



Reference data 2022 (01/01/2022 - 31/12/2022)

Start/end date of the data collection campaign : 15/03/2023 - 01/10/2023

Objective :

The CEPEJ decided, at its 39th plenary meeting, to launch the ninth evaluation cycle 2024, focused on 2022 data. The CEPEJ wishes to use the methodology developed in the previous cycles to get, with the support of its national correspondents' network, a general evaluation of the judicial systems in the 46 member states of the Council of Europe as well as three observer states (Israel, Morocco and Kazakhstan).

The present questionnaire was developed by the Working group on evaluation of judicial systems (CEPEJ-GT-EVAL) in view of the previous evaluation cycles and considering the comments submitted by CEPEJ members, observers, experts and national correspondents. The aim of this exercise is to increase awareness of judicial systems in the participating states, to compare the functioning of judicial systems in their various aspects, as well as to have a better knowledge of the trends of the judicial organisation in order to help improve the efficiency of justice. The evaluation questionnaire and the analysis of the results becomes a genuine tool in favour of public policies on justice, in service of the European citizens.

For better understanding of the questions it is necessary to consult the Explanatory note that gives definitions and explanations on the CEPEJ evaluation questionnaire and the methodology needed for replying, You can download the Explanatory note as a whole document on the CEPEJ website. In addition to the Explanatory note, there is also the User manual that is a technical document to help you navigate through this application for data collection.

In case you have any questions related to these documents or on the use of the application, please do not hesitate to contact the Secretariat.

Instruction :

Explanatory note: <https://rm.coe.int/explanatory-note-2024-cycle-cepej-2023-2-en/1680aae30a>

Word version of the questionnaire - <https://rm.coe.int/evaluation-scheme-2024-cycle-cepej-2022-9rev1-en-30-march-2023/1680aae309>

CEPEJ COLLECT - User manual – you can download under Documentation tab

1. General and financial information

1.1. Demographic and economic data

1.1.1 Inhabitants and economic general information



001. Number of inhabitants (if possible on 1 January of the reference year +1)

[6 797 105]

Comments

=



003. Per capita GDP (in €) in current prices for the reference year

[8 876]

Comments

004. Average gross annual salary (in €) for the reference year

[10 504]

[] NA

Comments

005. Exchange rate of national currency (non-Euro zone) in € on 1 January of the reference year +1:

[118]

Allow decimals : 5

[] NAP

Comments

A1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources:

1.1.2 Budgetary data concerning judicial system



006. Annual (approved and implemented) public budget allocated to the functioning of all courts, in € (without the budget of the public prosecution services and without the budget of legal aid). If you cannot separate the budget allocated to the courts from the budget of public prosecution services and/or the one allocated to legal aid, please go to question 7. If you are able to answer this question, please answer NA to question 7.

	Approved budget (in €)	Implemented budget (in €)
TOTAL - Annual public budget allocated to the functioning of all courts (1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5 + 6 + 7)	287 341 686 [] NA [] NAP	280 386 376 [] NA [] NAP
1. Annual public budget allocated to (gross) salaries	171 954 062 [] NA [] NAP	171 209 853 [] NA [] NAP
2. Annual public budget allocated to computerisation (2.1 + 2.2)	7 741 164 [] NA [] NAP	5 553 769 [] NA [] NAP
2.1 Investments in computerisation	2 257 028 [] NA [] NAP	1 676 448 [] NA [] NAP

2.2 Maintenance of the IT equipment of courts	5 484 136 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	3 877 321 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
3. Annual public budget allocated to justice expenses (expertise, interpretation, etc.)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
4. Annual public budget allocated to court buildings (maintenance, operating costs)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
5. Annual public budget allocated to investments in new (court) buildings	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
6. Annual public budget allocated to training	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
7. Other (please specify)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Please indicate any useful comment to explain the figures provided. If the annual public budget allocated to the functioning of all courts actually implemented is different from the approved annual public budget allocated to the functioning of all courts, please indicate the main reasons for the differences: In 2021, the methodology of collecting budgetary data has been changed with cooperation with CEPEJ. Other are: Seminars of judges, transportation, accommodation, solidarity aid, anniversary award, severance payments, new furniture, etc.

007. If you cannot answer question 6 because you cannot isolate the public budget allocated to courts from the budget allocated to public prosecution services and/or the one allocated to legal aid, please fill in only the appropriate line in the table according to your system:

	Approved budget (in €)	Implemented budget (in €)
Total annual public budget allocated to all courts and the public prosecution services together	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Total annual public budget allocated to all courts and legal aid together	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Total annual public budget allocated to all courts, public prosecution services and legal aid together	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - Please indicate any useful comment to explain the figures provided. If the annual public budget actually implemented is different from the approved annual public budget, please indicate the main reasons for the differences:

=

008. Are litigants in general required to pay a court fee to initiate a proceeding at a court of general jurisdiction:

Litigants required to pay a court fee to initiate a proceeding at a court of general jurisdiction ?

for criminal cases	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, at the beginning of the procedure <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, at a later stage <input type="checkbox"/> No
for other than criminal cases	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, at the beginning of the procedure <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, at a later stage <input type="checkbox"/> No

Comments - If there are exceptions to the obligation to pay these court fees, could you please provide comments on those exceptions?
Litigants must pay a court fee to initiate a proceeding at court of general jurisdiction in civil matters. This is also the case in criminal matters, when the criminal proceeding is conducted on a private lawsuit.

008-1. Please briefly present the methodology of calculation of these court fees:

- Court fees are calculated in accordance with the Law on Court Fees. The employees at the court administrative office determine the amount of court fees by the rules and scales (formulas) established in the Tariff of Court Fees, which is an integral part of the Law on Court Fees, with calculations depending on the type of dispute/procedure, the value of the dispute and court actions, as well as court jurisdiction. Court fees in litigation and enforcement proceedings are determined in the context of the minimum and maximum amounts. For example, before a court of general jurisdiction specified in the minimum amount of 16 € (for value of the dispute up to 85 €), up to a maximum fee of 333 € for the claim and counterclaim, as well as for the trial verdict for the amount more than 85.470 euro. In civil, enforcement, and some non-contentious proceedings, as well as in administrative disputes, taxes are paid according to the value of the dispute at the time of filing law suits, and as the value of the dispute is the main claim, except in clearly specified subjects of dispute, when the law provides for a lump amount (ex. in proceedings for the determination or denial of paternity). If the value of the disputed cannot be determined, or if its value is not determined by the law, as the value in a civil action is taken the amount of 124 €, while the amount for the enforcement procedure for example is 62 €, regardless of which court has jurisdiction to resolve the dispute. On the other hand, tariff no. for privately initiated criminal proceedings provide for a lump sum amounts (ex. 8 € per private criminal lawsuit and counterclaim). The charged fees are an income to the budget of the Republic of Serbia.

008-2. The amount of court fees requested to commence an action for 3000€ debt recovery:

- 145]
- NA
- NAP

Comments

009. Annual income of court fees received by the State (in €):

-]
- NA
- NAP

Comments

012. Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid, in €.

TOTAL	Criminal cases	Other than criminal cases
--------------	-----------------------	----------------------------------

TOTAL - Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid (12.1 + 12.2)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
12.1 for cases brought to court (court fees and/or legal representation)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
12.2 for cases not brought to court (legal advice, ADR and other legal services)	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

012-1. Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid, in €.

	TOTAL	Criminal cases	Other than criminal cases
TOTAL - Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid (12-1.1 + 12-1.2)	92 056 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
12-1.1 for cases brought to court (court fees and/or legal representation)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
12-1.2 for cases not brought to court (legal advice, ADR and other legal services)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

If the public budget actually implemented regarding legal aid is different from the annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid, please indicate the main reasons for the differences:

=

012-3. Do legal aid budgets indicated in Q12 and Q12-1 include:

	Amount calculated/estimated included
Coverage of court fees	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP (Legal aid does not include coverage of court fees)
Exemption from court fees	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP (Legal aid does not include exemption from court fees)

Comments

013. Annual (approved and implemented) public budget allocated to the public prosecution services, in €.

	Approved budget (in €)	Implemented budget (in €)
Total annual public budget allocated to the public prosecution services, in € (including 13.1)	47 147 856 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	46 436 933 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

13.1. Annual public budget allocated to training of public prosecution services

NA
 NAP

NA
 NAP

Please indicate any useful comment to explain the figures provided. Moreover, if the annual public budget allocated to the public prosecution services actually implemented is different from the approved annual public budget, please indicate the main reasons for the differences: legal aid budget does not include mandatory representation in court, which is counted under the court budget

A2. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: .

1.1.3 Budgetary data concerning the whole justice system

015-1. Annual (approved and implemented) public budget allocated to the whole justice system, in € (this global budget includes the judicial system budget - see 15-2 and other elements of the justice system - see 15-3)

	Approved budget (in €)	Implemented budget (in €)
Total annual public budget allocated to the whole justice system in €	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - Please indicate any useful comment to explain the figures provided above and specify if a large portion of the budget allocated to the whole justice system comes from an international organisation. Moreover, if the annual public budget allocated to the whole justice system actually implemented is different from the approved annual public budget, please indicate the main reasons for the differences:

015-2. Elements of the judicial system budget (Q6, Q7, Q12, Q13)

	Included
Courts	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Legal aid	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Public prosecution services	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

015-3. Other budgetary elements

Included

Prison system	() Yes () No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Probation services	() Yes () No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
High Judicial Council	() Yes () No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
High Prosecutorial Council	() Yes () No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Constitutional court	() Yes () No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Judicial management body	() Yes () No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Service for legal representation of the State	() Yes () No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Enforcement services	() Yes () No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Notariat	() Yes () No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Forensic services	() Yes () No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Judicial protection of juveniles	() Yes () No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Functioning of the Ministry of Justice	() Yes () No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Refugees and asylum seekers services	() Yes () No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Immigration Service	() Yes () No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Some police services (e.g. : transfer, investigation, prisoners' security)	() Yes () No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Other	() Yes () No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

If "Other", please specify:

A3. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources:

2. Access to justice and all courts

2.1. Legal Aid

2.1.1 Scope of legal aid

016. Does legal aid apply to:

	Criminal cases	Other than criminal cases
Representation in court	(X) Yes () No [] NA [] NAP	(X) Yes () No [] NA [] NAP
Legal advice, ADR and other legal services	(X) Yes () No [] NA [] NAP	(X) Yes () No [] NA [] NAP

016-1. Please briefly describe the organisation of the legal aid system in your country.

- Article 6. of the Law on Free Legal Aid

Free legal aid consists of providing legal advice, drafting pleadings, representation and defense.

The provision of legal advice is a detailed explanation of the method and possibility of solving a specific legal matter before a court, another state authority, or a public authority or in the process of peaceful settlement of a dispute, which refers to the right, obligation or interest of the user of free legal aid based on the law.

Drafting a submission is the drafting of a written document that initiates a procedure before a court, another state body, or a public authority, or that is submitted during an already initiated procedure (drafting a lawsuit, request, proposal, request, complaint, objection, submission, appeal and other legal means) .

Representation is any legal action that, based on the power of representation, the attorney undertakes in the name and on behalf of the user of free legal aid in proceedings before a court, another state authority, a public authority or in a peaceful settlement of a dispute.

Defense is the representation of the suspect, the accused or the accused in pre-investigation, investigative and criminal proceedings, which are conducted due to the suspicion that a criminal offense has been committed, for which no mandatory defense is provided for, and representation in misdemeanor proceedings, which are conducted due to the suspicion that a misdemeanor has been committed.

Article 14.

Free legal assistance provided by lawyers, with the exception of legal advice, as well as drafting of notarial documents and mediation in resolving disputes, is provided only to applicants who are approved (Article 33).

Article 33.

If the request is founded the administrative body approves free legal aid by decision.

In the decision, the administrative body directs the applicant to the provider who is registered in the register according to this law (Article 16, paragraphs 1 and 3), and according to the rules prescribed by the minister after obtaining the opinion of the providers beforehand.

The decision also contains a description of the problem for which free legal aid was granted.

No appeal is allowed against the decision on the approval of free legal aid.

018. Can legal aid be granted for the fees that are related to the enforcement of judicial decisions (e.g. fees of an enforcement agent)?

Yes

No

NAP

If yes, please specify:

019. Can legal aid be granted for other costs (different from those mentioned in questions 16 to 18, e.g. fees of technical advisors or experts, costs of other legal professionals (notaries), travel costs etc.)?

	Criminal cases	Other than criminal cases
Legal aid granted for other costs	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If yes, please specify: Article 12. of the Law on Free Legal Aid

Providers of free legal support are public notaries, mediators and law faculties.

Notaries draw up notarial documents within the scope of the powers established by the law governing notary publics.

Mediators mediate in the resolution of disputes within the scope of the powers established by law.

Law schools provide general legal information and fill out forms.

Free legal support providers must not provide free legal aid.

2.1.2 Information on legal aid

020. Please indicate the number of cases for which legal aid has been granted:

	Total	Cases brought to court	Cases not brought to court
TOTAL	4 345 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	602 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	3 743 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
In criminal cases	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
In other than criminal cases	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - Please specify when appropriate: Source of information - Annual Report of the MoJ on implementation of the Law on Free Legal Aid:

<https://www.mpravde.gov.rs/files/Godisnji%20izvestaj%20o%20pruzanju%20besplatne%20pravne%20pomoci%202021.pdf>

020-0. Please indicate the number of recipients of legal aid:

	Total	Cases brought to court	Cases not brought to court
TOTAL	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
In criminal cases	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
In other than criminal cases	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - Please specify when appropriate:

020-0-1. Are there statistical data disaggregated by gender in respect of recipients of legal aid?

Yes

No

Comments

020-0-2. If yes, please provide details on distribution by gender of recipients of legal aid:

	Total	Males	Females
Number of recipients of legal aid	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

020-0-3. Is it possible to divide the number of recipients of legal aid per different categories of cases?

Yes

No

Comment: If yes, please specify for which categories of cases:

020-0-4. Are there situations where legal aid is automatically granted depending on categories of cases?

Yes

No

Comment: If yes, please specify: Article 4 of the Law on Free Legal Aid:

A citizen of the Republic of Serbia, a person without nationality, a foreign citizen with a permanent residence in the Republic of Serbia and another person who is entitled to free legal aid according to another law or a confirmed international agreement may be provided with free legal aid if it is about:

1) a child whose right, obligation or interest based on the law is decided in a proceeding before a court, another state body, or a public authority body; 2) to a person subject to a security measure of compulsory psychiatric treatment and custody in a health institution or a protective measure of compulsory psychiatric treatment; 3) the person against whom the procedure of partial or complete deprivation or restoration of business capacity is being conducted; 4) to a person who provides legal protection against domestic violence; 5) to a person who obtains legal protection from torture, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment or human trafficking; 6) to a person seeking asylum in the Republic of Serbia; 7) refugees, persons under subsidiary protection or internally displaced persons; 8) a person with a

disability; 9) a child who is protected by the accommodation service in the social protection system; 10) children and young people whose social housing service has ended until they reach the age of 26; 11) adults and elderly persons who are placed in a social welfare institution without their consent; 12) to a person exercising the right to determine the time and place of birth in accordance with the law regulating non-litigation proceedings; 13) to a person affected by the procedure of forced eviction and resettlement in accordance with the law regulating housing.

020-0-5. How many of the recipients of legal aid are alleged victims of domestic violence?

	Total	Males	Females
Number of recipients of legal aid who are alleged victims of domestic violence	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

020-1. Please indicate the timeframes of the procedure for granting legal aid, in relation to the duration from the initial legal aid request to the final decision on the legal aid request:

	Time in days
Maximum duration prescribed in law/regulation	8 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Actual average duration	8 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - Please specify if the envisaged timeframe is set in a statutory law, or in other regulation. Furthermore, if different timeframes are envisaged for criminal and other than criminal cases, please provide more information: Article 32. of the Law on Free Legal Aid
The procedure on the request for approval of free legal aid is urgent.

The administrative body makes a decision on the request within eight days from the day of receipt of the request, and if it has requested additional documentation from the applicant, within eight days from the day it was delivered to it.

If there is a risk of causing irreparable damage to the applicant or if the period in which he has the right to take action in the procedure would expire, the administrative body is obliged to make a decision on the request within three days after its receipt.

If the administrative body does not make a decision within eight or three days from the day of receipt of the request, i.e. the submission of additional documentation, the request is considered to have been rejected.

The administrative body that requests additional documentation from the applicant is obliged to set a deadline for its submission, which cannot be shorter than eight days, at the end of which the applicant is considered to have given up the request, if he has not submitted the requested documentation.

=

021. In criminal cases, can individuals who do not have sufficient financial means be assisted by a free of charge (or financed by a public budget) lawyer?

	Assisted by a free of charge lawyer
Accused individuals	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Victims	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Comments - If yes, please specify: Article 76. of Criminal Procedure Code

If, in the cases referred to in Article 74 of this Code, the defense counsel is not selected, or during the criminal proceedings, the defendant is left without a defense counsel, or in the case referred to in Article 73, Paragraph 3, Item 4) of this Code, if it is a matter of mandatory defense, no agreement is reached with the co-defendants. about the defense counsel or does not choose another defense counsel, the public prosecutor or the president of the court in front of which the proceedings are being conducted will, by decision, appoint an ex officio defense counsel for him for the further course of the proceedings, in order from the list of lawyers submitted by the competent bar association.

The Bar Association is obliged to indicate in the list referred to in paragraph 1 of this article the date of entry of the lawyer in the directory of lawyers and to take into account when compiling the list that the practical or professional work of the lawyer in the field of criminal law provides a basis for the assumption that the defense will be effective.

An ex officio defense attorney may request to be dismissed only for justified reasons.

The list from paragraph 1 of this article is published on the website and notice board of the competent bar association and court.

Article 77.

A defendant who, due to his financial situation, cannot pay the reward and expenses of a defense attorney, will be appointed a defense attorney at his request, even if there are no reasons for mandatory defense, if the criminal proceedings are conducted for a criminal offense for which a prison sentence of more than three years can be imposed, or if that dictated by reasons of fairness. In that case, defense costs are charged to the budget of the court.

The request referred to in paragraph 1 of this article is decided by the judge for the preliminary proceedings, the president of the panel or the single judge, and the defense attorney is appointed by the decision of the president of the court before which the proceedings are conducted in order from the list of lawyers submitted by the competent bar association.

The appointed defense attorney referred to in paragraph 1 of this article has the capacity of an ex officio defense attorney.

Article 59.

The injured party (victim) as a plaintiff, when criminal proceedings are conducted for a criminal offense for which a prison sentence of more than five years can be imposed by law, may, at his request, be appointed a representative if this is in the interest of the proceedings and if the injured party as a plaintiff, according to his property, cannot bear the costs of representation.

The request from paragraph 1 of this article is decided by the president of the trial panel or a single judge, and the attorney is appointed by the president of the court from among the lawyers in order from the list of lawyers submitted to the court by the competent bar association for appointing ex officio defense attorneys (Article 76).

022. In criminal cases are these individuals free to choose their lawyer within the framework of the legal aid system?

	free selection of lawyer
Accused individuals	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Victims	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments Please see the comment section in question 021

023-0. Does your country have an income and assets evaluation for granting full or partial legal aid?

Yes

No

Comments - Please indicate if any other criteria are taken into account for the granting of legal aid and any comment that could explain the data provided above: When it comes to granting the legal aid on the basis of the Law on Free Legal Aid, the decision is made by the local authority - municipality, and the seeker of the free legal aid can submit the appeal to the Ministry of Justice. In the situation where the free legal aid is granted on the basis of Criminal Procedure Code (mandatory defense etc.) the decision is taken by the judge or a

public prosecutor, depending on the phase of the procedure.

023. If yes, please specify in the table:

	Annual income value (for one person), (in €)	Assets value (for one person), (in €)
Full legal aid to the applicant for criminal cases	3 546 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Full legal aid to the applicant for other than criminal cases	3 546 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Partial legal aid to the applicant for criminal cases	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Partial legal aid to the applicant for other than criminal cases	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

024. Is it possible to refuse legal aid for lack of merit of the case (for example for frivolous action or no chance of success)?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify the exact criteria for denying legal aid:

025. Is the decision to grant or refuse legal aid taken by:

the judge(s) dealing with the main case

another judge or official

an authority external to the court

several authorities (court and external bodies)

Comments

027. Can judicial decisions direct how legal costs, paid by the parties during the procedure, will be shared:

	Judicial decisions direct how legal costs will be shared
in criminal cases	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
in other than criminal cases	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Comments - If no, please specify how legal costs are distributed:

B1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: The Law on Free Legal Aid, Criminal Procedure Code, The Judiciary Sector of the MoJ

2.2. Court users and victims

2.2.1 Rights of the users and victims

028. Are there official internet sites/portals (e.g. Ministry of Justice, Judicial Council etc.) where general public may have free-of-charge access to the following:

	Yes, internet adresse(es)	No
Legal texts (e.g. codes, laws, regulations, etc.)	(X) https://www.pravno-informacioni-sistem.rs/	()
Case-law of the higher court/s	(X) https://sudskapraksa.sud.rs/sudska-praksa	()
Information about the judicial system (organisation of courts, court proceedings, etc)	(X) https://www.vrh.sud.rs/sr/%D0%BD%D0%BE%D1%80%D0%BC%D0%B0%D1%82%D0%B8%D0%B2%D0%BD%D0%B8-%D0%BE%D0%BA%D0%B2%D0%B8%D1%80	()
Other documents (e.g. forms, downloadable forms, online registration forms)	(X) https://www.pravno-informacioni-sistem.rs/mml-model-search	()

Comment - Please specify what documents and information are included in "Other documents" Serbian legal information system portal contains models of the most relevant contracts, forms, typical legal opinions etc.

029. Is there an obligation to provide information to the parties concerning the foreseeable timeframes of their proceedings?

(X) Yes, always

() No

() Yes, only in some specific situations

Comment - If "Yes, only in some specific situations", please specify: This is the general rule applicable to civil proceedings. In accordance with the Article 308 Paragraph 3 of The Civil Procedure Code, at the preliminary hearing, the court will decide which evidence to present at the main hearing and will determine the time frame for conducting the procedure (Article 10, paragraph 2). This rule is not prescribed by The Criminal Procedure Code.

030. Is there a public and free-of-charge information system for providing information and facilitating access to justice:

Information system

General for citizens	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Online information <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephone <input type="checkbox"/> Interactive chat <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> In-person (physical access on site) <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> No
Specific for victims of offences	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Online information <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephone <input type="checkbox"/> Interactive chat <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> In-person (physical access on site) <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> No
Specific for minors (child-friendly systems)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Online information <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephone <input type="checkbox"/> Interactive chat <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> In-person (physical access on site) <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> No

Comments - Please provide more information on these systems and specify how this assistance is provided:

031. Are there special favourable arrangements to be applied, during judicial proceedings, to the following categories of vulnerable persons:

	Information mechanism	Special arrangements in hearings	Other specific arrangements
Victims of sexual violence/rape	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Victims of terrorism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Minors (witnesses or victims)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Victims of domestic violence	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Ethnic minorities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Persons with disabilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Juvenile offenders	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Other (e.g. victims of human trafficking, forced marriage, sexual mutilation)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Comments - If "Other vulnerable person" and/or "Other specific arrangements", please specify:

031-0. If there are special arrangements for minors, what are the settings / tools / facilities / practises employed to protect them when they participate in judicial proceedings?

Special and child-adequate preparation for participation in trials / lawsuits (explaining in a child-friendly manner the proceedings)

- Special room in court designated for child-friendly hearings
- Special person / team of trained professional(s) (such as psychologists) to accompany a minor throughout the proceedings
- Special ways to communicate and explain meaning of court decisions
- Interagency/multidisciplinary structure such as “Children's Houses”
- Other, please specify
- NAP

Comment

031-1. What are the main criteria for a person under 18 years of age to act in court proceedings or to be a witness?

	Civil proceedings	Criminal proceedings
Capacity to initiate a proceeding and take other procedural actions in his/her own name	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Age threshold [Comment]14 <input type="checkbox"/> Capacity for discernment <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Age threshold [Comment]14 <input type="checkbox"/> Capacity for discernment <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
To be a witness	<input type="checkbox"/> Age threshold [Comment] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Capacity for discernment <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Age threshold [Comment] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Capacity for discernment <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - Please specify if you selected “Other”.

031-2. If a person under 18 years of age cannot act in court proceedings in his/her own name, who can represent him/her in judicial proceedings?

	Civil proceedings	Criminal proceedings
Parent/legal guardian	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, always <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, except in some specific situations <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, always <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, except in some specific situations <input type="checkbox"/> No
Another representative (instead of parent/legal guardian)	<input type="checkbox"/> Social care services or other public institution <input type="checkbox"/> Legal professional <input type="checkbox"/> Associations for protection of minors <input type="checkbox"/> Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Social care services or other public institution <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Legal professional <input type="checkbox"/> Associations for protection of minors <input type="checkbox"/> Other

Comment Article 49. of the Law on juvenile offenders of criminal acts and criminal protection of minors:

A minor must have a defense attorney at the first hearing, as well as during the entire procedure.

If the minor, his legal representative or relatives do not hire a defense attorney, he will be appointed ex officio by the juvenile judge.

The defense attorney for minors can only be a lawyer who has acquired special knowledge in the field of children's rights and juvenile delinquency.

031-3. What are the different criteria for the criminal liability of minors? (multiple replies possible)

- Age threshold(s)
- Capacity for discernment
- Other criteria

Comment Article 3. of the Law on juvenile offenders of criminal acts and criminal protection of minors:

A minor is a person who has reached the age of fourteen at the time of the commission of the criminal act, but has not reached the age of eighteen.

A minor is a person who has reached the age of fourteen at the time of the commission of the criminal act, but has not reached the age of sixteen.

An older minor is a person who has reached the age of sixteen at the time of the commission of the criminal act, but has not yet reached the age of eighteen.

A minor is a person who has reached the age of eighteen at the time of the commission of the criminal act, but has not reached the age of twenty-one at the time of the trial, and meets the other requirements from Article 41 of this law.

031-3-1. What is the age threshold for the criminal liability of minors?

Criminal liability resulting in sentence without privation of liberty (for example, educational measures)

- [14]
- NA
- NAP

Criminal liability resulting in sentence of privation of liberty

- [16]
- NA
- NAP

Comment - Please describe, briefly, the specifics of your system. Could you, please specify if the possibility of mitigation applies to the sanctions and how? Article 28 of the Law on juvenile offenders of criminal acts and criminal protection of minors:

An older minor who committed a criminal offense punishable by law with a prison sentence of more than five years may be sentenced to juvenile prison if, due to the high degree of culpability, nature and severity of the criminal offense, it would not be justified to impose an educational measure.

-

032. Does your country allocate compensation for victims of offences?

- Yes, but only if the offender is unknown
- Yes, but only if compensation could not be obtained from the offender
- Yes, in both situations
- No

Comment Article 254 of the Criminal Procedure Code:

The compensation claim is submitted to the competent authority.

A compensation claim can be filed no later than before the end of the main trial before the first instance court.

If the authorized person has not submitted a compensation claim before the accusation is filed, he will be informed that he can submit it until the end of the main trial. If public property has been damaged as a result of a criminal offense or an illegal offense defined in the law as a criminal offense, and the compensation claim has not been filed, the court will notify the authority referred to in Article 253, paragraph 3 of this Code.

Article 258

The court decides on the compensation claim.

When the court declares that it is not competent for criminal proceedings, it will instruct the authorized person that he can file a compensation claim in criminal proceedings that will start or be continued before the competent court.

When the court issues a verdict acquitting the defendant of the charge or dismissing the charge, or when the decision suspends the criminal proceedings, it will instruct the authorized person that the compensation claim can be pursued in civil proceedings.

In the judgment declaring the defendant guilty or in the decision on the imposition of a security measure of mandatory psychiatric treatment, the court will award the authorized person a compensation claim in whole or in part, and refer the excess to civil proceedings. If the data of the criminal proceedings do not provide a reliable basis for either a full or a partial adjudication, the court will instruct the authorized person that the entire compensation claim can be realized in civil proceedings.

If the compensation claim refers to the return of the thing, and the court establishes that the thing belongs to the injured party and that it is with the defendant or with one of the participants in the criminal act or with the person to whom they gave it for safekeeping, it will determine in the judgment or decision from paragraph 4. of this article to hand over the matter to the injured party.

If the compensation claim refers to the cancellation of a certain legal transaction, and the court finds that the request is well-founded, it will pronounce in the judgment or decision from paragraph 4 of this article the complete or partial cancellation of that legal transaction, with the consequences that arise from it, without affecting the rights third parties.

032-0. If yes, for what types of offences the compensation is allocated?

- For all types of offences
- For some types of offences

NAP

Comment - Please specify:

032-1. Is a court decision necessary in the framework of the compensation procedure?

- Yes
- No

Comments

032-0. If yes, for what types of offences the compensation is allocated?

- For all types of offences
- For some types of offences

NAP

Comment - Please specify:

032-1. Is a court decision necessary in the framework of the compensation procedure?

- Yes
- No

Comments

032-0. If yes, for what types of offences the compensation is allocated?

- For all types of offences
- For some types of offences

NAP

Comment - Please specify:

032-1. Is a court decision necessary in the framework of the compensation procedure?

Yes

No

Comments

034. Is there a regular monitoring (official studies, reports etc.) allowing the evaluation of the recovery rate of the damages awarded by courts to victims?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please illustrate with available data concerning the recovery rate, the title of the studies, the frequency of the studies and the coordinating body:

035. Do public prosecutors have a specific role with respect to victims (protection and assistance)?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify: During the criminal procedure, the victim has a status of the witness-damaged party.

A particularly sensitive witness

Article 103 of the CPP:

To a witness who, considering his age, life experience, way of life, gender, state of health, nature, method or consequences of the committed criminal act, or other circumstances of the case, is particularly sensitive, the authority of the procedure can ex officio, at the request of the parties or the witness himself, determine the status a particularly sensitive witness.

The decision on determining the status of a particularly sensitive witness is made by the public prosecutor, the president of the panel or a single judge.

If he deems it necessary to protect the interests of a particularly sensitive witness, the procedural body from paragraph 2 of this article will issue a decision on the appointment of a witness's attorney, and the public prosecutor or the president of the court will appoint an attorney in order from the list of lawyers submitted to the court by the competent bar association for appointment of ex officio defenders (Article 76).

A separate appeal is not allowed against the decision by which the request was accepted or rejected.

Article 104

A particularly sensitive witness can be asked questions only through the procedure authorities, who will treat him with special care, trying to avoid possible harmful consequences of the criminal procedure for the personality, physical and mental state of the witness. The examination can be carried out with the help of a psychologist, social worker or other expert, which is decided by the authority of the procedure.

If the authority of the procedure decides to examine a particularly sensitive witness using technical means for image and sound transmission, the examination is conducted without the presence of the parties and other participants in the procedure in the room where the witness is.

A particularly sensitive witness can be questioned in his apartment or another room, that is, in an authorized institution that is professionally trained for the examination of particularly sensitive persons. In that case, the procedure body can order that the measures from paragraph 2 of this article be applied.

A particularly sensitive witness cannot be confronted with the defendant, unless the defendant himself requests it, and the procedural authority allows it, taking into account the witness's degree of sensitivity and the rights of the defense.

Against the decision from para. 1. to 3. of this article, no separate appeal is allowed.

035-1. Do public prosecutors have a specific role with respect to minor victims (protection and assistance)?

Yes

No

Comment - If yes, please specify: Article 44 of the Law on Juvenile Offenders of Criminal Acts and Criminal Protection of Juveniles

Juvenile judges and juvenile panel judges must be persons who have acquired special knowledge in the field of children's rights and juvenile delinquency.

Judges-jurors are chosen from the ranks of teachers, educators, as well as other professionals who have experience in working with children and young people.

Article 57, paragraph 1

Criminal proceedings against minors are initiated for all criminal offenses only at the request of the public prosecutor for minors who has acquired special knowledge in the field of children's rights and juvenile delinquency.

-
-

036. Do victims of offences have the right to dispute a public prosecutor's decision to discontinue a case? Please verify the consistency of your answers in this question and question 105 regarding the possibility for a public prosecutor "to discontinue a case without needing a decision by a judge".

Yes

No

NAP

Comment - If necessary, please specify: Article 51 of the Criminal Procedure Code

If the public prosecutor, for a criminal offense for which he is prosecuted ex officio, rejects the criminal complaint, suspends the investigation or abandons the criminal prosecution until the indictment is confirmed, he is obliged to inform the injured party about this within eight days and to teach him that he can file complaint directly to the senior public prosecutor.

The injured party has the right to file a complaint within eight days from the day he received the notification and instructions from paragraph 1 of this article. If the injured party is not informed, he can submit a complaint within three months from the day when the public prosecutor rejected the report, suspended the investigation or abandoned the criminal prosecution.

The immediately senior public prosecutor shall, within 15 days from the day of receipt of the objection referred to in paragraph 2 of this article, reject or accept the objection by means of a decision against which no appeal or objection is allowed. With the decision approving the complaint, the public prosecutor will issue a mandatory instruction to the competent public prosecutor to initiate or continue the criminal prosecution.

Taking over criminal prosecution by the injured party

Article 52

If, after confirming the indictment, the public prosecutor declares that he is withdrawing the accusation, the court will ask the injured party if he wants to take over the criminal prosecution and represent the accusation. If the injured party is not present, the court will inform him within eight days about the public prosecutor's withdrawal from the accusation and tell him that he can declare whether he wants to take over the prosecution and represent the accusation.

The injured party is obliged to declare immediately or within eight days from the day when he received the notification and instructions from paragraph 1 of this article whether he wants to take over the criminal prosecution and represent the prosecution, and if he was not notified - within three months from the date when the public prosecutor announced that he was withdrawing the accusation.

If the injured party declares that he will take over the criminal prosecution, the court will continue, that is, determine the main hearing. In the event that the injured party does not make a statement within the time limit referred to in paragraph 2 of this article or declares that he does not want to take over the criminal prosecution, the court issues a decision on the suspension of the proceedings, i.e. a judgment rejecting the accusation.

If the aggrieved party is not present at the preliminary hearing or the main trial, and was duly summoned or could not be served with the summons due to failure to notify the court of a change of residence address or place of residence, it will be considered that he will not continue the prosecution and the court will pass a decision on the suspension of the proceedings, i.e. a judgment which the charge is

dismissed.

037. Is there a system of compensation in the following circumstances:

	Number of requests for compensation	Number of compensations granted	Total amount of compensations granted (in €)
Total	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Excessive length of proceedings	13 060 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	37 247 234 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Non-execution of court decisions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Wrongful arrest/detention	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Wrongful conviction	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Other	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - Where appropriate, please give details on the compensation procedure and the calculation method for the amount of the compensation (e.g., the amount per day for unjustified detentions or convictions): Based on the decisions of the presidents of the courts, which accepted objections for speeding up the proceedings and found a violation of the right to a trial within reasonable time before the basic and higher courts in the Republic of Serbia, out of the total number of cases received (13,060). The largest number of these cases refer to the enforcement of legally binding court decisions. However, the exact number of Non-execution of court decisions cases is N/A.

037-1. Please specify which authorities are responsible for dealing with the requests and whether a legal time limit exists to deal with these requests:

	Responsible authorities	Legal time limit
Court concerned	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other court	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Ministry of Justice	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
High Judicial Council	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other external bodies (e.g. Ombudsman)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments

037-2. Are there statistical data disaggregated by gender concerning the number of:

Existence of statistical data disaggregated by gender

Persons who initiate a case in other than criminal matters	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes - If yes, please specify for which categories of cases: [Comment] <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA
Victims recognised as such by the court	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes - If yes, please specify for which types of offences: [Comment] <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA
Perpetrators of criminal offences	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes - If yes, please specify for which types of offences: [Comment] <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments

037-3. Are there statistical data on the relation between the perpetrator of the criminal offence and the victim recognised by the court?

Yes

No

If yes, please specify:

2.2.2 Confidence and satisfaction of citizens with their justice system

038. Does your country implement surveys to measure trust in justice and satisfaction with the services delivered by the judicial system?

	National level	Court level
Surveys for judges	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
Surveys for court staff	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
Surveys for public prosecutors	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
Surveys for lawyers	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
Surveys for other professionals	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
Surveys for the parties	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc

Surveys for other court users (e.g. jurors, witnesses, experts, interpreters, representatives of governmental agencies, NGOs)	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
Surveys for victims	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
Surveys for minors	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
Surveys for the general public	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
Other not mentioned	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc

NA

Comment - Please, indicate the references and links to the satisfaction surveys you mentioned above: Supervision of judicial bodies and judicial professions is determined according to the number of complaints received or ex offa.

When the new ICT systems and e-services are introduced, user feedback is monitored through special lines of communication such as the contact center of the Ministry of Justice, special e-mail addresses, through electronic ticketing systems and follow-up activities.

3. Organisation of the court system

3.1. Courts

3.1.1 Number of courts

042. Number of courts - legal entities.

	Number of courts
Total number of all courts - legal entities (1 + 2)	159 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
1 Total number of courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities (1.1 + 1.2 + 1.3)	96 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
1.1 First instance courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities	91 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
1.2 Second instance courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities	4 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
1.3 Highest instance courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities	1 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
2 Total number of specialised courts - legal entities	63 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

043. Number of specialised courts – legal entities.

	First instance	Higher instances
Total number of specialised courts - legal entities	61 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	2 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Commercial courts (excluded insolvency courts)	16 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	1 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Insolvency courts	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Labour courts	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Family courts	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Rent and tenancies courts	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Enforcement of criminal sanctions courts	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Fight against terrorism, organised crime and corruption	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Internet related disputes	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Administrative courts	1 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Insurance and / or social welfare courts	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Military courts	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Juvenile courts	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Other specialised courts	44 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	1 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If “Other specialised courts”, please specify: Other specialised court - Misdemeanor Courts and Misdemeanor Court of Appeal

Insolvency cases are under the jurisdiction on Commercial Courts.

Juvenile offenders cases, fight against terrorism, organized crime and corruption (4 Special Departments of Higher Courts in Belgrade, Novi Sad, Niš and Kraljevo), acting as a first instance courts, and are not considered as a specialized courts, only as departments of Higher Courts. Enforcement of criminal sanctions, Family and Labour disputes are under the jurisdiction of Basic and Higher Courts, acting as first instance Courts.

044. Number of courts - geographic locations.

	Number of courts (geographic locations)
First instance courts geographic locations (this includes 1st instance courts of general jurisdiction and first instance specialised courts)	152 [] NA [] NAP
All the courts (geographic locations) (this includes 1st instance courts of general jurisdiction, first instance specialised courts, all second instance courts and courts of appeal and all Supreme Courts)	159 [] NA [] NAP

Comments

C. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: The Law in Organization of Courts
The Law on the Seats and Territorial Jurisdictions of Courts and Public Prosecutor's Offices

3.2. Court staff

3.2.1 Judges and non-judge staff

046. Number of professional judges sitting in courts (if possible on 31 December of the reference year). (Please give the information in full-time equivalent and for posts actually filled for all types of courts - general jurisdiction and specialised courts)

	Total	Males	Females
Total number of professional judges (1 + 2 + 3)	2 657 [] NA [] NAP	737 [] NA [] NAP	1 920 [] NA [] NAP
1. Number of first instance professional judges	2 320 [] NA [] NAP	664 [] NA [] NAP	1 656 [] NA [] NAP
2. Number of second instance (court of appeal) professional judges	297 [] NA [] NAP	64 [] NA [] NAP	233 [] NA [] NAP
3. Number of Supreme Court professional judges	40 [] NA [] NAP	9 [] NA [] NAP	31 [] NA [] NAP

Comment - Please provide any useful comment for interpreting the data above:

=

046-1-1. Does your system allow part-time work for professional judges with proportionally reduced remuneration?

() Yes

(X) No

Comments

046-1-2. If yes, please specify in which situation(s) part-time work can be granted (multiple replies possible).

- Child-care
- Elderly care or other dependant persons' care
- Training
- For the purposes of early retirement
- No specific reason required
- Other reason, please specify:

Comments

046-1-3. If yes, what is the number of professional judges working part-time with reduced remuneration?

	Total	Males	Females
Total (1 + 2 + 3)	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
1. At first instance level	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
2. At second instance (court of appeal) level	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
3. At Supreme Court level	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

046-1-4. Are there other possibilities (apart from part-time) for regular adjustment of working time or conditions with or without reduced remuneration?

	Adjustment of working time or conditions with or without reduced remuneration
Temporary reduction of the workload	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Temporary reduction of the working time / special leave	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Other measures	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Comment: If such possibilities for regular adjustment exist, please specify if they imply or not a reduction of the remuneration? All rights from the employment relationship are prescribed by the special law that regulates employment relationship and it does not apply exclusively on judges. Article 11.of the Law on Judges

The judge has rights from the employment relationship in accordance with the regulations governing the rights from the employment relationship of elected persons, unless otherwise stipulated by this law.

046-1-5. If yes, please specify in which situation(s) these possibilities can be used?

- Child-care
- Elderly care or other dependant persons' care
- Training
- For the purposes of early retirement
- As part of induction process for new judges
- No specific reason required
- Other reason, please specify:
- NAP

Comments

=

046-2. Number of judges (FTE) by case type:

	Total	Civil and/or commercial	Criminal	Administrative	Other
Total number of judges	2 657 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	53 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
First instance	2 320 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP			
Second instance	297 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Supreme Court	40 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

If “Other”, please explain which types of cases: In terms of administrative courts, there is only one court and there are no instances, but legal remedy regarding administrative dispute could be submitted to the Supreme court.

Courts of general jurisdiction act in both criminal and civil matter, therefore they cannot be divided by civil and criminal matter.

In the first instance, there are 1653 judges in courts of general jurisdiction (primary and higher courts).

There are 220 judges in the Second Instance Courts of General Jurisdiction.

There are 171 judges in the Commercial courts in the first instance, while there are 38 judges in the second instance. The source of the data is the Annual Report on the Work of the Courts from February 2022.

link: https://www.vrh.sud.rs/sites/default/files/attachments/Publikacija%20srb_0.pdf

=

047. Number of court presidents .

	Total	Males	Females
Total number of court presidents (1 + 2 + 3)	159 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	69 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	90 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

1. Number of first instance court presidents	152 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	66 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	86 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
2. Number of second instance (court of appeal) court presidents	6 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	3 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	3 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
3. Number of Supreme Court presidents	1 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	1 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

048. Number of professional judges sitting in courts on an occasional basis and who are paid as such (if possible, on 31 December of the reference year):

	Figure
Gross figure	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
In full-time equivalent	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If necessary, please provide comments to explain the answer provided:

048-1. Do these professional judges sitting in courts on an occasional basis deal with a significant part of cases?

- Yes If yes, please give specifications on the types of cases and an estimate in percentage.
- No
- NAP

Comments

049. Number of non-professional judges who are not remunerated but who may receive a simple defrayal of costs (if possible, on 31 December of the reference year) (e.g. lay judges or “juges consulaires”, but not arbitrators or persons sitting on a jury):

	Figure
Gross figure	1 925 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
In full time equivalent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments Lay judges in Serbia are legal laymen, who participate in some civil and some criminal trials in the first instance (with one exception in the 2nd instance) and have the right to ask questions, give their opinion and participate in the rendering of the verdict, although the final word rests with the professional judge who signs the verdict.

A lay judge cannot be a member of a political party, nor act politically in any other way. Also, a lay judge cannot provide legal services and professional advice for a fee, nor can he be a lawyer.

Other positions, jobs and procedures that are contrary to the dignity and independence of the judge or harmful to the reputation of the

court are incompatible with the function of a lay judge.

When appointing lay judges, the gender, age, occupation and social position of the candidate, knowledge, expertise and inclination towards certain types of court cases are taken into account.

A lay judge must be of legal age and under 70 years of age.

He is appointed for five years by the HJSC on the proposal of the competent authority for the judiciary and he can be reappointed

049-1. If such non-professional judges exist at first instance in your country, please specify for which types of cases:

	Yes	No	Echevinage / mixed bench
Criminal cases (severe)	()	()	(X)
Criminal cases (misdemeanour and/or minor)	()	()	(X)
Family law cases	()	()	(X)
Labour law cases	()	()	(X)
Social law cases	()	(X)	()
Commercial law cases	()	()	(X)
Insolvency cases	()	(X)	()
Other civil cases	()	(X)	()

[] NAP

Comments - If "Other civil cases", please specify:

050. Does your judicial system include trial by jury with the participation of citizens?

() Yes

(X) No

Comments

050-1. If yes, for which type(s) of case(s)?

[] Criminal cases

[] Other than criminal cases

Comments

051. Number of citizens who were involved in such juries for the year of reference:

[]

[] NA

[X] NAP

Comments

=

052. Number of non-judge staff who are working in courts (if possible on 31 December of the reference year) (this data should not include the staff working for public prosecutors; see question 60) (please give the information in full-time equivalent and for posts actually filled)

	Total	Males	Females
Total non-judge staff working in courts (1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5)	9 076 [] NA [] NAP	2 456 [] NA [] NAP	6 620 [] NA [] NAP
1. Rechtspfleger (or similar bodies) (see Explanatory Note)	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP
2. Non-judge (judicial) staff whose task is to assist the judges such as registrars (case preparation, assistance during the hearing, helping to draft the decisions)	3 899 [] NA [] NAP	346 [] NA [] NAP	3 553 [] NA [] NAP
3. Staff in charge of different administrative tasks and of the management of the courts (human resources management, material and equipment management, including computer systems, financial and budgetary management, training management)	3 448 [] NA [] NAP	996 [] NA [] NAP	2 452 [] NA [] NAP
4. Technical staff	1 709 [] NA [] NAP	1 109 [] NA [] NAP	600 [] NA [] NAP
5. Other non-judge staff	20 [] NA [] NAP	5 [] NA [] NAP	15 [] NA [] NAP

Comments - If "Other non-judge staff", please specify: Others: translator, interpreter, librarian, press coordinator, PR, counselor for European integration and international projects.

052-1. Number of non-judge staff by instance (if possible, on 31 December of the reference year) (this data should not include the staff working for public prosecutors; see question 60) (please give the information in full-time equivalent and for posts actually filled).

	Total	Males	Females
Total non-judge staff working in courts (1+2+3)	9 076 [] NA [] NAP	2 456 [] NA [] NAP	6 620 [] NA [] NAP
1. Total non-judge staff working in courts at first instance level	8 155 [] NA [] NAP	2 253 [] NA [] NAP	5 902 [] NA [] NAP
2. Total non-judge staff working in courts at second instance (court of appeal) level	707 [] NA [] NAP	136 [] NA [] NAP	571 [] NA [] NAP
3. Total non-judge staff working in courts at Supreme Court level	214 [] NA [] NAP	67 [] NA [] NAP	147 [] NA [] NAP



=

053. If there are Rechtspfleger (or similar bodies), please specify in which fields they have a role:

- Legal aid
- Family cases
- Payment orders
- Registry cases (land and/or business registry cases)
- Enforcement of civil cases
- Enforcement of criminal cases
- Non-litigious cases
- Other cases not mentioned (please describe in comment)
- NAP

Comments - Please briefly describe their status and exact duties:

054. Have the courts outsourced certain services under their responsibilities to external providers?

- Yes
- No

Comments

054-1. If yes, please specify which services have been outsourced:

- IT services
- Training of staff
- Security
- Archives
- Cleaning
- Other types of services (please specify):

Comments - If "Other types of services", please specify: Security of Courts (Court Guard) is part of the Directorate for the Execution of Criminal Sanctions, which is under the jurisdiction of the Ministry of Justice.

NA

C1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: Ministry of Justice

3.3. Public prosecution

3.3.1 Public prosecutors and staff



055. Number of public prosecutors (on 31 December of the reference year). (Please give the

information in full-time equivalent and for posts actually filled.)

	Total	Males	Females
Total number of prosecutors (1 + 2 + 3)	708 [] NA [] NAP	281 [] NA [] NAP	427 [] NA [] NAP
1. Number of prosecutors at first instance level	654 [] NA [] NAP	257 [] NA [] NAP	397 [] NA [] NAP
2. Number of prosecutors at second instance (court of appeal) level	43 [] NA [] NAP	20 [] NA [] NAP	23 [] NA [] NAP
3. Number of prosecutors at Supreme Court level	11 [] NA [] NAP	4 [] NA [] NAP	7 [] NA [] NAP

Comments - Please indicate any useful comment for interpreting the data above:

=

055-1-1. Does your system allow part-time work for prosecutors with proportionally reduced remuneration?

- Yes
- No

Comments

055-1-2. If yes, please specify in which situation(s) part-time work can be granted? (multiple replies possible)

- Child-care
- Elderly care or other dependant persons' care
- Training
- For the purposes of early retirement
- No specific reason required
- Other reason, please specify:

Comments

055-1-3. If yes, what is the number of prosecutors working part-time with reduced remuneration?

	Total	Males	Females
Total (1 + 2 + 3)	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP
1. At first instance level	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP

2. At second instance (court of appeal) level	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
3. At Supreme Court level	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

055-1-4. Are there other possibilities (apart of part-time work) for regular adjustment of working time or conditions with or without reduced remuneration?

	Adjustment of working time or conditions with or without reduced remuneration
Temporary reduction of the workload	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Temporary reduction of the working time / special leave	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Other measures	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Comment: If such possibilities for regular adjustment exist, please specify if they imply or not a reduction of the remuneration?

055-1-5 . If yes, please specify in which situation(s) these possibilities can be used?

- Child-care
- Elderly care or other dependant persons' care
- Training
- For the purposes of early retirement
- As part of induction process for new prosecutors
- No specific reason required
- Other reason, please specify:
- NAP

Comments All rights from the employment relationship are prescribed by the special law that regulates employment relationship and it does not apply exclusively on the holders of the public prosecution function. Article 56 of The law on Public Prosecution The holder of the public prosecutor's office exercises rights from the employment relationship in accordance with the regulations governing the rights from the employment relationship of the elected person, unless otherwise stipulated by this law.

Article 79

The holder of the public prosecutor's office has the right to salary compensation during absence from work and to compensation for expenses in cases prescribed by law, as well as the right to compensation for unused vacation, at least under the same conditions and in the same scope of rights as other employees of the public prosecutor's office.

056. Number of heads of prosecution offices.

	Total	Males	Females
Total number of heads of prosecution offices (1 + 2 + 3)	90 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	46 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	44 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

1. Number of heads of prosecution offices at first instance level	85 [] NA [] NAP	44 [] NA [] NAP	41 [] NA [] NAP
2. Number of heads of prosecution offices at second instance (court of appeal) level	4 [] NA [] NAP	2 [] NA [] NAP	2 [] NA [] NAP
3. Number of heads of prosecution offices at Supreme Court level	1 [] NA [] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP	1 [] NA [] NAP

Please provide any useful comment for interpreting the data above:

057. In your judicial system, do other persons have similar duties to those of public prosecutors?

() Yes

(X) No

Comments - If yes, please specify their titles and functions:

057-1. If yes, please provide the number (in full-time equivalent):

[]

[] NA

059. If yes, is their number included in the number of public prosecutors that you have indicated under question 55?

() Yes

() No

[X] NAP

Comments

059-1. Do prosecution offices have prosecutors who are specially trained in areas of domestic violence and sexual violence?

	-
Domestic violence	[] Yes [X] Yes, specifically for minor victims [] No [] NA [] NAP
Sexual violence	[] Yes [X] Yes, specifically for minor victims [] No [] NA [] NAP

Comments - If yes, please specify Article 57 of the Law on Juvenile Offenders and the Criminal Protection of the Juveniles:

Criminal proceedings against minors are initiated for all criminal offenses only at the request of the public prosecutor for minors who has acquired special knowledge in the field of children's rights and juvenile delinquency.

Article 44

Juvenile judges and juvenile panel judges must be persons who have acquired special knowledge in the field of children's rights and juvenile delinquency.

The trainings on the topic of children's rights and juvenile delinquency are regularly organised by the Judicial Academy.

=

060. Number of staff (non-public prosecutors) attached to the public prosecution services, if possible, on 31 December of the reference year and without the number of non-judge staff, see question 52 (in full-time equivalent and for posts actually filled).

	Total	Males	Females
Number of staff (non-public prosecutors) attached to the public prosecution service	1 421 [] NA	313 [] NA	1 108 [] NA

Comment – please describe which categories of staff you have included in your reply:

C2. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources:

3.4. Gender equality

3.4.1 Specific provisions for facilitating gender equality

061-2. Are there specific provisions for facilitating gender equality within the framework of the procedures for recruiting :

	Yes, please specify	No
judges	()	(X)
prosecutors	()	(X)
non-judge staff	()	(X)
lawyers	()	(X)
notaries	()	(X)
enforcement agents	()	(X)

[] NA

Comments - if the situation changed since the reference year, please specify in the comments. If you have additional comments please specify:

061-3. Are there specific provisions for facilitating gender equality within the framework of the procedures for promoting :

	Yes, please specify	No
judges	()	(X)
prosecutors	()	(X)
non-judge staff	()	(X)
lawyers	()	(X)
notaries	()	(X)
enforcement agents	()	(X)

Comments - If the situation changed since the reference year or you have additional comments, please specify:

061-3-1. Are there specific provisions for facilitating gender equality within the framework of the procedures for the appointment of:

	Yes / No
Court president	() Yes If “yes”, please specify:[Comment] (X) No
Head of prosecution services	() Yes If “yes”, please specify:[Comment] (X) No

Comments

3.4.2 At national level

061-5. Does your country have an overarching document (e.g. policy/strategy/action plan/program) on gender equality that applies specifically to the judiciary?

() Yes

(X) No

Comments - If the situation changed since the reference year, please indicate in the comments. Could you specify the reference or internet link of this/these document(s) or send/upload it/them to us?

061-6. At national level, is there any specific person (e.g. an equal opportunities commissioner) / institution dealing with gender issues in the justice system concerning:

	Yes, please specify	No
The recruitment of judges	()	(X)
The promotion of judges	()	(X)

The recruitment of prosecutors	()	(X)
The promotion of prosecutors	()	(X)
The recruitment of non-judge staff	()	(X)
The promotion of non-judge staff	()	(X)

Comments - Please specify the status of this person/institution and if it has a consultative function or if its opinions/decisions have legal consequences:

3.4.3 At court/public prosecution services level

061-7. At the court or public prosecution services level, is there a person (e.g. an equal opportunities commissioner)/institution specifically dedicated to ensure the respect of gender equality in the organisation of judicial work?

	Yes	No
in courts (judges)	()	(X)
in public prosecution services (prosecutors)	()	(X)
for courts' non-judge staff	()	(X)

Comments - Please specify the details of this person/institution, in particular its titles and function:

061-9. In order to improve gender balance in access to different judicial professions and gender equality in promotion and in access to functions of responsibility, what are the measures, in your country, which:

have been already implemented (please specify) :

are planned (please specify) :

Comments - If the situation changed since reference year, please specify in the comments.

[X] NAP

061-10. Are there evaluation studies or official reports regarding the main causes of possible

gender inequalities with regard to:

- Recruitment procedures, please specify:
- Appointment to the position of court president, please specify:
- Appointment to the position of head of prosecution services, please specify:
- Promotion procedures and access to the functions of responsibility, please specify:
- Other studies, please specify:
- NAP

Comments - Please specify also the reference documents.

3.5. Use of information technologies in courts

3.5.1 Governance

ICT STRATEGY

062-01. Do you have an overall Information and Communication Technology (ICT) strategy in the judicial system?

- Yes
- No

Comments

062-02. If there is an overall ICT strategy in the judicial system, who was involved in the process of its definition?

- Judges (Judicial council)
- Prosecutors (Prosecutorial or judicial council)
- Ministry of justice
- Lawyers (bar association)
- Notaries (association of notaries)
- Enforcement agents (association of enforcement agents)
- Other (please specify)
- NA
- NAP

Comments

LEGISLATION

062-03. Does a national legislation/regulation of ICT in the judicial system exist?

- Yes
- No

Comments

062-04. If yes, how is this legislation/regulation of ICT in the judicial system structured?

- Relevant norms are included in the general e-government legislation/regulation

Relevant norms are included in specific legislation/regulation only for the judicial system

Relevant texts are included in dedicated technical documents/specifications

Other, please specify explained in comment

NA

Comment - If more than one of the proposed models exist in your country, please select them all and explain the details The general rules on jurisdiction are in the system laws governing the judiciary, the individual legal bases for the use of ICT are in the Court Rules of Procedure, and the Draft Law on the Judicial Information System has been prepared. The subject of this law is the means, purpose, subjects and data that are part of the ICT infrastructure of the judiciary.

NA

IMPACT OF IMPLEMENTATION OF ICT SYSTEMS

062-05. Have you already organised audits/evaluations/assessments of the impact of the implementation of the ICT system?

Yes

No

Comments

062-06. If these audits/evaluations/assessments were already organised, please specify their modalities:

	Format	Last conducted audit
ICT Governance	<input type="checkbox"/> Internal <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> External <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - no audit has been organised <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> In the last 2 years <input type="checkbox"/> Between 2 and 5 years ago <input type="checkbox"/> More than 5 years ago <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - no audit has been organised <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Security and risk management	<input type="checkbox"/> Internal <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> External <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - no audit has been organised <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> In the last 2 years <input type="checkbox"/> Between 2 and 5 years ago <input type="checkbox"/> More than 5 years ago <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - no audit has been organised <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Impact on efficiency and quality of the business processes and workflow	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Internal <input type="checkbox"/> External <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - no audit has been organised <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> In the last 2 years <input type="checkbox"/> Between 2 and 5 years ago <input type="checkbox"/> More than 5 years ago <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - no audit has been organised <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Impact on human resources (number, workload, wellbeing)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Internal <input type="checkbox"/> External <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - no audit has been organised <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> In the last 2 years <input type="checkbox"/> Between 2 and 5 years ago <input type="checkbox"/> More than 5 years ago <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - no audit has been organised <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Other, please specify in comments	<input type="checkbox"/> Internal <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> External <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - no audit has been organised <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> In the last 2 years <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Between 2 and 5 years ago <input type="checkbox"/> More than 5 years ago <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - no audit has been organised <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comment - If you have selected other area, please provide details. Please also add details on the content of the last organised evaluation. ICT Governance and Security and risk management were the subject of an ICT audit conducted by the State Audit Institution of the Republic of Serbia during 2022, and related to the situation from the beginning of 2019 to the end of 2021. The results are published on the website of this institution.

Impact on efficiency and quality of the business processes and workflow and Impact on human resources (number, workload, wellbeing) is regularly carried out internally by checking the impact of measures such as modified formulas for assigning cases to judges, time and money saving measures achieved by introducing electronic data exchange or digital transformation of procedures such as public sales, deliveries and the like. The results are regularly published as part of the progress report on the achievement of results within the Negotiation Chapter 23 within the Accession Negotiations of Serbia with the EU.

For the purpose of approving the donation from IPA 2017, which was related to the donation of delivery of CMS for the courts, data centers, information security procedures, personnel capacity and other issues of ICT infrastructure were checked, which were important for assessing the readiness of the capacity of the judiciary for the sustainability of CMS.

062-07. If these audits/evaluations/assessments were organised in the last 5 years, how did you apply their recommendations/results?

- Update applications
- Define new ICT projects/modules
- Adjust legislation
- Adjust working processes
- Withdraw/stop use of a module/application
- Reporting purpose only
- Other, please specify
- NA
- NAP

Comments

3.5.2 Electronic case processing

ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION OF CASES

062-08. If it is possible to submit a case to a court electronically, what are the deployment and usage rates?

	Deployment rate	Usage rate
Civil	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic submission is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic submission is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Administrative	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic submission is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic submission is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Criminal	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic submission is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic submission is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments

062-09. If it is possible to submit a case to a court electronically, please specify the modalities:

Electronic or paper	Possible to be submitted electronically by:	Data integration
---------------------	---	------------------

<p>Civil</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Paper submission is still possible</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Paper submission is not possible anymore (electronic submission is the only way)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Double submission (paper must accompany the electronic submission)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic submission is not possible</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NA</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Lawyer</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Party not represented by a lawyer</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic submission is not possible</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NA</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> The data are electronically transferred to the Case Management System (CMS)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The data are manually re-entered in the CMS</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic submission is not possible</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NA</p>
<p>Administrative</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Paper submission is still possible</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Paper submission is not possible anymore (electronic submission is the only way)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Double submission (paper must accompany the electronic submission)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic submission is not possible</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NA</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lawyer</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Party not represented by a lawyer</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic submission is not possible</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NA</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> The data are electronically transferred to the Case Management System (CMS)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The data are manually re-entered in the CMS</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic submission is not possible</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NA</p>
<p>Criminal</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Paper submission is still possible</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Paper submission is not possible anymore (electronic submission is the only way)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Double submission (paper must accompany the electronic submission)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic submission is not possible</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NA</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Lawyer</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Party not represented by a lawyer</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic submission is not possible</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NA</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> The data are electronically transferred to the Case Management System (CMS)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The data are manually re-entered in the CMS</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic submission is not possible</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NA</p>

Comments

SENDING ELECTRONIC DOCUMENTS TO COURT



062-10. If it is possible to send case-related documents to the courts electronically, what are the deployment and usage rates?

	Deployment rate	Usage rate
Civil	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Administrative	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Criminal	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments

062-11. If it is possible to send electronically case related documents to the courts, please specify the modalities:

Electronic or paper	Possible to be submitted electronically by:	Data integration
---------------------	---	------------------

Civil	<input type="checkbox"/> Paper delivery is still possible <input type="checkbox"/> Paper delivery is not possible anymore (electronic delivery is the only way) <input type="checkbox"/> Double delivery (Paper delivery must accompany the electronic one) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Documents sent by a lawyer <input type="checkbox"/> Documents sent by a party not represented by a lawyer <input type="checkbox"/> Documents sent by another person/institution <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> The data are electronically transferred to the CMS <input type="checkbox"/> The data are manually re-entered in the CMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Administrative	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Paper delivery is still possible <input type="checkbox"/> Paper delivery is not possible anymore (electronic delivery is the only way) <input type="checkbox"/> Double delivery (Paper delivery must accompany the electronic one) <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Documents sent by a lawyer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Documents sent by a party not represented by a lawyer <input type="checkbox"/> Documents sent by another person/institution <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> The data are electronically transferred to the CMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The data are manually re-entered in the CMS <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Criminal	<input type="checkbox"/> Paper delivery is still possible <input type="checkbox"/> Paper delivery is not possible anymore (electronic delivery is the only way) <input type="checkbox"/> Double delivery (Paper delivery must accompany the electronic one) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Documents sent by a lawyer <input type="checkbox"/> Documents sent by a party not represented by a lawyer <input type="checkbox"/> Documents sent by another person/institution <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> The data are electronically transferred to the CMS <input type="checkbox"/> The data are manually re-entered in the CMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comment - If you have selected the option “Documents sent by another person/institution”, please specify details.

ELECTRONIC NOTIFICATIONS

062-12. If it is possible for courts to send electronic notifications, what are the deployment and usage rates?

Deployment rate	Usage rate
-----------------	------------

Civil	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic notifications are not possible <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic notifications are not possible <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA
Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic notifications are not possible <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic notifications are not possible <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA
Criminal	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic notifications are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic notifications are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments Selling of moveables or immovable property in enforcement processes is conducted exclusively online. The name of the concrete platform developed and maintained by the Ministry of Justice is eAuction.

On the other hand administrative procedures can be initiated electronically through the eCourt platform.

062-13. If it is possible for courts to send electronic notifications, please specify the modalities:

Electronic or paper	Type of notification	Data integration
---------------------	----------------------	------------------

<p>Civil</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Paper notification is still possible</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Paper notification is not possible anymore (electronic notification is the only way)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Double notification (paper notification must accompany the electronic one)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic notifications are not possible [X] NA</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Notifications sent by the court to the lawyer</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Notifications sent by the court to the party not represented by a lawyer</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Notifications with attached official documents sent by the courts</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Notifications sent to other persons/institutions</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic notifications are not possible [X] NA</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> The electronic notification is generated from the CMS</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The electronic notification is manually generated</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic notifications are not possible [X] NA</p>
<p>Administrative</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Paper notification is still possible</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Paper notification is not possible anymore (electronic notification is the only way)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Double notification (paper notification must accompany the electronic one)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic notifications are not possible [] NA</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Notifications sent by the court to the lawyer</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Notifications sent by the court to the party not represented by a lawyer</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Notifications with attached official documents sent by the courts</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Notifications sent to other persons/institutions</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic notifications are not possible [X] NA</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> The electronic notification is generated from the CMS</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The electronic notification is manually generated</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic notifications are not possible [X] NA</p>

Criminal	<input type="checkbox"/> Paper notification is still possible	<input type="checkbox"/> Notifications sent by the court to the lawyer	<input type="checkbox"/> The electronic notification is generated from the CMS
	<input type="checkbox"/> Paper notification is not possible anymore (electronic notification is the only way)	<input type="checkbox"/> Notifications sent by the court to the party not represented by a lawyer	<input type="checkbox"/> The electronic notification is manually generated
	<input type="checkbox"/> Double notification (paper notification must accompany the electronic one)	<input type="checkbox"/> Notifications with attached official documents sent by the courts	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic notifications are not possible
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic notifications are not possible	<input type="checkbox"/> Notifications sent to other persons/institutions	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic notifications are not possible
	<input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comment - If you have selected the option “Notifications sent to other persons/institutions”, please specify details. Selling of moveables or immovable property in enforcement processes is conducted exclusively online. The name of the concrete platform developed and maintained by the Ministry of Justice is eAuction.

On the other hand administrative procedures can be initiated electronically through the eCourt platform.

CONSULTATION OF A CASE ONLINE

062-14. If it is possible for external users to consult a case online, what are the deployment and usage rates?

	Deployment rate	Usage rate
Civil	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - online consultation is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - online consultation is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Administrative	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - online consultation is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - online consultation is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Criminal	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 %
	<input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 %
	<input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 %	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 50-75 %
	<input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 %
	<input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 %
	<input type="checkbox"/> 0 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 0 %
	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP - online consultation is not possible	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP - online consultation is not possible
	<input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments

062-15. If it is possible for external users to consult a case online, please specify the modalities:

	Content	Access	Consultation format
Civil	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Case status <input type="checkbox"/> Documents <input type="checkbox"/> Notifications <input type="checkbox"/> Events/calendar <input type="checkbox"/> Court decision <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – online consultation is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lawyer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Party not represented by a lawyer <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – online consultation is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Electronic access at the court premises <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – online consultation is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Administrative	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Case status <input type="checkbox"/> Documents <input type="checkbox"/> Notifications <input type="checkbox"/> Events/calendar <input type="checkbox"/> Court decision <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – online consultation is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lawyer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Party not represented by a lawyer <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – online consultation is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Electronic access at the court premises <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – online consultation is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Criminal	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Case status <input type="checkbox"/> Documents <input type="checkbox"/> Notifications <input type="checkbox"/> Events/calendar <input type="checkbox"/> Court decision <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – online consultation is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lawyer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Party not represented by a lawyer <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – online consultation is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Electronic access at the court premises <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – online consultation is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comment - If you have selected the option “Other”, please specify details. Other - Via website

REMOTE HEARINGS



062-16. If it is possible to organise remote hearings what are the deployment and usage rates?

	Deployment rate	Usage rate
Civil	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - remote hearings are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - remote hearings are not possible <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA
Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP - remote hearings are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP - remote hearings are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Criminal	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - remote hearings are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - remote hearings are not possible <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments

062-17. If it is possible to organise remote hearings, please specify the functionalities and modalities:

Functionalities	Modalities

<p>Civil</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Dedicated tool specially designed for the use by courts</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Publicly available tools used by courts</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Organisation of private sessions within online hearings for consultation between parties and their lawyers</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Tools for witness protection (voice distortion, picture distortion)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Tools for simultaneous interpretation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Tools for automatic subtitling (speech-to-text)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NAP – remote hearings are not possible</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NA</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Agreement of the parties is needed</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The judge can impose a remote hearing</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NAP – remote hearings are not possible</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NA</p>
<p>Administrative</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Dedicated tool specially designed for the use by courts</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Publicly available tools used by courts</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Organisation of private sessions within online hearings for consultation between parties and their lawyers</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Tools for witness protection (voice distortion, picture distortion)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Tools for simultaneous interpretation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Tools for automatic subtitling (speech-to-text)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP – remote hearings are not possible</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NA</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Agreement of the parties is needed</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The judge can impose a remote hearing</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP – remote hearings are not possible</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NA</p>

Criminal	<input type="checkbox"/> Dedicated tool specially designed for the use by courts <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Publicly available tools used by courts <input type="checkbox"/> Organisation of private sessions within online hearings for consultation between parties and their lawyers <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Tools for witness protection (voice distortion, picture distortion) <input type="checkbox"/> Tools for simultaneous interpretation <input type="checkbox"/> Tools for automatic subtitling (speech-to-text) <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – remote hearings are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Agreement of the parties is needed <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The judge can impose a remote hearing <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – remote hearings are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA
-----------------	---	--

Comments

ELECTRONIC ARCHIVES



062-18. If electronic archives of cases exist, what are the deployment and usage rates?

	Deployment rate	Usage rate
Civil	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic archives do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic archives do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic archives do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic archives do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Criminal	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 %
	<input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 %
	<input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 %
	<input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 %
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1-25 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 %
	<input type="checkbox"/> 0 %	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 0 %
	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic archives do not exist	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic archives do not exist
	<input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments Since the calculation methodology described in the example in the Explanatory note is not applicable to our system, we provided the best free estimate of the rate could be used instead. Through a pilot project, the eArchive was enabled in the court with the largest number of cases in Serbia, the First Basic Court in Belgrade, so we expressed the level of availability as 1-25%.

Since in 2022 work is being done on the optimization of the system's architecture and the creation of the legal framework, preparations are just beginning for use in only one court, so the degree of use is less than 1% in relation to the number of cases.

062-19. If an electronic archive of cases exists, please specify the modalities:

	Electronic or paper
Civil	<input type="checkbox"/> Paper archiving is still possible <input type="checkbox"/> Paper archiving is not possible anymore (electronic archiving is the only way) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Double archiving (paper archiving must accompany the electronic one) <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic archives do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Paper archiving is still possible <input type="checkbox"/> Paper archiving is not possible anymore (electronic archiving is the only way) <input type="checkbox"/> Double archiving (paper archiving must accompany the electronic one) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic archives do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Criminal	<input type="checkbox"/> Paper archiving is still possible <input type="checkbox"/> Paper archiving is not possible anymore (electronic archiving is the only way) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Double archiving (paper archiving must accompany the electronic one) <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic archives do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments This ICT tool was used as an auxiliary method, by digitizing documents that must be accompanied by archiving copies in paper form.

3.5.3 Tools

CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS (CMS)



062-20. If one or more case management system(s) (CMS) exist, what are the deployment and usage rates?

	Deployment rate	Usage rate
Civil	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - CMS does not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - CMS does not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Administrative	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - CMS does not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - CMS does not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Criminal	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - CMS does not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - CMS does not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments

062-21. If one or more case management system(s) (CMS) exist, please specify the functionalities of these system(s):

Functionalities

<p>Civil</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Centralised and/or interoperable CMS databases</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Active case management dashboard</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Random allocation of cases</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Case weighting</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Identification of a case between instances (unique or linked id number)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Electronic transfer of a case to another instance/court</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Anonymisation of decisions to be published</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Interoperability with other systems (civil register, tax register, insolvency register)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Access to closed/resolved cases</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Advanced search engine</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Protected log files</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Electronic signature</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NAP – CMS does not exist</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NA</p>
<p>Administrative</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Centralised and/or interoperable CMS databases</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Active case management dashboard</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Random allocation of cases</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Case weighting</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Identification of a case between instances (unique or linked id number)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Electronic transfer of a case to another instance/court</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Anonymisation of decisions to be published</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Interoperability with other systems (civil register, tax register, insolvency register)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Access to closed/resolved cases</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Advanced search engine</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Protected log files</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Electronic signature</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NAP – CMS does not exist</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NA</p>

Comment - If you have selected the option “Other special functionality”, because of its importance please specify details.

062-22. If one or more case management system(s) (CMS) exist, please specify the functionalities of these system(s):

	Functionalities
Criminal	<input type="checkbox"/> Centralised and/or interoperable CMS databases <input type="checkbox"/> Active case management dashboard <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Random allocation of cases <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Case weighting <input type="checkbox"/> Identification of a case between instances (unique or linked id number) <input type="checkbox"/> Electronic transfer of a case to another instance/court <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymisation of decisions to be published <input type="checkbox"/> Interoperability with prosecution system <input type="checkbox"/> Interoperability with other systems (civil register, tax register, insolvency register) <input type="checkbox"/> Access to closed/resolved cases <input type="checkbox"/> Advanced search engine <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Protected log files <input type="checkbox"/> Electronic signature <input type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – CMS does not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comment - If you have selected the option “Other special functionality”, please specify the details.

WRITING ASSISTANCE TOOLS

062-23. If writing assistance tools exist in courts, what are their deployment and usage rates?

	Deployment rate	Usage rate
Civil	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - writing assistance tools do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - writing assistance tools do not exist <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA

Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP - writing assistance tools do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP - writing assistance tools do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Criminal	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - writing assistance tools do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - writing assistance tools do not exist <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments

062-24. If writing assistance tools exist in courts, please describe their functionalities:

	Functionalities
Civil	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Templates <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Automatically generated text <input type="checkbox"/> Automatically suggested decision <input type="checkbox"/> Speech-to-text <input type="checkbox"/> Electronic signature <input type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – writing assistance tools do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Templates <input type="checkbox"/> Automatically generated text <input type="checkbox"/> Automatically suggested decision <input type="checkbox"/> Speech-to-text <input type="checkbox"/> Electronic signature <input type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP – writing assistance tools do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Criminal	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Templates <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Automatically generated text <input type="checkbox"/> Automatically suggested decision <input type="checkbox"/> Speech-to-text <input type="checkbox"/> Electronic signature <input type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – writing assistance tools do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA
-----------------	---

Comment - If you have selected the option “Other special functionality”, please specify the details.

RECORDING OF COURT HEARINGS

062-25. If a tool to record court hearings exists, what are the deployment and usage rates?

	Deployment rate	Usage rate
Civil	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - there is no tool for recording hearings <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - there is no tool for recording hearings <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA
Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP - there is no tool for recording hearings <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP - there is no tool for recording hearings <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Criminal	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - there is no tool for recording hearings <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - there is no tool for recording hearings <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments

062-26. If a tool to record court hearings exist, please specify its functionalities:

Functionalities

<p>Civil</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Audio recording <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Video recording <input type="checkbox"/> Systematic recording for all hearings <input type="checkbox"/> Automatically indexed recording <input type="checkbox"/> Automatic transcript from recording <input type="checkbox"/> Possibility to request a copy of the recording <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – there is no tool for recording hearings <input type="checkbox"/> NA</p>
<p>Administrative</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Audio recording <input type="checkbox"/> Video recording <input type="checkbox"/> Systematic recording for all hearings <input type="checkbox"/> Automatically indexed recording <input type="checkbox"/> Automatic transcript from recording <input type="checkbox"/> Possibility to request a copy of the recording <input type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP – there is no tool for recording hearings <input type="checkbox"/> NA</p>
<p>Criminal</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Audio recording <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Video recording <input type="checkbox"/> Systematic recording for all hearings <input type="checkbox"/> Automatically indexed recording <input type="checkbox"/> Automatic transcript from recording <input type="checkbox"/> Possibility to request a copy of the recording <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – there is no tool for recording hearings <input type="checkbox"/> NA</p>

Comment - If you have selected the option “Other special functionality”, please specify the details. Other special functionality is distortion of sound or image in order to protect the identity of the participants and is done with software solutions that are publicly available (we did not develop our own software for this purpose).

DATABASE OF COURT DECISIONS

062-27. If there is a national database of court decisions, please provide the percentage of the decisions published at each instance.

	Percentage of 1st instance decisions published	Percentage of 2nd instance decisions published	Percentage of Supreme court decisions published
Civil	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - There is no database for these decisions <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Criminal	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments

062-28. If there is a national database of court decisions, please specify the modalities in publishing these decisions:

	1st instance	2nd instance	Supreme court
Civil	<input type="checkbox"/> Published online (public website) <input type="checkbox"/> Published in an internal database <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP- There is no database for these decisions <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Published online (public website) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Published in an internal database <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP- There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Published online (public website) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Published in an internal database <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP- There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Administrative	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Published online (public website) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Published in an internal database <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP– There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Published online (public website) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Published in an internal database <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP– There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Published online (public website) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Published in an internal database <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP– There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Criminal	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Published online (public website) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Published in an internal database <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP– There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Published online (public website) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Published in an internal database <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP– There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Published online (public website) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Published in an internal database <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP– There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA

- If you have selected the option “Other” because the court decisions are published online in some other way than the presented modalities, please describe.

062-29. If there is a database of court decisions at national level, what are the functionalities of this database?

	Functionalities
Civil	<input type="checkbox"/> Automatic anonymisation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Manual anonymisation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Free public online access <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Link to the case law of the European Court of Human Rights (ECHR) <input type="checkbox"/> Open data <input type="checkbox"/> Advanced search engine <input type="checkbox"/> Machine-readable content <input type="checkbox"/> Structured content <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Metadata <input type="checkbox"/> European Case Law Identifier (ECLI) <input type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Automatic anonymisation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Manual anonymisation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Free public online access <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Link to the case law of the European Court of Human Rights (ECHR) <input type="checkbox"/> Open data <input type="checkbox"/> Advanced search engine <input type="checkbox"/> Machine-readable content <input type="checkbox"/> Structured content <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Metadata <input type="checkbox"/> European Case Law Identifier (ECLI) <input type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Criminal	<input type="checkbox"/> Automatic anonymisation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Manual anonymisation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Free public online access <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Link to the case law of the European Court of Human Rights (ECHR) <input type="checkbox"/> Open data <input type="checkbox"/> Advanced search engine <input type="checkbox"/> Machine-readable content <input type="checkbox"/> Structured content <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Metadata <input type="checkbox"/> European Case Law Identifier (ECLI) <input type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comment - If you have selected the option “Other special functionality”, please specify the details. The Judicial Academy has developed a system called eCase which contains a database of European court for human rights decisions in Serbian and other languages. The Judicial Academy has developed a system called eCase which contains a database of European court for human rights decisions in Serbian and other languages.

All the above mentioned decisions are available for free. Also, the Judicial Academy developed Cross reference system that provides a database of links to articles of domestic laws and articles of the European Convention. And last but not least, the Judicial Academy developed eLearning platform for trainings and eLibrary with training documents, publications and international contracts base.

STATISTICAL TOOLS

062-30. If there are statistical tools for analysing court case data, what is their deployment rate?

Deployment rate

Civil	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - there are no statistical tools <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - there are no statistical tools <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA
Criminal	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - there are no statistical tools <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments The court for administrative disputes is one for the entire territory of the Republic of Serbia. In this sense, there is no need for this type of BI or advances statistical tool, but the CMS used by this court is used to create the numerical parts of the report. In Serbia, the BI system has been implemented, but it is not used to prepare mandatory reports on the work of courts and judges

062-31. If there are statistical tools for analysing court case data, please describe their functionalities and the data available for statistical analysis:

Functionalities	Data available for statistical analysis
-----------------	---

<p>Civil</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Integration/connection with the CMS</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Business intelligence software</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Generation of predefined statistical reports</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Generation of customised statistical reports</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Internal page and/or dashboard</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> External page with statistics (public website)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Real-time data availability</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Automatic consolidation of data at the national level</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NAP – there are no statistical tools</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NA</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Case flow data (number of incoming, resolved, pending)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Age of a pending case</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Length of proceedings</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Number of hearings</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Cases per judge</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Case weights</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Number of parties in a case</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Indicator of appeal</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Result of the appeal</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NAP– there are no statistical tools</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NA</p>
<p>Administrative</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Integration/connection with the CMS</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Business intelligence software</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Generation of predefined statistical reports</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Generation of customised statistical reports</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Internal page and/or dashboard</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> External page with statistics (public website)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Real-time data availability</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Automatic consolidation of data at the national level</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NAP – there are no statistical tools</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Case flow data (number of incoming, resolved, pending)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Age of a pending case</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Length of proceedings</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Number of hearings</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Cases per judge</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Case weights</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Number of parties in a case</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Indicator of appeal</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Result of the appeal</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NAP– there are no statistical tools</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA</p>

Criminal	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Integration/connection with the CMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Business intelligence software <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Generation of predefined statistical reports <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Generation of customised statistical reports <input type="checkbox"/> Internal page and/or dashboard <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> External page with statistics (public website) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Real-time data availability <input type="checkbox"/> Automatic consolidation of data at the national level <input type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – there are no statistical tools <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Case flow data (number of incoming, resolved, pending) <input type="checkbox"/> Age of a pending case <input type="checkbox"/> Length of proceedings <input type="checkbox"/> Number of hearings <input type="checkbox"/> Cases per judge <input type="checkbox"/> Case weights <input type="checkbox"/> Number of parties in a case <input type="checkbox"/> Indicator of appeal <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Result of the appeal <input type="checkbox"/> NAP– there are no statistical tools <input type="checkbox"/> NA
-----------------	---	---

Comment - If you have selected the option “Other special functionality”, please specify the details The court for administrative disputes is one for the entire territory of the Republic of Serbia. In this sense, there is no need for this type of BI or advances statistical tool, but the CMS used by this court is used to create the numerical parts of the report.

In Serbia, the BI system has been implemented, but it is not used to prepare mandatory reports on the work of courts and judges.

Link for external portal: <https://portal.sud.rs/sr/statistika/statistika-o-radu-sudova>.

The functionalities supported by the implemented BI solution (Oracle 12) are ticked.

OTHER TOOLS

062-32. Is there any application for online court-related dispute resolution?

Yes

No

Comments

062-33. If yes, is there a maximum value over which online court-related dispute resolution cannot be organised?

Yes, please specify the maximum value

No

Comments Administrative dispute procedures can be initiated electronically through the eCourt platform before the Administrative court.

062-34. If yes, can the online court-related dispute resolution be used in the following areas?

Small claim litigation

Undisputed claim

Payment order

Misdemeanour criminal cases

Enforcement of civil cases

Other, please specify Administrative

Comment: Please describe the existing online procedures:

062-35. Is there a computerised national record centralising all criminal convictions?

Yes

No

Comments The database of criminal records is under the jurisdiction of the Ministry of Internal Affairs. Judicial authorities and judicial professions can access it through the Judicial Information System.

062-36. If yes, please specify the following information:

The computerised record includes biometric data (ex. fingerprint data, picture)

The computerised record is linked to other European records of the same nature (ex. ECRIS)

The content is directly available through computerised means for judges and/or prosecutors (ex. interoperability with the CMS)

The content is directly available for purposes other than criminal (ex. civil and administrative matters)

The record contains conviction information on third-country nationals and stateless persons

Comments

062-37. Is there a Document Management System (DMS) in the registry of courts?

Yes

No

Comment: If yes, please provide details on the purposes and usage of this system. There are old decentralized systems whose technological limitations prevent integration with other systems.

062-38. In addition to the tools listed in the ICT section of this questionnaire does your judicial system use other innovative ICT tools?

Yes

No

Comment: If yes, please list and describe these ICT tools. Selling of moveables or immovable property in enforcement processes is conducted exclusively online. The name of the concrete platform developed and maintained by the Ministry of Justice is eAuction. In enforcement procedures, the eNotice board was introduced in 2020. On the other hand administrative procedures can be initiated electronically through the eCourt platform.

3.6. Performance and evaluation

3.6.1 National policies applied in courts and public prosecution services

066. Are quality standards determined for the judicial system at national level (are there quality systems for the judiciary and/or judicial quality policies)?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

067. Do you have specialised personnel entrusted with implementation of these national level quality standards?

	Yes / No
within the courts	() Yes (X) No
within the public prosecution services	() Yes (X) No

Comments

3.6.2 Measuring court/public prosecution services

070. Do you regularly monitor court activities (performance and quality) concerning:

- number of incoming cases
- length of proceedings (timeframes)
- number of resolved cases
- number of pending cases
- backlogs
- productivity of judges and court staff
- satisfaction of court staff
- satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)
- costs of the judicial procedures
- number of appeals
- appeal ratio
- clearance rate
- disposition time
- other (please specify):

Comments

070-1. Do you regularly monitor public prosecution activities (performance and quality) concerning:

- number of incoming cases
- length of proceedings (timeframes)
- number of resolved cases
- number of pending cases
- backlogs
- productivity of prosecutors and prosecution staff
- satisfaction of prosecution staff
- satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the by the public prosecution)

- costs of the judicial procedures
- clearance rate
- disposition time
- percentage of convictions and acquittals
- other (please specify):

Comments

071. Do you monitor the number of pending cases and cases that are not processed within a reasonable timeframe (backlogs) for:

- civil law cases
- criminal law cases
- administrative law cases

Comments

072. Do you monitor waiting time during judicial proceedings?

	Yes (If yes, please specify)	No
within the courts	(X)	()
within the public prosecution services	(X)	()

Comments

073. Do you have a system to evaluate regularly court performance based on the monitored indicators of question 70?

- (X) Yes
- () No

Comments

073-0. If yes, please specify the frequency:

- () Annual
- () Less frequent
- (X) More frequent

Comments - If "Less frequent" or "More frequent", please specify:

073-1. Is this evaluation of the court activity used for the later allocation of resources within this court?

- (X) Yes
- () No

Comments

073-2. If yes, which courses of action are taken (multiple replies possible)?

- Identifying the causes of improved or deteriorated performance
- Reallocating resources (human/financial resources based on performance)
- Reengineering of internal procedures to increase efficiency
- Other (please specify):

Comments

073-3. Do you have a system to evaluate regularly the performance of the public prosecution services based on the monitored indicators of question 70-1?

- Yes
- No

Comments

073-4. If yes, please specify the frequency:

- Annual
- Less frequent
- More frequent

Comments - If "less frequent" or "more frequent", please specify:

073-5. Is this evaluation of the activity of public prosecution services used for the later allocation of resources within this public prosecution service?

- Yes
- No

Comments

073-6. If yes, which courses of action are taken (multiple replies possible)?

- Identifying the causes of improved or deteriorated performance
- Reallocating resources (human/financial resources based on performance)
- Reengineering of internal procedures to increase efficiency
- Other (please specify):

Comments

=

079. Who is responsible for evaluating the performance of the courts (multiple replies possible)?

- High Judicial Council
- Ministry of Justice
- Inspection authority
- Supreme Court
- External audit body
- Other (please specify):

Comments

079-1. Who is responsible for evaluating the performance of the public prosecution services (multiple replies possible)?

- Public Prosecutorial Council
- Ministry of Justice
- Head of the organisational unit or hierarchically superior public prosecutor
- Prosecutor General /State public prosecutor
- External audit body
- Other (please specify):

Comments

3.6.3 Information regarding courts /public prosecution services activity

080. Is there a centralised institution that is responsible for collecting statistical data regarding the functioning of the courts?

- Yes (please indicate the name and the address of this institution):
- No

Comments

080-1. Are the statistics on the functioning of each court published?

- Yes, on the internet (please provide the link)
- No, only internally (on an intranet website)
- No

Comments

=

080-2. Is there a centralised institution that is responsible for collecting statistical data regarding the functioning of the public prosecution services?

- Yes (please indicate the name and the address of this institution):
- No

Comments

080-3. Are the statistics on the functioning of each public prosecution service published?

- Yes, on the internet (please provide the link)
- No, only internally (on an intranet website)
- No

Comments

=

081. Are individual courts required to prepare an activity report (that includes, for example, data on the number of resolved cases or pending cases, the number of judges and administrative staff,

targets and assessment of the activity)?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please describe the content of the report and its audience (i.e. to whom the report is primarily intended):

081-1. If yes, please specify in which form this report is released:

Internet

Intranet (internal) website

Paper distribution

Comments

081-2. If yes, please, indicate the periodicity at which the report is released:

Annual

Less frequent

More frequent

Comments

=

081-3. Are public prosecution services required to prepare an activity report (that includes, for example, data on the number of incoming cases, the number of decisions, the number of public prosecutors and administrative staff, targets and assessment of the activity)?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please describe the content of the report and its audience (i.e. for whom the report is primarily intended):

081-4. If yes, please specify in which form this report is released:

Internet

Intranet (internal) website

Paper distribution

Comments

081-5. If yes, please, indicate the periodicity at which the report is released:

Annual

Less frequent

More frequent

Comments

3.6.4 Performance and evaluation of judges and public prosecutors

083. Are there quantitative performance targets defined for each judge (e.g. the number of resolved cases in a month or year)?

Yes

No

Comments

083-1. Who is responsible for setting these targets for each judge?

Executive power (for example the Ministry of Justice)

Legislative power

Judicial power (for example the High Judicial Council, Supreme Court)

President of the court

Other (please specify):

NAP

Comments

083-1-1. What are the consequences for a judge if these targets are not met?

	Consequences:
Without disciplinary procedure	<input type="checkbox"/> Warning by court's president <input type="checkbox"/> Temporary salary reduction <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reflected in the individual assessment <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify: [Comment]
With disciplinary procedure	<input type="checkbox"/> Warning by court's president <input type="checkbox"/> Temporary salary reduction <input type="checkbox"/> Reflected in the individual assessment <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify: [Comment]
-	<input type="checkbox"/> No consequences
-	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP (no targets defined)

Comments

114. Is there a system of individual evaluation of the judges' work?

	Existence of a system of individual evaluation of the judges' work
Quantitative	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Qualitative	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Comment: Please specify the criteria on which the assessment is based, the authority competent for carrying out the assessment, the purposes for which the results of the assessment are used: Rulebook on criteria, standards, procedure and bodies for evaluating the work of judges and court presidents, Article 4.

The criteria for evaluating the judges' work are quality and quantity.

The criteria for evaluating the quality of judges' work are the percentage of canceled decisions and the time it takes to make decisions.

The evaluation of quality is carried out by determining an individual grade for each criterion, and based on the established individual

grades, the evaluation grade of the work of the judges is determined.

Individual grades for work quality criteria are: "extremely successful", "successful" and "not satisfactory".

The criterion for evaluating the quantity of judges' work is the monthly norm, and for judges who do not have a sufficient number of cases in their work, the criterion for evaluating the quantity is the number of cases resolved in relation to the total number of cases in their work.

The evaluation of the quantity of the judge's work is carried out by evaluating the quantity of the judge's work with the individual evaluation of the work "extremely successful", "successful" and "not satisfactory".

The ratings related to the evaluation of the judge's work are: "extremely successfully performs the judicial function", "successfully performs the judicial function" and "does not satisfy".

The work of a judge who has been elected as the president of the court and who performs the judicial function is evaluated according to the criteria for evaluating the work of judges.

114-1. Please specify the frequency of this evaluation:

Annual

Less frequent

More frequent

Different frequencies used, please specify: please see the general comment

NAP

=

083-2. Are there quantitative performance targets defined for each public prosecutor (e.g. the number of decisions in a month or year)?

Yes

No

Comments

083-3. Who is responsible for setting these targets for each public prosecutor?

Executive power (for example the Ministry of Justice)

Prosecutor General /State public prosecutor

Public Prosecutorial Council

Head of the organisational unit or hierarchically superior public prosecutor

Other (please specify):

NAP

Comments

083-3-1. What are the consequences for a prosecutor if these targets are not met?

Consequences:	
Without disciplinary procedure	<input type="checkbox"/> Warning by head of prosecution <input type="checkbox"/> Temporary salary reduction <input type="checkbox"/> Reflected in the individual assessment <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify: [Comment] <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

With disciplinary procedure	<input type="checkbox"/> Warning by head of prosecution <input type="checkbox"/> Temporary salary reduction <input type="checkbox"/> Reflected in the individual assessment <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify: [Comment] <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
No consequences	<input type="checkbox"/> No consequences <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

120. Is there a system of individual evaluation of the public prosecutors' work?

	Existence of a system of individual evaluation of the public prosecutors' work
Quantitative	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Qualitative	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Comment: Please specify the criteria on which the assessment is based, the authority competent for carrying out the assessment, the purposes for which the results of the assessment are used:

120-1. Please specify the frequency of this evaluation:

- Annual
- Less frequent
- More frequent
- Different frequencies used, please specify:
- NAP

Comments

C4. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources:

4. Fair trial

4.1. Principles

4.1.1 Principles of fair trial

084. Percentage of first instance criminal in absentia judgments (cases in which the suspect is not attending the hearing in person nor is represented by a lawyer)?

- []
- NA

NAP

Comments - Please add methodology for calculation used.

085. Is there a procedure to effectively challenge a judge (recusal), if a party considers that the judge is not impartial?

Yes

No

Comments - Please could you briefly specify:

085-1. If yes, what are:

	-
The total number of the initiated procedures in the reference year	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
The total number of recusals pronounced in the reference year	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comment - Please, could you briefly specify:

086. Is there in your country a monitoring system for the violations related to Article 6 of the European Convention on Human Rights?

For civil procedures (non-enforcement)

For civil procedures (timeframe)

For criminal procedures (timeframe)

NAP

Comments - Please specify what are the terms and conditions of this monitoring system (information related to acknowledged violations by ECHR at the State/courts level; implementation of internal systems to prevent other violations (that are similar) and if possible to measure an evolution of the established violations):

086-1. Is there in your country a possibility to review/reopen a case after a finding of a violation of the European Convention on Human Rights by the European Court of Human Rights?

For civil cases

For criminal cases

For administrative cases

NAP

Comments

D1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources:

4.2. Timeframe of proceedings

4.2.1 General information

087. Are there specific procedures for urgent matters regarding:

- civil cases
 criminal cases
 administrative cases
 There is no specific procedure for urgent matters

Comments - If yes, please specify:

088. Are there simplified procedures for:

- civil cases (small disputes)
 criminal cases (misdemeanour cases)
 administrative cases
 There is no simplified procedure

Comments - If yes, please specify:

088-1. For these simplified procedures, may judges deliver an oral judgement with a written order and without the full reasoning of the judgement ?

- civil cases
 criminal cases
 administrative cases

Comments - If yes, please specify:

089. Do courts and lawyers have the possibility to conclude agreements on arrangements for processing cases (presentation of files, decisions on timeframes for lawyers to submit their conclusions etc.)?

	Yes	No
Agreement on general arrangements	(X)	()
Agreement in specific cases	(X)	()

Comments

4.2.2 Case flow management – first instance

091. First instance courts: number of other than criminal law cases.

Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the first instance court

Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	709 506 [] NA [] NAP	893 355 [] NA [] NAP	1 094 831 [] NA [] NAP	508 030 [] NA [] NAP	131 962 [] NA [] NAP
1. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases (including litigious enforcement cases and if possible without administrative law cases, see category 3)	516 314 [] NA [] NAP	230 479 [] NA [] NAP	410 562 [] NA [] NAP	336 231 [] NA [] NAP	104 036 [] NA [] NAP
2. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	126 586 [] NA [] NAP	473 310 [] NA [] NAP	532 829 [] NA [] NAP	67 067 [] NA [] NAP	10 136 [] NA [] NAP
2.1. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases, e.g. uncontested payment orders, request for a change of name, non-litigious enforcement cases etc. (if possible without administrative law cases, see category 3; without registry cases and other cases, see categories 2.2 and 2.3)	121 648 [] NA [] NAP	443 167 [] NA [] NAP	500 610 [] NA [] NAP	64 205 [] NA [] NAP	9 912 [] NA [] NAP
2.2. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	27 [] NA [] NAP	1 390 [] NA [] NAP	1 397 [] NA [] NAP	20 [] NA [] NAP	1 [] NA [] NAP
2.2.1. Non litigious land registry cases	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP
2.2.2 Non-litigious business registry cases	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP
2.2.3. Other registry cases	27 [] NA [] NAP	1 390 [] NA [] NAP	1 397 [] NA [] NAP	20 [] NA [] NAP	1 [] NA [] NAP
2.3. Other non-litigious cases	4 911 [] NA [] NAP	28 753 [] NA [] NAP	30 822 [] NA [] NAP	2 842 [] NA [] NAP	223 [] NA [] NAP
3. Administrative law cases	64 768 [] NA [] NAP	63 008 [] NA [] NAP	24 631 [] NA [] NAP	103 145 [] NA [] NAP	17 482 [] NA [] NAP
4. Other cases	1 838 [] NA [] NAP	126 558 [] NA [] NAP	126 809 [] NA [] NAP	1 587 [] NA [] NAP	308 [] NA [] NAP

Comments 1. The lower number of incoming cases in 2022 is primarily explained by the decrease inflows in civil and commercial cases in basic and commercial courts. During 2019, 2020 and 2021 there was a large number of specific type of cases related to the costs of bank loans. After supplementing the legal position of the Supreme Court of Cassation from September 16, 2021, number of these cases gradually decreases. However, due to the mentioned repetitive cases (litigation for reimbursement of costs bank loans), courts were unable to handle such a large influx from the previous year (regardless of the fact that the inflow in 2022 was lower), so the number of pending cases also increased.

2.1. The Supreme Court of Cassation paid special attention to the problem of pending backlog enforcement cases, since in the previous period they affected the efficiency of the courts.

Exceptional results were achieved through the cooperation of the Supreme Court of Cassation, the High Court Council and the Ministry of Justice, with the additional help of the IPA 2012 Projects “Improving Judicial Efficiency in the Republic of Serbia”, “EU for Serbia – Support to the Supreme Court of Cassation” and “EU for Serbia – Support to the High Court Council”, in the period from 2016 to the end of 2022 in the reduction of pending backlog court cases, and especially pending backlog IV cases in basic courts.

2.3. In this group of cases, the number of cases related to the trial in within a reasonable time (the number of complaints filed under the Law on the Protection of Rights to trial within a reasonable time) was decreased. .

3. Administrative cases: There is no special explanation, the Administrative Court has more incoming cases and the same number of resolved cases as in previous few years.

092. If courts deal with “civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases”, please indicate the case categories included:

. 2.1 shows executions, non-litigious and undisputed payment orders of basic and commercial courts, as well as rehabilitation cases with higher courts.

2.3 presents cases of reasonable time and objections to the decisions of notaries.

093. Please indicate the case categories included in the category "other cases":

. -Incoming and outgoing cases of international legal cooperation, letters rogatory in civil and commercial matters ,
 -Certification of documents, certification of documents intended for use abroad (according to the Hague Convention), issuance of various certificates, eg. on deprivation of legal capacity, on deprivation of parental rights.
 Higher courts: POM I4, Pom Ig, POM I2, Pom Ig H1
 Basic courts: POM, POM I2, POM Ig (old), Pom Ug, Pom IgH 1, Pom Ig (new), Pom UgH 2, Pom IgN, Pom UgN, Pom UgH 1, Pom IgH 2, Ov H, Ov I, Ov1, Ov2, Ov3, Uop, Opu, U

094. First instance courts: number of criminal law cases.

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the first instance court
Total of criminal law cases (1+2+3)	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP
1. Severe criminal cases	28 560 [] NA [] NAP	44 742 [] NA [] NAP	46 404 [] NA [] NAP	26 898 [] NA [] NAP	5 355 [] NA [] NAP
2. Misdemeanour and / or minor criminal cases	231 052 [] NA [] NAP	326 498 [] NA [] NAP	347 268 [] NA [] NAP	210 282 [] NA [] NAP	11 143 [] NA [] NAP

3. Other criminal cases	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP				
--------------------------------	---	---	---	---	---

Comments - If you cannot make a distinction between misdemeanour criminal cases and severe criminal cases (according to the CEPEJ definitions), please specify what cases are reported in those categories. If “Other criminal cases”, please specify

4.2.3 Case flow management – second instance

097. Second instance courts (appeal): Number of “other than criminal law” cases.

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the second instance court
Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	145 772 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	199 726 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	189 134 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	156 364 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	108 234 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
1. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases (including litigious enforcement cases and if possible without administrative law cases, see category 3)	143 722 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	185 758 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	174 068 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	155 412 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	108 155 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
2. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	2 050 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	13 959 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	15 057 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	952 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	79 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
2.1. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases, e.g. uncontested payment orders, request for a change of name, non-litigious enforcement cases etc. (if possible without administrative law cases, see category 3; without registry cases and other cases, see categories 2.2 and 2.3)	112 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	1 105 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	1 142 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	75 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	55 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
2.2. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP				
2.2.1. Non litigious land registry cases	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP				
2.2.2 Non-litigious business registry cases	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP				
2.2.3. Other registry cases	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP				

2.3. Other non-litigious cases	1 938 [] NA [] NAP	12 854 [] NA [] NAP	13 915 [] NA [] NAP	877 [] NA [] NAP	24 [] NA [] NAP
3. Administrative law cases	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP
4. Other cases	0 [] NA [] NAP	9 [] NA [] NAP	9 [] NA [] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP

Comments - If “Other cases” please specify 1. The lower number of incoming cases in second instance in 2022 is primarily explained by the decrease of incoming civil and commercial cases in basic and commercial courts. During 2019, 2020 and 2021 there was a large number of specific type of cases related to the costs of bank loans. After supplementing the legal position of the Supreme Court of Cassation from September 16, 2021, number of these cases gradually decreases. However, due to the mentioned repetitive cases (litigation for reimbursement of costs

bank loans), second instance courts were unable to handle such a large influx from the previous year (regardless of the fact that the inflow in 2022 was lower), so the number cases increased.

098. Second instance courts (appeal): Number of criminal law cases.

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the second instance court
Total of criminal law cases (1+2+3)	5 577 [] NA [] NAP	59 689 [] NA [] NAP	59 894 [] NA [] NAP	5 372 [] NA [] NAP	951 [] NA [] NAP
1. Severe criminal cases	1 642 [] NA [] NAP	26 387 [] NA [] NAP	26 554 [] NA [] NAP	1 475 [] NA [] NAP	579 [] NA [] NAP
2. Misdemeanour and / or minor criminal cases	3 897 [] NA [] NAP	29 993 [] NA [] NAP	30 036 [] NA [] NAP	3 854 [] NA [] NAP	368 [] NA [] NAP
3. Other criminal cases	38 [] NA [] NAP	3 309 [] NA [] NAP	3 304 [] NA [] NAP	43 [] NA [] NAP	4 [] NA [] NAP

Comments - If you cannot make a distinction between misdemeanour criminal cases and severe criminal cases (according to the CEPEJ definitions), please specify what cases are reported in those categories. If “Other criminal cases”, please specify:

4.2.4 Case flow management – Supreme Court

099. Highest instance courts (Supreme Court): Number of “other than criminal law” cases:

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the Supreme Court
Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	8 742 [] NA [] NAP	32 456 [] NA [] NAP	17 122 [] NA [] NAP	24 076 [] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP

1. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases (including litigious enforcement cases and if possible without administrative law cases, see category 3)	8 533 [] NA [] NAP	30 943 [] NA [] NAP	15 632 [] NA [] NAP	23 844 [] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP
2. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP
2.1. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases, e.g. uncontested payment orders, request for a change of name, non-litigious enforcement cases etc. (if possible without administrative law cases, see category 3; without registry cases and other cases, see categories 2.2 and 2.3)	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP
2.2. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP
2.2.1. Non litigious land registry cases	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP
2.2.2 Non-litigious business registry cases	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP
2.2.3. Other registry cases	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP
2.3. Other non-litigious cases	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP
3. Administrative law cases	187 [] NA [] NAP	558 [] NA [] NAP	566 [] NA [] NAP	179 [] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP
4. Other cases	22 [] NA [] NAP	955 [] NA [] NAP	924 [] NA [] NAP	53 [] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP

Comments - If "Other cases", please specify Taken from Dashboard.

099-1. At the level of the Highest court (Supreme Court), is there a procedure of manifest inadmissibility?

(X) Yes, please indicate the number of cases closed by this procedure: NA

() No

Comments Article 410 Civil procedure code

An untimely, incomplete or unauthorized revision will be dismissed by the first instance court, without holding a hearing.

Revision is not permitted if:

1) the audit was submitted by a person who is not authorized to submit an audit;

- 2) the audit was not declared through a lawyer's proxy, except when the party is a lawyer;
- 3) the revision was declared by the person who withdrew the revision;
- 4) the person who declared the audit has no legal interest in submitting the audit;
- 5) the revision was filed against a judgment against which, according to the law, it cannot be submitted (Article 403, paragraphs 1 and 3), except from Article 404 of this law.

100. Highest instance courts (Supreme Court): Number of criminal law cases.

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the Supreme Court
Total of criminal law cases (1+2+3)	307 [] NA [] NAP	1 925 [] NA [] NAP	1 907 [] NA [] NAP	325 [] NA [] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP
1. Severe criminal cases	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP
2. Misdemeanour and / or minor criminal cases	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP
3. Other criminal cases	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP

Comment - If you cannot make a distinction between misdemeanour criminal cases and severe criminal cases (according to the CEPEJ definitions), please specify what cases are reported in those categories. If “Other criminal cases”, please specify Report on the work of the Supreme Court

4.2.5 Case flow management and timeframes – specific cases

101. Number of specific litigious cases received and processed by first instance courts.

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the first instance court
Litigious divorce cases	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP
Employment dismissal cases	46 413 [] NA [] NAP	26 965 [] NA [] NAP	40 378 [] NA [] NAP	33 000 [] NA [] NAP	8 898 [] NA [] NAP
Insolvency	1 733 [] NA [] NAP	688 [] NA [] NAP	838 [] NA [] NAP	1 583 [] NA [] NAP	1 108 [] NA [] NAP
Robbery case	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP
Intentional homicide	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP

Comments The answer to question 101 in field “Employment dismissal cases” shows cases of the Basic courts: P1 and High Courts: P1



=

101-0. Number of cases relating to asylum seekers and to the right of entry and stay for aliens.

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec ref. year	Pending cases for more than 2 years
Court cases relating to asylum seekers (refugee status under the 1951 Geneva Convention)	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP				
Court cases relating to the right of entry and stay for aliens	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP				

Comments

101-1. Could you briefly describe the system in your country dealing with legal remedies relating to asylum seekers (refugee status under the 1951 Geneva Convention) and the right of entry and stay for aliens:

101-2. Number of cases relating to child sexual abuse and child pornography received and processed by first instance courts.

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the first instance court
Child sexual abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP				
Child pornography	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP				

Comments - Please explain what are the legal definitions of these categories of offences in your system:

102. Percentage of decisions subject to appeal, average length of proceedings and percentage of cases pending for more than 3 years for all instances for specific litigious cases. The average length of proceedings has to be calculated from the date the application for judicial review is lodged to the date the judgment is made, without taking into account the investigation phase in criminal cases as well as enforcement procedure.

	% of decisions subject to appeal	Average length in 1st instance (in days)	Average length in 2nd instance (in days)	Average length in 3rd instance (in days)	Average length of the entire procedure (in days)	% of cases pending for more than 3 years for all instances
Civil and commercial litigious cases	_____ Allow decimals : 2 [X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	_____ Allow decimals : 2 [X] NA [] NAP
Litigious divorce cases	_____ Allow decimals : 2 [X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	_____ Allow decimals : 2 [X] NA [] NAP
Employment dismissal cases	_____ Allow decimals : 2 [X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	_____ Allow decimals : 2 [X] NA [] NAP
Insolvency cases	_____ Allow decimals : 2 [X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	_____ Allow decimals : 2 [X] NA [] NAP
Robbery cases	_____ Allow decimals : 2 [X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	_____ Allow decimals : 2 [X] NA [] NAP
Intentional homicide cases	_____ Allow decimals : 2 [X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	_____ Allow decimals : 2 [X] NA [] NAP

Comments

104. How is the length of proceedings calculated for the six case categories of question 102? Please give a description of the calculation method.

4.2.6 Case flow management – public prosecution

105. Role and powers of the public prosecutor in the criminal procedure (multiple replies possible):

- [X] to conduct or supervise investigation
- [X] when necessary, to request investigation measures from the judge
- [X] to charge

[X] to present the case in court

[X] to propose a sentence to the judge

[X] to appeal

[] to supervise the enforcement procedure

[X] to discontinue a case without needing a decision by a judge (ensure consistency with question 36!)

[X] to end the case by imposing or negotiating a penalty or measure without requiring a judicial decision

[X] other significant powers (please specify):

Comments For criminal offenses prosecuted ex officio, the public prosecutor is competent to:

- 1) manages the pre-investigation procedure;
- 2) decides on not undertaking or postponing criminal prosecution;
- 3) conducts an investigation;
- 4) conclude an agreement on the recognition of a criminal offense and an agreement on testimony;
- 5) raises and represents the accusation before the competent court;
- 6) drop the charge;
- 7) declares appeals against non-legally binding court decisions and submits extraordinary legal remedies against legally binding legal decisions;

106. Does the public prosecutor also have a role in:

[X] civil cases

[X] administrative cases

[] insolvency cases

Comments - If yes, please specify: Civil Procedure Law ("Official Gazette of RS", no. 72/2011, 49/2013 - US decision, 74/2013 - US decision, 55/2014, 87/2018, and 18/2020) in Article 74 provides that the public prosecutor has the right to participate in civil proceedings as a party only in cases prescribed by law. In Article 421 provides that against the legally binding verdict rendered in the second instance, the Republic Public Prosecutor can submit to the Supreme Court of Cassation a request for review of the legally binding verdict. Law on Administrative disputes ("Official Gazette of RS", no. 111/2009-39) in Article 11 provides that if an administrative act violates the law to the detriment of the public interest, an administrative dispute can be initiated by the competent public prosecutor.

=

107. Public prosecutors: Total number of 1st instance criminal cases.

	Number of cases
1.Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	95 527 <input type="checkbox"/> [] NA <input type="checkbox"/> [] NAP
2.Incoming/received cases	80 731 <input type="checkbox"/> [] NA <input type="checkbox"/> [] NAP
3.Processed cases (3.1+3.2+3.3+3.4)	90 135 <input type="checkbox"/> [] NA <input type="checkbox"/> [] NAP
3.1.Discontinued during the reference year (3.1.1+3.1.2+3.1.3+3.1.4.)	51 573 <input type="checkbox"/> [] NA <input type="checkbox"/> [] NAP

3.1.1 Discontinued by the public prosecutor because the offender could not be identified	[] NA [X] NAP
3.1.2 Discontinued by the public prosecutor due to the lack of an established offence or a specific legal situation	30 442 [] NA [] NAP
3.1.3 Discontinued by the public prosecutor for reasons of opportunity	14 184 [] NA [] NAP
3.1.4 Discontinued for other reasons	6 947 [] NA [] NAP
3.2. Concluded by a penalty or a measure imposed or negotiated by the public prosecutor	3 786 [] NA [] NAP
3.3. Cases brought to court	34 776 [] NA [] NAP
4. Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	86 123 [] NA [] NAP

Comments According to the Public Prosecution statistical reporting methodology, all data presented in this table refer to number of known adult suspects/defendants, not to overall number of cases.

The difference between the sums of the overall suspects on 31 December 2022 (shown in Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year) and number of suspects pending criminal procedure in the beginning of 2023 (shown in Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref year in report for 2023) is being analysed by the Supreme Public Prosecution, but it exists most likely due to the fact that Prosecutions registry offices are stopping with system filing of received criminal complaints as early as 20th December each year. These received, but not filed complaints are being recorded into the filing system immediately after the holiday season, which is in Serbia longer than in Western European countries. Hence, the criminal complaints, although physically received in the respective public prosecutions in the end of a year, are being introduced into the system immediately in the beginning of next year, thus difference of approximately 5% in overall numbers for this category

107-1. If the guilty plea procedure exists, how many cases were concluded by this procedure?

	Total	Severe criminal cases	Misdemeanour and / or minor criminal cases
Total number of guilty plea procedures	3 786 [] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP
Before the main trial	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP
During the main trial	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP

Comments According to the Criminal Procedure Code, every plea agreement, whether it's concluded before or during the main trial, needs to be confirmed by the court and it is the only way to conclude the case by this procedure.

Information on number of guilty plea procedures refer to number of suspects/defendants who concluded plea agreements with the Public Prosecution which were confirmed by the court in 2022. Please note that imprisonment is foreseen as a sanction for every criminal act envisaged by the Criminal Code. Depending on the gravity of criminal act, imprisonment is foreseen in different forms: as the only sanction, together with the money fine or alternatively to the money fine. For that reason, it is not possible to make a distinction between severe and misdemeanor/minor criminal case as described in the Explanatory note.

109. Do the figures provided in Q107 include traffic offence cases?

Yes

No

Comments

D2. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: The source of the data is the Annual Report on the Work of Public Prosecution Offices available at the following link:
<http://www.vrhovnojt.gov.rs/docs/rad-javnih-tuzilastava-na-suzbijanju-kriminaliteta-i-zastiti-ustavnosti-2022.pdf>

5. Career of judges and public prosecutors

5.1. Recruitment and promotion

5.1.1 Recruitment and promotion of judges



110. How are judges recruited?

through a competitive exam (open competition)

through a recruitment procedure for experienced legal professionals (for example experienced lawyers)

other (please specify):

Comments

110-1. Please briefly describe the recruitment procedure(s) for judges in your country:

. The High Judicial Council shall publicly announce the election of judges which announcement is published in the "Official Gazette of the Republic of Serbia" and other media with national coverage.
Applications are submitted to the High Judicial Council, within 15 days of the public announcement in the "Official Gazette of the Republic of Serbia". The application is submitted along with evidence of eligibility.
The High Judicial Council shall obtain the information and opinions about the qualification, competence and moral character of a candidate.
In case of candidates for judges to be elected for the first time, in addition to qualification, competence and moral character, the High Judicial Council shall particularly take into consideration the type of jobs that the candidate performed after passing the bar exam.
The High Judicial Council shall propose to the National Assembly one candidate for each judge's position. A decision following the High Judicial Council's proposal must include an explanation. Before taking up office, a judge shall take an oath before the National Assembly Speaker.
Every decision on the election must be reasoned and published in the "Official Gazette of the Republic of Serbia".

110-2. What are the recruitment requirements for judges (multiple replies possible)?

Age

- Nationality
- Physical/Psychological capacity
- General studies in law
- Advanced studies in law (Master, PhD)
- Number of years of relevant experience
- Traineeship/judicial functions in courts
- Validation of a general state examination in law
- Validation of a specific examination for judges
- Clean criminal record
- Foreign languages
- Personal requirements (related to integrity)
- Other
- NAP

Comments - If “other”, please specify:

110-3. In the frame of these recruitments, please indicate the number of applicants for the position of judge and the number of recruitments actually made during the reference year:

	Total	Males	Females
Number of applicants	2 243 <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA
Number of recruited persons	149 <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments Due to technical issues the High Judicial Council does not keep the statistical data on total number of male and female applicants and total number of male and female candidates of recruited persons for each year, so we are not able to provide such kind of information.

Only information that we can provide at the moment is that at the end of 2022 total number of judges was 2657 among whom 754 males and 1966 females.

Additionally, we would like to emphasize that the total number of recruited persons consists not solely of candidates who applied on advertisement during 2022, but also on candidates who applied on advertisement during 2021 (130 judges were selected in 2022 based on advertisements from 2021, and 19 were selected in 2022 based on advertisements from 2022)

110-4. If the number of applicants decreased in the last years did you take any remedial measures?

- Yes
- No

Comments The number of applicants in 2022 decreased compared to 2021. Total number of applicants in 2022 was 2243, while total number of applicants in 2021 was 2077.

110-5. If yes, please specify what remedies you implemented:

- Increase of salary
- Other financial incentives
- Improving working conditions

- Workload reduction at the beginning of career
- Other adjustments in the frame of the induction of new judges
- Other

Comments: If “other”, please, specify:

=

111. Authority(ies) responsible for recruitment - are judges initially/at the beginning of their career recruited and nominated by:

- An authority made up of judges only
- An authority made up of non-judges only
- An authority/authorities made up of judges and non-judges
- Other

Comments - Please indicate the name of the authority(ies) responsible for the whole procedure of recruitment and nomination of judges. If there are several authorities, please describe their respective roles:

111-1. How many members compose this authority?

	Total	Males	Females
Members	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments – Please specify what is the status of this authority and who is proposing/appointing its members:

111-2. May non-selected candidates appeal against the decision on recruitment/appointment?

- Yes
- No

Comments – Please specify the procedure to be followed, the competent authority, the moment for exercising the right of appeal:

112. Is the same authority (Q111) competent for the promotion of judges?

- Yes
- No

Comments - No, please specify which authority is competent for promoting judges

113. What is the procedure for the promotion of judges? (multiple replies possible)

- Competitive test / Exam
- Previous individual evaluations
- Other procedure(s) (interview or other)
- No special procedure

Comments - Please specify how the promotion procedure for judges is organised (especially if there is no competition or examination) and how the publicity of promotion processes is ensured:

113-0. In the frame of the promotion procedures, please indicate the number of applicants and the

number of promotions actually made during the reference year:

	Total	Males	Females
Number of applicants	[] NA	[] NA	[] NA
Number of promoted persons	[] NA	[] NA	[] NA

Comments

113-1. Please indicate the criteria used for the promotion of a judge? (multiple replies possible)

- Years of experience
- Professional skills (and/or qualitative performance)
- Performance (quantitative)
- Subjective criteria (e.g. integrity, reputation)
- Other
- No criteria

Comments - Please specify any useful comment regarding the criteria (especially if you have checked the box “performance” or “other”):

5.1.2 Status, recruitment and promotion of prosecutors

115. What is the status of public prosecution services?

- Has an independent status as a separate entity among state institutions
- Is part of the executive power but enjoys functional independence (please briefly explain how and to what extent)
- Is part of the executive power (without functional independence)
- Is part of the judicial power but enjoys functional independence (please briefly explain how and to what extent)
- Is part of the judicial power (without functional independence)
- Is a mixed model (please explain)
- Has other status (please explain)

Comments - When appropriate, please specify the objective guarantees of this independence (such as funding) and where they are enshrined (Constitution, legislation etc.). Furthermore, if “mixed model” or “other”, please specify.

115-1. Are specific instructions addressed to a public prosecutor to prosecute or not prohibited by law or other regulation?

- Yes
- No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

115-2. If they are prohibited by law or other regulation, are there exceptions?

- Yes
- No
- NAP

Comments - Please describe these exceptions:

115-3. Which authority can issue such specific instructions?

- General Prosecutor
- Higher prosecutor/Head of prosecution office
- Executive power
- Other
- NAP

Comments - If "Other", please specify:

115-4. What form these instructions may take?

- Oral instruction
- Oral instruction with written confirmation
- Written instruction
- Other
- NAP

Comments - If "Other", please specify:

115-5. In that case, are the instructions:

- Issued seeking prior advice from the competent public prosecutor
- Mandatory
- Reasoned
- Recorded in the case file
- Other
- NAP

Comments - If "Other", please specify:

115-6. What is the frequency of this type of instructions:

- Exceptional
- Occasional
- Frequent
- Systematic
- NAP

Comments

115-7. Can the public prosecutor oppose/report an instruction to an independent body?

- Yes
- No
- NAP

Comments - If yes, please specify to which body/institution and please describe under which conditions.

=



116. How are public prosecutors recruited?

through a competitive exam (open competition)

through a recruitment procedure for experienced legal professionals (for example experienced lawyers)

other (please specify):

Comments

116-1. Please briefly describe the recruitment procedure(s) for prosecutors in your country:

116-2. What are the recruitment requirements for prosecutors (multiple replies possible)?

Age

Nationality

Physical/Psychological capacity

General studies in law

Advanced studies in law (Master, PhD)

Number of years of relevant experience

Traineeship/judicial functions in courts

Validation of a general state examination in law

Validation of a specific examination for prosecutors

Clean criminal record

Foreign languages

Personal requirements (related to integrity)

Other

NAP

Comments - If "other", please specify:

116-3. In the frame of these recruitments, please indicate the number of applicants for the position of prosecutor and the number of recruitments actually made during the reference year:

	Total	Males	Females
Number of applicants	<input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> NA
Number of recruited persons	<input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments

116-4. If the number of applicants decreased in the last years did you take any remedial measures?

() Yes

() No

Comments

116-5. If yes, please specify what remedies you implemented:

- Increase of salary
- Other financial incentives
- Improving working conditions
- Workload reduction at the beginning of career
- Other adjustments in the frame of the induction of new prosecutors
- Other

Comments: If "other", please, specify:

117. Authority(ies) responsible for recruitment - Are public prosecutors initially/at the beginning of their career recruited by:

- An authority composed of public prosecutors only
- An authority composed of non-public prosecutors only
- An authority composed of public prosecutors and non-public prosecutors
- Other

Comments - Please indicate the name of the authority(ies) responsible for the whole procedure of recruitment and nomination of public prosecutors. If there are several authorities, please describe their respective roles:

117-1. How many members compose this authority?

	Total	Male	Female
Members	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments – Please specify what is the status of this authority and who is proposing/appointing its members:

117-2. May non-selected candidates appeal against the decision on recruitment/appointment?

() Yes

() No

Comments – Please specify the procedure to be followed, the competent authority, the moment for exercising the right of appeal:

118. Is the same authority (Q.117) competent for the promotion of public prosecutors?

() Yes

() No, please specify which authority is competent for promoting public prosecutors

Comments

119. What is the procedure for the promotion of prosecutors? (multiple replies possible)

- Competitive test / exam

- Previous individual evaluations
- Other procedure(s) (interview or other)
- No special procedure

Comments - Please specify how the promotion procedure for prosecutors is organised (especially if there is no competition or examination) and how the publicity of promotion processes is ensured:

119-1. In the frame of the promotion procedures, please indicate the number of applicants and the number of promotions actually made during the reference year:

	Total	Males	Females
Number of applicants	<input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> NA
Number of promoted persons	<input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments

119-2. Please indicate the criteria used for the promotion of a prosecutor:

- Years of experience
- Professional skills (and/or qualitative performance)
- Performance (quantitative)
- Subjective criteria (e.g. integrity, reputation)
- Other
- No criteria

Comments - Please, specify any useful comment regarding the criteria (especially if you have checked the box "performance" or "other"):

5.1.3Mandate and retirement of judges and prosecutors

121. Are judges appointed to office for an undetermined period (i.e. "for life" = until the official age of retirement)?

- Yes, please indicate the compulsory retirement age:
- No

Comments - If yes, are there exceptions (e.g. dismissal as a disciplinary sanction)? Please specify:

121-1. Can a judge be transferred to another court without his/her consent:

- For disciplinary reasons
- For organisational reasons
- For other reasons (please specify modalities and safeguards):
- No

Comments

122. Is there a probation period for judges (e.g. before being appointed "for life")? If yes, how long is this period?

Yes, duration of the probation period (in years):

No

Comments

123. Are public prosecutors appointed to office for an undetermined period (i.e. "for life" = until the official age of retirement)?

Yes, please indicate the compulsory retirement age:

No

Comments - If yes, are there exceptions (e.g. dismissal as a disciplinary sanction)? Please specify:

124. Is there a probation period for public prosecutors? If yes, how long is this period?

Yes, duration of the probation period (in years):

No

Comments

125. If the mandate of judges is not for an undetermined period (see question 121), what is the length of the mandate (in years)?

[]

NA

NAP

Comments

125-1. Is it renewable?

Yes

No

NAP

Comments

126. If the mandate of public prosecutors is not for an undetermined period (see question 123), what is the length of the mandate (in years)?

[]

NA

NAP

Comments

126-1. Is it renewable?

Yes

No

NAP

Comments

E1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources:

5.2. Training

5.2.1 Training of judges

127. Types of different trainings offered to judges:

	Compulsory	Optional	No training proposed
Initial training (e.g. attend a judicial school, traineeship in a court)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
General in-service training	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
In-service training for specialised judicial functions (e.g. judge for economic or administrative issues)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
In-service training for management functions of the court (e.g. court president)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
In-service training for the use of computer facilities in courts	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
In-service training on ethics	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
In-service training on child-friendly justice	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
In-service training on gender equality	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Other in- service training	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Comments In 2022 training program covered the following areas: criminal, civil, labor, commercial, and administrative and misdemeanor law, human rights and European Union law. The training aimed at acquiring and improving special knowledge and skills (such as integrity and ethics, computer literacy) was singled out as a separate area.

128. Frequency of the in-service training of judges:

	Frequency of the judges training
General in-service training	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
In-service training for specialised judicial functions (e.g. judge for economic or administrative issues)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed

In-service training for management functions of the court (e.g. court president)	<input type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
In-service training for the use of computer facilities in courts	<input type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
In-service training on ethics	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
In-service training on child-friendly justice	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
In-service training on gender equality	<input type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
Other in- service training	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed

Comments - Please indicate any information on the periodicity of the continuous training of judges:

128-1. Do you have a minimum number of compulsory trainings per judge:

	Per judge
Initial compulsory training – minimum number of trainings	<hr/> Min numeric value allowed : 0 6 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Initial compulsory training – minimum number of days	<hr/> Min numeric value allowed : 0 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
In-service compulsory trainings – minimum number of trainings per year	<hr/> Min numeric value allowed : 0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
In-service compulsory trainings – minimum number of days per year	<hr/> Min numeric value allowed : 0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

5.2.2 Training of prosecutors

129. Types of different trainings offered to public prosecutors:

	Compulsory	Optional	No training proposed
Initial training	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
General in-service training	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
In-service training for specialised functions (e.g. public prosecutors specialised in organised crime)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
In-service training for management functions (e.g. Head of prosecution office, manager)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
In-service training for the use of computer facilities in office	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
In-service training on ethics	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
In-service training on child-friendly justice	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
In-service training on gender equality	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Other in- service training	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Comments In 2022 training program covered the following areas: criminal, civil, labor, commercial, and administrative and misdemeanor law, human rights and European Union law. The training aimed at acquiring and improving special knowledge and skills (such as integrity and ethics, computer literacy) was singled out as a separate area.

130. Frequency of the in-service training of public prosecutors :

	Frequency of the in-service training
General in-service training	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
In-service training for specialised functions (e.g. public prosecutor specialised in organised crime)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
In-service training for management functions (e.g. Head of prosecution office, manager)	<input type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed

In-service training for the use of computer facilities in office	<input type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
In-service training on ethics	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
In-service training on child-friendly justice	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
In-service training on gender equality	<input type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
Other in- service training	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed

Comments - Please indicate any information on the periodicity of the in-service training of prosecutors:

130-1. Do you have a minimum number of compulsory trainings per prosecutor:

	Per prosecutor
Initial compulsory training – minimum number of trainings	_____ Min numeric value allowed : 0 6 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Initial compulsory training – minimum number of days	_____ Min numeric value allowed : 0 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
In-service compulsory trainings – minimum number of trainings per year	_____ Min numeric value allowed : 0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
In-service compulsory trainings – minimum number of days per year	_____ Min numeric value allowed : 0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

5.2.3 Training institutions

131. Do you have public training institutions for judges and / or prosecutors?

	Initial training only	Continuous training only	Initial and continuous training
Institution(s) for judges	[]	[]	[]
Institution(s) for prosecutors	[]	[]	[]
Institution(s) for both judges and prosecutors	[]	[]	[]

Comments

131-0. If yes, what is the implemented budget of such institution(s)?

	Implemented budget of the institution for the reference year, in €
Institution(s) for judges	[] NA [X] NAP
Institution(s) for prosecutors	[] NA [X] NAP
Institution(s) for both judges and prosecutors	4 200 813 [] NA [] NAP

Comments

131-1. If judges and/or prosecutors have no compulsory initial training in such institutions, please indicate briefly how judges and/or prosecutors are trained?

5.2.4 Number of trainings

131-2. Number of in-service trainings available and delivered (in days) by the public institution(s) responsible for training.

	Number of different live (in person, hybrid, videoconference) trainings available	Number of live (in person, hybrid, videoconference) trainings delivered	Number of days of delivered live (in person, hybrid, videoconference) trainings	Number of internet-based trainings available on the e-learning platform of the training institution (not live)
Total	260 [] NA [] NAP	260 [] NA [] NAP	332 [] NA [] NAP	7 [] NA [] NAP
For judges	210 [] NA [] NAP	210 [] NA [] NAP	268 [] NA [] NAP	1 [] NA [] NAP

For prosecutors	190 [] NA [] NAP	190 [] NA [] NAP	245 [] NA [] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP
For non-judge staff	70 [] NA [] NAP	70 [] NA [] NAP	82 [] NA [] NAP	6 [] NA [] NAP
For non-prosecutor staff	75 [] NA [] NAP	75 [] NA [] NAP	90 [] NA [] NAP	6 [] NA [] NAP

Comments

131-3. Number of participants in the trainings during the reference year.

	Number of participants in live (in-person, hybrid, videoconference) trainings	Number of participants in internet-based trainings provided on the e-learning platform of the training institution (not live)
Total	3 265 [] NA [] NAP	64 [] NA [] NAP
Judges	1 651 [] NA [] NAP	20 [] NA [] NAP
Prosecutors	947 [] NA [] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP
Non-judge staff	281 [] NA [] NAP	22 [] NA [] NAP
Non-prosecutor staff	386 [] NA [] NAP	22 [] NA [] NAP

Comments

E2. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources:

5.3.Practice of the profession

5.3.1Salaries and benefits of judges and prosecutors

132. Salaries of judges and public prosecutors on 31 December of the reference year:

	Gross annual salary, in €	Net annual salary, in €	Gross annual salary, in local currency	Net annual salary, in local currency
First instance professional judge at the beginning of his/her career	20 967 [] NA [] NAP	12 649 [] NA [] NAP	2 460 000 [] NA [] NAP	1 484 400 [] NA [] NAP

Judge of the Supreme Court or the Highest Appellate Court (please indicate the highest salary of a judge at this level, excluding the salary of the Court President)	49 741 [] NA [] NAP	30 020 [] NA [] NAP	5 835 780 [] NA [] NAP	3 522 072 [] NA [] NAP
Public prosecutor at the beginning of his/her career	18 368 [] NA [] NAP	12 858 [] NA [] NAP	2 160 000 [] NA [] NAP	1 512 000 [] NA [] NAP
Public prosecutor of the Supreme Court or the Highest Appellate Instance (please indicate the highest salary of a public prosecutor at this level, excluding the salary of the Attorney General).	34 595 [] NA [] NAP	24 186 [] NA [] NAP	4 068 000 [] NA [] NAP	2 844 000 [] NA [] NAP

Comment – Please describe briefly how the salaries are determined during the career of a judge/prosecutor:

133. Do judges and public prosecutors have additional benefits?

	Judges	Public prosecutors
Reduced taxation	() Yes (X) No	() Yes (X) No
Special pension	() Yes (X) No	() Yes (X) No
Housing	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No
Other financial benefit	() Yes (X) No	() Yes (X) No

Comments

134. If “other financial benefit”, please specify:

[X] NAP

=

135. Can judges combine their work with any of the following functions/activities?

	With remuneration	Without remuneration
Teaching	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No
Research and publication	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No

Arbitrator	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Consultant	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Cultural function	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Political function	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Mediator	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Other function	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Comments - If rules exist in your country (e.g. authorisation needed to perform these activities), please specify. If “other function”, please specify.

137. Can public prosecutors combine their work with any of the following functions/activities?

	With remuneration	Without remuneration
Teaching	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Research and publication	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Arbitrator	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Consultant	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Cultural function	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Political function	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Mediator	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Other function	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Comments - If rules exist in your country (e.g. authorisation needed to perform these activities), please specify. If “other function”, please specify:

139. Productivity bonuses: do judges receive bonuses based on the fulfilment of quantitative objectives in relation to the number of resolved cases (e.g. number of cases resolved over a given period of time)?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify the conditions and if possible the amounts:

5.3.2 Body/institution of ethics

138. Is there in your country an institution / body giving guidelines and/or opinions on ethical questions of the conduct of judges (e.g. involvement in political life, use of social media by judges, etc.)?

Yes

No

Comment - Please specify:

138-1. If yes, who are the members of this institution/body?

Only judges

Judges and other legal professionals

Other, please specify:

Comments

138-2. Are the guidelines and/or opinions of this institution / body publicly available?

Yes

No

Comments - Please describe the work of this institution / body, the frequency of the guidelines and/or opinions, etc.:

138-2-1. How many guidelines and/or opinions were given during the reference year?

[12]

[] NA

Comments – Please specify what were the topics addressed in these guidelines and/or opinions

138-3. Is there in your country an institution / body giving guidelines and/or opinions on ethical questions of the conduct of prosecutors (e.g. involvement in political life, use of social media by prosecutors, etc.)

Yes

No

Comment: Please specify

138-4. If yes, who are the members of this institution/body?

Only prosecutors

Prosecutors and other legal professionals

Other, please specify:

Comments

138-5. Are the guidelines and/or opinions of this institution / body publicly available?

Yes

No

Comments - Please describe the work of this institution / body, the frequency of opinions, etc.

138-5-1. How many guidelines and/or opinions were given during the reference year?

[]

[X] NA

Comments – Please specify what were the topics addressed in these guidelines and/or opinions

5.4. Disciplinary procedures

5.4.1 Authorities responsible for disciplinary procedures and sanctions

140. Who is authorised to initiate disciplinary proceedings against judges (multiple replies possible)?

- Court users
- Relevant Court or hierarchical superior
- High Court / Supreme Court
- High Judicial Council
- Disciplinary court
- Disciplinary body
- Ombudsman
- Parliament
- Executive power (please specify):
- Other (please specify):
- This is not possible

Comments

141. Who is authorised to initiate disciplinary proceedings against public prosecutors: (multiple replies possible):

- Citizens
- Head of the organisational unit or hierarchical superior public prosecutor
- Prosecutor General /State public prosecutor
- Public prosecutorial Council (High Judicial Council)
- Disciplinary court
- Disciplinary body
- Ombudsman
- Professional body
- Executive power (please specify):
- Other (please specify):
- This is not possible

Comments

142. Which authority has disciplinary power over judges (multiple replies possible)?

- Court
- Higher Court / Supreme Court
- High Judicial Council
- Disciplinary court or body
- Ombudsman
- Parliament
- Executive power (please specify):
- Other (please specify):

Comments

143. Which authority has disciplinary power over public prosecutors (multiple replies possible)?

- Supreme Court
- Head of the organisational unit or hierarchical superior
- Prosecutor General /State public prosecutor
- Public prosecutorial Council (High Judicial Council)
- Disciplinary court or body
- Ombudsman
- Professional body
- Executive power (please specify):
- Other (please specify):

Comments

5.4.2 Number of disciplinary procedures and sanctions

144. Number of disciplinary proceedings initiated during the reference year against judges and public prosecutors. (If a disciplinary proceeding is undertaken because of several reasons, please count the proceedings only once and for the main reason.)

	Judges	Prosecutors
Total number (1+2+3+4)	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
1. Breach of professional ethics	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
2. Professional inadequacy	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
3. Criminal offence	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
4. Other	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If "other", please specify:

145. Number of sanctions pronounced during the reference year against judges and public prosecutors:

	Judges	Prosecutors
Total number (total 1 to 10)	9 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	3 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
1. Reprimand	7 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
2. Suspension	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
3. Withdrawal from cases	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
4. Fine	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
5. Temporary reduction of salary	1 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	2 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
6. Position downgrade	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
7. Transfer to another geographical (court) location	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
8. Resignation	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
9. Other	1 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	1 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
10. Dismissal	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If "other", please specify. If a significant difference exists between the number of disciplinary proceedings and the number of sanctions, please indicate the reasons.

E3. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: High Judicial Council, High Prosecutorial Council

6.Lawyers

6.1. Profession of lawyer

6.1.1 Status of the profession of lawyers

146. Total number of lawyers practising in your country:

	Total	Males	Females
Number of lawyers	11 822 <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments

147. Does this figure include “legal advisors” who cannot represent their clients in court (for example, some solicitors or in-house counsellors)?

Yes ()

No (X)

Comments

148. Number of legal advisors who cannot represent their clients in court:

[]

[] NA

[X] NAP

Comments

=

149. Is legal representation in courts exclusively exercised by lawyers in: (multiple replies possible)

	First instance	Second instance	Highest instance court (Supreme Court)
Civil cases	() Yes always () Yes in some cases (X) No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	() Yes always () Yes in some cases (X) No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	(X) Yes always () Yes in some cases () No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Dismissal cases	() Yes always () Yes in some cases (X) No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	() Yes always () Yes in some cases (X) No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	(X) Yes always () Yes in some cases () No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Criminal cases – Defendant	() Yes always (X) Yes in some cases () No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	() Yes always (X) Yes in some cases () No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	(X) Yes always () Yes in some cases () No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Criminal cases – Victim	() Yes always () Yes in some cases (X) No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	() Yes always () Yes in some cases (X) No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	(X) Yes always () Yes in some cases () No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Administrative cases	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes always	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes always	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes always
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - Please indicate any useful clarifications regarding the content of lawyers' exclusive rights:

149-0. If other than lawyers may represent a client in court, please specify who:

	First instance	Second instance	Highest instance court (Supreme Court)
Civil society organisation	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Family member	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Self-representation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Trade union	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Other	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Comments - If "other", please specify. In addition, for the categories selected please specify the types of cases concerned by this/these representation(s):

149-1. In addition to the functions of legal representation and legal advice, can a lawyer exercise other activities?

- Notarial activity
- Arbitration / mediation
- Proxy / representation
- Property manager
- Real estate agent
- Other (please specify):

Comments

149-2. Professional lawyers may have the status of:

- Self-employed lawyer
- Staff lawyer
- In-house lawyer

Comments

150. Is the lawyer profession organised through:

- a national bar association
- a regional bar association
- a local bar association

Comments

151. Is there a specific initial training and/or exam to enter the profession of lawyer?

Yes

No

Comments - Please indicate if there are other specific requirements as regards diplomas or university degrees:

152. Is there a mandatory general in-service professional training system for lawyers?

Yes

No

Comments

153. Is the specialisation in some legal fields linked to specific training, levels of qualification, specific diploma or specific authorisations?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

F1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: Law on Civil Procedure Code
Law on Criminal Procedure Code
Law on juvenile offenders
Law on the prohibition on discrimination
Law on administrative disputes
Law about attorney at laws

6.1.2 Practicing the profession of lawyer

154. Can court users establish easily what the lawyers' fees will be (i.e. a prior information on the foreseeable amount of fees)?

Yes

No

Comments TARIFF ON AWARDS AND COMPENSATIONS FOR LAWYERS' WORK ("Official Gazette of Republic of Serbia", br. 121/2012, 99/2020 and 37/2021)

155. Are lawyers' fees freely negotiated?

Yes

No

Comments

156. Do laws or bar standards provide any rules on lawyers' fees (including those freely negotiated)?

- Yes, laws provide rules
- Yes, standards of the bar association provide rules
- No, neither laws nor bar association standards provide rules

Comments Article 23 of Law on Legal Profession:

A lawyer has the right to remuneration and reimbursement of expenses for his work, in accordance with the tariff adopted by the Serbian Bar Association.

The amount of the remuneration for the work of a lawyer is determined depending on the type of procedure, the action taken, the value of the dispute or the amount of the threatened penalty.

The amount of the award for defense ex officio is determined by an act issued by the Minister of Justice.

The lawyer is obliged to issue to the client a calculation of the reward and reimbursement of costs for the performed actions and expenses for costs.

The calculation of the lawyer's fee and reimbursement is a credible document in the enforcement proceedings.

6.1.3 Quality standards and disciplinary procedures for lawyers



157. Have quality standards been determined for lawyers?

- Yes
- No

Comments - If yes, what are the quality criteria used? Article 197 of Statute of Bar Associations of Serbia:

Lawyers and trainee lawyers are obliged to practice law responsibly, professionally and conscientiously and to preserve the reputation of the legal profession.

Lawyers and trainee lawyers are subject to disciplinary action for breaches of duty and for damaging the reputation of the bar, in accordance with the provisions of the Law on Advocacy, this Statute and the Code of Professional Ethics of Lawyers.

158. If yes, who is responsible for formulating these quality standards:

- the bar association
- the Parliament
- other (please specify):

Comments Statute of Bar Associations of Serbia

159. Is it possible to file a complaint about:

- the performance of lawyers
- the amount of fees

Comments - Please specify: Article 201 Paragraph 3 of Statute of Bar Associations of Serbia- Disciplinary proceedings may be initiated on the basis of an application submitted by an interested person or a state body, on the basis of a proposal of the body of the Bar Association or ex officio.

160. Which authority is responsible for disciplinary procedures?

- a judge
- Ministry of Justice
- a professional authority
- other (please specify):

Comments The disciplinary bodies of the Bar Association are the Disciplinary Prosecutor and the Disciplinary Court, which are elected by the Assembly of the Bar Association Chamber exclusively by secret ballot.

161. Disciplinary proceedings initiated against lawyers. (If a disciplinary proceeding is undertaken because of several reasons, please count the proceedings only once and for the main reason.)

	Number of disciplinary proceedings
Total number of disciplinary proceedings initiated (1 + 2 + 3 + 4)	501 [] NA [] NAP
1. Breach of professional ethics	[X] NA [] NAP
2. Professional inadequacy	[X] NA [] NAP
3. Criminal offence	[X] NA [] NAP
4. Other	[X] NA [] NAP

Comments - If "other", please specify: Disciplinary proceedings against lawyers are initiated, in accordance with the regulations on the legal profession, before the chambers of the Bar Association of Serbia in whose directory the lawyer is registered. Bar Association of Serbia acts as a second instance authority.

162. Sanctions pronounced against lawyers.

	Number of sanctions
Total number of sanctions (1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5)	30 [] NA [] NAP
1. Reprimand	1 [] NA [] NAP
2. Suspension	[X] NA [] NAP
3. Withdrawal from cases	5 [] NA [] NAP
4. Fine	15 [] NA [] NAP
5. Other	9 [] NA [] NAP

Comments - If "other", please specify. If a significant difference between the number of disciplinary proceedings and the number of sanctions exists, please indicate the reasons.

7. Court related mediation and other alternative Dispute Resolution

7.1. Court related mediation

7.1.1 Details on court related mediation

163. Does the judicial system provide for court-related mediation procedures?

Yes

No

Comments

163-1. In some fields, does the judicial system provide for mandatory mediation with a mediator?

Before/instead of going to court

Ordered by the court, the judge, the public prosecutor or a public authority in the course of a judicial proceeding

No mandatory mediation

Comments - If there is mandatory mediation, please specify which fields are concerned:

163-2. In some fields, does the legal system provide for mandatory informative sessions with a mediator?

Yes

No

Comments - If there are mandatory informative sessions, please specify which fields are concerned:

164. Please specify, by type of cases, who provides court-related mediation services:

	Private mediator	Public authority (other than the court)	Judge	Public prosecutor
Civil and commercial cases	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Family cases	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Administrative cases	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Labour cases including employment dismissals	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Criminal cases	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Consumer cases	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

165. Is there a possibility to receive legal aid for court-related mediation or receive these services free of charge?

Yes

No

NAP

Comments - If yes, please specify:

=

166. Number of accredited or registered mediators for court-related mediation:

	Total	Males	Females
Number of mediators	1 677 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	532 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	1 145 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

166-1. Could you please describe what are the requirements and what is the procedure to become an accredited or registered mediator in your country (educational requirements, working experiences, accrediting procedure etc.)?

. The conditions stipulated by the law for the performance of mediation activities are: legal capacity, citizenship of the Republic of Serbia, completed basic training, higher education, that the person has not been sentenced to an unconditional prison sentence for a criminal offense that makes him unfit to perform mediation activities, possession of a mediation license and enrollment in the Register of Mediators. The request is submitted to the Ministry of Justice, which issues licenses for mediation to persons who meet the conditions and registers them in the Registry of Mediators.

167. Number of court-related mediations:

	Number of cases for which the parties agreed to start mediation	Number of finished court-related mediations	Number of cases in which there is a settlement agreement
Total (1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5 + 6 + 7)	1 942 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
1. Civil and commercial cases	541 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
2. Family cases	136 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
3. Administrative cases	3 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

4. Labour cases including employment dismissal cases	121 [] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP
5. Criminal cases	15 [] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP
6. Consumer cases	318 [] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP
7. Other cases	808 [] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP

Comments - Please indicate the source:

=

168. Do the following alternative dispute resolution (ADR) methods exist in your country?

- Mediation other than court-related mediation
- Arbitration
- Conciliation (if different from mediation)
- Other ADR (please specify):

Comments

G1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Source:

8. Enforcement of court decisions

8.1. Execution of decisions in civil matters

8.1.1 Number of enforcement agents, status and mandate

169. Number and type of enforcement agents in your country.

	Total	Male	Female
Total (1+2+3+4)	373 [] NA	[X] NA	[X] NA
1. Private professionals under the authority (control) of public authorities	233 [] NA [] NAP	133 [] NA [] NAP	100 [] NA [] NAP
2. Enforcement agents working in a public institution (civil servants paid by state)	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP

3. Judges	140 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
4. Other	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If other, please specify their status and competences:

170. What are the requirements to access the profession of enforcement agent (multiple replies possible)?

- diploma
- professional experience
- specific exam
- appointment procedure by the State
- initial training
- other

Comments - If "other", please specify: This issue is governed by Article 471 of the Law on Enforcement and Security. The public enforcement officer is appointed by the minister, for the area of the higher court and the area of the commercial court. An citizen of the Republic of Serbia with business capacity who meets the following conditions can be appointed as a public enforcement officer:

- 1) graduated from the Faculty of Law and has passed the exam for public enforcement officer and bar exam;
- 2) has completed initial training and has at least two years of work experience in the legal profession after passing the bar exam;
- 3) worthy of the activity of a public enforcement officer;
- 4) the partnership of which he is a partner is not subject to bankruptcy proceedings;
- 5) there is no criminal proceeding against him for a criminal offense against legal traffic or official duty, he has not been convicted of a criminal offense to an unconditional prison sentence of at least six months or for a criminal offense that makes him unworthy of the activity of a public enforcement officer.

A person who has been dismissed from the duties of public enforcement officer or public notary, who has been issued a disciplinary measure of deletion from the list of lawyers or has been dismissed from the office of judge or public prosecutor or deputy public prosecutor cannot be appointed as a public enforcement officer.

Worthiness for the activity of a public enforcement officer is determined according to generally accepted moral norms and the Code of Ethics for public enforcement officer and the Standards of professional conduct of public enforcement officers.

171. Are enforcement agents appointed to office for an undetermined period (i.e. "for life" = until the official age of retirement)?

- Yes, please indicate the age of retirement: 67
- No, please specify the duration of the appointment:

Comments - If yes, are there exceptions (e.g. dismissal as a disciplinary sanction)? Please specify:

8.1.2 Activities/scope of competence

171-1. Which debtor's information can the enforcement agent access at the beginning of the enforcement procedure?

Access to information	Direct electronic access to information
-----------------------	---

Address	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No
Date of birth	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No
Civil status	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No
Cohabitant	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No
Employer	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No
Motor vehicle	(X) Yes () No	() Yes (X) No
Movable property	(X) Yes () No	() Yes (X) No
Immovable property	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No
Bank account	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No
Other enforcement proceedings underway	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No
Insolvency proceedings (bankruptcy, judicial reorganisation, collective debt settlement etc.)	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No
Other	() Yes (X) No	() Yes (X) No

Comments - If "other", please specify:

171-2. Can the enforcement agent carry out the following civil enforcement proceedings:

	Option
Seizure of movable tangible properties	(X) Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents () Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents () No [] NAP
Preventive seizure of movable tangible properties	() Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents (X) Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents () No [] NAP
Seizure of immovable properties	(X) Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents () Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents () No [] NAP

Preventive seizure of immovable properties	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Seizure from a third party of the debtor claims regarding a sum of money	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Seizure of remunerations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Seizure of motorised vehicles	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Eviction measures	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Seizures of boats and ships	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Seizure of aircrafts	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Seizure of electronic assets (e.g cryptocurrency)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Enforced sale by public tender of seized properties	(X) Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents () Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents () No [] NAP
Sale of shares	(X) Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents () Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents () No [] NAP
Other	() Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents () Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents () No [X] NAP

Comments

171-3. Apart from the enforcement of court decisions, what are the other activities that can be carried out by enforcement agents?

- Service of judicial and extrajudicial documents
- Debt recovery
- Voluntary or public auctions of moveable or immoveable property
- Custody of goods
- Recording and reporting of evidence
- Court hearings service
- Provision of legal advice
- Bankruptcy procedures
- Performing tasks assigned by judges
- Representing parties in courts
- Drawing up private deeds and documents
- Building manager
- Other

Comments

8.1.3 Training and ICT

172-1. Is there a system of mandatory general continuous training for enforcement agents?

- (X) Yes
- () No

Comments

172-2. Do you have an e-learning training system established for enforcement agents?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

172-3. Does the content of the continuous training system also include ICT (related to enforcement procedures)?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

172-4. Have an electronic service of documents or electronic notifications been introduced in your country?

Yes

No

Comments

172-5. Does the development of new technologies have an effect on the different stages of the enforcement procedure?

Yes

No

Comments - Please explain:

8.1.4 Fees

174. Are enforcement fees easily established and transparent for parties?

Yes

No

Comments there is a by-law - Public Enforcement Officer's Tariff, which is available on the Internet for all interested parties. This act is published on the Judicial Information System as well as on the website of the Chamber of Public Enforcement Officers

175-1. Are the fees charged in case of successful enforcement proceedings freely negotiated?

Yes

No

Comments

175-2. Who has to pay these fees if the enforcement proceedings are successful?

The debtor

The creditor

Other – please specify

Comments

176. Do laws provide any rules on enforcement fees (including those freely negotiated)?

Yes

No

Comments

H0. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Source: The Law on Enforcement and Security , Public Enforcement Officer's Tariff, The Rule Book on Professional Development of Public Enforcement Officers

8.1.5 Organisation of profession and efficiency of enforcement services



177. Is there a body entrusted with supervising and monitoring the enforcement agents' activity?

Yes

No

Comments The Ministry of Justice

The Chamber of Public Enforcement Officers

178. Which authority is responsible for supervising and monitoring enforcement agents?

professional body

judge

Ministry of Justice

public prosecutor

other (please specify):

Comments professional body (Chamber of Public Enforcement Officers)

181. Is there a specific mechanism for executing court decisions rendered against public authorities, including supervising such execution?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify: This issue is regulated by Article 300 of the Law on nforcement and Security.

The enforcement creditor is obliged to notify the ministry in charge of finance of the intention to submit a proposal for enforcement in writing no later than 30 days before submitting the proposal for enforcement. The enforcement creditor is obliged to submit proof of the sent notification with the proposal for execution under the threat of rejection.

The proposal for enforcement is decided by the public enforcement officer appointed for the area of the court in the procedure before which the enforcement document was created. Before submitting the proposal for enforcement, the enforcement creditor is obliged to request the Chamber to designate a public enforcement officer to whom the proposal for enforcement will be submitted.

182. Is there a system for monitoring how the enforcement procedure is conducted by the enforcement agent?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify: The public enforcement officer submits a report on business operations to the Ministry of Justice and the Chamber at least once a year.

Data from the annual report are published on the website of the Ministry and the Chamber.

Once a day, the public enforcement officer submits a regular report on operations from the records of enforcement and security procedures to the Ministry.

183. What are the main complaints made by users concerning the enforcement procedure? Please indicate a maximum of 3.

- no execution at all
- non execution of court decisions against public authorities
- lack of information
- excessive length
- unlawful practices
- insufficient supervision
- excessive cost
- unethical behaviour of enforcement agent
- other (please specify):

Comments

185. Is there a system measuring the length of enforcement procedures:

	Existence of the system
for civil cases	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
for administrative cases	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Comments

186. Regarding a decision on debt collection, please estimate the average timeframe to serve and/or notify the decision to the parties who live in the city where the court sits (one option only):

- between 1 and 5 days
- between 6 and 10 days
- between 11 and 30 days
- more (please specify):
- NA

Comments There is no law-prescribed timeframe to serve and/or notify the decision to the parties who live in the city where the court sits. The documents are being served to the parties without delay.

187. Number of disciplinary proceedings initiated against enforcement agents. (If a disciplinary proceeding is undertaken because of several reasons, please count the proceedings only once and for the main reason.)

	Number of disciplinary proceedings initiated
Total number of initiated disciplinary proceedings (1+2+3+4)	9 [] NA [] NAP
1. For breach of professional ethics	8 [] NA [] NAP
2. For professional inadequacy	1 [] NA [] NAP
3. For criminal offence	0 [] NA [] NAP
4. Other	0 [] NA [] NAP

Comments - If "other", please specify:

188. Number of sanctions pronounced against enforcement agents:

	Number of sanctions pronounced
Total number of sanctions (1+2+3+4+5)	7 [] NA [] NAP
1. Reprimand	2 [] NA [] NAP
2. Suspension	1 [] NA [] NAP
3. Withdrawal from cases	0 [] NA [] NAP
4. Fine	4 [] NA [] NAP
5. Other	0 [] NA [] NAP

Comments - If "other", please specify. If a significant difference between the number of disciplinary proceedings and the number of sanctions exists, please indicate the reasons:

H1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Source: Law on Enforcement and Security
The Ministry of Justice

8.2. Execution of decisions in criminal matters

8.2.1 Functioning of execution in criminal matters

189. Which authority is in charge of the enforcement of judgments in criminal matters? (multiple replies possible)

Judge

Public prosecutor

Prison and Probation Services

Enforcement agent

Other authority (please specify): The police enforce the penalty of seizure of driving license and safety measures of ban on driving a motor vehicle and expulsion of foreigners from the country; - The measure of compulsory psychiatric treatment without confiscation shall be executed in a health care institution designated by the court that imposed the measure; - When performing a profession, activity or duty is tied with approval of competent authority, the security measure of prohibition of performing a profession, activity or duty shall be enforced by the competent inspection; - The security measure of publication of the judgment is implemented by the media determined by the court of first instance; - Safeguard measures imposed for misdemeanour offenses and economic offenses are enforced in the manner provided for security measures imposed for a criminal offense; - The guardianship/custodial authority is authorised to carry out the corrective measures, except of prison sentences; - Educational measures of referral to an educational institution and referral to a special institution for treatment and training are carried out in the appropriate institutions.

Comments - Please specify his/her functions and duties (e.g. initiative or monitoring functions). The Directorate for the Enforcement of Penal Sanctions organises, implements and monitors the enforcement of a prison sentence, juvenile imprisonment, community service sanctions, probation with protective supervision, security measures of compulsory psychiatric treatment and confinement in a medical institution, compulsory treatment of drug addicts and mandatory treatment of alcoholics and educational measures of committal to a correctional home and supervises individuals on probation if the court decision orders the convict to fulfill an obligation.

The Directorate for the Enforcement of Penal Sanctions continuously implements the measures and activities prescribed by the Strategy for the Development of the System of Enforcement of Penal Sanctions by 2020 ("Official Gazette of the RS" No. 114/2013) and the Strategy for Reducing Overcrowding in Penitential Facilities in the Republic of Serbia by 2020 ("Official Gazette of the RS" No. 43/2017).

In accordance with the Strategy for Reducing Overcrowding in Penitential Facilities in the Republic of Serbia by 2020 and the Recommendations of the European Committee for the Prevention of Torture and Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment, the Penal Enforcement Administration works to improve accommodation conditions and build capacities in prisons. The most important investment is the completion of the construction of a new prison in Pancevo for the accommodation of 555 persons deprived of freedom, which began working in October 2018. The Penal and Correctional Institution in Pancevo was built in accordance with the international standards in terms of accommodation for convicted persons, sports facilities, workshops, rooms for the accommodation of persons with disabilities; healthcare stations, hospital rooms and dental office fully equipped. The Institute is equipped with state-of-the-art security protection systems.

In addition to building new accommodation facilities, the problem of overcrowding has also been addressed by the imposition of alternative sanctions. The number of the imposed alternative sanctions and measures increased in year 2018 compared to the previous period. In 2018, the Administration had 5000 decisions on pronounced alternative measures and sanctions, submitted for execution. The system of enforcement of alternative sanctions is constantly being improved, so that the enforcement of alternative sanctions currently covers 16.9% of the total number of criminal sanctions, which are within the competence of the Administration. The amendments to the Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions from May 2019 provide for the possibility that even after the verdict becomes final, imprisonment of up to 1 year can be replaced by a sentence of house imprisonment, will further reduce the number of short-term imprisonments.

Based on the measures prescribed by the Strategy and the recommendations of the European Committee for the Prevention of Torture and Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment, in the field of treatment and extension of treatment programs and activities for convicts, as well as the improvement of employee training, within the EU twinning project "Strengthening the Capacities of the Prison System in the RS" manuals were developed for the implementation of new treatment programs and education of trainers – employees in the service

for the treatment and application of specialized treatment programs for prisoners and vulnerable groups of prisoners, all with the purpose of their successful reintegration.

Also, within the project of the Council of Europe, funded by the EU "Horizontal Facility for the Western Balkans and Turkey", under the section "Strengthening the protection of human rights of persons deprived of their freedom", general and specialized programs for offenders have been drawn up. Within the framework of this project, a preparation program for the release of convicted persons sentenced to imprisonment of more than 5 years was developed, with the involvement of representatives of the prisons, probation officers, the National Employment Service and non-governmental organizations.

Within the framework of this project, representatives of the Directorate for Enforcement of Criminal Sanctions also participated in the drafting of the Mental Health Strategy of the Ministry of Health, with the aim of establishing priorities for improving the protection of persons with mental disorders in prisons. The Special Prison Hospital participated in the experts' seminars of the Council of Europe dedicated to developing models of individualized treatment plans for psychiatric patients, with the representatives of all specialist psychiatric hospitals, and organized the education of hospital staff (physicians, sociologists, pedagogues and nurses).

With the support of the OSCE Mission to Serbia, a Network of NGOs has been established to deal with the post-penitentiary reception of prisoners and the provision of assistance and support after their release from prison. Trust offices (probation services) in the process of admitting persons after serving a sentence of imprisonment through the provision of assistance and support, will develop cooperation with the aforementioned institutions and organizations.

190. Are the effective recovery rates of fines decided by a criminal court evaluated by studies?

Yes

No

Comments

191. If yes, what is the recovery rate?

80-100%

50-79%

less than 50%

Comments - Please indicate the source for answering this question:

9. Notaries

9.1. Profession of notary

9.1.1 Number, status and mandate of notaries



192. Number and status of notaries in your country.

	Total	Males	Females
TOTAL (1+2+3+4)	223 [] NA [] NAP	127 [] NA [] NAP	96 [] NA [] NAP
1. Private professionals (without control from public authorities)	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP
2. Holders of public offices appointed by the State	223 [] NA [] NAP	127 [] NA [] NAP	96 [] NA [] NAP

3.Civil servants (paid by the State)	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
4. Other	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If "Other", please specify the status, or if "holder of a public office appointed by the State", please indicate which ministry is mainly engaged in the appointment procedure: The Chamber of Notaries submits the applications to the Ministry of Justice, and the notary is appointed by the Minister of Justice.

192-1. What are the access conditions to the profession of notary (multiple replies possible):

- diploma
- professional experience
- specific exam
- appointment procedure by the State
- initial training
- other (please specify):

Comments The fee in the amount of 1.000,00 EUR has been established for the purpose of the registration into the Register of notaries. The conditions for appointment are prescribed by Article 25 of the Law on Public Notaries. A person who meets the following conditions can be appointed as a public notary:

- 1) that he is a citizen of the Republic of Serbia;
- 2) that he has business ability and has general health capacity;
- 3) that he has a law faculty diploma in the Republic of Serbia or that he has certified a law faculty diploma obtained outside the Republic of Serbia;
- 4) that it has passed the bar exam and the exam for a public notary;
- 5) to have at least five years of work experience in the legal profession after passing the bar exam;
- 6) that it is worthy of public trust for the performance of public notary duties;
- 7) to speak, write and read the Serbian language, and in those areas of the local self-government unit where the language of the minority is in official use, to know the language of that minority as well or to attach an agreement on cooperation with a court interpreter for the language of that minority;
- 8) that he has or can prove that he will provide appropriate premises and equipment for performing the activities of a public notary.

192-2. Are notaries appointed to office for an undetermined period (i.e. "for life" = until the official age of retirement)?

- yes, please indicate the age of retirement:67
- no, please specify the duration of the appointment:

Comments - are there exceptions (e.g. dismissal as a disciplinary sanction)? Please specify:

9.1.2 Activities/scope of competences

194. What kind of activities do notaries perform (multiple options possible)?

Please select one option

Authentication	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Certification of signatures	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Mediation	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Taking of oaths	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Non-contentious judicial procedures (e.g. acting as court commissioner in a successions file, performing divorce, division of estate, please specify)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Act as civil servant (for example performing marriage, please specify)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Other judicial functions (for example, payment orders)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Public auctions	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Other (for example collect taxes, run registers etc.)

- Yes, exclusively performed by notaries
 Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries
 No
 NAP

Comments - If "other", please specify. Please indicate any useful clarifications regarding the content of the notaries' exclusive rights or, on the opposite, other bodies that also have competences for the listed activities. Legality control of the document- Notary The notary is obliged to explain to the parties the meaning of the legal transaction, to point out its consequences and to examine whether the legal transaction is allowed, ie that it is not in conflict with regulations, public order and good customs.

If he/she determines that the conditions referred to in this Article are not met, the notary shall refuse solemnization of the document.(Article 93 of Law on Public Notary)

Public Notary acts as court commissioner in a successions file (80% of all succession cases are distributed to notaries by courts)

194-2. In which areas of law do notaries perform their activities (multiple replies possible)?

- Real estate transaction
 Family law
 Succession law
 Company law
 Legality control of gambling activities
 Protection of vulnerable persons
 Other

Comments The marriage contract is concluded in the form of a notarized (solemnized) document. During the confirmation (solemnization) of the contract, the notary public is obliged to warn the contractors in particular that it excludes the legal regime of joint property, which is noted in the confirmation clause. The agreement on the division of the joint property of the spouses is concluded in the form of a notarial (solemnized) deed.

A statement of acknowledgment of paternity may be given in front of notary (not exclusively).

The form of a notarial deed may have:

- 1) record of the founding shareholders' meeting and other meeting of the joint stock company that has more than 100 shareholders, if it is provided by the founding act;
- 2) record from the session of another body of the joint stock company, when that body, in accordance with the law governing companies and the general act of that company, decides on issues within the competence of the general meeting.

The founding act of a company may stipulate that the form of a notarial deed must have the record of the meeting and other bodies of the company, or the records of the meeting of the bodies of another type of company.

9.1.3 ICT, organisation of the profession and training

194-3. Do notaries use specialised ICT systems in their activity?

- In their relations with the State (e.g. courts, registries, chambers of commerce, tax authorities)
 In their relations with their clients
 In their relations with other notaries (e.g. videoconferencing, system to exchange documents)

Comments

194-4. Which computerised registries can notaries consult?

- Land registry
 Business registry

- Civil status / Population registry
- Succession / Family law registry
- Any other registry (please specify)
- None

Comments - Register of real estate contracts made in the form of a notarial act and real estate contracts concluded in the form of solemnized document is run by the basic court for real estate located in its territory.

- Register of accounts for natural persons run by National Bank of Serbia
- Register of address

194-5. Are there registries/ registry infrastructures run by the notaries?

- Yes
- No

Comments - If yes, please specify: - Register of Testaments
- Power of attorney register

194-6. In which computerised registries can notaries modify data (either directly or by submitting an online request)?

	Directly modifying	Indirectly modifying by submitting an online request
Land registry	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No [] NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No [] NAP
Business registry	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No [] NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No [] NAP
Civil status/ Population registry	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No [] NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No [] NAP
Succession / Family law registry	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No [] NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No [] NAP
Any other registry (please specify)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No [] NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No [] NAP
None	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No [] NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No [] NAP

Comments he public notary can make entries and modifications directly in the power of attorney register

=

194-7. What ICT tools are used by notaries in their relations with clients?

- Videoconferencing (e.g. digital advice)
- Digital act
- Digital identification
- Digital archiving

Other, please specify

None

Comments

194-8. Who is responsible to run the digital archives?

Notariat / Professional body

Other public authority

Another entity (please specify)

Comments Article 111, paragraph 4 of the Law on Public Notaries:

Notarial documents that are made electronically and are not printed on paper are stored on a compact disc in a cover, on which it is indicated that it contains a compact disc, as well as the name of the notarial document, and they can also be stored in a computer, on a portable memory connection or another suitable data carrier in digital form, i.e. in the digital archive maintained by the Chamber.

Document made in electronic form

Law on Public Notary

Article 70.

A notarial document that is made in electronic form, and not printed on paper, is considered a notarial document if the notary public and the parties have an electronic signature registered and deposited in accordance with the law, if it meets other requirements specified by law and if it is drawn up in an electronic format that determines minister by special act.

At the beginning of the document referred to in paragraph 1 of this article, the following must be entered: the number of pages in the electronic format and the number of characters, as well as the shape (font) and size of the letters, the electronic program in which the document was compiled, as well as the official technical characteristics of the computer.

The document referred to in paragraph 1 of this article has the status of a public document only in cases specified by law and can be used as a public document only when it is provided for by law.

A foreign notary document drawn up only in electronic form has, under the condition of reciprocity, the property of a public document when this is determined by the law of the country whose notary public drew up the document and the law of the Republic of Serbia and can be used as a public document in the Republic of Serbia only when the use of public documents in the electronic form provided for by the law of the Republic of Serbia.

Documents made in electronic form acquire the full effect of notarial documents by printing them on paper, if they meet the conditions stipulated in Art. 63 to 68 of this law.

The obligation and method of keeping documents that are created only in electronic form is regulated in accordance with a special law.

195. Is there an authority entrusted with supervising and monitoring the notaries' work?

Yes

No

Comments Law on Public Notary

Supervision of the ministry over the work of public notaries

Article 148a

The Ministry carries out supervision in the notary's office in which it checks whether the conditions are met in terms of premises and equipment necessary for work, supervises the work of notaries public with regard to the calculation and collection of the notary's reward and fees for the work performed and supervision of business operations and keeping records of actions performed by a notary public. The Ministry carries out supervision on its own initiative, on the proposal of the president of the basic court for whose area the public notary is appointed, or upon a party's complaint.

The Ministry is authorized to carry out the supervision referred to in paragraph 1 of this article:

- 1) requires documentation on the amount of the calculated and paid reward and expenses;
- 2) make an inspection of the work of the notary's office in order to verify the fulfillment of the conditions necessary for work;
- 3) inspect the records of actions undertaken;
- 4) obtain other data necessary to decide whether disciplinary proceedings will be initiated against the public notary.

Supervision of the Chamber over the work of public notaries

Article 148b

The Chamber, at least once every two years (regular supervision), performs expert supervision over the work of a public notary.

The Chamber can carry out extraordinary supervision following a complaint from a party or a participant in the procedure.

In addition to the authority of the ministry (Article 148a paragraph 3), the Chamber has the authority to:

- 1) inspect the subjects, data and other archival material of the public notary;
- 2) inspect business books and records of notary public actions, including dealing with documents taken over for safekeeping as well as dealing with seized money, securities and valuables;
- 3) request from the public notary all necessary data about his business;
- 4) obtain from competent authorities and organizations data on the operations of the notary public;
- 5) take other actions, in accordance with the law and regulation of the Chamber.

The monitoring report and evidence are forwarded to the Chamber's disciplinary prosecutor.

The manner of supervision of the Chamber over the work of public notaries is regulated by the act of the Chamber.

196. If yes, which authority is responsible for supervising and monitoring notaries (multiple options possible)?

- professional body
- court
- Ministry of Justice
- public prosecutor
- other (please specify):

Comments

196-1. Is there a system of general continuous training for all notaries?

- Yes
- No

Comments

196-2. Do notaries have training on:

	Yes	No
European law	(X)	()
Law of another Member State (cross-border training programmes)	(X)	()

Comments - If yes, please indicate the types (e.g. traditional courses, e-learning, webinar) and the major topics of the training activities:

I1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: Law on Public Notary
 Registers of the Chamber of Public Notaries
 Data from the Ministry of Justice

10.Judicial experts

10.1.Profession of judicial expert

10.1.1Status of judicial experts

202. In your system, what types of judicial experts can participate in judicial procedures (multiple replies possible):

Experts designated by the parties in support of their arguments but bound by a duty of independence and impartiality to the court

Experts appointed by the court or other authority independent of the parties

Other system of judicial expertise, please specify

Comments - Please specify who is proposing and appointing experts in an individual case.

202-1. Are there lists or any other form of official registration for judicial experts?

Yes

No

Comments

202-1-1. If yes, at which level is the list established (multiple replies possible):

national

administrative district or federal entity

judicial district

other

Comments - Please, indicate any other comment regarding these lists or databases of experts, if they do exist (e.g. does the expert take an oath? How are his/her skills evaluated? By whom?):

202-1-2. Are these lists publicly available?

Yes, available on the internet

Yes

No

Comments

202-2. Which authority is competent for the registration of judicial experts?

Ministry of justice

Courts

Administrative body

Independent body (association of judicial experts)

Other

Comments - Please also specify the registration criteria:

202-3. Is the registration of judicial experts limited in time?

Yes, for how long

No

Comments

202-4. Can an expert who is not on the list or not registered be appointed in a case?

Yes

No

Comment - If yes, please specify in which cases: If there is no court expert for a certain field of expertise entered in the register, according to procedural laws of RS, the expertise may be performed by a person of the appropriate profession to be determined by the court. The person appointed by the court is obliged to state that he will give expertise according to the rules of the profession and to the best of his knowledge and objectively.

203. Is the title of judicial experts protected?

Yes

No

Comments - If appropriate, please explain the meaning of this protection: The Law on Judicial Experts specifies the appearance of the judicial experts' seal as well as the need for depositing of his/her signature with the Ministry of Justice. No one other than appointed judicial experts may use such a seal and claim the title "sudski veštak" beside their name. Several criminal offences are prescribed by the Criminal Procedure Code which are a consequence of such protection. For example, 1) extortion of testimony (Article 136 CC) provides that an official who uses force or threat or other illicit means or inadmissible means in the course of his service in order to make a statement or other statement from a judicial witness shall be punished by imprisonment; 2) Giving false testimony (Article 335): a judicial expert who gives false testimony before a court, in disciplinary, misdemeanor or administrative proceedings or in other legally prescribed procedure, shall be punished by imprisonment; 3) Preventing and obstructing proof (Article 336) incriminates making or promising a gift or other benefit to a judicial expert or other participant in the proceedings before a court or other governmental authority.

203-1. Does the judicial expert have an obligation of training?

	Obligation of training
Initial training	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Continuous training	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Comments

203-2. If yes, does this training concern:

judicial proceedings

the profession of expert

other

Comments

=

204. Is the function of judicial experts regulated by legal norms?

Yes

No

204-1. On the occasion of a task entrusted to him/her, does the judicial expert have to report any potential conflicts of interest?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify: Civil Procedure Law: An expert witness may be excluded or removed for the same reasons as a judge or lay judge, and a person who has previously been heard as a witness may be appointed as an expert witness.

The party is obliged to submit a request for the disqualification of the expert when he learns that there is a reason for the disqualification, and at the latest before the beginning of the presentation of evidence by the expert.

In the request for disqualification of an expert, the party is obliged to state the circumstances on which it bases its request for disqualification.

The court decides on the request for exclusion and exclusion of the expert. The requested court shall decide on the exemption if it conducts the presentation of evidence by expert examination.

No appeal is allowed against the decision approving the request for the disqualification of the expert, and no special appeal is allowed against the decision rejecting the request.

If the party found out about the reason for exclusion or exclusion of the expert after the expertise and objects to the expertise for that reason, the court will act as if the request for exclusion or exclusion was submitted before the expert opinion.

Criminal Procedure Law: and an expert cannot be appointed a person who has been excluded (Article 93) or released (Article 94) from the duty to testify, and if he has been appointed an expert, a court decision cannot be based on his expertise and opinion.

The reason for exemption from the duty of expertise (Article 37, paragraph 1) also exists in respect of a person who is employed by the injured party or defendant or is, together with them or some of them, employed by another employer.

205. Number of accredited or registered judicial experts:

	Total	Males	Females
Number of experts	6 878 [] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP

Comments

206-1. Number of cases where an expert opinion was ordered by a judge or requested by the parties

	Number of cases
Total (1+2+3+4)	[X] NA [] NAP
1.Civil and commercial litigious cases	[X] NA [] NAP
2.Administrative cases	[X] NA [] NAP
3.Criminal cases	[X] NA [] NAP

4. Other cases	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
----------------	--

Comments

205-1. Who defines the amount of the expert remuneration?

	In civil/administrative cases	In criminal cases
Defined by law/by-law or a special regulation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Defined by the court/judge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Defined by the Ministry of Justice or another ministry (setting a tariff for example)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Salary of public official (in case of forensic or another specialist – who is public employee)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Freely agreed between expert and the parties	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If other, please specify: The amount and manner of compensation of costs and remuneration is determined in accordance with the regulation governing the reimbursement of costs in legal proceedings – a Ministry of Justice bylaw, Rulebook on Remuneration for Expenses in Judicial Proceedings ("Official Gazette of RS No. 9 of 5 February 2016 and no. 62 of 13 July 2016), which can be found at the following link: <https://www.mpravde.gov.rs/tekst/18081/pravilnik-o-naknadi-troskova-u-sudskim-postupcima-.php>.

206. Are there binding provisions for judicial experts regarding:

	Yes	No
Deadlines to provide expertise	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Quality of expertise	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

NAP

Comments - If yes, please specify, and provide details in case there are possible sanctions: The court determines the expertise by a special decision which contains: the subject of the dispute, the subject of the expertise, the deadline for submitting expertise in writing, the personal name or the name of the person entrusted with the expertise and data from the expert register.

The deadline for submitting expertise to the court cannot be longer than 60 days.

207-1. Does the judge or another body control the progress of the expertise?

Yes

() No

If yes, please specify: The court will impose a fine of between 10,000 and 150,000 RSD on an expert who is a physical person, or from 30,000 to 1,000,000 RSD on a legal entity that performs an expert examination, if the expert does not come to the hearing, although she/he is duly called and the absence is not justified or if they fail to submit their findings and opinions within the deadline. The court may impose a fine of between 10,000 and 150,000 RSD (expert physical person), or from 30,000 to 1,000,000 RSD (legal entity that performs the expert examination) if the expert refuses to perform an expert report without justified reasons. A responsible person in the legal entity conducting the expert examination in the aforementioned cases may also be fined from 10,000 to 150,000 RSD. If an expert delivers an opinion and it is vague, incomplete or contradicted, the court will order the expert to supplement or correct the findings and opinions and determine the deadline for remedying the deficiencies, or ask the expert to make a statement at the hearing. If the expert does not submit his/her findings and opinion within a specified time limit, the court may, at the request of the party, order the appointment of another expert, after the expiration of the deadline that the parties have decided to declare. In the preceding two cases, the court expert will impose a fine in the amount mentioned above and will notify the Ministry of justice in order to initiate the procedure for the removal of experts from the register of court experts.

207-2. Are judicial experts' associations involved in:

- Selection processes
- Initial or continuous training
- Disciplinary procedures
- NAP

Comments

K1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: Ministry of Justice Department for Judicial Professions. The Register of Judicial Experts is available on the website of the Ministry of Justice, <http://www.mpravde.gov.rs/tekst/740/sudski-vestaci.php>. A register of legal entities is also kept by the Ministry of Justice and publicly available on the website of the Ministry: <http://www.mpravde.gov.rs/registar.php?id=3998>.

11.Reforms in judiciary

11.1.Foreseen reforms

11.1.1Reforms

208. Can you provide information on the current debate in your country regarding the functioning of justice? Are there undergoing or foreseen reforms? If possible, please observe the following categories:

208-1. (Comprehensive) reform plans

- Yes (planned)
- Yes (adopted)
- Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)
- No
- NA

Comments - If yes, please specify: The Government of Serbia has adopted the National Strategy for the Development of Justice for the period 2020-2025., and Action plan for period 2022-2025 adopted in April 2022.

208-2. Budget

- Yes (planned)
 Yes (adopted)
 Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)
 No
 NA

Comments - If yes, please specify:

208-3. Courts and public prosecution services (e.g. powers and organisation, structural changes - e.g. reduction of the number of courts (geographic locations), competences of the courts, management and working methods, information technologies, backlogs and efficiency, court fees, renovations and construction of new buildings)

- Yes (planned)
 Yes (adopted)
 Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)
 No
 NA

Comments - If yes, please specify: The Law on Organisation of Courts is to be adopted in 2023
The Law on Seats and Jurisdictions of Courts and Public Prosecution Offices will be amended in 2024.

208-4. Access to justice and legal aid

- Yes (planned)
 Yes (adopted)
 Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)
 No
 NA

Comments - If yes, please specify:

208-5. High Judicial Council (competent for judges and/or prosecutors)

- Yes (planned)
 Yes (adopted)
 Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)
 No
 NA

Comments - If yes, please specify: The Law on High Judicial Council as well as the Law on High Prosecutorial Council will be amended in 2023, in order to comply with Constitutional Amendments from 2022.

208-6. Legal professionals (judges, public prosecutors, lawyers, notaries, enforcement agents, etc.): organisation, education and training, etc.

- Yes (planned)
- Yes (adopted)
- Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)
- No
- NA

Comments - If yes, please specify: The Law on Judges and the Law on Public Prosecution will be adopted in 2023, in order to comply with Constitutional Amendments from 2022.

208-7. Gender equality

- Yes (planned)
- Yes (adopted)
- Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)
- No
- NA

Comments - If yes, please specify:

208-8. Reforms regarding civil, criminal and administrative laws, international conventions and cooperation activities

- Yes (planned)
- Yes (adopted)
- Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)
- No
- NA

Comments - If yes, please specify: The Civil Procedure Code, the Criminal Code, the Criminal Procedure Code are in a process of drafting.

208-9. Enforcement of court decisions and in particular regarding decisions against public authorities

- Yes (planned)
- Yes (adopted)
- Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)
- No
- NA

Comments - If yes, please specify:

208-10. Mediation and other Alternative Dispute Resolution

- Yes (planned)
- Yes (adopted)
- Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)
- No
- NA

Comments - If yes, please specify:

208-11. Fight against crime

- Yes (planned)
- Yes (adopted)
- Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)
- No
- NA

Comments - If yes, please specify: The Law on Organisation and Competence of State Authorities in Suppression of Organised Crime, Terrorisms and Corruption will be amended in 2024.

208-12. Prison system

- Yes (planned)
- Yes (adopted)
- Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)
- No
- NA

Comments - If yes, please specify:

208-13. Child friendly justice

- Yes (planned)
- Yes (adopted)
- Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)
- No
- NA

Comments - If yes, please specify: The Law on Juvenile Criminal Offenders and Criminal Protection of Juveniles is in process of drafting.

208-14. Domestic violence

- Yes (planned)
- Yes (adopted)
- Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)
- No
- NA

Comments - If yes, please specify:

208-15. New information and communication technologies

- Yes (planned)
- Yes (adopted)
- Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)
- No
- NA

Comments - If yes, please specify: The ICT Council resumed its work in January 2022. The ICT Strategy was adopted on February 4, 2022. The Action Plan was also adopted and implementation began.

208-16. Other

Yes (planned)

Yes (adopted)

Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)

No

NA

Comments - If yes, please specify: The adoption of the National Anti-Corruption Strategy with Accompanying Action Plan is planned for 2023/2024.