


		Synth	esis ta	ble of r	nain in	dicator	s for:		Ro	mania			
Economic and demographic data	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012-2019	Va 2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019
Population	21,305,097	19,942,642	22,279,183	19,759,968	19,638,309	19,523,621	19,405,156	19,414,458	-8.9%	-11.9%	-1.2%	-0.6%	0.0%
GDP per capita	6,660 €	7,217 €	7,533 €	8,100 €	8,600€	9,600 €	10,400 €	11,500 €	72.7%	14.2%	20.9%	8.3%	10.6%
Exchange rate (local currency needed to obtain 1€)	4.41530	4.48470	4.48210	4.52450	4.54110	4.65970	4.66390	4.77930	8.2%	1.3%	2.7%	0.1%	2.5%
Average annual salary	5,556 €		6,152 €		7,085 €		11,235 €	12,829 €	130.9%	15.2%	58.6%		14.2%
Resources	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012-2019	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019
Professional judges per 100 000	20.2	22.6	20.5	23.3	23.6	23.9	24.1	24.5	21.0%	14.7%	2.3%	0.9%	1.6%
inhab.  Non-judge staff per 100 000 inhab.	43.6	48.3	45.5	51.9	52.4	54.5	54.9	55.1	26.5%	15.1%	4.8%	0.8%	0.3%
Lawyers per 100 000 inh.	98.2	117.0	104.3	119.6	118.2	117.9	117.9	121.3	23.6%	13.3%	0.2%	0.0%	2.9%
Mediators	19.4	54.4	30.7	59.2	25.9	24.3	23.6	57.9	198.1%	-15.7%	8.7%	-2.7%	144.9%
Availability of the Case Management				4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	,		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
System (4 max) Availability of tools for court statistics (4 max)				4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0			0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First instance incoming cases per 100 inhab.	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012-2019	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019
Litigious civil (and commercial)	5.176	4.158	6.852	6.848	6.800	6.554	6.393	6.678	29.0%	-0.7%	-6.0%	-2.5%	4.5%
cases Non-litigious civil (and commercial)	2.359	2.866	0.090	0.097	0.094	0.118	0.122	0.127	-94.6%	4.6%	29.8%	2.9%	4.0%
Cases Non-litigious land registry cases	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	206.2%	-1.8%	-3.5%	5.1%	3.9%
Non-litigious business registry cases	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	34.5%	-6.5%	11.7%	-45.1%	16.2%
Administrative law cases	1.078	1.0	0.4	0.331	0.598	0.748	0.432	0.426	-60.4%	69.9%	-27.8%	-42.3%	-1.2%
First instance performance indicators (Clearence Rate)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012-2019 (in points)	2014-2016 (in points)	2016-2018 (in points)	2017-2018 (in points)	2018-2019 (in points)
CR litigious civil (and commercial)	99%	112%	109%	105%	102%	99%	103%	100%	1.40	-6.63	0.64	3.49	-2.28
cases CR non-litigious civil (and commercial) cases	96%	100%	110%	107%	107%	102%	99%	96%	-0.16	-3.21	-7.83	-2.55	-2.88
CR non-litigious land registry cases	104%	110%	101%	113%	110%	98%	95%	92%	-12.37	9.33	-15.53	-3.06	-2.73
CR non-litigious business registry cases	70%	55%	46%	56%	68%	40%	145%	57%	-12.55	22.01	77.03	104.26	-87.53
CR administrative law cases	78%	130%	161%	133%	92%	102%	118%	100%	22.24	-69.26	26.24	15.76	-17.67
First instance performance indicators (Disposition	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012-2019	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019
Time) DT litigious civil (and commercial) cases (days)	193	187	146	154	153	167	157	152	-21.1%	5.0%	2.8%	-6.0%	-3.0%
DT non-litigious civil (and commercial) cases (days)	47	39	73	54	33	21	24	38	-19.7%	-55.2%	-25.9%	14.5%	57.1%
DT non-litigious land registry cases (days)	228	194	295	258	235	300	317	347	52.1%	-20.1%	34.8%	5.9%	9.3%
DT non-litigious business registry cases (days)	1,632	2,249	2,919	2,357	2,900	2,937	1,391	3,301	102.3%	-0.7%	-52.0%	-52.6%	137.2%
DT administrative law cases (days)	272	106	179	170	170	114	117	138	-49.0%	-5.0%	-30.9%	2.5%	18.0%
First instance pending cases per 100 inhab. on 31 dec.	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012-2019	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019
Litigious civil (and commercial)	2.71	2.39	2.97	3.02	2.91	2.98	2.83	2.80	3.2%	-2.1%	-2.7%	-5.1%	-0.9%
cases Non-litigious civil (and commercial) cases	0.29	0.31	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	-95.7%	-54.5%	<mark>-10</mark> .9%	14.9%	58.6%
Non-litigious land registry cases	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03	310.4%	-14.3%	11.7%	7.8%	10.3%
Non-litigious business registry cases	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.03	123.2%	37.7%	14.5%	-6.9%	9.0%
Administrative law cases	0.63	0.37	0.28	0.20	0.26	0.24	0.16	0.16	-74.1%	-8.1%	<b>-35</b> .8%	-31.8%	-0.9%
Second instance performance indicators (Clearence	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	(in points)				
Rate) CR litigious civil (and commercial)	105%	66%	67%	93%	106%	97%	105%	100%	-5.31	39.79	-0.93	7.95	-5.41
cases CR administrative law cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP								
Second instance performance indicators (Disposition	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012-2019	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019
Time) DT litigious civil (and commercial) cases (days)	218	353	299	185	131	152	128	139	-36.0%	-56.3%	-2.2%	- <mark>16.0</mark> %	8.9%
cases (days)  DT administrative law cases (days)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP 1	-								
Supreme Court performance indicators (Clearence	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012-2019 (in points)	2014-2016 (in points)	2016-2018 (in points)	2017-2018 (in points)	2018-2019 (in points)
Rate) CR litigious civil (and commercial)	100%		166%	190%	126%	109%	80%	101%	0.78	-39.92	-46.23	-29.55	21.19
cases CR administrative law cases	69%		124%	126%	105%	83%	123%	101%	30.75	-18.54	17.59	39.71	-23.28
Supreme court	00.0		.2.7,0	.2070	.00.00	50,10		.0070		10.07		30	20.20
performance indicators (Disposition Time)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012-2019	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019
DT litigious civil (and commercial) cases (days)	188	-	143	102	170	193	246	215	14.1%	18.7%	44.6%	27.7%	-12.8%
DT administrative law cases (days)	411	-	117	142	185	184	149	264	-35.7%	57.8%	-19.6%	-18.9%	77.4%


### 1. Presentation of the functioning of the judicial system in Romania

In Romania there are 233 first instance courts of general jurisdiction including 176 judecatorii (first instance courts), 42 tribunals and 15 courts of appeal. The tribunals and the courts of appeal are ruling in first instance in more important cases or in the situations where the competence is established in personam.

More generally, in Romania there are 4 court levels: first instance courts (judecatorii), tribunals (tribunale), courts of appeal (curti de apel) and the High Court of Cassation and Justice (HCCJ). First instance courts (judecatorii) have a general jurisdiction and most of the cases start at this level. The appeals against the decisions of the first instance courts in civil matters are decided at the tribunals. The appeals in criminal matters against the decisions of the first instance courts are decided at the courts of appeal. More important cases may start at tribunals or at the courts of appeal and the appeals against the decisions of these courts are decided by higher courts. It is noteworthy that, according to the law, in Romania there are two types of appeal: first appeal which is an appeal on the law /"recurs").

The competence of dealing with appeals is granted to tribunals, courts of appeal and the High Court of Cassation and Justice (HCCJ).





The 9 first instance specialised courts encompass 3 commercial courts, 1 family court and 5 military courts.

#### 2. Resources of justice and courts framework in Romania

#### • Budget allocated to the whole justice system (Q15-1): Approved Implemented: 1,319,683,426 € 1,308,562,390 € This budget includes the following budgetary elements: · Court budget · Legal aid budget · Public prosecution services budget · Prison system · Probation services · Council of the judiciary · Constitutionnal court Judicial management body · State advocacy · Enforcement services Notariat Forensic services Judicial protection of juveniles • Functioning of the Ministry of Justice · Refugees and asylum seekers service · Immigration services Some police services

The other institutions coordinated by the Ministry of Justice and included in the budget are the National Trade Register and the National Authority for Citizenship.


#### • Human resources

Other services

#### Judges

Evolution of the number of professional judges since 2012 (Q46)

Year	Absolute number	Per 100 000 inhabitants	Male	Female
2012	4,310	20.23	27.5%	72.5%
2013	4,511	22.62	26.9%	73.1%
2014	4,577	20.54	26.1%	73.9%
2015	4,608	23.32	26.1%	73.9%
2016	4,628	23.57	26.4%	73.6%
2017	4,664	23.89	26.2%	73.8%
2018	4,677	24.10	27.2%	72.8%
2019	4,753	24.48	26.6%	73.4%




According to 2019 data, the total number of professional judges sitting in courts (all instances) in Romania is 4 753 which is 1,6% more than in previous cycle.

More precisely, in Romania, in 2019 there are 24,5 professional judges per 100 000 inhabitants (this figure is the same than the EU median of 24,5 judges per 100 000 inhabitants) and about 2,3 non-judge staff per judge (in previous cycle this ratio was also at 2,3 non-judge staff per judge).

Absolute number of professional judges by instance and gender

2019	Total number of professional judges	Male	Female
1st instance courts	2,180	594	1,586
2nd instance courts	2,465	645	1,820
Supreme courts	108	23	85
Total	4,753	1,262	3,491




courts

courts

### Distribution of professional judges by instance and gender

2019	Total number of professional judges	Male	Female
1st instance courts	45.9%	27.2%	72.8%
2nd instance courts	51.9%	26.2%	73.8%
Supreme courts	2.3%	21.3%	78.7%



The total number of female professional judges (all instances), in this cycle is 3 491 which represents 73,4% of the total number of judges.

The total number of judges is distributed among the different judicial instances in the following way: 2 180 are sitting in first instance courts (among which 1 586 are female); 2 465 are sitting in second instance courts (among which 1 820 are female) and 108 are sitting in Supreme Court (among which 85 are female).

As regards the distribution of the number of judges among the different judicial instances, it should be recalled that Romania presents the peculiarity of having 4 court levels: first instance courts (judecatorii), tribunals (tribunale), courts of appeal (curti de apel) and the High Court of Cassation and Justice (HCCJ). Starting from 2014, only judges from first instance courts (judecatorii) are counted as first instance professional judges, while judges from tribunals and courts of appeal are included in the category "second instance professional judges", even if according to the procedural provisions in terms of competences they may judge both as first and second instance courts.

In Romania, training of judges requirements are broken down as follows:

<ul> <li>Initial</li> </ul>	training:
-----------------------------	-----------

- General in-service training:
- In-service training for specialised judicial functions:
- $\circ$  In-service training for management functions of the court:
- In-service training for the use of computer facilities in courts:
- · Iln-service training on ethics:

Compulsory	Optional
Ž	<b>√</b>
	√.
	√.
	√.
	√

Number of training courses orgnised are:

Number of training courses organised are:					
	courses in days organised, without e- learning	courses available during the reference year (e-learning)			
Total	505	243			
1. Only for judges	127	0			
2. Only for prosecutors	63	0			
3. Only for other non-judge staff	177	191			
4. Only for other non-prosecutor staff	53	52			
5. Other common training	85	0			

In-service training courses for judges and prosecutors are organised by the National Institute of Magistracy (NIM) while the professional training for non-judges/non-prosecutor staff, namely for clerks functioning in courts/prosecution offices are organised through the National School of Clerks.

Relating to the situation of e-learning courses for 2019, it should be mentioned that currently, the Dokeos distance learning platform, purchased and adapted in 2008, is no longer functional, the features of the e-learning platform being overtaken by technical evolution, which means that viewing the content of e-Learning courses has become difficult on next generation browsers using HTML5. Thus, the e-Learning platform displays content correctly on Internet Explorer browsers up to version 7, a version that is no longer supported by current Windows operating systems.


At the same time, it was found that at the level of the judicial system the interest for the use of distance learning tools has migrated to online transmissions / video recordings of some training activities.

Therefore, lately NIM has organized a greater number of training activities using these tools that have proven their effectiveness over time, namely online broadcasts or recordings of training activities. During 2019, continuous training activities were scheduled within 2 large-scale projects "Justice 2020: professionalism and integrity", SIPOCA code 453, code MySMIS2014 + 118978 and "Training and capacity building in the judiciary" funded under the Norwegian Financial Mechanism 2014 -2021, coordinated by the Superior Council of Magistracy, which were rescheduled in 2020.

As for the category of common training activities, for example, during the referred period such joint training courses were organised for both judges and prosecutors as well as for the category of judicial staff assimilated to judges and prosecutors and for assistant magistrates.

#### Non-judge staff

Year	Total	Rechtspfleger	Non-judge staff assisting the judge	Staff in charge of administrativ e tasks	Technical staff	Other
2012	9,283	NAP	5,489	1,486	1,762	546
2013	9,639	NAP	5,743	1,563	1,784	549
2014	10,147	NAP	6,072	1,585	1,854	636
2015	10,251	NAP	6,149	1,615	1,844	643
2016	10,297	NAP	6,191	1,621	1,822	663
2017	10,638	NAP	6,358	1,697	1,731	852
2018	10,662	NAP	6,402	1,645	1,772	843
2019	10,700	NAP	6,437	1,646	1,750	867



In Romania, in this cycle there are 10 700 non-judge staff. Comparison with previous cycle reveals an increase of 0,4%.

In this cycle, the non-judge staff is broken down as follows:

- $\circ$  6 437 non-judge staff whose task is to assist the judges such as registrars;
- ∘ 1 646 staff in charge of different administrative tasks and of the court management;
- 1 750 technical staff;
- · 867 other;

The number indicated for the category "non-judge staff assisting judges" (6437) encompasses clerks with judicial tasks (169 work only within the High Court of Cassation and Justice); the number indicated for "staff in charge of administrative tasks" (1646) refers to registering clerks, documentary clerks, statistician clerks, archivist clerks and public servants (16 work only within the High Court of Cassation and Justice); the number indicated for "technical staff" (1750) refers to IT staff, contractual personnel and other personnel / drivers, ushers, procedural agents (6 IT staff works only within the High Court of Cassation and Justice). The category "other" (867) subsumes assistance magistrates (116), judicial assistants (177), probation counsellors (574).

Assistance magistrates work only within the High Court of Cassation and Justice. They participate in the trial sessions, have a consultative vote in deliberations and write the minutes of the sessions, as well as the decisions.

Judicial assistants work only within tribunals and are part, together with the judges, of the panels which judge, in first instance, cases regarding labour and social insurances litigations (the panel is composed of 1 judge and 2 judicial assistants; the latter participate in the deliberations with a consultative vote and sign the decisions).


The probation counselors have, in principle, the following attributions: support the activity of judges by elaborating certain evaluation documents in criminal cases with juvenile offenders; support the activity of the judge delegated with enforcing decisions in criminal matters; cooperate with public institutions in order to execute the measure to force a minor to carry out an unpaid activity in an institution of public interest; initiate and carry on special programs of social reinsertion for persons convicted to prison and for minors who committed offences provided by the criminal law; carry out, at request, activities of individual counselling of offenders, with regard to the social, group and individual behaviour; initiate and carry out special programmes of protection, social and judicial assistance of minors and youngsters who committed offences.

In the light of the data relevant for the judges, it is possible to notice that in 2019 the number of non-judge staff per 100 000 inhabitants has increased (from 54,9 in 2018 to 55,1 in 2019).

During the same period, the number of judges per 100 000 inhabitants evolves from 24,1 judges per 100 000 inhabitants in 2018 to 24,5 in 2019.


Professional judges, non-judge staff and their ratio (Q46, Q52)

	Romania	EU median
Professional judges	24.5	24.5
Non-judge staff	55.1	57.5
Non-judge staff per judge	2.25	3.3




### Evolution of the ratio between professional judges and non-judge staff (Q46, Q52)

	Ratio
2012	2.15
2013	2.14
2014	2.22
2015	2.22
2016	2.22
2017	2.28
2018	2.28
2019	2.25



### Salaries of professional judges and prosecutors at beginning of a career and at the highest instance (Q132, Q4)

	Average gross annual salary in €	Average net annual salary in €	Ratio with the annual gross salary
First instance professional judge at the beginning of his/her career	44,041 €	25,764 €	3.43
Judge of the Supreme Court or the Highest Appellate Court	89,180€	52,170 €	6.95
Public prosecutor at the beginning of his/her career	44,041 €	25,764 €	3.43
Public prosecutor of the Supreme Court or the Highest Appellate Instance	68,320 €	39,967€	5.33



#### **Number of Lawyers**

Year	Absolute number	Per 100 000 inhabitants
2012	20,919	98.19
2013	23,332	117.00
2014	23,244	104.33
2015	23,635	119.61
2016	23,205	118.16
2017	23,020	117.91
2018	22,873	117.87
2019	23,554	121.32



In 2019, there are 23 554 lawyers, which is 3,0% more than in 2018.

This data of 121,3 lawyers per 100 000 inhabitants in 2019 is the EU median of 121,3 lawyers per 100 000 inhabitants.

### Judicial professionals in absolute number and per 100 000 inhabitants (Q46, Q52, Q146)

Туре	Absolute number	per 100 000 inhabitants	EU Median
Professional judges	4,753	24.48	24.48
Non judge staff	10,700	55.11	57.49
Lawyers	23,554	121.32	121.32



#### 3. Access to justice

#### ∘ Legal aid

The total annual approved public budget to legal aid is 15 019 354 € (0,8 € per capita).

The total annual implemented public budget to legal aid is 15 011 547 € (0,8 € per capita).

The distribution of the total annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid is as follows:	<u>Approved</u>	<u>Implemented</u>
- In criminal law cases:	13 967 945 €	13 960 738 €
- In other than criminal law cases:	1 051 409 €	1 050 809 €
<ul> <li>Annual public budget allocated to legal aid for cases brought to court:</li> </ul>	15 019 354 €	15 011 547 €
- In criminal law cases:	13 967 945 €	13 960 738 €
- In other than criminal law cases:	1 051 409 €	1 050 809 €
<ul> <li>Annual public budget allocated to legal aid for cases not brought to court:</li> </ul>	NA	NA
- In criminal law cases:	NA	NA
- In other than criminal law cases:	NA	NA

The observed increase in the budget of legal aid between 2018 and 2019 stems from the fact that the amounts of public legal aid have increased compared to previous charges - according to the Protocol on the establishment of fees due to lawyers for legal aid (as amended in 2019) lawyers' fees have been increased by at least 2.40%.

In Romania, legal aid is avaiable for :



Concerning the enforcement phase, legal aid may be granted as facilities at the payment of judicial duties. Moreover, according to Article 6 letter c) of the Government Emergency Ordinance 51/2008, it can also be the payment of the bailiff's fee.

According to Article 6 letter b) of the Government Emergency Ordinance 51/2008, public aid may also cover costs of the expert, translator or interpreter services during the trial, with the consent of the court or of the jurisdictional authority, if this payment is the obligation of the one requiring judicial public aid, according to law.

In criminal cases:

Accused individuals are not free to chose their lawyers in the frame of legal aid system

Victims are not free to chose their lawyers in the frame of legal aid system

#### · Court fees

Litigants have to pay taxes to start a proceeding in other than criminal matters.

#### $^{\circ}$ Methodology of calculation of court fees

According to the relevant legislation, namely the Law 146/1997 on Judicial Stamp Duties and the Government Emergency Ordinance 80/2013, court fees are set differently depending on the nature of disputes. In respect of patrimonial disputes which value can be estimated in money court fees are fixed as a percentage of the value of the case. The latter gradually diminishes as the amount increases. For non-patrimonial disputes that cannot be evaluated in money, (e.g. guardianship cases, establishment of paternity), the law provides for fixed court fees. There are 5 categories of fixed fees: 5 euro, 12 euro, 24 euro, 48 euro, 72 euro. For each type of non-patrimonial dispute the law expressly provides for the quantum of the fixed fee. It is worth noticing that the Government Emergency Ordinance 80/2013 eliminated the judicial stamp, which was accessory to the judicial stamp duty, simplifying thus the procedure.

Amount of court fees to commence an action for 3000€ debt recovery is 172€

#### 3. Efficiency and quality of the judicial system

#### Court performance

· Clearance Rate (CR) and Disposition Time (DT)

The Clearance Rate shows the capacity of a judicial system to deal with the incoming cases. A Clearance Rate of 100% and higher does not generate backlog.

The Disposition Time determines the estimated number of days necessary for a pending case to be solved in a court.

#### First instance cases

• Total other than criminal cases



In the light of the peculiarity of the Romanian judicial system having 4 court levels, it is worth specifying that are presented as first instance cases all the first instance cases, irrespective of the level of the courts.

The number of incoming cases in 2019 in Romania (7,27 per 100 inhabitants) is slightly above the EU median (7,22 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of resolved cases in 2019 in Romania (7,28 per 100 inhabitants) is slightly above the EU median (7,27 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of pending cases at the end of 2019 in Romania (3,03 per 100 inhabitants) is above the EU median (2,84 per 100 inhabitants).

Other than criminal cases	CR (%)	DT (days)	115% ¬	CR (%)	
2012	95.7%	161	110	<sub>%</sub> 111%	
2013	110.1%	128	110% -	106%	
2014	111.1%	148	105% -	101%	104%
2015	106.1%	154	100% -	101% 99	% 100%
2016	101.3%	154	96%		
2017	99.4%	161	95% -		
2018	103.5%	154	90% -		
2019	100.2%	152	85%		



With a Clearance Rate calculated at 100,2% in 2019 Romania seems to be able to deal with its other than criminal cases.

Between 2018 and 2019, the Clearance Rate has decreased for -3,3 points.

In 2019, other than criminal cases are solved in approximately 152 days, which is above the EU median of 111 days.


Analysis of the 2018 - 2019 period reveals a -1,4% decrease of the Disposition Time.

#### · Civil (and commercial) litigious cases



The number of incoming cases in 2019 in Romania (6,68 per 100 inhabitants) is well above the EU median (1,92 per 100 inhabitants). The number of resolved cases in 2019 in Romania (6,70 per 100 inhabitants) is well above the EU median (1,73 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of pending cases at the end of 2019 in Romania (2,80 per 100 inhabitants) is above the EU median (1,10 per 100 inhabitants).



With a Clearance Rate calculated at 100,4% in 2019, Romania seems to be able to deal with its civil and commercial litigious cases.

Between 2018 and 2019, the Clearance Rate has decreased for -2,3 points.

There is no particular explanation on the increased number of general civil and commercial non-litigious cases in 2019, resulting in a slight decrease of the CR for this category. However, it should be noticed that the operativity and volume of solved cases has increased.

In 2019, the civil and commercial litigious cases are solved in approximately 152 days, which is below the EU median of 213 days.

The Disposition Time of the civil and commercial litigious cases cannot be calculated.

Analysis of the 2018 - 2019 period reveals a -3,0% decrease of the Disposition Time.


In Romania, there are 17 809 civil and commercial litigious cases older than 3 years. This is 3,3% of the total number of pending cases at the end of the year.

It is noteworthy that in the national Statis system pending cases for more than 3 years instead of 2 are recorded.

#### · Administrative cases



The number of incoming cases in 2019 in Romania (0,43 per 100 inhabitants) is significantly above the EU median (0,25 per 100 inhabitants). The number of resolved cases in 2019 in Romania (0,43 per 100 inhabitants) is above the EU median (0,31 per 100 inhabitants). The number of pending cases at the end of 2019 in Romania (0,16 per 100 inhabitants) is below the EU median (0,19 per 100 inhabitants).



With a Clearance Rate calculated at 100,3% in 2019, Romania seems to be able to deal with its administrative cases.

Between 2018 and 2019, the Clearance Rate has decreased for -17,7 points.

In 2019, the administrative cases are solved in approximately 138 days, which is significantly below the EU median of 284 days


Analysis of the 2018 - 2019 period reveals a 18,0% increase of the Disposition Time.

In Romania, there are 1 480 administrative law cases older than 3 years. This is 4,7% of the total number of pending cases at the end of the year

It is noteworthy that in the national Statis system pending cases for more than 3 years instead of 2 are recorded.

The decrease in the number of pending cases in administrative matters can be determined by aspects such as: certain types of cases that have been exhausted before courts (e.g. cases on salary rights of public servants initiated in 2010) or cases such as those on pollution taxes that were mostly exhausted before courts and for which administrative procedures have been expressly regulated as to discharge the huge workload in courts that they have generated. In terms of incoming administrative cases, when referring to a decrease in their number, similar reasons that justify the decrease in the number of pending administrative cases should be taken into consideration, namely, for example, those referring to the administrative procedures that have been expressly regulated as to discharge the huge workload in courts (e.g. regarding to the cases on pollution taxes).

#### Insolvency




The Clearance Rate was calculated at 115,0% in 2019 for insolvency cases, Romania seemsto be able to deal with its insolvency cases.

Between 2018 and 2019, the Clearance Rate has increased for 6,0 points.

In 2019, insolvency cases are solved in approximately 331 days, which is above the EU median of 258 days.

Analysis of the 2018 - 2019 period reveals a -12,5% decrease of the Disposition Time.

#### Overall efficiency by instance and by case matter



Concerning civil and commercial litigious cases, the second instance courts seem to be the fastest instance with a Disposition Time considerably below the EU median (175 days), while the High Court of Cassation and Justice is characterised by the highest Disposition Time among the three instances which is very close to the EU median (217 days). First instance courts dealing with civil and commercial litigious cases also register a Disposition Time considerably below the EU median (213 days).

As to administrative law cases, first instance courts appear to be more efficient than the High Court of Cassation and Justice. The Disposition Time of the former is meaningfully below the EU median (284 days) while this indicator is above the EU median for third instance courts (219 days). It is noteworthy recalling that in 2017 there was a significant increase in the number of incoming administrative cases before the High Court of Cassation and Justice explained by the modifications in terms of procedure, namely amendments regarding the jurisdiction for administrative cases brought in 2013 that might have generated later effects in terms of number of "second appeals" (peculiarity of the Romanian system). Since 2017 and the described peak, the number of incoming administrative cases is decreasing.

At first instance, courts deal more promptly with administrative law cases, while at last instance civil and commercial litigious cases are resolved more promptly.

#### Alternative dispute resolutions


The judicial system in Romania provides court related mediation.

In Romania, the mediation procedure is regulated by Law no. 192/2006 concerning the mediation and the organization of the mediator profession. Even if in certain circumstances, according to the Civil Procedure Code, the judge may recommend the parties to use mediation, we cannot talk about a court-related mediation. According to the Law no. 192/2006, the mediation activity is organized as a liberal profession and the control mechanism of mediation is given to an inside body, also, taking into consideration the fact that it is a new profession, the law encourages and promotes a free development of the mediation – as an alternative method for judicial proceedings – without any interference from the State authorities regarding the selection of mediators. The parties (natural or legal persons) may have voluntary recourse to mediation, inclusively after the beginning of a trial in front of the courts, convening to settle in this way any conflicts in civil, criminal and other matters (e.g. family disputes, consumers' protection litigation etc.). According to the Civil Procedure Code, the judge has the duty to try, during the whole trial, the reconciliation of the parties. If necessary, taking into account the circumstances of the case, the judge shall recommend to the parties to have recourse to mediation, for the dispute settlement on amiable way, in any stage of the trial. Mediation is not compulsory for the parties. If, in the mentioned conditions, the parties reconcile, the judge shall ascertain their agreement in the content of the judgment he/she will pronounce.

As for the conciliation procedure, the former Civil Procedure Code provided for a direct conciliation procedure between parties, in case of commercial litigation, before filling a case in court (art. 7201 of the former Civil Procedure Code). This procedure was not retained by the New Civil Procedure Code, in force since 2014.

The court related mediation system in Romania does not provide mandatory court related mediation.

Mediators	Total	Per 100 000 inhab.
2012	4,136	19.41
2013	10,847	54.39
2014	6,833	30.67
2015	11,701	59.22
2016	5,080	25.87
2017	4,739	24.27
2018	4,585	23.48
2019	11,234	57.89



In 2019, there are 11 234 accredited or registered mediators who practise court related mediation which represent 57,9 accredited or registered mediators per 100 000 inhabitants.

The variation between 2018 and 2019 is about 145,0%.

It is noteworthy that the number of mediators accredited annually by the Mediation Council registers fluctuations, from year to year, being related most of the times to the legislative amendments brought to the mediation law, which can determine the increase in the number of persons requesting the accreditation as mediator, after the training courses required by law.

Type of cases	Total	Per 100 000 inhab.
All cases	NA	NA
Civil and commercial	NA	NA
Family cases	NA	NA
Administrative	NAP	NAP
Employment dismissal	NAP	NAP
Criminal cases	NA	NA
Consumer cases	NA	NA

Data on the number of court-related mediation procedures is not available for 2019.

#### . Systems for measuring and evaluating the court performance

In 2019, individual courts are required to prepare an annual activity report.

• The reporting is more frequent than annual

A regular monitoring system of court activities is in place concerning:

number of incoming cases
length of proceedings (timeframes)
number of resolved cases
number of pending cases
backlogs
productivity of judges and court staff
satisfaction of court staff
satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)
number of appeals
appeal ratio
clearance rate
disposition time
other (please specify):

Since 2012, the category "other" refers to the length of administrative procedures, the number of final convictions, legal aid, suspended cases etc.

The regular monitoring is carried out through ECRIS - case management and STATIS - statistics monitoring application including for court's efficiency assessment.

In Romania, there is a system to evaluate regularly the activity of each court.

• The reporting is more frequent than annual

A system to evaluate regurlarly the activity of each court (in terms of performance and output) exists and performance and quality indicators are defined

number of incoming cases
length of proceedings (timeframes)
number of resolved cases
number of pending cases
backlogs
productivity of judges and court staff
number of appeals
appeal ratio
clearance rate
disposition time
other (please specify):

The evaluation of the court activity is used for the later allocation of means in this court.

Quality standards are not determined for the judicial system.

In fact, there are no formal standards for quality established for the whole judiciary. However, informal standards are being used (such as training, quality of the reasoning, assessment of the activity of the judges, assessment of the good reputation of the judges etc.).

More precisely, the activity of courts is evaluated and monitored periodically, on the basis of certain statistical data/performance indicators. The evaluation is achieved by verifications carried out by inspectors of the Judicial Inspection of the SCM, by elaborating periodical reports. The schedule and thematic of those verifications are approved every year by the SCM.

At organizational level, there are no quality standards established for courts. It may be considered that such standards exist at individual level, for each judge, by the indicators for the evaluation of professional activity.

### 5. ICT and national data collection system

### •The ICT tools of courts and for court users

The use of ICT in courts had been evaluated in 2 fields and 5 sub-fields within those fields in :2019 (graphics below):


l de la companya de	points (up to 4)
Administration and management	3.0
Case management systems	4.0
Tools of producing courts activity statistics	2.0
Communication between court and users	3.7
Possibility to submit a case by electronic means	2.8
Possibility to monitor the stages of an online judicial proceeding	4.0
Electronic communication between courts and lawyers	4.0
Videoconferencing with users	3.8

The calculation of this index for each field is an average of the values of the sub-fields included. The calculation of the sub-field depends on each question and the combination of different modalities of the answers.

The details of the calculation are given in Annex 5 - IT calculations

The result by area may be summarized in these graphics, where each field has been evaluated from 0 to 4 points.

Note; Index is modified each cycle depending on the new modalities included in the questionnaire that show more precise details on the ICT situation that can make the index drop even if there is no real change.



Regarding "Status of case online" decisions are available online thru www.rolii.ro. For some courts, a link and a password is provided to parties in order to access their case.

#### Statistical data

In Romania, the Superior Council of Magistracy is the centralised institution that is responsible for collecting statistical data regarding the functioning of the courts and judiciary.

There are also statistics departments in the Ministry of Justice and Prosecutors' Office by the High Court of Cassation and Justice. Each court implements in a shared application its own statistical information. Such data is centralized automatically in the statistics server managed by the Ministry of Justice. The access to the information is ensured to an equal extent also to the Judicial Statistics Unit within the Superior Council of Magistracy.

This institution publish statistics of each court on internet.

Detailed statistical information is available on intranet for judges and general information is being published in the reports on the activity of the courts which are published on internet.

Individual courts are required to prepare an activity report.

These reports are distributed:

- · On internet
- · Only internaly (on intranet)
- ∘ on paper

Table General Data: Economic and demographic		2042							Variations									
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019		
Q1 Number of inhabitants	21,305,097	19,942,642	22,279,183	19,759,968	19,638,309	19,523,621	19,405,156	19,414,458	-8.9%	-6.4%	11.7%	-11.3%	-0.6%	-0.6%	-0.6%	0.0%		
Q.3 GDP Per capita (in €) in current prices	6,660 €	7,217 €	7,533 €	8,100 €	8,600 €	9,600 €	10,400 €	11,500 €	72.7%	8.4%	4.4%	7.5%	6.2%	11.6%	8.3%	10.6%		
Q5. Exchange rate of Nat currency to € on 1 Jan	4.42	4.48	4.48	4.52	4.54	4.66	4.66	4.78	8.2%	1.6%	-0.1%	0.9%	0.4%	2.6%	0.1%	2.5%		

		Indi	cator 1: The	budget and	resources of	courts and	the justice sy	/stem						
		Annual app	roved and in	nplemented I	oudgets allo	cated to the	whole justice	system in	€					
	Table	1.3.2 Budge	etary elemen	ts of the bud	get allocated	to the whol	e justice sys	tem (Q15.2,	Q15-3)					
15-1.1.1 Annual approved public budget allocated to the whole justice system, in $\ensuremath{\varepsilon}$	718,812,448	820,011,595	1,066,905,023	1,008,256,161	908,247,781	1,121,893,255	1,197,838,504	1,319,683,426	83.6%	14.1% 30.1%	-5.5%	-9.9% 23.5%	6.8%	10.2%
15-2.1.1 Court budget (Q6) included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	-	Yes	Yes						
15-2.1.2 Legal aid budget (Q12) included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	-	Yes	Yes						
15-2.1.3 Public prosecution services budget (Q12) included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	-	Yes	Yes						
15-3.1.1 Prison system included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes						
15-3.1.2 Probation services included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes						
15-3.1.3 Council of the judiciary included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes						
15-3.1.4 Constitutional court included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No						
15-3.1.5 Judicial management body included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	No	NAP						
15-3.1.6 State advocacy included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	No	NAP						
15-3.1.7 Enforcement services included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No						
15-3.1.8 Notariat included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No						
15-3.1.9 Forensic services included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes						
15-3.1.10 Judicial protection of juveniles included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No						
15-3.1.11 Functioning of the Ministry of Justice included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes						
15-3.1.12 Refugees and asylum seekers service included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No						
15-3.1.13 Immigration services	-	-	-	-	No	No	No	No						
15-3.1.14 Some police services included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	-	-	No	No	No	No	No	No						
15-3.1.15 Other services included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes						

-																		
Table General Data: Economic and demographic		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Variations									
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012								2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019		
Figu	ure 1.4 Corre	lation betwee	en the GDP p	oer capita an	d the approv	ed whole jus	stice system	budget in 20	019 (Q1, (	Q3, Q15-	1)							
Q1. Number of inhabitants	21,305,097	19,942,642	22,279,183	19,759,968	19,638,309	19,523,621	19,405,156	19,414,458	-8.9%	-6.4%	11.7%	-11.3%	-0.6%	-0.6%	-0.6%	0.0%		
Q.3 GDP Per capita (in €) in current prices	6,660 €	7,217 €	7,533 €	8,100 €	8,600 €	9,600 €	10,400 €	11,500 €	72.7%	8.4%	4.4%	7.5%	6.2%	11.6%	8.3%	10.6%		
15-1.1.1 Annual approved public budget allocated to the whole justice system, in €	718,812,448	820,011,595	1,066,905,023	1,008,256,161	908,247,781	1,121,893,255	1,197,838,504	1,319,683,426	83.6%	0 €	30.1%	-5.5%	-9.9%	23.5%	6.8%	10.2%		
15-1.2.1 Annual implemented public budget allocated to the whole justice system, in €	-	-	1,057,831,992	995,253,597	896,566,276	1,111,123,810	1,186,886,847	1,308,562,390	-	-	-	-5.9%	-9.9%	23.9%	6.8%	10.3%		

	Fig	ure 1.7 Evolu	ıtion of rever	nues from co	ourt taxes an	d fees in 201	0, 2012,and	2015 in € (Q	1, Q9)							
Table 1.8 Participation of the annual income of court taxes and fees in the budget of the whole justice system from 2012 to 2019 in € (Q1, Q9, Q15-1)																
Q1. Number of inhabitants	21,305,097	19,942,642	22,279,183	19,759,968	19,638,309	19,523,621	19,405,156	19,414,458	-8.9%	-6.4%	11.7%	-11.3%	-0.6%	-0.6%	-0.6%	0.0%
15-1.1.1 Annual approved public budget allocated to the whole justice system, in €	718,812,448	820,011,595	1,066,905,023	1,008,256,161	908,247,781	1,121,893,255	1,197,838,504	1,319,683,426	83.6%	14.1%	30.1%	-5.5%	-9.9%	23.5%	6.8%	10.2%
Q9. Annual income of court taxes or fees received by the state	54,301,587	-	60,935,285	56,498,813	59,499,517	62,920,565	67,018,671	68,756,201	26.6%	-	-	-7.3%	5.3%	5.7%	6.5%	2.6%

			F	Figure 1.9 Coι	ırt fees and t	axes (Q8-1, C	(8-2)									
Q8-2. Amount of court fees to commence an action for 3000 Euro debt recovery	-	-			173	174	175	172	-	-	-	-	-	0.6%	0.6%	-1.7%

				Indicator 2	: The judicial	organisatio	n									
Tables 2.1 and 2.1b Number of first instance courts (general and specialised) as legal entities and number of all courts (first, appeal and high courts) as geographic locations (Q42)																
		Table 2.3 (E0	C) Variation o	of the absolu	ite number of	f all courts (ឲ្	jeographic k	ocations) (Q4	12)							
Q1. Number of inhabitants	21,305,097	19,942,642	22,279,183	19,759,968	19,638,309	19,523,621	19,405,156	19,414,458	-8.9%	-6.4%	11.7%	-11.3%	-0.6%	-0.6%	-0.6%	0.0%
42.1.1 First instance courts of general jurisdiction	233	233	233	232	233	233	233	233	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-0.4%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
42.1.2 Specialised first instance courts	10	10	10	9	9	9	9	9	-10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
42.1.3 All the courts (geographic locations)	244	244	244	243	243	243	243	243	-0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	-0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
	Та	ble 2.2 Numl	per of (legal o	entities) first	instance sp	ecialised cou	ırts and its b	reak-down	(Q43)							
43.1.1 Total Nr of first instance specialised courts	10	10	10	9	9	9	9	9	-10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
43.1.2 Number of commercial courts	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
43.1.3 Number of insolvency courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.4 Number of labour courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
43.1.5 Number of family courts	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
43.1.6 Number of rent and tenancies courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
43.1.7 Number of enforcement of criminal sanctions courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
43.1.8 Number of courts fight against terrorism, organised crime and corruption	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.9 Number of internet related disputes	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.10 Number of administrative courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
43.1.11 Number of insurance and social welfare courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
43.1.12 Number of military courts	6	6	6	5	5	5	5	5	-16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	-16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
43.1.13 Number of other specialised 1st instance courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

								KOIII	allia	(2012	-20 13)	uala	Lables	<u> </u>		
Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
		Ind	icator 3: The	performance	of courts a	t all stages o	f the proceed	dings								
	Tables 3.1.	1.1 to 3.1.1.4	(all years) ar	nd 3.1.1.5 Fir	st instance	courts: Numl	ber of other t	than crimina	l cases (	Q91)						
Table 3	.9.1 and 3.9.2	(all years) F	ist instance o	ourts: Case	load in the E	U of other th	an criminal	cases pe 10	0 inhabit	ants (Q1,	Q91)					
	Table 3.9.3	to 3.9.4 Fist	instance cou	ırts: Variatio	n of caseloa	d in the EU o	of other than	criminal cas	ses (Q1,	Q91)						
Q1. Number of inhabitants	21,305,097	19,942,642	22,279,183	19,759,968	19,638,309	19,523,621	19,405,156	19,414,458	-8.9%	-6.4%	11.7%	-11.3%	-0.6%	-0.6%	-0.6%	0.0%
91.1.1 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	698,506	777,991	918,286	733,382	649,920	630,979	639,082	591,192	-15.4%	11.4%	18.0%	-20.1%	-11.4%	-2.9%	1.3%	-7.5%
91.1.2 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	566,796	578,043	793,683	661,619	597,721	570,748	581,464	548,530	-3.2%	2.0%	37.3%	-16.6%	-9.7%	-4.5%	1.9%	-5.7%
91.1.3 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	14,940	13,356	11,750	10,112	10,770	10,887	-	-	-	-10.6%	-12.0%	-13.9%	6.5%	1.1%
91.1.4 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	44,812	62,572	6,418	4,375	3,049	1,756	1,354	1,546	-96.6%	39.6%	-89.7%	-31.8%	-30.3%	-42.4%	-22.9%	14.2%
91.1.5 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	8,522	8,981	8,701	8,356	9,416	9,341	-	-	-	5.4%	-3.1%	-4.0%	12.7%	-0.8%
91.1.6 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious land registry cases	1,454	1,366	5,601	5,550	4,788	4,193	4,322	4,629	218.4%	-6.1%	310.0%	-0.9%	-13.7%	-12.4%	3.1%	7.1%
91.1.7 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non-litigious business registry cases	2,281	2,526	2,921	3,431	3,913	4,163	5,094	4,712	106.6%	10.7%	15.6%	17.5%	14.0%	6.4%	22.4%	-7.5%
91.1.8 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
91.1.9 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
91.1.10 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Administrative law cases	83,163	133,484	109,663	61,838	40,449	50,119	46,848	31,775	-61.8%	60.5%	-17.8%	-43.6%	-34.6%	23.9%	-6.5%	-32.2%
91.1.11 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-		
91.2.1 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	1,837,799	1,599,815	1,632,597	1,443,850	1,477,959	1,455,782	1,354,351	1,410,632	-23.2%	-12.9%	2.0%	-11.6%	2.4%	-1.5%	-7.0%	4.2%
91.2.2 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	1,102,677	829,193	1,526,483	1,353,189	1,335,498	1,279,631	1,240,508	1,296,445	17.6%	-24.8%	84.1%	-11.4%	-1.3%	-4.2%	-3.1%	4.5%
91.2.3 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	27,733	26,313	25,099	30,051	30,103	31,416	-	-	-	-5.1%	-4.6%	19.7%	0.2%	4.4%
91.2.4 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	502,594	571,575	19,973	19,224	18,421	23,094	23,618	24,567	-95.1%	13.7%	-96.5%	-3.8%	-4.2%	25.4%	2.3%	4.0%
91.2.5 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	7,760	7,089	6,678	6,957	6,485	6,849	-	-	-	-8.6%	-5.8%	4.2%	-6.8%	5.6%
91.2.6 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious land registry cases	2,099	1,999	6,821	6,001	5,904	5,393	5,631	5,856	179.0%	-4.8%	241.2%	-12.0%	-1.6%	-8.7%	4.4%	4.0%
91.2.7 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Non-litigious business registry cases	810	869	939	1,088	774	1,564	854	993	22.6%	7.3%	8.1%	15.9%	-28.9%	102.1%	-45.4%	16.3%
91.2.8 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-		
91.2.9 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-		
91.2.10 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Administrative law cases	229,619	196,179	78,381	65,436	117,362	146,100	83,740	82,771	-64.0%	-14.6%	-60.0%	-16.5%	79.4%	24.5%	-42.7%	-1.2%
91.2.11 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-		

Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
91.3.1 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	1,758,314	1,760,885	1,814,070	1,531,225	1,496,900	1,447,679	1,402,241	1,414,005	-19.6%	0.1%	3.0%	-15.6%	-2.2%	-3.3%	-3.1%	0.8%
91.3.2 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	1,091,430	929,973	1,658,547	1,417,087	1,362,471	1,268,915	1,273,442	1,301,356	19.2%	-14.8%	78.3%	-14.6%	-3.9%	-6.9%	0.4%	2.2%
91.3.3 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	29,317	27,919	26,737	29,393	29,986	29,605	-	-	-	-4.8%	-4.2%	9.9%	2.0%	-1.3%
91.3.4 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	484,834	572,830	22,016	20,550	19,714	23,496	23,426	23,660	-95.1%	18.1%	-96.2%	-6.7%	-4.1%	19.2%	-0.3%	1.0%
91.3.5 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	7,301	7,369	7,023	5,897	6,560	5,945	-	-	-	0.9%	-4.7%	-16.0%	11.2%	-9.4%
91.3.6 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious land registry cases	2,187	2,199	6,872	6,763	6,499	5,264	5,324	5,377	145.9%	0.5%	212.5%	-1.6%	-3.9%	-19.0%	1.1%	1.0%
91.3.7 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Non-litigious business registry cases	565	474	429	606	524	633	1,236	568	0.5%	-16.1%	-9.5%	41.3%	-13.5%	20.8%	95.3%	-54.0%
91.3.8 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.3.9 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.3.10 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Administrative law cases	179,298	255,409	126,206	86,825	107,692	149,371	98,813	83,044	-53.7%	42.4%	-50.6%	-31.2%	24.0%	38.7%	-33.8%	-16.0%
91.3.11 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-								
91.4.1 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	777,991	616,921	736,813	646,007	630,979	639,082	591,192	587,819	-24.4%	-20.7%	19.4%	-12.3%	-2.3%	1.3%	-7.5%	-0.6%
91.4.2 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	578,043	477,263	661,619	597,721	570,748	581,464	548,530	543,619	-6.0%	-17.4%	38.6%	-9.7%	-4.5%	1.9%	-5.7%	-0.9%
91.4.3 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	13,356	11,750	10,112	10,770	10,887	12,698	-	-	-	-12.0%	-13.9%	6.5%	1.1%	16.6%
91.4.4 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	62,572	61,317	4,375	3,049	1,756	1,354	1,546	2,453	-96.1%	-2.0%	-92.9%	-30.3%	-42.4%	-22.9%	14.2%	58.7%
91.4.5 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	8,981	8,701	8,356	9,416	9,341	10,245	-	-	-	-3.1%	-4.0%	12.7%	-0.8%	9.7%
91.4.6 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious land registry cases	1,366	1,166	5,550	4,788	4,193	4,322	4,629	5,108	273.9%	-14.6%	376.0%	-13.7%	-12.4%	3.1%	7.1%	10.3%
91.4.7 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non-litigious business registry cases	2,526	2,921	3,431	3,913	4,163	5,094	4,712	5,137	103.4%	15.6%	17.5%	14.0%	6.4%	22.4%	-7.5%	9.0%
91.4.8 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
91.4.9 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-		-	
91.4.10 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Administrative law cases	133,484	74,254	61,838	40,449	50,119	46,848	31,775	31,502	-76.4%	-44.4%	-16.7%	-34.6%	23.9%	-6.5%	-32.2%	-0.9%
91.4.11 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-								

Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
Table 3.2.1.1 t	to 3.2.1.1 (all	years) First i	nstance cou	rts: Clearanc	e rate and d	isposition tir	ne in differer	nt type of ot	her than	criminal	cases (Q	91)		·	·	
Table 3.2.2.1 ar	nd 3.2.2.3 Firs	t instance co	ourts: Variati	on of clearar	nce rate and	disposition	time in differ	ent type of o	other thai	n crimina	l cases (	Q91)				
Table 3.10.1 (EC) to 3.10.6 (EC) First	instance cou	rts: Disposi	tion time and	l clearance ra	ate for other	than crimina	l cases, litig	ious civil an	d comme	ercial cas	es and a	dministr	ative cas	es (Q91)		
CR Total of other than criminal law cases	95.7%	110.1%	111.1%	106.1%	101.3%	99.4%	103.5%	100.2%	4.8%	15.0%	1.0%	-4.6%	-4.5%	-1.8%	4.1%	-3.2%
CR Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	99.0%	112.2%	108.7%	104.7%	102.0%	99.2%	102.7%	100.4%	1.4%	13.3%	-3.1%	-3.6%	-2.6%	-2.8%	3.5%	-2.2%
CR Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	105.7%	106.1%	106.5%	97.8%	99.6%	94.2%	-	-	-	0.4%	0.4%	-8.2%	1.8%	-5.4%
CR General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	96.5%	100.2%	110.2%	106.9%	107.0%	101.7%	99.2%	96.3%	-0.2%	3.9%	10.0%	-3.0%	0.1%	-4.9%	-2.5%	-2.9%
CR Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	94.1%	103.9%	105.2%	84.8%	101.2%	86.8%	-	-	-	10.5%	1.2%	-19.4%	19.3%	-14.2%
CR Non litigious land registry cases	104.2%	110.0%	100.7%	112.7%	110.1%	97.6%	94.5%	91.8%	-11.9%	5.6%	-8.4%	11.9%	-2.3%	-11.3%	-3.1%	-2.9%
CR Non-litigious business registry cases	69.8%	54.5%	45.7%	55.7%	67.7%	40.5%	144.7%	57.2%	-18.0%	-21.8%	-16.2%	21.9%	21.5%	-40.2%	257.6%	-60.5%
CR Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR Administrative law cases	78.1%	130.2%	161.0%	132.7%	91.8%	102.2%	118.0%	100.3%	28.5%	66.7%	23.7%	-17.6%	-30.8%	11.4%	15.4%	-15.0%
CR Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Total of other than criminal law cases	161	128	148	154	154	161	154	152	-6.0%	-20.8%	15.9%	3.9%	-0.1%	4.7%	-4.5%	-1.4%
DT Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	193	187	146	154	153	167	157	152	-21.1%	-3.1%	-22.3%	5.7%	-0.7%	9.4%	-6.0%	-3.0%
DT Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	166	154	138	134	133	157	-	-	-	-7.6%	-10.1%	-3.1%	-0.9%	18.1%
DT General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	47	39	73	54	33	21	24	38	-19.7%	-17.1%	85.6%	-25.3%	-40.0%	-35.3%	14.5%	57.1%
DT Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	449	431	434	583	520	629	-	-	-	-4.0%	0.8%	34.2%	-10.8%	21.0%
DT Non litigious land registry cases	228	194	295	258	235	300	317	347	52.1%	-15.1%	52.3%	-12.3%	-8.9%	27.3%	5.9%	9.3%
DT Non-litigious business registry cases	1632	2249	2919	2357	2900	2937	1391	3301	102.3%	37.8%	29.8%	-19.3%	23.0%	1.3%	-52.6%	137.2%
DT Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
DT Administrative law cases	272	106	179	170	170	114	117	138	-49.0%	-60.9%	68.5%	-4.9%	-0.1%	-32.6%	2.5%	18.0%
DT Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 3.3.1 (all years) First instance courts, number of cases for specific case categories i(litigious divorce, employment dismissal and insolvency cases) (Q101)

										<u> </u>						
Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
101.1.1 Pending cases on 1 Jan. Litigious divorce case	20,926	19,247	16,334	16,814	15,912	15,753	16,646	16,816	-19.6%	-8.0%	-15.1%	2.9%	-5.4%	-1.0%	5.7%	1.0%
101.1.2 Pending cases on 1 Jan. Employment dismissal case	3,041	2,734	3,277	3,212	2,257	1,802	1,498	1,399	-54.0%	-10.1%	19.9%	-2.0%	-29.7%	-20.2%	-16.9%	-6.6%
101.1.3 Pending cases on 1 Jan. Insolvency	48,643	50,774	60,239	50,739	41,701	35,215	33,373	30,928	-36.4%	4.4%	18.6%	-15.8%	-17.8%	-15.6%	-5.2%	-7.3%
101.2.1 Incoming cases_Litigious divorce case	42,582	35,422	34,125	36,435	36,041	35,709	34,609	32,562	-23.5%	-16.8%	-3.7%	6.8%	-1.1%	-0.9%	-3.1%	-5.9%
101.2.2 Incoming cases_Employment dismissal case	3,274	3,789	3,075	2,413	2,030	1,732	1,661	1,621	-50.5%	15.7%	-18.8%	-21.5%	-15.9%	-14.7%	-4.1%	-2.4%
101.2.3 Incoming cases_Insolvency	57,956	60,536	45,896	34,981	29,883	28,623	27,374	25,921	-55.3%	4.5%	-24.2%	-23.8%	-14.6%	-4.2%	-4.4%	-5.3%
101.3.1 Resolved cases_Litigious divorce case	44,261	37,508	33,645	37,337	36,200	34,816	34,439	33,779	-23.7%	-15.3%	-10.3%	11.0%	-3.0%	-3.8%	-1.1%	-1.9%
101.3.2 Resolved cases_Employment dismissal case	3,581	3,246	3,140	3,372	2,485	2,036	1,760	1,681	-53.1%	-9.4%	-3.3%	7.4%	-26.3%	-18.1%	-13.6%	-4.5%
101.3.3 Resolved cases_Insolvency	55,825	54,184	55,396	45,121	36,369	30,465	29,819	29,801	-46.6%	-2.9%	2.2%	-18.5%	-19.4%	-16.2%	-2.1%	-0.1%
101.4.1 Pending cases on 31 Dec. Litigious divorce case	19,247	17,161	16,814	15,912	15,753	16,646	16,816	15,599	-19.0%	-10.8%	-2.0%	-5.4%	-1.0%	5.7%	1.0%	-7.2%
101.4.2 Pending cases on 31 Dec. Employment dismissal case	2,734	3,277	3,212	2,253	1,802	1,498	1,399	1,339	-51.0%	19.9%	-2.0%	-29.9%	-20.0%	-16.9%	-6.6%	-4.3%
101.4.3 Pending cases on 31 Dec. Insolvency	50,774	57,126	50,739	40,599	35,215	33,373	30,928	27,048	-46.7%	12.5%	-11.2%	-20.0%	-13.3%	-5.2%	-7.3%	-12.5%

Table 3.4.1 (	all years) First insta	ance courts:	Clearance ra	ate and dispo	osition time	(litigious div	orce, employ	/ment dismis	sal and i	nsolven	cy cases	) (Q101)				
Table 3.4.2 First inst	ance courts: Variat	ion of cleara	nce rate and	disposition	time betwee	n years (litig	ious divorce	, employme	nt dismis	sal and i	nsolveno	cy cases)	(Q101)			
CR Litigious divorce cases	103.9%	105.9%	98.6%	102.5%	100.4%	97.5%	99.5%	103.7%	-0.2%	1.9%	-6.9%	3.9%	-2.0%	-2.9%	2.1%	4.2%
CR Employment dismissal cases	109.4%	85.7%	102.1%	139.7%	122.4%	117.6%	106.0%	103.7%	-5.2%	-21.7%	19.2%	36.9%	-12.4%	-4.0%	-9.9%	-2.1%
CR Insolvency cases	96.3%	89.5%	120.7%	129.0%	121.7%	106.4%	108.9%	115.0%	19.4%	-7.1%	34.8%	6.9%	-5.6%	-12.5%	2.3%	5.5%
DT Litigious divorce cases	159	167	182	156	159	175	178	169	6.2%	5.2%	9.2%	-14.7%	2.1%	9.9%	2.1%	-5.4%
DT Employment dismissal cases	279	368	373	244	265	269	290	291	4.3%	32.2%	1.3%	-34.7%	8.5%	1.5%	8.0%	0.2%
DT Insolvency cases	332	385	334	328	353	400	379	331	-0.2%	15.9%	-13.1%	-1.8%	7.6%	13.1%	-5.3%	-12.5%

								110111	aiiia	<u> </u>		, aata	tables			
Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
		Table 3.5.1	to 3.5.5 Seco	nd instance	courts: Num	ber of other	than crimina	al cases (Q9	7)							
97.1.1 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	12,635	11,714	30,794	77,399	91,360	78,426	83,741	73,019	477.9%	-7.3%	162.9%	151.3%	18.0%	-14.2%	6.8%	-12.8%
97.1.2 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	12,149	11,205	29,428	76,099	89,983	77,180	82,344	71,851	491.4%	-7.8%	162.6%	158.6%	18.2%	-14.2%	6.7%	-12.7%
97.1.3 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	1,366	1,300	1,377	1,246	1,397	1,168	-	-	-	-4.8%	5.9%	-9.5%	12.1%	-16.4%
97.1.4 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	20	23	294	295	310	297	383	339	1595.0%	15.0%	1178.3%	0.3%	5.1%	-4.2%	29.0%	-11.5%
97.1.5 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	1,072	1,005	1,067	949	1,014	829	-	-	-	-6.3%	6.2%	-11.1%	6.8%	-18.2%
97.1.6 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	1,072	1,005	1,067	949	1,014	829	-	-	-	-6.3%	6.2%	-11.1%	6.8%	-18.2%
97.1.7 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non-litigious business registry cases	432	410	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-5.1%	-	-	-	-	-	
97.1.8 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other registry cases	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.1.9 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
97.1.10 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Administrative law cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
97.1.11 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
97.2.1 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	18,934	42,569	141,636	194,760	204,986	205,729	197,330	191,115	909.4%	124.8%	232.7%	37.5%	5.3%	0.4%	-4.1%	-3.1%
97.2.2 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	17,833	38,219	139,457	192,335	202,441	202,444	194,375	188,249	955.6%	114.3%	264.9%	37.9%	5.3%	0.0%	-4.0%	-3.2%
97.2.3 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	2,179	2,425	2,545	3,285	2,955	2,866	-	-	-	11.3%	4.9%	29.1%	-10.0%	-3.0%
97.2.4 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	55	27	631	785	824	1,468	1,312	1,272	2212.7%	-50.9%	2237.0%	24.4%	5.0%	78.2%	-10.6%	-3.0%
97.2.5 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	1,548	1,640	1,721	1,817	1,643	1,594	-	-	-	5.9%	4.9%	5.6%	-9.6%	-3.0%
97.2.6 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	1,548	1,640	1,721	1,817	1,643	1,594	-	-	-	5.9%	4.9%	5.6%	-9.6%	-3.0%
97.2.7 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Non-litigious business registry cases	836	1,681	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	101.1%	-	-	-	-	-	
97.2.8 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Other registry cases	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.2.9 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.2.10 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Administrative law cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.2.11 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Other cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.1 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	19,855	28,043	95,031	180,799	217,920	200,414	208,052	191,155	862.8%	41.2%	238.9%	90.3%	20.5%	-8.0%	3.8%	-8.1%
97.3.2 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	18,777	25,141	92,786	178,259	215,244	197,280	204,868	188,226	902.4%	33.9%	269.1%	92.1%	20.7%	-8.3%	3.8%	-8.1%
97.3.3 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	2,245	2,540	2,676	3,134	3,184	2,929	-	-	-	13.1%	5.4%	17.1%	1.6%	-8.0%
97.3.4 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	52	19	630	795	837	1,382	1,356	1,247	2298.1%	-63.5%	3215.8%	26.2%	5.3%	65.1%	-1.9%	-8.0%

										` -		,				
Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
97.3.5 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	1,615	1,745	1,839	1,752	1,828	1,682	-	-	-	8.0%	5.4%	-4.7%	4.3%	-8.0%
97.3.6 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	1,615	1,745	1,839	1,752	1,828	1,682	-	-	-	8.0%	5.4%	-4.7%	4.3%	-8.0%
97.3.7 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Non-litigious business registry cases	858	1,666	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	94.2%	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.8 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Other registry cases	NA	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-						
97.3.9 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.10 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Administrative law cases	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-							
97.3.11 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Other cases	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-							
97.4.1 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	11,714	26,240	77,399	91,360	78,426	83,741	73,019	72,979	523.0%	124.0%	195.0%	18.0%	-14.2%	6.8%	-12.8%	-0.1%
97.4.2 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	11,205	24,283	76,099	90,175	77,180	82,344	71,851	71,874	541.4%	116.7%	213.4%	18.5%	-14.4%	6.7%	-12.7%	0.0%
97.4.3 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	1,300	1,185	1,246	1,397	1,168	1,105	-	-	-	-8.8%	5.1%	12.1%	-16.4%	-5.4%
97.4.4 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	23	31	295	285	297	383	339	364	1482.6%	34.8%	851.6%	-3.4%	4.2%	29.0%	-11.5%	7.4%
97.4.5 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	1,005	900	949	1,014	829	741	-	-	-	-10.4%	5.4%	6.8%	-18.2%	-10.6%
97.4.6 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	1,005	900	949	1,014	829	741	-	-	-	-10.4%	5.4%	6.8%	-18.2%	-10.6%
97.4.7 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non-litigious business registry cases	410	425	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	3.7%	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.8 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other registry cases	NA	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-						
97.4.9 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.10 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Administrative law cases	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-							
97.4.11 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other cases	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-		-							
97.5.1 2nd inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	-	-	-	-	467	662	679	672	-	-				41.8%	2.6%	-1.0%
97.5.2 2nd inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	-	-	-	-	460	650	671	665	-	-				41.3%	3.2%	-0.9%
97.5.10 2nd inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Administrative law cases	-	-	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-				-	-	-

									uiiiu	\						
Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
	Т	Table 3.6.1: §	Second insta	nce courts, o	learance rat	e of other th	an criminal la	aw cases (C	(97)							
	Ta	able 3.6.2: S	econd instan	nce courts, d	isposition tir	ne of other t	han criminal	law cases (	Q97)							
CR Total of other than criminal law cases	104.9%	65.9%	67.1%	92.8%	106.3%	97.4%	105.4%	100.0%	-4.6%	-37.2%	1.8%	38.4%	14.5%	-8.4%	8.2%	-5.1%
CR Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	105.3%	65.8%	66.5%	92.7%	106.3%	97.4%	105.4%	100.0%	-5.0%	-37.5%	1.1%	39.3%	14.7%	-8.3%	8.2%	-5.1%
CR Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	103.0%	104.7%	105.1%	95.4%	107.7%	102.2%	-	-	-	1.7%	0.4%	-9.3%	12.9%	-5.2%
CR General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	94.5%	70.4%	99.8%	101.3%	101.6%	94.1%	103.4%	98.0%	3.7%	-25.6%	41.9%	1.4%	0.3%	-7.3%	9.8%	-5.1%
CR Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	104.3%	106.4%	106.9%	96.4%	111.3%	105.5%	-	-	-	2.0%	0.4%	-9.8%	15.4%	-5.2%
CR Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	104.3%	106.4%	106.9%	96.4%	111.3%	105.5%	-	-	-	2.0%	0.4%	-9.8%	15.4%	-5.2%
CR Non-litigious business registry cases	102.6%	99.1%	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-3.4%	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Other registry cases	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Administrative law cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Total of other than criminal law cases	215	342	297	184	131	153	128	139	-35.3%	58.6%	-13.0%	-38.0%	-28.8%	16.1%	-16.0%	8.8%
DT Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	218	353	299	185	131	152	128	139	-36.0%	61.9%	-15.1%	-38.3%	-29.1%	16.4%	-16.0%	8.9%
DT Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	211	170	170	163	134	138	-	-	-	-19.4%	-0.2%	-4.3%	-17.7%	2.8%
DT General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	161	596	171	131	130	101	91	107	-34.0%	268.9%	-71.3%	-23.4%	-1.0%	-21.9%	-9.8%	16.8%
DT Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	227	188	188	211	166	161	-	-	-	-17.1%	0.1%	12.2%	-21.6%	-2.9%
DT Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	227	188	188	211	166	161	-	-	-	-17.1%	0.1%	12.2%	-21.6%	-2.9%
DT Non-litigious business registry cases	174	93	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-46.6%	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Other registry cases	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Administrative law cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

									<u> </u>	<u> </u>		,	tabics			
Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
Table General Data: Economic and demographic data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
		Table 3.7	.1 to 3.7.5: Su	preme court	s, number o	f other than	criminal law	cases (Q99)								
99.1.1 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	123,724	-	153,873	83,098	40,023	32,226	42,944	39,695	-67.9%	-		-46.0%	-51.8%	-19.5%	33.3%	-7.6%
99.1.2 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	88,114	-	101,691	50,537	18,743	12,986	11,172	17,884	-79.7%	-	-	-50.3%	-62.9%	-30.7%	-14.0%	60.1%
99.1.3 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	695	424	215	130	114	116	-	-	-	-39.0%	-49.3%	-39.5%	-12.3%	1.8%
99.1.4 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	245	-	137	65	34	13	5	0	-100.0%	-	-	-52.6%	-47.7%	-61.8%	-61.5%	-100.0%
99.1.5 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	558	359	181	117	109	116	-	-	-	-35.7%	-49.6%	-35.4%	-6.8%	6.4%
99.1.6 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	558	359	181	117	109	116	-	-	-	-35.7%	-49.6%	-35.4%	-6.8%	6.4%
99.1.7 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non-litigious business registry cases	288	-	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.1.8 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other registry cases	NA	-	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.1.9 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.1.10 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Administrative law cases	27,444	-	51,487	32,137	21,065	19,110	31,658	21,695	-20.9%	-	-	-37.6%	-34.5%	-9.3%	65.7%	-31.5%
99.1.11 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NA	-	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.2.1 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	249,556	-	159,055	78,841	58,015	95,123	76,786	59,978	-76.0%	-	-	-50.4%	-26.4%	64.0%	-19.3%	-21.9%
99.2.2 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	169,951	-	77,548	35,265	22,103	19,364	33,252	29,625	-82.6%	-	-	-54.5%	-37.3%	-12.4%	71.7%	-10.9%
99.2.3 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	938	438	221	333	351	253	-	-	-	-53.3%	-49.5%	50.7%	5.4%	-27.9%
99.2.4 High inst courts_Incoming cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	817	-	89	70	37	120	53	41	-95.0%	-	-	-21.3%	-47.1%	224.3%	-55.8%	-22.6%
99.2.5 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	849	368	184	213	298	212	-	-	-	-56.7%	-50.0%	15.8%	39.9%	-28.9%
99.2.6 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	849	368	184	213	298	212	-	-	-	-56.7%	-50.0%	15.8%	39.9%	-28.9%
99.2.7 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Non-litigious business registry cases	847	-	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.2.8 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Other registry cases	NA	-	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.2.9 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.2.10 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Administrative law cases	58,569	-	80,569	43,138	35,691	75,426	43,183	30,100	-48.6%	-	-	-46.5%	-17.3%	111.3%	-42.7%	-30.3%
99.2.11 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NA	-	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.3.1 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	231,253	-	229,830	121,916	65,812	84,405	80,035	60,219	-74.0%	-	-	-47.0%	-46.0%	28.3%	-5.2%	-24.8%
99.3.2 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	170,341		128,702	67,100	27,860	21,178	26,540	29,923	-82.4%	-	-	-47.9%	-58.5%	-24.0%	25.3%	12.7%
99.3.3 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-		1,209	606	306	349	349	258	-	-	-	-49.9%	-49.5%	14.1%	0.0%	-26.1%
99.3.4 High inst courts_Resolved cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	795	-	161	106	58	128	58	40	-95.0%	-	-	-34.2%	-45.3%	120.7%	-54.7%	-31.0%

										1 -		,				
Table Consul Date: Facus mis and demonstrable												Varia	itions			
Table General Data: Economic and demographic data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
99.3.5 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	1,048	500	248	221	291	218	-	-	-	-52.3%	-50.4%	-10.9%	31.7%	-25.1%
99.3.6 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	1,048	500	248	221	291	218	-	-	-	-52.3%	-50.4%	-10.9%	31.7%	-25.1%
99.3.7 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Non-litigious business registry cases	831	-	. NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
99.3.8 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Other registry cases	NA	-	. NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.9 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Other non-litigious cases	-	-	. NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.10 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Administrative law cases	40,441	-	99,919	54,210	37,646	62,878	53,146	30,038	-25.7%	-	-	-45.7%	-30.6%	67.0%	-15.5%	-43.5%
99.3.11 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NA	-	. NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.1 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4) $$	142,027	-	83,098	40,023	32,226	42,944	39,695	39,454	-72.2%	-	-	-51.8%	-19.5%	33.3%	-7.6%	-0.6%
99.4.2 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	87,724	-	50,537	18,702	12,986	11,172	17,884	17,586	-80.0%	-	-	-63.0%	-30.6%	-14.0%	60.1%	-1.7%
$99.4.3\ \mbox{High inst courts\_Pending cases on 31 Dec.}\ \mbox{Non litigious cases}$ (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	424	256	130	114	116	111	-	-	-	-39.6%	-49.2%	-12.3%	1.8%	-4.3%
99.4.4 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	267	-	65	29	13	5	0	1	-99.6%	-	-	-55.4%	-55.2%	-61.5%	-100.0%	-
99.4.5 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	359	227	117	109	116	110	-	-	-	-36.8%	-48.5%	-6.8%	6.4%	-5.2%
99.4.6 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	359	227	117	109	116	110	-	-	-	-36.8%	-48.5%	-6.8%	6.4%	-5.2%
99.4.7 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non-litigious business registry cases	304	-	. NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
99.4.8 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other registry cases	NA	-	. NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
$99.4.9\ High\ inst\ courts\_Pending\ cases\ on\ 31\ Dec.\ Other\ non-litigious\ cases$	-	-	. NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
99.4.10 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Administrative law cases	45,572	-	32,137	21,065	19,110	31,658	21,695	21,757	-52.3%	-	-	-34.5%	-9.3%	65.7%	-31.5%	0.3%
99.4.11 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NA	-	. NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.5.1 High inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	_	-		_	1,514	1,450	954	944	-	-				-4.2%	-34.2%	-1.0%
99.5.2 High inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	-	-		-	1,135	1,079	452	448	-	-				-4.9%	-58.1%	-0.9%
99.5.10 High inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Administrative law cases	-	-		-	373	360	499	494	-	-				-3.5%	38.6%	-1.0%

								110111		(-0:-		, aata				
Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
		Table 3.8	.1: Supreme	courts, clear	ance rate of	other than c	riminal law c	ases (Q97)								
		Table 3.8.	2: Supreme o	courts, dispo	sition time o	of other than	criminal law	cases (Q97)	)							
CR Total of other than criminal law cases	92.7%	-	144.5%	154.6%	113.4%	88.7%	104.2%	100.4%	8.3%	-	-	7.0%	-26.6%	-21.8%	17.5%	-3.7%
CR Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	100.2%	-	166.0%	190.3%	126.0%	109.4%	79.8%	101.0%	0.8%	-	-	14.6%	-33.8%	-13.2%	-27.0%	26.69
CR Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	128.9%	138.4%	138.5%	104.8%	99.4%	102.0%	-	-	-	7.3%	0.1%	-24.3%	-5.1%	2.69
CR General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	97.3%	-	180.9%	151.4%	156.8%	106.7%	109.4%	97.6%	0.3%	-	-	-16.3%	3.5%	-32.0%	2.6%	-10.89
CR Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	123.4%	135.9%	134.8%	103.8%	97.7%	102.8%	-	-	-	10.1%	-0.8%	-23.0%	-5.9%	5.39
CR Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	123.4%	135.9%	134.8%	103.8%	97.7%	102.8%	-	-	-	10.1%	-0.8%	-23.0%	-5.9%	5.39
CR Non-litigious business registry cases	98.1%	-	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Other registry cases	NA	-	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
CR Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
CR Administrative law cases	69.0%	-	124.0%	125.7%	105.5%	83.4%	123.1%	99.8%	44.5%	-	-	1.3%	-16.1%	-21.0%	47.6%	-18.99
CR Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NA	-	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Total of other than criminal law cases	224	-	132	120	179	186	181	239	6.7%	-	-	-9.2%	49.2%	3.9%	-2.5%	32.19
DT Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	188	-	143	102	170	193	246	215	14.1%	-	-	-29.0%	67.2%	13.2%	27.7%	-12.89
DT Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	128	154	155	119	121	157	-	-	-	20.5%	0.6%	-23.1%	1.8%	29.49
DT General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	123	-	147	100	82	14	0	9	-92.6%	-	-	-32.2%	-18.1%	-82.6%	-100.0%	-
DT Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	125	166	172	180	145	184	-	-	-	32.5%	3.9%	4.5%	-19.2%	26.69
DT Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	125	166	172	180	145	184	-	-	-	32.5%	3.9%	4.5%	-19.2%	26.69
DT Non-litigious business registry cases	134	-	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
DT Other registry cases	NA	-	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Administrative law cases	411	-	117	142	185	184	149	264	-35.7%	-	-	20.8%	30.6%	-0.8%	-18.9%	77.49
DT Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NA	-	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	_	_				-	-	-

2013-2014

2012-2013 Variations

2015-2016 2016-2017 2017-2018 2018-2019

2014-2015

Table General Data: Economic and demographic									
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019
Indicator 4: Sys	tems for mea	suring and e	evaluating th	e performan	ce of courts				
Table	4.1: Modali	ties of monit	oring system	ns (Q81, Q70	)				
81 Are individual courts required to prepare an annual activity report?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
070.1.1 number of incoming cases	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
070.1.2 length of proceedings (timeframes)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
070.1.3 number of resolved cases	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
070.1.4 number of pending cases	-	-	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	
070.1.5 backlogs	-	-	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	
070.1.6 productivity of judges and court staff	-	-	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	
070.1.7 satisfaction of court staff	-	-	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	
070.1.8 satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)	-	-	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	
070.1.9 costs of the judicial procedures	-	-	-	-	-	-	No	No	
070.1.10 number of appeals	-	-	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	
070.1.11 appeal ratio	-	-	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	
070.1.12 clearance rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	

Table 4.2: Performance	Table 4.2: Performance and evaluation of the judicial systems (Q77, Q73, Q73.1, Q66, Q67)													
66 Qlty standards formulated_jud system	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No						
67 Specialised court staff entrusted_qlty standards	-	-	-	-	-	-	-							
73 Regular system_evaluation_performance_each court	No	Yes												
73.1 Is this evaluation of the court activity used for the later allocation of means to this court? (new question)	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes						
77 Perf and quality indicators of court activities	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes						

										1	/	,				
Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	itions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
	1	Indicator 5:	Legal aid													
Table 5.	.1: Type of le	gal aid (oth	er than crimi	nal cases) (Q	16)											
16.1.1 Legal aid applies to representation in court (criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
16.1.2 Legal aid applies to legal advice (criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
16.2.1 Legal aid applies to representation in court (other than criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
16.2.2 Legal aid applies to legal advice (other than criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
	Table 5	.2: Legal aid	coverage (C	17)												
17 Legal aid included the coverage of or the exemption from court					Vac	Vac	Vac	Vec								

fees

		Table 5	.3.1 Annual a	approved pul	olic budget a	llocated to l	egal aid by ty	/pe (Q12)								
	Table 5.4 Tota	ıl annual app	roved public	budget allo	cated to lega	ıl aid (absolu	ıte number a	nd per inhak	oitant) (C	1, Q12)						
Q1. Number of inhabitants	21,305,097	19,942,642	22,279,183	19,759,968	19,638,309	19,523,621	19,405,156	19,414,458	-8.9%	-6.4%	11.7%	-11.3%	-0.6%	-0.6%	-0.6%	0.0%
12 Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) - Total (12.1 + 12.2)	7,958,050	8,739,157	9,518,975	8,877,666	10,306,534	9,971,887	10,371,363	15,019,354	88.7%	9.8%	8.9%	-6.7%	16.1%	-3.2%	4.0%	44.8%
12.1 Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) for cases brought to court - Total	7,958,050	8,739,157	9,518,975	8,877,666	10,306,534	9,971,887	10,371,363	15,019,354	88.7%	9.8%	8.9%	-6.7%	16.1%	-3.2%	4.0%	44.8%
12.2 Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) for non-litigious cases or cases not brought to court - Total	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-			-
12 Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) - Total criminal cases (12.1 + 12.2)	-	-	8,568,650	8,251,144	9,606,247	9,273,859	9,645,361	13,967,945	-	-	-	-3.7%	16.4%	-3.5%	4.0%	44.8%
12.1 Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) for cases brought to court - Total criminal cases	7,251,927	8,101,251	8,568,650	8,251,144	9,606,247	9,273,859	9,645,361	13,967,945	92.6%	11.7%	5.8%	-3.7%	16.4%	-3.5%	4.0%	44.8%
12.2 Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) for non-litigious cases or cases not brought to court - Total criminal	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-			-
12 Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid (in §) - Total other than criminal cases (12.1 + 12.2)	-	-	950,326	626,522	700,287	698,028	762,002	1,051,409	-	-	-	-34.1%	11.8%	-0.3%	9.2%	38.0%
12.1 Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) for cases brought to court - Total other than criminal cases	706,123	637,906	950,326	626,522	700,287	698,028	762,002	1,051,409	48.9%	-9.7%	49.0%	-34.1%	11.8%	-0.3%	9.2%	38.0%
12.2. Annual approved public budget allocated to legal atd (in €) for non-litigious cases or cases not brought to court - Total other than criminal cases	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-			

										•							
Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	Variations				
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012 2013	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	
		Table 5.3	.2 Annual im	plemented pu	ublic budget	allocated to	legal aid by	type (Q12-1)									
12 Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) - Total (12.1 + 12.2)	-		9,511,348	8,824,399	10,173,620	9,962,207	10,351,642	15,011,547	-	-	-	-7.2%	15.3%	-2.1%	3.9%	45.0%	
12.1 Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) for cases brought to court - Total	-		9,511,348	8,824,399	10,173,620	9,962,207	10,351,642	15,011,547	-	-	-	-7.2%	15.3%	-2.1%	3.9%	45.0%	
12.2 Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) for non-litigious cases or cases not brought to court - Total	-		. NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
12 Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) - Total criminal cases (12.1 + 12.2)	-		8,561,022	8,201,911	9,483,803	9,264,856	9,627,027	13,960,738	-	-	-	-4.2%	15.6%	-2.3%	3.9%	45.0%	
12.1 Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) for cases brought to court - Total criminal cases	-		8,561,022	8,201,911	9,483,803	9,264,856	9,627,027	13,960,738	-	-	-	-4.2%	15.6%	-2.3%	3.9%	45.0%	
12.2 Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) for non-littigious cases or cases not brought to court - Total criminal	-		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
12 Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) - Total criminal other than criminal cases (12.1 + 12.2)	-		950,326	622,487	689,817	697,352	724,615	1,050,809	-	-	-	-34.5%	10.8%	1.1%	3.9%	45.0%	
12.1 Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) for cases brought to court - Total other than criminal cases	-		950,326	622,487	689,817	697,352	724,615	1,050,809	-	-	-	-34.5%	10.8%	1.1%	3.9%	45.0%	
12.2 Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) for non-littigious cases or cases not brought to court - Total other than criminal cases	-		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

Table 5.6: Court fees re	quired to sta	art a proceed	ling at a cou	t of general	jurisdiction i	n (Q8)		
8.1.1 Have litigants to pay taxes to start a proceeding - Criminal cases	No	-	No	No	No	No	No	No
8.1.2 Have litigants to pay taxes to start a proceeding - Other cases	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Table 5.7 (EC): Cove	Table 5.7 (EC): Coverage of legal aid (other than criminal cases) (Q16, Q17, Q18, Q19)														
16.1.1 Legal aid applies to representation in court (criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes							
16.1.2 Legal aid applies to legal advice (criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes							
16.2.1 Legal aid applies to representation in court (other than criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes							
16.2.2 Legal aid applies to legal advice (other than criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes							
17 Legal aid included the coverage of or the exemption from court fees	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes							

Table General Data: Economic and demographic	Table General Data: Economic and demographic data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)											Varia	tions			
· ·		2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019				
Indicator 6: The ICT tools of courts and for court users																
			Table	6.1 (EC) Pos	sibility of on	line training	(Q131-2)									
131-2.1.1 Number of training courses in days organised, without e- learning	-	-	-	-	-	-	421	505	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20.0%
131-2.2.1 Online training courses available during the reference year (e-learning)	-	-	-	-	-	-	213	243	-	-				-	-	14.1%

Table 6.2 (EC) Technologi	es used for c	ourt manag	ement and a	dministration	ı (Q63.1, Q63	.2, Q63.7)		
63.1 Is there a case management system?							Yes	Yes
63.1-1 CMS for civil matter (equipment rate)							100%	100%
63.1-1 CMS for criminal matter (equipment rate)							100%	100%
63.1-1 CMS for administrative matter (equipment rate)							100%	100%
63-1-1 Statistics in CMS civil matter						Not integral	ted but connected	d but connected
63-1-1 Statistics in CMS criminal matter						Not integral	ted but connected	d but connected
63-1-1 Statistics in CMS administrative matter						Not integral	ted but connected	d but connected
63-2 Computerised registries managed by courts - land registry							100%	100%
63-2 Computerised registries managed by courts - business registry							100%	100%
63-7.1 Measurement tools to assess the workload							Yes	Yes
63-7.1 workload of judges							100%	100%
63-7.1 workload of prosecutors							0% (NAP)	0% (NAP
63-7.1 workload of non-judge and non-prosecutor staff							0% (NAP)	0% (NAP
63-7.2 Monitoring on national level - judges							No	No
63-7.2 Monitoring on national level - prosecutors							No	No
63-7.2 Monitoring on national level - non-judge and non-prosecutor staff							No	No
63-7.3 Monitoring on court level - judges							No	No
63-7.3 Monitoring on court level - prosecutors							No	Ne
63-7.3 Monitoring on court level - non-judge and non-prosecutor staff							No	No

Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
Table 6.3.1 (EC) Technologies used for electronic subn	mission c			summons a	nd online m	onitoring of	proceedings	(Q63.1,								
		Q64.2, Q	64.4)													
064-2 - Possibility to submit a case to courts by electronic means							Yes	Yes								
064-2 - Civil and/or commercial							100%	100%								
064-2 - Criminal							NA	NA								
064-2 - Administrative							100%	100%								
064-2 - Submission in paper remains mandatory - civil							No	No								
064-2 - Submission in paper remains mandatory - criminal							Yes	Yes								
064-2 - Submission in paper remains mandatory - administrative							No	No								
064-2 - Specific legislative framework - civil							Yes	Yes								
064-2 - Specific legislative framework - criminal							Yes	Yes			Civil - Equip	ment rate				
064-2 - Specific legislative framework - administrative							Yes	Yes			Civil - Summ	non in paper	form remain	ns mandator	у	
064-2 - Integrated/connected with the CMS - civil							No	No			Civil - Conse	ent of the us	er to be noti	fied by elect	ronic means	S
064-2 - Integrated/connected with the CMS - criminal							No	No			Civil - SMS					
064-2 - Integrated/connected with the CMS - administrative							No	No			Civil - E-mai	I				
064-4 - Possibility to transmit summons to a judicial meeting or a hearing by electronic means							Yes	Yes			Civil - Specif	ic computer	application			
064-4 - Summons produced by CMS- civil							Yes	Yes			Civil - Other					
064-4 - Summons produced by CMS- criminal							Yes	Yes								
064-4 - Summons produced by CMS- administrative							Yes	Yes								
064-4 - Simultaneous summon in paper form remains mandatory- civil							No	No								

2013-2014

2012-2013 Variations

2015-2016 2016-2017 2017-2018 2018-2019

2014-2015

Table General Data: Economic and demographic									
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	201 201
064-4 - Simultaneous summon in paper form remains mandatory- criminal							No	No	
064-4 - Simultaneous summon in paper form remains mandatory- administrative							No	No	
064-4 - Consent of the user - civil							Yes	Yes	
064-4 - Consent of the user - criminal							Yes	Yes	
064-4 - Consent of the user - administrative							Yes	Yes	
063-1 - Possibility to monitor the stage of proceedings - Civil and/or commercial							both	both	
063-1 - Possibility to monitor the stage of proceedings - Criminal							both	both	
063-1 - Possibility to monitor the stage of proceedings - Administrative							both	both	

Table 6.3.2 (EC) Communication	n with courts	s and videoc	onferencing	between cou	ırts (Q64.6, C	Q64.10, Q64.1	1)	
064-6 - between court and lawyers representing parties							Yes	Yes
064-6 - between court and parties not represented by lawyer							Yes	Yes
064-6 - Civil and/or commercial							100%	100%
064-6 - Criminal							100%	100%
064-6 - Administrative							100%	100%
064-10 - Videoconferencing between courts, professionals and/or users							Yes	Yes
064-10- Civil and/or commercial							100%	100%
064-10 - Criminal							100%	100%
064-10 - Administrative							50-99%	50-99%
064-11 - Recording of hearings or debates							Yes	Yes
064-11 - Civil and/or commercial							100%	100%
064-11 - Criminal							100%	100%
064-11 - Administrative							100%	100%
064-11 - Type of recording - Civil and/or commercial							Sound	FALSE
064-11 - Type of recording - Criminal							Sound	FALSE
064-11 - Type of recording - Administrative							Sound	FALSE

Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions		
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015		2017- 2018	2018- 2019

Та	ble 6.4.2 We	bsites for jud	licial informa	ntion (Q28)				
028.1.1 - Websites with legal texts	-	-	Yes	-	-	-	Yes	Yes
028.1.2 - Websites with case-law of the higher court/s	-	-	Yes	-	-	-	Yes	Yes
028.1.3 - Websites with other documents	-	-	Yes	-	-	-	Yes	Yes

Table 6.4.2 Existence and	modalities o	of online sub	mission of re	equest for le	gal aid in 201	19 (Q64.3)		
064-3 - Is it possible to request for granting legal aid by electronic means?							Yes	Ye
064-3 - Equipment rate							100%	100%
064-3 - Request in paper mandatory							No	N
064-3 - Specific legislative framework							Yes	Ye
064-3 - Granting LA is also electronic							No	N
064-3 - Information available in CMS							Yes	Ye
Table 6.5 Technologies used	for communi	ication betwo	een courts a	nd enforcem	ent agents in	2018 (Q64.7	)	
064-7 - Equipment rate							100%	100%
064-7 - Modality - Email							Yes	Ye
064-7 - Modality - Specific computer application							No	N
064-7 - Modality - Other							No	N
064-7 - Specific legislative framework							Yes	Ye

									0111101	1		,	tubiot			
Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
Table 6.6 Technologies	used for con	mmunication	between co	urts and not	aries in 2018	(Q64.7)										
064-7 - Equipment rate							100%	100%								
064-7 - Email							Yes	Yes								
064-7 - Specific computer application							No	No								
064-7 - Other							No	No								
064-7 - Specific legislative framework							Yes	Yes								
Table 6.7 Technologies use	d for commu	unication bet	tween courts	and judicial	experts in 2	018 (Q64.7)										
064-7 - Equipment rate							100%	100%								
064-7 - Email							Yes	Yes								
064-7 - Specific computer application							No	No								
064-7 - Other							No	No								
064-7 - Specific legislative framework							Yes	Yes								

2018-2019

2016-2017 2017-2018

Table General Data: Economic and demographic data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)  2012  2013  2014  2015  2016  2017  2018  2019  2012- 2013- 2013- 2014									110111	aiiia	(2012	<u>-201</u> 5	, <del>uutu</del>	tabica	•
Table 6.8 Admissibility of electronic evidence in 2018 (Q64.12)   In civil and commercial matters   Ves   Ves   Ves   Ves	neral Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	itions	
In civil and commercial matters  1864 - Admission  1864 - Admission  1864 - General law to admit electronic evidence  1864 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence  1864 - General law to admit electronic evidence  1864 - General law to admit electronic evidence  1864 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence  1864 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence  1864 - Admission  1864 - General law to admit electronic evidence  1864 - Admission  1864 - General law to admit electronic evidence  1864 - Admission  1864 - General law to admit electronic evidence  1864 - Admission  1864 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence  1864 - Admission  1865 - Admission  1865 - Admission  1865 - Admission  1866 - Admission		2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019				2014- 2015	2015- 2016	
084-1 - Admission	Table 6.8	Admissibil	ity of electro	nic evidence	in 2018 (Q6	4.12)									
064-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence 064-1 - General and appecialised law to admit electronic evidence 064-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence 064-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence 064-1 - Admission 064-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence 064-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence 064-1 - Admission 064-1 - A	mmercial matters														
064-1 - General and specialised law to admit electronic evidence  No No  In criminal matter  064-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence  No No  064-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence  No No  064-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence  No No  064-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence  No No  064-1 - Admission  No No  104-1 - Admission  No No  104-1 - Admission  No No  105-1 - Admission  No No  105-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence  No No  105-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence  No No  106-1 - General saw to admit electronic evidence  No No  106-1 - Admission  No No  106-1 - General saw to admit electronic evidence  No No  106-1 - General saw to admit electronic evidence  No No  106-1 - General saw to admit electronic evidence  No No  106-1 - General saw to admit electronic evidence  No No  106-1 - General saw to admit electronic evidence  No No  106-1 - General saw to admit electronic evidence  No No  106-1 - General saw to admit electronic evidence  No No  106-1 - General saw to admit electronic evidence  No No  106-2 - Impact on Business processes  Yes Yes  106-3 - Impact on Business processes  Yes Yes  106-4 - Impact on Business processes	ion							Yes	Yes						
064-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence  064-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence  064-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence  064-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence  064-1 - Admission  07es  7es  7es  9es  9es  9es  9es  9es	I law to admit electronic evidence							No	No						
In criminal matter  064-1 - Admission  064-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence  064-1 - General and specialised law to admit electronic evidence  064-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence  064-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence  1064-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence  1064-1 - Admission  1064-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence  1064-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence  1064-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence  1064-1 - General and specialised law to admit electronic evidence  1064-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence  1064-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence  1065-1 - Moasuring actual benefits resulting of the use of one or several components of your information system  1065-2 - Impact on Business processes  1065-3 - Impact on Business processes  1065-4 - Impact on Business processes  1065-4 - Impact on Workload  1065-4 - Impact on Workload  1065-4 - Impact on Workload	I and specialised law to admit electronic evidence							Yes	Yes						
064-1 - Admission 064-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence 064-1 - General and specialised law to admit electronic evidence 064-1 - Admission 064-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence 064-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence 064-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence 064-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence 065-1 - Measuring actual benefits resulting of the use of one or several components of your information system 065-2 - Impact on Business processes 065-4 - Impact on Business processes 065-4 - Impact on Business processes 065-4 - Impact on Workload 065-7 - Measuring actual benefits resulting of the use of one or several components of your information system 065-4 - Impact on Business processes 065-4 - Impact on Workload 065-7 - Measuring actual benefits resulting of the use of one or several components of your information system 065-4 - Impact on Workload	ised law to admit electronic evidence							No	No						
064-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence  Yes Yes 064-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence  No	tter														
064-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence  No No No In administrative matter  064-1 - Admission  064-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence  No No No 064-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence  No No No 064-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence  No No 064-1 - General and specialised law to admit electronic evidence  No No 064-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence  No No No Table 6.9 Other aspects of the ICT systems in courts in 2018 (Q65.4)  065-4 - Measuring actual benefits resulting of the use of one or several components of your information system  065-4 - Impact on Business processes  Yes Yes Yes	sion							Yes	Yes						
064-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence  064-1 - Admission  064-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence  064-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence  064-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence  No  No  No  Table 6.9 Other aspects of the ICT systems in courts in 2018 (Q65.4)  065-4 - Measuring actual benefits resulting of the use of one or several components of your information system  065-4 - Impact on Business processes  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes	I law to admit electronic evidence							No	No						
In administrative matter  064.1 - Admission  Yes Yes  064.1 - General law to admit electronic evidence  No No  064.1 - General and specialised law to admit electronic evidence  Ves Yes  064.1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence  No No  Table 6.9 Other aspects of the ICT systems in courts in 2018 (Q65.4)  065.4 - Measuring actual benefits resulting of the use of one or several components of your information system  Ves Yes  065.4 - Impact on Business processes  Yes Yes  065.4 - Impact on Workload	I and specialised law to admit electronic evidence							Yes	Yes						
1064-1 - Admission 1064-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence 1064-1 - General and specialised law to admit electronic evidence 1064-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence 1064-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence 1065-4 - Measuring actual benefits resulting of the use of one or several components of your information system 1065-4 - Impact on Business processes 1065-4 - Impact on Workload 1065-4 - Impact on Workload 1075-7 1080-7 1	ised law to admit electronic evidence							No	No						
064-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence  064-1 - General and specialised law to admit electronic evidence  No No  No No  Table 6.9 Other aspects of the ICT systems in courts in 2018 (Q65.4)  065-4 - Measuring actual benefits resulting of the use of one or several components of your information system  065-4 - Impact on Business processes  Yes Yes  065-4 - Impact on Workload	ve matter														
064-1 - General and specialised law to admit electronic evidence  No No  Table 6.9 Other aspects of the ICT systems in courts in 2018 (Q65.4)  065-4 - Measuring actual benefits resulting of the use of one or several components of your information system  065-4 - Impact on Business processes  Yes Yes  065-4 - Impact on Workload	ion							Yes	Yes						
Table 6.9 Other aspects of the ICT systems in courts in 2018 (Q65.4)  065-4 - Measuring actual benefits resulting of the use of one or several components of your information system  065-4 - Impact on Business processes  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes	I law to admit electronic evidence							No	No						
Table 6.9 Other aspects of the ICT systems in courts in 2018 (Q65.4)  065-4 - Measuring actual benefits resulting of the use of one or several components of your information system  065-4 - Impact on Business processes  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes	I and specialised law to admit electronic evidence							Yes	Yes						
065-4 - Measuring actual benefits resulting of the use of one or several components of your information system  065-4 - Impact on Business processes  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes	ised law to admit electronic evidence							No	No						
several components of your information system  065-4 - Impact on Business processes  Yes  Yes  Yes	Table 6.9 Oth	her aspects	of the ICT s	systems in co	urts in 2018	(Q65.4)									
065-4 - Impact on Workload Yes Yes								Yes	Yes						
	on Business processes							Yes	Yes						
065-4 - Impact on Human resources Yes	on Workload							Yes	Yes						
	on Human resources							Yes	Yes						
065-4 - Impact on Costs Yes	on Costs							Yes	Yes						
065-4 - Impact on Other No No	on Other							No	No						

•	Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
	data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	
	Table 6.10 Existan	ce of online	processing	devices of sp	ecialised lit	igation (Q64	9)										
	004.0 Full-tone of culture annual devices of current little dillions.							NI-	NI-								

	Indicator	7: Career an	d status of ju	ıdges				
	Table 7.1 (I	EC): Training	s for judges	(Q127)				
127.1.1 Initial training (e.g. attend a judicial school, traineeship in the court)	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory
127.1.2 General in-service training	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory Optional	Compulsory Optional	Compulsory	Compulsory
127.1.3 In-service training for specialised judicial functions (e.g. judge for economic or administrative issues)	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional
127.1.4 In-service training for management functions of the court (e.g. court president)	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional
127.1.5 In-service training for the use of computer facilities in courts	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional
127.1.6 In-service training on ethics							Optional	Optional

								Rom	anıa	(2012	-2019)	data	tables	i		
Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
	Indicator 8: The existence and use of alternative dispute resolution methods															
Table 8.1 Number of accredited or registered mediators (absolute values and per 100 000 inhabitants) (Q1, Q166)																
Number of accredited or registered mediators (absolute values and per 100 000 inhabitants) (Q1, Q166)  Number of accredited or registered mediators who practice cial mediation:  10,847 6,833 11,701 5,080 4,739 4,585 11,234 171.6% 162.3% -37.0% 71.2% -56.6% -6.7% -3.2% 145.0%																
		T	able 8.2: Ava	ilability of c	ourt-related	mediation p	rocedure (Q	163)								
		Table 8.3(E	EC) Number o	of court relat	ed mediatio	n procedure	s (absolute v	alues) (Q167	7)							

		т	able 8.2: Ava	ailability of	court-related	mediation pr	ocedure (Q1	163)								
		Table 8.3(l	EC) Number	of court rela	ted mediation	procedures	(absolute va	alues) (Q167	)							
	Ta	able 8.4 Num	ber of court	related med	iation proced	lures (per 10	0 000 inhabit	ants) (Q1, Q	167)							
163-1.1 Provision of mandatory mediation procedures_before going to court!	No	-	No	-	False	False	False	False	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
163-1.2 Provision of mandatory mediation procedures_ordered by a judge in a course of jud. proc.!	No	-	No	-	False	False	False	False	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
167. Total number started	NA	-	-		NA NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-				-	-	-
167. 1. Civil and commercial cases - started	NA	-	-	-	. NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-				-	-	-
167. 2. Family cases - started	NA	-	-	-	. NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-				-	-	-
167. 3. Administrative cases - started	NAP	-	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-				-	-	-
167. 4. Labour cases including employment dismissal cases - started	NA	-	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-				-	-	-
167. 5. Criminal cases - started	NA	-	-	-	. NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-				-	-	-
167. 6. Consumer cases - started	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NA	-	-				-	-	-
Table 0.5	Drovidore of	a a contra de la tra	al all atta		(0404)											

Table 8.5: Providers of court-related mediation procedure (Q164)													
164. Civil and commercial cases - Private mediator	Yes	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes					
164. Civil and commercial cases - Public authority (other than the court)	No	-	No	-	No	No	No	No					
164. Civil and commercial cases - Judge	No	-	No	-	No	No	No	No					
164. Civil and commercial cases - Public prosecutor	Yes	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	No	No					
164. Family law cases - Private mediator	Yes	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes					
164. Family law cases - Public authority (other than the court)	No	-	No	-	No	No	No	No					
164. Family law cases - Judge	No	-	No	-	No	No	No	No					
164. Family law cases - Public prosecutor	Yes	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	No	No					
164. Administrative cases - Private mediator	No	-	No	-	No	No	No	No					
164. Administrative cases - Public authority (other than the court)	No	-	No	-	No	No	No	No					

Table General Data: Economic and demographic	_					2017	2017 2018	2018					Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	
164. Administrative cases - Judge	No	-	No	-	No	No	No	No									
164. Administrative cases - Public prosecutor	No	-	No	-	No	No	No	No									
164. Employment dismissals - Private mediator	Yes	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes									
164. Employment dismissals - Public authority (other than the court)	No	-	No	-	No	No	No	No									
164. Employment dismissals - Judge	No	-	No	-	No	No	No	No									
164. Employment dismissals - Public prosecutor	Yes	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	No	No									
164. Criminal cases - Private mediator	Yes	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes									
164. Criminal cases - Public authority (other than the court)	No	-	No	-	No	No	No	No									
164. Criminal cases - Judge	No	-	No	-	No	No	No	No									
164. Criminal cases - Public prosecutor	Yes	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	No	No									
164. Consumer cases - Private mediator	-	-	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes									
164. Consumer cases - Public authority (other than the court)	-	-	-	-	-	-	No	No									
164. Consumer cases - Judge	-	-	-	-	-	-	No	No									
164. Consumer cases - Public prosecutor	-	-	-	-	-	-	No	No									
Table 8.6: A	vailability of	legal aid for	court-relate	d mediation	(Q165)												
Table 8.7: Ava	ailability of A	DR other tha	an court rela	ted mediatio	n (Q168)												
165 Availability of legal aid for court related mediation	Yes	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes									
168 Avaialability of ADR - Mediation other than court-related mediation	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	-	Yes	Yes									
168 Avaialability of ADR - Arbitration	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	-	Yes	Yes									
168 Avaialability of ADR - Conciliation	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	-	No	No									
168 Avaialability of ADR - Other	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	-	No	No									

0.0%

Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	itions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019

#### Indicator 9: Professionals of justice

Table 9.1.1 and 9.1.2 Number of professional judges (absolute number, per 100 000 inhabitants and variations) (Q1, Q46)

Table 9.1.3 and 9.1.3b Distribution of professional judges by instances and per 100 000 inhabitants (Q46)

Table 9.1.4 to 9.1.7 Distribution of male and female professional judges within the total number of professional judges in first instance in 2010 and 2012 (Q46)

Table 9.5.1 (EC) Number of professional judges sitting in courts per 100 000 inhabitants (Q1, Q46)

Table 9.2.1 to 9.2.3 Total number of non-judge staff (absolute number and per 100 000 inhabitants) and its distribution per category (Q1, Q52)

#### Table 9.2.4 Number of non-judge staff vs professional judges (values per 100 000 inhabitants) (Q1, Q46, Q52) Q1. Number of inhabitants 21.305.097 19.942.642 22.279.183 19.759.968 19.638.309 19.523.621 19.405.156 19.414.458 -8.9% -6.4% 11.7% -11.3% -0.6% -0.6% -0.6% 46.1.1 Total Number of professional judges 4,310 4,511 4,577 4.608 4.628 4.664 4.677 10.3% 4.7% 1.5% 0.7% 0.4% 0.8% 0.3% 4.753

Table General Data: Economic and demographic							2017 2018 2019		Variations										
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019			
52.2.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)(men)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-		-			
52.2.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges(men)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
52.2.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks(men)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
52.2.5 Number of Technical staff(men)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
52.2.6 Number of Other non judge staff(men)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
52.3.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts(women)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
52.3.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)(women)	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-									
52.3.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges(women)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
52.3.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks(women)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-		-			
52.3.5 Number of Technical staff(women)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-		-			
52.3.6 Number of Other non judge staff(women)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			

Table General Data: Economic and demographic							2017 2018 2019				Variat	tions				
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
	Table 9.3	1 Number o	f lawyers* (al	osolute numl	ber, per 100	000 inhabitaı	nts and varia	tions) (Q1, (	Q146, Q1	47)						
		Tal	ole 9.5.2 (EC)	Number of I	awyers per '	100 000 inhal	oitants (Q1, C	2146)								
	Table 9.3.3 Number of lawyers vs professional judges (values per 100 000 inhabitants) (Q1, Q146, Q52)															
Q1. Number of inhabitants	21,305,097	19,942,642	22,279,183	19,759,968	19,638,309	19,523,621	19,405,156	19,414,458	-8.9%	-6.4%	11.7%	-11.3%	-0.6%	-0.6%	-0.6%	0.0%
146 Total number of lawyers practising in your country.	20,919	23,332	23,244	23,635	23,205	23,020	22,873	23,554	12.6%	11.5%	-0.4%	1.7%	-1.8%	-0.8%	-0.6%	3.0%
147 Does this figure include "legal advisors" who cannot represent their clients in court (for example, some solicitors or in-house counsellors)?	No	-	No	No	No	No	No	No	-	-	-	-		-	-	-
52.1.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts	9,283	9,639	10,147	10,251	10,297	10,638	10,662	10,700	15.3%	3.8%	5.3%	1.0%	0.4%	3.3%	0.2%	0.4%
52.1.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.1.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges	5,489	5,743	6,072	6,149	6,191	6,358	6,402	6,437	17.3%	4.6%	5.7%	1.3%	0.7%	2.7%	0.7%	0.5%
52.1.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks	1,486	1,563	1,585	1,615	1,621	1,697	1,645	1,646	10.8%	5.2%	1.4%	1.9%	0.4%	4.7%	-3.1%	0.1%
52.1.5 Number of Technical staff	1,762	1,784	1,854	1,844	1,822	1,731	1,772	1,750	-0.7%	1.2%	3.9%	-0.5%	-1.2%	-5.0%	2.4%	-1.2%
52.1.6 Number of Other non judge staff	546	549	636	643	663	852	843	867	58.8%	0.5%	15.8%	1.1%	3.1%	28.5%	-1.1%	2.8%
52.2.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts(men)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.2.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)(men)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.2.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges(men)	-		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA			-			-		
52.2.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks(men)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
52.2.5 Number of Technical staff(men)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-				-	-	-	
52.2.6 Number of Other non judge staff(men)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		-	-	-		-	-	-

Table General Data: Economic and demographic							2017 2018	2018 2019	Variations								
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	
52.3.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts(women)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-			-	
52.3.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)(women)	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-			-							
52.3.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges(women)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-			-	
52.3.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks(women)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-			-	
52.3.5 Number of Technical staff(women)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-			-	
52.3.6 Number of Other non judge staff(women)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Indicator 10: The methods, sources and efficiency of national data collection													
Table 10.1: Centralised institution responsib	le for collect	ing statistica	l data regard	ling the fund	ctioning of th	e courts and	l judiciary (Q	80)					
80.1 Centralised instit resp_collecting data_func_C&J	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	Yes	Yes	No					

Table 10.2: Publication of statistics on the functioning of each court on the internet (Q80.1)													
80-1 Published statistics on the functioning of each court	No, only on intranet	No, only on intranet	No, only on intranet		Yes on Internet	Yes on Internet	Yes on Internet	Yes on Internet					

Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
Table 11.1: Existance of	specific prov	isions for fa	cilitating ge	nder equality	in recruiting	g (Q61-2)										
Judges							No	No								
Prosecutors							No	No								
Non-judge staff							No	No								
Lawyers							No	No								
Notaries							No	No								
Enforcement agents							No	No								
Table 11.2: Existance of spec	ific provisio	ns for facilita	ating gender	equality in p	romotion in	2018 (Q61-2	)									
Judges							No	No								
Prosecutors							No	No								
Non-judge staff							No	No								
Lawyers							No	No								
Notaries							No	No								
Enforcement agents							No	No								
Table 11.3: Availability	y of national	programme	to promote ç	gender equal	ity in 2018 (	Q61-5)										
National programme for gender equality							No	No								
Table 11.4: Existance of person/institu	ution specific	cally dedicate	ed to ensure	the respect	of gender ed	uality in 201	8 (Q61-7)									
In courts (judges)							No	No								
In public prosecution services (prosecutors)							No	No								
For courts' non-judge staff							No	No								

Key: Variation of more than (+ -) 20%