



**Reference data 2022 (01/01/2022 - 31/12/2022)**

**Start/end date of the data collection campaign : 15/03/2023 - 01/10/2023**

**Objective :**

The CEPEJ decided, at its 39th plenary meeting, to launch the ninth evaluation cycle 2024, focused on 2022 data. The CEPEJ wishes to use the methodology developed in the previous cycles to get, with the support of its national correspondents' network, a general evaluation of the judicial systems in the 46 member states of the Council of Europe as well as three observer states (Israel, Morocco and Kazakhstan).

The present questionnaire was developed by the Working group on evaluation of judicial systems (CEPEJ-GT-EVAL) in view of the previous evaluation cycles and considering the comments submitted by CEPEJ members, observers, experts and national correspondents. The aim of this exercise is to increase awareness of judicial systems in the participating states, to compare the functioning of judicial systems in their various aspects, as well as to have a better knowledge of the trends of the judicial organisation in order to help improve the efficiency of justice. The evaluation questionnaire and the analysis of the results becomes a genuine tool in favour of public policies on justice, in service of the European citizens.

For better understanding of the questions it is necessary to consult the Explanatory note that gives definitions and explanations on the CEPEJ evaluation questionnaire and the methodology needed for replying, You can download the Explanatory note as a whole document on the CEPEJ website. In addition to the Explanatory note, there is also the User manual that is a technical document to help you navigate through this application for data collection.

In case you have any questions related to these documents or on the use of the application, please do not hesitate to contact the Secretariat.

**Instruction :**

Explanatory note: <https://rm.coe.int/explanatory-note-2024-cycle-cepej-2023-2-en/1680aae30a>

Word version of the questionnaire - <https://rm.coe.int/evaluation-scheme-2024-cycle-cepej-2022-9rev1-en-30-march-2023/1680aae309>

CEPEJ COLLECT - User manual – you can download under Documentation tab

---

## 1. General and financial information

### 1.1. Demographic and economic data

#### 1.1.1 Inhabitants and economic general information



##### 001. Number of inhabitants (if possible on 1 January of the reference year +1)

[ 5 428 792 ]

Comments Source

[https://slovak.statistics.sk/wps/portal/ext/home!/ut/p/z1/04\\_Sj9CPykyssy0xPLMnMz0vMAfIjo8ziA809LZycDB0NLPyCXA08QxwD3IO8TAwNTEz1wwkpiAJKG-AAjgZA\\_VFgJc7ujh4m5j4GBhY-](https://slovak.statistics.sk/wps/portal/ext/home!/ut/p/z1/04_Sj9CPykyssy0xPLMnMz0vMAfIjo8ziA809LZycDB0NLPyCXA08QxwD3IO8TAwNTEz1wwkpiAJKG-AAjgZA_VFgJc7ujh4m5j4GBhY-)



=

**003. Per capita GDP (in €) in current prices for the reference year**

[ 16 300 ]

Comments

**004. Average gross annual salary (in €) for the reference year**

[ 15 540 ]

[ ] NA

Comments

**005. Exchange rate of national currency (non-Euro zone) in € on 1 January of the reference year +1:**

[            ]

Allow decimals : 5

[ X ] NAP

Comments

**A1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part**

Sources:

[https://slovak.statistics.sk/wps/portal/ext/home/lut/p/z1/04\\_Sj9CPykyssy0xPLMnMz0vMAfIjo8ziA809LZycDB0NLPyCXA08QxwD3IO8TAwNTEz1wwkpiAJKG-AAjgZA\\_VFgJc7ujh4m5j4GBhY-](https://slovak.statistics.sk/wps/portal/ext/home/lut/p/z1/04_Sj9CPykyssy0xPLMnMz0vMAfIjo8ziA809LZycDB0NLPyCXA08QxwD3IO8TAwNTEz1wwkpiAJKG-AAjgZA_VFgJc7ujh4m5j4GBhY-)

7qYGno4eoUGWgcbGBo7GUAV4zCjIjTDIdFRUBADse0bP/dz/d5/L2dBISEvZ0FBIS9nQSEh/;

Ministry of Finance of the Slovak republic

**1.1.2 Budgetary data concerning judicial system**

**006. Annual (approved and implemented) public budget allocated to the functioning of all courts, in € (without the budget of the public prosecution services and without the budget of legal aid). If you cannot separate the budget allocated to the courts from the budget of public prosecution services and/or the one allocated to legal aid, please go to question 7. If you are able to answer this question, please answer NA to question 7.**

	Approved budget (in €)	Implemented budget (in €)
<b>TOTAL - Annual public budget allocated to the functioning of all courts (1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5 + 6 + 7)</b>	228 718 905 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	265 762 068 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>1. Annual public budget allocated to (gross) salaries</b>	142 269 149 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	150 944 642 [ ] NA [ ] NAP

<b>2. Annual public budget allocated to computerisation (2.1 + 2.2)</b>	15 279 492 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	15 883 457 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2.1 Investments in computerisation</b>	985 649 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	1 680 458 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2.2 Maintenance of the IT equipment of courts</b>	14 293 843 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	14 202 999 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>3. Annual public budget allocated to justice expenses (expertise, interpretation, etc.)</b>	9 789 600 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	13 186 004 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>4. Annual public budget allocated to court buildings (maintenance, operating costs)</b>	12 702 200 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	17 692 220 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>5. Annual public budget allocated to investments in new (court) buildings</b>	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	7 509 478 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>6. Annual public budget allocated to training</b>	446 183 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	2 032 294 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>7. Other (please specify)</b>	48 232 281 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	58 513 973 [ ] NA [ ] NAP

Please indicate any useful comment to explain the figures provided. If the annual public budget allocated to the functioning of all courts actually implemented is different from the approved annual public budget allocated to the functioning of all courts, please indicate the main reasons for the differences: Investments in computerisation is implemented in some periods usually 4 years, therefore the degree of the budget.

Annual public budget allocated to training was higher implemented then approved because the growing possibility and will for trainings (after lack of personal training in the previous years).

In the category "Other" there are the expenditures on social insurance and health insurance, the supplements to sickness benefit for judges, the supplement to maternity pay for judges, the severance payment for retiring judges, food allowance for employees and others....

**007. If you cannot answer question 6 because you cannot isolate the public budget allocated to courts from the budget allocated to public prosecution services and/or the one allocated to legal aid, please fill in only the appropriate line in the table according to your system:**

	Approved budget (in €)	Implemented budget (in €)
<b>Total annual public budget allocated to all courts and the public prosecution services together</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>Total annual public budget allocated to all courts and legal aid together</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>Total annual public budget allocated to all courts, public prosecution services and legal aid together</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments - Please indicate any useful comment to explain the figures provided. If the annual public budget actually implemented is different from the approved annual public budget, please indicate the main reasons for the differences:

=



**008. Are litigants in general required to pay a court fee to initiate a proceeding at a court of general jurisdiction:**

	<b>Litigants required to pay a court fee to initiate a proceeding at a court of general jurisdiction ?</b>
<b>for criminal cases</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, at the beginning of the procedure <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, at a later stage <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>for other than criminal cases</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, at the beginning of the procedure <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, at a later stage <input type="checkbox"/> No

Comments - If there are exceptions to the obligation to pay these court fees, could you please provide comments on those exceptions?

There is a

general rule that the plaintiff is obliged to pay a court fee to commence the civil proceedings. The Act on the Court fees (No. 71/1992 Coll.) provides for the exceptions to the general obligation to pay the court fee. The law stipulates the exhaustive list of the subjects who as a litigants are not obliged to pay the court fee (e.g. the state, prosecutor, foundations, consumers in disputes arisen from consumer contracts etc.) as well as the list of specific types of court proceedings wholly exempted from the court fees (e. g. the proceedings on guardianship and trusteeship, the maintenance proceedings, etc.).

Except for the situations stipulated in the Act on the court fees, in the civil procedure the court is entitled to grant the exoneration from the court fees in consideration the social and economical circumstances of the litigant.

**008-1. Please briefly present the methodology of calculation of these court fees:**

- The amount of the court fee depends on the type of claim. As a general rule, the amount should represent 6% of the claim value. The minimum fee is 16,50 € and the maximum fee in civil matters is 16 596,50 €. With regard to commercial disputes the maximum is 33 193,50 €. If it is not possible to determine the value of a claim, the court fee is 99,50 €. For certain types of claims and/or applications, the Act No 71/1992 on court fees stipulates different rates or fix amounts of court fees.

**008-2. The amount of court fees requested to commence an action for 3000€ debt recovery:**

[ 180 ]

[ ] NA

[ ] NAP

Comments If the request is applied electronically, then the court fee is 110 euros.

**009. Annual income of court fees received by the State (in €):**

[ ]

[ X ] NA

[ ] NAP

Comments

**012. Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid, in €.**

	TOTAL	Criminal cases	Other than criminal cases
<b>TOTAL - Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid (12.1 + 12.2)</b>	8 515 871 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	8 515 871 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>12.1 for cases brought to court (court fees and/or legal representation)</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>12.2 for cases not brought to court (legal advice, ADR and other legal services)</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments The public budget allocated to legal aid is allocated in the organization called Legal Aid Center.

### 012-1. Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid, in €.

	TOTAL	Criminal cases	Other than criminal cases
<b>TOTAL - Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid (12-1.1 + 12-1.2)</b>	10 071 424 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	10 071 424 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>12-1.1 for cases brought to court (court fees and/or legal representation)</b>	499 590 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	499 590 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>12-1.2 for cases not brought to court (legal advice, ADR and other legal services)</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP

If the public budget actually implemented regarding legal aid is different from the annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid, please indicate the main reasons for the differences: The Center's implemented budget was 10 071 423 euros, which in percentage terms, represents 99.89% fulfillment of the approved budget. The difference was 10 991 euros due to the lower interest in the provided services than expected. The implemented budget is higher than approved due to the higher expenditures connected with the personal bankruptcy expenditures and expenditures connected with energy generally.



=

### 012-3. Do legal aid budgets indicated in Q12 and Q12-1 include:

	Amount calculated/estimated included
<b>Coverage of court fees</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No ( ) NAP (Legal aid does not include coverage of court fees)
<b>Exemption from court fees</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No ( ) NAP (Legal aid does not include exemption from court fees)

Comments

### 013. Annual (approved and implemented) public budget allocated to the public prosecution services, in €.

	Approved budget (in €)	Implemented budget (in €)
<b>Total annual public budget allocated to the public prosecution services, in € (including 13.1)</b>	119 509 809 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	128 119 628 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>13.1. Annual public budget allocated to training of public prosecution services</b>	15 377 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	40 153 [ ] NA [ ] NAP

Please indicate any useful comment to explain the figures provided. Moreover, if the annual public budget allocated to the public prosecution services actually implemented is different from the approved annual public budget, please indicate the main reasons for the differences: The difference between the approved budget and the implemented budget is 8 609 822 Eurs. The increased funds were allocated to:

- valorisation of prosecutors' salaries
- implementation of the ECRIS TCN Third Country Citizens Registration Project
- expansion of the PATRICIA information system and its connection to the information system of the European Public Prosecutor's Office
- necessary reconstruction of district and regional prosecutor's offices
- more training - relieve of COVID19 restrictions - additional financing of energies.

## A2. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: General Prosecutor Office

### 1.1.3 Budgetary data concerning the whole justice system

**015-1. Annual (approved and implemented) public budget allocated to the whole justice system, in € (this global budget includes the judicial system budget - see 15-2 and other elements of the justice system - see 15-3)**

	Approved budget (in €)	Implemented budget (in €)
<b>Total annual public budget allocated to the whole justice system in €</b>	715 605 396 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	708 330 781 [ ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments - Please indicate any useful comment to explain the figures provided above and specify if a large portion of the budget allocated to the whole justice system comes from an international organisation. Moreover, if the annual public budget allocated to the whole justice system actually implemented is different from the approved annual public budget, please indicate the main reasons for the differences: Supreme Administrative Court of the Slovak Republic is in the answer 15-1 included for the first time in data 2022 since it has started its activity on 1st of August 2021.

### 015-2. Elements of the judicial system budget (Q6, Q7, Q12, Q13)

	Included
<b>Courts</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No [ ] NAP

<b>Legal aid</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Public prosecution services</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

### 015-3. Other budgetary elements

	<b>Included</b>
<b>Prison system</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Probation services</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>High Judicial Council</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>High Prosecutorial Council</b>	( ) Yes ( ) No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Constitutional court</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Judicial management body</b>	( ) Yes ( ) No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Service for legal representation of the State</b>	( ) Yes ( ) No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Enforcement services</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Notariat</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Forensic services</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Judicial protection of juveniles</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Functioning of the Ministry of Justice</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Refugees and asylum seekers services</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

<b>Immigration Service</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No [ ] NAP
<b>Some police services (e.g. : transfer, investigation, prisoners' security)</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No [ ] NAP
<b>Other</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No [ ] NAP

If "Other", please specify: In "Other" the budget of the Judicial Academy; the Office for the Management of Seized Property are subsumed.

### A3. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: Ministry of Justice, Supreme Court, Supreme Administrative Court, General Prosecutors Office and Judicial Council of the Slovak Republic.

## 2. Access to justice and all courts

### 2.1. Legal Aid

#### 2.1.1 Scope of legal aid

#### 016. Does legal aid apply to:

	<b>Criminal cases</b>	<b>Other than criminal cases</b>
<b>Representation in court</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No [ ] NA [ ] NAP	( X ) Yes ( ) No [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>Legal advice, ADR and other legal services</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No [ ] NA [ ] NAP	( X ) Yes ( ) No [ ] NA [ ] NAP

#### 016-1. Please briefly describe the organisation of the legal aid system in your country.

- The Centre is an institution established for the purpose of providing legal assistance to citizens who, due to lack of funds, cannot utilize other legal services.

The competence of the Centre is quite extensive and the Centre provides legal assistance in civil law matters, commercial law matters, labour law matters, family law matters, in debt relief proceedings under a special regulation, in court proceedings within the administrative judiciary and in these matters also in proceedings before the Constitutional Court of the Slovak Republic, in asylum matters, in proceedings on administrative banishment, in proceedings on the detention of a third-country national or in proceedings on the detention of an asylum seeker, a whistle-blower of criminal or other anti-social activity or a person against whom the effectiveness of an employment law act has been suspended pursuant to a special regulation. The competence of the Centre was indirectly extended by Act no. 274/2017 Coll. on victims of criminal offences, when according to § 7 sec. 2 "Legal aid is provided to victims by the Legal



Aid Centre under the conditions and to the extent stipulated by a special regulation, the entity providing assistance to victims and the attorney under the conditions and to the extent stipulated in accordance with the Criminal Procedure Code.“

Granting legal aid is an administrative proceeding. It proceeds by two Acts. Lex specialis is Act no 327/2005 Z. z. and lex generalis is Act no 71/1967 Sb. If the legal aid is granted, then Centre provides an advocate or lawyer from Centre for the applicant. Then the advocate or the lawyer represents the applicant. It is necessary to distinguish the legal aid in criminal proceedings and the legal aid in other than criminal matters.

In criminal proceedings the defendant has the right to free legal representation in the cases of compulsory defense stipulated by the Code of the Criminal procedure unless the defendant choose a lawyer by oneself. Legal aid is provided by an "ex officio" counsel appointed by the court The costs of the appointed counsel are borne by the state.

In other than criminal matters the Legal Aid Center is the institution granting legal aid to persons in material need. If a person meets the legal conditions for obtaining legal aid, the Center will issue a decision on the granting of legal aid. Legal aid may take the form of legal advice (consultation), mediation (extrajudicial dispute resolution), writing to the courts (preparation of proposals, actions, etc.), representation before a court by a lawyer designated by a center.

**018. Can legal aid be granted for the fees that are related to the enforcement of judicial decisions (e.g. fees of an enforcement agent)?**

- Yes
- No
- NAP

If yes, please specify:

**019. Can legal aid be granted for other costs (different from those mentioned in questions 16 to 18, e.g. fees of technical advisors or experts, costs of other legal professionals (notaries), travel costs etc.)?**

	Criminal cases	Other than criminal cases
Legal aid granted for other costs	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If yes, please specify: Legal Aid Centre grants such fees and other costs according to the art. 7 and 8 of the 2003/8/EC Directive in cross border disputes. The Legal Aid Centre may decide that the authorized person is obliged to compensate the Center in whole or in part the costs of translation of the request for the provision of legal assistance in a cross-border dispute or the documents that must be attached to it; if the request for the provision of legal assistance in a cross-border dispute was rejected by the competent authority of another Member State or the competent authority of the Member State decided that the entitled person is obliged to fully or partially reimburse the costs that have been spent on the legal assistance provided so far.

**2.1.2 Information on legal aid**

**020. Please indicate the number of cases for which legal aid has been granted:**

Total	Cases brought to court	Cases not brought to court
-------	------------------------	----------------------------

<b>TOTAL</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>In criminal cases</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>In other than criminal cases</b>	12 256 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - Please specify when appropriate:

**020-0. Please indicate the number of recipients of legal aid:**

	<b>Total</b>	<b>Cases brought to court</b>	<b>Cases not brought to court</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>In criminal cases</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>In other than criminal cases</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - Please specify when appropriate:

**020-0-1. Are there statistical data disaggregated by gender in respect of recipients of legal aid?**

- Yes  
 No

Comments

**020-0-2. If yes, please provide details on distribution by gender of recipients of legal aid:**

	<b>Total</b>	<b>Males</b>	<b>Females</b>
<b>Number of recipients of legal aid</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

**020-0-3. Is it possible to divide the number of recipients of legal aid per different categories of cases?**

- Yes  
 No

Comment: If yes, please specify for which categories of cases:

**020-0-4. Are there situations where legal aid is automatically granted depending on categories of cases?**

( ) Yes

( X ) No

Comment: If yes, please specify:

**020-0-5. How many of the recipients of legal aid are alleged victims of domestic violence?**

	Total	Males	Females
Number of recipients of legal aid who are alleged victims of domestic violence	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

**020-1. Please indicate the timeframes of the procedure for granting legal aid, in relation to the duration from the initial legal aid request to the final decision on the legal aid request:**

	Time in days
Maximum duration prescribed in law/regulation	30 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Actual average duration	25 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - Please specify if the envisaged timeframe is set in a statutory law, or in other regulation. Furthermore, if different timeframes are envisaged for criminal and other than criminal cases, please provide more information: Median length of the proceeding can be assessed as 25 days.

=

**021. In criminal cases, can individuals who do not have sufficient financial means be assisted by a free of charge (or financed by a public budget) lawyer?**

	Assisted by a free of charge lawyer
Accused individuals	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Victims	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Comments - If yes, please specify: The Legal Aid Centre does not have the competence to grant legal aid for accused individuals according to Act no 327/2005 Z. z., but accused individuals can and in some cases must have a lawyer in criminal proceedings. The details of granting a lawyer due to lack of finances and other circumstances are established in Act no 301/2005 Z. z. According to Act no 327/2005 Z. z. Centre is possible to grant legal aid for victims, but only in civil proceedings. These proceedings are known as adhesive proceedings and the victims are claiming damages caused by crimes

**022. In criminal cases are these individuals free to choose their lawyer within the framework of the legal aid system?**

free selection of lawyer

<b>Accused individuals</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Victims</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments In the criminal proceedings an "ex officio" counsel is appointed to the defendant by the court free of charge in the situations where compulsory defense is required by the law. Defendant is not entitled to choose the lawyer paid by state. If the defendant decides to choose a lawyer oneself, this lawyer does not fall within the legal aid scheme.

### 023-0. Does your country have an income and assets evaluation for granting full or partial legal aid?

Yes

No

Comments - Please indicate if any other criteria are taken into account for the granting of legal aid and any comment that could explain the data provided above: According to Act no 327/2005 Z. z. an applicant is entitled to legal aid if he or she is in a state of material hardship, i.e. is a recipient of a benefit and contributions to a benefit in material hardship, or their income does not exceed 1.4 times the amount of the subsistence minimum (329 € per month scaled up by number of grown persons/ children as jointly assessed persons) and cannot secure the use of legal services with their property,

If a natural person exceeds 1.4 times the amount of the subsistence minimum (329 € per month), but at the same time does not exceed 1.6 times the amount of the subsistence minimum (376 € per month, also scaled up by jointly assessed persons) and they cannot secure the use of legal services with their property and meets all other conditions, they have the right to legal aid with financial participation in the amount of 20% of the costs of legal representation (26 – 40 € for individual stages of procedures). When examining material hardship, the Centre for Legal Aid examines the amount of income for the calendar month in which the application for legal aid is submitted, while also taking into account the income for the last six calendar months prior to its submission (i.e. if the application is submitted in January, it is necessary to submit confirmation of the amount of income paid in January and confirmation of the income paid in the months of July to December).

When assessing income, the Centre for Legal Aid also assesses jointly assessed persons. Such persons are, for example, a spouse, a dependent child, or another natural person who has a dependent child in common with a natural person who has applied for legal aid. This does not apply if the jointly assessed persons are parties to a litigation (e.g. divorce proceedings).

Legal Aid Centre also considers assets and their value in relation to the possibility of securing legal representation from one's own resources:

- Real estate (e.g. house, flat, garden, land). In order to prove ownership of real estate, it is not necessary to attach a certificate of ownership to the application for legal aid, but it is necessary to state the ownership of the real estate in the application for legal aid,
- Movable property (motor vehicles, paintings),
- Rights or other assets (e.g. securities, the right of a partner of a limited liability company to a payment of a share of profits), if their nature allows sale or other monetization.

These conditions must be met by the natural person in national disputes, they do not apply to proceedings for debt relief for natural persons.

### 023. If yes, please specify in the table:

	Annual income value (for one person), (in €)	Assets value (for one person), (in €)
<b>Full legal aid to the applicant for criminal cases</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Full legal aid to the applicant for other than criminal cases</b>	3 948 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Partial legal aid to the applicant for criminal cases	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Partial legal aid to the applicant for other than criminal cases	4 512 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

**024. Is it possible to refuse legal aid for lack of merit of the case (for example for frivolous action or no chance of success)?**

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify the exact criteria for denying legal aid: It is possible, but only if there is lack of evidence, because applicant fails to prove. If there is enough evidence, the Center cannot prejudice if the case will or will not be successful and has to grant legal aid.

**025. Is the decision to grant or refuse legal aid taken by:**

the judge(s) dealing with the main case

another judge or official

an authority external to the court

several authorities (court and external bodies)

Comments

**027. Can judicial decisions direct how legal costs, paid by the parties during the procedure, will be shared:**

	Judicial decisions direct how legal costs will be shared
in criminal cases	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
in other than criminal cases	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Comments - If no, please specify how legal costs are distributed:

**B1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part**

Sources: The Legal Aid Center
-------------------------------

**2.2.Court users and victims**

**2.2.1Rights of the users and victims**

**028. Are there official internet sites/portals (e.g. Ministry of Justice, Judicial Council etc.) where general public may have free-of-charge access to the following:**

	Yes, internet adresse(es)	No
Legal texts (e.g. codes, laws, regulations, etc.)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <a href="https://www.slov-lex.sk/domov">https://www.slov-lex.sk/domov</a>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Case-law of the higher court/s	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <a href="https://www.slov-lex.sk/domov">https://www.slov-lex.sk/domov</a>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Information about the judicial system (organisation of courts, court proceedings, etc)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <a href="https://www.justice.gov.sk/">https://www.justice.gov.sk/</a>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other documents (e.g. forms, downloadable forms, online registration forms)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <a href="https://www.justice.gov.sk/">https://www.justice.gov.sk/</a>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comment - Please specify what documents and information are included in "Other documents"

**029. Is there an obligation to provide information to the parties concerning the foreseeable timeframes of their proceedings?**

- Yes, always
- No
- Yes, only in some specific situations

Comment - If "Yes, only in some specific situations", please specify:

**030. Is there a public and free-of-charge information system for providing information and facilitating access to justice:**

	Information system
General for citizens	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Online information <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephone <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Interactive chat <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> In-person (physical access on site) <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> No
Specific for victims of offences	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Online information <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephone <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Interactive chat <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> In-person (physical access on site) <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> No
Specific for minors (child-friendly systems)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Online information <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephone <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Interactive chat <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> In-person (physical access on site) <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> No

Comments - Please provide more information on these systems and specify how this assistance is provided: Public and free-of-charge information system for providing information and facilitating access to justice is available general for citizens at The Legal Aid Centre. The Centre for Legal Aid is a state budgetary organization established by the Ministry of Justice of the Slovak Republic pursuant to Act no. 327/2005 Coll. on Granting of Legal Aid to Persons in Material Hardship. The Legal Aid Centre was established on 1

**031. Are there special favourable arrangements to be applied, during judicial proceedings, to the following categories of vulnerable persons:**

	<b>Information mechanism</b>	<b>Special arrangements in hearings</b>	<b>Other specific arrangements</b>
<b>Victims of sexual violence/rape</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No
<b>Victims of terrorism</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>Minors (witnesses or victims)</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No
<b>Victims of domestic violence</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No
<b>Ethnic minorities</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No
<b>Persons with disabilities</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No
<b>Juvenile offenders</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No
<b>Other (e.g. victims of human trafficking, forced marriage, sexual mutilation)</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No

Comments - If “Other vulnerable person” and/or “Other specific arrangements”, please specify:

**031-0. If there are special arrangements for minors, what are the settings / tools / facilities / practises employed to protect them when they participate in judicial proceedings?**

- Special and child-adequate preparation for participation in trials / lawsuits (explaining in a child-friendly manner the proceedings)
- Special room in court designated for child-friendly hearings
- Special person / team of trained professional(s) (such as psychologists) to accompany a minor throughout the proceedings
- Special ways to communicate and explain meaning of court decisions
- Interagency/multidisciplinary structure such as “Children's Houses”
- Other, please specify .....
- NAP

Comment

**031-1. What are the main criteria for a person under 18 years of age to act in court proceedings or to be a witness?**

<b>Civil proceedings</b>	<b>Criminal proceedings</b>
--------------------------	-----------------------------

<b>Capacity to initiate a proceeding and take other procedural actions in his/her own name</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Age threshold [Comment]18 <input type="checkbox"/> Capacity for discernment <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Age threshold [Comment]18 <input type="checkbox"/> Capacity for discernment <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>To be a witness</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Age threshold [Comment] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Capacity for discernment <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Age threshold [Comment] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Capacity for discernment <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - Please specify if you selected "Other". Victims/persons cannot initiate court proceedings in criminal law cases. Persons can only file a criminal complaint, initiation of court proceedings depends from the prosecutor.

**031-2. If a person under 18 years of age cannot act in court proceedings in his/her own name, who can represent him/her in judicial proceedings?**

	<b>Civil proceedings</b>	<b>Criminal proceedings</b>
<b>Parent/legal guardian</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, always <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, except in some specific situations <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, always <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, except in some specific situations <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Another representative (instead of parent/legal guardian)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Social care services or other public institution <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Legal professional <input type="checkbox"/> Associations for protection of minors <input type="checkbox"/> Other	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Social care services or other public institution <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Legal professional <input type="checkbox"/> Associations for protection of minors <input type="checkbox"/> Other

Comment

**031-3. What are the different criteria for the criminal liability of minors? (multiple replies possible)**

- Age threshold(s)
- Capacity for discernment
- Other criteria

Comment

**031-3-1. What is the age threshold for the criminal liability of minors?**

**Criminal liability resulting in sentence without privation of liberty (for example, educational measures)**

- [ 14 ]
- NA
- NAP

**Criminal liability resulting in sentence of privation of liberty**

- [ 14 ]



NA

NAP

Comment - Please describe, briefly, the specifics of your system. Could you, please specify if the possibility of mitigation applies to the sanctions and how? For the offence of sexual abuse, the age threshold is 15 years of age.

-  
-

### **032. Does your country allocate compensation for victims of offences?**

- Yes, but only if the offender is unknown
- Yes, but only if compensation could not be obtained from the offender
- Yes, in both situations
- No

Comment

#### **032-0. If yes, for what types of offences the compensation is allocated?**

- For all types of offences
- For some types of offences

NAP

Comment - Please specify: State compensation is awarded to victims of violent offences

#### **032-1. Is a court decision necessary in the framework of the compensation procedure?**

- Yes
- No

Comments

#### **032-0. If yes, for what types of offences the compensation is allocated?**

- For all types of offences
- For some types of offences

NAP

Comment - Please specify: State compensation is awarded to victims of violent offences

#### **032-1. Is a court decision necessary in the framework of the compensation procedure?**

- Yes
- No

Comments

#### **032-0. If yes, for what types of offences the compensation is allocated?**

- For all types of offences
- For some types of offences

NAP

Comment - Please specify: State compensation is awarded to victims of violent offences

#### **032-1. Is a court decision necessary in the framework of the compensation procedure?**

Yes

No

Comments

**034. Is there a regular monitoring (official studies, reports etc.) allowing the evaluation of the recovery rate of the damages awarded by courts to victims?**

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please illustrate with available data concerning the recovery rate, the title of the studies, the frequency of the studies and the coordinating body:

**035. Do public prosecutors have a specific role with respect to victims (protection and assistance)?**

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify: Prosecutors are authorized to receive any criminal complaint, orally or in writing, and to immediately arrange its proper execution. They always inform the notifier about their procedures. After the commencement of criminal prosecution, they supervise the observance of lawfulness in the preliminary proceedings, within which they pay special attention to respecting the rights of injured persons.

In this regard, the prosecutor may submit a motion for the inclusion of an endangered witness, a protected witness and their closely related persons, if they are in danger when providing evidence, into the protection program. The conditions are further regulated by Act No. 256/1998 Coll. of Laws on Witness Protection and on Amendments to Certain Acts.

**035-1. Do public prosecutors have a specific role with respect to minor victims (protection and assistance)?**

Yes

No

Comment - If yes, please specify: Prosecutors are authorized to receive any criminal complaint, orally or in writing, and to immediately arrange its proper execution. They always inform the notifier about their procedures. After the commencement of criminal prosecution, they supervise the observance of lawfulness in the preliminary proceedings, within which they pay special attention to respecting the rights of injured persons.

In this regard, the prosecutor may submit a motion for the inclusion of an endangered witness, a protected witness and their closely related persons, if they are in danger when providing evidence, into the protection program.

-  
-

**036. Do victims of offences have the right to dispute a public prosecutor's decision to discontinue a case? Please verify the consistency of your answers in this question and question 105 regarding the possibility for a public prosecutor "to discontinue a case without needing a decision by a judge".**

Yes

No

NAP

Comment - If necessary, please specify:

**037. Is there a system of compensation in the following circumstances:**

	Number of requests for compensation	Number of compensations granted	Total amount of compensations granted (in €)
<b>Total</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Excessive length of proceedings</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Non-execution of court decisions</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Wrongful arrest/detention</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Wrongful conviction</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Other</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - Where appropriate, please give details on the compensation procedure and the calculation method for the amount of the compensation (e.g., the amount per day for unjustified detentions or convictions): The data are not collected in this structure in our judicial system.

**037-1. Please specify which authorities are responsible for dealing with the requests and whether a legal time limit exists to deal with these requests:**

	Responsible authorities	Legal time limit
<b>Court concerned</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Other court</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Ministry of Justice</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>High Judicial Council</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Other external bodies (e.g. Ombudsman)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments

**037-2. Are there statistical data disaggregated by gender concerning the number of:**

	Existence of statistical data disaggregated by gender
<b>Persons who initiate a case in other than criminal matters</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes - If yes, please specify for which categories of cases: [Comment] <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA

<b>Victims recognised as such by the court</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes - If yes, please specify for which types of offences: [Comment] <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Perpetrators of criminal offences</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes - If yes, please specify for which types of offences: [Comment] <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments It is not collected.

### 037-3. Are there statistical data on the relation between the perpetrator of the criminal offence and the victim recognised by the court?

Yes

No

If yes, please specify:

## 2.2.2 Confidence and satisfaction of citizens with their justice system

### 038. Does your country implement surveys to measure trust in justice and satisfaction with the services delivered by the judicial system?

	National level	Court level
<b>Surveys for judges</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
<b>Surveys for court staff</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
<b>Surveys for public prosecutors</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
<b>Surveys for lawyers</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
<b>Surveys for other professionals</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
<b>Surveys for the parties</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
<b>Surveys for other court users (e.g. jurors, witnesses, experts, interpreters, representatives of governmental agencies, NGOs)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
<b>Surveys for victims</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc

<b>Surveys for minors</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
<b>Surveys for the general public</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
<b>Other not mentioned</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc

NA

Comment - Please, indicate the references and links to the satisfaction surveys you mentioned above: The Slovak Bar association conducts internal surveys among its members on ad hoc basis. In past years following surveys were conducted:

Draft of a new court map – survey (2020)

Impact of the corona crisis on advocacy – survey (2020)

Results are published on official websites of the Slovak Bar Association:

<https://www.sak.sk/web/sk/cms/document/219>

### 3. Organisation of the court system

#### 3.1. Courts

##### 3.1.1 Number of courts

##### 042. Number of courts - legal entities.

	Number of courts
<b>Total number of all courts - legal entities (1 + 2)</b>	65 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>1 Total number of courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities (1.1 + 1.2 + 1.3)</b>	63 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>1.1 First instance courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities</b>	54 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>1.2 Second instance courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities</b>	8 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>1.3 Highest instance courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities</b>	1 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2 Total number of specialised courts - legal entities</b>	2 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments The new Supreme Administrative Court - specialized court of higher instance was established in 2021 and started its full work in 2022.

##### 043. Number of specialised courts – legal entities.

	First instance	Higher instances
<b>Total number of specialised courts - legal entities</b>	1 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	1 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>Commercial courts (excluded insolvency courts)</b>	[ ] NA [X] NAP	[ ] NA [X] NAP
<b>Insolvency courts</b>	[ ] NA [X] NAP	[ ] NA [X] NAP
<b>Labour courts</b>	[ ] NA [X] NAP	[ ] NA [X] NAP
<b>Family courts</b>	[ ] NA [X] NAP	[ ] NA [X] NAP
<b>Rent and tenancies courts</b>	[ ] NA [X] NAP	[ ] NA [X] NAP
<b>Enforcement of criminal sanctions courts</b>	[ ] NA [X] NAP	[ ] NA [X] NAP
<b>Fight against terrorism, organised crime and corruption</b>	1 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ ] NA [X] NAP
<b>Internet related disputes</b>	[ ] NA [X] NAP	[ ] NA [X] NAP
<b>Administrative courts</b>	[ ] NA [X] NAP	1 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>Insurance and / or social welfare courts</b>	[ ] NA [X] NAP	[ ] NA [X] NAP
<b>Military courts</b>	[ ] NA [X] NAP	[ ] NA [X] NAP
<b>Juvenile courts</b>	[ ] NA [X] NAP	[ ] NA [X] NAP
<b>Other specialised courts</b>	[ ] NA [X] NAP	[ ] NA [X] NAP

Comments - If “Other specialised courts”, please specify:

#### 044. Number of courts - geographic locations.

	Number of courts (geographic locations)
<b>First instance courts geographic locations (this includes 1st instance courts of general jurisdiction and first instance specialised courts)</b>	55 [ ] NA [ ] NAP

All the courts (geographic locations) (this includes 1st instance courts of general jurisdiction, first instance specialised courts, all second instance courts and courts of appeal and all Supreme Courts)

65

NA

NAP

Comments

### C. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: Ministry of Justice of the Slovak republic, regulation 371/2004 Z.z. <https://www.slov-lex.sk/pravne-predpisy/SK/ZZ/2004/371/20221201.html>

## 3.2. Court staff

### 3.2.1 Judges and non-judge staff

046. Number of professional judges sitting in courts (if possible on 31 December of the reference year). (Please give the information in full-time equivalent and for posts actually filled for all types of courts - general jurisdiction and specialised courts)

	Total	Males	Females
Total number of professional judges (1 + 2 + 3)	1 397 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	508 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	889 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
1. Number of first instance professional judges	917 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	318 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	599 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
2. Number of second instance (court of appeal) professional judges	400 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	155 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	245 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
3. Number of Supreme Court professional judges	80 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	35 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	45 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comment - Please provide any useful comment for interpreting the data above:

=

046-1-1. Does your system allow part-time work for professional judges with proportionally reduced remuneration?

Yes

No

Comments

046-1-2. If yes, please specify in which situation(s) part-time work can be granted (multiple replies possible).

Child-care

- Elderly care or other dependant persons' care
- Training
- For the purposes of early retirement
- No specific reason required
- Other reason, please specify: .....

Comments

**046-1-3. If yes, what is the number of professional judges working part-time with reduced remuneration?**

	Total	Males	Females
<b>Total (1 + 2 + 3)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>1. At first instance level</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2. At second instance (court of appeal) level</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>3. At Supreme Court level</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

**046-1-4. Are there other possibilities (apart from part-time) for regular adjustment of working time or conditions with or without reduced remuneration?**

	Adjustment of working time or conditions with or without reduced remuneration
<b>Temporary reduction of the workload</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Temporary reduction of the working time / special leave</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Other measures</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Comment: If such possibilities for regular adjustment exist, please specify if they imply or not a reduction of the remuneration?  
stanovisko NSSSR treba overi s p. Fialovou

**046-1-5. If yes, please specify in which situation(s) these possibilities can be used?**

- Child-care
- Elderly care or other dependant persons' care
- Training
- For the purposes of early retirement
- As part of induction process for new judges



No specific reason required

Other reason, please specify: .....

NAP

Comments

=

#### 046-2. Number of judges (FTE) by case type:

	Total	Civil and/or commercial	Criminal	Administrative	Other
<b>Total number of judges</b>	1 408 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	931 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	301 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	79 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	97 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>First instance</b>	926 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	668 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	208 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	50 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Second instance</b>	402 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	221 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	77 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	57 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	47 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Supreme Court</b>	80 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	42 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	16 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	22 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

If "Other", please explain which types of cases: For example hosting judges - can not be involved in one case type, presidents of the courts.

The distribution of administrative judges per instance is made based on the instance level of the court where they work and not based on the instance of the cases for which they are responsible.

When comparing the data presented in the table right above with the question Q046, a discrepancy of 11 judges in the overall count comes to light. It is imperative to clarify that Slovak Republic used a different methodology for these two questions. Here in the question Q046-2, it involved calculating an annual average for the number of judges per matter, instead of the critical moment of 31st of December as for Q046-2.

=

#### 047. Number of court presidents .

	Total	Males	Females
<b>Total number of court presidents (1 + 2 + 3)</b>	61 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	38 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	23 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>1. Number of first instance court presidents</b>	51 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	32 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	19 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2. Number of second instance (court of appeal) court presidents</b>	8 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	4 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	4 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>3. Number of Supreme Court presidents</b>	2 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	2 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments The new Supreme Administrative Court in the judicial system was established from January 1, 2021 by Constitutional Act No. 422/2020.

**048. Number of professional judges sitting in courts on an occasional basis and who are paid as such (if possible, on 31 December of the reference year):**

	Figure
Gross figure	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
In full-time equivalent	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If necessary, please provide comments to explain the answer provided:

**048-1. Do these professional judges sitting in courts on an occasional basis deal with a significant part of cases?**

- Yes If yes, please give specifications on the types of cases and an estimate in percentage. ....
- No
- NAP

Comments

**049. Number of non-professional judges who are not remunerated but who may receive a simple defrayal of costs (if possible, on 31 December of the reference year) (e.g. lay judges or “juges consulaires”, but not arbitrators or persons sitting on a jury):**

	Figure
Gross figure	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
In full time equivalent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments Non-professional judges sit in the Disciplinary Panels of the Supreme Administrative Court of the Slovak Republic as well. The only available data (number of non-professional judges) are from the Supreme Administrative Court - 66 non-professional judges.

**049-1. If such non-professional judges exist at first instance in your country, please specify for which types of cases:**

	Yes	No	Echevinage / mixed bench
Criminal cases (severe)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Criminal cases (misdemeanour and/or minor)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Family law cases	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Labour law cases	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

<b>Social law cases</b>	( )	( X )	( )
<b>Commercial law cases</b>	( )	( X )	( )
<b>Insolvency cases</b>	( )	( X )	( )
<b>Other civil cases</b>	( )	( X )	( )

NAP

Comments - If "Other civil cases", please specify:

**050. Does your judicial system include trial by jury with the participation of citizens?**

( ) Yes

( X ) No

Comments

**050-1. If yes, for which type(s) of case(s)?**

Criminal cases

Other than criminal cases

Comments

**051. Number of citizens who were involved in such juries for the year of reference:**

[ ]

NA

NAP

Comments

=

**052. Number of non-judge staff who are working in courts (if possible on 31 December of the reference year) (this data should not include the staff working for public prosecutors; see question 60) (please give the information in full-time equivalent and for posts actually filled)**

	Total	Males	Females
<b>Total non-judge staff working in courts (1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5)</b>	4 642 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	733 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	3 909 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>1. Rechtspfleger (or similar bodies) (see Explanatory Note)</b>	1 061 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	306 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	755 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2. Non-judge (judicial) staff whose task is to assist the judges such as registrars (case preparation, assistance during the hearing, helping to draft the decisions)</b>	2 167 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	59 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	2 108 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

<b>3. Staff in charge of different administrative tasks and of the management of the courts (human resources management, material and equipment management, including computer systems, financial and budgetary management, training management)</b>	1 398 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	353 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	1 045 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>4. Technical staff</b>	16 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	15 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	1 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>5. Other non-judge staff</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments - If "Other non-judge staff", please specify: The judiciary, especially the non-judges' positions, are probably not attractive for the males because of the salary. Another possible explanation is that the position of Rechtspfleger is a starting position before judicial exams and subsequent application for the position of judge, which could have caused an outflow of male employees.

**052-1. Number of non-judge staff by instance (if possible, on 31 December of the reference year) (this data should not include the staff working for public prosecutors; see question 60) (please give the information in full-time equivalent and for posts actually filled).**

	Total	Males	Females
<b>Total non-judge staff working in courts (1+2+3)</b>	4 642 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	733 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	3 909 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>1. Total non-judge staff working in courts at first instance level</b>	3 516 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	467 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	3 049 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2. Total non-judge staff working in courts at second instance (court of appeal) level</b>	905 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	203 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	702 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>3. Total non-judge staff working in courts at Supreme Court level</b>	221 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	63 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	158 [ ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments

=

**053. If there are Rechtspfleger (or similar bodies), please specify in which fields they have a role:**

- Legal aid
- Family cases
- Payment orders
- Registry cases (land and/or business registry cases)
- Enforcement of civil cases
- Enforcement of criminal cases
- Non-litigious cases
- Other cases not mentioned (please describe in comment)

[ ] NAP

Comments - Please briefly describe their status and exact duties:

**054. Have the courts outsourced certain services under their responsibilities to external providers?**

( X ) Yes

( ) No

Comments

**054-1. If yes, please specify which services have been outsourced:**

[ X ] IT services

[ ] Training of staff

[ ] Security

[ ] Archives

[ X ] Cleaning

[ X ] Other types of services (please specify): .....

Comments - If "Other types of services", please specify:

[ ] NA

**C1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part**

Sources: The Ministry of Justice of the Slovak republic, the Department of Human Resources and Development.

**3.3. Public prosecution**

**3.3.1 Public prosecutors and staff**

**055. Number of public prosecutors (on 31 December of the reference year). (Please give the information in full-time equivalent and for posts actually filled.)**

	Total	Males	Females
<b>Total number of prosecutors (1 + 2 + 3)</b>	1 014 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	498 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	516 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>1. Number of prosecutors at first instance level</b>	684 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	314 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	370 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2. Number of prosecutors at second instance (court of appeal) level</b>	213 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	117 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	96 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>3. Number of prosecutors at Supreme Court level</b>	117 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	67 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	50 [ ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments - Please indicate any useful comment for interpreting the data above:



=

**055-1-1. Does your system allow part-time work for prosecutors with proportionally reduced remuneration?**

Yes

No

Comments

**055-1-2. If yes, please specify in which situation(s) part-time work can be granted? (multiple replies possible)**

Child-care

Elderly care or other dependant persons' care

Training

For the purposes of early retirement

No specific reason required

Other reason, please specify:serious personal or family reasons

Comments

**055-1-3. If yes, what is the number of prosecutors working part-time with reduced remuneration?**

	Total	Males	Females
<b>Total (1 + 2 + 3)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>1. At first instance level</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2. At second instance (court of appeal) level</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>3. At Supreme Court level</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

**055-1-4. Are there other possibilities (apart of part-time work) for regular adjustment of working time or conditions with or without reduced remuneration?**

	Adjustment of working time or conditions with or without reduced remuneration
<b>Temporary reduction of the workload</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Temporary reduction of the working time / special leave	( ) Yes ( X ) No
Other measures	( ) Yes ( X ) No

Comment: If such possibilities for regular adjustment exist, please specify if they imply or not a reduction of the remuneration?

**055-1-5 . If yes, please specify in which situation(s) these possibilities can be used?**

- Child-care
- Elderly care or other dependant persons' care
- Training
- For the purposes of early retirement
- As part of induction process for new prosecutors
- No specific reason required
- Other reason, please specify: .....
- NAP

Comments

**056. Number of heads of prosecution offices.**

	Total	Males	Females
<b>Total number of heads of prosecution offices (1 + 2 + 3)</b>	63 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	36 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	27 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>1. Number of heads of prosecution offices at first instance level</b>	54 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	29 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	25 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2. Number of heads of prosecution offices at second instance (court of appeal) level</b>	8 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	6 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	2 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>3. Number of heads of prosecution offices at Supreme Court level</b>	1 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	1 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Please provide any useful comment for interpreting the data above:

**057. In your judicial system, do other persons have similar duties to those of public prosecutors?**

- Yes
- No

Comments - If yes, please specify their titles and functions: Judicial assistants of the prosecutor's office and assistant prosecutors.

**057-1. If yes, please provide the number (in full-time equivalent):**

- [ 90 ]
- NA

**059. If yes, is their number included in the number of public prosecutors that you have indicated under question 55?**

Yes

No

NAP

Comments

**059-1. Do prosecution offices have prosecutors who are specially trained in areas of domestic violence and sexual violence?**

	-
<b>Domestic violence</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, specifically for minor victims <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Sexual violence</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, specifically for minor victims <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If yes, please specify Prosecutors - specialists in the issue of domestic violence.

=

**060. Number of staff (non-public prosecutors) attached to the public prosecution services, if possible, on 31 December of the reference year and without the number of non-judge staff, see question 52 (in full-time equivalent and for posts actually filled).**

	Total	Males	Females
<b>Number of staff (non-public prosecutors) attached to the public prosecution service</b>	840 <input type="checkbox"/> NA	162 <input type="checkbox"/> NA	678 <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comment – please describe which categories of staff you have included in your reply: The discrepancy ratio was caused mainly by the resolution of the Government of the Slovak republic, which ordered the state authorities to reduce the number of state employees by 10 %.

**C2. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part**

Sources: The General Prosecutor's Office

### 3.4. Gender equality

#### 3.4.1 Specific provisions for facilitating gender equality

**061-2. Are there specific provisions for facilitating gender equality within the framework of the procedures for recruiting :**



	Yes, please specify	No
judges	( )	( X )
prosecutors	( )	( X )
non-judge staff	( )	( X )
lawyers	( )	( X )
notaries	( )	( X )
enforcement agents	( )	( X )

[ ] NA

Comments - if the situation changed since the reference year, please specify in the comments. If you have additional comments please specify:

**061-3. Are there specific provisions for facilitating gender equality within the framework of the procedures for promoting :**

	Yes, please specify	No
judges	( )	( X )
prosecutors	( )	( X )
non-judge staff	( )	( X )
lawyers	( )	( X )
notaries	( )	( X )
enforcement agents	( )	( X )

Comments - If the situation changed since the reference year or you have additional comments, please specify:

**061-3-1. Are there specific provisions for facilitating gender equality within the framework of the procedures for the appointment of:**

	Yes / No
Court president	( ) Yes If "yes", please specify:[Comment] ( X ) No
Head of prosecution services	( ) Yes If "yes", please specify:[Comment] ( X ) No

Comments

### 3.4.2 At national level

**061-5. Does your country have an overarching document (e.g. policy/strategy/action plan/program) on gender equality that applies specifically to the judiciary?**

( ) Yes

( X ) No

Comments - If the situation changed since the reference year, please indicate in the comments. Could you specify the reference or internet link of this/these document(s) or send/upload it/them to us?

**061-6. At national level, is there any specific person (e.g. an equal opportunities commissioner) / institution dealing with gender issues in the justice system concerning:**

	Yes, please specify	No
The recruitment of judges	( )	( X )
The promotion of judges	( )	( X )
The recruitment of prosecutors	( )	( X )
The promotion of prosecutors	( )	( X )
The recruitment of non-judge staff	( )	( X )
The promotion of non-judge staff	( )	( X )

Comments - Please specify the status of this person/institution and if it has a consultative function or if its opinions/decisions have legal consequences:

### 3.4.3 At court/public prosecution services level

**061-7. At the court or public prosecution services level, is there a person (e.g. an equal opportunities commissioner)/institution specifically dedicated to ensure the respect of gender equality in the organisation of judicial work?**

	Yes	No
in courts (judges)	( )	( X )
in public prosecution services (prosecutors)	( )	( X )
for courts' non-judge staff	( )	( X )

Comments - Please specify the details of this person/institution, in particular its titles and function:

**061-9. In order to improve gender balance in access to different judicial professions and gender equality in promotion and in access to functions of responsibility, what are the measures, in your**

**country, which:**

have been already implemented (please specify) :

are planned (please specify) :

Comments - If the situation changed since reference year, please specify in the comments.

NAP

**061-10. Are there evaluation studies or official reports regarding the main causes of possible gender inequalities with regard to:**

- Recruitment procedures, please specify: .....
- Appointment to the position of court president, please specify: .....
- Appointment to the position of head of prosecution services, please specify: .....
- Promotion procedures and access to the functions of responsibility, please specify: .....
- Other studies, please specify: .....

NAP

Comments - Please specify also the reference documents.

**3.5. Use of information technologies in courts**

**3.5.1 Governance**

**ICT STRATEGY**

**062-01. Do you have an overall Information and Communication Technology (ICT) strategy in the judicial system?**

Yes

No

Comments

**062-02. If there is an overall ICT strategy in the judicial system, who was involved in the process of its definition?**

Judges (Judicial council)

Prosecutors (Prosecutorial or judicial council)

- Ministry of justice
- Lawyers (bar association)
- Notaries (association of notaries)
- Enforcement agents (association of enforcement agents)
- Other (please specify) .....
- NA
- NAP

Comments

## LEGISLATION

### 062-03. Does a national legislation/regulation of ICT in the judicial system exist?

- Yes
- No

Comments

### 062-04. If yes, how is this legislation/regulation of ICT in the judicial system structured?

- Relevant norms are included in the general e-government legislation/regulation
- Relevant norms are included in specific legislation/regulation only for the judicial system
- Relevant texts are included in dedicated technical documents/specifications
- Other, please specify .....
- NA

Comment - If more than one of the proposed models exist in your country, please select them all and explain the details

NA

## IMPACT OF IMPLEMENTATION OF ICT SYSTEMS

### 062-05. Have you already organised audits/evaluations/assessments of the impact of the implementation of the ICT system?

- Yes
- No

Comments

### 062-06. If these audits/evaluations/assessments were already organised, please specify their modalities:

	Format	Last conducted audit
ICT Governance	<input type="checkbox"/> Internal <input type="checkbox"/> External <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - no audit has been organised <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> In the last 2 years <input type="checkbox"/> Between 2 and 5 years ago <input type="checkbox"/> More than 5 years ago <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - no audit has been organised <input type="checkbox"/> NA

<b>Security and risk management</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Internal <input type="checkbox"/> External <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - no audit has been organised <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> In the last 2 years <input type="checkbox"/> Between 2 and 5 years ago <input type="checkbox"/> More than 5 years ago <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - no audit has been organised <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Impact on efficiency and quality of the business processes and workflow</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Internal <input type="checkbox"/> External <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - no audit has been organised <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> In the last 2 years <input type="checkbox"/> Between 2 and 5 years ago <input type="checkbox"/> More than 5 years ago <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - no audit has been organised <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Impact on human resources (number, workload, wellbeing)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Internal <input type="checkbox"/> External <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - no audit has been organised <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> In the last 2 years <input type="checkbox"/> Between 2 and 5 years ago <input type="checkbox"/> More than 5 years ago <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - no audit has been organised <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Other, please specify in comments</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Internal <input type="checkbox"/> External <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - no audit has been organised <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> In the last 2 years <input type="checkbox"/> Between 2 and 5 years ago <input type="checkbox"/> More than 5 years ago <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - no audit has been organised <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comment - If you have selected other area, please provide details. Please also add details on the content of the last organised evaluation.

**062-07. If these audits/evaluations/assessments were organised in the last 5 years, how did you apply their recommendations/results?**

- Update applications
- Define new ICT projects/modules
- Adjust legislation
- Adjust working processes
- Withdraw/stop use of a module/application
- Reporting purpose only
- Other, please specify .....

NA

NAP

Comments

**3.5.2 Electronic case processing**

**ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION OF CASES**

**062-08. If it is possible to submit a case to a court electronically, what are the deployment and**

usage rates?

	Deployment rate	Usage rate
<b>Civil</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic submission is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic submission is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Administrative</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic submission is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic submission is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Criminal</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic submission is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic submission is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments

**062-09. If it is possible to submit a case to a court electronically, please specify the modalities:**

Electronic or paper	Possible to be submitted electronically by:	Data integration
---------------------	---	------------------

<b>Civil</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Paper submission is still possible <input type="checkbox"/> Paper submission is not possible anymore (electronic submission is the only way) <input type="checkbox"/> Double submission (paper must accompany the electronic submission) <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic submission is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lawyer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Party not represented by a lawyer <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic submission is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The data are electronically transferred to the Case Management System (CMS) <input type="checkbox"/> The data are manually re-entered in the CMS <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic submission is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Administrative</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Paper submission is still possible <input type="checkbox"/> Paper submission is not possible anymore (electronic submission is the only way) <input type="checkbox"/> Double submission (paper must accompany the electronic submission) <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic submission is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lawyer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Party not represented by a lawyer <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic submission is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The data are electronically transferred to the Case Management System (CMS) <input type="checkbox"/> The data are manually re-entered in the CMS <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic submission is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Criminal</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Paper submission is still possible <input type="checkbox"/> Paper submission is not possible anymore (electronic submission is the only way) <input type="checkbox"/> Double submission (paper must accompany the electronic submission) <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic submission is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lawyer <input type="checkbox"/> Party not represented by a lawyer <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic submission is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The data are electronically transferred to the Case Management System (CMS) <input type="checkbox"/> The data are manually re-entered in the CMS <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic submission is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments

## SENDING ELECTRONIC DOCUMENTS TO COURT



**062-10. If it is possible to send case-related documents to the courts electronically, what are the deployment and usage rates?**

	Deployment rate	Usage rate
<b>Civil</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Administrative</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Criminal</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments Electronic communication was gradually introduced in 2018 and now courts communicate with the public/external users only electronically via the official central delivery module. If the natural person does not have an electronic mailbox, the submission is converted to paper form and sent via post.

**062-11. If it is possible to send electronically case related documents to the courts, please specify the modalities:**

<b>Electronic or paper</b>	<b>Possible to be submitted electronically by:</b>	<b>Data integration</b>
----------------------------	--	-------------------------



<b>Civil</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Paper delivery is still possible <input type="checkbox"/> Paper delivery is not possible anymore (electronic delivery is the only way) <input type="checkbox"/> Double delivery (Paper delivery must accompany the electronic one) <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Documents sent by a lawyer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Documents sent by a party not represented by a lawyer <input type="checkbox"/> Documents sent by another person/institution <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The data are electronically transferred to the CMS <input type="checkbox"/> The data are manually re-entered in the CMS <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Administrative</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Paper delivery is still possible <input type="checkbox"/> Paper delivery is not possible anymore (electronic delivery is the only way) <input type="checkbox"/> Double delivery (Paper delivery must accompany the electronic one) <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Documents sent by a lawyer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Documents sent by a party not represented by a lawyer <input type="checkbox"/> Documents sent by another person/institution <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The data are electronically transferred to the CMS <input type="checkbox"/> The data are manually re-entered in the CMS <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Criminal</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Paper delivery is still possible <input type="checkbox"/> Paper delivery is not possible anymore (electronic delivery is the only way) <input type="checkbox"/> Double delivery (Paper delivery must accompany the electronic one) <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Documents sent by a lawyer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Documents sent by a party not represented by a lawyer <input type="checkbox"/> Documents sent by another person/institution <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The data are electronically transferred to the CMS <input type="checkbox"/> The data are manually re-entered in the CMS <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comment - If you have selected the option “Documents sent by another person/institution”, please specify details.

## ELECTRONIC NOTIFICATIONS

**062-12. If it is possible for courts to send electronic notifications, what are the deployment and usage rates?**

Deployment rate	Usage rate
-----------------	------------

<b>Civil</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic notifications are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic notifications are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Administrative</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic notifications are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic notifications are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Criminal</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic notifications are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic notifications are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments Electronic notification was gradually introduced from 2018. Courts are sending electronic notifications as a confirmation of receipt of a submission or as confirmation of receipt from registrar for example. So there is a certain kind of notification for each electronic submission.

**062-13. If it is possible for courts to send electronic notifications, please specify the modalities:**

Electronic or paper	Type of notification	Data integration
---------------------	----------------------	------------------

<p><b>Civil</b></p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Paper notification is still possible</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Paper notification is not possible anymore (electronic notification is the only way)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Double notification (paper notification must accompany the electronic one)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic notifications are not possible</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NA</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Notifications sent by the court to the lawyer</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Notifications sent by the court to the party not represented by a lawyer</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Notifications with attached official documents sent by the courts</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Notifications sent to other persons/institutions</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic notifications are not possible</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NA</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> The electronic notification is generated from the CMS</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The electronic notification is manually generated</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic notifications are not possible</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NA</p>
<p><b>Administrative</b></p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Paper notification is still possible</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Paper notification is not possible anymore (electronic notification is the only way)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Double notification (paper notification must accompany the electronic one)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic notifications are not possible</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NA</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Notifications sent by the court to the lawyer</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Notifications sent by the court to the party not represented by a lawyer</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Notifications with attached official documents sent by the courts</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Notifications sent to other persons/institutions</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic notifications are not possible</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NA</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> The electronic notification is generated from the CMS</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The electronic notification is manually generated</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic notifications are not possible</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NA</p>

<b>Criminal</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Paper notification is still possible	<input type="checkbox"/> Notifications sent by the court to the lawyer	<input type="checkbox"/> The electronic notification is generated from the CMS
	<input type="checkbox"/> Paper notification is not possible anymore (electronic notification is the only way)	<input type="checkbox"/> Notifications sent by the court to the party not represented by a lawyer	<input type="checkbox"/> The electronic notification is manually generated
	<input type="checkbox"/> Double notification (paper notification must accompany the electronic one)	<input type="checkbox"/> Notifications with attached official documents sent by the courts	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic notifications are not possible
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic notifications are not possible	<input type="checkbox"/> Notifications sent to other persons/institutions	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic notifications are not possible
	<input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comment - If you have selected the option “Notifications sent to other persons/institutions”, please specify details.

## CONSULTATION OF A CASE ONLINE

062-14. If it is possible for external users to consult a case online, what are the deployment and usage rates?

	Deployment rate	Usage rate
<b>Civil</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - online consultation is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - online consultation is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Administrative</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - online consultation is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - online consultation is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA

<b>Criminal</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 %
	<input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 %
	<input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 %
	<input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 %
	<input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 %	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1-25 %
	<input type="checkbox"/> 0 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 0 %
	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP - online consultation is not possible	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP - online consultation is not possible
	<input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments In Slovak republic, it is not possible to consult a case online with somebody in the court. But the modalities stated in section 062-15 such as case status, documents, calendar, court decision are available on the web page of the Ministry of Justice through application with relevant information in read-only format.

**062-15. If it is possible for external users to consult a case online, please specify the modalities:**

	<b>Content</b>	<b>Access</b>	<b>Consultation format</b>
<b>Civil</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Case status <input type="checkbox"/> Documents <input type="checkbox"/> Notifications <input type="checkbox"/> Events/calendar <input type="checkbox"/> Court decision <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – online consultation is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lawyer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Party not represented by a lawyer <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – online consultation is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Electronic access at the court premises <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – online consultation is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Administrative</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Case status <input type="checkbox"/> Documents <input type="checkbox"/> Notifications <input type="checkbox"/> Events/calendar <input type="checkbox"/> Court decision <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – online consultation is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lawyer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Party not represented by a lawyer <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – online consultation is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Electronic access at the court premises <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – online consultation is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Criminal</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Case status <input type="checkbox"/> Documents <input type="checkbox"/> Notifications <input type="checkbox"/> Events/calendar <input type="checkbox"/> Court decision <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – online consultation is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lawyer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Party not represented by a lawyer <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – online consultation is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Electronic access at the court premises <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – online consultation is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comment - If you have selected the option “Other”, please specify details. There is created an application on the web page of the Ministry



## REMOTE HEARINGS

### 062-16. If it is possible to organise remote hearings what are the deployment and usage rates?

	Deployment rate	Usage rate
<b>Civil</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - remote hearings are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - remote hearings are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Administrative</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - remote hearings are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - remote hearings are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Criminal</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - remote hearings are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - remote hearings are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments Facilities for remote hearings have been available for courts since 2015. The usage of remote hearings fully depends on the court itself.

### 062-17. If it is possible to organise remote hearings, please specify the functionalities and modalities:

Functionalities	Modalities

<p><b>Civil</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Dedicated tool specially designed for the use by courts</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Publicly available tools used by courts</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Organisation of private sessions within online hearings for consultation between parties and their lawyers</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Tools for witness protection (voice distortion, picture distortion)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Tools for simultaneous interpretation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Tools for automatic subtitling (speech-to-text)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NAP – remote hearings are not possible</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NA</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Agreement of the parties is needed</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The judge can impose a remote hearing</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NAP – remote hearings are not possible</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NA</p>
<p><b>Administrative</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Dedicated tool specially designed for the use by courts</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Publicly available tools used by courts</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Organisation of private sessions within online hearings for consultation between parties and their lawyers</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Tools for witness protection (voice distortion, picture distortion)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Tools for simultaneous interpretation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Tools for automatic subtitling (speech-to-text)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NAP – remote hearings are not possible</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NA</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Agreement of the parties is needed</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The judge can impose a remote hearing</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NAP – remote hearings are not possible</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NA</p>

<b>Criminal</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Dedicated tool specially designed for the use by courts <input type="checkbox"/> Publicly available tools used by courts <input type="checkbox"/> Organisation of private sessions within online hearings for consultation between parties and their lawyers <input type="checkbox"/> Tools for witness protection (voice distortion, picture distortion) <input type="checkbox"/> Tools for simultaneous interpretation <input type="checkbox"/> Tools for automatic subtitling (speech-to-text) <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – remote hearings are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Agreement of the parties is needed <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The judge can impose a remote hearing <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – remote hearings are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA
-----------------	--	--

Comments

## ELECTRONIC ARCHIVES



062-18. If electronic archives of cases exist, what are the deployment and usage rates?

	Deployment rate	Usage rate
<b>Civil</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic archives do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic archives do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Administrative</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic archives do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic archives do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA



<b>Criminal</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 %	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 %
	<input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 %
	<input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 %
	<input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 %
	<input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 %
	<input type="checkbox"/> 0 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 0 %
	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic archives do not exist	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic archives do not exist
	<input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments

**062-19. If an electronic archive of cases exists, please specify the modalities:**

	<b>Electronic or paper</b>
<b>Civil</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Paper archiving is still possible <input type="checkbox"/> Paper archiving is not possible anymore (electronic archiving is the only way) <input type="checkbox"/> Double archiving (paper archiving must accompany the electronic one) <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic archives do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Administrative</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Paper archiving is still possible <input type="checkbox"/> Paper archiving is not possible anymore (electronic archiving is the only way) <input type="checkbox"/> Double archiving (paper archiving must accompany the electronic one) <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic archives do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Criminal</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Paper archiving is still possible <input type="checkbox"/> Paper archiving is not possible anymore (electronic archiving is the only way) <input type="checkbox"/> Double archiving (paper archiving must accompany the electronic one) <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic archives do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments

**3.5.3 Tools**

**CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS (CMS)**

**062-20. If one or more case management system(s) (CMS) exist, what are the deployment and usage rates?**

	Deployment rate	Usage rate
<b>Civil</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - CMS does not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - CMS does not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Administrative</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - CMS does not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - CMS does not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Criminal</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - CMS does not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - CMS does not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments

**062-21. If one or more case management system(s) (CMS) exist, please specify the functionalities of these system(s):**

<b>Functionalities</b>
------------------------

<p><b>Civil</b></p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Centralised and/or interoperable CMS databases</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Active case management dashboard</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Random allocation of cases</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Case weighting</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Identification of a case between instances (unique or linked id number)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Electronic transfer of a case to another instance/court</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymisation of decisions to be published</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Interoperability with other systems (civil register, tax register, insolvency register)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Access to closed/resolved cases</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Advanced search engine</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Protected log files</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Electronic signature</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NAP – CMS does not exist</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NA</p>
<p><b>Administrative</b></p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Centralised and/or interoperable CMS databases</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Active case management dashboard</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Random allocation of cases</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Case weighting</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Identification of a case between instances (unique or linked id number)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Electronic transfer of a case to another instance/court</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymisation of decisions to be published</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Interoperability with other systems (civil register, tax register, insolvency register)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Access to closed/resolved cases</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Advanced search engine</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Protected log files</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Electronic signature</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NAP – CMS does not exist</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NA</p>

Comment - If you have selected the option “Other special functionality”, because of its importance please specify details.

**062-22. If one or more case management system(s) (CMS) exist, please specify the functionalities of these system(s):**

	Functionalities
<b>Criminal</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Centralised and/or interoperable CMS databases <input type="checkbox"/> Active case management dashboard <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Random allocation of cases <input type="checkbox"/> Case weighting <input type="checkbox"/> Identification of a case between instances (unique or linked id number) <input type="checkbox"/> Electronic transfer of a case to another instance/court <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymisation of decisions to be published <input type="checkbox"/> Interoperability with prosecution system <input type="checkbox"/> Interoperability with other systems (civil register, tax register, insolvency register) <input type="checkbox"/> Access to closed/resolved cases <input type="checkbox"/> Advanced search engine <input type="checkbox"/> Protected log files <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Electronic signature <input type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – CMS does not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comment - If you have selected the option “Other special functionality”, please specify the details.

## WRITING ASSISTANCE TOOLS

062-23. If writing assistance tools exist in courts, what are their deployment and usage rates?

	Deployment rate	Usage rate
<b>Civil</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - writing assistance tools do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - writing assistance tools do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA

<b>Administrative</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - writing assistance tools do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - writing assistance tools do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Criminal</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - writing assistance tools do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - writing assistance tools do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments

**062-24. If writing assistance tools exist in courts, please describe their functionalities:**

	<b>Functionalities</b>
<b>Civil</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Templates <input type="checkbox"/> Automatically generated text <input type="checkbox"/> Automatically suggested decision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Speech-to-text <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Electronic signature <input type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – writing assistance tools do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Administrative</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Templates <input type="checkbox"/> Automatically generated text <input type="checkbox"/> Automatically suggested decision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Speech-to-text <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Electronic signature <input type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – writing assistance tools do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA

<b>Criminal</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Templates <input type="checkbox"/> Automatically generated text <input type="checkbox"/> Automatically suggested decision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Speech-to-text <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Electronic signature <input type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – writing assistance tools do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA
-----------------	--

Comment - If you have selected the option “Other special functionality”, please specify the details.

## RECORDING OF COURT HEARINGS

**062-25. If a tool to record court hearings exists, what are the deployment and usage rates?**

	Deployment rate	Usage rate
<b>Civil</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - there is no tool for recording hearings <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - there is no tool for recording hearings <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Administrative</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - there is no tool for recording hearings <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - there is no tool for recording hearings <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Criminal</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - there is no tool for recording hearings <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - there is no tool for recording hearings <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments

**062-26. If a tool to record court hearings exist, please specify its functionalities:**

<b>Functionalities</b>
------------------------

<p><b>Civil</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Audio recording  <input type="checkbox"/> Video recording  <input type="checkbox"/> Systematic recording for all hearings  <input type="checkbox"/> Automatically indexed recording  <input type="checkbox"/> Automatic transcript from recording  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Possibility to request a copy of the recording  <input type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify  <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – there is no tool for recording hearings  <input type="checkbox"/> NA</p>
<p><b>Administrative</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Audio recording  <input type="checkbox"/> Video recording  <input type="checkbox"/> Systematic recording for all hearings  <input type="checkbox"/> Automatically indexed recording  <input type="checkbox"/> Automatic transcript from recording  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Possibility to request a copy of the recording  <input type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify  <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – there is no tool for recording hearings  <input type="checkbox"/> NA</p>
<p><b>Criminal</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Audio recording  <input type="checkbox"/> Video recording  <input type="checkbox"/> Systematic recording for all hearings  <input type="checkbox"/> Automatically indexed recording  <input type="checkbox"/> Automatic transcript from recording  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Possibility to request a copy of the recording  <input type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify  <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – there is no tool for recording hearings  <input type="checkbox"/> NA</p>

Comment - If you have selected the option “Other special functionality”, please specify the details.

**DATABASE OF COURT DECISIONS**

**062-27. If there is a national database of court decisions, please provide the percentage of the decisions published at each instance.**

Percentage of 1st instance decisions published	Percentage of 2nd instance decisions published	Percentage of Supreme court decisions published
--	--	---

<b>Civil</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Administrative</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Criminal</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments

**062-28. If there is a national database of court decisions, please specify the modalities in publishing these decisions:**

	<b>1st instance</b>	<b>2nd instance</b>	<b>Supreme court</b>
<b>Civil</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Published online (public website) <input type="checkbox"/> Published in an internal database <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP- There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Published online (public website) <input type="checkbox"/> Published in an internal database <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP- There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Published online (public website) <input type="checkbox"/> Published in an internal database <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP- There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA



<b>Administrative</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Published online (public website) <input type="checkbox"/> Published in an internal database <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP– There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Published online (public website) <input type="checkbox"/> Published in an internal database <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP– There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Published online (public website) <input type="checkbox"/> Published in an internal database <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP– There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Criminal</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Published online (public website) <input type="checkbox"/> Published in an internal database <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP– There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Published online (public website) <input type="checkbox"/> Published in an internal database <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP– There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Published online (public website) <input type="checkbox"/> Published in an internal database <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP– There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA

- If you have selected the option “Other” because the court decisions are published online in some other way than the presented modalities, please describe.

**062-29. If there is a database of court decisions at national level, what are the functionalities of this database?**

	<b>Functionalities</b>
<b>Civil</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Automatic anonymisation <input type="checkbox"/> Manual anonymisation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Free public online access <input type="checkbox"/> Link to the case law of the European Court of Human Rights (ECHR) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Open data <input type="checkbox"/> Advanced search engine <input type="checkbox"/> Machine-readable content <input type="checkbox"/> Structured content <input type="checkbox"/> Metadata <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> European Case Law Identifier (ECLI) <input type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA

<b>Administrative</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Automatic anonymisation <input type="checkbox"/> Manual anonymisation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Free public online access <input type="checkbox"/> Link to the case law of the European Court of Human Rights (ECHR) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Open data <input type="checkbox"/> Advanced search engine <input type="checkbox"/> Machine-readable content <input type="checkbox"/> Structured content <input type="checkbox"/> Metadata <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> European Case Law Identifier (ECLI) <input type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Criminal</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Automatic anonymisation <input type="checkbox"/> Manual anonymisation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Free public online access <input type="checkbox"/> Link to the case law of the European Court of Human Rights (ECHR) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Open data <input type="checkbox"/> Advanced search engine <input type="checkbox"/> Machine-readable content <input type="checkbox"/> Structured content <input type="checkbox"/> Metadata <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> European Case Law Identifier (ECLI) <input type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comment - If you have selected the option “Other special functionality”, please specify the details.

## STATISTICAL TOOLS

062-30. If there are statistical tools for analysing court case data, what is their deployment rate?

	Deployment rate
<b>Civil</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - there are no statistical tools <input type="checkbox"/> NA

<b>Administrative</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - there are no statistical tools <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Criminal</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - there are no statistical tools <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments

**062-31. If there are statistical tools for analysing court case data, please describe their functionalities and the data available for statistical analysis:**

	<b>Functionalities</b>	<b>Data available for statistical analysis</b>
<b>Civil</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Integration/connection with the CMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Business intelligence software <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Generation of predefined statistical reports <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Generation of customised statistical reports <input type="checkbox"/> Internal page and/or dashboard <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> External page with statistics (public website) <input type="checkbox"/> Real-time data availability <input type="checkbox"/> Automatic consolidation of data at the national level <input type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – there are no statistical tools <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Case flow data (number of incoming, resolved, pending) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Age of a pending case <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Length of proceedings <input type="checkbox"/> Number of hearings <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cases per judge <input type="checkbox"/> Case weights <input type="checkbox"/> Number of parties in a case <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Indicator of appeal <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Result of the appeal <input type="checkbox"/> NAP– there are no statistical tools <input type="checkbox"/> NA

<b>Administrative</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Integration/connection with the CMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Business intelligence software <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Generation of predefined statistical reports <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Generation of customised statistical reports <input type="checkbox"/> Internal page and/or dashboard <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> External page with statistics (public website) <input type="checkbox"/> Real-time data availability <input type="checkbox"/> Automatic consolidation of data at the national level <input type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – there are no statistical tools <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Case flow data (number of incoming, resolved, pending) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Age of a pending case <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Length of proceedings <input type="checkbox"/> Number of hearings <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cases per judge <input type="checkbox"/> Case weights <input type="checkbox"/> Number of parties in a case <input type="checkbox"/> Indicator of appeal <input type="checkbox"/> Result of the appeal <input type="checkbox"/> NAP– there are no statistical tools <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Criminal</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Integration/connection with the CMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Business intelligence software <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Generation of predefined statistical reports <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Generation of customised statistical reports <input type="checkbox"/> Internal page and/or dashboard <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> External page with statistics (public website) <input type="checkbox"/> Real-time data availability <input type="checkbox"/> Automatic consolidation of data at the national level <input type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – there are no statistical tools <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Case flow data (number of incoming, resolved, pending) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Age of a pending case <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Length of proceedings <input type="checkbox"/> Number of hearings <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cases per judge <input type="checkbox"/> Case weights <input type="checkbox"/> Number of parties in a case <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Indicator of appeal <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Result of the appeal <input type="checkbox"/> NAP– there are no statistical tools <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comment - If you have selected the option “Other special functionality”, please specify the details

## OTHER TOOLS

**062-32. Is there any application for online court-related dispute resolution?**

Yes

No

Comments

**062-33. If yes, is there a maximum value over which online court-related dispute resolution cannot be organised?**

- Yes, please specify the maximum value .....
- No

Comments

**062-34. If yes, can the online court-related dispute resolution be used in the following areas?**

- Small claim litigation
- Undisputed claim
- Payment order
- Misdemeanour criminal cases
- Enforcement of civil cases
- Other, please specify .....

Comment: Please describe the existing online procedures:

**062-35. Is there a computerised national record centralising all criminal convictions?**

- Yes
- No

Comments

**062-36. If yes, please specify the following information:**

- The computerised record includes biometric data (ex. fingerprint data, picture)
- The computerised record is linked to other European records of the same nature (ex. ECRIS)
- The content is directly available through computerised means for judges and/or prosecutors (ex. interoperability with the CMS)
- The content is directly available for purposes other than criminal (ex. civil and administrative matters)
- The record contains conviction information on third-country nationals and stateless persons

Comments

**062-37. Is there a Document Management System (DMS) in the registry of courts?**

- Yes
- No

Comment: If yes, please provide details on the purposes and usage of this system. ESS (DMS) - electronic case file is a system used for management of electronic documents during their normal life cycle. It is a central element ensuring the management of electronic documents within the Electronic Court File system.

**062-38. In addition to the tools listed in the ICT section of this questionnaire does your judicial system use other innovative ICT tools?**

- Yes

No

Comment: If yes, please list and describe these ICT tools.

### 3.6.Performance and evaluation

#### 3.6.1 National policies applied in courts and public prosecution services

**066. Are quality standards determined for the judicial system at national level (are there quality systems for the judiciary and/or judicial quality policies)?**

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

**067. Do you have specialised personnel entrusted with implementation of these national level quality standards?**

	Yes / No
<b>within the courts</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>within the public prosecution services</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Comments

#### 3.6.2 Measuring court/public prosecution services

**070. Do you regularly monitor court activities (performance and quality) concerning:**

number of incoming cases

length of proceedings (timeframes)

number of resolved cases

number of pending cases

backlogs

productivity of judges and court staff

satisfaction of court staff

satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)

costs of the judicial procedures

number of appeals

appeal ratio

clearance rate

disposition time

other (please specify):the number of cases according to types of disputes, the results of the cases

Comments

**070-1. Do you regularly monitor public prosecution activities (performance and quality) concerning:**

- number of incoming cases
- length of proceedings (timeframes)
- number of resolved cases
- number of pending cases
- backlogs
- productivity of prosecutors and prosecution staff
- satisfaction of prosecution staff
- satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the by the public prosecution)
- costs of the judicial procedures
- clearance rate
- disposition time
- percentage of convictions and acquittals
- other (please specify): .....

Comments

**071. Do you monitor the number of pending cases and cases that are not processed within a reasonable timeframe (backlogs) for:**

- civil law cases
- criminal law cases
- administrative law cases

Comments

**072. Do you monitor waiting time during judicial proceedings?**

	Yes (If yes, please specify)	No
<b>within the courts</b>	( )	( X )
<b>within the public prosecution services</b>	( )	( X )

Comments

**073. Do you have a system to evaluate regularly court performance based on the monitored indicators of question 70?**

- Yes
- No

Comments

**073-0. If yes, please specify the frequency:**

- Annual
- Less frequent
- More frequent

Comments - If "Less frequent" or "More frequent", please specify:

**073-1. Is this evaluation of the court activity used for the later allocation of resources within this court?**

- Yes
- No

Comments

**073-2. If yes, which courses of action are taken (multiple replies possible)?**

- Identifying the causes of improved or deteriorated performance
- Reallocating resources (human/financial resources based on performance)
- Reengineering of internal procedures to increase efficiency
- Other (please specify): .....

Comments

**073-3. Do you have a system to evaluate regularly the performance of the public prosecution services based on the monitored indicators of question 70-1?**

- Yes
- No

Comments

**073-4. If yes, please specify the frequency:**

- Annual
- Less frequent
- More frequent

Comments - If "less frequent" or "more frequent", please specify:

**073-5. Is this evaluation of the activity of public prosecution services used for the later allocation of resources within this public prosecution service?**

- Yes
- No

Comments

**073-6. If yes, which courses of action are taken (multiple replies possible)?**

- Identifying the causes of improved or deteriorated performance
- Reallocating resources (human/financial resources based on performance)
- Reengineering of internal procedures to increase efficiency



Other (please specify): .....

Comments

=

**079. Who is responsible for evaluating the performance of the courts (multiple replies possible)?**

- High Judicial Council
- Ministry of Justice
- Inspection authority
- Supreme Court
- External audit body
- Other (please specify): .....

Comments

**079-1. Who is responsible for evaluating the performance of the public prosecution services (multiple replies possible)?**

- Public Prosecutorial Council
- Ministry of Justice
- Head of the organisational unit or hierarchically superior public prosecutor
- Prosecutor General /State public prosecutor
- External audit body
- Other (please specify): .....

Comments

**3.6.3 Information regarding courts /public prosecution services activity**

**080. Is there a centralised institution that is responsible for collecting statistical data regarding the functioning of the courts?**

- Yes (please indicate the name and the address of this institution):Ministry of Justice - Analytical Center
- No

Comments

**080-1. Are the statistics on the functioning of each court published?**

- Yes, on the internet (please provide the link)<https://web.ac-mssr.sk/>
- No, only internally (on an intranet website)
- No

Comments

=

**080-2. Is there a centralised institution that is responsible for collecting statistical data regarding the functioning of the public prosecution services?**

( X ) Yes (please indicate the name and the address of this institution):General Prosecutor's Office of the Slovak Republic, Štúrova 2,  
812 85 Bratislava

( ) No

Comments

**080-3. Are the statistics on the functioning of each public prosecution service published?**

( X ) Yes, on the internet (please provide the link)<https://www.genpro.gov.sk/statistiky-12c1.html>

( ) No, only internally (on an intranet website)

( ) No

Comments

=

**081. Are individual courts required to prepare an activity report (that includes, for example, data on the number of resolved cases or pending cases, the number of judges and administrative staff, targets and assessment of the activity)?**

( ) Yes

( X ) No

Comments - If yes, please describe the content of the report and its audience (i.e. to whom the report is primarily intended):

**081-1. If yes, please specify in which form this report is released:**

[ ] Internet

[ ] Intranet (internal) website

[ ] Paper distribution

Comments

**081-2. If yes, please, indicate the periodicity at which the report is released:**

( ) Annual

( ) Less frequent

( ) More frequent

Comments

=

**081-3. Are public prosecution services required to prepare an activity report (that includes, for example, data on the number of incoming cases, the number of decisions, the number of public prosecutors and administrative staff, targets and assessment of the activity)?**

( X ) Yes

( ) No

Comments - If yes, please describe the content of the report and its audience (i.e. for whom the report is primarily intended):

**081-4. If yes, please specify in which form this report is released:**

Internet

Intranet (internal) website

Paper distribution

Comments The content of the report on the activities of the prosecutor's office for the relevant calendar year mainly includes:

- Status and assurance of the fulfillment of the tasks of the prosecutor's office (evaluation of the fulfillment of tasks, staff status at the prosecutor's office, information on the education of prosecutors, judicial trainees and employees of the prosecutor's office, economic management of the prosecutor's office, details on information technologies);
- Activities of the prosecutor's office in the criminal area and knowledge of the prosecutor's office about the state of legality in this area, including data on activities in the criminal area in the area of legal relations with foreign countries;
- Activities of the prosecutor's office in the non-criminal area and knowledge of the prosecutor's office about the state of legality in this area;
- Activities of the prosecutor's office in the field of legislation and constitutional law and knowledge of the prosecutor's office about the state of legality in this area;
- Data on the activities of the prosecutor's office in the field of crime prevention and raising legal awareness;
- Data on representation of the state in proceedings on compensation for damage caused in the exercise of public authority, on handling requests under the Act on Free Access to Information or on providing protection to whistle-blowers of anti-social activity;
- Data on cooperation with the prosecutor's offices of other countries and activities of the prosecutor's office in national and transnational bodies and commissions, participation and activities in international events;
- Information on the status and management of the tasks of the prosecutor's office for the given year;
- Conclusions and priorities of the prosecutor's office for the given year.

The recipient of the report on the activities of the prosecutor's office for the relevant calendar year is the National Council of the Slovak Republic, taking it into account after its discussion pursuant to Section 2 Subsection 2 letter k) of Act of the National Council of the Slovak Republic (Parliament) No. 350/1996 Coll. on the rules of procedure of the National Council of the Slovak Republic and Section 13 Subsection 1 of Act no. 153/2021 Coll. on the Prosecutor's Office as amended. The report is published on the website of the National Council of the Slovak Republic and the website of the General Prosecutor's Office of the Slovak Republic.

The General Prosecutor of the Slovak Republic submits a report on the activities of the Prosecutor's Office to the National Council of the Slovak Republic once a year. The General Prosecutor sends a copy of the report on the activities of the Prosecutor's Office to the President of the Slovak Republic and the Government of the Slovak Republic.

#### **081-5. If yes, please, indicate the periodicity at which the report is released:**

Annual

Less frequent

More frequent

Comments

### **3.6.4 Performance and evaluation of judges and public prosecutors**

#### **083. Are there quantitative performance targets defined for each judge (e.g. the number of resolved cases in a month or year)?**

Yes

No

Comments

#### **083-1. Who is responsible for setting these targets for each judge?**

Executive power (for example the Ministry of Justice)

Legislative power

Judicial power (for example the High Judicial Council, Supreme Court)

President of the court

Other (please specify): .....

NAP

Comments

### 083-1-1. What are the consequences for a judge if these targets are not met?

	Consequences:
Without disciplinary procedure	<input type="checkbox"/> Warning by court's president <input type="checkbox"/> Temporary salary reduction <input type="checkbox"/> Reflected in the individual assessment <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify: [Comment]
With disciplinary procedure	<input type="checkbox"/> Warning by court's president <input type="checkbox"/> Temporary salary reduction <input type="checkbox"/> Reflected in the individual assessment <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify: [Comment]
-	<input type="checkbox"/> No consequences
-	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP (no targets defined)

Comments

### 114. Is there a system of individual evaluation of the judges' work?

	Existence of a system of individual evaluation of the judges' work
Quantitative	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Qualitative	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Comment: Please specify the criteria on which the assessment is based, the authority competent for carrying out the assessment, the purposes for which the results of the assessment are used: The president of the court has the duty to publish a detailed annual statistical monitoring report on every judge of his/her court

on the web page of MoJ annually, least at the April 30th of the following year.

The individual assessment (evaluation) of a judge performance is hepaning:

- every five years in the office;
- in connection with the selection procedure of a judge (promotion to higher court);
- at the request of the person authorised to initiate a disciplinary proceedings against a judge;
- if the judge make a request for the evaluation;
- after one year immediately following the evaluation of judge with conclusion 'unsatisfactory'.

The evaluation board consists of three judges elected by the Judicial Council.

### 114-1. Please specify the frequency of this evaluation:

Annual

- Less frequent
- More frequent
- Different frequencies used, please specify: .....
- NAP

=

**083-2. Are there quantitative performance targets defined for each public prosecutor (e.g. the number of decisions in a month or year)?**

- Yes
- No

Comments

**083-3. Who is responsible for setting these targets for each public prosecutor?**

- Executive power (for example the Ministry of Justice)
- Prosecutor General /State public prosecutor
- Public Prosecutorial Council
- Head of the organisational unit or hierarchically superior public prosecutor
- Other (please specify): .....
- NAP

Comments Prosecutors do not have quantitative performance targets within a certain period, but at the same time they have responsibility for their work, and therefore the hierarchically superior public prosecutor is responsible for observing the duties and goals of each prosecutor.

**083-3-1. What are the consequences for a prosecutor if these targets are not met?**

	<b>Consequences:</b>
<b>Without disciplinary procedure</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Warning by head of prosecution <input type="checkbox"/> Temporary salary reduction <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reflected in the individual assessment <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify: [Comment] <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

<b>With disciplinary procedure</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Warning by head of prosecution <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Temporary salary reduction <input type="checkbox"/> Reflected in the individual assessment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify: [Comment]The Disciplinary Commission may impose some of the following disciplinary measures in disciplinary proceedings: - written reprimand - dismissal from the position of chief prosecutor - transfer to a lower-level prosecutor's office - dismissal from the position of prosecutor <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>No consequences</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> No consequences <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

**120. Is there a system of individual evaluation of the public prosecutors' work?**

	Existence of a system of individual evaluation of the public prosecutors' work
<b>Quantitative</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Qualitative</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Comment: Please specify the criteria on which the assessment is based, the authority competent for carrying out the assessment, the purposes for which the results of the assessment are used:

**120-1. Please specify the frequency of this evaluation:**

- Annual
- Less frequent
- More frequent
- Different frequencies used, please specify: .....
- NAP

Comments

**C4. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part**

Sources: The General Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Justice of the Slovak republic

**4.Fair trial**

## 4.1.Principles

### 4.1.1Principles of fair trial

**084. Percentage of first instance criminal in absentia judgments (cases in which the suspect is not attending the hearing in person nor is represented by a lawyer)?**

[            ]

NA

NAP

Comments - Please add methodology for calculation used.

**085. Is there a procedure to effectively challenge a judge (recusal), if a party considers that the judge is not impartial?**

Yes

No

Comments - Please could you briefly specify:

**085-1. If yes, what are:**

	-
The total number of the initiated procedures in the reference year	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
The total number of recusals pronounced in the reference year	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comment - Please, could you briefly specify:

**086. Is there in your country a monitoring system for the violations related to Article 6 of the European Convention on Human Rights?**

For civil procedures (non-enforcement)

For civil procedures (timeframe)

For criminal procedures (timeframe)

NAP

Comments - Please specify what are the terms and conditions of this monitoring system (information related to acknowledged violations by ECHR at the State/courts level; implementation of internal systems to prevent other violations (that are similar) and if possible to measure an evolution of the established violations):

**086-1. Is there in your country a possibility to review/reopen a case after a finding of a violation of the European Convention on Human Rights by the European Court of Human Rights?**

For civil cases

For criminal cases

For administrative cases

NAP

**D1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part**

Sources: Ministry of Justice of the Slovak Republic. The office of the Agent of the Government of the Slovak Republic before the ECtHR

**4.2. Timeframe of proceedings**

**4.2.1 General information**

**087. Are there specific procedures for urgent matters regarding:**

- civil cases
- criminal cases
- administrative cases
- There is no specific procedure for urgent matters

Comments - If yes, please specify: Criminal cases - all cases where the perpetrator is investigated in custody are matter of urgency.

**088. Are there simplified procedures for:**

- civil cases (small disputes)
- criminal cases (misdemeanour cases)
- administrative cases
- There is no simplified procedure

Comments - If yes, please specify: Misdemeanour cases - can be finished by the court in simplified procedure without the main hearing.

**088-1. For these simplified procedures, may judges deliver an oral judgement with a written order and without the full reasoning of the judgement ?**

- civil cases
- criminal cases
- administrative cases

Comments - If yes, please specify: In criminal cases the full reasoning of the judgment is not necessary when the case is finished by the Criminal Order issued by the court in cases where are no doubts of the merits of the cases. There are some limitations in respect of maximum imprisonment (3years) and can not be used in cases against juvenile perpetrators.

**089. Do courts and lawyers have the possibility to conclude agreements on arrangements for processing cases (presentation of files, decisions on timeframes for lawyers to submit their conclusions etc.)?**

	Yes	No
Agreement on general arrangements	( )	( X )



Agreement in specific cases	( X )	( )
-----------------------------	-------	-----

Comments

## 4.2.2 Case flow management – first instance



### 091. First instance courts: number of other than criminal law cases.

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the first instance court
<b>Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)</b>	180 593 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	782 082 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	787 758 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	174 917 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	25 937 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>1. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases (including litigious enforcement cases and if possible without administrative law cases, see category 3)</b>	57 228 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	99 685 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	107 486 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	49 427 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	15 309 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)</b>	97 364 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	431 415 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	418 849 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	109 930 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	8 167 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2.1. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases, e.g. uncontested payment orders, request for a change of name, non-litigious enforcement cases etc. (if possible without administrative law cases, see category 3; without registry cases and other cases, see categories 2.2 and 2.3)</b>	26 200 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	121 586 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	120 615 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	27 171 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	824 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2.2. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)</b>	8 645 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	230 627 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	211 949 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	27 323 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	3 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2.2.1. Non litigious land registry cases</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
<b>2.2.2 Non-litigious business registry cases</b>	8 429 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	218 233 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	199 827 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	26 835 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	3 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2.2.3. Other registry cases</b>	216 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	12 394 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	12 122 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	488 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2.3. Other non-litigious cases</b>	62 519 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	79 202 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	86 285 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	55 436 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	7 340 [ ] NA [ ] NAP

<b>3. Administrative law cases</b>	8 143 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	5 148 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	4 790 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	8 501 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	2 455 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>4. Other cases</b>	17 858 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	245 834 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	256 633 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	7 059 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	6 [ ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments Discrepancy between the pending cases on 31 Dec. 2021 and the pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year in the line 1. and Total is due to an administrative error caused by the court. In the rest lines, there is no discrepancy between the pending cases on 31 Dec. 2021 and the pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year (compared with the previous year).

A significant increase in the Business registry cases category in 2022 (comparing incoming and resolved to 2020) is related to legislative changes to Act no. 530/2003 Coll. on the Commercial Register. The amendment to the law - included the birth-number among the recorded data with the aim of unambiguously identifying natural persons registered in the business register. In the case that it was not possible to add this data automatically from other reference sources into the the business register, it had to be added based on a court proposal submitted by September 30, 2022 (increase in incoming cases).

Other discrepancies are reflection either of the submission activity of the public (incoming cases) or productivity of the judicial system itself - in resolved cases.

**092. If courts deal with “civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases”, please indicate the case categories included:**

. The category "civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases" includes all cases arisen from legal relationships regulated by family law (maintenance cases, custody of the child, visiting rights, guardianship, divorce cases with the ruling on rights and obligations towards the minor child etc.), cases related to assessment of the legal capacity of natural persons, reminder procedure (electronic payment orders).

**093. Please indicate the case categories included in the category "other cases":**

. The category “other” encompasses bankruptcy and debt restructuring cases, including the debt elimination procedure (bankruptcy of the natural persons), issuing of the enforcement permission for the enforcement agents, enforcement of court rulings on the visiting rights to minor child and enforcement of court fees receivables.

**094. First instance courts: number of criminal law cases.**

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the first instance court
<b>Total of criminal law cases (1+2+3)</b>	23 144 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	65 246 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	64 745 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	23 645 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	2 630 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>1. Severe criminal cases</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP

<b>2. Misdemeanour and / or minor criminal cases</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>3. Other criminal cases</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments - If you cannot make a distinction between misdemeanour criminal cases and severe criminal cases (according to the CEPEJ definitions), please specify what cases are reported in those categories. If "Other criminal cases", please specify Among "Other criminal cases" it is possible to include, for example, motions regarding the expungement of a conviction, motions regarding the imposition of protective measures and the execution of detention, motions related to custody and the execution of a sentence, motions for conditional release, motions related to probation and mediation, requests from domestic and foreign authorities in criminal cases, motions that are decided in preliminary proceedings, etc.

Other discrepancies are reflection either of the submission activity of the prosecutors or productivity of the judicial system (judicial staff) in resolved cases.

### 4.2.3 Case flow management – second instance

#### 097. Second instance courts (appeal): Number of “other than criminal law” cases.

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the second instance court
<b>Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)</b>	11 803 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	25 081 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	24 733 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	12 151 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	715 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>1. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases (including litigious enforcement cases and if possible without administrative law cases, see category 3)</b>	7 187 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	14 930 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	14 126 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	7 991 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	601 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)</b>	2 510 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	8 136 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	8 718 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	1 928 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	11 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2.1. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases, e.g. uncontested payment orders, request for a change of name, non-litigious enforcement cases etc. (if possible without administrative law cases, see category 3; without registry cases and other cases, see categories 2.2 and 2.3)</b>	2 510 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	8 136 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	8 718 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	1 928 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	11 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2.2. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP

<b>2.2.1. Non litigious land registry cases</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2.2.2 Non-litigious business registry cases</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2.2.3. Other registry cases</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2.3. Other non-litigious cases</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>3. Administrative law cases</b>	2 106 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	2 015 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	1 889 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	2 232 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	103 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>4. Other cases</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If "Other cases" please specify The decline in litigious and non-litigious cases has been gradual since 2017. This phenomenon was not analysed in more detail. The decrease may be caused by the impact of the activity of courts of first instance as well as the behaviour of participants in court proceedings - a lower number of appeals.

There is some small amount of non-litigious registry cases, but they can not be monitored separately, they are part of the bigger group. In August 2021, the Supreme Administrative Court of the Slovak Republic was established as a separate institution and it took over all the administrative law cases of the Supreme Court of the Slovak Republic. The Supreme Administrative Court of the Slovak Republic acts as second instance court in the administrative cases.

Source: [https://www.nssud.sk/web\\_object/stat\\_2022.pdf](https://www.nssud.sk/web_object/stat_2022.pdf)

#### 098. Second instance courts (appeal): Number of criminal law cases.

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the second instance court
<b>Total of criminal law cases (1+2+3)</b>	1 139 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	8 662 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	8 581 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	1 220 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	13 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>1. Severe criminal cases</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2. Misdemeanour and / or minor criminal cases</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>3. Other criminal cases</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If you cannot make a distinction between misdemeanour criminal cases and severe criminal cases (according to the CEPEJ definitions), please specify what cases are reported in those categories. If "Other criminal cases", please specify: In the line "Total" of the table in Q 98 are data for all court registers in the criminal appeal agenda. Among the category "Other criminal cases" are included for example cases in which the appeals court decides on jurisdictional disputes, objections of bias, on complaints for inaction and other complaints filed after the filing of the indictment, etc.

Sources: Analytical center, Ministry of Justice of the Slovak Republic.

## 4.2.4 Case flow management – Supreme Court



### 099. Highest instance courts (Supreme Court): Number of “other than criminal law” cases:

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the Supreme Court
<b>Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)</b>	2 630 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	2 560 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	2 957 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	2 233 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	56 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>1. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases (including litigious enforcement cases and if possible without administrative law cases, see category 3)</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	56 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2.1. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases, e.g. uncontested payment orders, request for a change of name, non-litigious enforcement cases etc. (if possible without administrative law cases, see category 3; without registry cases and other cases, see categories 2.2 and 2.3)</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2.2. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
<b>2.2.1. Non litigious land registry cases</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
<b>2.2.2 Non-litigious business registry cases</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
<b>2.2.3. Other registry cases</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
<b>2.3. Other non-litigious cases</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
<b>3. Administrative law cases</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
<b>4. Other cases</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP

Comments - If “Other cases”, please specify The collected statistical data for the Supreme Court do not distinguish the litigious and non-litigious cases. Information delivered by the Supreme Court of the Slovak Republic available on internet <https://www.nsud.sk/data/att/f59/688231.22d3e0.pdf>. The new Supreme Administrative Court of the Slovak Republic took over the Administrative law cases from the Supreme Court .

Data for new Supreme Administrative Court of the Slovak Republic are not mentioned in the table, since the table is only for the Supreme Court of the Slovak Republic.

The data for new Supreme Administrative Court of the Slovak Republic in 2022 were:

Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year - 2106

Incoming cases - 2015

Resolved cases - 1889

Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year - 2232

Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the Supreme Court - 103

Source: [https://www.nssud.sk/web\\_object/stat\\_2022.pdf](https://www.nssud.sk/web_object/stat_2022.pdf)

### 099-1. At the level of the Highest court (Supreme Court), is there a procedure of manifest inadmissibility?

( ) Yes, please indicate the number of cases closed by this procedure: .....

( X ) No

Comments

### 100. Highest instance courts (Supreme Court): Number of criminal law cases.

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the Supreme Court
<b>Total of criminal law cases (1+2+3)</b>	316 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	942 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	922 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	336 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	9 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>1. Severe criminal cases</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2. Misdemeanour and / or minor criminal cases</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>3. Other criminal cases</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP

Comment - If you cannot make a distinction between misdemeanour criminal cases and severe criminal cases (according to the CEPEJ definitions), please specify what cases are reported in those categories. If “Other criminal cases”, please specify Information delivered by Supreme Court of the Slovak Republic available on internet <https://www.nsud.sk/data/att/f59/688231.22d3e0.pdf>

### 4.2.5 Case flow management and timeframes – specific cases

#### 101. Number of specific litigious cases received and processed by first instance courts.

Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the first instance court

<b>Litigious divorce cases</b>	3 976 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	10 105 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	10 639 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	3 442 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>Employment dismissal cases</b>	1 485 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	847 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	1 064 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	1 268 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	562 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>Insolvency</b>	1 703 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	11 079 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	11 316 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	1 466 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>Robbery case</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	266 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>Intentional homicide</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	49 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments The data listed in the category "Robbery case" and "Intentional homicide" represent the number of convicted persons in legally closed cases. These are data obtained from the database of legally closed cases, which are marked as completed in statistical reporting, and therefore the data are only available for the category "Resolved cases". Since 2018, the number of convicted persons is not reported according to the most severe criminal offense, but convictions for all criminal offenses are taken into account (i.e. in the event that a person was convicted of committing several criminal offenses, the person in question is reported as convicted for each criminal offense separately).



=

#### 101-0. Number of cases relating to asylum seekers and to the right of entry and stay for aliens.

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec ref. year	Pending cases for more than 2 years
<b>Court cases relating to asylum seekers (refugee status under the 1951 Geneva Convention)</b>	10 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	59 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	40 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	29 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>Court cases relating to the right of entry and stay for aliens</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments The growth ratio is not significant in the absolute numbers, reflects the situation in the area of asylum seekers.

#### 101-1. Could you briefly describe the system in your country dealing with legal remedies relating to asylum seekers (refugee status under the 1951 Geneva Convention) and the right of entry and stay for aliens:

. If an application for international protection is rejected by the Migration Office of the Ministry of the Interior (MO), the applicant may bring an administrative action (submit the appeal) to one of the two Regional Courts: Regional Court in Bratislava or Regional Court in Košice; the court is determined by the applicant's residence.

The applicant submits the appeal to the competent Regional Court, which then notifies the Migration Office asking it to respond to the administrative action. In such a response Migration Office expresses its legal opinions and submissions. At this stage, the decision may be self-reviewed by the Migration Office

**101-2. Number of cases relating to child sexual abuse and child pornography received and processed by first instance courts.**

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the first instance court
<b>Child sexual abuse</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	248 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>Child pornography</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	131 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments - Please explain what are the legal definitions of these categories of offences in your system: The data listed in this category represent the number of convicted persons in legally closed cases. These are data obtained from the database of legally closed cases, which are marked as completed in statistical reporting, and therefore the data is only available for the category " Resolved cases".

**102. Percentage of decisions subject to appeal, average length of proceedings and percentage of cases pending for more than 3 years for all instances for specific litigious cases. The average length of proceedings has to be calculated from the date the application for judicial review is lodged to the date the judgment is made, without taking into account the investigation phase in criminal cases as well as enforcement procedure.**

	% of decisions subject to appeal	Average length in 1st instance (in days)	Average length in 2nd instance (in days)	Average length in 3rd instance (in days)	Average length of the entire procedure (in days)	% of cases pending for more than 3 years for all instances
<b>Civil and commercial litigious cases</b>	_____ Allow decimals : 2 [ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	_____ Allow decimals : 2 [ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>Litigious divorce cases</b>	_____ Allow decimals : 2 [ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	_____ Allow decimals : 2 [ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>Employment dismissal cases</b>	_____ Allow decimals : 2 [ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	_____ Allow decimals : 2 [ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>Insolvency cases</b>	_____ Allow decimals : 2 [ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	_____ Allow decimals : 2 [ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>Robbery cases</b>	_____ Allow decimals : 2 [ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	_____ Allow decimals : 2 [ X ] NA [ ] NAP



<b>Intentional homicide cases</b>	Allow decimals : 2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA	Allow decimals : 2
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

**104. How is the length of proceedings calculated for the six case categories of question 102? Please give a description of the calculation method.**

. Not available

#### 4.2.6 Case flow management – public prosecution

**105. Role and powers of the public prosecutor in the criminal procedure (multiple replies possible):**

- to conduct or supervise investigation
- when necessary, to request investigation measures from the judge
- to charge
- to present the case in court
- to propose a sentence to the judge
- to appeal
- to supervise the enforcement procedure
- to discontinue a case without needing a decision by a judge (ensure consistency with question 36!)
- to end the case by imposing or negotiating a penalty or measure without requiring a judicial decision
- other significant powers (please specify): mentioned in general comment

Comments

**106. Does the public prosecutor also have a role in:**

- civil cases
- administrative cases
- insolvency cases

Comments - If yes, please specify: See the general comment.

=

**107. Public prosecutors: Total number of 1st instance criminal cases.**

Number of cases

<b>1.Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2.Incoming/received cases</b>	56 097 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>3.Processed cases (3.1+3.2+3.3+3.4)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>3.1.Discontinued during the reference year (3.1.1+3.1.2+3.1.3+3.1.4.)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>3.1.1 Discontinued by the public prosecutor because the offender could not be identified</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>3.1.2 Discontinued by the public prosecutor due to the lack of an established offence or a specific legal situation</b>	2 380 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>3.1.3 Discontinued by the public prosecutor for reasons of opportunity</b>	2 439 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>3.1.4 Discontinued for other reasons</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>3.2.Concluded by a penalty or a measure imposed or negotiated by the public prosecutor</b>	5 866 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>3.3.Cases brought to court</b>	24 246 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>4.Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments It is necessary to state that the required table, or criteria, are different from our legal system. In our criminal proceedings, a large number of cases in the preliminary proceedings are closed by the investigator or police officer, while the table only requires cases closed by the prosecutor. In addition, matters terminated by the prosecutor may also be terminated by the so-called diversions in criminal proceedings, while the table only requires cases stopped by the prosecutor. The inconsistency of data with previous years is also caused by the fact that the prosecutor in the Slovak Republic has slightly different powers in the preliminary proceedings in contrast to the legal systems of other countries, so the data differ according to the point of view of the processor of the data.

#### 107-1. If the guilty plea procedure exists, how many cases were concluded by this procedure?

	<b>Total</b>	<b>Severe criminal cases</b>	<b>Misdemeanour and / or minor criminal cases</b>
<b>Total number of guilty plea procedures</b>	1 961 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Before the main trial</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>During the main trial</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

**109. Do the figures provided in Q107 include traffic offence cases?**

Yes

No

Comments

**D2. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part**

Sources: General Prosecutors' Office

**5. Career of judges and public prosecutors**

**5.1. Recruitment and promotion**

**5.1.1 Recruitment and promotion of judges**

**110. How are judges recruited?**

through a competitive exam (open competition)

through a recruitment procedure for experienced legal professionals (for example experienced lawyers)

other (please specify): .....

Comments

**110-1. Please briefly describe the recruitment procedure(s) for judges in your country:**

. The selection procedure for the position of judge at the district court is carried out as a collective selection procedure. The collective selection procedure consists of a written and an oral part. After the end of the selection procedure, the chairman of the selection committee will provide the list according to the order of successful applicants. Based on the results of the collective selection procedure the Ministry of Justice creates a database of candidates for the position of judge. The Judicial Council decides on the prerequisites of the candidate's judicial competence. The Judicial Council submits a proposal to the president of the Slovak Republic for the appointment of a judge to the court.

**110-2. What are the recruitment requirements for judges (multiple replies possible)?**

Age

Nationality

Physical/Psychological capacity

General studies in law

Advanced studies in law (Master, PhD)

- Number of years of relevant experience
- Traineeship/judicial functions in courts
- Validation of a general state examination in law
- Validation of a specific examination for judges
- Clean criminal record
- Foreign languages
- Personal requirements (related to integrity)
- Other
- NAP

Comments - If "other", please specify: Other: the permanent residence in Slovakia, successfully completed selection procedure, written consent to appointment as a judge.

**110-3. In the frame of these recruitments, please indicate the number of applicants for the position of judge and the number of recruitments actually made during the reference year:**

	Total	Males	Females
Number of applicants	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA
Number of recruited persons	55 <input type="checkbox"/> NA	22 <input type="checkbox"/> NA	33 <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments

**110-4. If the number of applicants decreased in the last years did you take any remedial measures?**

- Yes
- No

Comments

**110-5. If yes, please specify what remedies you implemented:**

- Increase of salary
- Other financial incentives
- Improving working conditions
- Workload reduction at the beginning of career
- Other adjustments in the frame of the induction of new judges
- Other

Comments: If "other", please, specify:

=

**111. Authority(ies) responsible for recruitment - are judges initially/at the beginning of their career recruited and nominated by:**

- An authority made up of judges only
- An authority made up of non-judges only

An authority/authorities made up of judges and non-judges

Other

Comments - Please indicate the name of the authority(ies) responsible for the whole procedure of recruitment and nomination of judges. If there are several authorities, please describe their respective roles:

### 111-1. How many members compose this authority?

	Total	Males	Females
<b>Members</b>	18 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	9 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	9 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments – Please specify what is the status of this authority and who is proposing/appointing its members: The Judicial Council of the Slovak Republic is a constitutional body of judicial legitimacy.

### 111-2. May non-selected candidates appeal against the decision on recruitment/appointment?

Yes

No

Comments – Please specify the procedure to be followed, the competent authority, the moment for exercising the right of appeal:

### 112. Is the same authority (Q111) competent for the promotion of judges?

Yes

No

Comments - No, please specify which authority is competent for promoting judges

### 113. What is the procedure for the promotion of judges? (multiple replies possible)

Competitive test / Exam

Previous individual evaluations

Other procedure(s) (interview or other)

No special procedure

Comments - Please specify how the promotion procedure for judges is organised (especially if there is no competition or examination) and how the publicity of promotion processes is ensured:

### 113-0. In the frame of the promotion procedures, please indicate the number of applicants and the number of promotions actually made during the reference year:

	Total	Males	Females
<b>Number of applicants</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Number of promoted persons</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments

### 113-1. Please indicate the criteria used for the promotion of a judge? (multiple replies possible)

- Years of experience
- Professional skills (and/or qualitative performance)
- Performance (quantitative)
- Subjective criteria (e.g. integrity, reputation)
- Other
- No criteria

Comments - Please specify any useful comment regarding the criteria (especially if you have checked the box “performance” or “other”):

## **5.1.2 Status, recruitment and promotion of prosecutors**

### **115. What is the status of public prosecution services?**

- Has an independent status as a separate entity among state institutions
- Is part of the executive power but enjoys functional independence (please briefly explain how and to what extent)
- Is part of the executive power (without functional independence)
- Is part of the judicial power but enjoys functional independence (please briefly explain how and to what extent)
- Is part of the judicial power (without functional independence)
- Is a mixed model (please explain)
- Has other status (please explain)

Comments - When appropriate, please specify the objective guarantees of this independence (such as funding) and where they are enshrined (Constitution, legislation etc.). Furthermore, if “mixed model” or “other”, please specify.

### **115-1. Are specific instructions addressed to a public prosecutor to prosecute or not prohibited by law or other regulation?**

- Yes
- No

Comments - If yes, please specify: Act No. 301/2005 Coll. - Code of Criminal Procedure

### **115-2. If they are prohibited by law or other regulation, are there exceptions?**

- Yes
- No
- NAP

Comments - Please describe these exceptions:

### **115-3. Which authority can issue such specific instructions?**

- General Prosecutor
- Higher prosecutor/Head of prosecution office
- Executive power
- Other
- NAP

Comments - If “Other”, please specify:

#### 115-4. What form these instructions may take?

- Oral instruction
- Oral instruction with written confirmation
- Written instruction
- Other
- NAP

Comments - If "Other", please specify:

#### 115-5. In that case, are the instructions:

- Issued seeking prior advice from the competent public prosecutor
- Mandatory
- Reasoned
- Recorded in the case file
- Other
- NAP

Comments - If "Other", please specify:

#### 115-6. What is the frequency of this type of instructions:

- Exceptional
- Occasional
- Frequent
- Systematic
- NAP

Comments

#### 115-7. Can the public prosecutor oppose/report an instruction to an independent body?

- Yes
- No
- NAP

Comments - If yes, please specify to which body/institution and please describe under which conditions.

=

#### 116. How are public prosecutors recruited?

- through a competitive exam (open competition)
- through a recruitment procedure for experienced legal professionals (for example experienced lawyers)
- other (please specify):see the comment

Comments The General Prosecutor can announce the vacant place of prosecutor which is filled by competitive selection procedure organised by the General Prosecutor Office.

#### 116-1. Please briefly describe the recruitment procedure(s) for prosecutors in your country:

. The selection procedure for the position of prosecutor of the District Prosecutor's Office is announced by the General Prosecutor on the website of the General Prosecutor's Office, in a periodical press with nationwide coverage or in other means of communication generally accessible to the public at least 30 days before the selection procedure.

An applicant who meets the conditions for participation in the selection procedure for the position of prosecutor of the District Prosecutor's Office and who has delivered the application for inclusion in the selection procedure together with documents by the deadline specified in the announcement of the selection procedure, will be invited by the General Prosecutor to the selection procedure at least 14 days before its start. The selection procedure for the position of prosecutor of the District Prosecutor's Office consists of a written part, a professional psychological assessment and an oral part. The selection procedure is public, with the exception of the selection committee's vote. The oral part of the selection procedure is also recorded using technical equipment designed for sound recording.

**116-2. What are the recruitment requirements for prosecutors (multiple replies possible)?**

- Age
- Nationality
- Physical/Psychological capacity
- General studies in law
- Advanced studies in law (Master, PhD)
- Number of years of relevant experience
- Traineeship/judicial functions in courts
- Validation of a general state examination in law
- Validation of a specific examination for prosecutors
- Clean criminal record
- Foreign languages
- Personal requirements (related to integrity)
- Other
- NAP

Comments - If "other", please specify:

**116-3. In the frame of these recruitments, please indicate the number of applicants for the position of prosecutor and the number of recruitments actually made during the reference year:**

	Total	Males	Females
Number of applicants	105 <input type="checkbox"/> NA	56 <input type="checkbox"/> NA	49 <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Number of recruited persons	74 <input type="checkbox"/> NA	42 <input type="checkbox"/> NA	32 <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments

**116-4. If the number of applicants decreased in the last years did you take any remedial measures?**



( ) Yes

( X ) No

Comments

**116-5. If yes, please specify what remedies you implemented:**

- Increase of salary
- Other financial incentives
- Improving working conditions
- Workload reduction at the beginning of career
- Other adjustments in the frame of the induction of new prosecutors
- Other

Comments: If “other”, please, specify:

**117. Authority(ies) responsible for recruitment - Are public prosecutors initially/at the beginning of their career recruited by:**

- An authority composed of public prosecutors only
- An authority composed of non-public prosecutors only
- An authority composed of public prosecutors and non-public prosecutors
- Other

Comments - Please indicate the name of the authority(ies) responsible for the whole procedure of recruitment and nomination of public prosecutors. If there are several authorities, please describe their respective roles: Selection committee (Section 7a, Section 8, Section 24b Subsection 2 of Act No. 154/2001 Coll. on prosecutors and judicial trainees of the Prosecutor's Office), psychologist (Section 7 Subsection 7 of Act No. 154/2001 Coll. ), the Council of Prosecutors of the Slovak Republic (Section 224 Subsection 2 letter f) of Act no. 154/2001 Coll.) and the General Prosecutor of the Slovak Republic, who based on the result of the selection procedure, taking into account the interest of the proper performance of the tasks of the General Prosecutor's Office, he will decide which successful candidate he will appoint without limitation to the designated District Prosecutor's Office for the position of prosecutor; Prosecutors of the Special Prosecutor's Office are appointed by the General Prosecutor on the basis of a selection procedure based on the proposal of the Special Prosecutor and after the prior approval of the Council of Prosecutors of the Slovak Republic.

**117-1. How many members compose this authority?**

	Total	Male	Female
<b>Members</b>	35 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	14 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	21 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments – Please specify what is the status of this authority and who is proposing/appointing its members: The selection committee has five members (only a prosecutor can be a member of the selection committee). In 2022, 7 selection committees were established. Databases of candidates for members of the selection committee are created by the Council of Prosecutors and the General Prosecutor. The members of the selection committee and the necessary number of substitutes are appointed and dismissed by the General Prosecutor. The General Prosecutor appoints the members of the selection committee so that at least three members of the selection committee are appointed from the database of candidates for members of the selection committee created by the Council of Prosecutors. The members of the selection committee will elect the chairman of the selection committee from among themselves. (Section 7a Subsection 2, Subsection 3 first sentence of Act No. 154/2001 Coll. on prosecutors and judicial trainees of the Prosecutor's Office).

**117-2. May non-selected candidates appeal against the decision on recruitment/appointment?**

Yes

No

Comments – Please specify the procedure to be followed, the competent authority, the moment for exercising the right of appeal:

**118. Is the same authority (Q.117) competent for the promotion of public prosecutors?**

Yes

No, please specify which authority is competent for promoting public prosecutors .....

Comments

**119. What is the procedure for the promotion of prosecutors? (multiple replies possible)**

Competitive test / exam

Previous individual evaluations

Other procedure(s) (interview or other)

No special procedure

Comments - Please specify how the promotion procedure for prosecutors is organised (especially if there is no competition or examination) and how the publicity of promotion processes is ensured: An interview that verifies management, organizational and control skills, professional knowledge, language skills and other facts (principles of functional procedure).

**119-1. In the frame of the promotion procedures, please indicate the number of applicants and the number of promotions actually made during the reference year:**

	Total	Males	Females
Number of applicants	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA
Number of promoted persons	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments

**119-2. Please indicate the criteria used for the promotion of a prosecutor:**

Years of experience

Professional skills (and/or qualitative performance)

Performance (quantitative)

Subjective criteria (e.g. integrity, reputation)

Other

No criteria

Comments - Please, specify any useful comment regarding the criteria (especially if you have checked the box “performance” or “other”): Principles of the functional procedure of prosecutors approved by the Council of Prosecutors of the Slovak Republic and the Prosecutor General of the Slovak Republic. When selecting applicants, the selection committee takes into account the prosecutor's assessment according to Section 31 of Act no. 154/2001 Coll., management skills and social competences, evaluates the applicant's ability to motivate other workers to perform the required performance, the ability to resolve conflicts at the workplace, organizational and control skills, mediation skills, the ability to work in a team and the ability to represent the office externally, the length of time the prosecutor/head has been in office, language skills, journalistic, pedagogic, lecturing activity in the judicial field, participation in professional educational events, for the prosecutor's activity in the Prosecutor's Council, in selection committees, in the Council of the Judicial Academy, in



### 5.1.3Mandate and retirement of judges and prosecutors

**121. Are judges appointed to office for an undetermined period (i.e. "for life" = until the official age of retirement)?**

Yes, please indicate the compulsory retirement age:67

No

Comments - If yes, are there exceptions (e.g. dismissal as a disciplinary sanction)? Please specify:

**121-1. Can a judge be transferred to another court without his/her consent:**

For disciplinary reasons

For organisational reasons

For other reasons (please specify modalities and safeguards): .....

No

Comments

**122. Is there a probation period for judges (e.g. before being appointed "for life")? If yes, how long is this period?**

Yes, duration of the probation period (in years): .....

No

Comments

**123. Are public prosecutors appointed to office for an undetermined period (i.e. "for life" = until the official age of retirement)?**

Yes, please indicate the compulsory retirement age:65

No

Comments - If yes, are there exceptions (e.g. dismissal as a disciplinary sanction)? Please specify:

**124. Is there a probation period for public prosecutors? If yes, how long is this period?**

Yes, duration of the probation period (in years): .....

No

Comments

**125. If the mandate of judges is not for an undetermined period (see question 121), what is the length of the mandate (in years)?**

[            ]

NA

NAP

Comments

**125-1. Is it renewable?**

- Yes  
 No  
 NAP

Comments

**126. If the mandate of public prosecutors is not for an undetermined period (see question 123), what is the length of the mandate (in years)?**

- [            ]  
 NA  
 NAP

Comments

**126-1. Is it renewable?**

- Yes  
 No  
 NAP

Comments

**E1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part**

Sources: General Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Justice of the Slovak republic

## 5.2. Training

### 5.2.1 Training of judges

**127. Types of different trainings offered to judges:**

	Compulsory	Optional	No training proposed
<b>Initial training (e.g. attend a judicial school, traineeship in a court)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>General in-service training</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>In-service training for specialised judicial functions (e.g. judge for economic or administrative issues)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>In-service training for management functions of the court (e.g. court president)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>In-service training for the use of computer facilities in courts</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

<b>In-service training on ethics</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>In-service training on child-friendly justice</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>In-service training on gender equality</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No
<b>Other in- service training</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No

Comments Judicial Academy of the Slovak Republic also organize trainings in legal terminology in English, German and French to support language knowledge of judges, prosecutors and other judicial staff.

## 128. Frequency of the in-service training of judges:

	Frequency of the judges training
<b>General in-service training</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
<b>In-service training for specialised judicial functions (e.g. judge for economic or administrative issues)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
<b>In-service training for management functions of the court (e.g. court president)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
<b>In-service training for the use of computer facilities in courts</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
<b>In-service training on ethics</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
<b>In-service training on child-friendly justice</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
<b>In-service training on gender equality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
<b>Other in- service training</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed

Comments - Please indicate any information on the periodicity of the continuous training of judges:

**128-1. Do you have a minimum number of compulsory trainings per judge:**

	Per judge
<b>Initial compulsory training – minimum number of trainings</b>	_____ Min numeric value allowed : 0 2 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Initial compulsory training – minimum number of days</b>	_____ Min numeric value allowed : 0 3 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>In-service compulsory trainings – minimum number of trainings per year</b>	_____ Min numeric value allowed : 0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>In-service compulsory trainings – minimum number of days per year</b>	_____ Min numeric value allowed : 0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

**5.2.2 Training of prosecutors**

**129. Types of different trainings offered to public prosecutors:**

	Compulsory	Optional	No training proposed
<b>Initial training</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>General in-service training</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>In-service training for specialised functions (e.g. public prosecutors specialised in organised crime)</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>In-service training for management functions (e.g. Head of prosecution office, manager)</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No
<b>In-service training for the use of computer facilities in office</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>In-service training on ethics</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>In-service training on child-friendly justice</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>In-service training on gender equality</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>Other in- service training</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No

Comments Judicial Academy of the Slovak Republic also organize trainings in legal terminology in English, German and French to support language knowledge of judges, prosecutors and other judicial staff. It is mostly continuous and intensive trainings, and every training has its own specialization (e.g. human rights, civil procedure, family law etc.) In 2022, due to the enormous interest in this type of language training, Judicial Academy organized the training as a preparation for the international language examination, which ended with an exam with the possibility of obtaining an internationally recognized TOLES certificate.

### 130. Frequency of the in-service training of public prosecutors :

	Frequency of the in-service training
<b>General in-service training</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
<b>In-service training for specialised functions (e.g. public prosecutor specialised in organised crime)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
<b>In-service training for management functions (e.g. Head of prosecution office, manager)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
<b>In-service training for the use of computer facilities in office</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
<b>In-service training on ethics</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
<b>In-service training on child-friendly justice</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
<b>In-service training on gender equality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
<b>Other in- service training</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed

Comments - Please indicate any information on the periodicity of the in-service training of prosecutors:

### 130-1. Do you have a minimum number of compulsory trainings per prosecutor:

Per prosecutor
----------------

<b>Initial compulsory training – minimum number of trainings</b>	_____ Min numeric value allowed : 0  <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Initial compulsory training – minimum number of days</b>	_____ Min numeric value allowed : 0  <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>In-service compulsory trainings – minimum number of trainings per year</b>	_____ Min numeric value allowed : 0  <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>In-service compulsory trainings – minimum number of days per year</b>	_____ Min numeric value allowed : 0  <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

### 5.2.3 Training institutions

**131. Do you have public training institutions for judges and / or prosecutors?**

	<b>Initial training only</b>	<b>Continuous training only</b>	<b>Initial and continuous training</b>
<b>Institution(s) for judges</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ]	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ]	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ]
<b>Institution(s) for prosecutors</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ]	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ]	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ]
<b>Institution(s) for both judges and prosecutors</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ]	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [ X ]

Comments

**131-0. If yes, what is the implemented budget of such institution(s)?**

	<b>Implemented budget of the institution for the reference year, in €</b>
<b>Institution(s) for judges</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Institution(s) for prosecutors</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Institution(s) for both judges and prosecutors</b>	1 109 187 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

**131-1. If judges and/or prosecutors have no compulsory initial training in such institutions, please indicate briefly how judges and/or prosecutors are trained?**



. There is different legal regulation for initial training for judges and for prosecutors. In 2017, the Act No. 385/2000 Coll. on Judges and Lay Judges was amended and the main change related to the new type of selection procedure. According to the new legal regulation the initial training is considered as a necessary precondition to be appointed judge for those who successfully passed through all parts of selection procedure. The initial training for future judges is four-day training, organized by Judicial Academy, as a rule, once or a twice per year, following the completed selection procedure. It is an educational event where future judges are trained in disciplinary responsibility, professional ethics, the status of judges, and the second half of initial training deals with the practical issues from work with office rules of courts to practicing skills as a judge in simulated trial. Under the Act No. 154/2001 Coll. on Prosecutors and Trainees in Prosecutor's Office there is no compulsory or optional initial training for future prosecutors organized by Judicial Academy of the Slovak Republic. Another initial (preparatory) training are called specific type of optional education organized by Judicial Academy of the Slovak Republic. There are initial preparatory trainings for trainees in prosecutor's office. They are aimed to more theoretical legal problems in numerous fields of law, actual legal regulation on internal rules in organisation of prosecutors' offices, soft skills, and also practical issues. As of 2022, the judicial trainees are not part of the judicial system and were replaced by a new position in courts named "specialized judicial intern". It is the position opened to anyone who meets the criteria, mostly three years long experience in any law profession. During this judicial internship, there are no special initial (preparatory) trainings required. The court is responsible for the content and specialized preparation of the intern.

## 5.2.4 Number of trainings

### 131-2. Number of in-service trainings available and delivered (in days) by the public institution(s) responsible for training.

	Number of different live (in person, hybrid, videoconference) trainings available	Number of live (in person, hybrid, videoconference) trainings delivered	Number of days of delivered live (in person, hybrid, videoconference) trainings	Number of internet-based trainings available on the e-learning platform of the training institution (not live)
<b>Total</b>	310 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	62 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	478 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	264 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>For judges</b>	61 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	125 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>For prosecutors</b>	147 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	40 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	184 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	70 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>For non-judge staff</b>	53 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	115 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>For non-prosecutor staff</b>	49 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	22 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	54 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	24 [ ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments Since 2020 Judicial Academy has its own e-library where are video and audio documents from lately organized trainings. This so-called Media Library is related to the modernization and innovation of the electronic library of educational materials, is an important part of the educational process. A total sum of 170 educational materials had been processed in the Media Library at the end of 2022. It is used to hear or watch the complex content of the training in max. 90 minutes anytime 365/24/7. For the purposes of the column about not live training in both tables (above and below) is considered the Media Library as a form of e-learning platform where only users of portal can see the content, since every user has possibility to browse all of the content, it is not possible to specify the numbers in last column in

the answer table.

### 131-3. Number of participants in the trainings during the reference year.

	Number of participants in live (in-person, hybrid, videoconference) trainings	Number of participants in internet-based trainings provided on the e-learning platform of the training institution (not live)
<b>Total</b>	8 370 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	1 103 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Judges</b>	1 964 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	254 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Prosecutors</b>	1 015 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	121 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Non-judge staff</b>	5 257 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	588 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Non-prosecutor staff</b>	134 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	142 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

### E2. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: The basic information about every year activities of Judicial Academy are part of the Annual Report of Judicial Academy of the Slovak Republic.

## 5.3.Practice of the profession

### 5.3.1Salaries and benefits of judges and prosecutors

#### 132. Salaries of judges and public prosecutors on 31 December of the reference year:

	Gross annual salary, in €	Net annual salary, in €	Gross annual salary, in local currency	Net annual salary, in local currency
<b>First instance professional judge at the beginning of his/her career</b>	45 775 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	32 480 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Judge of the Supreme Court or the Highest Appellate Court (please indicate the highest salary of a judge at this level, excluding the salary of the Court President)</b>	66 264 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	46 593 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Public prosecutor at the beginning of his/her career</b>	42 249 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	30 477 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

<b>Public prosecutor of the Supreme Court or the Highest Appellate Instance (please indicate the highest salary of a public prosecutor at this level, excluding the salary of the Attorney General).</b>	62 130 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	44 818 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
--	---	---	--	--

Comment – Please describe briefly how the salaries are determined during the career of a judge/prosecutor:

### 133. Do judges and public prosecutors have additional benefits?

	Judges	Public prosecutors
<b>Reduced taxation</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Special pension</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Housing</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Other financial benefit</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Comments

### 134. If “other financial benefit”, please specify:

. For example for judges: Supplement to maternity allowance of 55% of salary; paid preventive health check-up; paid rehabilitation; additional salary 2 times a year.....

The Prosecutor is paid an extra pay for the performance of the function of a prosecutor, extra pay to a pension, extra pay to health insurance, extra pay to a nursing allowance, extra pay to maternity leave, extra pay to compensate for income in the event of temporary incapacity for work.

NAP

### 135. Can judges combine their work with any of the following functions/activities?

	With remuneration	Without remuneration
<b>Teaching</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Research and publication</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Arbitrator</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Consultant</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

<b>Cultural function</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No
<b>Political function</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>Mediator</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>Other function</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No

Comments - If rules exist in your country (e.g. authorisation needed to perform these activities), please specify. If "other function", please specify. Member of the Judicial Council of the Slovak republic

### 137. Can public prosecutors combine their work with any of the following functions/activities?

	With remuneration	Without remuneration
<b>Teaching</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No
<b>Research and publication</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No
<b>Arbitrator</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>Consultant</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>Cultural function</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No
<b>Political function</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>Mediator</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>Other function</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No

Comments - If rules exist in your country (e.g. authorisation needed to perform these activities), please specify. If "other function", please specify: The public prosecutors can combine their work with the lectures activities, which is included in teaching line 1.

### 139. Productivity bonuses: do judges receive bonuses based on the fulfilment of quantitative objectives in relation to the number of resolved cases (e.g. number of cases resolved over a given period of time)?

( ) Yes

( X ) No

Comments - If yes, please specify the conditions and if possible the amounts:

### 5.3.2 Body/institution of ethics

### 138. Is there in your country an institution / body giving guidelines and/or opinions on ethical questions of the conduct of judges (e.g. involvement in political life, use of social media by

**judges, etc.)?**

Yes

No

Comment - Please specify:

**138-1. If yes, who are the members of this institution/body?**

Only judges

Judges and other legal professionals

Other, please specify: .....

Comments

**138-2. Are the guidelines and/or opinions of this institution / body publicly available?**

Yes

No

Comments - Please describe the work of this institution / body, the frequency of the guidelines and/or opinions, etc.: Judicial Council comments and approves statements on the ethical dilemmas of judges at the meetings of the Judicial Council whenever there is an initiative. The statements are prepared by permanent ethical committee of the Judicial Council that is composed of 7 members of the Council.

**138-2-1. How many guidelines and/or opinions were given during the reference year?**

[ 1 ]

[ ] NA

Comments – Please specify what were the topics addressed in these guidelines and/or opinions In 2022, the Judicial Council adopted only one resolution, resolution no. 159/2022 of August 17th 2022, which approved the opinion of the Judicial Council on the ethical dilemma. This dilemma dealt with the sale of unnecessary clothing of one judge who asked whether she should admit acquired money from the sale of clothing in her asset declaration as profit.

**138-3. Is there in your country an institution / body giving guidelines and/or opinions on ethical questions of the conduct of prosecutors (e.g. involvement in political life, use of social media by prosecutors, etc.)**

Yes

No

Comment: Please specify

**138-4. If yes, who are the members of this institution/body?**

Only prosecutors

Prosecutors and other legal professionals

Other, please specify: .....

Comments Within the framework of the Prosecutor's Office of the Slovak Republic, the Ethics Commission of the Prosecutor's Office has been created on the basis of the law, which, based on the request of an entity authorized to file a disciplinary proposal against the prosecutor, issues opinions or, even without such a request, issues recommendations on ethical issues and the ethical acceptability of the behavior of prosecutors.

## 138-5. Are the guidelines and/or opinions of this institution / body publicly available?

Yes

No

Comments - Please describe the work of this institution / body, the frequency of opinions, etc. Available on internet

<https://www.genpro.gov.sk/eticka-komisia-prokuratory/stanoviska-a-odporucania-etickej-komisie-prokuratory-3986.html>

The Ethics Commission of the Prosecutor's Office was established in 2016 and since then has held a total of 33 meetings. At these meetings, the ethics commission discussed 6 requests to take the position of an entity authorized to file a disciplinary proposal against the prosecutor and also discussed a number of other issues related to the ethics of prosecutors. In its activity so far, the Ethics Commission has issued 6 opinions on the specific behavior of prosecutors and 2 recommendations for prosecutors, which are of a general nature and concern the ethical problems of prosecutors.

### 138-5-1. How many guidelines and/or opinions were given during the reference year?

[ 1 ]

NA

Comments – Please specify what were the topics addressed in these guidelines and/or opinions The guidelines of the ethics commission concerned the performance of prosecutors in the electronic public space.

## 5.4. Disciplinary procedures

### 5.4.1 Authorities responsible for disciplinary procedures and sanctions

#### 140. Who is authorised to initiate disciplinary proceedings against judges (multiple replies possible)?

Court users

Relevant Court or hierarchical superior

High Court / Supreme Court

High Judicial Council

Disciplinary court

Disciplinary body

Ombudsman

Parliament

Executive power (please specify): Minister of Justice of the Slovak Republic

Other (please specify): President of the Judicial Council and the body of judicial self-government

This is not possible

Comments

#### 141. Who is authorised to initiate disciplinary proceedings against public prosecutors: (multiple replies possible):

Citizens

Head of the organisational unit or hierarchical superior public prosecutor

Prosecutor General / State public prosecutor

Public prosecutorial Council (High Judicial Council)

Disciplinary court

Disciplinary body

Ombudsman

Professional body

Executive power (please specify): The President of the Slovak Republic, in the case of disciplinary proceedings of the General Prosecutor

Other (please specify): at least three-fifths of the members of the Parliament (National Council of the Slovak republic), in the case of disciplinary proceedings of the General Prosecutor

This is not possible

Comments

#### **142. Which authority has disciplinary power over judges (multiple replies possible)?**

Court

Higher Court / Supreme Court

High Judicial Council

Disciplinary court or body

Ombudsman

Parliament

Executive power (please specify): .....

Other (please specify): Disciplinary Panels of the Supreme Administrative Court of the Slovak Republic

Comments Disciplinary proceedings against the President of the Supreme Court of the Slovak Republic and Vice-President of the Supreme Court of the Slovak Republic are carried out by the Constitutional Court of the Slovak Republic.

#### **143. Which authority has disciplinary power over public prosecutors (multiple replies possible)?**

Supreme Court

Head of the organisational unit or hierarchical superior

Prosecutor General /State public prosecutor

Public prosecutorial Council (High Judicial Council)

Disciplinary court or body

Ombudsman

Professional body

Executive power (please specify): .....

Other (please specify): Disciplinary Panels of the Supreme Administrative Court of the Slovak Republic

Comments

### **5.4.2 Number of disciplinary procedures and sanctions**

**144. Number of disciplinary proceedings initiated during the reference year against judges and public prosecutors. (If a disciplinary proceeding is undertaken because of several reasons, please count the proceedings only once and for the main reason.)**

	Judges	Prosecutors
<b>Total number (1+2+3+4)</b>	25 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	4 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>1. Breach of professional ethics</b>	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2. Professional inadequacy</b>	23 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	4 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>3. Criminal offence</b>	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>4. Other</b>	2 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments - If “other”, please specify: In the section “ other“, were listed two decisions which, could not be clearly assigned to any of the above categories.

The first of these decisions concerned multiple breaches of the obligation to wear a mask in the courtroom by a judge during the COVID-19 pandemic.

The second decision concerned the performance of judicial duties by a judge while being under the influence of alcohol.

Regarding question no. 144, we have collected disciplinary proceedings that were initiated in 2022. For the purposes of determining the number of each type of violation (e.g., breach of professional ethics, professional inadequacy), we relied on disciplinary decisions rather than the disciplinary motion. Since not all cases have been decided yet, we are unable to indicate the matter of the breach of law in all proceedings initiated in 2022. Therefore, there is a difference between the total number of disciplinary proceedings and the number of individual breaches of the law.

#### 145. Number of sanctions pronounced during the reference year against judges and public prosecutors:

	Judges	Prosecutors
<b>Total number (total 1 to 10)</b>	24 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	3 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>1. Reprimand</b>	15 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	2 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2. Suspension</b>	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>3. Withdrawal from cases</b>	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>4. Fine</b>	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>5. Temporary reduction of salary</b>	8 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	1 [ ] NA [ ] NAP



<b>6. Position downgrade</b>	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>7. Transfer to another geographical (court) location</b>	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>8. Resignation</b>	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>9. Other</b>	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>10. Dismissal</b>	1 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments - If “other”, please specify. If a significant difference exists between the number of disciplinary proceedings and the number of sanctions, please indicate the reasons. On question 145 regarding sanctions, our conclusions on all cases decided in 2022, regardless of whether they were initiated in 2022 or earlier. Therefore, there may be a difference in numbers between questions 144 and 145.

### E3. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: The Supreme Administrative Court; the General Prosecutor’s Office

## 6.Lawyers

### 6.1.Profession of lawyer

#### 6.1.1Status of the profession of lawyers

#### 146. Total number of lawyers practising in your country:

	Total	Males	Females
Number of lawyers	6 430 [ ] NA	3 693 [ ] NA	2 737 [ ] NA

Comments

#### 147. Does this figure include “legal advisors” who cannot represent their clients in court (for example, some solicitors or in-house counsellors)?

Yes ( )

No ( X )

Comments

#### 148. Number of legal advisors who cannot represent their clients in court:

[ ]

[ ] NA

[X] NAP

Comments

**149. Is legal representation in courts exclusively exercised by lawyers in: (multiple replies possible)**

	First instance	Second instance	Highest instance court (Supreme Court)
<b>Civil cases</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Dismissal cases</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Criminal cases – Defendant</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Criminal cases – Victim</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Administrative cases</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - Please indicate any useful clarifications regarding the content of lawyers' exclusive rights: Civil cases Mandatory representation by a lawyer – exhaustive list of cases:

- disputes arising from or related to bankruptcy and restructuring, •disputes arising from the protection of competition,
- disputes arising from unfair competition proceedings •disputes arising from the threat or infringement of the right to trade secrets
- disputes related to the protection of intellectual property rights •proceedings of extraordinary appeal

The legal representative does not have to be a lawyer if the party is

- a) a natural person who has a university master degree in law,
- b) a legal person and its employee or the member acting on its behalf has university master degree in law in law.

Criminal cases – defendant

In criminal matters there are only certain cases in which legal representation is mandatory. In these cases a legal representative can only be a lawyer. In cases in which there is no obligation to have a legal representative the defendant can represent himself. Therefore, if the question is directed to whether only a lawyer can be a legal representative of the defendant (defense attorney) then the answer is “yes, always”. In order to provide overall insight into legislation then the most appropriate answer is “yes, in some cases”. Criminal cases - victim

In Slovakia there is no general obligation for victims to be represented in criminal proceedings.

However, they can decide to be represented by a proxy. In criminal proceedings in which classified information are concerned only lawyers or persons who can familiarize themselves with the classified information can represent a victim as a proxy. (Sec. 53 Criminal Procedure Code)

If the victim claims damages and does not have sufficient funds to cover the necessary costs, the court may appoint a representative from

the list of lawyers if it deems it necessary for the protection of the interests of the victim. (Sec. 47 Criminal Procedure Code)

If the victim is deprived of legal capacity and their statutory representative cannot exercise rights on their behalf, the court will appoint a guardian for the victim from the list of lawyers. (Sec. 48 Criminal Procedure Code)

Administrative cases

In the cases before administrative court, the plaintiff must be represented by a lawyer (except the cases specified by law, when the plaintiff does not have to be represented at all). This does not apply if the plaintiff, its employee or a member who acts on its behalf at the administrative court has a master degree in law.

As from January 1, 2022, the Supreme Administrative Court, which is competent to decide at the second instance on the remedy - cassation appeal against the first-instance decisions of the administrative courts, began its work. Therefore, in this table, the same applies to representation before the Supreme Administrative Court as it does to representation at the second instance.

#### 149-0. If other than lawyers may represent a client in court, please specify who:

	First instance	Second instance	Highest instance court (Supreme Court)
<b>Civil society organisation</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>Family member</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>Self-representation</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No
<b>Trade union</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>Other</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No

Comments - If "other", please specify. In addition, for the categories selected please specify the types of cases concerned by this/these representation(s): Other - The Centre for Legal Aid (hereinafter only „the Centre“) was created pursuant to the Act Nr. 327/2005 Coll. on the Provision of Legal Aid for People in Material Need (hereinafter only „the Act“) as a state budgetary organization under the Ministry of Justice of the Slovak Republic. If applicants meet the prescribed criteria for the award of legal aid, the Centre provides them with comprehensive legal aid, for example in the form of legal advice or representation before a court by a Centre in house lawyer or a lawyer (advokát) without charge to the applicant.

#### 149-1. In addition to the functions of legal representation and legal advice, can a lawyer exercise other activities?

[ ] Notarial activity

[ X ] Arbitration / mediation

[ X ] Proxy / representation

[ X ] Property manager

[ ] Real estate agent

[ X ] Other (please specify):Registration of subjects to Register of Public Sector Partners, Contract on the property transfer authorization.

Comments

#### 149-2. Professional lawyers may have the status of:

[ X ] Self-employed lawyer

[ ] Staff lawyer

In-house lawyer

Comments Licenced lawyers may only act as self-employed lawyers under the Act on the Legal Profession. Other law graduates may opt for working as in-house lawyers or staff lawyers, but this fields are not regulated in any way.

**150. Is the lawyer profession organised through:**

a national bar association

a regional bar association

a local bar association

Comments

**151. Is there a specific initial training and/or exam to enter the profession of lawyer?**

Yes

No

Comments - Please indicate if there are other specific requirements as regards diplomas or university degrees: The Master's degree in law of a law school in the Slovak Republic or a recognised university diploma if the Master's degree was awarded by a law school in a foreign country. If the applicant acquired first Bachelor degree and then Master degree, it is required that both degrees were awarded in the field of study of law.

**152. Is there a mandatory general in-service professional training system for lawyers?**

Yes

No

Comments

**153. Is the specialisation in some legal fields linked to specific training, levels of qualification, specific diploma or specific authorisations?**

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

**F1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part**

Sources: The Slovak Bar Association

**6.1.2 Practicing the profession of lawyer**

**154. Can court users establish easily what the lawyers' fees will be (i.e. a prior information on the foreseeable amount of fees)?**

Yes

No

Comments

**155. Are lawyers' fees freely negotiated?**

Yes

No

Comments

**156. Do laws or bar standards provide any rules on lawyers' fees (including those freely negotiated)?**

Yes, laws provide rules

Yes, standards of the bar association provide rules

No, neither laws nor bar association standards provide rules

Comments

**6.1.3 Quality standards and disciplinary procedures for lawyers**



**157. Have quality standards been determined for lawyers?**

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, what are the quality criteria used? Quality criteria in the form of a code of conduct/rights and duties of a lawyer are formulated partly in the parliamentary Act on the Legal Profession and in more detail in the Rules of Professional Conduct adopted by the General Assembly of Lawyers and by-laws adopted by the Bar Association Presidency.

Quality of knowledge is examined at the Bar exam that covers all the relevant areas. Every trainee is supervised by the lawyer-supervisor who is responsible for preparing the candidate for Bar exam.

**158. If yes, who is responsible for formulating these quality standards:**

the bar association

the Parliament

other (please specify): .....

Comments

**159. Is it possible to file a complaint about:**

the performance of lawyers

the amount of fees

Comments - Please specify:

**160. Which authority is responsible for disciplinary procedures?**

a judge

Ministry of Justice

a professional authority

other (please specify): .....

Comments

**161. Disciplinary proceedings initiated against lawyers. (If a disciplinary proceeding is undertaken**

because of several reasons, please count the proceedings only once and for the main reason.)

	Number of disciplinary proceedings
<b>Total number of disciplinary proceedings initiated (1 + 2 + 3 + 4)</b>	81 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>1. Breach of professional ethics</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2. Professional inadequacy</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>3. Criminal offence</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>4. Other</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments - If "other", please specify: Total number of proceedings initiated in 2022 on the first instance.

## 162. Sanctions pronounced against lawyers.

	Number of sanctions
<b>Total number of sanctions (1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5)</b>	59 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>1. Reprimand</b>	17 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2. Suspension</b>	2 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>3. Withdrawal from cases</b>	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>4. Fine</b>	38 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>5. Other</b>	2 [ ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments - If "other", please specify. If a significant difference between the number of disciplinary proceedings and the number of sanctions exists, please indicate the reasons. Total number of proceedings initiated in 2022 on the first instance was 81. Only 69 of them were terminated in the reference year of 2022. In 10 cases the result was acquittal, number of sanctions pronounced was 59.

All of the sanctions pronounced in 2022 in the first instance proceedings were final. However, there are not kept statistical data on how many first instance decisions were appealed against. There is only available the total number of appellate proceedings in 2022.

## 7. Court related mediation and other alternative Dispute Resolution

### 7.1. Court related mediation

## 7.1.1 Details on court related mediation

### 163. Does the judicial system provide for court-related mediation procedures?

Yes

No

Comments

### 163-1. In some fields, does the judicial system provide for mandatory mediation with a mediator?

Before/instead of going to court

Ordered by the court, the judge, the public prosecutor or a public authority in the course of a judicial proceeding

No mandatory mediation

Comments - If there is mandatory mediation, please specify which fields are concerned:

### 163-2. In some fields, does the legal system provide for mandatory informative sessions with a mediator?

Yes

No

Comments - If there are mandatory informative sessions, please specify which fields are concerned:

### 164. Please specify, by type of cases, who provides court-related mediation services:

	Private mediator	Public authority (other than the court)	Judge	Public prosecutor
<b>Civil and commercial cases</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Family cases</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Administrative cases</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Labour cases including employment dismissals</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Criminal cases</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Consumer cases</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

### 165. Is there a possibility to receive legal aid for court-related mediation or receive these services free of charge?

Yes

No

NAP

Comments - If yes, please specify: The Centre for Legal Aid that was created pursuant to the Act No. 327/2005 Coll. on the Provision on Legal Aid for People in Material Need as a state budgetary organization under the Ministry of Justice of the Slovak Republic.

=

### 166. Number of accredited or registered mediators for court-related mediation:

	Total	Males	Females
Number of mediators	945 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

### 166-1. Could you please describe what are the requirements and what is the procedure to become an accredited or registered mediator in your country (educational requirements, working experiences, accrediting procedure etc.)?

. The registered mediators have to meet the following criteria:

- 1.full legal capacity,
- 2.university degree (second level) earned in the Slovak republic or validated degree from a foreign university, 3.extract from the criminal record with no listed criminal conviction,
- 4.specific course regarding mediation, 5.no deletion from the register (except of deletion based on own request).

### 167. Number of court-related mediations:

	Number of cases for which the parties agreed to start mediation	Number of finished court-related mediations	Number of cases in which there is a settlement agreement
Total (1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5 + 6 + 7)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
1. Civil and commercial cases	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
2. Family cases	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
3. Administrative cases	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
4. Labour cases including employment dismissal cases	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP



<b>5. Criminal cases</b>	1 037 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	1 044 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>6. Consumer cases</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>7. Other cases</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments - Please indicate the source:

=

**168. Do the following alternative dispute resolution (ADR) methods exist in your country?**

- Mediation other than court-related mediation
- Arbitration
- Conciliation (if different from mediation)
- Other ADR (please specify): .....

Comments

**G1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part**

Source: Ministry of Justice

**8.Enforcement of court decisions**

**8.1.Execution of decisions in civil matters**

**8.1.1 Number of enforcement agents, status and mandate**

**169. Number and type of enforcement agents in your country.**

	<b>Total</b>	<b>Male</b>	<b>Female</b>
<b>Total (1+2+3+4)</b>	251 [ ] NA	170 [ ] NA	81 [ ] NA
<b>1. Private professionals under the authority (control) of public authorities</b>	251 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	170 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	81 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2. Enforcement agents working in a public institution (civil servants paid by state)</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
<b>3. Judges</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP

4. Other	<input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> NA
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If other, please specify their status and competences:

**170. What are the requirements to access the profession of enforcement agent (multiple replies possible)?**

- diploma
- professional experience
- specific exam
- appointment procedure by the State
- initial training
- other

Comments - If "other", please specify:

**171. Are enforcement agents appointed to office for an undetermined period (i.e. "for life" = until the official age of retirement)?**

- Yes, please indicate the age of retirement: NAP, it is changing according the sex and other indicators
- No, please specify the duration of the appointment: .....

Comments - If yes, are there exceptions (e.g. dismissal as a disciplinary sanction)? Please specify:

**8.1.2 Activities/scope of competence**

**171-1. Which debtor's information can the enforcement agent access at the beginning of the enforcement procedure?**

	Access to information	Direct electronic access to information
Address	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Date of birth	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Civil status	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Cohabitant	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Employer	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Motor vehicle	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Movable property	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Immovable property	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

<b>Bank account</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No
<b>Other enforcement proceedings underway</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No
<b>Insolvency proceedings (bankruptcy, judicial reorganisation, collective debt settlement etc.)</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No
<b>Other</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No

Comments - If "other", please specify:

**171-2. Can the enforcement agent carry out the following civil enforcement proceedings:**

	<b>Option</b>
<b>Seizure of movable tangible properties</b>	( X ) Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents ( ) Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents ( ) No [ ] NAP
<b>Preventive seizure of movable tangible properties</b>	( ) Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents ( ) Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents ( X ) No [ ] NAP
<b>Seizure of immovable properties</b>	( X ) Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents ( ) Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents ( ) No [ ] NAP
<b>Preventive seizure of immovable properties</b>	( X ) Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents ( ) Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents ( ) No [ ] NAP
<b>Seizure from a third party of the debtor claims regarding a sum of money</b>	( ) Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents ( ) Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents ( X ) No [ ] NAP
<b>Seizure of remunerations</b>	( ) Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents ( X ) Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents ( ) No [ ] NAP

<b>Seizure of motorised vehicles</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Eviction measures</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Seizures of boats and ships</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Seizure of aircrafts</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Seizure of electronic assets (e.g cryptocurrency)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Enforced sale by public tender of seized properties</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Sale of shares</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Other</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

**171-3. Apart from the enforcement of court decisions, what are the other activities that can be**

## carried out by enforcement agents?

- Service of judicial and extrajudicial documents
- Debt recovery
- Voluntary or public auctions of moveable or immoveable property
- Custody of goods
- Recording and reporting of evidence
- Court hearings service
- Provision of legal advice
- Bankruptcy procedures
- Performing tasks assigned by judges
- Representing parties in courts
- Drawing up private deeds and documents
- Building manager
- Other

Comments

### 8.1.3 Training and ICT

#### **172-1. Is there a system of mandatory general continuous training for enforcement agents?**

- Yes
- No

Comments

#### **172-2. Do you have an e-learning training system established for enforcement agents?**

- Yes
- No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

#### **172-3. Does the content of the continuous training system also include ICT (related to enforcement procedures)?**

- Yes
- No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

#### **172-4. Have an electronic service of documents or electronic notifications been introduced in your country?**

- Yes
- No

Comments

**172-5. Does the development of new technologies have an effect on the different stages of the enforcement procedure?**

Yes

No

Comments - Please explain:

### **8.1.4 Fees**

**174. Are enforcement fees easily established and transparent for parties?**

Yes

No

Comments

**175-1. Are the fees charged in case of successful enforcement proceedings freely negotiated?**

Yes

No

Comments

**175-2. Who has to pay these fees if the enforcement proceedings are successful?**

The debtor

The creditor

Other – please specify .....

Comments

**176. Do laws provide any rules on enforcement fees (including those freely negotiated)?**

Yes

No

Comments

**H0. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part**

Source: Fees are determined according to ministerial decree No. 68/2017

### **8.1.5 Organisation of profession and efficiency of enforcement services**

**177. Is there a body entrusted with supervising and monitoring the enforcement agents' activity?**

Yes

No

Comments

**178. Which authority is responsible for supervising and monitoring enforcement agents?**

- professional body
- judge
- Ministry of Justice
- public prosecutor
- other (please specify): .....

Comments

**181. Is there a specific mechanism for executing court decisions rendered against public authorities, including supervising such execution?**

- Yes
- No

Comments - If yes, please specify: Law provides that specific state property cannot be a subject of execution. Also, a property that is necessary for the fulfilment of state tasks or for public benefit purpose can be excluded. The court decides on the nature of the property. There are also peculiarities in the execution against medical facilities.

**182. Is there a system for monitoring how the enforcement procedure is conducted by the enforcement agent?**

- Yes
- No

Comments - If yes, please specify: The enforcement agent reports to the creditors within the statutory deadlines. The court may impose the enforcement agent a binding instruction how to conduct the execution.

**183. What are the main complaints made by users concerning the enforcement procedure? Please indicate a maximum of 3.**

- no execution at all
- non execution of court decisions against public authorities
- lack of information
- excessive length
- unlawful practices
- insufficient supervision
- excessive cost
- unethical behaviour of enforcement agent
- other (please specify): .....

Comments

**185. Is there a system measuring the length of enforcement procedures:**

Existence of the system
-------------------------

for civil cases	( X ) Yes ( ) No
for administrative cases	( X ) Yes ( ) No

Comments

**186. Regarding a decision on debt collection, please estimate the average timeframe to serve and/or notify the decision to the parties who live in the city where the court sits (one option only):**

- ( ) between 1 and 5 days
- ( ) between 6 and 10 days
- ( ) between 11 and 30 days
- ( ) more (please specify): .....
- NA

Comments

**187. Number of disciplinary proceedings initiated against enforcement agents. (If a disciplinary proceeding is undertaken because of several reasons, please count the proceedings only once and for the main reason.)**

	Number of disciplinary proceedings initiated
<b>Total number of initiated disciplinary proceedings (1+2+3+4)</b>	26 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>1. For breach of professional ethics</b>	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2. For professional inadequacy</b>	26 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>3. For criminal offence</b>	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>4. Other</b>	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments - If "other", please specify:

**188. Number of sanctions pronounced against enforcement agents:**

	Number of sanctions pronounced
<b>Total number of sanctions (1+2+3+4+5)</b>	21 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>1. Reprimand</b>	5 [ ] NA [ ] NAP



<b>2. Suspension</b>	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>3. Withdrawal from cases</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>4. Fine</b>	16 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>5. Other</b>	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments - If "other", please specify. If a significant difference between the number of disciplinary proceedings and the number of sanctions exists, please indicate the reasons:

### H1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Source: Slovak Chamber of Executors, The Supreme Administrative Court

Regarding question no. 188, the main reason why there is a difference between the number of disciplinary proceedings and the number of sanctions is the fact that in three cases the accused enforcement agents were found not guilty, in one case the sanction was waived and in one case the appeal has been lodged by the enforcement agent.

## 8.2. Execution of decisions in criminal matters

### 8.2.1 Functioning of execution in criminal matters

**189. Which authority is in charge of the enforcement of judgments in criminal matters? (multiple replies possible)**

- Judge
- Public prosecutor
- Prison and Probation Services
- Enforcement agent
- Other authority (please specify): .....

Comments - Please specify his/her functions and duties (e.g. initiative or monitoring functions).

**190. Are the effective recovery rates of fines decided by a criminal court evaluated by studies?**

- Yes
- No

Comments

**191. If yes, what is the recovery rate?**

- 80-100%
- 50-79%

( ) less than 50%

Comments - Please indicate the source for answering this question:

## 9. Notaries

### 9.1. Profession of notary

#### 9.1.1 Number, status and mandate of notaries

##### 192. Number and status of notaries in your country.

	Total	Males	Females
<b>TOTAL (1+2+3+4)</b>	311 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	97 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	214 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>1. Private professionals (without control from public authorities)</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
<b>2. Holders of public offices appointed by the State</b>	311 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	97 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	214 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>3. Civil servants (paid by the State)</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
<b>4. Other</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP

Comments - If "Other", please specify the status, or if "holder of a public office appointed by the State", please indicate which ministry is mainly engaged in the appointment procedure: Ministry of Justice of the Slovak republic.

##### 192-1. What are the access conditions to the profession of notary (multiple replies possible):

[ X ] diploma

[ X ] professional experience

[ X ] specific exam

[ X ] appointment procedure by the State

[ ] initial training

[ X ] other (please specify): A notary shall be commissioned by the Minister of Justice on the basis of candidate selection results. The candidate selection procedure consists of written and oral part and is initiated and conducted by the Chamber of notaries (in the presence of ministry representatives) and the results are communicated to Minister of Justice

Comments

##### 192-2. Are notaries appointed to office for an undetermined period (i.e. "for life" = until the official age of retirement)?

[ X ] yes, please indicate the age of retirement: 67

[ ] no, please specify the duration of the appointment: .....

Comments - are there exceptions (e.g. dismissal as a disciplinary sanction)? Please specify: The Minister shall remove a notary from the

- office:
- at the notary's request,
  - if the notary has lost the citizenship of a Member State of the European Union or another state party to the Agreement on the European Economic Area,
  - if the notary is subject to the deprivation or restriction of legal capacity by virtue of a final court order,
  - if a judgement has become final convicting the notary of an intentional criminal offence, or a criminal offence concerned with his or her activity as a notary,
  - in result of a disciplinary measure of dismissal,
  - if the notary's professional liability policy expired or was terminated and the notary has failed to renew the policy upon the Chamber's demand within the time limit determined by the Chamber,
  - if the notary has failed to establish an office and commence the activities as a notary in his or her designated seat within three months from the taking of the oath without serious reasons,
  - if, based on a medical statement, the notary's health condition does not allow him or her to continuously pursue the activities as a notary and a court order to that effect has been issued at the Chamber's petition.

### 9.1.2 Activities/scope of competences

#### 194. What kind of activities do notaries perform (multiple options possible)?

	Please select one option
<b>Authentication</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Certification of signatures</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Mediation</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Taking of oaths</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Non-contentious judicial procedures (e.g. acting as court commissioner in a successions file, performing divorce, division of estate, please specify)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

<b>Act as civil servant (for example performing marriage, please specify)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Other judicial functions (for example, payment orders)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Public auctions</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Other (for example collect taxes, run registers etc.)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If "other", please specify. Please indicate any useful clarifications regarding the content of the notaries' exclusive rights or, on the opposite, other bodies that also have competences for the listed activities. The authentication and certification of signatures can also be performed by municipal offices, except for authentication and certification of signatures to be used abroad.

Mediation can be performed by Slovak notaries as other, voluntary activity.

Non-contentious judicial procedures (e.g. acting as court commissioner in a successions file, performing divorce, division of estate, please specify) – according to Slovak Civil Non-Dispute Code, notaries act as court commissioner in a successions file, in the judicial process of cancellation of deeds.

Chamber of Notaries of Slovak Republic runs registers e.g. Notarial Central Register of Pledges, Notarial Central Register of Testaments, Notarial Central Register of Auctions, Notarial Central Register of Instruments or Notarial Central Register of Designated Legal Entities.

## 194-2. In which areas of law do notaries perform their activities (multiple replies possible)?

- Real estate transaction
- Family law
- Succession law
- Company law
- Legality control of gambling activities
- Protection of vulnerable persons
- Other

Comments Other - Civil law (e.g. various registration rights relating to pledge and drafting of relevant documentation, tax law – registration of designated legal entities entitled to tax share)

### 9.1.3 ICT, organisation of the profession and training

#### 194-3. Do notaries use specialised ICT systems in their activity?

In their relations with the State (e.g. courts, registries, chambers of commerce, tax authorities)

In their relations with their clients

In their relations with other notaries (e.g. videoconferencing, system to exchange documents)

Comments

#### 194-4. Which computerised registries can notaries consult?

Land registry

Business registry

Civil status / Population registry

Succession / Family law registry

Any other registry (please specify)e.g. register of vehicles, notarial central register of pledges, registers maintained by public health insurance companies and social security authority.

None

Comments

#### 194-5. Are there registries/ registry infrastructures run by the notaries?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify: Chamber of Notaries of Slovak Republic runs registers e.g. Notarial Central Register of Pledges, Notarial Central Register of Testaments, Notarial Central Register of Auctions, Notarial Central Register of Instruments or Notarial Central Register of Designated Legal Entities.

#### 194-6. In which computerised registries can notaries modify data (either directly or by submitting an online request)?

	Directly modifying	Indirectly modifying by submitting an online request
<b>Land registry</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Business registry</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Civil status/ Population registry</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Succession / Family law registry</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Any other registry (please specify)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>None</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP



=

**194-7. What ICT tools are used by notaries in their relations with clients?**

- Videoconferencing (e.g. digital advice)
- Digital act
- Digital identification
- Digital archiving
- Other, please specify .....
- None

Comments

**194-8. Who is responsible to run the digital archives?**

- Notariat / Professional body
- Other public authority
- Another entity (please specify) .....

Comments

**195. Is there an authority entrusted with supervising and monitoring the notaries' work?**

- Yes
- No

Comments

**196. If yes, which authority is responsible for supervising and monitoring notaries (multiple options possible)?**

- professional body
- court
- Ministry of Justice
- public prosecutor
- other (please specify): .....

Comments

**196-1. Is there a system of general continuous training for all notaries?**

- Yes
- No

Comments

**196-2. Do notaries have training on:**

Yes	No
-----	----

European law	( X )	( )
Law of another Member State (cross-border training programmes)	( )	( X )

Comments - If yes, please indicate the types (e.g. traditional courses, e-learning, webinar) and the major topics of the training activities: Mostly e-learning and webinar. The major topics vary according to latest developments in the European law and new approaches, e.g. cross-border execution of evidence and delivery of documents.

## I1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: The Notary Chamber of the Slovak republic

## 10. Judicial experts

### 10.1. Profession of judicial expert

#### 10.1.1 Status of judicial experts

### 202. In your system, what types of judicial experts can participate in judicial procedures (multiple replies possible):

- Experts designated by the parties in support of their arguments but bound by a duty of independence and impartiality to the court
- Experts appointed by the court or other authority independent of the parties
- Other system of judicial expertise, please specify .....

Comments - Please specify who is proposing and appointing experts in an individual case. The Ministry of Justice of the Slovak Republic is the institution that is responsible for administrating the list of judicial experts. An expert from the list can be appointed by the court or selected by one of the parties. If there is no judicial expert registered in the list or if it is not possible for any registered judicial expert to perform the expertise, then the court can appoint a judicial expert on an ad hoc basis.

### 202-1. Are there lists or any other form of official registration for judicial experts?

- Yes
- No

Comments

#### 202-1-1. If yes, at which level is the list established (multiple replies possible):

- national
- administrative district or federal entity
- judicial district
- other

Comments - Please, indicate any other comment regarding these lists or databases of experts, if they do exist (e.g. does the expert take an oath? How are his/her skills evaluated? By whom?): The judicial experts have to meet the following criteria: full legal capacity,

extract from the criminal record with no listed criminal conviction,  
corresponding education (university degree, if possible),  
specific short-term course aimed at the legal norms regulating the profession of judicial experts, at least seven years of experience in the relevant field of expertise (wholly after earning a degree),  
specific exam organised by the Ministry of Justice of the Slovak Republic or by a delegated subject,  
specific long-term course aimed at the legal norms regulating the profession of judicial experts and at the relevant section or subsection of the list (required only for selected sections and subsections), necessary material equipment, no deletion from the list during last three years due to administrative delict as the judicial expert and no ongoing ban due to administrative delict as the judicial expert,  
oath of the judicial expert.

There are some specific rules regarding the enrolment of experts that are judicial experts abroad (EU member states or EEA member states). These experts are also obliged to take part in specific exam organised by the Ministry of Justice of the Slovak Republic or by a delegated subject, but they are not obliged to fulfil other general requirements. Foreign judicial experts are authorised to conduct the activity without registration as well, but only if it is on the occasional basis. There are also registered expert organisations and expert institutes.

### **202-1-2. Are these lists publicly available?**

- Yes, available on the internet  
 Yes  
 No

Comments

### **202-2. Which authority is competent for the registration of judicial experts?**

- Ministry of justice  
 Courts  
 Administrative body  
 Independent body (association of judicial experts)  
 Other

Comments - Please also specify the registration criteria:

### **202-3. Is the registration of judicial experts limited in time?**

- Yes, for how long .....
- No

Comments

### **202-4. Can an expert who is not on the list or not registered be appointed in a case?**

- Yes  
 No

Comment - If yes, please specify in which cases: If there is no judicial expert registered in the list or if it is not possible for any registered judicial expert to perform the expertise, then the court can appoint a judicial expert on an ad hoc basis.

### **203. Is the title of judicial experts protected?**

- Yes  
 No

Comments - If appropriate, please explain the meaning of this protection: Already answered above (question no. 202-1-1).



**203-1. Does the judicial expert have an obligation of training?**

	Obligation of training
<b>Initial training</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No
<b>Continuous training</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No

Comments

**203-2. If yes, does this training concern:**

- judicial proceedings
- the profession of expert
- other

Comments

=

**204. Is the function of judicial experts regulated by legal norms?**

- Yes
- No

Comments

**204-1. On the occasion of a task entrusted to him/her, does the judicial expert have to report any potential conflicts of interest?**

- Yes
- No

Comments - If yes, please specify: The judicial expert is excluded, when he/she can be perceived as not impartial because of his/her relation to the subject matter of the dispute, the appointing person or any other person somehow related to the case.

**205. Number of accredited or registered judicial experts:**

	Total	Males	Females
<b>Number of experts</b>	3 044 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

**206-1. Number of cases where an expert opinion was ordered by a judge or requested by the parties**

	Number of cases
<b>Total (1+2+3+4)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

<b>1.Civil and commercial litigious cases</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2.Administrative cases</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>3.Criminal cases</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>4.Other cases</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

### 205-1. Who defines the amount of the expert remuneration?

	<b>In civil/administrative cases</b>	<b>In criminal cases</b>
<b>Defined by law/by-law or a special regulation</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Defined by the court/judge</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Defined by the Ministry of Justice or another ministry (setting a tariff for example)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Salary of public official (in case of forensic or another specialist – who is public employee)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Freely agreed between expert and the parties</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Other</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If other, please specify: The amount of the expert remuneration is set as a tariff, when the judicial expert is appointed by the court (or by other public authority). In other cases, the amount of the expert remuneration is usually agreed between the judicial expert and the party.

### 206. Are there binding provisions for judicial experts regarding:

	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Deadlines to provide expertise</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Quality of expertise</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Other</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

NAP

Comments - If yes, please specify, and provide details in case there are possible sanctions: The expertise must be delivered in time, the time limit is specifically designated by the court decision or by the agreement between the judicial expert and the party.

### 207-1. Does the judge or another body control the progress of the expertise?

Yes

No

If yes, please specify:

### 207-2. Are judicial experts' associations involved in:

Selection processes

Initial or continuous training

Disciplinary procedures

NAP

Comments

### K1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: Ministry of Justice

## 11.Reforms in judiciary

### 11.1.Foreseen reforms

#### 11.1.1Reforms

208. Can you provide information on the current debate in your country regarding the functioning of justice? Are there undergoing or foreseen reforms? If possible, please observe the following categories:

#### 208-1. (Comprehensive) reform plans

Yes (planned)

Yes (adopted)

Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)

No

NA

Comments - If yes, please specify: Judicial map reform -  
legal framework in two regulations:

<https://www.slov-lex.sk/pravne-predpisy/SK/ZZ/2022/150/20230601>

<https://www.slov-lex.sk/pravne-predpisy/SK/ZZ/2022/151/20230601>

#### 208-2. Budget

- Yes (planned)  
 Yes (adopted)  
 Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)  
 No  
 NA

Comments - If yes, please specify:

**208-3. Courts and public prosecution services (e.g. powers and organisation, structural changes - e.g. reduction of the number of courts (geographic locations), competences of the courts, management and working methods, information technologies, backlogs and efficiency, court fees, renovations and construction of new buildings)**

- Yes (planned)  
 Yes (adopted)  
 Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)  
 No  
 NA

Comments - If yes, please specify: Judicial map reform - legal framework in two regulations:

<https://www.slov-lex.sk/pravne-predpisy/SK/ZZ/2022/150/20230601>  
<https://www.slov-lex.sk/pravne-predpisy/SK/ZZ/2022/151/20230601>

**208-4. Access to justice and legal aid**

- Yes (planned)  
 Yes (adopted)  
 Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)  
 No  
 NA

Comments - If yes, please specify:

**208-5. High Judicial Council (competent for judges and/or prosecutors)**

- Yes (planned)  
 Yes (adopted)  
 Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)  
 No  
 NA

Comments - If yes, please specify:

**208-6. Legal professionals (judges, public prosecutors, lawyers, notaries, enforcement agents, etc.): organisation, education and training, etc.**

- Yes (planned)  
 Yes (adopted)

Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)

No

NA

Comments - If yes, please specify:

## **208-7. Gender equality**

Yes (planned)

Yes (adopted)

Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)

No

NA

Comments - If yes, please specify:

## **208-8. Reforms regarding civil, criminal and administrative laws, international conventions and cooperation activities**

Yes (planned)

Yes (adopted)

Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)

No

NA

Comments - If yes, please specify: Planned in criminal law

Extract from news; the link for the amendment of the criminal law

<https://www.justice.gov.sk/tlacovespravy/tlacova-sprava-3780/>

<https://www.justice.gov.sk/tlacovespravy/reforma-trestnej-politiky-napreduje-pracami-na-zmenach-v-trestnom-poriadku/>

<https://www.slov-lex.sk/-/novela-trestneho-zakona-v-mpk-do-14-3-2023>

## **208-9. Enforcement of court decisions and in particular regarding decisions against public authorities**

Yes (planned)

Yes (adopted)

Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)

No

NA

Comments - If yes, please specify:

## **208-10. Mediation and other Alternative Dispute Resolution**

Yes (planned)

Yes (adopted)

Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)

No

NA

Comments - If yes, please specify:

## 208-11. Fight against crime

- Yes (planned)  
 Yes (adopted)  
 Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)  
 No  
 NA

Comments - If yes, please specify:

## 208-12. Prison system

- Yes (planned)  
 Yes (adopted)  
 Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)  
 No  
 NA

Comments - If yes, please specify:

## 208-13. Child friendly justice

- Yes (planned)  
 Yes (adopted)  
 Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)  
 No  
 NA

Comments - If yes, please specify:

## 208-14. Domestic violence

- Yes (planned)  
 Yes (adopted)  
 Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)  
 No  
 NA

Comments - If yes, please specify:

## 208-15. New information and communication technologies

- Yes (planned)  
 Yes (adopted)  
 Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)  
 No  
 NA

Comments - If yes, please specify:

## 208-16. Other

Yes (planned)

Yes (adopted)

Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)

No

NA

Comments - If yes, please specify: