

Strasbourg, 1 August 2011

## EUROPEAN COMMISSION FOR THE EFFICIENCY OF JUSTICE (CEPEJ)

## **NETWORK OF PILOT COURTS**

6th Plenary Meeting Strasbourg, Agora building 22 September 2011

## PREPARATORY QUESTIONNAIRE ABOUT ITEM 5 OF THE AGENDA "WHICH INDICATORS FOR MEASURING THE QUALITY OF JUSTICE?"

		"WHICH IN	VDICAT	ORS FOR M	EASURING	THE QUAL	ITY OF	JUSTI	CE ?'	•			
Name of the pilot court:				Richteramt Dorneck-Thierstein, 4143 Dornach,									
Co	untr	ry: Switz	zerland										
1.	ls t	s there in your a programme or programmes regarding the quality of justice?											
	В.	Country Region (foe Court	instance	for federal s	states)		yes	no no					
II. If yes, which scopes are concerned by these programmes in t fields (to know the content of these fields, please refer to t promoting the quality of justice and courts <a href="http://www.coe.int/t/dghl/cooperation/cepei/quality/default_EN.asp">http://www.coe.int/t/dghl/cooperation/cepei/quality/default_EN.asp</a>						o the							
	B. C. D.	Strategy and Job and ope Access to just and the pub Human rescued Means of just and the pub Means of just and just an	erations   ustice, co lic ources ar	orocesses mmunicatior			yes yes yes yes	no no					
	F.	Please spec	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	ctions under									

## III. Is there in your country a programme for <u>evaluating</u> the quality of justice?

<b>X</b>	\$	NO				
A.	On	which tools are they based?				
	2.	Satisfaction surveys? Inspection (inspectorate body, High Council of the Judiciary, other)? Peer evaluation? Other tools? Which are they?	yes s yes yes	no AV		
					••••••	
V.		you have indicators for measuring quality?	Ϋ́	NO		******
		res, which ones : Rate of annulment/challenge of court decisions By higher courts	Vise	no		
		Continuous training?	Yes	no		
	3.	Others ? If yes, which ones ?	yes	×		
			•••••••			•••••
		Free comments :				
			******************	***************		
					***************************************	