



\*ICT calculations are described in more details in Annex 5 - IT Calculation methodology

			Syr	nthesis	table f	or the	main ir	dicato	rs for:	Luxen	nbourg				
												Varia	tions		
Economic and demographic data	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Population	525 000	550 000	563 000	563 000	590 700	602 005	613 900	626 108	634 730	20,9%	4,9%	3,9%	2,0%	2,0%	1,4%
GDP per capita	83 600	83 400	88 500	88 500	90 700	92 026	95 943	101 446	101 056	20,9%	2,5%	5,8%	4,3%	5,7%	-0,4%
Exchange rate (local currency needed to obtain 1€)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP									
Average annual salary	42 500		46 000		66 300		61 720	63 015	63 015	48,3%	44,1%	-6,9%		2,1%	0,0%
Resources	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Professional judges per 100 000 inhab.	34,1	32,7	32,7	32,5	31,7	32,9	36,2	36,1	36,1	5,8%	-3,1%	14,2%	9,9%	-0,2%	0,0%
Non-judge staff per 100 000 inhab.	NA	36,0	34,8	35,0	33,9	33,2	35,8	35,9	35,1	NA	-2,7%	5,8%	7,9%	0,3%	-2,2%
Lawyers per 100 000 inh.	384,8	400,5	387,2	412,6	403,1	431,4	487,5	465,4	485,2	<mark>2</mark> 6,1%	4,1%	21,0%	13,0%	-4,5%	4,3%
Mediators	21,0	23,6	24,0	19,5	29,3	23,9	32,3	36,3	37,5	79,0%	22,1%	10,1%	34,8%	12,4%	3,4%
ICT overall assesment							3,7	3,7	3,9					0,0%	5,3%
First instance incoming cases per 100 inhab.	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Civil and commercial litigious cases	0,899	0,844	0,901	0,809	0,767	0,765	0,855	1,218	1,208	34,4%	-14,9%	11,4%	11,8%	42,5%	-0,9%
Administrative law cases	0,308	0,2	0,2	0,225	0,200	0,201	0,203	0,233	0,173	-43,9%	-17,8%	1,3%	0,7%	14,6%	-25,8%
Total criminal law cases									NA						

First instance performance indicators (Clearence Rate)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020 (percentange points)	2014-2016 (percentange points)	2016-2018 (percentange points)	2017-2018 (percentange points)	2018-2019 (percentange points)	2019-2020 (percentange points)
CR civil and commercial litigious cases	173%	182%	97%	105%	100%	96%	93%	88%	93%	-80,31	3,25	-6,56	6 -2,84	-5,42	4,50
CR administrative law cases	70%	94%	94%	91%	98%	94%	86%	75%	87%	17,61	4,20	-11,76	5 -8,36	-10,75	12,19
CR total criminal law cases									NA						

First instance performance indicators (Disposition Time)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
DT civil and commercial litigious cases cases (days)	73	53	103	86	91	108	123	139	161	120,3%	-11,0%	34,2%	14,1%	13,5%	15,8%
DT administrative law cases (days)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	513	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
DT total criminal law cases (days)									NA						
First instance pending cases per 100 inhab. on 31 dec.	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
	2012 0,31	2013 0,22	2014 0,25	2015 0,20	<b>2016</b> 0,19	2017 0,22	2018 0,27	<b>2019</b> 0,41	<b>2020</b> 0,49	2012-2020 58,5%	2014-2016 -21,7%	2016-2018 39,7%	2017-2018 23,8%	2018-2019 52,3%	2019-2020 20,7%
inhab. on 31 dec.														1	

Second instance performance indicators (Clearence Rate)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020 (percentange points)	2014-2016 (percentange points)	2016-2018 (percentange points)	2017-2018 (percentange points)	2018-2019 (percentange points)	2019-2020 (percentange points)
CR civil and commercial litigious cases			87%	100%	106%	118%	115%	103%	105%		19,43	8,50	-3,55	-11,74	2,20
CR administrative law cases			101%	104%	102%	97%	103%	96%	73%		1,09	1,19	5,64	-6,97	-22,89
CR total criminal law cases									110%						

Second instance performance indicators (Disposition Time)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
DT civil and commercial litigious cases (days)			722	601	553	466	467	488	497		-23,5%	-15,6%	0,1%	4,6%	1,7%
DT administrative law cases (days)			175	202	228	211	222	285	421		30,1%	-2,5%	5,1%	28,1%	47,9%
DT total criminal law cases (days)									NA						

Supreme court performance indicators (Clearence Rate)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020 (percentange points)	2014-2016 (percentange points)	2016-2018 (percentange points)	2017-2018 (percentange points)	2018-2019 (percentange points)	2019-2020 (percentange points)
CR civil and commercial litigious cases			NA	90%	100%	78%	95%	96%	100%		NA	-4,67	17,20	0,36	4,31
CR administrative law cases			NAP		NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP						
CR total criminal law cases									119%						

Supreme court performance indicators (Disposition Time)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
DT civil and commercial litigious cases (days)			NA	287	276	398	408	358	368		NA	47,6%	2,5%	-12,1%	2,8%
DT administrative law cases (days)			NAP		NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP						
DT total criminal law cases									226						







# **1. Judicial organisation in Luxembourg**

In Luxembourg in 2020, the number of courts considered as legal entities is 13. Namely, there are 7 courts of general jurisdiction and 6 specialised courts.

The 7 legal entities of general jurisdiction encompass 5 first instance courts, namely 3 Justices of the peace and 2 District courts, one Appellate court and one Cassation Court. It is noteworthy that the Court of appeal and the Court of cassation are both part of the Supreme Court of Justice.

Among the 6 specialised courts, 3 act at first instance and 3 are higher instance courts (see below for more details).

In terms of geographic locations, there are 8 courts among which 3 are of first instance.

# Distribution of general courts in Luxembourg Distribution of first and higher instances general courts (%) Luxembourg - 1st instance Luxembourg - Higher instances EU Median - 1st instance General courts - Luxembourg T1% EU Median But Median

According to 2020 data, the distribution between 1st instance and higher instances courts of general jurisdiction in Luxembourg is around the EU median of 87% - 13%.

# Evolution of number of first instance courts in Luxembourg

	<b>O</b> oomenkie	Legal er	ntities
	Geographic locations	General jurisdiction	Specialised jurisdiction
2012	8	5	13
2013	8	5	23
2014	8	5	
2015	8	5	2
2016	8	5	13
2017	8	5	13
2018	8	5	13
2019	8	5	13
2020	8	5	3





## Distribution of first instance general jurisdiction and specialised courts



The distribution between number of general jurisdiction courts and specialised courts of 62,5% - 37,5% is around the EU median (distribution tendency in EU: 75,5% - 24,5%).

Specialised courts	First instance	Higher instance
Total	3	3
Commercial courts (excluded insolvency courts)	NAP	NAP
Insolvency courts	NAP	NAP
Labour courts	NAP	NAP
Family courts	NAP	NAP
Rent and tenancies courts	NAP	NAP
Enforcement of criminal sanctions courts	NAP	NAP
Fight against terrorism, organised crime and corruption	NAP	NAP
Internet related disputes	NAP	NAP
Administrative courts	1	1
Insurance and / or social welfare courts	1	1
Military courts	1	1
Juvenile courts	NAP	NAP
Other specialised 1st instance courts	NAP	NAP

For 2020, in contrast with previous evaluation cycles, data on specialised courts is presented in terms of legal entities.

General courts are organized into specialized sections of a court. For example, the commercial courts (which also deal with insolvency cases) are specialized sections of the District court. Only the administrative, military and social security courts are autonomous.

# 2. Professionals of justice in Luxembourg

# • Professional judges and non-judge staff

Year	Absolute number	Per 100 000 inhabitants
2012	179	34,10
2013	180	32,73
2014	184	32,68
2015	183	32,50
2016	187	31,66
2017	198	32,89
2018	222	36,16
2019	226	36,10
2020	229	36,08

# Evolution of the number of professional judges since 2012 (Q46)



Professional judges per 100 000 inhabitants

According to 2020 data, the total number of professional judges sitting in courts (all instances) in Luxembourg is 229, which is 1,3% more than in previous cycle.

More precisely, in Luxembourg, there are 36,08 professional judges per 100 000 inhabitants (this figure is above the EU median of 23,92 judges per 100 000 inhabitants) and about 0,97 non-judge staff per judge .

There is no significant difference compared with previous cycle when this ratio was at 1,00 non-judge staff per judge.

# Absolute number of professional judges by instance and gender

2020	Total	Distribution by instance	Male	Female	% Male	% Female
1st instance	171	74,7%	49	122	28,7%	71,3%
2nd instance	53	23,1%	18	35	34,0%	66,0%
Supreme courts	5	2,2%	3	2	60,0%	40,0%
Total	229		70	159	30,6%	69,4%



In this cycle, the total number of female professional judges (all instances) is 159, which represents 69,4% of the total number of judges.

The total number of judges is distributed among the different judicial instances in the following way: 171 are sitting in first instance courts (of which 122 are female); 53 are sitting in second instance courts (of which 35 are female) and 5 are sitting in Supreme Court (of which 2 are female).

Compared with the EU distribution of professional judges per instance, the trend observed in Luxembourg is quite similar, especially as concerns 1st and 2nd instances. Last instance judges are less numerous.

As regards the distribution of the number of judges among the different judicial instances, Luxembourg presents some peculiarities which should be mentioned. Namely, the number of professional judges at first instance courts includes District courts judges, justices of the peace and judges of the administrative tribunal. The number of professional judges at appellate courts (2nd instance) includes judges of the Court of appeal of the Supreme Court of Justice and the Administrative court. The number of professional judges at Supreme court level includes only judges of the Cassation Court.

As regards the distribution male/female, it has to be specified that female judges do not have the majority only at third instance.

# Absolute number of professional judges by instance and matter

2020	Total	Civil and commercial	Criminal	Administrative	Other
1st instance	171	106	48	17	NAP
2nd instance	53	33	15	5	NAP
Supreme courts	5	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
Total	229	144	63	22	NAP

In Luxembourg, the distribution of judges per categories of cases is possible as presented in the graph below.

# Distribution of professional judges by instance and matter

2020	Civil and commercial	Criminal	Administrative	Other
1st instance	62,0%	28,1%	9,9%	NAP
2nd instance	62,3%	28,3%	9,4%	NAP
Supreme courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
Total	62,9%	27,5%	9,6%	NAP



## Distribution of the total number of professional judges by instance and

# Non-judge staff

Year	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Number of non-judge staff	NA	198	196	197	200	200	220	225	223
Per 100 000 inhabitants	NA	36,00	34,81	34,99	33,86	33,22	35,84	35,94	35,13



2020	Absolute number	in %
Total	223	
Rechtspfleger	NAP	NAP
Non-judge staff assisting the judge	213	95,5%
Staff in charge of administrative tasks	3	1,3%
Technical staff	3	1,3%
Other	4	1,8%

In 2020, Luxembourg has 223 non-judge staff (of which 144 are females). The total number of non-judge staff in comparison with the previous cycle reveals a decrease of -0,9%.

In this cycle, the non-judge staff is broken down as follows:

- 213 non-judge staff whose task is to assist the judges such as registrars (of which 140 are women);
- 3 staff in charge of different administrative tasks and of the court management (of which 1 are women);
- 3 technical staff (of which 0 are women);
- 4 other (of which 3 are women);

In 2020, the number of non-judge staff per 100 000 inhabitants has decreased (from 35,9 in 2019 to 35,1 in 2020).

During the same period, the number of judges per 100 000 inhabitants evolves from 36,1 judges per 100 000 inhabitants in 2019 to 36,1 in 2020.

It is noteworthy that all non-judge staff is in charge of assisting judges (except at the administrative courts). Therefore, starting from 2017, the distinction between staff in charge of administrative tasks and staff assisting judges in no more carried out. Only at the administrative courts there are 6 persons not assisting judges.

The other non-judicial staff consists of three legal secretaries and a data protection compliance officer of the administrative courts.

# Professional judges, non-judge staff and their ratio (Q46, Q52)

Per 100 000 inhabitants	Luxembourg	EU median
Professional judges	36,08	23,92
Non-judge staff	35,13	59,00
Non-judge staff per judge	0,97	3,30

Professional judges and non-judge staff per 100 000 inhabitants, and their ratio



Luxembourg

# Evolution of the ratio between professional judges and non-judge staff (Q46, Q52)

	Judges per 100 000 inh.	Non-judge staff per 100 000 inh.	Ratio between professional judges and non-judge staff
2012	34,10	NA	NA
2013	32,73	36,00	1,10
2014	32,68	34,81	1,07
2015	32,50	34,99	1,08
2016	31,66	33,86	1,07
2017	32,89	33,22	1,01
2018	36,16	35,84	0,99
2019	36,10	35,94	1,00
2020	36,08	35,13	0,97

Evolution of the ratio between professional judges and non-judge staff (Q46, Q52)



# Public prosecutors and non-prosecutor staff



## Absolute number of public prosecutors by instance and gender (Q55)



In this cycle, the total number of female prosecutors (all instances) is 31, which represents 50,0% of the total number of prosecutors.

The total number of prosecutors is distributed among the different judicial instances in the following way: 47 in first instance (of which 23 are female); NAP are in second instance (of which NAP are female) and 15 in final instance (of which 8 are female).

As regards the distribution of the number of prosecutors among the different judicial instances, Luxembourg presents some peculiarities which should be mentioned. Namely, the data communicated for the 3dt instance includes both second instance and Supreme Court prosecutors, as they are grouped together in the Supreme Court of Justice, which is subdivided into the Court of Appeal and the Court of Cassation.

As regards the distribution male/female, it has to be specified that female prosecutors have the majority at third instance, while at first instance male prosecutors are still more numerous.

## Non-prosecutor staff by gender (Q60)





# Public prosecutors, non-prosecutor staff and their ratio (Q55, Q60)

Per 100 000 inhabitants	Luxembourg	EU median
Public prosecutors	9,77	9,91
Non-prosecutor staff	23,63	15,22

Public prosecutors and non-prosecutor staff per 100 000 inhabitants, and their ratio



# Salaries of professional judges and prosecutors at beginning of a career and at the highest instance (Q132, Q4)

Salaries of professional judges and prosecutors (Q132, Q4)	Average gross annual salary in €	Average net annual salary in €	Ratio with national average annual gross salary
Judge at the beginning of a career	92 016 €	NA	1,46
Judge of the highest court	110 177 €	NA	1,75
Prosecutor at the beginning of a career	92 016 €	NA	1,46
Public prosecutor at highest instance	110 177 €	NA	1,75



Gross salaries of judges and prosecutors vs average annual salary in the country



As a starting salary (first instance professional judge or prosecutor) is considered the salary of the "attachés de justice" after their first appointment. The salary scale of the magistrates provides for 380 index points as a basis, a possible professional experience can be added to it but is not taken into account in the present calculations.

As a theoretical basic salary in respect of a judge or prosecutor at the Court of Appeal, is taken the grade M4, step 4, which corresponds to 455 points and to the average seniority of a magistrate appointed to the Court (courts and General Prosecutor's Office). However, it should be taken into account that this salary is strongly influenced by the family situation of the person concerned.

To calculate the annual salary, these points must be multiplied by the value of the index point. In December 2020, the value of the index point for a civil servant was 20.17893, which corresponds to a 12-month salary of 92.016€ for a professional judge of first instance, respectively a salary of 110.177€ for a judge or prosecutor at the Supreme Court. These figures do not include any bonuses, allowances or benefits that may be added to the basic salary depending on the magistrate concerned. More explanations on the calculation of civil servants' salaries, which also apply to the M career of magistrates, can be found on the civil service website (https://fonction-publique.public.lu/fr/carriere/parcoursremuneration/fonctionnaire/traitement.html).

# Lawyers

Lawyers	Absolute number	Per 100 000 inhabitants				Number	of lawyers p	er 100 000 in	habitants			
2012	2 020	384,76							487,54	465.44	485,25	
2013	2 203	400,55	204.76	400,55	387,21	412,61	403,08	431,39		465,41		
2014	2 180	387,21	384,76		387,21							
2015	2 323	412,61										
2016	2 381	403,08										
2017	2 597	431,39										122,09
2018	2 993	487,54										
2019	2 914	465,41	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	EU median
2020	3 080	485,25										2020



In 2020, there are 3 080 lawyers, which is 5,7% more than in 2019.

Luxembourg has 485,2 lawyers per 100 000 inhabitants, which is above the EU median of 122,1 lawyers per 100 000 inhabitants.

# Judicial professionals (summary)

# Judicial professionals in absolute number and per 100 000 inhabitants (Q46, Q52, Q55, Q60, Q146)

	Absolute number	Per 100 000 inhabitants	EU Median
Professional judges	226	36,10	23,92
Non-judge staff	223	35,13	59,00
Prosecutors	62	9,77	9,91
Non-prosecutor staff	150	23,63	15,22
Lawyers	3 080	485,25	122,09



Judicial professionals: Gender balance



# Judicial professionals: Gender balance

	% Male	% Female
Professional judges	30,6%	69,4%
Non judge staff	35,4%	64,6%
Prosecutors	50,0%	50,0%
Non-prosecutor staff	52,7%	47,3%
Lawyers	52,3%	47,7%

3. Legal aid and court fees in Luxembourg			
In Luxembourg, legal aid includes:	$\bigotimes$		
<ul> <li>Exemption from court fees:</li> </ul>			
<b>S</b> <sup>1</sup> <b>S</b>	payment of taxes/court fees. No judicial body collects any tax or other payment in connection with the introduction or processing of a y fees charged by other entities (e.g. enforcement agents) in connection with court cases.		

In Luxembourg, legal aid is available for :

> Representation in court:	
<ul> <li>Criminal cases</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Other than criminal cases</li> </ul>	
> Legal advice, ADR and other legal services:	
<ul> <li>Criminal cases</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Other than criminal cases</li> </ul>	
> Fees related to enforcement of judicial decisions as fees for enforcement agents (Q18)	
> Other costs than above (Q19)	$\bigotimes$

The Council of the Order has the task of providing legal aid to persons whose financial resources are insufficient to meet the costs of their defence. This insufficiency of resources is assessed in relation to the income and wealth of the person requesting legal aid and of the persons living with him/her in legal community.

Under the law, access to legal aid on national territory is not reserved to Luxembourg citizens and extends to a wide range of people. This concerns nationals of a EU Member State, foreigners authorised to settle in the Grand Duchy, foreigners assimilated to Luxembourg citizens in terms of legal aid by virtue of an international treaty and, lastly, any other foreign national, as regards procedures relating to the right of asylum, residence, establishment, access to or removal from the national territory.

Legal aid is granted to plaintiffs and defendants, both in judicial and extra-judicial matters, whether they are litigious or non-litigious. However, legal aid is refused to any person whose case appears to be manifestly inadmissible, unfounded or disproportionate to the costs to be incurred. It should also be noted that, unless there is a conflict of interest or an impediment, the lawyer appointed by the Bar Council is obliged to provide assistance (Source: Barreau.lu : https://www.barreau.lu/votre-avocat/assistance-judiciaire).

The request for legal aid must be made by means of a questionnaire available through the Central Social Assistance Service or on the website of the Luxembourg Bar, signed by the applicant, and sent to the President of the Bar Association with territorial jurisdiction (Diekirch or Luxembourg). In the case of persons detained by the police or accused, the lawyer or the examining magistrate will forward the request to the President of the Bar. The applicant may also indicate the name of the lawyer(s) from whom he or she wishes to receive legal assistance, or if applicable, indicate the name of the lawyer from whom he or she is currently receiving legal assistance. A number of documents must be attached to the application. After verification of the insufficiency of resources, the admission or rejection of the application for legal aid is notified to the applicant by the President of the Bar or the member of the Bar Council delegated by him for this purpose, by simple letter in the case of admission, and by registered letter in the case of rejection. The latter appoints the lawyer freely chosen by the applicant or, in the absence of choice or when the President of the Bar considers the choice inappropriate, a designated lawyer. (Source: Guichet.lu: https://guichet.public.lu/fr/citoyens/citoyennete/voies-recours-reglement-litiges/frais-avocat/demander-assistance-judiciaire.html) The lawyer cannot, however, make the application on behalf of the applicant.

# Number of cases for which legal aid has been granted

Absolute number (in 2020)	Total	Cases brought to court	Cases not brought to court
Total	4 660	NA	NA
In criminal cases	1 182	NA	NA
In other than criminal cases	3 478	NA	NA

Per 100 000 inhabitants (in 2020)	Luxembourg	EU Median	
Total	734,2	734,2	
In criminal cases	186,2	330,9	
In other than criminal cases	547,9	402,7	



# Timeframes of the procedure for granting legal aid (in relation to the duration from the initial legal aid request to the final approval of the legal aid request)

- Maximum duration prescribed in law/regulations: NAP
- Actual average duration:

NA

According to the Luxembourg Bar Association, the average reply time to an application for legal aid is impossible to determine. Namely, the majority of the legal aid applications received are incomplete and will have to be returned before a final decision can be taken. The date of this decision depends on how quickly the applicant responds. The bar association does not have statistics on this point. The processing time of a legal aid application by the Legal Aid Department of the Luxembourg Bar is on average +/- 1 month, i.e. after receipt of a legal aid application until a decision is taken, which can be either an agreement or a refusal or a return in case of an incomplete application. However, it should be noted that urgent requests are treated as a priority by the service.

# 4. Performance of courts in Luxembourg

## • Efficiency indicators

• Clearance Rate (CR) and Disposition Time (DT)

The Clearance Rate shows the capacity of a judicial system to deal with the incoming cases. A Clearance Rate of 100% and higher does not generate backlog.

The Disposition Time determines the estimated number of days necessary for a pending case to be solved in a court.

# First instance Total of other than criminal cases

## • Incoming, resolved and pending cases



The number of incoming cases in 2020 in Luxembourg (2,10 per 100 inhabitants) is significantly below EU median (6,82 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of resolved cases in 2020 in Luxembourg (2,00 per 100 inhabitants) is significantly below the EU median (6,60 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of pending cases at the end of 2020 in Luxembourg (0,87 per 100 inhabitants) is significantly below the EU median (2,66 per 100 inhabitants).

## • Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

Other than criminal cases	CR (%)	DT (days)
2012	NA	NA
2013	NA	NA
2014	NA	NA
2015	NA	NA
2016	102%	NA
2017	99%	NA
2018	96%	NA
2019	93%	NΔ



	2019	9378	IN/A					158	100
	2020	95%	158					158	109
	2020	90 /0	158	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	FILmedian
-				2010	2017	2018	2019	2020	LO median

With a Clearance Rate calculated at 95,2% in 2020 Luxembourg seems to face some difficulties in dealing with its other than criminal cases.

Between 2019 and 2020, the Clearance Rate has increased by 2,7 points.

The Disposition Time of other than criminal cases cannot be calculated.

# First instance Civil (and commercial) litigious cases

### Incoming, resolved and pending cases



Evolution of number of civil and commercial litigious cases per 100 inhabitants

The number of incoming cases in 2020 in Luxembourg (1,21 per 100 inhabitants) is somewhat below the EU median (1,56 per 100 inhabitants). The number of resolved cases in 2020 in Luxembourg (1,12 per 100 inhabitants) is somewhat below the EU median (1,50 per 100 inhabitants). The number of pending cases at the end of 2020 in Luxembourg (0,49 per 100 inhabitants) is significantly below the EU median (1,05 per 100 inhabitants).

#### **Civil (and commercial)** CR (%) DT (days) litigious cases 2012 172,8% 73 2013 53 181,6% 2014 96,8% 103 2015 86 105,4% 2016 100,0% 91 2017 96,3% 108 2018 93,5% 123 2019 88,0% 139 2020 92,5% 161



## Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

With a Clearance Rate calculated at 92,5% in 2020, Luxembourg seems to encounter difficulties in dealing with its civil and commercial litigious cases.

Between 2019 and 2020, the Clearance Rate has increased by 4,5 points.

In 2020, the civil and commercial litigious cases are solved in approximately 161 days, which is somewhat below the EU median of 221 days.

The analysis of the 2019 - 2020 period reveals a 15,8% increase of the Disposition Time.

The number of civil and commercial litigious cases older than 2 years is not available. To date, it is not possible to provide information on cases in progress that are more

than 2 years old. Computer developments aimed at establishing more detailed statistics are currently being studied.

It is worth recalling that since the law of July 27, 2018 establishing the Family judge (JAF), in force as of 1.11. 2018, cases currently under the jurisdiction of the JAF are included in the category "civil litigious cases". These are cases previously handled by the civil chambers, but also cases handled by the juvenile and guardianship court (e.g., parental responsibility cases involving a natural child or a child whose parents are divorced) or at the justice of the peace level (alimony cases). There has been an increase in the number of incoming cases in these areas since they are handled by the Family judge. This fact can be explained by the simplification of access to justice for the litigant, who, in procedures other than divorce, can refer to the JAF by a simple letter; by the emergence of cases based on new legal provisions (e.g. request emanating from the minor); and by all the litigation generated by the new legal provision of the institution of a generalized joint parental authority. Moreover, since proceedings before the JAF take much less time than proceedings before the introduction of the JAF law, motions to modify decisions are filed more quickly and thus increase the volume of cases.

Due to the lockdown measures during the COVID-19 pandemic, the number of public hearings was reduced to a minimum, allowing courts to prioritize work on cases not requiring such hearings. In addition, special crisis legislation allowed cases to be taken under advisement without a public hearing, with the agreement of the parties.

# First instance Administrative cases



## Incoming, resolved and pending cases

The number of incoming cases in 2020 in Luxembourg (0,17 per 100 inhabitants) is somewhat below the EU median (0,30 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of resolved cases in 2020 in Luxembourg (0,15 per 100 inhabitants) is somewhat below the EU median (0,26 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of pending cases at the end of 2020 in Luxembourg (0,21 per 100 inhabitants) is is the EU median (0,21 per 100 inhabitants).



## • Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

With a Clearance Rate calculated at 87,4% in 2020, Luxembourg seems to face difficulties in dealing with its administrative cases.

Between 2019 and 2020, the Clearance Rate has increased for 12,2 points.

In 2020, the administrative cases are solved in approximately 513 days, which is somewhat above the EU median of 388 days.

The number of administrative law cases older than 2 years is not available. To date, it is not possible to provide information on cases in progress that are more than 2 years old. Computer developments aimed at establishing more detailed statistics are currently being studied

# Insolvency cases

# • Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

Insolvency cases	CR (%)	DT (days)
2012	NA	NA
2013	NA	NA
2014	NAP	NAP
2015	NAP	NAP
2016	100,0%	NAP
2017	100,0%	NAP
2018	100,0%	NAP
2019	100,0%	NAP
2020	100,0%	NA



The Clearance Rate was calculated at 100,0% in 2020 for insolvency cases, Luxembourg seems to be able to deal with its insolvency cases.

Between 2019 and 2020, the Clearance Rate has been stable, aligned at the 100% threshold.

The Disposition Time for insolvency cases cannot be calculated.

# • First instance Criminal Law Cases

## Incoming, resolved and pending cases

	Pending cases 1 Jan	incoming cases		Pending cases 31 Dec
Total	NA	NA	13 858	NA
Severe criminal cases	NA	NA	4 272	NA
Misdemeanour and/or minor cases	NA	NA	7 998	NA
Other cases	NA	1 995	1 588	NA

#### Pending cases 1 **Pending cases** Per 100 inhabitants Incoming cases Resolved cases Jan 31 Dec Total NA NA 2,18 NA Severe criminal NA 0,67 NA NA cases Misdemeanour NA NA 1,26 NA and/or minor cases Other cases NA 0,31 0,25 NA



Severe, Misdemeanour and/or minor criminal cases, and other criminal law cases per 100 inhabitants



The number of total incoming criminal cases in 2020 in Luxembourg is not available.

The number of total resolved criminal cases in 2020 in Luxembourg (2,18 per 100 inhabitants) is somewhat above the EU median (1,48 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of total pending criminal cases at the end of 2020 in Luxembourg is not available.

# • Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

Total criminal law cases	CR (%)	DT (days)
Total	NA	NA
Severe criminal cases	NA	NA
Misdemeanour and/or minor cases	NA	NA
Other cases	79,6%	NA

The Clearance Rate of total criminal cases cannot be calculated

The Disposition Time for criminal law cases cannot be calculated.

Minor offenses are all cases terminated by criminal order in police court or district court. Serious offenses represent all cases terminated by trial in police, correctional, or criminal court. The figures in "other cases" are cases referred to the investigating office.

The number of pending and incoming criminal cases is not available due to the specific organization of the workflow between the courts and the public prosecutor's office. Namely, the files are transferred to courts only shortly before the hearing and, if a case is not heard on the given date, it is then returned to the public prosecutor's office until the new hearing date. Thus, there are - with a few exceptions - no cases pending in the criminal courts over a long period of time. The number of incoming cases is more or less equal to the cases resolved.

# Overall efficiency by instance and by case matter

	CR (%)			DT (days)		
	1st instance	2nd instance	Supreme Court	1st instance	2nd instance	Supreme Court
Civil and commercial litigious cases	92,5%	105,1%	100,0%	161	497	368
Administrative cases	87,4%	73,0%	NAP	513	421	NAP
Total criminal law cases	NA	109,8%	119,0%	NA	NA	226



According to the efficiency indicators for 2020, the administrative courts of Luxembourg prove to be less efficient than courts dealing with civil and criminal cases. On the one hand, the Clearance Rate is well below the 100% threshold for both first and second instances. On the other hand, the Disposition Time is considerably above the respective EU medians at first and second instance (respectively 388 days and 362 days). In this respect, it has been explained that the decrease in the Clearance Rate for 2020 of the second instance Administrative court is primarily due to the increase in the number of appeals, particularly those related to the City of Luxembourg's general development plan. These cases were entered in the first instance from January to March 2018, while the related judgments, consolidated by the first instance Administrative tribunal, were issued between May and September 2020. The related appeals (51) were filed between July and November 2020 and were still being processed on December 31, 2020, due to the fixed timeframe regime (suspension from July 16 to September 15 - one month for the answer - one month for the reply - one month for the replica duplicate), but also due to the pandemic and the implementation of the crisis legislation involving a suspension of the appeal deadlines (until June 24, 2020). However, throughout 2020, the Administrative Court was essentially up to date and disposed of cases as soon as they had been heard.

In civil matters, first instance courts registered an increase of 4,5 points between 2019 and 2020 concerning the Clearance Rate indicator. Besides this evolution, the positive analyse should be completed by the fact that the Disposition Time with regard to first instance civil cases is below the EU median of 221 days. As to second and third instances, even if the Clearance Rate indicator is above the 100%, the Disposition Time indicator is for both instances significantly above the respective EU medians (177 days, 224 days).

In criminal matters, given that the Clearance Rate cannot be calculated for first instance criminal cases, while the Disposition Time is available only in respect of the Cassation Court, the analysis can only be partial. On the one hand, the Clearance rate is above the 100% at first and second instances. On the other hand, the Disposition Time indicator at third instance is well above the EU median of 120 days.

# **5.** Public prosecution services in Luxembourg

# • Role and powers of the public prosecutor

In the criminal procedure, the public prosecutor in Luxembourg has the following 10 out of 11 possible roles and powers:

To conduct or supervise police investigation	<b>⊘</b>	To appeal	
To conduct investigations		To supervise the enforcement procedure	
When necessary, to request investigation measures from the judge	<b>⊘</b>	To discontinue a case without needing a decision by a judge	
To charge	<b>⊘</b>	To end the case by imposing or negotiating a penalty or measure without requiring a judicial decision	
To present the case in the court		Other significant powers	$\otimes$
To propose a sentence to the judge	<b>⊘</b>		

Public prosecutors have enhanced powers in certain investigative measures, in which the police, before acting, must obtain the approval of the state prosecutor (e.g. DNA processing, vehicle searches, extensive identity checks, etc.).

The public prosecutor also has a role in civil and insolvency cases.

In civil matters, with regard to all civil status cases, i.e. family and personality cases, the public prosecutor is heard in his/her conclusions. In insolvency cases, the public prosecutor attends all insolvency hearings and can also open a case proprio motu if, according to his/her records, a trader (civil or legal person) is insolvent.

# • Public prosecutors: Number of first instance criminal cases

Type of o	ases	Absolute number	Per 100 inhabitants
1. Pendir	ng cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	NA	NA
2. Incomi	ing/received cases	62 116	9,79
3. Proces	ssed cases (3.1 + 3.2 + 3.3 + 3.4)	35 563	5,60
3.1. D + 3.1.4	iscontinued during the reference year (3.1.1 + 3.1.2 + 3.1.3 4)	23 366	3,68
	3.1.1 Discontinued by the public prosecutor because the offender could not be identified	3 600	0,57
	3.1.2 Discontinued by the public prosecutor due to the lack of an established offence or a specific legal situation	3 875	0,61



2.1.2 Discontinued by the public procedutor for reasons of

	3.1.3 Discontinued by the public prosecutor for reasons of opportunity	15 725	2,48	Processed cases per 100	inhabitants	
	3.1.4 Discontinued for other reasons	166	0,03	Luxembourg	EU Median	
	Concluded by a penalty or a measure imposed or negotiated e public prosecutor	673	0,11	3.1. Discontinued during the reference year 3,68		1,05
3.3.	Cases closed by the public prosecutor for other reasons	NAP	NAP	3.2. Concluded by a penalty or a measure imposed or negotiated by the public prosecutor	0,11	0,12
3.4.	Cases brought to court	11 524	<sup>3</sup> 1,82	.3. Cases closed by the public prosecutor for other reasons	NAP —	0,30
4. Pend	ing cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	NA	NA	3.4. Cases brought to court	1,82	0,53

The cases referred to under "3.2. Cases closed by the public prosecutor for other reasons", shall be considered closed if the party concerned complies with the condition imposed by the warning or fulfills its obligations arising from the mediation. In case of non-compliance, the public action will resume.

"3.1.4 Cases discontinued for other reasons": these are essentially two specific measures: firstly, in the area of traffic, the obligation to follow a driving course and, only for young offenders of full age, participation in a training course in the Choice 18+ program for the prevention of drug addiction (https://www.solina.lu/fr/facilities/impuls/).

# 6. Existence and use of alternative dispute resolution in Luxembourg

# Number of mediators

Mediators	Total	Per 100 000 inhabitants
2012	110	21,0
2013	130	23,6
2014	135	24,0
2015	110	19,5
2016	173	29,3
2017	144	23,9
2018	198	32,3
2019	227	36,3
2020	238	37,5



In 2020, there are 238 accredited or registered mediators who practise court related mediation which represents 37,5 accredited or registered mediators per 100

The variation between 2019 and 2020 is about 4,8%.

The figures provided represent the total number of accredited mediators as of 31.12. of the reference year (in civil, commercial and criminal matters) without taking into account mediators who have ceased their activity (source: Ministry of Justice).

The increase in the number of mediators is the consequence of a political decision to focus on alternative methods of dispute resolution. This political decision has been translated in particular by a strengthening of the mediation offer.

## Number of court related mediations

Type of cases	Number of cases for which the parties agreed to start mediation	Number of finished court-related mediations	Number of cases in which there is a settlement agreement
All Cases	NA	NA	NA
Civil and commercial	NA	NA	NA
Family cases	NA	NA	NA
Administrative	NA	NA	NA
Employment dismissal	NA	NA	NA
Criminal cases	41	14	1
Consumer cases	NA	NA	NA

# 7. ICT tools of courts in Luxembourg

# •The ICT tools of courts and for court users

The use of ICT in courts in 2020 has been evaluated as $:$		EU Median
Total	3,9	6,6
Assistance tools (0 to 3)	1,0	2,0
Case management system (0 to 7)	5,2	5,2
Financial management tools (0 to 3)	0,0	1,3
Measurement tools to assess the workload (0 to 5)	0,0	2,5
Electronic communication (0 to 10)	4,8	6,9

The calculation of this values for each field is based on the answers for that question/s and weighted according the avaiability or deployment rate. The total value is normalised to max 10 points for readability and comparison.

The details of the calculation are given in Annex 5 - IT calculations

The result by area may be summarized in these graphics, where each field has been evaluated from 0 to 4 points.

Note: index is modified based on the available questions. This cycle the recalculation was made for the last three cycles to be able to follow the development.



# ICT tools assessment from 2018 to 2020

# Comments on writing assistance tools

All magistrates and clerks have access to the writing assistance tools. However, not the entire scope of documents is covered, as the possibility to use free text is essential to the work of the judiciary. Administrative courts have been provided with a new application in 2018.

# Comments on voice recording tools

The use of private dictation applications is tolerated, but is not in general use.

# Comments on communication tools

Although the legally correct answer is "no", as there are as of now no legal provisions, practically speaking, convocation letters and other communications that must not be sent by charged mail are often replaced by electronic mail.

A specific legal framework exists only in criminal law, and even there it is only partial. In criminal cases, files are sent to lawyers through a secured OTX link. A similar system has been set up with insurance companies. In minor criminal cases, the communication with the parties can also be done - with the consent of the concerned person - electronically.

In civil and commercial cases, informal communications are generally done electronically. Work is ongoing on adapting the legal framework to the new technologies.

In administrative law, the project JA-NGA currently being implemented tends to introduce a far-reaching digitization of the procedures that will serve as a POC for a similar civil/ commercial procedural law project. Informal communications tend to be done now by way of email, and a specific working group is currently being set up between the Judiciary and the bar associations to streamline these communication and single out those points that would need a change in the existing legislation. Please note that under ""deployment rate"" the figure of 100% means that the whole judiciary is technically equipped to communicate.

Please note that for certain procedures representation by a lawyer is mandatory. In these cases, although the parties can contact the court by mail, these mails however cannot be taken into consideration procedurally.

In respect of notaries, there is a specific application allowing a largely automatized access to the matrimonial register and paramatrimonial partnerships register.

In respect of the police there is a specific application allowing a largely automatized input of data from electronic police reports ("e-pv") into the prosecution's CMS. Other applications are being developed.

# 8. Systems for measuring and evaluating the performance of courts and public prosecution services in Luxembourg

In Luxembourg, quality standards are not detemined for the judicial system at the national level.

# • Systems for measuring and evaluating courts' performance

A regular monitoring system of court activities is in place concerning:

Number of incoming cases		Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)	<sup>1</sup> 😣
Length of proceedings (timeframes)	$\bigcirc$	Costs of the judicial procedures	
Number of resolved cases	$\bigcirc$	Number of appeals	
Number of pending cases	$\bigcirc$	Appeal ratio	
Backlogs	$\bigcirc$	Clearance rate	
Productivity of judges and court staff	$\bigotimes$	Disposition time	
Satisfaction of court staff	$\bigotimes$	Other	$\otimes$

In fact, no regular system has been implemented up to today. However, a monitoring can be carried out through the statistical service of the judiciary (SSJ) on punctual basis and upon request by the competent authorities.

In Luxembourg, there is no system to regularly evaluate the court performance based on defined indicators.

Although the technically correct answer is "no", the Judiciary edits every year an annual report on its activities during the previous year. These reports are available to the public (report 2020, see https://justice.public.lu/fr/publications/juridictions-judiciaires/rapports-juridictions-judiciaires-2020.html).

Performance and quality indicators are not defined for the activity of each court.

The evaluation of the courts' activities is not used for the later allocation of means in the courts.

Nevertheless, the figures presented by the SSJ are used on a regular basis to allocate (and ask for) means to the courts and prosecutorial services. The annual report is used to this effect (report 2020, see https://justice.public.lu/fr/publications/juridictions-judiciaires/rapports-juridictions-judiciaires-2020.html).

# • Systems for measuring and evaluating public prosecution services' performance

A regular monitoring system of public prosecution services activities is in place concerning:

Number of incoming cases		Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the public prosecution)	$\bigotimes$
Length of proceedings (timeframes)	$\bigcirc$	Costs of the judicial procedures	
Number of resolved cases	$\bigcirc$	Clearance rate	
Number of pending cases	$\bigcirc$	Disposition time	
Backlogs	$\bigcirc$	Percentage of convictions and aquittals	

Productivity of prosecutors and prosecution staff

Satisfaction of prosecution staff

8 8 Other

 $\otimes$ 

In Luxembourg, there is no system to evaluate regularly the activity of each public prosection service.

The annual report covers both judges and prosecutors (report 2020, see https://justice.public.lu/fr/publications/juridictions-judiciaires/rapports-juridictions-judiciaires-2020.html).

Performance and quality indicators are not defined for the activity of each public prosecution service.

The evaluation of the public prosecution services' activities is not used for the later allocation of means in the public prosecution services.

								LU	Yellin	ourg	(2012	-2020	j uala	เลมเธ	3			
													ations for			ions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
		Та	ble General	Data: Econo	mic and den	nographic d	ata, in abso	lute values (	(Q1, Q3, Q5	5)								
Q1 Number of inhabitants	525 000	550 000	563 000	563 000	590 700	602 005	613 900	626 108	634 730	20,9%	4,8%	2,4%	0,0%	4,9%	1,9%	2,0%	2,0%	1,4%
Q.3 GDP Per capita (in €) in current prices	83 600	83 400	88 500	88 500	90 700	92 026	95 943	101 446	101 056	20,9%	-0,2%	6,1%	0,0%	2,5%	1,5%	4,3%	5,7%	-0,4%
Q5. Exchange rate of Nat currency to € on 1 Jan	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Indicator 1: Systems for measuring	and evaluat	ing the perfo	ormance of c	ourts and p	rosecution s	ervices (Ind	licator 4 in 2	2019)										
Table 1.1 to Table 1.10 (Q66, Q67, Q77, Q78, Q77-1,	, Q78-1, Q73		-1, Q73-2, Q7 I Q120-1)	′3-3, Q73-4, (	Q73-5, Q73-6	6, Q70, Q70-′	1, Q71, Q72,	Q83-2, Q83	-3, Q120									
66 Qlty standards formulated_jud system	No			No	False	False	False	False	False									
67 Specialised court staff entrusted_qlty standards	No	No	No	No	False	False	False	False	False									
77 Performance and quality indicators of court activities	No	No	No	No	False	False	False	False	False									
078.1.1 Number of incoming cases							False	-	-									
078.1.2 Length of proceedings (timeframes)							False	-	-									
078.1.3 Number of resolved cases							False	-	-									
078.1.4 Number of pending cases							False	-	-									
078.1.5 Backlogs							False	-	-									
078.1.6 Productivity of judges and court staff							False	-	-									
078.1.7 Satisfaction of court staff							False	-	-									
078.1.8 Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)							False	-	-									
078.1.9 Costs of the judicial procedures							False	-	-									
078.1.10 Number of appeals							False	-	-									
078.1.11 Appeal ratio							False	-	-									
078.1.12 Clearance rate							False	-	-									
078.1.13 Disposition time							False	-	-									
078.1.14 Other							False	-	-									

	Indicator 1: Systems for measuring	g and evaluating the performance	of courts and prosecution services	(Indicator 4 in 2019)
--	------------------------------------	----------------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------------

								LU	<b>Yellin</b>	our g			Juala	labic	3			
												Varia	ations for	quantita	tive quest	ions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
		Ta	ble General	Data: Econo	mic and den	nographic d	ata, in absol	ute values	(Q1, Q3, Q5	5)								
Q1 Number of inhabitants	525 000	550 000	563 000	563 000	590 700	602 005	613 900	626 108	634 730	20,9%	4,8%	2,4%	0,0%	4,9%	1,9%	2,0%	2,0%	1,4%
Q.3 GDP Per capita (in €) in current prices	83 600	83 400	88 500	88 500	90 700	92 026	95 943	101 446	101 056	20,9%	-0,2%	6,1%	0,0%	2,5%	1,5%	4,3%	5,7%	-0,4%
Q5. Exchange rate of Nat currency to € on 1 Jan	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Indicator 1: Systems for measuring	and evaluat	ting the perfo	ormance of o	ourts and p	rosecution s	ervices (Inc	dicator 4 in 2	019)										
Table 1.1 to Table 1.10 (Q66, Q67, Q77, Q78, Q77-1,	, Q78-1, Q73		-1, Q73-2, Q7 Q120-1)	73-3, Q73-4, (	Q73-5, Q73-6	i, Q70, Q70-	1, Q71, Q72,	Q83-2, Q83	-3, Q120									
66 Qlty standards formulated_jud system	No		No	No	False	False	False	False	False									
67 Specialised court staff entrusted_qlty standards	No	No	No	No	False	False	False	False	False									
77 Performance and quality indicators of court activities	No	No	No	No	False	False	False	False	False									
078.1.1 Number of incoming cases							False	-	-									
078.1.2 Length of proceedings (timeframes)							False	-	-									
078.1.3 Number of resolved cases							False	-	-									
078.1.4 Number of pending cases							False	-	-									
078.1.5 Backlogs							False	-	-									
078.1.6 Productivity of judges and court staff							False	-	-									
078.1.7 Satisfaction of court staff							False	-	-									
078.1.8 Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)							False	-	-									
078.1.9 Costs of the judicial procedures							False	-	-									
078.1.10 Number of appeals							False	-	-									
078.1.11 Appeal ratio							False	-	-									
078.1.12 Clearance rate							False	-	-									
078.1.13 Disposition time							False	-	-									
078.1.14 Other							False	-	-									
077-1.1.1 Defined performance and quality indicators									False									

										<u> </u>	(		/ 0.0.00		•			
												Varia	ations for	quantita	tive quest	ions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
078-1.1.1 Number of incoming cases									-									
078-1.1.2 Length of proceedings (timeframes)																		
078-1.1.3 Number of resolved cases									•									
078-1.1.4 Number of pending cases									-									
078-1.1.5 Backlogs																		
078-1.1.6 Productivity of prosecutors and prosecution staff																		
078-1.1.7 Satisfaction of prosecution staff																		
078-1.1.8 Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the public prosecution)									-									
078-1.1.9 Costs of the judicial procedures																		
078-1.1.10 Clearance rate																		
078-1.1.11 Disposition time																		
078-1.1.12 Percentage of convictions and aquittals																		
078-1.1.13 Other																		
73 Regular system_evaluation_performance_each court	No	N	o No	D No	False	False	False	False	False									
073-0.1.1 Annual					False	False	False	-	-									
073-0.1.2 Less frequent					False	False	False	-										
073-0.1.3 More frequent					False	False	False	-	-									
073-1.1.1 Evaluation used for the allocation of resources within the court			No	o No	False	False	False	False	False									
073-2.1.1 Courses of action taken in the evaluation is used for the allocation of resources							False	-										
073-2.1.2 Reallocating resources (human/financial resources based on performance)							False	-	-									
073-2.1.3 Reengineering of internal procedures to increase efficiency							False	-										
073-2.1.4 Other							False	-										
073-3.1.1 Regular evaluation of the public prosecution services performance									False									

												Vari	ations for	quantitat	ive quest	ions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
073-4.1.1 Annual									-									
073-4.1.2 Less frequent									-									
073-4.1.3 More frequent									-									
073-5.1.1 Evaluation used for the allocation of resources within the public prosecution services									False									
073-6.1.1 Identifying the causes of improved or deteriorated performance									-									
073-6.1.2 Reallocating resources (human/financial resources based on performance)									-									
073-6.1.3 Reengineering of internal procedures to increase efficiency									-									
073-6.1.4 Other									-									
070.1.1 number of incoming cases	Yes	Yes	s Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
070.1.2 length of proceedings (timeframes)	No	Nc	o No	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
070.1.3 number of resolved cases	Yes	Yes	s Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
070.1.4 number of pending cases							True	True	True									
070.1.5 backlogs							True	True	True									
070.1.6 productivity of judges and court staff							False	False	False									
070.1.7 satisfaction of court staff							False	False	False									
070.1.8 satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)							False	False	False									
070.1.9 costs of the judicial procedures							True	True	True									
070.1.10 number of appeals							True	True	True									
070.1.11 appeal ratio							True	True	True									
070.1.12 clearance rate							True	True	True									

									ixembe	<u> </u>			y data		3			
															tive quest	ions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
070-1.1.1 Number of incoming cases									True									
070-1.1.2 Length of proceedings (timeframes)									True									
070-1.1.3 Number of resolved cases									True									
070-1.1.4 Number of pending cases									True									
070-1.1.5 Backlogs									True									
070-1.1.6 Productivity of prosecutors and prosecution staff									False									
070-1.1.7 Satisfaction of prosecution staff									False									
070-1.1.8 Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the public prosecution)									False									
070-1.1.9 Costs of the judicial procedures									True									
070-1.1.10 Clearance rate									True									
070-1.1.11 Disposition time									True									
070-1.1.12 Percentage of convictions and aquittals									True									
070-1.1.13 Other									False									
071.1.1 Monitoring backlogs in Civil law cases									True									
071.1.2 Monitoring backlogs in Criminal law cases									True									
071.1.3 Monitoring backlogs in Administrative law cases									True									
072.1.1 Monitoring timeframes Within the courts									False									
072.1.2 Monitoring timeframes Within the public prosecution services									False									
083-2.1.1 Quantitative performance tagets defined for each prosecutors									False									
083-3.1.1 Body responsible - Executive power (for example the Ministry of Justice)									NAP									
083-3.1.2 Body responsible - Prosecutor General /State public prosecutor									NAP									
083-3.1.3 Body responsible - Public Prosecutorial Council									NAP									
083-3.1.4 Body responsible - Head of the organisational unit or hierarchically superior public prosecutor									NAP									
083-3.1.5 Body responsible - Other									NAP									

2019-2020
2020
-
-
-
-
-
-
0.001
0,0%
-
-
-
-76,9%
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
0,0%
0,0%
0,0%
-

									xemb	<u>oury</u>		-2020	Juala	ιανισ	3			
												Varia	ations for	quantitat	tive quest	tions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
43.1.14 Other specialised courts	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-									
43.2.1 Total number of specialised courts of higher instances	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
43.2.2 Commercial courts (excluded insolvency courts)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	
43.2.3 Insolvency courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	
43.2.4 Labour courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	
43.2.5 Family courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
43.2.6 Rent and tenancies courts		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
43.2.7 Enforcement of criminal sanctions courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	
43.2.8 Fight against terrorism, organised crime and corruption	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	
43.2.9 Internet related disputes	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	. <u>-</u>	-	-	-	-	-	
43.2.10 Administrative courts		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
43.2.11 Insurance and / or social welfare courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
43.2.12 Military courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
43.2.13 Juvenile courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
43.2.14 Other specialised courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
44.1.1 First instance courts geographic locations	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44.1.2 All courts geographic locations	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%

								Lu	xemb	ourg	(2012	-2020	) data	tables	5			
												Vari	ations for	quantitati	ive quest	ions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
			Indicat	or 3: The pe	rformance o	f courts at a	II stages of	the proceed	lings									
			Tables 3.1	.1.1 to 3.1.1	4 (all years)	Number of c	other than c	riminal case	es (Q91)									
		Table 3.3.	.1 to 3.3.3 Va	riation of fir	st instance o	other than cr	iminal case	es per 100 in	habitants (	Q1, Q91)								
			Table 3.13.	7 (EC) to 3.1	3.12 (EC) Fir	st instance	other than o	criminal cas	es (Q91)									
91.1.1 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	4 871	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-
91.1.2 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	5 072	5 007	1 218	1 382	1 137	1 136	1 306	1 649	2 561	-49,5%	-1,3%	-75,7%	13,5%	-17,7%	-0,1%	15,0%	26,3%	55,3%
91.1.3 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	NA	NA	1 646	1 440	1 314	1 319	1 103	-	-	-	-	-	-12,5%	-8,8%	0,4%	-16,4%
91.1.4 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-
91.1.5 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-
91.1.6 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious land registry cases	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.1.7 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.1.8 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.1.9 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NA	NA	1 646	1 440	1 314	1 319	1 103	-	-	-	-	-	-12,5%	-8,8%	0,4%	-16,4%
91.1.10 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Administrative law cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1 207	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.1.11 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.2.1 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	NA	NA	NA	NA	10 911	10 776	11 820	14 208	13 339	-	-	-	-	-	-1,2%	9,7%	20,2%	-6,1%
91.2.2 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	4 718	4 643	5 074	4 555	4 533	4 604	5 248	7 626	7 665	62,5%	-1,6%	9,3%	-10,2%	-0,5%	1,6%	14,0%	45,3%	0,5%
91.2.3 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	NA	NA	5 195	4 959	5 326	5 126	4 579	-	-	-	-	-	-4,5%	7,4%	-3,8%	-10,7%
91.2.4 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	937	948	NAP	NAP	1 111	987	1 031	1 047	865	-7,7%	1,2%	-	-	-	-11,2%	4,5%	1,6%	-17,4%
91.2.5 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.2.6 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious land registry cases	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.2.7 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.2.8 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.2.9 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NA	NA	4 084	3 972	4 295	4 079	3 714	-	-	-	-	-	-2,7%	8,1%	-5,0%	-8,9%
91.2.10 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Administrative law cases	1 615	1 372	1 372	1 264	1 183	1 213	1 246	1 456	1 095	-32,2%	-15,0%	0,0%	-7,9%	-6,4%	2,5%	2,7%	16,9%	-24,8%
91.2.11 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-			-	-

mbourg (2012-2020) data tables	nbourg	nl
--------------------------------	--------	----

		Luxembourg (2012-2020) data tables																
												Varia	ations for	quantitat	ive quest	ions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
91.3.1 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	NA	NA	NA	NA	11 091	10 637	11 297	13 151	12 703	-	-		-		-4,1%	6,2%	16,4%	-3,4%
91.3.2 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	8 155	8 432	4 910	4 800	4 534	4 434	4 905	6 714	7 093	-13,0%	3,4%	-41,8%	-2,2%	-5,5%	-2,2%	10,6%	36,9%	5,6%
91.3.3 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	NA	NA	5 401	5 059	5 321	5 342	4 653	-	-	-	-	-	-6,3%	5,2%	0,4%	-12,9%
91.3.4 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	937	948	1 044	1 104	1 111	987	1 031	1 047	865	-7,7%	1,2%	10,1%	5,7%	0,6%	-11,2%	4,5%	1,6%	-17,4%
91.3.5 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
91.3.6 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious land registry cases	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.3.7 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
91.3.8 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.3.9 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NA	NA	4 290	4 072	4 290	4 295	3 788	-	-		-	-	-5,1%	5,4%	0,1%	-11,8%
91.3.10 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Administrative law cases	1 127	1 283	1 283	1 146	1 156	1 144	1 071	1 095	957	-15,1%	13,8%	0,0%	-10,7%	0,9%	-1,0%	-6,4%	2,2%	-12,6%
91.3.11 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
91.4.1 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	5 507	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
91.4.2 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	1 635	1 218	1 382	1 137	1 136	1 306	1 649	2 561	3 133	91,6%	-25,5%	13,5%	-17,7%	-0,1%	15,0%	26,3%	55,3%	22,3%
91.4.3 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	NA	NA	1 440	1 341	1 319	1 103	1 029	-	-		-		-6,9%	-1,6%	-16,4%	-6,7%
91.4.4 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-		-		-	-	-	-
91.4.5 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.4.6 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious land registry cases	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.4.7 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
91.4.8 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.4.9 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NA	NA	1 440	1 341	1 319	1 103	1 029	-	-	-	-	-	-6,9%	-1,6%	-16,4%	-6,7%
91.4.10 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Administrative law cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1 345	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.4.11 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	3 700	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-		-		-	-	-	-

								LU	xembo	burg	(2012	-2020	) data	tables	5			
												Varia	ations for	quantitat	ive quest	ions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
	<b>Table 3.2.</b> 1	1.1 to 3.2.1.2	(all years) Fir	st instance	courts: Clea	arance rate a	and disposit	ion time for	other than	criminal	cases (	Q91)						
	Table	3.3.4 to 3.3.7	Variation of	Clearence F	Rate and Dis	position Tim	ne of first in	stance othe	r than crim	inal case	s (Q91)	I						
	Table 3.13	3.1 (EC) to 3.1	13.6 (EC) Firs	t instance c	ourts: Disp	osition time	and clearan	ce rate for c	other than o	criminal c	ases (C	291)						
CR Total of other than criminal law cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	101,6%	98,7%	95,6%	92,6%	95,2%	-	-	-	-	-	- 2,89	- 3,18	- 3,15	2,89
CR Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	172,8%	181,6%	96,8%	105,4%	100,0%	96,3%	93,5%	88,0%	92,5%	- 46,46	5,07	- 46,72	8,90	- 5,08	- 3,71	- 2,95	- 5,80	5,11
CR Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	NA	NA	104,0%	102,0%	99,9%	104,2%	101,6%	-	-	-	-	-	- 1,87	- 2,07	4,31 -	- 2,49
CR General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	100,0%	100,0%	NAP	NAP	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Non litigious land registry cases	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NA	NA	105,0%	102,5%	99,9%	105,3%	102,0%	-	-	-	-	-	- 2,41	- 2,57	5,42 -	- 3,14
CR Administrative law cases	69,8%	93,5%	93,5%	90,7%	97,7%	94,3%	86,0%	75,2%	87,4%	25,24	34,01	-	- 3,05	7,78	- 3,49	- 8,86	- 12,51	16,21
CR Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Total of other than criminal law cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	158	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-
DT Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	73	53	103	86	91	108	123	139	161	120,3%	-28,0%	94,9%	-15,8%	5,8%	17,6%	14,1%	13,5%	15,8%
DT Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	NA	NA	97	97	90	75	81	-	-	-		-	-0,6%	-6,5%	-16,7%	7,1%
DT General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-
DT Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-
DT Non litigious land registry cases	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-
DT Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-			-	-	-	-	-
DT Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NA	NA	123	120	112	94	99	-	-	-	-	-	-1,9%	-6,6%	-16,5%	5,8%
DT Administrative law cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	513	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

										<u>50019</u> (2012-2020) data tables									
												Varia	ations for	quantitat	ive questi	ons			
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020	
		Table 3	.4.1 (all years	) First insta	nce courts,	number of c	ases for sp	ecific case	categories	(Q101)									
101.1.1 Pending cases on 1 Jan. Litigious divorce case	NA	NA	NA	NA	782	631	663	737	764	-	-	-	-	-	-19,3%	5,1%	11,2%	3,7%	
101.1.2 Pending cases on 1 Jan. Employment dismissal case	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	
101.1.3 Pending cases on 1 Jan. Insolvency	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	
101.2.1 Incoming cases_Litigious divorce case	NA	NA	NA	NA	498	617	668	1 070	923	-	-	-	-	-	23,9%	8,3%	60,2%	-13,7%	
101.2.2 Incoming cases_Employment dismissal case	2 343	NA	1 726	1 670	1 455	1 308	1 434	1 367	1 287	-45,1%	-	-	-3,2%	-12,9%	-10,1%	9,6%	-4,7%	-5,9%	
101.2.3 Incoming cases_Insolvency	NA	NA	NAP	912	915	988	1 086	1 227	1 158	-	-	-	-	0,3%	8,0%	9,9%	13,0%	-5,6%	
101.3.1 Resolved cases_Litigious divorce case	NA	434	589	794	649	586	594	1 043	972	-	-	35,7%	34,8%	-18,3%	-9,7%	1,4%	75,6%	-6,8%	
101.3.2 Resolved cases_Employment dismissal case	1 824	1 606	1 901	1 826	1 735	1 743	1 698	1 625	1 434	-21,4%	-12,0%	18,4%	-3,9%	-5,0%	0,5%	-2,6%	-4,3%	-11,8%	
101.3.3 Resolved cases_Insolvency	1 029	1 058	869	NAP	915	988	1 086	1 227	1 158	12,5%	2,8%	-17,9%	-	-	8,0%	9,9%	13,0%	-5,6%	
101.4.1 Pending cases on 31 Dec. Litigious divorce case	NA	NA	NA	NA	631	663	737	764	715	-	-	-	-	-	5,1%	11,2%	3,7%	-6,4%	
101.4.2 Pending cases on 31 Dec. Employment dismissal case	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	
101.4.3 Pending cases on 31 Dec. Insolvency	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

	Table	3.5.1 (all year	s) First inst	ance courts:	Clearance ra	ate and disp	osition time	e for specifi	ic case cat	egories	(Q101)							
		Table 3.6.1 ar	nd 3.6.2 Varia	ations of CR	and DT for s	specific case	e categories	of first ins	tance cas	es (Q101	)							
CR Litigious divorce cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	130,3%	95,0%	88,9%	97,5%	105,3%	-	-	-	-		27,12	- 6,37	9,62	8,03
CR Employment dismissal cases	77,8%	NA	110,1%	109,3%	119,2%	133,3%	118,4%	118,9%	111,4%	43,13	-	-	- 0,72	9,06	11,75	- 11,14	0,39 -	- 6,27
CR Insolvency cases	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Litigious divorce cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	355	413	453	267	268	-	-	-	-	-	16,4%	9,7%	-41,0%	0,4%
DT Employment dismissal cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Insolvency cases	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NA	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-

								Lu	xemb	ourg	(2012	2-2020	) data	table	S			
												Varia	ations for	quantitat	ive questi	ons		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
		т	able 3.7.1 to 3	3.7.5 (2019 a	ind 2020) Se	cond instan	ce other tha	n criminal o	cases (Q97)	)								
		Table 3	.9.1 to 3.9.3 (2	2019 and 20	20) Variatior	n of second i	nstance oth	ner than crir	ninal cases	s (Q97)								
97.1.1 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-			-		-		-	-
97.1.2 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			1 993	2 111	2 111	2 033	1 814	1 683	1 648	-			5,9%	0,0%	-3,7%	-10,8%	-7,2%	-2,1%
97.1.3 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
97.1.4 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-			-		-	-	-	-
97.1.5 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-			-		-		-	-
97.1.6 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious land registry cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-			-		-	-	-	-
97.1.7 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non-litigious business registry cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-			-		-		-	-
97.1.8 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other registry cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
97.1.9 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other non-litigious cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-			-	-	-		-	-
97.1.10 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Administrative law cases			170	168	157	153	161	154	163	-			-1,2%	-6,5%	-2,5%	5,2%	-4,3%	5,8%
97.1.11 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
97.2.1 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-			-		-	-	-	-
97.2.2 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			1 259	1 283	1 265	1 202	1 125	1 197	1 112	-			1,9%	-1,4%	-5,0%	-6,4%	6,4%	-7,1%
97.2.3 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-			-		-	-	-	-
97.2.4 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-			-		-	-	-	-
97.2.5 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-			-		-	-	-	-
97.2.6 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious land registry cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-		-	-		-	-	-	-
97.2.7 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Non-Iitigious business registry cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-			-		-	-	-	-
97.2.8 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Other registry cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.2.9 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Other non-litigious cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.2.10 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Administrative law cases			348	273	241	286	246	218	285	-		-	-21,6%	-11,7%	18,7%	-14,0%	-11,4%	30,7%
97.2.11 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Other cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-			-	-	-	-	-	-

	Luxembourg (2012-2020) data tables																	
											-	Vari	ations for	quantita	ive ques	tions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
97.3.1 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			NA	-				-	-	-	-	-						
97.3.2 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			1 092	1 283	1 343	1 421	1 290	1 232	1 169	-			17,5%	4,7%	5,8%	-9,2%	-4,5%	-5,1%
97.3.3 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NA	-				-	-	-	-	-						
97.3.4 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases				NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-					-	-		-
97.3.5 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-				-	-	. <u>-</u>	-	-
97.3.6 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious land registry cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-				-	-	-	-	-
97.3.7 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Non-litigious business registry cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-				-	-	-	-	-
97.3.8 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Other registry cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-				-	-	-	-	-
97.3.9 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Other non-litigious cases			NA	-				-	-	-	-	-						
97.3.10 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Administrative law cases			350	284	245	278	253	209	208	-			-18,9%	-13,7%	13,5%	-9,0%	-17,4%	-0,5%
97.3.11 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Other cases			NA	-				· -	-	-	-	-						
97.4.1 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			NA	-				-	-	-	-	-						
97.4.2 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			2 160	2 111	2 033	1 814	1 649	1 648	1 591	-			-2,3%	-3,7%	-10,8%	-9,1%	-0,1%	-3,5%
97.4.3 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NA	-				-	-	-	-	-						
97.4.4 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-				-	-	-	-	-
97.4.5 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-				-	-	-	-	-
97.4.6 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious land registry cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-				-	-	-	-	-
97.4.7 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non-litigious business registry cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-				-	-	-	-	-
97.4.8 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other registry cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-				· -	-	. <u>-</u>	-	-
97.4.9 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other non-litigious cases			NA	-				· -	-	-	-	-						
97.4.10 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Administrative law cases			168	157	153	161	154	163	240	-			-6,5%	-2,5%	5,2%	-4,3%	5,8%	47,2%
97.4.11 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other cases			NA	-			-	-	-	-	-	-						
97.5.1 2nd inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-				-	-	-	-	-
97.5.2 2nd inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
97.5.10 2nd inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Administrative law cases			-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-				-	-	-	-	-
1111 (1355)																		

								Lu	xemb	ourg	(2012	2-2020	) data	tables	6			
												Vari	ations for	quantitati	ve quest	ions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
	Table 3.8.1 a	and 3.8.2 (20	19 and 2020):	Second inst	ance cleara	ance rate and	I disposition	n time for o	ther than c	riminal la	aw cases	s (Q97)			·	·		
	Table 3.9.4 ar	nd 3.9.5 (201	9 and 2020): \	/ariation of s	econd clea	rance rate a	nd dispositio	on time for	other than	criminal	law case	es (Q97)						
CR Total of other than criminal law cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			86,7%	100,0%	106,2%	118,2%	114,7%	102,9%	105,1%	-	-	-	15,29	6,17	11,35	- 3,01	- 10,24	2,14
CR Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Non litigious land registry cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Non-litigious business registry cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Other registry cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Other non-litigious cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Administrative law cases			100,6%	104,0%	101,7%	97,2%	102,8%	95,9%	73,0%	-	-	-	3,43	- 2,28	- 4,38	5,81	- 6,78	- 23,87
CR Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Total of other than criminal law cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-				-	-	-	-	-
DT Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			722	601	553	466	467	488	497	-			-16,8%	-8,0%	-15,7%	0,1%	4,6%	1,7%
DT Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-				-	-	-	-	-
DT General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-				-	-	-	-	-
DT Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-				-	-	-	-	-
DT Non litigious land registry cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-				-	-	-	-	-
DT Non-litigious business registry cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-			-	-	-	-		-
DT Other registry cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Other non-litigious cases			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Administrative law cases			175	202	228	211	222	285	421	-			15,2%	13,0%	-7,3%	5,1%	28,1%	47,9%
DT Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-			-	-	-	-	-	-

# Luxer

								LU	xemp	ourg	(2014	2-2020	) data	taple	5			
												Vari	ations for	quantitat	ive quest	ions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
		Table 3.10	0.1 to 3.10.5 (	2019 and 202	20) Supreme	e courts, nun	ber of othe	r than crimi	inal law cas	ses (Q99	)							
	Table	e 3.12.1 to 3.4	12.3 (2019 and	d 2020) Varia	ation of the s	supreme cou	rts, number	of other th	an crimina	l law cas	es (Q99	)						
99.1.1 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			NA	70	81	81	109	104	109	-			-	15,7%	0,0%	34,6%	-4,6%	4,8%
99.1.2 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			NA	70	81	81	109	104	109	-			-	15,7%	0,0%	34,6%	-4,6%	4,8%
99.1.3 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NAP	-			-	-	-	-	-	-						
99.1.4 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NAP	-			-	-	-	-	-	-						
99.1.5 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	-			-	-	-	-	-	-						
99.1.6 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	-			-	-	-	-	-	-						
99.1.7 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	-			-	-	-	-		-						
99.1.8 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other registry cases			NAP	-			-	-	-	-	-	-						
99.1.9 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other non-litigious cases			NAP	-			-	-	-	-		-						
99.1.10 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Administrative law cases			NAP	-			-	-	-	-		-						
99.1.11 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			NAP	-			-	-	-	-	-	-						
99.2.1 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			NA	114	107	128	107	116	108	-			-	-6,1%	19,6%	-16,4%	8,4%	-6,9%
99.2.2 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			NA	114	107	128	107	116	108	-			-	-6,1%	19,6%	-16,4%	8,4%	-6,9%
99.2.3 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NAP	-			-	-	-	-	-	-						
99.2.4 High inst courts_Incoming cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NAP	-			-	-	-	-	-	-						
99.2.5 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	-			-	-	-	-	-	-						
99.2.6 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	-			-	-	-	-	-	-						
99.2.7 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	-			-	-	-	-	-	-						
99.2.8 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Other registry cases			NAP	-			-	-	-	-	-	-						
99.2.9 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Other non-litigious cases			NAP	-			-	-	-	-	-	-						
99.2.10 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Administrative law cases			NAP	-			-	-	-	-	-	-						
99.2.11 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			NAP	-			-	-	-	-	-	-						
registry cases 99.2.8 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Other registry cases 99.2.9 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Other non-litigious cases 99.2.10 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Administrative law cases 99.2.11 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Other cases (e.g.			NAP NAP NAP	-		 	-	-	-	-	-							

mbourg	(2012-2020)	data	tables
m Soarg		Mata	

	Luxembourg (2012-2020) data tables																	
												Varia	ations for	quantitat	ive quest	ions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
99.3.1 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			100	103	107	100	102	111	108	-			3,0%	3,9%	-6,5%	2,0%	8,8%	-2,7%
99.3.2 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			100	103	107	100	102	111	108	-			3,0%	3,9%	-6,5%	2,0%	8,8%	-2,7%
99.3.3 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NAP	-			-	-	-	-	-	-						
99.3.4 High inst courts_Resolved cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NAP	-			-	-	-	-	-	-						
99.3.5 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	-			-	-	-	-	-	-						
99.3.6 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	-			-	-	-		-	-						
99.3.7 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	-			-	-	-		-	-						
99.3.8 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Other registry cases			NAP	-			-	-	-		-	-						
99.3.9 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Other non-litigious cases			NAP	-			-	-	-		-	-						
99.3.10 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Administrative law cases			NAP	-			-	-	-	-	-	-						
99.3.11 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			NAP	-			-	-	-		-	-						
99.4.1 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			NA	81	81	109	114	109	109	-			-	0,0%	34,6%	4,6%	-4,4%	0,0%
99.4.2 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			NA	81	81	109	114	109	109	-			-	0,0%	34,6%	4,6%	-4,4%	0,0%
99.4.3 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NAP	-			-	-	-		-	-						
99.4.4 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NAP	-			-	-	-		-	-						
99.4.5 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	-			-	-	-	-	-	-						
99.4.6 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	-			-	-	-		-	-						
99.4.7 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	-			-	-	-		-	-						
99.4.8 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other registry cases			NAP	-			-	-	-		-	-						
99.4.9 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other non-litigious cases			NAP	-			-	-	-	-	-	-						
99.4.10 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Administrative law cases			NAP	-			-	-	-		-	-						
99.4.11 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			NAP	-			-	-	-		-	-						
99.5.1 High inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
99.5.2 High inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-			-	-	-		-	-
99.5.10 High inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Administrative law cases			-	-	NAP	NAP	NA	NAP	NAP	-			-	-	-		-	-

		Luxembourg (2012-2020) data table													S			
Question		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
	2012									2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
	Tabl	e 3.11.1 and	3.11.2 Supre	me courts, cl	earance rat	e and dispo	sition time f	or other tha	n criminal	law case	s (Q97)						·	
	Table 3.12.4	and 3.12.5 V	ariation of th	e supreme c	ourts, clear	ance rate an	d dispositio	on time for o	other than c	riminal I	aw case	s (Q97)						
CR Total of other than criminal law cases			NA	90,4%	100,0%	78,1%	95,3%	95,7%	100,0%	-	-	-	-	10,68	- 21,88	22,02	0,38	4,50
CR Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			NA	90,4%	100,0%	78,1%	95,3%	95,7%	100,0%	-	-	-	-	10,68	- 21,88	22,02	0,38	4,50
CR Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Administrative law cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Total of other than criminal law cases			NA	287	276	398	408	358	368	-	-			-3,7%	44,0%	2,5%	-12,1%	2,8%
DT Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			NA	287	276	398	408	358	368	-	-			-3,7%	44,0%	2,5%	-12,1%	2,8%
DT Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-			-	-	-	-	-
DT General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-			-	-	-	-	-
DT Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-			-	-	-	-	-
DT Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-			-	-	-	-	-
DT Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-			-	-	-	-	-
DT Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-			-	-	-	-	-
DT Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-			-	-	-	-	-
DT Administrative law cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-			-	-	-	-	-
DT Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-			-	-	-	-	-
									ixemb	ourg	(2012	2-2020	) data	table	S			
--------------------------------------------------------	------	------	------	---------------	---------------	---------------	------------	-------------	--------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------
												Vari	ations for	quantitat	ive quest	tions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
			т	able 3.14.1 t	o 3.14.5 Firs	t instance cr	iminal law	cases (Q94)										
094.1.1 Total - pending 1 Jan									NA	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
094.1.2 Severe cases - pending 1 Jan									NA	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
094.1.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 1 Jan									NA	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
094.1.4 Other - pending 1 Jan									NA	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
094.2.1 Total -incoming									NA	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
094.2.2 Severe cases - incoming									NA	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
094.2.3 Misdemeanour cases - incoming									NA	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
094.2.4 Other - incoming									1 995	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
094.3.1 Total - resolved									13 858	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
094.3.2 Severe cases -resolved									4 272	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
094.3.3 Misdemeanour cases - resolved									7 998	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
094.3.4 Other - resolved									1 588	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
094.4.1 Total - pending 31 Dec									NA	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
094.4.2 Severe cases - pending 31 Dec									NA	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
094.4.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 31 Dec									NA	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
094.4.4 Other - pending 31 Dec									NA	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
094.5.1 Total - pending more then 2 years									NA	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
094.5.2 Severe cases - pending more then 2 years									NA	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
094.5.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending more then 2 years									NA	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
094.5.4 Other - pending more then 2 years									NA	-			-	-	-	-	-	-

								<u> </u>	xembo	ourg	(2012	2-2020	) data	table	S			
												Varia	ations for	quantitat	ive quest	ions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
			Table 3.4	15.1 to 3.10.2	2 CR and DT	for first inst	ance crimin	al law case	s (Q94)									
CR of Total									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR o2 Severe cases									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR of Misdemeanour cases									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR of Other									79,6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Total									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Severe cases									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Misdemeanour cases									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Other									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
			Tal	ble 3.16.1 to	3.16.5 Secor	nd instance	criminal law	v cases (Q9	3)									
098.1.1 Total - pending 1 Jan									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.1.2 Severe cases - pending 1 Jan									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
098.1.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 1 Jan									NA	-			-	-	-	-		-
098.1.4 Other - pending 1 Jan									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.2.1 Total -incoming									418	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.2.2 Severe cases - incoming									374	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.2.3 Misdemeanour cases - incoming									44	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.2.4 Other - incoming									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.3.1 Total - resolved									459	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.3.2 Severe cases -resolved									398	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.3.3 Misdemeanour cases - resolved									61	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.3.4 Other - resolved									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

								LU	VEILIN	Jurg		2-2020	ij uala	lanc	3			
													ations for			tions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
098.4.1 Total - pending 31 Dec									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.4.2 Severe cases - pending 31 Dec									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.4.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 31 Dec									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.4.4 Other - pending 31 Dec									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.5.1 Total - pending more then 2 years									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.5.2 Severe cases - pending more then 2 years									NA	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
098.5.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending more then 2 years									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.5.4 Other - pending more then 2 years									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
			Table 3.17	'.1 to 3.17.2	CR and DT fo	or second in	stance crim	inal law cas	es (Q98)	-								
CR of Total									109,8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR o2 Severe cases									106,4%	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
CR of Misdemeanour cases									138,6%	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
CR of Other									NAP	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Total									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Severe cases									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Misdemeanour cases									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Other									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

								L	xemb	burg	(2012	2-2020	) data	table	S			
												Varia	ations for	quantitat	ive ques	tions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
			Та	ble 3.18.1 to	3.18.5 Supr	eme court cr	iminal law	cases (Q100	))									
100.1.1 Total - pending 1 Jan									39	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.1.2 Severe cases - pending 1 Jan									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.1.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 1 Jan									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.1.4 Other - pending 1 Jan									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.2.1 Total -incoming									42	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.2.2 Severe cases - incoming									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.2.3 Misdemeanour cases - incoming									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.2.4 Other - incoming									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.3.1 Total - resolved									50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.3.2 Severe cases -resolved									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.3.3 Misdemeanour cases - resolved									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.3.4 Other - resolved									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.4.1 Total - pending 31 Dec									31	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.4.2 Severe cases - pending 31 Dec									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.4.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 31 Dec									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.4.4 Other - pending 31 Dec									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.5.1 Total - pending more then 2 years									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.5.2 Severe cases - pending more then 2 years									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.5.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending more then 2 years									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.5.4 Other - pending more then 2 years									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

									ixemb	ourg	(2012	2-2020	) data	table	S			
												Varia	ations for	quantita	tive quest	ions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
	·	·	Table 3.19	0.1 to 3.19.2	CR and DT fo	or supreme	court crimi	nal law case	es (Q100)									
CR of Total									119,0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR o2 Severe cases									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR of Misdemeanour cases									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR of Other									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Total									226	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Severe cases									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Misdemeanour cases									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Other									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

								<u> </u>	ixemb	ourg	(2012	2-2020	) data	table	S			
												Varia	ations for	quantita	tive quest	ions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
		Indicator 5:	: Access to ju	stice														
		L	egal aid															
Т	able 5.1 to Ta	able 5.6 (Q1	2-2, Q16, Q18	3, Q19, Q20,	Q20-1)													
12-2.1.1 Coverage of court fees									NAP									
12-2.1.2 Exemption from court fees									NAP									
16.1.1 Legal aid applies to representation in court (criminal cases)	Yes		- Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
16.1.2 Legal aid applies to legal advice (criminal cases)	Yes		- Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
16.2.1 Legal aid applies to representation in court (other than criminal cases)	Yes	-	- Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
16.2.2 Legal aid applies to legal advice (other than criminal cases)	Yes	-	- Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
18.1.1 Legal aid for the enforcement of judicial decisions					True	True	True	True	True									
19.1.1 Legal aid granted for other costs - criminal cases									False									
19.1.2 Legal aid granted for other costs - other than criminal cases									False									
020.1.1 Total									4 660									
020.1.2 Total - criminal cases									1 182									
020.1.3 Total - other than criminal cases									3 478									
020.2.1 Total brought to court									NA									
020.2.2 Broight to court - criminal cases									NA									
020.2.3 Brought to court - other then criminal									NA									
020.3.1 Total not brought to court									NA									
020.3.2 Not broight to court - criminal cases									NA									
020.3.3 Not brought to court - other then criminal									NA									
020-1.1.1 Maximum duration prescribed in law/regulation									NAF									
020-1.1.2 Average duration									NA									

									Xemb	<u> </u>			/ 4414	Table	<u> </u>			
												Varia	ations for	quantita	tive quest	ions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
	:	System for c	ompensating	g users														
	-	Table 5.7.1 a	nd Table 5.7.	2 (Q37)														
037.1.1 Requests for compensation - Total									NA									
037.1.2 Requests for compensation - Excessive length of proceedings									NA									
037.1.3 Requests for compensation - Non-execution of court decisions									NA									
037.1.4 Requests for compensation - Wrongful arrest									8									
037.1.5 Requests for compensation - Wrongful conviction									NA									
037.1.6 Requests for compensation - Other									NA									
037.2.1 Condemnations - Total									NA									
037.2.2 Condemnations - Excessive length of proceedings									NA									
037.2.3 Condemnations - Non-execution of court decisions									NA									
037.2.4 Condemnations - Wrongful arrest									7									
037.2.5 Condemnations - Wrongful conviction									NA									
037.2.6 Condemnations - Other									NA									
037.3.1 Amount - Total									NA									
037.3.2 Amount - Excessive length of proceedings									NA									
037.3.3 Amount - Non-execution of court decisions									NA									
037.3.4 Amount - Wrongful arrest									125 599€									
037.3.5 Amount - Wrongful conviction									NA									
037.3.6 Amount - Other									NA									

								Lu	xemb	ourg	<u>(2012</u>	2-2020	) data	table	S			
												Varia	ations for	quantitat	ive quest	ions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
	Indicator 6:	The ICT tool	s of courts a	nd for court	users													
Table 6.1 to Table 6.11 (Q62-7, Q62-7-1, Q62-8, Q62	2-8-1, Q63-1		63-2 Q63-6, Q Q64-9)	263-7, Q63-7	′-1, Q64-2,  Q	64-4, Q64-6	, Q64-3, Q64	-3-1, Q64-7,	Q64-7-1,									
62-7 Writing assistance tools coordinated at national level							True	True	True									
62-7-1.1 Deployment rate in civil matter							100%	100%	100%									
62-7-1.2 Deployment rate in criminal matter							100%	100%	100%									
62-7-1.3 Deployment rate in administrative matter							100%	100%	100%									
62-8 Voice recording tools							False	False	False									
62-8-1.1.1 Availability of simple dictation tools in civil matter																		
62-8-1.1.2 Availability of simple dictation tools in criminal matter																		
62-8-1.1.3 Availability of simple dictation tools in administrative matter																		
62-8-1.2.1 Availability of multiple speakers recording tools in civil matter																		
62-8-1.2.2 Availability of multiple speakers recording tools in criminal matter																		
62-8-1.2.3 Availability of multiple speakers recording tools in administrative matter																		
62-8-1.3.1 Availability of voice recognition in civil matter							FALSE	FALSE	FALSE									
62-8-1.3.2 Availability of voice recognition in criminal matter							FALSE	FALSE	FALSE									
62-8-1.3.3 Availability of voice recognition in administrative matter							FALSE	FALSE	FALSE									
062-9 Availability of intranet site within the judicial system for distribution of news/novelties							- 100%	100%	100%									
63.1 Is there a case management system?							True	True	True									
63.1-1.1 CMS for civil matter (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
63.1-1.1 CMS for criminal matter (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
63.1-1.1 CMS for administrative matter (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
63.1-1.2 CMS for civil matter (status of case online)							Not accessible at all	Not accessible at all										
63.1-1.2 CMS for criminal matter (status of case online)							Not accessible at all	Not accessible at all										
63.1-1.2 CMS for administrative matter (status of case onlinee)							Not accessible at all	Not accessible at all										

											<u> </u>							
												Varia	ations for	quantitat	ive quest	ions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
63.1-1.3 CMS for civil matter (Centralised or interoperable database)							· True	True	True									
63.1-1.3 CMS for criminal matter (Centralised or interoperable database)						-	- True	True	True									
63.1-1.3 CMS for administrative matter (Centralised or interoperable database)						-	- True	True	True									
63.1-1.4 CMS for civil matter (Early warning signals)							· True	True	True									
63.1-1.4 CMS for criminal matter (Early warning signals)							- True	True	True									
63.1-1.4 CMS for administrative matter (Early warning signals)							· True	True	True									
63-1-1.5 Statistics in CMS civil matter								Not integrated but connected										
63-1-1.5 Statistics in CMS criminal matter								Not integrated but connected										
63-1-1.5 Statistics in CMS administrative matter								Not connected										
63-2.1 Deployment rate for computerised registries managed by courts - land registry							NA	NA	NA									
63-2.1 Deployment rate for computerised registries managed by courts - business registry							NA	NA	NA									
63-2.2 Data consolidated at national level for land registry						-	- False	NA	NA									
63-2.2 Data consolidated at national level for business registry						-	False	NA	NA									
63-2.3 Service available online for land registry						-	False	NA	NA									
63-2.3 Service available online for business registry						-	False	NA	NA									
63-2.4 Statistical module integrated or connected for land registry						-	False	NA	NA									
63-2.4 Statistical module integrated or connected for business registry						-	False	NA	NA									
063-6.1.1 Budgetary and financial management of courts (deployment rate)						-	100%	100%	100%									
063-6.1.2 Justice expenses management (deployment rate)						-	100%	100%	100%									
063-6.1.3 Other financial management tools (deployment rate)						-	. NA	NA	NA									
063-6.2.1 Budgetary and financial management of courts (Data consolidated at national level)						-	False	False	False									
063-6.2.2 Justice expenses management (Data consolidated at national level)						-	- False	False	False									
063-6.2.3 Other financial management tools (Data consolidated at national level)						-	False	NA	NA									
063-6.3.1 Budgetary and financial management of courts (System communicating with other ministries)						-	- False	False	False									
063-6.3.2 Justice expenses management (System communicating with other ministries)						-	False	False	False									
063-6.3.3 Other financial management tools (System communicating with other ministries)						-	False	NA	NA									

										<u> </u>	<u> </u>		/		-			
												Vari	ations for	quantita	tive quest	ions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
63-7.1 Measurement tools to assess the workload							False	False	False									
63-7-1.1.1 Deployment rate - workload of judges																		
63-7-1.1.2 Deployment rate - workload of prosecutors																		
63-7-1.1.3 Deployment rate - workload of non-judge and non- prosecutor staff																		
63-7-1.2.1 Monitoring on national level - judges							False	-	-									
63-7-1.2.2 Monitoring on national level - prosecutors							False	-	-									
63-7-1.2.2 Monitoring on national level - non-judge and non- prosecutor staff							False	-	-									
63-7-1.3.1 Monitoring on court level - judges							False	-	-									
63-7-1.3.2 Monitoring on court level - prosecutors							False	-	-									
63-7-1.3.3 Monitoring on court level - non-judge and non-prosecutor staff							False	-	-									
064-2 - Possibility to submit a case to courts by electronic means							False	False	False									
064-2 - Civil and/or commercial																		
064-2 - Criminal																		
064-2 - Administrative																		
064-2 - Submission in paper remains mandatory - civil							False	-	-									
064-2 - Submission in paper remains mandatory - criminal							False	-	-									
064-2 - Submission in paper remains mandatory - administrative							False	-	-									
064-2 - Specific legislative framework - civil							False	-	-									
064-2 - Specific legislative framework - criminal							False	-	-									
064-2 - Specific legislative framework - administrative							False	-	-									
064-2 - Integrated/connected with the CMS - civil							False	-	-									
064-2 - Integrated/connected with the CMS - criminal							False	-	-									
064-2 - Integrated/connected with the CMS - administrative							False	-	-									

													/ 0.0.00					
															tive quest	ions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
064-3 - Is it possible to request for granting legal aid by electronic means?							False	False	False									
064-3-1.1 - Equipment rate																		
064-3-1.2 - Request in paper mandatory							False	-	-									
064-3-1.3 - Specific legislative framework							False	-	-									
064-3-1.4 - Granting LA is also electronic							False	-	-									
064-3-1.5 - Information available in CMS							False	-	-									
064-4 - Possibility to transmit summons to a judicial meeting or a hearing by electronic means							False	False	False									
064-4-1.1.1 - Summons produced by CMS- civil							False	-	-									
064-4-1.1.2 - Summons produced by CMS- criminal							False	-	-									
064-4-1.1.3 - Summons produced by CMS- administrative							False	-	-									
064-4-1.2.1 - Simultaneous summon in paper form remains mandatory- civil							False	-	-									
064-4-1.2.2 - Simultaneous summon in paper form remains mandatory- criminal							False	-	-									
064-4-1.2.3 - Simultaneous summon in paper form remains mandatory- administrative							False	-	-									
064-4-1.3.1 - Consent of the user - civil							False	-	-									
064-4-1.3.2 - Consent of the user - criminal							False	-	-									
064-4-1.3.3 - Consent of the user - administrative							False	-	-									
064-6.1.1 - Civil and/or commercial (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
064-6.1.2 - Criminal (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
064-6.1.3 - Administrative (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									

												Varia	ations for	quantita	tive quest	ions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
064-6.2.1 - Civil and/or commercial (Trial phases concerned)							Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling Decision transmission	Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling Decision transmission	Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling Decision transmission									
064-6.2.2 - Criminal (Trial phases concerned)							Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling Decision transmission	Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling Decision transmission	Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling Decision transmission									
064-6.2.3 - Administrative (Trial phases concerned)							Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling	Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling	Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling									
064-6.3.1 - Civil and/or commercial (Modalities)							E-mail	E-mail	E-mail									
064-6.3.2 - Criminal (Modalities)							E-mail	E-mail	E-mail									
064-6.3.3 - Administrative (Modalities)							E-mail	E-mail	E-mail									
064-6.4.1 - Civil and/or commercial (specific legal framework)							False	False	False									
064-6.4.2 - Criminal (specific legal framework)							True	True	True									
064-6.4.3 - Administrative (specific legal framework)							False	False	False									
064-6.5.1 - Civil and/or commercial (availability for)									Lawyers & Parties not represented by lawyer									
064-6.5.2 - Criminal (availability for)									Lawyers & Parties not represented by lawyer									
064-6.5.3 - Administrative (availability for)									Lawyers & Parties not represented by lawyer									

#### Luxe

											<u>/</u> \				-			
												Varia	ations for	quantita	tive quest	ions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
064-7.1.1 - Electronic communication of enforcement agents and courts (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
064-7.1.2 - Electronic communication of notaries and courts (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
064-7.1.3 - Electronic communication of experts and courts (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
064-7.1.4 - Electronic communication of judicial police and courts (deployment rate)							- 100%	100%	100%									
064-7.2.1 - Electronic communication of enforcement agents and courts (Modalities)							E-mail	E-mail	E-mail									
064-7.2.2 - Electronic communication of notaries and courts (Modalities)							E-mail Specific application	E-mail Specific application	E-mail Specific application									
064-7.2.3 - Electronic communication of experts and courts (Modalities)							E-mail	E-mail	E-mail									
064-7.2.4 - Electronic communication of judicial police and courts (Modalities)							E-mail Specific application	E-mail Specific application	E-mail Specific application									
064-7.3.1 - Electronic communication of enforcement agents and courts (specific legal framework)							False	False	False									
064-7.32.2 - Electronic communication of notaries and courts (specific legal framework)							False	False	False									
064-7.3.3 - Electronic communication of experts and courts (specific legal framework)							False	False	False									
064-7.3.4 - Electronic communication of judicial police and courts (specific legal framework)							False	False	False									
064-9 - Existance of online processing devices of specialised litigation							False	False	False									

mbourg	(2012-2020)	data	tables
J			

								Lu	xemb	ourg	(2012	-2020	) data	table	S			
												Varia	ations for	quantitat	ive quest	ions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
				Indicator 7:	Professiona	Is of justice	(Indicator 9	in 2019)										
		Table <sup>-</sup>	7.1.1 to 7.5.6	o for judges,	non judge s	taff, prosecu	tors, non pr	osecutor s	aff and sal	aries								
46.1.1 Total Number of professional judges	179	180	184	183	187	198	222	226	229	27,9%	0,6%	2,2%	-0,5%	2,2%	5,9%	12,1%	1,8%	1,3%
46.1.2 Number of 1st inst professional judges	139	139	143	142	143	146	168	170	171	23,0%	0,0%	2,9%	-0,7%	0,7%	2,1%	15,1%	1,2%	0,6%
46.1.3 Number of 2nd inst professional judges	NA	NA	37	37	40	47	49	51	53	-	-		0,0%	8,1%	17,5%	4,3%	4,1%	3,9%
46.1.4 Number of Supreme court professional judges	40	41	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	-87,5%	2,5%	-90,2%	0,0%	0,0%	25,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%
46.2.1 Number of professional judges_males	NA	63	65	64	66	70	71	71	70	-	-	3,2%	-1,5%	3,1%	6,1%	1,4%	0,0%	-1,4%
46.2.2 Number of 1st instance professional judges_males	NA	46	49	48	49	47	50	50	49	-	-	6,5%	-2,0%	2,1%	-4,1%	6,4%	0,0%	-2,0%
46.2.3 Number of 2nd instance professional judges_males	NA	NA	14	14	13	19	17	17	18	-	-		0,0%	-7,1%	46,2%	-10,5%	0,0%	5,9%
46.2.4 Number of Supreme court professional judges_males	NA	17	2	2	4	4	4	4	3	-	-	-88,2%	0,0%	100,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	-25,0%
46.3.1 Number of professional judges_females	NA	117	119	119	121	128	151	155	159	-	-	1,7%	0,0%	1,7%	5,8%	18,0%	2,6%	2,6%
46.3.2 Number of 1st inst professional judges_females	NA	93	94	94	94	99	118	120	122	-	-	1,1%	0,0%	0,0%	5,3%	19,2%	1,7%	1,7%
46.3.3 Number of 2nd inst professional judges_females	NA	NA	23	23	27	28	32	34	35	-	-		0,0%	17,4%	3,7%	14,3%	6,3%	2,9%
46.3.4 Number of Supreme court professional judges_females	NA	24	2	2	-	1	1	1	2	-	-	-91,7%	0,0%		-	0,0%	0,0%	100,0%
046-2.1.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	229	-	-		-		-	-	-	-
046-2.1.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	171	-	-		-		-	-	-	-
046-2.1.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	53	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.1.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	-		-		-	-	-	-
046-2.2.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Civil and commercial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	144	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.2.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Civil and commercial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	106	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.2.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Civil and commercial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	33	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.2.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Civil and commercial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.3.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Criminal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	63	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
046-2.3.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Criminal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	48	-	-		-		-	-		-
046-2.3.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Criminal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15	-	-		-		-	-	-	-
046-2.3.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Criminal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

								<u> </u>	xemb	ourg		2-2020	) data	table	5			
												Varia	ations for	quantitat	ive questi	ions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
046-2.4.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Administrative	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22	-			-		-	-	-	-
046-2.4.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Administrative	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.4.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Administrative	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.4.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Administrative	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.5.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.5.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.5.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.5.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
52.1.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts	NA	198	196	197	200	200	220	225	223	-		1,0%	0,5%	1,5%	0,0%	10,0%	2,3%	-0,9%
52.1.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)	NAP	NAP	-			-	-	-	-	-	-							
52.1.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges	NA	192	132	129	131	191	210	215	213	-		31,3%	-2,3%	1,6%	45,8%	9,9%	2,4%	-0,9%
52.1.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks	NA	5	63	67	66	6	3	3	3	-		- 1160,0%	6,3%	-1,5%	-90,9%	-50,0%	0,0%	0,0%
52.1.5 Number of Technical staff	NA	1	1	1	3	3	3	3	3	-		- 0,0%	0,0%	200,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%
52.1.6 Number of Other non judge staff	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	4	4	4	-			-	-		-	0,0%	0,0%
52.2.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts(men)	-	-	79	78	85	75	84	82	79	-			-1,3%	9,0%	-11,8%	12,0%	-2,4%	-3,7%
52.2.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)(men)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
52.2.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges(men)	-	-	58	56	59	69	77	75	73	-			-3,4%	5,4%	16,9%	11,6%	-2,6%	-2,7%
52.2.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks(men)	-	-	20	21	23	3	2	2	2	-			5,0%	9,5%	-87,0%	-33,3%	0,0%	0,0%
52.2.5 Number of Technical staff(men)	-	-	1	1	3	3	3	3	3	-			0,0%	200,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%
52.2.6 Number of Other non judge staff(men)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	2	2	1	-			-	-	-	-	0,0%	-50,0%
52.3.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts(women)	NA	120	117	119	115	125	136	143	144	-		2,5%	1,7%	-3,4%	8,7%	8,8%	5,1%	0,7%
52.3.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)(women)	NAP	NAP	-			-	-	-	-	-	-							
52.3.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges(women)	NA	117	74	73	72	122	133	140	140	-		36,8%	-1,4%	-1,4%	69,4%	9,0%	5,3%	0,0%
52.3.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks(women)	NA	3	43	46	43	3	1	1	1	-		- 1333,3%	7,0%	-6,5%	-93,0%	-66,7%	0,0%	0,0%
52.3.5 Number of Technical staff(women)	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
52.3.6 Number of Other non judge staff(women)	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	2	2	3	-			-	-	-	-	0,0%	50,0%

								LU		Jurg	(2012	-2020	j uala		3			
												Varia	ations for	r quantita	tive ques	tions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
052-1.1.1 Non-judge staff (Total)									223	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
052-1.1.2 Non-judge staff at first instance (total)									199	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
052-1.1.3 Non-judge staff at second instance (total)									22	-	-	-	-		-		-	-
052-1.1.4 Non-judge staff at Supreme court (total)									2	-	-	-	-		-		-	-
052-1.2.1 Non-judge staff (Males)									79	-	-	-				-	-	
052-1.2.2 Non-judge staff at first instance (males)									69	-	-	-				-	-	
052-1.2.3 Non-judge staff at second instance (males)									10	-	-	-	-			-	-	
052-1.2.4 Non-judge staff at Supreme court (males)									-	-	-	-			-	-	-	-
052-1.3.1 Non-judge staff (females)									144	-	-	-	-		-		-	-
052-1.3.2 Non-judge staff at first instance (females)									130	-	-	-	-		-		-	-
052-1.3.3 Non-judge staff at second instance (females)									12	-	-	-	-		-		-	-
052-1.3.4 Non-judge staff at supreme court (females)									2	-	-	-	-		-		-	-
055.1.1 Prosecutors (total)									62	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
055.1.2 Prosecutors (1st inst.)									47	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	
055.1.3 Prosecutors (2nd inst.)									NAP	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	
055.1.4 Prosecutors (Highest instance)									15	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	
055.2.1 Prosecutors - Males -total									31	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	
055.2.2 Prosecutors - Males, 1st inst.									24	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
055.2.3 Prosecutors - Males, 2nd inst.									NAP	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
055.2.4 Prosecutors - Males, Supreme courts									7	-	-	-	-		-		-	
055.3.1 Prosecutors - Females, Total									31	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
055.3.2 Prosecutors - Females, 1st inst.									23	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	
055.3.3 Prosecutors - Females, 2nd inst.									NAP	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
055.3.4 Prosecutors - Females, Supreme courts									8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

									Kennbu	Juig			Juutu		<u> </u>			
												Vari	ations for	quantita	tive ques	tions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
060.1.1 Number of non-prosecutor staff Total									150	-			-	-	-	-	-	
060.2.1 Number of non-prosecutor staff Males									79	-					-	-		
060.3.1 Number of non-prosecutor staff Females									71	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
004 Annual average salary in the country									63 015 €	-			-	-	-	-	-	
132.1.1 Gross annual salary, in € -Professional judge at the beginning of career						-			92 016 €	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
132.1.2 Gross annual salary, in € - Judge of the Supreme Court						-			110 177 €	-			-	-	-	-	-	
132.1.3 Gross annual salary, in € -Public prosecutor at the beginning of career						-			92 016 €	-					-	-		
132.1.4 Gross annual salary, in € - Public prosecutor of the Supreme Court or the Highest Appellate Instance						-			110 177 €	-			-	-	-	-	-	
132.2.1 Net annual salary, in € - Professional judge at the beginning of career						-			NA	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
132.2.2 Net annual salary, in € - Judge of the Supreme Court						-			NA	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
132.2.3 Net annual salary, in € - Public prosecutor at the beginning of career						-			NA	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
132.2.4 Net annual salary, in € - Public prosecutor of the Supreme Court or the Highest Appellate Instance									NA	-			-		-	-		
133.1.1.1 - Additional benefits for judges - Reduced taxation						-			False									
133.1.2.1 - Additional benefits for judges - Special pension						-			False									
133.1.3.1 - Additional benefits for judges - Housing						-			False									
133.1.4.1 - Additional benefits for judges - Other financial benefit									True									
133.2.1.1 - Additional benefits for prosecutors - Reduced taxation						-			False									
133.2.2.1 - Additional benefits for prosecutors - Special pension						-			False									
133.2.3.1 - Additional benefits for prosecutors - Housing						-			False									
133.2.4.1 - Additional benefits for prosecutors - Other financial benefit									True									

										<u>ou</u> y	(2012	-2020	j uala	laple	3			
												Vari	ations for	quantita	ive quest	ions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
144.1.1 Disciplinary procedures for Judges - Total number (1+2+3+4)									C	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.1.2 Disciplinary procedures for Judges - 1. Breach of professional ethics						-			0	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
144.1.3 Disciplinary procedures for Judges - 2. Professional inadequacy						-	-		C	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.1.4 Disciplinary procedures for Judges - 3. Criminal offence						-	-		0	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
144.1.5 Disciplinary procedures for Judges - 4. Other						-	-		C	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
144.2.1 Disciplinary procedures for Prosecutors - Total number (1+2+3+4)						-	-		C	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
144.2.2 Disciplinary procedures for Prosecutors - 1. Breach of professional ethics						-			C	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
144.2.3 Disciplinary procedures for Prosecutors - 2. Professional inadequacy									C	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.2.4 Disciplinary procedures for Prosecutors - 3. Criminal offence									O	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
144.2.5 Disciplinary procedures for Prosecutors - 4. Other									C	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.1 Sanctions against Judges - Total number (total 1 to 9)									C	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
145.1.2 Sanctions against Judges - 1. Reprimand									0	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
145.1.3 Sanctions against Judges - 2. Suspension									0	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
145.1.4 Sanctions against Judges - 3. Withdrawal from cases									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.5 Sanctions against Judges - 4. Fine									C	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.6 Sanctions against Judges - 5. Temporary reduction of salary									C	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.7 Sanctions against Judges - 6. Position downgrade									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.8 Sanctions against Judges - 7. Transfer to another geographical (court) location									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.9 Sanctions against Judges - 8. Resignation									C	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.10 Sanctions against Judges - 9. Other									C	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.11 Sanctions against Judges - 10. Dismissal									C	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

								<u>L</u> u	xemp	<u>oury</u>	(2012	-2020	) uala	lane	3			
												Vari	ations for	quantitat	ive quest	ions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
145.2.1 Sanctions against Prosecutors - Total number (total 1 to 9)									0	-	-		-	-				-
145.2.2 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 1. Reprimand									0	-	-		-	-		-	-	-
145.2.3 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 2. Suspension									0	-	-		-	-		-	-	-
145.2.4 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 3. Withdrawal from cases									NAP	-	-		-	-		-	-	-
145.2.5 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 4. Fine									0	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.6 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 5. Temporary reduction of salary									0	-	-		-	-		-	-	-
145.2.7 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 6. Position downgrade									NAP	-	-			-			-	-
145.2.8 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 7. Transfer to another geographical (court) location									NAP	-	-		-	-		-	-	-
145.2.9 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 8. Resignation									0	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.10 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 9. Other									0	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.11 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 10. Dismissal									0	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
						Lawyers												
				١	ables 7.6.1,	7.6.2, 7.6.3,	7.7 and 7.8											
146.1.1 Total number of lawyers practising	2 020	2 203	2 180	2 323	2 381	2 597	2 993	2 914	3 080	52,5%	9,1%	-1,0%	6,6%	2,5%	9,1%	15,2%	-2,6%	5,7%
146.2.1 Practicing lawyers - man	-	-	-	-	-		- NA	1 553	1 610	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	3,7%
146.3.1 Practicing lawyers - woman		-	-	-	-		- NA	1 361	1 470	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	8,0%
147 Does this figure include "legal advisors" who cannot represent their clients in court (for example, some solicitors or in-house counsellors)?			No	No	False	False	e False	False	False	-	-		_	-	-	-	-	-

										<u>earg</u>	(=• :=		/ data	taite	•			
												Varia	ations for	quantitat	ive quest	ions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
			Indicator	8: The existe	nce and use	e of alternati	ve dispute	resolution r	nethods									
					Table	8.1 8.2 and 8	3.3											
166 Number of accredited or registered mediators who practice judicial mediation:	110	130	135	110	173	144	198	227	238	116,4%	18,2%	3,8%	-18,5%	57,3%	-16,8%	37,5%	14,6%	4,8%
167.1.1 Total number started					NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-		-		-	-		-	-
167. 1.2 Civil and commercial cases - started					NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
167. 1.2 Family cases - started					NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-			-	-		-	-
167.1.4 Administrative cases - started					NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
167.1.5 Labour cases including employment dismissal cases - started					NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-		-		-	-		-	-
167.1.6. Criminal cases - started					NA	NA	68	49	41	-	-	-		-	-		-27,9%	-16,3%
167.1.7 Consumer cases - started					-	-	NA	NA	NA	-		-		-	-		-	-

										<u>su g</u>	(2012		autu	LUDIC	0			
												Varia	tions for	quantitat	ive quest	ions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
			Indicator	8: The existe	ence and use	e of alternati	ve dispute	resolution r	nethods									
					Table	8.1 8.2 and 8	8.3											
166 Number of accredited or registered mediators who practice judicial mediation:	110	130	135	110	173	144	198	227	238	116,4%	18,2%	3,8%	-18,5%	57,3%	-16,8%	37,5%	14,6%	4,8%
167.1.1 Total number started					NA	NA	NA	. NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
167. 1.2 Civil and commercial cases - started					NA	NA	NA	. NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
167. 1.2 Family cases - started					NA	NA	NA	NA NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
167.1.4 Administrative cases - started					NA	NA	NA	. NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
167.1.5 Labour cases including employment dismissal cases - started					NA	NA	NA	NA NA	NA	-	-	-	-		-		-	-
167.1.6. Criminal cases - started					NA	NA	68	49	41	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-27,9%	-16,3%
167.1.7 Consumer cases - started					-	-	NA	NA NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Key: Variation of more than (+ -) 20%