

TAKING STOCK OF THE IMPLEMENTATION CM/REC(2017)5 ON E-VOTING


RASŤO KUŽEL
COUNCIL OF EUROPE

QUESTIONNAIRE ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CM/REC (2017)5 STANDARDS ON E-VOTING

31 member States responded, namely: Albania, Armenia, Austria, Azerbaijan, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Georgia, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Republic of Moldova, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, San Marino, Slovak Republic, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Ukraine, and United Kingdom.

QUESTIONNAIRE ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CM/REC (2017)5 STANDARDS ON E-VOTING


Do you currently use e-voting technologies



QUESTIONNAIRE ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CM/REC (2017)5 STANDARDS ON E-VOTING


b) If e-voting and e-counting are not used, or has been discontinued in your country, please share the reasons why.

What are your primary concerns with e-voting?



QUESTIONNAIRE ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CM/REC (2017)5 STANDARDS ON E-VOTING


- a) Does your country use electronic means or tools in relation to any other election-related procedures such as the recording of votes, scanning of votes, consolidation/tabulation or transmission of voting results? If so, please provide additional detail on ICT usage in the electoral process?



QUESTIONNAIRE ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CM/REC (2017)5 STANDARDS ON E-VOTING


- a) If applicable, has your country used the Council of Ministers CM(2022)10-final Guidelines on the use of ICT in electoral processes? If so, please share how the Guidelines were used.

Use of CM(2022)10-final guidelines on the use of ICT in elections



QUESTIONNAIRE ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CM/REC (2017)5 STANDARDS ON E-VOTING


a) the relevant legislative and regulatory framework;



QUESTIONNAIRE ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CM/REC (2017)5 STANDARDS ON E-VOTING

a) **regulatory or legislative changes in relation to e-voting as a result of your experience or any such changes that may be envisaged;**

Regulatory or legislative changes in relation to e-voting as a result of your experience or any such changes that may be envisaged




QUESTIONNAIRE ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CM/REC (2017)5 STANDARDS ON E-VOTING

Question 3

If applicable, please provide information in relation to:

- implementation of the CM/Rec(2017)5 recommendation on standards for

Implementation of the CM/Rec (2017)5 recommendation on standards for e-voting



MAIN TAKEAWAYS OF THE CONFERENCE ON E-VOTING STRASBURG, 16 JUNE 2023

- Digital transformation is impacting all aspects of life, including elections.**
- While some CoE member States are adopting or updating legislation on e-voting, and a few member States even use e-voting, most are not considering e-voting solutions due to concerns about public trust, security, electoral integrity, cyber threats, costs, and lack of political consensus, as well as concerns over voter pressure, vote-buying, and manipulation.**

MAIN TAKEAWAYS OF THE CONFERENCE ON E-VOTING STRASBURG, 16 JUNE 2023

- At the same time, member States are increasingly digitalising different aspects of the electoral process, such as voter and candidate registration, online submission of campaign expenses reports and complaints, political party registration, online training for election administration, application and accreditation of national and international observers, e-identification of voters, and e-counting.**
- Many EMBs are also using their websites to publish regulations, decisions, instructions, political parties' financial reports and other documents. Some even live broadcast their sessions.**

MAIN TAKEAWAYS OF THE CONFERENCE ON E-VOTING STRASBURG, 16 JUNE 2023

- There is a rising concern over an increased risk of cyber-attacks, foreign interference and manipulation particularly in national elections where the stakes are likely to be the highest.**
- As the mere allegation of interference in elections might in itself undermine trust in democratic processes and its outcomes, states seem to be reluctant to consider or introduce internet voting solutions, especially as it is extremely difficult to ensure full security of online systems.**

MAIN TAKEAWAYS OF THE CONFERENCE ON E-VOTING STRASBURG, 16 JUNE 2023

- To protect electoral integrity, trust in the process and its outcomes are vital.**
- Trust and trustworthiness are different concepts.**
- Trust relates to voters' perception of proper election management, while trustworthiness focuses on the adequacy and adherence to technological standards.**
- Technology alone cannot guarantee trust, and trust is a precondition to the introduction of e-voting.**

MAIN TAKEAWAYS OF THE CONFERENCE ON E-VOTING STRASBURG, 16 JUNE 2023

- EMBs, as the entities guaranteeing the integrity of the electoral process, should own and understand the technologies used, rather than relying on private companies.**

MAIN TAKEAWAYS OF THE CONFERENCE ON E-VOTING STRASBURG, 16 JUNE 2023

- Personal data protection regulations may conflict with electoral principles, necessitating guidance on incorporating data protection provisions in elections.**
- There is a difference between privacy and secret suffrage.**
- While data protection aims to ensure privacy, the secrecy of the ballot is a separate matter.**

MAIN TAKEAWAYS OF THE CONFERENCE ON E-VOTING STRASBURG, 16 JUNE 2023

- Guaranteeing the secrecy and freedom of the vote – two of the key principles of democratic elections – presents challenges in remote voting, such as postal voting or internet voting, particularly for vulnerable groups who may be at risk to face pressure.**
- At the same time, remote voting can be beneficial for certain groups like expatriates and students, making it essential to offer various voting channels to increase or stabilize turnout.**

MAIN TAKEAWAYS OF THE CONFERENCE ON E-VOTING STRASBURG, 16 JUNE 2023

- Citizens' limited knowledge of electronic public services and lack of trust in public institutions highlight the need for societal discussions on the use of Information Communication Technologies (ICT) in elections and on e-voting.**
- Gradual introduction of ICT, with pre-election testing under realistic conditions and with relevant stakeholders, coupled with awareness-raising and voter education, can help in building trust and pave the way for a meaningful evaluation of the process. This is an ongoing task.**

MAIN TAKEAWAYS OF THE CONFERENCE ON E-VOTING STRASBURG, 16 JUNE 2023

- ICT solutions in the electoral process promise transparency, efficiency and accuracy, but may also pose dangers, such as the potential for pre-poll disinformation and manipulation through powerful AI and cyber tools.**
- The introduction of ICT in elections is thus context dependent, with no one-size-fits-all solution.**

MAIN TAKEAWAYS OF THE CONFERENCE ON E-VOTING STRASBURG, 16 JUNE 2023

- Regular review meetings of the implementation of the current Recommendation CM/Rec(2017)5 on standards for e-voting along with the accompanying Guidelines as well as the CM guidelines for the use of ICT in electoral processes are necessary to adapt standards to evolving technologies.**
- This is a continuous effort. Participants also agreed that such exchanges were important and inspirational, as countries needed to learn from each other and to replicate good practice.**

MAIN TAKEAWAYS OF THE CONFERENCE ON E-VOTING STRASBURG, 16 JUNE 2023

- Furthermore, there is a need for more research and studies with regard the use of ICT in electoral process as well as e-voting, including to the growing phenomenon of use of artificial intelligence in election campaigning.**

Thank you