


Judiciary at a glance in Slovak Republic

General data


Population: 5 459 781 **GDP per capita:** 16 770 € **Average annual salary:** 15 275 €

Professionals

Judicial professionals per 100 000 inhabitants




Gross salaries of judges and prosecutors vs average annual salary in the country




Efficiency

Clearance rate by instance and by matter (%)




Disposition time by instance and by matter (in days)



Information and communication technology

ICT tools assessment from 2018 to 2020



*ICT calculations are described in more details in Annex 5 - IT Calculation methodology


Synthesis table for the main indicators for: Slovak Republic

Economic and demographic data	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations					
										2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Population	5 410 836	5 415 949	5 421 349	5 426 252	5 435 343	5 443 120	5 450 421	5 457 873	5 459 781	0,9%	0,3%	0,3%	0,1%	0,1%	0,0%
GDP per capita	13 207	13 319	13 880	14 400	14 910	15 620	16 550	17 254	16 770	27,0%	7,4%	11,0%	6,0%	4,3%	-2,8%
Exchange rate (local currency needed to obtain 1€)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
Average annual salary	9 660		10 296		10 944		12 156	13 198	15 275	58,1%	6,3%	11,1%		8,6%	15,7%
Resources	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Professional judges per 100 000 inhab.	24,2	24,8	24,4	23,8	24,1	25,3	25,3	25,1	23,9	-1,0%	-1,1%	4,8%	0,0%	-0,7%	-4,7%
Non-judge staff per 100 000 inhab.	82,8	83,0	82,4	80,9	82,5	84,8	86,4	86,7	90,0	8,6%	0,1%	4,8%	1,9%	0,3%	3,8%
Lawyers per 100 000 inh.	96,3	102,3	107,5	110,4	113,0	110,9	112,1	113,3	114,8	19,2%	5,1%	-0,8%	1,1%	1,1%	1,3%
Mediators	11,7	15,6	19,7	23,0	26,7	30,6	16,8	14,6	16,1	37,3%	35,4%	-37,2%	-45,2%	-12,7%	9,9%
ICT overall assesment							6,9	6,9	7,3					-0,5%	6,1%
First instance incoming cases per 100 inhab.	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Civil and commercial litigious cases	2,987	3,013	2,791	2,055	3,705	3,540	2,330	2,138	1,975	-33,9%	32,7%	-37,1%	-34,2%	-8,2%	-7,6%
Administrative law cases	0,347	0,2	0,2	0,198	0,163	0,093	0,093	0,101	0,093	-73,3%	-23,9%	-43,0%	0,4%	9,0%	-8,2%
Total criminal law cases									1,206						
First instance performance indicators (Clearance Rate)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020 (percentage points)	2014-2016 (percentage points)	2016-2018 (percentage points)	2017-2018 (percentage points)	2018-2019 (percentage points)	2019-2020 (percentage points)
CR civil and commercial litigious cases	82%	81%	92%	133%	132%	129%	131%	110%	100%	18,14	40,23	-1,39	1,36	-20,71	-10,15
CR administrative law cases	47%	85%	125%	124%	112%	118%	96%	81%	87%	39,61	-12,81	-15,92	-22,04	-14,73	5,39
CR total criminal law cases									100%						
First instance performance indicators (Disposition Time)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
DT civil and commercial litigious cases (days)	437	505	524	401	130	171	157	170	204	-53,3%	-75,3%	21,3%	-7,9%	8,5%	19,9%
DT administrative law cases (days)	733	746	397	374	203	317	401	518	585	-20,2%	-49,0%	98,2%	26,7%	29,0%	12,9%
DT total criminal law cases (days)									125						
First instance pending cases per 100 inhab. on 31 dec.	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Civil and commercial litigious cases	2,92	3,36	3,67	3,00	1,74	2,14	1,31	1,10	1,10	-62,2%	-52,8%	-24,5%	-38,8%	-16,2%	0,5%
Administrative law cases	0,33	0,36	0,29	0,25	0,10	0,09	0,10	0,12	0,13	-60,8%	-65,2%	-3,1%	3,5%	19,1%	10,5%
Total criminal law cases									0,41						
Second instance performance indicators (Clearance Rate)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020 (percentage points)	2014-2016 (percentage points)	2016-2018 (percentage points)	2017-2018 (percentage points)	2018-2019 (percentage points)	2019-2020 (percentage points)
CR civil and commercial litigious cases			NA	NA	125%	116%	105%	111%	116%		NA	-20,75	-11,25	6,19	4,85
CR administrative law cases			111%	90%	92%	500%	250%	-	-		-19,44	158,33	-250,00		
CR total criminal law cases									100%						
Second instance performance indicators (Disposition Time)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
DT civil and commercial litigious cases (days)			NA	NA	121	168	186	175	177		NA	54,0%	10,9%	-5,8%	1,3%
DT administrative law cases (days)			110	154	299	292	73	-	0		172,7%	-75,6%	-75,0%		
DT total criminal law cases (days)									45						
Supreme court performance indicators (Clearance Rate)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020 (percentage points)	2014-2016 (percentage points)	2016-2018 (percentage points)	2017-2018 (percentage points)	2018-2019 (percentage points)	2019-2020 (percentage points)
CR civil and commercial litigious cases			NA	NA	NA	122%	117%	106%	90%		NA	NA	-4,78	-10,93	-16,26
CR administrative law cases			79%	84%	108%	162%	120%	111%	99%		28,87	12,06	-42,21	-8,34	-12,16
CR total criminal law cases									99%						
Supreme court performance indicators (Disposition Time)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
DT civil and commercial litigious cases (days)			NA	NA	NA	104	127	172	249		NA	NA	22,9%	35,1%	44,6%
DT administrative law cases (days)			311	371	354	275	297	314	388		14,0%	-16,2%	8,1%	5,7%	23,5%
DT total criminal law cases									101						

1. Judicial organisation in Slovak Republic

The entire court system of the Slovak republic consists of 54 District Courts, 8 Regional Courts, The Specialized Criminal Court and the Supreme Court of the Slovak republic.


Distribution of general courts in Slovak Republic



According to 2020 data, the distribution between 1st instance and higher instances courts of general jurisdiction in Slovak Republic is 86% - 14%, that is around the EU median of 87% - 13%.

Evolution of number of first instance courts in Slovak Republic

	Geographic locations	Legal entities	
		General jurisdiction	Specialised jurisdiction
2012	64	54	9
2013	64	54	9
2014	64	54	9
2015	64	54	9
2016	64	54	9
2017	64	54	9
2018	63	54	9
2019	64	54	9
2020	64	54	1



The Constitutional Court is not included. In Slovak republic the Constitutional Court can deal with some rare individual cases.

In 2020 the provided number of specialised courts changed from 9 to 1 because of a better understanding of the question. In Slovak Republic there are 8 administrative courts in fact, but they cannot be considered as legal entities as they are part of the regional courts, and they are already counted in the number of general jurisdiction courts.

Distribution of first instance general jurisdiction and specialised courts



The distribution between number of general jurisdiction courts and specialised courts of 98,2% - 1,8% is very different from the EU median (distribution tendency in EU: 75,5% - 24,5%).

Specialised courts	First instance	Higher instance
Total	1	1
Commercial courts (excluded insolvency courts)	NAP	NAP
Insolvency courts	NAP	NAP
Labour courts	NAP	NAP
Family courts	NAP	NAP
Rent and tenancies courts	NAP	NAP
Enforcement of criminal sanctions courts	NAP	NAP
Fight against terrorism, organised crime and corruption	1	1
Internet related disputes	NAP	NAP
Administrative courts	NAP	NAP
Insurance and / or social welfare courts	NAP	NAP
Military courts	NAP	NAP
Juvenile courts	NAP	NAP
Other specialised 1st instance courts	NAP	NAP


In the Slovak court system there are 8 Regional courts which are courts with dual competence. The Regional courts are the courts of appeal with the general jurisdiction in the civil, commercial and criminal cases. In the appellate procedure they decide the appeals lodged against the decisions of all 54 District courts within their local jurisdiction. At the same time, the Regional courts have the jurisdiction as the courts of first instance in administrative matters. They act as administrative courts. The Specialized Criminal court is competent to judge the grave criminal matters enumerated in the § 14 of the Criminal procedure Code (e. g. premeditated murder, corruption, terrorism, organised crime, severe economic crimes, damaging the financial interests of the EU etc.). Highest instance courts are the Supreme Court and the Constitutional Court (this latter is not counted here).

2. Professionals of justice in Slovak Republic

Professional judges and non-judge staff

Evolution of the number of professional judges since 2012 (Q46)

Year	Absolute number	Per 100 000 inhabitants
2012	1 307	24,16
2013	1 342	24,78
2014	1 322	24,39
2015	1 292	23,81
2016	1 311	24,12
2017	1 376	25,28
2018	1 378	25,28
2019	1 370	25,10
2020	1 306	23,92





According to 2020 data, the total number of professional judges sitting in courts (all instances) in Slovak Republic is 1 306, which is -4,7% less than in previous cycle.

More precisely, in Slovak Republic, there are 23,92 professional judges per 100 000 inhabitants (this figure is the same than the EU median of 23,92 judges per 100 000 inhabitants) and about 3,76 non-judge staff per judge.

There has been a significant increase compared with previous cycle when this ratio was at 3,45 non-judge staff per judge.

Absolute number of professional judges by instance and gender

2020	Total	Distribution by instance	Male	Female	% Male	% Female
1st instance	862	66,0%	319	543	37,0%	63,0%
2nd instance	367	28,1%	143	224	39,0%	61,0%
Supreme courts	77	5,9%	30	47	39,0%	61,0%
Total	1 306		492	814	37,7%	62,3%



In this cycle, the total number of female professional judges (all instances) is 814, which represents 62,3% of the total number of judges.

The total number of judges is distributed among the different judicial instances in the following way: 862 are sitting in first instance courts (of which 543 are female); 367 are sitting in second instance courts (of which 224 are female) and 77 are sitting in Supreme Court (of which 47 are female).

Absolute number of professional judges by instance and matter


2020	Total	Civil and commercial	Criminal	Administrative	Other
1st instance	852	654	176	0	22
2nd instance	366	228	84	54	0
Supreme courts	77	36	15	26	0
Total	1 295	918	275	80	22

In Slovak Republic, the distribution of judges per categories of cases is possible as presented in the graph below.

Distribution of professional judges by instance and matter

2020	Civil and commercial	Criminal	Administrative	Other
1st instance	76,8%	20,7%	0,0%	2,6%
2nd instance	62,3%	23,0%	14,8%	0,0%
Supreme courts	46,8%	19,5%	33,8%	0,0%
Total	70,9%	21,2%	6,2%	1,7%


Distribution of the total number of professional judges by matter



Non-judge staff

Year	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Number of non-judge staff	4 482	4 497	4 468	4 390	4 482	4 616	4 710	4 731	4 912
Per 100 000 inhabitants	82,83	83,03	82,41	80,90	82,46	84,80	86,42	86,68	89,97

Non-judge staff per 100 000 inhabitants



2020	Absolute number	in %
Total	4 912	
Rechtspfleger	1 210	24,6%
Non-judge staff assisting the judge	2 237	45,5%
Staff in charge of administrative tasks	1 465	29,8%
Technical staff	NA	NA
Other	NA	NA

In 2020, Slovak Republic has 4 912 non-judge staff (of which 3 993 are females). The total number of non-judge staff in comparison with the previous cycle reveals an increase of 3,8%.

In this cycle, the non-judge staff is broken down as follows:

- 1 210 Rechtspfleger (or similar bodies) with judicial or quasi-judicial tasks having autonomous competence and whose decisions could be subject to appeal (among which
- 2 237 non-judge staff whose task is to assist the judges such as registrars (of which 2 125 are women);
- 1 465 staff in charge of different administrative tasks and of the court management (of which 1 060 are women);


In 2020, the number of non-judge staff per 100 000 inhabitants has increased (from 86,7 in 2019 to 90,0 in 2020).

During the same period, the number of judges per 100 000 inhabitants evolves from 25,1 judges per 100 000 inhabitants in 2019 to 23,9 in 2020.

The number of technical staff and other non-judge staff are included in the category "staff in charge of administrative tasks"


Professional judges, non-judge staff and their ratio (Q46, Q52)

Per 100 000 inhabitants	Slovak Republic	EU median
Professional judges	23,92	23,92
Non-judge staff	89,97	59,00
Non-judge staff per judge	3,76	3,30



Evolution of the ratio between professional judges and non-judge staff (Q46, Q52)



	Judges per 100 000 inh.	Non-judge staff per 100 000 inh.	Ratio between professional judges and non-judge staff
2012	24,16	82,83	3,43
2013	24,78	83,03	3,35
2014	24,39	82,41	3,38
2015	23,81	80,90	3,40
2016	24,12	82,46	3,42
2017	25,28	84,80	3,35
2018	25,28	86,42	3,42
2019	25,10	86,68	3,45
2020	23,92	89,97	3,76



Public prosecutors and non-prosecutor staff

Absolute number of public prosecutors by instance and gender (Q55)

2020	Total	Distribution by instance	Male	Female	Male	Female
1st instance	607	65,8%	282	325	46,5%	53,5%
2nd instance	200	21,7%	108	92	54,0%	46,0%
Supreme courts	115	12,5%	69	46	60,0%	40,0%
Total	922		459	463	49,8%	50,2%



In this cycle, the total number of female prosecutors (all instances) is 463, which represents 50,2% of the total number of prosecutors.


The total number of prosecutors is distributed among the different judicial instances in the following way: 607 in first instance (of which 325 are female); 200 are in second instance (of which 92 are female) and 115 in final instance (of which 46 are female).

The number of prosecutors at the Supreme Court level also includes prosecutors of the Special Prosecution Bureau. The latter deals with crimes of corruption and the most severe offences including organized crime. It intervenes in first instance, but acts as an organizational part of the General Prosecutor's Office.

Non-prosecutor staff by gender (Q60)

Non-prosecutor staff	Total	Male	Female
2020	977	286	691


Non-prosecutor staff by gender



Public prosecutors, non-prosecutor staff and their ratio (Q55, Q60)


Per 100 000 inhabitants	Slovak Republic	EU median
Public prosecutors	16,89	9,91
Non-prosecutor staff	17,89	15,22
Non-prosecutor staff per prosecutor	1,06	1,11

Public prosecutors and non-prosecutor staff per 100 000 inhabitants, and their ratio



Salaries of professional judges and prosecutors at beginning of a career and at the highest instance (Q132, Q4)

Salaries of professional judges and prosecutors (Q132, Q4)	Average gross annual salary in €	Average net annual salary in €	Ratio with national average annual gross salary
Judge at the beginning of a career	41 278 €	NA	2,70
Judge of the highest court	59 623 €	NA	3,90
Prosecutor at the beginning of a career	38 984 €	27 654 €	2,55
Public prosecutor at highest instance	59 623 €	44 479 €	3,90




According to 2020 data, the absolute gross salary of a judge at the beginning of a career in Slovak Republic of 41 278 € is somewhat below when compared to the EU median of 51 946 €. As a ratio with the annual average salary of the country, the salary for a judge at the beginning of career is: 2,70 compared with EU median of: 2,02.

The stated sums represent the basic gross salary of judges/prosecutors without bonuses and supplements. According to the Act on Judges (No. 385/2000 Coll.) the average monthly salary of the judge equals the monthly salary of the Member of Parliament. The monthly salary of the judge at the beginning of the career is 90% of this salary. The monthly salary of the judge of the Supreme Court is 130 % of the monthly salary of the Member of Parliament. The judge is entitled to have 2 additional monthly salaries (in May and in November) unless he/she do not meet the conditions stipulated in law. The sum of annual average salary stated in this questionnaire counts 14 months salaries.

All bonuses and supplements are stipulated by law. Specific supplement belongs to the judges of the Specialized Criminal court and to the judges of the Supreme court deciding on the remedies against the decisions of that court. The value of the net salary depends on several individual criteria, e. g. the number of children, the voluntary pension security scheme etc. Similar rules govern the salaries of prosecutors (Act on Prosecutors and Trainee Prosecutors No.154/2001 Coll.). The average salary of the prosecutor equals the average salary of the judge. The salary of the beginning prosecutor is 85% of this salary, the salary of the prosecutor at the General Prosecutors office is equal to the salary of the Supreme Court judge. Prosecutors are also entitled to 2 additional monthly salaries. Supplements for the heads of the prosecutor offices are similar to supplements of the court presidents at the same level. The prosecutors of the Special Prosecutor's Office are entitled to same supplement as the judges of the Specialized Criminal Court.

Lawyers

Lawyers	Absolute number	Per 100 000 inhabitants
2012	5 210	96,29
2013	5 541	102,31
2014	5 827	107,48
2015	5 993	110,44
2016	6 142	113,00
2017	6 037	110,91
2018	6 112	112,14
2019	6 186	113,34
2020	6 266	114,77




In 2020, there are 6 266 lawyers, which is 1,3% more than in 2019.

Slovak Republic has 114,8 lawyers per 100 000 inhabitants, which is around the EU median of 122,1 lawyers per 100 000 inhabitants.

Judicial professionals (summary)


Judicial professionals in absolute number and per 100 000 inhabitants (Q46, Q52, Q146)

	Absolute number	Per 100 000 inhabitants	EU Median
Professional judges	1 370	25,10	23,92
Non-judge staff	4 912	89,97	59,00
Prosecutors	922	16,89	9,91
Non-prosecutor staff	977	17,89	15,22
Lawyers	6 266	114,77	122,09



Judicial professionals: Gender balance

	% Male	% Female
Professional judges	37,7%	62,3%
Non judge staff	18,7%	81,3%
Prosecutors	49,8%	50,2%
Non-prosecutor staff	29,3%	70,7%
Lawyers	57,4%	42,6%



3. Legal aid and court fees in Slovak Republic

In Slovak Republic, legal aid includes:

- Coverage of court fees: ✔
- Exemption from court fees: ✔

The beneficiaries of legal aid are freed from obligation to pay court fees, when their income is below a certain level.

In Slovak Republic, legal aid is available for:

- > Representation in court:
 - Criminal cases ✔
 - Other than criminal cases ✔
- > Legal advice, ADR and other legal services:
 - Criminal cases ✔
 - Other than criminal cases ✔
- > Fees related to enforcement of judicial decisions as fees for enforcement agents (Q18) ✘
- > Other costs than above (Q19) ✔

Other costs provided by Centre for Legal Aid are costs for lawyers who represent the client at courts and these lawyers has been provided by Centre for Legal Aid. All costs paid by Centre are established in law no. 655/2004 Z. z.


Legal aid shall also include: appointment of an interpreter and translation of documents necessary for decision on merits.

Number of cases for which legal aid has been granted

Absolute number (in 2020)	Total	Cases brought to court	Cases not brought to court
Total	NA	NA	NA
In criminal cases	NA	NA	NA
In other than criminal cases	11 432	NA	NA

Per 100 000 inhabitants (in 2020)	Slovak Republic	EU Median
Total	NA	734,2
In criminal cases	NA	330,9
In other than criminal cases	209,4	402,7

Number of cases for which legal has been granted per 100 000 inhabitants



In 2020, because of the COVID 19 pandemic situation, there was a smaller amount of requests for legal aid.

Timeframes of the procedure for granting legal aid (in relation to the duration from the initial legal aid request to the final approval of the legal aid request)

- Maximum duration prescribed in law/regulations: 30
- Actual average duration: 30

If the application for legal aid contains all the documents needed to issue a decision for granting legal aid, then a decision is issued within 30 days. The applicant must meet the requirements for granting legal aid established by Act no. 327/2005 Z. z.. If the application is not complete, then the proceeding is suspended for min. 8 days max. 30 days till the application is not complete. When the application is complete, according to Act no. 327/2005 Z. z., the proceeding continues and the decision is issued if the legal aid will or will not be granted.

4. Performance of courts in Slovak Republic

• Efficiency indicators

- Clearance Rate (CR) and Disposition Time (DT)


The Clearance Rate shows the capacity of a judicial system to deal with the incoming cases. A Clearance Rate of 100% and higher does not generate backlog.

The Disposition Time determines the estimated number of days necessary for a pending case to be solved in a court.

First instance Total of other than criminal cases

- Incoming, resolved and pending cases

Evolution of number of all other than criminal cases per 100 inhabitants



The number of incoming cases in 2020 in Slovak Republic (12,42 per 100 inhabitants) is significantly above EU median (6,82 per 100 inhabitants).


The number of resolved cases in 2020 in Slovak Republic (14,03 per 100 inhabitants) is well above EU median (6,60 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of pending cases at the end of 2020 in Slovak Republic (3,34 per 100 inhabitants) is somewhat above EU median (2,66 per 100 inhabitants).

- Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

Other than criminal cases	CR (%)	DT (days)
2012	91%	218
2013	91%	235
2014	102%	231
2015	105%	240
2016	106%	98
2017	109%	107
2018	111%	111
2019	91%	135
2020	113%	87

Clearance Rate in % (CR) and Disposition Time in days (DT) for Other than criminal cases



With a Clearance Rate calculated at 113,0% in 2020 Slovak Republic seems to be able to deal with its other than criminal cases.

Between 2019 and 2020, the Clearance Rate has increased by 22,0 points.


In 2020, other than criminal cases are solved in approximately 87 days, which is somewhat below EU median of 109 days.

The analysis of the 2019 - 2020 period reveals a -35,6% decrease of the Disposition Time.

First instance Civil (and commercial) litigious cases

◦ Incoming, resolved and pending cases

Evolution of number of civil and commercial litigious cases per 100 inhabitants



The number of incoming cases in 2020 in Slovak Republic (1,97 per 100 inhabitants) is somewhat above EU median (1,56 per 100 inhabitants).


The number of resolved cases in 2020 in Slovak Republic (1,97 per 100 inhabitants) is somewhat above EU median (1,50 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of pending cases at the end of 2020 in Slovak Republic (1,10 per 100 inhabitants) is slightly above EU median (1,05 per 100 inhabitants).

◦ Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	CR (%)	DT (days)
2012	81,6%	437
2013	80,6%	505
2014	91,7%	524
2015	132,8%	401
2016	132,0%	130
2017	129,2%	171
2018	130,6%	157
2019	109,9%	170
2020	99,7%	204

Clearance Rate in % (CR) and Disposition Time in days (DT) for Civil (and commercial) litigious cases



With a Clearance Rate calculated at 99,7% in 2020, Slovak Republic seems to be quite able to deal with its civil and commercial litigious cases.

Between 2019 and 2020, the Clearance Rate has decreased by -10,2 points.

In 2020, the civil and commercial litigious cases are solved in approximately 204 days, which is slightly below EU median of 221 days.


The analysis of the 2019 - 2020 period reveals a 19,9% increase of the Disposition Time.

In Slovak Republic, there are 18 593 civil and commercial litigious cases older than 2 years. This is 30,9% of the total number of pending cases at the end of the year

First instance Administrative cases

◦ Incoming, resolved and pending cases

Evolution of number of administrative cases per 100 inhabitants



The number of incoming cases in 2020 in Slovak Republic (0,09 per 100 inhabitants) is significantly below EU median (0,30 per 100 inhabitants).


The number of resolved cases in 2020 in Slovak Republic (0,08 per 100 inhabitants) is significantly below EU median (0,26 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of pending cases at the end of 2020 in Slovak Republic (0,13 per 100 inhabitants) is somewhat below EU median (0,21 per 100 inhabitants).

◦ Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

Clearance Rate in % (CR) and Disposition Time in days (DT) for Administrative cases

Administrative cases	CR (%)	DT (days)
2012	47,2%	733
2013	84,6%	746
2014	124,8%	397
2015	124,1%	374
2016	112,0%	203
2017	118,1%	317
2018	96,1%	401
2019	81,4%	518
2020	86,8%	585



With a Clearance Rate calculated at 86,8% in 2020, Slovak Republic seems to face some difficulties to deal with its administrative cases.

Between 2019 and 2020, the Clearance Rate has increased for 5,4 points.

In 2020, the administrative cases are solved in approximately 585 days, which is significantly above EU median of 388 days.

The analysis of the 2019 - 2020 period reveals a 12,9% increase of the Disposition Time.

In Slovak Republic, there are 1 412 administrative law cases older than 2 years. This is 20,0% of the total number of pending cases at the end of the year.

Since 2016, a new methodology was implemented based on the working group's conclusions and CEPEJ mission's recommendation (06/2016). Former reporting structure was not consistent with the methodology of CEPEJ, which could lead to inappropriate comparison of Slovak Republic (SR) with other countries. Also, the Ministry of Justice (MoJ) realized that evaluation of courts' performance by disposed and unresolved (decided and undecided) cases is discriminating SR in comparison with other countries in European Union (EU) as this methodology is not counting a decision of first instance court as disposed until the case becomes valid. This results into reporting such case as unresolved despite respective court has already made a decision and it is no longer in its disposition how - and more importantly when - the case will be resolved (disposed) by the second instance court. This is the nature of reporting of many "unresolved" cases on courts despite court already decided, in fact.

The new way of reporting extracts the numbers of decided cases in respective court instances from "unresolved" and allocates these numbers to those court instances that made an actual decision in respective time. This means that decision validity state is not being awaited for as it could potentially contain an appeal and thus also a time that a case spends on second instance court. Upon decision's validity the case would become „disposed/resolved“ at the first instance court but most probably it would not be disposed in the same period when it was decided by the (first instance) court.

As regards "other than criminal cases" in first instance, there were many discrepancies from 2019 to 2020. Most of the discrepancies are mainly due to Covid-19 pandemic situation.

The emergency situation due to COVID 19 has been ongoing since March 2020. Since then, hearings have been held to the necessary extent, which is determined by a decree of the Ministry of Justice. The decree was amended 4 times according to the development of the epidemic situation. Thus, the courts were not closed in 2020, but operated in a restricted regime, and that restricted regime depended on the development of the epidemic situation. There were situations where hearings were organised to the absolute minimum, for example in April 2020, almost no hearings were held. Since May 2020, it has been up to the courts to ensure hearings to the extent necessary and in accordance with other regulations related to the pandemic situation. In several measures in 2020, the Ministry of Justice recommended that courts organise work so that court staff and judges work from home. As for an access to the file for lawyers, it was provided.

Other discrepancies are due to the following reasons:

In the category "Other registry cases", it was added register "RPVS" - Register of public sector partners. The Register of public sector partners has the character of a register of legal and natural persons, which receives from the state, local-government and other public sector entities public financing or property above the limit specified by law. The persons who conclude a contract, framework agreement or concession contract pursuant to public procurement regulations, healthcare providers and so on. The classification of the registry in category 2.2.3. was consulted with CEPEJ organization.

In the category "Non-litigious business registry cases", at the end of year 2019, the number of incoming cases into the business register increased enormously because of the new applied legislation, which caused a high number of pending cases at the beginning of the year 2020. In particular, the Commercial Code (Act No. 513/1991 Coll) was amended by the Act No. 390/2019 Coll, which became effective from the 1st of October 2020. This amendment brought following changes (also changes to the Commercial register):


1. From October 1, 2020, it is possible to submit an application for registration of data in the Commercial Register only in electronic form (including objections to the refusal of registration)
2. Obligation of the company's founders to submit the consent of the real-estate owner to setting up a registered seat of the company with verified signature of the owner.
3. The list of the information is being expanded in order to identify these persons more precisely. In the case of natural persons, a date of birth and a birth number must be given, if it was assigned. In the case of legal persons, their registration number must be given. The existing companies are required to complete this information by September 30, 2021.
4. The amendment also covers one of the reasons why the court is entitled to dissolve a company without liquidation. It is a breach of the obligation filing the financial statement into the collection of deeds within the specified period of 9 months from its preparation. This means, that if a company doesn't deposit this financial statement in the collection of documents within 15 months from its preparation, the registry court will decide on its dissolution without a proposal.

The category "civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases" includes all cases arisen from legal relationships regulated by family law (maintenance cases, custody of the child, visiting rights, guardianship, divorce cases with the ruling on rights and obligations towards the minor child etc.), cases related to assessment of the legal capacity of natural persons, reminder procedure (electronic payment orders).

Insolvency cases

◦ Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

Insolvency cases	CR (%)	DT (days)
2012	92,7%	118
2013	94,8%	125
2014	89,2%	166
2015	86,2%	217
2016	81,3%	489
2017	95,8%	154
2018	99,8%	60
2019	101,6%	33
2020	103,4%	36



The Clearance Rate was calculated at 103,4% in 2020 for insolvency cases, Slovak Republic seems to be able to deal with its insolvency cases.

Between 2019 and 2020, the Clearance Rate has increased by 1,8 points.

In 2020, insolvency cases are solved in a approximately 36 days, which is significantly below the EU median of 281 days.

The analysis of the 2019 - 2020 period reveals a 9,0% increase of the Disposition Time.


More significant decline of incoming cases and resolved cases as well in the courts as a result of a pandemic situation.

• First instance Criminal Law Cases

◦ Incoming, resolved and pending cases

	Pending cases 1 Jan	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases 31 Dec
Total	22 452	65 860	65 808	22 504
Severe criminal cases	NA	NA	NA	NA
Misdemeanour and/or minor cases	NA	NA	NA	NA
Other cases	NA	NA	NA	NA

Per 100 inhabitants	Pending cases 1 Jan	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases 31 Dec
Total	0,41	1,21	1,21	0,41
Severe criminal cases	NA	NA	NA	NA
Misdemeanour and/or minor cases	NA	NA	NA	NA
Other cases	NA	NA	NA	NA



The number of total incoming criminal cases in 2020 in Slovak Republic (1,21 per 100 inhabitants) is somewhat below EU median (1,60 per 100 inhabitants).


The number of total resolved criminal cases in 2020 in Slovak Republic (1,21 per 100 inhabitants) is slightly below EU median (1,48 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of total pending criminal cases at the end of 2020 in Slovak Republic (0,41 per 100 inhabitants) is slightly below EU median (0,46 per 100 inhabitants).

◦ Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

Total criminal law cases	CR (%)	DT (days)
Total	99,9%	125
Severe criminal cases	NA	NA
Misdemeanour and/or minor cases	NA	NA
Other cases	NA	NA

Clearance Rate in % (CR) and Disposition Time in days (DT)





With the Clearance Rate calculated at 99,9% in 2020 for total criminal cases, Slovak Republic seems to be able to deal with its total criminal cases.

In 2020, criminal law cases were solved in approximately 125 days, which is slightly below EU median of 139 days.

The statistical data collected by the Ministry of Justice of the Slovak republic does not allow the categorization of the criminal matters according to the types of criminal offences as defined in explanatory note.

Overall efficiency by instance and by case matter

	CR (%)			DT (days)		
	1st instance	2nd instance	Supreme Court	1st instance	2nd instance	Supreme Court
Civil and commercial litigious cases	99,7%	115,6%	89,7%	204	177	249
Administrative cases	86,8%		99,2%	585	0	388
Total criminal law cases	99,9%	99,7%	99,0%	125	45	101



In Slovak Republic, in first instance, civil and commercial litigious cases are resolved faster than the EU median (204 days vs 221) while the DT in second instance coincides with the median. As regards administrative cases, the disposition time is significantly higher than the median in first and third instance (585 days vs 388, and 388 days vs 281). Criminal law cases are resolved faster than the EU median. In 2020, Slovak judges resolved less cases than received (Clearance rate is below 100%) except for civil and commercial litigious cases in second instance.

As regards second instance courts, a significant decline of incoming cases and resolved cases is a result of a pandemic situation.

As regards administrative law cases, in second instance there was only one pending case on 1 January 2020, which was resolved during the year and no case came into the Second instance courts in the year 2020.

The number of non-litigious business registry cases is included in "general civil and commercial non-litigious cases".

As regards third instance, the collected statistical data for the Supreme Court do not distinguish the litigious and non-litigious cases. In the civil and commercial matters the Supreme court decides primarily on the applications for appellate review on legal questions. In the commercial cases it decides also in the appellate procedure against the decisions of the Regional courts as the courts of first instance. The administrative cases at the Supreme Court level includes the remedy procedures against the decisions of the Regional courts as the courts of first instance. Depending on the type of the administrative procedure it might be appeal procedure or the cassation review procedure.

Decline of incoming cases and resolved cases as well in the Supreme court is a result of the pandemic situation.

5. Public prosecution services in Slovak Republic

• Role and powers of the public prosecutor

In the criminal procedure, the public prosecutor in Slovak Republic has the following 10 out of 11 possible roles and powers:

To conduct or supervise police investigation	✓	To appeal	✓
To conduct investigations	✓	To supervise the enforcement procedure	✗
When necessary, to request investigation measures from the judge	✓	To discontinue a case without needing a decision by a judge	✓
To charge	✓	To end the case by imposing or negotiating a penalty or measure without requiring a judicial decision	✓
To present the case in the court	✓	Other significant powers	✓
To propose a sentence to the judge	✓		

Prosecutors have significant tasks within the pre-trial proceedings. They supervise police investigations or may conduct/perform investigations by themselves. They are the authority that receives possible complaints against police decisions. On the other hand, only a court may give approval to carry out investigating acts affecting rights and fundamental freedoms. Pre-trial proceedings may be terminated by: transfer of the case (e.g. for hearing of administrative infraction) by decision issued either by the Police (if a specific person was not accused) or a prosecutor (if criminal prosecution was conducted against a specific person); discontinuance of criminal prosecution (if a specific person was not accused, criminal prosecution may be discontinued by the Police; in the opposite case by prosecutor only); suspension of criminal prosecution (decision issued by the Police or a prosecutor if he/she moved for commencement of proceedings regarding an issue that law enforcement bodies are not able to deal with); conditional discontinuance of criminal prosecution (decision adopted by a prosecutor); conditional discontinuance of criminal prosecution of an accused person assisting justice (decision adopted by a prosecutor); approval of conciliation between the accused and the injured (the approval is given by a prosecutor) without which the prosecutor will forward the case to the court for further proceedings (incrimination or agreement on guilt and punishment).

Besides, public prosecutors are entitled to carry out plea bargaining proceedings resulting in negotiating penalty that must be confirmed by the judicial decision, to order exhumation of the corpse, to propose detention on remand to the court, to repeal unlawful or unjustified decision.

The public prosecutor also has a role in civil, administrative and insolvency cases.

The scope of powers of the Prosecutor in the civil proceedings results from the provisions of the Section 19 of the Act No. 153/2001 Coll. on Prosecution Office as amended. The Prosecutor performs this scope of powers in the civil proceedings within the extent appointed by the special regulations as Procedure of civil controversy and Procedure of civil non-controversy.

If so, it is being provided by the designated legal regulations, the Prosecutor is entitled to submit a proposal or a complaint to the court, or is entitled to step into the legal proceedings that had already begun.

Prosecutor's authorization under the Procedure of civil controversy:

- the powers of the General Prosecutor of the Slovak Republic to submit a statement before the decision of the Grand Chamber of the Supreme Court of the Slovak Republic in the matter,
- the powers of the General Prosecutor of the Slovak Republic to submit an appeal on the Supreme Court of the Slovak Republic,
- the powers of the Prosecutor to submit the complaint in respect of the exercise of the State's right to issue unjust enrichment, in case of a determination of ownership, if the provisions of a generally binding legal regulation have been violated, or if a special regulation so provides,
- the power of the Prosecutor to step into disputes that had already begun, in which one of the parties is represented by the state, a legal entity established by the state, a state enterprise, a legal entity with state ownership, a municipality or a higher territorial unit, in disputes concerning liability for damage caused while performing of the public power.

Prosecutor's authorization under the Procedure of civil non-controversy:

- the Prosecutor is entitled to step into the proceedings that had already begun except the proceedings on the divorce of the marriage
- the Prosecutor is entitled to submit a proposal for initiation of the proceedings, if the proceedings is possible to begun also without proposal or if this is being established by the Procedure of civil non-controversy or other special legal regulation.

The Prosecutor is entitled to act in the administrative proceedings before the authorities of the public administration as well as in proceedings before the administrative court.

The protest of the Prosecutor and the warning of the Prosecutor are the legal means by which the Prosecutor supervises the observance of laws and other generally binding legal regulations by public administration bodies in administrative proceedings.



The powers of the Prosecutor in proceedings before the administrative court are the administrative complaint, complaint to the administrative court under the Administrative Court Order, stepping into proceedings before an administrative court under the Administrative Court Order. According to the Administrative Procedure Code the General Prosecutor is also entitled to:

- submit an action for dissolution of a political party,
- submit the cassation appeal against the decision of the administrative court issued in proceedings in which the prosecutor was entitled to step into but did not intervene,
- to propose in the cassation appeal that it would have been decided by the Grand Chamber of the Supreme Court of the Slovak Republic,
- submit the complaint to reopen the proceedings in which the prosecutor was entitled to step into but did not intervene.

Depending on the stage of the bankruptcy proceedings and the person of the debtor (for example a legal entity established by the state, a state enterprise, a legal entity with state ownership) the Prosecutor may exercise the right to step into such proceedings.

Public prosecutors: Number of first instance criminal cases


Type of cases	Absolute number	Per 100 inhabitants
1. Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	20 692	0,38
2. Incoming/received cases	57 244	1,05
3. Processed cases (3.1 + 3.2 + 3.3 + 3.4)	NA	NA
3.1. Discontinued during the reference year (3.1.1 + 3.1.2 + 3.1.3 + 3.1.4)	10 236	0,19
3.1.1 Discontinued by the public prosecutor because the offender could not be identified	NA	NA
3.1.2 Discontinued by the public prosecutor due to the lack of an established offence or a specific legal situation	NA	NA
3.1.3 Discontinued by the public prosecutor for reasons of opportunity	NA	NA
3.1.4 Discontinued for other reasons	NA	NA
3.2. Concluded by a penalty or a measure imposed or negotiated by the public prosecutor	1 556	0,03
3.3. Cases closed by the public prosecutor for other reasons	NA	NA
3.4. Cases brought to court	22 978	0,42
4. Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	20 390	0,37



6. Existence and use of alternative dispute resolution in Slovak Republic

Number of mediators

Mediators	Total	Per 100 000 inhabitants
2012	633	11,7
2013	846	15,6
2014	1068	19,7
2015	1248	23,0
2016	1450	26,7
2017	1664	30,6
2018	913	16,8
2019	798	14,6
2020	877	16,1



In 2020, there are 877 accredited or registered mediators who practise court related mediation which represents 16,1 accredited or registered mediators per 100

The variation between 2019 and 2020 is about 9,9%.

In criminal matters mediation is provided by the 81 Probation officers located on District Courts.

Number of court related mediations

Type of cases	Number of cases for which the parties agreed to start mediation	Number of finished court-related mediations	Number of cases in which there is a settlement agreement
Total of all cases	NA	NA	NA
Civil and commercial	NA	NA	NA
Family cases	NA	NA	NA
Administrative	NA	NA	NA
Employment dismissal	NA	NA	NA
Criminal cases	924	803	NA
Consumer cases	NA	NA	NA

7. ICT tools of courts in Slovak Republic

•The ICT tools of courts and for court users

The use of ICT in courts in 2020 has been evaluated as :

		EU Median
Total	7,3	6,6
Assistance tools (0 to 3)	2,1	2,0
Case management system (0 to 7)	5,0	5,2
Financial management tools (0 to 3)	2,0	1,3
Measurement tools to assess the workload (0 to 5)	2,0	2,5
Electronic communication (0 to 10)	9,4	6,9

The calculation of this values for each field is based on the answers for that question/s and weighted according the availability or deployment rate. The total value is normalised to max 10 points for readability and comparison.


The details of the calculation are given in Annex 5 - IT calculations

The result by area may be summarized in these graphics, where each field has been evaluated from 0 to 4 points.

Note: index is modified based on the available questions. This cycle the recalculation was made for the last three cycles to be able to follow the development.

ICT tools assessment from 2018 to 2020

■ 2018 ■ 2019 ■ 2020 ■ EU Median 2020



Comments on writing assistance tools

As regards writing assistance tools, there are different types of templates when creating documents in the CMS, which can be also pre-filled with data from databases.

Comments on financial management tools and on measurement tools on workload

Application/tool collecting the time information about the activities of the judges, can be used for senior judicial officials in the future as well. The tool is part of the project Case weighting analyses (CWA) and the result should be used to assess the workload of the judges in the future. In 2020 the collecting data for the CWA project was stopped because of covid pandemic situation.

Comments on communication tools

In the criminal area the deployment rate changed from 50-99% to 100%, since all the courts were involved.

Certain professionals are obliged to communicate only electronically with courts (advocates, notaries, enforcement agents).

They have to use a centralized (governmental) system of posting and delivering document to public institutions (courts, governmental organizations).

8. Systems for measuring and evaluating the performance of courts and public prosecution services in Slovak Republic

In Slovak Republic, quality standards are determined for the judicial system at national level (e.g. quality systems for the judiciary and/or judicial quality policies). Specialised personnel within the courts is entrusted with implementation of these national level quality standards.

Internal revision of the court is a type of control of the court and judges, which aims to check the current state of the judiciary, to identify the causes of shortcomings in the performance of the judiciary and to propose measures to eliminate them.

Judicial Council, Council of Prosecutors and disciplinary commissions are entrusted with the implementation of national level quality standards

• Systems for measuring and evaluating courts' performance

A regular monitoring system of court activities is in place concerning:

Number of incoming cases	✓	Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)	✗
Length of proceedings (timeframes)	✓	Costs of the judicial procedures	✗
Number of resolved cases	✓	Number of appeals	✓
Number of pending cases	✓	Appeal ratio	✗
Backlogs	✓	Clearance rate	✓
Productivity of judges and court staff	✓	Disposition time	✓
Satisfaction of court staff	✗	Other	✓

The category "other" encompasses: the number of cases according to types of disputes, the result of the case (reconciliation, dismissals, full satisfaction, partial satisfaction, etc.).

Statistical data of the Ministry of Justice of the Slovak Republic are detailed and regularly collected and published in a yearbook which is publicly accessible at the website of the Analytical centre of MoJ

<https://www.justice.gov.sk/Stranky/Informacie/Analyticke-centrum.aspx>

<http://web.ac-mssr.sk/statisticka-rocenka-2018/>.

Data on the activity of the courts are published every month in interactive Dashboard on the <http://web.ac-mssr.sk/dashboard/>.

In Slovak Republic, there is a system to regularly evaluate the court performance based primarily on defined indicators and the frequency of the reporting is annual.

Performance and quality indicators are defined for the activity of each court.

The following indicators are used:

Number of incoming cases	✓	Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)	✗
Length of proceedings (timeframes)	✓	Costs of the judicial procedures	✗
Number of resolved cases	✓	Number of appeals	✗
Number of pending cases	✓	Appeal ratio	✗
Backlogs	✓	Clearance rate	✗
Productivity of judges and court staff	✗	Disposition time	✗
Satisfaction of court staff	✗	Other	✗

The main performance and quality indicators that have been defined for courts and used in the self-evaluation reports of some pilot courts involved Number of appeals as well. The self-evaluation reports of the courts were not repeated with 2019. The other indicators are used and made public in dashboards and statistical reports. The number of the appeals is published but not as a indicator of quality, only as a statistical number.

The evaluation of the courts' activities is not used for the later allocation of means in the courts.

• Systems for measuring and evaluating public prosecution services' performance

A regular monitoring system of public prosecution services activities is in place concerning:

Number of incoming cases	✓	Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the public prosecution)	✗
Length of proceedings (timeframes)	✓	Costs of the judicial procedures	✗
Number of resolved cases	✓	Clearance rate	✓
Number of pending cases	✓	Disposition time	✓
Backlogs	✗	Percentage of convictions and acquittals	✗
Productivity of prosecutors and prosecution staff	✓	Other	✗
Satisfaction of prosecution staff	✗		

In Slovak Republic, there is no system to evaluate regularly the activity of each public prosecution service.

Performance and quality indicators are not defined for the activity of each public prosecution service.

None of the following indicators are used:

Number of incoming cases	✗	Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the public prosecutors)	✗
Length of proceedings (timeframes)	✗	Costs of the judicial procedures	✗
Number of resolved cases	✗	Clearance rate	✗
Number of pending cases	✗	Disposition time	✗
Backlogs	✗	Percentage of convictions and acquittals	✗
Productivity of prosecutors and prosecution staff	✗	Other	✗
Satisfaction of prosecution staff	✗		

The evaluation of the public prosecution services' activities is not used for the later allocation of means in the public prosecution services.

Slovak Republic (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions										
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020		
Table General Data: Economic and demographic data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)																				
Q1 Number of inhabitants	5 410 836	5 415 949	5 421 349	5 426 252	5 435 343	5 443 120	5 450 421	5 457 873	5 459 781		0,9%	0,1%	0,1%	0,1%	0,2%	0,1%	0,1%	0,1%	0,0%	
Q.3 GDP Per capita (in €) in current prices	13 207	13 319	13 880	14 400	14 910	15 620	16 550	17 254	16 770		27,0%	0,8%	4,2%	3,7%	3,5%	4,8%	6,0%	4,3%	-2,8%	
Q5. Exchange rate of Nat currency to € on 1 Jan	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Indicator 1: Systems for measuring and evaluating the performance of courts and prosecution services (Indicator 4 in 2019)

Table 1.1 to Table 1.10 (Q66, Q67, Q77, Q78, Q77-1, Q78-1, Q73, Q73-0, Q73-1, Q73-2, Q73-3, Q73-4, Q73-5, Q73-6, Q70, Q70-1, Q71, Q72, Q83-2, Q83-3, Q120 and Q120-1)

66 Qlty standards formulated_jud system	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True	True									
67 Specialised court staff entrusted_qlty standards	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True	True									
77 Performance and quality indicators of court activities	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True	True									
078.1.1 Number of incoming cases								True	True	True									
078.1.2 Length of proceedings (timeframes)								True	True	True									
078.1.3 Number of resolved cases								True	True	True									
078.1.4 Number of pending cases								True	True	True									
078.1.5 Backlogs								True	True	True									
078.1.6 Productivity of judges and court staff								False	False	False									
078.1.7 Satisfaction of court staff								False	False	False									
078.1.8 Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)								False	False	False									
078.1.9 Costs of the judicial procedures								False	False	False									
078.1.10 Number of appeals								True	False	False									
078.1.11 Appeal ratio								False	False	False									
078.1.12 Clearance rate								False	False	False									
078.1.13 Disposition time								False	False	False									
078.1.14 Other								False	False	False									
077-1.1.1 Defined performance and quality indicators										False									

Slovak Republic (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions										
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020		
078-1.1.1 Number of incoming cases																				
078-1.1.2 Length of proceedings (timeframes)																				
078-1.1.3 Number of resolved cases																				
078-1.1.4 Number of pending cases																				
078-1.1.5 Backlogs																				
078-1.1.6 Productivity of prosecutors and prosecution staff																				
078-1.1.7 Satisfaction of prosecution staff																				
078-1.1.8 Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the public prosecution)																				
078-1.1.9 Costs of the judicial procedures																				
078-1.1.10 Clearance rate																				
078-1.1.11 Disposition time																				
078-1.1.12 Percentage of convictions and acquittals																				
078-1.1.13 Other																				
73 Regular system_evaluation_performance_each court	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True	True										
073-0.1.1 Annual					True	True	True	True	True	True										
073-0.1.2 Less frequent					False	False	False	False	False	False										
073-0.1.3 More frequent					False	False	False	False	False	False										
073-1.1.1 Evaluation used for the allocation of resources within the court			No	No	False	False	False	False	False	False										
073-2.1.1 Courses of action taken in the evaluation is used for the allocation of resources							False		-	-										
073-2.1.2 Reallocating resources (human/financial resources based on performance)							False		-	-										
073-2.1.3 Reengineering of internal procedures to increase efficiency							False		-	-										
073-2.1.4 Other							False		-	-										
073-3.1.1 Regular evaluation of the public prosecution services performance										False										

Slovak Republic (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
070-1.1.1 Number of incoming cases									True										
070-1.1.2 Length of proceedings (timeframes)									True										
070-1.1.3 Number of resolved cases									True										
070-1.1.4 Number of pending cases									True										
070-1.1.5 Backlogs									False										
070-1.1.6 Productivity of prosecutors and prosecution staff									True										
070-1.1.7 Satisfaction of prosecution staff									False										
070-1.1.8 Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the public prosecution)									False										
070-1.1.9 Costs of the judicial procedures									False										
070-1.1.10 Clearance rate									True										
070-1.1.11 Disposition time									True										
070-1.1.12 Percentage of convictions and acquittals									False										
070-1.1.13 Other									False										
071.1.1 Monitoring backlogs in Civil law cases									True										
071.1.2 Monitoring backlogs in Criminal law cases									True										
071.1.3 Monitoring backlogs in Administrative law cases									True										
072.1.1 Monitoring timeframes Within the courts									False										
072.1.2 Monitoring timeframes Within the public prosecution services									False										
083-2.1.1 Quantitative performance targets defined for each prosecutors									False										
083-3.1.1 Body responsible - Executive power (for example the Ministry of Justice)									NAP										
083-3.1.2 Body responsible - Prosecutor General /State public prosecutor									NAP										
083-3.1.3 Body responsible - Public Prosecutorial Council									NAP										
083-3.1.4 Body responsible - Head of the organisational unit or hierarchically superior public prosecutor									NAP										
083-3.1.5 Body responsible - Other									NAP										

Slovak Republic (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
120.1.1 Qualitative individual assessment of the public prosecutors' work									False										
120-1.1.1 Feequency - Annual																			
120-1.1.2 Feequency - Less frequent																			
120-1.1.3 Feequency - More frequent																			
Indicator 2: The judicial organisation																			
Tables 2.1a; 2.1b; 2.2a; 2.2b; 2.3a; 2.3b; 2.4 and 2.5(EC) (Q42, Q43 and Q44)																			
Q42.1.1 Total number of all courts - legal entities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	64	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Q42.1.2 Total number of courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	63	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Q42.1.3 First instance courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities	54	54	54	54	54	54	54	54	54	54	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%
Q42.1.4 Second instance courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Q42.1.5 Highest instance courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Q42.1.6 Total number of specialised courts - legal entities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.1 Total number of specialised courts of first instance	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	1	-88,9%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	-88,9%
43.1.2 Commercial courts (excluded insolvency courts)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.3 Insolvency courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.4 Labour courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.5 Family courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.6 Rent and tenancies courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.7 Enforcement of criminal sanctions courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.8 Fight against terrorism, organised crime and corruption	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%
43.1.9 Internet related disputes	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.10 Administrative courts	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	NAP	-	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	-
43.1.11 Insurance and / or social welfare courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.12 Military courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.13 Juvenile courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Slovak Republic (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
43.1.14 Other specialised courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.1 Total number of specialised courts of higher instances	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.2 Commercial courts (excluded insolvency courts)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.3 Insolvency courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.4 Labour courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.5 Family courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.6 Rent and tenancies courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.7 Enforcement of criminal sanctions courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.8 Fight against terrorism, organised crime and corruption	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.9 Internet related disputes	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.10 Administrative courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.11 Insurance and / or social welfare courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.12 Military courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.13 Juvenile courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.14 Other specialised courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44.1.1 First instance courts geographic locations	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	55	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44.1.2 All courts geographic locations	64	64	64	64	64	64	64	63	64	64	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	-1,6%	1,6%	0,0%

Slovak Republic (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Indicator 3: The performance of courts at all stages of the proceedings																		
Tables 3.1.1.1 to 3.1.1.4 (all years) Number of other than criminal cases (Q91)																		
Table 3.3.1 to 3.3.3 Variation of first instance other than criminal cases per 100 inhabitants (Q1, Q91)																		
Table 3.13.7 (EC) to 3.13.12 (EC) First instance other than criminal cases (Q91)																		
91.1.1 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	289 064	339 930	407 586	396 248	320 952	264 068	269 114	198 434	270 433	-6,4%	17,6%	19,9%	-2,8%	-19,0%	-17,7%	1,9%	-26,3%	36,3%
91.1.2 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	128 073	150 579	186 707	199 203	158 706	94 328	110 221	71 384	59 870	-53,3%	17,6%	24,0%	6,7%	-20,3%	-40,6%	16,8%	-35,2%	-16,1%
91.1.3 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	74 501	71 696	71 485	81 504	89 392	84 730	175 807	-	-	-	-3,8%	-0,3%	14,0%	9,7%	-5,2%	107,5%
91.1.4 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	69 073	71 944	66 370	65 066	24 605	28 850	31 105	32 557	32 340	-53,2%	4,2%	-7,7%	-2,0%	-62,2%	17,3%	7,8%	4,7%	-0,7%
91.1.5 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	8 131	6 630	6 946	8 442	9 390	7 719	100 710	-	-	-	-18,5%	4,8%	21,5%	11,2%	-17,8%	1204,7%
91.1.6 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.1.7 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non-litigious business registry cases	6 224	6 510	8 131	6 630	6 946	8 442	9 390	7 719	100 462	1514,1%	4,6%	24,9%	-18,5%	4,8%	21,5%	11,2%	-17,8%	1201,5%
91.1.8 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	NAP	248	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.1.9 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NA	NA	39 934	44 212	48 897	44 454	42 757	-	-	-	-	-	10,7%	10,6%	-9,1%	-3,8%
91.1.10 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Administrative law cases	7 883	17 815	18 656	16 271	6 575	5 509	5 155	5 352	6 381	-19,1%	126,0%	4,7%	-12,8%	-59,6%	-16,2%	-6,4%	3,8%	19,2%
91.1.11 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	77 811	93 082	127 722	109 078	84 186	82 727	64 346	36 968	28 375	-63,5%	19,6%	37,2%	-14,6%	-22,8%	-1,7%	-22,2%	-42,5%	-23,2%
91.2.1 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	638 571	690 648	614 273	535 414	922 805	855 880	592 842	802 886	677 851	6,2%	8,2%	-11,1%	-12,8%	72,4%	-7,3%	-30,7%	35,4%	-15,6%
91.2.2 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	161 645	163 200	151 315	111 489	201 368	192 663	126 997	116 709	107 829	-33,3%	1,0%	-7,3%	-26,3%	80,6%	-4,3%	-34,1%	-8,1%	-7,6%
91.2.3 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	225 116	222 348	256 154	278 475	278 255	464 061	375 489	-	-	-	-1,2%	15,2%	8,7%	-0,1%	66,8%	-19,1%
91.2.4 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	139 784	124 144	119 088	115 467	61 557	67 178	93 784	121 067	129 278	-7,5%	-11,2%	-4,1%	-3,0%	-46,7%	9,1%	39,6%	29,1%	6,8%
91.2.5 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	106 028	106 881	114 075	132 197	110 402	269 255	170 357	-	-	-	0,8%	6,7%	15,9%	-16,5%	143,9%	-36,7%
91.2.6 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.2.7 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Non-litigious business registry cases	96 186	111 931	106 028	106 881	114 075	132 197	110 323	269 255	157 881	64,1%	16,4%	-5,3%	0,8%	6,7%	15,9%	-16,5%	144,1%	-41,4%
91.2.8 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	79	NAP	12 476	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.2.9 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NA	NA	80 522	79 100	74 069	73 739	75 854	-	-	-	-	-	-1,8%	-6,4%	-0,4%	2,9%
91.2.10 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Administrative law cases	18 797	11 296	11 612	10 764	8 861	5 036	5 063	5 525	5 071	-73,0%	-39,9%	2,8%	-7,3%	-17,7%	-43,2%	0,5%	9,1%	-8,2%
91.2.11 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	222 159	280 077	226 230	190 813	456 422	379 706	182 527	216 591	189 462	-14,7%	26,1%	-19,2%	-15,7%	139,2%	-16,8%	-51,9%	18,7%	-12,5%

Slovak Republic (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
91.3.1 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	580 653	626 660	626 110	562 478	979 689	929 579	660 330	731 135	766 088	31,9%	7,9%	-0,1%	-10,2%	74,2%	-5,1%	-29,0%	10,7%	4,8%
91.3.2 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	131 856	131 609	138 819	148 107	265 746	248 958	165 833	128 223	107 522	-18,5%	-0,2%	5,5%	6,7%	79,4%	-6,3%	-33,4%	-22,7%	-16,1%
91.3.3 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	227 921	221 995	246 135	274 229	280 349	373 232	455 624	-	-	-	-2,6%	10,9%	11,4%	2,2%	33,1%	22,1%
91.3.4 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	137 139	128 210	120 392	116 136	57 312	65 911	91 943	121 284	132 594	-3,3%	-6,5%	-6,1%	-3,5%	-50,7%	15,0%	39,5%	31,9%	9,3%
91.3.5 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	107 529	105 859	112 579	131 932	112 073	176 512	253 977	-	-	-	-1,6%	6,3%	17,2%	-15,1%	57,5%	43,9%
91.3.6 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.3.7 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Non-litigious business registry cases	95 900	110 331	107 529	105 859	112 579	131 932	111 994	176 512	241 469	151,8%	15,0%	-2,5%	-1,6%	6,3%	17,2%	-15,1%	57,6%	36,8%
91.3.8 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	79	NAP	12 508	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.3.9 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NA	NA	76 244	76 386	76 333	75 436	69 053	-	-	-	-	-	0,2%	-0,1%	-1,2%	-8,5%
91.3.10 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Administrative law cases	8 865	9 560	14 496	13 361	9 927	5 950	4 866	4 496	4 400	-50,4%	7,8%	51,6%	-7,8%	-25,7%	-40,1%	-18,2%	-7,6%	-2,1%
91.3.11 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	206 893	246 950	244 874	179 015	457 881	400 442	209 282	225 184	198 542	-4,0%	19,4%	-0,8%	-26,9%	155,8%	-12,5%	-47,7%	7,6%	-11,8%
91.4.1 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	346 982	403 918	395 749	369 184	264 068	273 420	201 626	270 185	182 196	-47,5%	16,4%	-2,0%	-6,7%	-28,5%	3,5%	-26,3%	34,0%	-32,6%
91.4.2 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	157 862	182 170	199 203	162 585	94 328	116 418	71 385	59 870	60 177	-61,9%	15,4%	9,4%	-18,4%	-42,0%	23,4%	-38,7%	-16,1%	0,5%
91.4.3 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	71 696	72 049	81 504	89 567	87 298	175 559	95 672	-	-	-	0,5%	13,1%	9,9%	-2,5%	101,1%	-45,5%
91.4.4 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	71 718	67 878	65 066	64 397	28 850	31 780	32 946	32 340	29 024	-59,5%	-5,4%	-4,1%	-1,0%	-55,2%	10,2%	3,7%	-1,8%	-10,3%
91.4.5 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	6 630	7 652	8 442	9 391	7 719	100 462	17 090	-	-	-	15,4%	10,3%	11,2%	-17,8%	1201,5%	-83,0%
91.4.6 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.4.7 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non-litigious business registry cases	6 510	8 110	6 630	7 652	8 442	9 391	7 719	100 462	16 874	159,2%	24,6%	-18,2%	15,4%	10,3%	11,2%	-17,8%	1201,5%	-83,2%
91.4.8 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	NAP	216	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.4.9 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NA	NA	44 212	48 396	46 633	42 757	49 558	-	-	-	-	-	9,5%	-3,6%	-8,3%	15,9%
91.4.10 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Administrative law cases	17 815	19 551	15 772	13 674	5 509	5 166	5 352	6 381	7 052	-60,4%	9,7%	-19,3%	-13,3%	-59,7%	-6,2%	3,6%	19,2%	10,5%
91.4.11 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	93 077	126 209	109 078	120 876	82 727	62 269	37 591	28 375	19 295	-79,3%	35,6%	-13,6%	10,8%	-31,6%	-24,7%	-39,6%	-24,5%	-32,0%

Slovak Republic (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions													
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020					
Table 3.2.1.1 to 3.2.1.2 (all years) First instance courts: Clearance rate and disposition time for other than criminal cases (Q91)																							
Table 3.3.4 to 3.3.7 Variation of Clearance Rate and Disposition Time of first instance other than criminal cases (Q91)																							
Table 3.13.1 (EC) to 3.13.6 (EC) First instance courts: Disposition time and clearance rate for other than criminal cases (Q91)																							
CR Total of other than criminal law cases	90,9%	90,7%	101,9%	105,1%	106,2%	108,6%	111,4%	91,1%	113,0%	24,29	-	0,21	12,33	3,07	1,06	2,30	2,55	-	18,24	24,11			
CR Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	81,6%	80,6%	91,7%	132,8%	132,0%	129,2%	130,6%	109,9%	99,7%	22,24	-	1,14	13,76	44,80	-	0,66	-	2,08	1,05	-	15,86	-	9,24
CR Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	101,2%	99,8%	96,1%	98,5%	100,8%	80,4%	121,3%	-	-	-	-	1,39	-	3,76	2,48	2,31	-	20,17	50,87		
CR General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	98,1%	103,3%	101,1%	100,6%	93,1%	98,1%	98,0%	100,2%	102,6%	4,54	5,27	-	2,11	-	0,51	-	7,43	5,38	-	0,08	2,19	2,38	
CR Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	101,4%	99,0%	98,7%	99,8%	101,5%	65,6%	149,1%	-	-	-	-	2,34	-	0,36	1,13	1,72	-	35,42	127,42		
CR Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR Non-litigious business registry cases	99,7%	98,6%	101,4%	99,0%	98,7%	99,8%	101,5%	65,6%	152,9%	53,40	-	1,14	2,89	-	2,34	-	0,36	1,13	1,72	-	35,42	133,30	
CR Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	100,0%	NAP	100,3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NA	NA	94,7%	96,6%	103,1%	102,3%	91,0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,99	6,72	-	0,73	-	11,01		
CR Administrative law cases	47,2%	84,6%	124,8%	124,1%	112,0%	118,1%	96,1%	81,4%	86,8%	83,98	79,45	47,51	-	0,57	-	9,75	5,46	-	18,65	-	15,33	6,63	
CR Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	93,1%	88,2%	108,2%	93,8%	100,3%	105,5%	114,7%	104,0%	104,8%	12,52	-	5,32	22,76	-	13,33	6,93	5,13	8,72	-	9,32	0,79		
DT Total of other than criminal law cases	218	235	231	240	98	107	111	135	87	-60,2%	7,9%	-1,9%	3,8%	-58,9%	9,1%	3,8%	21,0%	-35,6%					
DT Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	437	505	524	401	130	171	157	170	204	-53,3%	15,6%	3,7%	-23,5%	-67,7%	31,7%	-7,9%	8,5%	19,9%					
DT Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	115	118	121	119	114	172	77	-	-	-	3,2%	2,0%	-1,4%	-4,7%	51,1%	-55,4%					
DT General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	191	193	197	202	184	176	131	97	80	-58,1%	1,2%	2,1%	2,6%	-9,2%	-4,2%	-25,7%	-25,6%	-17,9%					
DT Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	23	26	27	26	25	208	25	-	-	-	17,2%	3,7%	-5,1%	-3,2%	726,4%	-88,2%					
DT Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
DT Non-litigious business registry cases	25	27	23	26	27	26	25	208	26	2,9%	8,3%	-16,1%	17,2%	3,7%	-5,1%	-3,2%	725,8%	-87,7%					
DT Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	NAP	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
DT Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NA	NA	212	231	223	207	262	-	-	-	-	-	9,3%	-3,6%	-7,2%	26,6%					
DT Administrative law cases	733	746	397	374	203	317	401	518	585	-20,2%	1,8%	-46,8%	-5,9%	-45,8%	56,5%	26,7%	29,0%	12,9%					
DT Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	164	187	163	246	66	57	66	46	35	-78,4%	13,6%	-12,8%	51,6%	-73,2%	-13,9%	15,5%	-29,8%	-22,9%					

Slovak Republic (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Table 3.4.1 (all years) First instance courts, number of cases for specific case categories (Q101)																		
101.1.1 Pending cases on 1 Jan. Litigious divorce case	7 181	7 283	7 403	7 338	3 063	5 598	5 188	4 922	4 515	-37,1%	1,4%	1,6%	-0,9%	-58,3%	82,8%	-7,3%	-5,1%	-8,3%
101.1.2 Pending cases on 1 Jan. Employment dismissal case	NA	NA	NA	2 331	1 965	1 770	1 645	1 310	1 184	-	-	-	-	-15,7%	-9,9%	-7,1%	-20,4%	-9,6%
101.1.3 Pending cases on 1 Jan. Insolvency	341	456	544	740	1 926	2 324	2 529	1 898	1 621	375,4%	33,7%	19,3%	36,0%	160,3%	20,7%	8,8%	-25,0%	-14,6%
101.2.1 Incoming cases_Litigious divorce case	13 749	14 096	13 529	12 562	12 335	11 440	11 819	11 622	10 395	-24,4%	2,5%	-4,0%	-7,1%	-1,8%	-7,3%	3,3%	-1,7%	-10,6%
101.2.2 Incoming cases_Employment dismissal case	1 616	1 684	1 600	1 725	1 632	1 539	1 282	1 094	1 404	-13,1%	4,2%	-5,0%	7,8%	-5,4%	-5,7%	-16,7%	-14,7%	28,3%
101.2.3 Incoming cases_Insolvency	1 505	1 668	1 819	1 977	2 134	6 880	15 599	17 682	11 944	693,6%	10,8%	9,1%	8,7%	7,9%	222,4%	126,7%	13,4%	-32,5%
101.3.1 Resolved cases_Litigious divorce case	13 647	13 977	13 594	12 583	9 800	11 707	12 085	12 029	10 654	-21,9%	2,4%	-2,7%	-7,4%	-22,1%	19,5%	3,2%	-0,5%	-11,4%
101.3.2 Resolved cases_Employment dismissal case	1 317	1 127	1 254	1 415	1 827	1 797	1 617	1 220	1 153	-12,5%	-14,4%	11,3%	12,8%	29,1%	-1,6%	-10,0%	-24,6%	-5,5%
101.3.3 Resolved cases_Insolvency	1 395	1 581	1 623	1 705	1 736	6 593	15 561	17 959	12 350	785,3%	13,3%	2,7%	5,1%	1,8%	279,8%	136,0%	15,4%	-31,2%
101.4.1 Pending cases on 31 Dec. Litigious divorce case	7 283	7 402	7 338	7 317	5 598	5 331	4 922	4 515	4 256	-41,6%	1,6%	-0,9%	-0,3%	-23,5%	-4,8%	-7,7%	-8,3%	-5,7%
101.4.2 Pending cases on 31 Dec. Employment dismissal case	NA	NA	NA	2 641	1 770	1 732	1 310	1 184	1 435	-	-	-	-	-33,0%	-2,1%	-24,4%	-9,6%	21,2%
101.4.3 Pending cases on 31 Dec. Insolvency	451	543	740	1 012	2 324	2 783	2 567	1 621	1 215	169,4%	20,4%	36,3%	36,8%	129,6%	19,8%	-7,8%	-36,9%	-25,0%

Table 3.5.1 (all years) First instance courts: Clearance rate and disposition time for specific case categories (Q101)

Table 3.6.1 and 3.6.2 Variations of CR and DT for specific case categories of first instance cases (Q101)

CR Litigious divorce cases	99,3%	99,2%	100,5%	100,2%	79,4%	102,3%	102,3%	103,5%	102,5%	3,26	-	0,10	1,34	-	0,31	-	20,68	28,80	-	0,08	1,22	-	0,98
CR Employment dismissal cases	81,5%	66,9%	78,4%	82,0%	111,9%	116,8%	126,1%	111,5%	82,1%	0,77	-	17,88	17,11	4,66	36,47	4,30	8,02	-	11,59	-	26,36		
CR Insolvency cases	92,7%	94,8%	89,2%	86,2%	81,3%	95,8%	99,8%	101,6%	103,4%	11,55	2,26	-	5,87	-	3,34	-	5,67	17,80	4,10	1,81	1,80		
DT Litigious divorce cases	195	193	197	212	208	166	149	137	146	-25,1%	-0,8%	1,9%	7,7%	-1,8%	-20,3%	-10,6%	-7,8%	6,4%					
DT Employment dismissal cases	NA	NA	NA	681	354	352	296	354	454	-	-	-	-	-48,1%	-0,5%	-15,9%	19,8%	28,2%					
DT Insolvency cases	118	125	166	217	489	154	60	33	36	-69,6%	6,2%	32,8%	30,2%	125,5%	-68,5%	-60,9%	-45,3%	9,0%					

Slovak Republic (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Table 3.7.1 to 3.7.5 (2019 and 2020) Second instance other than criminal cases (Q97)																		
Table 3.9.1 to 3.9.3 (2019 and 2020) Variation of second instance other than criminal cases (Q97)																		
97.1.1 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			26 041	36 764	31 216	21 695	19 217	17 427	13 616	-	-	-	41,2%	-15,1%	-30,5%	-11,4%	-9,3%	-21,9%
97.1.2 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			NA	NA	23 367	14 498	14 703	13 533	11 248	-	-	-	-	-	-38,0%	1,4%	-8,0%	-16,9%
97.1.3 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NA	NA	NA	7 188	4 510	3 893	2 367	-	-	-	-	-	-	-37,3%	-13,7%	-39,2%
97.1.4 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NA	NA	7 841	7 188	4 510	3 893	2 367	-	-	-	-	-	-8,3%	-37,3%	-13,7%	-39,2%
97.1.5 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.1.6 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.1.7 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non-litigious business registry cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.1.8 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.1.9 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other non-litigious cases			NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.1.10 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Administrative law cases			8	6	8	9	4	1	1	-	-	-	-25,0%	33,3%	12,5%	-55,6%	-75,0%	0,0%
97.1.11 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other cases			NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.2.1 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			87 676	87 688	68 142	46 920	42 583	34 411	27 048	-	-	-	0,0%	-22,3%	-31,1%	-9,2%	-19,2%	-21,4%
97.2.2 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			NA	NA	34 974	27 564	25 407	21 167	15 658	-	-	-	-	-	-21,2%	-7,8%	-16,7%	-26,0%
97.2.3 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NA	NA	NA	19 355	17 174	13 244	11 390	-	-	-	-	-	-	-11,3%	-22,9%	-14,0%
97.2.4 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NA	NA	33 156	19 355	17 174	13 244	11 390	-	-	-	-	-	-41,6%	-11,3%	-22,9%	-14,0%
97.2.5 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.2.6 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.2.7 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Non-litigious business registry cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.2.8 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.2.9 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Other non-litigious cases			NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.2.10 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Administrative law cases			18	21	12	1	2	-	-	-	-	-	16,7%	-42,9%	-91,7%	100,0%	-	-
97.2.11 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Other cases			NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Slovak Republic (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
97.3.1 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			76 953	86 002	77 663	56 800	44 373	38 222	29 114	-	-	-	11,8%	-9,7%	-26,9%	-21,9%	-13,9%	-23,8%
97.3.2 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			NA	NA	43 843	31 935	26 577	23 452	18 108	-	-	-	-	-	-27,2%	-16,8%	-11,8%	-22,8%
97.3.3 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NA	NA	NA	24 860	17 791	14 770	11 005	-	-	-	-	-	-	-28,4%	-17,0%	-25,5%
97.3.4 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NA	NA	33 809	24 860	17 791	14 770	11 005	-	-	-	-	-	-26,5%	-28,4%	-17,0%	-25,5%
97.3.5 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.6 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.7 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Non-litigious business registry cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.8 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.9 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Other non-litigious cases			NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.10 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Administrative law cases			20	19	11	5	5	-	1	-	-	-	-5,0%	-42,1%	-54,5%	0,0%	-	-
97.3.11 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Other cases			NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.1 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			36 764	38 450	21 695	19 219	17 427	13 616	11 550	-	-	-	4,6%	-43,6%	-11,4%	-9,3%	-21,9%	-15,2%
97.4.2 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			NA	NA	14 498	14 667	13 533	11 248	8 798	-	-	-	-	-	1,2%	-7,7%	-16,9%	-21,8%
97.4.3 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NA	NA	NA	4 548	3 893	2 367	2 752	-	-	-	-	-	-	-14,4%	-39,2%	16,3%
97.4.4 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NA	NA	7 188	4 548	3 893	2 367	2 752	-	-	-	-	-	-36,7%	-14,4%	-39,2%	16,3%
97.4.5 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.6 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.7 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non-litigious business registry cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.8 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.9 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other non-litigious cases			NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.10 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Administrative law cases			6	8	9	4	1	1	-	-	-	-	33,3%	12,5%	-55,6%	-75,0%	0,0%	-
97.4.11 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other cases			NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.5.1 2nd inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	600	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.5.2 2nd inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	588	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.5.10 2nd inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Administrative law cases			-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Slovak Republic (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions											
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020			
Table 3.8.1 and 3.8.2 (2019 and 2020): Second instance clearance rate and disposition time for other than criminal law cases (Q97)																					
Table 3.9.4 and 3.9.5 (2019 and 2020): Variation of second clearance rate and disposition time for other than criminal law cases (Q97)																					
CR Total of other than criminal law cases			87,8%	98,1%	114,0%	121,1%	104,2%	111,1%	107,6%	-	-	-	11,74	16,21	6,22	-	13,92	6,59	-	3,09	
CR Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			NA	NA	125,4%	115,9%	104,6%	110,8%	115,6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	7,58	-	9,71	5,92	4,38	
CR Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NA	NA	NA	128,4%	103,6%	111,5%	96,6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	19,35	7,65	-	13,36
CR General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NA	NA	102,0%	128,4%	103,6%	111,5%	96,6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	25,96	-	19,35	7,65	-	13,36
CR Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Non-litigious business registry cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Other non-litigious cases			NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Administrative law cases			111,1%	90,5%	91,7%	500,0%	250,0%	-	-	-	-	-	18,57	1,32	445,45	-	50,00	-	-	-	
CR Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Total of other than criminal law cases			174	163	102	124	143	130	145	-	-	-	-6,4%	-37,5%	21,1%	16,1%	-9,3%	11,4%			
DT Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			NA	NA	121	168	186	175	177	-	-	-	-	-	38,9%	10,9%	-5,8%	1,3%			
DT Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NA	NA	NA	67	80	58	91	-	-	-	-	-	-	19,6%	-26,8%	56,0%			
DT General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NA	NA	78	67	80	58	91	-	-	-	-	-	-14,0%	19,6%	-26,8%	56,0%			
DT Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Non-litigious business registry cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Other non-litigious cases			NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Administrative law cases			110	154	299	292	73	-	-	-	-	-	40,4%	94,3%	-2,2%	-75,0%	-	-	-	-	
DT Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Slovak Republic (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Table 3.10.1 to 3.10.5 (2019 and 2020) Supreme courts, number of other than criminal law cases (Q99)																		
Table 3.12.1 to 3.12.3 (2019 and 2020) Variation of the supreme courts, number of other than criminal law cases (Q99)																		
99.1.1 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			9 240	11 948	12 799	7 992	5 575	4 257	3 804	-	-	-	29,3%	7,1%	-37,6%	-30,2%	-23,6%	-10,6%
99.1.2 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			NA	NA	NA	4 185	3 050	2 157	1 927	-	-	-	-	-	-	-27,1%	-29,3%	-10,7%
99.1.3 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.1.4 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.1.5 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.1.6 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.1.7 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.1.8 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.1.9 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.1.10 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Administrative law cases			2 280	3 333	4 086	3 807	2 525	2 100	1 877	-	-	-	46,2%	22,6%	-6,8%	-33,7%	-16,8%	-10,6%
99.1.11 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.2.1 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			17 941	20 477	13 460	9 515	7 442	5 816	5 583	-	-	-	14,1%	-34,3%	-29,3%	-21,8%	-21,8%	-4,0%
99.2.2 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			NA	NA	NA	7 445	5 287	3 857	3 789	-	-	-	-	-	-	-29,0%	-27,0%	-1,8%
99.2.3 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.2.4 High inst courts_Incoming cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.2.5 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.2.6 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.2.7 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.2.8 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.2.9 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.2.10 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Administrative law cases			4 966	4 800	3 641	2 070	2 155	1 959	1 794	-	-	-	-3,3%	-24,1%	-43,1%	4,1%	-9,1%	-8,4%
99.2.11 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Slovak Republic (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
99.3.1 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			15 233	19 301	18 267	12 410	8 760	6 269	5 179	-	-	-	26,7%	-5,4%	-32,1%	-29,4%	-28,4%	-17,4%
99.3.2 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			NA	NA	NA	9 058	6 180	4 087	3 399	-	-	-	-	-	-	-31,8%	-33,9%	-16,8%
99.3.3 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.4 High inst courts_Resolved cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.5 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.6 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.7 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.8 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.9 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.10 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Administrative law cases			3 913	4 031	3 920	3 352	2 580	2 182	1 780	-	-	-	3,0%	-2,8%	-14,5%	-23,0%	-15,4%	-18,4%
99.3.11 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.1 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			11 948	13 124	7 992	5 097	4 257	3 804	4 208	-	-	-	9,8%	-39,1%	-36,2%	-16,5%	-10,6%	10,6%
99.4.2 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			NA	NA	NA	2 572	2 157	1 927	2 317	-	-	-	-	-	-	-16,1%	-10,7%	20,2%
99.4.3 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.4 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.5 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.6 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.7 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.8 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.9 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.10 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Administrative law cases			3 333	4 102	3 807	2 525	2 100	1 877	1 891	-	-	-	23,1%	-7,2%	-33,7%	-16,8%	-10,6%	0,7%
99.4.11 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.5.1 High inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	221	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.5.2 High inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	83	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.5.10 High inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Administrative law cases			-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	138	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Slovak Republic (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions												
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020				
Table 3.11.1 and 3.11.2 Supreme courts, clearance rate and disposition time for other than criminal law cases (Q97)																						
Table 3.12.4 and 3.12.5 Variation of the supreme courts, clearance rate and disposition time for other than criminal law cases (Q97)																						
CR Total of other than criminal law cases			84,9%	94,3%	135,7%	130,4%	117,7%	107,8%	92,8%	-	-	-	11,01	43,98	-	3,90	-	9,75	-	8,43	-	13,94
CR Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			NA	NA	NA	121,7%	116,9%	106,0%	89,7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,92	-	9,35	-	15,34
CR Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Administrative law cases			78,8%	84,0%	107,7%	161,9%	119,7%	111,4%	99,2%	-	-	-	6,58	28,20	50,41	-	26,07	-	6,96	-	10,92	
CR Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Total of other than criminal law cases			286	248	160	150	177	221	297	-	-	-	-13,3%	-35,7%	-6,1%	18,3%	24,9%	33,9%				
DT Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			NA	NA	NA	104	127	172	249	-	-	-	-	-	-	22,9%	35,1%	44,6%				
DT Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Administrative law cases			311	371	354	275	297	314	388	-	-	-	19,5%	-4,6%	-22,4%	8,1%	5,7%	23,5%				
DT Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Slovak Republic (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions										
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020		
Table 3.14.1 to 3.14.5 First instance criminal law cases (Q94)																				
094.1.1 Total - pending 1 Jan									22 452	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.1.2 Severe cases - pending 1 Jan									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.1.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 1 Jan									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.1.4 Other - pending 1 Jan									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.2.1 Total -incoming									65 860	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.2.2 Severe cases - incoming									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.2.3 Misdemeanour cases - incoming									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.2.4 Other - incoming									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.3.1 Total - resolved									65 808	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.3.2 Severe cases -resolved									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.3.3 Misdemeanour cases - resolved									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.3.4 Other - resolved									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.4.1 Total - pending 31 Dec									22 504	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.4.2 Severe cases - pending 31 Dec									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.4.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 31 Dec									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.4.4 Other - pending 31 Dec									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.5.1 Total - pending more then 2 years									2 511	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.5.2 Severe cases - pending more then 2 years									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.5.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending more then 2 years									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.5.4 Other - pending more then 2 years									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Slovak Republic (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Table 3.15.1 to 3.10.2 CR and DT for first instance criminal law cases (Q94)																		
CR of Total									99,9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR o2 Severe cases									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR of Misdemeanour cases									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR of Other									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Total									125	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Severe cases									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Misdemeanour cases									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Other									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Table 3.16.1 to 3.16.5 Second instance criminal law cases (Q98)																		
098.1.1 Total - pending 1 Jan									1 085	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.1.2 Severe cases - pending 1 Jan									1 085	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.1.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 1 Jan									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.1.4 Other - pending 1 Jan									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.2.1 Total -incoming									9 080	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.2.2 Severe cases - incoming									9 080	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.2.3 Misdemeanour cases - incoming									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.2.4 Other - incoming									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.3.1 Total - resolved									9 054	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.3.2 Severe cases -resolved									9 054	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.3.3 Misdemeanour cases - resolved									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.3.4 Other - resolved									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Slovak Republic (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
098.4.1 Total - pending 31 Dec									1 111	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.4.2 Severe cases - pending 31 Dec									1 111	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.4.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 31 Dec									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.4.4 Other - pending 31 Dec									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.5.1 Total - pending more then 2 years									7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.5.2 Severe cases - pending more then 2 years									7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.5.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending more then 2 years									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.5.4 Other - pending more then 2 years									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 3.17.1 to 3.17.2 CR and DT for second instance criminal law cases (Q98)

CR of Total									99,7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR of Severe cases									99,7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR of Misdemeanour cases									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR of Other									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Total									45	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Severe cases									45	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Misdemeanour cases									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Other									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Slovak Republic (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Table 3.18.1 to 3.18.5 Supreme court criminal law cases (Q100)																		
100.1.1 Total - pending 1 Jan									268	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.1.2 Severe cases - pending 1 Jan									268	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.1.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 1 Jan									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.1.4 Other - pending 1 Jan									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.2.1 Total -incoming									1 016	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.2.2 Severe cases - incoming									1 016	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.2.3 Misdemeanour cases - incoming									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.2.4 Other - incoming									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.3.1 Total - resolved									1 006	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.3.2 Severe cases -resolved									1 006	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.3.3 Misdemeanour cases - resolved									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.3.4 Other - resolved									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.4.1 Total - pending 31 Dec									278	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.4.2 Severe cases - pending 31 Dec									278	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.4.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 31 Dec									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.4.4 Other - pending 31 Dec									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.5.1 Total - pending more then 2 years									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.5.2 Severe cases - pending more then 2 years									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.5.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending more then 2 years									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.5.4 Other - pending more then 2 years									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Slovak Republic (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions										
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020		
Table 3.19.1 to 3.19.2 CR and DT for supreme court criminal law cases (Q100)																				
CR of Total									99,0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR of Severe cases									99,0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR of Misdemeanour cases									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR of Other									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT of Total									101	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT of Severe cases									101	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT of Misdemeanour cases									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT of Other									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Slovak Republic (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions										
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020		
Indicator 5: Access to justice																				
Legal aid																				
Table 5.1 to Table 5.6 (Q12-2, Q16, Q18, Q19, Q20, Q20-1)																				
12-2.1.1 Coverage of court fees										True										
12-2.1.2 Exemption from court fees										True										
16.1.1 Legal aid applies to representation in court (criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True	True										
16.1.2 Legal aid applies to legal advice (criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True	True										
16.2.1 Legal aid applies to representation in court (other than criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True	True										
16.2.2 Legal aid applies to legal advice (other than criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True	True										
18.1.1 Legal aid for the enforcement of judicial decisions					False	False	False	False	False	False										
19.1.1 Legal aid granted for other costs - criminal cases										False										
19.1.2 Legal aid granted for other costs - other than criminal cases										True										
020.1.1 Total										NA										
020.1.2 Total - criminal cases										NA										
020.1.3 Total - other than criminal cases										11 432										
020.2.1 Total brought to court										NA										
020.2.2 Brought to court - criminal cases										NA										
020.2.3 Brought to court - other then criminal										NA										
020.3.1 Total not brought to court										NA										
020.3.2 Not brought to court - criminal cases										NA										
020.3.3 Not brought to court - other then criminal										NA										
020-1.1.1 Maximum duration prescribed in law/regulation										30										
020-1.1.2 Average duration										30										

Slovak Republic (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
System for compensating users																		
Table 5.7.1 and Table 5.7.2 (Q37)																		
037.1.1 Requests for compensation - Total										NA								
037.1.2 Requests for compensation - Excessive length of proceedings										NA								
037.1.3 Requests for compensation - Non-execution of court decisions										NA								
037.1.4 Requests for compensation - Wrongful arrest										NA								
037.1.5 Requests for compensation - Wrongful conviction										NA								
037.1.6 Requests for compensation - Other										NA								
037.2.1 Condemnations - Total										NA								
037.2.2 Condemnations - Excessive length of proceedings										NA								
037.2.3 Condemnations - Non-execution of court decisions										NA								
037.2.4 Condemnations - Wrongful arrest										NA								
037.2.5 Condemnations - Wrongful conviction										NA								
037.2.6 Condemnations - Other										NA								
037.3.1 Amount - Total										NA								
037.3.2 Amount - Excessive length of proceedings										NA								
037.3.3 Amount - Non-execution of court decisions										NA								
037.3.4 Amount - Wrongful arrest										NA								
037.3.5 Amount - Wrongful conviction										NA								
037.3.6 Amount - Other										NA								

Slovak Republic (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Indicator 6: The ICT tools of courts and for court users																			
Table 6.1 to Table 6.11 (Q62-7, Q62-7-1, Q62-8, Q62-8-1, Q63-1, Q63-1-1, Q63-2 Q63-6, Q63-7, Q63-7-1, Q64-2, Q64-4, Q64-6, Q64-3, Q64-3-1, Q64-7, Q64-7-1, Q64-9)																			
62-7 Writing assistance tools coordinated at national level								True	True	True									
62-7-1.1 Deployment rate in civil matter								50-99%	50-99%	50-99%									
62-7-1.2 Deployment rate in criminal matter								50-99%	50-99%	50-99%									
62-7-1.3 Deployment rate in administrative matter								50-99%	50-99%	50-99%									
62-8 Voice recording tools								True	True	True									
62-8-1.1.1 Availability of simple dictation tools in civil matter								in all courts	in all courts	in all courts									
62-8-1.1.2 Availability of simple dictation tools in criminal matter								in all courts	in all courts	in all courts									
62-8-1.1.3 Availability of simple dictation tools in administrative matter								in all courts	in all courts	in all courts									
62-8-1.2.1 Availability of multiple speakers recording tools in civil matter								in all courts	in all courts	in all courts									
62-8-1.2.2 Availability of multiple speakers recording tools in criminal matter								in all courts	in all courts	in all courts									
62-8-1.2.3 Availability of multiple speakers recording tools in administrative matter								in all courts	in all courts	in all courts									
62-8-1.3.1 Availability of voice recognition in civil matter								No	No	No									
62-8-1.3.2 Availability of voice recognition in criminal matter								No	No	No									
62-8-1.3.3 Availability of voice recognition in administrative matter								No	No	Yes									
062-9 Availability of intranet site within the judicial system for distribution of news/novelties							-	100%	100%	100%									
63.1 Is there a case management system?								True	True	True									
63.1-1.1 CMS for civil matter (deployment rate)								100%	100%	100%									
63.1-1.1 CMS for criminal matter (deployment rate)								100%	100%	100%									
63.1-1.1 CMS for administrative matter (deployment rate)								100%	100%	100%									
63.1-1.2 CMS for civil matter (status of case online)							-	Both	Both	Both									
63.1-1.2 CMS for criminal matter (status of case online)							-	Both	Both	Both									
63.1-1.2 CMS for administrative matter (status of case online)							-	Both	Both	Both									

Slovak Republic (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
63.1-1.3 CMS for civil matter (Centralised or interoperable database)							-	False	False	False									
63.1-1.3 CMS for criminal matter (Centralised or interoperable database)							-	False	False	False									
63.1-1.3 CMS for administrative matter (Centralised or interoperable database)							-	False	False	False									
63.1-1.4 CMS for civil matter (Early warning signals)							-	True	True	True									
63.1-1.4 CMS for criminal matter (Early warning signals)							-	True	True	True									
63.1-1.4 CMS for administrative matter (Early warning signals)							-	True	True	True									
63-1-1.5 Statistics in CMS civil matter								Not connected at all	Not connected at all	Not connected at all									
63-1-1.5 Statistics in CMS criminal matter								Not connected at all	Not connected at all	Not connected at all									
63-1-1.5 Statistics in CMS administrative matter								Not connected at all	Not connected at all	Not connected at all									
63-2.1 Deployment rate for computerised registries managed by courts - land registry								NA	0% (NAP)	0% (NAP)									
63-2.1 Deployment rate for computerised registries managed by courts - business registry								100%	100%	100%									
63-2.2 Data consolidated at national level for land registry							-	False	NAP	NAP									
63-2.2 Data consolidated at national level for business registry							-	True	True	True									
63-2.3 Service available online for land registry							-	False	NAP	NAP									
63-2.3 Service available online for business registry							-	True	True	True									
63-2.4 Statistical module integrated or connected for land registry							-	False	NAP	NAP									
63-2.4 Statistical module integrated or connected for business registry							-	True	True	True									
063-6.1.1 Budgetary and financial management of courts (deployment rate)							-	50-99%	100%	100%									
063-6.1.2 Justice expenses management (deployment rate)							-	50-99%	100%	100%									
063-6.1.3 Other financial management tools (deployment rate)							-	NA	NA	0% (NAP)									
063-6.2.1 Budgetary and financial management of courts (Data consolidated at national level)							-	True	True	True									
063-6.2.2 Justice expenses management (Data consolidated at national level)							-	True	True	True									
063-6.2.3 Other financial management tools (Data consolidated at national level)							-	False	NAP	NAP									
063-6.3.1 Budgetary and financial management of courts (System communicating with other ministries)							-	True	True	True									
063-6.3.2 Justice expenses management (System communicating with other ministries)							-	True	True	True									
063-6.3.3 Other financial management tools (System communicating with other ministries)							-	False	NAP	NAP									

Slovak Republic (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
63-7.1 Measurement tools to assess the workload							True	True	True										
63-7-1.1.1 Deployment rate - workload of judges							50-99%	50-99%	100%										
63-7-1.1.2 Deployment rate - workload of prosecutors							NA	NA	NA										
63-7-1.1.3 Deployment rate - workload of non-judge and non-prosecutor staff							10-49%	10-49%	10-49%										
63-7-1.2.1 Monitoring on national level - judges							NA	NA	NA										
63-7-1.2.2 Monitoring on national level - prosecutors							NA	NA	NA										
63-7-1.2.2 Monitoring on national level - non-judge and non-prosecutor staff							NA	NA	NA										
63-7-1.3.1 Monitoring on court level - judges							False	False	False										
63-7-1.3.2 Monitoring on court level - prosecutors							False	False	False										
63-7-1.3.3 Monitoring on court level - non-judge and non-prosecutor staff							True	True	True										
064-2 - Possibility to submit a case to courts by electronic means							True	True	True										
064-2 - Civil and/or commercial							100%	100%	100%										
064-2 - Criminal							100%	100%	50-99%										
064-2 - Administrative							100%	100%	100%										
064-2 - Submission in paper remains mandatory - civil							False	False	False										
064-2 - Submission in paper remains mandatory - criminal							False	False	False										
064-2 - Submission in paper remains mandatory - administrative							False	False	False										
064-2 - Specific legislative framework - civil							True	True	True										
064-2 - Specific legislative framework - criminal							True	True	True										
064-2 - Specific legislative framework - administrative							True	True	True										
064-2 - Integrated/connected with the CMS - civil							True	True	True										
064-2 - Integrated/connected with the CMS - criminal							True	True	True										
064-2 - Integrated/connected with the CMS - administrative							True	True	True										

Slovak Republic (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
064-6.2.1 - Civil and/or commercial (Trial phases concerned)							Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling Decision transmission	Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling Decision transmission	Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling Decision transmission									
064-6.2.2 - Criminal (Trial phases concerned)							Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling	Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling	Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling									
064-6.2.3 - Administrative (Trial phases concerned)							Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling	Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling	Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling									
064-6.3.1 - Civil and/or commercial (Modalities)							E-mail Specific application	E-mail Specific application	E-mail Specific application									
064-6.3.2 - Criminal (Modalities)							E-mail Specific application	E-mail Specific application	E-mail Specific application									
064-6.3.3 - Administrative (Modalities)							E-mail Specific application	E-mail Specific application	E-mail Specific application									
064-6.4.1 - Civil and/or commercial (specific legal framework)							True	True	True									
064-6.4.2 - Criminal (specific legal framework)							True	True	True									
064-6.4.3 - Administrative (specific legal framework)							True	True	True									
064-6.5.1 - Civil and/or commercial (availability for)									Lawyers & Parties not represented by lawyer									
064-6.5.2 - Criminal (availability for)									Lawyers & Parties not represented by lawyer									
064-6.5.3 - Administrative (availability for)									Lawyers & Parties not represented by lawyer									

Slovak Republic (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
064-7.1.1 - Electronic communication of enforcement agents and courts (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
064-7.1.2 - Electronic communication of notaries and courts (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
064-7.1.3 - Electronic communication of experts and courts (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
064-7.1.4 - Electronic communication of judicial police and courts (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
064-7.2.1 - Electronic communication of enforcement agents and courts (Modalities)							E-mail Specific application	Specific application	Specific application									
064-7.2.2 - Electronic communication of notaries and courts (Modalities)							E-mail Specific application	Specific application	Specific application									
064-7.2.3 - Electronic communication of experts and courts (Modalities)							E-mail Specific application	Specific application	Specific application									
064-7.2.4 - Electronic communication of judicial police and courts (Modalities)							E-mail	E-mail	E-mail									
064-7.3.1 - Electronic communication of enforcement agents and courts (specific legal framework)							True	True	True									
064-7.3.2 - Electronic communication of notaries and courts (specific legal framework)							True	True	True									
064-7.3.3 - Electronic communication of experts and courts (specific legal framework)							True	True	True									
064-7.3.4 - Electronic communication of judicial police and courts (specific legal framework)							True	True	True									
064-9 - Existence of online processing devices of specialised litigation							False	True	True									

Slovak Republic (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Indicator 7: Professionals of justice (Indicator 9 in 2019)																		
Table 7.1.1 to 7.5.6 for judges, non judge staff, prosecutors, non prosecutor staff and salaries																		
46.1.1 Total Number of professional judges	1 307	1 342	1 322	1 292	1 311	1 376	1 378	1 370	1 306	-0,1%	2,7%	-1,5%	-2,3%	1,5%	5,0%	0,1%	-0,6%	-4,7%
46.1.2 Number of 1st inst professional judges	871	888	877	846	859	905	907	895	862	-1,0%	2,0%	-1,2%	-3,5%	1,5%	5,4%	0,2%	-1,3%	-3,7%
46.1.3 Number of 2nd inst professional judges	352	370	369	369	374	392	393	398	367	4,3%	5,1%	-0,3%	0,0%	1,4%	4,8%	0,3%	1,3%	-7,8%
46.1.4 Number of Supreme court professional judges	84	84	76	77	78	79	78	77	77	-8,3%	0,0%	-9,5%	1,3%	1,3%	1,3%	-1,3%	-1,3%	0,0%
46.2.1 Number of professional judges_males	489	503	496	493	501	506	513	552	492	0,6%	2,9%	-1,4%	-0,6%	1,6%	1,0%	1,4%	7,6%	-10,9%
46.2.2 Number of 1st instance professional judges_males	310	319	318	313	322	326	336	347	319	2,9%	2,9%	-0,3%	-1,6%	2,9%	1,2%	3,1%	3,3%	-8,1%
46.2.3 Number of 2nd instance professional judges_males	140	145	146	151	147	148	147	175	143	2,1%	3,6%	0,7%	3,4%	-2,6%	0,7%	-0,7%	19,0%	-18,3%
46.2.4 Number of Supreme court professional judges_males	39	39	32	29	32	32	31	30	30	-23,1%	0,0%	-17,9%	-9,4%	10,3%	0,0%	-3,1%	-3,2%	0,0%
46.3.1 Number of professional judges_females	818	839	826	799	810	870	865	818	814	-0,5%	2,6%	-1,5%	-3,3%	1,4%	7,4%	-0,6%	-5,4%	-0,5%
46.3.2 Number of 1st inst professional judges_females	561	569	559	533	537	579	571	548	543	-3,2%	1,4%	-1,8%	-4,7%	0,8%	7,8%	-1,4%	-4,0%	-0,9%
46.3.3 Number of 2nd inst professional judges_females	212	225	223	218	227	244	246	223	224	5,7%	6,1%	-0,9%	-2,2%	4,1%	7,5%	0,8%	-9,3%	0,4%
46.3.4 Number of Supreme court professional judges_females	45	45	44	48	46	47	47	47	47	4,4%	0,0%	-2,2%	9,1%	-4,2%	2,2%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%
046-2.1.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 295	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.1.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	852	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.1.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	366	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.1.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	77	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.2.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Civil and commercial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	918	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.2.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Civil and commercial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	654	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.2.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Civil and commercial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	228	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.2.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Civil and commercial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	36	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.3.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Criminal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	275	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.3.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Criminal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	176	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.3.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Criminal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	84	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.3.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Criminal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Slovak Republic (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
046-2.4.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Administrative	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	80	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.4.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Administrative	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.4.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Administrative	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	54	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.4.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Administrative	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	26	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.5.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.5.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.5.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.5.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.1.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts	4 482	4 497	4 468	4 390	4 482	4 616	4 710	4 731	4 912	9,6%	0,3%	-0,6%	-1,7%	2,1%	3,0%	2,0%	0,4%	3,8%	
52.1.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)	1 046	1 083	1 030	1 001	937	1 015	1 067	1 171	1 210	15,7%	3,5%	-4,9%	-2,8%	-6,4%	8,3%	5,1%	9,7%	3,3%	
52.1.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges	2 079	2 055	2 105	2 011	2 143	2 169	2 185	2 108	2 237	7,6%	-1,2%	2,4%	-4,5%	6,6%	1,2%	0,7%	-3,5%	6,1%	
52.1.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks	1 357	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1 452	1 465	8,0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0,9%
52.1.5 Number of Technical staff	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.1.6 Number of Other non judge staff	NA	1 359	1 333	1 378	1 402	1 432	1 458	NA	NA	-	-	-1,9%	3,4%	1,7%	2,1%	1,8%	-	-	
52.2.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts(men)	-	-	693	714	699	762	868	955	919	-	-	-	3,0%	-2,1%	9,0%	13,9%	10,0%	-3,8%	
52.2.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)(men)	-	-	316	292	272	308	353	389	402	-	-	-	-7,6%	-6,8%	13,2%	14,6%	10,2%	3,3%	
52.2.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges(men)	-	-	42	30	50	65	115	131	112	-	-	-	-28,6%	66,7%	30,0%	76,9%	13,9%	-14,5%	
52.2.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks(men)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	435	405	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-6,9%
52.2.5 Number of Technical staff(men)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.2.6 Number of Other non judge staff(men)	-	-	335	392	377	389	400	NA	NA	-	-	-	17,0%	-3,8%	3,2%	2,8%	-	-	
52.3.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts(women)	-	3 801	3 775	3 676	3 783	3 854	3 842	3 776	3 993	-	-	-0,7%	-2,6%	2,9%	1,9%	-0,3%	-1,7%	5,7%	
52.3.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)(women)	-	751	714	709	665	707	714	782	808	-	-	-4,9%	-0,7%	-6,2%	6,3%	1,0%	9,5%	3,3%	
52.3.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges(women)	-	2 044	2 063	1 981	2 093	2 104	2 070	1 977	2 125	-	-	0,9%	-4,0%	5,7%	0,5%	-1,6%	-4,5%	7,5%	
52.3.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks(women)	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1 017	1 060	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,2%
52.3.5 Number of Technical staff(women)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.3.6 Number of Other non judge staff(women)	NA	1 006	998	986	1 025	1 043	1 058	NA	NA	-	-	-0,8%	-1,2%	4,0%	1,8%	1,4%	-	-	

Slovak Republic (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
052-1.1.1 Non-judge staff (Total)									4 912	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.1.2 Non-judge staff at first instance (total)									3 690	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.1.3 Non-judge staff at second instance (total)									1 022	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.1.4 Non-judge staff at Supreme court (total)									200	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.2.1 Non-judge staff (Males)									919	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.2.2 Non-judge staff at first instance (males)									630	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.2.3 Non-judge staff at second instance (males)									234	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.2.4 Non-judge staff at Supreme court (males)									55	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.3.1 Non-judge staff (females)									3 993	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.3.2 Non-judge staff at first instance (females)									3 060	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.3.3 Non-judge staff at second instance (females)									788	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.3.4 Non-judge staff at supreme court (females)									145	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.1.1 Prosecutors (total)									922	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.1.2 Prosecutors (1st inst.)									607	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.1.3 Prosecutors (2nd inst.)									200	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.1.4 Prosecutors (Highest instance)									115	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.2.1 Prosecutors - Males -total									459	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.2.2 Prosecutors - Males, 1st inst.									282	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.2.3 Prosecutors - Males, 2nd inst.									108	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.2.4 Prosecutors - Males, Supreme courts									69	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.3.1 Prosecutors - Females, Total									463	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.3.2 Prosecutors - Females, 1st inst.									325	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.3.3 Prosecutors - Females, 2nd inst.									92	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.3.4 Prosecutors - Females, Supreme courts									46	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Slovak Republic (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
060.1.1 Number of non-prosecutor staff Total									977	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
060.2.1 Number of non-prosecutor staff Males									286	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
060.3.1 Number of non-prosecutor staff Females									691	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
004 Annual average salary in the country							-	-	15 275 €	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.1.1 Gross annual salary, in € - Professional judge at the beginning of career							-	-	41 278 €	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.1.2 Gross annual salary, in € - Judge of the Supreme Court							-	-	59 623 €	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.1.3 Gross annual salary, in € - Public prosecutor at the beginning of career							-	-	38 984 €	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.1.4 Gross annual salary, in € - Public prosecutor of the Supreme Court or the Highest Appellate Instance							-	-	59 623 €	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.2.1 Net annual salary, in € - Professional judge at the beginning of career							-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.2.2 Net annual salary, in € - Judge of the Supreme Court							-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.2.3 Net annual salary, in € - Public prosecutor at the beginning of career							-	-	27 654 €	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.2.4 Net annual salary, in € - Public prosecutor of the Supreme Court or the Highest Appellate Instance							-	-	44 479 €	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
133.1.1.1 - Additional benefits for judges - Reduced taxation							-	-	False										
133.1.2.1 - Additional benefits for judges - Special pension							-	-	True										
133.1.3.1 - Additional benefits for judges - Housing							-	-	True										
133.1.4.1 - Additional benefits for judges - Other financial benefit							-	-	True										
133.2.1.1 - Additional benefits for prosecutors - Reduced taxation							-	-	False										
133.2.2.1 - Additional benefits for prosecutors - Special pension							-	-	True										
133.2.3.1 - Additional benefits for prosecutors - Housing							-	-	False										
133.2.4.1 - Additional benefits for prosecutors - Other financial benefit							-	-	True										

Slovak Republic (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
144.1.1 Disciplinary procedures for Judges - Total number (1+2+3+4)							-	-	40	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.1.2 Disciplinary procedures for Judges - 1. Breach of professional ethics							-	-	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.1.3 Disciplinary procedures for Judges - 2. Professional inadequacy							-	-	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.1.4 Disciplinary procedures for Judges - 3. Criminal offence							-	-	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.1.5 Disciplinary procedures for Judges - 4. Other							-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.2.1 Disciplinary procedures for Prosecutors - Total number (1+2+3+4)							-	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.2.2 Disciplinary procedures for Prosecutors - 1. Breach of professional ethics							-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.2.3 Disciplinary procedures for Prosecutors - 2. Professional inadequacy									5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.2.4 Disciplinary procedures for Prosecutors - 3. Criminal offence									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.2.5 Disciplinary procedures for Prosecutors - 4. Other									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.1 Sanctions against Judges - Total number (total 1 to 9)									40	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.2 Sanctions against Judges - 1. Reprimand									3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.3 Sanctions against Judges - 2. Suspension									18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.4 Sanctions against Judges - 3. Withdrawal from cases									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.5 Sanctions against Judges - 4. Fine									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.6 Sanctions against Judges - 5. Temporary reduction of salary									1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.7 Sanctions against Judges - 6. Position downgrade									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.8 Sanctions against Judges - 7. Transfer to another geographical (court) location									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.9 Sanctions against Judges - 8. Resignation									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.10 Sanctions against Judges - 9. Other									18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.11 Sanctions against Judges - 10. Dismissal									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Slovak Republic (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
145.2.1 Sanctions against Prosecutors - Total number (total 1 to 9)									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.2 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 1. Reprimand									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.3 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 2. Suspension									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.4 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 3. Withdrawal from cases									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.5 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 4. Fine									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.6 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 5. Temporary reduction of salary									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.7 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 6. Position downgrade									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.8 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 7. Transfer to another geographical (court) location									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.9 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 8. Resignation									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.10 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 9. Other									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.11 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 10. Dismissal									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lawyers																			
Tables 7.6.1, 7.6.2, 7.6.3, 7.7 and 7.8																			
146.1.1 Total number of lawyers practising	5 210	5 541	5 827	5 993	6 142	6 037	6 112	6 186	6 266	20,3%	6,4%	5,2%	2,8%	2,5%	-1,7%	1,2%	1,2%	1,3%	
146.2.1 Practising lawyers - man	-	-	-	-	-	-	3 537	3 561	3 594	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0,7%	0,9%	
146.3.1 Practising lawyers - woman	-	-	-	-	-	-	2 575	2 625	2 672	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,9%	1,8%	
147 Does this figure include "legal advisors" who cannot represent their clients in court (for example, some solicitors or in-house counsellors)?			No	No	False	False	False	False	False	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Slovak Republic (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Indicator 8: The existence and use of alternative dispute resolution methods																			
Table 8.1 8.2 and 8.3																			
166 Number of accredited or registered mediators who practice judicial mediation:	633	846	1 068	1 248	1 450	1 664	913	798	877	38,5%	33,6%	26,2%	16,9%	16,2%	14,8%	-45,1%	-12,6%	9,9%	
167.1.1 Total number started					NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
167. 1.2 Civil and commercial cases - started					NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
167. 1.2 Family cases - started					NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
167.1.4 Administrative cases - started					NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
167.1.5 Labour cases including employment dismissal cases - started					NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
167.1.6. Criminal cases - started					NA	NA	NA	1 118	924	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-17,4%	
167.1.7 Consumer cases - started					-	-	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Key: Variation of more than (+ -) 20%