

*ICT calculations are described in the Part 5. ICT and national data collection system and in more details in Annex 5 - IT Calculation methodology

Synthesis	table	of main	indicators	for:
-----------	-------	---------	------------	------


Malta

		Synth	esis ta	ble of r	nain in	dicator	s for:			Malta			
Economic and demographic data	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012-2019	Vai 2014-2016	iations 2012-20 2016-2018	19 2017-2018	2018-2019
Population	422,509	429,424	439,691	450,415	460,297	475,701	475,701	493,559	16.8%	4.7%	3.3%	0.0%	3.8
GDP per capita	16,417€	16,831€	18,525€	21,469€	22,664 €	23,778€	25,556€	26,490€	61.4%	22.3%	12.8%	7.5%	3.7
Exchange rate (local currency	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	N
needed to obtain 1€) Average annual salary	15,536 €	1174	16,082€	10.4	17,261 €	11/1	19,036 €	19,590 €	26.1%	7.3%	10. <mark>3%</mark>	iv.u	2.9
•	0010	0010	0014	0015	0010	0017	0010	0040	0010 0010		0010 0010	0047-0040	
Resources Professional judges per 100 000	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012-2019	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019
inhab.	9.5	9.8	9.3	9.3	9.8	9.0	9.5	8.7	-8.0%	4 8%	-3.2%	4.7%	-7
Non-judge staff per 100 000 inhab.	85.2	105.0	88.5	87.3	83.2	82.8	86.8	83.5	- <mark>2</mark> .0%	<mark>-6</mark> 0%	4.3%	4.8%	<mark>-3</mark>
Lawyers per 100 000 inh.	331.4	259.0	337.7	348.3	288.3	309.6	322.7	333.9	0.8%	-14.6%	11.9%	42%	3
Mediators	16.3	16.1	13.9	13.5	14.3	14.5	14.1	13.6	<mark>-16</mark> .9%	3 4%	-1.8%	<mark>-2.</mark> 9%	-3
Availability of the Case Management System (4 max)				4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0			0.0%	0.0%	0
Availability of tools for court statistics (4 max)				4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0			0.0%	0.0%	0
First instance incoming cases per 100 inhab.	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012-2019	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019
Litigious civil (and commercial) cases	0.985	0.916	1.511	1.535	1.443	1.609	1.816	1.805	83.3%	-4.5%	25.9%	12.9%	-0.
Non-litigious civil (and commercial) cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	0.667	0.639	0.816	NAP	• NAP	NAP	-4.2%	27.
Non-litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP I	NAP
Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP I	NAP
Administrative law cases	0.082	0.1	0.0	0.017	0.020	0.017	0.031	0.026	-67.8%	-27.8%	58.0%	81.5%	-14.
First instance performance indicators (Clearence Rate)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012-2019 (in points)	2014-2016 (in points)	2016-2018 (in points)	2017-2018 (in points)	2018-2019 (points)
CR litigious civil (and commercial) cases	114%	110%	101%	107%	107%	97%	93%	92%	-22.02	6.01	-13.97	-3.63	-1
CR non-litigious civil (and commercial) cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	92%	108%	89%	NAP	NAP	NAP	16.12	-18
CR non-litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	- I
CR non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	N
CR administrative law cases	40%	40%	149%	411%	114%	147%	91%	121%	80.60	-34.30	-23.29	-55.76	29
First instance performance indicators (Disposition	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012-2019	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-201
Time) DT litigious civil (and commercial)	685	750	536	445	432	435	440	465	-32.0%	-19.5%	1.9%	1.1%	5.
cases (days) DT non-litigious civil (and	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	33	3	46	NAP	NAP	NAP	-92.2%	1695.
commercial) cases (days) DT non-litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-32.2 %	l
(days) DT non-litigious business registry	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	
cases (days) DT administrative law cases (days)	1,457	2,036	1,408	NAP 495	1,464	1,147	1,057	NAP 839	-42.4%	NAP 3.9%	-27.8%	-7.9%	-20.
First instance pending cases per												001	
100 inhab. on 31 dec.	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012-2019	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019
Litigious civil (and commercial) cases	2.10	2.06	2.25	2.01	1.83	1.86	2.04	2.11	0.5%	-18.5%	11.6%	9.8%	3.
Non-litigious civil (and commercial) cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	0.06	0.00	0.09	NAP	NAP	NAP	-91.2%	1798.
Non-litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP I	NAP
Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP I	NAP
Administrative law cases	0.13	0.18	0.16	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.08	0.07	-44.3%	-42.2%	-9.1%	3.7%	-10
Second instance performance indicators (Clearence	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	(in points)	(in points)	(in points)	(in points)	(in points
Rate) CR litigious civil (and commercial)	55%	68%	87%	96%	106%	118%	80%	112%	57.64	18.65	-25.87	-37.65	32
cases CR administrative law cases	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Second instance performance indicators (Disposition	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012-2019	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-201
Time) DT litigious civil (and commercial)										1			-
cases (days)	1,065	1,189	1,010	973	783	796	1,120	875	-17.9%	-22.4%	42.9%	40.7%	-21.
DT administrative law cases (days)	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA I	A


1. Presentation of the functioning of the judicial system in Malta

According to 2019 data, the 1st Instance Courts in Malta include general jurisdiction and specialised courts, tribunals and boards. There is one first intance court of general jurisdiction and nine (9) specialised first instance courts, namely the First Hall - Commercial Section, the First Hall - Family Court, the Rent Regulation Board, the Administrative Tribunal, the Court of First Instance, the Land Arbitration Board, the Rural Leases Control Board, the Small Claims Tribunal and the Court of Voluntary Jurisdiction.

In Malta there is no Supreme Court, the Court of Appeal being the Court of second instance. The Constitutional Court is presided over by the three judges who compose the Court of second instance also known as the Court of Appeal in its Superior Jurisdiction. It is interesting to notice that two judges presiding over the second instance courts also preside over the Civil Court, First Hall and the Family Court (which are specialised first instance courts).



In 2018, the Commercial Division was set up in order to hear cases filed under the Companies Act that include Insolvency cases. This new specialised first instance court is the reason behind the increase in the number of courts in 2018 and 2019.



Specialised courts Total	9
Commercial courts (excluded insolvency	1
Insolvency courts Labour courts Family courts Rent and tenancies courts Enforcement of criminal sanctions courts	NAP NAP 1 NAP
Fight against terrorism, organised crime a Internet related disputes Administrative courts Insurance and / or social welfare courts Military courts Other specialised 1st instance courts	NAP NAP 1 NAP NAP 5

The courts referred to under 'Other specialised 1st Instance courts' include:

- The Civil Court, First Hall

- the Land Arbitration Board
- the Rural Leases Control Board
- the Small Claims Tribunal
- the Court of Voluntary Jurisdiction

2. Resources of justice and courts framework in Malta

Budget allocated to the whole justice system (Q15-1):	Approved 138,885,600 €	Implemented: 168,342,346 €
This budget includes the following budgetary elements:		
∘ Court budget	n	ļ
 Legal aid budget 	n	l.
 Public prosecution services budget 	1	
 Prison system 	۰ م ۱	
 Probation services 	`	
 Council of the judiciary 	1	/
 Constitutionnal court 	1	1
 Judicial management body 	1	1
 State advocacy 	٦	1
 Enforcement services 	1	1
∘ Notariat	1	1
 Forensic services 	1	1
 Judicial protection of juveniles 		
 Functioning of the Ministry of Justice 	1	1
 Refugees and asylum seekers service 	1	1
 Immigration services 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1
 Some police services 		i l
 Other services 		i l

Most of the increase in the implemented budget lies in the expenditure of the Court Services Agency, the Prison system, the Police and the Refugee Services.

The "Other services" category includes:

- the Asset Recovery Bureau

- the Malta Mediation Centre

- the Malta Arbitration Centre

- the Permanent Commission Against Corruption

- the Law Commissioner


- the Department of Justice

• Human resources

Judges

Evolution of the number of professional judges since 2012 (Q46)

Year	Absolute number	Per 100 000 inhabitants	Male	Female
2012	40	9.47	65.0%	35.0%
2013	42	9.78	64.3%	3 5.7%
2014	41	9.32	61.0%	39.0%
2015	42	9.32	57.1%	42.9%
2016	45	9.78	57.8%	42.2%
2017	43	9.04	53.5%	46.5%
2018	45	9.46	48.9%	<u>51.</u> 1%
2019	43	8.71	44.2%	55.8%



According to 2019 data, the total number of professional judges sitting in courts (all instances) in Malta is 43 which is -4,4% less than in previous cycle.


More precisely, in Malta, in 2019 there are 8,7 professional judges per 100 000 inhabitants (this figure is below the EU median of 24,5 judges per 100 000 inhabitants) and about 9,6 non-judge staff per judge (in previous cycle this ratio was at 9,2 non-judge staff per judge).

The number of first Instance 'judges' also includes magistrates that preside over first Instance Courts. The difference in nominal figures is of 4 male magistrates compared to previous cycle. This is mainly due to retirement and the appointment of two male magistrates to judges. Three new magistrates have been appointed in 2019, only one of these is male.

Regarding the number of second instance professional judges, one judge has been appointed Judge elect in respect of Malta on the European Court of Human Rights. Given that she did not serve in Malta at the end of 2019, she does not feature in the above data.


Absolute number of professional judges by instance and gender


2019	Total number of professional judges	Male	Female	
1st instance courts	32	10	22	
2nd instance courts	11	9	2	
Supreme courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	
Total	43	19	24	



Distribution of professional judges by instance and gender

2019	Total number of professional judges	Male	Female
1st instance courts	74.4%	31.3%	68.8%
2nd instance courts	25.6%	81.8%	18.2%
Supreme courts	NAP	NAP	NAP





Malta EU Median

The total number of female professional judges (all instances), in this cycle is 24 which represents 55,8% of the total number of judges.

The total number of judges is distributed among the different judicial instances in the following way: 32 are sitting in first instance courts (among which 22 are female); 11 are sitting in second instance courts (among which 2 are female).

Regarding the distribution of male/female judges, the 2019 data highlights a sharp difference between the 1st instance courts and the 2nd instance courts. Namely, the percentage of female professionnal judges at 1st instance court is 68,8% whereas it is only 18,2% at the 2nd instance courts. Thus, female professional judges are overrepresented at the first instance and underrepresented at second instance.

In Malta, training of judges requirements are broken down as follows:

- Initial training:
- · General in-service training:
- In-service training for specialised judicial functions:
- In-service training for management functions of the court:
- In-service training for the use of computer facilities in courts:

Number of training courses orgnised are:							
	courses in days organised, without e- learning	courses available during the reference year (e-learning)					
Total	10	NAP					
1. Only for judges	10	NAP					
2. Only for prosecutors	NAP	NAP					
3. Only for other non-judge staff	NAP	NAP					
4. Only for other non-prosecutor staff	NAP	NAP					
5. Other common training	NAP	NAP					


The training courses offered to the judiciary over 2019 included 8 full day sessions and 4 half day sessions, thus totalling to 10 full days of training.

Compulsory Optional √



Non-judge staff

Year	Total	Rechtspfleger	Non-judge staff assisting the judge	Staff in charge of administrativ e tasks	Technical staff	Other
2012	360	NAP	213	111	8	28
2013	451	NAP	156	103	8	36
2014	389	NAP	231	59	9	90
2015	393	NAP	239	60	5	89
2016	383	NAP	227	59	7	90
2017	394	NAP	231	56	9	98
2018	413	NAP	247	61	9	96
2019	412	NAP	262	52	6	92



In Malta, in this cycle there are 412 non-judge staff (among which 240 females). Comparisson with previous cycle reveals a decrease of -0,2%.

In this cycle, the non-judge staff is broken down as follows:

- 262 non-judge staff whose task is to assist the judges such as registrars (among which 193 are women);
- 52 staff in charge of different administrative tasks and of the court management (among which 28 are women);
- 6 technical staff (among which 0 are women);
- 92 other (among which 19 are women);

In light of the data relevant for the judges, it is possible to notice that in 2019 the number of non-judge staff per 100 000 inhabitants has decreased (from 86,8 in 2018 to 83,5 in 2019).

During the same period, the number of judges per 100 000 inhabitants evolves from 9,5 judges per 100 000 inhabitants in 2018 to 8,7 in 2019.

	Malta	EU median
Professional judges	8.7	24.5
Non-judge staff	83.5	57.5
Non-judge staff per judge	9.58	3.3

Professional judges, non-judge staff and their ratio (Q46, Q52) per 100 000 inhabitants Non-judge staff per judge 100 12 83.5 10 80 9.58 57.5 8 60 6 40 4 20 8.7 2 0 0 Malta EU median Professional judges Non-judge staff On-judge staff per judge

Professional judges, non-judge staff and their ratio (Q46, Q52)

Evolution of the ratio between professional judges and non-judge staff (Q46, Q52)

Ratio

9.00

10.74

9.49

9.36

8.51

9.16

9.18

9.58

2012

2013

2014


2015

2016

2017

2018

2019




Salaries of professional judges and prosecutors at beginning of a career and at the highest instance (Q132, Q4)

	Average gross annual salary in €	Average net annual salary in €	Ratio with the annual gross salary	6		, 0	and prosect ry in the cou	
First instance professional judge at the beginning of his/her career	90,863€	65,693 €	4.64	Gross salaries / average annual gross salary in the country 0 Cross salary in the country 0 T	4.64	4.05		3.57
Judge of the Supreme Court or the Highest Appellate Court	98,713€	71,350€	5.04	e annual gros. C C	2.02		2.02	
Public prosecutor at the beginning of his/her career	39,612€	30,015€	2.02	salaries / average 0 L	Judge at the	Judge on	Prosecutor at	Prosecutor at
Public prosecutor of the Supreme Court or the Highest Appellate Instance	NAP	NAP	NA	Gross	beginning of career	highest instance Malta E	the beginning of career J Median	highest instance

Public prosecutor at the beginning of his/her career: there was an increase in the gross annual salary which is also reflected in the net annual salary. The difference in the net annual salary is then due to the different tax brackets that apply.

Number of Lawyers

Year	Absolute number	Per 100 000 inhabitants
2012	1,400	331.35
2013	1,112	258.95
2014	1,485	337.74
2015	1,569	348.35
2016	1,327	288.29
2017	1,473	309.65
2018	1,535	322.68
2019	1,648	333.90




In 2019, there are 1 648 lawyers, which is 7,4% more than in 2018.

Malta has 333,9 lawyers per 100 000 inhabitants in 2019, which is above the EU median of 121,3 lawyers per 100 000 inhabitants.

Judicial professionals in absolute number and per 100 000 inhabitants (Q46, Q52, Q146)

Туре	Absolute number	per 100 000 inhabitants	EU Median
Professional judges	43	8.71	24.48
Non judge staff	412	83.48	57.49
Lawyers	1,648	333.90	121.32



3. Access to justice

• Legal aid

The distribution of the total annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid is as follows:

	Approved	Implemented
- In criminal law cases:	NA	NA
- In other than criminal law cases:	NA	NA
 Annual public budget allocated to legal aid for cases brought to court: 	450,000 €	409,015€
- In criminal law cases:	NA	NA
- In other than criminal law cases:	NA	NA
 Annual public budget allocated to legal aid for cases not brought to court: 	NAP	NAP
- In criminal law cases:	NAP	NAP
- In other than criminal law cases:	NAP	NAP

The main increase in the budget results from the expenses related to the relocation of the premises of Legal Aid. Despite fact that this relocation happened in 2020, expenses related to upgrading the new premises with facilities and also initial payments of rent account for the biggest difference in the budget expenditure in 2019. In addition, in 2019, some Legal A lawyers were trained abroad, and the Agency also recruited temporary support staff to assist in legal duties.

In Malta, legal aid is avaiable for :

 Representation in court: Legal advice, ADR and other legal services: 	Criminal cases √ √	Other than criminal cε √ √
 for fees related to enforcement of judicial decisions as fees for enforcement agents. (Q18): 	I	
 Other costs than above. (Q19): 		

All the information related to how Legal Aid functions in Malta in both criminal and non-criminal cases can be found at: https://www.legalaidmalta.gov.mt. Whilst in previous evaluations we used to declare that in Malta Legal Aid attends only Representation in Court, the Agency is in fact offering legal Advice in both civil and criminal cases within specific context Thus, in criminal cases, the Legal Aid Agency started providing legal advice to persons under arrest, as per EU Directive 2013/ 48 relative to the right of access to a lawyer during interrogation stage. On the other hand, in civil cases the Agenc

The legal aid lawyer will see to the merits of the case till it is totally finalized. Eligible candidates can enforce foreign judgements in Malta through legal aid as long as the procedure is carried out through court representation.

In criminal cases:

Accused individuals are not free to chose their lawyers in the frame of legal aid system

Victims are not free to chose their lawyers in the frame of legal aid system

Court fees

Litigants have to pay taxes to start a proceeding in other than criminal matters.

If a litigant is granted legal aid, he/she is exempted from paying court fees or taxes which are borne by the Government. There are no such taxes or fees in relation to criminal cases.

• Methodology of calculation of court fees

The Code of Organisation and Civil Procedures (Chapter 12) regulates all court fees and taxes, such as registry fees and lawyers' fees that can arise in the course of any civil proceeding. The tariffs are set out in schedules A to K annexed to the COCP.

Amount of court fees to commence an action for 3000€ debt recovery is 54€

The court fees to be paid for €3000 debt recovery has not changed since the last evaluation cycle, and is therefore €54.4

e the ١d

ases

to : ; ;y

ว่ าe

40.

3. Efficiency and quality of the judicial system

• Court performance

· Clearance Rate (CR) and Disposition Time (DT)

The Clearance Rate shows the capacity of a judicial system to deal with the incoming cases. A Clearance Rate of 100% and higher does not generate backlog.

The Disposition Time determines the estimated number of days necessary for a pending case to be solved in a court.


First instance cases

Total other than criminal cases



The number of incoming cases in 2019 in Malta (2,65 per 100 inhabitants) is significantly below the EU median (7,22 per 100 inhabitants); as for the number of resolved cases, which is 2,42 per 100 inhabitant scompared to the EU median of 7,27 per 100 inhabitants.

Moreover, the number of pending cases at the end of 2019 in Malta (2,28 per 100 inhabitants) is also below the EU median (2,84 per 100 inhabitants).



With a Clearance Rate calculated at 91,3% in 2019 Malta seems not able to deal with its other than criminal cases.

Between 2018 and 2019, the Clearance Rate has decreased for -5,8 percentage points.

In 2019, other than criminal cases are solved in approximately 344 days, which is well above the EU median of 111 days.

Analysis of the 2018 - 2019 period reveals a 6,7% increase of the Disposition Time.

Non-litigious data is not available for 2015.


The vast majority of cases heard before the courts of Malta are litigious cases. Nevertheless, there is the Court of Voluntary Jurisdiction which deals with adoptions, appointment of tutor, curators and other administrators, interdiction and incapacitation and opening of secret wills.

The 2019 data for non litigious incoming cases was provided by the case managment system of the Court Services Agency and shows an increase in the incoming caseload of these cases over that of the previous year.

The relative high number of pending of non litigious cases at the end of 2019 compared by the previous year is the result of the increase of incoming cases but a retention in the number of resolved cases. As a result, efficiency, as expressed as a higher number of pending cases, has suffered.

The data shows an increase in the resolved caseload of the 2nd instance courts for total than criminal cases and, in fact, the pending caseload at the end of the year is less than that registered in 2018. These courts were more efficient in 2019.

• Civil (and commercial) litigious cases



The number of incoming cases in 2019 in Malta (1,81 per 100 inhabitants) is below the EU median (1,92 per 100 inhabitants).

Moreover, the number of resolved cases in 2019 (1,66 per 100 inhabitants) is slightly below the EU median (1,73 per 100 inhabitants).

However, the number of pending cases at the end of 2019 (2,11 per 100 inhabitants) is significantly above the EU median (1,10 per 100 inhabitants).



With a Clearance Rate calculated at 91,8% in 2019, Malta seems not able to deal with its civil and commercial litigious cases.


Between 2018 and 2019, the Clearance Rate has decreased for -1,6 percentange points.

In 2019, the civil and commercial litigious cases are solved in approximately 465 days, which is well above the EU median of 213 days.


Analysis of the 2018 - 2019 period reveals a 5,8% increase of the Disposition Time.

The number of civil and commercial litigious cases older than 2 years is not available.

Administrative cases



The number of incoming cases in 2019 in Malta (0,03 per 100 inhabitants) is significantly below the EU median (0,25 per 100 inhabitants); as for the number of resolved cases, which is 0,03 per 100 inhabitants, compared to the EU median of 0,31 per 100 inhabitants.



Moreover, the number of pending cases at the end of 2019 (0,07 per 100 inhabitants) is also significantly below EU median (0,19 per 100 inhabitants).

With a Clearance Rate calculated at 120,8% in 2019, Malta seems able to deal with its administrative cases. Between 2018 and 2019, the Clearance Rate has increased for 29,6 percentage points.

In 2019, the administrative cases are solved in approximately 839 days, which is well above the EU median of 284 days.


Analysis of the 2018 - 2019 period reveals a -20,6% decrease of the Disposition Time.

In Malta, there are 222 administrative law cases older than 2 years. This is 61,5% of the total number of pending cases at the end of the year.

The Administrative Review Tribunal was set up in late 2009 and replaced a number of ad hoc tribunals, each with their own varying caseload. From the moment it has been set-up, till practically 2014, the Administrative Review Tribunal was incorporating all these different caseloads within its own, and this resulted in a disproportional increase in the number of administrative incoming cases, as well as an increase in the pending caseload. Only now is the Tribunal starting to settle down to its normal annual caseload. The figures of "administrative cases" reflect the changes resulting from the integration of the caseloads of the ad hoc tribunals, into the Administrative Review Tribunal.

The observed variations for these cases between 2013 and the following years are due to the fact that in 2014 another magistrate started presiding over the Administrative Review Tribunal thereby increasing the judicial complement to 2 members. This change resulted in an increase in the number of administrative resolved cases leading to the increase in the clearance rate. The low number of incoming cases is reflecting the current intake once all cases from the ad hoc tribunals have been transferred.

Insolvency



The Clearance Rate was calculated at 121,4% in 2019 for insolvency cases, Malta seems to deal with its insolvency cases.


Between 2018 and 2019, the Clearance Rate has increased for 46,4 points.

In 2019, insolvency cases are solved in approximately 1 031 days, which is well above the EU median of 258 days.

Analysis of the 2018 - 2019 period reveals a -9,9% decrease of the Disposition Time.

Following the establishment of the Civil Court, Commercial Division, a number of insolvency cases previously filed before other courts were still being transferred to the new Court and hence the relatively high number of incoming cases in previous years. The Commercial Court is now fully operational and receiving new cases filed before it. Hence this figure is presumed to reflect more faithfully the cases of insolvency filed within a year.

Overall efficiency by instance and by case matter




As far as the Civil and commercial litigious cases are concerned, the first instance courts are faster than the second instance courts with a Disposition Time (DT) of 465 days and 875 days respectively. The Clearance Rate (CR) for the first instance of cases as slightly decrease compared to 2018 (-1,58 percentage points). However, a greater variation can be noticed for the period 2012 - 2019, when the CR has decreased by over 22 percentage points for first instance courts, from 114% in 2012 to 92% in 2019. This has impacted the efficiency of first instance courts for Civil and commercial lititgious cases.

Although the DT for the first instance Administrative cases is still much higher than for the first instance Civil and commercial lititgious cases, it has has shown a downward trend during the last four years. The CR is 121%, which represents almost 30 percentage points more than in 2018. In 2019 the first instance courts dealing with administrative cases have thus been more efficient than the previous year.

•Alternative dispute resolutions

The judicial system in Malta provides court related mediation.



The court related mediation system in Malta provides mandatory court related mediation. Before/instead of going to court

In 2019, there are 67 accredited or registered mediators who practise court related mediation which represent 14,1 accredited

There is no variation between 2018 and 2019.

Type of cases	Total	Per 100 000 inhab.
All cases	2,104	426
Civil and commercial	1	0
Family cases	2,103	426
Administrative	NAP	NAP
Employment dismissal	NAP	NAP
Criminal cases	NAP	NAP
Consumer cases	NAP	NAP

The Malta Mediation Centre received for the first time in 2019, the first case at mediation according to Art 10.2 of the Chp 579 Media and Defamation Act. This case was actually filed in court in 2018 but was then referred for mediation in 2019, and it is still ongoing.

• Systems for measuring and evaluating the court performance

In 2019, individual courts are required to prepare an annual activity report.

· The frequency of the reporting is annual

A regular monitoring system of court activities is in place concerning:

number of incoming cases length of proceedings (timeframes) number of resolved cases number of pending cases backlogs satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts) number of appeals clearance rate disposition time other: age of pending caseload

In Malta, there is a system to evaluate regularly the activity of each court.

· The reporting is more frequent than annual

A system to evaluate regurlarly the activity of each court (in terms of performance and output) exists and performance and quality indicators are defined at the court level:

number of incoming cases length of proceedings (timeframes) number of resolved cases number of pending cases backlogs satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts) number of appeals clearance rate disposition time

other: age of pending caseload

Currently Malta carries out systematic quantitative analysis of the performance of the different courts, based on international standards. They are also addressing measures of quality as defined by recognised international institutions, supplemented by internal reports that are purposely commissioned to focus on specific aspects of the functioning of the justice system. These ongoing efforts at measuring the efficiency and quality of our justice system is compared with past performance, but as yet, not with established targets.

Malta does not have defined 'targets' but assesses its performance in terms of indicators defined by international institutions. The evaluation of the court activity is used for the later allocation of means in this court.

There exists a Code of Ethics for the members of the Judiciary which, though not providing for the organisation and quality of the judicial work, does lay upon the members of the Judiciary certain obligations which are important in ensuring the transparency and independence of the judicial process.

There are general quality standards that apply to the public sector, but not specific quality standards that monitor the implementation of quality standards within the judiciary or the prosecution services.

5. ICT and national data collection system

•The ICT tools of courts and for court users

The use of ICT in courts had been evaluated in 2 fields and 5 sub-fields within those fields in :2019 (graphics below):


	points (up to 4)
Administration and management	3.3
Case management systems	3.7
Tools of producing courts activity statistics	3.0
Communication between court and users	2.8
Possibility to submit a case by electronic means	1.5
Possibility to monitor the stages of an online judicial proceeding	3.0
Electronic communication between courts and lawyers	2.8
Videoconferencing with users	4.0


The calculation of this index for each field is an average of the values of the sub-fields included. The calculation of the sub-field depends on each question and the combination of different modalities of the answers.

The details of the calculation are given in Annex 5 - IT calculations

The result by area may be summarized in these graphics, where each field has been evaluated from 0 to 4 points.

Note; Index is modified each cycle depending on the new modalities included in the questionnaire that show more precise details on the ICT situation that can make the index drop even if there is no real change.





Statistical data

In Malta, there is a centralised institution that is responsible for collecting statistical data regarding the functioning of the courts and judiciary.

The Court Administration has an in-house database and case management system that collects statistical information regarding all civil courts, and aspects of criminal procedure. This system is accessed daily by the court officers, but its upkeep and technical back-up are entrusted to the Malta Information Technology Agency (MITA) which is a government agency specialising in ICT services for government entities and departments, which are subcontracted by the Ministry for Justice, Culture and Local Government to provide and manage the IT infrastructure at the Law Courts. This data is then analysed and evaluated by the Department of Justice. More specifically, the Malta Information Technology and Training Services Limited (MITTS) was set up in 2000 in order to establish the national IT strategy. In 2008, MITA was established as a government agency tasked with the implementation of the ICT roadmap. It incorporated the functions of MITTS and also took on some other functions that previously fell within the remit of the IT Ministry. Malta Information and Technology Agency (MITA): Address: Gattard House, National Road, Blata I-Bajda, HMR9010, Malta Webpage: http://www.mita.gov.mt

This institution publish statistics of each court on internet.

Court statistics are available at: http://www.ecourts.gov.mt

Individual courts are required to prepare an activity report. These reports are distributed:

• on paper

Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
Q1 Number of inhabitants	422,509	429,424	439,691	450,415	460,297	475,701	475,701	493,559	16.8%	1.6%	2.4%	2.4%	2.2%	3.3%	0.0%	3.8%
Q.3 GDP Per capita (in €) in current prices	16,417 €	16,831 €	18,525€	21,469€	22,664 €	23,778€	25,556 €	26,490 €	61.4%	2.5%	10.1%	15.9%	5.6%	4.9%	7.5%	3.7%
Q5. Exchange rate of Nat currency to € on 1 Jan	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP		-	-	-	-	-		-

		Indi	cator 1: The	budget and i	resources of	courts and t	the justice sy	vstem							
		Annual app	roved and im	plemented k	oudgets allo	cated to the v	whole justice	system in	€						
	Table	1.3.2 Budge	etary element	s of the bud	get allocated	l to the whol	e justice sys	tem (Q15.2,	Q15-3)						
15-1.1.1 Annual approved public budget allocated to the whole justice system, in $\ensuremath{\varepsilon}$	84,584,522	76,813,500	94,456,603	92,769,554	107,856,200	106,064,516	121,982,700	138,885,600	64.2%	-9.2% 23.0%	-1.8%	16.3%	-1.7%	15.0%	13.9%
15-2.1.1 Court budget (Q6) included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	-	Yes	Yes							
15-2.1.2 Legal aid budget (Q12) included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	-	Yes	Yes							
15-2.1.3 Public prosecution services budget (Q12) included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	-	Yes	Yes							
15-3.1.1 Prison system included in whole justice system budget (Q15- 1)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes							
15-3.1.2 Probation services included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes							
15-3.1.3 Council of the judiciary included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes							
15-3.1.4 Constitutional court included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes							
15-3.1.5 Judicial management body included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes							
15-3.1.6 State advocacy included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes							
15-3.1.7 Enforcement services included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes							
15-3.1.8 Notariat included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes							
15-3.1.9 Forensic services included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes							
15-3.1.10 Judicial protection of juveniles included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	NAP	No	No							
15-3.1.11 Functioning of the Ministry of Justice included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes							
15-3.1.12 Refugees and asylum seekers service included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes							
15-3.1.13 Immigration services	-	-	-	-	NAP	Yes	Yes	Yes							
15-3.1.14 Some police services included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes							
15-3.1.15 Other services included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes							

Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
Figu	ure 1.4 Corre	lation betwe	en the GDP p	oer capita an	d the approv	ed whole jus	stice system	budget in 2	019 (Q1, (Q3, Q15-	1)					
Q1. Number of inhabitants	422,509	429,424	439,691	450,415	460,297	475,701	475,701	493,559	16.8%	1.6%	2.4%	2.4%	2.2%	3.3%	0.0%	3.8%
Q.3 GDP Per capita (in €) in current prices	16,417 €	16,831 €	18,525€	21,469€	22,664 €	23,778 €	25,556 €	26,490 €	61.4%	2.5%	10.1%	15.9%	5.6%	4.9%	7.5%	3.7%
15-1.1.1 Annual approved public budget allocated to the whole justice system, in $\pmb{\varepsilon}$	84,584,522	76,813,500	94,456,603	92,769,554	107,856,200	106,064,516	121,982,700	138,885,600	64.2%	- 0€	23.0%	-1.8%	16.3%	-1.7%	15.0%	13.9%
15-1.2.1 Annual implemented public budget allocated to the whole justice system, in $\ensuremath{\varepsilon}$	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	127,874,691	168,342,346	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	31.6%

	Fig	ure 1.7 Evol	ution of reven	ues from co	ourt taxes an	d fees in 201	0, 2012,and 2	2015 in € (Q1	, Q9)							
Table 1.8 Participation of the annual income of court taxes and fees in the budget of the whole justice system from 2012 to 2019 in € (Q1, Q9, Q15-1)																
Q1. Number of inhabitants	422,509	429,424	439,691	450,415	460,297	475,701	475,701	493,559	16.8%	1.6%	2.4%	2.4%	2.2%	3.3%	0.0%	3.8%
15-1.1.1 Annual approved public budget allocated to the whole justice system, in $\pmb{\in}$	84,584,522	76,813,500	94,456,603	92,769,554	107,856,200	106,064,516	121,982,700	138,885,600	64.2%	-9.2%	23.0%	-1.8%	16.3%	-1.7%	15.0%	13.9%
Q9. Annual income of court taxes or fees received by the state	6,399,974	-	6,583,082	6,665,908	6,904,081	7,750,204	6,897,841	7,104,514	11.0%	-	-	1.3%	3.6%	12.3%	-11.0%	3.0%

			Fi	igure 1.9 Co	urt fees and t	axes (Q8-1, (Q8-2)						
Q8-2. Amount of court fees to commence an action for 3000 Euro debt recovery	-	-	-		- 54	54	54	54			 0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
				Indicator 2	: The judicial	organisatio	n									
Tables 2.1 and 2.1b Number of fit	rst instance o	courts (gene	ral and speci	ialised) as le	gal entities a	nd number o	of all courts	(first, appeal	and higl	n courts)	as geog	raphic lo	cations (Q42)		
		Table 2.3 (EC	C) Variation o	of the absolu	te number o	f all courts (geographic l	ocations) (Q	42)							
Q1. Number of inhabitants	422,509	429,424	439,691	450,415	460,297	475,701	475,701	493,559	16.8%	1.6%	2.4%	2.4%	2.2%	3.3%	0.0%	3.8%
42.1.1 First instance courts of general jurisdiction	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
42.1.2 Specialised first instance courts	7	7	7	7	7	8	9	9	28.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%	12.5%	0.0%
42.1.3 All the courts (geographic locations)	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

	Ta	ble 2.2 Numl	ber of (legal o	entities) first	instance sp	ecialised cou	rts and its bi	reak-down	(Q43)							
43.1.1 Total Nr of first instance specialised courts	7	7	7	7	7	8	9	9	28.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%	12.5%	0.0%
43.1.2 Number of commercial courts	0	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	1	1	-	-	-	-			-	0.0%
43.1.3 Number of insolvency courts	0	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-			-	-
43.1.4 Number of labour courts	0	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-			-	-
43.1.5 Number of family courts	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
43.1.6 Number of rent and tenancies courts	0	NAP	1	1	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
43.1.7 Number of enforcement of criminal sanctions courts	0	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-			-	-
43.1.8 Number of courts fight against terrorism, organised crime and corruption	0	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-			-	-
43.1.9 Number of internet related disputes	0	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-			-	-
43.1.10 Number of administrative courts	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
43.1.11 Number of insurance and social welfare courts	0	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-			-	-
43.1.12 Number of military courts	0	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-			-	-
43.1.13 Number of other specialised 1st instance courts	5	5	4	4	4	5	5	5	0.0%	0.0%	-20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%

												Variat	tions			
Table General Data: Economic and demographic data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
	· · ·	Ind	icator 3: The	performanc	e of courts a	t all stages c	of the procee	dings								
	Tables 3.1.	1.1 to 3.1.1.4	l (all years) a	nd 3.1.1.5 Fi	rst instance	courts: Num	ber of other t	than crimina	al cases (Q91)						
Table 3	.9.1 and 3.9.2	(all years) F	ist instance	courts: Case	load in the E	U of other th	nan criminal	cases pe 10	0 inhabit	ants (Q1,	Q91)					
	Table 3.9.3	to 3.9.4 Fist	instance co	urts: Variatio	on of caseloa	d in the EU	of other than	criminal ca	ses (Q1,	Q91)						
Q1. Number of inhabitants	422,509	429,424	439,691	450,415	460,297	475,701	475,701	493,559	16.8%	1.6%	2.4%	2.4%	2.2%	3.3%	0.0%	3.8%
91.1.1 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	9,805	9,789	10,845	10,568	9,459	NA	9,492	10,138	3.4%	-0.2%	10.8%	-2.6%	-10.5% ·		-	6.8%
91.1.2 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	9,457	9,238	10,092	9,885	9,041	NA	8,856	9,727	2.9%	-2.3%	9.2%	-2.1%	-8.5% ·		-	9.8%
91.1.3 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NA	262	23	-	-	-	-			-	-91.2%
91.1.4 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NA	262	23	-	-	-	-			-	-91.2%
91.1.5 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-			-	-
91.1.6 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-			-	-
91.1.7 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-			-	-
91.1.8 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-		-			-	-
91.1.9 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-		-			-	-
91.1.10 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Administrative law cases	348	551	753	683	418	413	374	388	11.5%	58.3%	36.7%	-9.3%	-38.8%	-1.2%	-9.4%	3.7%
91.1.11 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-			-	-
91.2.1 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	4,507	4,272	6,762	6,991	6,730	10,911	11,827	13,066	189.9%	-5.2%	58.3%	3.4%	-3.7%	62.1%	8.4%	10.5%
91.2.2 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	4,161	3,935	6,643	6,916	6,640	7,656	8,640	8,909	114.1%	-5.4%	68.8%	4.1%	-4.0%	15.3%	12.9%	3.1%
91.2.3 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	3,174	3,040	4,027	-	-	-	-			-4.2%	32.5%
91.2.4 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	3,174	3,040	4,027	-	-	-	-			-4.2%	32.5%
91.2.5 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-		NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-			-	-
91.2.6 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-			-	-
91.2.7 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-			-	-
91.2.8 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-			-	-
91.2.9 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-			-	-
91.2.10 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Administrative law cases	346	337	119	75	90	81	147	130	-62.4%	-2.6%	-64.7%	-37.0%	20.0%	-10.0%	81.5%	-11.6%
91.2.11 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-			-	-

Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
91.3.1 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	4,875	4,447	6,909	7,727	7,231	10,458	11,481	11,932	144.8%	-8.8%	55.4%	11.8%	-6.4%	44.6%	9.8%	3.9%
91.3.2 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	4,736	4,312	6,732	7,419	7,128	7,427	8,068	8,178	72.7%	-9.0%	56.1%	10.2%	-3.9%	4.2%	8.6%	1.4%
91.3.3 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	2,912	3,279	3,597	-	-	-	-	-	-	12.6%	9.7%
91.3.4 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	2,912	3,279	3,597	-	-		-	-	-	12.6%	9.7%
91.3.5 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.3.6 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.3.7 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
91.3.8 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
91.3.9 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.3.10 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Administrative law cases	139	135	177	308	103	119	134	157	12.9%	-2.9%	31.1%	74.0%	-66.6%	15.5%	12.6%	17.2%
91.3.11 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.4.1 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	9,437	9,614	10,568	9,459	8,843	9,492	10,138	11,243	19.1%	1.9%	9.9%	-10.5%	-6.5%	7.3%	6.8%	10.9%
91.4.2 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	8,882	8,861	9,885	9,041	8,430	8,856	9,727	10,429	17.4%	-0.2%	11.6%	-8.5%	-6.8%	5.1%	9.8%	7.2%
91.4.3 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	262	23	453	-	-	-	-	-		-91.2%	1869.6%
91.4.4 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	262	23	453	-	-	-	-	-	-	-91.2%	1869.6%
91.4.5 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.4.6 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
91.4.7 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
91.4.8 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
91.4.9 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.4.10 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Administrative law cases	555	753	683	418	413	374	388	361	-35.0%	35.7%	-9.3%	-38.8%	-1.2%	-9.4%	3.7%	-7.0%
91.4.11 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

											-	Varia	tions			
Table General Data: Economic and demographic data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
Table 3.2.1.1 1	to 3.2.1.1 (all y	years) First i	nstance cou	rts: Clearanc	e rate and d	isposition tin	ne in differer	nt type of ot	ner than	criminal	cases (Q	91)				
Table 3.2.2.1 ar	d 3.2.2.3 Firs	t instance co	ourts: Variati	on of clearar	nce rate and	disposition t	ime in differ	ent type of o	other tha	n crimina	al cases ((Q91)				
Table 3.10.1 (EC) to 3.10.6 (EC) First	instance cou	rts: Disposi	ion time and	l clearance ra	ate for other	than crimina	l cases, litigi	ious civil an	d comme	ercial cas	ses and a	dministr	ative cas	es (Q91)		
CR Total of other than criminal law cases	108.2%	104.1%	102.2%	110.5%	107.4%	95.8%	97.1%	91.3%	-15.6%	-3.8%	-1.8%	8.2%	-2.8%	-10.8%	1.3%	-5.9%
CR Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	113.8%	109.6%	101.3%	107.3%	107.3%	97.0%	93.4%	91.8%	-19.4%	-3.7%	-7.5%	5.9%	0.1%	-9.6%	-3.7%	-1.7%
CR Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-		NAP	NAP	NAP	91.7%	107.9%	89.3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	17.6%	-17.2%
CR General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	91.7%	107.9%	89.3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	17.6%	-17.2%
CR Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Other registry cases	-		NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Administrative law cases	40.2%	40.1%	148.7%	410.7%	114.4%	146.9%	91.2%	120.8%	200.6%	-0.3%	271.3%	176.1%	-72.1%	28.4%	-38.0%	32.5%
CR Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Total of other than criminal law cases	707	789	558	447	446	331	322	344	-51.3%	11.7%	-29.2%	-20.0%	-0.1%	-25.8%	-2.7%	6.7%
DT Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	685	750	536	445	432	435	440	465	-32.0%	9.6%	-28.5%	-17.0%	-3.0%	0.8%	1.1%	5.8%
DT Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	33	3	46	-	-	-	-	-	-	-92.2%	1695.4%
DT General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	33	3	46	-	-	-	-	-	-	-92.2%	1695.4%
DT Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Administrative law cases	1457	2036	1408	495	1464	1147	1057	839	-42.4%	39.7%	-30.8%	-64.8%	195.5%	-21.6%	-7.9%	-20.6%
DT Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 3.3.1 (all years) First instance courts, number of cases for specific case categories i(litigious divorce, employment dismissal and insolvency cases) (Q101)

Table General Data: Economic and demographic										-		Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
101.1.1 Pending cases on 1 Jan. Litigious divorce case	NA	NA	142	162	130	121	126	151	-	-	-	14.1%	-19.8%	-6.9%	4.1%	19.8%
101.1.2 Pending cases on 1 Jan. Employment dismissal case	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-							
101.1.3 Pending cases on 1 Jan. Insolvency	NA	47	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-						
101.2.1 Incoming cases_Litigious divorce case	NA	NA	285	299	358	334	395	372	-	-	-	4.9%	19.7%	-6.7%	18.3%	-5.8%
101.2.2 Incoming cases_Employment dismissal case	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-							
101.2.3 Incoming cases_Insolvency	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	20	14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-30.0%
101.3.1 Resolved cases_Litigious divorce case	NA	NA	265	331	367	329	370	353	-	-	-	24.9%	10.9%	-10.4%	12.5%	-4.6%
101.3.2 Resolved cases_Employment dismissal case	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-							
101.3.3 Resolved cases_Insolvency	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	15	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13.3%
101.4.1 Pending cases on 31 Dec. Litigious divorce case	NA	NA	162	130	121	126	151	170	-	-	-	-19.8%	-6.9%	4.1%	19.8%	12.6%
101.4.2 Pending cases on 31 Dec. Employment dismissal case	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-							
101.4.3 Pending cases on 31 Dec. Insolvency	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	47	48	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.1%

Table 3.4.1 (all yea	ars) First insta	ance courts:	Clearance ra	ate and dispo	sition time (litigious divo	orce, employ	/ment dismi	ssal and	insolven	cy cases	s) (Q101)				
Table 3.4.2 First instance of	courts: Variat	ion of cleara	nce rate and	disposition	time betwee	n years (litigi	ous divorce	, employme	nt dismis	sal and	insolven	cy cases)	(Q101)			
CR Litigious divorce cases	NA	NA	93.0%	110.7%	102.5%	98.5%	93.7%	94.9%	-	-	-	19.1%	-7.4%	-3.9%	-4.9%	1.3%
CR Employment dismissal cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
CR Insolvency cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	75.0%	121.4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	61.9%
DT Litigious divorce cases	NA	NA	223	143	120	140	149	176	-	-	-	-35.8%	-16.1%	16.2%	6.6%	18.0%
DT Employment dismissal cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
DT Insolvency cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1,144	1,031	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-9.9%

											-	Varia	tions			
Table General Data: Economic and demographic data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
		Table 3.5.1	to 3.5.5 Seco	ond instance	courts: Num	ber of other	than crimina	I cases (Q9	7)							
97.1.1 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	1,134	1,577	1,841	1,945	NA	1,922	1,797	1,951	72.0%	39.1%	16.7%	5.6%	-	-	-6.5%	8.6%
97.1.2 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	1,134	1,577	1,841	1,945	2,015	1,922	1,797	1,951	72.0%	39.1%	16.7%	5.6%	3.6%	-4.6%	-6.5%	8.6%
97.1.3 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.1.4 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.1.5 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.1.6 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.1.7 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.1.8 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.1.9 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.1.10 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Administrative law cases	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.1.11 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.2.1 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	990	829	807	772	NA	701	796	694	-29.9%	-16.3%	-2.7%	-4.3%	-	-	13.6%	-12.8%
97.2.2 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	990	829	807	772	867	701	796	694	-29.9%	-16.3%	-2.7%	-4.3%	12.3%	-19.1%	13.6%	-12.8%
97.2.3 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.2.4 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.2.5 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.2.6 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.2.7 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.2.8 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Other registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.2.9 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.2.10 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Administrative law cases	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.2.11 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Other cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.1 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	542	565	703	738	NA	824	636	780	43.9%	4.2%	24.4%	5.0%	-	-	-22.8%	22.6%
97.3.2 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	542	565	703	738	917	824	636	780	43.9%	4.2%	24.4%	5.0%	24.3%	-10.1%	-22.8%	22.6%
97.3.3 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.4 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table General Data: Economic and demographic										-	-	Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
97.3.5 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-		-	-	-	-	-
97.3.6 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.7 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	-	-		-	-	-	-	-							
97.3.8 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Other registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-		-	-	-	-	-
97.3.9 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-		-	-	-	-	-
97.3.10 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Administrative law cases	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-		-	-	-	-	-
97.3.11 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Other cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.1 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	1,582	1,841	1,945	1,968	NA	1,797	1,951	1,870	18.2%	16.4%	5.6%	1.2%	-	-	8.6%	-4.2%
97.4.2 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	1,582	1,841	1,945	1,968	1,968	1,797	1,951	1,870	18.2%	16.4%	5.6%	1.2%	0.0%	-8.7%	8.6%	-4.2%
97.4.3 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-		NAP	NAP	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-		-	-	-	-	-
97.4.4 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NAP	-	-		-	-	-	-	-							
97.4.5 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.6 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.7 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	-	-		-	-	-	-	-							
97.4.8 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.9 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other non-litigious cases	-		NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.10 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Administrative law cases	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.11 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.5.1 2nd inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	-	-	-	-	1,657	758	889	973	-	-				-54.3%	17.3%	9.4%
97.5.2 2nd inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	-	-	-	-	1,657	758	889	973	-	-				-54.3%	17.3%	9.4%
97.5.10 2nd inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Administrative law cases	-	-	-	-	NAP	NA	NA	NAP	-	-				-	-	-

Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
	T	able 3.6.1: S	Second insta	nce courts, c	learance rat	e of other tha	an criminal la	aw cases (C	<u>1</u> 97)							
	Ta	able 3.6.2: S	econd instan	ce courts, di	isposition tir	ne of other t	han criminal	law cases (Q97)							
CR Total of other than criminal law cases	54.7%	68.2%	87.1%	95.6%	NA	117.5%	79.9%	112.4%	105.3%	24.5%	27.8%	9.7%		-	-32.0%	40.7%
CR Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	54.7%	68.2%	87.1%	95.6%	105.8%	117.5%	79.9%	112.4%	105.3%	24.5%	27.8%	9.7%	10.6%	11.1%	-32.0%	40.7%
CR Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-			-	-	-
CR General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-			-	-	-
CR Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-			-	-	-
CR Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-		-			-	-	-
CR Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-		-			-	-	-
CR Other registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-			-	-	-
CR Other non-litigious cases	-		NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-		-			-	-	-
CR Administrative law cases	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-		-	-	-
CR Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-		-			-	-	-
DT Total of other than criminal law cases	1065	1189	1010	973	NA	796	1120	875	-17.9%	11.6%	-15.1%	-3.6%		-	40.7%	-21.8%
DT Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	1065	1189	1010	973	783	796	1120	875	-17.9%	11.6%	-15.1%	-3.6%	-19.5%	1.6%	40.7%	-21.8%
DT Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-		NAP	NAP	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-		-	-	-
DT General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-		-			-	-	-
DT Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-		-			-	-	-
DT Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-		-			-	-	-
DT Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-			-	-	-
DT Other registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-		-	-	-
DT Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Administrative law cases	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-		-	-	-

Table Consul Date: Economic and demonstrabic												Varia	tions			
Table General Data: Economic and demographic data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
		Table 3.7.	1 to 3.7.5: Sເ	ipreme court	s, number o	f other than	criminal law	cases (Q99)								
99.1.1 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.1.2 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.1.3 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.1.4 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.1.5 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.1.6 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.1.7 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.1.8 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other registry cases	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.1.9 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.1.10 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Administrative law cases	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.1.11 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.2.1 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.2.2 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.2.3 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.2.4 High inst courts_Incoming cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.2.5 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.2.6 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.2.7 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.2.8 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Other registry cases	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.2.9 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.2.10 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Administrative law cases	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.2.11 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.1 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.2 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.3 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.4 High inst courts_Resolved cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table General Data: Economic and demographic										-		Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
99.3.5 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
99.3.6 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.7 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
99.3.8 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Other registry cases	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.9 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
99.3.10 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Administrative law cases	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.11 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.1 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.2 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.3 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)		-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.4 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
99.4.5 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
99.4.6 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.7 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
99.4.8 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other registry cases	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
99.4.9 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.10 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Administrative law cases	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.11 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
99.5.1 High inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	-	-	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-					-	-	-
99.5.2 High inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	-	-		-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-				-	-	-
99.5.10 High inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Administrative law cases	-	-	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-				-	-	-

Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
		Table 3.8	.1: Supreme	courts, clear	ance rate of	other than c	riminal law c	ases (Q97)								
							criminal law									
CR Total of other than criminal law cases	NAP		NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP					-	-	-	-
CR Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-			-	-	-	-
CR Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-		NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-			-	-	-	-
CR General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-			-	-	-	-
CR Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Other registry cases	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Administrative law cases	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Total of other than criminal law cases	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Other registry cases	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Administrative law cases	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
Indicator 4: Syst	tems for mea	suring and e	evaluating th	e performanc	ce of courts											
Table	4.1: Modalit	ies of monit	oring systen	ns (Q81, Q70)											
81 Are individual courts required to prepare an annual activity report?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
070.1.1 number of incoming cases	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
070.1.2 length of proceedings (timeframes)	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
070.1.3 number of resolved cases	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
070.1.4 number of pending cases	-	-	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes								
070.1.5 backlogs	-	-	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes								
070.1.6 productivity of judges and court staff	-	-	-	-	-	-	No	No								
070.1.7 satisfaction of court staff	-	-	-	-	-	-	No	No								
070.1.8 satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)	-	-	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes								
070.1.9 costs of the judicial procedures	-	-	-	-	-	-	No	No								
070.1.10 number of appeals	-	-	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes								
070.1.11 appeal ratio	-	-	-	-	-	-	No	No								
070.1.12 clearance rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes								

Table 4.2: Performance and evaluation of the judicial systems (Q77, Q73, Q73.1, Q66, Q67)											
66 Qlty standards formulated_jud system	Yes										
67 Specialised court staff entrusted_qlty standards	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
73 Regular system_evaluation_performance_each court	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
73.1 Is this evaluation of the court activity used for the later allocation of means to this court? (new question)	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
77 Perf and quality indicators of court activities	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes			

Table General Data: Economic and demographic								Variations									
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	
		Indicator 5: I	₋egal aid														
Table 5	.1: Type of le	gal aid (othe	er than crimi	nal cases) (Q	16)												
16.1.1 Legal aid applies to representation in court (criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes									
16.1.2 Legal aid applies to legal advice (criminal cases)	No	-	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes									
16.2.1 Legal aid applies to representation in court (other than criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes									
16.2.2 Legal aid applies to legal advice (other than criminal cases)	No	-	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes									

	Table 5.	2: Legal aid	coverage (Q	17)				
17 Legal aid included the coverage of or the exemption from court					Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
fees	-	-	-	-	165	165	165	165

	Table 5.3.1 Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid by type (Q12)															
-	Table 5.4 Total annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid (absolute number and per inhabitant) (Q1, Q12)															
Q1. Number of inhabitants	422,509	429,424	439,691	450,415	460,297	475,701	475,701	493,559	16.8%	1.6%	2.4%	2.4%	2.2%	3.3%	0.0%	3.8%
12 Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid (in $\ensuremath{\varepsilon}$) - Total (12.1 + 12.2)	49,500	49,500	70,000	51,000	100,000	150,000	400,000	450,000	809.1%	0.0%	41.4%	-27.1%	96.1%	50.0%	166.7%	12.5%
12.1 Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid (in ${\ensuremath{\varepsilon}}$) for cases brought to court - Total	49,500	49,500	70,000	51,000	100,000	150,000	400,000	450,000	809.1%	0.0%	41.4%	-27.1%	96.1%	50.0%	166.7%	12.5%
12.2 Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid (in ${\ensuremath{\varepsilon}}$) for non-litigious cases or cases not brought to court - Total	NA	NAP	-	-	-		-	-	-	-						
12 Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid (in $\ensuremath{\varepsilon}$) - Total criminal cases (12.1 + 12.2)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12.1 Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid (in ${\ensuremath{\mathfrak e}}$) for cases brought to court - Total criminal cases	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
12.2 Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid (in t) for non-litigious cases or cases not brought to court - Total criminal	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
12 Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid (in $eq:eq:eq:eq:eq:eq:eq:eq:eq:eq:eq:eq:eq:e$	-		NAP	NAP	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
12.1 Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) for cases brought to court - Total other than criminal cases	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
12.2 Annual approved public budget allocated to legal atd (in ε) for non-litigious cases or cases not brought to court - Total other than criminal cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table General Data: Economic and demographic								0010	Variations								
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015 2016	6 2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	
Table 5.3.2 Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid by type (Q12-1)																	
12 Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) - Total (12.1 + 12.2)	-	-	70,000	51,000	161,662	249,326	304,137	409,015	-	-	-	-27.1%	217.0%	54.2%	22.0%	34.5%	
12.1 Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid (in $\ensuremath{\varepsilon}$) for cases brought to court - Total	-	-	70,000	51,000	161,662	249,326	304,137	409,015	-	-	-	-27.1%	217.0%	54.2%	22.0%	34.5%	
12.2 Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) for non-litigious cases or cases not brought to court - Total	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
12 Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) - Total criminal cases (12.1 + 12.2)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
12.1 Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) for cases brought to court - Total criminal cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
12.2 Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid (in t) for non-litigious cases or cases not brought to court - Total criminal cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
12 Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) - Total criminal other than criminal cases (12.1 + 12.2)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
12.1 Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid (in ${\ensuremath{\varepsilon}}$) for cases brought to court - Total other than criminal cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
12.2 Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid (in t) for non-litigious cases or cases not brought to court - Total other than criminal cases		-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Table 5.6: Court fees required to start a proceeding at a court of general jurisdiction in (Q8)												
8.1.1 Have litigants to pay taxes to start a proceeding - Criminal cases	No		No	No	No	No	No	No				
8.1.2 Have litigants to pay taxes to start a proceeding - Other cases	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes				

Table 5.7 (EC): Coverage of legal aid (other than criminal cases) (Q16, Q17, Q18, Q19)												
16.1.1 Legal aid applies to representation in court (criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes				
16.1.2 Legal aid applies to legal advice (criminal cases)	No		No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes				
16.2.1 Legal aid applies to representation in court (other than criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes				
16.2.2 Legal aid applies to legal advice (other than criminal cases)	No		No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes				
17 Legal aid included the coverage of or the exemption from court fees	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes				

Table General Data: Economic and demographic data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012 2013					17 0010		Variations										
		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019		
Indicator 6: The ICT tools of courts and for court users																		
			Table	6.1 (EC) Pos	sibility of on	line training	(Q131-2)											
131-2.1.1 Number of training courses in days organised, without e- learning	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11.1%		
131-2.2.1 Online training courses available during the reference year (e-learning)	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	NAP	-	-				-	-	-		

Table 6.2 (EC) Technologi	es used for o	court manage	ement and a	dministratior	n (Q63.1, Q63	.2, Q63.7)		
63.1 Is there a case management system?							Yes	Yes
63.1-1 CMS for civil matter (equipment rate)							100%	100%
63.1-1 CMS for criminal matter (equipment rate)							50-99%	50-99%
63.1-1 CMS for administrative matter (equipment rate)							100%	100%
63-1-1 Statistics in CMS civil matter							Integrated	Integrated
63-1-1 Statistics in CMS criminal matter							Integrated	Integrated
63-1-1 Statistics in CMS administrative matter							Integrated	Integrated
63-2 Computerised registries managed by courts - land registry							0% (NAP)	NA
63-2 Computerised registries managed by courts - business registry							100%	100%
63-7.1 Measurement tools to assess the workload							Yes	Yes
63-7.1 workload of judges							100%	100%
63-7.1 workload of prosecutors							NA	10-49%
63-7.1 workload of non-judge and non-prosecutor staff							NA	NA
63-7.2 Monitoring on national level - judges							NA	No
63-7.2 Monitoring on national level - prosecutors							NA	No
63-7.2 Monitoring on national level - non-judge and non-prosecutor staff							NA	Yes
63-7.3 Monitoring on court level - judges							NA	NA
63-7.3 Monitoring on court level - prosecutors							NA	NA
63-7.3 Monitoring on court level - non-judge and non-prosecutor staff							NA	NA

Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
Table 6.3.1 (EC) Technologies used for electronic	submission	of cases, tra Q64.2, Q		f summons a	ind online m	onitoring of	proceedings	(Q63.1,								
064-2 - Possibility to submit a case to courts by electronic means		QU7.2, Q					Yes	Yes								
064-2 - Civil and/or commercial							10-49%	10-49%								
064-2 - Criminal							NA	0% (NAP)								
064-2 - Administrative							100%	100%								
064-2 - Submission in paper remains mandatory - civil							Yes	Yes								
064-2 - Submission in paper remains mandatory - criminal							No	No								
064-2 - Submission in paper remains mandatory - administrative							Yes	Yes								
064-2 - Specific legislative framework - civil							Yes	Yes								
064-2 - Specific legislative framework - criminal							No	No			Civil - Equip	ment rate				
064-2 - Specific legislative framework - administrative							Yes	Yes			Civil - Sumn	non in papei	form remair	ns mandator	ry	
064-2 - Integrated/connected with the CMS - civil							Yes	No			Civil - Conse	ent of the us	er to be noti	fied by elect	tronic mean:	s
064-2 - Integrated/connected with the CMS - criminal							No	No			Civil - SMS					
064-2 - Integrated/connected with the CMS - administrative							Yes	No			Civil - E-mai	il				
064-4 - Possibility to transmit summons to a judicial meeting or a hearing by electronic means							Yes	Yes			Civil - Speci	fic compute	rapplication			
064-4 - Summons produced by CMS- civil							Yes	Yes			Civil - Other	r				
064-4 - Summons produced by CMS- criminal							No	Yes								
064-4 - Summons produced by CMS- administrative							Yes	Yes								
064-4 - Simultaneous summon in paper form remains mandatory- civil							No	No								

Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
064-4 - Simultaneous summon in paper form remains mandatory- criminal							No	Yes								
064-4 - Simultaneous summon in paper form remains mandatory- administrative							No	No								
064-4 - Consent of the user - civil							Yes	Yes								
064-4 - Consent of the user - criminal							No	No								
064-4 - Consent of the user - administrative							Yes	Yes								
063-1 - Possibility to monitor the stage of proceedings - Civil and/or commercial							accessible to parties	accessible to parties								
063-1 - Possibility to monitor the stage of proceedings - Criminal							accessible to parties	accessible to parties								
063-1 - Possibility to monitor the stage of proceedings - Administrative						ac	cessible to parties	essible to parties								

Table 6.3.2 (EC) Communication	n with courts	and videoc	onferencing	between cou	ırts (Q64.6, C	Q64.10, Q64.1	1)	
064-6 - between court and lawyers representing parties							Yes	Yes
064-6 - between court and parties not represented by lawyer							Yes	Yes
064-6 - Civil and/or commercial							100%	100%
064-6 - Criminal							NA	NA
064-6 - Administrative							100%	100%
064-10 - Videoconferencing between courts, professionals and/or users							Yes	Yes
064-10- Civil and/or commercial							100%	100%
064-10 - Criminal							100%	100%
064-10 - Administrative							100%	100%
064-11 - Recording of hearings or debates							Yes	Yes
064-11 - Civil and/or commercial							100%	100%
064-11 - Criminal							100%	100%
064-11 - Administrative							100%	100%
064-11 - Type of recording - Civil and/or commercial							Sound	FALSE
064-11 - Type of recording - Criminal							Sound	FALSE
064-11 - Type of recording - Administrative							Sound	FALSE

Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	-	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019

Та	ble 6.4.2 We	osites for jud	licial informa	tion (Q28)				
028.1.1 - Websites with legal texts	-	-	Yes	-	-	-	Yes	Yes
028.1.2 - Websites with case-law of the higher court/s	-	-	Yes	-	-	-	Yes	Yes
028.1.3 - Websites with other documents	-	-	Yes	-	-	-	Yes	Yes

Table 6.4.2 Existence and	modalities o	f online sub	mission of r	equest for le	gal aid in 201	9 (Q64.3)		
064-3 - Is it possible to request for granting legal aid by electronic means?							No	No
064-3 - Equipment rate								
064-3 - Request in paper mandatory							0	0
064-3 - Specific legislative framework							0	0
064-3 - Granting LA is also electronic							0	0
064-3 - Information available in CMS							0	0
Table 6.5 Technologies used f	for communi	cation betwe	en courts a	nd enforcem	ent agents in	2018 (Q64.7)	
064-7 - Equipment rate							50-99%	50-99%
064-7 - Modality - Email							Yes	Yes
064-7 - Modality - Specific computer application							Yes	Yes
064-7 - Modality - Other							No	No
064-7 - Specific legislative framework							Yes	Yes

								n	nanta	(2012	-2013) uala	lable			
Table Coneral Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
Table General Data: Economic and demographic data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
Table 6.6 Technologies	used for con	nmunication	ı between co	urts and not	aries in 2018	(Q64.7)										
064-7 - Equipment rate							50-99%	50-99%								
064-7 - Email							No	No								
064-7 - Specific computer application							Yes	Yes								
064-7 - Other							No	No								
064-7 - Specific legislative framework							Yes	Yes								
Table 6.7 Technologies use	d for commu	unication be	tween courts	and judicial	experts in 2	018 (Q64.7)										
064-7 - Equipment rate							NA	NA								
064-7 - Email							No	No								
064-7 - Specific computer application							No	No								
064-7 - Other							No	No								
064-7 - Specific legislative framework							No	No								
Table 6.8	8 Admissibili	ity of electro	onic evidence	e in 2018 (Q6	4.12)											
In civil and commercial matters																
064-1 - Admission							Yes	Yes								
064-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence							Yes	Yes								
064-1 - General and specialised law to admit electronic evidence							No	No								
064-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence							No	No								
In criminal matter																
064-1 - Admission							Yes	Yes								
064-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence							Yes	Yes								
064-1 - General and specialised law to admit electronic evidence							No	No								
064-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence							No	No								
In administrative matter																
064-1 - Admission							Yes	Yes								
064-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence							Yes	Yes								
064-1 - General and specialised law to admit electronic evidence							No	No								

Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	itions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
064-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence							No	No								
Table 6.9 C	ther aspects	of the ICT s	ystems in co	ourts in 2018	(Q65.4)											
065-4 - Measuring actual benefits resulting of the use of one or several components of your information system							Yes	Yes								
065-4 - Impact on Business processes							Yes	Yes								
065-4 - Impact on Workload							Yes	Yes								
065-4 - Impact on Human resources							No	No								
065-4 - Impact on Costs							Yes	Yes								
065-4 - Impact on Other							Yes	Yes								
Table 6.10 Existan	ice of online	processing o	devices of sp	ecialised liti	gation (Q64-	-9)										
064-9 - Existance of online processing devices of specialised litigation							Yes	Yes								

	Indicator	7: Career an	d status of ju	ıdges				
	Table 7.1 (EC): Training	ls for judges	(Q127)				
127.1.1 Initial training (e.g. attend a judicial school, traineeship in the court)	No training offered	No training offered	No training offered	No training offered	Optional	Optional		
127.1.2 General in-service training	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional
127.1.3 In-service training for specialised judicial functions (e.g. judge for economic or administrative issues)	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional
127.1.4 In-service training for management functions of the court (e.g. court president)	No training offered	No training offered	No training offered	No training offered	No training proposed	No training proposed	Optional	Optional
127.1.5 In-service training for the use of computer facilities in courts	No training offered	No training offered	No training offered	No training offered	No training proposed	No training proposed		
127.1.6 In-service training on ethics							No training proposed	

Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
		Indica	tor 8: The e	distence and	use of alterr	ative dispute	e resolution	methods								
	Table 8.1 Nu	umber of acc	redited or re	gistered me	diators (abso	olute values a	and per 100 (000 inhabita	nts) (Q1,	Q166)						
166 Number of accredited or registered mediators who practice judicial mediation:	69	69	61	61	66	69	67	67	-2.9%	0.0%	-11.6%	0.0%	8.2%	4.5%	-2.9%	0.0%

		Т	able 8.2: Ava	ilability of c	ourt-related	mediation pr	ocedure (Q	163)							
		Table 8.3(I	EC) Number o	of court relat	ted mediation	n procedures	absolute v	alues) (Q167	')						
	Та	ble 8.4 Num	ber of court	related med	iation procec	lures (per 10	0 000 inhabi	tants) (Q1, C	167)						
163-1.1 Provision of mandatory mediation procedures_before going to court!	Yes	-	Yes	-	True	True	True	True	-	-	-	 -	-	-	-
163-1.2 Provision of mandatory mediation procedures_ordered by a judge in a course of jud. proc.!	Yes	-	Yes	-	False	False	False	False	-	-	-	 	-	-	-
167. Total number started	NA	-	-	-	1,896	1,911	NA	2,104	-	-			0.8%	-	-
167. 1. Civil and commercial cases - started	NA	-	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	1	-	-			-	-	-
167. 2. Family cases - started	1,581	-	-	-	1,896	1,911	2,059	2,103	20.9%	-			0.8%	7.7%	2.1%
167. 3. Administrative cases - started	NAP	-	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP		-			-	-	-
167. 4. Labour cases including employment dismissal cases - started	NAP	-	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP		-			-	-	-
167. 5. Criminal cases - started	NAP	-	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP		-			-	-	-
167. 6. Consumer cases - started	-	-	-	-	-		NAP	NAP		-			-	-	-
Table 8.5:	Providers of	court-relate	d mediation	procedure (Q164)										
164. Civil and commercial cases - Private mediator	No	-	No	-	No	No	No	No							
164. Civil and commercial cases - Public authority (other than the court)	Yes	-	No	-	No	No	No	No							
164. Civil and commercial cases - Judge	No	-	No	-	No	No	No	No							

164. Civil and commercial cases - Judge	No	-	No	-	No	No	No	No
164. Civil and commercial cases - Public prosecutor	Yes	-	No	-	No	No	No	No
164. Family law cases - Private mediator	Yes	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
164. Family law cases - Public authority (other than the court)	No	-	No	-	No	No	No	No
164. Family law cases - Judge	No	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
164. Family law cases - Public prosecutor	Yes	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	No	No
164. Administrative cases - Private mediator	No	-	No	-	No	No	No	No
164. Administrative cases - Public authority (other than the court)	No	-	No	-	No	No	No	No

Table General Data: Economic and demographic							0047		Variations										
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019			
164. Administrative cases - Judge	No	-	No	-	No	No	No	No											
164. Administrative cases - Public prosecutor	No	-	No	-	No	No	No	No											
164. Employment dismissals - Private mediator	No	-	No	-	No	No	No	No											
164. Employment dismissals - Public authority (other than the court)	No	-	No	-	No	No	No	No											
164. Employment dismissals - Judge	No	-	No	-	No	No	No	No											
164. Employment dismissals - Public prosecutor	No	-	No	-	No	No	No	No											
164. Criminal cases - Private mediator	No	-	No		No	No	No	No											
164. Criminal cases - Public authority (other than the court)	No	-	No		No	No	No	No											
164. Criminal cases - Judge	No	-	No		No	No	No	No											
164. Criminal cases - Public prosecutor	No	-	No		No	No	No	No											
164. Consumer cases - Private mediator		-	-		-	-	Yes	Yes											
164. Consumer cases - Public authority (other than the court)		-	-		-	-	Yes	Yes											
164. Consumer cases - Judge	-	-	-		-	-	Yes	Yes											
164. Consumer cases - Public prosecutor		-	-		-	-	No	No											
Table 8.6: A	Availability of	legal aid for	· court-relate	d mediation	(Q165)														
Table 8.7: Av	ailability of A	DR other the	an court rela	ted mediatio	n (Q168)														
165 Availability of legal aid for court related mediation	Yes	-	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes											
168 Avaialability of ADR - Mediation other than court-related mediation	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	-	Yes	Yes											
168 Avaialability of ADR - Arbitration	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	-	Yes	Yes											
168 Avaialability of ADR - Conciliation	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	-	Yes	Yes											
168 Avaialability of ADR - Other	No	No	No	No	No	-	No	No											

Table Concerl Date: Economic and demographic												Variat	tions			
Table General Data: Economic and demographic data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
	II			Indicator 9	: Profession	als of justice	;									
Tab	ole 9.1.1 and 9	.1.2 Number	of profession	onal judges (absolute nur	nber, per 10	0 000 inhabit	ants and va	riations)	(Q1, Q46	6)					
	Table 9.1	1.3 and 9.1.3	b Distributio	n of professi	onal judges	by instances	s and per 100) 000 inhabi	tants (Q4	6)						
Table 9.1.4 to 9.1.7 Dis	stribution of r	nale and fen	nale professi	ional judges	within the to	tal number o	of profession	al judges in	first inst	tance in 2	2010 and	2012 (Q4	16)			
Table 9.5.1 (EC) Number of professional judges sitting in courts per 100 000 inhabitants (Q1, Q46)																
Table 9.2.1 to 9.2.3 Total number of non-judge staff (absolute number and per 100 000 inhabitants) and its distribution per category (Q1, Q52)																
Table 9.2.4 Number of non-judge staff vs professional judges (values per 100 000 inhabitants) (Q1, Q46, Q52)																
Q1. Number of inhabitants	422,509	429,424	439,691	450,415	460,297	475,701	475,701	493,559	16.8%	1.6%	2.4%	2.4%	2.2%	3.3%	0.0%	3.8%
46.1.1 Total Number of professional judges	40	42	41	42	45	43	45	43	7.5%	5.0%	-2.4%	2.4%	7.1%	-4.4%	4.7%	-4.4%
46.1.2 Number of 1st inst professional judges	34	36	33	34	36	34	34	32	-5.9%	5.9%	-8.3%	3.0%	5.9%	-5.6%	0.0%	-5.9%
46.1.3 Number of 2nd inst professional judges	6	6	8	8	9	9	11	11	83.3%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	12.5%	0.0%	22.2%	0.0%
46.1.4 Number of Supreme court professional judges	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
46.2.1 Number of professional judges_males	26	27	25	24	26	23	22	19	-26.9%	3.8%	-7.4%	-4.0%	8.3%	-11.5%	-4.3%	-13.6%
46.2.2 Number of 1st instance professional judges_males	20	21	18	17	18	15	14	10	-50.0%	5.0%	-14.3%	-5.6%	5.9%	-16.7%	-6.7%	-28.6%
46.2.3 Number of 2nd instance professional judges_males	6	6	7	7	8	8	8	9	50.0%	0.0%	16.7%	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%
46.2.4 Number of Supreme court professional judges_males	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	- ·	-
46.3.1 Number of professional judges_females	14	15	16	18	19	20	23	24	71.4%	7.1%	6.7%	12.5%	5.6%	5.3%	15.0%	4.3%
46.3.2 Number of 1st inst professional judges_females	14	15	15	17	18	19	20	22	57.1%	7.1%	0.0%	13.3%	5.9%	5.6%	5.3%	10.0%
46.3.3 Number of 2nd inst professional judges_females	0	0	1	1	1	1	3	2	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	200.0%	-33.3%
46.3.4 Number of Supreme court professional judges_females	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
52.1.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts	360	451	389	393	383	394	413	412	14.4%	25.3%	-13.7%	1.0%	-2.5%	2.9%	4.8%	-0.2%
52.1.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP		-	-	-	-	-		
52.1.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges	213	156	231	239	227	231	247	262	23.0%	-26.8%	48.1%	3.5%	-5.0%	1.8%	6.9%	6.1%
52.1.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks	111	103	59	60	59	56	61	52	-53.2%	-7.2%	-42.7%	1.7%	-1.7%	-5.1%	8.9%	-14.8%
52.1.5 Number of Technical staff	8	8	9	5	7	9	9	6	-25.0%	0.0%	12.5%	-44.4%	40.0%	28.6%	0.0%	-33.3%
52.1.6 Number of Other non judge staff	28	36	90	89	90	98	96	92	228.6%	28.6%	150.0%	-1.1%	1.1%	8.9%	-2.0%	-4.2%
52.2.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts(men)	-	-	NA	187	180	177	183	172	-	-	-	-	-3.7%	-1.7%	3.4%	-6.0%

Table General Data: Economic and demographic										L. C.		Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
52.2.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)(men)	-		NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.2.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges(men)	-	-	73	73	69	64	69	69	-	-	-	0.0%	-5.5%	-7.2%	7.8%	0.0%
52.2.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks(men)	-	-	NA	40	36	29	30	24	-	-	-	-	-10.0%	-19.4%	3.4%	-20.0%
52.2.5 Number of Technical staff(men)	-	-	9	5	7	9	9	6	-	-	-	-44.4%	40.0%	28.6%	0.0%	-33.3%
52.2.6 Number of Other non judge staff(men)	-		70	69	68	75	75	73	-	-	-	-1.4%	-1.4%	10.3%	0.0%	-2.7%
52.3.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts(women)	-	-	NA	206	203	217	230	240	-	-	-	-	-1.5%	6.9%	6.0%	4.3%
52.3.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)(women)	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-							
52.3.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges(women)	-	-	158	166	158	167	178	193	-	-	-	5.1%	-4.8%	5.7%	6.6%	8.4%
52.3.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks(women)	-	-	NA	20	23	27	31	28	-	-	-	-	15.0%	17.4%	14.8%	-9.7%
52.3.5 Number of Technical staff(women)	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.3.6 Number of Other non judge staff(women)	-		20	20	22	23	21	19	-	-	-	0.0%	10.0%	4.5%	-8.7%	-9.5%

Table General Data: Economic and demographic									Variations									
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019		
	Table 9.3.	1 Number o	f lawyers* (a	bsolute num	ber, per 100	000 inhabita	nts and varia	itions) (Q1, (Q146, Q1	47)								
	Table 9.5.2 (EC) Number of lawyers per 100 000 inhabitants (Q1, Q146)																	
	Table 9	.3.3 Number	of lawyers \	rs professior	al judges (v	alues per 10	000 inhabit	ants) (Q1, Q	146, Q52)								
Q1. Number of inhabitants																3.8%		
146 Total number of lawyers practising in your country.	1,400	1,112	1,485	1,569	1,327	1,473	1,535	1,648	17.7%	-20.6%	33.5%	5.7%	-15.4%	11.0%	4.2%	7.4%		
147 Does this figure include "legal advisors" who cannot represent their clients in court (for example, some solicitors or in-house counsellors)?	No	-	No	No	No	No	No	No	-	-	-	-	-	-		-		
52.1.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts	360	451	389	393	383	394	413	412	14.4%	25.3%	-13.7%	1.0%	-2.5%	2.9%	4.8%	-0.2%		
52.1.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP		-		-	-	-	-	-		
52.1.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges	213	156	231	239	227	231	247	262	23.0%	-26.8%	48.1%	3.5%	-5.0%	1.8%	6.9%	6.1%		
52.1.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks	111	103	59	60	59	56	61	52	-53.2%	-7.2%	-42.7%	1.7%	-1.7%	-5.1%	8.9%	-14.8%		
52.1.5 Number of Technical staff	8	8	9	5	7	9	9	6	-25.0%	0.0%	12.5%	-44.4%	40.0%	28.6%	0.0%	-33.3%		
52.1.6 Number of Other non judge staff	28	36	90	89	90	98	96	92	228.6%	28.6%	150.0%	-1.1%	1.1%	8.9%	-2.0%	-4.2%		
52.2.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts(men)	-	-	NA	187	180	177	183	172	-	-	-	-	-3.7%	-1.7%	3.4%	-6.0%		
52.2.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)(men)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP		-		-	-	-	-	-		
52.2.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges(men)			73	73	69	64	69	69	-	-	-	0.0%	-5.5%	-7.2%	7.8%	0.0%		
52.2.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks(men)	-	-	NA	40	36	29	30	24	-	-	-	-	-10.0%	-19.4%	3.4%	-20.0%		
52.2.5 Number of Technical staff(men)			9	5	7	9	9	6	-	-		-44.4%	40.0%	28.6%	0.0%	-33.3%		
52.2.6 Number of Other non judge staff(men)	-	-	70	69	68	75	75	73	-	-	-	-1.4%	-1.4%	10.3%	0.0%	-2.7%		

Table General Data: Economic and demographic								0010	Variations									
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019		
52.3.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts(women)	-	-	NA	206	203	217	230	240	-	-	-	-	-1.5%	6.9%	6.0%	4.3%		
52.3.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)(women)	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-									
52.3.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges(women)	-	-	158	166	158	167	178	193	-	-	-	5.1%	-4.8%	5.7%	6.6%	8.4%		
52.3.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks(women)	-	-	NA	20	23	27	31	28	-	-	-	-	15.0%	17.4%	14.8%	-9.7%		
52.3.5 Number of Technical staff(women)	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
52.3.6 Number of Other non judge staff(women)		-	20	20	22	23	21	19	-	-	-	0.0%	10.0%	4.5%	-8.7%	-9.5%		

Indicator 10: The methods, sources and efficiency of national data collection													
Table 10.1: Centralised institution responsible for collecting statistical data regarding the functioning of the courts and judiciary (Q80)													
80.1 Centralised instit resp_collecting data_func_C&J	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	Yes	Yes	No					

Table 10.2: Publication	of statistics	on the funct	tioning of ea	ch court on t	he internet (C	Q80.1)		
80-1 Published statistics on the functioning of each court	Yes	Yes	Yes, on internet	Yes, on internet	Yes on Internet	Yes on Internet	Yes on Internet	Yes on Internet

Table General Data: Economic and demographic		2012 2012	013 2014	2045	2016	2017	0010		Variations										
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019			
Table 11.1: Existance of s	specific prov	isions for fa	cilitating ger	nder equality	in recruiting	(Q61-2)													
Judges							No	No											
Prosecutors							No	No											
Non-judge staff							No	No											
Lawyers							No	No											
Notaries							No	No											
Enforcement agents							No	No											
Table 11.2: Existance of speci	ific provisior	ns for facilita	ting gender	equality in p	romotion in	2018 (Q61-2)												
Judges							No	No											
Prosecutors							No	No											
Non-judge staff							No	No											
Lawyers							No	No											
Notaries							No	No											
Enforcement agents							No	No											
Table 11.3: Availability	of national	programme f	to promote g	ender equali	ty in 2018 (0	Q61-5)													
National programme for gender equality							No	No											
Table 11.4: Existance of person/institu	ition specific	ally dedicate	ed to ensure	the respect of	of gender eq	uality in 201	8 (Q61-7)												
In courts (judges)							No	No											
In public prosecution services (prosecutors)							No	No											
For courts' non-judge staff							No	No											

Key: Variation of more than (+ -) 20%