

Evaluation of the judicial systems (2020 - 2022)



Slovenia

Generated on : 30/09/2022 11:40

Reference data 2020 (01/01/2020 - 31/12/2020)

Start/end date of the data collection campaign : 19/03/2021 - 01/10/2021

Objective :

The CEPEJ decided, at its 35th plenary meeting, to launch the ninth evaluation cycle 2020 – 2022, focused on 2020 data. The CEPEJ wishes to use the methodology developed in the previous cycles to get, with the support of its national correspondents' network, a general evaluation of the judicial systems in the 47 member states of the Council of Europe as well as three observer states (Israel, Morocco and Kazakhstan). This will enable policy makers and judicial practitioners to take account of such unique information when carrying out their activities.

The present questionnaire was adapted by the Working group on evaluation of judicial systems (CEPEJ-GT-EVAL) in view of the previous evaluation cycles and considering the comments submitted by CEPEJ members, observers, experts and national correspondents. The aim of this exercise is to increase awareness of judicial systems in the participating states, to compare the functioning of judicial systems in their various aspects, as well as to have a better knowledge of the trends of the judicial organisation in order to help improve the efficiency of justice. The evaluation questionnaire and the analysis of the results becomes a genuine tool in favour of public policies on justice, for the sake of the European citizens.

Instruction :

The ways to use the application and to answer the questions are guided by two main documents:

- User manual
- Explanatory note

While the explanatory note gives definitions and explanations on the CEPEJ evaluation questionnaire and the methodology needed for replying, the User manual is a tool to help you navigate through this application. You can download the Explanatory note as a whole on the CEPEJ website. The specific explanations are also accessible for each question within this application under the tab "Explanatory note". This will serve as immediate consultation tool when answering questions. In case you have any questions related to these documents or on the use of the application, please do not hesitate to contact the Secretariat.

1.General and financial information

1.1.Demographic and economic data

1.1.1Inhabitants and economic general information



001. Number of inhabitants (if possible on 1 January of the reference year +1)

[2 108 977]

Comments

=



002. Total of annual public expenditure at state level and where appropriate, public expenditure at regional or federal entity level (in €)

	Amount
State or federal level	20 952 700 000 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Regional / federal entity level (total for all regions / federal entities)	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments Annual public expenditure is increasing (increase by 5% from 2018 to 2019 and by 5% from 2019 to 2020).

=

003. Per capita GDP (in €) in current prices for the reference year

[22 014]

Comments

004. Average gross annual salary (in €) for the reference year

[22 300]

NA

Comments Annual average gross salary is increasing (increase by 4% from 2018 to 2019 and by 6% from 2019 to 2020).

005. Exchange rate of national currency (non-Euro zone) in € on 1 January of the reference year +1

[]
Allow decimals : 5

NAP

Comments

A1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: Statistical Office of the Republic of Slovenia

1.1.2 Budgetary data concerning judicial system

006. Annual (approved and implemented) public budget allocated to the functioning of all courts, in € (without the budget of the public prosecution services and without the budget of legal aid). If you cannot separate the budget allocated to the courts from the budget of public prosecution services and/or the one allocated to legal aid, please go to question 7. If you are able to answer this question 6, please answer NA to question 7.

	Approved budget (in €)	Implemented budget (in €)
TOTAL - Annual public budget allocated to the functioning of all courts (1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5 + 6 + 7)	187 015 397 [] NA [] NAP	184 787 663 [] NA [] NAP
1. Annual public budget allocated to (gross) salaries	137 901 458 [] NA [] NAP	135 749 000 [] NA [] NAP
2. Annual public budget allocated to computerisation (2.1 + 2.2)	4 157 206 [] NA [] NAP	3 961 265 [] NA [] NAP
2.1 Investments in computerisation	2 673 773 [] NA [] NAP	2 740 702 [] NA [] NAP
2.2 Maintenance of the IT equipment of courts	1 483 433 [] NA [] NAP	1 220 563 [] NA [] NAP
3. Annual public budget allocated to justice expenses (expertise, interpretation, etc.)	28 617 977 [] NA [] NAP	29 378 543 [] NA [] NAP
4. Annual public budget allocated to court buildings (maintenance, operating costs)	15 665 172 [] NA [] NAP	15 400 195 [] NA [] NAP
5. Annual public budget allocated to investments in new (court) buildings	0 [] NA [] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP
6. Annual public budget allocated to training	673 584 [] NA [] NAP	298 660 [] NA [] NAP
7. Other (please specify)	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP

Please indicate any useful comment to explain the figures provided. If the annual public budget allocated to the functioning of all courts actually implemented is different from the approved annual public budget allocated to the functioning of all courts, please indicate the main reasons for the differences: Regarding the category "computerization", from 2017 on, the figures represent the budget, approved by the Parliament and financing from EU sources (in previous years the financing from EU sources was not included in the courts' budget). The approved budget for 2020 from EU funds at courts was 2.410.919 EUR and the implemented budget was 2.156.344 EUR. The increase in the budget allocated to 2.2 Maintenance of the IT equipment of courts is due to more extensive spending of EU funds in this area.

There was no spending category 5. Annual public budget allocated to investments in new (court) buildings.

The decrease in the budget allocated to 6. 6. Annual public budget allocated to training is due to the limitation of operation of courts and other activities due to Covid-19 pandemics.

Courts also spent 261.048 EUR of EU funds for ADR from the Ministry of Justice budget in 2020.

007. If you cannot answer question 6 because you cannot isolate the public budget allocated to courts from the budget allocated to public prosecution services and/or the one allocated to legal aid, please fill in only the appropriate line in the table according to your system:

Approved budget (in €)	Implemented budget (in €)

Total annual public budget allocated to all courts and the public prosecution services together	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Total annual public budget allocated to all courts and legal aid together	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Total annual public budget allocated to all courts, public prosecution services and legal aid together	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - Please indicate any useful comment to explain the figures provided. If the annual public budget actually implemented is different from the approved annual public budget, please indicate the main reasons for the differences:

=

008. Are litigants in general required to pay a court fee to initiate a proceeding at a court of general jurisdiction:

	Litigants required to pay a court fee to initiate a proceeding at a court of general jurisdiction ?
for criminal cases	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, at the beginning of the procedure <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, at a later stage <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
for other than criminal cases	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, at the beginning of the procedure <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, at a later stage <input type="checkbox"/> No

If there are exceptions to the obligation to pay these court fees, could you please provide comments on those exceptions?

008-1. Please briefly present the methodology of calculation of these court fees:

- See general comments.

008-2. The amount of court fees requested to commence an action for 3000€ debt recovery:

[195]
 NA
 NAP

Comments

009. Annual income of court fees received by the State (in €):

[25 237 824]
 NA
 NAP

Comments Court fees are regulated by law and the law did not change significantly in recent years. There is no data on the number of

cases where parties were exempt from paying court fees or amount thereof. One of the reasons could be the downward trend of incoming cases in recent years (the number of all incoming cases decreased by 25% from 2014 to 2020).

012. Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid, in €.

	TOTAL	Criminal cases	Other than criminal cases
TOTAL - Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid (12.1 + 12.2)	4 448 569 [] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP
12.1 for cases brought to court (court fees and/or legal representation)	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP
12.2 for cases not brought to court (legal advice, ADR and other legal services)	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP

Comments The approved budget increased in 2019 due to the raise in the attorney tariff in April 2019 (which resulted in higher costs of legal services to be covered by legal aid) and again in 2020, due to the fact that the spending (implemented budget) in 2019 exceeded the adopted budget by 18%. The implemented budget increased mainly due to the aforementioned raise in the attorney tariff.

012-1. Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid, in €.

	TOTAL	Criminal cases	Other than criminal cases
TOTAL - Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid (12-1.1 + 12-1.2)	4 187 588 [] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP
12-1.1 for cases brought to court (court fees and/or legal representation)	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP
12-1.2 for cases not brought to court (legal advice, ADR and other legal services)	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP

If the public budget actually implemented regarding legal aid is different from the annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid, please indicate the main reasons for the differences:

=

012-2. Does legal aid include:

	Legal aid includes:
Coverage of court fees	() Yes () No [X] NAP
Exemption from court fees	(X) Yes () No [] NAP

Comments The situation did not change. In previous years, the answer at Exemption from court fees was NO, as exemption from court fees was (is) regulated outside the free legal aid system by another law. However, it was (is) still possible (as was explained in the general comment). This year, in line with the updated explanatory note, the answer is changed.

=

012-3. Do legal aid budgets indicated in Q12 and Q12-1 include:

	Amount calculated/estimated included
Coverage of court fees	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Exemption from court fees	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

=

013. Annual (approved and implemented) public budget allocated to the public prosecution services, in €.

	Approved budget (in €)	Implemented budget (in €)
Total annual public budget allocated to the public prosecution services, in € (including 13.1)	22 442 890 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	21 981 158 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
13.1. Annual public budget allocated to training of public prosecution services	74 171 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	26 591 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Please indicate any useful comment to explain the figures provided. Moreover, if the annual public budget allocated to the public prosecution services actually implemented is different from the approved annual public budget, please indicate the main reasons for the differences: Training: the implemented budget was impacted by the limitations due to Covid-19 pandemics.

=

014. Authorities formally responsible for the budgets allocated to the courts (multiple options possible):

	Preparation of the total court budget	Adoption/approval of the total court budget	Management and allocation of the budget among the courts	Evaluation of the use of the budget at a national level
Ministry of Justice	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Other ministry	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Parliament	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Supreme Court	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

High Judicial Council	() Yes (X) No [] NAP	() Yes (X) No [] NAP	() Yes (X) No [] NAP	() Yes (X) No [] NAP
Courts	(X) Yes () No [] NAP	() Yes (X) No [] NAP	() Yes (X) No [] NAP	(X) Yes () No [] NAP
Inspection body	() Yes (X) No [] NAP	() Yes (X) No [] NAP	() Yes (X) No [] NAP	() Yes (X) No [] NAP
Other	() Yes (X) No [] NAP	() Yes (X) No [] NAP	() Yes (X) No [] NAP	(X) Yes () No [] NAP

Comments - If "Other Ministry" and/or "Inspection body" and/or "Other", please specify: See general comment.

014-0. What are the criteria used to allocate financial resources among courts? Furthermore, please select maximum three main criteria of allocation

	Criteria used	Main criteria
Previous years' budget costs	[X]	[X]
Special needs assessment	[]	[]
Number of judges/non judges' staff	[X]	[X]
Number of incoming cases	[]	[]
Number of pending cases	[]	[]
Number of resolved cases	[]	[]
Other	[]	[]

[] NAP

Comments - If "Other", please specify

014-1. Who is entrusted with responsibilities related to the budget within a first instance court?

	Preparation of the budget	Arbitration and allocation of the budget	Day to day management of the budget	Evaluation and control of the use of the budget
Court President and/or judge(s)	(X) Yes () No [] NAP	(X) Yes () No [] NAP	(X) Yes () No [] NAP	(X) Yes () No [] NAP
Head of court administration and/or non-judges	(X) Yes () No [] NAP	(X) Yes () No [] NAP	(X) Yes () No [] NAP	(X) Yes () No [] NAP
Mixed body (judge(s) and non-judge(s))	() Yes (X) No [] NAP	() Yes (X) No [] NAP	() Yes (X) No [] NAP	() Yes (X) No [] NAP

Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If "Other", please specify. If the responsibilities are different depending on the type/instance of courts, please answer the question for the first instance court of general jurisdiction and describe the differences in the comment box:

A2. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: Sources: Q6: Financial and accounting department of the Supreme Court of the Republic of Slovenia and Ministry of Justice
(Investments and real estate Directorate)
Q12: Financial and accounting department of the Supreme Court of the Republic of Slovenia
Q13: Annual Financial Statement of the Budget of the Republic of Slovenia for 2020

1.1.3 Budgetary data concerning the whole justice system

015-1. Annual (approved and implemented) public budget allocated to the whole justice system, in € (this global budget includes the judicial system budget - see 15-2 and other elements of the justice system - see 15-3)

	Approved budget (in €)	Implemented budget (in €)
Total annual public budget allocated to the whole justice system in €	297 184 814 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	289 708 153 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - Please indicate any useful comment to explain the figures provided above and specify if a large portion of the budget allocated to the whole justice system comes from an international organisation. Moreover, if the annual public budget allocated to the whole justice system actually implemented is different from the approved annual public budget, please indicate the main reasons for the differences:

015-2. Elements of the judicial system budget (Q6, Q7, Q12, Q13)

	Included
Courts	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Legal aid	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Public prosecution services	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

015-3. Other budgetary elements

	Included
Prison system	(X) Yes () No <input type="checkbox"/>] NAP
Probation services	(X) Yes () No <input type="checkbox"/>] NAP
High Judicial Council	(X) Yes () No <input type="checkbox"/>] NAP
High Prosecutorial Council	(X) Yes () No <input type="checkbox"/>] NAP
Constitutional court	(X) Yes () No <input type="checkbox"/>] NAP
Judicial management body	() Yes () No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] NAP
State advocacy	(X) Yes () No <input type="checkbox"/>] NAP
Enforcement services	() Yes (X) No <input type="checkbox"/>] NAP
Notariat	() Yes (X) No <input type="checkbox"/>] NAP
Forensic services	() Yes (X) No <input type="checkbox"/>] NAP
Judicial protection of juveniles	() Yes (X) No <input type="checkbox"/>] NAP
Functioning of the Ministry of Justice	(X) Yes () No <input type="checkbox"/>] NAP
Refugees and asylum seekers services	() Yes (X) No <input type="checkbox"/>] NAP
Immigration Service	() Yes (X) No <input type="checkbox"/>] NAP
Some police services (e.g. : transfer, investigation, prisoners' security)	() Yes (X) No <input type="checkbox"/>] NAP
Other	(X) Yes () No <input type="checkbox"/>] NAP

If "Other", please specify: Public budget for the whole justice system includes:

- Prison system: approved 49.709.441 € EUR / implemented 48.504.986 EUR,
 - Probation services: 2.226.162 EUR / 2.209.546 EUR,
 - Council of the judiciary: 606.304 EUR / 563.536 EUR,
 - Constitutional court: 4.664.373 EUR / 4.538.370 EUR,
 - State advocacy: 10.388.780 EUR / 9.624.624 EUR,
 - Functioning of the Ministry of justice: 22.850.647 EUR/ 20.449.159 EUR
- and
- Other (the Public Prosecution Council) 189.561 EUR / 186.985 EUR.

A3. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: Courts, Ministry of Justice, Annual Financial Statement of the Budget of the Republic of Slovenia for 2020

1.2. Organisation and management of courts and public prosecution services

015-4. Please describe who has responsibilities for the management of individual courts, what management roles they have, what is their status and their position in the organisational hierarchy of the court concerned.

- District, higher and specialised courts are usually managed by court directors (administrative staff). Court director is appointed by the court president (judge). If the court doesn't have court director, his tasks are performed by the court president. Court director's task include material, technical and financial operations of courts, public procurement procedures, managing of court staff, security in courts and monitoring, analysis and re-engineering of bussiness processes. According to the orders of court presidents, they can also perform other court management tasks. At the level of the Supreme Court, these tasks are performed by the secretary general.

Max characters value : 10 000

015-5. Please describe who has responsibilities for the management of individual public prosecution offices, what management roles they have, what is their status and their position in the organisational hierarchy of the office concerned.

- The Slovenian State Prosecutor's Office is not highly hierarchically organized, due to the abolition of higher state prosecutor's offices as organizational units and due to the established independence of state prosecutors. The Supreme State Prosecutor's Office operates for the entire territory of the Republic of Slovenia and is led by the General State Prosecutor of the Republic of Slovenia. Some of his management tasks are:
he proposes to the State Prosecutors' Council to adopt work quality criteria for state prosecutors in order to assess the performance of their state prosecution service, and criteria to assess the effectiveness of prosecution on the part of state prosecutors' offices;
supervises the implementation of matters of state prosecution administration at state prosecutors' offices
he proposes the number of state prosecutor posts and the titles for the performance of the state prosecution service at particular state prosecutors' offices to the minister
proposes the transfer of the public prosecutor;

gives a preliminary opinion on the appointment of the head of the district state prosecutor's office and an opinion on the dismissal of the head of the district state prosecutor's office;

may request the initiation of disciplinary proceedings;

may order the suspension of public prosecutor from the public prosecutor's office (suspension). The General State Prosecutor has a Deputy, who is one of the Supreme State Prosecutors. The Deputy State Prosecutor General shall perform the tasks and duties falling within the competence of the State Prosecutor General if the latter is absent or otherwise engaged, and in other cases pursuant to and in the context of authorisation that may be issued by the State Prosecutor General for dealing with specific matters of state prosecution administration.

The operations of state prosecutor's offices are managed by the heads of state prosecutor's offices. A state prosecutor who is under the age of 64 at the time of registration and who meets the conditions for appointment to the title of senior state prosecutor may be appointed head. The head of the district state prosecutor's office is appointed by the State Prosecutor's Council, at the reasoned proposal of the Minister of Justice, after the prior opinion of the general state prosecutor, for six years with the possibility of reappointment.

The heads of state prosecutors' offices have administrative and supervisory powers related to the functioning of their state prosecutor's office, i.e. the powers defined by State Prosecution Service Act or another act, and other powers held by the heads of state authorities pursuant to general regulations. Certain tasks of state prosecution administration may be independently performed by directors appointed to one or more district state prosecutors' offices. The implementation of matters of state prosecution administration at state prosecutors' offices is supervised by the State Prosecutor General, and at district state prosecutors' offices also by the head of the district state prosecutor's office (state prosecution supervision).

The head of the state prosecutor's office allocates matters within the competence of the state prosecutor's office among state prosecutors; The head takes care of the timeliness of operations - if the state prosecutor does not resolve the matter within the expected time, he must inform the head of the state prosecutor's office in writing of the reasons.

The head of a state prosecutor's office draws up a proposal for the annual work programme containing the annual implementation plan of the state prosecutor's office, including the plan for the implementation of prosecution policy for the following year, and submits it to the State Prosecutors' Council and the Minister; the head of a district prosecutor's office also submits it to the State Prosecutor General, all of whom may provide their recommendations within 15 days of receipt of the proposal.

Based on monitoring, assessing and analysing the efficiency, effectiveness and economy of state prosecutors' work at a particular state prosecutor's office, the head of the state prosecutor's office draws up an annual report assessing the implementation of the annual work programme of the state prosecutor's office and of its prosecution policy. Twice a year, the heads of state prosecutor's offices must submit to the Ministry data on a state prosecutor's workload, which the Ministry may use to implement the tasks of justice administration as defined in an Act.

Salary supplements and payments to state prosecutors at district state prosecutors' offices are decided by the heads of state prosecutors' offices, and salary supplements and payments to state prosecutors at the Office of the State Prosecutor General are decided by the State Prosecutor General.

The head of a district state prosecutor's office may, in the matters within their competence associated with a specific prosecution policy, issue general instructions for the work of state prosecutors in dealing with cases. The head of a state prosecutor's office must discuss the general instructions at the college of the state prosecutor's office prior to their issuing.

As part of the process of selection and appointment to the position of state prosecutor, the head forms a reasoned opinion on the suitability of each candidate. The head of the public prosecutor's office may specify which candidates he / she considers to be the most suitable for the vacancy. The head may make a proposal for the promotion of the public prosecutor as well as an initiative to initiate disciplinary proceedings.

The head of a district state prosecutor's office has a deputy. The deputy head of a state prosecutor's office performs the tasks and duties falling within the competence of the head of the state prosecutor's office if the latter is absent or otherwise engaged, and in other cases pursuant to and in the context of authorisation that may be issued by the head of the district state prosecutor's office for dealing with specific matters of state prosecution administration.

2. Access to justice and all courts

2.1. Legal Aid

2.1.1 Scope of legal aid

016. Does legal aid apply to:

	Criminal cases	Other than criminal cases
Representation in court	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Legal advice, ADR and other legal services	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

=

016-1. Please briefly describe the organisation of the legal aid system in your country both before going to court and during court proceedings.

- See general comment.

=

018. Can legal aid be granted for the fees that are related to the enforcement of judicial decisions (e.g. fees of an enforcement agent)?

Yes

No

NAP

If yes, please specify: See general comment.

019. Can legal aid be granted for other costs (different from those mentioned in questions 16 to 18, e.g. fees of technical advisors or experts, costs of other legal professionals (notaries), travel costs etc.)?

Criminal cases	Other than criminal cases

Legal aid granted for other costs	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
--	---	---

Comments - If yes, please specify: See general comment.

2.1.2 Information on legal aid

020. Please indicate the number of cases for which legal aid has been granted:

	Total	Cases brought to court	Cases not brought to court
TOTAL	9 876 [] NA [] NAP	9 138 [] NA [] NAP	738 [] NA [] NAP
In criminal cases	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP
In other than criminal cases	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP

Comments - Please specify when appropriate: No particular explanation can be given regarding difference in number of Cases not brought to court between the years.

020-1. Please indicate the timeframes of the procedure for granting legal aid, in relation to the duration from the initial legal aid request to the final approval of the legal aid request:

	Time in days
Maximum duration prescribed in law/regulation	[] NA [X] NAP
Actual average duration	30 [] NA [] NAP

Comments - Please specify if the envisaged timeframe is set in a statutory law, or in other regulation. Furthermore, if different timeframes are envisaged for criminal and other than criminal cases please provide more information:

=

021. In criminal cases, can individuals who do not have sufficient financial means be assisted by a free of charge (or financed by a public budget) lawyer?

	Assisted by a free of charge lawyer
Accused individuals	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Victims	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

022. In criminal cases are these individuals free to choose their lawyer within the framework of the legal aid system?

	free selection of lawyer
Accused individuals	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Victims	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

023-0. Does your country have an income and assets evaluation for granting full or partial legal aid?

Yes

No

Comments - Please indicate if any other criteria are taken into account for the granting of legal aid and any comment that could explain the data provided above:

023. If yes, please specify in the table:

	Annual income value (for one person), (in €)	Assets value (for one person), (in €)
Full legal aid to the applicant for criminal cases	9 652 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	19 307 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Full legal aid to the applicant for other than criminal cases	9 652 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	19 307 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Partial legal aid to the applicant for criminal cases	9 652 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	19 307 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Partial legal aid to the applicant for other than criminal cases	9 652 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	19 307 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

024. Is it possible to refuse legal aid for lack of merit of the case (for example for frivolous action or no chance of success)?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please explain the exact criteria for denying legal aid:

025. Is the decision to grant or refuse legal aid taken by:

the judge(s) dealing with the main case

another judge or official

an authority external to the court

() several authorities (court and external bodies)

Comments

026. Is there a private system of legal expense insurance enabling individuals (this does not concern companies or other legal persons) to finance court proceedings?

(X) Yes

() No

Comments - If appropriate, please inform about the current development of such insurances in your country; is it a growing phenomenon?
3 out of total 13 Slovenian insurance companies offer legal expense insurance in 2020 (4 out of 13 in 2018).

027. Can judicial decisions direct how legal costs, paid by the parties during the procedure, will be shared:

	Judicial decisions direct how legal costs will be shared
in criminal cases	(X) Yes () No
in other than criminal cases	(X) Yes () No

Comments - If no, please specify how legal costs are distributed:

B1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: Q23: Amounts calculated on the basis of the valid legislature (multiple laws regulating social welfare issues)
Q26: The Insurance Supervision Agency web page <http://www.a-zn.si/>.

2.2. Court users and victims

2.2.1 Rights of the users and victims

028. Are there official internet sites/portals (e.g. Ministry of Justice, Judicial Council etc.) where general public may have free-of-charge access to the following:

	Yes, internet adresse(es)	No
Legal texts (e.g. codes, laws, regulations, etc.)	(X) https://www.uradni-list.si/ ; http://www.pisrs.si/ ; https://www.dz-rs.si/	()
Case-law of the higher court/s	(X) http://sodnapraksa.si/	()
Information about the judicial system (organisation of courts, court proceedings, etc)	(X) https://nasodiscu.si/	()

Other documents (e.g. forms, downloadable forms, online registration forms)	(X) https://e-uprava.gov.si/ ; http://www.sodisce.si/sodni_pos_topki/obrazci/	()
---	--	-----

Comment - Please specify what documents and information are included in "Other documents" See general comment.

029. Is there an obligation to provide information to the parties concerning the foreseeable timeframes of their proceedings?

(X) Yes, always

() No

() Yes, only in some specific situations

Comment - If "Yes, only in some specific situations", please specify:

030. Is there a public and free-of-charge information system for providing information and facilitating access to justice:

	Information system
General for citizens	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Online information <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephone <input type="checkbox"/> Interactive chat <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> In-person (physical access on site) <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> No
Specific for victims of offences	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Online information <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephone <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Interactive chat <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> In-person (physical access on site) <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> No
Specific for minors (child-friendly systems)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Online information <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephone <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Interactive chat <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> In-person (physical access on site) <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> No

Comment - Please provide more information on these systems. Furthermore, please specify how this assistance is provided.

031. Are there special favourable arrangements to be applied, during judicial proceedings, to the following categories of vulnerable persons:

	Information mechanism	Special arrangements in hearings	Other specific arrangements
Victims of sexual violence/rape	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No
Victims of terrorism	() Yes (X) No	() Yes (X) No	() Yes (X) No

Minors (witnesses or victims)	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No
Victims of domestic violence	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No
Ethnic minorities	() Yes (X) No	() Yes (X) No	(X) Yes () No
Persons with disabilities	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No
Juvenile offenders	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No
Other (e.g. victims of human trafficking, forced marriage, sexual mutilation)	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No

Comments - If “Other vulnerable person” and/or “Other specific arrangements”, please specify: An amendment to Criminal Procedure Law stepped into force in 2019 (see general comment).

031-0. If there are special arrangements for minors, what are the settings / tools / facilities / practises employed to protect them when they participate in judicial proceedings?

- Special and child-adequate preparation for participation in trials / lawsuits (explaining in a child-friendly manner the proceedings)
- Special room in court designated for child-friendly hearings
- Special person / team of trained professional(s) (such as psychologists) to accompany a minor throughout the proceedings
- Special ways to communicate and explain meaning of court decisions
- Interagency/multidisciplinary structure such as “Children's Houses”
- Other, please specify
- NAP

Comment

031-1. What are the main criteria for a minor to initiate a proceeding, take procedural actions in his/her own name or to be a witness?

	Civil proceedings	Criminal proceedings
Capacity to initiate a proceeding and take other procedural actions in his/her own name	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Age threshold [Comment]15 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exceptions from the threshold <input type="checkbox"/> Capacity for discernment <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Age threshold [Comment]16 <input type="checkbox"/> Exceptions from the threshold <input type="checkbox"/> Capacity for discernment <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

To be a witness	<input type="checkbox"/> Age threshold	<input type="checkbox"/> Age threshold
	[Comment]	[Comment]
	<input type="checkbox"/> Exceptions from the threshold	<input type="checkbox"/> Exceptions from the threshold
	<input type="checkbox"/> Capacity for discernment	<input type="checkbox"/> Capacity for discernment
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other
	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comment - Please specify if you selected answers "Exceptions from the threshold" and "Other". If your system distinguishes between full and limited capacity to take legal actions, please describe the basis for this differentiation (age, capacity for discernment, type of action, type of cases, other). Capacity to initiate a proceeding - civil proceedings: capacity of contract is gained at the age of 18 . A minor can participate in proceedings within the limits of partial capacity of contract (usually at the age of 15 or less in exceptional cases).

Capacity to initiate a proceeding - criminal proceedings: minors over the age of 16 can file an accusatory act or law suit (depending on the offence) by themselves. For minors under the age of 16 , an accusatory act or law suit (depending on the offence) must be filed by their legal guardian.

To be a witness: anyone who can give data on facts that are being proved (civil procedure) or that can tell anything about a criminal offence and circumstances (criminal procedure) can be a witness. The ability to be a witness may be established by a court expert.

031-2. If a minor cannot conduct proceedings in his/her own name, who can represent him/her in judicial proceedings?

	Civil proceedings	Criminal proceedings
Parent/legal guardian	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, always <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, except in some specific situations <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, always <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, except in some specific situations <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Other representative (instead of parent/legal guardian)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Social care services or other public institution <input type="checkbox"/> Legal professional <input type="checkbox"/> Associations for protection of minors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Social care services or other public institution <input type="checkbox"/> Legal professional <input type="checkbox"/> Associations for protection of minors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comment Specific situation: conflict of interest.

A child can be represented by the social care service or person, appointed by the social care service.

031-3. What are the different criteria for the criminal liability of minors? (multiple replies possible)

- Age threshold(s)
- Capacity for discernment
- Other criteria

Comment No criminal sanction can be used against a child, who was under the age of 14 at the time of committing the offence.

031-3-1. What is the age threshold for the criminal liability of minors?

Criminal liability resulting in sentence without privation of liberty (for example, educational measures)

[14]

[] NA

[] NAP

Criminal liability resulting in sentence of privation of liberty

[16]

[] NA

[] NAP

Comment - Please describe, briefly, the specifics of your system. Could you, please specify if the possibility of mitigation applies to the sanctions and how? The Criminal Code distinguishes between younger minors (14-16 years at the time of committing the offence) and older minors (16-18 years). Younger minors can only be given educational measures/sanctions, while older minors can be in exceptional cases sentenced to monetary fine or prison for minors. Educational measures/sanctions include: reprimand, instructions and prohibitions, supervision of the social services and accomodation in an institution (three different options, depending on circumstances).

032. Does your country allocate compensation for victims of offences?

- Yes, but only if offender is unknown
- Yes, but only if compensation could not be obtained from offender
- Yes, always
- No

Comment See general comment.

032-0. If yes, for what types of offences the compensation is allocated?

- For all types of offences
- For some types of offences
- [] NAP

Comment - Please specify: Violent intentional crime.

032-1. Is a court decision necessary in the framework of the compensation procedure?

- Yes
- No

Comments See general comment.

032-0. If yes, for what types of offences the compensation is allocated?

- For all types of offences
- For some types of offences
- [] NAP

Comment - Please specify: Violent intentional crime.

032-1. Is a court decision necessary in the framework of the compensation procedure?

- Yes
- No

Comments See general comment.

032-0. If yes, for what types of offences the compensation is allocated?

For all types of offences

For some types of offences

NAP

Comment - Please specify: Violent intentional crime.

032-1. Is a court decision necessary in the framework of the compensation procedure?

Yes

No

Comments See general comment.

034. Are there studies that evaluate the recovery rate of the damages awarded by courts to victims?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please illustrate with available data concerning the recovery rate, the title of the studies, the frequency of the studies and the coordinating body:

035. Do public prosecutors have a specific role with respect to victims (protection and assistance)?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify: See general comment.

035-1. Do public prosecutors have a specific role with respect to minor victims (protection and assistance)?

Yes

No

Comment - If yes, please specify: At the end of April 2021, the Act on the Protection of Children in Criminal Proceedings and their Comprehensive Treatment in Children's Homes (ZZOKPOHO) entered into force, which, to ensure the best interests of the child, determines the manner and conditions of comprehensive treatment of juvenile victims and witnesses in pre-trial and criminal proceedings with regard to certain criminal offenses in the children's home. The law was prepared as part of a joint project of the European Union and the Council of Europe "Barnahus in Slovenia", the main purpose of which is to coordinate simultaneous criminal investigation and child protection procedures and support children who are victims or witnesses of violence in child-friendly and safe environments. The State Prosecutor's Office will participate in the operation of the House for Children within the expert council (7 members of the expert body). Namely, the Supreme State Prosecutor's Office of the Republic of Slovenia will propose one of the members of the expert council, whose competencies include giving opinions and proposals regarding training programs, protocols of multidisciplinary and interinstitutional cooperation and other professional issues.

036. Do victims of offences have the right to dispute a public prosecutor's decision to discontinue a case? Please verify the consistency of your answers in this question and question 105 regarding the possibility for a public prosecutor "to discontinue a case without needing a decision by a judge".

Yes

No

NAP

Comment - If necessary, please specify: See general comment.

037. Is there a system for compensating users in the following circumstances:

	Number of requests for compensation	Number of condemnations	Total amount (in €)
Total	32 [] NA [] NAP	11 [] NA [] NAP	389 871 [] NA [] NAP
Excessive length of proceedings	15 [] NA [] NAP	3 [] NA [] NAP	61 615 [] NA [] NAP
Non-execution of court decisions	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP
Wrongful arrest	15 [] NA [] NAP	4 [] NA [] NAP	242 108 [] NA [] NAP
Wrongful conviction	2 [] NA [] NAP	4 [] NA [] NAP	86 147 [] NA [] NAP
Other	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP

Comment - Where appropriate, please give details of the compensation procedure and the calculation method for the amount of the compensation (e.g. the amount per day for unjustified detentions or convictions): *The figures above represent cases, closed in 2020, with compensations to be paid in 2020 or later. The figures above represent cases before courts only.

Data for procedures at the State Attorney for 2020 (before filing law-suit at court):

1. Excessive length of proceedings - Number of requests for compensation: 60;

Number of settlements: 10;

Total amount (in €): 23.222;

2. Wrongful arrest - Number of requests for compensation: 30;

Number of settlements: 8

Total amount (in €): 140.330

3. Wrongful conviction - Number of requests for compensation: 8;

Number of settlements: 1;

Total amount (in €): 1.260.

2.2.2 Confidence and satisfaction of citizens with their justice system



038. Does your country implement surveys to measure trust in justice and satisfaction with the services delivered by the judicial system?

	National level	Court level
Surveys for judges	[] Annual [X] Other regular [] Ad hoc	[] Annual [X] Other regular [] Ad hoc
Surveys for court staff	[] Annual [X] Other regular [] Ad hoc	[] Annual [X] Other regular [] Ad hoc

Surveys for public prosecutors	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
Surveys for lawyers	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
Surveys for other professionals	<input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
Surveys for the parties	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
Surveys for other court users (e.g. jurors, witnesses, experts, interpreters, representatives of governmental agencies, NGOs)	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
Surveys for victims	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
Surveys for minors	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
Surveys for the general public	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
Other not mentioned	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc

Comment - Please, indicate the references and links to the satisfaction surveys you mentioned above:

039. Are there statistical data concerning male and female court users, persons who initiate a case, victims, accused persons, etc.

Yes, please specify:

No

Comment - If you have additional comments please specify:

040. Is there a national or local procedure for filing complaints about the functioning of the judicial system? (for example, handling of the case by a judge or the duration of a proceeding)

Yes

No

Comments

041. If yes, please specify certain aspects of this procedure:

Authority responsible for dealing with the complaint	Existence of a time limit to deal with the complaint for this authority
---	--

Court concerned	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No
Higher court	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No
Ministry of Justice	() Yes (X) No	() Yes (X) No
High Judicial Council	() Yes (X) No	() Yes (X) No
Other external bodies (e.g. Ombudsman)	() Yes (X) No	() Yes (X) No

Comments

041-1. If yes, please specify certain aspects of this procedure:

	Number of complaints	Compensation amount granted
Court concerned	428 [] NA [] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP
Higher court	99 [] NA [] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP
Ministry of Justice	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP
High Judicial Council	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP
Other external bodies (e.g. Ombudsman)	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP

Comments - If possible, please give information concerning the efficiency of this complaint procedure and any useful comment: Court concerned: number of new supervisory appeals (see General Comment).

Higher court: number of new motions for a deadline (see General Comment).

The law provides for separated procedure for compensation (see Q37).

Slovenia is sistematically reducing backlogs (as established by the secretariat of the Council of Europe in 2016), apart from that no particular explanation can be given regarding the decrease in number of complaint.

3. Organisation of the court system

3.1. Courts

3.1.1 Number of courts

042. Number of courts - legal entities.

Number of courts

Total number of all courts - legal entities (1 + 2)	66 [] NA [] NAP
1 Total number of courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities (1.1 + 1.2 + 1.3)	60 [] NA [] NAP
1.1 First instance courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities	55 [] NA [] NAP
1.2 Second instance courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities	4 [] NA [] NAP
1.3 Highest instance courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities	1 [] NA [] NAP
2 Total number of specialised courts - legal entities	6 [] NA [] NAP

Comments

043. Number of specialised courts – legal entities.

	First instance	Higher instances
Total number of specialised courts - legal entities	5 [] NA [] NAP	1 [] NA [] NAP
Commercial courts (excluded insolvency courts)	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP
Insolvency courts	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP
Labour courts	4 [] NA [] NAP	1 [] NA [] NAP
Family courts	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP
Rent and tenancies courts	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP
Enforcement of criminal sanctions courts	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP
Fight against terrorism, organised crime and corruption	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP
Internet related disputes	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP
Administrative courts	1 [] NA [] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP

Insurance and / or social welfare courts	1 [] NA [] NAP	1 [] NA [] NAP
Military courts	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP
Juvenile courts	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP
Other specialised courts	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP

Comments - If "Other specialised courts", please specify:

044. Number of courts - geographic locations.

	Number of courts (geographic locations)
First instance courts geographic locations (this includes 1st instance courts of general jurisdiction and first instance specialised courts)	70 [] NA [] NAP
All the courts (geographic locations) (this includes 1st instance courts of general jurisdiction, first instance specialised courts, all second instance courts and courts of appeal and all Supreme Courts)	76 [] NA [] NAP

Comments

=

045. Number of first instance courts (geographic locations) competent for a case concerning:

	Number of courts
A small claim	55 [] NA [] NAP
An employment dismissal	4 [] NA [] NAP
A robbery	11 [] NA [] NAP
An insolvency case	11 [] NA [] NAP

Comments

045-1. Is your definition of a small claim the same as the one in the Explanatory note?

(X) Yes

() No

Comments - If not, please give your definition of a small claim:

045-2. Please indicate the value in € of a small claim:

[2 000]

Comments

C. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: Courts Act, Labour and Social Courts Act, Administrative Dispute Act.

3.2. Court staff

3.2.1 Judges and non-judge staff

046. Number of professional judges sitting in courts (if possible on 31 December of the reference year). (please give the information in full-time equivalent and for posts actually filled for all types of courts - general jurisdiction and specialised courts)

	Total	Males	Females
Total number of professional judges (1 + 2 + 3)	875 [] NA [] NAP	176 [] NA [] NAP	699 [] NA [] NAP
1. Number of first instance professional judges	638 [] NA [] NAP	110 [] NA [] NAP	528 [] NA [] NAP
2. Number of second instance (court of appeal) professional judges	208 [] NA [] NAP	48 [] NA [] NAP	160 [] NA [] NAP
3. Number of Supreme Court professional judges	29 [] NA [] NAP	18 [] NA [] NAP	11 [] NA [] NAP

Comment - Please provide any useful comment for interpreting the data above: At the end of 2020, 890 judicial posts were formally occupied (FTE), although some posts were de facto vacant (e.g. judge absent due to maternity leave). Nevertheless, we report that 875 professional judges sit in courts (perform judicial function), since the rest of the judges (15 judges - difference to the total of 890 judges) were assigned to other duties (e.g. the Ministry of Justice, the Supreme Court, the Judicial Council) and do not sit in courts. The actual presence is also calculated, based on number of hours judges are actually present in court (excluding the maternity or sick leave, but including the annual leave).

The number of judges in the Slovenian judicial system in 2020 was 805,5 according to actual presence calculations.

=

046-1-1. Does your system allow part-time work for judges with proportionally reduced remuneration?

(X) Yes

() No

Comments

046-1-2. If yes, please specify in which situation part-time work can be granted? (multiple replies possible):

- Child-care
- Elderly care
- For the purposes of early retirement
- Other reason, please specify:health reasons
- Without reason

Comments "Other reasons": health reasons

046-1-3. If yes, what is the percentage of judges working part-time (in relation to the total number of judges)?

	Total (%)	Male (%)	Females (%)
Total (1 + 2 + 3) (%)	4 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	1 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	3 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
1. At first instance level (%)	5 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	2 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	3 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
2. At second instance (court of appeal) level (%)	1 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	1 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
3. At Supreme Court level (%)	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

046-1-4. What is the percentage of work time of a judge working part-time compared to a full-time equivalent judge?

- Less than 50%
- 50 – 60%
- 60 - 80%
- More than 80%
- NA
- NAP

Comments

=

046-2. Number of judges (FTE) by case type:

	Total	Civil and/or commercial	Criminal	Administrative	Other
Total number of judges	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

First instance	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Second instance	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Supreme court	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

If “Other”, please explain which types of cases: There is no data for 2020. In 2021, the data on judges by legal fields was collected for the first time (by approximating the time and workload an individual judge is working on a certain type of cases). Since the methodology of reporting is yet to be revised and elaborated, we can only report approximate numbers. For distinction on Civil and/or Commercial/Criminal/Administrative/Other please see comments to Q91, 93 and 94.

First instance judges: Civil and/or commercial: 62% (approx. 396 judges); Criminal: 33% (approx. 214 judges); Administrative: 4% (approx. 28 judges), Other: 1% (approx. 5 judges)

Please note: the judges at the Administrative Court that resolve administrative cases at first instance have the rank of a higher judge.

Second instance judges: Civil and/or commercial: 66% (approx. 77 judges); Criminal: 34% (approx. 39 judges); Administrative: /, Other: /

Supreme court judges: Civil and/or commercial: 57% (approx. 16 judges); Criminal: 18% (approx. 5 judges); Administrative: 25% (approx. 9 judges), Other: /



=

047. Number of court presidents (professional judges).

	Total	Males	Females
Total number of court presidents (1 + 2 + 3)	66 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	22 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	44 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
1. Number of first instance court presidents	60 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	18 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	42 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
2. Number of second instance (court of appeal) court presidents	5 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	3 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	2 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
3. Number of Supreme Court presidents	1 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	1 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments Difference at 2. Number of second instance (court of appeal) court presidents /Females is due to small (absolute) numbers.

048. Number of professional judges sitting in courts on an occasional basis and who are paid as such (if possible, on 31 December of the reference year):

	Figure
Gross figure	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
In full-time equivalent	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If necessary, please provide comments to explain the answer provided:

048-1. Do these professional judges sitting in courts on an occasional basis deal with a significant part of cases?

- Yes If yes, please give specifications on the types of cases and an estimate in percentage.
- No
- NAP

Comments

049. Number of non-professional judges who are not remunerated but who may receive a simple defrayal of costs (if possible, on 31 December of the reference year) (e.g. lay judges or “juges consulaires”, but not arbitrators or persons sitting on a jury):

	Figure
Gross figure	3 445 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
In full time equivalent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

049-1. If such non-professional judges exist at first instance in your country, please specify for which types of cases:

	Yes	No	Echevinage / mixed bench
Criminal cases (severe)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Criminal cases (misdemeanour and/or minor)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Family law cases	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Labour law cases	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Social law cases	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Commercial law cases	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Insolvency cases	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other civil cases	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

NAP

Comments - If “Other civil cases”, please specify:

050. Does your judicial system include trial by jury with the participation of citizens?

- Yes
- No

Comments

050-1. If yes, for which type(s) of case(s)?

Criminal cases

Other than criminal cases

Comments

051. Number of citizens who were involved in such juries for the year of reference:

[]

NA

NAP

Comments



=

052. Number of non-judge staff who are working in courts (if possible on 31 December of the reference year) (this data should not include the staff working for public prosecutors; see question 60) (please give the information in full-time equivalent and for posts actually filled)

	Total	Males	Females
Total non-judge staff working in courts (1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5)	3 427 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	435 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	2 992 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
1. Rechtspfleger (or similar bodies) with judicial or quasi-judicial tasks having autonomous competence and whose decisions could be subject to appeal	497 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	44 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	453 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
2. Non-judge (judicial) staff whose task is to assist the judges such as registrars (case file preparation, assistance during the hearing, helping to draft the decisions)	1 005 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	131 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	874 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
3. Staff in charge of different administrative tasks and of the management of the courts (human resources management, material and equipment management, including computer systems, financial and budgetary management, training management)	1 734 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	159 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	1 575 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
4. Technical staff	191 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	101 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	90 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
5. Other non-judge staff	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If “Other non-judge staff”, please specify: Approx. 3% of all court staff (109 persons) are judicial trainees (counted under “2. Non-judge (judicial staff”).

No particular explanation can be given regarding the increase in the number of “3. Staff in charge of different administrative tasks and of

the management of the courts” / Males.

052-1. Number of non-judge staff by instance (if possible, on 31 December of the reference year) (this data should not include the staff working for public prosecutors; see question 60) (please give the information in full-time equivalent and for posts actually filled).

	Total	Males	Females
Total non-judge staff working in courts (1+2+3)	3 427 [] NA [] NAP	435 [] NA [] NAP	2 992 [] NA [] NAP
1. Total non-judge staff working in courts at first instance level	3 035 [] NA [] NAP	349 [] NA [] NAP	2 686 [] NA [] NAP
2. Total non-judge staff working in courts at second instance (court of appeal) level	269 [] NA [] NAP	52 [] NA [] NAP	217 [] NA [] NAP
3. Total non-judge staff working in courts at Supreme Court level	123 [] NA [] NAP	34 [] NA [] NAP	89 [] NA [] NAP

Comments No particular explanation can be given regarding the increase in the number of “3. Total non-judge staff working in courts at Supreme Court level” / Males.

=

053. If there are Rechtspfleger (or similar bodies) with judicial or quasi-judicial tasks having autonomous competence and whose decisions could be subject to appeal in your judicial system, please specify in which fields they have a role:

- Legal aid
- Family cases
- Payment orders
- Registry cases (land and/or business registry cases)
- Enforcement of civil cases
- Enforcement of criminal cases
- Non-litigious cases
- Other cases not mentioned (please describe in comment)
- NAP

Comments - Please briefly describe their status and duties:

054. Have the courts outsourced certain services under their responsibilities to external providers?

- Yes
- No

Comments

054-1. If yes, please specify which services have been outsourced:

- IT services

Training of staff

Security

Archives

Cleaning

Other types of services (please specify):

Comments

C1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: Courts, the Supreme Court

3.3. Public prosecution

3.3.1 Public prosecutors and staff

055. Number of public prosecutors (on 31 December of the reference year). (Please give the information in full-time equivalent and for posts actually filled, for all types of courts – general jurisdiction and specialised courts).

	Total	Males	Females
Total number of prosecutors (1 + 2 + 3)	206 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	65 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	141 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
1. Number of prosecutors at first instance level	151 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	43 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	108 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
2. Number of prosecutors at second instance (court of appeal) level	43 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	15 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	28 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
3. Number of prosecutors at Supreme Court level	12 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	7 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	5 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - Please indicate any useful comment for interpreting the data above: The information is in form of gross data. According to the methodology used for reporting judges and court staff (FTE) – by which part-time employees are converted to full-time time by the calculation of working hours, the number amounts to 193, as a number of prosecutors are not working full time.

=

055-1-1. Does your system allow part-time work for prosecutors with proportionally reduced remuneration?

Yes

No

Comments

055-1-2. If yes, please specify in which situation part-time work can be granted? (multiple replies possible):

- Child-care
- Elderly care
- For the purposes of early retirement
- Other reason, please specify:health reasons
- Without reason

Comments

055-1-3. If yes, what is the percentage of prosecutors working part-time (in relation to the total number of prosecutors)?

	Total (%)	Male (%)	Females (%)
Total (1 + 2 + 3) (%)	2 [] NA [] NAP	3 [] NA [] NAP	2 [] NA [] NAP
1. At first instance level (%)	3 [] NA [] NAP	5 [] NA [] NAP	2 [] NA [] NAP
2. At second instance (court of appeal) level (%)	2 [] NA [] NAP	7 [] NA [] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP
3. At Supreme Court level (%)	0 [] NA [] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP

Comments

055-1-4. What is the percentage of work time of a prosecutor working part-time compared to a full-time equivalent prosecutor?

- Less than 50%
- 50 - 60%
- 60 - 80%
- More than 80%
- NA
- NAP

Comments Four state prosecutors work part-time (50%) one state prosecutor works 75% of working time.

056. Number of heads of prosecution offices.

	Total	Males	Females
Total number of heads of prosecution offices (1 + 2 + 3)	13 [] NA [] NAP	5 [] NA [] NAP	8 [] NA [] NAP

1. Number of heads of prosecution offices at first instance level	12 [] NA [] NAP	4 [] NA [] NAP	8 [] NA [] NAP
2. Number of heads of prosecution offices at second instance (court of appeal) level	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP
3. Number of heads of prosecution offices at Supreme Court level	1 [] NA [] NAP	1 [] NA [] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP

Please provide any useful comment for interpreting the data above: The difference is due to small absolute number.

057. Do other persons have similar duties to those of public prosecutors?

() Yes

(X) No

Comments - If yes, please specify their titles and functions:

057-1. Please specify their number (in full-time equivalent):

[] NA

059. If yes, is their number included in the number of public prosecutors that you have indicated under question 55?

() Yes

() No

[] NAP

Comments

059-1. Do prosecution offices have prosecutors who are specially trained in areas of domestic violence and sexual violence?

	-
Domestic violence	[X] Yes [] Yes, specifically for minor victims [] No [] NA [] NAP
Sexual violence	[X] Yes [] Yes, specifically for minor victims [] No [] NA [] NAP

Comments - If yes, please specify

=

060. Number of staff (non-public prosecutors) attached to the public prosecution services, if possible, on 31 December of the reference year and without the number of non-judge staff, see

question 52 (in full-time equivalent and for posts actually filled).

	Total	Males	Females
Number of staff (non-public prosecutors) attached to the public prosecution service	321 [] NA	64 [] NA	257 [] NA

Comments The information is in form of gross data. According to the methodology used for reporting judges and court staff (FTE) – by which part-time employees are converted to full-time time by the calculation of working hours, the number amounts to 298, as a number of staff are not working full time.

C2. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: The Office of the Prosecutor General of the Republic of Slovenia

3.4. Gender equality

3.4.1 Specific provisions for facilitating gender equality

061-2. Are there specific provisions for facilitating gender equality within the framework of the procedures for recruiting :

	Yes, please specify	No
judges	()	(X)
prosecutors	()	(X)
non-judge staff	()	(X)
lawyers	()	(X)
notaries	()	(X)
enforcement agents	()	(X)

[] NA

Comments - if the situation changed since the reference year, please specify in the comments. If you have additional comments please specify:

061-3. Are there specific provisions for facilitating gender equality within the framework of the procedures for promoting :

	Yes, please specify	No
judges	()	(X)

prosecutors	()	(X)
non-judge staff	()	(X)
lawyers	()	(X)
notaries	()	(X)
enforcement agents	()	(X)

Comments - If the situation changed since the reference year or you have additional comments, please specify:

=

061-3-1. Are there specific provisions for facilitating gender equality within the framework of the procedures for the appointment of:

	Yes / No
Court president	() Yes If "yes", please specify:[Comment] (X) No
Head of prosecution services	() Yes If "yes", please specify:[Comment] (X) No

Comments

3.4.2 At national level

061-5. Does your country have an overarching document (e.g. policy/strategy/action plan/program) on gender equality that applies specifically to the judiciary?

() Yes

(X) No

Comments - If the situation changed since the reference year, please indicate in the comments. Could you specify the reference or internet link of this/these document(s) or send/upload it/them to us?

061-6. At national level, is there any specific person (e.g. an equal opportunities commissioner) / institution dealing with gender issues in the justice system concerning:

	Yes, please specify	No
The recruitment of judges	()	(X)
The promotion of judges	()	(X)
The recruitment of prosecutors	()	(X)
The promotion of prosecutors	()	(X)

The recruitment of non-judge staff	()	(X)
The promotion of non-judge staff	()	(X)

Comments - if other than recruitment and/or promotion, please specify. If the situation changed since the reference year, please specify in the comments:

061-6-1. Please specify the text which set up this person/institution :

(title, date, nature of the text)

NAP

061-6-2. Please specify the status of this person/institution:

(e.g. independent, attached to the Ministry of Justice, to the High Judicial Council or equivalent or to an inter-ministerial institution specifically dedicated to gender equality) See general comment.

NAP

061-6-3. Please specify if this person/institution has an information and consultative function or if its opinions/decisions have legal consequences:

(e.g. to block a decision or allow an appeal) See general comment.

NAP

3.4.3 At court/public prosecution services level



061-7. At the court or public prosecution services level, is there a person (e.g. an equal opportunities commissioner)/institution specifically dedicated to ensure the respect of gender equality in the organisation of judicial work:

	Yes	No
in courts (judges)	()	(X)
in public prosecution services (prosecutors)	()	(X)
for courts' non-judge staff	()	(X)

Comments - Please specify the details of this person/institution, in particular its titles and function:

061-8. Does the feminisation of certain functions, if it exists in your country, within courts or public prosecution services, lead to concrete changes in the organisation of the work in the following areas:

	Yes	No
Assignment to different positions	()	(X)
Workload distribution	()	(X)
Working hours	()	(X)
Modalities of teleworking and presence in the workspace	()	(X)
Replacement of absent persons	()	(X)
Organisation of the hearings	()	(X)
Other	()	(X)

Comments - If other, please specify. Could you also indicate concrete examples referring to the various possibilities mentioned? If the situation changed since the reference year, please specify in the comments.

061-9. In order to improve gender balance in access to different judicial professions and equality in promotion and in access to functions of responsibility, what are the measures, in your country, which:

have been already implemented (please specify) :

are planned (please specify) :

Comments - If the situation changed since reference year, please specify in the comments.

[X] NAP

061-10. Are there evaluation studies or official reports regarding the main causes of possible inequalities with regard to:

[] Recruitment procedures, please specify:

- Appointment to the position of court president, please specify:
- Appointment to the position of head of prosecution services, please specify:
- Promotion procedures and access to the functions of responsibility, please specify:
- Other studies, please specify:
- NAP

Comments - Please specify also the reference documents.

3.5 Use of information technologies in courts

3.5.1 General policies in Information Technology in judicial systems

062-1. Basic principles and models used in Information technology policies and strategies definition

	Organisation
IT policies and strategies	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defined and coordinated at national level by one institution <input type="checkbox"/> Defined and coordinated at national level by several institutions <input type="checkbox"/> Defined and coordinated at unit/stakeholder level <input type="checkbox"/> Other
IT Governance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Governed at national level by one institution <input type="checkbox"/> Governed at national level by several institutions <input type="checkbox"/> Organised at unit/stakeholder level <input type="checkbox"/> Other

Comments

065-1. In case there is a national structure in charge of the strategic policy making and governance of the judicial system modernisation (including also IT) what is the composition of this structure?

- administrative, technical and scientific staff only
- mixed teams of judicial staff (judges/prosecutors/etc.) and administrative/technical/scientific staff
- other (please specify in a comment)

Comments - (please specify if there are other modernisation approaches that have been implemented):

065-2. Which is the organisational model primarily chosen for conducting structural IT projects in courts and the management of applications (maintenance, evolution)?

	Implementing new projects	Management of applications
Mainly by an IT department with the help of professionals in the field (judges, prosecutors, non-judge judicial staff, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Mainly by professionals in the field (judges, prosecutors, non-judge judicial staff, etc.) with the help of an internal IT department and/or an external service provider	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No
Other alternatives (external service provider only – specify in a comment)	() Yes (X) No	() Yes (X) No

Comments - please also describe in case of “other alternatives”

065-4. Have you measured the impact resulting from the implementation of one or several components of your new information system?

- (X) Yes
- () No

065-4-1. If yes, have you measured the impact on (multiple answers possible):

- [X] Business processes
- [] Workload
- [X] Human resources
- [X] Costs
- [] Other, please specify

Comments (please specify examples of the impact)

3.5.2 Security of courts information system and personal data protection

065-5. Are there independent audits or other mechanisms to contribute to the global security policy regarding the information system of the judiciary ?

- (X) Yes
- () No

Comments (please specify in particular if national frameworks of information security exist):

065-6. Is the protection of personal data managed by courts ensured at legislative level?

- (X) Yes
- () No

Comment - If yes, please specify among others: if there are authorities specifically responsible for protection of personal data; the extent of the rights granted to citizens in the specific framework of software used by courts; if there are controls or limitations by law regarding the sharing of databases managed by courts with other administrations (police, etc.)

3.5.3 Centralised databases for decision support

062-4. Is there a centralised national database of court decisions (case-law, etc.)?

- (X) Yes
- () Non

Comments

062-4-1. If yes, please specify the following information:

	For 1st instance decisions	For 2nd instance decisions	For 3rd instance decisions	Link with ECHR case law	Data anonymised	Case-law database available free online	Case-law database available in open data
Civil and/or commercial	() Yes all judgements () Yes some judgements (X) No	(X) Yes all judgements () Yes some judgements () No	(X) Yes all judgements () Yes some judgements () No	() Yes (X) No	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No	() Yes (X) No
Criminal	() Yes all judgements () Yes some judgements (X) No	(X) Yes all judgements () Yes some judgements () No	(X) Yes all judgements () Yes some judgements () No	() Yes (X) No	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No	() Yes (X) No
Administrative	(X) Yes all judgements () Yes some judgements () No	() Yes all judgements () Yes some judgements (X) No	(X) Yes all judgements () Yes some judgements () No	() Yes (X) No	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No	() Yes (X) No

Comments - if it exists in other matters please specify

062-6. Is there a computerised national record centralising all criminal convictions?

(X) Yes

() No

Comments

062-6-1. If yes, please specify the following information:

[] Linkage with other European records of the same nature

[X] Content directly available through computerised means for judges and/or prosecutors

[X] Content directly available for purposes other than criminal (civil and administrative matters)

Comments - Please specify who is the authority delivering the access Ministry of Justice.

3.5.4 Writing assistance tools

062-7. Are there writing assistance tools for which the content is coordinated at national level? (models or templates, paragraphs already pre-written, etc.)

(X) Yes

() No

Comment – if it exists in other matters please specify Other: civil enforcement on the basis of the authentic document procedure.

062-7-1. If yes, please specify the following information:

	Availability rate
Civil and/or commercial	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% (all templates are available for all courts of this matter)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% (most of the templates are available for all courts or all templates for most of the courts)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% (some of the templates are available for most of the courts or most of the templates for some of the courts)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% (just starting to become available or in testing phase)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) (does not exist at all for this matter)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NA</p>
Criminal	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% (all templates are available for all courts of this matter)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% (most of the templates are available for all courts or all templates for most of the courts)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% (some of the templates are available for most of the courts or most of the templates for some of the courts)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% (just starting to become available or in testing phase)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) (does not exist at all for this matter)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NA</p>
Administrative	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% (all templates are available for all courts of this matter)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% (most of the templates are available for all courts or all templates for most of the courts)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% (some of the templates are available for most of the courts or most of the templates for some of the courts)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% (just starting to become available or in testing phase)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) (does not exist at all for this matter)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NA</p>

062-8. Are there voice recording tools?

Yes

No

Comments

062-8-1. If yes, please specify:

	Availability of simple dictation tools	Availability of multiple speakers recording tools	Voice recognition feature
Civil and/or commercial	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> in all courts <input type="checkbox"/> in most of the courts <input type="checkbox"/> in some courts / some pilot phases <input type="checkbox"/> not available for this matter <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> in all courts <input type="checkbox"/> in most of the courts <input type="checkbox"/> in some courts / some pilot phases <input type="checkbox"/> not available for this matter <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Pilot testing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Criminal	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> in all courts <input type="checkbox"/> in most of the courts <input type="checkbox"/> in some courts / some pilot phases <input type="checkbox"/> not available for this matter <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> in all courts <input type="checkbox"/> in most of the courts <input type="checkbox"/> in some courts / some pilot phases <input type="checkbox"/> not available for this matter <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Pilot testing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Administrative	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> in all courts <input type="checkbox"/> in most of the courts <input type="checkbox"/> in some courts / some pilot phases <input type="checkbox"/> not available for this matter <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> in all courts <input type="checkbox"/> in most of the courts <input type="checkbox"/> in some courts / some pilot phases <input type="checkbox"/> not available for this matter <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Pilot testing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA

062-9. Is there an intranet site within the judicial system for distribution of news/novelities?

Availability rate:

- 100% - accessible to everyone in judiciary
- 50-99% - accessible for most judges/prosecutors in all instances
- 10-49% - in some courts only
- 1-9% - in one court only
- 0% (NAP) - No access

NA

Comments

3.5.5 Technologies used for administration of the courts and case management

063-1. Is there a case management system (CMS) ? (Software used for registering judicial proceedings and their management)

- Yes
- No

Comments - if it exists in other matters please specify

063-1-1. If yes, please specify the following information:

	CMS deployment rate	Status of case online	Centralised or interoperable database	Early warning signals (for active case management)	Status of integration/connection of a CMS with a statistical tool
Civil and/or commercial	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accessible to parties <input type="checkbox"/> Publication of decision online <input type="checkbox"/> Both <input type="checkbox"/> Not accessible at all <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fully integrated including BI <input type="checkbox"/> Integrated <input type="checkbox"/> Not integrated but connected <input type="checkbox"/> Not connected at all <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Criminal	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Accessible to parties <input type="checkbox"/> Publication of decision online <input type="checkbox"/> Both <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not accessible at all <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Fully integrated including BI <input type="checkbox"/> Integrated <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not integrated but connected <input type="checkbox"/> Not connected at all <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Administrative	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Accessible to parties <input type="checkbox"/> Publication of decision online <input type="checkbox"/> Both <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not accessible at all <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fully integrated including BI <input type="checkbox"/> Integrated <input type="checkbox"/> Not integrated but connected <input type="checkbox"/> Not connected at all <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comment - If it exists in other matters please specify: Other: Civil enforcement on the basis of the authentic document is another informatised procedure where status of case is available on-line. Approx. 21% of all incoming cases is civil enforcement on the basis of the authentic document (see Q91).

063-2. Computerised registries managed by courts

	Deployment rate	Data consolidated at national level	Service available online	Statistical module integrated or connected
Land registry	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Business registry	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
--------------------------	---	---	---	---

Comment – if it exists in other matters please specify:

Budgetary and financial monitoring

063-6. Budgetary and financial management systems of courts

	Tool deployment rate	Data consolidated at national level	System communicating with other ministries (financial among others)
Budgetary and financial management of courts	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Justice expenses management	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Other (please specify in comments)	<input type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

Other tools of courts management

063-7. Measurement tools to assess the workload of judges, prosecutors and/or non-judge/non-prosecutor staff (tool quantifying the activity of judges, prosecutors and/or non-judge/non-prosecutor staff – for example the number of cases resolved)

Yes

No

Comments

063-7-1. If yes, please specify the following information:

Tools deployment rate	Data used for monitoring at national level	Data used for monitoring at court local level	Tool integrated in the CMS
-----------------------	--	---	----------------------------

For judges	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
For prosecutors	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
For non-judge/non-prosecutor staff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

3.5.6 Technologies used for communication between courts, professionals and/or court users

users

064-2. Is there a possibility to submit a case to courts by electronic means?(possibility to introduce a case by electronic means, for example an e-mail or a form on a website)

Yes

No

Comments

064-2-1. If yes, please specify the following information:

	Availability rate	Simultaneous submission of cases in paper form remains mandatory	Specific legislative framework authorising the submission of a case	An integrated/connect ed tool with the CMS
Civil and/or commercial	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Criminal	<input type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
-----------------------	---	---	---	---

Comments - if it exist in other matters please specify Civil and/or Commercial: insolvency cases (eINS) and civil enforcement cases (eIzvršba), where all courts are equipped with CMS which enable electronic submission. The electronic submission in civil and commercial litigious cases is not yet possible. Electronic submission in family matters became available for social services in 2020. All courts are also equipped with CMS which enable electronic submission in registry cases (eZK) and business registry cases (iSRG). Criminal, Administrative (and civil and commercial litigious): currently, efforts are taking place to upgrade the informatised CMS to allow the submission in electronic forms.

Other: Civil enforcement on the basis of the authentic document is an informatised procedure where claims can be filed on-line, with specific legislative framework, without the need for simultaneous submission of cases in paper form, and integrated to CMS. In 2020, more than 116.000 claims were filed, 99,90% of them electronically.

064-3. Is it possible to request legal aid by electronic means?

Yes

No

Comments

064-3-1. If yes, please specify the following information:

	Requesting legal aid electronically
Availability rate	<input type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Formalisation of the request in paper form remains mandatory	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Specific legislative framework regarding requests for legal aid by electronic means	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Granting legal aid is also electronic	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Information available in CMS	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

064-4. Is it possible to transmit summons to a judicial meeting or a hearing by electronic means? (a judicial meeting relates to stages prior to a court hearing, with a view to mediation or

conciliation)

(X) Yes

() No

Comments

064-4-1. If yes, please specify the following information:

	Summons produced by CMS	Simultaneous summon in paper form remains mandatory	Consent of the user to be notified by electronic means	Modalities (if other please specify in comments)	Specific legislative framework
Civil and/or commercial	[X]	[]	[X]	[] SMS [] E-mail [X] Specific computer application [X] Other	[X]
Criminal	[]	[]	[]	[] SMS [] E-mail [] Specific computer application [] Other	[]
Administrative	[]	[]	[]	[] SMS [] E-mail [] Specific computer application [] Other	[]

Comments

Use of information technologies for improving the quality of the communication between courts and professionals

064-6. Are there possibilities of electronic communication between courts and lawyers and/or parties? (sending of electronic files and data concerning a judicial proceeding with or without scanned documents, mainly to develop dematerialised communication)

Tool deployment rate	Trial phases concerned	Modalities (if there are different according to the trial phases or if other, please specify in a comment)	Specific legal framework	Availability for

Civil and/or commercial	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Submission of a case to a court <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Phases preparatory to a hearing <input type="checkbox"/> Schedule of hearings and/or deferrals <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transmission of court decisions	<input type="checkbox"/> E-mail <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific computer application <input type="checkbox"/> Other	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Lawyers <input type="checkbox"/> Parties not represented by lawyer
Criminal	<input type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Submission of a case to a court <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Phases preparatory to a hearing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Schedule of hearings and/or deferrals <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transmission of court decisions	<input type="checkbox"/> E-mail <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific computer application <input type="checkbox"/> Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Lawyers <input type="checkbox"/> Parties not represented by lawyer
Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Submission of a case to a court <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Phases preparatory to a hearing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Schedule of hearings and/or deferrals <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transmission of court decisions	<input type="checkbox"/> E-mail <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific computer application <input type="checkbox"/> Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Lawyers <input type="checkbox"/> Parties not represented by lawyer

Comments

064-7. Terms and conditions of electronic communication used by professionals other than lawyers (sending of electronic data concerning a judicial proceeding with or without scanned documents, mainly to develop dematerialised communication)

Tool deployment rate	Modalities (if there are different according to the deeds or if other, please specify in a comment)	Specific legal framework
----------------------	---	--------------------------

Enforcement agents (as defined in Q169 and following)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> E-mail <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific computer application <input type="checkbox"/> Other	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Notaries (as defined in Q192 and following)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> E-mail <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific computer application <input type="checkbox"/> Other	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Experts (as defined in Q202 and following)	<input type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> E-mail <input type="checkbox"/> Specific computer application <input type="checkbox"/> Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Judicial police services	<input type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> E-mail <input type="checkbox"/> Specific computer application <input type="checkbox"/> Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes

Comments

064-9. Are there online processing systems of specialised litigation (small claim litigation, undisputed claims, preparatory phases to the resolution of family conflicts, etc. – please, specify in “comments” section)?

Yes

No

Comments – Please describe the system that exists. Enforcement proposal on basis of authentic document (for more, see general comments).

Use of information technologies between courts, professionals and users in the framework of judicial proceedings

064-10. Videoconferencing between courts, professionals and/or users (this concerns the use of audio-visual devices in the framework of judicial proceedings such as the hearing of parties, etc.)

Yes

No

Comments In 2020, 736 videoconference connections were used to enabled the main hearings (121 international and 615 within Slovenia).

064-10-1. If yes, please specify the following information and describe in comments of this section the cases of actual use of videoconferencing and the expected benefits (for example,

the use of this device to reduce the number of detainees' transfers to the court):

	Deployment rate (chose one only)	Proceeding phase	Specific legislative framework
Civil and/or commercial	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prior to the hearing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> During the hearing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> After the hearing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Criminal	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prior to the hearing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> During the hearing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> After the hearing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Administrative	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prior to the hearing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> During the hearing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> After the hearing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Comments

064-11. Recording of hearings or debates (sound or audio-visual recording during the investigation and/or trial phase(s))

Yes

No

Comments

064-11-1. If yes, please specify the following information:

	Tool deployment rate	Type of recording	Specific legislative framework
Civil and/or commercial	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sound <input type="checkbox"/> Video <input type="checkbox"/> Both <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Criminal	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sound <input type="checkbox"/> Video <input type="checkbox"/> Both <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Administrative	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sound <input type="checkbox"/> Video <input type="checkbox"/> Both <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
-----------------------	---	---	---

064-12. Is electronic evidence admissible?

	Admissibility of electronic evidence	Legislative framework
Civil and/or commercial	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General law only <input type="checkbox"/> General and specialised law <input type="checkbox"/> Specialised law only <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Criminal	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General law only <input type="checkbox"/> General and specialised law <input type="checkbox"/> Specialised law only <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Administrative	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General law only <input type="checkbox"/> General and specialised law <input type="checkbox"/> Specialised law only <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - Other devices of electronic communication between courts, professionals and/or users

3.6. Performance and evaluation

3.6.1 National policies applied in courts and public prosecution services

066. Are quality standards determined for the judicial system at national level (are there quality systems for the judiciary and/or judicial quality policies)?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

067. Do you have specialised personnel entrusted with implementation of these national level quality standards?

	Yes / No
within the courts	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
within the public prosecution services	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Comments

3.6.2 Performance and quality objectives at court level/public prosecution services



077. Concerning court activities, have you defined performance and quality indicators?

Yes

No

Comments

078. If yes, please select the main performance and quality indicators that have been defined for courts:

- number of incoming cases
- length of proceedings (timeframes)
- number of resolved cases
- number of pending cases
- backlogs
- productivity of judges and court staff
- satisfaction of court staff
- satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)
- costs of the judicial procedures
- number of appeals
- appeal ratio
- clearance rate
- disposition time
- other (please specify):

Comments

077-1. Concerning public prosecution activities, have you defined performance and quality indicators?

Yes

No

Comments

078-1. If yes, please select the main performance and quality indicators for the public prosecution services that have been defined:

- number of incoming cases
- length of proceedings (timeframes)
- number of resolved cases
- number of pending cases
- backlogs
- productivity of prosecutors and prosecution staff

satisfaction of prosecution staff

satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the public prosecutors)

costs of the judicial procedures

clearance rate

disposition time

percentage of convictions and acquittals

other (please specify):percentage of different types of decisions, value of proceeds of crime under freezing order, pronounced criminal sanctions...

Comments

073. Do you have a system to evaluate regularly court performance based primarily on the defined indicators?

Yes

No

Comments

073-0. If yes, please specify the frequency:

Annual

Less frequent

More frequent

Comments - If "Less frequent" or "More frequent", please specify:

073-1. Is this evaluation of the court activity used for the later allocation of resources within this court?

Yes

No

Comments

073-2. If yes, which courses of action are taken?

Identifying to the causes of improved or deteriorated performance

Reallocating resources (human/financial resources based on performance (treatment)

Reengineering of internal procedures to increase efficiency (treatment)

Other (please specify):

Comments

073-3. Do you have a system to evaluate regularly the performance of the public prosecution services based primarily on the defined indicators?

Yes

No

Comments

073-4. If yes, please specify the frequency:

- Annual
- Less frequent
- More frequent

Comments - If "less frequent" or "more frequent", please specify: See general comment.

073-5. Is this evaluation of the activity of public prosecution services used for the later allocation of resources within this public prosecution service?

- Yes
- No

Comments

073-6. If yes, which courses of action are taken?

- Identifying to the causes of improved or deteriorated performance
- Reallocating resources (human/financial resources based on performance (treatment))
- Reengineering of internal procedures to increase efficiency (treatment)
- Other (please specify):

Comments

=

079. Who is responsible for evaluating the performance of the courts (multiple replies possible)?

- High Judicial Council
- Ministry of Justice
- Inspection authority
- Supreme Court
- External audit body
- Other (please specify):the parliament

Comments "Other" - the Parliament

079-1. Who is responsible for evaluating the performance of the public prosecution services (multiple replies possible)?

- Public Prosecutorial Council
- Ministry of Justice
- Head of the organisational unit or hierarchically superior public prosecutor
- Prosecutor General /State public prosecutor
- External audit body
- Other (please specify):the parliament

Comments "Other" - the Parliament

3.6.3 Measuring courts' / public prosecution services activity



070. Do you regularly monitor court activities (performance and quality) concerning:

- number of incoming cases
- length of proceedings (timeframes)
- number of resolved cases
- number of pending cases
- backlogs
- productivity of judges and court staff
- satisfaction of court staff
- satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)
- costs of the judicial procedures
- number of appeals
- appeal ratio
- clearance rate
- disposition time
- other (please specify):

Comments

070-1. Do you regularly monitor public prosecution activities (performance and quality) concerning:

- number of incoming cases
- length of proceedings (timeframes)
- number of resolved cases
- number of pending cases
- backlogs
- productivity of prosecutors and prosecution staff
- satisfaction of prosecution staff
- satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the by the public prosecution)
- costs of the judicial procedures
- clearance rate
- disposition time
- percentage of convictions and acquittals
- other (please specify):percentage of different types of decisions, value of proceeds of crime under freezing order, pronounced criminal sanctions...

Comments "Other": percentage of different types of decisions, value of proceeds of crime under freezing order, pronounced criminal sanctions...

071. Do you monitor the number of pending cases and cases that are not processed within a

reasonable timeframe (backlogs) for:

- civil law cases
- criminal law cases
- administrative law cases

Comments

072. Do you monitor waiting time during judicial proceedings?

	Yes (If yes, please specify)	No
within the courts	(X)	()
within the public prosecution services	(X)	()

Comments

3.6.4 Information regarding courts /public prosecution services activity

080. Is there a centralised institution that is responsible for collecting statistical data regarding the functioning of the courts?

- Yes (please indicate the name and the address of this institution):Ministry of Justice, Županieva ulica 3, SI-1000 Ljubljana
- No

Comments

080-1. Are the statistics on the functioning of each court published?

- Yes, on the internet
- No, only internally (on an intranet website)
- No

Comments

=

080-2. Is there a centralised institution that is responsible for collecting statistical data regarding the functioning of the public prosecution services?

- Yes (please indicate the name and the address of this institution):The Office of the State Prosecutor General of the Republic of Slovenia
- No

Comments

080-3. Are the statistics on the functioning of each public prosecution service published?

- Yes, on the internet
- No, only internally (on an intranet website)
- No

Comments

=

081. Are individual courts required to prepare an activity report (that includes, for example, data on the number of resolved cases or pending cases, the number of judges and administrative staff, targets and assessment of the activity)?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please describe the content of the report and its audience (i.e. to whom the report is intended): See general comments.

081-1. If yes, please specify in which form this report is released:

Internet

Intranet (internal) website

Paper distribution

Comments

081-2. If yes, please, indicate the periodicity at which the report is released:

Annual

Less frequent

More frequent

Comments

=

081-3. Are public prosecution services required to prepare an activity report (that includes, for example, data on the number of incoming cases, the number of decisions, the number of public prosecutors and administrative staff, targets and assessment of the activity)?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please describe the content of the report and its audience (i.e. to whom the report is intended):

081-4. If yes, please specify in which form this report is released:

Internet

Intranet (internal) website

Paper distribution

Comments

081-5. If yes, please, indicate the periodicity at which the report is released:

Annual

Less frequent

More frequent

Comments

3.6.5 Courts administration

082. Is there a process or structure of dialogue between the public prosecution services and courts regarding the way cases are presented before courts (for example the organisation, number and planning of hearings, on-call service for urgent cases, selection of simplified procedures of prosecution...)?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

082-1. Is there in general a process or structure of dialogue between lawyers and courts regarding the way cases are presented before courts in other than criminal matters (e.g. organisation, number and planning of hearings, on-call service for urgent cases)?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

3.6.6 Performance and evaluation of judges and public prosecutors

083. Are there quantitative performance targets defined for each judge (e.g. the number of resolved cases in a month or year)?

Yes

No

Comments

083-1. Who is responsible for setting the individual targets for each judge?

Executive power (for example the Ministry of Justice)

Legislative power

Judicial power (for example the High Judicial Council, Supreme Court)

President of the court

Other (please specify):

NAP

Comments

114. Is there a system of qualitative individual assessment of the judges' work?

Yes

No

Comments

114-1. If yes, please specify the frequency of this assessment:

Annual

(X) Less frequent

() More frequent

=

083-2. Are there quantitative performance targets defined for each public prosecutor (e.g. the number of decisions in a month or year)?

(X) Yes

() No

Comments

083-3. Who is responsible for setting the individual targets for each public prosecutor

[] Executive power (for example the Ministry of Justice)

[] Prosecutor General /State public prosecutor

[X] Public Prosecutorial Council

[] Head of the organisational unit or hierarchically superior public prosecutor

[] Other (please specify):

[] NAP

Comments

120. Is there a system of qualitative individual assessment of the public prosecutors' work?

(X) Yes

() No

Comments

120-1. If yes, please specify the frequency of this assessment:

() Annual

(X) Less frequent

() More frequent

Comments

C4. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: The Supreme State Prosecutor Office

4.Fair trial

4.1.Principles

4.1.1Principles of fair trial

084. Percentage of first instance criminal in absentia judgments (cases in which the suspect is not attending the hearing in person nor is represented by a lawyer)?

[]

NA

NAP

Comments - Please add methodology for calculation used.

085. Is there a procedure to effectively challenge a judge (recusal), if a party considers that the judge is not impartial?

Yes

No

Comments - Please could you briefly specify:

085-1. If yes, what is the ratio between the total number of initiated procedures and the total number of recusals pronounced (in the reference year):

[]

NA

Comments

086. Is there in your country a monitoring system for the violations related to Article 6 of the European Convention on Human Rights?

For civil procedures (non-enforcement)

For civil procedures (timeframe)

For criminal procedures (timeframe)

NAP

Comments - Please specify what are the terms and conditions of this monitoring system (information related to acknowledged violations by ECHR at the State/courts level; implementation of internal systems to prevent other violations (that are similar) and if possible to measure an evolution of the established violations):

086-1. 1 Is there in your country a possibility to review a case after a finding of a violation of the European Convention on Human Rights by the European Court of Human Rights?

Yes

No

NAP

Comments

D1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: The Ministry of Justice

4.2. Timeframe of proceedings

4.2.1 General information

087. Are there specific procedures for urgent matters regarding:

- civil cases
 criminal cases
 administrative cases
 There is no specific procedure for urgent matters

Comments - If yes, please specify:

088. Are there simplified procedures for:

- civil cases (small disputes)
 criminal cases (misdemeanour cases)
 administrative cases
 There is no simplified procedure

Comments - If yes, please specify:

088-1. For these simplified procedures, may judges deliver an oral judgement with a written order and without the full reasoning of the judgement ?

- civil cases
 criminal cases
 administrative cases

Comments - If yes, please specify:

089. Do courts and lawyers have the possibility to conclude agreements on arrangements for processing cases (presentation of files, decisions on timeframes for lawyers to submit their conclusions and on dates of hearings)?

- Yes
 No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

4.2.2 Case flow management – first instance

091. First instance courts: number of other than criminal law cases.

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the first instance court
Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	98 134 [] NA [] NAP	551 822 [] NA [] NAP	545 936 [] NA [] NAP	103 876 [] NA [] NAP	23 360 [] NA [] NAP

1. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases (including litigious enforcement cases and if possible without administrative law cases, see category 3)	31 115 [] NA [] NAP	32 097 [] NA [] NAP	32 262 [] NA [] NAP	30 950 [] NA [] NAP	8 338 [] NA [] NAP
2. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	44 288 [] NA [] NAP	382 730 [] NA [] NAP	384 687 [] NA [] NAP	42 187 [] NA [] NAP	14 586 [] NA [] NAP
2.1. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases, e.g. uncontested payment orders, request for a change of name, non-litigious enforcement cases etc. (if possible without administrative law cases, see category 3; without registry cases and other cases, see categories 2.2 and 2.3)	39 854 [] NA [] NAP	135 459 [] NA [] NAP	135 087 [] NA [] NAP	40 086 [] NA [] NAP	14 468 [] NA [] NAP
2.2. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	4 434 [] NA [] NAP	247 271 [] NA [] NAP	249 600 [] NA [] NAP	2 101 [] NA [] NAP	118 [] NA [] NAP
2.2.1. Non litigious land registry cases	4 061 [] NA [] NAP	204 992 [] NA [] NAP	207 271 [] NA [] NAP	1 778 [] NA [] NAP	117 [] NA [] NAP
2.2.2 Non-litigious business registry cases	373 [] NA [] NAP	42 279 [] NA [] NAP	42 329 [] NA [] NAP	323 [] NA [] NAP	1 [] NA [] NAP
2.2.3. Other registry cases	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP
2.3. Other non-litigious cases	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP
3. Administrative law cases	3 946 [] NA [] NAP	2 893 [] NA [] NAP	3 088 [] NA [] NAP	3 751 [] NA [] NAP	379 [] NA [] NAP
4. Other cases	18 785 [] NA [] NAP	134 102 [] NA [] NAP	125 899 [] NA [] NAP	26 988 [] NA [] NAP	57 [] NA [] NAP

Comments The decrease in the number of resolved cases at 1. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases and 4. Other cases is due to the limitation of operation of courts due to Covid-19 pandemics.

The decrease in the number of pending cases at the end of the year at 2.2.1 Non litigious land registry cases (and consequently at 2.2 Registry cases) is not unusual due to the high number of incoming and resolved cases in a year compared to pending cases at the end of the year (around 1-2%).

Regarding the increase in Administrative law cases - Pending cases older than 2 years: In previous years, the Administrative court was faced with the influx of new cases, due to the implementation of the ECHR judgement 60642/08 (e.g. 24,5 % of incoming cases in 2017), as well as some new competences. This caused an increase in the number of pending and resolved cases. In the aforementioned cases, the court was also faced with new legal and factual issues, as well as administrative difficulties. In recent years, the Administrative court is also dealing with a considerable number of priority or urgent cases (e.g. asylum seekers), which means a longer waiting line for "regular" cases. Though administrative and managerial actions have been taken, the number of (older) pending cases has increased due to the

above-mentioned difficulties and the overburdening of the court.

092. If courts deal with “civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases”, please indicate the case categories included:

. See general comments.

093. Please indicate the case categories included in the category "other cases":

. See general comments.

094. First instance courts: number of criminal law cases.

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the first instance court
Total of criminal law cases (1+2+3)	28 879 [] NA [] NAP	73 368 [] NA [] NAP	70 425 [] NA [] NAP	31 823 [] NA [] NAP	4 272 [] NA [] NAP
1. Severe criminal cases	9 170 [] NA [] NAP	9 550 [] NA [] NAP	7 546 [] NA [] NAP	11 174 [] NA [] NAP	3 222 [] NA [] NAP
2. Misdemeanour and / or minor criminal cases	12 393 [] NA [] NAP	27 970 [] NA [] NAP	28 237 [] NA [] NAP	12 126 [] NA [] NAP	521 [] NA [] NAP
3. Other criminal cases	7 316 [] NA [] NAP	35 848 [] NA [] NAP	34 642 [] NA [] NAP	8 523 [] NA [] NAP	529 [] NA [] NAP

Comments - If you cannot make a distinction between misdemeanour criminal cases and severe criminal cases (according to the CEPEJ definitions), please specify what cases are reported in those categories. If “Other criminal cases”, please specify Until now, at "1. Severe criminal cases" we have reported both criminal investigation and criminal trial cases (see general comment) for the same criminal offence. For 2020, we have excluded data on investigations to report data on criminal trials only, and criminal investigation is reported at 3. Other criminal cases. The main reason is the comparability of data between countries as criminal investigation is not within the jurisdiction of courts in most countries. Investigation cases, that were previously reported at 1. Severe criminal cases and are now reported under 3. Other cases represent 5-10% of all reported cases.

The number of incoming Severe criminal cases decreased by 1% in 2019 and the number of resolved cases decreased by 2% in 2019. However, in 2020 the number of incoming cases decreased by 1%, and the number of resolved cases decreased by 23%, mostly due to limitations of operations of courts due to the Covid-19 pandemics. Consequently, the number of pending cases has also increased by 22%. The number of pending Severe criminal cases, older than 2 years increased in 2019 (by 15%) and stayed roughly the same in 2020, while the number of Misdemeanour cases stayed roughly the same in 2019 and increased significantly (by 128%) in 2020. No specific explanation can be given for any of the mentioned changes. This two factors resulted in increase in total number of pending cases older than 2 years.

4.2.3 Case flow management – second instance



097. Second instance courts (appeal): Number of “other than criminal law” cases.

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the second instance court
Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	2 424 [] NA [] NAP	11 186 [] NA [] NAP	12 428 [] NA [] NAP	1 181 [] NA [] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP
1. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases (including litigious enforcement cases and if possible without administrative law cases, see category 3)	1 614 [] NA [] NAP	6 545 [] NA [] NAP	7 354 [] NA [] NAP	805 [] NA [] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP
2. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	810 [] NA [] NAP	4 641 [] NA [] NAP	5 074 [] NA [] NAP	376 [] NA [] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP
2.1. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases, e.g. uncontested payment orders, request for a change of name, non-litigious enforcement cases etc. (if possible without administrative law cases, see category 3; without registry cases and other cases, see categories 2.2 and 2.3)	789 [] NA [] NAP	4 250 [] NA [] NAP	4 678 [] NA [] NAP	361 [] NA [] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP
2.2. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	21 [] NA [] NAP	391 [] NA [] NAP	396 [] NA [] NAP	15 [] NA [] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP
2.2.1. Non litigious land registry cases	15 [] NA [] NAP	324 [] NA [] NAP	327 [] NA [] NAP	11 [] NA [] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP
2.2.2 Non-litigious business registry cases	6 [] NA [] NAP	67 [] NA [] NAP	69 [] NA [] NAP	4 [] NA [] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP
2.2.3. Other registry cases	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP
2.3. Other non-litigious cases	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP
3. Administrative law cases	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP

4. Other cases	<input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> NA
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If “Other cases” please specify The decrease in pending cases at the beginning and the end of the year is due to the fact that higher courts are successfully reducing the number of pending cases. The decrease in incoming and resolved cases is partially due to the national trend observed in general, and partially due to the limitation of operation of courts due to Covid-19 pandemics.

The discrepancies in categories 2.2.1. Non litigious land registry cases and 2.2.2 Non-litigious business registry cases (and subsequently in 2.2. Registry cases), as well as at Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the second instance court are due to a small absolute number of cases.

098. Second instance courts (appeal): Number of criminal law cases.

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the second instance court
Total of criminal law cases (1+2+3)	606 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	4 597 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	4 852 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	351 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	2 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
1. Severe criminal cases	494 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	3 297 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	3 479 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	312 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
2. Misdemeanour and / or minor criminal cases	104 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	979 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	1 051 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	32 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	2 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
3. Other cases	8 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	321 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	322 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	7 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If you cannot make a distinction between misdemeanour criminal cases and severe criminal cases (according to the CEPEJ definitions), please indicate the categories of cases reported in the category "serious offences" and cases reported in the category "minor offences". If “Other cases”, please specify. The decrease in pending cases at the beginning and the end of the year is due to the fact that higher courts are successfully reducing the number of pending cases. the decrease in incoming and resolved cases is due to the limitation of operation of courts due to Covid-19 pandemics

The discrepancies at Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the second instance court are due to a small absolute number of cases.

4.2.4 Case flow management – Supreme Court

099. Highest instance courts (Supreme Court): Number of “other than criminal law” cases:

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the Supreme Court
Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	606 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	2 062 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	2 233 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	435 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	32 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

1. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases (including litigious enforcement cases and if possible without administrative law cases, see category 3)	467 [] NA [] NAP	1 621 [] NA [] NAP	1 797 [] NA [] NAP	291 [] NA [] NAP	3 [] NA [] NAP
2. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	16 [] NA [] NAP	76 [] NA [] NAP	70 [] NA [] NAP	22 [] NA [] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP
2.1. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases, e.g. uncontested payment orders, request for a change of name, non-litigious enforcement cases etc. (if possible without administrative law cases, see category 3; without registry cases and other cases, see categories 2.2 and 2.3)	14 [] NA [] NAP	66 [] NA [] NAP	63 [] NA [] NAP	17 [] NA [] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP
2.2. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	2 [] NA [] NAP	10 [] NA [] NAP	7 [] NA [] NAP	5 [] NA [] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP
2.2.1. Non litigious land registry cases	2 [] NA [] NAP	10 [] NA [] NAP	7 [] NA [] NAP	5 [] NA [] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP
2.2.2 Non-litigious business registry cases	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP
2.2.3. Other registry cases	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP
2.3. Other non-litigious cases	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP
3. Administrative law cases	123 [] NA [] NAP	365 [] NA [] NAP	366 [] NA [] NAP	122 [] NA [] NAP	29 [] NA [] NAP
4. Other cases	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP

Comments - If “Other cases”, please specify Please note, the procedure of manifested inadmissibility cases are included in figures above. The decrease in the number of (all) pending cases is due to the efficient work of the court in 2019 and 2020. Discrepancies in sub categories (form 1. through 3) are due to a small absolute number of cases).

099-1. At the level of the Highest court (Supreme Court), is there a procedure of manifest inadmissibility?

(X) Yes, please indicate the number of cases closed by this procedure: 1086

() No

Comments Incoming cases in 2020: 1.086.

Closed cases in 2020: 894 (the number includes the decision on inadmissibility (702 cases) and rejected (strike-through) cases (192 cases),

and does not include cases in which the application had been found admissible or cases where the application had been withdrawn.

100. Highest instance courts (Supreme Court): Number of criminal law cases.

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the Supreme Court
Total of criminal law cases (1+2+3)	303 [] NA [] NAP	663 [] NA [] NAP	688 [] NA [] NAP	278 [] NA [] NAP	2 [] NA [] NAP
1. Severe criminal cases	294 [] NA [] NAP	622 [] NA [] NAP	650 [] NA [] NAP	266 [] NA [] NAP	2 [] NA [] NAP
2. Misdemeanour and / or minor criminal cases	9 [] NA [] NAP	42 [] NA [] NAP	38 [] NA [] NAP	12 [] NA [] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP
3. Other criminal cases	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP

Comment - If you cannot make a distinction between misdemeanour criminal cases and severe criminal cases (according to the CEPEJ definitions), please specify what cases are reported in those categories.. If “Other criminal cases”, please specify The discrepancies are due to a small absolute number of cases.

4.2.5 Case flow management and timeframes – specific cases



101. Number of specific litigious cases received and processed by first instance courts.

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec ref. year	Pending for more than 2 years
Litigious divorce cases	638 [] NA [] NAP	1 143 [] NA [] NAP	975 [] NA [] NAP	806 [] NA [] NAP	31 [] NA [] NAP
Employment dismissal cases	361 [] NA [] NAP	837 [] NA [] NAP	615 [] NA [] NAP	583 [] NA [] NAP	4 [] NA [] NAP
Insolvency	7 916 [] NA [] NAP	3 033 [] NA [] NAP	4 190 [] NA [] NAP	6 759 [] NA [] NAP	3 321 [] NA [] NAP
Robbery case	122 [] NA [] NAP	71 [] NA [] NAP	56 [] NA [] NAP	137 [] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP
Intentional homicide	15 [] NA [] NAP	14 [] NA [] NAP	13 [] NA [] NAP	16 [] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP

Comments Litigious divorce cases - the decrease in number of incoming and resolved cases is due to limitations of operation of courts due to the Covid-19 pandemics.

Employment dismissal cases – the number of incoming cases increased by 29% in 2020 (increase by 1% in 2019), which is likely connected to Covid-19 (downsizing of businesses as a consequence of the impact of Covid-19 pandemics to some economy sectors e.g. tourism, restaurants and bars, catering, etc.), while the number of resolved cases decreased by 7%, due to limitations of operation of courts due to the Covid-19 pandemics. Consequently, the number of pending cases has increased by 61%. Insolvency cases - The number of incoming cases is decreasing (personal bankruptcy from 2014 on and bankruptcy of legal persons from 2018 on), therefore the number of

resolved and pending cases is also decreasing.

The discrepancies regarding other categories are due to a small (absolute) number of cases.



=

101-0. Number of procedures/cases relating to asylum seekers and to the right of entry and stay for aliens.

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec ref. year	Pending for more than 2 years
Non-court procedures relating to asylum seekers (refugee status under the 1951 Geneva Convention)	329 [] NA [] NAP	3 548 [] NA [] NAP	3 636 [] NA [] NAP	274 [] NA [] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP
Non-court procedures relating to the right of entry and stay for aliens	25 779 [] NA [] NAP	95 983 [] NA [] NAP	86 715 [] NA [] NAP	35 047 [] NA [] NAP	21 422 [] NA [] NAP
Court cases relating to asylum seekers (refugee status under the 1951 Geneva Convention)	58 [] NA [] NAP	449 [] NA [] NAP	431 [] NA [] NAP	76 [] NA [] NAP	4 [] NA [] NAP
Court cases relating to the right of entry and stay for aliens	90 [] NA [] NAP	61 [] NA [] NAP	62 [] NA [] NAP	89 [] NA [] NAP	15 [] NA [] NAP

Comments Court cases relating to asylum seekers - the increase in the number of incoming cases is most likely due to the immigration situation in the region.

101-1. Could you briefly describe the system in your country dealing with legal remedies relating to asylum seekers (refugee status under the 1951 Geneva Convention) and the right of entry and stay for aliens:

. See general comments.

101-2. Number of cases relating to child sexual abuse and child pornography received and processed by first instance courts.

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the first instance court
Child sexual abuse	60 [] NA [] NAP	50 [] NA [] NAP	26 [] NA [] NAP	84 [] NA [] NAP	12 [] NA [] NAP

Child pornography	55 [] NA [] NAP	45 [] NA [] NAP	37 [] NA [] NAP	63 [] NA [] NAP	10 [] NA [] NAP
--------------------------	-------------------------	-------------------------	-------------------------	-------------------------	-------------------------

Comments - Please explain what are the legal definitions of these categories of offences in your system:

102. Percentage of decisions subject to appeal, average length of proceedings and percentage of cases pending for more than 3 years for all instances for specific litigious cases. The average length of proceedings has to be calculated from the date the application for judicial review is lodged to the date the judgment is made, without taking into account the investigation phase in criminal cases as well as enforcement procedure.

	% of decisions subject to appeal	Average length in 1st instance (in days)	Average length in 2nd instance (in days)	Average length in 3rd instance (in days)	Average total length of the total procedure (in days)	% of cases pending for more than 3 years for all instances
Civil and commercial litigious cases	_____ Max numeric value allowed : 100 11 [] NA [] NAP	404 [] NA [] NAP	74 [] NA [] NAP	101 [] NA [] NAP	_____ [X] NA [] NAP	_____ Max numeric value allowed : 100 13 [] NA [] NAP
Litigious divorce cases	_____ Max numeric value allowed : 100 4 [] NA [] NAP	199 [] NA [] NAP	40 [] NA [] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	_____ Max numeric value allowed : 100 2 [] NA [] NAP
Employment dismissal cases	_____ Max numeric value allowed : 100 25 [] NA [] NAP	201 [] NA [] NAP	95 [] NA [] NAP	187 [] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	_____ Max numeric value allowed : 100 0 [] NA [] NAP
Insolvency cases	_____ Max numeric value allowed : 100 1 [] NA [] NAP	532 [] NA [] NAP	15 [] NA [] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	_____ Max numeric value allowed : 100 26 [] NA [] NAP
Robbery cases	_____ Max numeric value allowed : 100 43 [] NA [] NAP	255 [] NA [] NAP	66 [] NA [] NAP	422 [] NA [] NAP	357 [] NA [] NAP	_____ Max numeric value allowed : 100 20 [] NA [] NAP
Intentional homicide cases	_____ Max numeric value allowed : 100 69 [] NA [] NAP	417 [] NA [] NAP	104 [] NA [] NAP	364 [] NA [] NAP	759 [] NA [] NAP	_____ Max numeric value allowed : 100 27 [] NA [] NAP

Comments The decrease in the duration of Civil litigious and commercial cases at higher and highest instance is due to more efficient work of courts in 2019 and 2020. Due to the nature of work process in higher courts and the Supreme court, the impact of Covid-19 pandemic was not as severe as for first instance courts. In 2020, the first instance courts resolved less cases due to limitation of operation

due to Covid-19 pandemics; consequently higher courts were less burdened with incoming cases.

103. Where appropriate, please indicate the specific procedure regarding divorce cases (litigious and non-litigious):

. See general comments.

104. How is the length of proceedings calculated for the six case categories of question 102? Please give a description of the calculation method.

. See general comments.

4.2.6 Case flow management – public prosecution



105. Role and powers of the public prosecutor in the criminal procedure (multiple options possible):

to conduct or supervise police investigation

to conduct investigations

when necessary, to request investigation measures from the judge

to charge

to present the case in court

to propose a sentence to the judge

to appeal

to supervise the enforcement procedure

to discontinue a case without needing a decision by a judge (ensure consistency with question 36!)

to end the case by imposing or negotiating a penalty or measure without requiring a judicial decision

other significant powers (please specify): Prosecutors can file extraordinary legal remedies against final judicial decisions and file a lawsuit against the defendant to forfeit the assets of illegal origin.

Comments "Other significant powers": Prosecutors can file extraordinary legal remedies against final judicial decisions and file a lawsuit against the defendant to forfeit the assets of illegal origin.

106. Does the public prosecutor also have a role in:

civil cases

administrative cases

insolvency cases

Comments - If yes, please specify:

107. Public prosecutors: Total number of 1st instance criminal cases.

	Number of cases
1.Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	275 591 [] NA [] NAP
2.Incoming/received cases	61 789 [] NA [] NAP
3.Processed cases (3.1+3.2+3.3+3.4)	28 472 [] NA [] NAP
3.1.Discontinued during the reference year (3.1.1+3.1.2+3.1.3+3.1.4.)	38 743 [] NA [] NAP
3.1.1 Discontinued by the public prosecutor because the offender could not be identified	[] NA [X] NAP
3.1.2 Discontinued by the public prosecutor due to the lack of an established offence or a specific legal situation	35 984 [] NA [] NAP
3.1.3 Discontinued by the public prosecutor for reasons of opportunity	2 759 [] NA [] NAP
3.1.4 Discontinued for other reasons	[] NA [X] NAP
3.2.Concluded by a penalty or a measure imposed or negotiated by the public prosecutor	1 298 [] NA [] NAP
3.3.Cases closed by the public prosecutor for other reasons	[] NA [X] NAP
3.4.Cases brought to court	9 130 [] NA [] NAP
4.Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	281 332 [] NA [] NAP

Comments Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year includes 12.452 cases against known perpetrators and 263.139 cases against unknown perpetrators.

Incoming/received cases includes 27.770 cases against known offenders and 34.019 cases against unknown offenders.

Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year includes 12.072 cases against known offenders and 269.260 cases against unknown offenders.

107-1. If the guilty plea procedure exists, how many cases were concluded by this procedure?

	Total	Severe criminal cases	Misdemeanour and / or minor criminal cases
Total number of guilty plea procedures	255 [] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP

Before the main trial	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
During the main trial	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments The reason for fewer negotiations and fewer agreements is mainly a stricter criminal framework for crimes that were still regulated in 2018 in such a way that they could be the subject of negotiations between the prosecutor and the defendant (illegal crossing of the state border or territory under Article 308 of Criminal Code). Due to the above, there was no interest on the part of the defendants as well as the state prosecutors to agree on guilt and criminal sanction as parties to criminal proceedings. In addition to this, an epidemiological reason for measures to prevent the spread of the covid-19 epidemic is cited as the reason for the reduction in negotiations and plea agreements concluded, furthermore, the poor staffing situation and the high workload of state prosecutors who are engaged in urgent matters in the on-call service and in attending court hearings and the prompt announcement of pre-trial hearings shortly after the indictment becomes final, which significantly shortened the time for conducting negotiations and concluding a plea deal.

109. Do the figures provided in Q107 include traffic offence cases?

Yes

No

Comments

D2. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: The Supreme State Prosecutor Office

5. Career of judges and public prosecutors

5.1. Recruitment and promotion

5.1.1 Recruitment and promotion of judges

110. How are judges recruited?

mainly through a competitive exam (open competition)

mainly through a recruitment procedure for experienced legal professionals (for example experienced lawyers)

a combination of both (competitive exam and working experience)

other (please specify):

Comments

111. Authority(ies) responsible for recruitment - are judges initially/at the beginning of their career recruited and nominated by:

An authority made up of judges only

An authority made up of non-judges only

An authority/authorities made up of judges and non-judges

Other

Comments - Please indicate the name of the authority(ies) involved in the whole procedure of recruitment and nomination of judges. If there are several authorities, please describe their respective roles:

111-1. How many members compose this authority?

	Total	Male	Female
Members	11 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	7 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	4 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments – Please specify what is the status of this authority and who is proposing its members? See general comments.

111-2. May non-selected candidates appeal against the decision on recruitment/appointment?

Yes

No

Comments – please specify which body is competent to decide on appeal? See general comment.

112. Is the same authority (Q111) competent for the promotion of judges?

Yes

No

Comments

113. What is the procedure for the promotion of judges? (multiple answers possible)

Competitive test / Exam

Other procedure (interview or other)

No special procedure

Comments - Please specify how the promotion procedure for judges is organised (especially if there is no competition or examination):

113-1. Please indicate the criteria used for the promotion of a judge? (multiple replies possible)

Years of experience

Professional skills (and/or qualitative performance)

Performance (quantitative)

Subjective criteria (e.g. integrity, reputation)

Other

No criteria

Comments - Please specify any useful comment regarding the criteria (especially if you have checked the box “performance” or “other”):

5.1.2 Status, recruitment and promotion of prosecutors

115. What is the status of public prosecution services?

Has an independent status as a separate entity among state institutions

Is part of the executive power but enjoys functional independence (please briefly explain how and to what extent)

- Is part of the executive power (without functional independence)
- Is part of the judicial power but enjoys functional independence (please briefly explain how and to what extent)
- Is part of the judicial power (without functional independence)
- Is a mixed model (please explain)
- Has other status (please explain)

Comments - When appropriate, please specify the objective guarantees of this independence (such as funding) and where they are enshrined (Constitution, legislation etc.).Furthermore, if "mixed model" or "other", please specify.

115-1. Does the law or other regulation prevent specific instructions to prosecute or not, addressed to a public prosecutor?

- Yes
- No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

115-2. If you answered "Yes" to Q115-1, are there exceptions provided by the law/regulations?

- Yes
- No

Comments - Please describe these exceptions:

115-3. If you answered "No" to Q115-1, which authority can issue the specific instructions?

- General Prosecutor
- Higher prosecutor/Head of prosecution office
- Executive power
- Other

Comments - If "Other", please specify:

115-4. What form these instructions may take?

- Oral instruction
- Oral instruction with written confirmation
- Written instruction
- Other
- NAP

Comments - If "Other", please specify:

115-5. In that case, are the instructions:

- Issued seeking prior advice from the competent public prosecutor
- Mandatory
- Reasoned
- Recorded in the case file
- Other
- NAP

Comments - If "Other", please specify:

115-6. What is the frequency of this type of instructions:

- Exceptional
- Occasional
- Frequent
- Systematic
- NAP

Comments

115-7. Can the public prosecutor oppose/report an instruction to an independent body?

- Yes
- No
- NAP

Comments - If yes, please specify to which body/institution and please describe under which conditions.

116. How are public prosecutors recruited?

- mainly through a competitive exam (open competition)
- mainly through a recruitment procedure for experienced legal professionals (for example experienced lawyers)
- a combination of both (competitive exam and working experience)
- other (please specify):

Comments

117. Authority(ies) responsible for recruitment - Are public prosecutors initially/at the beginning of their career recruited by:

- An authority composed of public prosecutors only
- An authority composed of non-public prosecutors only
- An authority composed of public prosecutors and non-public prosecutors
- Other

Comments - Please indicate the name of the authority(ies) involved in the whole procedure of recruitment and nomination of public prosecutors. If there are several authorities, please describe their respective roles:

117-1. How many members compose this authority?

	Total	Male	Female
Members	9	3	6
	<input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> NA
	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - Please specify what is the status of this authority and who is proposing its members?

117-2. May non-selected candidates appeal against the decision on recruitment/appointment?

- Yes

No

Comments - Please specify which body is competent to decide on appeal?

118. Is the same authority (Q.117) formally responsible for the promotion of public prosecutors?

Yes

No, please specify which authority is competent for promoting public prosecutors

Comments

119. What is the procedure for the promotion of prosecutors? (multiple answers possible)

Competitive test / exam

Other procedure (interview or other)

No special procedure

Comments - Please specify how the promotion procedure for prosecutors is organised (especially if there is no competition or examination):

119-2. Please indicate the criteria used for the promotion of a prosecutor:

Years of experience

Professional skills (and/or qualitative performance)

Performance (quantitative)

Subjective criteria (e.g. integrity, reputation)

Other

No criteria

Comments - Please, specify any useful comment regarding the criteria (especially if you have checked the box "performance" or "other"):

5.1.3Mandate and retirement of judges and prosecutors



121. Are judges appointed to office for an undetermined period (i.e. "for life" = until the official age of retirement)?

Yes, please indicate the compulsory retirement age:70

No

Comments - If yes, are there exceptions (e.g. dismissal as a disciplinary sanction)? Please specify:

121-1. Can a judge be transferred to another court without his/her consent:

For disciplinary reasons

For organisational reasons

For other reasons (please specify modalities and safeguards):

No

Comments

122. Is there a probation period for judges (e.g. before being appointed "for life")? If yes, how long is this period?

Yes, duration of the probation period (in years):

No

Comments

123. Are public prosecutors appointed to office for an undetermined period (i.e. "for life" = until the official age of retirement)?

Yes, please indicate the compulsory retirement age:70

No

Comments - If yes, are there exceptions (e.g. dismissal as a disciplinary sanction)? Please specify: See general comment.

124. Is there a probation period for public prosecutors? If yes, how long is this period?

Yes, duration of the probation period (in years):

No

Comments

125. If the mandate for judges is not for an undetermined period (see question 121), what is the length of the mandate (in years)?

[]

[] NA

[X] NAP

Comments

125-1. Is it renewable?

Yes

No

[X] NAP

Comments

126. If the mandate for public prosecutors is not for an undetermined period (see question 123), what is the length of the mandate (in years)?

[]

[] NA

[X] NAP

Comments

126-1. Is it renewable?

Yes

No

[X] NAP

Comments

E1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

5.2. Training

5.2.1 Training of judges

127. Types of different trainings offered to judges:

	Compulsory	Optional	No training proposed
Initial training (e.g. attend a judicial school, traineeship in a court)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
General in-service training	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
In-service training for specialised judicial functions (e.g. judge for economic or administrative issues)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
In-service training for management functions of the court (e.g. court president)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
In-service training for the use of computer facilities in courts	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
In-service training on ethics	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
In-service training on child-friendly justice	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Comments

128. Frequency of the in-service training of judges:

	Frequency of the judges training
General in-service training	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
In-service training for specialised judicial functions (e.g. judge for economic or administrative issues)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
In-service training for management functions of the court (e.g. court president)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed

In-service training for the use of computer facilities in courts	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
In-service training on ethics	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
In-service training on child-friendly justice	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed

Comments - Please indicate any information on the periodicity of the continuous training of judges:

5.2.2 Training of prosecutors

129. Types of different trainings offered to public prosecutors:

	Compulsory	Optional	No training proposed
Initial training	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
General in-service training	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
In-service training for specialised functions (e.g. public prosecutors specialised in organised crime)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
In-service training for management functions (e.g. Head of prosecution office, manager)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
In-service training for the use of computer facilities in office	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
In-service training on ethics	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
In-service training on child-friendly justice	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Comments

130. Frequency of the in-service training of public prosecutors :

	Frequency of the in-service training
General in-service training	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed

In-service training for specialised functions (e.g. public prosecutor specialised in organised crime)	<input type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
In-service training for management functions (e.g. Head of prosecution office, manager)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
In-service training for the use of computer facilities in office	<input type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
In-service training on ethics	<input type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
In-service training on child-friendly justice	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed

Comments - Please indicate any information on the periodicity of the in-service training of prosecutors:

5.2.3 Training institutions

131. Do you have public training institutions for judges and / or prosecutors?

	Initial training only	Continuous training only	Initial and continuous training
Institution(s) for judges	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Institution(s) for prosecutors	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Institution(s) for both judges and prosecutors	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Comments

131-0. If yes, what is the budget of such institution(s)?

	Budget of the institution(s) for the reference year, in €
Institution(s) for judges	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Institution(s) for prosecutors	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Institution(s) for both judges and prosecutors	222 852 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments Adopted budget: 559.604 EUR

Implemented budget: 222.852

In 2020 much of the Judicial Training Centre's (JTC) work was affected by the coronavirus crisis. Part of the adopted budget of the JTC was redirected to other areas of the state budget of the Republic of Slovenia (e.g. health care system). In addition, the JTC provided face-to-face training only in the first two and a half months of 2020. For 5 months (from mid-March until September) the JTC was not able to provide any face-to-face training (due to restrictions related to coronavirus) or online training (primarily due to lack of technical equipment). From September 2020 until the end of 2020 most of the training was provided online, which considerably reduced the costs of the JTC operations.

131-1. If judges and/or prosecutors have no compulsory initial training in such institutions, please indicate briefly how these judges and/or prosecutors are trained?

./

5.2.4 Number of trainings



131-2. Number of in-service training courses available and delivered (in days) by the public institution(s) responsible for training

	Number of in-person training courses available	Number of delivered in-person training courses in days	Online training courses available during the reference year (e-learning)
Total	105 [] NA [] NAP	28 [] NA [] NAP	26 [] NA [] NAP
1. For judges	61 [] NA [] NAP	20 [] NA [] NAP	9 [] NA [] NAP
2. For prosecutors	17 [] NA [] NAP	8 [] NA [] NAP	6 [] NA [] NAP
3. For other non-judge staff	44 [] NA [] NAP	14 [] NA [] NAP	8 [] NA [] NAP
4. For other non-prosecutor staff	14 [] NA [] NAP	6 [] NA [] NAP	5 [] NA [] NAP
5. Training for other professionals	57 [] NA [] NAP	23 [] NA [] NAP	13 [] NA [] NAP

Comments – please specify if there are training courses of judges and/or prosecutors that include other professionals in the field of justice. In 2020 much of the JTC in-service training was affected by the coronavirus crisis. The JTC was able to provide face-to-face training only in the first two and a half months of 2020. For 5 months (from mid-March until September) the JTC was not able to provide any face-to-face training (due to restrictions related to coronavirus) or online training (primarily due to lack of technical equipment on the part of the JTC and JTC's target groups). From September 2020 until the end of 2020 most of the training was delivered online in the form of conferences and seminars. In 2020 certain types of training, e.g. workshops, IT courses, consultations of judges, were not provided, as it was assessed that the on-line format was not suitable for these types of training. The substantially lower number of delivered training in 2020 is thus a result of the coronavirus crisis, on one hand, and the fact that certain types of training that are substantial in number were not delivered, on the other.

The figures in the third column refer to the number of online training courses and not the number of online training courses in days. E-modules for court staff are not included (see general comment). E-courses provided within the HELP Programme are not included – they were held online from July until October 2020.

131-3. Number of participants of the training courses during the reference year

	Number of participants in in-person training courses	Number of participants in online training courses (e-learning)
Total	977 [] NA [] NAP	2 528 [] NA [] NAP
Judges	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP
Prosecutors	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP
Non-judge staff	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP
Non-prosecutor staff	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP
Other professionals	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP

Comments

5.3. Practice of the profession

5.3.1 Salaries and benefits of judges and prosecutors

132. Salaries of judges and public prosecutors on 31 December of the reference year:

	Gross annual salary, in €	Net annual salary, in €	Gross annual salary, in local currency	Net annual salary, in local currency
First instance professional judge at the beginning of his/her career	32 628 [] NA [] NAP	20 568 [] NA [] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP
Judge of the Supreme Court or the Highest Appellate Court (please indicate the average salary of a judge at this level, and not the salary of the Court President)	63 660 [] NA [] NAP	36 984 [] NA [] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP
Public prosecutor at the beginning of his/her career	32 628 [] NA [] NAP	20 568 [] NA [] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP

Public prosecutor of the Supreme Court or the Highest Appellate Instance (please indicate the average salary of a public prosecutor at this level, and not the salary of the Attorney General).	63 660 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	36 984 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
---	---	---	--	--

Comments

133. Do judges and public prosecutors have additional benefits?

	Judges	Public prosecutors
Reduced taxation	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Special pension	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Housing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Other financial benefit	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Comments

134. If “other financial benefit”, please specify:

NAP

=

135. Can judges combine their work with any of the following functions/activities?

	With remuneration	Without remuneration
Teaching	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Research and publication	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Arbitrator	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Consultant	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Cultural function	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Political function	() Yes (X) No	() Yes (X) No
Mediator	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No
Other function	() Yes (X) No	() Yes (X) No

Comments - If rules exist in your country (e.g. authorisation needed to perform these activities), please specify. If “other function”, please specify.

137. Can public prosecutors combine their work with any of the following functions/activities?

	With remuneration	Without remuneration
Teaching	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No
Research and publication	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No
Arbitrator	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No
Consultant	() Yes (X) No	() Yes (X) No
Cultural function	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No
Political function	() Yes (X) No	() Yes (X) No
Mediator	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No
Other function	() Yes (X) No	() Yes (X) No

Comments - If rules exist in your country (e.g. authorisation needed to perform these activities), please specify. If “other function”, please specify:

139. Productivity bonuses: do judges receive bonuses based on the fulfilment of quantitative objectives in relation to the number of resolved cases (e.g. number of cases resolved over a given period of time)?

() Yes

(X) No

Comments - If yes, please specify the conditions and if possible the amounts:

5.3.2 Body/institution of ethics

138. Is there in your country an institution / body giving opinions on ethical questions of the conduct of judges (e.g. involvement in political life, use of social media by judges, etc.)

(X) Yes

() No

Comments

138-1. If yes, who are the members of this institution/body?

- Only judges
- Judges and other legal professionals
- Other, please specify:

Comments

138-2. Are the opinions of this institution / body publicly available?

- Yes
- No
- NAP

Comments - Please describe the work of this institution / body, the frequency of opinions, etc.

138-3. Is there in your country an institution / body giving opinions on ethical questions of the conduct of prosecutors (e.g. involvement in political life, use of social media by prosecutors, etc.)

- Yes
- No

Comments

138-4. If yes, who are the members of this institution/body?

- Only prosecutors
- Prosecutors and other legal professionals
- Other, please specify:

Comments

138-5. Are the opinions of this institution / body publicly available?

- Yes
- No
- NAP

Comments - Please describe the work of this institution / body, the frequency of opinions, etc.

5.4. Disciplinary procedures

5.4.1 Authorities responsible for disciplinary procedures and sanctions

140. Who is authorised to initiate disciplinary proceedings against judges (multiple replies possible)?

- Court users
- Relevant Court or hierarchical superior
- High Court / Supreme Court
- High Judicial Council



- Disciplinary court
- Disciplinary body (disciplinary prosecutor, investigator etc.)
- Ombudsman
- Parliament
- Executive power (please specify):the Minister of Justice
- Other (please specify):
- This is not possible

Comments Executive power - the Minister of Justice

141. Who is authorised to initiate disciplinary proceedings against public prosecutors: (multiple replies possible):

- Citizens
- Head of the organisational unit or hierarchical superior public prosecutor
- Prosecutor General /State public prosecutor
- Public prosecutorial Council (High Judicial Council)
- Disciplinary court
- Disciplinary body (disciplinary prosecutor, investigator etc.)
- Ombudsman
- Professional body
- Executive power (please specify):the Minister of Justice
- Other (please specify):
- This is not possible

Comments Executive power - the Minister of Justice

142. Which authority has disciplinary power over judges? (multiple replies possible)

- Court
- Higher Court / Supreme Court
- High Judicial Council
- Disciplinary court or body
- Ombudsman
- Parliament
- Executive power (please specify):
- Other (please specify):

Comments

143. Which authority has disciplinary power over public prosecutors? (multiple replies possible)

- Supreme Court
- Head of the organisational unit or hierarchical superior
- Prosecutor General /State public prosecutor

- Public prosecutorial Council (High Judicial Council)
- Disciplinary court or body
- Ombudsman
- Professional body
- Executive power (please specify):
- Other (please specify):

Comments

5.4.2 Number of disciplinary procedures and sanctions

144. Number of disciplinary proceedings initiated during the reference year against judges and public prosecutors. (If a disciplinary proceeding is undertaken because of several reasons, please count the proceedings only once and for the main reason.)

	Judges	Prosecutors
Total number (1+2+3+4)	3 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	1 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
1. Breach of professional ethics	3 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	1 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
2. Professional inadequacy	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
3. Criminal offence	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
4. Other	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If "other", please specify:

145. Number of sanctions pronounced during the reference year against judges and public prosecutors:

	Judges	Prosecutors
Total number (total 1 to 10)	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	1 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
1. Reprimand	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	1 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
2. Suspension	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
3. Withdrawal from cases	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

4. Fine	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
5. Temporary reduction of salary	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
6. Position downgrade	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
7. Transfer to another geographical (court) location	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
8. Resignation	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
9. Other	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
10. Dismissal	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If “other”, please specify. If a significant difference exists between the number of disciplinary proceedings and the number of sanctions, please indicate the reasons. In 2020, one procedure against judges has ended (finding alleged offender not responsible).

E3. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: the Judicial Council, the Supreme State Prosecutor Office.

6.Lawyers

6.1.Profession of lawyer

6.1.1 Status of the profession of lawyers

146. Total number of lawyers practising in your country:

	Total	Male	Female
Number of lawyers	1 834 <input type="checkbox"/> NA	976 <input type="checkbox"/> NA	858 <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments

147. Does this figure include “legal advisors” who cannot represent their clients in court (for example, some solicitors or in-house counsellors)?

Yes ()

No (X)

148. Number of legal advisors who cannot represent their clients in court:

[]

[] NA

[X] NAP

=

149. Is legal representation in courts exclusively exercised by lawyers in: (multiple replies possible)

	First instance	Second instance	Highest instance court (Supreme Court)
Civil cases	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Dismissal cases	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Criminal cases – Defendant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Criminal cases – Victim	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Administrative cases	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - Please indicate any useful clarifications regarding the content of lawyers' exclusive rights:

149-0. If other than lawyers may represent a client in court, please specify who:

	First instance	Second instance	Highest instance court (Supreme Court)
Civil society organisation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Family member	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Self-representation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Trade union	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Other	(X) Yes	(X) Yes	(X) Yes
	() No	() No	() No

Comments - If "other", please specify. In addition, for the categories selected please specify the types of cases concerned by this/these representation(s):

149-1. In addition to the functions of legal representation and legal advice, can a lawyer exercise other activities?

- Notarial activity
- Arbitration / mediation
- Proxy / representation
- Property manager
- Real estate agent
- Other law activities (please specify):

Comments

149-2. What are the statuses for exercising the profession of lawyer?

- Self-employed lawyer
- Staff lawyer
- In-house lawyer

Comments

150. Is the lawyer profession organised through:

- a national bar association
- a regional bar association
- a local bar association

Comments

151. Is there a specific initial training and/or exam to enter the profession of lawyer?

- Yes
- No

Comments - Please indicate if there are other specific requirements as regards diplomas or university degrees:

152. Is there a mandatory general in-service professional training system for lawyers?

- Yes
- No

Comments

153. Is the specialisation in some legal fields linked to specific training, levels of qualification, specific diploma or specific authorisations?

- Yes
- No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

F1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: The Slovenian Lawyers (Bar) Association

6.1.2 Practicing the profession

154. Can court users establish easily what the lawyers' fees will be (i.e. a prior information on the foreseeable amount of fees)?

Yes

No

Comments

155. Are lawyers' fees freely negotiated?

Yes

No

Comments

156. Do laws or bar standards provide any rules on lawyers' fees (including those freely negotiated)?

Yes, laws provide rules

Yes, standards of the bar association provide rules

No, neither laws nor bar association standards provide rules

Comments

6.1.3 Quality standards and disciplinary procedures

157. Have quality standards been determined for lawyers?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, what are the quality criteria used?

158. If yes, who is responsible for formulating these quality standards:

the bar association

the Parliament

other (please specify):

Comments

159. Is it possible to file a complaint about:

the performance of lawyers

the amount of fees

Comments - Please specify:

160. Which authority is responsible for disciplinary procedures?

a judge

Ministry of Justice

a professional authority

other (please specify): President of the Supreme Court, Minister of Justice

Comments

161. Disciplinary proceedings initiated against lawyers. (If a disciplinary proceeding is undertaken because of several reasons, please count the proceedings only once and for the main reason.)

	Number of disciplinary proceedings
Total number of disciplinary proceedings initiated (1 + 2 + 3 + 4)	16 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
1. Breach of professional ethics	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
2. Professional inadequacy	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
3. Criminal offence	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
4. Other	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If "other", please specify: The data is from Annual report of the Slovenian Bar Association, for period from 1st March 2020 to 28th February 2021. In this period, the disciplinary prosecutor received 94 new cases and initiated 16 disciplinary procedures. The most present breaches are »inappropriate and offensive behavior in the legal profession« and »taking over the representation of a party, he should, by law, refuse to represent«.

162. Sanctions pronounced against lawyers.

	Number of sanctions
Total number of sanctions (1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5)	8 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
1. Reprimand	4 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
2. Suspension	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

3. Withdrawal from cases	0 [] NA [] NAP
4. Fine	4 [] NA [] NAP
5. Other	[] NA [X] NAP

Comments - If "other", please specify. If a significant difference between the number of disciplinary proceedings and the number of sanctions exists, please indicate the reasons. There is a significant difference between the number of disciplinary proceedings and the number of sanctions. Because of the COVID-19 epidemic many proceedings have not yet been completed (there has been no discussion at the first-instance disciplinary commission). Cases that are final (sanctions imposed) have mostly been initiated in the past.

The data is from Annual report of the Slovenian Bar Association, for period from 1st March 2020 to 28th February 2021. In this period, the disciplinary commission (first instance) received 16 new cases, 25 cases were transferred from the previous period. 8 cases were final in this period, and in 2 cases, the disciplinary act had been withdrawn.

7. Court related mediation and other alternative Dispute Resolution

7.1. Court related mediation

7.1.1 Details on court related mediation

163. Does the judicial system provide for court-related mediation procedures?

(X) Yes

() No

Comments

163-1. In some fields, does the judicial system provide for mandatory mediation with a mediator?

[] Before/instead of going to court

[X] Ordered by the court, the judge, the public prosecutor or a public authority in the course of a judicial proceeding

[] No mandatory mediation

Comments - If there is mandatory mediation, please specify which fields are concerned:

163-2. In some fields, does the legal system provide for mandatory informative sessions with a mediator?

() Yes

(X) No

Comments - If there are mandatory informative sessions, please specify which fields are concerned:

164. Please specify, by type of cases, who provides court-related mediation services:

	Private mediator	Public authority (other than the court)	Judge	Public prosecutor
Civil and commercial cases	(X) Yes () No [] NAP	() Yes (X) No [] NAP	() Yes (X) No [] NAP	() Yes (X) No [] NAP

Family cases	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No [] NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No [] NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No [] NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No [] NAP
Administrative cases	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No [X] NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No [X] NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No [X] NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No [X] NAP
Labour cases including employment dismissals	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No [] NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No [] NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No [] NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No [] NAP
Criminal cases	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No [] NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No [] NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No [] NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No [] NAP
Consumer cases	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No [] NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No [] NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No [] NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No [] NAP

Comments

165. Is there a possibility to receive legal aid for court-related mediation or receive these services free of charge?

Yes

No

[] NAP

Comments - If yes, please specify (only one or both options)::

=

166. Number of accredited or registered mediators for court-related mediation:

	Total	Males	Females
Number of mediators	258 [] NA [] NAP	53 [] NA [] NAP	205 [] NA [] NAP

Comments

167. Number of court-related mediations:

	Number of cases for which the parties agreed to start mediation	Number of finished court-related mediations	Number of cases in which there is a settlement agreement
Total (1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5 + 6)	2 437 [] NA [] NAP	2 164 [] NA [] NAP	922 [] NA [] NAP
1. Civil and commercial cases	2 076 [] NA [] NAP	1 814 [] NA [] NAP	756 [] NA [] NAP
2. Family cases	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP

3. Administrative cases	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
4. Labour cases including employment dismissal cases	361 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	350 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	166 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
5. Criminal cases	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
6. Consumer cases	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - Please indicate the source:

=

168. Do the following alternative dispute resolution (ADR) methods exist in your country?

Mediation other than court-related mediation

Arbitration

Conciliation (if different from mediation)

Other ADR (please specify):Mandatory procedure at the State Attorney Office before filing a law-suit against the state.

Comments

G1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Source: The Ministry of Justice

8.Enforcement of court decisions

8.1.Execution of decisions in civil matters

8.1.1 Number of enforcement agents, status and mandate

169. Number and type of enforcement agents in your country.

	Total	Male	Female
Total (1+2+3+4)	30 <input type="checkbox"/> NA	27 <input type="checkbox"/> NA	3 <input type="checkbox"/> NA
1. Private professionals under the authority (control) of public authorities	30 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	27 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	3 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
2. Enforcement agents working in a public institution (civil servants paid by state)	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

3. Judges	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
4. Other	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If other, please specify their status and competences:

170. What are the requirements to access the profession of enforcement agent (multiple replies possible)?

- diploma
- professional experience
- specific exam
- appointment procedure by the State
- initial training
- other

Comments - If "other", please specify: Other: deemed worthy of public trust, has premises and equipment required to actions of enforcement and security, has insured his damage liability.

171. Are enforcement agents appointed to office for an undetermined period (i.e. "for life" = until the official age of retirement)?

- Yes, please indicate the age of retirement: 70
- No, please specify the duration of the appointment:

Comments - If yes, are there exceptions (e.g. dismissal as a disciplinary sanction)? Please specify:

8.1.2 Activities/scope of competence

171-1. Which debtor's information can the enforcement agent access at the beginning of the enforcement procedure?

	Access to information	Direct electronic access to information
Address	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Date of birth	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Civil status	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Cohabitant	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Employer	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Motor vehicle	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Movable property	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Immovable property	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Bank account	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Other enforcement proceedings underway	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Insolvency proceedings (bankruptcy, judicial reorganisation, collective debt settlement etc.)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Comments - If "other", please specify: Movable property: yes for boats and aircrafts.

171-2. Can the enforcement agent carry out the following civil enforcement proceedings:

	Option
Seizure of movable tangible properties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No [] NAP
Preventive seizure of movable tangible properties	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No [] NAP
Seizure of immovable properties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No [] NAP
Preventive seizure of immovable properties	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No [] NAP
Seizure from a third party of the debtor claims regarding a sum of money	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No [] NAP

Seizure of remunerations	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Seizure of motorised vehicles	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Eviction measures	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Seizures of boats and ships	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Seizure of aircrafts	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Seizure of electronic assets (e.g cryptocurrency)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Enforced sale by public tender of seized properties	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Sale of shares	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
-------	--

Comments

171-3. Apart from the enforcement of court decisions, what are the other activities that can be carried out by enforcement agents?

- Service of judicial and extrajudicial documents
- Debt recovery
- Voluntary or public auctions of moveable or immoveable property
- Custody of goods
- Recording and reporting of evidence
- Court hearings service
- Provision of legal advice
- Bankruptcy procedures
- Performing tasks assigned by judges
- Representing parties in courts
- Drawing up private deeds and documents
- Building manager
- Other

Comments

8.1.3 Training and ICT

172-1. Is there a system of mandatory general continuous training for enforcement agents?

- Yes
- No

Comments Organized by the government's Judicial Training Center.

172-2. Do you have an e-learning training system established for enforcement agents?

- Yes
- No

Comments - If yes, please specify: Trainings by videoconference (Zoom meetings).

172-3. Does the content of the continuous training system also include ICT (related to enforcement procedures)?

- Yes
- No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

172-4. Have an electronic service of documents or electronic notifications been introduced in your country?

Yes

No

Comments

172-5. Does the development of new technologies have an effect on the different stages of the enforcement procedure?

Yes

No

Comments - Please explain: Enforcement agents receive official documents faster by electronic means and it is easier for them to import the document in their IT systems.

8.1.4 Fees



174. Are enforcement fees easily established and transparent for parties?

Yes

No

Comments

175-1. Are the fees charged in case of successful enforcement proceedings freely negotiated?

Yes

No

Comments

175-2. Who has to pay these fees if the enforcement proceedings are successful?

The debtor

The creditor

Other – please specify

Comments

176. Do laws provide any rules on enforcement fees (including those freely negotiated)?

Yes

No

Comments

H0. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Source: Enforcement and Security Act, the Chamber of Enforcement Agents



177. Is there a body entrusted with supervising and monitoring the enforcement agents' activity?

Yes

No

Comments

178. Which authority is responsible for supervising and monitoring enforcement agents?

professional body

judge

Ministry of Justice

public prosecutor

other (please specify): court presidents

Comments Other - court presidents

181. Is there a specific mechanism for executing court decisions rendered against public authorities, including supervising such execution?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

182. Is there a system for monitoring how the enforcement procedure is conducted by the enforcement agent?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

183. What are the main complaints made by users concerning the enforcement procedure? Please indicate a maximum of 3.

no execution at all

non execution of court decisions against public authorities

lack of information

excessive length

unlawful practices

insufficient supervision

excessive cost

unethical behaviour of enforcement agent

other (please specify):

Comments

185. Is there a system measuring the length of enforcement procedures:

	Existence of the system
for civil cases	(X) Yes () No
for administrative cases	(X) Yes () No

Comments

186. Regarding a decision on debt collection, please estimate the average timeframe to serve and/or notify the decision to the parties who live in the city where the court sits (one option only):

- between 1 and 5 days
- between 6 and 10 days
- between 11 and 30 days
- more (please specify):
- NA

Comments The enforcement on the basis of authentic document procedure was taken into account. The average time from receiving the claim to issuing a decision is 4 days (median 2 days) and the average time from issuing to sending a decision to parties is 3,1 days (median 1 day).

The time it actually takes for all parties to receive a decision was not taken into account, due to the procedural law provisions (addressee is notified about the incoming court writing immediately and has 15 days to actually reclaim the mail at the post office). On average, the time from sending to the moment, when all the parties have reclaimed the court writing (in this type of procedure) amounts to 12,5 days (median 16 days).

187. Number of disciplinary proceedings initiated against enforcement agents. (If a disciplinary proceeding is undertaken because of several reasons, please count the proceedings only once and for the main reason.)

	Number of disciplinary proceedings initiated
Total number of initiated disciplinary proceedings (1+2+3+4)	4 [] NA [] NAP
1. For breach of professional ethics	2 [] NA [] NAP
2. For professional inadequacy	2 [] NA [] NAP
3. For criminal offence	0 [] NA [] NAP
4. Other	0 [] NA [] NAP

Comments - If "other", please specify:

188. Number of sanctions pronounced against enforcement agents:

	Number of sanctions pronounced
Total number of sanctions (1+2+3+4+5)	2 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
1. Reprimand	1 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
2. Suspension	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
3. Withdrawal from cases	1 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
4. Fine	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
5. Other	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If "other", please specify. If a significant difference between the number of disciplinary proceedings and the number of sanctions exists, please indicate the reasons: Some disciplinary proceedings were not finished yet at the end of the year 2020.

H1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Source: The Ministry of Justice

8.2. Execution of decisions in criminal matters

8.2.1 Functioning of execution in criminal matters

189. Which authority is in charge of the enforcement of judgments in criminal matters? (multiple replies possible)

- Judge
 Public prosecutor
 Prison and Probation Services
 Enforcement agent
 Other authority (please specify): the probation commission

Comments - Please specify his/her functions and duties (e.g. initiative or monitoring functions). Other - the probation commission

190. Are the effective recovery rates of fines decided by a criminal court evaluated by studies?

- Yes
 No

191. If yes, what is the recovery rate?

- 80-100%
- 50-79%
- less than 50%

Comments - Please indicate the source for answering this question:

9. Notaries

9.1. Profession of notary

9.1.1 Number, status and mandate of notaries

192. Number and status of notaries in your country.

	Total	Male	Female
TOTAL (1+2+3+4)	92 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	34 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	58 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
1. Private professionals (without control from public authorities)	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
2. Holders of public offices appointed by the State	92 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	34 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	58 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
3. Civil servants (paid by the State)	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
4. Other	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If "Other", please specify the status, or if "holder of a public office appointed by the State", please indicate which ministry is mainly engaged in the appointment procedure:

192-1. What are the access conditions to the profession of notary (multiple replies possible):

- diploma
- professional experience
- specific exam
- appointment procedure by the State
- initial training
- other (please specify): See general comment.

Comments

192-2. Are notaries appointed to office for an undetermined period (i.e. "for life" = until the official age of retirement)?

yes, please indicate the age of retirement:70

no, please specify the duration of the appointment:

Comments - are there exceptions (e.g. dismissal as a disciplinary sanction)? Please specify:

9.1.2 Activities/scope of competences

194. What kind of activities do notaries perform (multiple options possible):

	Please select one option
Authentication	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Certification of signatures	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Legalisation of signatures / Apostille	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Legality control of documents	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Mediation	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Taking of oaths	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Non-contentious judicial procedures (e.g. acting as court commissioner in a successions file, performing divorce, division of estate, please specify)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Act as civil servant (for example performing marriage, please specify)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Other judicial functions (for example, payment orders)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Public auctions	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Other (for example collect taxes, run registers etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If “other”, please specify. Please indicate any useful clarifications regarding the content of the notaries’ exclusive rights or, on the opposite, other bodies that also have competences for the listed activities. According to the new Family Code of 2019, notaries gained competence for consensual divorce procedures and agreements on dividing common assets.

194-2. In which areas of law do notaries perform their activities (multiple options possible)?

- Real estate transaction
- Family law
- Succession law
- Company law
- Legality control of gambling activities
- Protection of vulnerable persons
- Other

Comments

9.1.3 ICT, organisation of the profession and training

194-3. Do notaries use specialised ICT systems in their activity?

- In their relations with the State (e.g. courts, registries, chambers of commerce, tax authorities)

In their relations with their clients

In their relations with other notaries (e.g. videoconferencing, system to exchange documents)

Comments

194-4. Which computerised registries can notaries consult?

Land registry

Business registry

Civil status / Population registry

Succession / Family law registry

Any other registry (please specify) Central Registry of Wills, Register of non- possessory liens

None

Comments Any other registry: Central Registry of Wills, Register of non- possessory liens

194-5. Are there registries/ registry infrastructures run by the notaries?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify: See general comments.

194-6. In which computerised registries can notaries modify data (either directly or by submitting an online request)?

	Directly modifying	Indirectly modifying by submitting an online request
Land registry	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Business registry	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Civil status/ Population registry	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Succession / Family law registry	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Any other registry (please specify)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
None	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

194-7. What ICT tools are used by notaries in their relations with clients?

Videoconferencing (e.g. digital advice)

- Digital act
- Digital identification
- Digital archiving
- Other, please specify
- None

Comments

194-8. Who is responsible to run the digital archives?

- Notariat / Professional body
- Other public authority
- Another entity (please specify)

Comments

195. Is there an authority entrusted with supervising and monitoring the notaries' work?

- Yes
- No

Comments

196. If yes, which authority is responsible for supervising and monitoring notaries (multiple options possible)?

- professional body
- court
- Ministry of Justice
- public prosecutor
- other (please specify):presidents of higher courts

Comments "Other": presidents of higher courts

196-1. Is there a system of general continuous training for all notaries?

- Yes
- No

Comments

196-2. Do notaries have training on:

	Yes	No
European law	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Law of another Member State (cross-border training programmes)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments - If yes, please indicate the types (e.g. traditional courses, e-learning, webinar) and the major topics of the training activities: - Enforceability of Notarial deeds and EU law (2020)

- CISUR – Enhancing Judicial Cooperation on the Implementation of the Succession Regulation in Croatia and Slovenia (2020 – webinar)
- The role of the European notariat at Anti Money Laundering (2019)
- Seminar on Family law (Regulation) on matrimonial property regimes -EU 2016/1103 and Regulation on property consequences of registered partnerships - EU 2016/1104)
- EU Family law and Data protection seminar (2019)
- International conference on succession law: “Does Slovenian law of succession need reform?” (2019)
- The form of powers of attorney in foreign countries and their use in the Republic of Slovenia, European private international law in the field of family and inheritance law in notarial practice (2019)

I1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: Chamber of Notaries of Slovenia

10.Court interpreters

10.1.Details on profession of court interpreter

10.1.1Status of court interpreters

197. Is the title of court interpreters protected?

Yes

No

Comments

198. Is the function of court interpreters regulated by legal norms?

Yes

No

Comments

199. Number of registered court interpreters:

[483]

NA

NAP

Comments

200. Are there binding provisions regarding the quality of court interpretation within judicial proceedings?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify (e.g. having passed a specific exam):

201. Are the courts responsible for selecting court interpreters?

Yes, for recruitment and/or appointment for a specific term of office

Yes, for recruitment and/or appointment on an ad hoc basis, according to the specific needs of given proceedings

No, please specify which authority selects court interpreters

Comments

J1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: The Ministry of Justice.

11.Judicial experts

11.1.Profession of judicial expert

11.1.1Status of judicial experts

202. In your system, what types of judicial experts can participate in judicial procedures (multiple replies possible):

Experts designated by the parties in support of their arguments but bound by a duty of independence and impartiality to the court

Experts appointed by the court or other authority independent of the parties

Other system of judicial expertise, please specify

Comments - Please specify who is proposing and appointing experts in an individual case.

202-1. Are there lists or any other form of official registration for judicial experts?

Yes

No

Comments

202-1-1. If yes, at which level is the list established (multiple replies possible):

national

administrative district or federal entity

judicial district

other

Comments - Please, indicate any other comment regarding these lists or databases of experts, if they do exist (e.g. does the expert take an oath? How are his/her skills evaluated? By whom?):

202-1-2. Are these lists publicly available?

Yes, available on the internet

Yes

No

Comments

202-2. Which authority is competent for the registration of judicial experts?

- Ministry of justice
- Courts
- Administrative body
- Independent body (association of judicial experts)
- Other

Comments - Please also specify the registration criteria:

202-3. Is the registration of judicial experts limited in time?

- Yes, for how long
- No

Comments

202-4. Can an expert who is not on the list or not registered be appointed in a case?

- Yes
- No

Comment - If yes, please specify in which cases:

203. Is the title of judicial experts protected?

- Yes
- No

Comments - If appropriate, please explain the meaning of this protection:

203-1. Does the judicial expert have an obligation of training?

	Obligation of training
Initial training	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Continuous training	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Comments

203-2. If yes, does this training concern:

- judicial proceedings
- the profession of expert
- other

Comments

204. Is the function of judicial experts regulated by legal norms?

- Yes

() No

Comments

204-1. On the occasion of a task entrusted to him/her, does the judicial expert have to report any potential conflicts of interest?

(X) Yes

() No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

205. Number of accredited or registered judicial experts:

	Total	Male	Female
Number of experts	1 078 [] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP

Comments The number refers to judicial experts only. The total number of judicial experts and certified appraisers is 1.270.

206-1. Number of cases where expert opinion was ordered by a judge or requested by the parties

	Number of cases
Total (1+2+3+4)	[X] NA [] NAP
1.Civil and commercial litigious cases	4 501 [] NA [] NAP
2.Administrative cases	0 [] NA [] NAP
3.Criminal cases	1 988 [] NA [] NAP
4.Other cases	[X] NA [] NAP

Comments The figures do not include number of cases for insolvency cases and misdemeanour cases (data not available). Additionally, opinions of court appraisers were ordered in 1.779 civil enforcement cases.

205-1. Who defines the amount of the expert remuneration?

	In civil/administrative cases	In criminal cases
Defined by law/by-law or a special regulation	() Yes (X) No [] NAP	() Yes (X) No [] NAP
Defined by the court/judge	(X) Yes () No [] NAP	(X) Yes () No [] NAP

Defined by Ministry of Justice or another ministry (setting a tariff for example)	(X) Yes () No [] NAP	(X) Yes () No [] NAP
Salary of public official (in case of forensic or another specialist – who is public employee)	() Yes (X) No [] NAP	() Yes (X) No [] NAP
Freely agreed between expert and the parties	() Yes (X) No [] NAP	() Yes (X) No [] NAP
Other	() Yes (X) No [] NAP	() Yes (X) No [] NAP

Comments - If other, please specify:

206. Are there binding provisions for judicial experts regarding:

	Yes	No
Deadlines to provide expertise	(X)	()
Quality of expertise	()	(X)
Other	(X)	()

[] NAP

Comments - If yes, please specify, and provide details in case there are possible sanctions:

207-1. Does the judge or another body control the progress of the expertise?

(X) Yes

() No

If yes, please specify:

207-2. Are judicial experts' associations involved in:

[] Selection processes

[X] Initial or continuous training

[] Disciplinary procedures

[] NAP

Comments

K1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: The Ministry of Justice, the Supreme Court of the Republic of Slovenia

12.Reforms in judiciary

12.1.Foreseen reforms

12.1.1Reforms

208. Can you provide information on the current debate in your country regarding the functioning of justice? Are there undergoing or foreseen reforms? If possible, please observe the following categories:

208-1. (Comprehensive) reform plans

- Yes (planned)
 Yes (adopted)
 Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)
 No
 NA

Comments - If yes, please specify:

208-2. Budget

- Yes (planned)
 Yes (adopted)
 Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)
 No
 NA

Comments - If yes, please specify:

208-3. Courts and public prosecution services (e.g. powers and organisation, structural changes - e.g. reduction of the number of courts (geographic locations), competences of the courts, management and working methods, information technologies, backlogs and efficiency, court fees, renovations and construction of new buildings)

- Yes (planned)
 Yes (adopted)
 Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)
 No
 NA

Comments - If yes, please specify: ADOPTED LEGISLATION

- Act on provisional measures for judicial, administrative and other public matters to cope with the spread of infectious disease SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) (Official Gazette of the Republic of Slovenia No 36/20 and 61/20)

<http://www.pisrs.si/Pis.web/pregledPredpisa?id=ZAKO8183>

The Act was adopted during the epidemy of SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) and regulated the operation of courts, rights of the parties, deadlines in court cases and other provisions which enabled the functioning of courts in a limited scope. The act also enabled the President of the Supreme Court to specify the scope of the courts in connection with the provisions of the Courts Act. The Act expired on the 1st of July 2020.

- The Act amending the Courts Act (ZS-M; Official Gazette of the Republic of Slovenia No. 104/20)

During the epidemic of COVID-19 the Act on provisional measures for judicial, administrative and other public matters to cope with the spread of infectious disease SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) was adopted. The Act expired on the 1st of July 2020. To avoid the adoption of a new law due to the possible epidemic situation, the Act amending Courts Act was adopted. The Act enables the functioning of courts according to the scale of the extraordinary event (epidemic, natural disaster) and expands the operation of courts between the 15th of July and the 15th of August (operation of courts during summer, so called "court holidays"). **PLANNED LEGISLATION**

- The Ministry of Justice is in the process of preparing amendments to the Court Fees Act, which shall harmonise the Court Fees Act with changes in the substantive rules which have occurred since the implementation of the last amendments of this Act in 2016, in the field of procedures in family matters. Amendments will be also subject to harmonisation of the court fees regime with the decision of the Constitutional Court, with which the Constitutional Court more than previously limited the rise of court fees for civil proceedings. In addition to that certain shortcomings in the current regulation, as regards the court fees exemptions, will be abolished.

- Judicial legislation, which includes the Courts Act and the Judicial Service Act, is currently under revision by the Ministry of Justice. The main goal of this reform is to set up a system, which could assure better quality and efficiency of adjudication, specialization of judges and even allocation of cases. After the reform, there should be only one type of first instance courts, district court. Furthermore, the reform should assure proper access to courts and financial efficiency. In this phase, we are maintaining the current courts network, because we still want to keep accessibility to courts. (there is an exception of the Higher Court in Celje which will expand with the District court in Krško). We want to prepare such normative bases that will increase the efficiency of the exercise of judicial power so that the current local courts, which will become external units of the district court, can receive new content. The revision of judicial legislation will hopefully bring significant improvements in the functioning of courts. It is a demanding project, the solutions of which must first and foremost be coordinated with all judicial stakeholders. There are still some open issues, e. g. evaluation and promotion process of judges, the possibility of changing the body responsible for evaluating the work of judges, mentoring, introduction of a single judge in terms of district judges in the title of district judges and change of disciplinary procedures. The preparations of amendments to the acts are in the final phase. After the completion of the internal coordination, the coordination among judicial stakeholders will follow which will be a demanding project.

208-4. Access to justice and legal aid

Yes (planned)

Yes (adopted)

Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)

No

NA

Comments - If yes, please specify:

208-5. High Judicial Council

Yes (planned)

Yes (adopted)

Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)

No

NA

Comments - If yes, please specify: The Ministry of Justice is in the process of preparing amendments to the Judicial Council Act (hereinafter: ZSSve; Official Gazette of the Republic of Slovenia, No. 23/17), which was adopted in 2017. With the new law the Judicial Council got a bigger role by ensuring the quality of the candidates to be elected to judicial office, new powers in the field of judicial administration (also regarding the court network) and the sole competence for taking disciplinary actions regarding judges. After three years of application of the new ZSSve, in the year 2021 an assessment of its effects was prepared, which included an assessment of whether the goals, set in the reform of the legislation about the Judicial Council, have been achieved and what was its contribution to consolidating the role and position of the Judicial Council.

In the Assessment the distinctly positive aspect of the ZSSve was highlighted, which provided the Judicial Council, as an important and independent sui generis state body, with an appropriate position in relation to the role, assigned to it by the Constitution of the Republic of

Slovenia. However, in the implementation of the statutory provisions of the ZSSve in practice, several questions and dilemmas arose, especially in connection to procedural provisions of disciplinary proceedings against judges. Based on the results of the Assessment, the Ministry of Justice is in the process of preparing the appropriate changes of the ZSSve.

208-6. Legal professionals (judges, public prosecutors, lawyers, notaries, enforcement agents, etc.): organisation, education and training, etc.

- Yes (planned)
 Yes (adopted)
 Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)
 No
 NA

Comments - If yes, please specify: For the last few years, the comprehensive reform of the legal state exam for legal professionals is being prepared. The reform is still at the stage of collecting ideas as well as the basic direction of the renovation.

Reform regarding judges - see above under 208-3

208-7. Gender balance

- Yes (planned)
 Yes (adopted)
 Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)
 No
 NA

Comments - If yes, please specify:

208-8. Reforms regarding civil, criminal and administrative laws, international conventions and cooperation activities

- Yes (planned)
 Yes (adopted)
 Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)
 No
 NA

Comments - If yes, please specify: ADOPTED LEGISLATION

Act amending The Cooperation in Criminal Matters with Member States of the European Union Act (ZSKZDEU-1C; Official Gazette of the Republic of Slovenia No. 94/21):

- implementation of the Regulation (EU) 2018/1805 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 14 November 2018 on the mutual recognition of freezing orders and confiscation orders and implementation of the Regulation (EU) 2018/1727 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 14 November 2018 on the European Union Agency for Criminal Justice Cooperation (Eurojust), and replacing and repealing Council Decision 2002/187/JHA.

Act amending the Criminal Procedure Act (ZKP-O, Official Gazette of the Republic of Slovenia, n. 200/2020)

The main purpose of the changes was to adapt the legal basis for the beginning of the functioning of the European Public Prosecutors Office (EPPO) – therefore the changes of the law are to be understood together with the already valid EU regulation. Republic of Slovenia chose a minimalistic approach, by-passing the judicial investigation phase (while preserving the role of the investigative judge), which still remains as a part of the “regular” procedure.

With the changes of the Criminal Procedure also the Directive (EU) 2016/800 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 May

2016 on procedural safeguards for children who are suspects or accused persons in criminal proceedings was implemented. It provides minimal procedural guarantees. Most of the solutions were already included in the valid legislation, just minor adaptations were needed.

Beside that also other important solutions were included in the changes of the Criminal Procedure Act (ZKP-O):

- the possibility of joining cases in the early phase of the pre-criminal procedure (by the state prosecutors) was enforced
- the possibility to inform (or reject informing of the) suspect regarding crime charge, filed against him was introduced
- a mechanism to secure timely communication between the state prosecutor and the police was enforced
- the deadline for the state prosecutors to act upon the crime charge was entered in the law
- the regulation of how the evidence enclosed to the indictment and demand for the judicial investigation should be marked is now more clear
- the deadline, after which the trial should be started again (from the beginning), was prolonged
- the hearing of witnesses, who are otherwise from abroad, was made easier
- state prosecutors are now obliged to ask the state body (which exerts some sort of control on a certain field) for its opinion prior to rejecting its crime report
- persons, invited by the police, are refunded their travel costs and cost of their defence (if provided by the state)
- the integrity of victims is protected by allowing them not to list their home address before the hearing (similar benefit will be available to officials, heard as witnesses)
- suspects are notified about their right to free of charge legal help before they are heard
- courts have the possibility to perform whole sessions through audio-videoconferences (until now the valid legal basis provided only for such hearings)
- the obligation to perform a full trial at second instance was reinforced
- the possibility of judges of the Supreme court to issue concurring and dissenting opinions was introduced.
- the legal basis for management of cases in electronic form was adapted accordingly.
- the deadline for complaints against some important judicial decisions was prolonged from 8 to 15 days; similarly the deadline to file the indictment was prolonged.

PLANNED LEGISLATION

The Ministry of Justice has already prepared and harmonised the Proposal of the Law on Amendments to the Criminal Code (hereinafter the Proposal). The Government of the Republic of Slovenia has deliberated the Proposal at its session on the 9th of September 2021 and sent it to the National Assembly of the Republic of Slovenia for legislative procedure and adoption.

The Proposal contains a complementary or full implementation of the following EU documents and conventions of the Council of Europe and United Nations:

- Directive 2013/40/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 August 2013 on Attacks against Information Systems and replacing Council Framework Decision 2005/222/JHA
- Directive (EU) 2017/1371 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 July 2017 on the Fight against Fraud to the Union's Financial Interests by means of Criminal Law
- Directive 2014/57/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 April 2014 on Criminal Sanctions for Market Abuse (Market Abuse Directive)
- Directive 2014/62/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 15 May 2014 on the Protection of the Euro and other Currencies against Counterfeiting by Criminal Law, and replacing Council Framework Decision 2000/383/JHA
- Directive (EU) 2019/713 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 April 2019 on combating Fraud and Counterfeiting of Non-Cash Means of Payment and replacing Council Framework Decision 2001/413/JHA
- International Convention for the Suppression of the Financing of Terrorism
- Additional Protocol to the Council of Europe Convention on the Prevention of Terrorism
- Council of Europe Convention against Trafficking in Human Organs
- Council of Europe Convention on the counterfeiting of medical products and similar crimes involving threats to public health
- International Convention against Doping in Sport
- Council of Europe Convention on the manipulation of sports competitions
- Council of Europe Convention on Offences relating to Cultural Property
- International Convention for the Protection of All Persons from Enforced Disappearance.

208-9. Enforcement of court decisions and in particular regarding decisions against public authorities

- Yes (planned)
 Yes (adopted)
 Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)
 No
 NA

Comments - If yes, please specify:

208-10. Mediation and other Alternative Dispute Resolution

- Yes (planned)
 Yes (adopted)
 Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)
 No
 NA

Comments - If yes, please specify:

208-11. Fight against crime

- Yes (planned)
 Yes (adopted)
 Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)
 No
 NA

Comments - If yes, please specify:

208-12. Prison system

- Yes (planned)
 Yes (adopted)
 Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)
 No
 NA

Comments - If yes, please specify:

208-13. Child friendly justice

- Yes (planned)
 Yes (adopted)
 Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)
 No
 NA

Comments - If yes, please specify: The Ministry of Justice has already prepared the Proposal of the law on child protection in criminal

procedures and comprehensive treatment of children in the children's house. The planned adoption of the law by the National Assembly is in 2021. The law will define the framework for setting up the first Children's House in the country based on the Barnahus model. Barnahus is a Council of Europe-promoted model to address child sexual abuse by coordinating parallel criminal and social welfare investigations in a child-friendly and safe environment. It brings under one roof all relevant professionals (the judge, the prosecutor, the police, social workers and medical professionals such as psychologists and forensic doctors) to obtain from the child victim of sexual abuse the necessary information for investigation and court proceedings, to prevent his/her re-traumatisation and provide support, including medical and therapeutic assistance. In 2015, the Committee of the Parties to the Lanzarote Convention recognised the Barnahus model as an example of a good practice for an effective and coordinated response to child sexual abuse. See also the answer to question 208-8 (for minor offenders).

208-14. Domestic violence

- Yes (planned)
- Yes (adopted)
- Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)
- No
- NA

Comments - If yes, please specify:

208-15. New information and communication technologies

- Yes (planned)
- Yes (adopted)
- Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)
- No
- NA

Comments - If yes, please specify: On the basis of the changes of the Criminal Procedure Act (see also the answer to question 208-8) the regulation, which provides for electronic management of (some of) judicial civil cases, was expanded, so that electronic management became possible also in the criminal procedure. Currently, the technical conditions are being prepared for the actual changes to take place

208-16. Other

- Yes (planned)
- Yes (adopted)
- Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)
- No
- NA

Comments - If yes, please specify: