Judiciary at glance **Netherlands General data** 46,883 € **Population:** 17,407,585 GDP: Average annual salary: 58,800 € **Professionals** Number of judges per 100 000 inhabitant Number of lawyers per 100.000 inh Number of mediators per 100.000 inh. 14.49 44.23 102.42 5.37 EU median 121.32 EU median 24,48 57.49 14.33 Professional judges, non-judge staff and their ratio (Q46, Q52) Gross salaries of judges and prosecutors vs average annual salary in the country1 70 per 100 000 inhabitants Non-judge staff per judge 57.5 Gross salaries / average annual gross salary in the 60 4.05 4 3.57 50 44.2 3 40 2.02 1.77 30 24.5 2 1.36 20 14.5 1 0 Judge at the Judge on Prosecutor at Prosecutor at Netherlands EU median beginning of highest instancethe beginning of highest instance career ■ Professional judges ■ Non-judge staff Non-judge staff per judge ■ Netherlands ■ EU Median **Efficiency** Evolution of number of civil and commercial litigious cases Disposition time by instance and by matter 1,200 Disposition time (in days) cases per 100 inh. 382 476 459 110 Civil and commercial Administrative 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 EU litigious median ■ 1st instance 2nd instance Supreme Court Resolved Pending 31 Dec Incoming Information and communication technology Evolution of sub-fields of the ICT index* 4.0 Case management systems Court statistics tools 3.0 ■ Submit a case electronicaly 2.5 2.0 ■ Monitor stages of judicial proceeding 1.5 E-communication courts - lawyers 1.0 ■ Videoconferencing with users 0.5 0.0

*ICT calculations are described in the Part 5. ICT and national data collection system and in more details in Annex 5 - IT Calculation methodology

2017

2016

2018

2019


2015


		Synth	esis ta	ble of n	nain in	dicator	s for:		Nethe	rlands			
Economic and demographic data	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012-2019	Vai 2014-2016	riations 2012-20 2016-2018	19 2017-2018	2018-2019
Population	16,779,575	16,829,289	16,902,146	16,979,120	17,081,507	17,181,084	17,282,163	17,407,585	3.7%	1.1%	1.2%	0.6%	0.7%
GDP per capita	38,236 €	38,255 €	39,313 €	39,937 €	41,258 €	42,578 €	45,052 €	46,883 €	22.6%	4.9%	9.2%	5.8%	4.1%
Exchange rate (local currency needed to obtain 1€)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAF
Average annual salary	54,700 €		56,900 €		57,300 €		58,800 €	60,500 €	7.5%	0.7%	2.6%		0.0%
Resources	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012-2019	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019
Professional judges per 100 000 inhab.	14.4	14.1	14.0	13.9	13.6	14.8	14.6	14.5	0.9%	-2.2%	6.9%	-1.2%	-0.7%
Non-judge staff per 100 000 inhab.	37.3	43.3	43.9	42.8	42.8	43.8	43.4	44.2	18.7%	-2.4%	1.2%	-1.0%	2.0%
Lawyers per 100 000 inh.	101.7	102.8	104.8	102.1	102.4	102.9	102.9	102.4	0.7%	-2.3%	0.5%	0.0%	-0.5%
Mediators	4.9	5.5	7.0	8.3	8.6	8.8	5.8	5.4	9.9%	22.2%	-32.4%	-34.1%	-7.4%
Availability of the Case Management System (4 max)				3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0			0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Availability of tools for court statistics (4 max)				4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0			0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fig. 1 in the contract of the													
First instance incoming cases per 100 inhab.	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012-2019	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019
Litigious civil (and commercial) cases	NA	NA	0.995	0.954	0.944	0.861	0.779	0.797	NA	-5.1%	-17.4%	-9.5%	2.3%
Non-litigious civil (and commercial) cases	NA	NA	NA	5.841	5.686	5.796	5.585	5.570	NA	NA	-1.8 <mark>%</mark>	-3.6%	-0.3%
Non-litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAF
Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAF
Administrative law cases	0.685	0.7	0.6	0.591	0.662	0.579	0.576	0.608	-1 1.2%	1.8%	-12.9%	-0.5%	5.5%
First instance performance indicators (Clearence	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012-2019 (in points)	2014-2016 (in points)	2016-2018 (in points)	2017-2018 (in points)	2018-2019 (in points)
Rate) CR litigious civil (and commercial)	NA	NA	99%	100%	101%	99%	101%	100%	NA	1.57	0.52	2.13	- <mark>1.</mark> 03
cases CR non-litigious civil (and commercial) cases	NA	NA	NA	100%	101%	99%	101%	100%	NA	NA	0.52	2.13	-1.03
CR non-litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAF
CR non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAF
CR administrative law cases	98%	100%	99%	103%	95%	105%	95%	94%	-3. 88	-3. 62	-0.09	-9 .91	- <mark>1.</mark> 51
												,	
First instance													
First instance performance indicators (Disposition Time)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012-2019	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019
performance indicators (Disposition Time) DT litigious civil (and commercial) cases (days)	2012 NA	2013 NA	2014 132	2015 115	2016 121	2017 124	2018	2019 110	2012-2019 NA	2014-2016 -8.1%	2016-2018 -9.4%	2017-2018 -11.8%	2018-2019 0.3%
performance indicators (Disposition Time) DT litigious civil (and commercial) cases (days) DT non-litigious civil (and commercial) cases (days)													
performance indicators (Disposition Time) DT litigious civil (and commercial) cases (days) DT non-litigious civil (and commercial) cases (days) DT non-litigious land registry cases (days)	NA	NA	132	115	121	124	110	110	NA	-8.1%	-9.4%	-11.8%	0.3%
performance indicators (Disposition Time) DT littigious civil (and commercial) cases (days) DT non-littigious civil (and commercial) cases (days) DT non-littigious land registry cases	NA NA	NA NA	132 NA	115 74	121 66	124 68	110 65	110 62	NA NA	-8.1% NA	-9.4% -2.6%	-11.8% -4.2%	0.3% -4.9%
performance indicators (Disposition Time) DT litiglous civil (and commercial) cases (days) DT non-litiglous civil (and commercial) cases (days) DT non-litiglous land registry cases (days) DT non-litiglous land registry cases (days) DT non-litiglous business registry	NA NA NAP	NA NA NAP	132 NA NAP	115 74 NAP	121 66 NAP	124 68 NAP	110 65 NAP	110 62 NAP	NA NA NAP	-8.1% NA NAP	-9.4% -2.6% NAP	-11.8% -4.2% NAP	0.3% -4.9% NAF
performance indicators (Disposition Time) DT litigious civil (and commercial) cases (days) DT non-litigious civil (and commercial) cases (days) DT non-litigious land registry cases (days) DT non-litigious business registry cases (days) DT administrative law cases (days) First instance pending cases per 100	NA NA NAP	NA NA NAP NAP	132 NA NAP NAP	115 74 NAP NAP	121 66 NAP NAP	124 68 NAP NAP	110 65 NAP NAP	110 62 NAP NAP	NA NA NAP NAP	-8.1% NA NAP	-9.4% -2.6% NAP	-11.8% -4.2% NAP	0.3% -4.9% NAF
performance indicators (Disposition Time) DT litigious civil (and commercial) cases (days) DT non-litigious civil (and commercial) cases (days) DT non-litigious land registry cases (days) DT non-litigious business registry cases (days) DT non-litigious business registry cases (days) DT administrative law cases (days) First instance pending cases per 100 inhab. on 31 dec. Litigious civil (and commercial)	NA NA NAP NAP	NA NA NAP NAP	132 NA NAP NAP 171	115 74 NAP NAP 168	121 66 NAP NAP 178	124 68 NAP NAP 165	110 65 NAP NAP 200	110 62 NAP NAP 215	NA NA NAP NAP 32.0%	-8.1% NA NAP NAP 4.1%	-9.4% -2.6% NAP NAP	-11.8% -4.2% NAP NAP 20.9%	0.3% -4.9% NAF NAF
performance indicators (Disposition Time) DT litiglous civil (and commercial) cases (days) DT non-litiglous civil (and commercial) cases (days) DT non-litiglous land registry cases (days) DT non-litiglous business registry cases (days) DT non-litiglous business registry cases (days) DT administrative law cases (days) First instance pending cases per 100 inhab. on 31 dec. Litiglous civil (and commercial) cases	NA NA NAP NAP 163	NA NA NAP NAP 164	132 NA NAP NAP 171	115 74 NAP NAP 168	121 66 NAP NAP 178	124 68 NAP NAP 165	110 65 NAP NAP 200	110 62 NAP NAP 215	NA NA NAP NAP 32.0%	-8.1% NA NAP NAP 4.1%	-9.4% -2.6% NAP NAP 11.8%	-11.8% -4.2% NAP NAP 20.9%	0.3% -4.9% NAF NAF 2018-2019
performance indicators (Disposition Time) DT litigious civil (and commercial) cases (days) DT non-litigious civil (and commercial) cases (days) DT non-litigious land registry cases (days) DT non-litigious land registry cases (days) DT non-litigious business registry cases (days) DT administrative law cases (days) First instance pending cases per 100 inhab, on 31 dec. Litigious civil (and commercial) cases	NA NAP NAP 163 2012	NA NAP NAP 164 2013	132 NA NAP NAP 171 2014	115 74 NAP NAP 168 2015	121 66 NAP NAP 178 2016	124 68 NAP NAP 165 2017	110 65 NAP NAP 200 2018	110 62 NAP NAP 215 2019	NA NAP NAP 32.0% 2012-2019	-8.1% NA NAP NAP 4.1% 2014-2016	-9.4% -2.6% NAP NAP 11.8% 2016-2018	-11.8% -4.2% NAP NAP 20.9% 2017-2018	0.3% -4.9% NAF NAF 2018-2019
performance indicators (Disposition Time) DT litigious civil (and commercial) cases (days) DT non-litigious civil (and commercial) cases (days) DT non-litigious land registry cases (days) DT non-litigious business registry cases (days) DT administrative law cases (days) First instance pending cases per 100 inhab. on 31 dec. Litigious civil (and commercial) cases	NA NAP NAP 163 2012 NA	NA NAP NAP 164 2013 NA	132 NA NAP NAP 171 2014	115 74 NAP NAP 168 2015 0.30 1.18	121 66 NAP NAP 178 2016	124 68 NAP NAP 165 2017 0.29	110 65 NAP NAP 200 2018 0.24	110 62 NAP NAP 215 2019	NA NAP NAP NAP 2012-2019 NA	-8.1% NA NAP NAP 4.1% 2014-2016 -11.5% NA	-9.4% -2.6% NAP NAP 11.8% 2016-2018 -24.7% -3.9%	-11.8% -4.2% NAP NAP 20.9% 2017-2018 -18.4%	0.3% -4.9% NAF NAF 2018-2019
performance indicators (Disposition Time) DT litiglous civil (and commercial) cases (days) DT non-litiglous civil (and commercial) cases (days) DT non-litiglous land registry cases (days) DT non-litiglous business registry cases (days) DT administrative law cases (days) DT administrative law cases (days) First instance pending cases per 100 inhab. on 31 dec. Litiglous civil (and commercial) cases Non-litiglous civil (and commercial) cases	NA NAP NAP 163 2012 NA NAP	NA NAP NAP 164 2013 NA NAP	132 NA NAP NAP 171 2014	115 74 NAP NAP 168 2015 0.30 1.18 NAP	121 66 NAP NAP 178 2016 0.32 1.04	124 68 NAP NAP 165 2017 0.29 1.06	110 65 NAP NAP 200 2018 0.24 1.00	110 62 NAP NAP 215 2019 0.24 0.94	NA NAP NAP 32.0% 2012-2019 NA NAP	-8.1% NAP NAP A.1% 2014-2016 -11.5% NA	-9.4% NAP NAP 11.8% 2016-2018 -24.7% NAP	-11.8% -4.2% NAP NAP 20.9% 2017-2018 -5.7% NAP	0.3% -4.9% NAF NAF 7.7% 2018-2019 1.5%
performance indicators (Disposition Time) DT litiglous civil (and commercial) cases (days) DT non-litiglous civil (and commercial) cases (days) DT non-litiglous land registry cases (days) DT non-litiglous business registry cases (days) DT administrative law cases (days) DT administrative law cases (days) First instance pending cases per 100 inhab. on 31 dec. Litiglous civil (and commercial) cases Non-litiglous civil (and commercial) cases Non-litiglous land registry cases Non-litiglous land registry cases	NA NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAA	NA NAP NAP NAP NAP NAA NAA NAA	132 NA NAP NAP 171 2014 0.36 NA	115 74 NAP NAP 168 2015 0.30 1.18 NAP NAP	121 66 NAP NAP 178 2016 0.32 1.04 NAP	124 68 NAP NAP 165 2017 0.29 1.06 NAP	110 65 NAP NAP 200 2018 0.24 1.00 NAP	110 62 NAP NAP 215 2019 0.24 0.94 NAP	NA NAP NAP NAP 32.0% 2012-2019 NA NAP NAP	-8.1% NA NAP NAP 4.1% 2014-2016 41.5% NA NAP NAP	-9.4% -2.6%	-11.8% -4.2% NAP NAP 20.9% 2017-2018 -18.4% -5.7% NAP	0.3% NAF NAF 1.5% 0.6.1% NAF NAF
performance indicators (Disposition Time) DT litiglous civil (and commercial) cases (days) DT non-litiglous civil (and commercial) cases (days) DT non-litiglous land registry cases (days) DT non-litiglous business registry cases (days) DT administrative law cases (days) First instance pending cases per 100 inhab. on 31 dec. Litiglous civil (and commercial) cases Non-litiglous civil (and commercial) cases Non-litiglous land registry cases Non-litiglous business registry cases Administrative law cases Second instance performance indicators (Clearence Rate)	NA NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAA	NA NAP NAP NAP NAP NAA NAA NAA	132 NA NAP NAP 171 2014 0.36 NA	115 74 NAP NAP 168 2015 0.30 1.18 NAP NAP	121 66 NAP NAP 178 2016 0.32 1.04 NAP	124 68 NAP NAP 165 2017 0.29 1.06 NAP	110 65 NAP NAP 200 2018 0.24 1.00 NAP	110 62 NAP NAP 215 2019 0.24 0.94 NAP	NA NAP NAP NAP 32.0% 2012-2019 NA NAP NAP	-8.1% NA NAP NAP 4.1% 2014-2016 41.5% NA NAP NAP	-9.4% -2.6%	-11.8% -4.2% NAP NAP 20.9% 2017-2018 -18.4% -5.7% NAP	0.3% NAF NAF 1.5% 0.6.1% NAF NAF
performance indicators (Disposition Time) DT litigious civil (and commercial) cases (days) DT non-litigious civil (and commercial) cases (days) DT non-litigious land registry cases (days) DT non-litigious business registry cases (days) DT administrative law cases (days) First instance pending cases per 100 inhab. on 31 dec. Litigious civil (and commercial) cases Non-litigious civil (and commercial) cases Non-litigious land registry cases Non-litigious business registry cases Administrative law cases	NA NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP 0.30	NA NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NA NA NA NAP NAP	132 NA NAP NAP 171 2014 0.36 NA NAP 0.30	115 74 NAP NAP 168 2015 0.30 1.18 NAP NAP 0.28	121 66 NAP NAP 178 2016 0.32 1.04 NAP 0.31	124 68 NAP NAP 165 2017 0.29 1.06 NAP NAP 0.28	110 65 NAP 200 2018 0.24 1.00 NAP NAP	110 62 NAP NAP 215 2019 0.24 0.94 NAP 0.34	NA NAP NAP 32.0% 2012-2019 NA NAP NAP NAP NAP	-8.1% NA NAP NAP 4.1% 2014-2016 11.5% NA NAP NAP	-9.4% -2.6%	-11.8% -4.2% NAP NAP 20.9% 2017-2018 -18.4% NAP NAP NAP	0.3% NAF NAF 2018-2019 1.5% NAF NAF
performance indicators (Disposition Time) DT litiglous civil (and commercial) cases (days) DT non-litiglous civil (and commercial) cases (days) DT non-litiglous land registry cases (days) DT non-litiglous business registry cases (days) DT administrative law cases (days) First instance pending cases per 100 inhab. on 31 dec. Litiglous civil (and commercial) cases Non-litiglous civil (and commercial) cases Non-litiglous business registry cases Administrative law cases Second instance performance indicators (Clearence Rate) CR litiglous civil (and commercial) CR litiglous civil (and commercial)	NA NAP NAP 163 2012 NA NAP NAP 0.30	NA NAP NAP 164 2013 NA NAP NAP 0.30	132 NA NAP NAP 171 2014 0.36 NA NAP 0.30	115 74 NAP NAP 168 2015 0.30 1.18 NAP NAP 0.28	121 66 NAP NAP 178 2016 0.32 1.04 NAP 0.31	124 68 NAP NAP 165 2017 0.29 1.06 NAP NAP 0.28	110 65 NAP NAP 200 2018 0.24 1.00 NAP NAP 0.30	110 62 NAP NAP 215 2019 0.24 0.94 NAP 0.34	NA NAP NAP 32.0% 2012-2019 NA NAP NAP 12.5%	-8.1% NA NAP NAP 4.1% 2014-2016 -11.5% NA NAP NAP 2.1%	-9.4% -2.6%	-11.8% -4.2% NAP NAP 20.9% 2017-2018 -18.4% -5.7% NAP NAP 9.0%	0.3% NAF NAF NAF 1.5% 1.8% (in points)
performance indicators (Disposition Time) DT litiglous civil (and commercial) cases (days) DT non-litiglous civil (and commercial) cases (days) DT non-litiglous land registry cases (days) DT non-litiglous business registry cases (days) DT administrative law cases (days) DT administrative law cases (days) First instance pending cases per 100 inhab. on 31 dec. Litiglous civil (and commercial) cases Non-litiglous civil (and commercial) cases Non-litiglous land registry cases Non-litiglous land registry cases Administrative law cases Second instance performance indicators (Clearence Rate) CR litigious civil (and commercial) cases CR administrative law cases	NA NA NAP NAP 163 2012 NA NAP NAP 0.30	NA NAP NAP 164 2013 NA NAP NAP 0.30	132 NA NAP NAP 171 2014 0.36 NA NAP 0.30	115 74 NAP NAP 168 2015 0.30 1.18 NAP 0.28	121 66 NAP NAP 178 2016 0.32 1.04 NAP 0.31	124 68 NAP NAP 165 2017 0.29 1.06 NAP NAP 0.28	110 65 NAP NAP 200 2018 0.24 1.00 NAP NAP 0.30	110 62 NAP NAP 215 2019 0.24 0.94 NAP 0.34	NA NAP NAP 32.0% 2012-2019 NA NAP NAP 12.5% (in points) NA	-8.1% NA NAP NAP 14.1% 2014-2016 11.5% NA NAP NAP NAP 2.1%	-9.4% -2.6% NAP NAP 11.8% 2016-2018 -24.7% -3.9% NAP NAP -2.7% (in points)	-11.8% -4.2% NAP NAP 20.9% 2017-2018 -16.4% -5.7% NAP NAP 9.0%	0.3% NAF NAF NAF 1.5% NAF
performance indicators (Disposition Time) DT litigious civil (and commercial) cases (days) DT non-litigious civil (and commercial) cases (days) DT non-litigious land registry cases (days) DT non-litigious business registry cases (days) DT administrative law cases (days) DT administrative law cases (days) First instance pending cases per 100 inhab. on 31 dec. Litigious civil (and commercial) cases Non-litigious civil (and commercial) cases Non-litigious land registry cases Non-litigious land registry cases Administrative law cases Second instance performance indicators (Clearence Rate) CR litigious civil (and commercial) cases CR administrative law cases Second instance performance indicators (Disposition Time) DT litigious civil (and commercial)	NA NA NAP NAP 163 2012 NA NAP NAP 0.30 2012 NA NAP NAP 0.30	NA NAP NAP 164 2013 NA NAP NAP 0.30 2013 NA	132 NA NAP NAP 171 2014 0.36 NA NAP 0.30 2014 NA	115 74 NAP NAP 168 2015 0.30 1.18 NAP 0.28 2015 NA 93%	121 66 NAP NAP 178 2016 0.32 1.04 NAP 0.31 2016 NA	124 68 NAP NAP 165 2017 0.29 1.06 NAP NAP 0.28 2017 NA	110 65 NAP NAP 200 2018 0.24 1.00 NAP 0.30 2018 NA	110 62 NAP NAP 215 2019 0.24 0.94 NAP 0.34 2019 NA	NA NAP NAP 32.0% 2012-2019 NA NAP NAP 12.5% (in points) NA	-8.1% NA NAP NAP 14.1% 2014-2016 -11.5% NA NAP NAP 12.1% (in points) NA	-9.4% -2.6%	-11.8% -4.2% NAP NAP 20.9% 2017-2018 -16.4% -5.7% NAP NAP 9.0%	0.3% NAF NAF NAF 1.5% NAF NAF 1.5% NAF NAF NAF NAF 1.8%
performance indicators (Disposition Time) DT litigious civil (and commercial) cases (days) DT non-litigious civil (and commercial) cases (days) DT non-litigious land registry cases (days) DT non-litigious land registry cases (days) DT administrative law cases (days) Ensurement of the litigious business registry cases (days) DT administrative law cases (days) First instance pending cases per 100 inhab. on 31 dec. Litigious civil (and commercial) cases Non-litigious civil (and commercial) cases Non-litigious land registry cases Non-litigious business registry cases Non-litigious business registry cases Administrative law cases Second instance performance indicators (Clearence Rato) CR litigious civil (and commercial) cases CR administrative law cases	NA NA NAP NAP 163 2012 NA NAP NAP 0.30 2012 NA 99%	NA NAP NAP 164 2013 NA NA NAP 0.30 2013 NA 90%	132 NA NAP NAP 171 2014 0.36 NA NAP 0.30 2014 NA	115 74 NAP NAP 168 2015 0.30 1.18 NAP 0.28 2015 NAP 93%	121 66 NAP NAP 178 2016 0.32 1.04 NAP 0.31 2016 NA 103%	124 68 NAP NAP 165 2017 0.29 1.06 NAP 0.28 2017 NA 99%	110 65 NAP NAP 200 2018 0.24 1.00 NAP 0.30 2018 NA	110 62 NAP NAP 215 2019 0.24 0.94 NAP 0.34 2019 NA	NA NAP NAP NAP 32.0% 2012-2019 NA NAP NAP (in points) NA 1.21	-8.1% NA NAP NAP 11.5% NA NAP 12014-2016 11.5% NA NAP NAP 1.1% 1.64 1.64	-9.4% -2.6% NAP NAP 11.8% 2016-2018 -24.7% -3.5% NAP NAP -2.7% (in points) NA 5.35	-11.8% -4.2% NAP NAP 20.9% 2017-2018 -18.4% -5.7% NAP NAP 9.0% (in points) NA 9.43	0.3% NAF NAF NAF 1.5% 1.5% 1.6.1% NAF NAF NAF 1.8% 2018-2019
performance indicators (Disposition Time) DT litigious civil (and commercial) cases (days) DT non-litigious civil (and commercial) cases (days) DT non-litigious land registry cases (days) DT non-litigious business registry cases (days) DT administrative law cases (days) DT administrative law cases (days) First instance pending cases per 100 inhab. on 31 dec. Litigious civil (and commercial) cases Non-litigious civil (and commercial) cases Non-litigious civil (and commercial) cases Non-litigious business registry cases Administrative law cases Second instance performance indicators (Clearence Rate) CR litigious civil (and commercial) cases CR administrative law cases Second instance performance indicators (Disposition Time) DT litigious civil (and commercial) cases (days) DT administrative law cases (days)	NA NA NAP NAP 163 2012 NA NAP NAP 0.30 2012 NA 99% 2012 NA 440	NA NAP NAP 164 2013 NA NAP NAP 0.30 2013 NA 90% 2013 NA 478	132 NA NAP NAP 171 2014 0.36 NA NAP 0.30 2014 NA 101%	115 74 NAP NAP 168 2015 0.30 1.18 NAP 0.28 2015 NA 93% 2015 NA 427	121 66 NAP NAP 178 2016 0.32 1.04 NAP 0.31 2016 NA 103% 2016 NA 348	124 68 NAP NAP 165 2017 0 29 1.06 NAP 0.28 2017 NA 99% 444	110 65 NAP NAP 200 2018 0.24 1.00 NAP 0.30 2018 NA 108%	110 62 NAP NAP 215 2019 0.24 0.94 NAP 0.34 2019 NA 100%	NA NAP NAP 32.0% 2012-2019 NA NAP 12.5% (in points) NA 1.21 2012-2019 NA 8.3%	-8.1% NA NAP NAP 11.5% NA NAP 2014-2016 11.64 11.64 2014-2016 NA 2014-2016 NA	-9.4% -2.6% NAP NAP 11.8% 2016-2018 -24.7% -3.9% NAP NAP -2.7% (in points) NA 5.35 2016-2018 NA	-11.8% -4.2% NAP NAP 20.9% 2017-2018 -18.4% -5.7% NAP 9.0% (in points) NA 9.43 2017-2018 NA	0.3% NAF NAF NAF NAF 11.5% 1.5% 1.5% 0.1% 1.5% 1.5% NAF NAF 11.8% NAF 11.8%
performance indicators (Disposition Time) DT litigious civil (and commercial) cases (days) DT non-litigious civil (and commercial) cases (days) DT non-litigious land registry cases (days) DT non-litigious business registry cases (days) DT administrative law cases (days) DT administrative law cases (days) First instance pending cases per 100 inhab. on 31 dec. Litigious civil (and commercial) cases Non-litigious civil (and commercial) cases Non-litigious land registry cases Non-litigious land registry cases Administrative law cases Second instance performance indicators (Clearence Rate) CR litigious civil (and commercial) cases CR administrative law cases Second instance performance indicators (Disposition Time) DT litigious vivil (and commercial) cases (days) DT administrative law cases (days) Supreme Court performance indicators (Clearence Rate)	NA NA NAP NAP 163 2012 NA NAP 0.30 2012 NA 99%	NA NAP NAP 164 2013 NA NAP NAP 0.30 2013 NA 90%	132 NA NAP NAP 171 2014 0.36 NA NAP 0.30 2014 NA 101%	115 74 NAP NAP 168 2015 0.30 1.18 NAP 0.28 2015 NA 93%	121 66 NAP NAP 178 2016 0.32 1.04 NAP 0.31 2016 NA 103%	124 68 NAP NAP 165 2017 0.29 1.06 NAP 0.28 2017 NA 99%	110 65 NAP NAP 200 2018 0.24 1.00 NAP 0.30 2018 NA 437	110 62 NAP NAP 215 2019 0.24 0.94 NAP 0.34 2019 NA 476	NA NAP NAP 32.0% 2012-2019 NA NAP 12.5% (in points) NA 1.21 2012-2019 NA 8.3%	-8.1% NA NAP NAP 11.5% NA NAP 2014-2016 11.64 11.64 2014-2016 NA 2014-2016 NA	-9.4% -2.6% NAP NAP 11.8% 2016-2018 -24.7% -3.5% NAP NAP -2.7% (in points) NA 5.35	-11.8% -4.2% NAP NAP 20.9% 2017-2018 -18.4% -5.7% NAP 9.0% (in points) NA 9.43 2017-2018 NA	0.3% NAF NAF NAF 1.5% -6.1% NAF NAF NAF NAF NAF 11.8% NAF
performance indicators (Disposition Time) DT litigious civil (and commercial) cases (days) DT non-litigious civil (and commercial) cases (days) DT non-litigious land registry cases (days) DT non-litigious land registry cases (days) DT administrative law cases registry cases (days) DT administrative law cases (days) First instance pending cases per 100 inhab. on 31 dec. Litigious civil (and commercial) cases Non-litigious civil (and commercial) cases Non-litigious land registry cases Non-litigious land registry cases Non-litigious business registry cases Non-litigious civil (and commercial) cases CR administrative law cases Second instance performance indicators (Clearence Rate) CR litigious civil (and commercial) cases Second instance performance indicators (Disposition Time) DT litigious civil (and commercial) cases (days) DT administrative law cases (days) Supreme Court performance indicators (Clearence Rate) CR litigious civil (and commercial) cases (days)	NA NA NAP NAP 163 2012 NA NA NAP 0.30 2012 NA 440 2012 NA	NA NAP NAP 164 2013 NA NAP NAP 0.30 2013 NA 90% 2013 NA 478	132 NA NAP NAP 171 2014 0.36 NA NAP 0.30 2014 NA 101% 2014 NA 411	115 74 NAP NAP 168 2015 0.30 1.18 NAP 0.28 2015 NA 93% 2015 NA 427	121 66 NAP NAP 178 2016 0.32 1.04 NAP 0.31 2016 NA 103% 2016 NA 348	124 68 NAP NAP 165 2017 0.29 1.06 NAP 0.28 2017 NA 99% 2017 NA 444	110 65 NAP NAP 200 2018 0.24 1.00 NAP 0.30 2018 NA 108% 2018 NA 437 2018	110 62 NAP NAP 215 2019 0.24 0.94 NAP 0.34 2019 NA 476	NA NAP NAP NAP 32.0% 2012-2019 NA NAP NAP 12.5% (in points) NA 1.21 2012-2019 NA 8.3%	-8.1% NA NAP NAP 14.1% 2014-2016 -11.5% NA NAP NAP 2.1% (in points) NA 2014-2016 NA 2014-2016 (in points) NA	-9.4% -2.6% NAP NAP -24.7% -3.9% NAP -2.7% (in points) NA -5.35 2016-2018 NA 25.4% 2016-2018 (in points) NA	-11.8% -4.2% NAP NAP 20.9% 2017-2018 -5.7% NAP -5.7% NAP -1.7% NA -1.7% 2017-2018 NA -1.7% NA -1.7%	0.3% NAF NAF NAF 1.5% 1.5% 1.6.1% NAF 1.8% NAF 2018-2019 NAF 9.0% 2018-2019 (in points)
performance indicators (Disposition Time) DT itigious civil (and commercial) cases (days) DT non-litigious civil (and commercial) cases (days) DT non-litigious land registry cases (days) DT administrative law cases (days) First instance pending cases per 100 inhab. on 31 dec. Litigious civil (and commercial) cases Non-litigious civil (and commercial) cases Non-litigious civil (and commercial) cases Non-litigious business registry cases Administrative law cases Second instance performance indicators (Clearence Rato) CR litigious civil (and commercial) cases Second instance performance indicators (Disposition Time) DT litigious civil (and commercial) cases (days) Supreme Court performance indicators (Clearence Rato) CR litigious civil (and commercial) cases (days) Supreme Court performance indicators (Clearence Rato) CR litigious civil (and commercial)	NA NA NAP NAP 163 2012 NA NAP NAP 0.30 2012 NA 99% 2012 NA 440	NA NAP NAP 164 2013 NA NAP NAP 0.30 2013 NA 90% 2013 NA 478	132 NA NAP NAP 171 2014 0.36 NA NAP 0.30 2014 NA 101% 2014 NA 411	115 74 NAP NAP 168 2015 0.30 1.18 NAP 0.28 2015 NA 93% 2015 NA 427	121 66 NAP NAP 178 2016 0.32 1.04 NAP 0.31 2016 NA 103% 2016 NA 348	124 68 NAP NAP 165 2017 0.29 1.06 NAP 0.28 2017 NA 99% 2017 NA 444	110 65 NAP NAP 200 2018 0.24 1.00 NAP 0.30 2018 NA 437	110 62 NAP NAP 215 2019 0.24 0.94 NAP 0.34 2019 NA 476	NA NAP NAP 32.0% 2012-2019 NA NAP 12.5% (in points) NA 1.21 2012-2019 NA 8.3%	-8.1% NA NAP NAP 14.1% 2014-2016 11.5% NA NAP NAP 2.1% (in points) NA 2014-2016 NA 2014-2016 (in points)	-9.4% -2.6% NAP NAP -211-8% -24.7% -3.9% NAP -2.7% (in points) NA -5.35 2016-2018 NA -25.4%	-11.8% -4.2% NAP NAP 20.9% 2017-2018 -5.7% NAP NAP 9.0% (in points) NA 11.7% 2017-2018 (in points)	0.3% NAF NAF NAF NAF 1.5% 1.5% 1.8% NAF 2018-2019 NV 9.0% 2018-2019 (in points)
performance indicators (Disposition Time) DT litigious civil (and commercial) cases (days) DT non-litigious civil (and commercial) cases (days) DT non-litigious land registry cases (days) DT non-litigious land registry cases (days) DT non-litigious land registry cases (days) DT non-litigious business registry cases (days) DT administrative law cases (days) First instance pending cases per 100 inhab. on 31 dec. Litigious civil (and commercial) cases Non-litigious civil (and commercial) cases Non-litigious land registry cases Non-litigious land registry cases Non-litigious business registry cases Non-litigious business registry cases CR administrative law cases Second instance performance indicators (Clearence Rate) CR administrative law cases Second instance performance indicators (Disposition Time) DT litigious civil (and commercial) cases (days) DT administrative law cases (days) Supreme Court performance indicators (Clearence Rate) CR litigious civil (and commercial) cases (CR) CR litigious civil (and commercial) cases CR administrative law cases	NA NA NAP NAP NAP 163 2012 NA NA NAP 0.30 2012 NA 99% 2012 NA 440 2012 NA	NA NAP NAP 164 2013 NA NAP NAP 0.30 2013 NA 478	132 NA NAP NAP 171 2014 0.36 NA NAP 0.30 2014 NA 101% 2014 NA 411 2014 NA	115 74 NAP NAP 168 2015 0.30 1.18 NAP 0.28 2015 NA 93% 2015 NA 427 2015 NA	121 66 NAP NAP 178 2016 0.32 1.04 NAP 0.31 2016 NA 103% 2016 NA 348	124 68 NAP NAP 165 2017 0.29 1.06 NAP 0.28 2017 NA 99% 2017 NA 444 2017 NA	110 65 NAP NAP 200 2018 0.24 1.00 NAP 0.30 2018 NA 437 2018 100% 87%	110 62 NAP NAP 215 2019 0.24 0.94 NAP 0.34 2019 NA 476 2019 84% 80%	NA NAP NAP NAP 32.0% 2012-2019 NA NAP NAP 12.5% (in points) NA 1.21 2012-2019 NA 8.3% 2012-2019 (in points) NA NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP	-8.1% NA NAP NAP 14.1% 2014-2016 11.5% NA NAP NAP 2.1% (in points) NA 2014-2016 NA 2014-2016 (in points) NA NA	-9.4% -2.6% NAP NAP -11.8% -24.7% -3.9% NAP -2.7% (in points) NA -5.35 -2016-2018 NA -25.4% 2016-2018 (in points) NA -25.4%	-11.8% -4.2% NAP NAP 20.9% 2017-2018 -5.7% NAP NAP 9.0% (in points) NA 11.7% 2017-2018 (in points) NA 11.7%	0.3% NAF NAF NAF NAF 1.5% 1.5% 1.6.1% NAF NAF 2018-2019 1.6.3% 2018-2019 NAF 9.0% 2018-2019 Inpoints)
performance indicators (Disposition Time) DT litigious civil (and commercial) cases (days) DT non-litigious civil (and commercial) cases (days) DT non-litigious land registry cases (days) DT administrative law cases (days) First instance pending cases per 100 inhab. on 31 dec. Litigious civil (and commercial) cases Non-litigious civil (and commercial) cases Non-litigious civil (and commercial) cases Non-litigious business registry cases Administrative law cases Second instance performance indicators (Clearence Rate) CR litigious civil (and commercial) cases CR administrative law cases Second instance performance indicators (Disposition Time) DT litigious civil (and commercial) cases (days) DT administrative law cases (days) Supreme Court performance indicators (Clearence Rate) CR litigious civil (and commercial) cases (days) CR litigious civil (and commercial) cases	NA NA NAP NAP 163 2012 NA NAP NAP 0.30 2012 NA 440 2012 NA NA	NA NAP NAP 164 2013 NA NAP NAP 0.30 2013 NA 90% 2013 NA 478	132 NA NAP NAP 171 2014 0.36 NA NAP 0.30 2014 NA 101% 2014 NA 411 2014 NA 98%	115 74 NAP NAP 168 2015 0.30 1.18 NAP 0.28 2015 NA 93% 2015 NA 427 2015 NA NA	121 66 NAP NAP 178 2016 0.32 1.04 NAP 0.31 2016 NA 103% 2016 NA 348 2016 NA NA	124 68 NAP NAP 165 2017 0.29 1.06 NAP 0.28 2017 NA 99% 2017 NA 444 2017 NA NA	110 65 NAP NAP 200 2018 0.24 1.00 NAP 0.30 2018 NA 437 2018 100% 87%	110 62 NAP NAP 215 2019 0.24 0.94 NAP NAP 0.34 2019 NA 476 2019 84% 80%	NA NAP NAP NAP 32.0% 2012-2019 NA NAP NAP 12.5% (in points) NA 1.21 2012-2019 NA 8.3% 2012-2019 (in points) NA NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP	-8.1% NA NAP NAP 11.5% NA NAP NAP 2014-2016 NA 2014-2016 NA NA 2014-2016 (in points) NA NA 2014-2016 (in points)	-9.4% NAP NAP 11.8% 2016-2018 -24.7% -3.9% NAP NAP -2.7% (In points) NA 5.35 2016-2018 NA 25.4% NA 2016-2018 (In points) NA	-11.8% -4.2% NAP NAP 20.9% 2017-2018 -18.4% -5.7% NAP NAP 9.0% (In points) NA 2017-2018 NA 1.7% NA 2017-2018 (In points) NA	0.3% NAF NAF NAF 1.5% -6.1% NAF NAF 1.8% (In points) NA 2018-2019 NA 2018-2019 NA 2018-2019 1.6.36 2018-2019
performance indicators (Disposition Time) DT litigious civil (and commercial) cases (days) DT non-litigious civil (and commercial) cases (days) DT non-litigious land registry cases (days) DT non-litigious land registry cases (days) DT non-litigious business registry cases (days) DT non-litigious business registry cases (days) DT administrative law cases (days) First instance pending cases per 100 inhab. on 31 dec. Litigious civil (and commercial) cases Non-litigious civil (and commercial) cases Non-litigious land registry cases Non-litigious business registry cases Non-litigious business registry cases Administrative law cases Second instance performance indicators (Clearence Rate) CR litigious civil (and commercial) cases Second instance performance indicators (Disposition Time) DT litigious civil (and commercial) cases (days) DT administrative law cases (days) Supreme Court performance indicators (Clearence Rate) CR administrative law cases CR administrative law cases Supreme Court performance indicators (Disposition Time) Supreme court performance indicators (Disposition Time)	NA NA NAP NAP NAP 163 2012 NA NA NAP 0.30 2012 NA 99% 2012 NA 440 2012 NA	NA NAP NAP 164 2013 NA NAP NAP 0.30 2013 NA 478	132 NA NAP NAP 171 2014 0.36 NA NAP 0.30 2014 NA 101% 2014 NA 411 2014 NA	115 74 NAP NAP 168 2015 0.30 1.18 NAP 0.28 2015 NA 93% 2015 NA 427 2015 NA	121 66 NAP NAP 178 2016 0.32 1.04 NAP 0.31 2016 NA 103% 2016 NA 348	124 68 NAP NAP 165 2017 0.29 1.06 NAP 0.28 2017 NA 99% 2017 NA 444 2017 NA	110 65 NAP NAP 200 2018 0.24 1.00 NAP 0.30 2018 NA 437 2018 100% 87%	110 62 NAP NAP 215 2019 0.24 0.94 NAP 0.34 2019 NA 476 2019 84% 80%	NA NAP NAP NAP 32.0% 2012-2019 NA NAP NAP 12.5% (in points) NA 1.21 2012-2019 NA 8.3% 2012-2019 (in points) NA NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP	-8.1% NA NAP NAP 14.1% 2014-2016 11.5% NA NAP NAP 2.1% (in points) NA 2014-2016 NA 2014-2016 (in points) NA NA	-9.4% -2.6% NAP NAP -11.8% -24.7% -3.9% NAP -2.7% (in points) NA -5.35 -2016-2018 NA -25.4% 2016-2018 (in points) NA -25.4%	-11.8% -4.2% NAP NAP 20.9% 2017-2018 -5.7% NAP NAP 9.0% (in points) NA 11.7% 2017-2018 (in points) NA 11.7%	0.3% NAF NAF NAF NAF 1.5% 1.5% 1.6.1% NAF NAF 2018-2019 1.6.3% 2018-2019 NAF 9.0% 2018-2019 Inpoints)

1. Presentation of the functioning of the judicial system in Netherlands

Since 2013 and following the implementation of the reform related to the reorganization of the judicial map, the number of district courts which are first instance courts of general jurisdiction was reduced from 19 to 11. Moreover, this reform resulted in the closure of sub-district court locations due to which the number of geographic locations decreased from 64 to 40.

Besides, there are 1 Central Appeal Tribunal, 4 General Appeal (second instance) courts, 1 Trade and Industry Appeals Tribunal (special administrative court, which rules on disputes in the area of social-economic administrative law and other specific laws, such as competition law) and 1 Supreme Court.





There is only one specialized first instance court, namely the Trade and Industry Appeals Tribunal, also known as Administrative High Court for Trade and Industry.

The other specialized jurisdictions are not legal entities (Natte kamer, Ondernemingskamer, Militaire kamer) but only chambers within the courts.

There is no separate military court, but there is a military chamber in one of the district courts.

2. Resources of justice and courts framework in Netherlands

• Budget allocated to the whole justice system (Q15-1):

This budget includes the following budgetary elements:

- Court budget
- · Legal aid budget
- · Public prosecution services budget
- Prison system
- Probation services
- · Council of the judiciary
- · Constitutionnal court
- Judicial management body
- · State advocacy
- · Enforcement services
- Notariat
- · Forensic services
- Judicial protection of juveniles
- · Functioning of the Ministry of Justice
- · Refugees and asylum seekers service
- · Immigration services
- Some police services
- Other services

12,899,909,000 €

Approved

Implemented: 13,662,272,000 €




The category "other services" refers to: Raad van State - it is not part of the Ministry of Justice and Safety annual budget, but falls under 'Boek II - Overige hoge colleges van staat' (Book II - Other High colleges of State); police and secret service.

• Human resources

Judges

Evolution of the number of professional judges since 2012 (Q46)

Year	Absolute number	Per 100 000 inhabitants	Male	Female
2012	2,410	14.36	46.6%	53.4%
2013	2,378	14.13	44.5%	55.5%
2014	2,359	13.96	43.7%	56.3%
2015	2,357	13.88	43.5%	56.5%
2016	2,331	13.65	42.4%	57.6%
2017	2,538	14.77	NA	NA
2018	2,522	14.59	39.9%	60.1%
2019	2,523	14.49	39.6%	60.4%




According to 2019 data, the total number of professional judges sitting in courts (all instances) in the Netherlands is 2 523 which is almost the same figure than in previous cycle (2 522).

More precisely, in the Netherlands, in 2019 there are 14,5 professional judges per 100 000 inhabitants (this figure is below the EU median of 24,5 judges per 100 000 inhabitants) and about 3,1 non-judge staff per judge (in previous cycle this ratio was at 3,0 non-judge staff per judge).

Absolute number of professional judges by instance and gender

2019	Total number of professional judges	Male	Female
1st instance courts	1,906	693	1,213
2nd instance courts	582	286	296
Supreme courts	35	20	15
Total	2,523	999	1,524






Distribution of professional judges by

Distribution of professional judges by instance and gender

2019	Total number of professional judges	Male	Female
1st instance courts	75.5%	36.4%	63.6%
2nd instance courts	23.1%	49.1%	50.9%
Supreme courts	1.4%	57.1%	42.9%



The total number of female professional judges (all instances), in this cycle is 1 524 which represents 60,4% of the total number of iudaes.

The total number of judges is distributed among the different judicial instances in the following way: 1 906 are sitting in first instance courts (among which 1 213 are female); 582 are sitting in second instance courts (among which 296 are female) and 35 are sitting in Supreme Court (among which 15 are female).

As regards the distribution of the number of judges among the different judicial instances, it should be noted that since 2010 the provided numbers include court presidents. Besides, the number of first instance judges encompasses judges 'overig RA' that cannot be assigned solely to 1st or 2nd instance.

In Netherlands, training of judges requirements are broken down as follows:

- · Initial training:
- · General in-service training:
- In-service training for specialised judicial functions:
- \circ In-service training for management functions of the court:
- In-service training for the use of computer facilities in courts:
- · In-service training on ethics:

Compulsory	Optiona
√	
√	
√	√
√	√
√	√
	√

Number of training courses orgnised are:

	courses in days organised, without e- learning	courses available during the reference year (e-learning)
Total	421	45
1. Only for judges	132	NA
2. Only for prosecutors	NA	NA
3. Only for other non-judge staff	NA	NA
4. Only for other non-prosecutor staff	NA	NA
5. Other common training	NA	NA

SSR reports that this format is difficult to answer. The organisation reports they offer learning activities for judges, public prosecutors and non-judge/non-prosecutor staff, but that only in the initial education period, are courses offered separately. Because of this, it seems few courses are offered, while annually about 25000 participants are registered.

Additionally, the Public Prosecution reports 211 training courses followed by prosecutors only, and 29 courses using e-learning, and 204 training courses followed by non-prosecutor staff, and 31 courses using e-learning. The duration of these courses vary from part of a day to several days, and were followed at SSR.

Non-judge staff

Year	Total	Rechtspfleger	Non-judge staff assisting the judge	Staff in charge of administrativ e tasks	Technical staff	Other
2012	6,252	NAP	4,847	NA	NA	1,405
2013	7,287	NAP	NA	NA	NA	NA
2014	7,422	NAP	NA	NA	NA	NA
2015	7,265	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
2016	7,317	NAP	NA	NA	NA	NA
2017	7,523	NAP	NA	NA	NA	NA
2018	7,492	NAP	NA	NA	NA	NA
2019	7,699	NAP	NA	NA	NA	NA

In the Netherlands, in this cycle there are 7 699 non-judge staff (among which 5 104 females). Comparison with previous cycle reveals an increase of 2,8%.


Akin to previous cycles, this year only the total of non-judge staff working in courts is available.

In the light of the data relevant for the judges, it is possible to notice that in 2019 the number of non-judge staff per 100 000 inhabitants has increased (from 43,4 in 2018 to 44,2 in 2019).

During the same period, the number of judges per 100 000 inhabitants evolves from 14,6 judges per 100 000 inhabitants in 2018 to 14,5 in 2019.


Professional judges, non-judge staff and their ratio (Q46, Q52)

	Netherlands	EU median
Professional judges	14.5	24.5
Non-judge staff	44.2	57.5
Non-judge staff per judge	3.05	3.3




Evolution of the ratio between professional judges and non-judge staff (Q46, Q52)

	Ratio
2012	2.59
2013	3.06
2014	3.15
2015	3.08
2016	3.14
2017	2.96
2018	2.97
2019	3.05



Salaries of professional judges and prosecutors at beginning of a career and at the highest instance (Q132, Q4)

	Average gross annual salary in €	Average net annual salary in €	Ratio with the annual gross salary
First instance professional judge at the beginning of his/her career	82,113€	52,314 €	1.36
Judge of the Supreme Court or the Highest Appellate Court	NA	NA	NA
Public prosecutor at the beginning of his/her career	NA	NA	NA
Public prosecutor of the Supreme Court or the Highest Appellate Instance	NA	NA	NA



■ Netherlands ■ EU Median

Salary of judge / prosecutor 'at the beginning of career': the salary used is the one for a starting judge / prosecutor, after finalizing a training period of several years. During the training there is a fixed saraly, lower than the salary of a fully functional judge / prosecutor. In the Dutch system, there is the Wet Rechtspositie Rechterlijke Ambtenaren (Law Judicial Position of Magistrates), in which article 7 specifies that for the determination of the salary of magistrates, the different types (e.g. judge, public prosecutor, etc.) of magistrates are appointed to categories. These categories are then used to specify salary categories in the Collective Labour Agreement for this field. Relevant websites: https://wetten.overheid.nl/BWBR0008365/2020-01-01#Hoofdstuk3 https://nvvr.org/cao

Number of Lawyers

Year	Absolute number	Per 100 000 inhabitants
2012	17,068	101.72
2013	17,298	102.79
2014	17,713	104.80
2015	17,343	102.14
2016	17,498	102.44
2017	17,672	102.86
2018	17,784	102.90
2019	17,829	102.42



In 2019, there are 17 829 lawyers, which is 0,3% more than in 2018.

This data of 102,4 lawyers per 100 000 inhabitants in 2019 is below the EU median of 121,3 lawyers per 100 000 inhabitants.

Judicial professionals in absolute number and per 100 000 inhabitants (Q46, Q52, Q146)

Туре	Absolute number	per 100 000 inhabitants	EU Median
Professional judges	2,523	14.49	24.48
Non judge staff	7,699	44.23	57.49
Lawyers	17,829	102.42	121.32



3. Access to justice

∘ Legal aid

The total annual approved public budget to legal aid is 444 400 000 € (25,5 € per capita).

- In other than criminal law cases:

The total annual implemented public budget to legal aid is 417 800 000 € (24,0 € per capita).

The distribution of the total annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid is as follows: **Implemented** Approved 162 400 000 € 152 100 000 € - In criminal law cases: 265 700 000 € - In other than criminal law cases: 282 000 000 € • Annual public budget allocated to legal aid for cases brought to court: NA NA - In criminal law cases: NA NA NA NA - In other than criminal law cases: · Annual public budget allocated to legal aid for cases not brought to court: NA NA NA - In criminal law cases: NA

NA

NA

The Dutch legal aid system encompasses three 'lines' that provide legal aid and constitutes a mixed model consisting of a public preliminary provision, public first-line and private second-line help.

•Firstly, the preliminary provision of the interactive online application called Roadmap to Justice offers digital help to people to find solutions for their legal problems in an interactive manner, initially in the area of divorce. This online platform provides information, objective criteria and self-help tools. With the aid of a reviewer the agreements can be finalized in a divorce settlement. In the near future, after-care will also be possible. The Legal Services Counters also have a website that can be seen as a preliminary provision.

Secondly, the Legal Services Counters (LSC) who are financed by the Legal Aid Board, act as what is commonly known as the 'front office' (primary help). Legal matters are being clarified to clients and information and advice given. If necessary, clients will be referred to other professionals or support agencies. Clients may also be referred to a private lawyer or mediator who acts as the secondary line of legal aid. Clients may also apply for legal aid from a subsidised lawyer or mediator directly.

•Finally, private lawyers and mediators provide legal aid in more complicated or time-consuming matters (secondary help). They are paid by the Legal Aid Board to provide their services to clients of limited means. Generally, they are paid a fixed fee according to the type of case, although exceptions can be made for more extensive cases.

Since 2010 it is possible to get subsidized legal aid for criminal cases that do not go to court. However, for subsidized legal aid in criminal cases it is not possible to make the distinction between "cases brought to court" and "non-litigious cases".

Until 2013 the number of non-litigious criminal cases was negligible. So they were ignored. On the contrary, currently the number of cases is growing and becoming substantial. So they can no longer be ignored, but the actual figures are not available.

It is noteworthy that subsidized legal aid has an open end funding, meaning that all applications that meet the criteria are awarded, regardless of the original budget. Accordingly, the difference between the proposed budget and the implemented one could be contentious.

The budget intended to the Legal Counters (one of the providers of primary legal aid) is not included.

In the Netherlands, legal aid is avaiable for :

Criminal cases

Other than criminal cases

Representation in court:

Legal advice, ADR and other legal services:

of or fees related to enforcement of judicial decisions as fees for enforcement agents. (Q18):

Other costs than above. (Q19):

Legal aid can also be granted for the following costs: travel costs, interpreter and translation costs, administrative costs, medical expert costs in injury cases for which a special regulation exists.

In criminal cases:

Accused individuals are free to chose their lawyers in the frame of legal aid system.

Victims are free to chose their lawyers in the frame of legal aid system.

However, the preferred lawyer has to be registered with the Legal Aid Board.

· Court fees

Litigants have to pay taxes to start a proceeding in other than criminal matters.

A court fee is required in Administrative Law and Civil Law procedures. Only in insolvency cases, child care cases, psychiatric patient cases and asylum cases one does not have to pay a court tax or fee. There are no other exceptions.

$^{\circ}$ Methodology of calculation of court fees

In civil cases, there are fixed fee rates based on the underlying value of the claim. There is flat rate in cases where the value of the claim is undetermined. In civil cases there are different rates for individuals and legal entities.

In administrative cases the rate depends on the type of case and whether it is an individual or legal entity.

There is a fixed flat rate for people with low income.

Since 1 January 2011 the court fee must be paid in advance.

The amount of court fees to commence an action for 3000€ debt recovery is 476€.

The rate of 476 euros is for companies, institutions and organisations. For individuals the rate is 226 euros, and 79 euros for individuals with low income.

3. Efficiency and quality of the judicial system

Court performance

· Clearance Rate (CR) and Disposition Time (DT)


The Clearance Rate shows the capacity of a judicial system to deal with the incoming cases. A Clearance Rate of 100% and higher does not generate backlog.

The Disposition Time determines the estimated number of days necessary for a pending case to be solved in a court.

It is noteworthy that in the Netherlands, it is not possible to say whether incoming or pending cases will be litigious or non-litigious. This distinction can be made retroactively. Accordingly, the number of pending cases at the beginning of the year is not available.

First instance cases


· Total other than criminal cases




The number of incoming cases in 2019 in the Netherlands (6,98 per 100 inhabitants) is slightly below the EU median (7,22 per 100 inhabitants).

Moreoever, the number of resolved cases in 2019 in the Netherlands (6,95 per 100 inhabitants) is also slightly below the EU median (7,27 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of pending cases at the end of 2019 in the Netherlands (1,52 per 100 inhabitants) is below the EU median (2,84 per 100 inhabitants).






With a Clearance Rate calculated at 99,6% in 2019 Netherlands seems to be able to deal with its other than criminal cases.

Between 2018 and 2019, the Clearance Rate has decreased for -1,1 points.

In 2019, other than criminal cases are solved in approximately 80 days, which is below the EU median of 111 days.

Analysis of the 2018 - 2019 period reveals a -0,9% decrease of the Disposition Time.

· Civil (and commercial) litigious cases




0.95 NΑ NA 0.94 0.99 0.36 0.99 0.96 0.30 0.95 0.94 0.95 0.32 0.86 0.85 0.29 0.78 0.79 0.24 0.80 0.80 0.24 1.92 1.73 1.10

At the outset, it should be noticed that the number of insolvency cases cannot be identified separately and is encompassed within the general category of civil and commercial litigious cases. The latter category includes uncontested civil/commercial summons, and civil requests (verzoekschriften), both commercial and family cases.

The number of incoming cases in 2019 in the Netherlands (0,80 per 100 inhabitants) is significantly below the EU median (1,92 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of resolved cases in 2019 in the Netherlands (0,80 per 100 inhabitants) is significantly below the EU median (1,73 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of pending cases at the end of 2019 in the Netherlands (0,24 per 100 inhabitants) is significantly below the EU median (1,10 per 100 inhabitants).



With a Clearance Rate calculated at 100,2% in 2019, Netherlands seems to be able to deal with its civil and commercial litigious cases.


Between 2018 and 2019, the Clearance Rate has decreased for -1,0 points.

In 2019, the civil and commercial litigious cases are solved in approximately 110 days, which is below the EU median of 213 days.

Analysis of the 2018 - 2019 period reveals a 0,3% increase of the Disposition Time.

The number of civil and commercial litigious cases older than 2 years is not available


· Administrative cases



The number of incoming cases in 2019 in the Netherlands (0,61 per 100 inhabitants) is well above the EU median (0,25 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of resolved cases in 2019 in the Netherlands (0,57 per 100 inhabitants) is significantly above the EU median (0,31 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of pending cases at the end of 2019 in the Netherlands (0,34 per 100 inhabitants) is significantly above the EU median (0,19 per 100 inhabitants)



With a Clearance Rate calculated at 93,7% in 2019, Netherlands seems to face some difficulties in dealing with its administrative cases.

Between 2018 and 2019, the Clearance Rate has decreased for -1,5 points.

In 2019, the administrative cases are solved in approximately 215 days, which is below the EU median of 284 days.

Analysis of the 2018 - 2019 period reveals a 7,7% increase of the Disposition Time.

The number of administrative law cases older than 2 years is not available


Insolvency

Insolvency cases	CR (%)	DT (days)
2012		
2013	NA	NA
2014	NA	NA
2015	NA	NA
2016	NA	N.A
2017	NA	NA
2018	NA	NA
2019	NA	NA

The Clearance Rate and the Disposition Time of insolvency cases cannot be calculated.

As mentioned already above, the number of insolvency cases cannot be identified seperately, and is encompassed within the general category of civil and commercial litigious cases.

Overall efficiency by instance and by case matter



Concerning civil and commercial litigious cases, first instance courts seem to be more efficient than the High Court, presenting a Disposition Time considerably below the EU median of 213 days. The High Court is characterised by a relatively high Disposition Time in respect of both civil and commercial litigious cases and administrative cases, each time meaningfully above the EU medians of 217 and 219 days respectively. In administrative matters, first instance courts are also the most efficient instance with a Disposition Time below the EU median of 284 days. The Disposition Time of courts of appeal cannot be calculated in respect of civil and commercial litigious cases, while for administrative cases it is significantly above the EU median of 329 days.

First instance courts seem to be faster with regard to civil and commercial litigious cases compared to administrative cases. As to the High Court, administrative cases are resolved more promptly than civil and commercial litigious cases.

It is notheworthy that concerning Dutch administrative law, there are three other courts that may act as supreme court: the Administrative Jurisdiction Division of the Council of the State, the Administrative Court for Trade and Industry, and the Central Appeals Court for Public Service and Social Security matters. However, cases of these courts are not included in the data provided.


•Alternative dispute resolutions

The judicial system in Netherlands provides court related mediation.

Court-related mediation always implies the intervention of a judge or a public prosecutor who facilitates, advises on, decides on or/and approves the procedure. For example, in civil disputes or divorce cases, judges may refer parties to a mediator if they believe that more satisfactory results can be achieved for both parties. In criminal law cases, a public prosecutor can propose that he/she mediates a case between an offender and a victim (for example to establish a compensation agreement).

The court related mediation system in the Netherlands does not provide mandatory court related mediation.

Mediators	Total	Per 100 000 inhab.
2012	820	4.89
2013	927	5.51
2014	1,187	7.02
2015	1,409	8.30
2016	1,466	8.58
2017	1,511	8.79
2018	1,002	5.83
2019	935	5.41



In 2019, there are 935 accredited or registered mediators who practise court related mediation which represent 5,4 accredited or registered mediators per 100 000 inhabitants.

The variation between 2018 and 2019 is about -6,7%.

Type of cases	Total	Per 100 000 inhab.
All cases	3,442	20
Civil and commercial	NA	NA
Family cases	NA	NA
Administrative	NA	NA
Employment dismissal	NA	NA
Criminal cases	NA	NA
Consumer cases	NA	NA

Only the total of court-related mediation procedures is available for 2019.

. Systems for measuring and evaluating the court performance

In 2019, individual courts are not required to prepare an activity report.

More precisely, an annual report for all courts is published. Some courts choose to publish individual annual reports, but this is not required. There are other institues as well that publish reports, but these are more broad in character than just the functioning of the courts (e.g. WODC publishes monitors on criminal activity).

A regular monitoring system of court activities is in place concerning:

number of incoming cases
length of proceedings (timeframes)
number of resolved cases
number of pending cases
backlogs
satisfaction of court staff
satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)
number of appeals
disposition time

In the Netherlands, there is a system to evaluate regularly the activity of each court.

• The reporting is more frequent than annual

Along with the monthly reports and quarterly reports, there are annual reports which are more thorough and elaborate.

A system to evaluate regurlarly the activity of each court (in terms of performance and output) exists and performance and quality indicators are defined at the court level.

length of proceedings (timeframes)
number of resolved cases
number of pending cases
satisfaction of court staff
satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)

The evaluation of the court activity is used for the later allocation of means in this court.

Quality standards are determined for judicial system and there is specialised court staff entrusted with these quality standards.

There are quality standards which are measured by annual statistical figures per individual court. Examples are the scores of customer satisfaction surveys, the percentage of cases judged by three instead of one judge and case processing times (the so called 'Kengetallen gerechten').

There is a so-called Team Judicial Quality (Team Juridische Kwaliteit), which studies topics in a theme-wise manner. This is part of the program 'Programma OM Strafvordering 2020'. A team of public prosecutors participates in TKJ and assesses the judicial work of colleagues in a structured and systematic way. There is often a first assessment (baseline) and a first follow-up assessment, and sometimes even a second follow-up. If necessary, the assessment framework is adjusted.

5. ICT and national data collection system

•The ICT tools of courts and for court users

The use of ICT in courts had been evaluated in 2 fields and 5 sub-fields within those fields in :2019 (graphics below):


	points (up to 4)
Administration and management	3.5
Case management systems	4.0
Tools of producing courts activity statistics	3.0
Communication between court and users	0.9
Possibility to submit a case by electronic means	0.5
Possibility to monitor the stages of an online judicial proceeding	2.0
Electronic communication between courts and lawyers	0.5
Videoconferencing with users	0.5

The calculation of this index for each field is an average of the values of the sub-fields included. The calculation of the sub-field depends on each question and the combination of different modalities of the answers

The details of the calculation are given in Annex 5 - IT calculations

The result by area may be summarized in these graphics, where each field has been evaluated from 0 to 4 points.

Note; Index is modified each cycle depending on the new modalities included in the questionnaire that show more precise details on the ICT situation that can make the index drop even if there is no real change.



As to writing assistance tools, there is a tool, which is called 'Schrijfhulp'. It is a tool that helps people e.g. write a letter to respond to a summons:

 $https://formulieren.rechtspraak.nl/formulier/SchrijfhulpKanton_Dagvaarding_004.aspx/Benodigdheden_Dagvaarding_004$

As to voice recording tools, there are some court rooms with sound recordings to an SD-card. This is solely to assist in the reporting. It is not product in itself.

The level of automisation differs between courts and types of courts, which makes it difficult to report how much voice recording tools are used. Voice recognition is not used.

Statistical data

In the Netherlands, the Council of the Judiciary is the centralised institution that is responsible for collecting statistical data regarding the functioning of the courts and judiciary.

The Council of the Judiciary collects data, both for internal planning and control, and communication with the Department of Justice.

This institution publishes statistics of each court on internet.

Individual courts are not required to prepare an activity report.

An annual report for all courts is published. Some courts choose to publish individual annual reports, but this is not required. There are other institues as well that publish reports, but these are more broad in character than just the functioning of the courts (e.g. WODC publishes monitors on criminal activity).

Table General Data: Economic and demographic data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)												Varia	tions			
	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
Q1 Number of inhabitants	16,779,575	16,829,289	16,902,146	16,979,120	17,081,507	17,181,084	17,282,163	17,407,585	3.7%	0.3%	0.4%	0.5%	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	0.7%
Q.3 GDP Per capita (in €) in current prices	38,236 €	38,255€	39,313 €	39,937 €	41,258 €	42,578 €	45,052 €	46,883 €	22.6%	0.0%	2.8%	1.6%	3.3%	3.2%	5.8%	4.1%
Q5. Exchange rate of Nat currency to € on 1 Jan	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-							

	Indicator 1: The budget and resources of courts and the justice system															
		Ind	cator 1: The	budget and	resources o	courts and	the justice s	ystem								
		Annual app	roved and in	nplemented l	oudgets allo	cated to the	whole justic	e system in	€							
	Table	1.3.2 Budge	etary elemen	ts of the bud	get allocate	d to the who	le justice sys	tem (Q15.2	, Q15-3)							
15-1.1.1 Annual approved public budget allocated to the whole justice system, in €	11,467,326,000	12,383,259,000	11,807,861,000	11,437,413,000	11,700,989,000	12,647,856,000	12,080,537,000	12,899,909,000	12.5%	8.0%	-4.6%	-3.1%	2.3%	8.1%	-4.5%	6.8%
15-2.1.1 Court budget (Q6) included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	-	Yes	Yes								
15-2.1.2 Legal aid budget (Q12) included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	-	Yes	Yes								
15-2.1.3 Public prosecution services budget (Q12) included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	-	Yes	Yes								
15-3.1.1 Prison system included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
15-3.1.2 Probation services included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
15-3.1.3 Council of the judiciary included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
15-3.1.4 Constitutional court included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	NAP								
15-3.1.5 Judicial management body included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
15-3.1.6 State advocacy included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
15-3.1.7 Enforcement services included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
15-3.1.8 Notariat included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No								
15-3.1.9 Forensic services included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
15-3.1.10 Judicial protection of juveniles included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
15-3.1.11 Functioning of the Ministry of Justice included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
15-3.1.12 Refugees and asylum seekers service included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
15-3.1.13 Immigration services	-	-	-	-	No	Yes	Yes	Yes								
15-3.1.14 Some police services included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
15-3.1.15 Other services included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								

-																
Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
Fig	ure 1.4 Corre	lation betwe	en the GDP p	oer capita an	d the approv	ed whole jus	stice system	budget in 20)19 (Q1, (Q3, Q15-	1)					
Q1. Number of inhabitants	16,779,575	16,829,289	16,902,146	16,979,120	17,081,507	17,181,084	17,282,163	17,407,585	3.7%	0.3%	0.4%	0.5%	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	0.7%
Q.3 GDP Per capita (in €) in current prices	38,236 €	38,255 €	39,313€	39,937 €	41,258 €	42,578 €	45,052 €	46,883 €	22.6%	0.0%	2.8%	1.6%	3.3%	3.2%	5.8%	4.1%
15-1.1.1 Annual approved public budget allocated to the whole justice system, in €	11,467,326,000	12,383,259,000	11,807,861,000	11,437,413,000	11,700,989,000	12,647,856,000	12,080,537,000	12,899,909,000	12.5%	0 €	-4.6%	-3.1%	2.3%	8.1%	-4.5%	6.8%
15-1.2.1 Annual implemented public budget allocated to the whole justice system, in €	-	-	12,624,891,000	12,718,106,000	13,192,070,000	12,997,869,000	12,814,046,000	13,662,272,000	-	-	-	0.7%	3.7%	-1.5%	-1.4%	6.6%

	Fig	ure 1.7 Evol	ution of reve	nues from c	ourt taxes an	d fees in 201	0, 2012,and	2015 in € (Q	1, Q9)							
Table 1.8 Participation of the annual income of court taxes and fees in the budget of the whole justice system from 2012 to 2019 in € (Q1, Q9, Q15-1)																
Q1. Number of inhabitants	16,779,575	16,829,289	16,902,146	16,979,120	17,081,507	17,181,084	17,282,163	17,407,585	3.7%	0.3%	0.4%	0.5%	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	0.7%
15-1.1.1 Annual approved public budget allocated to the whole justice system, in €	11,467,326,000	12,383,259,000	11,807,861,000	11,437,413,000	11,700,989,000	12,647,856,000	12,080,537,000	12,899,909,000	12.5%	8.0%	-4.6%	-3.1%	2.3%	8.1%	-4.5%	6.8%
Q9. Annual income of court taxes or fees received by the state	237,570,000	-	217,194,000	198,293,000	194,428,000	205,181,000	160,462,000	165,259,000	-30.4%	-	-	-8.7%	-1.9%	5.5%	-21.8%	3.0%

			F	igure 1.9 Cou	ırt fees and t	axes (Q8-1, (Q8-2)								
Q8-2. Amount of court fees to commence an action for 3000 Euro debt recovery	-	-			471	471	476	476	-	-		-	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%

	Indicator 2: The judicial organisation															
Tables 2.1 and 2.1b Number of	Tables 2.1 and 2.1b Number of first instance courts (general and specialised) as legal entities and number of all courts (first, appeal and high courts) as geographic locations (Q42)															
Table 2.3 (EC) Variation of the absolute number of all courts (geographic locations) (Q42)																
Q1. Number of inhabitants	16,779,575	16,829,289	16,902,146	16,979,120	17,081,507	17,181,084	17,282,163	17,407,585	3.7%	0.3%	0.4%	0.5%	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	0.7%
42.1.1 First instance courts of general jurisdiction	19	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	-42.1%	-42.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
42.1.2 Specialised first instance courts	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
42.1.3 All the courts (geographic locations)	60	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	-33.3%	-33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Variations																
Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
	Tal	ble 2.2 Numb	per of (legal o	entities) first	instance sp	ecialised cou	irts and its b	reak-down	(Q43)							
43.1.1 Total Nr of first instance specialised courts	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
43.1.2 Number of commercial courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	0	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.3 Number of insolvency courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	0	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.4 Number of labour courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	0	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.5 Number of family courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	0	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.6 Number of rent and tenancies courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	0	NAP	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
43.1.7 Number of enforcement of criminal sanctions courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	0	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.8 Number of courts fight against terrorism, organised crime and corruption	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	0	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.9 Number of internet related disputes	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	0	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.10 Number of administrative courts	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
43.1.11 Number of insurance and social welfare courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	0	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.12 Number of military courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	0	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.13 Number of other specialised 1st instance courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	0	NAP	-	-	-	-	-		-	-

								<u>eni</u> ei id	ierranus (2012-2013) data tables									
Table Coneral Data: Economic and demographic												Variat	tions					
Table General Data: Economic and demographic data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019		
		Ind	icator 3: The	performance	of courts a	t all stages o	f the proceed	dings										
	Tables 3.1.	1.1 to 3.1.1.4	(all years) a	nd 3.1.1.5 Fii	rst instance	courts: Numl	per of other t	han crimina	ıl cases (Q91)								
Table 3	.9.1 and 3.9.2	(all years) F	ist instance o	courts: Case	load in the E	EU of other th	an criminal o	cases pe 10	0 inhabit	ants (Q1,	Q91)							
	Table 3.9.3	to 3.9.4 Fist	instance cou	urts: Variatio	n of caseloa	nd in the EU o	of other than	criminal ca	ses (Q1,	Q91)								
Q1. Number of inhabitants	16,779,575	16,829,289	16,902,146	16,979,120	17,081,507	17,181,084	17,282,163	17,407,585	3.7%	0.3%	0.4%	0.5%	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	0.7%		
91.1.1 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	279,460	287,474	305,520	310,170	299,580	284,649	279,950	266,100	-4.8%	2.9%	6.3%	1.5%	-3.4%	-5.0%	-1.7%	-4.9%		
91.1.2 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	NA	NA	NA	51,794	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-		_	-		
91.1.3 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	NA	204,372	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-		
91.1.4 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NA	NA	NA	204,372	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-		
91.1.5 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-		-			
91.1.6 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	_			
91.1.7 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-		-	-			
91.1.8 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-		-	-			
91.1.9 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-		_	-		
91.1.10 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Administrative law cases	48,010	50,084	49,800	51,020	47,570	52,649	47,290	51,840	8.0%	4.3%	-0.6%	2.4%	-6.8%	10.7%	-10.2%	9.6%		
91.1.11 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-		-	-	-		
91.2.1 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	1,258,187	1,237,427	1,260,111	1,253,987	1,245,537	1,243,209	1,199,579	1,214,258	-3.5%	-1.6%	1.8%	-0.5%	-0.7%	-0.2%	-3.5%	1.2%		
91.2.2 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	NA	NA	168,127	161,950	161,171	147,954	134,710	138,752	-	-	-	-3.7%	-0.5%	-8.2%	-9.0%	3.0%		
91.2.3 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	982,142	991,752	971,332	995,731	965,230	969,669	-	-	-	1.0%	-2.1%	2.5%	-3.1%	0.5%		
91.2.4 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NA	NA	NA	991,752	971,332	995,731	965,230	969,669	-	-	-	-	-2.1%	2.5%	-3.1%	0.5%		
91.2.5 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-			-	-		
91.2.6 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-		-	-	-		
91.2.7 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
91.2.8 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-		-	-	-		
91.2.9 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-		-	-		
91.2.10 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Administrative law cases	114,930	110,273	109,842	100,285	113,034	99,524	99,629	105,837	-7.9%	-4.1%	-0.4%	-8.7%	12.7%	-12.0%	0.1%	6.2%		
91.2.11 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-		-	-		

								01110110	Tidild5 (2012-2013) data table5							
Table Constal Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
Table General Data: Economic and demographic data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
91.3.1 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	1,243,457	1,219,381	1,248,701	1,261,182	1,247,910	1,237,649	1,207,954	1,209,419	-2.7%	-1.9%	2.4%	1.0%	-1.1%	-0.8%	-2.4%	0.1%
91.3.2 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	159,165	158,722	166,639	162,533	162,270	146,581	136,326	138,986	-12.7%	-0.3%	5.0%	-2.5%	-0.2%	-9.7%	-7.0%	2.0%
91.3.3 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	973,447	995,325	977,958	986,489	976,807	971,301	-	-	-	2.2%	-1.7%	0.9%	-1.0%	-0.6%
91.3.4 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	972,185	950,102	NA	995,325	977,958	986,489	976,807	971,301	-0.1%	-2.3%	-	-	-1.7%	0.9%	-1.0%	-0.6%
91.3.5 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.3.6 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-							
91.3.7 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-							
91.3.8 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
91.3.9 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.3.10 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Administrative law cases	112,107	110,557	108,615	103,324	107,682	104,579	94,821	99,132	-11.6%	-1.4%	-1.8%	-4.9%	4.2%	-2.9%	-9.3%	4.5%
91.3.11 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-							
91.4.1 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	285,340	305,520	310,170	299,580	284,649	279,950	266,100	264,130	-7.4%	7.1%	1.5%	-3.4%	-5.0%	-1.7%	-4.9%	-0.7%
91.4.2 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	NA	NA	60,160	51,211	53,826	49,944	40,981	41,905	-	-	-	-14.9%	5.1%	-7.2%	-17.9%	2.3%
91.4.3 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	198,990	200,799	178,174	182,716	173,279	163,855	-	-	-	0.9%	-11.3%	2.5%	-5.2%	-5.4%
91.4.4 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NA	NA	NA	200,799	178,174	182,716	173,279	163,855	-	-	-	-	-11.3%	2.5%	-5.2%	-5.4%
91.4.5 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.4.6 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-							
91.4.7 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-							
91.4.8 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.4.9 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.4.10 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Administrative law cases	50,010	49,800	51,020	47,570	52,649	47,290	51,846	58,370	16.7%	-0.4%	2.4%	-6.8%	10.7%	-10.2%	9.6%	12.6%
91.4.11 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-							

Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
Table 3.2.1.1 t	o 3.2.1.1 (all	years) First i	instance cou	rts: Clearand	e rate and d	isposition tir	ne in differer	nt type of otl	ner than	criminal	cases (Q	91)				
Table 3.2.2.1 an	d 3.2.2.3 Firs	t instance co	ourts: Variati	on of clearar	nce rate and	disposition t	time in differ	ent type of c	ther tha	n crimina	l cases ((Q91)				
Table 3.10.1 (EC) to 3.10.6 (EC) First	instance cou	rts: Disposi	tion time and	l clearance ra	ate for other	than crimina	l cases, litig	ious civil an	d comme	ercial cas	es and a	ıdministr	ative cas	es (Q91)		
CR Total of other than criminal law cases	98.8%	98.5%	99.1%	100.6%	100.2%	99.6%	100.7%	99.6%	0.8%	-0.3%	0.6%	1.5%	-0.4%	-0.6%	1.2%	-1.1%
CR Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	NA	NA	99.1%	100.4%	100.7%	99.1%	101.2%	100.2%	-	-	-	1.3%	0.3%	-1.6%	2.1%	-1.0%
CR Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	99.1%	100.4%	100.7%	99.1%	101.2%	100.2%	-	-	-	1.3%	0.3%	-1.6%	2.1%	-1.0%
CR General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NA	NA	NA	100.4%	100.7%	99.1%	101.2%	100.2%	-	-	-	-	0.3%	-1.6%	2.1%	-1.0%
CR Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Administrative law cases	97.5%	100.3%	98.9%	103.0%	95.3%	105.1%	95.2%	93.7%	-4.0%	2.8%	-1.4%	4.2%	-7.5%	10.3%	-9.4%	-1.6%
CR Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Total of other than criminal law cases	84	91	91	87	83	83	80	80	-4.8%	9.2%	-0.9%	-4.4%	-4.0%	-0.8%	-2.6%	-0.9%
DT Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	NA	NA	132	115	121	124	110	110	-	-	-	-12.7%	5.3%	2.7%	-11.8%	0.3%
DT Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	75	74	66	68	65	62	-	-	-	-1.3%	-9.7%	1.7%	-4.2%	-4.9%
DT General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NA	NA	NA	74	66	68	65	62	-	-	-	-	-9.7%	1.7%	-4.2%	-4.9%
DT Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Administrative law cases	163	164	171	168	178	165	200	215	32.0%	1.0%	4.3%	-2.0%	6.2%	-7.5%	20.9%	7.7%
DT Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 3.3.1 (all years) First instance courts, number of cases for specific case categories i(litigious divorce, employment dismissal and insolvency cases) (Q101)

									Tarras (2012 2010) data tables							
Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
101.1.1 Pending cases on 1 Jan. Litigious divorce case	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-		-							
101.1.2 Pending cases on 1 Jan. Employment dismissal case	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-							
101.1.3 Pending cases on 1 Jan. Insolvency	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-							
101.2.1 Incoming cases_Litigious divorce case	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-							
101.2.2 Incoming cases_Employment dismissal case	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-							
101.2.3 Incoming cases_Insolvency	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-							
101.3.1 Resolved cases_Litigious divorce case	6,118	6,200	5,757	5,827	5,332	5,018	4,539	4,648	-24.0%	1.3%	-7.1%	1.2%	-8.5%	-5.9%	-9.5%	2.4%
101.3.2 Resolved cases_Employment dismissal case	4,676	4,689	3,897	3,289	3,752	2,720	2,117	1,801	-61.5%	0.3%	-16.9%	-15.6%	14.1%	-27.5%	-22.2%	-14.9%
101.3.3 Resolved cases_Insolvency	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-							
101.4.1 Pending cases on 31 Dec. Litigious divorce case	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-							
101.4.2 Pending cases on 31 Dec. Employment dismissal case	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-							
101.4.3 Pending cases on 31 Dec. Insolvency	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-							

Table 3.4.1 (all yea	ırs) First insta	ance courts:	Clearance ra	ate and disp	osition time	(litigious div	orce, employ	/ment dismis	sal and	insolven	cy cases	s) (Q101)				
Table 3.4.2 First instance of	courts: Variat	ion of cleara	nce rate and	disposition	time betwee	n years (litig	ious divorce	e, employme	nt dismi:	ssal and i	insolven	cy cases) (Q101)			
CR Litigious divorce cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-		-	-	-
CR Employment dismissal cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-		-	-	-
CR Insolvency cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-		-	-	-
DT Litigious divorce cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Employment dismissal cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Insolvency cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		-		-		-	-	-

							1.4	CHICHE	iiius	(2012	-2013	, uata	tables	•		
Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
		Table 3.5.1	to 3.5.5 Seco	ond instance	courts: Num	ber of other	than crimina	al cases (Q9)	7)							
97.1.1 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	28,220	NA	27,910	26,110	27,510	27,932	27,980	27,940	-1.0%	-	-	-6.4%	5.4%	1.5%	0.2%	-0.1%
97.1.2 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
97.1.3 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
97.1.4 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
97.1.5 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
97.1.6 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
97.1.7 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
97.1.8 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
97.1.9 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NA	NAP	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
97.1.10 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Administrative law cases	13,020	NA	14,350	14,180	15,110	14,650	14,770	13,880	6.6%	-	-	-1.2%	6.6%	-3.0%	0.8%	-6.0%
97.1.11 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
97.2.1 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	26,839	27,880	26,463	27,845	29,324	25,706	23,500	23,008	-14.3%	3.9%	-5.1%	5.2%	5.3%	-12.3%	-8.6%	-2.1%
97.2.2 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
97.2.3 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-		-	
97.2.4 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-		-	
97.2.5 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-		
97.2.6 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-		-	_
97.2.7 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.2.8 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Other registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-		-	
97.2.9 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NA	NAP	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-		-	_
97.2.10 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Administrative law cases	11,006	12,196	12,419	13,853	14,904	12,266	10,701	10,632	-3.4%	10.8%	1.8%	11.5%	7.6%	-17.7%	-12.8%	-0.6%
97.2.11 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Other cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-		-	-	-	-	-	
97.3.1 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	27,298	27,234	27,979	26,482	29,263	26,236	24,992	23,506	-13.9%	-0.2%	2.7%	-5.4%	10.5%	-10.3%	-4.7%	-5.9%
97.3.2 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-		-	_
97.3.3 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.4 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

									Citatias (2012-2013) data tables							
Table Consul Date Formania and damagements												Varia	tions			
Table General Data: Economic and demographic data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
97.3.5 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.6 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.7 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.8 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Other registry cases	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-							
97.3.9 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NA	NAP	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.10 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Administrative law cases	10,871	10,947	12,586	12,925	15,349	12,132	11,593	10,630	-2.2%	0.7%	15.0%	2.7%	18.8%	-21.0%	-4.4%	-8.3%
97.3.11 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Other cases	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-		-							
97.4.1 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	27,490	27,910	26,110	27,510	27,932	27,980	27,940	27,510	0.1%	1.5%	-6.4%	5.4%	1.5%	0.2%	-0.1%	-1.5%
97.4.2 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-							
97.4.3 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.4 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-							
97.4.5 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.6 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.7 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.8 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other registry cases	NAP		-		-	-	-	-	-							
97.4.9 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NA	NAP	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP		-		-	-	-	-	-
$97.4.10\ \mathrm{2nd}$ inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Administrative law cases	13,100	14,350	14,180	15,110	14,650	14,770	13,880	13,870	5.9%	9.5%	-1.2%	6.6%	-3.0%	0.8%	-6.0%	-0.1%
97.4.11 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other cases	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-							
97.5.1 2nd inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	-	-	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-				-	-	-
97.5.2 2nd inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	-	-	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-				-	-	
97.5.10 2nd inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Administrative law cases	-	-	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-				-	-	-

								01110111		<u> </u>		, aata	tubiot			
Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
	1	able 3.6.1: S	Second insta	nce courts, o	learance rat	e of other tha	an criminal la	aw cases (C	97)							
	T	able 3.6.2: S	econd instan	ice courts, d	isposition tir	ne of other th	nan criminal	law cases (Q97)							
CR Total of other than criminal law cases	101.7%	97.7%	105.7%	95.1%	99.8%	102.1%	106.3%	102.2%	0.4%	-4.0%	8.2%	-10.0%	4.9%	2.3%	4.2%	-3.9%
CR Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
CR General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Other registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NA	NAP	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
CR Administrative law cases	98.8%	89.8%	101.3%	93.3%	103.0%	98.9%	108.3%	100.0%	1.2%	-9.1%	12.9%	-7.9%	10.4%	-4.0%	9.5%	-7.79
CR Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
DT Total of other than criminal law cases	368	374	341	379	348	389	408	427	16.2%	1.8%	-8.9%	11.3%	-8.1%	11.7%	4.8%	4.79
DT Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
DT Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
DT Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Other registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NA	NAP	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Administrative law cases	440	478	411	427	348	444	437	476	8.3%	8.8%	-14.1%	3.8%	-18.4%	27.6%	-1.7%	9.0%
DT Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

								CHICHE	<u> </u>	(2012	<u>-20</u> 13	, aata	tabic			
Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
		Table 3.7	.1 to 3.7.5: Sເ	ıpreme court	s, number o	f other than	criminal law	cases (Q99)								
99.1.1 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	NA	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	920	1,037	-	-	-		-	-	-	12.7%
99.1.2 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	NA	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	380	378		-	-	-	-			-0.5%
99.1.3 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP		-	-	-	-			-
99.1.4 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NA	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.1.5 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.1.6 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious land registry cases	-		NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.1.7 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.1.8 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other registry cases	NAP	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.1.9 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.1.10 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Administrative law cases	NA	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	540	659	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22.0%
99.1.11 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NA	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	-	-	-	-	-			-
99.2.1 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	1,676	-	1,512	NA	NA	NA	1,353	1,447	-13.7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.9%
99.2.2 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	NA	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	429	421	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-1.9%
99.2.3 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.2.4 High inst courts_Incoming cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NA	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.2.5 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-			-
99.2.6 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.2.7 High inst courts_incoming cases_Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.2.8 High inst courts_incoming cases_Other registry cases	NAP	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
99.2.9 High inst courts_incoming cases_Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.2.10 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Administrative law cases	NA	-	1,021	NA	NA	NA	924	1,026	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11.0%
99.2.11 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NA	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
99.3.1 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	1,688		1,405	NA	NA	NA	1,236	1,177	-30.3%	-	-	-	-			-4.8%
99.3.2 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	431	354	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-17.9%
99.3.3 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.4 High inst courts_Resolved cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NA	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

								Cuicii	<u> </u>	\		, aata	tubiot	<u> </u>		
Table Consul Date: Footonic and domestic												Varia	itions			
Table General Data: Economic and demographic data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
99.3.5 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-		. NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP			-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.6 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	. NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.7 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP		. NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.8 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Other registry cases	NAP	-	NA NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.9 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Other non-litigious cases	-		. NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.10 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Administrative law cases	NA	-	1,000	NA	NA	NA	805	823			-	-	-	-	-	2.2%
99.3.11 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NA	-	. NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP			-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.1 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4) $$	NA	-	. NA	NA	NA	NA	1,037	1,307	-		-	-	-	-	-	26.0%
99.4.2 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	NA	-	. NA	NA	NA	NA	378	445		-	-	-	-	-	-	17.7%
99.4.3 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3) $$	-	-	. NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.4 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NA	-	. NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP			-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.5 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	. NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP			-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.6 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	. NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.7 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP		. NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP			-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.8 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other registry cases	NAP	-	. NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.9 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other non-litigious cases	-	-	. NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.10 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Administrative law cases	NA		. NA	NA	NA	NA	659	862		-	-	-	-	-	-	30.8%
99.4.11 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NA		. NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP			-	-	-	-	-	-
99.5.1 High inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	-			-	NA	NA	NA	NA						-	-	-
99.5.2 High inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	-			-	NA	NA	NA	NA						-	-	-
99.5.10 High inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Administrative law cases	-			-	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-				-	-	-

								CHICHE		,-0:-	_0.0	, aata	tubio.	9		
Table Consul Date: Formania and domesticable												Varia	itions			
Table General Data: Economic and demographic data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
		Table 3.8	.1: Supreme	courts, clear	ance rate of	other than c	riminal law c	ases (Q97)								
		Table 3.8.	2: Supreme	courts, dispo	sition time o	of other than	criminal law	cases (Q97)								
CR Total of other than criminal law cases	100.7%	-	92.9%	NA	NA	NA	91.4%	81.3%	-19.2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-11.0%
CR Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	NA	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.5%	84.1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-16.3%
CR Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NA	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Other registry cases	NAP	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Administrative law cases	NA	-	97.9%	NA	NA	NA	87.1%	80.2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-7.9%
CR Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NA	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Total of other than criminal law cases	NA	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	306	405	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	32.4%
DT Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	NA	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	320	459	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	43.3%
DT Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NA	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Other registry cases	NAP	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Administrative law cases	NA	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	299	382	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	27.9%
DT Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NA	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	-	-		-	-	-	-	-

2012-

2013

Variations

2015-

2016

2014-

2015

2013-2014 2016-2017 2017-

2018

2018-2019

Table General Data: Economic and demographic									
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012 201
Indicator 4: Sys	tems for mea	suring and e	evaluating th	e performan	ce of courts				
Table	e 4.1: Modalit	ties of monit	oring system	ıs (Q81, Q70)				
81 Are individual courts required to prepare an annual activity report?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	
070.1.1 number of incoming cases	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
070.1.2 length of proceedings (timeframes)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
070.1.3 number of resolved cases	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
070.1.4 number of pending cases	-	-	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	
070.1.5 backlogs	-	-	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	
070.1.6 productivity of judges and court staff	-	-	-	-	-	-	No	No	
070.1.7 satisfaction of court staff	-	-	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	
070.1.8 satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)	-	-	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	
070.1.9 costs of the judicial procedures	-	-	-	-	-	-	No	No	
070.1.10 number of appeals	-	-	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	
070.1.11 appeal ratio	-	-	-	-	-	-	No	No	
070.1.12 clearance rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	No	No	

Table 4.2: Performance	and evaluat	ion of the ju	dicial system	ns (Q77, Q73	3, Q73.1, Q66	, Q67)		
66 Qity standards formulated_jud system	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
67 Specialised court staff entrusted_qlty standards	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
73 Regular system_evaluation_performance_each court	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
73.1 Is this evaluation of the court activity used for the later allocation of means to this court? (new question)	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
77 Perf and quality indicators of court activities	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

								O CI I O I I	41100	\		,				
Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
Indicator 5: Legal aid																
Table 5	.1: Type of le	egal aid (othe	er than crimin	nal cases) (Q	16)											
16.1.1 Legal aid applies to representation in court (criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
16.1.2 Legal aid applies to legal advice (criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
16.2.1 Legal aid applies to representation in court (other than criminal cases) $ \\$	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
16.2.2 Legal aid applies to legal advice (other than criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
	Table 5	.2: Legal aid	coverage (Q	17)												
17 Legal aid included the coverage of or the exemption from court fees	-	-	-	-	No	No	No	No								

	Table 5.3.1 Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid by type (Q12)															
1	able 5.4 Tota	l annual app	roved public	: budget allo	cated to lega	ıl aid (absolu	te number a	nd per inhal	oitant) (Q	1, Q12)						
Q1. Number of inhabitants	16,779,575	16,829,289	16,902,146	16,979,120	17,081,507	17,181,084	17,282,163	17,407,585	3.7%	0.3%	0.4%	0.5%	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	0.7%
12 Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid (in $\ensuremath{\mathfrak{e}}$) - Total (12.1 + 12.2)	495,300,000	498,200,000	430,000,000	417,100,000	440,400,000	447,157,000	460,600,000	444,400,000	-10.3%	0.6%	-13.7%	-3.0%	5.6%	1.5%	3.0%	-3.5%
12.1 Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) for cases brought to court - Total	462,140,000	463,594,000	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	0.3%	-	-		-		-
12.2 Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) for non-litigious cases or cases not brought to court - Total	33,160,000	34,606,000	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	4.4%	-	-		-		-
12 Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) - Total criminal cases (12.1 + 12.2)	-	-	170,226,000	156,400,000	174,500,000	NA	165,800,000	162,400,000	-	-	-	-8.1%	11.6% -	-		-2.1%
12.1 Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) for cases brought to court - Total criminal cases	145,366,000	141,854,000	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-2.4%	-	-		-		-
12.2 Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid (in e) for non-litigious cases or cases not brought to court - Total criminal cases	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-		-		-
12 Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) - Total other than criminal cases (12.1 + 12.2)	-	-	259,774,000	260,700,000	265,900,000	NA	294,800,000	282,000,000	-	-	-	0.4%	2.0% -	-		-4.3%
12.1 Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) for cases brought to court - Total other than criminal cases	361,773,000	321,740,000	229,003,000	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-11.1%	-28.8%	-		-		-
12.2 Annual approved public dudget allocated to legal aid (in €) for non-littiglous cases or cases not brought to court - Total other than criminal cases	-	-	30,771,000	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-		-		-

Table General Data: Economic and demographic									Variations									
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019		
Table 5.3.2 Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid by type (Q12-1)																		
12 Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) - Total (12.1 + 12.2)	-	-	455,000,000	403,110,000	468,300,000	433,005,000	413,900,000	417,800,000	-	-	-	-11.4%	16.2%	-7.5%	-4.4%	0.9%		
12.1 Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid (in $\ensuremath{\mathfrak{e}}$) for cases brought to court - Total	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
12.2 Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) for non-litigious cases or cases not brought to court - Total	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
12 Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) - Total criminal cases (12.1 + 12.2)	-	-	146,734,000	121,946,000	170,700,000	NA	149,600,000	152,100,000	-	-	-	-16.9%	40.0%	-	-	1.7%		
12.1 Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) for cases brought to court - Total criminal cases	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-		
12.2 Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) for non-litigious cases or cases not brought to court - Total criminal	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-		
12 Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) - Total criminal other than criminal cases (12.1 + 12.2)	-	-	308,266,000	281,164,000	297,600,000	NA	264,300,000	265,700,000	-	-	-	-8.8%	5.8%	-	-	0.5%		
12.1 Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) for cases brought to court - Total other than criminal cases	-	-	274,464,000	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
12.2. Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid (in e) for non-litigious cases or cases not brought to court - Total other than criminal cases	-	-	33,802,000	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

Table 5.6: Court fees required to start a proceeding at a court of general jurisdiction in (Q8)													
8.1.1 Have litigants to pay taxes to start a proceeding - Criminal cases No - No No No No													
8.1.2 Have litigants to pay taxes to start a proceeding - Other cases	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes					

Table 5.7 (EC): Coverage of legal aid (other than criminal cases) (Q16, Q17, Q18, Q19)													
16.1.1 Legal aid applies to representation in court (criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes					
16.1.2 Legal aid applies to legal advice (criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes					
16.2.1 Legal aid applies to representation in court (other than criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes					
16.2.2 Legal aid applies to legal advice (other than criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes					
17 Legal aid included the coverage of or the exemption from court fees	-	-	-	-	No	No	No	No					

Table General Data: Economic and demographic											Variations								
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)		2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019								
Indicator 6: The ICT tools of courts and for court users																			
			Table	6.1 (EC) Pos	sibility of on	line training	(Q131-2)												
131-2.1.1 Number of training courses in days organised, without elearning	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	421	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
131-2.2.1 Online training courses available during the reference year (e-learning)	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	45	-	-				-	-	-			

Table 6.2 (EC) Technologic	es used for c	ourt manag	ement and a	dministratior	ı (Q63.1, Q63	.2, Q63.7)		
63.1 Is there a case management system?							Yes	Yes
63.1-1 CMS for civil matter (equipment rate)							100%	100%
63.1-1 CMS for criminal matter (equipment rate)							100%	100%
63.1-1 CMS for administrative matter (equipment rate)							100%	100%
63-1-1 Statistics in CMS civil matter							Integrated	Integrated
63-1-1 Statistics in CMS criminal matter							Integrated	Integrated
63-1-1 Statistics in CMS administrative matter							Integrated	Integrated
63-2 Computerised registries managed by courts - land registry							0% (NAP)	0% (NAP)
63-2 Computerised registries managed by courts - business registry							0% (NAP)	0% (NAP)
63-7.1 Measurement tools to assess the workload							No	No
63-7.1 workload of judges								
63-7.1 workload of prosecutors								
63-7.1 workload of non-judge and non-prosecutor staff								
63-7.2 Monitoring on national level - judges							No	0
63-7.2 Monitoring on national level - prosecutors							No	0
63-7.2 Monitoring on national level - non-judge and non-prosecutor staff							No	0
63-7.3 Monitoring on court level - judges							No	0
63-7.3 Monitoring on court level - prosecutors							No	0
63-7.3 Monitoring on court level - non-judge and non-prosecutor staff							No	0

Table General Data: Economic and demographic data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2014	2015								Verie									
	2014	2015	2016	2016 2				Variations											
		2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019					
Table 6.3.1 (EC) Technologies used for electronic submission of cases	transmission	of summons	and online m	onitoring of	proceedings	(Q63.1,													
064-2 - Possibility to submit a case to courts by electronic means	.,,				Yes	Yes													
064-2 - Civil and/or commercial					NA	NA													
064-2 - Criminal					NA	NA													
064-2 - Administrative					NA	NA	NA NA NA NA												
064-2 - Submission in paper remains mandatory - civil					No	NA													
064-2 - Submission in paper remains mandatory - criminal					No	NA													
064-2 - Submission in paper remains mandatory - administrative					No	NA													
064-2 - Specific legislative framework - civil					No	NA	NA.												
064-2 - Specific legislative framework - criminal					No	NA			Civil - Equip	ment rate									
064-2 - Specific legislative framework - administrative					No	NA			Civil - Sumn	non in paper	form remai	ns mandator	у						
064-2 - Integrated/connected with the CMS - civil					No	NA			Civil - Conse	ent of the us	er to be noti	fied by elect	ronic means	s					
064-2 - Integrated/connected with the CMS - criminal					No	NA			Civil - SMS										
064-2 - Integrated/connected with the CMS - administrative					No	NA			Civil - E-mai	I									
064-4 - Possibility to transmit summons to a judicial meeting or a hearing by electronic means					No	Yes			Civil - Speci	ic compute	r application								
064-4 - Summons produced by CMS- civil					No	Yes			Civil - Other										
064-4 - Summons produced by CMS- criminal					No	Yes													
064-4 - Summons produced by CMS- administrative					No	Yes													
064-4 - Simultaneous summon in paper form remains mandatory- civil					No	Yes													

2012-2013 2013-2014

2012-2019 Variations

2015-

2016

2014-

2015

2016-2017 2017-

2018

2018-2019

Table General Data: Economic and demographic data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
064-4 - Simultaneous summon in paper form remains mandatory- criminal							No	Yes
064-4 - Simultaneous summon in paper form remains mandatory- administrative							No	Yes
064-4 - Consent of the user - civil							No	Yes
064-4 - Consent of the user - criminal							No	Yes
064-4 - Consent of the user - administrative							No	Yes
063-1 - Possibility to monitor the stage of proceedings - Civil and/or commercial							FALSE	not accessible at all
063-1 - Possibility to monitor the stage of proceedings - Criminal							FALSE	not accessible at all
063-1 - Possibility to monitor the stage of proceedings - Administrative							FALSE	accessible at all

Table 6.3.2 (EC) Communication	n with courts	s and videoc	onferencing	between cou	ırts (Q64.6, C	Q64.10, Q64.1	1)	
064-6 - between court and lawyers representing parties							Yes	Yes
064-6 - between court and parties not represented by lawyer							Yes	Yes
064-6 - Civil and/or commercial								NA
064-6 - Criminal								NA
064-6 - Administrative							100%	NA
064-10 - Videoconferencing between courts, professionals and/or users							Yes	Yes
064-10- Civil and/or commercial							1-9%	NA
064-10 - Criminal							1-9%	NA
064-10 - Administrative							1-9%	NA
064-11 - Recording of hearings or debates							Yes	Yes
064-11 - Civil and/or commercial							NA	NA
064-11 - Criminal							NA	NA
064-11 - Administrative							NA	NA
064-11 - Type of recording - Civil and/or commercial							FALSE	FALSE
064-11 - Type of recording - Criminal							FALSE	FALSE
064-11 - Type of recording - Administrative							FALSE	FALSE

Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015		2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019

Та	ıble 6.4.2 Wel	bsites for jud	licial informa	tion (Q28)				
028.1.1 - Websites with legal texts	-	-	Yes	-	-	-	Yes	Yes
028.1.2 - Websites with case-law of the higher court/s	-	-	Yes	-	-	-	Yes	Yes
028.1.3 - Websites with other documents	-	-	Yes	-	-	-	Yes	Yes

Table 6.4.2 Existence ar	d modalities	of online sub	mission of r	equest for le	gal aid in 20 [,]	19 (Q64.3)		
064-3 - Is it possible to request for granting legal aid by electronic means?							Yes	Ye
064-3 - Equipment rate							100%	100
064-3 - Request in paper mandatory							No	1
064-3 - Specific legislative framework							No	1
064-3 - Granting LA is also electronic							Yes	Y
064-3 - Information available in CMS							No	1
Table 6.5 Technologies use	d for commun	ication betw	een courts a	nd enforcem	ent agents ir	2018 (Q64.7)	
064-7 - Equipment rate							NA	١
064-7 - Modality - Email							Yes	
064-7 - Modality - Specific computer application							No	
064-7 - Modality - Other							No	
064-7 - Specific legislative framework							No	

								• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		<u> </u>						
Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
Table 6.6 Technologies	used for cor	mmunication	between co	urts and not	aries in 2018	(Q64.7)										
064-7 - Equipment rate							NA	NA								
064-7 - Email							No	0								
064-7 - Specific computer application							No	0								
064-7 - Other							No	0								
064-7 - Specific legislative framework							No	0								
Table 6.7 Technologies use	d for comm	unication bet	ween courts	and judicial	experts in 2	2018 (Q64.7)										
064-7 - Equipment rate							NA	NA								
064-7 - Email							No	0								
064-7 - Specific computer application							No	0								
064-7 - Other							No	0								
064-7 - Specific legislative framework							No	0								

								etneria	11140	(20:2		, autu	tabics	<u> </u>		
Table Canaral Pate: Foonamic and domesticable												Varia	itions			
Table General Data: Economic and demographic data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
Table 6	.8 Admissibil	ity of electro	nic evidence	in 2018 (Q6	4.12)											
In civil and commercial matters																
064-1 - Admission							No	Yes								
064-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence							No	Yes								
064-1 - General and specialised law to admit electronic evidence							No	No								
064-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence							No	No								
In criminal matter																
064-1 - Admission							No	Yes								
064-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence							No	Yes								
064-1 - General and specialised law to admit electronic evidence							No	No								
064-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence							No	No								
In administrative matter																
064-1 - Admission							No	Yes								
064-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence							No	Yes								
064-1 - General and specialised law to admit electronic evidence							No	No								
064-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence							No	No								
Table 6.9 C	Other aspects	of the ICT s	ystems in co	ourts in 2018	(Q65.4)											
065-4 - Measuring actual benefits resulting of the use of one or several components of your information system							No	Yes								
065-4 - Impact on Business processes							No	Yes								
065-4 - Impact on Workload							No	No								
065-4 - Impact on Human resources							No	No								
065-4 - Impact on Costs							No	No								
065-4 - Impact on Other							No	No								

•	Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
	data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
	Table 6.10 Existan	ce of online	processing	devices of sp	ecialised liti	gation (Q64-	9)										
	064.9 Existance of online processing devices of specialised litigation							No	Voc								

	Indicator	7: Career an	d status of ju	ıdges				
	Table 7.1 (E	EC): Training	s for judges	(Q127)				
127.1.1 Initial training (e.g. attend a judicial school, traineeship in the court)	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory
127.1.2 General in-service training	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory
127.1.3 In-service training for specialised judicial functions (e.g. judge for economic or administrative issues)	Optional	Optional	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory
127.1.4 In-service training for management functions of the court (e.g. court president)	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory Optional	Compulsory Optional
127.1.5 In-service training for the use of computer facilities in courts	Optional	Optional	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory Optional	Compulsory Optional
127.1.6 In-service training on ethics							Optional	Optional

Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
		Indica	tor 8: The ex	istence and	use of altern	ative disput	e resolution	methods								
	Table 8.1 Nu	ımber of acc	redited or re	gistered med	diators (abso	olute values a	and per 100 (000 inhabita	nts) (Q1,	Q166)						
166 Number of accredited or registered mediators who practice judicial mediation:	820	927	1,187	1,409	1,466	1,511	1,002	935	14.0%	13.0%	28.0%	18.7%	4.0%	3.1%	-33.7%	-6.7%

	Table 8.1 Nu	umber of acc	credited or re	gistered me	diators (abso	olute values a	and per 100 (000 innabitai	nts) (Q1, '	Q166)						
166 Number of accredited or registered mediators who practice udicial mediation:	820	927	1,187	1,409	1,466	1,511	1,002	935	14.0%	13.0%	28.0%	18.7%	4.0%	3.1%	6 -33.7%	
		1	able 8.2: Ava	ilability of c	court-related	mediation p	rocedure (Q	163)								
		Table 8.3(EC) Number	of court relat	ted mediatio	n procedures	s (absolute v	alues) (Q167)							
	Ta	able 8.4 Nun	nber of court	related med	iation proced	dures (per 10	00 000 inhabi	tants) (Q1, C	167)							
163-1.1 Provision of mandatory mediation procedures_before going to court!	No	-	No		False	False	False	False	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
163-1.2 Provision of mandatory mediation procedures_ordered by a judge in a course of jud. proc.!	No	-	No	+	False	False	False	False	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
167. Total number started	2,531	-	2,642	-	2,399	2,429	3,686	3,442	-4.0%	-				1.3%	6 51.7%	
167. 1. Civil and commercial cases - started	330	-	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-				-	-	-
167. 2. Family cases - started	2,016	-	2,087	+	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-				-		-
167. 3. Administrative cases - started	185	-	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-				-	-	-
167. 4. Labour cases including employment dismissal cases - started	NA	-	-	+	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-				-		-
167. 5. Criminal cases - started	NA	-	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-				-	-	-
167. 6. Consumer cases - started	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NA	-	-				-	-	-
Table 8.5:	Providers of	court-relate	ed mediation	procedure (Q164)											
164. Civil and commercial cases - Private mediator	Yes		Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
164. Civil and commercial cases - Public authority (other than the court)	No	-	No	-	No	No	No	No								
164. Civil and commercial cases - Judge	No	-	No	-	No	No	No	No								
164. Civil and commercial cases - Public prosecutor	Yes	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	No	No								
164. Family law cases - Private mediator	Yes	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								

Table 8.5:	Providers of	court-relate	d mediation	procedure (Q164)			
164. Civil and commercial cases - Private mediator	Yes	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
164. Civil and commercial cases - Public authority (other than the court)	No	-	No	-	No	No	No	No
164. Civil and commercial cases - Judge	No	-	No	-	No	No	No	No
164. Civil and commercial cases - Public prosecutor	Yes	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	No	No
164. Family law cases - Private mediator	Yes	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
164. Family law cases - Public authority (other than the court)	No	-	No	-	No	No	No	No
164. Family law cases - Judge	No	-	No	-	No	No	No	No
164. Family law cases - Public prosecutor	Yes	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	No	No
164. Administrative cases - Private mediator	Yes	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
164. Administrative cases - Public authority (other than the court)	No	-	No	-	No	No	No	No

164. Administrative cases - Judge No - No - No N	2018- 2019
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5) 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2012-2013 2014-2015-2016 20 164. Administrative cases - Judge No - No - No No <th></th>	
164. Administrative cases - Public prosecutor Yes - Yes - Yes No No 164. Employment dismissals - Private mediator Yes - Yes - Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes 164. Employment dismissals - Public authority (other than the court) No - No No No No No	
164. Employment dismissals - Private mediator Yes - Yes - Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	
164. Employment dismissals - Public authority (other than the court) No - No No No No No	
164. Employment dismissals - Judge No No No No No	
164. Employment dismissals - Public prosecutor Yes - Yes - Yes No No	
164. Criminal cases - Private mediator No - No No Yes Yes	
164. Criminal cases - Public authority (other than the court) No - No No No No	
164. Criminal cases - Judge - No No No No No	
164. Criminal cases - Public prosecutor No No No No	
164. Consumer cases - Private mediator Yes Yes	
164. Consumer cases - Public authority (other than the court)	
164. Consumer cases - Judge	
164. Consumer cases - Public prosecutor No No	
Table 8.6: Availability of legal aid for court-related mediation (Q165)	
Table 8.7: Availability of ADR other than court related mediation (Q168)	
165 Availability of legal aid for court related mediation Yes - Yes Yes Yes Yes	
168 Avaialability of ADR - Mediation other than court-related mediation Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	
168 Avaialability of ADR - Arbitration Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	
168 Avaialability of ADR - Conciliation No No No No No No No	
168 Avaialability of ADR - Other Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	

Table General Data: Economic and demographic									Variations									
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015		2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019		

Indicator 9: Professionals of justice

Table 9.1.1 and 9.1.2 Number of professional judges (absolute number, per 100 000 inhabitants and variations) (Q1, Q46)

Table 9.1.3 and 9.1.3b Distribution of professional judges by instances and per 100 000 inhabitants (Q46)

Table 9.1.4 to 9.1.7 Distribution of male and female professional judges within the total number of professional judges in first instance in 2010 and 2012 (Q46)

Table 9.5.1 (EC) Number of professional judges sitting in courts per 100 000 inhabitants (Q1, Q46)

Table 9.2.1 to 9.2.3 Total number of non-judge staff (absolute number and per 100 000 inhabitants) and its distribution per category (Q1, Q52)

Table 9.2.4 Number of non-judge staff vs professional judges (values per 100 000 inhabitants) (Q1, Q46, Q52) Q1. Number of inhabitants 16.779.575 16.829.289 16.902.146 16.979.120 17.081.507 17.181.084 17.282.163 17.407.585 3.7% 0.3% 0.4% 0.5% 0.6% 0.6% 0.6% 0.7% 46.1.1 Total Number of professional judges 2,410 2,378 2,359 2.357 2,331 2,538 2.522 4.7% -1.3% -0.8% -0.1% -1.1% 8.9% -0.6% 0.0% 2,523 46.1.2 Number of 1st inst professional judges 2.7% -0.3% -1.1% -1.0% -1.3% 7.9% -1.2% -0.1% 1,855 1,850 1,829 1,811 1.788 1.930 1.907 1,906 46.1.3 Number of 2nd inst professional judges 519 528 530 546 543 570 582 582 12.1% 1.7% 0.4% 3.0% -0.5% 5.0% 2.1% 0.0% 46.1.4 Number of Supreme court professional judges 36 NA NA NA NA 38 33 35 -2.8% -13.2% 6.1% 46.2.1 Number of professional judges_males 1.122 1.058 1.031 1.026 988 NA 1.006 -11.0% -5.7% -2 6% -0.5% -3.7% -0.7% 999 46.2.2 Number of 1st instance professional judges_males 784 757 738 722 693 721 694 693 -11.6% -3.4% -2.5% -2.2% -4.0% 4.0% -3.7% -0.1% 46.2.3 Number of 2nd instance professional judges_males 3.8% -0.3% -2.1% 306 301 293 304 295 293 292 286 -6.5% -1.6% -2.7% -3.0% -0.7% 46.2.4 Number of Supreme court professional judges_males 32 NA NA NA NA NA 20 20 0.0% 46.3.1 Number of professional judges females 1,288 1,320 1,328 1,331 1,343 NA 1,516 1,524 18.3% 2.5% 0.6% 0.2% 0.9% 0.5% 46.3.2 Number of 1st inst professional judges_females 1.071 1.093 1.091 1.089 1.095 1.209 1.213 1.213 13.3% 2 1% -0.2% -0.2% 0.6% 10.4% 0.3% 0.0% 46.3.3 Number of 2nd inst professional judges_females 213 227 237 242 248 277 290 296 39.0% 6.6% 4.4% 2.1% 2.5% 11.7% 4.7% 2.1% 46.3.4 Number of Supreme court professional judges_females NA NA NA NA NA 13 275.0% 15.4% -0.4% 52.1.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts 6,252 7,287 7,422 7,265 7,317 7,523 7,492 7,699 23.19 16.6% 1.9% -2.1% 0.7% 2.8% 2.8% NAP 52.1.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger) NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NA 52.1.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges 4,847 NA NA NA NA NA NA NA 52.1.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA 52.1.5 Number of Technical staff NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA 52.1.6 Number of Other non judge staff 1.405 NA NA NA NA NA NA NA

NA

NA

NA

NA

2,595

NA

52.2.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in

courts(men)

Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
52.2.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)(men)	-	-	NAP	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.2.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges(men)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
52.2.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks(men)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.2.5 Number of Technical staff(men)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.2.6 Number of Other non judge staff(men)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.3.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts(women)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	5,104	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.3.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)(women)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
52.3.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges(women)	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-						
52.3.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks(women)	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-							
52.3.5 Number of Technical staff(women)	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-							
52.3.6 Number of Other non judge staff(women)	-	NA	-	-	-	-		-	-	-						

							1.4	CHICHE	iiius	(2012	-2013)	dutu	tubics	,		
Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
	Table 9.3	.1 Number o	f lawyers* (ab	solute num	ber, per 100	000 inhabitar	nts and varia	ations) (Q1, (Q146, Q1	47)						
		Tal	ble 9.5.2 (EC)	Number of I	awyers per '	100 000 inhat	oitants (Q1, 0	Q146)								
	Table 9).3.3 Number	r of lawyers v	s professior	nal judges (v	alues per 100	000 inhabit	ants) (Q1, Q	146, Q52)						
Q1. Number of inhabitants	16,779,575	16,829,289	16,902,146	16,979,120	17,081,507	17,181,084	17,282,163	17,407,585	3.7%	0.3%	0.4%	0.5%	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	0.7%
146 Total number of lawyers practising in your country.	17,068	17,298	17,713	17,343	17,498	17,672	17,784	17,829	4.5%	1.3%	2.4%	-2.1%	0.9%	1.0%	0.6%	0.3%
147 Does this figure include "legal advisors" who cannot represent their clients in court (for example, some solicitors or in-house counsellors)?	No	-	No	No	No	No	No	No	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.1.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts	6,252	7,287	7,422	7,265	7,317	7,523	7,492	7,699	23.1%	16.6%	1.9%	-2.1%	0.7%	2.8%	-0.4%	2.8%
52.1.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.1.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges	4,847	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.1.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.1.5 Number of Technical staff	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.1.6 Number of Other non judge staff	1,405	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.2.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts(men)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	2,595	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.2.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)(men)	-	-	NAP	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
52.2.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges(men)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
52.2.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks(men)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-			-	-	
52.2.5 Number of Technical staff(men)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA						-	-	
52.2.6 Number of Other non judge staff(men)	-	_	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Table General Data: Economic and demographic									Variations										
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019			
52.3.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts(women)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	5,104	-	-	-	-	-			-			
52.3.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)(women)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-			-			
52.3.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges(women)	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-			-									
52.3.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks(women)	NA	-	-	-	-	-			-										
52.3.5 Number of Technical staff(women)	NA	-	-	-	-	-			-										
52.3.6 Number of Other non judge staff(women)	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-										

Indicator 10: The methods, sources and efficiency of national data collection													
Table 10.1: Centralised institution responsible for collecting statistical data regarding the functioning of the courts and judiciary (Q80)													
80.1 Centralised instit resp_collecting data_func_C&J	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	Yes	Yes	No					

Table 10.2: Publication of statistics on the functioning of each court on the internet (Q80.1)													
80-1 Published statistics on the functioning of each court	Yes	Yes	Yes, on internet	Yes, on internet	Yes on Internet	Yes on Internet	Yes on Internet	Yes on Internet					

Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
Table 11.1: Existance of	specific prov	isions for fa	cilitating gen	nder equality	in recruiting	(Q61-2)										
Judges							No	No								
Prosecutors							No	No								
Non-judge staff							No	No								
Lawyers							No	No								
Notaries							No	No								
Enforcement agents							No	No								
Table 11.2: Existance of spec	cific provisio	ns for facilita	ating gender	equality in p	romotion in	2018 (Q61-2))									
Judges							No	No								
Prosecutors							No	No								
Non-judge staff							No	No								
Lawyers							No	No								
Notaries							No	No								
Enforcement agents							No	No								
Table 11.3: Availability	y of national	programme	to promote g	jender equal	ity in 2018 (0	Q61-5)										
National programme for gender equality							No	No								
Table 11.4: Existance of person/institu	ution specific	cally dedicate	ed to ensure	the respect	of gender eq	uality in 201	8 (Q61-7)									
In courts (judges)							No	No								
In public prosecution services (prosecutors)							No	No								
For courts' non-judge staff							No	No								

Key: Variation of more than (+ -) 20%