

^{*}ICT calculations are described in the Part 5. ICT and national data collection system and in more details in Annex 5 - IT Calculation methodology


		Synth	esis ta	ble of r	nain in	dicator	s for:			Lux	kembo	urg	
Economic and demographic data	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012-2019	Va 2014-2016	riations 2012-20 2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019
Population	525,000	550,000	563,000	563,000	590,700	602,005	613,900	626,108	19.3%	4.9%	3.9%	2.0%	2.0%
GDP per capita	83,600 €	83,400 €	88,500 €	88,500 €	90,700 €	92,026 €	95,943 €	101,446 €	21.3%	2.5%	5.8%	4.3%	5.7%
Exchange rate (local currency needed to obtain 1€)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP							
Average annual salary	42,500 €		46,000 €		66,300 €		61,720 €	63,015 €	48.3%	44.1%	-6.9%		2.1%
Resources	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012-2019	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019
Professional judges per 100 000 inhab.	34.1	32.7	32.7	32.5	31.7	32.9	36.2	36.1	5.9%	-3.1%	14.2%	9.9%	-0.2%
Non-judge staff per 100 000 inhab.	NA	36.0	34.8	35.0	33.9	33.2	35.8	35.9	NA	-2.7%	5.8%	7.9%	0.3%
Lawyers per 100 000 inh.	384.8	400.5	387.2	412.6	403.1	431.4	487.5	465.4	21.0%	4.1%	21.0%	13.0%	-4.5%
Mediators	21.0	23.6	24.0	19.5	29.3	23.9	32.3	36.3	73.0%	22.1%	10.1%	34.8%	12.4%
Availability of the Case Management System (4 max)				4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0			0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Availability of tools for court statistics (4 max)				4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0			0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First instance incoming cases per	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012-2019	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019
Litigious civil (and commercial)	0.899	0.844	0.901	0.809	0.767	0.765	0.783	0.805	-10.5%	-14.9%	2.0%	2.4%	2.8%
Non-litigious civil (and commercial)	0.178	0.172	NAP	NAP	0.188	0.164	0.168	0.167	6.3%	NAP	-10.7%	2.4%	0.4%
Non-litigious land registry cases	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NA.	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP							
Administrative law cases	0.308	0.2	0.2	0.225	0.200	0.201	0.203	0.233	-2 4.4%	-17.8%	1.3%	0.7%	14.6%
First instance performance indicators (Clearence Rate)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012-2019 (in points)	2014-2016 (in points)	2016-2018 (in points)	2017-2018 (in points)	2018-2019 (in points)
CR litigious civil (and commercial) cases	173%	182%	97%	105%	100%	96%	101%	101%	-71.66	3.25	1.02	4.73	0.15
CR non-litigious civil (and commercial) cases	100%	100%	NAP	NAP	100%	100%	100%	100%	0.00	NAP	0.00	0.00	0.0
CR non-litigious land registry cases	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
CR non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP							
CR administrative law cases	70%	94%	94%	91%	98%	94%	86%	75%	5.42	4.20	-11.76	-8. <mark>3</mark> 6	-10 <mark>.7</mark> 5
First instance performance indicators (Disposition	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012-2019	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019
Time) DT litigious civil (and commercial) cases (days)	73	53	103	86	91	108	94	86	17.0%	-11.0%	3.2%	-12.2%	-9.3%
DT non-litigious civil (and commercial) cases (days)	0	0	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
DT non-litigious land registry cases (days)	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
DT non-litigious business registry cases (days)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP							
DT administrative law cases (days)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA							
First instance pending cases per 100 inhab. on 31 dec.	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012-2019	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019
Litigious civil (and commercial)	0.31	0.22	0.25	0.20	0.19	0.22	0.20	0.19	-38.7%	-21.7%	6.4%	-5.7 <mark>%</mark>	-6. <mark>6%</mark>
Non-litigious civil (and commercial) cases	0.00	0.00	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
Non-litigious land registry cases	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP							
Administrative law cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA							
Second instance performance indicators (Clearence	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	(in points)	(in points)	(in points)	(in points)	(in points)
Rate) CR litigious civil (and commercial)	103%	103%	87%	100%	106%	118%	115%	103%	-0.46	19.43	8.50	-3.55	-11.74
cases CR administrative law cases	73%	101%	101%	104%	102%	97%	103%	96%	22.58	1.09	1.19	5.64	-6.97
Second instance performance indicators (Disposition	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012-2019	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019
Time) DT litigious civil (and commercial)	511	498	722	601	553	466	467	488	- <mark>4.4</mark> %		-15.6%	0.1%	4.6%
cases (days) DT administrative law cases (days)	290	175	175	202	228	211	222	285	-1.8%	30.1%	-2.5%	5.1%	28.1%
Supreme Court													
performance indicators (Clearence Rate)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	points)	2014-2016 (in points)	2016-2018 (in points)	points)	2018-2019 (in points)
CR litigious civil (and commercial) cases	NA	-	NA	90%	100%	78%	95%	96%	NA	NA	-4.67	17.20	0.36
CR administrative law cases	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAF
Supreme court performance indicators (Disposition	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012-2019	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019
Time) DT litigious civil (and commercial) cases (days)	NA		NA	287	276	398	408	358	NA	NA	47.6%	2.5%	-12.1%
DT administrative law cases (days)	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP


1. Presentation of the functioning of the judicial system in Luxembourg

In Luxembourg, 3 justices of the peace and 2 district courts sit as first instance courts of general jurisdiction.

At the top of the hierarchy of courts in civil, commercial, social and criminal matters is the Superior Court of Justice (Cour supérieure de justice), which includes the Court of Appeal (second instance) and the Court of Cassation (third instance).

With regard to administrative matters, the Administrative court of appeal functions as both second and last instance.





The category of first instance specialised courts encompasses 2 commercial courts, 3 labor courts, 2 family courts, 3 rent and tenancies courts, 1 administrative court (tribunal administratif), 1 insurance and/ or social welfare court, 1 military court.

However, most of the specialized courts are in fact specialized sections of a general court. Namely, the commercial courts (which also deal with insolvency cases) are specialized sections of the district court (tribunal d'arrondissement). Only the administrative, military and 1st instance social security court are self-standing.

2. Resources of justice and courts framework in Luxembourg

• Budget allocated to the whole justice system (Q15-1): Approved Implemented: 187,172,836 € NA This budget includes the following budgetary elements: · Court budget Legal aid budget · Public prosecution services budget Prison system · Probation services · Council of the judiciary · Constitutionnal court Judicial management body · State advocacy · Enforcement services Notariat Forensic services Judicial protection of juveniles • Functioning of the Ministry of Justice · Refugees and asylum seekers service · Immigration services · Some police services

The annual public budget allocated to the whole justice system includes, among other things, budget items relating to subsidies to the bar associations, expenses for setting up and running the anti-money laundering unit, expenses for the organization of additional courses in Luxembourg law and judicial traineeships or relating to judicial assistance (http://data.legilux.public.lu/eli/etat/leg/loi/2019/04/26/a274/jo).

Data on implemented budget is not yet available, as the regulation of the general account for the financial year is voted and generally published in December of the year following the financial year in question.


• Human resources

Other services

Judges

Evolution of the number of professional judges since 2012 (Q46)

Year	Absolute number	Per 100 000 inhabitants	Male	Female
2012	179	34.10	NA	NA
2013	180	32.73	35.0%	65.0%
2014	184	32.68	35.3%	64.7%
2015	183	32.50	35.0%	65.0%
2016	187	31.66	35.3%	64.7%
2017	198	32.89	35.4%	64.6%
2018	222	36.16	32.0%	68.0%
2019	226	36.10	31.4%	68.6%




According to 2019 data, the total number of professional judges sitting in courts (all instances) in Luxembourg is 226 which is 1,8% more than in previous cycle.

More precisely, in Luxembourg, in 2019 there are 36,1 professional judges per 100 000 inhabitants (this figure is above the EU median of 24,5 judges per 100 000 inhabitants) and about 1,0 non-judge staff per judge (in previous cycle this ratio was the same).

Absolute number of professional judges by instance and gender

umber of professional judges by instance and gender				
2019	Total number of professional judges	Male	Female	
1st instance courts	170	50	120	
2nd instance courts	51	17	34	
Supreme courts	5	4	1	
Total	226	71	155	


Professional judges by gender and instance



Distribution of professional judges by instance and gender

2019	Total number of professional judges	Male	Female
1st instance courts	75.2%	29.4%	70.6%
2nd instance courts	22.6%	33.3%	66.7%
Supreme courts	2.2%	80.0%	20.0%

Distribution of professional judges by instance



The total number of female professional judges (all instances), in this cycle is 155 which represents 68,6% of the total number of judges.

The total number of judges is distributed among the different judicial instances in the following way: 170 are sitting in first instance courts (among which 120 are female); 51 are sitting in second instance courts (among which 34 are female) and 5 are sitting in Supreme Court (among which 1 are female).

As regards the distribution of the number of judges among the different judicial instances, it should be noticed that judges of district courts, the administrative tribunal and justices of peace are counted as first instance professional judges. Judges of the Court of appeal of the Superior Court of Justice and the Administrative court of appeal are considered as second instance professional judges. The number of Supreme Court professional judges refers solely to the Court of cassation judges.


In Luxembourg, training of judges requirements are broken down as follows:

• In-service training for the use of computer facilities in courts:

	Compulsory	Optional
∘ Initial training:	√	
General in-service training:		√
In-service training for specialised judicial functions:		√
 In-service training for management functions of the court: 		√

Data on the number of training courses orgnised in 2019 is not available.

Year	Total	Rechtspfleger	Non-judge staff assisting the judge	Staff in charge of administrativ e tasks	Technical staff	Other
2012	NA	NAP	NA	NA	NA	NA
2013	198	NAP	192	5	1	NAP
2014	196	NAP	132	63	1	NAP
2015	197	NAP	129	67	1	NAP
2016	200	NAP	131	66	3	NAP
2017	200	NAP	191	6	3	NAP
2018	220	NAP	210	3	3	4
2019	225	NAP	215	3	3	4



In Luxembourg, in this cycle there are 225 non-judge staff (among which 143 females). Comparison with previous cycle reveals an increase of 2,3%.

In this cycle, the non-judge staff is broken down as follows:

- \circ 215 non-judge staff whose task is to assist the judges such as registrars (among which 140 are women);
- ∘ 3 staff in charge of different administrative tasks and of the court management (among which 1 is a women);
- 3 technical staff (among which 0 are women);
- · 4 other (among which 2 are women);


In general, all the non-judge staff is in charge to assist the judges (except at the administrative courts). Therefore, it is not relevant to distinguish between staff in charge of administrative tasks and staff assisting the judges. This distinction could only be made in the administrative courts.

In the light of the data relevant for the judges, it is possible to notice that in 2019 the number of non-judge staff per 100 000 inhabitants has increased (from 35,8 in 2018 to 35,9 in 2019).

During the same period, the number of judges per 100 000 inhabitants evolves from 36,2 judges per 100 000 inhabitants in 2018 to 36,1 in 2019.


Professional judges, non-judge staff and their ratio (Q46, Q52)

	Luxembour g	EU median
Professional judges	36.1	24.5
Non-judge staff	35.9	57.5
Non-judge staff per judge	1.00	3.3




Evolution of the ratio between professional judges and non-judge staff (Q46, Q52)

	Ratio
2012	NA
2013	1.10
2014	1.07
2015	1.08
2016	1.07
2017	1.01
2018	0.99
2019	1.00



Salaries of professional judges and prosecutors at beginning of a career and at the highest instance (Q132, Q4)

	Average gross annual salary in €	Average net annual salary in €	Ratio with the annual gross salary
First instance professional judge at the beginning of his/her career	92,016 €	NA	1.46
Judge of the Supreme Court or the Highest Appellate Court	NA	NA	NA
Public prosecutor at the beginning of his/her career	92,016€	NA	1.46
Public prosecutor of the Supreme Court or the Highest Appellate Instance	NA	NA	NA



As a salary at the beginning of the career (first instance professional judge or prosecutor) is presented the salary of the "attachés de justice" after their first appointment. The salary scale for judges and prosecutors is based on 380 points, any professional experience can be added but is not taken into account in the calculations. To calculate the annual salary, these points must be multiplied by the value of the index point. In December 2019, the value of the index point of a civil servant was 20,17893, which corresponds to a salary of €92,016 over 12 months. In 2016, this figure corresponded to €84,185 and in 2018 to €89,771. More explanations on the calculation of civil servants' salaries, which also apply to the M career of magistrates (judges and prosecutors), can be found on the civil service website: https://fonction-publique.public.lu/fr/carriere/parcours-remuneration/fonctionnaire/traitement.html.

Number of Lawyers

Year	Absolute number	Per 100 000 inhabitants
2012	2,020	384.76
2013	2,203	400.55
2014	2,180	387.21
2015	2,323	412.61
2016	2,381	403.08
2017	2,597	431.39
2018	2,993	487.54
2019	2,914	465.41




In 2019, there are 2 914 lawyers, which is -2,6% less than in 2018.

This data of 465,4 lawyers per 100 000 inhabitants in 2019 is above the EU median of 121,3 lawyers per 100 000 inhabitants.

Judicial professionals in absolute number and per 100 000 inhabitants (Q46, Q52, Q146)

Туре	Absolute number	per 100 000 inhabitants	EU Median
Professional judges	226	36.10	24.48
Non judge staff	225	35.94	57.49
Lawyers	2,914	465.41	121.32



3. Access to justice

∘ Legal aid

The total annual approved public budget to legal aid is 8 300 000 € (13,3 € per capita).

Data on implemented budget is not yet available, as the regulation of the general account for the financial year is voted and generally published in December of the year following the financial year in question.

The budget allocated for legal aid covers legal aid for all matters (criminal or not) and types of cases (litigious or not). However, the budget does not distinguish a specific amount of legal aid available per matter or type of case.

The distribution of the total annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid cannot be carried out in respect of criminal and other than criminal cases, as well as cases brought to court and cases not brought to court.

Criminal cases

Other than criminal cases

In Luxembourg, legal aid is available for :

• Representation in court:

• Legal advice, ADR and other legal services:

 ${}^{\circ}$ for fees related to enforcement of judicial decisions as fees for enforcement agents. (Q18):

Other costs than above. (Q19):

In criminal cases:

Accused individuals are free to chose their lawyers in the frame of legal aid system.

Victims are free to chose their lawyers in the frame of legal aid system.

Court fees

Litigants do not have to pay taxes to start a proceeding in other than criminal matters.

Generally, it is not necessary to pay a tax or fees to start a proceeding before an ordinary court. It may be, however, that one of the parties be ordered to pay the costs and expenses but the amount of this type of sentences is very low (a few euros).

3. Efficiency and quality of the judicial system

• Court performance

∘ Clearance Rate (CR) and Disposition Time (DT)

The Clearance Rate shows the capacity of a judicial system to deal with the incoming cases. A Clearance Rate of 100% and higher does not generate backlog.

The Disposition Time determines the maximum estimated number of days necessary for a pending case to be solved in a court.

First instance cases


• Total other than criminal cases



The number of incoming cases in 2019 in Luxembourg (1,86 per 100 inhabitants) is significantly below the EU median (7,22 per 100 inhabitants).

Moreover, the number of resolved cases in 2019 (1,85 per 100 inhabitants) is also significantly below the EU median (7,27 per 100 inhabitants).

Other than criminal cases	CR (%)	DT (days)
2012	NA	N/
2013	NA	N.
2014	NA	N.
2015	NA	N.
2016	101.6%	N.
2017	98.7%	N.
2018	98.9%	N.
2019	99.8%	N.




With a Clearance Rate calculated at 99,8% in 2019 Luxembourg seems to be able to deal with its other than criminal cases.

Between 2018 and 2019, the Clearance Rate has increased for 1,0 points.


The Disposition Time of other than criminal cases cannot be calculated

· Civil (and commercial) litigious cases



The number of incoming cases in 2019 in Luxembourg (0,80 per 100 inhabitants) is significantly below the EU median (1,92 per 100 inhabitants); as for the number of resolved cases, which is 0,81 per 100 inhabitants compared to the EU median of 1,73 per 100 inhabitants.

Moreover, the number of pending cases at the end of 2019 (0,19 per 100 inhabitants) is also significantly below the EU median (1,10 per 100 inhabitants).



With a Clearance Rate calculated at 101,2% in 2019, Luxembourg seems to be able to deal with its civil and commercial litigious cases.


Between 2018 and 2019, the Clearance Rate has increased for 0,2 points.

In 2019, the civil and commercial litigious cases are solved in approximately 86 days, which is significantly below the EU median of 213 days.

Analysis of the 2018 - 2019 period reveals a -9,3% decrease of the Disposition Time.


The number of civil and commercial litigious cases older than 2 years is not available

· Administrative cases



The number of incoming cases in 2019 in Luxembourg (0,23 per 100 inhabitants) is below the EU median (0,25 per 100 inhabitants). Moreover, the number of resolved cases in 2019 in Luxembourg (0,17 per 100 inhabitants) is also below the EU median (0,31 per 100 inhabitants).

Administrative cases	CR (%)	DT (days)
2012	69.8%	
2013	93.5%	
2014	93.5%	
2015	90.7%	
2016	97.7%	
2017	94.3%	
2018	86.0%	
2019	75.2%	



For 2019 the Clearance Rate has been calculated at 75,2%.

Nevertheless, it should be highlighted that in Luxembourg data for pending administrative law cases at first instance is not available. In fact, the statistical tool incorporated in the administrative court's "JANGA" database does not currently allow for the exact production of the number of pending cases. An update of this database is planned in the near future, which should significantly improve the reliability of the mentioned statistical tool.

Accordingly, the evaluation of efficiency cannot be complete. It is true that the CR in respect of first instance administrative law cases is rather low which would suggest that there are issues with the efficiency for these cases. However, this should not be a big problem since absolute number of incoming cases for 2019 is 1 456, while the number of resolved cases is 1 095.

Between 2018 and 2019, the Clearance Rate has decreased for -10,7 points.

The Disposition Time of the administrative cases cannot be calculated.

The number of administrative law cases older than 2 years is not available

Insolvency


						CE	R (%)			
Insolvency cases	CR (%)	DT (days)	120% -			Cr	(/0	'		
2012							100%	100%	100%	100%
2013	NA	NA	100% -							
2014	NAP	NAP	80% -							
2015	NAP	NAP	60% -							
2016	100.0%	NAP	40% -							
2017	100.0%	NAP	20% -							
2018	100.0%	NAP								
2019	100.0%	NAP	0% -	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019

The Clearance Rate was calculated at 100,0% in 2019 for insolvency cases, Luxembourg seemsto be able to deal with its insolvency cases.

Between 2018 and 2019, the Clearance Rate has been stable.

In Luxembourg there are no pending cases in insolvency matters and no backlogs, the system being able to resolve all incoming cases without any delay.

Overall efficiency by instance and by case matter



In respect of civil and commercial litigious cases, the first instance courts are the most efficient instance with a Disposition Time well below the EU median of 213 days. On the other hand, the Court of appeal is characterised by the highest Disposition Time which is meaningfully above the EU median of 175 days. As to the Court of cassation, its Disposition Time is also significantly above the EU median of 217 days. It should be noticed that pending cases at the beginning of the year at second and third instances have been restated in relation to those available at the time of the 2018-2020 evaluation cycle. The introduction of a new case management application at the Court of appeal and the Court of cassation (JUCIV) has made it possible to identify a number of cases, still listed as pending, which were in fact completed.


Concerning administrative matters, the Disposition Time can be calculated only with regard to the Administrative court of appeal and it is considerably lower than the DT concerning the Court of appeal of the Superior Court of Justice. Besides, this indicator is below the EU median of 329 days.

•Alternative dispute resolutions

The judicial system in Luxembourg provides court related mediation.

The court related mediation system in Luxembourg does not provide mandatory court related mediation.

Mediators	Total	Per 100 000 inhab.
2012	110	20.95
2013	130	23.64
2014	135	23.98
2015	110	19.54
2016	173	29.29
2017	144	23.92
2018	198	32.89
2019	227	36.98



In 2019, there are 227 accredited or registered mediators who practise court related mediation which represent 37,0 accredited or registered mediators per 100 000 inhabitants.

The variation between 2018 and 2019 is about 14,6%.

The figures provided represent the total number of accredited mediators (in civil, commercial and criminal matters) without taking into account mediators who have ceased their activity.

Type of cases	Total	Per 100 000 inhab.
All cases	NA	NA
Civil and commercial	NA	NA
Family cases	NA	NA
Administrative	NA	NA
Employment dismissal	NA	NA
Criminal cases	49	8
Consumer cases	NA	NA

. Systems for measuring and evaluating the court performance

In 2019, individual courts are required to prepare an annual activity report.

The report is public and available in its integrity. https://justice.public.lu/dam-assets/fr/publications/rapport-activites-judiciaires/Rapports-juridictions-judiciaires-2019.pdf A condensed version is published in the series "Les chiffres de la Justice".

 $_{\circ}$ The frequency of the reporting is annual

A regular monitoring system of court activities is in place concerning:

number of incoming cases length of proceedings (timeframes) number of resolved cases number of pending cases backlogs costs of the judicial procedures number of appeals appeal ratio clearance rate disposition time

More precisely, no regular system has been implemented up to today. However, a monitoring can be done through the statistical service of the judiciary (SSJ) on an punctual basis and upon request by the competent authorities.

In Luxembourg, there is no system to evaluate regularly the activity of each court.

Although the technically correct answer is "no", the Judiciary edits every year an annual report on its activities during the previous year. This reports is available to the public (report 2019, see https://justice.public.lu/dam-assets/fr/publications/rapport-activites-judiciaires/Rapports-juridictions-judiciaires-2019.pdf).

A system to evaluate regurlarly the activity of each court (in terms of performance and output) does not exist and performance and quality indicators are not defined at the court level.

The figures presented by the SSJ within the annual report are used on a regular basis to allocate (and ask for) means to the courts and prosecutorial services.

Quality standards are not determined for the judicial system.

5. ICT and national data collection system

•The ICT tools of courts and for court users

The use of ICT in courts had been evaluated in 2 fields and 5 sub-fields within those fields in :2019 (graphics below):


	points (up to 4)
∘ Administration and management ;	2.8
Case management systems	4.0
Tools of producing courts activity statistics	1.5
	1.4
Communication between court and users.	
Possibility to submit a case by electronic means	0.0
Possibility to monitor the stages of an online judicial proceeding	0.0
Electronic communication between courts and lawyers	4.0
Videoconferencing with users	1.7

The calculation of this index for each field is an average of the values of the sub-fields included. The calculation of the sub-field depends on each question and the combination of different modalities of the answers.

The details of the calculation are given in Annex 5 - IT calculations

The result by area may be summarized in these graphics, where each field has been evaluated from 0 to 4 points.

Note; Index is modified each cycle depending on the new modalities included in the questionnaire that show more precise details on the ICT situation that can make the index drop even if there is no real change.



All magistrates and clerks have access to writing assistance tools, however not the entire scope of documents is covered, as the possibility to use free text is essential to the work of the judiciary. Administrative courts have been provided with a new application in 2018.

As to the voice recording tools, the use of private dictation applications is tolerated, but is not in general use.

Statistical data

The centralised institution that is responsible for collecting statistical data regarding the functioning of the courts and judiciary is the General Prosecutor's Office of the Grand Duchy of Luxembourg (Cité Judiciaire, CR building, L - 2080 Luxembourg). The Statistical Service of Justice (SSJ) is attached to the Public Prosecutor's Office.

This institution publish statistics of each court on internet.

Individual courts are required to prepare an activity report.

These reports are distributed:

∘ On internet

The report is public and available in its integrity.

https://justice.public.lu/dam-assets/fr/publications/rapport-activites-judiciaires/Rapports-juridictions-judiciaires-2019.pdf

A condensed version is published in the series "Les chiffres de la Justice".

Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
Q1 Number of inhabitants	525,000	550,000	563,000	563,000	590,700	602,005	613,900	626,108	19.3%	4.8%	2.4%	0.0%	4.9%	1.9%	2.0%	2.0%
Q.3 GDP Per capita (in €) in current prices	83,600 €	83,400 €	88,500 €	88,500 €	90,700 €	92,026 €	95,943 €	101,446 €	21.3%	-0.2%	6.1%	0.0%	2.5%	1.5%	4.3%	5.7%
Q5. Exchange rate of Nat currency to € on 1 Jan	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-							

		Indi	cator 1: The	budget and r	esources of	courts and t	he justice sy	stem							
		Annual app	roved and im	plemented b	udgets alloc	ated to the v	vhole justice	system in €							
	Table	1.3.2 Budge	tary element	s of the bud	get allocated	to the whole	e justice syst	tem (Q15.2, Q15-3)							
15-1.1.1 Annual approved public budget allocated to the whole justice system, in €	124,017,268	131,444,869	131,444,869	135,877,524	149,652,235	153,865,546	162,949,120	187,172,836 50.9%	6.0%	0.0%	3.4%	10.1%	2.8%	5.9%	14.9%
15-2.1.1 Court budget (Q6) included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	-	Yes	Yes							
15-2.1.2 Legal aid budget (Q12) included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	-	Yes	Yes							
15-2.1.3 Public prosecution services budget (Q12) included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	-	Yes	Yes							
15-3.1.1 Prison system included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes							
15-3.1.2 Probation services included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes							
15-3.1.3 Council of the judiciary included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	No	NAP							
15-3.1.4 Constitutional court included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes							
15-3.1.5 Judicial management body included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	No	NAP							
15-3.1.6 State advocacy included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	Yes	Yes	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	No	NAP							
15-3.1.7 Enforcement services included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes							
15-3.1.8 Notariat included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes							
15-3.1.9 Forensic services included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes							
15-3.1.10 Judicial protection of juveniles included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes							
15-3.1.11 Functioning of the Ministry of Justice included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes							
15-3.1.12 Refugees and asylum seekers service included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No							
15-3.1.13 Immigration services	-	-	-	-	No	No	No	No							
15-3.1.14 Some police services included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	-	-	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes							
15-3.1.15 Other services included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes							

Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
Figu	ure 1.4 Correl	ation betwee	en the GDP p	er capita an	d the approv	ed whole jus	stice system	budget in 2	019 (Q1,	Q3, Q15-	1)					
Q1. Number of inhabitants	525,000	550,000	563,000	563,000	590,700	602,005	613,900	626,108	19.3%	4.8%	2.4%	0.0%	4.9%	1.9%	2.0%	2.0%
Q.3 GDP Per capita (in €) in current prices	83,600 €	83,400 €	88,500 €	88,500 €	90,700 €	92,026 €	95,943 €	101,446 €	21.3%	-0.2%	6.1%	0.0%	2.5%	1.5%	4.3%	5.7%
15-1.1.1 Annual approved public budget allocated to the whole justice system, in €	124,017,268	131,444,869	131,444,869	135,877,524	149,652,235	153,865,546	162,949,120	187,172,836	50.9%	0 €	0.0%	3.4%	10.1%	2.8%	5.9%	14.9%
15-1.2.1 Annual implemented public budget allocated to the whole justice system, in €	-	-	NA	NA	150,885,948	159,733,252	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	5.9%	-	-

	Fig	ure 1.7 Evolu	ıtion of rever	nues from co	ourt taxes an	d fees in 201	0, 2012,and 2	2015 in € (Q [.]	1, Q9)							
Table 1.8 Participation of the annual income of court taxes and fees in the budget of the whole justice system from 2012 to 2019 in € (Q1, Q9, Q15-1)																
Q1. Number of inhabitants 525,000 550,000 563,000 590,700 602,005 613,900 626,108 19.3% 4.8% 2.4% 0.0% 4.9% 1.9% 2.0%															2.0%	
15-1.1.1 Annual approved public budget allocated to the whole justice system, in €	124,017,268	131,444,869	131,444,869	135,877,524	149,652,235	153,865,546	162,949,120	187,172,836	50.9%	6.0%	0.0%	3.4%	10.1%	2.8%	5.9%	14.9%
Q9. Annual income of court taxes or fees received by the state	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

		F	igure 1.9 Coι	ırt fees and t	axes (Q8-1, (Q8-2)							
Q8-2. Amount of court fees to commence an action for 3000 Euro			_	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP		_	_	_		
debt recovery				14741	147 (1	147 (1	1474						

				Indicator 2:	The judicia	l organisatio	n									
Tables 2.1 and 2.1b Number	of first instance	courts (gene	ral and speci	ialised) as le	gal entities a	and number	of all courts	(first, appeal	and high	courts)	as geog	raphic lo	cations (Q42)		
Table 2.3 (EC) Variation of the absolute number of all courts (geographic locations) (Q42)																
Q1. Number of inhabitants	525,000	550,000	563,000	563,000	590,700	602,005	613,900	626,108	19.3%	4.8%	2.4%	0.0%	4.9%	1.9%	2.0%	2.0%
42.1.1 First instance courts of general jurisdiction	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
42.1.2 Specialised first instance courts	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
42.1.3 All the courts (geographic locations)	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

									_			_			,
											Varia	tions			
2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
Та	ble 2.2 Numl	per of (legal o	entities) first	instance sp	ecialised cou	urts and its b	reak-down	(Q43)							
13	23	-	2	13	13	13	13	0.0%	76.9%	-	-	550.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
2	2	2	NAP	2	2	2	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	3	3	NAP	3	3	3	3	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
2	5	2	NAP	2	2	2	2	0.0%	150.0%	-60.0%	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
3	3	3	NAP	3	3	3	3	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	13 2 NAP 3 2 3 NAP NAP NAP 1 1	Table 2.2 Numl 13 23 2 2 NAP NAP 3 3 2 5 3 3 NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Table 2.2 Number of (legal of the part of t	Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first 13 23 - 2 2 2 2 NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP 3 3 3 NAP 2 5 2 NAP 3 3 3 NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first instance special instance spec	Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first instance specialised cot 13 23 - 2 13 13 2 2 2 NAP 2 2 NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP 3 3 3 NAP 3 3 2 5 2 NAP 2 2 3 3 3 NAP 3 3 NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP <th>Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first instance specialised courts and its be 13 23 - 2 13 13 13 2 2 2 NAP 2 2 2 NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 2 5 2 NAP 2 2 2 2 3</th> <th>Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first instance specialised courts and its break-down 13 23 - 2 13 13 13 13 2 2 2 NAP 2 2 2 2 2 NAP NAP<</th> <th>Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first instance specialised courts and its break-down (Q43) 13 23 - 2 13 13 13 13 13 0.0% 2 2 2 2 NAP 2 2 2 2 2 0.0% NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP</th> <th>Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first instance specialised courts and its break-down (Q43) 13 23 - 2 13 13 13 13 13 0.0% 76.9% 2 2 2 NAP 2 2 2 2 2 0.0% 0.0% NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP</th> <th>Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first instance specialised courts and its break-down (Q43) 13 23 - 2 13 13 13 13 0.0% 76.9% - 2 2 2 2 NAP 2 2 2 2 2 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP</th> <th> 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2012 2013 2013 2014 2015 </th> <th>Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first instance specialised courts and its break-down (Q43) 13 23 - 2 13 13 13 13 0.0% 76.9% - 550.0% 2 2 2 2 NAP 2 2 2 2 2 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% - 5. NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP</th> <th> Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first instance specialised courts and its break-down (Q43) Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first instance specialised courts and its break-down (Q43) Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first instance specialised courts and its break-down (Q43) Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first instance specialised courts and its break-down (Q43) Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first instance specialised courts and its break-down (Q43) Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first instance specialised courts and its break-down (Q43) Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first instance specialised courts and its break-down (Q43) Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first instance specialised courts and its break-down (Q43) Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first instance specialised courts and its break-down (Q43) Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first instance specialised courts and its break-down (Q43) Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first instance specialised courts and its break-down (Q43) Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first instance specialised courts and its break-down (Q43) Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first instance specialised courts and its break-down (Q43) Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first instance specialised courts and its break-down (Q43) Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first instance specialised courts and its break-down (Q43) Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first instance specialised courts and its break-down (Q43) Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first instance specialised courts and its break-down (Q43) Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first instance specialised courts and its break-down (Q43) Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first instance specialised courts and its break-down (Q43) Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first instance specialised courts and its break-down (Q43) Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first instance specialised courts and its brea</th> <th> 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2012 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 </th>	Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first instance specialised courts and its be 13 23 - 2 13 13 13 2 2 2 NAP 2 2 2 NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 2 5 2 NAP 2 2 2 2 3	Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first instance specialised courts and its break-down 13 23 - 2 13 13 13 13 2 2 2 NAP 2 2 2 2 2 NAP NAP<	Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first instance specialised courts and its break-down (Q43) 13 23 - 2 13 13 13 13 13 0.0% 2 2 2 2 NAP 2 2 2 2 2 0.0% NAP	Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first instance specialised courts and its break-down (Q43) 13 23 - 2 13 13 13 13 13 0.0% 76.9% 2 2 2 NAP 2 2 2 2 2 0.0% 0.0% NAP	Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first instance specialised courts and its break-down (Q43) 13 23 - 2 13 13 13 13 0.0% 76.9% - 2 2 2 2 NAP 2 2 2 2 2 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% NAP	2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2012 2013 2013 2014 2015	Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first instance specialised courts and its break-down (Q43) 13 23 - 2 13 13 13 13 0.0% 76.9% - 550.0% 2 2 2 2 NAP 2 2 2 2 2 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% - 5. NAP	Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first instance specialised courts and its break-down (Q43) Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first instance specialised courts and its break-down (Q43) Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first instance specialised courts and its break-down (Q43) Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first instance specialised courts and its break-down (Q43) Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first instance specialised courts and its break-down (Q43) Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first instance specialised courts and its break-down (Q43) Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first instance specialised courts and its break-down (Q43) Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first instance specialised courts and its break-down (Q43) Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first instance specialised courts and its break-down (Q43) Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first instance specialised courts and its break-down (Q43) Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first instance specialised courts and its break-down (Q43) Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first instance specialised courts and its break-down (Q43) Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first instance specialised courts and its break-down (Q43) Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first instance specialised courts and its break-down (Q43) Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first instance specialised courts and its break-down (Q43) Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first instance specialised courts and its break-down (Q43) Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first instance specialised courts and its break-down (Q43) Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first instance specialised courts and its break-down (Q43) Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first instance specialised courts and its break-down (Q43) Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first instance specialised courts and its break-down (Q43) Table 2.2 Number of (legal entities) first instance specialised courts and its brea	2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2012 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018

	Luxembourg (2012-2019) data tables															
Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia				
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
		Ind	icator 3: The	performance	e of courts a	t all stages o	f the procee	dings		·			·			
	Tables 3.1.	1.1 to 3.1.1.4	l (all years) a	nd 3.1.1.5 Fii	st instance	courts: Numl	ber of other t	han crimina	ıl cases (Q91)						
Table 3	.9.1 and 3.9.2	(all years) F	ist instance o	courts: Case	load in the E	EU of other th	an criminal	cases pe 10	0 inhabit	ants (Q1,	Q91)					
	Table 3.9.3	to 3.9.4 Fist	instance cou	urts: Variatio	n of caseloa	ad in the EU o	of other than	criminal ca	ses (Q1,	Q91)						
Q1. Number of inhabitants	525,000	550,000	563,000	563,000	590,700	602,005	613,900	626,108	19.3%	4.8%	2.4%	0.0%	4.9%	1.9%	2.0%	2.0%
91.1.1 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.1.2 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	5,072	5,007	1,218	1,382	1,137	1,136	1,306	1,256	-75.2%	-1.3%	-75.7%	13.5%	-17.7%	-0.1%	15.0%	-3.8%
91.1.3 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	NA	NA	1,646	1,440	1,314	1,319	-	-	-	-	-	-12.5%	-8.8%	0.4%
91.1.4 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-		_	_
91.1.5 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.1.6 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious land registry cases	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
91.1.7 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.1.8 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
91.1.9 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NA	NA	1,646	1,440	1,314	1,319	-	-	-	-	-	-12.5%	-8.8%	0.4%
91.1.10 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Administrative law cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-		_	-
91.1.11 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-		_	-
91.2.1 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	NA	NA	NA	NA	10,911	10,776	11,379	11,620	-	-	-	-	-	-1.2%	5.6%	2.1%
91.2.2 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	4,718	4,643	5,074	4,555	4,533	4,604	4,807	5,038	6.8%	-1.6%	9.3%	-10.2%	-0.5%	1.6%	4.4%	4.8%
91.2.3 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	NA	NA	5,195	4,959	5,326	5,126	-	-	-	-	-	-4.5%	7.4%	-3.8%
91.2.4 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	937	948	NAP	NAP	1,111	987	1,031	1,047	11.7%	1.2%	-	-	-	-11.2%	4.5%	1.6%
91.2.5 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-		_	_
91.2.6 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious land registry cases	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-		_	_
91.2.7 1st inst courts_incoming cases_Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-		-	
91.2.8 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.2.9 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NA	NA	4,084	3,972	4,295	4,079	-	-	-	-	-	-2.7%	8.1%	-5.0%
91.2.10 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Administrative law cases	1,615	1,372	1,372	1,264	1,183	1,213	1,246	1,456	-9.8%	-15.0%	0.0%	-7.9%	-6.4%	2.5%	2.7%	16.9%
91.2.11 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

								AVCIIIDA	- 4. 9	,-0:-	_0.0	, aata	LUDIOC	•		
Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia				
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
91.3.1 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	NA	NA	NA	NA	11,091	10,637	11,249	11,602	-	-	-	-	-	-4.1%	5.8%	3.1%
91.3.2 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	8,155	8,432	4,910	4,800	4,534	4,434	4,857	5,098	-37.5%	3.4%	-41.8%	-2.2%	-5.5%	-2.2%	9.5%	5.0%
91.3.3 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	NA	NA	5,401	5,059	5,321	5,342	-	-	-	-	-	-6.3%	5.2%	0.4%
91.3.4 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	937	948	1,044	1,104	1,111	987	1,031	1,047	11.7%	1.2%	10.1%	5.7%	0.6%	-11.2%	4.5%	1.6%
91.3.5 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.3.6 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious land registry cases	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.3.7 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.3.8 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.3.9 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NA	NA	4,290	4,072	4,290	4,295	-	-	-	-	-	-5.1%	5.4%	0.1%
91.3.10 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Administrative law cases	1,127	1,283	1,283	1,146	1,156	1,144	1,071	1,095	-2.8%	13.8%	0.0%	-10.7%	0.9%	-1.0%	-6.4%	2.2%
91.3.11 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.4.1 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.4.2 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	1,635	1,218	1,382	1,137	1,136	1,306	1,256	1,196	-26.9%	-25.5%	13.5%	-17.7%	-0.1%	15.0%	-3.8%	-4.8%
91.4.3 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	NA	NA	1,440	1,341	1,319	1,103	-	-	-	-	-	-6.9%	-1.6%	-16.4%
91.4.4 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	0	0	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.4.5 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.4.6 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious land registry cases	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.4.7 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.4.8 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.4.9 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NA	NA	1,440	1,341	1,319	1,103	-	-	-	-	-	-6.9%	-1.6%	-16.4%
91.4.10 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Administrative law cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.4.11 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	3,700	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Variat	ions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
Table 3.2.1.1 t	to 3.2.1.1 (all y	years) First i	nstance cou	rts: Clearand	e rate and d	isposition tir	ne in differer	nt type of ot	her than	criminal	cases (C	(91)				
Table 3.2.2.1 an	nd 3.2.2.3 Firs	t instance co	ourts: Variati	ion of clearar	nce rate and	disposition t	ime in differ	ent type of o	other tha	n crimina	al cases	(Q91)				
Table 3.10.1 (EC) to 3.10.6 (EC) First	instance cou	rts: Disposi	tion time and	l clearance ra	ate for other	than crimina	l cases, litigi	ious civil an	d comm	ercial cas	ses and a	administr	ative case	es (Q91)		
CR Total of other than criminal law cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	101.6%	98.7%	98.9%	99.8%	-	-	-	-	-	-2.9%	0.1%	1.0%
CR Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	172.8%	181.6%	96.8%	105.4%	100.0%	96.3%	101.0%	101.2%	-41.5%	5.1%	-46.7%	8.9%	-5.1%	-3.7%	4.9%	0.1%
CR Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	NA	NA	104.0%	102.0%	99.9%	104.2%	-	-	-	-	-	-1.9%	-2.1%	4.3%
CR General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	100.0%	100.0%	NAP	NAP	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CR Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-				
CR Non litigious land registry cases	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-				
CR Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-				
CR Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-				
CR Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NA	NA	105.0%	102.5%	99.9%	105.3%	-	-	-	-	-	-2.4%	-2.6%	5.4%
CR Administrative law cases	69.8%	93.5%	93.5%	90.7%	97.7%	94.3%	86.0%	75.2%	7.8%	34.0%	0.0%	-3.0%	7.8%	-3.5%	-8.9%	-12.5%
CR Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-				
DT Total of other than criminal law cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-				
DT Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	73	53	103	86	91	108	94	86	17.0%	-28.0%	94.9%	-15.8%	5.8%	17.6%	-12.2%	-9.3%
DT Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	NA	NA	97	97	90	75	-	-	-	-	-	-0.6%	-6.5%	-16.7%
DT General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	0	0	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-				
DT Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)		-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-				
DT Non litigious land registry cases	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-				
DT Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-				
DT Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-				
DT Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NA	NA	123	120	112	94	-	-	-	-	-	-1.9%	-6.6%	-16.5%
DT Administrative law cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-				
DT Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-				

Table 3.3.1 (all years) First instance courts, number of cases for specific case categories i(litigious divorce, employment dismissal and insolvency cases) (Q101)

									<u> </u>	9 (== == ==)									
Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions						
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019			
101.1.1 Pending cases on 1 Jan. Litigious divorce case	NA	NA	NA	NA	782	631	663	737	-	-	-	-	-	-19.3%	5.1%	11.2%			
101.1.2 Pending cases on 1 Jan. Employment dismissal case	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-										
101.1.3 Pending cases on 1 Jan. Insolvency	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
101.2.1 Incoming cases_Litigious divorce case	NA	NA	NA	NA	498	617	668	1,070	-	-	-	-	-	23.9%	8.3%	60.2%			
101.2.2 Incoming cases_Employment dismissal case	2,343	NA	1,726	1,670	1,455	1,308	1,434	1,367	-41.7%	-	-	-3.2%	-12.9%	-10.1%	9.6%	-4.7%			
101.2.3 Incoming cases_Insolvency	NA	NA	NAP	912	915	988	1,086	1,227	-	-	-	-	0.3%	8.0%	9.9%	13.0%			
101.3.1 Resolved cases_Litigious divorce case	NA	434	589	794	649	586	594	1,043	-	-	35.7%	34.8%	-18.3%	-9.7%	1.4%	75.6%			
101.3.2 Resolved cases_Employment dismissal case	1,824	1,606	1,901	1,826	1,735	1,743	1,698	1,625	-10.9%	-12.0%	18.4%	-3.9%	-5.0%	0.5%	-2.6%	-4.3%			
101.3.3 Resolved cases_Insolvency	1,029	1,058	869	NAP	915	988	1,086	1,227	19.2%	2.8%	-17.9%	-	-	8.0%	9.9%	13.0%			
101.4.1 Pending cases on 31 Dec. Litigious divorce case	NA	NA	NA	NA	631	663	737	764	-	-	-	-	-	5.1%	11.2%	3.7%			
101.4.2 Pending cases on 31 Dec. Employment dismissal case	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-										
101.4.3 Pending cases on 31 Dec. Insolvency	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			

Table 3.4.1 (all yea	Table 3.4.1 (all years) First instance courts: Clearance rate and disposition time (litigious divorce, employment dismissal and insolvency cases) (Q101)															
Table 3.4.2 First instance courts: Variation of clearance rate and disposition time between years (litigious divorce, employment dismissal and insolvency cases) (Q101)																
CR Litigious divorce cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	130.3%	95.0%	88.9%	97.5%	-	-	-	-	-	-27.1%	-6.4%	9.69
CR Employment dismissal cases	77.8%	NA	110.1%	109.3%	119.2%	133.3%	118.4%	118.9%	52.7%	-	-	-0.7%	9.1%	11.8%	-11.1%	0.49
CR Insolvency cases	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
DT Litigious divorce cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	355	413	453	267	-	-	-	-	-	16.4%	9.7%	-41.0%
DT Employment dismissal cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-		-	-	-	-	-
DT Insolvency cases	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-		-	-	-	-	-

								ANCIIID	<u> </u>			, aata				
Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
		Table 3.5.1	to 3.5.5 Seco	nd instance	courts: Num	ber of other	than crimina	al cases (Q9	7)							
97.1.1 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.1.2 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	1,483	1,836	1,993	2,111	2,111	2,033	1,814	1,683	13.5%	23.8%	8.6%	5.9%	0.0%	-3.7%	-10.8%	-7.2%
97.1.3 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.1.4 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NAP	NAP	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.1.5 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.1.6 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.1.7 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.1.8 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other registry cases	NAP	NAP	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
97.1.9 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.1.10 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Administrative law cases	91	170	170	168	157	153	161	154	69.2%	86.8%	0.0%	-1.2%	-6.5%	-2.5%	5.2%	-4.3%
97.1.11 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.2.1 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
97.2.2 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	1,269	1,296	1,259	1,283	1,265	1,202	1,125	1,197	-5.7%	2.1%	-2.9%	1.9%	-1.4%	-5.0%	-6.4%	6.4%
97.2.3 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.2.4 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NAP	NAP	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.2.5 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.2.6 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.2.7 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.2.8 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Other registry cases	NAP	NAP	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.2.9 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.2.10 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Administrative law cases	292	348	348	273	241	286	246	218	-25.3%	19.2%	0.0%	-21.6%	-11.7%	18.7%	-14.0%	-11.4%
97.2.11 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Other cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.1 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.2 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	1,312	1,335	1,092	1,283	1,343	1,421	1,290	1,232	-6.1%	1.8%	-18.2%	17.5%	4.7%	5.8%	-9.2%	-4.5%
97.3.3 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.4 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NAP	NAP	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

								ANCIIID	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<i>,</i>		<u> </u>		_
Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
97.3.5 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.6 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
97.3.7 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.8 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Other registry cases	NAP	NAP	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.9 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.10 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Administrative law cases	214	350	350	284	245	278	253	209	-2.3%	63.6%	0.0%	-18.9%	-13.7%	13.5%	-9.0%	-17.4%
97.3.11 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Other cases	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-							
97.4.1 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-							
97.4.2 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	1,836	1,823	2,160	2,111	2,033	1,814	1,649	1,648	-10.2%	-0.7%	18.5%	-2.3%	-3.7%	-10.8%	-9.1%	-0.1%
97.4.3 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.4 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NAP	NAP	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.5 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.6 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.7 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
97.4.8 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other registry cases	NAP	NAP	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.9 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
$97.4.10\ 2nd\ inst$ courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Administrative law cases	170	168	168	157	153	161	154	163	-4.1%	-1.2%	0.0%	-6.5%	-2.5%	5.2%	-4.3%	5.8%
97.4.11 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other cases	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-							
97.5.1 2nd inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	-	-	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-					-	-
97.5.2 2nd inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	-	-	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-				-	-	-
97.5.10 2nd inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Administrative law cases	-	-	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-					-	-

								ANOIHO	<u> </u>	\		,				
Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
	1	Table 3.6.1: §	Second insta	nce courts, c	learance rat	e of other tha	an criminal la	aw cases (C	(97)							
	T	able 3.6.2: S	econd instan	ice courts, d	isposition tir	ne of other th	nan criminal	law cases (Q97)							
CR Total of other than criminal law cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	103.4%	103.0%	86.7%	100.0%	106.2%	118.2%	114.7%	102.9%	-0.4%	-0.4%	-15.8%	15.3%	6.2%	11.4%	-3.0%	-10.2%
CR Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
CR General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NAP	NAP	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Other registry cases	NAP	NAP	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Administrative law cases	73.3%	100.6%	100.6%	104.0%	101.7%	97.2%	102.8%	95.9%	30.8%	37.2%	0.0%	3.4%	-2.3%	-4.4%	5.8%	-6.8%
CR Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Total of other than criminal law cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	511	498	722	601	553	466	467	488	-4.4%	-2.4%	44.9%	-16.8%	-8.0%	-15.7%	0.1%	4.6%
DT Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NAP	NAP	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Other registry cases	NAP	NAP	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Administrative law cases	290	175	175	202	228	211	222	285	-1.8%	-39.6%	0.0%	15.2%	13.0%	-7.3%	5.1%	28.1%
DT Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

								AVEILID	<u> </u>	1-0 :-		, aata	tabioc			
Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
		Table 3.7	.1 to 3.7.5: Su	preme court	s, number o	f other than	criminal law	cases (Q99)								
99.1.1 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	81	-	. NA	70	81	81	109	104	28.4%	-	-	-	15.7%	0.0%	34.6%	-4.6%
99.1.2 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	NA	-	. NA	70	81	81	109	104	-	-	-	-	15.7%	0.0%	34.6%	-4.6%
99.1.3 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.1.4 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NA	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.1.5 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.1.6 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.1.7 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non-litigious business registry cases	NA	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.1.8 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other registry cases	NA	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.1.9 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.1.10 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Administrative law cases	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.1.11 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NA	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.2.1 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	111	-	. NA	114	107	128	107	116	4.5%	-	-		-6.1%	19.6%	-16.4%	8.4%
99.2.2 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	NA	-	. NA	114	107	128	107	116	-	-	-	_	-6.1%	19.6%	-16.4%	8.4%
99.2.3 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.2.4 High inst courts_Incoming cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NA	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.2.5 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.2.6 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.2.7 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Non-litigious business registry cases	NA	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.2.8 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Other registry cases	NA	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.2.9 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.2.10 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Administrative law cases	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-
99.2.11 High inst courts_incoming cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NA		NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.1 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	69		100	103	107	100	102	111	60.9%	-	-	3.0%	3.9%	-6.5%	2.0%	8.8%
99.3.2 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	NA		100	103	107	100	102	111	-	-	-	3.0%	3.9%	-6.5%	2.0%	8.8%
99.3.3 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-		NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.4 High inst courts_Resolved cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NA	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

								ANCIIID	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		,		<u></u>		_
Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
99.3.5 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-		NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.6 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.7 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Non-litigious business registry cases	NA		NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.8 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Other registry cases	NA	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	-
99.3.9 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.10 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Administrative law cases	NAP		NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.11 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NA	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
99.4.1 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4) $$	91	-	NA	81	81	109	114	109	19.8%	-	-	-	0.0%	34.6%	4.6%	-4.4%
99.4.2 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	NA	-	NA	81	81	109	114	109	-	-	-	-	0.0%	34.6%	4.6%	-4.4%
$99.4.3\ \mathrm{High}$ inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
99.4.4 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NA	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
99.4.5 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
99.4.6 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious land registry cases	-		NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	_	-	-		-
99.4.7 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non-litigious business registry cases	NA	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.8 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other registry cases	NA	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
99.4.9 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.10 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Administrative law cases	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
99.4.11 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NA		NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.5.1 High inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	-			-	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-				-	-	-
99.5.2 High inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	-	-	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-				-	-	
99.5.10 High inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Administrative law cases	-		-	-	NAP	NAP	NA	NAP	-	-				-	-	-

								AVEILID	<u> </u>	(-0:-		, aata	tubica			
Table Consul Bate Formania and damage which												Varia	tions			
Table General Data: Economic and demographic data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
		Table 3.8	3.1: Supreme	courts, clear	ance rate of	other than c	riminal law c	ases (Q97)								
		Table 3.8.	2: Supreme o	courts, dispo	sition time o	of other than	criminal law	cases (Q97)								
CR Total of other than criminal law cases	62.2%	-	NA	90.4%	100.0%	78.1%	95.3%	95.7%	53.9%	-	-	-	10.7%	-21.9%	22.0%	0.4%
CR Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	NA	-	NA	90.4%	100.0%	78.1%	95.3%	95.7%	-	-	-	-	10.7%	-21.9%	22.0%	0.4%
CR Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NA	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Non-litigious business registry cases	NA	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Other registry cases	NA	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-
CR Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Administrative law cases	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NA	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Total of other than criminal law cases	481	-	NA	287	276	398	408	358	-25.5%	-	-	-	-3.7%	44.0%	2.5%	-12.1%
DT Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	NA	-	NA	287	276	398	408	358	-	-	-	-	-3.7%	44.0%	2.5%	-12.1%
DT Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NA	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Non-litigious business registry cases	NA	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Other registry cases	NA	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Administrative law cases	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NA	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2013-2014 Variations

2014-

2015

2015-

2016

2016-2017 2017-

2018

2018-2019

									<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Table General Data: Economic and demographic data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013
Indicator 4: Sys	tems for mea	asuring and	evaluating th	e performan	ce of courts					
Table	4.1: Modali	ties of monit	oring systen	ns (Q81, Q70))					
81 Are individual courts required to prepare an annual activity report?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
070.1.1 number of incoming cases	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
070.1.2 length of proceedings (timeframes)	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
070.1.3 number of resolved cases	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
070.1.4 number of pending cases	-	-	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes		
070.1.5 backlogs	-	-	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes		
070.1.6 productivity of judges and court staff	-	-	-	-	-	-	No	No		
070.1.7 satisfaction of court staff	-	-	-	-	-	-	No	No		
070.1.8 satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)	-	-	-	-	-	-	No	No		
070.1.9 costs of the judicial procedures	-	-	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes		
070.1.10 number of appeals	-	-	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes		
070.1.11 appeal ratio	-	-	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes		
070.1.12 clearance rate	-	-	-		-	-	Yes	Yes		

Table 4.2: Performance and evaluation of the judicial systems (Q77, Q73, Q73.1, Q66, Q67)														
66 Qity standards formulated_jud system	No													
67 Specialised court staff entrusted_qlty standards	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-						
73 Regular system_evaluation_performance_each court	No													
73.1 Is this evaluation of the court activity used for the later allocation of means to this court? (new question)	-	-	No	No	No	No	No	No						
77 Perf and quality indicators of court activities	No													

								ANCIIID	<u> </u>	(2012		autu	tubict	<u> </u>						
Table General Data: Economic and demographic									Variations											
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019				
		Indicator 5: I	_egal aid																	
Table 5	i.1: Type of le	egal aid (othe	er than crimi	nal cases) (Q	16)															
16.1.1 Legal aid applies to representation in court (criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes												
16.1.2 Legal aid applies to legal advice (criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes												
16.2.1 Legal aid applies to representation in court (other than criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes												
16.2.2 Legal aid applies to legal advice (other than criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes												
	Table 5.2: Legal aid coverage (Q17)																			
17 Legal aid included the coverage of or the exemption from court fees	-	-		-	Yes	No	NAP	NAP												

	Table 5.3.1 Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid by type (Q12)															
	Table 5.4 Total annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid (absolute number and per inhabitant) (Q1, Q12)															
Q1. Number of inhabitants	525,000	550,000	563,000	563,000	590,700	602,005	613,900	626,108	19.3%	4.8%	2.4%	0.0%	4.9%	1.9%	2.0%	2.0%
12 Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) - Total (12.1 + 12.2)	3,500,000	3,000,000	3,000,000	3,500,000	4,000,000	6,000,000	7,500,000	8,300,000	137.1%	-14.3%	0.0%	16.7%	14.3%	50.0%	25.0%	10.7%
12.1 Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) for cases brought to court - Total	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
12.2 Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) for non-litigious cases or cases not brought to court - Total	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-			-
12 Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid (in $\ensuremath{\mathfrak{e}}$) - Total criminal cases (12.1 + 12.2)	-	-	NA	NA	NAP	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-			-
12.1 Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) for cases brought to court - Total criminal cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-			
12.2 Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) for non-litigious cases or cases not brought to court - Total criminal	-	-	NA	NA	NAP	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-			
12 Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) - Total other than criminal cases (12.1 + 12.2)	-	-	NA	NA	NAP	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-			
12.1 Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) for cases brought to court - Total other than criminal cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-			-
12.2. Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) for non-litigious cases or cases not brought to court - Total other than criminal cases	-	-	NA	NA	NAP	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-			

Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014 2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	
	Table 5.3.2 Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid by type (Q12-1)															
12 Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) - Total (12.1 + 12.2)	-	-	. NA	NA	6,805,606	9,114,644	6,572,492	NA	-	-	-	_	-	33.9%	-27.9%	-
12.1 Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid (in $\mbox{\ensuremath{\mathfrak{e}}}$) for cases brought to court - Total	-		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12.2 Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) for non-litigious cases or cases not brought to court - Total	-		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12 Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) - Total criminal cases (12.1 + 12.2)	-		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-
12.1 Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) for cases brought to court - Total criminal cases	-		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-
12.2 Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid (in e) for non-litiglous cases or cases not brought to court - Total criminal	-		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-
12 Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) - Total criminal other than criminal cases (12.1 + 12.2)	-		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-
12.1 Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) for cases brought to court - Total other than criminal cases	-		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12.2 Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) for non-litigious cases or cases not brought to court - Total other than criminal cases	-		. NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 5.6: Court fees required to start a proceeding at a court of general jurisdiction in (Q8)													
8.1.1 Have litigants to pay taxes to start a proceeding - Criminal cases	No		No	No	No	No	No	No					
8.1.2 Have litigants to pay taxes to start a proceeding - Other cases	No	-	No	No	No	No	No	No					

Table 5.7 (EC): Cove	Table 5.7 (EC): Coverage of legal aid (other than criminal cases) (Q16, Q17, Q18, Q19)														
16.1.1 Legal aid applies to representation in court (criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes							
16.1.2 Legal aid applies to legal advice (criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes							
16.2.1 Legal aid applies to representation in court (other than criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes							
16.2.2 Legal aid applies to legal advice (other than criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes							
17 Legal aid included the coverage of or the exemption from court fees	-	-	-	-	Yes	No	NAP	NAP							

Table General Data: Economic and demographic					2015	2017			Variations										
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019			
Indicator 6: The ICT tools of courts and for court users																			
			Table	6.1 (EC) Pos	sibility of on	line training	(Q131-2)												
131-2.1.1 Number of training courses in days organised, without e- learning	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
131-2.2.1 Online training courses available during the reference year (e-learning)	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NA	-	-				-	-	-			

Table 6.2 (EC) Technologies used for court management and administration (Q63.1, Q63.2, Q63.7)													
63.1 Is there a case management system?							Yes	Yes					
63.1-1 CMS for civil matter (equipment rate)							100%	100%					
63.1-1 CMS for criminal matter (equipment rate)							100%	100%					
63.1-1 CMS for administrative matter (equipment rate)							100%	100%					
63-1-1 Statistics in CMS civil matter						Not integral	ted but connected	d but connected					
63-1-1 Statistics in CMS criminal matter						Not integral	ted but connected	d but connected					
63-1-1 Statistics in CMS administrative matter						No	t connected at all	connected at all					
63-2 Computerised registries managed by courts - land registry							NA	NA					
63-2 Computerised registries managed by courts - business registry							NA	NA					
63-7.1 Measurement tools to assess the workload							No	No					
63-7.1 workload of judges													
63-7.1 workload of prosecutors													
63-7.1 workload of non-judge and non-prosecutor staff													
63-7.2 Monitoring on national level - judges							No	0					
63-7.2 Monitoring on national level - prosecutors							No	0					
63-7.2 Monitoring on national level - non-judge and non-prosecutor staff							No	0					
63-7.3 Monitoring on court level - judges							No	0					
63-7.3 Monitoring on court level - prosecutors							No	0					
63-7.3 Monitoring on court level - non-judge and non-prosecutor staff							No	0					

									(======================================											
Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	itions							
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019				
Table 6.3.1 (EC) Technologies used for electronic	submission	of cases, tra Q64.2, Q		f summons a	and online m	onitoring of	proceedings	(Q63.1,			·									
064-2 - Possibility to submit a case to courts by electronic means		Q04.2, G	(04.4)				No	No												
064-2 - Civil and/or commercial																				
064-2 - Criminal																				
064-2 - Administrative																				
064-2 - Submission in paper remains mandatory - civil							No	0												
064-2 - Submission in paper remains mandatory - criminal							No	0												
064-2 - Submission in paper remains mandatory - administrative							No	0												
064-2 - Specific legislative framework - civil							No	0												
064-2 - Specific legislative framework - criminal							No	0			Civil - Equip	ment rate								
064-2 - Specific legislative framework - administrative							No	0			Civil - Sumr	non in papeı	r form remai	ns mandator	у					
064-2 - Integrated/connected with the CMS - civil							No	0			Civil - Conse	ent of the us	er to be noti	fied by elect	ronic means	5				
064-2 - Integrated/connected with the CMS - criminal							No	0			Civil - SMS									
064-2 - Integrated/connected with the CMS - administrative							No	0			Civil - E-ma	il								
064-4 - Possibility to transmit summons to a judicial meeting or a hearing by electronic means							No	No			Civil - Speci	fic compute	r application							
064-4 - Summons produced by CMS- civil							No	0			Civil - Othe	r								
064-4 - Summons produced by CMS- criminal							No	0												
064-4 - Summons produced by CMS- administrative							No	0												
064-4 - Simultaneous summon in paper form remains mandatory- civil							No	0												

2012-2013 2013-2014 Variations

2015-

2016

2014-

2015

2016-2017 2017-

2018

2018-2019

Table General Data: Economic and demographic data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	
064-4 - Simultaneous summon in paper form remains mandatory- criminal							No	0	
064-4 - Simultaneous summon in paper form remains mandatory- administrative							No	0	
064-4 - Consent of the user - civil							No	0	
064-4 - Consent of the user - criminal							No	0	
064-4 - Consent of the user - administrative							No	0	
063-1 - Possibility to monitor the stage of proceedings - Civil and/or commercial							not accessible at all	not accessible at all	
063-1 - Possibility to monitor the stage of proceedings - Criminal							not accessible at all	not accessible at all	
063-1 - Possibility to monitor the stage of proceedings - Administrative						nc	t accessible at all	accessible at all	

Table 6.3.2 (EC) Communication	n with courts	s and videoc	onferencing	between cou	ırts (Q64.6, 0	Q64.10, Q64.1	1)	
064-6 - between court and lawyers representing parties							Yes	Yes
064-6 - between court and parties not represented by lawyer							Yes	Yes
064-6 - Civil and/or commercial							100%	100%
064-6 - Criminal							100%	100%
064-6 - Administrative							100%	100%
064-10 - Videoconferencing between courts, professionals and/or users							Yes	Yes
064-10- Civil and/or commercial							NA	NA
064-10 - Criminal							100%	100%
064-10 - Administrative							NA	NA
064-11 - Recording of hearings or debates							Yes	Yes
064-11 - Civil and/or commercial							NA	NA
064-11 - Criminal							100%	100%
064-11 - Administrative							NA	NA
064-11 - Type of recording - Civil and/or commercial							FALSE	FALSE
064-11 - Type of recording - Criminal							Both	Video
064-11 - Type of recording - Administrative							FALSE	FALSE

Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions		
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014		2015- 2016	2017- 2018	2018- 2019

Та	ble 6.4.2 We	osites for jud	licial informa	tion (Q28)				
028.1.1 - Websites with legal texts	-		Yes	-	-	-	Yes	Yes
028.1.2 - Websites with case-law of the higher court/s	-	-	Yes	-	-	-	Yes	Yes
028.1.3 - Websites with other documents	-	-	Yes	-	-	-	Yes	Yes

Table 6.4.2 Existence and	l modalities c	of online sub	mission of re	equest for le	gal aid in 201	19 (Q64.3)		
064-3 - Is it possible to request for granting legal aid by electronic means?							No	N
064-3 - Equipment rate								
064-3 - Request in paper mandatory							No	
064-3 - Specific legislative framework							No	
064-3 - Granting LA is also electronic							No	
064-3 - Information available in CMS							No	
Table 6.5 Technologies used	for communi	ication betwo	en courts a	nd enforcem	ent agents in	2018 (Q64.7)	
064-7 - Equipment rate							100%	1009
064-7 - Modality - Email							Yes	Υe
064-7 - Modality - Specific computer application							No	N
064-7 - Modality - Other							No	N
064-7 - Specific legislative framework							No	N

								171011110	· · · · · ·	1-4						
Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
Table 6.6 Technologies	used for cor	mmunication	between co	urts and not	aries in 2018	(Q64.7)										
064-7 - Equipment rate							100%	100%								
064-7 - Email							Yes	Yes								
064-7 - Specific computer application							Yes	Yes								
064-7 - Other							No	No								
064-7 - Specific legislative framework							No	No								
Table 6.7 Technologies use	d for comm	unication bet	tween courts	and judicial	experts in 2	2018 (Q64.7)										
064-7 - Equipment rate							100%	100%								
064-7 - Email							Yes	Yes								
064-7 - Specific computer application							No	No								
064-7 - Other							No	No								
064-7 - Specific legislative framework							No	No								

Variations

2014-2015 2015-

2016

2016-

2017

2017-

2018

2018-2019

								ixembo	Juig	(2012	-2019
Table General Data: Economic and demographic data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014
Table 6.	8 Admissibil	ity of electro	nic evidence	in 2018 (Q6	4.12)						
n civil and commercial matters											
064-1 - Admission							No	No			
064-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence							No	NAP			
064-1 - General and specialised law to admit electronic evidence							No	NAP			
064-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence							No	NAP			
In criminal matter											
064-1 - Admission							Yes	Yes			
064-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence							No	No			
064-1 - General and specialised law to admit electronic evidence							Yes	Yes			
064-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence							No	No			
In administrative matter											
064-1 - Admission							No	No			
064-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence							No	NAP			
064-1 - General and specialised law to admit electronic evidence							No	NAP			
064-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence							No	NAP			
Table 6.9 C	ther aspects	of the ICT s	ystems in co	urts in 2018	(Q65.4)						
065-4 - Measuring actual benefits resulting of the use of one or several components of your information system							No	No			
065-4 - Impact on Business processes							No	0			
065-4 - Impact on Workload							No	0			
065-4 - Impact on Human resources							No	0			
065-4 - Impact on Costs							No	0			
065-4 - Impact on Other							No	0			

Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
Table 6.10 Existan	ce of online	processing	devices of sp	ecialised liti	gation (Q64-	9)										
064-9 - Existance of online processing devices of specialised litigation							No	No								

	Indicator	7: Career an	d status of ju	ıdges				
	Table 7.1 (I	EC): Training	s for judges	(Q127)				
127.1.1 Initial training (e.g. attend a judicial school, traineeship in the court)	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory
127.1.2 General in-service training	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional	No training proposed	No training proposed
127.1.3 In-service training for specialised judicial functions (e.g. judge for economic or administrative issues)	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional
127.1.4 In-service training for management functions of the court (e.g. court president)	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional
127.1.5 In-service training for the use of computer facilities in courts	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional
127.1.6 In-service training on ethics							Optional	Optional

Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
		Indica	tor 8: The ex	kistence and	use of altern	ative dispute	resolution	methods								
	Table 8.1 No	umber of acc	redited or re	egistered me	diators (abso	lute values a	and per 100 (000 inhabita	nts) (Q1,	Q166)						
166 Number of accredited or registered mediators who practice judicial mediation:	110	130	135	110	173	144	198	227	106.4%	18.2%	3.8%	-18.5%	57.3%	-16.8%	37.5%	14.6%
		т	able 8.2: Ava	ailability of o	court-related	mediation pi	ocedure (Q	163)								
		Table 8.3(E	EC) Number	of court rela	ted mediation	n procedures	(absolute v	alues) (Q167	7)							
	Ti	able 8.4 Num	ber of court	related med	iation proced	lures (per 10	0 000 inhabi	tants) (Q1, C	(167)							
163-1.1 Provision of mandatory mediation procedures_before going to court!	No		No		False	False	False	False			-			-	-	-
163-1.2 Provision of mandatory mediation procedures_ordered by a judge in a course of jud. proc.!	No	-	No	-	False	False	False	False	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
167. Total number started	NA	-	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-				-	-	-
167. 1. Civil and commercial cases - started	NA	-	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-				-	-	-
167. 2. Family cases - started	NA	-	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-				-	-	-
167. 3. Administrative cases - started	NA	-	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-				-	-	-
167. 4. Labour cases including employment dismissal cases - started	NA	-	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-				-	-	-
167. 5. Criminal cases - started	NA	-	-	-	NA	NA	68	49	-	-				-	-	-27.9%
167. 6. Consumer cases - started	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NA	-	-				-	-	-
Table 8.5:	Providers of	court-relate	d mediation	procedure ((Q164)											
164. Civil and commercial cases - Private mediator	Yes	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
164. Civil and commercial cases - Public authority (other than the court)	No	-	No	-	No	No	No	No								
164. Civil and commercial cases - Judge	No	-	No	-	No	No	No	No								
164. Civil and commercial cases - Public prosecutor	Yes	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	No	No								
164. Family law cases - Private mediator	Yes	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
164. Family law cases - Public authority (other than the court)	No	-	No	-	No	No	No	No								
164. Family law cases - Judge	No	-	No	-	No	No	No	No								
164. Family law cases - Public prosecutor	Yes	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	No	No								
164. Administrative cases - Private mediator	No	-	No	-	No	No	No	No								

No

164. Administrative cases - Public authority (other than the court)

No

								ANCIIID	<u> </u>	1		,				
Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	itions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
164. Administrative cases - Judge	No	-	No	-	No	No	No	No								
164. Administrative cases - Public prosecutor	No	-	No	-	No	No	No	No								
164. Employment dismissals - Private mediator	Yes	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
164. Employment dismissals - Public authority (other than the court)	No	-	No	-	No	No	No	No								
164. Employment dismissals - Judge	No	-	No	-	No	No	No	No								
164. Employment dismissals - Public prosecutor	Yes	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	No	No								
164. Criminal cases - Private mediator	Yes	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
164. Criminal cases - Public authority (other than the court)	No	-	No	-	No	No	No	No								
164. Criminal cases - Judge	No	-	No	-	No	No	No	No								
164. Criminal cases - Public prosecutor	Yes	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	No	No								
164. Consumer cases - Private mediator	-	-	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes								
164. Consumer cases - Public authority (other than the court)	-	-	-	-	-	-	No	No								
164. Consumer cases - Judge	-	-	-	-	-	-	No	No								
164. Consumer cases - Public prosecutor	-	-	-	-	-	-	No	No								
Table 8.6: A	vailability of	legal aid for	court-relate	d mediation	(Q165)											
Table 8.7: Ava	ailability of A	DR other tha	an court rela	ted mediatio	n (Q168)											
165 Availability of legal aid for court related mediation	Yes	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
168 Avaialability of ADR - Mediation other than court-related mediation	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	-	Yes	Yes								
168 Avaialability of ADR - Arbitration	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	-	Yes	Yes								
168 Avaialability of ADR - Conciliation	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	-	Yes	Yes								
168 Avaialability of ADR - Other	No	No	No	No	No	-	No	No								

Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014		2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019

Indicator 9: Professionals of justice

Table 9.1.1 and 9.1.2 Number of professional judges (absolute number, per 100 000 inhabitants and variations) (Q1, Q46)

Table 9.1.3 and 9.1.3b Distribution of professional judges by instances and per 100 000 inhabitants (Q46)

Table 9.1.4 to 9.1.7 Distribution of male and female professional judges within the total number of professional judges in first instance in 2010 and 2012 (Q46)

Table 9.5.1 (EC) Number of professional judges sitting in courts per 100 000 inhabitants (Q1, Q46)

Table 9.2.1 to 9.2.3 Total number of non-judge staff (absolute number and per 100 000 inhabitants) and its distribution per category (Q1, Q52)

Table 9.2.4 Number of non-judge staff vs professional judges (values per 100 000 inhabitants) (Q1, Q46, Q52) Q1. Number of inhabitants 525.000 550.000 563.000 563.000 590.700 602.005 613.900 626.108 19.3% 4.8% 2.4% 0.0% 4.9% 1.9% 2.0% 2.0% 46.1.1 Total Number of professional judges 179 180 184 183 187 198 222 0.6% 2.2% -0.5% 2.2% 5.9% 12.1% 1.8% 226 26.3% 46.1.2 Number of 1st inst professional judges 139 139 143 142 143 146 168 22.3% 0.0% 2.9% -0.7% 0.7% 2.1% 15.1% 1.2% 170 46.1.3 Number of 2nd inst professional judges NA NA 37 37 40 47 49 51 0.0% 8.1% 17.5% 4.3% 4.1% 46.1.4 Number of Supreme court professional judges 40 41 5 5 -87.5% 2.5% -90.2% 0.0% 0.0% 25.0% 0.0% 0.0% 46.2.1 Number of professional judges_males NA 63 64 66 70 71 71 3.2% -1.5% 3 1% 6.1% 1.4% 0.0% 65 6.4% 46.2.2 Number of 1st instance professional judges_males NA 46 49 48 49 47 50 50 6.5% -2.0% 2.1% -4.1% 0.0% 46.2.3 Number of 2nd instance professional judges_males 0.0% 46.2% -10.5% 0.0% NA NA 14 14 13 19 17 17 -7.1% 46.2.4 Number of Supreme court professional judges_males NA 17 -88.29 0.0% 100.0% 0.0% 0.0% 46.3.1 Number of professional judges females NA 117 119 119 121 128 151 155 1.7% 0.0% 1.7% 5.8% 18.0% 2.6% 46.3.2 Number of 1st inst professional judges_females NA 93 94 94 94 99 118 120 1.1% 0.0% 0.0% 5.3% 19.2% 1.7% 46.3.3 Number of 2nd inst professional judges_females NA 23 23 27 28 32 34 0.0% 17.4% 3.7% 14.3% 6.3% 46.3.4 Number of Supreme court professional judges_females NA 24 2 2 -91.7% 0.0% 100.0% 0.0% 0.0% 52.1.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts NA 196 197 200 200 220 225 -1.0% 0.5% 1.5% 0.0% 10.0% 2.3% 52.1.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger) NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP 52.1.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges -31.3% -2.3% 45.8% 2.4% NA 192 132 129 131 191 210 215 1.6% 9.9% 52.1.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks NA 63 67 66 3 1160.0% 6.3% -1.5% -90.9% -50.0% 0.0% 6 3 52.1.5 Number of Technical staff NA 3 0.0% 0.0% 200.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 3 52.1.6 Number of Other non judge staff NA NAP NAP NAP NAP NAP 4 0.0% 52.2.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in 84 -11.8% 12.0% -2.4% 79 78 85 75 82 -1.3% 9.0% courts(men)

Table General Data: Economic and demographic		2013	2014	2015	2016				Variations								
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012					2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	
52.2.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)(men)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	
52.2.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges(men)	-	-	58	56	59	69	77	75	-	-	-	-3.4%	5.4%	16.9%	11.6%	-2.6%	
52.2.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks(men)	-	-	20	21	23	3	2	2	-	-	-	5.0%	9.5%	-87.0%	-33.3%	0.0%	
52.2.5 Number of Technical staff(men)	-	-	1	1	3	3	3	3	-	-	-	0.0%	200.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
52.2.6 Number of Other non judge staff(men)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	
52.3.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts(women)	NA	120	117	119	115	125	136	143	-	-	-2.5%	1.7%	-3.4%	8.7%	8.8%	5.1%	
52.3.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)(women)	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-								
52.3.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges(women)	NA	117	74	73	72	122	133	140	-	-	-36.8%	-1.4%	-1.4%	69.4%	9.0%	5.3%	
52.3.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks(women)	NA	3	43	46	43	3	1	1	-	-	1333.3%	7.0%	-6.5%	-93.0%	-66.7%	0.0%	
52.3.5 Number of Technical staff(women)	NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
52.3.6 Number of Other non judge staff(women)	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	

								ANGIIID	ilibourg (2012-2019) data tables									
Table General Data: Economic and demographic			2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Variations									
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013							2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019		
	Table 9.3	.1 Number o	f lawyers* (al	osolute num	ber, per 100	000 inhabita	nts and varia	ntions) (Q1, (Q146, Q1	47)								
		Tal	ble 9.5.2 (EC)	Number of	awyers per	100 000 inhal	oitants (Q1, (Q146)										
	Table 9).3.3 Number	of lawyers v	s profession	nal judges (v	alues per 100	000 inhabit	ants) (Q1, Q	146, Q52)								
Q1. Number of inhabitants	525,000	550,000	563,000	563,000	590,700	602,005	613,900	626,108	19.3%	4.8%	2.4%	0.0%	4.9%	1.9%	2.0%	2.0%		
146 Total number of lawyers practising in your country.	2,020	2,203	2,180	2,323	2,381	2,597	2,993	2,914	44.3%	9.1%	-1.0%	6.6%	2.5%	9.1%	15.2%	-2.6%		
147 Does this figure include "legal advisors" who cannot represent their clients in court (for example, some solicitors or in-house counsellors)?	No	-	No	No	No	No	No	No	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
52.1.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts	NA	198	196	197	200	200	220	225	-	-	-1.0%	0.5%	1.5%	0.0%	10.0%	2.3%		
52.1.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
52.1.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges	NA	192	132	129	131	191	210	215	-	-	-31.3%	-2.3%	1.6%	45.8%	9.9%	2.4%		
52.1.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks	NA	5	63	67	66	6	3	3	-		1160.0%	6.3%	-1.5%	-90.9%	-50.0%	0.0%		
52.1.5 Number of Technical staff	NA	1	1	1	3	3	3	3	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	200.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
52.1.6 Number of Other non judge staff	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	4	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%		
52.2.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts(men)	-	-	79	78	85	75	84	82	-	-	-	-1.3%	9.0%	-11.8%	12.0%	-2.4%		
52.2.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)(men)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
52.2.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges(men)	-	-	58	56	59	69	77	75	-	-	-	-3.4%	5.4%	16.9%	11.6%	-2.6%		
52.2.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks(men)	-	-	20	21	23	3	2	2	-	-	-	5.0%	9.5%	-87.0%	-33.3%	0.0%		
52.2.5 Number of Technical staff(men)	-	-	1	1	3	3	3	3	-	-	-	0.0%	200.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
52.2.6 Number of Other non judge staff(men)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	2	2	-	-		-	-	-		0.0%		

													_					
Table General Data: Economic and demographic data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)		2013		2015	2016				Variations									
	2012		2014			2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019		
52.3.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts(women)	NA	120	117	119	115	125	136	143	-	-	-2.5%	1.7%	-3.4%	8.7%	8.8%	5.1%		
52.3.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)(women)	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-		-									
52.3.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges(women)	NA	117	74	73	72	122	133	140	-	-	-36.8%	-1.4%	-1.4%	69.4%	9.0%	5.3%		
52.3.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks(women)	NA	3	43	46	43	3	1	1	-	-	1333.3%	7.0%	-6.5%	-93.0%	-66.7%	0.0%		
52.3.5 Number of Technical staff(women)	NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	-		-		
52.3.6 Number of Other non judge staff(women)	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-		0.0%		

Indicator 10: The methods, sources and efficiency of national data collection														
Table 10.1: Centralised institution responsible for collecting statistical data regarding the functioning of the courts and judiciary (Q80)														
80.1 Centralised instit resp_collecting data_func_C&J	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	Yes	Yes	No						

Table 10.2: Publication of statistics on the functioning of each court on the internet (Q80.1)													
80-1 Published statistics on the functioning of each court	Yes	Yes	Yes, on internet	Yes, on internet	Yes on Internet	Yes on Internet	Yes on Internet	Yes on Internet					

									<u> </u>	<u> </u>		,						
Table General Data: Economic and demographic			2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Variations									
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013							2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019		
Table 11.1: Existance of	specific prov	visions for fa	cilitating ger	nder equality	in recruiting	g (Q61-2)												
Judges							No	No										
Prosecutors							No	No										
Non-judge staff							No	No										
Lawyers							No	No										
Notaries							No	No										
Enforcement agents							No	No										
Table 11.2: Existance of spec	cific provisio	ns for facilita	ating gender	equality in p	romotion in	2018 (Q61-2))											
Judges							No	No										
Prosecutors							No	No										
Non-judge staff							No	No										
Lawyers							No	No										
Notaries							No No	No No										
Enforcement agents							NO	NO										
Table 11.3: Availability	y of national	programme	to promote g	ender equal	ity in 2018 (Q61-5)												
National programme for gender equality							No	No										
Table 11.4: Existance of person/institu	ution specifi	cally dedicat	ed to ensure	the respect	of gender ed	uality in 2018	8 (Q61-7)											
In courts (judges)							Yes	Yes										
In public prosecution services (prosecutors)							Yes	Yes										
For courts' non-judge staff							Yes	Yes										

Key: Variation of more than (+ -) 20%