



Budget: In 2022, the total implemented budget for judicial system is 1 162 228 862 €, which translates to 61,0 € per inhabitant, below CoE median. The budget represents 0,41% of GDP, which is well above the CoE median. The court budget per inhabitant increased significantly from 32,5 € (2020) to 41,6 € (2022), getting closer to the CoE median, but still below. Conversely, the public prosecution services' budget remain above the CoE median.

Court organisation: There are four levels of courts: judecatorii presented as 1st instance courts; tribunals and courts of appeal considered as 2nd instance courts and the High Court of Cassation and Justice. However, according to the procedural provisions, tribunals may sit as 1st and 2d instance courts and in certain procedural circumstances, the courts of appeal may judge in 1st instance. Moreover, the High Court of Cassation and Justice can judge in 1st instance for example in criminal cases, according to the personal competence rules of procedure.

Information and Communication Technologies (ICT): Romania has an ICT Deployment index of 8,1 which is among the highest in Europe and well balanced between the different legal matters and analysed ICT categories. However, there is a considerable difference between the deployment and the use of digital technology in courts, mainly due to unavailability of data on usage rate.

Gender balance: Women constitute more than 70% of the total number of professional judges at each of the three court instances. Moreover, 59% of court presidents are female. Women also constitute 51% of the total number of prosecutors, but still do not have the majority at last instance and as heads of prosecution services.

Efficiency: The courts remain most efficient in criminal matters, consistent with the previous evaluation cycle. Separately, the courts are most efficient in 3rd instance criminal cases with a CR high above the 100% threshold and a DT twice below the CoE median. The Disposition Time is the highest in 1st instance administrative cases, albeit with a significant improvement over 2020, namely, it decreased more than twice.

The DT values are below the respective CoE medians in 1st instance civil cases and 1st and 3rd instance criminal cases.

It should be noted that second instance courts encountered some difficulties in civil law matters, due to a large number of judges retiring in 2022.



Gender Balance



Absolute gross salaries



Ratio with the average annual gross salary

Salary at the begining of career





Training of Justice Professionals



* This indicator is calculated as follows: the number of participants in live trainings is divided by the number of professionals for that category. For example, if the CoE Median for judges is 3,9, this means that, each judge in Europe participated to 3,9 live trainings (as mid value). Indeed, this analysis allows to better understand quantity of training per professional if all were trained.

CEPEJ Efficiency Indicators

Clearance Rate (CR) = (Resolved cases / Incoming cases) *100		Instance
CR > 100%, the court/judicial system is able to resolve more cases than it received => backlog is decreasing	Romania	1st Instance
CR < 100%, the court/judicial system is able to resolve fewer cases than it received => backlog is increasing	CoE Median	-
Dispostion Time (DT) = (Pending cases / Resolved cases) *365		2nd Instance
The Disposition Time (DT) is the theoretical time for a pending case to be resolved, taken into consideration the current pace of work of the courts		Highest Instance

		Clearance Rate		Disposition Time (in days)			Evolution of Disposition Time					
							2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022
Civil	1st Instance	96%	100% 99%	160	239	Civil	193	146	153	157	168	160
	2nd Instance	93%	99%	207	200		218	299	131	128	174	207
	Highest Instance	112%	105%	216	152		188	143	170	246	242	216
Criminal	1st Instance	97%	99%	114	133	Criminal	72	111	111	98	113	114
	2nd Instance	100%	99%	123	110		250	131	115	106	122	123
	Highest Instance	149%	100%	50	101		78	84	66	222	134	5 0
Administrative	1st Instance	97%	98%	321	<mark>2</mark> 92	Administrative	272	179	170	117	690	321
	2nd Instance	NAP	103%	NAP	215		- NAP	• NAP	• NAP	• NAP	• NAP	• NAP
	Highest Instance	108%	102%	234	234		411	117	185	149	276	234

Incoming Cases



Total number of 2nd instance cases per 100 inhabitants



Total number of Supreme Court cases per 100 inhabitants



Public Prosecution Services

www.csm1909.ro

www.scj.ro



Note: There are different methodologies for calculating the number of cases in the prosecution services' statistics: by event or by perpetrator. The CEPEJ collects data per case (event), but some countries present it per perpetrator.

ICT Deployment and Usage Index (from 0 to 10) Deployment index by matter (0 to 10) **Deployment index by category** (0 to 10) Administrative matter Decision support 2.6 4.1 Total deployment rate : 8,13 4,16 6.16 Total usage rate : 4,40 (experimental) 7,66 3,4 4,5 8,16 8,02 4,1 5,**7**9,34 Case management Civil matter Criminal matter Digital access to justice **Judiciary Related Websites** Legal texts Case-law of the higher court/s Information about the judicial system http://portal.just.ro/SitePages/acasa.aspx https://www.csm1909.ro/ www.just.ro

www.rejust.ro

www.scj.ro

<u>www.just.ro</u> www.scj.ro

▶ Page **149**