


# Judiciary at a glance in Romania

## General data


**Population:** 19 186 201      **GDP per capita:** 11 290 €      **Average annual salary:** 13 385 €

## Professionals

Judicial professionals per 100 000 inhabitants




Gross salaries of judges and prosecutors vs average annual salary in the country




## Efficiency

Clearance rate by instance and by matter (%)




Disposition time by instance and by matter (in days)



## Information and communication technology

ICT tools assessment from 2018 to 2020



\*ICT calculations are described in more details in Annex 5 - IT Calculation methodology


Synthesis table for the main indicators for: Romania

Economic and demographic data	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations					
										2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Population	21 305 097	19 942 642	22 279 183	19 759 968	19 638 309	19 523 621	19 405 156	19 414 458	19 186 201	<div><div></div><div>-9,9%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-11,9%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-1,2%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-0,6%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>0,0%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-1,2%</div></div>
GDP per capita	6 660	7 217	7 533	8 100	8 600	9 600	10 400	11 500	11 290	<div><div></div><div>69,5%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>14,2%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>20,9%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>8,3%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>10,6%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-1,8%</div></div>
Exchange rate (local currency needed to obtain 1€)	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	<div><div></div><div>10,3%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>1,3%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>2,7%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>0,1%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>2,5%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>1,9%</div></div>
Average annual salary	5 556		6 152		7 085		11 574	12 829	13 385	<div><div></div><div>140,9%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>15,2%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>63,4%</div></div>		<div><div></div><div>10,8%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>4,3%</div></div>
Resources	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Professional judges per 100 000 inhab.	20,2	22,6	20,5	23,3	23,6	23,9	24,1	24,5	24,0	<div><div></div><div>18,5%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>14,7%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>2,3%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>0,9%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>1,6%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-2,1%</div></div>
Non-judge staff per 100 000 inhab.	43,6	48,3	45,5	51,9	52,4	54,5	54,9	55,1	54,8	<div><div></div><div>25,7%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>15,1%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>4,8%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>0,8%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>0,3%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-0,6%</div></div>
Lawyers per 100 000 inh.	98,2	117,0	104,3	119,6	118,2	117,9	117,9	121,3	122,1	<div><div></div><div>24,3%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>13,3%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-0,2%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>0,0%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>2,9%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>0,6%</div></div>
Mediators	19,4	54,4	30,7	59,2	25,9	24,3	23,6	57,9	58,7	<div><div></div><div>202,3%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-15,7%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-8,7%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-2,7%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>144,9%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>1,4%</div></div>
ICT overall assesment							6,4	6,4	6,5					<div><div></div><div>0,0%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>0,5%</div></div>
First instance incoming cases per 100 inhab.	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Civil and commercial litigious cases	5,176	4,158	6,852	6,848	6,800	6,554	6,393	6,678	6,123	<div><div></div><div>18,3%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-0,7%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-6,0%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-2,5%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>4,5%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-8,3%</div></div>
Administrative law cases	1,078	1,0	0,4	0,331	0,598	0,748	0,432	0,426	0,412	<div><div></div><div>-61,8%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>69,9%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-27,8%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-42,3%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-1,2%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-3,4%</div></div>
Total criminal law cases									1,782						
First instance performance indicators (Clearance Rate)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020 (percentage points)	2014-2016 (percentage points)	2016-2018 (percentage points)	2017-2018 (percentage points)	2018-2019 (percentage points)	2019-2020 (percentage points)
CR civil and commercial litigious cases	99%	112%	109%	105%	102%	99%	103%	100%	100%	<div><div></div><div>1,11</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-6,63</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>0,64</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>3,49</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-2,28</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-0,29</div></div>
CR administrative law cases	78%	130%	161%	133%	92%	102%	118%	100%	48%	<div><div></div><div>-29,69</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-69,26</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>26,24</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>15,76</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-17,67</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-51,93</div></div>
CR total criminal law cases									100%						
First instance performance indicators (Disposition Time)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
DT civil and commercial litigious cases cases (days)	193	187	146	154	153	167	157	152	168	<div><div></div><div>-12,9%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>5,0%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>2,8%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-6,0%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-3,0%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>10,5%</div></div>
DT administrative law cases (days)	272	106	179	170	170	114	117	138	690	<div><div></div><div>153,9%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-5,0%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-30,9%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>2,5%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>18,0%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>398,2%</div></div>
DT total criminal law cases (days)									113						
First instance pending cases per 100 inhab. on 31 dec.	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Civil and commercial litigious cases	2,71	2,39	2,97	3,02	2,91	2,98	2,83	2,80	2,83	<div><div></div><div>4,2%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-2,1%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-2,7%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-5,1%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-0,9%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>1,0%</div></div>
Administrative law cases	0,63	0,37	0,28	0,20	0,26	0,24	0,16	0,16	0,38	<div><div></div><div>-39,9%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-8,1%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-35,8%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-31,8%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-0,9%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>132,2%</div></div>
Total criminal law cases									0,55						
Second instance performance indicators (Clearance Rate)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020 (percentage points)	2014-2016 (percentage points)	2016-2018 (percentage points)	2017-2018 (percentage points)	2018-2019 (percentage points)	2019-2020 (percentage points)
CR civil and commercial litigious cases			67%	93%	106%	97%	105%	100%	97%		<div><div></div><div>39,79</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-0,93</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>7,95</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-5,41</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-3,10</div></div>
CR administrative law cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP		NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
CR total criminal law cases									99%						
Second instance performance indicators (Disposition Time)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
DT civil and commercial litigious cases (days)			299	185	131	152	128	139	174		<div><div></div><div>-56,3%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-2,2%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-16,0%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>8,9%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>25,0%</div></div>
DT administrative law cases (days)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP		NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
DT total criminal law cases (days)									122						
Supreme court performance indicators (Clearance Rate)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020 (percentage points)	2014-2016 (percentage points)	2016-2018 (percentage points)	2017-2018 (percentage points)	2018-2019 (percentage points)	2019-2020 (percentage points)
CR civil and commercial litigious cases			166%	190%	126%	109%	80%	101%	105%		<div><div></div><div>-39,92</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-46,23</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-29,55</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>21,19</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>3,67</div></div>
CR administrative law cases			124%	126%	105%	83%	123%	100%	106%		<div><div></div><div>-18,54</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>17,59</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>39,71</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-23,28</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>5,96</div></div>
CR total criminal law cases									103%						
Supreme court performance indicators (Disposition Time)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
DT civil and commercial litigious cases (days)			143	102	170	193	246	215	242		<div><div></div><div>18,7%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>44,6%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>27,7%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-12,8%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>12,8%</div></div>
DT administrative law cases (days)			117	142	185	184	149	264	276		<div><div></div><div>57,8%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-19,6%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-18,9%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>77,4%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>4,4%</div></div>
DT total criminal law cases									134						

1. Judicial organisation in Romania

In Romania there are 4-court levels: first instance courts (judecatorii), tribunals (tribunale), courts of appeal (curti de apel) and the High Court of Cassation and Justice (HCCJ). First instance courts (judecatorii) have a general jurisdiction and most of the cases start at this level. The appeals against the decisions of the first instance courts in civil matters are decided at the tribunals. The appeals in criminal matters against the decisions of the first instance courts are decided at the courts of appeal. More important cases may start at tribunals or at the courts of appeal and the appeals against the decisions of these courts are decided by higher courts. It is noteworthy that, according to the law, in Romania there are two types of appeal: first appeal which is an appeal on the merits and second appeal which is an appeal on the law /“recurs”).


Distribution of general courts in Romania



According to 2020 data, the distribution between 1st instance and higher instances courts of general jurisdiction in Romania is similar to the EU median of 87% - 13%.

Evolution of number of first instance courts in Romania


	Geographic locations	Legal entities	
		General jurisdiction	Specialised jurisdiction
2012	244	233	10
2013	244	233	10
2014	244	233	10
2015	243	232	9
2016	243	233	9
2017	243	233	9
2018	243	233	9
2019	243	233	9
2020	242	175	8



In Romania there are 175 judecatorii, first instance courts of general jurisdiction.

The number of "judecatorii" (geographic location) has decreased by one between 2019-2020 because the activity of Judecătoria Insuratei was suspended. 175 represent the first instance courts with general jurisdiction. Starting from 2020, the methodology of presentation of data changed and only "judecatorii" are counted as first instance courts of general jurisdiction, even if tribunals, courts of appeal and the High Court may also judge first instance cases.

It should also be mentioned that some of the first instance specialised courts share the location with "judecatorii".




The distribution between number of general jurisdiction courts and specialised courts of 95,6% - 4,4% is quite different from the EU median (distribution tendency in EU: 75,5% - 24,5%).

Specialised courts	First instance	Higher instance
Total	8	1
Commercial courts (excluded insolvency courts)	3	NAP
Insolvency courts	NAP	NAP
Labour courts	NAP	NAP
Family courts	1	NAP
Rent and tenancies courts	NAP	NAP
Enforcement of criminal sanctions courts	NAP	NAP
Fight against terrorism, organised crime and corruption	NAP	NAP
Internet related disputes	NAP	NAP
Administrative courts	NAP	NAP
Insurance and / or social welfare courts	NAP	NAP
Military courts	4	1
Juvenile courts	NAP	NAP
Other specialised 1st instance courts	NAP	NAP

2. Professionals of justice in Romania

Professional judges and non-judge staff

Evolution of the number of professional judges since 2012 (Q46)




According to 2020 data, the total number of professional judges sitting in courts (all instances) in Romania is 4 600, which is -3,2% less than in the previous cycle.

More precisely, there are 23,98 professional judges per 100 000 inhabitants (this figure is above the EU median of 23,92 judges per 100 000 inhabitants) and about 2,29 non-judge staff per judge . The ratio non-judge staff per judge has slightly increased between 2019 and 2020 (in 2019, it was 2,25).

Absolute number of professional judges by instance and gender

2020	Total	Distribution by instance	Male	Female	% Male	% Female
1st instance	2 103	45,7%	563	1 540	26,8%	73,2%
2nd instance	2 387	51,9%	634	1 753	26,6%	73,4%
Supreme courts	110	2,4%	26	84	23,6%	76,4%
Total	4 600		1 223	3 377	26,6%	73,4%



Compared with the EU distribution of professional judges per instance, Romania has different distribution of judges among instances. While the percentage of first instance judges is well below the EU median (45,7% vs 72,29%): the percentage of second instance judges is more than twice the EU Median (51,9% vs 23,98%). Moreover, the percentage of Supreme court judges is 2,4% (vs the EU median of 4,03%).

In Romania, there are four levels of courts (first instance courts, tribunals, courts of appeal and the High Court of Cassation and Justice). Only judges of the "judecatorii" are counted as first instance judges. In line with our previous reports, judges from tribunals and courts of appeal shall be included in the category "second instance professional judges", even though they may judge at first instance court according to the procedural provisions in terms of competences of tribunals and courts of appeal. Moreover even the High Court can judge in first instance for example in criminal cases according to the personal competence rules of procedure.

In this cycle, the total number of female professional judges (all instances) is 3 377, which represents 73,4% of the total number of judges.

The total number of judges is distributed among the different judicial instances in the following way: 2 103 are sitting in first instance courts (of which 1 540 are female); 2 387 are sitting in second instance courts (of which 1 753 are female) and 110 are sitting in Supreme Court (of which 84 are female).

As regards the distribution of male/female judges, it should be noticed that in Romania there is very high percentage of female judges in all instances (73,2 % in first instance courts, 73,4% in second instance courts and 76,4% in Supreme courts).


Absolute number of professional judges by instance and matter

2020	Total	Civil and commercial	Criminal	Administrative	Other
1st instance	2 103	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
2nd instance	2 387	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
Supreme courts	110	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
Total	4 600	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP

In Romania, the distribution of judges per categories of cases is not possible since its statistical system does not collect information regarding a breakdown in the number of judges based on the different legal matters.

Non-judge staff

Year	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Number of non-judge staff	9 283	9 639	10 147	10 251	10 297	10 638	10 662	10 700	10 512
Per 100 000 inhabitants	43,57	48,33	45,54	51,88	52,43	54,49	54,94	55,11	54,79



In 2020, Romania has 10 512 non-judge staff. The total number of non-judge staff reveals a decrease of -1,8% in comparison with the previous cycle.

In this cycle, the non-judge staff is broken down as follows:

- 6 374 non-judge staff whose task is to assist the judges such as registrars (of which NA are women);
- 1 621 staff in charge of different administrative tasks and of the court management (of which NA are women);
- 1 682 technical staff (of which NA are women);
- 835 other (of which NA are women);

In 2020, the number of non-judge staff per 100 000 inhabitants has slightly decreased from 55,1 in 2019 to 54,8 in 2020.

During the same period, the number of judges per 100 000 inhabitants increased from 24,5 judges per 100 000 inhabitants in 2019 to 24,0 in 2020.

The number indicated for the category “non-judge staff assisting judges” encompasses clerks with judicial tasks. The number indicated for “staff in charge of administrative tasks” concerns registering clerks, documentary clerks, statistician clerks, archivist clerks and public servants. Moreover, the number indicated for “technical staff” includes IT staff, contractual personnel and other personnel (drivers, ushers, procedural agents etc.). The category “other”includes assistance magistrates, judicial assistants and probation counselors.


The Assistance magistrates work only within the High Court of Cassation and Justice. They participate in the trial sessions, have a consultative vote in deliberations and write the minutes of the sessions, as well as the decisions.

The Judicial assistants work only within tribunals and they are members of panels for first instance cases regarding labor and social insurances litigations. A panel is composed by 1 judge and 2 judicial assistants; the latter participate in the deliberations with a consultative vote and sign the decisions.

The probation counselors have, in principle, the following attributions: support the activity of judges by elaborating certain evaluation documents in criminal cases with juvenile offenders; support the activity of the judge delegated with enforcing decisions in criminal matters; cooperate with public institutions in order to execute the measure to force a minor to carry out an unpaid activity in an institution of public interest; initiate and carry on special programs of social reinsertion for persons convicted to prison and for minors who committed offences provided by the criminal law; carry out, at request, activities of individual counseling of offenders, with regard to the social, group and individual behavior; initiate and carry out special programmes of protection, social and judicial assistance of minors and youngsters who committed offences.


Professional judges, non-judge staff and their ratio (Q46, Q52)

Per 100 000 inhabitants	Romania	EU median
Professional judges	23,98	23,92
Non-judge staff	54,79	59,00
Non-judge staff per judge	2,29	3,30



Evolution of the ratio between professional judges and non-judge staff (Q46, Q52)

	Judges per 100 000 inh.	Non-judge staff per 100 000 inh.	Ratio between professional judges and non-judge staff
2012	20,23	43,57	2,15
2013	22,62	48,33	2,14
2014	20,54	45,54	2,22
2015	23,32	51,88	2,22
2016	23,57	52,43	2,22
2017	23,89	54,49	2,28
2018	24,10	54,94	2,28
2019	24,48	55,11	2,25
2020	23,98	54,79	2,29







Public prosecutors and non-prosecutor staff

Absolute number of public prosecutors by instance and gender (Q55)

2020	Total	Distribution by instance	Male	Female	Male	Female
1st instance	1 144	46,8%	545	599	47,6%	52,4%
2nd instance	788	32,2%	364	424	46,2%	53,8%
Supreme courts	514	21,0%	265	249	51,6%	48,4%
Total	2 446		1 174	1 272	48,0%	52,0%



Since there are four-level courts system in Romania, the prosecution office services reflect this organisation (first instance courts, tribunals, courts of appeal and the High Court of Cassation and Justice). In line with our previous reports, prosecutors from prosecution offices of the tribunals and of the courts of appeal are included in the category "second instance professional prosecutors".

As a result, the distribution of the number of public prosecutors among the different judicial instances diverges from the EU median. Indeed, the first instance public prosecutors represent 46,8% of the total public prosecutors, which is significantly below the EU median of 73,3%, and the Supreme court prosecutors represent 21% of the total prosecutors (vs the EU median of 4,66%). Finally, the percentage of second instance public prosecutors might be considered close to the EU median (32,2% vs the EU median of 21,28%).

The total number of public prosecutors is distributed among the different judicial instances in the following way: 1 144 in first instance (of which 599 are female); 788 are in second instance (of which 424 are female) and 514 in final instance (of which 249 are female).


In this cycle, the total number of female prosecutors (all instances) is 1 272, which represents 52,0% of the total number of prosecutors. In general, there exist gender balance in all instances in Romania. As shown in the graph above, female public prosecutors prevail in the first and second instances only by a slim majority (52,4% and 53,8%, respectively) and represent 48,4% of public prosecutors in Supreme courts.

Non-prosecutor staff by gender (Q60)

Non-prosecutor staff	Total	Male	Female
2020	2 408	NA	NA


Public prosecutors, non-prosecutor staff and their ratio (Q55, Q60)

Per 100 000 inhabitants	Romania	EU median
Public prosecutors	12,75	9,91
Non-prosecutor staff	12,55	15,22
Non-prosecutor staff per prosecutor	0,98	1,11



• Salaries of professional judges and prosecutors at beginning of a career and at the highest instance (Q132, Q4)

Salaries of professional judges and prosecutors (Q132, Q4)	Average gross annual salary in €	Average net annual salary in €	Ratio with national average annual gross salary
Judge at the beginning of a career	43 223 €	25 285 €	3,23
Judge of the highest court	87 522 €	51 200 €	6,54
Prosecutor at the beginning of a career	43 223 €	25 285 €	3,23
Public prosecutor at highest instance	67 051 €	39 225 €	5,01




According to 2020 data, the absolute gross salary of a judge at the beginning of a career in Romania is 43 223€, which is slightly below the EU median of 51 946€. As a ratio between the salary for a judge at the beginning of career and the annual average salary of the country is 3,23 (above the EU median of 2,02).

At the end of the career, a judge earns 87 522€ on average and the ration between this salary and the average annual salary in Romania is 6,54, which is well above the EU median of 4,09. At the beginning of the career, public prosecutors earn the same amount of judges whereas, at the end of career, their salary is much lower than the salary of judges at the end of the career (67 051€ vs 87 522€). Yet, the ratio between the public prosecutors' salary with the annual averag salary is well above the EU median both at the beginning and the end of the career. Indeed, it is 3,23 at the beginning of the career vs the EU median of 1,71 and it is 5,01 at the end of the career vs the EU median of 3,61.

• Lawyers

Lawyers	Absolute number	Per 100 000 inhabitants
2012	20 919	98,19
2013	23 332	117,00
2014	23 244	104,33
2015	23 635	119,61
2016	23 205	118,16
2017	23 020	117,91
2018	22 873	117,87
2019	23 554	121,32
2020	23 424	122,09




In 2020, there are 23 424 lawyers in Romania. Compared to 2019, their number has decreased by -0,6%.

Romania has 122,1 lawyers per 100 000 inhabitants, which is the EU median.

• Judicial professionals (summary)


Judicial professionals in absolute number and per 100 000 inhabitants (Q46, Q52, Q55, Q60, Q146)

	Absolute number	Per 100 000 inhabitants	EU Median
Professional judges	4 753	24,48	23,92
Non-judge staff	10 512	54,79	59,00
Prosecutors	2 446	12,75	9,91
Non-prosecutor staff	2 408	12,55	15,22
Lawyers	23 424	122,09	122,09



Judicial professionals: Gender balance

	% Male	% Female
Professional judges	26,6%	73,4%
Non judge staff	NA	NA
Prosecutors	48,0%	52,0%
Non-prosecutor staff	NA	NA
Lawyers	42,1%	57,9%





3. Legal aid and court fees in Romania

In Romania, legal aid includes:

- Coverage of court fees: ✓
- Exemption from court fees: ✓

In Romania, legal aid is available for :

- > Representation in court:
  - Criminal cases ✓
  - Other than criminal cases ✓
- > Legal advice, ADR and other legal services:
  - Criminal cases ✓
  - Other than criminal cases ✓
- > Fees related to enforcement of judicial decisions as fees for enforcement agents (Q18) ✓
- > Other costs than above (Q19) ✓


For the enforcement phase, legal aid may be granted as facilities at the payment of judicial duties. Moreover, according to Article 6 letter c) of the Government Emergency Ordinance 51/2008, it can also be the payment of the bailiff's fee.

According to Article 6 letter b) of the Government Emergency Ordinance 51/2008, public aid may also cover costs of the expert, translator or interpreter services during the trial, with the consent of the court or of the jurisdictional authority, if this payment is the obligation of the one requiring judicial public aid, according to law.

Number of cases for which legal aid has been granted


Absolute number (in 2020)	Total	Cases brought to court	Cases not brought to court
Total	66 522	66 522	NAP
In criminal cases	63 492	63 492	NAP
In other than criminal cases	3 030	3 030	NAP

Ratio of number of cases brought to court for which legal aid has been granted



Per 100 000 inhabitants (in 2020)	Romania	EU Median
Total	346,7	734,2
In criminal cases	330,9	330,9
In other than criminal cases	15,8	402,7

Number of cases for which legal has been granted per 100 000 inhabitants



In criminal cases data also include ex officio layers.

**Timeframes of the procedure for granting legal aid** (in relation to the duration from the initial legal aid request to the final approval of the legal aid request)

- Maximum duration prescribed in law/regulations: NAP
- Actual average duration: NA

There is no timeframe set for the procedure of granting legal aid by the court. The procedure is urgent as a general rule, being decided in chambers.

## 4. Performance of courts in Romania

### • Efficiency indicators

- Clearance Rate (CR) and Disposition Time (DT)


The Clearance Rate shows the capacity of a judicial system to deal with the incoming cases. A Clearance Rate of 100% and higher does not generate backlog.

The Disposition Time determines the estimated number of days necessary for a pending case to be solved in a court.

### First instance Total of other than criminal cases

- Incoming, resolved and pending cases

Evolution of number of all other than criminal cases per 100 inhabitants




The number of incoming cases in 2020 in Romania (6,68 per 100 inhabitants) is slightly below EU median (6,82 per 100 inhabitants). Moreover, the number of resolved cases in 2020 in Romania (6,46 per 100 inhabitants) is also slightly below EU median (6,60 per 100 inhabitants).

Yet, the number of pending cases at the end of 2020 in Romania (3,29 per 100 inhabitants) is somewhat above EU median (2,66 per 100 inhabitants).

- Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

Other than criminal cases	CR (%)	DT (days)
2012	96%	161
2013	110%	128
2014	111%	148
2015	106%	154
2016	101%	154
2017	99%	161
2018	104%	154
2019	100%	152
2020	97%	186

Clearance Rate in % (CR) and Disposition Time in days (DT) for Other than criminal cases



With a Clearance Rate calculated at 96,7% in 2020 Romania seems to be able to deal with its other than criminal cases.


However, the Clearance Rate has decreased by -3,6 percentage points between 2019 and 2020.

In 2020, other than criminal cases are solved in approximately 186 days, which is significantly above EU median of 109 days.

The analysis of the 2019 - 2020 period reveals a 22,3% increase of the Disposition Time.

First instance Civil (and commercial) litigious cases


◦ Incoming, resolved and pending cases



In 2020, the number of incoming civil and commercial litigious cases (6,12 per 100 inhabitants) is well above EU median (1,56 per 100 inhabitants). Moreover, the number of resolved civil and commercial litigious cases (6,13 per 100 inhabitants) is also well above EU median (1,50 per 100 inhabitants) and the pending cases at the end of 2020 as well (2,83 per 100 inhabitants vs the EU median of 1,05 per 100 inhabitants).

◦ Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	CR (%)	DT (days)
2012	99,0%	193
2013	112,2%	187
2014	108,7%	146
2015	104,7%	154
2016	102,0%	153
2017	99,2%	167
2018	102,7%	157
2019	100,4%	152
2020	100,1%	168



With a Clearance Rate calculated at 100,1% in 2020, Romania seems to be able to deal with its civil and commercial litigious cases.

Between 2019 and 2020, the Clearance Rate has decreased by -0,3 points.


In 2020, the civil and commercial litigious cases are solved in approximately 168 days, which is below EU median of 221 days.

However, the analysis of the 2019 - 2020 period reveals a 10,5% increase of the Disposition Time.

In Romania, there are 21 415 civil and commercial litigious cases older than 2 years. This is 3,9% of the total number of pending cases at the end of the year

First instance Administrative cases


◦ Incoming, resolved and pending cases



The number of incoming cases in 2020 in Romania (0,41 per 100 inhabitants) is somewhat above EU median (0,30 per 100 inhabitants). Moreover, the number of resolved cases in 2020 in Romania (0,20 per 100 inhabitants) is also below EU median (0,26 per 100 inhabitants). Yet, the number of pending cases at the end of 2020 in Romania (0,38 per 100 inhabitants) is significantly above EU median (0,21 per 100 inhabitants).

◦ Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

Administrative cases	CR (%)	DT (days)
2012	78,1%	272
2013	130,2%	106
2014	161,0%	179
2015	132,7%	170
2016	91,8%	170
2017	102,2%	114
2018	118,0%	117
2019	100,3%	138
2020	48,4%	690




With a Clearance Rate calculated at 48,4% in 2020, Romania seems to struggle in dealing with its administrative cases in 2020. Between 2019 and 2020, the Clearance Rate has decreased for -51,9 percentage points. In 2020, the administrative cases are solved in approximately 690 days, which is significantly above EU median of 388 days. The analysis of the 2019 - 2020 period reveals a 398,2% increase of the Disposition Time. In Romania, there are 2 465 administrative law cases older than 2 years. This is 3,4% of the total number of pending cases at the end of the year.

The decrease in the number of resolved cases in 2020 was caused by the context of the Covid-19 pandemic. The activity of al the courts was partially suspended between the 15-th of March until the end of May 2020 because a state of emergency was declared. During that period only few urgent cases were adjudicated. Some courts instituted preventive mesures even before the 15-th of March 2020 which included postponing non-urgent cases. After the state of emergency ended there were still in place measures that affected the normal activity of the courts like: the introduction of specific timeframes for each case, hearings through video conference, a strict limitation of human interaction at the auxiliary compartments of the courts that dealt directly with public like the Archive and the Registry office, so that requests and documents had to be submitted by post, fax or e-mail. These measures affected not only the court staff but all court users that had to adapt to the new circumstances and led to the postponement of many cases. There were also gaps in activity caused by cases of Covid-19 among the personnel of the courts. The same explanation is valid for the increased Disposition time which led to an increased numer of pending cases older than 3 years.

Insolvency cases

◦ Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

Insolvency cases	CR (%)	DT (days)
2012	96,3%	332
2013	89,5%	385
2014	120,7%	334
2015	129,0%	328
2016	121,7%	353
2017	106,4%	400
2018	108,9%	379
2019	115,0%	331
2020	112,8%	399




The Clearance Rate for insolvency cases is 112,8%. Romania seems to be able to deal with this type of cases. Between 2019 and 2020, the Clearance Rate has decreased by -2,1 points. In 2020, insolvency cases are solved in a approximately 399 days, which is somewhat above EU median of 281 days. The analysis of the 2019 - 2020 period reveals a 20,4% increase of the Disposition Time.

• First instance Criminal Law Cases

◦ Incoming, resolved and pending cases

	Pending cases 1 Jan	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases 31 Dec
Total	106 622	341 899	342 634	105 887
Severe criminal cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
Misdemeanour and/or minor cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
Other cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP


Per 100 inhabitants	Pending cases 1 Jan	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases 31 Dec
Total	0,56	1,78	1,79	0,55
Severe criminal cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
Misdemeanour and/or minor cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
Other cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP



In 2020, the number of total incoming criminal cases is 1,78 per 100 inhabitants; which is slightly above EU median of 1,60 per 100 inhabitants. Furthermore, the number of total resolved criminal cases (1,79 per 100 inhabitants) is also above EU median (1,48 per 100 inhabitants). Likewise, the number of total pending criminal cases is slightly above EU median (0,55 per 100 inhabitants vs 0,46 per 100 inhabitants).

◦ Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

Total criminal law cases	CR (%)	DT (days)
Total	100,2%	113
Severe criminal cases	NAP	NAP
Misdemeanour and/or minor cases	NAP	NAP
Other cases	NAP	NAP



With the Clearance Rate calculated at 100,2% in 2020 for total criminal cases, Romania seems to be able to deal with its total criminal cases.

In 2020, criminal law cases were solved in approximately 113 days, which is slightly below EU median of 139 days.



There is no classification of severe and less severe offences in the Romanian statistics.

The context of the Covid-19 pandemic affected the activity of the courts which led to the decrease in the number of resolved cases in 2020 an increased Disposition time and an increased number of pending cases older than 3 years. In criminal law cases postponements were reccurent in cases involving persons serving a prison senstence, because generally they have to be brought to every court hearing which was not always possible due to the curantine measures taken by the prison administrations.



Overall efficiency by instance and by case matter

	CR (%)			DT (days)		
	1st instance	2nd instance	Supreme Court	1st instance	2nd instance	Supreme Court
Civil and commercial litigious cases	100,1%	96,9%	104,7%	168	174	242
Administrative cases	48,4%	NAP	105,8%	690	NAP	276
Total criminal law cases	100,2%	99,2%	103,1%	113	122	134



It is worth specifying that, even tough the Romanian judicial system is a 4-tier system, the cases are classified as per the CEPEJ methodology, irrespective of the level of the courts.

The context of the Covid-19 pandemic affected the activity of the courts which led to the decrease in the number of resolved cases in 2020 an increased Disposition time and an increased numer of pending cases older than 3 years.

Looking at the charts above, it is clear that the courts dealing with Criminal Law cases are the fastest in Romania, compared with those dealing with Civil and Commercial Litigious cases and Administrative cases. Indeed, in 2020 the Disposition Time (DT) for the Total Criminal Law cases is 113 days for the first instance (below the EU median of 139 days); 122 days for the second instance (above the EU median of 101 days); and 134 days for the Supreme courts (just above the EU median of 120 days). Also, the Clearance Rate (CR) for the Total Criminal Law cases is around the threshold of 100% for all instances. Thus, the Romanian courts seem to be efficient in dealing with the incoming cases.

Moreover, it is worth to notice that in 2020 the Civil and Commercial Litigious cases in the first and second instances are resolved in a fastest way compared to the EU median. Namely, the DT is 168 days for the first instance cases (well below the EU median is 221) and 174 days for the second instance cases (slightly below the EU median is 177). The CR for this type of cases is also around the threshold of 100% in all instances.

As far as the Administrative cases are concerned, the Romanian courts seem to struggle to deal with the first instance cases in 2020. Indeed, the CR for this type of cases is 48,4% (significantly below the threshold of 100%) and their DT is 690 days (which is considerably above the EU median of 388 days).

5. Public prosecution services in Romania

• Role and powers of the public prosecutor

In the criminal procedure, the public prosecutor in Romania has the following 10 out of 11 possible roles and powers:


To conduct or supervise police investigation	✓	To appeal	✓
To conduct investigations	✓	To supervise the enforcement procedure	✓
When necessary, to request investigation measures from the judge	✓	To discontinue a case without needing a decision by a judge	✓
To charge	✓	To end the case by imposing or negotiating a penalty or measure without requiring a judicial decision	✗
To present the case in the court	✓	Other significant powers	✓
To propose a sentence to the judge	✓		

The category “other” refers to the competence for: defending the legitimate rights and interests of minors, persons under interdiction, disappeared and other persons in the legal conditions; acting for the prevention and fight against criminality, under the coordination of the minister of Justice, for the unitary realization of the State criminal policy, studying the cases generating or favouring criminality; drawing up and submitting to the minister of Justice proposals in order to eliminate them, as well as in order to perfect the legislation in the field.

The public prosecutor also has a role in civil and administrative cases.

• Public prosecutors: Number of first instance criminal cases

Type of cases	Absolute number	Per 100 inhabitants
1. Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	1 144 581	5,97
2. Incoming/received cases	571 501	2,98
3. Processed cases (3.1 + 3.2 + 3.3 + 3.4)	564 155	2,94
3.1. Discontinued during the reference year (3.1.1 + 3.1.2 + 3.1.3 + 3.1.4)	442 820	2,31
3.1.1 Discontinued by the public prosecutor because the offender could not be identified	NA	NA
3.1.2 Discontinued by the public prosecutor due to the lack of an established offence or a specific legal situation	NA	NA
3.1.3 Discontinued by the public prosecutor for reasons of opportunity	NA	NA
3.1.4 Discontinued for other reasons	NA	NA
3.2. Concluded by a penalty or a measure imposed or negotiated by the public prosecutor	75 636	0,39
3.3. Cases closed by the public prosecutor for other reasons	NAP	NAP
3.4. Cases brought to court	45 699	0,24
4. Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	1 161 346	6,05




There are no available data on grounds on which a decision to discontinue a case is taken by the public prosecutor.

6. Existence and use of alternative dispute resolution in Romania

Number of mediators

Mediators	Total	Per 100 000 inhabitants
2012	4136	19,4
2013	10847	54,4
2014	6833	30,7
2015	11701	59,2
2016	5080	25,9
2017	4739	24,3
2018	4585	23,6
2019	11234	57,9
2020	11259	58,7



In 2020, there are 11 259 accredited or registered mediators who practise court related mediation which represents 58,7 accredited or registered mediators per 100 000 inhabitants.  
The variation between 2019 and 2020 is about 0,2%.

The data were communicated by the Mediation Council and reflect the pace of the authorization process as a mediator by the Mediation Council (which may register fluctuations from year to year).

Number of court related mediations

Type of cases	Number of cases for which the parties agreed to start mediation	Number of finished court-related mediations	Number of cases in which there is a settlement agreement
All Cases	NA	NA	NA
Civil and commercial	NA	NA	NA
Family cases	NA	NA	NA
Administrative	NAP	NAP	NAP
Employment dismissal	NAP	NAP	NAP
Criminal cases	NA	NA	NA
Consumer cases	NA	NA	NA

Although it is not possible for Romania to provide the figures for the total cases of court-related mediation, divided into the categories above, there were 614 mediation agreement authorized by the court in 2020.

The control of the state regarding the mediation is indirect and it concerns the agreement concluded by the parties after following the mediation procedure – such an agreement constitutes an act under private signature. In order to become an authentic act, it has to be authenticated by the notary public or authorized by the court. Thus, if the conflict has already been submitted to a court, the settlement by mediation of such a case can be possible at the initiative of the involved parties or at the recommendation of the court and accepted by the parties, concerning rights the parties can dispose over in accordance with the legal provisions. Mediation can deal with the total or partial settlement of the concerned litigation. The court shall, on the request of the parties, issue a decision in accordance with the provisions of the Civil Procedure Code regarding the expedient court decision.

According with the provisions of article 59 para. 2 of the Law no. 192/2006, the parties to the mediation agreement may go to court to request, in compliance with the legal proceedings, to give a decision to legalize their understanding. Competence shall lay with the court in whose jurisdiction any of the parties have their domicile or residence or, where appropriate, the head office or the court of first instance in whose jurisdiction is located the place where it has been signed mediation agreement. The decision whereby the court consents on the understanding between parties shall be delivered in the council room and shall be an enforcement order under the law. The provisions of articles 438 - 441 of the Law no 134/2010 (New Civil Procedure Code), republished, as amended, shall apply accordingly.

## 7. ICT tools of courts in Romania

### •The ICT tools of courts and for court users

The use of ICT in courts in 2020 has been evaluated as :

EU Median

<b>Total</b>	<b>6,5</b>	<b>6,6</b>
Assistance tools (0 to 3)	2,5	2,0
Case management system (0 to 7)	6,3	5,2
Financial management tools (0 to 3)	0,5	1,3
Measurement tools to assess the workload (0 to 5)	1,3	2,5
Electronic communication (0 to 10)	7,5	6,9


The calculation of this values for each field is based on the answers for that question/s and weighted according the availability or deployment rate. The total value is normalised to max 10 points for readability and comparison.

The details of the calculation are given in Annex 5 - IT calculations

The result by area may be summarized in these graphics, where each field has been evaluated from 0 to 4 points.

*Note: index is modified based on the available questions. This cycle the recalculation was made for the last three cycles to be able to follow the development.*

### ICT tools assessment from 2018 to 2020



#### Comments on communication tools

Transmitting summons by fax can be an other modality of sending summons.

In terms of specific legislation framework in this matter, there should be mentioned the Code of criminal procedure and the Code of civil procedure.

## 8. Systems for measuring and evaluating the performance of courts and public prosecution services in Romania

In Romania, quality standards are not determined for the judicial system at the national level.

There are no formal standards for quality established for the whole judiciary. However, informal standards are being used (such as training, quality of the reasoning, assessment of the activity of the judges, assessment of the good reputation of the judges etc.).

More precisely, the activity of courts is evaluated and monitored periodically, on the basis of certain statistical data/performance indicators. The evaluation is achieved by verifications carried out by inspectors of the Judicial Inspection of the SCM, by elaborating periodical reports. The schedule and thematic of those verifications are approved every year by the SCM.

At organizational level, there are no quality standards established for courts. It may be considered that such standards exist at individual level, for each judge, by the indicators for the evaluation of professional activity

### • Systems for measuring and evaluating courts' performance

A regular monitoring system of court activities is in place concerning:

Number of incoming cases	✓	Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)	✓
Length of proceedings (timeframes)	✓	Costs of the judicial procedures	✗
Number of resolved cases	✓	Number of appeals	✓
Number of pending cases	✓	Appeal ratio	✓
Backlogs	✓	Clearance rate	✓
Productivity of judges and court staff	✓	Disposition time	✓
Satisfaction of court staff	✓	Other	✓

Since 2012, the category "other" subsumes the length of administrative procedures, the number of final convictions, legal aid, suspended cases etc.

ECRIS - case management and STATIS - is the statistics monitoring application including for court's efficiency assessment.

In Romania, there is a system to regularly evaluate the court performance based primarily on defined indicators and the reporting is twice a year.

Performance and quality indicators are defined for the activity of each court.

The following indicators are used:

Number of incoming cases	✓	Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)	✗
Length of proceedings (timeframes)	✓	Costs of the judicial procedures	✗
Number of resolved cases	✓	Number of appeals	✓
Number of pending cases	✓	Appeal ratio	✓
Backlogs	✓	Clearance rate	✓
Productivity of judges and court staff	✓	Disposition time	✓
Satisfaction of court staff	✗	Other (e.g. suspended cases)	✓

The evaluation of the courts' activities is used for the later allocation of means in the courts.

### • Systems for measuring and evaluating public prosecution services' performance

A regular monitoring system of public prosecution services activities is in place concerning:

Number of incoming cases	✓	Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the public prosecution)	✓
Length of proceedings (timeframes)	✓	Costs of the judicial procedures	✗
Number of resolved cases	✓	Clearance rate	✓
Number of pending cases	✓	Disposition time	✓
Backlogs	✓	Percentage of convictions and acquittals	✓
Productivity of prosecutors and prosecution staff	✓	Other	✗
Satisfaction of prosecution staff	✓		

In Romania, there is a system to evaluate regularly the activity of each public prosecution service and the frequency of the reporting is annual.

Performance and quality indicators are defined for the activity of each public prosecution service.

The following indicators are used:

Number of incoming cases	✓	Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the public prosecutors)	✗
Length of proceedings (timeframes)	✓	Costs of the judicial procedures	✗
Number of resolved cases	✓	Clearance rate	✓
Number of pending cases	✓	Disposition time	✓
Backlogs	✓	Percentage of convictions and acquittals	✓
Productivity of prosecutors and prosecution staff	✓	Other	✗
Satisfaction of prosecution staff	✗		

The evaluation of the public prosecution services' activities is used for the later allocation of means in the public prosecution services.

Romania (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Table General Data: Economic and demographic data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)																		
Q1 Number of inhabitants	21 305 097	19 942 642	22 279 183	19 759 968	19 638 309	19 523 621	19 405 156	19 414 458	19 186 201	-9,9%	-6,4%	11,7%	-11,3%	-0,6%	-0,6%	-0,6%	0,0%	-1,2%
Q.3 GDP Per capita (in €) in current prices	6 660	7 217	7 533	8 100	8 600	9 600	10 400	11 500	11 290	69,5%	8,4%	4,4%	7,5%	6,2%	11,6%	8,3%	10,6%	-1,8%
Q5. Exchange rate of Nat currency to € on 1 Jan	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	10,3%	1,6%	-0,1%	0,9%	0,4%	2,6%	0,1%	2,5%	1,9%
Indicator 1: Systems for measuring and evaluating the performance of courts and prosecution services (Indicator 4 in 2019)																		
Table 1.1 to Table 1.10 (Q66, Q67, Q77, Q78, Q77-1, Q78-1, Q73, Q73-0, Q73-1, Q73-2, Q73-3, Q73-4, Q73-5, Q73-6, Q70, Q70-1, Q71, Q72, Q83-2, Q83-3, Q120 and Q120-1)																		
66 Qlty standards formulated_jud system	No	No	No	No	False	False	False	False	False									
67 Specialised court staff entrusted_qlty standards	No	No	No	No	False	False	False	False	False									
77 Performance and quality indicators of court activities	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
078.1.1 Number of incoming cases							True	True	True									
078.1.2 Length of proceedings (timeframes)							True	True	True									
078.1.3 Number of resolved cases							True	True	True									
078.1.4 Number of pending cases							True	True	True									
078.1.5 Backlogs							True	True	True									
078.1.6 Productivity of judges and court staff							True	True	True									
078.1.7 Satisfaction of court staff							False	False	False									
078.1.8 Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)							False	False	False									
078.1.9 Costs of the judicial procedures							False	False	False									
078.1.10 Number of appeals							True	True	True									
078.1.11 Appeal ratio							True	True	True									
078.1.12 Clearance rate							True	True	True									
078.1.13 Disposition time							True	True	True									
078.1.14 Other							True	True	True									
077-1.1.1 Defined performance and quality indicators									True									



Romania (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
078-1.1.1 Number of incoming cases									True									
078-1.1.2 Length of proceedings (timeframes)									True									
078-1.1.3 Number of resolved cases									True									
078-1.1.4 Number of pending cases									True									
078-1.1.5 Backlogs									True									
078-1.1.6 Productivity of prosecutors and prosecution staff									True									
078-1.1.7 Satisfaction of prosecution staff									False									
078-1.1.8 Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the public prosecution)									False									
078-1.1.9 Costs of the judicial procedures									False									
078-1.1.10 Clearance rate									True									
078-1.1.11 Disposition time									True									
078-1.1.12 Percentage of convictions and acquittals									True									
078-1.1.13 Other									False									
73 Regular system_evaluation_performance_each court	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
073-0.1.1 Annual					False	False	False	False	False									
073-0.1.2 Less frequent					False	False	False	False	False									
073-0.1.3 More frequent					True	True	True	True	True									
073-1.1.1 Evaluation used for the allocation of resources within the court			Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
073-2.1.1 Courses of action taken in the evaluation is used for the allocation of resources							True	True	True									
073-2.1.2 Reallocating resources (human/financial resources based on performance)							True	True	True									
073-2.1.3 Reengineering of internal procedures to increase efficiency							True	True	True									
073-2.1.4 Other							False	False	False									
073-3.1.1 Regular evaluation of the public prosecution services performance									True									

Romania (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
073-4.1.1 Annual									True									
073-4.1.2 Less frequent									False									
073-4.1.3 More frequent									False									
073-5.1.1 Evaluation used for the allocation of resources within the public prosecution services									True									
073-6.1.1 Identifying the causes of improved or deteriorated performance									True									
073-6.1.2 Reallocating resources (human/financial resources based on performance)									True									
073-6.1.3 Reengineering of internal procedures to increase efficiency									True									
073-6.1.4 Other									False									
070.1.1 number of incoming cases	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
070.1.2 length of proceedings (timeframes)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
070.1.3 number of resolved cases	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
070.1.4 number of pending cases							True	True	True									
070.1.5 backlogs							True	True	True									
070.1.6 productivity of judges and court staff							True	True	True									
070.1.7 satisfaction of court staff							True	True	True									
070.1.8 satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)							True	True	True									
070.1.9 costs of the judicial procedures							False	False	False									
070.1.10 number of appeals							True	True	True									
070.1.11 appeal ratio							True	True	True									
070.1.12 clearance rate							True	True	True									

Romania (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
070-1.1.1 Number of incoming cases									True									
070-1.1.2 Length of proceedings (timeframes)									True									
070-1.1.3 Number of resolved cases									True									
070-1.1.4 Number of pending cases									True									
070-1.1.5 Backlogs									True									
070-1.1.6 Productivity of prosecutors and prosecution staff									True									
070-1.1.7 Satisfaction of prosecution staff									True									
070-1.1.8 Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the public prosecution)									True									
070-1.1.9 Costs of the judicial procedures									False									
070-1.1.10 Clearance rate									True									
070-1.1.11 Disposition time									True									
070-1.1.12 Percentage of convictions and acquittals									True									
070-1.1.13 Other									False									
071.1.1 Monitoring backlogs in Civil law cases									True									
071.1.2 Monitoring backlogs in Criminal law cases									True									
071.1.3 Monitoring backlogs in Administrative law cases									True									
072.1.1 Monitoring timeframes Within the courts									True									
072.1.2 Monitoring timeframes Within the public prosecution services									True									
083-2.1.1 Quantitative performance tagets defined for each prosecutors									False									
083-3.1.1 Body responsible - Executive power (for example the Ministry of Justice)									NAP									
083-3.1.2 Body responsible - Prosecutor General /State public prosecutor									NAP									
083-3.1.3 Body responsible - Public Prosecutorial Council									NAP									
083-3.1.4 Body responsible - Head of the organisational unit or hierarchically superior public prosecutor									NAP									
083-3.1.5 Body responsible - Other									NAP									

Romania (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
120.1.1 Qualitative individual assessment of the public prosecutors' work									True									
120-1.1.1 Feequency - Annual									False									
120-1.1.2 Feequency - Less frequent									True									
120-1.1.3 Feequency - More frequent									False									
Indicator 2: The judicial organisation																		
Tables 2.1a; 2.1b; 2.2a; 2.2b; 2.3a; 2.3b; 2.4 and 2.5(EC) (Q42, Q43 and Q44)																		
Q42.1.1Total number of all courts - legal entities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	242	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Q42.1.2 Total number of courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	233	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Q42.1.3 First instance courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities	233	233	233	232	233	233	233	233	175	-24,9%	0,0%	0,0%	-0,4%	0,4%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	-24,9%
Q42.1.4 Second instance courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	57	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Q42.1.5 Highest instance courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Q42.1.6 Total number of specialised courts - legal entities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.1 Total number of specialised courts of first instance	10	10	10	9	9	9	9	9	8	-20,0%	0,0%	0,0%	-10,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	-11,1%
43.1.2 Commercial courts (excluded insolvency courts)	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%
43.1.3 Insolvency courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.4 Labour courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.5 Family courts	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%
43.1.6 Rent and tenancies courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.7 Enforcement of criminal sanctions courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.8 Fight against terrorism, organised crime and corruption	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.9 Internet related disputes	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.10 Administrative courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.11 Insurance and / or social welfare courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.12 Military courts	6	6	6	5	5	5	5	5	4	-33,3%	0,0%	0,0%	-16,7%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	-20,0%
43.1.13 Juvenile courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Romania (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
43.1.14 Other specialised courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.1 Total number of specialised courts of higher instances	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.2 Commercial courts (excluded insolvency courts)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.3 Insolvency courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.4 Labour courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.5 Family courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.6 Rent and tenancies courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.7 Enforcement of criminal sanctions courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.8 Fight against terrorism, organised crime and corruption	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.9 Internet related disputes	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.10 Administrative courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.11 Insurance and / or social welfare courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.12 Military courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.13 Juvenile courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.14 Other specialised courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44.1.1 First instance courts geographic locations	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	182	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44.1.2 All courts geographic locations	244	244	244	243	243	243	243	243	242	-0,8%	0,0%	0,0%	-0,4%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	-0,4%

Romania (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Indicator 3: The performance of courts at all stages of the proceedings																			
Tables 3.1.1.1 to 3.1.1.4 (all years) Number of other than criminal cases (Q91)																			
Table 3.3.1 to 3.3.3 Variation of first instance other than criminal cases per 100 inhabitants (Q1, Q91)																			
Table 3.13.7 (EC) to 3.13.12 (EC) First instance other than criminal cases (Q91)																			
91.1.1 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	698 506	777 991	918 286	733 382	649 920	630 979	639 082	591 192	587 819	-15,8%	11,4%	18,0%	-20,1%	-11,4%	-2,9%	1,3%	-7,5%	-0,6%	
91.1.2 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	566 796	578 043	793 683	661 619	597 721	570 748	581 464	548 530	543 619	-4,1%	2,0%	37,3%	-16,6%	-9,7%	-4,5%	1,9%	-5,7%	-0,9%	
91.1.3 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	14 940	13 356	11 750	10 112	10 770	10 887	12 698	-	-	-	-10,6%	-12,0%	-13,9%	6,5%	1,1%	16,6%	
91.1.4 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	44 812	62 572	6 418	4 375	3 049	1 756	1 354	1 546	2 453	-94,5%	39,6%	-89,7%	-31,8%	-30,3%	-42,4%	-22,9%	14,2%	58,7%	
91.1.5 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	8 522	8 981	8 701	8 356	9 416	9 341	10 245	-	-	-	5,4%	-3,1%	-4,0%	12,7%	-0,8%	9,7%	
91.1.6 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious land registry cases	1 454	1 366	5 601	5 550	4 788	4 193	4 322	4 629	5 108	251,3%	-6,1%	310,0%	-0,9%	-13,7%	-12,4%	3,1%	7,1%	10,3%	
91.1.7 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non-litigious business registry cases	2 281	2 526	2 921	3 431	3 913	4 163	5 094	4 712	5 137	125,2%	10,7%	15,6%	17,5%	14,0%	6,4%	22,4%	-7,5%	9,0%	
91.1.8 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
91.1.9 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
91.1.10 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Administrative law cases	83 163	133 484	109 663	61 838	40 449	50 119	46 848	31 775	31 502	-62,1%	60,5%	-17,8%	-43,6%	-34,6%	23,9%	-6,5%	-32,2%	-0,9%	
91.1.11 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
91.2.1 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	1 837 799	1 599 815	1 632 597	1 443 850	1 477 959	1 455 782	1 354 351	1 410 632	1 282 448	-30,2%	-12,9%	2,0%	-11,6%	2,4%	-1,5%	-7,0%	4,2%	-9,1%	
91.2.2 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	1 102 677	829 193	1 526 483	1 353 189	1 335 498	1 279 631	1 240 508	1 296 445	1 174 754	6,5%	-24,8%	84,1%	-11,4%	-1,3%	-4,2%	-3,1%	4,5%	-9,4%	
91.2.3 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	27 733	26 313	25 099	30 051	30 103	31 416	28 673	-	-	-	-5,1%	-4,6%	19,7%	0,2%	4,4%	-8,7%	
91.2.4 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	502 594	571 575	19 973	19 224	18 421	23 094	23 618	24 567	22 356	-95,6%	13,7%	-96,5%	-3,8%	-4,2%	25,4%	2,3%	4,0%	-9,0%	
91.2.5 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	7 760	7 089	6 678	6 957	6 485	6 849	6 317	-	-	-	-8,6%	-5,8%	4,2%	-6,8%	5,6%	-7,8%	
91.2.6 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious land registry cases	2 099	1 999	6 821	6 001	5 904	5 393	5 631	5 856	5 329	153,9%	-4,8%	241,2%	-12,0%	-1,6%	-8,7%	4,4%	4,0%	-9,0%	
91.2.7 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Non-litigious business registry cases	810	869	939	1 088	774	1 564	854	993	988	22,0%	7,3%	8,1%	15,9%	-28,9%	102,1%	-45,4%	16,3%	-0,5%	
91.2.8 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
91.2.9 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
91.2.10 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Administrative law cases	229 619	196 179	78 381	65 436	117 362	146 100	83 740	82 771	79 021	-65,6%	-14,6%	-60,0%	-16,5%	79,4%	24,5%	-42,7%	-1,2%	-4,5%	
91.2.11 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	



Romania (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
91.3.1 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	1 758 314	1 760 885	1 814 070	1 531 225	1 496 900	1 447 679	1 402 241	1 414 005	1 239 954	-29,5%	0,1%	3,0%	-15,6%	-2,2%	-3,3%	-3,1%	0,8%	-12,3%
91.3.2 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	1 091 430	929 973	1 658 547	1 417 087	1 362 471	1 268 915	1 273 442	1 301 356	1 175 845	7,7%	-14,8%	78,3%	-14,6%	-3,9%	-6,9%	0,4%	2,2%	-9,6%
91.3.3 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	29 317	27 919	26 737	29 393	29 986	29 605	25 865	-	-	-	-4,8%	-4,2%	9,9%	2,0%	-1,3%	-12,6%
91.3.4 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	484 834	572 830	22 016	20 550	19 714	23 496	23 426	23 660	20 821	-95,7%	18,1%	-96,2%	-6,7%	-4,1%	19,2%	-0,3%	1,0%	-12,0%
91.3.5 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	7 301	7 369	7 023	5 897	6 560	5 945	5 044	-	-	-	0,9%	-4,7%	-16,0%	11,2%	-9,4%	-15,2%
91.3.6 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious land registry cases	2 187	2 199	6 872	6 763	6 499	5 264	5 324	5 377	4 372	99,9%	0,5%	212,5%	-1,6%	-3,9%	-19,0%	1,1%	1,0%	-18,7%
91.3.7 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Non-litigious business registry cases	565	474	429	606	524	633	1 236	568	672	18,9%	-16,1%	-9,5%	41,3%	-13,5%	20,8%	95,3%	-54,0%	18,3%
91.3.8 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.3.9 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.3.10 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Administrative law cases	179 298	255 409	126 206	86 825	107 692	149 371	98 813	83 044	38 244	-78,7%	42,4%	-50,6%	-31,2%	24,0%	38,7%	-33,8%	-16,0%	-53,9%
91.3.11 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.4.1 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	777 991	616 921	736 813	646 007	630 979	639 082	591 192	587 819	630 313	-19,0%	-20,7%	19,4%	-12,3%	-2,3%	1,3%	-7,5%	-0,6%	7,2%
91.4.2 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	578 043	477 263	661 619	597 721	570 748	581 464	548 530	543 619	542 528	-6,1%	-17,4%	38,6%	-9,7%	-4,5%	1,9%	-5,7%	-0,9%	-0,2%
91.4.3 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	13 356	11 750	10 112	10 770	10 887	12 698	15 506	-	-	-	-12,0%	-13,9%	6,5%	1,1%	16,6%	22,1%
91.4.4 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	62 572	61 317	4 375	3 049	1 756	1 354	1 546	2 453	3 988	-93,6%	-2,0%	-92,9%	-30,3%	-42,4%	-22,9%	14,2%	58,7%	62,6%
91.4.5 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	8 981	8 701	8 356	9 416	9 341	10 245	11 518	-	-	-	-3,1%	-4,0%	12,7%	-0,8%	9,7%	12,4%
91.4.6 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious land registry cases	1 366	1 166	5 550	4 788	4 193	4 322	4 629	5 108	6 065	344,0%	-14,6%	376,0%	-13,7%	-12,4%	3,1%	7,1%	10,3%	18,7%
91.4.7 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non-litigious business registry cases	2 526	2 921	3 431	3 913	4 163	5 094	4 712	5 137	5 453	115,9%	15,6%	17,5%	14,0%	6,4%	22,4%	-7,5%	9,0%	6,2%
91.4.8 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.4.9 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.4.10 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Administrative law cases	133 484	74 254	61 838	40 449	50 119	46 848	31 775	31 502	72 279	-45,9%	-44,4%	-16,7%	-34,6%	23,9%	-6,5%	-32,2%	-0,9%	129,4%
91.4.11 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Romania (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions															
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020							
Table 3.2.1.1 to 3.2.1.2 (all years) First instance courts: Clearance rate and disposition time for other than criminal cases (Q91)																									
Table 3.3.4 to 3.3.7 Variation of Clearance Rate and Disposition Time of first instance other than criminal cases (Q91)																									
Table 3.13.1 (EC) to 3.13.6 (EC) First instance courts: Disposition time and clearance rate for other than criminal cases (Q91)																									
CR Total of other than criminal law cases	95,7%	110,1%	111,1%	106,1%	101,3%	99,4%	103,5%	100,2%	96,7%	1,06	15,04	0,95	-	4,56	-	4,50	-	1,81	4,12	-	3,18	-	3,54		
CR Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	99,0%	112,2%	108,7%	104,7%	102,0%	99,2%	102,7%	100,4%	100,1%	1,12	13,31	-	3,12	-	3,62	-	2,58	-	2,80	3,52	-	2,22	-	0,28	
CR Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	105,7%	106,1%	106,5%	97,8%	99,6%	94,2%	90,2%	-	-	-	0,37	0,40	-	8,18	1,84	-	5,40	-	4,28				
CR General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	96,5%	100,2%	110,2%	106,9%	107,0%	101,7%	99,2%	96,3%	93,1%	-	3,45	3,89	9,99	-	3,02	0,11	-	4,93	-	2,51	-	2,90	-	3,30	
CR Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	94,1%	103,9%	105,2%	84,8%	101,2%	86,8%	79,8%	-	-	-	10,48	1,17	-	19,40	19,34	-	14,19	-	8,01				
CR Non litigious land registry cases	104,2%	110,0%	100,7%	112,7%	110,1%	97,6%	94,5%	91,8%	82,0%	-	21,26	5,58	-	8,42	11,86	-	2,32	-	11,33	-	3,13	-	2,88	-	10,65
CR Non-litigious business registry cases	69,8%	54,5%	45,7%	55,7%	67,7%	40,5%	144,7%	57,2%	68,0%	-	2,49	-	21,80	-	16,24	21,91	21,55	-	40,22	257,60	-	60,48		18,91	
CR Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
CR Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
CR Administrative law cases	78,1%	130,2%	161,0%	132,7%	91,8%	102,2%	118,0%	100,3%	48,4%	-	38,02	66,73	23,68	-	17,59	-	30,84	11,42	15,42	-	14,97	-	51,76		
CR Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
DT Total of other than criminal law cases	161	128	148	154	154	161	154	152	186	14,9%	-20,8%	15,9%	3,9%	-0,1%	4,7%	-4,5%	-1,4%							22,3%	
DT Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	193	187	146	154	153	167	157	152	168	-12,9%	-3,1%	-22,3%	5,7%	-0,7%	9,4%	-6,0%	-3,0%							10,5%	
DT Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	166	154	138	134	133	157	219	-	-	-	-7,6%	-10,1%	-3,1%	-0,9%	18,1%							39,8%	
DT General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	47	39	73	54	33	21	24	38	70	48,4%	-17,1%	85,6%	-25,3%	-40,0%	-35,3%	14,5%	57,1%							84,7%	
DT Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	449	431	434	583	520	629	833	-	-	-	-4,0%	0,8%	34,2%	-10,8%	21,0%							32,5%	
DT Non litigious land registry cases	228	194	295	258	235	300	317	347	506	122,1%	-15,1%	52,3%	-12,3%	-8,9%	27,3%	5,9%	9,3%							46,0%	
DT Non-litigious business registry cases	1632	2249	2919	2357	2900	2937	1391	3301	2962	81,5%	37,8%	29,8%	-19,3%	23,0%	1,3%	-52,6%	137,2%							-10,3%	
DT Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Administrative law cases	272	106	179	170	170	114	117	138	690	153,9%	-60,9%	68,5%	-4,9%	-0,1%	-32,6%	2,5%	18,0%							398,2%	
DT Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Romania (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Table 3.4.1 (all years) First instance courts, number of cases for specific case categories (Q101)																			
101.1.1 Pending cases on 1 Jan. Litigious divorce case	20 926	19 247	16 334	16 814	15 912	15 753	16 646	16 816	15 599	-25,5%	-8,0%	-15,1%	2,9%	-5,4%	-1,0%	5,7%	1,0%	-7,2%	
101.1.2 Pending cases on 1 Jan. Employment dismissal case	3 041	2 734	3 277	3 212	2 257	1 802	1 498	1 399	1 339	-56,0%	-10,1%	19,9%	-2,0%	-29,7%	-20,2%	-16,9%	-6,6%	-4,3%	
101.1.3 Pending cases on 1 Jan. Insolvency	48 643	50 774	60 239	50 739	41 701	35 215	33 373	30 928	27 048	-44,4%	4,4%	18,6%	-15,8%	-17,8%	-15,6%	-5,2%	-7,3%	-12,5%	
101.2.1 Incoming cases_Litigious divorce case	42 582	35 422	34 125	36 435	36 041	35 709	34 609	32 562	27 892	-34,5%	-16,8%	-3,7%	6,8%	-1,1%	-0,9%	-3,1%	-5,9%	-14,3%	
101.2.2 Incoming cases_Employment dismissal case	3 274	3 789	3 075	2 413	2 030	1 732	1 661	1 621	2 074	-36,7%	15,7%	-18,8%	-21,5%	-15,9%	-14,7%	-4,1%	-2,4%	27,9%	
101.2.3 Incoming cases_Insolvency	57 956	60 536	45 896	34 981	29 883	28 623	27 374	25 921	19 859	-65,7%	4,5%	-24,2%	-23,8%	-14,6%	-4,2%	-4,4%	-5,3%	-23,4%	
101.3.1 Resolved cases_Litigious divorce case	44 261	37 508	33 645	37 337	36 200	34 816	34 439	33 779	26 863	-39,3%	-15,3%	-10,3%	11,0%	-3,0%	-3,8%	-1,1%	-1,9%	-20,5%	
101.3.2 Resolved cases_Employment dismissal case	3 581	3 246	3 140	3 372	2 485	2 036	1 760	1 681	1 638	-54,3%	-9,4%	-3,3%	7,4%	-26,3%	-18,1%	-13,6%	-4,5%	-2,6%	
101.3.3 Resolved cases_Insolvency	55 825	54 184	55 396	45 121	36 369	30 465	29 819	29 801	22 409	-59,9%	-2,9%	2,2%	-18,5%	-19,4%	-16,2%	-2,1%	-0,1%	-24,8%	
101.4.1 Pending cases on 31 Dec. Litigious divorce case	19 247	17 161	16 814	15 912	15 753	16 646	16 816	15 599	16 628	-13,6%	-10,8%	-2,0%	-5,4%	-1,0%	5,7%	1,0%	-7,2%	6,6%	
101.4.2 Pending cases on 31 Dec. Employment dismissal case	2 734	3 277	3 212	2 253	1 802	1 498	1 399	1 339	1 775	-35,1%	19,9%	-2,0%	-29,9%	-20,0%	-16,9%	-6,6%	-4,3%	32,6%	
101.4.3 Pending cases on 31 Dec. Insolvency	50 774	57 126	50 739	40 599	35 215	33 373	30 928	27 048	24 498	-51,8%	12,5%	-11,2%	-20,0%	-13,3%	-5,2%	-7,3%	-12,5%	-9,4%	

Table 3.5.1 (all years) First instance courts: Clearance rate and disposition time for specific case categories (Q101)

Table 3.6.1 and 3.6.2 Variations of CR and DT for specific case categories of first instance cases (Q101)

CR Litigious divorce cases	103,9%	105,9%	98,6%	102,5%	100,4%	97,5%	99,5%	103,7%	96,3%	-	7,34	1,87	-	6,89	3,94	-	1,99	-	2,93	2,06	4,25	-	7,16		
CR Employment dismissal cases	109,4%	85,7%	102,1%	139,7%	122,4%	117,6%	106,0%	103,7%	79,0%	-	27,79	-	21,68	19,20	36,85	-	12,40	-	3,97	-	9,86	-	2,13	-	23,84
CR Insolvency cases	96,3%	89,5%	120,7%	129,0%	121,7%	106,4%	108,9%	115,0%	112,8%		17,15	-	7,08	34,85	6,87	-	5,65	-	12,55	2,35	5,54	-	1,85		
DT Litigious divorce cases	159	167	182	156	159	175	178	169	226		42,3%	5,2%	9,2%	-14,7%	2,1%	9,9%	2,1%	-5,4%	34,0%						
DT Employment dismissal cases	279	368	373	244	265	269	290	291	396		41,9%	32,2%	1,3%	-34,7%	8,5%	1,5%	8,0%	0,2%	36,0%						
DT Insolvency cases	332	385	334	328	353	400	379	331	399		20,2%	15,9%	-13,1%	-1,8%	7,6%	13,1%	-5,3%	-12,5%	20,4%						

Romania (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Table 3.7.1 to 3.7.5 (2019 and 2020) Second instance other than criminal cases (Q97)																		
Table 3.9.1 to 3.9.3 (2019 and 2020) Variation of second instance other than criminal cases (Q97)																		
97.1.1 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			30 794	77 399	91 360	78 426	83 741	73 019	72 979	-	-	-	151,3%	18,0%	-14,2%	6,8%	-12,8%	-0,1%
97.1.2 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			29 428	76 099	89 983	77 180	82 344	71 851	71 874	-	-	-	158,6%	18,2%	-14,2%	6,7%	-12,7%	0,0%
97.1.3 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			1 366	1 300	1 377	1 246	1 397	1 168	1 105	-	-	-	-4,8%	5,9%	-9,5%	12,1%	-16,4%	-5,4%
97.1.4 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			294	295	310	297	383	339	364	-	-	-	0,3%	5,1%	-4,2%	29,0%	-11,5%	7,4%
97.1.5 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			1 072	1 005	1 067	949	1 014	829	741	-	-	-	-6,3%	6,2%	-11,1%	6,8%	-18,2%	-10,6%
97.1.6 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious land registry cases			1 072	1 005	1 067	949	1 014	829	741	-	-	-	-6,3%	6,2%	-11,1%	6,8%	-18,2%	-10,6%
97.1.7 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.1.8 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.1.9 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.1.10 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Administrative law cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.1.11 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.2.1 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			141 636	194 760	204 986	205 729	197 330	191 115	169 147	-	-	-	37,5%	5,3%	0,4%	-4,1%	-3,1%	-11,5%
97.2.2 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			139 457	192 335	202 441	202 444	194 375	188 249	166 596	-	-	-	37,9%	5,3%	0,0%	-4,0%	-3,2%	-11,5%
97.2.3 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			2 179	2 425	2 545	3 285	2 955	2 866	2 551	-	-	-	11,3%	4,9%	29,1%	-10,0%	-3,0%	-11,0%
97.2.4 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			631	785	824	1 468	1 312	1 272	1 132	-	-	-	24,4%	5,0%	78,2%	-10,6%	-3,0%	-11,0%
97.2.5 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			1 548	1 640	1 721	1 817	1 643	1 594	1 419	-	-	-	5,9%	4,9%	5,6%	-9,6%	-3,0%	-11,0%
97.2.6 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious land registry cases			1 548	1 640	1 721	1 817	1 643	1 594	1 419	-	-	-	5,9%	4,9%	5,6%	-9,6%	-3,0%	-11,0%
97.2.7 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.2.8 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.2.9 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.2.10 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Administrative law cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.2.11 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Other cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Romania (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
97.3.1 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			95 031	180 799	217 920	200 414	208 052	191 155	163 922	-	-	-	90,3%	20,5%	-8,0%	3,8%	-8,1%	-14,2%
97.3.2 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			92 786	178 259	215 244	197 280	204 868	188 226	161 403	-	-	-	92,1%	20,7%	-8,3%	3,8%	-8,1%	-14,3%
97.3.3 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			2 245	2 540	2 676	3 134	3 184	2 929	2 519	-	-	-	13,1%	5,4%	17,1%	1,6%	-8,0%	-14,0%
97.3.4 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			630	795	837	1 382	1 356	1 247	1 072	-	-	-	26,2%	5,3%	65,1%	-1,9%	-8,0%	-14,0%
97.3.5 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			1 615	1 745	1 839	1 752	1 828	1 682	1 447	-	-	-	8,0%	5,4%	-4,7%	4,3%	-8,0%	-14,0%
97.3.6 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious land registry cases			1 615	1 745	1 839	1 752	1 828	1 682	1 447	-	-	-	8,0%	5,4%	-4,7%	4,3%	-8,0%	-14,0%
97.3.7 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.8 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.9 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.10 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Administrative law cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.11 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Other cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.1 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			77 399	91 360	78 426	83 741	73 019	72 979	78 204	-	-	-	18,0%	-14,2%	6,8%	-12,8%	-0,1%	7,2%
97.4.2 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			76 099	90 175	77 180	82 344	71 851	71 874	77 067	-	-	-	18,5%	-14,4%	6,7%	-12,7%	0,0%	7,2%
97.4.3 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			1 300	1 185	1 246	1 397	1 168	1 105	1 137	-	-	-	-8,8%	5,1%	12,1%	-16,4%	-5,4%	2,9%
97.4.4 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			295	285	297	383	339	364	424	-	-	-	-3,4%	4,2%	29,0%	-11,5%	7,4%	16,5%
97.4.5 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			1 005	900	949	1 014	829	741	713	-	-	-	-10,4%	5,4%	6,8%	-18,2%	-10,6%	-3,8%
97.4.6 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious land registry cases			1 005	900	949	1 014	829	741	713	-	-	-	-10,4%	5,4%	6,8%	-18,2%	-10,6%	-3,8%
97.4.7 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.8 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.9 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.10 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Administrative law cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.11 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.5.1 2nd inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			-	-	467	662	679	672	1 765	-	-	-	-	-	41,8%	2,6%	-1,0%	162,6%
97.5.2 2nd inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			-	-	460	650	671	665	1 740	-	-	-	-	-	41,3%	3,2%	-0,9%	161,7%
97.5.10 2nd inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Administrative law cases			-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-



Romania (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions											
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020			
Table 3.8.1 and 3.8.2 (2019 and 2020): Second instance clearance rate and disposition time for other than criminal law cases (Q97)																					
Table 3.9.4 and 3.9.5 (2019 and 2020): Variation of second clearance rate and disposition time for other than criminal law cases (Q97)																					
CR Total of other than criminal law cases			67,1%	92,8%	106,3%	97,4%	105,4%	100,0%	96,9%	-	-	-	38,36	14,52	-	8,37	8,23	-	5,13	-	3,11
CR Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			66,5%	92,7%	106,3%	97,4%	105,4%	100,0%	96,9%	-	-	-	39,30	14,72	-	8,35	8,16	-	5,13	-	3,11
CR Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			103,0%	104,7%	105,1%	95,4%	107,7%	102,2%	98,7%	-	-	-	1,66	0,39	-	9,27	12,94	-	5,15	-	3,38
CR General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			99,8%	101,3%	101,6%	94,1%	103,4%	98,0%	94,7%	-	-	-	1,43	0,30	-	7,32	9,79	-	5,15	-	3,40
CR Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			104,3%	106,4%	106,9%	96,4%	111,3%	105,5%	102,0%	-	-	-	1,99	0,43	-	9,76	15,39	-	5,16	-	3,36
CR Non litigious land registry cases			104,3%	106,4%	106,9%	96,4%	111,3%	105,5%	102,0%	-	-	-	1,99	0,43	-	9,76	15,39	-	5,16	-	3,36
CR Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Administrative law cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Total of other than criminal law cases			297	184	131	153	128	139	174	-	-	-	-38,0%	-28,8%	16,1%	-16,0%	8,8%	25,0%			
DT Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			299	185	131	152	128	139	174	-	-	-	-38,3%	-29,1%	16,4%	-16,0%	8,9%	25,0%			
DT Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			211	170	170	163	134	138	165	-	-	-	-19,4%	-0,2%	-4,3%	-17,7%	2,8%	19,6%			
DT General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			171	131	130	101	91	107	144	-	-	-	-23,4%	-1,0%	-21,9%	-9,8%	16,8%	35,5%			
DT Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			227	188	188	211	166	161	180	-	-	-	-17,1%	0,1%	12,2%	-21,6%	-2,9%	11,8%			
DT Non litigious land registry cases			227	188	188	211	166	161	180	-	-	-	-17,1%	0,1%	12,2%	-21,6%	-2,9%	11,8%			
DT Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Administrative law cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-



Romania (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Table 3.10.1 to 3.10.5 (2019 and 2020) Supreme courts, number of other than criminal law cases (Q99)																			
Table 3.12.1 to 3.12.3 (2019 and 2020) Variation of the supreme courts, number of other than criminal law cases (Q99)																			
99.1.1 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			153 873	83 098	40 023	32 226	42 944	39 695	39 454	-	-	-	-46,0%	-51,8%	-19,5%	33,3%	-7,6%	-0,6%	
99.1.2 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			101 691	50 537	18 743	12 986	11 172	17 884	17 586	-	-	-	-50,3%	-62,9%	-30,7%	-14,0%	60,1%	-1,7%	
99.1.3 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			695	424	215	130	114	116	111	-	-	-	-39,0%	-49,3%	-39,5%	-12,3%	1,8%	-4,3%	
99.1.4 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			137	65	34	13	5	-	1	-	-	-	-52,6%	-47,7%	-61,8%	-61,5%	-	-	
99.1.5 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			558	359	181	117	109	116	110	-	-	-	-35,7%	-49,6%	-35,4%	-6,8%	6,4%	-5,2%	
99.1.6 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious land registry cases			558	359	181	117	109	116	110	-	-	-	-35,7%	-49,6%	-35,4%	-6,8%	6,4%	-5,2%	
99.1.7 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non-litigious business registry cases			NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.1.8 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other registry cases			NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.1.9 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other non-litigious cases			NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.1.10 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Administrative law cases			51 487	32 137	21 065	19 110	31 658	21 695	21 757	-	-	-	-37,6%	-34,5%	-9,3%	65,7%	-31,5%	0,3%	
99.1.11 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.2.1 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			159 055	78 841	58 015	95 123	76 786	59 978	49 338	-	-	-	-50,4%	-26,4%	64,0%	-19,3%	-21,9%	-17,7%	
99.2.2 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			77 548	35 265	22 103	19 364	33 252	29 625	23 746	-	-	-	-54,5%	-37,3%	-12,4%	71,7%	-10,9%	-19,8%	
99.2.3 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			938	438	221	333	351	253	208	-	-	-	-53,3%	-49,5%	50,7%	5,4%	-27,9%	-17,8%	
99.2.4 High inst courts_Incoming cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			89	70	37	120	53	41	34	-	-	-	-21,3%	-47,1%	224,3%	-55,8%	-22,6%	-17,1%	
99.2.5 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			849	368	184	213	298	212	174	-	-	-	-56,7%	-50,0%	15,8%	39,9%	-28,9%	-17,9%	
99.2.6 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious land registry cases			849	368	184	213	298	212	174	-	-	-	-56,7%	-50,0%	15,8%	39,9%	-28,9%	-17,9%	
99.2.7 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Non-litigious business registry cases			NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.2.8 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Other registry cases			NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.2.9 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Other non-litigious cases			NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.2.10 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Administrative law cases			80 569	43 138	35 691	75 426	43 183	30 100	25 384	-	-	-	-46,5%	-17,3%	111,3%	-42,7%	-30,3%	-15,7%	
99.2.11 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Romania (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
99.3.1 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			229 830	121 916	65 812	84 405	80 035	60 219	51 922	-	-	-	-47,0%	-46,0%	28,3%	-5,2%	-24,8%	-13,8%
99.3.2 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			128 702	67 100	27 860	21 178	26 540	29 923	24 856	-	-	-	-47,9%	-58,5%	-24,0%	25,3%	12,7%	-16,9%
99.3.3 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			1 209	606	306	349	349	258	221	-	-	-	-49,9%	-49,5%	14,1%	0,0%	-26,1%	-14,3%
99.3.4 High inst courts_Resolved cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			161	106	58	128	58	40	34	-	-	-	-34,2%	-45,3%	120,7%	-54,7%	-31,0%	-15,0%
99.3.5 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			1 048	500	248	221	291	218	187	-	-	-	-52,3%	-50,4%	-10,9%	31,7%	-25,1%	-14,2%
99.3.6 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious land registry cases			1 048	500	248	221	291	218	187	-	-	-	-52,3%	-50,4%	-10,9%	31,7%	-25,1%	-14,2%
99.3.7 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Non-litigious business registry cases			NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.8 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Other registry cases			NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.9 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Other non-litigious cases			NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.10 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Administrative law cases			99 919	54 210	37 646	62 878	53 146	30 038	26 845	-	-	-	-45,7%	-30,6%	67,0%	-15,5%	-43,5%	-10,6%
99.3.11 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.1 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			83 098	40 023	32 226	42 944	39 695	39 454	36 870	-	-	-	-51,8%	-19,5%	33,3%	-7,6%	-0,6%	-6,5%
99.4.2 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			50 537	18 702	12 986	11 172	17 884	17 586	16 476	-	-	-	-63,0%	-30,6%	-14,0%	60,1%	-1,7%	-6,3%
99.4.3 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			424	256	130	114	116	111	98	-	-	-	-39,6%	-49,2%	-12,3%	1,8%	-4,3%	-11,7%
99.4.4 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			65	29	13	5	-	1	1	-	-	-	-55,4%	-55,2%	-61,5%	-	-	0,0%
99.4.5 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			359	227	117	109	116	110	97	-	-	-	-36,8%	-48,5%	-6,8%	6,4%	-5,2%	-11,8%
99.4.6 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious land registry cases			359	227	117	109	116	110	97	-	-	-	-36,8%	-48,5%	-6,8%	6,4%	-5,2%	-11,8%
99.4.7 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non-litigious business registry cases			NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.8 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other registry cases			NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.9 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other non-litigious cases			NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.10 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Administrative law cases			32 137	21 065	19 110	31 658	21 695	21 757	20 296	-	-	-	-34,5%	-9,3%	65,7%	-31,5%	0,3%	-6,7%
99.4.11 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.5.1 High inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			-	-	1 514	1 450	954	944	882	-	-	-	-	-	-4,2%	-34,2%	-1,0%	-6,6%
99.5.2 High inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			-	-	1 135	1 079	452	448	421	-	-	-	-	-	-4,9%	-58,1%	-0,9%	-6,0%
99.5.10 High inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Administrative law cases			-	-	373	360	499	494	460	-	-	-	-	-	-3,5%	38,6%	-1,0%	-6,9%

Romania (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions											
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020			
Table 3.11.1 and 3.11.2 Supreme courts, clearance rate and disposition time for other than criminal law cases (Q97)																					
Table 3.12.4 and 3.12.5 Variation of the supreme courts, clearance rate and disposition time for other than criminal law cases (Q97)																					
CR Total of other than criminal law cases			144,5%	154,6%	113,4%	88,7%	104,2%	100,4%	105,2%	-	-	-	7,02	-	26,64	-	21,78	17,47	-	3,67	4,82
CR Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			166,0%	190,3%	126,0%	109,4%	79,8%	101,0%	104,7%	-	-	-	14,65	-	33,76	-	13,23	-	27,02	26,55	3,63
CR Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			128,9%	138,4%	138,5%	104,8%	99,4%	102,0%	106,3%	-	-	-	7,34	0,08	-	24,31	-	5,13	2,56	4,19	
CR General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			180,9%	151,4%	156,8%	106,7%	109,4%	97,6%	100,0%	-	-	-	-	16,29	3,52	-	31,95	2,59	-	10,85	2,50
CR Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			123,4%	135,9%	134,8%	103,8%	97,7%	102,8%	107,5%	-	-	-	10,07	-	0,80	-	23,02	-	5,88	5,30	4,51
CR Non litigious land registry cases			123,4%	135,9%	134,8%	103,8%	97,7%	102,8%	107,5%	-	-	-	10,07	-	0,80	-	23,02	-	5,88	5,30	4,51
CR Non-litigious business registry cases			NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Other registry cases			NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Other non-litigious cases			NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Administrative law cases			124,0%	125,7%	105,5%	83,4%	123,1%	99,8%	105,8%	-	-	-	1,33	-	16,07	-	20,97	47,63	-	18,91	5,97
CR Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Total of other than criminal law cases			132	120	179	186	181	239	259	-	-	-	-9,2%	49,2%	3,9%	-2,5%	32,1%	8,4%			
DT Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			143	102	170	193	246	215	242	-	-	-	-29,0%	67,2%	13,2%	27,7%	-12,8%	12,8%			
DT Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			128	154	155	119	121	157	162	-	-	-	20,5%	0,6%	-23,1%	1,8%	29,4%	3,1%			
DT General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			147	100	82	14	-	9	11	-	-	-	-32,2%	-18,1%	-82,6%	-	-	17,6%			
DT Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			125	166	172	180	145	184	189	-	-	-	32,5%	3,9%	4,5%	-19,2%	26,6%	2,8%			
DT Non litigious land registry cases			125	166	172	180	145	184	189	-	-	-	32,5%	3,9%	4,5%	-19,2%	26,6%	2,8%			
DT Non-litigious business registry cases			NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Other registry cases			NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Other non-litigious cases			NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Administrative law cases			117	142	185	184	149	264	276	-	-	-	20,8%	30,6%	-0,8%	-18,9%	77,4%	4,4%			
DT Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Romania (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Table 3.14.1 to 3.14.5 First instance criminal law cases (Q94)																			
094.1.1 Total - pending 1 Jan									106 622	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.1.2 Severe cases - pending 1 Jan									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.1.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 1 Jan									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.1.4 Other - pending 1 Jan									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.2.1 Total -incoming									341 899	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.2.2 Severe cases - incoming									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.2.3 Misdemeanour cases - incoming									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.2.4 Other - incoming									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.3.1 Total - resolved									342 634	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.3.2 Severe cases -resolved									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.3.3 Misdemeanour cases - resolved									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.3.4 Other - resolved									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.4.1 Total - pending 31 Dec									105 887	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.4.2 Severe cases - pending 31 Dec									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.4.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 31 Dec									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.4.4 Other - pending 31 Dec									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.5.1 Total - pending more then 2 years									4 316	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.5.2 Severe cases - pending more then 2 years									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.5.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending more then 2 years									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.5.4 Other - pending more then 2 years									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Romania (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Table 3.15.1 to 3.10.2 CR and DT for first instance criminal law cases (Q94)																			
CR of Total									100,2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR o2 Severe cases									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR of Misdemeanour cases									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR of Other									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT of Total									113	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT of Severe cases									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT of Misdemeanour cases									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT of Other									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Table 3.16.1 to 3.16.5 Second instance criminal law cases (Q98)																			
098.1.1 Total - pending 1 Jan									7 166	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
098.1.2 Severe cases - pending 1 Jan									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
098.1.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 1 Jan									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
098.1.4 Other - pending 1 Jan									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
098.2.1 Total -incoming									22 243	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
098.2.2 Severe cases - incoming									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
098.2.3 Misdemeanour cases - incoming									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
098.2.4 Other - incoming									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
098.3.1 Total - resolved									22 061	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
098.3.2 Severe cases -resolved									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
098.3.3 Misdemeanour cases - resolved									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
098.3.4 Other - resolved									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Romania (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
098.4.1 Total - pending 31 Dec									7 348	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.4.2 Severe cases - pending 31 Dec									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.4.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 31 Dec									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.4.4 Other - pending 31 Dec									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.5.1 Total - pending more then 2 years									107	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.5.2 Severe cases - pending more then 2 years									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.5.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending more then 2 years									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.5.4 Other - pending more then 2 years									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Table 3.17.1 to 3.17.2 CR and DT for second instance criminal law cases (Q98)																		
CR of Total									99,2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR o2 Severe cases									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR of Misdemeanour cases									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR of Other									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Total									122	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Severe cases									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Misdemeanour cases									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Other									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-



Romania (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Table 3.18.1 to 3.18.5 Supreme court criminal law cases (Q100)																			
100.1.1 Total - pending 1 Jan									145	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.1.2 Severe cases - pending 1 Jan									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.1.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 1 Jan									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.1.4 Other - pending 1 Jan									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.2.1 Total -incoming									353	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.2.2 Severe cases - incoming									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.2.3 Misdemeanour cases - incoming									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.2.4 Other - incoming									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.3.1 Total - resolved									364	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.3.2 Severe cases -resolved									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.3.3 Misdemeanour cases - resolved									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.3.4 Other - resolved									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.4.1 Total - pending 31 Dec									134	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.4.2 Severe cases - pending 31 Dec									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.4.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 31 Dec									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.4.4 Other - pending 31 Dec									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.5.1 Total - pending more then 2 years									4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.5.2 Severe cases - pending more then 2 years									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.5.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending more then 2 years									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.5.4 Other - pending more then 2 years									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Romania (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Table 3.19.1 to 3.19.2 CR and DT for supreme court criminal law cases (Q100)																			
CR of Total									103,1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR o2 Severe cases									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR of Misdemeanour cases									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR of Other									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT of Total									134	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT of Severe cases									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT of Misdemeanour cases									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT of Other									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Romania (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Indicator 5: Access to justice																		
Legal aid																		
Table 5.1 to Table 5.6 (Q12-2, Q16, Q18, Q19, Q20, Q20-1)																		
12-2.1.1 Coverage of court fees									True									
12-2.1.2 Exemption from court fees									True									
16.1.1 Legal aid applies to representation in court (criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
16.1.2 Legal aid applies to legal advice (criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
16.2.1 Legal aid applies to representation in court (other than criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
16.2.2 Legal aid applies to legal advice (other than criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
18.1.1 Legal aid for the enforcement of judicial decisions					True	True	True	True	True									
19.1.1 Legal aid granted for other costs - criminal cases									False									
19.1.2 Legal aid granted for other costs - other than criminal cases									True									
020.1.1 Total									66 522									
020.1.2 Total - criminal cases									63 492									
020.1.3 Total - other than criminal cases									3 030									
020.2.1 Total brought to court									66 522									
020.2.2 Brought to court - criminal cases									63 492									
020.2.3 Brought to court - other then criminal									3 030									
020.3.1 Total not brought to court									NAP									
020.3.2 Not brought to court - criminal cases									NAP									
020.3.3 Not brought to court - other then criminal									NAP									
020-1.1.1 Maximum duration prescribed in law/regulation									NAP									
020-1.1.2 Average duration									NA									

Romania (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
System for compensating users																		
Table 5.7.1 and Table 5.7.2 (Q37)																		
037.1.1 Requests for compensation - Total									NA									
037.1.2 Requests for compensation - Excessive length of proceedings									NA									
037.1.3 Requests for compensation - Non-execution of court decisions									NA									
037.1.4 Requests for compensation - Wrongful arrest									NA									
037.1.5 Requests for compensation - Wrongful conviction									NA									
037.1.6 Requests for compensation - Other									NA									
037.2.1 Condemnations - Total									NA									
037.2.2 Condemnations - Excessive length of proceedings									NA									
037.2.3 Condemnations - Non-execution of court decisions									NA									
037.2.4 Condemnations - Wrongful arrest									NA									
037.2.5 Condemnations - Wrongful conviction									NA									
037.2.6 Condemnations - Other									NA									
037.3.1 Amount - Total									NA									
037.3.2 Amount - Excessive length of proceedings									NA									
037.3.3 Amount - Non-execution of court decisions									NA									
037.3.4 Amount - Wrongful arrest									NA									
037.3.5 Amount - Wrongful conviction									NA									
037.3.6 Amount - Other									NA									

Romania (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Indicator 6: The ICT tools of courts and for court users																		
Table 6.1 to Table 6.11 (Q62-7, Q62-7-1, Q62-8, Q62-8-1, Q63-1, Q63-1-1, Q63-2 Q63-6, Q63-7, Q63-7-1, Q64-2, Q64-4, Q64-6, Q64-3, Q64-3-1, Q64-7, Q64-7-1, Q64-9)																		
62-7 Writing assistance tools coordinated at national level							True	True	True									
62-7-1.1 Deployment rate in civil matter							100%	100%	100%									
62-7-1.2 Deployment rate in criminal matter							100%	100%	100%									
62-7-1.3 Deployment rate in administrative matter							100%	100%	100%									
62-8 Voice recording tools							True	True	True									
62-8-1.1.1 Availability of simple dictation tools in civil matter							in all courts	in all courts	in all courts									
62-8-1.1.2 Availability of simple dictation tools in criminal matter							in all courts	in all courts	in all courts									
62-8-1.1.3 Availability of simple dictation tools in administrative matter							in all courts	in all courts	in all courts									
62-8-1.2.1 Availability of multiple speakers recording tools in civil matter							in all courts	in all courts	in all courts									
62-8-1.2.2 Availability of multiple speakers recording tools in criminal matter							in all courts	in all courts	in all courts									
62-8-1.2.3 Availability of multiple speakers recording tools in administrative matter							in all courts	in all courts	in all courts									
62-8-1.3.1 Availability of voice recognition in civil matter							Pilot testing	Pilot testing	Pilot testing									
62-8-1.3.2 Availability of voice recognition in criminal matter							Pilot testing	Pilot testing	Pilot testing									
62-8-1.3.3 Availability of voice recognition in administrative matter							Pilot testing	Pilot testing	Pilot testing									
062-9 Availability of intranet site within the judicial system for distribution of news/novelties						-	100%	100%	100%									
63.1 Is there a case management system?							True	True	True									
63.1-1.1 CMS for civil matter (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
63.1-1.1 CMS for criminal matter (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
63.1-1.1 CMS for administrative matter (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
63.1-1.2 CMS for civil matter (status of case online)						-	Both	Both	Both									
63.1-1.2 CMS for criminal matter (status of case online)						-	Both	Both	Both									
63.1-1.2 CMS for administrative matter (status of case onlinee)						-	Both	Both	Both									

Romania (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
63.1-1.3 CMS for civil matter (Centralised or interoperable database)						-	True	True	True									
63.1-1.3 CMS for criminal matter (Centralised or interoperable database)						-	True	True	True									
63.1-1.3 CMS for administrative matter (Centralised or interoperable database)						-	True	True	True									
63.1-1.4 CMS for civil matter (Early warning signals)						-	True	True	True									
63.1-1.4 CMS for criminal matter (Early warning signals)						-	True	True	True									
63.1-1.4 CMS for administrative matter (Early warning signals)						-	True	True	True									
63-1-1.5 Statistics in CMS civil matter							Not integrated but connected	Not integrated but connected	Not integrated but connected									
63-1-1.5 Statistics in CMS criminal matter							Not integrated but connected	Not integrated but connected	Not integrated but connected									
63-1-1.5 Statistics in CMS administrative matter							Not integrated but connected	Not integrated but connected	Not integrated but connected									
63-2.1 Deployment rate for computerised registries managed by courts - land registry							100%	100%	100%									
63-2.1 Deployment rate for computerised registries managed by courts - business registry							100%	100%	100%									
63-2.2 Data consolidated at national level for land registry						-	False	False	False									
63-2.2 Data consolidated at national level for business registry						-	False	False	False									
63-2.3 Service available online for land registry						-	False	False	False									
63-2.3 Service available online for business registry						-	False	False	False									
63-2.4 Statistical module integrated or connected for land registry						-	True	True	True									
63-2.4 Statistical module integrated or connected for business registry						-	True	True	True									
063-6.1.1 Budgetary and financial management of courts (deployment rate)						-	1-9%	1-9%	1-9%									
063-6.1.2 Justice expenses management (deployment rate)						-	1-9%	1-9%	1-9%									
063-6.1.3 Other financial management tools (deployment rate)						-	NA	NA	NA									
063-6.2.1 Budgetary and financial management of courts (Data consolidated at national level)						-	True	True	True									
063-6.2.2 Justice expenses management (Data consolidated at national level)						-	True	True	True									
063-6.2.3 Other financial management tools (Data consolidated at national level)						-	False	NA	False									
063-6.3.1 Budgetary and financial management of courts (System communicating with other ministries)						-	True	True	True									
063-6.3.2 Justice expenses management (System communicating with other ministries)						-	True	True	True									
063-6.3.3 Other financial management tools (System communicating with other ministries)						-	False	NA	False									

Romania (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
63-7.1 Measurement tools to assess the workload							True	True	True									
63-7-1.1.1 Deployment rate - workload of judges							100%	100%	100%									
63-7-1.1.2 Deployment rate - workload of prosecutors							0% (NAP)	0% (NAP)	0% (NAP)									
63-7-1.1.3 Deployment rate - workload of non-judge and non-prosecutor staff							0% (NAP)	0% (NAP)	0% (NAP)									
63-7-1.2.1 Monitoring on national level - judges							False	False	False									
63-7-1.2.2 Monitoring on national level - prosecutors							False	False	False									
63-7-1.2.2 Monitoring on national level - non-judge and non-prosecutor staff							False	False	False									
63-7-1.3.1 Monitoring on court level - judges							False	False	False									
63-7-1.3.2 Monitoring on court level - prosecutors							False	False	False									
63-7-1.3.3 Monitoring on court level - non-judge and non-prosecutor staff							False	False	False									
064-2 - Possibility to submit a case to courts by electronic means							True	True	True									
064-2 - Civil and/or commercial							100%	100%	100%									
064-2 - Criminal							NA	NA	NA									
064-2 - Administrative							100%	100%	100%									
064-2 - Submission in paper remains mandatory - civil							False	False	False									
064-2 - Submission in paper remains mandatory - criminal							True	True	True									
064-2 - Submission in paper remains mandatory - administrative							False	False	False									
064-2 - Specific legislative framework - civil							True	True	True									
064-2 - Specific legislative framework - criminal							True	True	True									
064-2 - Specific legislative framework - administrative							True	True	True									
064-2 - Integrated/connected with the CMS - civil							False	False	False									
064-2 - Integrated/connected with the CMS - criminal							False	False	False									
064-2 - Integrated/connected with the CMS - administrative							False	False	False									



Romania (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
064-3 - Is it possible to request for granting legal aid by electronic means?							True	True	True									
064-3-1.1 - Equipment rate							100%	100%	100%									
064-3-1.2 - Request in paper mandatory							False	False	False									
064-3-1.3 - Specific legislative framework							True	True	True									
064-3-1.4 - Granting LA is also electronic							False	False	False									
064-3-1.5 - Information available in CMS							True	True	True									
064-4 - Possibility to transmit summons to a judicial meeting or a hearing by electronic means							True	True	True									
064-4-1.1.1 - Summons produced by CMS- civil							True	True	True									
064-4-1.1.2 - Summons produced by CMS- criminal							True	True	True									
064-4-1.1.3 - Summons produced by CMS- administrative							True	True	True									
064-4-1.2.1 - Simultaneous summon in paper form remains mandatory- civil							False	False	False									
064-4-1.2.2 - Simultaneous summon in paper form remains mandatory- criminal							False	False	False									
064-4-1.2.3 - Simultaneous summon in paper form remains mandatory- administrative							False	False	False									
064-4-1.3.1 - Consent of the user - civil							True	True	True									
064-4-1.3.2 - Consent of the user - criminal							True	True	True									
064-4-1.3.3 - Consent of the user - administrative							True	True	True									
064-6.1.1 - Civil and/or commercial (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
064-6.1.2 - Criminal (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
064-6.1.3 - Administrative (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									

Romania (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
064-6.2.1 - Civil and/or commercial (Trial phases concerned)							Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling Decision transmission	Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling Decision transmission	Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling Decision transmission									
064-6.2.2 - Criminal (Trial phases concerned)							Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling	Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling	Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling									
064-6.2.3 - Administrative (Trial phases concerned)							Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling	Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling	Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling									
064-6.3.1 - Civil and/or commercial (Modalities)							E-mail Specific application	E-mail Specific application	E-mail Specific application									
064-6.3.2 - Criminal (Modalities)							Specific application	Specific application	Specific application									
064-6.3.3 - Administrative (Modalities)							Specific application	Specific application	Specific application									
064-6.4.1 - Civil and/or commercial (specific legal framework)							True	True	True									
064-6.4.2 - Criminal (specific legal framework)							True	True	True									
064-6.4.3 - Administrative (specific legal framework)							True	True	True									
064-6.5.1 - Civil and/or commercial (availability for)																		
064-6.5.2 - Criminal (availability for)																		
064-6.5.3 - Administrative (availability for)																		

Romania (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
064-7.1.1 - Electronic communication of enforcement agents and courts (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
064-7.1.2 - Electronic communication of notaries and courts (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
064-7.1.3 - Electronic communication of experts and courts (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
064-7.1.4 - Electronic communication of judicial police and courts (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
064-7.2.1 - Electronic communication of enforcement agents and courts (Modalities)							E-mail	E-mail	E-mail									
064-7.2.2 - Electronic communication of notaries and courts (Modalities)							E-mail	E-mail	E-mail									
064-7.2.3 - Electronic communication of experts and courts (Modalities)							E-mail	E-mail	E-mail									
064-7.2.4 - Electronic communication of judicial police and courts (Modalities)							E-mail	E-mail	E-mail									
064-7.3.1 - Electronic communication of enforcement agents and courts (specific legal framework)							True	True	True									
064-7.3.2 - Electronic communication of notaries and courts (specific legal framework)							True	True	True									
064-7.3.3 - Electronic communication of experts and courts (specific legal framework)							True	True	True									
064-7.3.4 - Electronic communication of judicial police and courts (specific legal framework)							True	True	True									
064-9 - Existance of online processing devices of specialised litigation							False	False	False									

Romania (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Indicator 7: Professionals of justice (Indicator 9 in 2019)																			
Table 7.1.1 to 7.5.6 for judges, non judge staff, prosecutors, non prosecutor staff and salaries																			
46.1.1 Total Number of professional judges	4 310	4 511	4 577	4 608	4 628	4 664	4 677	4 753	4 600	6,7%	4,7%	1,5%	0,7%	0,4%	0,8%	0,3%	1,6%	-3,2%	
46.1.2 Number of 1st inst professional judges	1 998	3 571	2 101	2 097	2 055	2 008	2 029	2 180	2 103	5,3%	78,7%	-41,2%	-0,2%	-2,0%	-2,3%	1,0%	7,4%	-3,5%	
46.1.3 Number of 2nd inst professional judges	2 217	825	2 360	2 404	2 463	2 540	2 540	2 465	2 387	7,7%	-62,8%	186,1%	1,9%	2,5%	3,1%	0,0%	-3,0%	-3,2%	
46.1.4 Number of Supreme court professional judges	95	115	116	107	110	116	108	108	110	15,8%	21,1%	0,9%	-7,8%	2,8%	5,5%	-6,9%	0,0%	1,9%	
46.2.1 Number of professional judges_males	1 187	1 213	1 195	1 204	1 220	1 223	1 272	1 262	1 223	3,0%	2,2%	-1,5%	0,8%	1,3%	0,2%	4,0%	-0,8%	-3,1%	
46.2.2 Number of 1st instance professional judges_males	619	985	569	573	568	552	586	594	563	-9,0%	59,1%	-42,2%	0,7%	-0,9%	-2,8%	6,2%	1,4%	-5,2%	
46.2.3 Number of 2nd instance professional judges_males	554	210	608	613	633	649	663	645	634	14,4%	-62,1%	189,5%	0,8%	3,3%	2,5%	2,2%	-2,7%	-1,7%	
46.2.4 Number of Supreme court professional judges_males	14	18	18	18	19	22	23	23	26	85,7%	28,6%	0,0%	0,0%	5,6%	15,8%	4,5%	0,0%	13,0%	
46.3.1 Number of professional judges_females	3 123	3 298	3 382	3 404	3 408	3 441	3 405	3 491	3 377	8,1%	5,6%	2,5%	0,7%	0,1%	1,0%	-1,0%	2,5%	-3,3%	
46.3.2 Number of 1st inst professional judges_females	1 379	2 586	1 532	1 524	1 487	1 456	1 443	1 586	1 540	11,7%	87,5%	-40,8%	-0,5%	-2,4%	-2,1%	-0,9%	9,9%	-2,9%	
46.3.3 Number of 2nd inst professional judges_females	1 663	615	1 752	1 791	1 830	1 891	1 877	1 820	1 753	5,4%	-63,0%	184,9%	2,2%	2,2%	3,3%	-0,7%	-3,0%	-3,7%	
46.3.4 Number of Supreme court professional judges_females	81	97	98	89	91	94	85	85	84	3,7%	19,8%	1,0%	-9,2%	2,2%	3,3%	-9,6%	0,0%	-1,2%	
046-2.1.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4 600	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.1.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2 103	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.1.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2 387	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.1.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	110	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.2.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Civil and commercial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.2.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Civil and commercial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.2.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Civil and commercial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.2.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Civil and commercial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.3.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Criminal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.3.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Criminal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.3.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Criminal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.3.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Criminal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Romania (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
046-2.4.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Administrative	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.4.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Administrative	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.4.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Administrative	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.4.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Administrative	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.5.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.5.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.5.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.5.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.1.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts	9 283	9 639	10 147	10 251	10 297	10 638	10 662	10 700	10 512	13,2%	3,8%	5,3%	1,0%	0,4%	3,3%	0,2%	0,4%	-1,8%
52.1.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.1.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges	5 489	5 743	6 072	6 149	6 191	6 358	6 402	6 437	6 374	16,1%	4,6%	5,7%	1,3%	0,7%	2,7%	0,7%	0,5%	-1,0%
52.1.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks	1 486	1 563	1 585	1 615	1 621	1 697	1 645	1 646	1 621	9,1%	5,2%	1,4%	1,9%	0,4%	4,7%	-3,1%	0,1%	-1,5%
52.1.5 Number of Technical staff	1 762	1 784	1 854	1 844	1 822	1 731	1 772	1 750	1 682	-4,5%	1,2%	3,9%	-0,5%	-1,2%	-5,0%	2,4%	-1,2%	-3,9%
52.1.6 Number of Other non judge staff	546	549	636	643	663	852	843	867	835	52,9%	0,5%	15,8%	1,1%	3,1%	28,5%	-1,1%	2,8%	-3,7%
52.2.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts(men)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.2.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)(men)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.2.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges(men)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.2.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks(men)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.2.5 Number of Technical staff(men)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.2.6 Number of Other non judge staff(men)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.3.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts(women)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.3.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)(women)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.3.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges(women)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.3.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks(women)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.3.5 Number of Technical staff(women)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.3.6 Number of Other non judge staff(women)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Romania (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
052-1.1.1 Non-judge staff (Total)									10 512	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.1.2 Non-judge staff at first instance (total)									4 686	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.1.3 Non-judge staff at second instance (total)									5 487	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.1.4 Non-judge staff at Supreme court (total)									339	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.2.1 Non-judge staff (Males)									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.2.2 Non-judge staff at first instance (males)									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.2.3 Non-judge staff at second instance (males)									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.2.4 Non-judge staff at Supreme court (males)									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.3.1 Non-judge staff (females)									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.3.2 Non-judge staff at first instance (females)									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.3.3 Non-judge staff at second instance (females)									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.3.4 Non-judge staff at supreme court (females)									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.1.1 Prosecutors (total)									2 446	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.1.2 Prosecutors (1st inst.)									1 144	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.1.3 Prosecutors (2nd inst.)									788	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.1.4 Prosecutors (Highest instance)									514	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.2.1 Prosecutors - Males -total									1 174	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.2.2 Prosecutors - Males, 1st inst.									545	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.2.3 Prosecutors - Males, 2nd inst.									364	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.2.4 Prosecutors - Males, Supreme courts									265	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.3.1 Prosecutors - Females, Total									1 272	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.3.2 Prosecutors - Females, 1st inst.									599	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.3.3 Prosecutors - Females, 2nd inst.									424	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.3.4 Prosecutors - Females, Supreme courts									249	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Romania (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
060.1.1 Number of non-prosecutor staff Total									2 408	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
060.2.1 Number of non-prosecutor staff Males									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
060.3.1 Number of non-prosecutor staff Females									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
004 Annual average salary in the country						-	-		13 385 €	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.1.1 Gross annual salary, in € - Professional judge at the beginning of career						-	-		43 223 €	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.1.2 Gross annual salary, in € - Judge of the Supreme Court						-	-		87 522 €	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.1.3 Gross annual salary, in € - Public prosecutor at the beginning of career						-	-		43 223 €	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.1.4 Gross annual salary, in € - Public prosecutor of the Supreme Court or the Highest Appellate Instance						-	-		67 051 €	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.2.1 Net annual salary, in € - Professional judge at the beginning of career						-	-		25 285 €	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.2.2 Net annual salary, in € - Judge of the Supreme Court						-	-		51 200 €	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.2.3 Net annual salary, in € - Public prosecutor at the beginning of career						-	-		25 285 €	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.2.4 Net annual salary, in € - Public prosecutor of the Supreme Court or the Highest Appellate Instance						-	-		39 225 €	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
133.1.1.1 - Additional benefits for judges - Reduced taxation						-	-		False									
133.1.2.1 - Additional benefits for judges - Special pension						-	-		True									
133.1.3.1 - Additional benefits for judges - Housing						-	-		True									
133.1.4.1 - Additional benefits for judges - Other financial benefit						-	-		True									
133.2.1.1 - Additional benefits for prosecutors - Reduced taxation						-	-		False									
133.2.2.1 - Additional benefits for prosecutors - Special pension						-	-		True									
133.2.3.1 - Additional benefits for prosecutors - Housing						-	-		True									
133.2.4.1 - Additional benefits for prosecutors - Other financial benefit						-	-		True									



Romania (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
144.1.1 Disciplinary procedures for Judges - Total number (1+2+3+4)						-	-		9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.1.2 Disciplinary procedures for Judges - 1. Breach of professional ethics						-	-		3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.1.3 Disciplinary procedures for Judges - 2. Professional inadequacy						-	-		12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.1.4 Disciplinary procedures for Judges - 3. Criminal offence						-	-		NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.1.5 Disciplinary procedures for Judges - 4. Other						-	-		NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.2.1 Disciplinary procedures for Prosecutors - Total number (1+2+3+4)						-	-		9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.2.2 Disciplinary procedures for Prosecutors - 1. Breach of professional ethics						-	-		6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.2.3 Disciplinary procedures for Prosecutors - 2. Professional inadequacy									13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.2.4 Disciplinary procedures for Prosecutors - 3. Criminal offence									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.2.5 Disciplinary procedures for Prosecutors - 4. Other									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.1 Sanctions against Judges - Total number (total 1 to 9)									11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.2 Sanctions against Judges - 1. Reprimand									1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.3 Sanctions against Judges - 2. Suspension									3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.4 Sanctions against Judges - 3. Withdrawal from cases									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.5 Sanctions against Judges - 4. Fine									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.6 Sanctions against Judges - 5. Temporary reduction of salary									4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.7 Sanctions against Judges - 6. Position downgrade									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.8 Sanctions against Judges - 7. Transfer to another geographical (court) location									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.9 Sanctions against Judges - 8. Resignation									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.10 Sanctions against Judges - 9. Other									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.11 Sanctions against Judges - 10. Dismissal									3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Romania (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
145.2.1 Sanctions against Prosecutors - Total number (total 1 to 9)									2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.2 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 1. Reprimand									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.3 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 2. Suspension									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.4 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 3. Withdrawal from cases									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.5 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 4. Fine									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.6 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 5. Temporary reduction of salary									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.7 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 6. Position downgrade									2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.8 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 7. Transfer to another geographical (court) location									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.9 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 8. Resignation									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.10 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 9. Other									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.11 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 10. Dismissal									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lawyers																		
Tables 7.6.1, 7.6.2, 7.6.3, 7.7 and 7.8																		
146.1.1 Total number of lawyers practising	20 919	23 332	23 244	23 635	23 205	23 020	22 873	23 554	23 424	12,0%	11,5%	-0,4%	1,7%	-1,8%	-0,8%	-0,6%	3,0%	-0,6%
146.2.1 Practicing lawyers - man	-	-	-	-	-	-	13 085	NA	9 855	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
146.3.1 Practicing lawyers - woman	-	-	-	-	-	-	9 788	NA	13 569	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
147 Does this figure include “legal advisors” who cannot represent their clients in court (for example, some solicitors or in-house counsellors)?			No	No	False	False	False	False	False	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Romania (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Indicator 8: The existence and use of alternative dispute resolution methods																			
Table 8.1 8.2 and 8.3																			
166 Number of accredited or registered mediators who practice judicial mediation:	4 136	10 847	6 833	11 701	5 080	4 739	4 585	11 234	11 259	172,2%	162,3%	-37,0%	71,2%	-56,6%	-6,7%	-3,2%	145,0%	0,2%	
167.1.1 Total number started					NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
167. 1.2 Civil and commercial cases - started					NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
167. 1.2 Family cases - started					NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
167.1.4 Administrative cases - started					NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
167.1.5 Labour cases including employment dismissal cases - started					NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
167.1.6. Criminal cases - started					NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
167.1.7 Consumer cases - started					-	-	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Key: Variation of more than (+ -) 20%