disciplinary procedures for Judges - 1. Breach of professional ethics						-	-		3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.1.3 Disciplinary procedures for Judges - 2. Professional inadequacy						-	-		0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.1.4 Disciplinary procedures for Judges - 3. Criminal offence						-	-		0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.1.5 Disciplinary procedures for Judges - 4. Other						-	-		0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.2.1 Disciplinary procedures for Prosecutors - Total number (1+2+3+4)						-	-		1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.2.2 Disciplinary procedures for Prosecutors - 1. Breach of professional ethics						-	-		1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.2.3 Disciplinary procedures for Prosecutors - 2. Professional inadequacy									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.2.4 Disciplinary procedures for Prosecutors - 3. Criminal offence									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.2.5 Disciplinary procedures for Prosecutors - 4. Other									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.1 Sanctions against Judges - Total number (total 1 to 9)									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.2 Sanctions against Judges - 1. Reprimand									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.3 Sanctions against Judges - 2. Suspension									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.4 Sanctions against Judges - 3. Withdrawal from cases									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.5 Sanctions against Judges - 4. Fine									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.6 Sanctions against Judges - 5. Temporary reduction of salary									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.7 Sanctions against Judges - 6. Position downgrade									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.8 Sanctions against Judges - 7. Transfer to another geographical (court) location									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.9 Sanctions against Judges - 8. Resignation									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.10 Sanctions against Judges - 9. Other									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.11 Sanctions against Judges - 10. Dismissal									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Slovenia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
145.2.1 Sanctions against Prosecutors - Total number (total 1 to 9)									1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.2 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 1. Reprimand									1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.3 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 2. Suspension									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.4 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 3. Withdrawal from cases									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.5 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 4. Fine									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.6 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 5. Temporary reduction of salary									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.7 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 6. Position downgrade									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.8 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 7. Transfer to another geographical (court) location									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.9 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 8. Resignation									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.10 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 9. Other									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.11 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 10. Dismissal									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lawyers																		
Tables 7.6.1, 7.6.2, 7.6.3, 7.7 and 7.8																		
146.1.1 Total number of lawyers practising	1 417	1 529	1 628	1 669	1 711	1 737	1 768	1 813	1 834	29,4%	7,9%	6,5%	2,5%	2,5%	1,5%	1,8%	2,5%	1,2%
146.2.1 Practicing lawyers - man	-	-	-	-	-	-	954	970	976	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,7%	0,6%
146.3.1 Practicing lawyers - woman	-	-	-	-	-	-	814	843	858	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,6%	1,8%
147 Does this figure include “legal advisors” who cannot represent their clients in court (for example, some solicitors or in-house counsellors)?			No	No	False	False	False	False	False	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Slovenia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Indicator 8: The existence and use of alternative dispute resolution methods																			
Table 8.1 8.2 and 8.3																			
166 Number of accredited or registered mediators who practice judicial mediation:	347	341	311	292	281	272	276	267	258	-25,6%	-1,7%	-8,8%	-6,1%	-3,8%	-3,2%	1,5%	-3,3%	-3,4%	
167.1.1 Total number started					2 844	3 093	2 818	2 787	2 437	-	-	-	-	-	8,8%	-8,9%	-1,1%	-12,6%	
167. 1.2 Civil and commercial cases - started					2 320	2 625	2 451	2 414	2 076	-	-	-	-	-	13,1%	-6,6%	-1,5%	-14,0%	
167. 1.2 Family cases - started					NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
167.1.4 Administrative cases - started					NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
167.1.5 Labour cases including employment dismissal cases - started					524	NA	367	373	361	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,6%	-3,2%	
167.1.6. Criminal cases - started					NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
167.1.7 Consumer cases - started					-	-	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Key: Variation of more than (+ -) 20%