Partnership for Good Governance



	JROPEAN UNION CONSEIL DE L'EUROPE				Post	nned stponed										
	od Governance against Economic Crime (GG-AEC)	Status Sources of verification			Can	blemented hcelled										v 01/2018
contributing to the 2020 targets and milestones by allowing share of experience and practice through EaP member states.	Outcome indicators On EaP regional dimension: 1. Increased ccommunication (externally) of policies and reforms supported by Eastern Partnership network through activities tailored to specific important EaP country reforms aimed at addressing common challenges. 2. Each country serves at least ones as "Reference Country" to a regional output. On AC Institutional Capacity Building: 3. At least 1 Regional (Final) Corruption Risk Assessment on Public Procurement Sector is reviewed and identifies common and country specific issues to be addressed in the Public Procurement Sector. On criminal justice economic crime combating mechanisms: 4. Tools on transparency of beneficial ownership are made available to all EAP countries for practitioners. 5. Guidelines on risk based approach methodology for the supervisory authorities is made available. 6. Model Law on "Criminal liability of legal entities with explanatory memorandum" (published) as basis for legislative drafting and benchmark reforms by six EaP countries. 7. At least 4 (four) country specific expert opinions on re-enforcing implementation of standards on prevention of corruption and/or ML/FT are produced. 8. At least one review of effectiveness and operational independence of specialised AC bodies in EAP region is available. 9. A Regional Guide Book "Good Corruption Proofing Practices" (published) is made available in Russian language for the region.	-GRECO reports -MONEYVAL reports -EU reports	 Political will and continuity to carry Willingness of national authorities the EU and Council of Europe norms National authorities continue to p and comply with commitments st Europe membership. 	out reforms; to adapt policies, in line with and standards; pursue the policy of integration	150,000 EUR	Total cost	Jan	Feb	Mar Ap	r May	Jun	018	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov Dec
Specific objective 1	Objectively verifiable indicators	Status Sources of verification	Assumptio	n/Risks			Jan	Feb	Mar Ap	r May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov Dec
Improved effectiveness of the specialised anti-corruption bodies and prevention	 - Number of trained staff of specialised anti-corruption structures - Level of implementation of recommendations provided in connection with the anti-corruption specialised bodies/prevention structures 	 Project progress and activity reports; 	•	itment to carry out reforms; vents and continued willingness			Jun				Jun	501				
Output 1	Outcome indicators	Status Means of verification	Assumptions	Benchmarks		Cost	Jan	Feb	Mar Ap	r May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov Dec
•	- Available technical paper with recommendations on operational effectiveness of specialised prevention and enforcement anti-corruption bodies in the EaP region	• •	- Readiness of beneficiary institutions to address issues in line with the recommendations													
Activities	Inputs/Actions	Status Deliverables	Beneficiary Institutions	Target Groups	Means	Numbers: F/ M	Jan	Feb	Mar Ap	r May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov Dec
<u>Activity 1.1</u> Develop an analysis of operational effectiveness and organisational standing of specialised anti-corruption bodies for 6 EaP countries, aimed at identifying good models of specialised bodies and prevention structures in the region.	f countries	- One regional analysis of operational effectiveness and organisational standing of specialised anti-corruptior bodies for 6 EaP countries	- Anti-corruption bodies in EaP countries	- Policy makers, practitioners from relevant institutions, NGOs		N/A										
Activities	Inputs/Actions	Status Deliverables	Beneficiary Institutions	Target Groups	Means	Numbers: F/ M	Jan	Feb	Mar Ap	r May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov Dec
Activity 1.2 Provide Regional workshop to review results of the analysis on operational effectiveness and organisational standing of specialised AC bodies and present models of good practices	f	 Workshop for up to 25 participant from 6 EaP countries A regional report containing analysi and good models of specialised bodie and prevention structures in th 	countries s	- Policy makers, practitioners from relevant institutions, NGOs		N/A										
	prevention structures in the region 1.2.3 Making available a Regional Report on "Good Corruption Proofing Practices" in Russian language for the region.	region - Regional Report on "Good Corruption Proofing Practices" in Russian language for the region		- Policy makers, practitioners from relevant institutions, NGOs		N/A										
Activities	Inputs/Actions	Status Deliverables	Beneficiary Institutions	Target Groups	Means	Numbers: F/ M	Jan	Feb	Mar Ap	r May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov Dec
Activity 1.3 Organise Regional Workshop dedicated to the outcomes of the Corruption Risk Assessment in Public Procurement	1.3.1 Organising a regional workshop to present and discuss the findings and recommendations of the Retional Corruption Risk Assessment in Public Procurement, conducted in 2017	- Workshop for up to 25 participant from 6 EaP countries	s - Anti-corruption bodies and public procurement agencies	- Policy makers, law drafters, practitioners from relevant institutions, NGOs	- Policy makers, law drafters, practitione									P		
	1.3.2 Finalising the report based on the results of the workshop discussions	- A regional study on Corruption Ris Assessment in Public Procurement	k		rs from relevant institutions	A										

Output 2 Outcome indicators	Status Means of verification	Assumptions	Benchmarks		Cost	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov De
Guide on corruption prevention and - Available guidelines on possible avenues for addressing illicit enrichment	- Project progress and activity reports;	· ·	Denchimarks			Jali	rep	ividi	Ahi	ividy	Juli	Jui	Aug	Jeh		
enforcement policies with respect to illicit - Number of reforms related to illicit enrichment and integrity for civil servants		trainings										1 '				
enrichment and integrity of civil servants - Nature of reforms related to illicit enrichment and integrity for civil servants - Number of policy makers and practitioners with improved knowledge ab		 Continued willingness of beneficiary institutions to 										1				
Reference country: addressing illicit enrichment and integrity related tools for civil administration.		implement good practices and										1 '				
Armenia, Moldova, Ukraine		standards										1 '				
ActivitiesInputs/ActionsActivity 2.1.2.1.1Drafting a Guide on possible avenues for addressing illicit enrichment	Status Deliverables ent - Guide on addressing illicit	Beneficiary Institutions - Anti-corruption bodies, law	- Policy makers, law drafters,	Means	Numbers: F/ M	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov De
Prepare a Guide on possible avenues for	enrichment in the EaP region	enforcement bodies	practitioners from relevant													
addressing illicit enrichment			institutions, NGOs													
Activities Inputs/Actions	Status Deliverables	Beneficiary Institutions	Target Groups	Means	Numbers: F/ M	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov De
Activity 2.2. 2.2.1 Organising a regional workshop for practitioners from EaP count		- Anti-corruption bodies, law	- Policy makers, law drafters,						-							
Conduct a Regional Workshop on possibleto discuss possible ways for addressing illicit enrichment to favenues for addressing illicit enrichment tocorruption and existing domestic provisions within the EaP region	-	enforcement bodies	practitioners from relevant institutions, NGOs									1 '				
fight corruption and existing domestic			institutions, NGOS									1 '				
provisions within the EaP region												/				
Activities Inputs/Actions	Status Deliverables	Beneficiary Institutions	Target Groups	Means	Numbers: F/ M	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov De
Activity 2.3. Organising a regional training for practitioners and policy maker		- Anti-corruption bodies, civil	- Practitioners from relevant													
Regional Training for practitioners and applying prevention tools concerning integrity for civil servants	from 6 EaP countries	service agencies, ethics	institutions									1				
policy makers in applying prevention tools concerning integrity for civil servants		commissions										ļ				
2.3.2 Preparing a report summarising the outcomes of discussions and					N/A											
recommendations from the regional training on prevention to concerning integrity for civil servants	ools regional training and key recommendations for the practitioners	'S										1 '				
	in the EaP region											1				
Specific objective 2 Objectively verifiable indicators	Status Sources of verification	Assumptio	ion/Risks			Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov De
Increased common understanding on - Number of countries which have introduced measures to increase transparer		•	eficiary institutions to effectively	,		Jan		ivial	יקר	indy	5411		, rug	360		
effective implementation of standards of of BO.	- MONEYVAL reports;	cooperate and absorb CoE project of	deliverables									1 1				
transparency of Beneficial ownership and - Extent to which onsite/offsite supervision is improved AML/CFT supervision of financial - Level of implementation of treaty law obligations concerning supervision of	- Official statistics of key institutions.											1 '				
institutions financial institutions.												1 1				
												1 '				
Output 3 Outcome indicators	Status Means of verification	Assumptions	Benchmarks	Cost		Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov De
Guidance on introduction and effective - Available guidance on introduction and implementation of beneficial owners		•	Scholmarks			5011										
implementation of beneficial ownership requirements		beneficiary institutions to										1 '				
requirements in line with the FATF standards, EU 4th AML Directive and	- Official statistics of key institutions.	implement good practices and standards										1 '				
international good practices												1 1				
Reference country:												1 1				
Ukraine												1				
Activities Inputs/Actions	Status Deliverables	Beneficiary Institutions	Target Groups	Means	Numbers: F/ M	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov De
Activity 3.1. Organising a regional training on transparency and beneficial Organise Regional Training on transparency and beneficial	- Training on transparency and	- AML/CFT Supervisory authorities										1				
Organise Regional Training on transparency ownership standards and good practices for policy makers and practitioners	beneficial ownership standards and good practices for up to 25	in EaP countries	practitioners from relevant institutions									1				
good practices for policy makers and	participants											1				
practitioners												· '				
			I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I							l I		l I				
Activities Inputs/Actions	Status Dallas II	Demo <i>ficience</i> to still the	Towned Out	D.4	No		E-1	D.0	A 1000	0.4						Nev
	Status Deliverables ts Guidelines on beneficial ownership	Beneficiary Institutions	Target Groups	Means	Numbers: F/ M N/A	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov De
Activity 3.2.3.2.1Publishing guidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirement and good practices with obtaining and verifying information		Beneficiary Institutions - AML/CFT Supervisory authorities in EaP countries		Means	Numbers: F/ M N/A	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov D
Activity 3.2.3.2.1Publishing guidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirement and good practices with obtaining and verifying informationDevelop guidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirements and good practices3.2.1Publishing guidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirement and good practices with obtaining and verifying information	ts Guidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirements and good practices with obtaining and verifying	- AML/CFT Supervisory authorities in EaP countries	- Policy makers, law drafters,	Means		Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov D
Activity 3.2.3.2.1Publishing guidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirement and good practices with obtaining and verifying information	ts Guidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirements and good	- AML/CFT Supervisory authorities in EaP countries	 Policy makers, law drafters, practitioners from relevant 	Means		Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov D
Activity 3.2.3.2.1Develop guidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirements and good practices3.2.1Publishing guidelines on beneficial ownership and good practices with obtaining and verifying information	ts Guidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirements and good practices with obtaining and verifying	- AML/CFT Supervisory authorities in EaP countries	 Policy makers, law drafters, practitioners from relevant 	Means		Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov D
Activity 3.2. Develop guidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirements and good practices with obtaining and verifying information3.2.1Publishing guidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirement and good practices with obtaining and verifying informationOutput 4Outcome indicators	tsGuidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirements and good practices with obtaining and verifying informationStatusMeans of verification	- AML/CFT Supervisory authorities in EaP countries Assumptions	 Policy makers, law drafters, practitioners from relevant 	Means Cost		Jan	Feb	Mar Mar	Apr Apr	May May	Jun	lut Jul	Aug	Sep		Nov De
Activity 3.2.3.2.1Publishing guidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirement and good practices with obtaining and verifying informationregistry requirements and good practices with obtaining and verifying information3.2.1Publishing guidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirement and good practices with obtaining and verifying informationOutput 4Outcome indicatorsRegulatory and enforcement capacities- Available guidelines on risk-based approach to supervision	ts Guidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirements and good practices with obtaining and verifying information Status Means of verification - Project progress and activity reports;	 - AML/CFT Supervisory authorities in EaP countries - Adequate representation at 	 Policy makers, law drafters, practitioners from relevant institutions, NGOs 													
Activity 3.2. Develop guidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirements and good practices with obtaining and verifying information3.2.1Publishing guidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirement and good practices with obtaining and verifying informationOutput 4Outcome indicators	ts Guidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirements and good practices with obtaining and verifying information Status Means of verification - Project progress and activity reports;	- AML/CFT Supervisory authorities in EaP countries Assumptions	 Policy makers, law drafters, practitioners from relevant institutions, NGOs 													
Activity 3.2. 3.2.1 Develop guidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirements and good practices with obtaining and verifying information 3.2.1 Publishing guidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirements and good practices with obtaining and verifying information and good practices with obtaining and verifying information Output 4 Outcome indicators Regulatory and enforcement capacities building of AML/CFT supervisory authorities in EaP countries through use of a risk-based approach - Available guidelines on risk-based approach	ts Guidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirements and good practices with obtaining and verifying information Status Means of verification <-	 - AML/CFT Supervisory authorities in EaP countries Assumptions - Adequate representation at trainings - Continued willingness of beneficiary institutions to 	 Policy makers, law drafters, practitioners from relevant institutions, NGOs 													
Activity 3.2. 3.2.1 Develop guidelines on beneficial ownership and good practices with obtaining and verifying information Server and the provided an	ts Guidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirements and good practices with obtaining and verifying information Status Means of verification <	 AML/CFT Supervisory authorities in EaP countries Assumptions Adequate representation at trainings Continued willingness of beneficiary institutions to implement good practices and 	 Policy makers, law drafters, practitioners from relevant institutions, NGOs 													
Activity 3.2. 3.2.1 Develop guidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirements and good practices with obtaining and verifying information 3.2.1 Publishing guidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirements and good practices with obtaining and verifying information and good practices with obtaining and verifying information Output 4 Outcome indicators Regulatory and enforcement capacities building of AML/CFT supervisory authorities in EaP countries through use of a risk-based approach - Available guidelines on risk-based approach	ts Guidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirements and good practices with obtaining and verifying information Status Means of verification <	 - AML/CFT Supervisory authorities in EaP countries Assumptions - Adequate representation at trainings - Continued willingness of beneficiary institutions to 	 Policy makers, law drafters, practitioners from relevant institutions, NGOs 													
Activity 3.2. Develop guidelines on beneficial ownership Develop guidelines on beneficial ownership and good practices with obtaining and verifying information State Publishing guidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirement and good practices and good practices with obtaining and verifying information Output 4 Outcome indicators Regulatory and enforcement capacities - Available guidelines on risk-based approach to supervisory authorities in EaP countries through use of a risk-based approach - Number of supervisory authorities with improved capacities in the use of risk based approach Reference country: Belarus and Georgia Belarus and Georgia	ts Guidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirements and good practices with obtaining and verifying information Status Means of verification <	 - AML/CFT Supervisory authorities in EaP countries Assumptions - Adequate representation at trainings - Continued willingness of beneficiary institutions to implement good practices and standards 	 Policy makers, law drafters, practitioners from relevant institutions, NGOs Benchmarks 	Cost	N/A	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov De
Activity 3.2. Develop guidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirements and good practices with obtaining and verifying information3.2.1Publishing guidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirement and good practices with obtaining and verifying informationOutput 4Outcome indicatorsRegulatory and enforcement capacities building of AML/CFT supervisory authorities in EaP countries through use of a risk-based approach Reference country: Belarus and GeorgiaAvailable guidelines on risk-based approach sed approachActivitiesInputs/Actions	tsGuidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirements and good practices with obtaining and verifying informationStatusMeans of verification<	 AML/CFT Supervisory authorities in EaP countries Assumptions Adequate representation at trainings Continued willingness of beneficiary institutions to implement good practices and standards Beneficiary Institutions 	 Policy makers, law drafters, practitioners from relevant institutions, NGOs Benchmarks Target Groups 													
Activity 3.2. 3.2.1 Develop guidelines on beneficial ownership and good practices with obtaining and verifying information Signal 9ublishing guidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirements and good practices with obtaining and verifying information 0utput 4 Output 4 Outcome indicators Regulatory and enforcement capacities - Available guidelines on risk-based approach to supervision building of AML/CFT supervisory authorities - Number of supervisory authorities with improved capacities in the use of risk based approach Reference country: Belarus and Georgia	tsGuidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirements and good practices with obtaining and verifying informationStatusMeans of verification<	 AML/CFT Supervisory authorities in EaP countries Assumptions Adequate representation at trainings Continued willingness of beneficiary institutions to implement good practices and standards Beneficiary Institutions 	 Policy makers, law drafters, practitioners from relevant institutions, NGOs Benchmarks Target Groups 	Cost	N/A	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov De
Activity 3.2. Develop guidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirements and good practices with obtaining and verifying information3.2.1Publishing guidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirement and good practices with obtaining and verifying informationOutput 4Outcome indicatorsRegulatory and enforcement capacities building of AML/CFT supervisory authorities in EaP countries through use of a risk-based approach Reference country: Belarus and GeorgiaNumber of supervisory authorities with improved capacities in the use of risk based approach activity 4.1.ActivitiesInputs/ActionsActivity 4.1. Provide Regional Training on risk-based approach for supervisory authorities from4.1.1Organising a regional training on risk-based approach for supervisory authorities from DNFBPs)Organising a regional training on risk-based approach for supervisor authorities from the EaP region (non-bank financial institutions and DNFBPs)	tsGuidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirements and good practices with obtaining and verifying informationStatusMeans of verificationStatusMeans of verification- Project progress and activity reports; - MONEYVAL reports; - Official statistics of key institutions.StatusDeliverablesory d- Training on risk-based approach for up to 25 representatives of supervisory authorities from the EaP	 - AML/CFT Supervisory authorities in EaP countries - Adequate representation at trainings - Continued willingness of beneficiary institutions to implement good practices and standards - Beneficiary Institutions - AML/CFT Supervisory authorities 	 Policy makers, law drafters, practitioners from relevant institutions, NGOs Benchmarks Target Groups - Representatives non-bank 	Cost Means	N/A	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov De
Activity 3.2. Develop guidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirements and good practices with obtaining and verifying information3.2.1 Publishing guidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirement and good practices with obtaining and verifying informationOutput 4Outcome indicatorsRegulatory and enforcement capacities building of AML/CFT supervisory authorities in EaP countries through use of a risk-based approach Reference country: Belarus and GeorgiaInputs/ActionsActivitiesInputs/ActionsActivity 4.1. Provide Regional Training on risk-based approach for supervisory authorities from the EaP region (non-bank financial4.1.1 Organising a regional training on risk-based authorities from the EaP region (non-bank financial	tsGuidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirements and good practices with obtaining and verifying informationStatusMeans of verification- Project progress and activity reports; - MONEYVAL reports; - Official statistics of key institutions.StatusDeliverablesory d- Training on risk-based approach for up to 25 representatives of	 - AML/CFT Supervisory authorities in EaP countries - Adequate representation at trainings - Continued willingness of beneficiary institutions to implement good practices and standards - Beneficiary Institutions - AML/CFT Supervisory authorities 	 Policy makers, law drafters, practitioners from relevant institutions, NGOs Benchmarks Benchmarks Farget Groups Representatives non-bank financial institutions and 	Cost Means	N/A	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov De
Activity 3.2. Develop guidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirements and good practices with obtaining and verifying information3.2.1 Publishing guidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirement and good practices with obtaining and verifying informationOutput 4Outcome indicatorsRegulatory and enforcement capacities building of AML/CFT supervisory authorities in EaP countries through use of a risk-based approach Reference country: Belarus and Georgia- Available guidelines on risk-based approach approach enter the supervisory authorities on risk-based approach based approachActivities- Available guidelines on risk-based approach to supervisor based approachActivity 4.1. Provide Regional Training on risk-based 	tsGuidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirements and good practices with obtaining and verifying informationStatusMeans of verificationStatusMeans of verification- Project progress and activity reports; - MONEYVAL reports; - Official statistics of key institutions.StatusDeliverablesory d- Training on risk-based approach for up to 25 representatives of supervisory authorities from the EaP	 - AML/CFT Supervisory authorities in EaP countries - Adequate representation at trainings - Continued willingness of beneficiary institutions to implement good practices and standards - Beneficiary Institutions - AML/CFT Supervisory authorities 	 Policy makers, law drafters, practitioners from relevant institutions, NGOs Benchmarks Benchmarks Farget Groups Representatives non-bank financial institutions and 	Cost Means	N/A	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov De
Activity 3.2. Develop guidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirements and good practices with obtaining and verifying information3.2.1 Publishing guidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirement and good practices with obtaining and verifying informationOutput 4Outcome indicatorsRegulatory and enforcement capacities building of AML/CFT supervisory authorities in EaP countries through use of a risk-based approach Reference country: Belarus and GeorgiaAvailable guidelines on risk-based approach approach 4.1.1ActivitiesInputs/ActionsActivity 4.1. Provide Regional Training on risk-based approach for supervisory authorities from the EaP region (non-bank financial4.1.1Organising a regional training on risk-based 	tsGuidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirements and good practices with obtaining and verifying informationStatusMeans of verificationStatusMeans of verification- Project progress and activity reports; - MONEYVAL reports; - Official statistics of key institutions.StatusDeliverablesory d- Training on risk-based approach for up to 25 representatives of supervisory authorities from the EaP	 - AML/CFT Supervisory authorities in EaP countries - Adequate representation at trainings - Continued willingness of beneficiary institutions to implement good practices and standards - Beneficiary Institutions - AML/CFT Supervisory authorities 	 Policy makers, law drafters, practitioners from relevant institutions, NGOs Benchmarks Benchmarks Farget Groups Representatives non-bank financial institutions and 	Cost Means	N/A	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov De
Activity 3.2. 3.2.1 Develop guidelines on beneficial ownership 3.2.1 Publishing guidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirements and good practices with obtaining and verifying information Output 4 Outcome indicators Regulatory and enforcement capacities - Available guidelines on risk-based approach to supervision building of AML/CFT supervisory authorities - Number of supervisory authorities with improved capacities in the use of risk based approach Reference country: Belarus and Georgia 4.1.1 Organising a regional Training on risk-based approach for supervisory authorities from the EaP region (non-bank financial institutions and DNFBPs) 4.1.1 ONFBPs) Inputs/Actions Activities Inputs/Actions	tsGuidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirements and good practices with obtaining and verifying informationStatusMeans of verification• Project progress and activity reports; • MONEYVAL reports; • Official statistics of key institutions.StatusDeliverablesory d• Training on risk-based approach for up to 25 representatives of supervisory authorities from the EaP regionStatusDeliverables	 AML/CFT Supervisory authorities in EaP countries Assumptions Adequate representation at trainings Continued willingness of beneficiary institutions to implement good practices and standards Beneficiary Institutions AML/CFT Supervisory authorities in EaP countries EaP countries 	 Policy makers, law drafters, practitioners from relevant institutions, NGOs Benchmarks Target Groups - Representatives non-bank financial institutions and DNFBP supervisory authorities Target Groups 	Cost Means	N/A Numbers: F/ M	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov De
Activity 3.2. 3.2.1 Develop guidelines on beneficial ownership and good practices with obtaining and verifying information 3.2.1 Publishing guidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirement and good practices with obtaining and verifying information and good practices with obtaining and verifying information Output 4 Outcome indicators Regulatory and enforcement capacities in EaP countries through use of a risk-based approach Reference country: Belarus and Georgia - Available guidelines on risk-based approach Activities Inputs/Actions Activities 4.1.1 Organising a regional Training on risk-based approach for supervisory authorities from the EaP region (non-bank financial institutions and DNFBPs) 4.1.1 Organising a regional training on risk-based approach for supervisory authorities from the EaP region (non-bank financial institutions and DNFBPs) Inputs/Actions Activities Inputs/Actions	tsGuidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirements and good practices with obtaining and verifying informationStatusMeans of verificationStatusMeans of verification<- Project progress and activity reports; - MONEYVAL reports; - Official statistics of key institutions.StatusDeliverablesory d- Training on risk-based approach for up to 25 representatives of supervisory authorities from the EaP regionStatusDeliverableson-Status	 AML/CFT Supervisory authorities in EaP countries Assumptions Adequate representation at trainings Continued willingness of beneficiary institutions to implement good practices and standards Beneficiary Institutions AML/CFT Supervisory authorities in EaP countries Beneficiary Institutions AML/CFT Supervisory authorities Description AML/CFT Supervisory authorities 	 Policy makers, law drafters, practitioners from relevant institutions, NGOs Benchmarks Benchmarks Farget Groups - Representatives non-bank financial institutions and DNFBP supervisory authorities DNFBP supervisory authorities - Representatives non-bank 	Cost Means	N/A Numbers: F/ M	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep Sep	Oct Oct	Nov De
Activity 3.2. Develop guidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirements and good practices with obtaining and verifying information 3.2.1 Publishing guidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirements and good practices with obtaining and verifying information and good practices with obtaining and verifying information Output 4 Outcome indicators Regulatory and enforcement capacities - Available guidelines on risk-based approach to supervisory authorities - Number of supervisory authorities with improved capacities in the use of risk based approach Reference country: Belarus and Georgia Activity 4.1. Organising a regional training on risk-based approach for supervisory authorities from the EaP region (non-bank financial institutions and DNFBPs) Activities Inputs/Actions Activities 4.1.1 Provide Regional Training on risk-based approach for supervisor authorities from the EaP region (non-bank financial institutions and DNFBPs) Organising a regional training on risk-based approach for supervisor authorities from the EaP region (non-bank financial institutions and DNFBPs) Activities Inputs/Actions Activities Inputs/Actions Activities Inputs/Actions Activities Inputs/Actions Activities Inputs/Actions Activities Inputs/Actions Activities I	tsGuidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirements and good practices with obtaining and verifying informationStatusMeans of verification• Project progress and activity reports; • MONEYVAL reports; • Official statistics of key institutions.StatusDeliverablesory d• Training on risk-based approach for up to 25 representatives of supervisory authorities from the EaP regionStatusDeliverables	 AML/CFT Supervisory authorities in EaP countries Assumptions Adequate representation at trainings Continued willingness of beneficiary institutions to implement good practices and standards Beneficiary Institutions AML/CFT Supervisory authorities in EaP countries EaP countries 	 Policy makers, law drafters, practitioners from relevant institutions, NGOs Benchmarks Benchmarks Farget Groups - Representatives non-bank financial institutions and DNFBP supervisory authorities Target Groups - Representatives non-bank financial institutions and DNFBP supervisory authorities - Representatives non-bank financial institutions and DNFBP supervisory authorities 	Cost I Means I Means I Means I Means I	N/A Numbers: F/ M	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep Sep	Oct Oct	Nov De
Activity 3.2. 3.2.1 Develop guidelines on beneficial ownership and good practices with obtaining and verifying information 3.2.1 Publishing guidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirement and good practices with obtaining and verifying information and good practices with obtaining and verifying information Output 4 Outcome indicators Regulatory and enforcement capacities in EaP countries through use of a risk-based approach Reference country: Belarus and Georgia - Available guidelines on risk-based approach to supervision based approach Activities Inputs/Actions Activity 4.1. Organising a regional training on risk-based approach for supervisory authorities from the EaP region (non-bank financial institutions and DNFBPs) 4.1.1 Organising a regional training on risk-based approach for supervisor authorities from the EaP region (non-bank financial institutions and DNFBPs) Activities Inputs/Actions Activities Inputs/Actions Activities Inputs/Actions Activities Inputs/Actions	tsGuidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirements and good practices with obtaining and verifying informationStatusMeans of verification< Status	 AML/CFT Supervisory authorities in EaP countries Assumptions Adequate representation at trainings Continued willingness of beneficiary institutions to implement good practices and standards Beneficiary Institutions AML/CFT Supervisory authorities in EaP countries Beneficiary Institutions AML/CFT Supervisory authorities Description AML/CFT Supervisory authorities 	 Policy makers, law drafters, practitioners from relevant institutions, NGOs Benchmarks Benchmarks Farget Groups - Representatives non-bank financial institutions and DNFBP supervisory authorities DNFBP supervisory authorities - Representatives non-bank 	Cost I Means I Means I Means I Means I	N/A Numbers: F/ M	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep Sep	Oct Oct	Nov De
Activity 3.2. 3.2.1 Develop guidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirements and good practices with obtaining and verifying information 3.2.1 Publishing guidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirement and good practices with obtaining and verifying information and good practices with obtaining and verifying information Output 4 Outcome indicators Regulatory and enforcement capacities in EaP countries through use of a risk-based approach Reference country: Belarus and Georgia - Available guidelines on risk-based approach to supervision based approach Activity 4.1. Provide Regional Training on risk-based approach for supervisory authorities from the EaP region (non-bank financial institutions and DNFBPs) 4.1.1 Organising a regional training on risk-based approach for supervisor authorities from the EaP region (non-bank financial institutions and DNFBPs) Activities Inputs/Actions Activities 4.2.1 Dreveloping Guidelines on a risk-based approach to supervision (non-bank financial institutions and DNFBPs) Activity 4.2. Provide Guidelines on developing a risk- based approach to supervision (non-bank	tsGuidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirements and good practices with obtaining and verifying informationStatusMeans of verification< Status	 AML/CFT Supervisory authorities in EaP countries Assumptions Adequate representation at trainings Continued willingness of beneficiary institutions to implement good practices and standards Beneficiary Institutions AML/CFT Supervisory authorities in EaP countries Beneficiary Institutions AML/CFT Supervisory authorities Description AML/CFT Supervisory authorities 	 Policy makers, law drafters, practitioners from relevant institutions, NGOs Benchmarks Benchmarks Farget Groups - Representatives non-bank financial institutions and DNFBP supervisory authorities Target Groups - Representatives non-bank financial institutions and DNFBP supervisory authorities - Representatives non-bank financial institutions and DNFBP supervisory authorities 	Cost I Means I Means I Means I Means I	N/A Numbers: F/ M	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep Sep	Oct Oct	Nov De
Activity 3.2. 3.2.1 Develop guidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirements and good practices with obtaining and verifying information 3.2.1 Publishing guidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirement and good practices with obtaining and verifying information 9 Output 4 Outcome indicators Regulatory and enforcement capacities building of AML/CFT supervisory authorities in EaP countries through use of a risk-based approach Reference country: Belarus and Georgia - Available guidelines on risk-based approach to supervison based approach Reference country: Belarus and Georgia Inputs/Actions Activity 4.1. Provide Regional Training on risk-based approach for supervisory authorities from the EaP region (non-bank financial institutions and DNFBPs) 4.1.1 Organising a regional training on risk-based approach for supervison authorities from the EaP region (non-bank financial institutions and DNFBPs) Activities Inputs/Actions Activities Logan financial institutions and DNFBPs) Provide Guidelines on developing a risk- based approach to supervision (non-bank financial institutions and DNFBPs) Activities Inputs/Actions Activities Inputs/Actions Activities Inputs/Actions Activities Inputs/Actions Activity 4.2. Provide Guidelines on a risk-based approach to supervision (non bank financial institutions and DNFBPs) <td>tsGuidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirements and good practices with obtaining and verifying informationStatusMeans of verification< Status</td> Project progress and activity reports; - MONEYVAL reports; - Official statistics of key institutions.< Status	tsGuidelines on beneficial ownership registry requirements and good practices with obtaining and verifying informationStatusMeans of verification< Status	 AML/CFT Supervisory authorities in EaP countries Assumptions Adequate representation at trainings Continued willingness of beneficiary institutions to implement good practices and standards Beneficiary Institutions AML/CFT Supervisory authorities in EaP countries Beneficiary Institutions AML/CFT Supervisory authorities Description AML/CFT Supervisory authorities 	 Policy makers, law drafters, practitioners from relevant institutions, NGOs Benchmarks Benchmarks Farget Groups - Representatives non-bank financial institutions and DNFBP supervisory authorities Target Groups - Representatives non-bank financial institutions and DNFBP supervisory authorities - Representatives non-bank financial institutions and DNFBP supervisory authorities 	Cost I Means I Means I Means I Means I	N/A Numbers: F/ M	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep Sep	Oct Oct	Nov De

			Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
	SC Meetings													
	Start-Up Conference	e												
	Inception Report													
	Progress Report													
	Annual Report													
	Evaluation Process/	Report												
	Final Conference an	d Reporting												