

Landscape facets
Reflections and proposals for the implementation
of the European Landscape Convention

Publishing
Editions



Landscape facets

Reflections and proposals for the implementation
of the European Landscape Convention



French version

*Facettes du paysage – Réflexions et propositions pour la mise en oeuvre
de la Convention européenne du paysage*

ISBN 978-92-871-7079-8

*The opinions expressed in this work are the responsibility of the authors and do not
necessarily reflect the official policy of the Council of Europe.*

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be translated, reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic (CD-Rom, Internet, etc.) or mechanical, including photocopying, recording or any information storage or retrieval system, without the prior permission in writing from the Directorate of Communication (F-67075 Strasbourg or publishing@coe.int).

Cover design: Graphic Design Unit, Council of Europe
© Photo: Cathy Bernot

Council of Europe Publishing
F-67075 Strasbourg Cedex
<http://book.coe.int>

ISBN 978-92-871-7080-4
© Council of Europe, January 2012
Printed at the Council of Europe

Contents

Preface	7
----------------------	---

I. Landscape, towns and peri-urban and suburban areas

<i>Diedrich Bruns, Council of Europe expert</i>	9
---	---

Summary	11
Introduction: purpose of the study	13
1. Concepts of peri-urban and suburban landscapes	13
2. Examples of peri-urban and suburban landscapes	15
3. “Landscape” in selected town planning policies	19
4. “Urban landscape” in selected town planning policies	27
5. Co-operative and communicative planning and decision making	38
Conclusions	43
Sources	48

II. Infrastructure and landscape: roads

<i>Ignacio Español Echániz, Council of Europe expert</i>	53
--	----

Summary	55
Introduction: travelling, landscape experience and roads	56
1. Roads and landscapes	58
2. Landscape perception from the road	62
3. Road design for landscape character	69
4. Road integration within landscape	83
Conclusions: landscape values on every road	90
Appendices	93
Sources	110

III. Road infrastructures: tree avenues in the landscape

<i>Chantal Pradines, Council of Europe expert</i>	
---	--

<i>With the collaboration of the Association “Trees and Roads”</i>	113
--	-----

Summary	115
Introduction	116
1. History	116

2. A multifaceted heritage.....	133
3. What is to be done?	149
4. Regulatory protection: the key to success.....	163
5. What resources?	165
Conclusions and recommendations	172
Appendices.....	175
Photo credits	182
Sources.....	183

IV. European local landscape circle studies: implementation guide

<i>Terry O'Regan, Council of Europe expert</i>	191
Summary.....	193
Introduction.....	196
1. Aims of the landscape circle template.....	197
2. The steps.....	198
Appendices.....	209
Acknowledgements.....	216

V. Education on landscape for children

<i>Benedetta Castiglioni, Council of Europe expert</i>	217
Summary.....	219
1. Education on landscape and education for sustainable development.....	220
2. Discovering education on landscape.....	228
Conclusions and recommendations: promotion of education on landscape	264

VI. Training of landscape architects

<i>Ingrid Sarlöv-Herlin, Council of Europe expert – With the collaboration of the European Council of Landscape Architecture Schools (ECLAS)</i>	269
Summary.....	271
Introduction.....	273
1. What is landscape architecture?	273
2. Why is landscape architecture education important for the European Landscape Convention?	275
3. Evolution of landscape architecture education in Europe	277

4. Current state of education in landscape architecture in Europe	279
5. Analysis and discussion	282
Conclusions and recommendations	285
Acknowledgements.....	287

VII. Landscape and ethics

<i>Marina Kuleshova and Tamara Semenova, Council of Europe experts</i>	<i>289</i>
--	------------

Summary	291
Introduction.....	293
1. Legislation, common law and beliefs	294
2. Ethics and landscape preservation.....	295
3. International politics and ethics	296
4. The Council of Europe European Landscape Convention	297
5. The United Nations Convention on Biological Diversity	298
6. Professional ethics for territories	300
Conclusions: a harmonious development	301
Sources.....	303

Preface

The Council of Europe European Landscape Convention (ETS No. 176) is a ground-breaking international treaty adopting an approach to spatial development that takes account of the landscape, in other words the quality of the environmental life of individuals and societies, in keeping with the Council of Europe's concerns with regard to human rights and democracy. It does this by recommending that member states involve the public in all stages of landscape policies.

Since the European Landscape Convention was adopted by the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe in Strasbourg and opened for signature in Florence in 2000, the Council of Europe has examined and illustrated some of the themes relating to the convention, in other words certain facets of the landscape:¹

- landscape, towns and suburban and peri-urban areas;
- landscape and transport infrastructures: roads;
- road infrastructures: tree-lined avenues in the landscape;
- European local landscape circle studies;
- landscape and education of children;
- training of landscape architects;
- landscapes and ethics.

This publication is a collection of the relevant reports drawn up by Council of Europe experts in the light of the conclusions of the meetings of the workshops for the implementation of the European Landscape Convention.² These reports were also presented at the Council of Europe conferences on the European Landscape Convention, held at the Palais de l'Europe in Strasbourg on 22 and 23 March 2007,

1. See the previous publication, *Landscape and sustainable development: challenges of the European Landscape Convention*, Council of Europe Publishing, Strasbourg, 2006, ISBN 972-92-871-5988-5.

2. The proceedings of the meetings of the Council of Europe workshops for the implementation of the European Landscape Convention have been published by Council of Europe Publishing in the collection *European spatial planning and landscape*, and these are available on the European Landscape Convention Internet site: <http://www.coe.int/Europeanlandscapeconvention>.

and 30 and 31 March 2009. The representatives of governments and of international governmental and non-governmental organisations that attended these conferences had the opportunity to discuss the relevant issues and to take the first steps towards optimum implementation of the convention.³

Following the order in which these reports were presented, we would like to express our gratitude to the experts for the high quality of their work and for their important contributions: Mr Diedrich Bruns, Mr Ignacio Español Echániz, Ms Chantal Pradines, Mr Terry O'Regan, Ms Benedetta Castiglione, Ms Ingrid Sarlöv-Herlin, Ms Marina Kuleshova and Ms Tamara Semenova.

Maguelonne Déjeant-Pons

Secretary of the European Landscape
Convention

Head of the Cultural Heritage,
Landscape and Spatial Planning
Division, Council of Europe

Jean-François Seguin

Chair of the 5th and 6th Conferences of
the Council of Europe on the European
Landscape Convention

Head of the Landscape Office, French
Ministry of Ecology, Energy, Sustainable
Development and the Sea

3. Conference reports: Documents T-FLOR (2007) 14 and CEP-CDPATEP (2009) 19.

I. Landscape, towns and peri-urban and suburban areas

Diedrich Bruns, Council of Europe expert



© Diedrich Bruns

Summary

The purpose of this report is to formulate proposals regarding the integration of landscape into town planning policies and to draft recommendations to member states of the Council of Europe with proposals on the development of policies concerning peri-urban and suburban areas in the framework of the European Landscape Convention.

Based on nine examples of town planning from different European countries, current practices concerning the protection, management and planning of landscape in urban areas are examined. Pertinent town planning policies are analysed and compared. “Urban landscape” as a term is used here, in its broadest sense, to describe and interpret the changing landscapes of cities and towns. The examples employed in this study include urban landscapes on a neighbourhood scale, on a city scale, and on a regional scale. The “urban landscape” concept assumes that these levels should be considered, not separate from each other, but together and simultaneously.

It is through complicated cultural and historical associations, which continue to bring a place alive, that “land” turns into “landscape”. Local communities and their culture are crucial to the identity and character of an area. This is especially relevant for urban landscapes where much of what we perceive on the ground is associated with symbols, icons and myths that are alive in buildings, open spaces and in the memory of people today. Just as former communities contributed to the landscape we have inherited, so the involvement of today’s residents is central to the way the landscape is evolving. Thus, policies for the urban environment have to be based on participatory processes.

Spatial thinking should look at the city as an entire landscape. Local town planning should be placed in the context of regional and subregional strategies, while strategic planning will benefit from being informed by the detail of individual localities, initiatives and projects. There appears to be a need for greater integration, vertically and horizontally, between all those who have stakes in and responsibilities for landscape. Landscape planning should incorporate landscape at all levels of spatially relevant decision making, providing correspondence between these layers. It should include social concerns, and urban programmes and projects. On

a regional scale, goals may become part of legal plans, on local scales they need to be adopted as measures. To better appreciate the “urban landscape” as a whole, comprehensive spatial planning may be linked with urban design.

Landscape is made up of a multitude of “modules” and “layers”, making it necessary to bring together as many different disciplines as possible. It seems important to overcome sectoral fragmentation in order to begin to understand the “urban landscape” as an entity in its own right. Networks of European cities and research facilities may be formed that would bring together the knowledge and experience of municipal government and administrations, and of different academic fields that have their focus on landscape. It is recommended to find innovative planning and design solutions, and that research on “urban landscapes” be organised.

Town planning in Europe offers a rich variety of different traditions and cultures. Integrating landscape into town planning policies provides a unique chance, for towns and regions, to benefit from each other’s strengths. Facilitating exchanges of experience would help makers and users of planning policies to learn about the potential of European capacities in protecting, managing and planning urban landscapes. Policies on landscape would appear to benefit from greater attention, articulation, and also from examples of “best practice”.

It may be important to implement the European Landscape Convention not only in national and regional policies and plans, but to bring its message directly to neighbourhoods and to individual people. This would require, among other activities, the involvement of communities that are managing landscape change. Landscape-related learning and education appears to need attention. Landscape policies will have to be translated into specific measures which should be adopted at the level closest to the citizen wherever possible. Through processes of local consultation, people should decide on their own landscapes, and people should also inform each other about landscape values.

Introduction: purpose of the study

The purpose of this report is:

- to formulate proposals to implement Article 5, paragraph d, of the European Landscape Convention regarding the integration of landscape into town planning policies in peri-urban and suburban areas (see Boxes 1 and 2); and
- to draft recommendations to member states of the Council of Europe with proposals on the development of policies concerning peri-urban and suburban areas in the framework of the European Landscape Convention.

Box 1 – “Definitions” (European Landscape Convention, Article 1)

For the purpose of the convention:

- “landscape” means an area, as perceived by people, whose character is the result of the action and interaction of natural and/or human factors;
- “landscape policy” means an expression by the competent public authorities of general principles, strategies and guidelines that permit the taking of specific measures aimed at protection management and planning of landscapes. ...

Box 2 – “General measures” (European Landscape Convention, Article 5, paragraph d)

Each Party undertakes:

to integrate landscape into its regional and town planning policies and in its cultural, environmental, agricultural, social and economic policies, as well as in any other policies with possible direct or indirect impact on landscape.

The focus of this report is on town planning policies carried out within the context of regional and local planning and decision making. Current practices concerning the protection, management and planning of landscape in suburban, peri-urban and other urban areas are examined based on a selection of examples from different European towns and cities.

1. Concepts of peri-urban and suburban landscapes

In June 2005, the third meeting of the Council of Europe workshops for the implementation of the European Landscape Convention was held in Cork, Ireland.⁴ The


4. “Proceedings – Third meeting of the workshops for the implementation of the European Landscape Convention”, *European spatial planning and landscape series*, No. 82, Council of Europe Publishing, Strasbourg, 2007.

theme was “Landscapes for urban, suburban and peri-urban areas”. It was noted that the current phenomena of urbanisation make it increasingly difficult to clearly identify landscapes in the field; they are in old commercial and industrial areas, at the urban fringe, or what used to be the urban fringe, and outside of what used to be the “city” or “town” (“ex-urban”), where dynamic transformations are happening. Traditional settlement types have been remoulded, in some cases into completely new forms, and their character may have changed beyond recognition. With current demographic trends, dramatic changes are expected to continue, and they will soon also occur in seemingly stable residential areas.

The quality of future development will differ greatly. Urban areas may be extended, or they may be reduced (for example: “shrinking cities”, “brownfields”), archetypal rural and urban qualities may be diffused, leading to new types such as low density “new urban enclaves” (Martin and Bibby 2005) or the “city turned inside out” (Venturi 2004). Surveyors, while studying modern land use patterns, are finding categories such as “marginal fringe” and even “urban fringe” (Coleman 2000). The artist may see landscapes “*entre deux mondes*” (Janisset 2005). Statistical offices may simply refer to “semi-urban” areas (Eurostat 1992).

“Peri-urban” and “suburban” are terms used by the European Landscape Convention to refer to such “intermediate categories”, categories that are between urban and rural, both conceptually and spatially. During the Cork workshop on “Landscapes for urban, suburban and peri-urban areas” it was suggested that new concepts may be needed, and that new transdisciplinary partnerships should be formed in order to develop and apply these concepts.

Relating “urban landscape” to rural landscape, and urban and rural area



Questions have been raised regarding what exactly the specific qualities of such “intermediate areas” and “in-between landscapes” could be. Are they predominantly “urban” with some “rural” character attached? Should they be considered as new types of landscape in their own right? Examples of innovative approaches of reinterpreting “urbanised” and “semi-urban” areas are, among others, the “*Zwischenstadt*” (Sieverts 1997), “*Neue Urbanität*” (Oswald and Schüller 2003) and “metropolitan landscapes” (Tress and Tress 2004). During the Cork workshop, the term “urban

landscape” was used to include all phenomena considered in these approaches. It was suggested that “urban landscape” may serve as a research concept, as well as a planning and policy approach. Both are interrelated, ask complimentary questions (Box 3), and should thus benefit from each other (Antrop 2004).

Box 3 – Complementary questions asked within research and planning concepts of urban landscape

<i>Research concept</i>	<i>Planning concept</i>
<ul style="list-style-type: none">- What constitutes an urban landscape?- How does urban landscape function?	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- What are the problems and opportunities of urban landscape?- How should we react to the these?- What should be changed?

“Urban landscape” as a term is used here, in its broadest sense, to describe and interpret changing landscapes of cities and towns. The examples employed in this study include urban landscapes on a neighbourhood scale, on a city scale, and on a regional scale. The “urban landscape” concept assumes that these levels should be considered, not separate from each other, but together and simultaneously.

2. Examples of peri-urban and suburban landscapes

2.1 Criteria for selecting examples

For the purpose of selecting examples of town planning policies that are useful for this study, initially, both experts and recent literature on urban landscapes were consulted. Examples should represent current town planning practice and meet the following structural requirements. First, examples for this study should include a variety of different types and forms of “urban landscapes”. Second, all major land use types should be covered, including residential, commercial, industrial and recreational. Third, examples should have different locations within the greater landscape matrix. Location criteria relate to landscape history, especially where urban expansion or urban shrinking lead to suburban or peri-urban locations. Other locations may include urbanised areas that used to lie at the edge, but also areas that lie beyond the city edge.

A collection of structurally diverse examples was made. From this collection, those examples were identified that seem to best reflect: the relevant policy guidelines of the European Landscape Convention and the distinctive qualities of different European planning traditions.

With reference to town planning, five legal and administrative “families” may be recognised in Europe: the British, the French, the Germanic, the Scandinavian, and others. In many cases these “families” and their respective characteristics closely relate to specific types of government, organisation and legal system (Newman and Thornley 1996, Balchin and Sýkora 1999). These include hierarchical structures of administration and political order (for example, Switzerland and Germany), structures relating to a body of law that developed primarily from customs and judicial decisions based on precedent (for example, the United Kingdom and Ireland), unitary systems with relatively strong central planning competences (for example, France), and systems with planning powers substantially devolved to the municipalities (for example, Scandinavian countries).

Local self-government and the ability to find solutions collectively has been the cornerstone of Scandinavian planning traditions. One of the most distinctive properties of the French family, the application of abstract legal norms and intricate systems of codified rules, has been maintained in several countries, including France, Germany and Switzerland. Contributions of the British family include discussions of, and agreements on, planning objectives and environmental thresholds. Table 3 indicates how the examples selected for this study relate to traditional European policy and planning cultures.

2.2 Presentation of selected examples

A range of cities and towns has been included, with large metropolitan city regions at one end of the spectrum (for example, Ankara, Budapest and Copenhagen) and smaller towns at the other end (for example, Cork and Lucerne) (Table 1). Some cities and towns are expanding, some are shrinking, and others are more or less stable. Examples include urban open spaces (for example, Cork and Budapest), urban renewal areas (for example, Leipzig and Ankara), urban expansion areas (for example, Milton Keynes), and others (Table 2).

The territories of selected examples have been defined in a number of different ways, mainly considering:

- administrative areas;
- combinations of different land use types;
- physical appearance and organisation.

Some “urban landscapes” are clearly demarcated, for example as urban parks or residential development. Others lack border lines that are clearly visible in the field. They have been defined individually – as urban renewal areas, expansion areas, etc.

In assessing examples individually, indicators and criteria have been used such as:

- functional characteristics, including networks, infrastructure, etc;
- indications of identity, including cultural features, way of life and atmosphere;
- political and administrative realms, such as municipalities and regions (Table 3).

Table 1 – Overview of selected examples

Example	Territory of city/town (km ²)	Population and population density (people/km ²)	Location of example in town/city	Brief description of selected suburban and peri-urban landscape
Jerry O'Sullivan Park, Cork, Ireland	37.31	123 062 (2002)	peripheral	A former derelict green area restored to a new residential park
Stadtumbaukonzept "Grünes Rietzschke Band", Leipzig, Germany	297.60	498 491 (2005)	central	19th-century urban blocks that are partly being demolished and replaced by green areas
ESP (Entwicklungsschwerpunkt) Rontal, Lucerne, Switzerland Agglomeration (2005): City (2005):	20.28 5.15	121 754 57 300	peripheral	An infill development is planned within an existing industrial and commercial area
Strategisk grønnstrukturplan for Groruddalen, Oslo, Norway	450	529 454 (2005) 3 700 people/km ²	peripheral	Urban development is planned in a part of Oslo that has been growing since the 1960s
Milton Keynes Eastern Expansion Area, United Kingdom	308.69	216 850 (2004)	peripheral	Development outside of current city borders

GPV (grand projet de ville) Communauté; CUS (1999) Neuhof, Strasbourg, France	78.27 305.97	264 115 3 374 people/km ² 451 240 1 475 people/km ²	peripheral	Mixed residential development, and services
Havneparken/ Islands Brygge, Copenhagen, Denmark	Greater Copenhagen: 2 500	City: 502 362 (2005) 5 600 people/km ²	central	Public waterfront near the city centre
Dikmen vadisi projesi, Ankara, Turkey	–	3 000 000	central	Replacement of squatter settlements with modern buildings and a large urban park
Park Millenáris/ Ganz Park, Budapest, Hungary	525	1 886 000	central	Creation of urban park as part of urban renewal

Table 2 – Current development trends in selected cities/regions

Example	Expanding	Stable	Shrinking
Milton Keynes Eastern Expansion Area, United Kingdom			
Strategisk grønstrukturplan for Goruddalen, Oslo, Norway			
ESP Rontal, Lucerne, Switzerland			
Jerry O'Sullivan Park, Cork, Ireland			
Stadtumbaukonzept, Grünes Rietzschke Band, Leipzig, Germany			
GPV Neuhof, Strasbourg, France			
Havneparken, Copenhagen, Denmark			
Dikmen vadisi projesi, Ankara, Turkey			
Park Millenáris/Ganz Park, Budapest, Hungary			

Table 3 – Planning traditions relating to selected examples

Example	British	Germanic	French	Scandinavian	Transition
Milton Keynes Eastern Expansion Area, United Kingdom					
Jerry O'Sullivan Park, Cork, Ireland					
Stadtumbaukonzept, Grünes Rietzschke Band, Leipzig, Germany					
ESP Rontal, Lucerne, Switzerland					
GPV Neuhof, Strasbourg, France					
Strategisk grønnstrukturplan for Groruddalen, Oslo, Norway					
Havneparken, Copenhagen, Denmark					
Dikmen vadisi projesi, Ankara, Turkey					
Park Millenáris/Ganz Park, Budapest, Hungary					

3. “Landscape” in selected town planning policies

3.1 “Landscape” at different levels of policy making

“Landscape” may be integrated into planning policies at national, regional and local administrative levels. Ideally, national and regional policies provide landscape targets in a broad sense, while local policies specify “urban landscape quality goals”, and both correspond (Box 4). Where broad policy frameworks are provided, landscape may be regarded as the “common heritage of the nation”, and as a “shared concern” at regional and local levels. “Every citizen should participate in the decision-making process regarding landscape” (Ministère de l’Ecologie et du Développement durable 2005).

Box 4 – National and local policies specifying “landscape” and “urban landscape”

Landscape at the national level – An example: Norwegian European Landscape Convention policy	Landscape at the local level – An example: Oslo’s landscape policy
“The Government will give greater emphasis to landscapes in land use management, in accordance with the requirements of the European Landscape Convention” (Norwegian Ministry of the Environment 2005).	“Different parts of the city shall maintain their character. At the same time, modern architecture is wanted and approved of, within the frames given by the city’s scale, urban landscape and special surroundings” (City of Oslo 2004a).

National government may provide strategic targets, such as to “safeguard the environmental qualities of landscape” and include these into broad policy documents (for example, Norwegian Ministry of the Environment 2005). Strategic planning may include spatial categories such as rural, peri-urban and urban areas, and specify development targets for these categories, as well as for individual regions or subregions. Environmental quality goals may refer to regional landscape character, cultural traditions, mixed-use development, provisions for public open spaces, and others (for example: Bundesamt für Raumentwicklung 2005, BUWAL 1998).

At regional levels, strategic policies may be adopted, by regional, subregional and local authorities, as an expression of combined ownership and responsibility for the territories in their area. Regional landscape strategies may also propose partnerships, crossing administrative boundaries and levels of decision making. Through the efforts of regional co-ordination, public and private parties would adopt regional landscape policies that help guide the management and development of their territory (Table 4).

Detailed guidelines may be specified for individual sites. In most European countries, municipal councils are responsible for comprehensive local planning, detailed local planning and for issuing building permits. Comprehensive local plans usually summarise and specify overall political objectives for the development of the municipality. Links at the interface between regional and local planning may include, for example, key themes such as economic visions, the location and design of urban areas; and the location of housing, workplaces, transport and green spaces (Box 5). The location and quality of urban shrinking has become a new concern.

Table 4 – Landscape focus at different levels of planning – Examples from Ireland

Level	Policy	Landscape focus	Relating to other level
National (Ireland)	National spatial strategy (NSS)	The NSS recognises landscapes as one of the major contributors to define national identity. The NSS includes landscapes as part of sustainable development.	Development plans are required to relate objectives to landscape character (Planning and Development Act 2000; landscape assessment guidelines, 2000).
Regional Example: South West Region	Regional planning guidelines (RPGs) identify four different zones (RPGs zones), including the Cork Area	The RPGs address the protection and enhancement of landscapes as one of the principle issues. The RPGs suggest urban renewal schemes, environmental improvement schemes, landscape character assessment (LCA), and other instruments, to ensure that “the public environment acts as a magnet to residents, shoppers, developers, businesses and tourists alike” (South West Regional Authority 2004).	Environmental reports must be included with all spatial and development plans (Planning and Development Act 2000). Landscape is one of the categories to be considered in these reports.
Local Example: Cork City Council	Cork Area Strategic Plan (CASP), Cork City Development Plan (including zoning)	Designated “Scenic Routes”, river corridors, amenity walks, views and prospects, conservation areas, landscape protection zones, public open spaces, sports grounds, etc., co-operation with neighbouring municipalities to protect views (e.g., ridge protection zones).	Local area plans, local improvement schemes, etc., with democratic and legal planning processes.



III. 1: Subregional strategy for Milton Keynes and the South Midlands (MKSM 2005, RPG 9 2001)

Box 5 – Cross-level policies specifying “landscape targets” (example: Milton Keynes)

Regional planning	Subregional strategy	Local plan
Definition of sub-areas with distinct boundaries and character. Recognition of Milton Keynes as a growth area (RPG 9 2001).	Up to 44 900 homes to be developed until 2021 within balanced and sustainable communities: “Where new or expanded communities are needed, these should be sustainable, well-designed, high quality and attractive places where people will choose to live and work” (MKSM 2005).	“Encourage good design in new development by promoting consideration of character; continuity and enclosure; quality of the public realm; ease of movement; legibility; adaptability and diversity” (Milton Keynes Council 2002).

3.2 Policy making across administrative borders

Ideally, spatial planning will be conducted and administered across administrative borders and levels. Close co-operation should be sought between the agencies at regional level, on the one hand, and the administrations of each municipality of a given area, on the other hand (SPD 2005). A classic example of providing a framework for cross-level and cross-border policy making is the Thames Landscape Strategy. A “plethora of statutory agencies and authorities with responsibilities along the river through London” are part of the strategy area and the planning process (Wilkie 1994).


Landscape visions such as the Thames Landscape Strategy or Copenhagen’s Green Finger Plan may help support co-operation between municipalities that

share one greater landscape region (Ill. 2). The idea of “green belts”, derived from the *cordon sanitaire* of plague-ridden cities of the past, first became part of the “garden city” movement, and later expanded into concepts of “green networks” or “green corridors”.



Ill. 2: *The Green Finger Plan for Greater Copenhagen from 1947 (SPD 2002)*

Cross-level and cross-border co-operation is important for all urban regions, whether they are expanding, stable or shrinking. Strategies for expanding and stable cities may pertain to regionally optimal allocation of new buildings, aiming at, among other goals, the limitation of new building development (MKSM 2005). Shrinking cities are faced with a multitude of social, economic and structural issues that need to be addressed in the concerted efforts of neighbouring authorities. Large-scale transitions usually affect entire regions. The example of Leipzig illustrates how landscape policies may transcend administrative borders and policy levels (Ill. 3).



III. 3: Landscape policies transcending administrative borders and policy levels: Leipzig, Westsachsen (individual images from: Westsachsen 1999, Leipzig 2003)

Broad landscape policies and intersectorial co-ordination were developed in Ankara as air pollution increased and the need arose to maintain effective ventilation corridors throughout the core of the city. The Ankara 2015 Structural Plan provides for a recreational and green-zone framework. It proposes to develop an 8-10 km-wide green belt around the city. This green belt connects to radial corridors that support local wind systems and thus help improve air quality. The Dikmen vadisi projesi is part of this system (Nalbantoğlu 2000).

Cross-level policy making may be supported by the corresponding budgets. In most countries, regional policy making includes financial planning, and individual regions are involved in allocating funds provided by the European Union, national programmes and other sources. The aim may be, among others, to assist the economic and social regeneration of areas that have experienced structural deficits (“La reconversion économique et sociale des régions en difficulté structurelle”, Region Alsace, France, 2005).

Additional mechanisms that make cross-level co-operation easier include, among others, thematic task forces, forums for public debate, information bulletins, etc. Such mechanisms are largely informal in nature, and they may be integrated with statutory planning instruments, such as building permits, and local and regional planning. They may also correspond to budgeting (Ministère de l’Ecologie et du Développement durable 2005). Non-technical language and good visual tools are important to support complex cross-level communication (Ill. 3).

3.3 Trans-sectoral policy making

The starting point for the preparation of landscape strategies and plans is often regional initiatives or discussions at the local level. These may lead to the decision to prepare a comprehensive plan for the territory of interest. The planning process may be led by an authority responsible for spatial planning, by a consultant hired for this purpose, or by another public or private agency. Usually, an increasing number of additional authorities, sectoral planning offices and a number of different interest groups get involved. Thus, while the social and cultural dimensions of the landscape may become visible during the planning process, the holistic nature of landscape may be lost. In order to reintegrate sectoral and individual concerns, it would seem advisable to link them together again, in one comprehensive plan (Box 6).

**Box 6 – Integrating sectoral concerns into one comprehensive plan
(City of Oslo 2004b)**

The action plan for the Groruddalen ties together seven in-depth sectoral studies	
<ul style="list-style-type: none">- regional scenarios- local development programmes- plan for cultural activities- alternative financing of environment and transport projects	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- transport plan- strategic plan for green structures- plan for conservation of cultural heritage monuments

“Landscape planning”, as practised in several countries, is the kind of tool ideally suited to integrate sectoral landscape concerns from the very beginning. The basic tasks of statutory landscape planning, as required by law in Germany, are to: be the official planning instrument of nature conservation and landscape management; as such, contribute to comprehensive spatial planning, such as regional planning and municipal local planning; and contribute to programmes and project approval procedures of other sectoral planning, such as transportation, land development and flood risk management. In this context, landscape planning provides important information for environmental impact assessment (EIA) and strategic environmental assessment (SEA).

Professional landscape planning will:

- make inventories of all relevant aspects of landscape;
- prepare probable scenarios for the future landscape;
- provide relevant, concrete goals and objectives for the protection, management and development of the landscape of the territory concerned;
- provide an assessment of the existing and future states (scenarios) of landscape, according to territorially defined goals and objectives;
- prepare strategies that include measures needed to realise territorially defined goals and objectives.

3.4 “Landscape” in environmental assessment

European regulations for strategic environmental assessment and for environmental impact assessment require landscape to be considered in such assessments. Methods that include both the subjective views of local residents (landscape “as perceived by people”, European Landscape Convention), as well as objective assessments of landscapes, still need to be developed. Nowadays, landscape classification and characterisation are prepared as part of professionally presented environmental assessments, and results are discussed during consultation periods.

Landscape and its character are also considered as important regional and local resources, and attempts have been made to quantify them. To get an idea of the quality of urban nature, the city of Copenhagen, for example, has developed a so-called “urban nature index”. The index measures biological quality and experienced urban nature values. The highest indices are seen in a park located close to the coast, offering peace and a large diversity of animals and plants. Paved areas have the lowest score in the urban nature index (CECE 2003).

Box 7 – Landscape character of four distinct district areas in Milton Keynes (Halcrow Group Ltd 2004)

			
Broughton Gate	Brooklands	Fen Farm and Eagle Farm North	Pineham and Brook Furlong
Slopes gently, low-lying arable farmland.	Flat arable farmland with hedgerows and some mature trees. There are also a couple of drains and watercourses (principal landscape character within the development area).	Low-lying farmland with two significant woods. Broughton Brooks offers important landscape identity.	Two sub-areas. One features urban transport facilities within dense tree planting. The other is a low-lying area of grassland dominated by sewage works.

4. “Urban landscape” in selected town planning policies

4.1 Appreciation of the “urban landscape”

The term “urban landscape” may be found in the literature to describe territories that are different from rural landscapes (Shot, Baarendregt and Wassen 2004), physically marked by built-up areas and by urban forms of land use, sometimes also referring to administrative boundaries (Flores et al. 1998). If, or where, boundaries are difficult to define, the concept of “urbanisation” may be useful to apply in order to describe processes that include changes in ways of life, housing, transportation and

employment patterns (Antrop 2000). In some parts of the continent, urbanisation spread over entire regions and a new type of city gradually developed which could be called a “regional city” (Ipsen and Weichler 2005). Former towns, suburbs and new urban centres merged with what once could be regarded as peripheral development, but is now part of a new matrix. Remnants of agriculture persist, small forests are left and new forests spring up on brownfields. Traffic corridors connect residential areas, and areas of trade, commerce and recreation. The “regional city” requires a new dimension of technical infrastructure – networks of water, power and gas, which add to accelerated urban expansion. At the same time, decreasing urban densities mean that the relative cost of infrastructure and services is rising (Venturi 2004).

Where cities, small towns and villages merge with suburbs, agriculture, forests, recreation areas, traffic corridors and infrastructure facilities, the traditional dualism of city and country loses its meaning. In order to understand this type of space in its uniqueness, the term “urban landscape” almost suggests itself. With the “urban landscape” approach, different patterns of land use and form are viewed in connection with natural conditions (topography, stretches of water, soil, vegetation). They can thus be understood as specific eco-cultural units that offer new landscape qualities.

Examples of suburban, peri-urban, and other types of urban landscapes, including those at the urban fringe, may be defined as:

- multifunctional complexes of very diverse land use types;
- highly fragmented morphology and physical appearance;
- fuzzy (zoning) borders and contours, uncertain delineations;
- form, uses and borders remain stable only for short periods of time; land cover and land use change rapidly, thereby;
- increasing landscape diversity, heterogeneity and fragmentation (Antrop 2004).



III. 4: *Park Millenáris/
Ganz Park, Budapest
(Mehrl 2005)*

4.2 Policies for sustainable “urban landscapes”

Regional and local landscapes are considered relevant to sustainable urban development. Examples include strategic plans for green systems integrated urban renewal schemes and policies for the rehabilitation of open spaces. Policies aiming at “brownfield” instead of “greenfield” development and at sustainable travel concepts serve as examples that help implement the idea of the compact city. Environmental impacts, like noise and air pollution, may be reduced by placing services close to traffic nodes, and by facilitating a high degree of urban density near these nodes (Box 8).

Box 8 – Sustainable urban landscapes, Oslo’s Comprehensive Development Plan 2004–2020 (City of Oslo 2004a)

The strategy for land use shall contribute to a sustainable urban development and is based on the principle of the compact city.
New housing will be planned in locations such as public transport nodes, central parts of the city, and redevelopment areas.
Areas for business and commerce will be provided close to traffic nodes, where a high degree of density will be permitted, with good access to public transport.
Different parts of the city shall maintain their character. This idea combines at the same time modern architecture and cultural heritage protection. All developments that take place will respect the scale of the city, the urban landscape of Oslo and the surrounding area.

Cross-border town planning encourages a high degree of sustainable development. The Rontal project, for example, focuses on the industrial development of the Lucerne region in Switzerland. Planning is done by four different municipalities in co-operation with the most important landowners of the 130-hectare project area. Plans are based on targets set by the regional plan that gives priority to projects taking advantage of existing development potential. The Rontal project starts out with a very attractive transportation infrastructure, and most new buildings will be erected on, or between, sites that have been built on previously (Ecoptima AG and Albrecht and Partner AG 2003). By placing development within the existing urban matrix, the extent of greenfield development is reduced and open space kept available for recreation, agriculture, nature conservation and other values and interests (Box 9). Goals of sustainable urban development also include consideration for the preservation and enhancement of regional and local identity.

Box 9 – Development of “brownfields” before “greenfields” (RPG 9 2001)

Vision: “The focus is on enabling urban renaissance, promoting regeneration and renewal concentrating development in urban areas promoting a prosperous and multi-purpose countryside.”


Implementation: “Concentration of development in urban areas. Greenfield development, only after all other possibilities have been checked.”

4.3 Green networks and urban open space

The historical patterns of settlement, determined by geology, climate and social and economic factors, constitute the basis for contemporary urban landscape. The main contours of “urban landscapes” may follow the natural lines of the coast, rivers, railways, motorways, hillsides, etc. These lines provide ideas for the main structure of long-term landscape strategies. Examples are metropolitan green belts, axial systems of urban open space, and specific strategies such as Copenhagen’s Green Finger Plan.

The idea behind the Green Finger Plan for Greater Copenhagen (Ill. 2) is that urban development should be concentrated in the “fingers” created by railway lines and motorways, and that the green wedges between the fingers should remain open and mainly serve recreational purposes (SPD 2002, Kobenhauns Kommune 2003). The Green Finger Plan has affected the alignment and placement of the city’s parks and open spaces since 1947, when it was introduced, and the basic idea is still included in the new park policy, which was adopted in February 2004. Similar strategies may be found in several European cities (Bauer 1996). The city of Strasbourg, for example, has adopted a policy where existing “elements given by nature” are used to create a system of “green finger connections”, including the present green belt and green space corridors. All parts of this system are to be connected to form a network that contributes to enhancing the scenic quality of urban and rural open spaces, their ecological richness and biodiversity, and the well-being of the inhabitants (Strasbourg 2004).

Broad landscape strategies such as green systems need to be implemented (Stiles 2005). On a regional scale, goals may become part of legal plans, whilst on a local scale they need to be adopted as measures. The Leipzig city region, for example, has received special attention as an “urban landscape” in official regional planning. Policies on the establishment of a “regional green axis” have been adopted by the Leipzig zoning plan and by the official municipal Landscape Plan, including details for a *Grüner Ring* and *Grünsystem* (Leipzig 2003, Westsachsen 1999).




III. 5: Greater Strasbourg's green connections (Strasbourg 2004)

The recent Copenhagen Park Policy pays particular attention to the availability of open space to citizens (Kobenhavns Kommune 2003). It is implemented through individual projects, such as the “Havneparken”. Sections of the natural environment as well as historic monuments are protected and developed with special care. Green spaces are developed in a way that citizens have access to parks and other open areas within 400 metres (CECE 2003).

4.4 Urban transformation and “urban landscapes”

One important quality of “urban landscapes” is that they are dynamic. They are constantly being altered, and they are changing with their inhabitants, the flow of goods, energy, etc. Of current interest are transformations that relate to urban expansion, on the one hand (for example, Lucerne (Switzerland) and Milton Keynes (United Kingdom; see Boxes 5 and 7, and Ill. 11), and to the shrinking of cities, on the other (for example, Leipzig, Germany; see below and Ill. 3). Transformation also includes conversion of illegal developments (for example: Ankara, Turkey, Ill. 7), of urban waste lands, military grounds and railroad lines, the restoration of wetlands and river channels, and several others. The following projects illustrate the revitalisation of old industrial areas and deprived residential districts.



Ill. 6: Transition of former industrial areas in Budapest (Mehrl 2005)

The district “Strasbourg sud-ouest” of Strasbourg, France, became an urban redevelopment project with the installation of a *Grand Projet de Ville* (GPV)

and the support of the Urban II programme of the European Union. The district had remained isolated from the rest of the city, and its residential quarters – consisting predominantly of social housing – were home to many social problems (Strasbourg 2003). The project has three major objectives (Strasbourg 2005):

- sustainable transformation of the district;
- improvement of living conditions;
- encouragement of economic development.

New tramways, streets and pedestrian lanes provide better connections to the city centre, to new allotment gardens and other recreational areas. A mix of different kinds of housing was created, through a large programme of demolition, reconstruction and redevelopment, the social housing was improved, and affordable residences built for private ownership or for rent. Playgrounds, recreation areas and sport facilities have progressively replaced the apartment buildings that were demolished. In addition, private gardens have been designed adjacent to residential buildings. The urban project Neuhof, which is part of the renewal scheme, takes advantage of the picturesque architecture in Neuhof village and the natural ambience of the surrounding areas. New enterprises are attracted to the area and employment has improved, together with public services (Strasbourg 2005).

In Leipzig (Germany), some city districts may be considered socially and economically consolidated, while others still need to respond to challenges related to a decade of urban shrinking. Residents are leaving, shops are closing, and buildings are falling empty. One of the areas affected is Leipzig East Side. Here, more than 50% of the building stock is empty, and entire blocks will soon disappear. Insufficient open spaces in Leipzig East Side have been identified as one reason for the ongoing exodus of residents. Open spaces are an important factor for the quality of life. They are related to neighbourhood satisfaction and must be considered part of the social and economic capital of a city area. The current situation provides a singular chance to overcome historic deficits and to enhance the quality of life for the remaining and potential new residents. Traditional open space patterns will not work here. New symbols, forms and uses for open spaces are needed, especially for the reuse and revaluation of abandoned sites. New and unfamiliar types of “urban landscapes” are emerging.

As a first step towards developing new “urban landscapes”, so-called “conceptual plans” are drawn up for different city quarters (*Konzeptioneller Stadtteilplan*). The plan for the East Side indicates possible futures, including 25% fewer flats in 2020, and a number of central projects. One of these projects is called the “Green Rietzschke Corridor” (Ill. 3).

This corridor is designed to snake through the entire East Side, and to connect, via the city centre, the outskirts from east to west. Demolition of buildings will be concentrated according to the plan, and a new type of “urban landscape” with a much higher proportion of open spaces will be created. The Rietzschke Corridor will not be a green corridor in the traditional sense. It will consist of sequences of large green areas, and it will incorporate a perforated structure of buildings and open spaces. Different features such as a light grove and a dark forest will be included as well. These are meant to create new interfaces between city and “country” in a formerly densely built part of the city. Unexpected scenes, like a temporary deer park, will be located right beside the central train station (Stadt Leipzig 2002).

The Conceptional Plan for Leipzig East Side outlines a new type of “urban landscape” that cannot be precisely determined. It will emerge step by step, with many aspects being left open so as to depend on the future development of empty lots. Land use decisions will have to be made, especially by each of the many private real estate owners, before we know exactly when and how many buildings can be demolished in the future. Within this process the role of local government becomes that of a facilitator and moderator between different groups of actors.



III. 7: New green open space through an area of urban renewal in Ankara, Turkey (photo D. Bruns)

With intensive migration to urban areas, squatter housing increased in countries experiencing structural transitions during the 1970s and 1980s. This caused several economic, social and ecological problems, such as a complete loss of public open space. Urban renewal became an important planning and development option.

In Ankara, for example, squatter housing was replaced by modern buildings and, supported by an intensive participatory strategy, green corridors like the Dikmen Valley opened up, linking once again the city centre with forested areas at the city's edge. The main issue in the participatory process was to solve the problem of how to offer the existing squatter inhabitants better living options within the same area of the city (Nalbantoğlu 2000).

4.5 Identity of “urban landscapes”

Regional and local identity may be closely tied to particular landforms and vegetation, special landmarks and vistas, and indigenous species, to name but a few. For the appreciation of how landscape contributes to spatial identity, one first needs to look at how the natural landscape interacts with the urban landscape and to get an understanding of the history and the cultural associations of the landscape. “And we also need to be aware of the myths and memories evoked by our buildings, parks and place names, conjuring up more than the immediate visual impact” (Wilkie 1994).

Urban landscapes may contribute to local identity through particular styles of urban design, special green open spaces, regional architecture and others. Decisions on what and how much of the historic stock of buildings, parks, layout of streets, tramlines, waterfronts, etc., should be preserved are difficult to make. They require careful analysis, planning and design. Options for renewal will be subject to in-depth local consultation and participation of citizens. The impacts of planning and of development projects must be assessed, and the character of landscape considered in particular.

In the example of Leipzig above, a balance between preservation and demolition was found by assessing, on the one hand, the structural strength of buildings, and the economic resources of owners to continue their upkeep. On the other hand, the character of Leipzig East Side is closely tied to the layout and design of residential city quarters developed during a very important economic boom of the 19th century called *Gründerzeit*. The fundamental structure of city blocks and ornate facades was preserved, and where this could not be achieved rows of art installations and lines of trees took their place.

Other examples demonstrate how the revitalisation of industrial areas may help preserve local identity, for example by including important icons of the era into modern design (Ill. 8 and 9). Urban renewal in Budapest (Hungary) incorporated steel-frame structures reminiscent of 19th century engineering. With Copenhagen's Havneparken the idea was to create “a recycled park”. It is not just

the materials and elements of the harbour construction that have been reused, it is also the very openness of the site itself. All in all, it has given the site an authenticity which would have been very difficult to attain with a completely new structure (Dam and Nielsen 2003). A wide promenade along the waterfront maintains the character of a harbour. Different spaces within the park have special features, including elements reminiscent of nautical activities and of trade (Ill. 10). The harbour park is part of the harbour area, with an ambience that reflects its maritime and commercial history.



Ill. 8: Park Millenáris/Ganz Park – A new urban landscape including old industrial buildings and revitalisation of an industrial brownfield (Mehrl 2005)



Ill. 9: Park Millenáris/Ganz Park (Mehrl 2005)



III. 10: *Havneparken/Islands Brygge – Recycled cultural heritage: the inverted hull of an old ferry (photo: D. Bruns)*

Urban expansion projects may also contribute to local identity, for example by maintaining qualities special to particular urban areas, or by including elements of regional architecture (III. 11). Cities often find how essential local identity and local qualities are for both business development and for people's quality of life (SPD 2005). Spatial planning may thus be successful by including existing urban features and landscapes, and by exploiting their respective opportunities for development (Boxes 10 and 11).

The Milton Keynes Eastern Expansion Area, for example, includes a “character area concept”. It takes into account: traditional urban form, proposed land uses, the pattern of open spaces and watercourses, nearby buildings and the surrounding landscape. Through different design elements, such as landmark buildings, key frontages, the built form and materials, the expansion is planned to develop with its own strong character as part of the city (Halcrow Group Ltd., 2004).



III. 11: *Milton Keynes Eastern Expansion Area incorporating elements of traditional design into urban development (Halcrow Group)*

Box 10 – Urban identity as part of policy for sustainable development (Strasbourg, France)

The variety of its urban landscapes and the richness of its built-up heritage are taken into account in the transformations of the city through regeneration.
Urban landscape is understood in connection with, but not identical to, the architectural pool of buildings.
The urban and the landscape heritage shall be taken into account within the urban regeneration and development.

Box 11 – Urban identity policy relating to urban character (Oslo, Norway)

National policy; example: Norway	Local policy; example: Oslo	
The environment includes the cultural sites, and archaeological and architectural monuments (Environmental Information Act 2003)	Oslo's physical, aesthetic and visual characteristics are central to the city's identity. All different parts of the city shall maintain their character. This idea combines at the same time modern architecture and cultural heritage protection. All developments that take place will respect the scale of the city, the urban landscape of Oslo and the surrounding area. (Kommuneplan 2004)	The plan develops models for protection and management of the 30 most valuable cultural heritage objects with supplementary registration with post-war heritage sites. (Cultural Heritage Plan 2004)

5. Co-operative and communicative planning and decision making

5.1 Integrated planning

“Integrated planning” is used here as a concept that includes two different forms of integration:

- comprehensive spatial planning, across administrative borders;
- landscape planning that integrates different environmental interests into comprehensive planning.

Both forms are closely tied in with cross-border, cross-level and cross-sectoral co-ordination and decision making. Examples of planning and co-ordination across subregional and municipal borders are the Øresund Region and Copenhagen, Milton Keynes and the South Midlands, and the Swiss canton of Lucerne. In the Øresund Region, Denmark and Sweden have the joint aim of developing the region into one of the cleanest urban regions in Europe. The partners in the Øresund project have co-operated to produce an environmental programme; one focus is comprehensive spatial planning (SPD 2002). Within the region, Greater Copenhagen comprises three counties with 48 municipalities, plus the City of Copenhagen and the City of Frederiksberg (SPD 2002). Milton Keynes and the South Midlands have produced a “subregional strategy” with a number of mechanisms for the implementation of objectives. These include inter-regional boards to ensure that all agencies deliver the policy commitment to meet these objectives. The boards bring together local authorities, government agencies and other key stakeholders of the region. In addition, joint local development documents and master plans are being prepared where development across administrative boundaries is concerned (MKSM 2005). Thus, it was possible to prepare spatial planning documents in a way that the drafting and consultation periods of different planning levels relate to each other, logically and consistently (Table 5). To further assist integrated implementation of regional development, the Swiss canton of Lucerne has established networks for inter-municipal co-operation and devised a dynamic strategy called “learning region”. The Rontal project, for example, has introduced special “co-ordination sheets” to ensure the continued collaboration of all stakeholders, including all municipal administrations, local and regional councils, private interest groups, investors and landowners (Ecoptima AG and Albrecht and Partner AG 2003).

Table 5 – Participation in spatial planning, Milton Keynes (United Kingdom)

	RPG 8 regional	RPG 9 regional	MK subregional	MK local	Eastern expansion
Draft (1st deposit of plan)	1999	1998	2002	2000	–
Public consultation			2003	2000	2002
Public examination	2000	1999			2003
2nd deposit, changes	2001	2000	2004		
Public consultations			2004	2002	
Additional changes				2005	2005
Public participation				2005	
Publication of final version			2005	2005	2005

The second form of integrated planning included in this chapter is the kind of landscape planning that collects information about different environmental interests and integrates these, collectively, into comprehensive planning. Official landscape planning in Germany, for example, prepares environmentally comprehensive documents on landscape at all levels of state and municipal planning. Results are integrated, either after or during landscape planning, into regional and local plans. Stages of integration may include:

- strategic development goals;
- limits of acceptable change;
- landscape visions;
- conceptual development options, scenarios, alternatives;
- instruments and measures to implement goals and visions.

5.2 Co-operative planning

Co-operative, participative and communicative forms of planning and decision making have been introduced since the 1970s and 1980s (for example, see Boxes 12 and 13). Recently, they are being widely employed. The history of Copenhagen's Havneparken, for example, began in the 1970s, when the residents of Islands Brygge pointed out that housing density was very high and that the area needed a park. In the spring of 1984, several hundred residents laid out a provisional park, as a happening, that marked out the residents' wishes. In 1993, the Municipality of Copenhagen, represented by the Roads and Parks Department, decided to grant the money to complete the park as a permanent feature. The neighbourhood council established a fund to support the park. Planning commenced in 1993, and construction took place between 1995 and 2000. Experience from practical consultation work indicates that active participation in planning may initially take some time, but it results in significant benefits for the proponents of the plans and projects, and also for decision makers and third parties. Benefits may include cost effectiveness and, ultimately, faster project completion.

At best, citizens are directly involved in planning and implementation. One example of serious citizen involvement is Jerry O'Sullivan Park, Cork. This park originally consisted of waterlogged greens and overgrown shrubberies. Major drainage works were carried out over the complete area, and the entire park was regraded and extensive planting carried out. Initially, a landscape plan was drawn up by a landscape architect. Later, major development and construction were carried out in consultation with the local residents (Ill. 13). The park was officially opened in 1999. The park is proving extremely popular with the public and is an invaluable amenity.

Box 12 – Objectives of co-operative planning: the example of Strasbourg (SNDD 2003)

The concept of sustainable development should be comprehensible for everyone.
Credible and transparent information shall be given to the public.
The participation of the citizen in the public debate shall be encouraged and facilitated.



III. 12: *Public participation in GPV Neuhof (Strasbourg 2005)*



III. 13: *Local residents help implement Jerry O'Sullivan Park (City of Cork, 2005)*

Box 13 – Communication schedule relating to different phases of planning

Information on the aims of the activities; for example, a decision regarding a programme that would lead to a plan or project (this phase would include “screening” and “scoping” for environmental assessment).
Selection of reasonable plans or of project alternatives, based on economic and environmental assessment, etc. (this phase includes the defining of environmental and development goals; for example, environmental quality goals).
The adoption of a plan or project includes several stages of consultation; these should be conducted as long as all options are open; for example, “strategic alternatives” for programmes and plans.
Results of public consultations should be considered before the adoption of plans and projects. Approvals for plans and projects should include transparent considerations of results of public consultations.

5.3 Communication tools

Communicating the significance of the urban landscape and explaining projects will be critical in securing the agreement and involvement of people who live and work in the area (Wilkie 1994). Common tools include media, newsletters, schools, marketing and tourism activities. According to the Institute of Environmental Management and Assessment (IEMA 2002), the term “participation” incorporates a number of different techniques which are used to include individuals, groups and organisations in decision making. The most important criterion for selecting and adopting communication techniques is that they actually help people to understand what is in a plan. Ideally, visualisation should enable non-experts to influence “expert planning”. In other words, the tools would need to support interactive communication (Bishop and Lange 2005).

In summary, four levels of participation may be defined (IEMA 2002):

- education and information provision: the use of information dissemination to create an awareness of activities or issues;
- information feedback: the dissemination of information with a request for feedback to supplement knowledge and gain a better understanding of issues;
- involvement and consultation: formal or informal dialogue to identify issues of concern;
- extended involvement: participants are able to contribute to the formation of a plan or proposal and to influence a decision through group discussions or other activities.

Informal and early consultations have the greatest potential for success (LI and IEMA 2002). The following techniques are in current widespread use:

- correspondence;
- face-to-face discussion;
- presentation and informal public meetings;
- exhibitions;
- workshops;
- leaflets and mailings.



III. 14: Visualising future landscape character. Aerial view of Havneparken, Copenhagen (Bramsnaes 1999)

Conclusions

Items to be developed further

Some nine examples of town planning from different European countries have been examined. A summary of policy recommendations, learned from these examples, are included in Table 6. The following items seem particularly important, especially for the integration of landscape into town planning policies. They could be developed further during meetings of the workshops of the European Landscape Convention:

- Town planning in Europe offers a rich variety of different traditions and cultures, each suited to individual countries and “planning families”. Integrating landscape into town planning policies offers a unique chance, for towns and regions, to benefit from each other’s strengths. Facilitating exchanges of

experience would help makers and users of planning policies in learning about the potentials of European capacities in protecting, managing and planning urban landscapes.

- In practice, town planning already includes landscape to a certain degree. Promoting the use of landscape planning in all European countries would help strengthen protection, management and planning, especially of urban landscapes. Landscape planning corresponds to “landscape quality objectives”, as defined by the European Landscape Convention, and also to goals of sustainable management and development. Results of landscape planning may be integrated in town planning documents.
- Different disciplines are needed, communicating with each other, in order to explain and manage landscapes. Landscape itself is an interdisciplinary concept. First, landscape has physical properties, including space. Second, landscape is a culturally determined form that depends on perception and evaluation. Third, the treatment of nature is not only controlled technologically, but is subject to a variety of social rules.
- Policies for town planning should incorporate landscape at all levels of spatially relevant decision making, providing correspondence between these layers. They should include social concerns, urban programmes and projects, and they should make reference to examples of best practice.
- Broad landscape strategies, such as green systems and networks of open spaces, should be implemented. On a regional scale, goals may become part of legal plans; on a local scale, they need to be adopted as measures.
- Since landscape policies are the subject of specific programmes, and these are organised differently in individual countries, a “landscape atlas” may be provided, for the identification and classification of European landscapes, and for policies related to landscape. This atlas would pay particular attention to urban landscapes and include plans, charters and contracts on landscape. It would list public authorities and provide, by comparison, methods for landscape analysis, evaluation, planning and design.
- Reports on the “state of the landscape”, prepared by planning departments, would audit efforts and effects of spatial planning. These reports would describe the visions (for example, of the government) on planning policies and may be updated afterwards.
- To support and observe the integration of landscape into town planning policies, special agencies, councils and commissions for landscape may be introduced. These may include agencies for landscape (for example, Bundesamt für Umwelt, Wald und Landschaft, Switzerland), national and regional landscape councils (for example, National Council of Landscape, France) and others.
- Reports on the “state of the landscape” and the work of agencies, councils and commissions may be supported by demonstration projects intended to inspire new solutions and co-operation.

Table 6 – Summary of policy recommendations

Recommendations
New concepts and strategies may be needed, and new transdisciplinary partnerships be formed, for the protection, management and planning of landscapes in urban, suburban and peri-urban areas. The urban landscape concept may serve to include all phenomena of suburban, peri-urban and other urban areas.
To integrate landscape into town planning policies, countries may benefit from exchanges of experiences and from learning about planning cultures and their particular strengths.
National and regional policies provide landscape targets in a broad sense, while local policy specifies “urban landscape quality goals”.
To include phenomena of the “regional city”, town planning would need to be conducted and administered across administrative borders and levels. Where municipalities share greater landscape regions, co-operation may be aided by common “landscape visions” and by special communication forums. Cross-level policy making may also be supported by corresponding budgets.
In order to integrate sectoral and individual concerns, it is necessary to tie them together, in one comprehensive “landscape planning”, which may be the kind of tool ideally suited to integrate sectoral landscape concerns. Landscape planning may also help integrate landscape into statutory environmental assessment procedures.
Methods that include both the subjective views of local residents (landscape “as perceived by people”, European Landscape Convention), as well as objective assessments of landscapes, need to be developed.
With the “urban landscape” approach, different patterns of land use and form are viewed in connection with natural conditions (topography, stretches of water, soil, vegetation). They can thus be understood as specific eco-cultural units that offer new landscape qualities.
By integrating landscape into the goals of sustainable urban development, these would include consideration for the preservation and enhancement of regional and local identity. By placing development within the existing urban matrix, the extent of greenfield development is reduced and open space kept available for recreation, agriculture, nature conservation and other values and interests.
All green areas of the urban landscape contribute to building systems of open space networks that help to enhance the scenic quality of the urban and rural open spaces, their ecological richness and biodiversity, and to the well-being of the inhabitants.
Urban transformation provides a chance to enhance the quality of life for citizens. Town planning contributes to the making of new landscapes by including stakeholders. For the reuse and revaluation of transition areas, new and unfamiliar types of “urban landscape” may be considered.

Recommendations
Urban landscapes contribute to local identity through particular styles of urban design, special green open spaces, regional architecture, and others. Decisions on what and how much of the historic stock should be preserved, or altered for new identities, require careful analysis, planning and design.
In support of cross-level and cross-sectoral decision making, town planning and landscape planning may be integrated as “urban landscape planning”.
In support of sustainable landscape decisions, citizens may be directly involved in the preparation and implementation of policies and measures.
A variety of tools are available to support participatory planning. The most important criterion for selecting and adopting specific tools is that they actually help people to understand what is in a plan, and to understand each other. Tools such as visualisation aids and Internet-based platforms should support interactive communication.

Recommendations to policy makers and practitioners

The following recommendations have been drawn up and are addressed to member states of the Council of Europe, with proposals on the development of policies concerning peri-urban and suburban areas in the framework of the European Landscape Convention.

- New types of landscape are forming through processes of urbanisation, including “regional cities”, “urbanised countryside” and others. A new typology that includes all phenomena of urban landscapes is needed (Bruns, Ipsen and Bohnet 2000).
- Town planning policies would adopt this new typology and develop comprehensive strategies for the “regional city”, for the “peri-urban” and for the space “in-between” (Sieverts 1997, Prigge 1998). Spatial thinking would look at the city as an entire landscape.
- New and interdisciplinary approaches and organisational structures are needed to interpret qualities of new urban landscapes, to identify their potentials, and to design for their future. Landscape is made up of a multitude of “modules” and “layers” making it necessary to bring together as many different disciplines as possible in order to begin to understand the “urban landscape” as an entity in its own right (Corner 1999, Tress and Tress 2004). It may be important to overcome sectoral fragmentations that reflect the limited views people and institutions have of “their” part of the world.

- To better appreciate the “urban landscape” as a whole, comprehensive spatial planning may be linked with urban design (Meyer 2003), and with ways of creating symbols and signals (Koolhaas 1995, Wall 1999).
- “Transformation landscapes” is a term recently introduced into urban policy making and planning (Meyer 2003). This term relates to concepts that appreciate change as one of the most important qualities of “urban landscapes”. It includes physical changes, social changes and changes of spatial identity. Different processes of change are analysed, and new relationships between space and people are interpreted in innovative forms of planning and design. These forms may include parts of traditional landscapes as well as elements of “patchwork landscapes” that have little to do with patterns of what used to be the “city” and the “country”.
- Planning and decision making in town planning should support participatory processes. The European Landscape Convention provides basic guidelines to include “interested parties” in planning and decision making. In addition, the Aarhus Convention on Access to Information, Public Participation in Decision-Making and Access to Justice in Environmental Matters specifies, among others, reasonable time frames for participation, including provision for participation at an early stage, and obligations of the decision-making body to take due account of the outcome of public participation.
- During the Council of Europe Cork workshop it was suggested that “urban landscape partnerships” should be formed (Stiles 2005). Partnerships would be based on a network that includes a number of European cities and research facilities. The partnership would bring together the knowledge and experience of municipal government and administrations, and of academic institutions that have their focus on landscape. Its overall goal would be to promote good practice in the planning, design and management of European urban landscapes.
- In order to compare different phenomena of “urban landscapes” in Europe, and to find potential planning and design solutions for them, research needs to be organised with international co-operation, making use of different programmes where town planning is integrated with other disciplines. Such multi-centred and interdisciplinary research may profit from being linked with “urban partnerships”.

Cultural landscapes are part of European heritage. Natural and cultural diversity are common assets that require protection, management and planning. Their regional manifestation leads to local identity, not only in rural areas and the countryside, but in urban landscapes in particular. Town planning policy needs to integrate nature and culture, in particular the unique features they produce.

Sources

Antrop, M., 2000: "Changing patterns in the urbanised countryside of Western Europe", *Landscape Ecology*, 15, 257-270.

Antrop, M., 2004: "Uncertainty in planning metropolitan landscapes", in: Tress, G., Tress, B., Harms, B., Smeets, P. and van der Valk, A. (eds), *Planning metropolitan landscapes, concepts, demands, approaches*, DELTA Series 4, Wageningen, The Netherlands, 2004.

Balchin, P. and Sýkora, L., 1999: *Regional policy and planning in Europe*, London.

Bauer, J., 1996: "Entwicklung städtischer Freifächensysteme als integraler Bestandteil des Städtebaus, 1850-1930", *Beiträge zur räumlichen Planung*, 45, University of Hannover.

Bishop, I. and Lange, E., 2005 (eds): *Visualization in landscape and environmental planning. Technology and applications*, Taylor & Francis, London and New York, ISBN: 0-415-30510-1.

Bramsnaes, A., 1999: "Hafenpark auf Islands Brygge", *Garten+Landschaft*, 6/1999, Munich.

Bruns, D: private photographs, taken on several sites.

Bruns, D., Ipsen, D. and Bohnet, I., 2000: "Landscape dynamics in Germany", *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 47, Special Millenium Issue, 143-158.

Bundesamt für Raumentwicklung (ed.), 2005: "Eidg", Departement für Umwelt, Verkehr, Energie und Kommunikation, Raumentwicklungsbericht 2005, Bern.

BUWAL, Bundesamt für Umwelt, Wald und Landschaft, 1998: "Conception 'Paysage Suisse'", Bern.

CECE, 2003: "Copenhagens' green accounts 2003", brochure published by the project Copenhagen – Environmental Capital of Europe (CECE), run by the Environmental Protection Agency of Copenhagen, www.cece.dk,

City of Oslo, 2004a: "The City of Oslo: urban development – Oslo's Comprehensive Development Plan 2004-2020 [Kommuneplan]", www.plan-og-bygningsetaten.oslo.kommune.no/getfile.php/Plan-%20og%20bygningsetaten/Internett/Dokumenter/dokument/sentralt/engelsk5.pdf, accessed 14 December 2005.

City of Oslo, 2004b: "The Grorud Valley Action Project", www.prosjekt-groruddalen.oslo.kommune.no/getfile.php/Plankontoret%20for%20Groruddalen/internett/Dokumenter/dokument/trykk%20grorud%20valley.pdf, accessed 14 December 2005.

City of Oslo, 2005: "Sustainable travel in the Grorud Valley. Pilot project in Oslo 2002-2005. Accessibility and environmentally-friendly transport in Alna – Risløkka – Vollebekk", www.samferdselsetaten.oslo.kommune.no/article47143-8963.html, accessed 14 December 2005.

Coleman, A., 2000: "Maps and publications of the Second Land Utilisation Survey of Britain", London.

Corner, J. (ed.), 1999: *Recovering landscape: essays in contemporary landscape architecture*, Princeton Architectural Press, New York.

Dam, T. and Nielsen, J. B., 2003: "Harbour Park. Islands Brygge, Copenhagen", previously unreleased manuscript, Copenhagen.

Ecoptima AG and Albrecht and Partner AG (2003): "Entwicklungsschwerpunkt Rontal Luzern. Erläuterungsbericht ESP Rontal", www.rawi.lu.ch/esp_rontal_erlaeuterungsbericht.pdf, accessed 2 December 2005.

Environmental Information Act (2003): "Act of 9 May 2003 No. 31 relating to the right to environmental information and public participation in decision-making processes relating to the environment", www.regjeringen.no/en/dok/lover_regler/lover/Miljoinformasjonsloven.html?id=173247, accessed 8 December 2005.

Eurostat, 1992: "GISCO database manual – Part I – Chapter 5", disseminated on GISCO CD-Rom via the Eurostat Data Shop Network.

Flores, A., Pickett, S. T. A., Zipperer, W. C., Pouyat, R. V. and Pirani, R., 1998: "Adopting a modern ecological view of the metropolitan landscape: the case of a greenspace system for the New York City region", *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 39, 295-308.

Halcrow Group Ltd, 2004: "Milton Keynes – Eastern expansion area development framework, supplementary planning guidance consultation draft", autumn 2004.

IEMA, Institute of Environmental Management and Assessment, 2002: "Guidelines on participation in environmental decision-making", Lincoln, United Kingdom.

Ipsen, D. and Weichler, H., 2005: "Landscape urbanism – Two ways of life", *Monu Journal*, 39-47.

Janisset, F., 2005: "Entre deux mondes", presentation of photos during the third meeting of the Council of Europe workshops for the implementation of the European Landscape Convention, Cork, Ireland, 16-17 June 2005.

Kobenhavns Kommune, 2003: "Det groene København – Parkpolitik 2003", published by the Municipality of Copenhagen – Kobenhavns Kommune, www.kk.dk, accessed 21 December 2005.

Koolhaas, R., 1995: "Small, medium, large, extra-large", Rotterdam.

Leipzig (Stadt Leipzig, Dezernat Planung und Bau), 2003: "Beiträge zur Stadtentwicklung 38. Konzeptioneller Stadtteilplan Leipziger Osten. Stadt umbauen!", Leipzig.

LI and IEMA (Landscape Institute and Institute of Environmental Management and Assessment), 2002: "Guidelines for landscape and visual assessment", London and New York.

Martin, J. and Bibby, P., 2005: "The new rural urban definition in England and Wales. Implications for the urban fringe", paper presented during the third meeting of the Council of Europe workshops for the implementation of the European Landscape Convention, Cork, Ireland, 16-17 June 2005.

Mehrl, N., 2005: "Ideen für peri-urbane Landschaften. Umwandlung ehemaliger Industriegebiete in Budapest", PowerPoint file and accompanying text for a student research project, University of Kassel.

Meyer, H. (ed.), 2003: "Transformaties von het verstedelijkt landschap", Amsterdam.

Ministère de l'Ecologie et du Développement durable, France, 2005: "La politique des paysages: entre culture partagée et cohérence territoriale", www.ecologie.gouv.fr, accessed 5 January 2006.

Milton Keynes Council, 2002: "Milton Keynes local plan – Second deposit version", October 2002.

MKSM (Government Offices for the South East, East Midlands, East of England), 2005: "Milton Keynes & South Midlands Sub-Regional Strategy – Alterations to regional spatial strategies covering the East of England, East Midlands and South East of England".

Nalbantoğlu, O., 2000: "New approaches to urban renewal projects in Turkey. The case of Dikmen Valley housing and environmental development project", in Roman, J. (ed.), *Quel projet urbain dans le bassin méditerranéen? Colloque*, Institut Català de la Mediterrània, comunicacions rebudes, Generalitat de Catalunya, Barcelona, 175-185.

Newman, P. and Thornley, A., 1996: *Urban planning in Europe. International competition, national systems and planning projects*, London and New York.

Norwegian Ministry of the Environment, 2005: "The government's environmental policy and the state of the environment in Norway – Summary in English", Report

No. 21 (2004-05) to the Storting, www.odin.dep.no/md/english/doc/white_paper/022001-040026/dok-bn.html, accessed 8 December 2005.

Oswald, F. and Schüller, N. (eds), 2003: "Neue Urbanität – das Verschmelzen von Stadt und Landschaft", gta Verlag, Zurich.

Prigge, W. (ed.), 1998: *Peripherie ist überall*, Frankfurt and New York.

Région Alsace, 2005: "Contribuer au développement de chacun des territoires alsaciens, c'est notre façon de préserver la pluralité régionale – L'animation et l'aménagement des territoires", www.region-alsace.fr, accessed 15 December 2005.

RPG 9 (Government Office for the South East, Government Office for East of England, Government Office for London), 2001: "Regional planning guidance for the South East (RPG 9)".

Shot, P., Baarendregt, A. and Wassen, M. J., 2004: "Modelling approaches for metropolitan landscapes", in Tress, G., Tress, B., Harms, B., Smeets, P. and van der Valk, A. (eds), *Planning metropolitan landscapes, concepts, demands, approaches*, DELTA Series 4, Wageningen, Netherlands, 2004.

Sieverts, T., 1997: *Zwischenstadt*, Braunschweig.

SNDD, 2003: "Stratégie nationale de développement durable", www.ecologie.gouv.fr, accessed 4 January 2006.

South West Regional Authority, 2004: "Regional planning guidelines, May 2004", accessed 4 December 2005.

SPD, 2002: "Spatial planning in Denmark", prepared by the Ministry of the Environment and Energy, Spatial Planning Department, <http://www.naturstyrelsen.dk>.

SPD, 2005: "Informations of the Spatial Planning Department", www.lpa.dk, <http://www.naturstyrelsen.dk>.

Stadt Leipzig, 2002: "Wettbewerb Stadtumbau Ost. Beitrag der Stadt Leipzig", Leipzig.

Stiles, R., 2005: "Green networks and urban planning", paper presented during the third meeting of the Council of Europe workshops for the implementation of the European Landscape Convention, Cork, Ireland, 16-17 June 2005.

Strasbourg, 2003: "Les sites de projets de la ville et de l'agglomération. Sites disponibles et/ou en voie de renouvellement urbain".

Strasbourg, 2004: “Plan local d’Urbanisme de Strasbourg. Projet d’aménagement et de développement durable”. Strasbourg, 2005: “Le Neuhof en action. Exposition. Un projet urbain/Un projet humain. Un nouveau Neuhof – Grand Projet de Ville Strasbourg”, PDF document from the Direction du Grand Projet de Ville, Strasbourg.

Tress, G. and Tress, B., 2004: “Metropolitan landscapes: contours of an emerging concept”, in Tress, G., Tress, B., Harms, B., Smeets, P. and van der Valk, A. (eds), *Planning metropolitan landscapes, concepts, demands, approaches*, DELTA Series 4, Wageningen, The Netherlands, 2004.

Venturi, M., 2004: “Proposte urbane” [Urban proposals], Documenti di Architettura, 4, Opus, Venice.

Wall, W., 1999: “Programming the urban surface”, in Corner, J. (ed.), *Recovering landscape: essays in contemporary landscape architecture*, Princeton Architectural Press, New York.

Westsachsen (Regionale Planungsstelle Westsachsen), 1999: “Landschaftsrahmenplanung in Westsachsen”, *Angewandte Landschaftsökologie*, 29, Beilage, Bonn/Leipzig.

Wilkie, K., 1994: “The Thames Landscape Strategy, Hampton to Kew”, Thames Landscape Steering Group and Kim Wilkie Environmental Design, London.