


# Judiciary at a glance in Netherlands

## General data


**Population:** 17 475 415      **GDP per capita:** 45 900 €      **Average annual salary:** 62 700 €

## Professionals

Judicial professionals per 100 000 inhabitants




Gross salaries of judges and prosecutors vs average annual salary in the country




## Efficiency

Clearance rate by instance and by matter (%)




Disposition time by instance and by matter (in days)



## Information and communication technology

ICT tools assessment from 2018 to 2020



\*ICT calculations are described in more details in Annex 5 - IT Calculation methodology

Synthesis table for the main indicators for: Netherlands


Economic and demographic data	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations					
										2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Population	16 779 575	16 829 289	16 902 146	16 979 120	17 081 507	17 181 084	17 282 163	17 407 585	17 475 415	<div><div></div><div>4,1%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>1,1%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>1,2%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>0,6%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>0,7%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>0,4%</div></div>
GDP per capita	38 236	38 255	39 313	39 937	41 258	42 578	45 052	46 883	45 900	<div><div></div><div>20,0%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>4,9%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>9,2%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>5,8%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>4,1%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-2,1%</div></div>
Exchange rate (local currency needed to obtain 1€)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
Average annual salary	54 700		56 900		57 300		58 800	60 500	62 700	<div><div></div><div>14,6%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>0,7%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>2,6%</div></div>		<div><div></div><div>2,9%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>3,6%</div></div>
Resources	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Professional judges per 100 000 inhab.	14,4	14,1	14,0	13,9	13,6	14,8	14,6	14,5	14,9	<div><div></div><div>3,5%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-2,2%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>6,9%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-1,2%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-0,7%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>2,5%</div></div>
Non-judge staff per 100 000 inhab.	37,3	43,3	43,9	42,8	42,8	43,8	43,4	44,2	42,5	<div><div></div><div>14,2%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-2,4%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>1,2%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-1,0%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>2,0%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-3,8%</div></div>
Lawyers per 100 000 inh.	101,7	102,8	104,8	102,1	102,4	102,9	102,9	102,4	102,8	<div><div></div><div>1,1%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-2,3%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>0,5%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>0,0%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-0,5%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>0,4%</div></div>
Mediators	4,9	5,5	7,0	8,3	8,6	8,8	5,8	5,4	4,9	<div><div></div><div>1,3%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>22,2%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-32,4%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-34,1%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-7,4%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-7,8%</div></div>
ICT overall assesment							2,3	2,3	2,3					0,0%	0,1%
First instance incoming cases per 100 inhab.	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Civil and commercial litigious cases	NA	NA	0,995	0,954	0,944	0,861	0,779	0,797	0,733	NA	<div><div></div><div>-5,1%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-17,4%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-9,5%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>2,3%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-8,0%</div></div>
Administrative law cases	0,685	0,7	0,6	0,591	0,662	0,579	0,576	0,608	0,571	<div><div></div><div>-16,7%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>1,8%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-12,9%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-0,5%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>5,5%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-6,1%</div></div>
Total criminal law cases									1,280						
First instance performance indicators (Clearance Rate)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020 (percentage points)	2014-2016 (percentage points)	2016-2018 (percentage points)	2017-2018 (percentage points)	2018-2019 (percentage points)	2019-2020 (percentage points)
CR civil and commercial litigious cases	NA	NA	99%	100%	101%	99%	101%	100%	100%	NA	<div><div></div><div>1,57</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>0,52</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>2,13</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-1,03</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-0,50</div></div>
CR administrative law cases	98%	100%	99%	103%	95%	105%	95%	94%	86%	<div><div></div><div>-11,22</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-3,62</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-0,09</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-9,91</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-1,51</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-7,34</div></div>
CR total criminal law cases									95%						
First instance performance indicators (Disposition Time)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
DT civil and commercial litigious cases cases (days)	NA	NA	132	115	121	124	110	110	127	NA	<div><div></div><div>-8,1%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-9,4%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-11,8%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>0,3%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>15,7%</div></div>
DT administrative law cases (days)	163	164	171	168	178	165	200	215	304	<div><div></div><div>86,9%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>4,1%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>11,8%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>20,9%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>7,7%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>41,6%</div></div>
DT total criminal law cases (days)									139						
First instance pending cases per 100 inhab. on 31 dec.	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Civil and commercial litigious cases	NA	NA	0,36	0,30	0,32	0,29	0,24	0,24	0,25	NA	<div><div></div><div>-11,5%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-24,7%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-18,4%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>1,5%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>5,9%</div></div>
Administrative law cases	0,30	0,30	0,30	0,28	0,31	0,28	0,30	0,34	0,41	<div><div></div><div>37,8%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>2,1%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-2,7%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>9,0%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>11,8%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>22,5%</div></div>
Total criminal law cases									0,46						
Second instance performance indicators (Clearance Rate)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020 (percentage points)	2014-2016 (percentage points)	2016-2018 (percentage points)	2017-2018 (percentage points)	2018-2019 (percentage points)	2019-2020 (percentage points)
CR civil and commercial litigious cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
CR administrative law cases			101%	93%	103%	99%	108%	100%	119%		<div><div></div><div>1,64</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>5,35</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>9,43</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-8,35</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>18,55</div></div>
CR total criminal law cases									94%						
Second instance performance indicators (Disposition Time)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
DT civil and commercial litigious cases (days)			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
DT administrative law cases (days)			411	427	348	444	437	476	465		<div><div></div><div>-15,3%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>25,4%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-1,7%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>9,0%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-2,4%</div></div>
DT total criminal law cases (days)									348						
Supreme court performance indicators (Clearance Rate)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020 (percentage points)	2014-2016 (percentage points)	2016-2018 (percentage points)	2017-2018 (percentage points)	2018-2019 (percentage points)	2019-2020 (percentage points)
CR civil and commercial litigious cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	100%	84%	90%		NA	NA	NA	<div><div></div><div>-16,38</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>5,44</div></div>
CR administrative law cases			98%	NA	NA	NA	87%	80%	99%		NA	NA	NA	<div><div></div><div>-6,91</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>18,53</div></div>
CR total criminal law cases									95%						
Supreme court performance indicators (Disposition Time)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
DT civil and commercial litigious cases (days)			NA	NA	NA	NA	320	459	427		NA	NA	NA	<div><div></div><div>43,3%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-6,9%</div></div>
DT administrative law cases (days)			NA	NA	NA	NA	299	382	344		NA	NA	NA	<div><div></div><div>27,9%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-9,9%</div></div>
DT total criminal law cases									261						

1. Judicial organisation in Netherlands

In 2013, following the implementation of the reform related to the reorganization of the judicial map, the number of district courts which are first instance courts of general jurisdiction was reduced from 19 to 11. Moreover, this reform resulted in the closure of sub-district court locations due to which the number of geographic locations decreased from 64 to 40.

Besides, there are 4 second instance courts and 1 higher instance court of general jurisdiction. The second instance courts of general jurisdiction are 4 courts of appeal that handle civil cases, criminal cases and tax cases, while the highest instance court of general jurisdiction is the Supreme Court (Hoge Raad). Furthermore, there are three specialized courts.


Distribution of general courts in Netherlands



According to 2020 data, the distribution between 1st instance and higher instances courts of general jurisdiction in Netherlands is 60% - 31%, which is different from the EU median of 87% - 13%.

Evolution of number of first instance courts in Netherlands

	Geographic locations	Legal entities	
		General jurisdiction	Specialised jurisdiction
2012	60	19	1
2013	40	11	1
2014	40	11	1
2015	40	11	1
2016	40	11	1
2017	40	11	1
2018	40	11	1
2019	40	11	1
2020	42	11	1





As regards the number of geographic locations, in one case a first instance court of general jurisdiction, a specialized first instance court, and a court of appeal are housed at the same site.

In 3 cases, a first instance court of general jurisdiction and a court of appeal are housed at the same site.

In 1 case, a first instance court of general jurisdiction and a specialized second instance court are housed at the same site.

Finally, the Supreme Court, 1 specialized second instance court, and 2 appeal courts are housed at unique locations.

Distribution of first instance general jurisdiction and specialised courts



The distribution between number of general jurisdiction courts and specialised courts of 91,7% - 8,3% is well different from the EU median (distribution tendency in EU: 75,5% - 24,5%).

Specialised courts	First instance	Higher instance
Total	1	1
Commercial courts (excluded insolvency courts)	NAP	NAP
Insolvency courts	NAP	NAP
Labour courts	NAP	NAP
Family courts	NAP	NAP
Rent and tenancies courts	NAP	NAP
Enforcement of criminal sanctions courts	NAP	NAP
Fight against terrorism, organised crime and corruption	NAP	NAP
Internet related disputes	NAP	NAP
Administrative courts	1	NAP
Insurance and / or social welfare courts	NAP	NAP
Military courts	NAP	NAP
Juvenile courts	NAP	NAP
Other specialised 1st instance courts	NAP	1

The specialized courts are:

- Trade and Industry Appeals Tribunal: the administrative High Court for trade and industry. This tribunal is a specialized administrative court that rules on disputes in the area of social-economic administrative law. Categorized as administrative court.
- The Central Appeals Tribunal is the highest judicial authority in areas of social security and civil service. Categorized as other.


The other specialized jurisdictions are not legal entities (Natte kamer, Ondernemingskamer, Militaire kamer) but only chambers within the courts. There is no separate military court, but there is a military chamber in one of the district courts.

2. Professionals of justice in Netherlands

Professional judges and non-judge staff

Evolution of the number of professional judges since 2012 (Q46)

Year	Absolute number	Per 100 000 inhabitants
2012	2 410	14,36
2013	2 378	14,13
2014	2 359	13,96
2015	2 357	13,88
2016	2 331	13,65
2017	2 538	14,77
2018	2 522	14,59
2019	2 523	14,49
2020	2 597	14,86



According to 2020 data, the total number of professional judges sitting in courts (all instances) in Netherlands is 2 597, which is 2,9% more than in previous cycle.


More precisely, in Netherlands, there are 14,86 professional judges per 100 000 inhabitants (this figure is below the EU median of 23,92 judges per 100 000 inhabitants) and about 2,86 non-judge staff per judge .

There is no significant difference compared with previous cycle when this ratio was at 3,05 non-judge staff per judge.

Since 2010 the provided numbers include court presidents. The number of first instance judges encompasses judges 'overig RA' that cannot be assigned solely to 1st or 2nd instance.

Absolute number of professional judges by instance and gender

2020	Total	Distribution by instance	Male	Female	% Male	% Female
1st instance	1 882	72,5%	698	1 184	37,1%	62,9%
2nd instance	680	26,2%	312	368	45,9%	54,1%
Supreme courts	35	1,3%	21	14	60,0%	40,0%
Total	2 597		1 031	1 566	39,7%	60,3%



In this cycle, the total number of female professional judges (all instances) is 1 566, which represents 60,3% of the total number of judges.

The total number of judges is distributed among the different judicial instances in the following way: 1 882 are sitting in first instance courts (of which 1 184 are female); 680 are sitting in second instance courts (of which 368 are female) and 35 are sitting in Supreme Court (of which 14 are female).

Compared with the EU distribution of professional judges per instance the Netherland presents similar percentages of distribution.

As regards the methodology of presentation of data in respect of the number of judges, it should be noticed that these numbers are on posts filled, not fte. The total fte for first and second instance together is 2372, but information on fte is NA for the rest of the categories and detail required for this question. These numbers include court presidents.

As regards the distribution of the number of judges among the different judicial instances, Netherlands presents some peculiarities which should be mentioned. In the previous cycles, due to an inability to differentiate between first or second instance for a certain group of judges, they were counted as first instance judges. This inflated the first instance numbers and underreported the second instance numbers. This problem was present in the data up until the 2019 survey. For the 2020 data, this problem has been solved, and the data is now correct.


Absolute number of professional judges by instance and matter

2020	Total	Civil and commercial	Criminal	Administrative	Other
1st instance	1 882	NA	NA	NA	NA
2nd instance	680	NA	NA	NA	NA
Supreme courts	35	NA	NA	NA	NA
Total	2 597	NA	NA	NA	NA

In Netherlands, the distribution of judges per categories of cases in not possible because judges often work with more than one case type. There is a large overlap, but in the administrative system, only one sector can be registered. Therefore, while this information is not easily available, making this distinction would also not be a fair reflection of the true situation.

Non-judge staff

Year	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Number of non-judge staff	6 252	7 287	7 422	7 265	7 317	7 523	7 492	7 699	7 435
Per 100 000 inhabitants	37,26	43,30	43,91	42,79	42,84	43,79	43,35	44,23	42,55



In 2020, Netherlands has 7 435 non-judge staff. The total number of non-judge staff in comparison with the previous cycle reveals a decrease of -3,4%.


In 2020, the number of non-judge staff per 100 000 inhabitants has decreased (from 44,2 in 2019 to 42,5 in 2020).

During the same period, the number of judges per 100 000 inhabitants evolves from 14,5 judges per 100 000 inhabitants in 2019 to 14,9 in 2020.

Only the total of non-judge staff working in courts is available.


Professional judges, non-judge staff and their ratio (Q46, Q52)

Per 100 000 inhabitants	Netherlands	EU median
Professional judges	14,86	23,92
Non-judge staff	42,55	59,00
Non-judge staff per judge	2,86	3,30



Evolution of the ratio between professional judges and non-judge staff (Q46, Q52)

	Judges per 100 000 inh.	Non-judge staff per 100 000 inh.	Ratio between professional judges and non-judge staff
2012	14,36	37,26	2,59
2013	14,13	43,30	3,06
2014	13,96	43,91	3,15
2015	13,88	42,79	3,08
2016	13,65	42,84	3,14
2017	14,77	43,79	2,96
2018	14,59	43,35	2,97
2019	14,49	44,23	3,05
2020	14,86	42,55	2,86






• Public prosecutors and non-prosecutor staff

Absolute number of public prosecutors by instance and gender (Q55)

2020	Total	Distribution by instance	Male	Female	Male	Female
1st instance	850	89,9%	319	531	37,5%	62,5%
2nd instance	95	10,1%	48	47	50,5%	49,5%
Supreme courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
Total	945		367	578	38,8%	61,2%



In this cycle, the total number of female prosecutors (all instances) is 578, which represents 61,2% of the total number of prosecutors.


The total number of prosecutors is distributed among the different judicial instances in the following way: 850 in first instance (of which 531 are female) and 95 are in second instance (of which 47 are female).

As regards the distribution of prosecution among instances, the Supreme Court does not have (public) prosecutors. The office of the procurator general and attorneys general that the Supreme Court houses, is separate from the public prosecution and does not function as prosecution. They have a different function.

Non-prosecutor staff by gender (Q60)

Non-prosecutor staff	Total	Male	Female
2020	3 998	1 336	2 662

Non-prosecutor staff by gender



Public prosecutors, non-prosecutor staff and their ratio (Q55, Q60)

Per 100 000 inhabitants	Netherlands	EU median
Public prosecutors	5,41	9,91
Non-prosecutor staff	22,88	15,22
Non-prosecutor staff per prosecutor	4,23	1,11


Public prosecutors and non-prosecutor staff per 100 000 inhabitants, and their ratio



• Salaries of professional judges and prosecutors at beginning of a career and at the highest instance (Q132, Q4)

Salaries of professional judges and prosecutors (Q132, Q4)	Average gross annual salary in €	Average net annual salary in €	Ratio with national average annual gross salary
Judge at the beginning of a career	83 765 €	52 772 €	1,34
Judge of the highest court	NA	NA	NA
Prosecutor at the beginning of a career	84 351 €	42 900 €	1,35
Public prosecutor at highest instance	NA	NA	NA

Gross salaries of judges and prosecutors vs average annual salary in the country




According to 2020 data, the absolute gross salary of a judge at the beginning of a career in Netherlands of 83 765 € is more than 50% above when compared to the EU median of 51 946 €. As a ratio with the annual average salary of the country, the salary for a judge at the baginning of career is: 1,34 compared with EU median of: 2,02.

For the calculation of the salary of judge or prosecutor 'at the beginning of career', the salary used is the one for a starting judge / prosecutor, after finalizing a training period of several years. During the training there is a fixed saraly, lower than the salary of a fully functional judge / prosecutor. As regards public prosecutor at the beginning of his / her career, the recent salary table RM of the end of 2020 is used (Scale 9, first step). On top of this the holiday stipend and end of year stipend is calculated. The 42.900 is a rough estimate of the net annual salary, after taxes, pensions etc.

• Lawyers

Lawyers	Absolute number	Per 100 000 inhabitants
2012	17 068	101,72
2013	17 298	102,79
2014	17 713	104,80
2015	17 343	102,14
2016	17 498	102,44
2017	17 672	102,86
2018	17 784	102,90
2019	17 829	102,42
2020	17 964	102,80

Number of lawyers per 100 000 inhabitants



In 2020, there are 17 964 lawyers, which is 0,8% more than in 2019.


Netherlands has 102,8 lawyers per 100 000 inhabitants, which is below the EU median of 122,1 lawyers per 100 000 inhabitants.



• Judicial professionals (summary)

Judicial professionals in absolute number and per 100 000 inhabitants (Q46, Q52, Q55, Q60, Q146)

	Absolute number	Per 100 000 inhabitants	EU Median
Professional judges	2 523	14,49	23,92
Non-judge staff	7 435	42,55	59,00
Prosecutors	945	5,41	9,91
Non-prosecutor staff	3 998	22,88	15,22
Lawyers	17 964	102,80	122,09



Judicial professionals: Gender balance


	% Male	% Female
Professional judges	39,7%	60,3%
Non judge staff	NA	NA
Prosecutors	38,8%	61,2%
Non-prosecutor staff	33,4%	66,6%
Lawyers	54,8%	45,2%

Professional judges

Prosecutors

Non-prosecutor staff

Lawyers



### 3. Legal aid and court fees in Netherlands

In Netherlands, legal aid includes:

- Coverage of court fees: ✗
- Exemption from court fees: ✗

In Netherlands, legal aid is available for :

- > Representation in court:
  - Criminal cases ✓
  - Other than criminal cases ✓
- > Legal advice, ADR and other legal services:
  - Criminal cases ✓
  - Other than criminal cases ✓
- > Fees related to enforcement of judicial decisions as fees for enforcement agents (Q18) ✓
- > Other costs than above (Q19) ✓

It is noteworthy that the court fees are lower in respect of litigants with lower incomes.

Legal aid can also be granted for the following costs: travel costs, interpreter and translation costs, administrative costs, medical expert costs in injury cases for which a special regulation exists.

#### Number of cases for which legal aid has been granted


Absolute number (in 2020)	Total	Cases brought to court	Cases not brought to court
<b>Total</b>	301 304	253 506	47 798
<b>In criminal cases</b>	88 075	88 075	NAP
<b>In other than criminal cases</b>	213 229	165 431	47 798

Ratio of number of cases brought to court for which legal aid has been granted



Per 100 000 inhabitants (in 2020)	Netherlands	EU Median
<b>Total</b>	1 724,2	734,2
<b>In criminal cases</b>	504,0	330,9
<b>In other than criminal cases</b>	1 220,2	402,7

Number of cases for which legal has been granted per 100 000 inhabitants



The number of cases in 2020 is considerably lower than previous years, probably in part due to the Covid-19 pandemic. Due to the pandemic, criminal cases had been paused, waiting to be handled.

At the outset, it should be recalled that the Netherlands have a policy which makes a distinction between primary and secondary legal aid.

Primary legal aid aims at solving judicial problems of citizens without necessarily going to court. There is for example a Legal Service Counters, where people get free legal advice on simple, judicial problems. There is also primary legal aid for citizens who want an advice by a lawyer for more complicated legal problems, without going to court directly.

Secondary legal aid covers specifically lawyer's costs in the frame of court proceedings. The provided figures relate to legal aid certificates. It is worth noticing that besides legal aid certificates, the Legal Aid Board also provides stand-by duty lawyers. Each criminal suspect, alien or psychiatric patient who has been lawfully deprived of his liberty against his will is visited by a subsidized lawyer. The bulk of such cases are criminal cases. Cases for which stand-by duty lawyers have been assigned are excluded. The number of stand-by duty lawyers assigned was respectively 110 000 in 2010, 127 000 in 2012, 126 000 in 2014, 108500 in 2020. Cases dealt with by Legal Service Counters (one of the providers of primary legal aid) are not counted.

#### Timeframes of the procedure for granting legal aid (in relation to the duration from the initial legal aid request to the final approval of the legal aid request)

- Maximum duration prescribed in law/regulations: 40
- Actual average duration: 12

The maximum duration is 8 weeks (40 working days). This is based on statutory law (the AWB: the General Administrative Law Act). However, this only applies to approximately 20% of the applications. Around 80% of the applications falls under the High Trust regime (see below) in which the application is granted automatically within 7 days (after the income and assets-check with the tax authorities).

High Trust regime: Many lawyers and mediators regarded the application for a certificate as burdensome and time consuming, and the verification as bureaucratic. Therefore alternatives were considered to simplify the verification of applications and expense statements. The LAB introduced a High Trust method for dealing with the applications for certificates. This High Trust method implies that the LAB and lawyers and mediators work together on the basis of transparency, trust and mutual understanding. The High Trust method involves greater compliance on the part of the legal profession, both as to administrative proceedings of rules and working in accordance with the law, fixed procedures and support facilities such as Kenniswijzer (an online tool of the LAB with information about legislation, jurisprudence and guidelines for the application of certificates). The LAB developed specific tools for compliance assistance, such as information and instruction meetings, which are free of charge for lawyers and mediators under High Trust. The basic philosophy underlying High Trust is that trust among a larger group of people will more readily lead to positive cooperation and compliance than institutionalised distrust. In 2009, the Board started with its first High Trust pilot. Since 2011, the Board has been implementing High Trust across the country in phases. At the end of 2020, more than three quarters of the certificates are issued to lawyers and mediators who work based on the principles of High Trust. It has become easier for providers of legal aid to apply for certificates without having to send documents along with their applications. The Board grants the certificate shortly after assessing the client's eligibility for legal aid. The applications of the lawyers and mediators that work together with the Board according to High Trust are accepted automatically. This means that the client will very soon receive confirmation on whether or not the application has been granted. Verification takes place after the provider of legal aid has submitted the statement of expenses. There are two ways of verification: either verification on the basis of a random sample, or verification on a one-on-one basis of certificates granted.

## 4. Performance of courts in Netherlands

### • Efficiency indicators


#### ◦ Clearance Rate (CR) and Disposition Time (DT)

The Clearance Rate shows the capacity of a judicial system to deal with the incoming cases. A Clearance Rate of 100% and higher does not generate backlog.

The Disposition Time determines the estimated number of days necessary for a pending case to be solved in a court.

## First instance Total of other than criminal cases

#### ◦ Incoming, resolved and pending cases




The number of incoming cases in 2020 in Netherlands (6,44 per 100 inhabitants) is slightly below EU median (6,82 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of resolved cases in 2020 in Netherlands (6,34 per 100 inhabitants) is slightly below EU median (6,60 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of pending cases at the end of 2020 in Netherlands (1,58 per 100 inhabitants) is somewhat below EU median (2,66 per 100 inhabitants).

#### ◦ Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

Other than criminal cases	CR (%)	DT (days)
2012	99%	84
2013	99%	91
2014	99%	91
2015	101%	87
2016	100%	83
2017	100%	83
2018	101%	80
2019	100%	80
2020	98%	91



With a Clearance Rate calculated at 98,5% in 2020 Netherlands seems to face some difficulties to deal with its other than criminal cases.


Between 2019 and 2020, the Clearance Rate has decreased by -1,1 points.

In 2020, other than criminal cases are solved in approximately 91 days, which is slightly below EU median of 109 days.

The analysis of the 2019 - 2020 period reveals a 14,2% increase of the Disposition Time.

First instance Civil (and commercial) litigious cases

◦ Incoming, resolved and pending cases




The number of incoming cases in 2020 in Netherlands (0,73 per 100 inhabitants) is significantly below EU median (1,56 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of resolved cases in 2020 in Netherlands (0,73 per 100 inhabitants) is significantly below EU median (1,50 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of pending cases at the end of 2020 in Netherlands (0,25 per 100 inhabitants) is significantly below EU median (1,05 per 100 inhabitants).

◦ Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	CR (%)	DT (days)
2012	NA	NA
2013	NA	NA
2014	99,1%	132
2015	100,4%	115
2016	100,7%	121
2017	99,1%	124
2018	101,2%	110
2019	100,2%	110
2020	99,7%	127



With a Clearance Rate calculated at 99,7% in 2020, Netherlands seems to face some difficulties to deal with its civil and commercial litigious cases.

Between 2019 and 2020, the Clearance Rate has decreased by -0,5 points.


In 2020, the civil and commercial litigious cases are solved in approximately 127 days, which is somewhat below EU median of 221 days.

The analysis of the 2019 - 2020 period reveals a 15,7% increase of the Disposition Time.

The number of civil and commercial litigious cases older than 2 years is not available.

First instance Administrative cases

◦ Incoming, resolved and pending cases




The number of incoming cases in 2020 in Netherlands (0,57 per 100 inhabitants) is significantly above EU median (0,30 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of resolved cases in 2020 in Netherlands (0,49 per 100 inhabitants) is significantly above EU median (0,26 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of pending cases at the end of 2020 in Netherlands (0,41 per 100 inhabitants) is significantly above EU median (0,21 per 100 inhabitants).

◦ Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

Administrative cases	CR (%)	DT (days)
2012	97,5%	163
2013	100,3%	164
2014	98,9%	171
2015	103,0%	168
2016	95,3%	178
2017	105,1%	165
2018	95,2%	200
2019	93,7%	215
2020	86,3%	304



With a Clearance Rate calculated at 86,3% in 2020, Netherlands seems to face difficulties to deal with its administrative cases.

Between 2019 and 2020, the Clearance Rate has decreased for -7,3 points.

In 2020, the administrative cases are solved in approximately 304 days, which is somewhat below EU median of 388 days.

The analysis of the 2019 - 2020 period reveals a 41,6% increase of the Disposition Time.

The number of administrative law cases older than 2 years is not available.

Administrative law cases include tax cases and immigration / asylum cases.  
First instance cases at Council of State, Court of Appeal, including trade tribunal, are excluded.  
In the Netherlands, there are some registers that are kept by the judiciary. Those do not include a land or business registry, see [www.rechtspraak.nl/registers](http://www.rechtspraak.nl/registers). Most registers are related to debt, bankruptcy and help or surveillance of people who are unable to handle their financial situation. There is also a register with so-called 'nevenfuncties' (a list of jobs and positions held by judges next to their judgeship). Mutations in these registers are not counted as court cases. For the category 'other registry cases' the answer is NAP, as the Dutch system does not count mutations in the registers as court cases.



Insolvency cases

The Clearance Rate of insolvency cases cannot be calculated

The evolution of Clearance Rate cannot be calculated.

The Disposition Time for insolvency cases cannot be calculated.



There are some data available on insolvency cases, but they are registered following a different categorisation

First instance Criminal Law Cases

Incoming, resolved and pending cases

	Pending cases 1 Jan	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases 31 Dec
Total	NA	223 723	213 096	81 040
Severe criminal cases	NA	159 476	158 827	56 620
Misdemeanour and/or minor cases	NA	64 247	54 269	24 420
Other cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP

Per 100 inhabitants	Pending cases 1 Jan	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases 31 Dec
Total	NA	1,28	1,22	0,46
Severe criminal cases	NA	0,91	0,91	0,32
Misdemeanour and/or minor cases	NA	0,37	0,31	0,14
Other cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP




The number of total incoming criminal cases in 2020 in Netherlands (1,28 per 100 inhabitants) is slightly below EU median (1,60 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of total resolved criminal cases in 2020 in Netherlands (1,22 per 100 inhabitants) is slightly below EU median (1,48 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of total pending criminal cases at the end of 2020 in Netherlands (0,46 per 100 inhabitants) is equal to the EU median

Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

Total criminal law cases	CR (%)	DT (days)
Total	95,2%	139
Severe criminal cases	99,6%	130
Misdemeanour and/or minor cases	84,5%	164
Other cases	NAP	NAP





With the Clearance Rate calculated at 95,2% in 2020 for total criminal cases, Netherlands seems to face difficulties to deal with its total criminal cases.

Classification of severe and minor cases:  
Minor offences: mainly traffic offences (speeding tickets, running red lights) and petty theft, vagrancy, littering, etc.  
Severe offences: driving while drunk, grand theft, violent crimes, vice, drugs/narcotics, etc.  
Effects of the pandemic:  
No in person hearings happened in the period between 17 March and April 6 2020. At the start of the pandemic, not everyone was able to work remotely due to insufficient available laptops and that many files were still coming in on paper. There were some exceptions for working remotely as well, such as security, some administrative staff (people that compiled paper files, for example), etc.  
Some measures were taken: hearing in other buildings, online or hybrid, and hearings in the evenings. The age restriction for judges was upped from 70 years old to 73 years old, more criminal orders were handled by the public prosecution and more cases were handled by one judge instead of more (enkelvoudig versus meervoudig)

Overall efficiency by instance and by case matter

	CR (%)			DT (days)		
	1st instance	2nd instance	Supreme Court	1st instance	2nd instance	Supreme Court
Civil and commercial litigious cases	99,7%	NA	89,5%	127	NA	427
Administrative cases	86,3%	118,5%	98,7%	304	465	344
Total criminal law cases	95,2%	94,5%	95,1%	139	348	261



In the Netherlands, in first and second instance, civil and commercial litigious cases are resolved faster than the EU median (127 days vs 221 for civil cases and 304 days vs 388 days in second instance), while the DT of criminal law cases coincides with the median. As regards second and third instance, the DT is higher than the EU median for the three categories of cases. In 2020, Dutch judges resolved less cases than received (Clearance rate is below 100%) except for administrative cases in second instance.

As to the lack of horizontal consistency that can be observed (pending cases at the end of the period are not equal to the pending cases at the beginning + incoming - resolved), the reason is that the official number of cases pending on January 1st is determined at different time than the other 3 categories (official incoming, official resolved, official pending on December 31st). Due to time lags in registration and dynamics in the data systems, if the cases pending on January 1st are measured at the same time as the others, the result would be different.

As regards second instance cases, it is not possible to differentiate between litigious and non-litigious cases.

As regards third instance cases, and in particular:

Non litigious cases: In theory, it is possible these cases get to the Supreme Court, but these cases are not specified in available numbers for the courts.

Administrative law cases: Please note that the Dutch Supreme Court only handles tax cases and some social security cases. There is no third instance court for other administrative cases in the Netherlands, so these are not represented in this number.

Other cases: There might be other cases in separate courts (Kamers), but these numbers are not available nationally.

With regard to the discrepancies: there are always some factors that might influence the number of cases the Supreme Court handles in a year. It might be due to delays or catch ups in lower courts (so incoming cases are lower/higher), new laws or changes in law that the SC must answer (like covid-regulations), cases may become more complex because laws and differences are more complex (as a result cases may take longer), or cases that are connected that are grouped to deal with in clusters (meaning more cases for a longer time). While there is not a specific cause of the discrepancies, all these factors mentioned might influence the numbers.

5. Public prosecution services in Netherlands

• Role and powers of the public prosecutor



In the criminal procedure, the public prosecutor in Netherlands has the following 10 out of 11 possible roles and powers:

To conduct or supervise police investigation	✓	To appeal	✓
To conduct investigations	✓	To supervise the enforcement procedure	✓
When necessary, to request investigation measures from the judge	✓	To discontinue a case without needing a decision by a judge	✓
To charge	✓	To end the case by imposing or negotiating a penalty or measure without requiring a judicial decision	✓
To present the case in the court	✓	Other significant powers	✗
To propose a sentence to the judge	✓		

The public prosecutor does not have any role in civil, administrative or insolvency cases.

• Public prosecutors: Number of first instance criminal cases

Type of cases	Absolute number	Per 100 inhabitants
1. Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	73 800	0,42
2. Incoming/received cases	184 900	1,06
3. Processed cases (3.1 + 3.2 + 3.3 + 3.4)	179 500	1,03
3.1. Discontinued during the reference year (3.1.1 + 3.1.2 + 3.1.3 + 3.1.4)	55 100	0,32
3.1.1 Discontinued by the public prosecutor because the offender could not be identified	NAP	NAP
3.1.2 Discontinued by the public prosecutor due to the lack of an established offence or a specific legal situation	39 800	0,23
3.1.3 Discontinued by the public prosecutor for reasons of opportunity	15 300	0,09
3.1.4 Discontinued for other reasons	NAP	NAP
3.2. Concluded by a penalty or a measure imposed or negotiated by the public prosecutor	44 700	0,26
3.3. Cases closed by the public prosecutor for other reasons	3 900	0,02
3.4. Cases brought to court	75 800	0,43
4. Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	59 300	0,34




The number of pending cases at the end of the year cannot equal to the number of pending cases at the start of the year + incoming cases – processed cases because a certain type of cases can only be counted in the stock when the file has been judged, not when they are pending. These cases are criminal cases where an order is given, but they are then returned because the order cannot be executed. These criminal cases return to the stock, but cannot be measured in the system the public prosecution uses. Once a case like that it assessed again and streams out, it becomes visible in the numbers of the system.

6. Existence and use of alternative dispute resolution in Netherlands

Number of mediators

Mediators	Total	Per 100 000 inhabitants
2012	820	4,9
2013	927	5,5
2014	1187	7,0
2015	1409	8,3
2016	1466	8,6
2017	1511	8,8
2018	1002	5,8
2019	935	5,4
2020	865	4,9



In 2020, there are 865 accredited or registered mediators who practise court related mediation which represents 4,9 accredited or registered mediators per 100 000 inhabitants.

The variation between 2019 and 2020 is about -7,5%.

Number of court related mediations

Type of cases	Number of cases for which the parties agreed to start mediation	Number of finished court-related mediations	Number of cases in which there is a settlement agreement
Total of all cases	1823	1795	1181
Civil and commercial	NA	NA	NA
Family cases	NA	NA	NA
Administrative	NA	NA	NA
Employment dismissal	NA	NA	NA
Criminal cases	637	666	567
Consumer cases	NA	NA	NA

Lower numbers in 2020 are due to the Covid-19 pandemic, as not all mediations can be done digitally.

## 7. ICT tools of courts in Netherlands

### •The ICT tools of courts and for court users

The use of ICT in courts in 2020 has been evaluated as :

EU Median

<b>Total</b>	<b>2,3</b>	<b>6,6</b>
Assistance tools (0 to 3)	0,0	2,0
Case management system (0 to 7)	4,5	5,2
Financial management tools (0 to 3)	0,5	1,3
Measurement tools to assess the workload (0 to 5)	0,0	2,5
Electronic communication (0 to 10)	1,3	6,9


The calculation of this values for each field is based on the answers for that question/s and weighted according the avaiability or deployment rate. The total value is normalised to max 10 points for readability and comparison.

The details of the calculation are given in Annex 5 - IT calculations

The result by area may be summarized in these graphics, where each field has been evaluated from 0 to 4 points.

*Note: index is modified based on the available questions. This cycle the recalculation was made for the last three cycles to be able to follow the development.*

### ICT tools assessment from 2018 to 2020



#### Comments on writing assistance tools

There is a tool, called 'Schrijfhulp' (writing assistance), which helps people to, for example, write a letter to respond to a summons.

[https://formulieren.rechtspraak.nl/formulier/SchrijfhulpKanton\\_Dagvaarding\\_004.aspx/Benodigdheden\\_Dagvaarding\\_004](https://formulieren.rechtspraak.nl/formulier/SchrijfhulpKanton_Dagvaarding_004.aspx/Benodigdheden_Dagvaarding_004)

Templates for the courts are approved centrally, so if they are available they would be available for all courts, but no specific information is available.

#### Comments on voice recording tools

In some courtrooms, sound is recorded to an SD-card. This is solely to assist in reporting, it is not a product in itself. The level of automation / computerization differs between courts and types of courts, which makes it difficult to report on how often and how much voice recording tools are used. Voice recognition is not used.

#### Comments on communication tools

Communication on the planning of court meetings or procedural issues is possible. Communication on the case itself is a sensitive issue. Due to the high variance in practice (between and within the areas of justice), the last column cannot be answered. Hopefully there will be more uniformity in the future thanks to the project Digital Accessibility.

There certainly is a possibility for bailiffs to submit cases in electronic form. For other professional parties, this is not clear.

Due to the high variance in practice (between and within the areas of justice), not all questions can be answered. Hopefully there will be more uniformity in the future thanks to the project Digital Accessibility.

## 8. Systems for measuring and evaluating the performance of courts and public prosecution services in Netherlands

In Netherlands, quality standards are determined for the judicial system at national level (e.g. quality systems for the judiciary and/or judicial quality policies). Specialised personnel within the courts and the public prosecution services is entrusted with implementation of these national level quality standards.

There are quality standards which are measured by annual statistical figures per individual court. Examples are the scores of customer satisfaction surveys, the percentage of cases judged by three instead of one judge and case processing times (the so called 'Kengetallen gerechten'). There is a Team Judicial Quality (Team Juridische Kwaliteit) which studies topics in a theme-wise manner, on a structural basis. A team of public prosecutors participates in TKJ and assesses the judicial work of colleagues in a structured and systematic way. Often there is a baseline assessment and a follow-up, sometimes a second follow-up. If necessary, the assessment framework is adjusted.

[www.tweedekamer.nl/kamerstukken/detail?id=2018D52900&did=2018D52900](http://www.tweedekamer.nl/kamerstukken/detail?id=2018D52900&did=2018D52900)

There are also professional standards, developed to show what good justice entails. These are publically available on the website of the Judiciary (<https://www.rechtspraak.nl/Organisatie-en-contact/Rechtspraak-in-Nederland/Rechters/Paginas/De-professionele-standaarden-van-de-rechters.aspx>)

### • Systems for measuring and evaluating courts' performance

A regular monitoring system of court activities is in place concerning:

Number of incoming cases	✓	Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)	✓
Length of proceedings (timeframes)	✓	Costs of the judicial procedures	✗
Number of resolved cases	✓	Number of appeals	✓
Number of pending cases	✓	Appeal ratio	✗
Backlogs	✓	Clearance rate	✗
Productivity of judges and court staff	✗	Disposition time	✓
Satisfaction of court staff	✓	Other	✗

There is an annual publication that includes the appeal ratio for some case types. To call it 'monitoring' would be a bit too much, but it is annually checked and reported on. Incoming cases and length of proceedings have not previously been mentioned, but these are monitored.

In Netherlands, there is a system to regularly evaluate the court performance based primarily on defined indicators and the reporting is more frequent than annual.

Along with monthly reports and quarterly reports, there are annual reports which are more thorough and elaborate.

Performance and quality indicators are defined for the activity of each court.

The following indicators are used:

Number of incoming cases	✗	Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)	✓
Length of proceedings (timeframes)	✓	Costs of the judicial procedures	✗
Number of resolved cases	✓	Number of appeals	✗
Number of pending cases	✓	Appeal ratio	✗
Backlogs	✗	Clearance rate	✗
Productivity of judges and court staff	✗	Disposition time	✗
Satisfaction of court staff	✓	Other	✗

Satisfaction is monitored, but courts are not necessarily judged for that.

The evaluation of the courts' activities is used for the later allocation of means in the courts.

The outcomes of the evaluation do not directly influence the allocation of resources in the next years.



• Systems for measuring and evaluating public prosecution services' performance

A regular monitoring system of public prosecution services activities is in place concerning:

Number of incoming cases	✓	Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the public prosecution)	✗
Length of proceedings (timeframes)	✓	Costs of the judicial procedures	✗
Number of resolved cases	✓	Clearance rate	✗
Number of pending cases	✓	Disposition time	✗
Backlogs	✗	Percentage of convictions and acquittals	✗
Productivity of prosecutors and prosecution staff	✗	Other	✗
Satisfaction of prosecution staff	✗		

In Netherlands, there is a system to evaluate regularly the activity of each public prosecution service and the reporting is more frequent than annual.

Along with the monthly reports and quarterly reports, there are annual reports which are more thorough and elaborate.

Performance and quality indicators are defined for the activity of each public prosecution service.

The following indicators are used:

Number of incoming cases	✗	Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the public prosecutors)	✗
Length of proceedings (timeframes)	✓	Costs of the judicial procedures	✗
Number of resolved cases	✓	Clearance rate	✗
Number of pending cases	✗	Disposition time	✗
Backlogs	✗	Percentage of convictions and acquittals	✗
Productivity of prosecutors and prosecution staff	✗	Other	✗
Satisfaction of prosecution staff	✗		

The evaluation of the public prosecution services' activities is used for the later allocation of means in the public prosecution services.

Netherlands (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Table General Data: Economic and demographic data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)																			
Q1 Number of inhabitants	16 779 575	16 829 289	16 902 146	16 979 120	17 081 507	17 181 084	17 282 163	17 407 585	17 475 415		4,1%	0,3%	0,4%	0,5%	0,6%	0,6%	0,6%	0,7%	0,4%
Q.3 GDP Per capita (in €) in current prices	38 236	38 255	39 313	39 937	41 258	42 578	45 052	46 883	45 900		20,0%	0,0%	2,8%	1,6%	3,3%	3,2%	5,8%	4,1%	-2,1%
Q5. Exchange rate of Nat currency to € on 1 Jan	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Indicator 1: Systems for measuring and evaluating the performance of courts and prosecution services (Indicator 4 in 2019)																			
Table 1.1 to Table 1.10 (Q66, Q67, Q77, Q78, Q77-1, Q78-1, Q73, Q73-0, Q73-1, Q73-2, Q73-3, Q73-4, Q73-5, Q73-6, Q70, Q70-1, Q71, Q72, Q83-2, Q83-3, Q120 and Q120-1)																			
66 Qlty standards formulated_jud system	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True										
67 Specialised court staff entrusted_qlty standards	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True										
77 Performance and quality indicators of court activities	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True										
078.1.1 Number of incoming cases							False	False	False										
078.1.2 Length of proceedings (timeframes)							True	True	True										
078.1.3 Number of resolved cases							True	True	True										
078.1.4 Number of pending cases							False	True	True										
078.1.5 Backlogs							False	False	False										
078.1.6 Productivity of judges and court staff							False	False	False										
078.1.7 Satisfaction of court staff							True	True	True										
078.1.8 Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)							True	True	True										
078.1.9 Costs of the judicial procedures							False	False	False										
078.1.10 Number of appeals							False	False	False										
078.1.11 Appeal ratio							False	False	False										
078.1.12 Clearance rate							False	False	False										
078.1.13 Disposition time							False	False	False										
078.1.14 Other							False	False	False										
077-1.1.1 Defined performance and quality indicators									True										

Netherlands (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
078-1.1.1 Number of incoming cases									False									
078-1.1.2 Length of proceedings (timeframes)									True									
078-1.1.3 Number of resolved cases									True									
078-1.1.4 Number of pending cases									False									
078-1.1.5 Backlogs									False									
078-1.1.6 Productivity of prosecutors and prosecution staff									False									
078-1.1.7 Satisfaction of prosecution staff									False									
078-1.1.8 Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the public prosecution)									False									
078-1.1.9 Costs of the judicial procedures									False									
078-1.1.10 Clearance rate									False									
078-1.1.11 Disposition time									False									
078-1.1.12 Percentage of convictions and acquittals									False									
078-1.1.13 Other									False									
73 Regular system_evaluation_performance_each court	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
073-0.1.1 Annual					True	True	True	False	False									
073-0.1.2 Less frequent					False	False	False	False	False									
073-0.1.3 More frequent					False	False	False	True	True									
073-1.1.1 Evaluation used for the allocation of resources within the court			Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
073-2.1.1 Courses of action taken in the evaluation is used for the allocation of resources							True	True	True									
073-2.1.2 Reallocating resources (human/financial resources based on performance)							False	True	True									
073-2.1.3 Reengineering of internal procedures to increase efficiency							False	False	False									
073-2.1.4 Other							False	False	False									
073-3.1.1 Regular evaluation of the public prosecution services performance									True									

Netherlands (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
073-4.1.1 Annual									False									
073-4.1.2 Less frequent									False									
073-4.1.3 More frequent									True									
073-5.1.1 Evaluation used for the allocation of resources within the public prosecution services									True									
073-6.1.1 Identifying the causes of improved or deteriorated performance									True									
073-6.1.2 Reallocating resources (human/financial resources based on performance)									True									
073-6.1.3 Reengineering of internal procedures to increase efficiency									True									
073-6.1.4 Other									True									
070.1.1 number of incoming cases	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
070.1.2 length of proceedings (timeframes)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
070.1.3 number of resolved cases	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
070.1.4 number of pending cases							True	True	True									
070.1.5 backlogs							True	True	True									
070.1.6 productivity of judges and court staff							False	False	False									
070.1.7 satisfaction of court staff							True	True	True									
070.1.8 satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)							True	True	True									
070.1.9 costs of the judicial procedures							False	False	False									
070.1.10 number of appeals							True	True	True									
070.1.11 appeal ratio							False	False	False									
070.1.12 clearance rate							False	False	False									

## Netherlands (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
070-1.1.1 Number of incoming cases									True									
070-1.1.2 Length of proceedings (timeframes)									True									
070-1.1.3 Number of resolved cases									True									
070-1.1.4 Number of pending cases									True									
070-1.1.5 Backlogs									False									
070-1.1.6 Productivity of prosecutors and prosecution staff									False									
070-1.1.7 Satisfaction of prosecution staff									False									
070-1.1.8 Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the public prosecution)									False									
070-1.1.9 Costs of the judicial procedures									False									
070-1.1.10 Clearance rate									False									
070-1.1.11 Disposition time									False									
070-1.1.12 Percentage of convictions and acquittals									False									
070-1.1.13 Other									False									
071.1.1 Monitoring backlogs in Civil law cases									True									
071.1.2 Monitoring backlogs in Criminal law cases									True									
071.1.3 Monitoring backlogs in Administrative law cases									True									
072.1.1 Monitoring timeframes Within the courts									True									
072.1.2 Monitoring timeframes Within the public prosecution services									True									
083-2.1.1 Quantitative performance tagets defined for each prosecutors									False									
083-3.1.1 Body responsible - Executive power (for example the Ministry of Justice)									False									
083-3.1.2 Body responsible - Prosecutor General /State public prosecutor									False									
083-3.1.3 Body responsible - Public Prosecutorial Council									False									
083-3.1.4 Body responsible - Head of the organisational unit or hierarchically superior public prosecutor									True									
083-3.1.5 Body responsible - Other									False									

Netherlands (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
120.1.1 Qualitative individual assessment of the public prosecutors' work									True										
120-1.1.1 Feequency - Annual									True										
120-1.1.2 Feequency - Less frequent									False										
120-1.1.3 Feequency - More frequent									False										
Indicator 2: The judicial organisation																			
Tables 2.1a; 2.1b; 2.2a; 2.2b; 2.3a; 2.3b; 2.4 and 2.5(EC) (Q42, Q43 and Q44)																			
Q42.1.1Total number of all courts - legal entities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Q42.1.2 Total number of courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Q42.1.3 First instance courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities	19	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	-42,1%	-42,1%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%
Q42.1.4 Second instance courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Q42.1.5 Highest instance courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Q42.1.6 Total number of specialised courts - legal entities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.1 Total number of specialised courts of first instance	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%
43.1.2 Commercial courts (excluded insolvency courts)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.3 Insolvency courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.4 Labour courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.5 Family courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.6 Rent and tenancies courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.7 Enforcement of criminal sanctions courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.8 Fight against terrorism, organised crime and corruption	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.9 Internet related disputes	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.10 Administrative courts	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%
43.1.11 Insurance and / or social welfare courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.12 Military courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.13 Juvenile courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-



Netherlands (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
43.1.14 Other specialised courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.1 Total number of specialised courts of higher instances	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.2 Commercial courts (excluded insolvency courts)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.3 Insolvency courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.4 Labour courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.5 Family courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.6 Rent and tenancies courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.7 Enforcement of criminal sanctions courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.8 Fight against terrorism, organised crime and corruption	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.9 Internet related disputes	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.10 Administrative courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.11 Insurance and / or social welfare courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.12 Military courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.13 Juvenile courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.14 Other specialised courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44.1.1 First instance courts geographic locations	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	33	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44.1.2 All courts geographic locations	60	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	42	-30,0%	-33,3%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	5,0%

Netherlands (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Indicator 3: The performance of courts at all stages of the proceedings																			
Tables 3.1.1.1 to 3.1.1.4 (all years) Number of other than criminal cases (Q91)																			
Table 3.3.1 to 3.3.3 Variation of first instance other than criminal cases per 100 inhabitants (Q1, Q91)																			
Table 3.13.7 (EC) to 3.13.12 (EC) First instance other than criminal cases (Q91)																			
91.1.1 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	279 460	287 474	305 520	310 170	299 580	284 649	279 950	266 100	NA	-	2,9%	6,3%	1,5%	-3,4%	-5,0%	-1,7%	-4,9%	-	
91.1.2 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	NA	NA	NA	51 794	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
91.1.3 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	NA	204 372	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
91.1.4 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NA	NA	NA	204 372	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
91.1.5 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
91.1.6 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
91.1.7 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
91.1.8 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
91.1.9 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
91.1.10 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Administrative law cases	48 010	50 084	49 800	51 020	47 570	52 649	47 290	51 840	NA	-	4,3%	-0,6%	2,4%	-6,8%	10,7%	-10,2%	9,6%	-	
91.1.11 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
91.2.1 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	1 258 187	1 237 427	1 260 111	1 253 987	1 245 537	1 243 209	1 199 579	1 214 258	1 124 792	-10,6%	-1,6%	1,8%	-0,5%	-0,7%	-0,2%	-3,5%	1,2%	-7,4%	
91.2.2 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	NA	NA	168 127	161 950	161 171	147 954	134 710	138 752	128 180	-	-	-	-3,7%	-0,5%	-8,2%	-9,0%	3,0%	-7,6%	
91.2.3 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	982 142	991 752	971 332	995 731	965 230	969 669	896 895	-	-	-	1,0%	-2,1%	2,5%	-3,1%	0,5%	-7,5%	
91.2.4 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NA	NA	NA	991 752	971 332	995 731	965 230	969 669	896 895	-	-	-	-	-2,1%	2,5%	-3,1%	0,5%	-7,5%	
91.2.5 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
91.2.6 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
91.2.7 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
91.2.8 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
91.2.9 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
91.2.10 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Administrative law cases	114 930	110 273	109 842	100 285	113 034	99 524	99 629	105 837	99 717	-13,2%	-4,1%	-0,4%	-8,7%	12,7%	-12,0%	0,1%	6,2%	-5,8%	
91.2.11 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Netherlands (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
91.3.1 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	1 243 457	1 219 381	1 248 701	1 261 182	1 247 910	1 237 649	1 207 954	1 209 419	1 107 740	-10,9%	-1,9%	2,4%	1,0%	-1,1%	-0,8%	-2,4%	0,1%	-8,4%
91.3.2 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	159 165	158 722	166 639	162 533	162 270	146 581	136 326	138 986	127 753	-19,7%	-0,3%	5,0%	-2,5%	-0,2%	-9,7%	-7,0%	2,0%	-8,1%
91.3.3 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	973 447	995 325	977 958	986 489	976 807	971 301	893 907	-	-	-	2,2%	-1,7%	0,9%	-1,0%	-0,6%	-8,0%
91.3.4 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	972 185	950 102	NA	995 325	977 958	986 489	976 807	971 301	893 907	-8,1%	-2,3%	-	-	-1,7%	0,9%	-1,0%	-0,6%	-8,0%
91.3.5 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.3.6 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.3.7 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.3.8 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.3.9 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.3.10 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Administrative law cases	112 107	110 557	108 615	103 324	107 682	104 579	94 821	99 132	86 080	-23,2%	-1,4%	-1,8%	-4,9%	4,2%	-2,9%	-9,3%	4,5%	-13,2%
91.3.11 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.4.1 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	285 340	305 520	310 170	299 580	284 649	279 950	266 100	264 130	276 260	-3,2%	7,1%	1,5%	-3,4%	-5,0%	-1,7%	-4,9%	-0,7%	4,6%
91.4.2 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	NA	NA	60 160	51 211	53 826	49 944	40 981	41 905	44 560	-	-	-	-14,9%	5,1%	-7,2%	-17,9%	2,3%	6,3%
91.4.3 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	198 990	200 799	178 174	182 716	173 279	163 855	159 930	-	-	-	0,9%	-11,3%	2,5%	-5,2%	-5,4%	-2,4%
91.4.4 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NA	NA	NA	200 799	178 174	182 716	173 279	163 855	159 930	-	-	-	-	-11,3%	2,5%	-5,2%	-5,4%	-2,4%
91.4.5 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.4.6 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.4.7 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.4.8 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.4.9 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.4.10 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Administrative law cases	50 010	49 800	51 020	47 570	52 649	47 290	51 846	58 370	71 770	43,5%	-0,4%	2,4%	-6,8%	10,7%	-10,2%	9,6%	12,6%	23,0%
91.4.11 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Netherlands (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions										
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020		
Table 3.2.1.1 to 3.2.1.2 (all years) First instance courts: Clearance rate and disposition time for other than criminal cases (Q91)																				
Table 3.3.4 to 3.3.7 Variation of Clearance Rate and Disposition Time of first instance other than criminal cases (Q91)																				
Table 3.13.1 (EC) to 3.13.6 (EC) First instance courts: Disposition time and clearance rate for other than criminal cases (Q91)																				
CR Total of other than criminal law cases	98,8%	98,5%	99,1%	100,6%	100,2%	99,6%	100,7%	99,6%	98,5%	- 0,35	- 0,29	0,56	1,49	- 0,38	- 0,64	1,15	- 1,09	- 1,12		
CR Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	NA	NA	99,1%	100,4%	100,7%	99,1%	101,2%	100,2%	99,7%	-	-	-	1,26	0,32	- 1,60	2,15	- 1,02	- 0,50		
CR Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	99,1%	100,4%	100,7%	99,1%	101,2%	100,2%	99,7%	-	-	-	1,26	0,32	- 1,60	2,15	- 1,02	- 0,50		
CR General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NA	NA	NA	100,4%	100,7%	99,1%	101,2%	100,2%	99,7%	-	-	-	-	0,32	- 1,60	2,15	- 1,02	- 0,50		
CR Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
CR Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
CR Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
CR Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
CR Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
CR Administrative law cases	97,5%	100,3%	98,9%	103,0%	95,3%	105,1%	95,2%	93,7%	86,3%	- 11,50	2,78	- 1,37	4,19	- 7,54	10,30	- 9,43	- 1,59	- 7,84		
CR Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
DT Total of other than criminal law cases	84	91	91	87	83	83	80	80	91	8,7%	9,2%	-0,9%	-4,4%	-4,0%	-0,8%	-2,6%	-0,9%	14,2%		
DT Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	NA	NA	132	115	121	124	110	110	127	-	-	-	-12,7%	5,3%	2,7%	-11,8%	0,3%	15,7%		
DT Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	75	74	66	68	65	62	65	-	-	-	-1,3%	-9,7%	1,7%	-4,2%	-4,9%	6,1%		
DT General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NA	NA	NA	74	66	68	65	62	65	-	-	-	-	-9,7%	1,7%	-4,2%	-4,9%	6,1%		
DT Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
DT Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
DT Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
DT Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
DT Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
DT Administrative law cases	163	164	171	168	178	165	200	215	304	86,9%	1,0%	4,3%	-2,0%	6,2%	-7,5%	20,9%	7,7%	41,6%		
DT Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

Netherlands (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Table 3.4.1 (all years) First instance courts, number of cases for specific case categories (Q101)																			
101.1.1 Pending cases on 1 Jan. Litigious divorce case	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
101.1.2 Pending cases on 1 Jan. Employment dismissal case	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
101.1.3 Pending cases on 1 Jan. Insolvency	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
101.2.1 Incoming cases_Litigious divorce case	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
101.2.2 Incoming cases_Employment dismissal case	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
101.2.3 Incoming cases_Insolvency	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
101.3.1 Resolved cases_Litigious divorce case	6 118	6 200	5 757	5 827	5 332	5 018	4 539	4 648	4 147	-32,2%	1,3%	-7,1%	1,2%	-8,5%	-5,9%	-9,5%	2,4%	-10,8%	
101.3.2 Resolved cases_Employment dismissal case	4 676	4 689	3 897	3 289	3 752	2 720	2 117	1 801	2 060	-55,9%	0,3%	-16,9%	-15,6%	14,1%	-27,5%	-22,2%	-14,9%	14,4%	
101.3.3 Resolved cases_Insolvency	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
101.4.1 Pending cases on 31 Dec. Litigious divorce case	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
101.4.2 Pending cases on 31 Dec. Employment dismissal case	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
101.4.3 Pending cases on 31 Dec. Insolvency	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Table 3.5.1 (all years) First instance courts: Clearance rate and disposition time for specific case categories (Q101)																		
Table 3.6.1 and 3.6.2 Variations of CR and DT for specific case categories of first instance cases (Q101)																		
CR Litigious divorce cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Employment dismissal cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Insolvency cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Litigious divorce cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Employment dismissal cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Insolvency cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

## Netherlands (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Table 3.7.1 to 3.7.5 (2019 and 2020) Second instance other than criminal cases (Q97)																		
Table 3.9.1 to 3.9.3 (2019 and 2020) Variation of second instance other than criminal cases (Q97)																		
97.1.1 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			27 910	26 110	27 510	27 932	27 980	27 940	NA	-	-	-	-6,4%	5,4%	1,5%	0,2%	-0,1%	-
97.1.2 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.1.3 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.1.4 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.1.5 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.1.6 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.1.7 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non-litigious business registry cases			NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.1.8 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.1.9 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other non-litigious cases			NA	NAP	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.1.10 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Administrative law cases			14 350	14 180	15 110	14 650	14 770	13 880	NA	-	-	-	-1,2%	6,6%	-3,0%	0,8%	-6,0%	-
97.1.11 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.2.1 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			26 463	27 845	29 324	25 706	23 500	23 008	19 363	-	-	-	5,2%	5,3%	-12,3%	-8,6%	-2,1%	-15,8%
97.2.2 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.2.3 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.2.4 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.2.5 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.2.6 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.2.7 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Non-litigious business registry cases			NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.2.8 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.2.9 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Other non-litigious cases			NA	NAP	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.2.10 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Administrative law cases			12 419	13 853	14 904	12 266	10 701	10 632	8 172	-	-	-	11,5%	7,6%	-17,7%	-12,8%	-0,6%	-23,1%
97.2.11 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Other cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-



Netherlands (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
97.3.1 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			27 979	26 482	29 263	26 236	24 992	23 506	21 232	-	-	-	-5,4%	10,5%	-10,3%	-4,7%	-5,9%	-9,7%
97.3.2 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.3 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.4 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.5 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.6 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.7 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Non-litigious business registry cases			NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.8 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.9 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Other non-litigious cases			NA	NAP	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.10 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Administrative law cases			12 586	12 925	15 349	12 132	11 593	10 630	9 686	-	-	-	2,7%	18,8%	-21,0%	-4,4%	-8,3%	-8,9%
97.3.11 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Other cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.1 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			26 110	27 510	27 932	27 980	27 940	27 510	24 530	-	-	-	5,4%	1,5%	0,2%	-0,1%	-1,5%	-10,8%
97.4.2 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.3 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.4 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.5 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.6 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.7 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non-litigious business registry cases			NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.8 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.9 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other non-litigious cases			NA	NAP	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.10 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Administrative law cases			14 180	15 110	14 650	14 770	13 880	13 870	12 340	-	-	-	6,6%	-3,0%	0,8%	-6,0%	-0,1%	-11,0%
97.4.11 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.5.1 2nd inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.5.2 2nd inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.5.10 2nd inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Administrative law cases			-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Netherlands (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Table 3.8.1 and 3.8.2 (2019 and 2020): Second instance clearance rate and disposition time for other than criminal law cases (Q97)																			
Table 3.9.4 and 3.9.5 (2019 and 2020): Variation of second clearance rate and disposition time for other than criminal law cases (Q97)																			
CR Total of other than criminal law cases			105,7%	95,1%	99,8%	102,1%	106,3%	102,2%	109,7%	-	-	-	- 10,05	4,93	2,27	4,20	- 3,93	7,33	
CR Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR Non-litigious business registry cases			NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR Other non-litigious cases			NA	NAP	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR Administrative law cases			101,3%	93,3%	103,0%	98,9%	108,3%	100,0%	118,5%	-	-	-	- 7,94	10,38	- 3,96	9,53	- 7,71	18,55	
CR Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Total of other than criminal law cases			341	379	348	389	408	427	422	-	-	-	11,3%	-8,1%	11,7%	4,8%	4,7%	-1,3%	
DT Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Non-litigious business registry cases			NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Other non-litigious cases			NA	NAP	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Administrative law cases			411	427	348	444	437	476	465	-	-	-	3,8%	-18,4%	27,6%	-1,7%	9,0%	-2,4%	
DT Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

# Netherlands (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Table 3.10.1 to 3.10.5 (2019 and 2020) Supreme courts, number of other than criminal law cases (Q99)																			
Table 3.12.1 to 3.12.3 (2019 and 2020) Variation of the supreme courts, number of other than criminal law cases (Q99)																			
99.1.1 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			NA	NA	NA	NA	920	1 037	1 307	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12,7%	26,0%	
99.1.2 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	380	378	445	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-0,5%	17,7%	
99.1.3 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.1.4 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.1.5 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.1.6 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious land registry cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.1.7 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non-litigious business registry cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.1.8 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other registry cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.1.9 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other non-litigious cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.1.10 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Administrative law cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	540	659	862	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22,0%	30,8%	
99.1.11 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.2.1 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			1 512	NA	NA	NA	1 353	1 447	1 316	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6,9%	-9,1%	
99.2.2 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	429	421	439	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-1,9%	4,3%	
99.2.3 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.2.4 High inst courts_Incoming cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.2.5 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.2.6 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious land registry cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.2.7 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Non-litigious business registry cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.2.8 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Other registry cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.2.9 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Other non-litigious cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.2.10 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Administrative law cases			1 021	NA	NA	NA	924	1 026	877	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11,0%	-14,5%	
99.2.11 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Netherlands (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
99.3.1 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			1 405	NA	NA	NA	1 236	1 177	1 259	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-4,8%	7,0%
99.3.2 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	431	354	393	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-17,9%	11,0%
99.3.3 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.4 High inst courts_Resolved cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.5 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.6 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious land registry cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.7 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Non-litigious business registry cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.8 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Other registry cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.9 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Other non-litigious cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.10 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Administrative law cases			1 000	NA	NA	NA	805	823	866	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,2%	5,2%
99.3.11 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.1 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			NA	NA	NA	NA	1 037	1 307	1 277	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	26,0%	-2,3%
99.4.2 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	378	445	460	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17,7%	3,4%
99.4.3 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.4 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.5 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.6 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious land registry cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.7 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non-litigious business registry cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.8 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other registry cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.9 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other non-litigious cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.10 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Administrative law cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	659	862	817	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	30,8%	-5,2%
99.4.11 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.5.1 High inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.5.2 High inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.5.10 High inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Administrative law cases			-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Netherlands (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Table 3.11.1 and 3.11.2 Supreme courts, clearance rate and disposition time for other than criminal law cases (Q97)																			
Table 3.12.4 and 3.12.5 Variation of the supreme courts, clearance rate and disposition time for other than criminal law cases (Q97)																			
CR Total of other than criminal law cases			92,9%	NA	NA	NA	91,4%	81,3%	95,7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10,96	17,61
CR Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	100,5%	84,1%	89,5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16,30	6,47
CR Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Non litigious land registry cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Non-litigious business registry cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Other registry cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Other non-litigious cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Administrative law cases			97,9%	NA	NA	NA	87,1%	80,2%	98,7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7,93	23,10
CR Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Total of other than criminal law cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	306	405	370	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	32,4%	-8,7%
DT Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	320	459	427	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	43,3%	-6,9%
DT Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Non litigious land registry cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Non-litigious business registry cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Other registry cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Other non-litigious cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Administrative law cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	299	382	344	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	27,9%	-9,9%
DT Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

## Netherlands (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Table 3.14.1 to 3.14.5 First instance criminal law cases (Q94)																			
094.1.1 Total - pending 1 Jan									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.1.2 Severe cases - pending 1 Jan									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.1.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 1 Jan									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.1.4 Other - pending 1 Jan									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.2.1 Total -incoming									223 723	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.2.2 Severe cases - incoming									159 476	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.2.3 Misdemeanour cases - incoming									64 247	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.2.4 Other - incoming									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.3.1 Total - resolved									213 096	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.3.2 Severe cases -resolved									158 827	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.3.3 Misdemeanour cases - resolved									54 269	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.3.4 Other - resolved									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.4.1 Total - pending 31 Dec									81 040	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.4.2 Severe cases - pending 31 Dec									56 620	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.4.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 31 Dec									24 420	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.4.4 Other - pending 31 Dec									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.5.1 Total - pending more then 2 years									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.5.2 Severe cases - pending more then 2 years									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.5.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending more then 2 years									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.5.4 Other - pending more then 2 years									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

## Netherlands (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Table 3.15.1 to 3.10.2 CR and DT for first instance criminal law cases (Q94)																			
CR of Total									95,2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR o2 Severe cases									99,6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR of Misdemeanour cases									84,5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR of Other									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT of Total									139	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT of Severe cases									130	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT of Misdemeanour cases									164	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT of Other									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Table 3.16.1 to 3.16.5 Second instance criminal law cases (Q98)																			
098.1.1 Total - pending 1 Jan									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
098.1.2 Severe cases - pending 1 Jan									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
098.1.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 1 Jan									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
098.1.4 Other - pending 1 Jan									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
098.2.1 Total -incoming									26 972	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
098.2.2 Severe cases - incoming									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
098.2.3 Misdemeanour cases - incoming									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
098.2.4 Other - incoming									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
098.3.1 Total - resolved									25 482	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
098.3.2 Severe cases -resolved									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
098.3.3 Misdemeanour cases - resolved									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
098.3.4 Other - resolved									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	



## Netherlands (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
098.4.1 Total - pending 31 Dec									24 270	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.4.2 Severe cases - pending 31 Dec									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.4.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 31 Dec									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.4.4 Other - pending 31 Dec									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.5.1 Total - pending more then 2 years									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.5.2 Severe cases - pending more then 2 years									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.5.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending more then 2 years									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.5.4 Other - pending more then 2 years									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Table 3.17.1 to 3.17.2 CR and DT for second instance criminal law cases (Q98)																		
CR of Total									94,5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR o2 Severe cases									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR of Misdemeanour cases									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR of Other									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Total									348	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Severe cases									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Misdemeanour cases									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Other									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

# Netherlands (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Table 3.18.1 to 3.18.5 Supreme court criminal law cases (Q100)																			
100.1.1 Total - pending 1 Jan									2 363	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.1.2 Severe cases - pending 1 Jan									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.1.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 1 Jan									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.1.4 Other - pending 1 Jan									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.2.1 Total -incoming									3 414	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.2.2 Severe cases - incoming									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.2.3 Misdemeanour cases - incoming									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.2.4 Other - incoming									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.3.1 Total - resolved									3 246	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.3.2 Severe cases -resolved									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.3.3 Misdemeanour cases - resolved									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.3.4 Other - resolved									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.4.1 Total - pending 31 Dec									2 318	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.4.2 Severe cases - pending 31 Dec									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.4.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 31 Dec									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.4.4 Other - pending 31 Dec									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.5.1 Total - pending more then 2 years									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.5.2 Severe cases - pending more then 2 years									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.5.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending more then 2 years									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.5.4 Other - pending more then 2 years									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Netherlands (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Table 3.19.1 to 3.19.2 CR and DT for supreme court criminal law cases (Q100)																			
CR of Total									95,1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR o2 Severe cases									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR of Misdemeanour cases									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR of Other									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT of Total									261	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT of Severe cases									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT of Misdemeanour cases									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT of Other									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Netherlands (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Indicator 5: Access to justice																		
Legal aid																		
Table 5.1 to Table 5.6 (Q12-2, Q16, Q18, Q19, Q20, Q20-1)																		
12-2.1.1 Coverage of court fees									False									
12-2.1.2 Exemption from court fees									False									
16.1.1 Legal aid applies to representation in court (criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
16.1.2 Legal aid applies to legal advice (criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
16.2.1 Legal aid applies to representation in court (other than criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
16.2.2 Legal aid applies to legal advice (other than criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
18.1.1 Legal aid for the enforcement of judicial decisions					True	True	True	True	True									
19.1.1 Legal aid granted for other costs - criminal cases									True									
19.1.2 Legal aid granted for other costs - other than criminal cases									True									
020.1.1 Total									301 304									
020.1.2 Total - criminal cases									88 075									
020.1.3 Total - other than criminal cases									213 229									
020.2.1 Total brought to court									253 506									
020.2.2 Brought to court - criminal cases									88 075									
020.2.3 Brought to court - other then criminal									165 431									
020.3.1 Total not brought to court									47 798									
020.3.2 Not brought to court - criminal cases									NAP									
020.3.3 Not brought to court - other then criminal									47 798									
020-1.1.1 Maximum duration prescribed in law/regulation									40									
020-1.1.2 Average duration									12									

Netherlands (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
System for compensating users																		
Table 5.7.1 and Table 5.7.2 (Q37)																		
037.1.1 Requests for compensation - Total									NA									
037.1.2 Requests for compensation - Excessive length of proceedings									NA									
037.1.3 Requests for compensation - Non-execution of court decisions									NA									
037.1.4 Requests for compensation - Wrongful arrest									NA									
037.1.5 Requests for compensation - Wrongful conviction									NA									
037.1.6 Requests for compensation - Other									NA									
037.2.1 Condemnations - Total									NA									
037.2.2 Condemnations - Excessive length of proceedings									NA									
037.2.3 Condemnations - Non-execution of court decisions									NA									
037.2.4 Condemnations - Wrongful arrest									NA									
037.2.5 Condemnations - Wrongful conviction									NA									
037.2.6 Condemnations - Other									NA									
037.3.1 Amount - Total									NA									
037.3.2 Amount - Excessive length of proceedings									NA									
037.3.3 Amount - Non-execution of court decisions									NA									
037.3.4 Amount - Wrongful arrest									NA									
037.3.5 Amount - Wrongful conviction									NA									
037.3.6 Amount - Other									NA									

Netherlands (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Indicator 6: The ICT tools of courts and for court users																		
Table 6.1 to Table 6.11 (Q62-7, Q62-7-1, Q62-8, Q62-8-1, Q63-1, Q63-1-1, Q63-2 Q63-6, Q63-7, Q63-7-1, Q64-2, Q64-4, Q64-6, Q64-3, Q64-3-1, Q64-7, Q64-7-1, Q64-9)																		
62-7 Writing assistance tools coordinated at national level							True	True	True									
62-7-1.1 Deployment rate in civil matter							NA	NA	NA									
62-7-1.2 Deployment rate in criminal matter							NA	NA	NA									
62-7-1.3 Deployment rate in administrative matter							NA	NA	NA									
62-8 Voice recording tools							True	True	True									
62-8-1.1.1 Availability of simple dictation tools in civil matter							NA	NA	NA									
62-8-1.1.2 Availability of simple dictation tools in criminal matter							NA	NA	NA									
62-8-1.1.3 Availability of simple dictation tools in administrative matter							NA	NA	NA									
62-8-1.2.1 Availability of multiple speakers recording tools in civil matter							NA	NA	NA									
62-8-1.2.2 Availability of multiple speakers recording tools in criminal matter							NA	NA	NA									
62-8-1.2.3 Availability of multiple speakers recording tools in administrative matter							NA	NA	NA									
62-8-1.3.1 Availability of voice recognition in civil matter							FALSE	No	No									
62-8-1.3.2 Availability of voice recognition in criminal matter							FALSE	No	No									
62-8-1.3.3 Availability of voice recognition in administrative matter							FALSE	No	No									
062-9 Availability of intranet site within the judicial system for distribution of news/novelties						-	100%	100%	100%									
63.1 Is there a case management system?							True	True	True									
63.1-1.1 CMS for civil matter (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
63.1-1.1 CMS for criminal matter (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
63.1-1.1 CMS for administrative matter (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
63.1-1.2 CMS for civil matter (status of case online)						-	FALSE	Not accessible at all	Not accessible at all									
63.1-1.2 CMS for criminal matter (status of case online)						-	FALSE	Not accessible at all	Not accessible at all									
63.1-1.2 CMS for administrative matter (status of case onlinee)						-	FALSE	Not accessible at all	Not accessible at all									

Netherlands (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
63.1-1.3 CMS for civil matter (Centralised or interoperable database)						-	True	True	True									
63.1-1.3 CMS for criminal matter (Centralised or interoperable database)						-	True	True	True									
63.1-1.3 CMS for administrative matter (Centralised or interoperable database)						-	True	True	True									
63.1-1.4 CMS for civil matter (Early warning signals)						-	False	False	False									
63.1-1.4 CMS for criminal matter (Early warning signals)						-	False	False	False									
63.1-1.4 CMS for administrative matter (Early warning signals)						-	False	False	False									
63-1-1.5 Statistics in CMS civil matter							Integrated	Integrated	Integrated									
63-1-1.5 Statistics in CMS criminal matter							Integrated	Integrated	Integrated									
63-1-1.5 Statistics in CMS administrative matter							Integrated	Integrated	Integrated									
63-2.1 Deployment rate for computerised registries managed by courts - land registry							0% (NAP)	0% (NAP)	0% (NAP)									
63-2.1 Deployment rate for computerised registries managed by courts - business registry							0% (NAP)	0% (NAP)	0% (NAP)									
63-2.2 Data consolidated at national level for land registry						-	False	NAP	NAP									
63-2.2 Data consolidated at national level for business registry						-	False	NAP	NAP									
63-2.3 Service available online for land registry						-	False	NAP	NAP									
63-2.3 Service available online for business registry						-	False	NAP	NAP									
63-2.4 Statistical module integrated or connected for land registry						-	False	NAP	NAP									
63-2.4 Statistical module integrated or connected for business registry						-	False	NAP	NAP									
063-6.1.1 Budgetary and financial management of courts (deployment rate)						-	100%	100%	100%									
063-6.1.2 Justice expenses management (deployment rate)						-	NA	0% (NAP)	0% (NAP)									
063-6.1.3 Other financial management tools (deployment rate)						-	NA	0% (NAP)	0% (NAP)									
063-6.2.1 Budgetary and financial management of courts (Data consolidated at national level)						-	True	True	True									
063-6.2.2 Justice expenses management (Data consolidated at national level)						-	False	NAP	NAP									
063-6.2.3 Other financial management tools (Data consolidated at national level)						-	False	NAP	NAP									
063-6.3.1 Budgetary and financial management of courts (System communicating with other ministries)						-	False	False	False									
063-6.3.2 Justice expenses management (System communicating with other ministries)						-	False	NAP	NAP									
063-6.3.3 Other financial management tools (System communicating with other ministries)						-	False	NAP	NAP									



Netherlands (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
63-7.1 Measurement tools to assess the workload							False	False	False									
63-7-1.1.1 Deployment rate - workload of judges																		
63-7-1.1.2 Deployment rate - workload of prosecutors																		
63-7-1.1.3 Deployment rate - workload of non-judge and non-prosecutor staff																		
63-7-1.2.1 Monitoring on national level - judges							False	-	-									
63-7-1.2.2 Monitoring on national level - prosecutors							False	-	-									
63-7-1.2.2 Monitoring on national level - non-judge and non-prosecutor staff							False	-	-									
63-7-1.3.1 Monitoring on court level - judges							False	-	-									
63-7-1.3.2 Monitoring on court level - prosecutors							False	-	-									
63-7-1.3.3 Monitoring on court level - non-judge and non-prosecutor staff							False	-	-									
064-2 - Possibility to submit a case to courts by electronic means							True	True	True									
064-2 - Civil and/or commercial							NA	NA	NA									
064-2 - Criminal							NA	NA	NA									
064-2 - Administrative							NA	NA	NA									
064-2 - Submission in paper remains mandatory - civil							False	NA	NA									
064-2 - Submission in paper remains mandatory - criminal							False	NA	NA									
064-2 - Submission in paper remains mandatory - administrative							False	NA	NA									
064-2 - Specific legislative framework - civil							False	NA	NA									
064-2 - Specific legislative framework - criminal							False	NA	NA									
064-2 - Specific legislative framework - administrative							False	NA	NA									
064-2 - Integrated/connected with the CMS - civil							False	NA	NA									
064-2 - Integrated/connected with the CMS - criminal							False	NA	NA									
064-2 - Integrated/connected with the CMS - administrative							False	NA	NA									

Netherlands (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
064-3 - Is it possible to request for granting legal aid by electronic means?							True	True	True									
064-3-1.1 - Equipment rate							100%	100%	100%									
064-3-1.2 - Request in paper mandatory							False	False	False									
064-3-1.3 - Specific legislative framework							False	False	False									
064-3-1.4 - Granting LA is also electronic							True	True	False									
064-3-1.5 - Information available in CMS							False	False	False									
064-4 - Possibility to transmit summons to a judicial meeting or a hearing by electronic means							False	True	True									
064-4-1.1.1 - Summons produced by CMS- civil							False	True	True									
064-4-1.1.2 - Summons produced by CMS- criminal							False	True	True									
064-4-1.1.3 - Summons produced by CMS- administrative							False	True	True									
064-4-1.2.1 - Simultaneous summon in paper form remains mandatory- civil							False	True	True									
064-4-1.2.2 - Simultaneous summon in paper form remains mandatory- criminal							False	True	True									
064-4-1.2.3 - Simultaneous summon in paper form remains mandatory- administrative							False	True	True									
064-4-1.3.1 - Consent of the user - civil							False	True	True									
064-4-1.3.2 - Consent of the user - criminal							False	True	True									
064-4-1.3.3 - Consent of the user - administrative							False	True	True									
064-6.1.1 - Civil and/or commercial (deployment rate)								NA	NA									
064-6.1.2 - Criminal (deployment rate)								NA	NA									
064-6.1.3 - Administrative (deployment rate)							100%	NA	100%									

Netherlands (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
064-6.2.1 - Civil and/or commercial (Trial phases concerned)							Scheduling		Scheduling									
064-6.2.2 - Criminal (Trial phases concerned)							Scheduling		Scheduling									
064-6.2.3 - Administrative (Trial phases concerned)							Scheduling		Scheduling									
064-6.3.1 - Civil and/or commercial (Modalities)							E-mail		E-mail									
064-6.3.2 - Criminal (Modalities)							E-mail		E-mail									
064-6.3.3 - Administrative (Modalities)							E-mail		E-mail									
064-6.4.1 - Civil and/or commercial (specific legal framework)							False	-	True									
064-6.4.2 - Criminal (specific legal framework)							False	-	True									
064-6.4.3 - Administrative (specific legal framework)							False	-	True									
064-6.5.1 - Civil and/or commercial (availability for)																		
064-6.5.2 - Criminal (availability for)																		
064-6.5.3 - Administrative (availability for)																		

Netherlands (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
064-7.1.1 - Electronic communication of enforcement agents and courts (deployment rate)							NA	NA	NA									
064-7.1.2 - Electronic communication of notaries and courts (deployment rate)							NA	NA	NA									
064-7.1.3 - Electronic communication of experts and courts (deployment rate)							NA	NA	NA									
064-7.1.4 - Electronic communication of judicial police and courts (deployment rate)							NA	NA	NA									
064-7.2.1 - Electronic communication of enforcement agents and courts (Modalities)							E-mail		E-mail									
064-7.2.2 - Electronic communication of notaries and courts (Modalities)																		
064-7.2.3 - Electronic communication of experts and courts (Modalities)																		
064-7.2.4 - Electronic communication of judicial police and courts (Modalities)																		
064-7.3.1 - Electronic communication of enforcement agents and courts (specific legal framework)							False	-	True									
064-7.32.2 - Electronic communication of notaries and courts (specific legal framework)							False	-	True									
064-7.3.3 - Electronic communication of experts and courts (specific legal framework)							False	-	True									
064-7.3.4 - Electronic communication of judicial police and courts (specific legal framework)							False	-	True									
064-9 - Existance of online processing devices of specialised litigation							False	True	True									

Netherlands (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Indicator 7: Professionals of justice (Indicator 9 in 2019)																			
Table 7.1.1 to 7.5.6 for judges, non judge staff, prosecutors, non prosecutor staff and salaries																			
46.1.1 Total Number of professional judges	2 410	2 378	2 359	2 357	2 331	2 538	2 522	2 523	2 597	7,8%	-1,3%	-0,8%	-0,1%	-1,1%	8,9%	-0,6%	0,0%	2,9%	
46.1.2 Number of 1st inst professional judges	1 855	1 850	1 829	1 811	1 788	1 930	1 907	1 906	1 882	1,5%	-0,3%	-1,1%	-1,0%	-1,3%	7,9%	-1,2%	-0,1%	-1,3%	
46.1.3 Number of 2nd inst professional judges	519	528	530	546	543	570	582	582	680	31,0%	1,7%	0,4%	3,0%	-0,5%	5,0%	2,1%	0,0%	16,8%	
46.1.4 Number of Supreme court professional judges	36	NA	NA	NA	NA	38	33	35	35	-2,8%	-	-	-	-	-	-13,2%	6,1%	0,0%	
46.2.1 Number of professional judges_males	1 122	1 058	1 031	1 026	988	NA	1 006	999	1 031	-8,1%	-5,7%	-2,6%	-0,5%	-3,7%	-	-	-0,7%	3,2%	
46.2.2 Number of 1st instance professional judges_males	784	757	738	722	693	721	694	693	698	-11,0%	-3,4%	-2,5%	-2,2%	-4,0%	4,0%	-3,7%	-0,1%	0,7%	
46.2.3 Number of 2nd instance professional judges_males	306	301	293	304	295	293	292	286	312	2,0%	-1,6%	-2,7%	3,8%	-3,0%	-0,7%	-0,3%	-2,1%	9,1%	
46.2.4 Number of Supreme court professional judges_males	32	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	20	20	21	-34,4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	0,0%	5,0%	
46.3.1 Number of professional judges_females	1 288	1 320	1 328	1 331	1 343	NA	1 516	1 524	1 566	21,6%	2,5%	0,6%	0,2%	0,9%	-	-	0,5%	2,8%	
46.3.2 Number of 1st inst professional judges_females	1 071	1 093	1 091	1 089	1 095	1 209	1 213	1 213	1 184	10,6%	2,1%	-0,2%	-0,2%	0,6%	10,4%	0,3%	0,0%	-2,4%	
46.3.3 Number of 2nd inst professional judges_females	213	227	237	242	248	277	290	296	368	72,8%	6,6%	4,4%	2,1%	2,5%	11,7%	4,7%	2,1%	24,3%	
46.3.4 Number of Supreme court professional judges_females	4	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	13	15	14	250,0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	15,4%	-6,7%	
046-2.1.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2 597	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.1.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 882	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.1.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	680	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.1.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	35	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.2.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Civil and commercial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.2.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Civil and commercial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.2.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Civil and commercial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.2.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Civil and commercial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.3.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Criminal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.3.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Criminal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.3.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Criminal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.3.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Criminal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Netherlands (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
046-2.4.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Administrative	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.4.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Administrative	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.4.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Administrative	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.4.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Administrative	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.5.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.5.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.5.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.5.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.1.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts	6 252	7 287	7 422	7 265	7 317	7 523	7 492	7 699	7 435	18,9%	16,6%	1,9%	-2,1%	0,7%	2,8%	-0,4%	2,8%	-3,4%
52.1.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.1.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges	4 847	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.1.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.1.5 Number of Technical staff	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.1.6 Number of Other non judge staff	1 405	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.2.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts(men)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	2 595	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.2.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)(men)	-	-	NAP	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.2.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges(men)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.2.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks(men)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.2.5 Number of Technical staff(men)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.2.6 Number of Other non judge staff(men)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.3.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts(women)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	5 104	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.3.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)(women)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.3.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges(women)	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.3.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks(women)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.3.5 Number of Technical staff(women)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.3.6 Number of Other non judge staff(women)	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Netherlands (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
052-1.1.1 Non-judge staff (Total)									7 435	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.1.2 Non-judge staff at first instance (total)									6 263	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.1.3 Non-judge staff at second instance (total)									965	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.1.4 Non-judge staff at Supreme court (total)									207	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.2.1 Non-judge staff (Males)									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.2.2 Non-judge staff at first instance (males)									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.2.3 Non-judge staff at second instance (males)									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.2.4 Non-judge staff at Supreme court (males)									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.3.1 Non-judge staff (females)									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.3.2 Non-judge staff at first instance (females)									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.3.3 Non-judge staff at second instance (females)									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.3.4 Non-judge staff at supreme court (females)									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.1.1 Prosecutors (total)									945	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.1.2 Prosecutors (1st inst.)									850	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.1.3 Prosecutors (2nd inst.)									95	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.1.4 Prosecutors (Highest instance)									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.2.1 Prosecutors - Males -total									367	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.2.2 Prosecutors - Males, 1st inst.									319	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.2.3 Prosecutors - Males, 2nd inst.									48	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.2.4 Prosecutors - Males, Supreme courts									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.3.1 Prosecutors - Females, Total									578	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.3.2 Prosecutors - Females, 1st inst.									531	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.3.3 Prosecutors - Females, 2nd inst.									47	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.3.4 Prosecutors - Females, Supreme courts									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-



Netherlands (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
060.1.1 Number of non-prosecutor staff Total									3 998	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
060.2.1 Number of non-prosecutor staff Males									1 336	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
060.3.1 Number of non-prosecutor staff Females									2 662	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
004 Annual average salary in the country						-	-		62 700 €	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.1.1 Gross annual salary, in € - Professional judge at the beginning of career						-	-		83 765 €	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.1.2 Gross annual salary, in € - Judge of the Supreme Court						-	-		NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.1.3 Gross annual salary, in € - Public prosecutor at the beginning of career						-	-		84 351 €	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.1.4 Gross annual salary, in € - Public prosecutor of the Supreme Court or the Highest Appellate Instance						-	-		NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.2.1 Net annual salary, in € - Professional judge at the beginning of career						-	-		52 772 €	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.2.2 Net annual salary, in € - Judge of the Supreme Court						-	-		NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.2.3 Net annual salary, in € - Public prosecutor at the beginning of career						-	-		42 900 €	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.2.4 Net annual salary, in € - Public prosecutor of the Supreme Court or the Highest Appellate Instance						-	-		NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
133.1.1.1 - Additional benefits for judges - Reduced taxation						-	-		False									
133.1.2.1 - Additional benefits for judges - Special pension						-	-		False									
133.1.3.1 - Additional benefits for judges - Housing						-	-		False									
133.1.4.1 - Additional benefits for judges - Other financial benefit						-	-		False									
133.2.1.1 - Additional benefits for prosecutors - Reduced taxation						-	-		False									
133.2.2.1 - Additional benefits for prosecutors - Special pension						-	-		False									
133.2.3.1 - Additional benefits for prosecutors - Housing						-	-		False									
133.2.4.1 - Additional benefits for prosecutors - Other financial benefit						-	-		False									

Netherlands (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
144.1.1 Disciplinary procedures for Judges - Total number (1+2+3+4)						-	-		2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.1.2 Disciplinary procedures for Judges - 1. Breach of professional ethics						-	-		0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.1.3 Disciplinary procedures for Judges - 2. Professional inadequacy						-	-		0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.1.4 Disciplinary procedures for Judges - 3. Criminal offence						-	-		0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.1.5 Disciplinary procedures for Judges - 4. Other						-	-		2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.2.1 Disciplinary procedures for Prosecutors - Total number (1+2+3+4)						-	-		4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.2.2 Disciplinary procedures for Prosecutors - 1. Breach of professional ethics						-	-		1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.2.3 Disciplinary procedures for Prosecutors - 2. Professional inadequacy									3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.2.4 Disciplinary procedures for Prosecutors - 3. Criminal offence									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.2.5 Disciplinary procedures for Prosecutors - 4. Other									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.1 Sanctions against Judges - Total number (total 1 to 9)									2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.2 Sanctions against Judges - 1. Reprimand									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.3 Sanctions against Judges - 2. Suspension									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.4 Sanctions against Judges - 3. Withdrawal from cases									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.5 Sanctions against Judges - 4. Fine									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.6 Sanctions against Judges - 5. Temporary reduction of salary									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.7 Sanctions against Judges - 6. Position downgrade									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.8 Sanctions against Judges - 7. Transfer to another geographical (court) location									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.9 Sanctions against Judges - 8. Resignation									2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.10 Sanctions against Judges - 9. Other									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.11 Sanctions against Judges - 10. Dismissal									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Netherlands (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
145.2.1 Sanctions against Prosecutors - Total number (total 1 to 9)									4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.2 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 1. Reprimand									3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.3 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 2. Suspension									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.4 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 3. Withdrawal from cases									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.5 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 4. Fine									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.6 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 5. Temporary reduction of salary									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.7 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 6. Position downgrade									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.8 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 7. Transfer to another geographical (court) location									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.9 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 8. Resignation									1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.10 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 9. Other									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.11 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 10. Dismissal									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lawyers																		
Tables 7.6.1, 7.6.2, 7.6.3, 7.7 and 7.8																		
146.1.1 Total number of lawyers practising	17 068	17 298	17 713	17 343	17 498	17 672	17 784	17 829	17 964	5,2%	1,3%	2,4%	-2,1%	0,9%	1,0%	0,6%	0,3%	0,8%
146.2.1 Practicing lawyers - man	-	-	-	-	-	-	9 899	9 867	9 837	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-0,3%	-0,3%
146.3.1 Practicing lawyers - woman	-	-	-	-	-	-	7 885	7 962	8 127	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,0%	2,1%
147 Does this figure include “legal advisors” who cannot represent their clients in court (for example, some solicitors or in-house counsellors)?			No	No	False	False	False	False	False	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Netherlands (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Indicator 8: The existence and use of alternative dispute resolution methods																			
Table 8.1 8.2 and 8.3																			
166 Number of accredited or registered mediators who practice judicial mediation:	820	927	1 187	1 409	1 466	1 511	1 002	935	865	5,5%	13,0%	28,0%	18,7%	4,0%	3,1%	-33,7%	-6,7%	-7,5%	
167.1.1 Total number started					2 399	2 429	3 686	3 442	1 823	-	-	-	-	-	1,3%	51,7%	-6,6%	-47,0%	
167. 1.2 Civil and commercial cases - started					NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
167. 1.2 Family cases - started					NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
167.1.4 Administrative cases - started					NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
167.1.5 Labour cases including employment dismissal cases - started					NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
167.1.6. Criminal cases - started					NA	NA	NA	NA	637	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
167.1.7 Consumer cases - started					-	-	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Key: Variation of more than (+ -) 20%