



Budget : In 2022, the implemented budget of the judicial system of the Slovak Republic amounts to 393 881 696 € for its judicial system. This corresponds to 72,6 € per inhabitant, remaining slightly below the CoE median. The budget, representing 0,45% of the GDP, is significantly higher than the median level among CoE states and entities. The budget for the courts is 49,0 € per inhabitant in 2022, a decrease from 50,5 € per inhabitant in 2020. The legal aid budget is 1,9 € per inhabitant, which is below the CoE median.

**Gender balance:** Females constitute approximately two-thirds of the total professional judges in the Slovak Republic. However, while this distribution persists across all three instances, the ratio is inverted when considering the proportions of court presidents, with approximately two-thirds being males. A similar trend is observed when analysing the figures for public prosecutors, where females represent 51% of the total, while this ratio drops to 42% when considering the number of heads of prosecution services.

Judicial map: A Supreme Administrative Court was established in 2021 and started to act as an appeal court. Previously, last instance administrative cases were dealt with by the Supreme Court.

**Information and Communication Technologies (ICT):** Compared to 2020, the Slovak Republic significantly reduced its expenditure on ICT in 2022. The budget allocated to ICT was reduced by 60%. After a period of investment in previous years, which allowed a positive evolution in ICT, particularly in communications and electronic submissions, the focus in 2022 shifted to the maintenance of existing systems.

**Efficiency**: In both first and second instances, courts are most efficient in criminal matters. Simultaneously, the highest Disposition Time is reported in first instance administrative cases, marking an increase for the third evaluation cycle in a row. The Disposition Time for administrative cases, in particular, is well above the CoE median in both first and second instances. The Disposition Time increased from 2020 for all matters and all instances, except for civil and commercial cases in the first instance.



#### **Gender Balance**



### Absolute gross salaries



## Ratio with the average annual gross salary

Salary at the begining of career  $% \left( {{{\mathbf{x}}_{i}} \right)$ 



Salary at the end of career



### **Training of Justice Professionals**



\* This indicator is calculated as follows: the number of participants in live trainings is divided by the number of professionals for that category. For example, if the CoE Median for judges is 3,9, this means that, each judge in Europe participated to 3,9 live trainings (as mid value). Indeed, this analysis allows to better understand quantity of training per professional if all were trained.

## **CEPEJ Efficiency Indicators**

Clearance Rate (CR) = (Resolved cases / Incoming cases) *100		Instance
CR > 100%, the court/judicial system is able to resolve more cases than it received => backlog is decreasing	Slovak Republic	1st Instance
CR < 100%, the court/judicial system is able to resolve fewer cases than it received => backlog is increasing	CoE Median	2nd Instance
Dispostion Time (DT) = (Pending cases / Resolved cases) *365		
The Disposition Time (DT) is the theoretical time for a pending case to be resolved, taken into consideration the current pace of work of the courts		Highest Instance

	Clearance Rate			Disposition Time (in days)	Evolution of Disposition Time						
						2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022
Civil	1st Instance	108%	100% 9 <mark>9</mark> 9%	168 239	Civil	437	524	130	157	204	168
	2nd Instance	95%	99%	206 200		- NA	- NA	121	186	177	206
	Highest Instance	NA	105%	NA 152		• NA	• NA	• NA	127	249	• NA
Criminal	1st Instance	99%	99%	133	Criminal	145	136	63	124	125	133
	2nd Instance	99%	99%	52 110		77	90	28	<b>4</b> 0	45	52
	Highest Instance	98%	100%	133		<b>3</b> 5	<b>4</b> 4	71	92	101	133
Administrative	1st Instance	93%	98%	648 2 <u>92</u>	Administrative	733	397	203	401	585	648
	2nd Instance	94%	103%	431 215		135	110	299	73	• 0	431
	Highest Instance	NAP	102%	NAP 234		202	311	354	297	388	• NAP

## Incoming Cases











#### **Public Prosecution Services**



Note: There are different methodologies for calculating the number of cases in the prosecution services' statistics: by event or by perpetrator. The CEPEJ collects data per case (event), but some countries present it per perpetrator.

