


Judiciary at a glance in Hungary

General data


Population: 9 890 640 **GDP per capita:** 13 940 € **Average annual salary:** 12 901 €

Professionals

Judicial professionals per 100 000 inhabitants




Gross salaries of judges and prosecutors vs average annual salary in the country




Efficiency

Clearance rate by instance and by matter (%)




Disposition time by instance and by matter (in days)



Information and communication technology

ICT tools assessment from 2018 to 2020



*ICT calculations are described in more details in Annex 5 - IT Calculation methodology

Synthesis table for the main indicators for: Hungary

Economic and demographic data	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations					
										2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Population	9 908 798	9 877 365	9 855 571	9 830 485	9 797 561	9 877 365	9 591 495	9 769 000	9 890 640	-0,2%	-0,6%	-2,1%	-2,9%	1,9%	1,2%
GDP per capita	9 800	9 900	10 500	10 900	11 200	11 800	12 500	13 180	13 940	42,2%	6,7%	11,6%	5,9%	5,4%	5,8%
Exchange rate (local currency needed to obtain 1€)	293	297	315	316	309	309	322	330	361	23,2%	-1,8%	4,1%	4,1%	2,4%	9,4%
Average annual salary	9 137		9 759		10 537		12 288	13 375	12 901	41,2%	8,0%	16,6%		8,8%	-3,5%

Resources	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Professional judges per 100 000 inhab.	27,9	28,4	28,5	28,6	28,7	28,6	30,2	29,5	28,2	1,0%	0,5%	5,1%	5,3%	-2,3%	-4,3%
Non-judge staff per 100 000 inhab.	82,2	81,0	81,4	81,2	81,7	84,8	88,9	87,4	86,7	5,5%	0,4%	8,8%	4,8%	-1,7%	-0,8%
Lawyers per 100 000 inh.	131,2	131,6	131,9	132,2	114,2	113,3	132,6	130,2	131,1	-0,1%	-13,4%	16,1%	17,0%	-1,8%	0,7%
Mediators	0,1	0,2	1,2	1,6	1,8	1,8	1,6	2,1	1,4	1077,2%	45,9%	-10,2%	-9,4%	30,3%	-31,4%
ICT overall assesment							8,3	8,4	8,9					1,1%	6,6%

First instance incoming cases per 100 inhab.	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Civil and commercial litigious cases	4,364	1,831	1,830	1,794	1,886	1,805	1,382	1,366	1,288	-70,5%	3,1%	-26,7%	-23,5%	-1,2%	-5,7%
Administrative law cases	0,127	0,2	0,2	0,185	0,200	0,171	0,178	0,168	0,296	132,7%	9,4%	-10,7%	4,3%	-5,8%	75,8%
Total criminal law cases									3,648						

First instance performance indicators (Clearance Rate)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020 (percentage points)	2014-2016 (percentage points)	2016-2018 (percentage points)	2017-2018 (percentage points)	2018-2019 (percentage points)	2019-2020 (percentage points)
CR civil and commercial litigious cases	105%	98%	104%	99%	98%	96%	116%	104%	100%	-4,88	-5,94	17,89	19,83	-11,89	-4,20
CR administrative law cases	108%	104%	92%	105%	100%	102%	102%	103%	89%	-18,64	7,59	1,94	-0,45	0,83	-13,18
CR total criminal law cases									97%						

First instance performance indicators (Disposition Time)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
DT civil and commercial litigious cases cases (days)	97	169	144	159	159	181	151	152	165	71,0%	10,2%	-4,8%	-16,6%	0,5%	8,6%
DT administrative law cases (days)	147	115	148	110	109	116	109	103	110	-25,1%	-26,5%	-0,2%	-6,0%	-4,9%	6,6%
DT total criminal law cases (days)									54						

First instance pending cases per 100 inhab. on 31 dec.	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Civil and commercial litigious cases	1,21	0,83	0,75	0,77	0,81	0,86	0,67	0,59	0,58	-51,9%	7,1%	-17,5%	-23,0%	-10,8%	-1,6%
Administrative law cases	0,06	0,05	0,07	0,06	0,06	0,06	0,05	0,05	0,08	44,3%	-13,0%	-9,2%	-2,4%	-9,6%	63,4%
Total criminal law cases									0,53						

Second instance performance indicators (Clearance Rate)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020 (percentage points)	2014-2016 (percentage points)	2016-2018 (percentage points)	2017-2018 (percentage points)	2018-2019 (percentage points)	2019-2020 (percentage points)
CR civil and commercial litigious cases	101%	101%	111%	102%	100%	99%	106%	110%	107%	6,14	-11,16	5,39	6,50	4,05	-2,56
CR administrative law cases	108%	94%	99%	102%	97%	99%	97%	106%	146%	37,14	-1,59	0,29	-1,54	9,15	39,18
CR total criminal law cases									102%						

Second instance performance indicators (Disposition Time)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
DT civil and commercial litigious cases (days)	125	127	114	123	121	133	112	105	116	-6,9%	6,6%	-7,4%	-15,2%	-6,6%	10,7%
DT administrative law cases (days)	60	91	94	87	83	91	91	64	4	-93,4%	-12,1%	10,7%	0,5%	-30,2%	-93,8%
DT total criminal law cases (days)									48						

Supreme court performance indicators (Clearance Rate)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020 (percentage points)	2014-2016 (percentage points)	2016-2018 (percentage points)	2017-2018 (percentage points)	2018-2019 (percentage points)	2019-2020 (percentage points)
CR civil and commercial litigious cases			102%	96%	86%	88%	110%	111%	132%		-15,71	23,71	22,10	1,20	20,81
CR administrative law cases			108%	96%	99%	96%	90%	118%	109%		-8,64	-9,03	-6,04	28,07	-9,41
CR total criminal law cases									104%						

Supreme court performance indicators (Disposition Time)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
DT civil and commercial litigious cases (days)			158	181	203	246	229	232	155		28,4%	13,0%	-6,8%	1,2%	-33,2%
DT administrative law cases (days)			129	169	168	201	228	116	58		29,8%	35,9%	13,3%	-48,9%	-50,0%
DT total criminal law cases									66						

1. Judicial organisation in Hungary


The Hungarian court system is as follows: Kúria (1) – the Hungarian Supreme Court - its jurisdiction in criminal, civil and administrative cases covers adjudication of extraordinary remedies and appeals, adopting uniformity decisions. It also decides if municipal decrees are in compliance with higher level legislation.

Regional courts of appeal (5) – their jurisdiction in criminal and civil cases covers the adjudication of appeals received from the regional courts (third instance in criminal cases).

Regional courts (20) – their jurisdiction in criminal, civil and administrative cases covers the adjudication of appeals received from district courts, administrative and labour courts, and procedure at first instance in certain criminal and civil cases.

District courts (113) – their jurisdiction in criminal and civil cases covers the procedures at first instance. The number of judges in the largest district court is 357, whereas the smallest court operates with one judge. Out of the 113 district courts, the district courts in the seat of the regional courts have special competences in many cases.


Distribution of general courts in Hungary





According to 2020 data, the distribution between 1st instance and higher instances courts of general jurisdiction in Hungary is around the EU median of 87% - 13%.

Evolution of number of first instance courts in Hungary

	Geographic locations	Legal entities	
		General jurisdiction	Specialised jurisdiction
2012	157	131	20
2013	157	131	20
2014	157	111	20
2015	157	111	20
2016	157	111	20
2017	158	112	20
2018	159	113	20
2019	159	113	20
2020	139	113	0



Administrative and labour courts (20) were dismissed on the 31st of March 2020. From this date Regional Courts deal with labour cases on first instance while administrative cases are dealt with by eight Regional Court on a regional level. This change affected both the number of specialised jurisdiction courts and geographic locations.



Since there are no specialised courts anymore in Hungary, all courts (100%) are general jurisdiction courts. This is quite different from the EU median (distribution tendency in EU: 75,5% - 24,5%).

Specialised courts	First instance	Higher instance
Total	0	0
Commercial courts (excluded insolvency courts)	NAP	NAP
Insolvency courts	NAP	NAP
Labour courts	NAP	NAP
Family courts	NAP	NAP
Rent and tenancies courts	NAP	NAP
Enforcement of criminal sanctions courts	NAP	NAP
Fight against terrorism, organised crime and corruption	NAP	NAP
Internet related disputes	NAP	NAP
Administrative courts	NAP	NAP
Insurance and / or social welfare courts	NAP	NAP
Military courts	NAP	NAP
Juvenile courts	NAP	NAP
Other specialised 1st instance courts	NAP	NAP

As said before administrative and labour courts (20) were dismissed on the in March 2020 . There are military departments at five Regional Courts and at one Regional Court of Appeal. Although they only deal with military related criminal cases, they are not considered as specialized courts as they are a part of the ordinary court system both in administrative and professional management. Consequently the table above filled with not applicable (NAP) for Hungary.

2. Professionals of justice in Hungary

• Professional judges and non-judge staff

Evolution of the number of professional judges since 2012 (Q46)



According to 2020 data, the total number of professional judges sitting in courts (all instances) in Hungary is 2 789, which is -3,1% less than in previous cycle.


More precisely, in Hungary, there are 28,20 professional judges per 100 000 inhabitants (this figure is above the EU median of 23,92 judges per 100 000 inhabitants) The ratio of non-judge staff per judge in Hungary is 3,07.

There has been a small increase compared with previous cycle when this ratio was at 2,97 non-judge staff per judge.

Since 2012 and the establishment of the National Office for the Judiciary, the data collection methodology is the same. Accordingly, the number of first instance professional judges includes judges of the District Courts and the Administrative and Labour Courts. As second instance judges are counted judges of the Regional Courts and the Regional Courts of Appeal. As concerns the Regional Courts, the distribution of first and second instance cases is based on the bylaws which are renewed every year by the president of each court after consultation with the judicial council and the professional department of the court.

Absolute number of professional judges by instance and gender

2020	Total	Distribution by instance	Male	Female	% Male	% Female
1st instance	1 420	50,9%	399	1 021	28,1%	71,9%
2nd instance	1 283	46,0%	434	849	33,8%	66,2%
Supreme courts	86	3,1%	35	51	40,7%	59,3%
Total	2 789		868	1 921	31,1%	68,9%



In this cycle, the total number of female professional judges (all instances) is 1 921, which represents 68,9% of the total number of judges.

The total number of judges is distributed among the different judicial instances in the following way: 1 420 are sitting in first instance courts (of which 1 021 are female); 1 283 are sitting in second instance courts (of which 849 are female) and 86 are sitting in Supreme Court (of which 51 are female).

In Hungary the distribution of judges between instances seems to be different than the EU median. While EU median is 72,39% of the jugjes are in first instance in Hungary this is 50,9%. The reason might be as stated above that second instance judges are counted judges of the Regional Courts and the Regional Courts of Appeal. As concerns the Regional Courts, the distribution of first and second instance cases is based on the bylaws which are renewed every year by the president of each court. This influence the number of judges of second instance.


As regards the distribution male/female, it has to be specified that women are majority at each instance which is highes 72% at first instance and lowest (but still more then half) 59,3%

Absolute number of professional judges by instance and matter

In Hungary, the distribution of judges per categories of cases as in the table above in not possible.

Non-judge staff

Year	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Number of non-judge staff	8 142	8 000	8 022	7 979	8 003	8 379	8 528	8 538	8 576
Per 100 000 inhabitants	82,17	80,99	81,40	81,17	81,68	84,83	88,91	87,40	86,71



In 2020, Hungary has 8 576 non-judge staff (of which 7 204 are females). The total number of non-judge staff in comparison with the previous cycle reveals an increase of 0,4%.

In this cycle, the non-judge staff is broken down as follows:

- 936 Rechtspfleger (or similar bodies) with judicial or quasi-judicial tasks having autonomous competence and whose decisions could be subject to appeal (among which
- 961 non-judge staff whose task is to assist the judges such as registrars (of which 842 are women);
- 6 679 other (of which 5 614 are women);

Court secretaries („bíróági titkár”) are employees of the court that are similar to Rechtspfleger. They are lawyers, who after acquiring a degree at a law faculty have made the bar exam (which requires at least 3 years professional practice). They are enabled to perform duties of judges in cases specifically defined by law. According to the Constitution when a court secretary is dealing with a case he/she has the same independence as a judge. In criminal cases they can make out of trial decisions (e.g. order an expert to be included in the case), or they can hear witnesses on request of another court. This practically means they assist the judges in pre-trial phase of the case. In misdemeanour cases they adjudicate the case - this is an area of law in which mostly court secretaries deal with cases of first instance. In civil and labour cases they can make any decision that can be made without hearing the case. This practically means they assist the judges in pre-trial phase of the case. In administrative non-litigious cases they can make any decision that can be made without hearing the case. In company registry cases they can make every decision, as well in insolvency cases (with some exceptions).


From 2012, the category "non-judge staff assisting judges" includes only staff directly assisting judges. Other non-judge staff includes staff in charge of different administrative tasks and of the management of the courts (3) and technical staff (4) that can not be separated by these categories.

In 2020, the number of non-judge staff per 100 000 inhabitants has slightly decreased (from 87,4 in 2019 to 86,7 in 2020) due to increase in population and not due to decrease of the absolute number of non-judge staff. In fact this number increased slightly.

During the same period, the number of judges per 100 000 inhabitants also slightly decreased from 29,5 judges per 100 000 inhabitants in 2019 to 28,2 in 2020.


Professional judges, non-judge staff and their ratio (Q46, Q52)

Per 100 000 inhabitants	Hungary	EU median
Professional judges	28,20	23,92
Non-judge staff	86,71	59,00
Non-judge staff per judge	3,07	3,30



Evolution of the ratio between professional judges and non-judge staff (Q46, Q52)

	Judges per 100 000 inh.	Non-judge staff per 100 000 inh.	Ratio between professional judges and non-judge staff
2012	27,92	82,17	2,94
2013	28,42	80,99	2,85
2014	28,54	81,40	2,85
2015	28,62	81,17	2,84
2016	28,69	81,68	2,85
2017	28,63	84,83	2,96
2018	30,15	88,91	2,95
2019	29,46	87,40	2,97
2020	28,20	86,71	3,07




Between two cycles the ratio between professional judges and non-judge staff increased slightly because the number of non-judge staff slightly increased while number of professional judges slightly decreased. But in total this ratio is very stable over years and it is slightly below EU median.

Public prosecutors and non-prosecutor staff

Absolute number of public prosecutors by instance and gender (Q55)

2020	Total	Distribution by instance	Male	Female	Male	Female
1st instance	1 207	64,3%	433	774	35,9%	64,1%
2nd instance	558	29,7%	249	309	44,6%	55,4%
Supreme courts	111	5,9%	60	51	54,1%	45,9%
Total	1 876		742	1 134	39,6%	60,4%



The total number of prosecutors is distributed among the different judicial instances in the following way: 1 207 in first instance (of which 774 are female); 558 are in second instance (of which 309 are female) and 111 in final instance (of which 51 are female).

In this cycle, the total number of female prosecutors (all instances) is 1 134, which represents 60,4% of the total number of prosecutors.


In respect of the number of prosecutors by instances Hungary has slightly less prosecutors dedicated on first instance of 64,3% then EU median of 73,96%.

As regards the distribution male/female, it has to be specified that the nuber of female prosecutors in Hungary is slightly lower than the percentage of female judges but still significantly more than man prosecutors.

Non-prosecutor staff by gender (Q60)

Non-prosecutor staff	Total	Male	Female
2020	2 425	470	1 955

Non-prosecutor staff by gender




Public prosecutors, non-prosecutor staff and their ratio (Q55, Q60)

Per 100 000 inhabitants	Hungary	EU median
Public prosecutors	18,97	9,91
Non-prosecutor staff	24,52	15,22
Non-prosecutor staff per prosecutor	1,29	1,11




Public prosecutors and non-prosecutor staff per 100 000 inhabitants, and their ratio



The number of prosecutors and non-prosecutor staff in Hungary is more than double above EU median. The ratio between the non-prosecutor staff and prosecutor is on the other side on the level of EU median.

• Salaries of professional judges and prosecutors at beginning of a career and at the highest instance (Q132, Q4)

Salaries of professional judges and prosecutors (Q132, Q4)	Average gross annual salary in €	Average net annual salary in €	Ratio with national average annual gross salary
Judge at the beginning of a career	21 856 €	15 534 €	1,69
Judge of the highest court	57 542 €	38 266 €	4,46
Prosecutor at the beginning of a career	21 856 €	14 534 €	1,69
Public prosecutor at highest instance	45 961 €	30 564 €	3,56




According to 2020 data, the absolute gross salary of a judge at the begining of a career in Hungary of 21 856€ is quite below when compared to the EU median of 51 946€. As a ratio with the annual average salary of the country, the salary for a judge at the begining of career is: 1,69 compared with EU median of : 2,02 which is below the EU median.

At its December 2019 session, the National Assembly passed a law increasing the salaries of judges by 32 percent and that of prosecutors by 21 percent. Nevertheless, Hungary is a country with smallest absolute gross salary for judge at the beginning of career within European Union.

• Lawyers

Lawyers	Absolute number	Per 100 000 inhabitants
2012	13 000	131,20
2013	13 000	131,61
2014	13 000	131,91
2015	13 000	132,24
2016	11 191	114,22
2017	11 191	113,30
2018	12 715	132,57
2019	12 719	130,20
2020	12 965	131,08



In 2020, there are 12 965 lawyers, which is 1,9% more than in 2019. Nevertheless this number is quite stable in Hungary.


Hungary has 131,1 lawyers per 100 000 inhabitants, which is around the EU median of 122,1 lawyers per 100 000 inhabitants.

A latest act on the attorneys (Act LXXXVIII of 2017) entered into force on 1 January 2018. https://njt.hu/translated/doc/J2017T0078P_20180101_FIN.pdf

• Judicial professionals (summary)


Judicial professionals in absolute number and per 100 000 inhabitants (Q46, Q52, Q55, Q60, Q146)

	Absolute number	Per 100 000 inhabitants	EU Median
Professional judges	2 878	29,46	23,92
Non-judge staff	8 576	86,71	59,00
Prosecutors	1 876	18,97	9,91
Non-prosecutor staff	2 425	24,52	15,22
Lawyers	12 965	131,08	122,09



Judicial professionals: Gender balance

	% Male	% Female
Professional judges	31,1%	68,9%
Non judge staff	16,0%	84,0%
Prosecutors	39,6%	60,4%
Non-prosecutor staff	19,4%	80,6%
Lawyers	55,2%	44,8%



3. Legal aid and court fees in Hungary

In Hungary, legal aid includes:

- Coverage of court fees: ✓
- Exemption from court fees: ✓

In Hungary, legal aid is available for :

- > Representation in court:
 - Criminal cases ✓
 - Other than criminal cases ✓
- > Legal advice, ADR and other legal services:
 - Criminal cases ✓
 - Other than criminal cases ✓
- > Fees related to enforcement of judicial decisions as fees for enforcement agents (Q18) ✓
- > Other costs than above (Q19)


According to the Legal Aid Act LXXX of 2003, the Legal Aid Service may grant legal aid in judicial and extrajudicial cases. The county justice services, as offices of first instance and in charge of receiving the applications for legal aid, do not merely assess the eligibility for aid but, in simple cases, provide legal assistance directly as well – without prior screening of the clients’ financial capabilities. However, legal aid (legal advice, drafting a document) is primarily provided by legal aid providers (attorneys, notaries public, non-governmental organizations etc.) who are recorded into the Register of legal aid providers who have contractual relation with the Legal Aid Service. The latter provides professional legal assistance for socially disadvantaged people. The law defines the situations in which legal aid can be granted and those in which no legal aid may be provided.

If legal aid is authorized, it extends to all stages of the proceedings, including the enforcement phase. However, it concerns only the fee of the legal aid provider. Besides, legal representation cannot be granted in such cases, but only extrajudicial assistance (legal advice, drafting of documents).

Number of cases for which legal aid has been granted

Absolute number (in 2020)	Total	Cases brought to court	Cases not brought to court
Total	5 748	2 006	3 742
In criminal cases	NA	NA	NA
In other than criminal cases	NA	NA	NA


Ratio of the total number of cases for which legal aid has been granted



For Hungary the comparison between the number of criminal and other than criminal cases for which legal aid has been provided and comparison in the chart above right is between cases brought to court and cases not brought to court. Higher percentage of cases brought to court were assisted with legal aid in Hungary.

Per 100 000 inhabitants (in 2020)	Hungary	EU Median
Total	58,1	734,2
In criminal cases	NA	330,9
In other than criminal cases	NA	402,7

Number of cases for which legal has been granted per 100 000 inhabitants



Compared with the EU median the number of cases for which legal aid has been granted per 100 000 inhabitants in Hungary is very low. Only 58 compared to 734 cases per 100 000 inhabitants.

Timeframes of the procedure for granting legal aid (in relation to the duration from the initial legal aid request to the final approval of the legal aid request)

- Maximum duration prescribed in law/regulations: NAP
- Actual average duration: NAP

4. Performance of courts in Hungary

• Efficiency indicators


- Clearance Rate (CR) and Disposition Time (DT)

The Clearance Rate shows the capacity of a judicial system to deal with the incoming cases. A Clearance Rate of 100% and higher does not generate backlog.

The Disposition Time determines the estimated number of days necessary for a pending case to be solved in a court.

First instance Total of other than criminal cases

- Incoming, resolved and pending cases




The number of incoming cases in 2020 in Hungary (6,41 per 100 inhabitants) is slightly below EU median (6,82 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of resolved cases in 2020 in Hungary (6,30 per 100 inhabitants) is slightly below EU median (6,60 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of pending cases at the end of 2020 in Hungary (1,39 per 100 inhabitants) is somewhat below EU median (2,66 per 100 inhabitants).

- Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

Other than criminal cases	CR (%)	DT (days)
2012	104%	NA
2013	98%	NA
2014	103%	63
2015	101%	59
2016	102%	57
2017	99%	63
2018	106%	63
2019	101%	69
2020	98%	80



With a Clearance Rate calculated at 98,3% in 2020 Hungary seems to deal with its other than criminal cases.


Between 2019 and 2020, the Clearance Rate has decreased by -2,4 points.

In 2020, other than criminal cases are solved in approximately 80 days, which is somewhat faster then EU median of 109 days.

The analysis of the 2019 - 2020 period reveals a 16,2% increase of the Disposition Time. The analysis per categories is more relevant here since this group of cases included in itself both litigious and non litigious cases.

First instance Civil (and commercial) litigious cases

◦ Incoming, resolved and pending cases



The number of incoming cases in 2020 in Hungary (1,29 per 100 inhabitants) is slightly below EU median (1,56 per 100 inhabitants).


The number of resolved cases in 2020 in Hungary (1,29 per 100 inhabitants) is slightly below EU median (1,50 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of pending cases at the end of 2020 in Hungary (0,58 per 100 inhabitants) is somewhat below EU median (1,05 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of civil and commercial litigious cases decreased in 2020 from 1.37 per 100 inhabitants to 1.29 which is around 4%.

◦ Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	CR (%)	DT (days)
2012	105,1%	97
2013	97,9%	169
2014	104,3%	144
2015	99,0%	159
2016	98,4%	159
2017	96,4%	181
2018	116,3%	151
2019	104,4%	152
2020	100,2%	165



With a Clearance Rate calculated at 100,2% in 2020, Hungary seems that have dealt effitiently with its civil and commercial litigious cases.

Nevertheless this is less efficient than in the previous year since between 2019 and 2020, the Clearance Rate has decreased by -4,2 points.


In 2020, the civil and commercial litigious cases are solved in approximately 165 days, which is below EU median of 221 days.

The analysis of the 2019 - 2020 period reveals a 8,6% increase of the Disposition Time.

The number of civil and commercial litigious cases older than 2 years is not available.

First instance Administrative cases

◦ Incoming, resolved and pending cases



The number of incoming cases in 2020 in Hungary (0,30 per 100 inhabitants) is slightly below EU median (0,30 per 100 inhabitants).


The number of resolved cases in 2020 in Hungary (0,26 per 100 inhabitants) is below EU median (0,26 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of pending cases at the end of 2020 in Hungary (0,08 per 100 inhabitants) is significantly below EU median (0,21 per 100 inhabitants).

Regarding administrative cases the re-organization of administrative jurisdiction caused change in the case-flow and the number of cases reported as administrative this year doubled.

◦ Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

Administrative cases	CR (%)	DT (days)
2012	108,0%	147
2013	104,3%	115
2014	92,1%	148
2015	105,3%	110
2016	99,7%	109
2017	102,1%	116
2018	101,7%	109
2019	102,5%	103
2020	89,3%	110



With a Clearance Rate calculated at 89,3% in 2020, Hungary seems to experienced problem this year with its administrative cases. As mentioned before this is probably due to resons of re-organisation of administrative jurisdiction when the number of incomming cases doubled and the courts were not able to absorb fully this increase.

Consequently, the Clearance Rate has decreased for -13,2 points compared with previous cycle.

The analysis of the 2019 - 2020 period reveals a 6,6% increase of the Disposition Time and in 2020, the administrative cases are solved in approximately 110 days, which is still significantly below EU median of 388 days and does not seem to create an efficiency problem yet. The situation in the next cycle will reveal if the situation is stabilised.

The number of administrative law cases older than 2 years is not available.


Based on the comments on this question the pandemic situation had a huge effect on the case flow of the courts on every level of the court system. However this is not visible in the data considering that the decrease in the number of incomming and resolved cases is not that big. Only administrative cases increase is significant but that is for re-organisational reasons.

As declared by Hungary, special regulations were adopted by the legislator to promote videoconferencing and the courts were "closed between the 16th of March and the 31th of March (during this period no procedural events could be performed at the courts). Although the courts carried out their main activities, many cases were prolonged e.g. because the parties were not able to attend the hearings.

Insolvency cases

◦ Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

Insolvency cases	CR (%)	DT (days)
2012	108,9%	138
2013	77,9%	259
2014	148,0%	91
2015	101,3%	168
2016	108,3%	124
2017	124,8%	32
2018	98,4%	75
2019	84,6%	112
2020	147,6%	8



The Clearance Rate was calculated at 147,6% in 2020 for insolvency cases, Hungary seems ... to deal with its insolvency cases.

Between 2019 and 2020, the Clearance Rate has increased by 63,1 points.

In 2020, insolvency cases are solved in a approximately 8 days, which is significantly below the EU median of 281 days.

The analysis of the 2019 - 2020 period reveals a -93,0% decrease of the Disposition Time.



The situation with insolvency cases in Hungary shows a big drop in disposition time. This is mostly because of low absolute number of these cases. Only 63 new cases were filed and somewhat more were resolved and there are only 2 cases pending at the end of 2020. This is why these variations are in reality not very significant. The reason for this could be the re-organisation of administrative jurisdiction that might have released some resources.

• First instance Criminal Law Cases

◦ Incoming, resolved and pending cases

	Pending cases 1 Jan	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases 31 Dec
Total	42 484	360 839	350 933	52 390
Severe criminal cases	20 753	125 130	122 476	23 407
Misdemeanour and/or minor cases	21 731	235 709	228 457	28 983
Other cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP

Per 100 inhabitants	Pending cases 1 Jan	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases 31 Dec
Total	0,43	3,65	3,55	0,53
Severe criminal cases	0,21	1,27	1,24	0,24
Misdemeanour and/or minor cases	0,22	2,38	2,31	0,29
Other cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP




The number of total incoming criminal cases in 2020 in Hungary (3,65 per 100 inhabitants) is well above EU median (1,60 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of total resolved criminal cases in 2020 in Hungary (3,55 per 100 inhabitants) is well above EU median (1,48 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of total pending criminal cases at the end of 2020 in Hungary (0,53 per 100 inhabitants) is slightly above EU median (0,46 per 100 inhabitants).

◦ Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

Total criminal law cases	CR (%)	DT (days)
Total	97,3%	54
Severe criminal cases	97,9%	70
Misdemeanour and/or minor cases	96,9%	46
Other cases	NAP	NAP



With the Clearance Rate calculated at 97,3% in 2020 Hungary did not solve all incoming total criminal cases.

Anyway, still in 2020, criminal law cases were solved in approximately 54 days, which is significantly below EU median of 139 days.

Criminal offences in Hungary are divided between severe or minor crimes. Severe crimes (bűntett) are committed intentionally and are punishable with at least two years of imprisonment. All other criminal offences are minor crimes (vétség). Crimes that are not committed intentionally are always considered as minor crimes, despite the possible punishment.



Misdemeanours (szabálysértés) are not considered as criminal offences, but are unlawful acts that are endangering the society. The authorities intervening in their respect are the police, the district office, or the National Tax and Customs Office. Their decisions can be reviewed by the relevant section of the respective district court upon request of the accused person. Generally, the court rules without oral hearings, based upon the available documents. However, it can set a hearing if it finds it necessary or if the person charged by a misdemeanor requests it. The judgment is a final and enforceable decision.

It is noteworthy that the Hungarian law identifies also the category of civil offences encompassing offences mainly against public administration. However some criminal offenses, such as property crimes involving objects of small value (under 50000 HUF), are classified in this category as well. Civil offences fall under the jurisdiction of various administrative agencies, local governments or traffic police, but not the courts.

Concerning the methodology of presentation of data, as according to the Hungarian Criminal Code not only severe crimes (bűntett), but also almost every minor crime (vétség) are punishable with imprisonment, both categories were included into the category “severe criminal cases”. Thus misdemeanors (szabálysértés) were included into the category “minor criminal cases”.

Overall efficiency by instance and by case matter

	CR (%)			DT (days)		
	1st instance	2nd instance	Supreme Court	1st instance	2nd instance	Supreme Court
Civil and commercial litigious cases	100,2%	107,1%	131,8%	165	116	155
Administrative cases	89,3%	145,5%	108,6%	110	4	58
Total criminal law cases	97,3%	102,4%	104,2%	54	48	66



In 2020 Hungarian courts are performing fastest for administrative cases which is oposite to the EU median where the administrative cases are the longest on each of the three instances. Looking by instance in Hugary first instance is longest as it is also EU tendency. On the other hand second instance is fastest doe civil and commercial litigios and for administrative cases. Disposition time for all categories of cases and for all instances in Hungary are well below EU median.

5. Public prosecution services in Hungary

• Role and powers of the public prosecutor

In the criminal procedure, the public prosecutor in Hungary has the following 11 out of 11 possible roles and powers:

To conduct or supervise police investigation	✓	To appeal	✓
To conduct investigations	✓	To supervise the enforcement procedure	✓
When necessary, to request investigation measures from the judge	✓	To discontinue a case without needing a decision by a judge	✓
To charge	✓	To end the case by imposing or negotiating a penalty or measure without requiring a judicial decision	✓
To present the case in the court	✓	Other significant powers	✓
To propose a sentence to the judge	✓		

The Prosecution Service conducts investigation of cases specified in the Code of Criminal Procedure; supervises investigative authorities; exercises other rights in connection with investigations; exercises the public authority of formal accusation; represents the prosecution in court proceedings and exercises the rights to redress; monitors compliance with the law governing penalties, ancillary penalties, measures, coercive procedural measures depriving and restricting personal freedom, follow-up care and the implementation of criminal records, records of administrative offences and searches and participates in proceedings instituted by judges responsible for enforcement; ensures the correct application of laws in court proceedings; promotes legal compliance by entities exercising public powers and handling out-of-court settlement; gives special attention to combating crimes committed by and against minors, to compliance with the special rules of procedure of administrative and criminal proceedings instituted against juveniles; participates in enforcing the rights of minors and launches proceedings to have the necessary child protection measures taken in the cases provided for by law; performs its duties relating to international treaties, particularly seeking and providing legal assistance; performs the duties relating to Hungary’s participation in Eurojust; acts as defence in lawsuits filed against the Prosecution Service with reference to legal violations or for damages relating to its activities.

The public prosecutor also has a role in civil, administrative and insolvency cases.

In administrative matters, the Hungarian prosecution services can take court-actions against decisions of different administrative authorities. Such actions – irrespective of the procedural rules governing them (rules of civil proceedings or special administrative law rules) – are bound to court proceedings: prosecutors act as parties. Prosecution services did not report any special powers or authorities when prosecutors take part in civil court proceedings as petitioners. They have the same powers as other parties and can appeal against unlawful legal acts of administrative authorities.

The most important aims prosecutors may take legal actions for are (with some examples):



- nullity of marriage
- paternity denial or dissolution of adoption
- protection of children’s rights - representation of state authorities in proceedings for compensation of damages caused by the judiciary
- dissolution of civil associations - declaration of violation of labor or social law regulations
- nature management.

Special competencies were given to Hungarian prosecution services against administrative decisions as (with some examples):

- providing legal opinions on draft proposals of legislation
- monitoring and observing the application of legislation, warning, protest or contestation (with or without) power of suspension of execution against a decision of a certain administrative authority.

Public prosecutors: Number of first instance criminal cases

Type of cases	Absolute number	Per 100 inhabitants
1. Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	NA	NA
2. Incoming/received cases	243 700	2,46
3. Processed cases (3.1 + 3.2 + 3.3 + 3.4)	141 432	1,43
3.1. Discontinued during the reference year (3.1.1 + 3.1.2 + 3.1.3 + 3.1.4)	20 129	0,20
3.1.1 Discontinued by the public prosecutor because the offender could not be identified	3 052	0,03
3.1.2 Discontinued by the public prosecutor due to the lack of an established offence or a specific legal situation	8 048	0,08
3.1.3 Discontinued by the public prosecutor for reasons of opportunity	3 126	0,03
3.1.4 Discontinued for other reasons	5 903	0,06
3.2. Concluded by a penalty or a measure imposed or negotiated by the public prosecutor	4 354	0,04
3.3. Cases closed by the public prosecutor for other reasons	4 808	0,05
3.4. Cases brought to court	112 141	1,13
4. Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	NA	NA



In Hungary the the comparisson between incomming or received cases in 2020 and those processed is in high favour of the incomming cases. EU median is more balanced where siminar number of incomming and processed cases is observed as shown in the first prosecution chart above right. Most of the cases of those processed are brought to court, almost 80%, 14% are discontinued and the rest of 6% is closed by penalty or another reason.


In Hungary the category 3.1.4 Discontinued for other reasons are in case the Special Part of the Penal Code regulates the conduct of the accused after the commencement of the proceedings as a ground for termination of criminal liability.

On the other side the 3.3. Cases closed by the public prosecutor for other reasons are if at the stage of preparation of the prosecution, Section 221 / A (7) of the Criminal Procedure Act provides that if the mediation proceedings are successful and the application of Section 29 (1) of the Criminal Code is appropriate, the prosecutor shall terminate the proceedings.

6. Existence and use of alternative dispute resolution in Hungary

Number of mediators

Mediators	Total	Per 100 000 inhabitants
2012	12	0,1
2013	20	0,2
2014	120	1,2
2015	160	1,6
2016	174	1,8
2017	174	1,8
2018	153	1,6
2019	203	2,1
2020	141	1,4



In 2020, there are 141 accredited or registered mediators who practise court related mediation which represents 1,4 accredited or registered mediators per 100 000

The number of mediators is quite low compared with the EU median of 14.4 per 100 000 inhabitants.

Number of court related mediations

Type of cases	Number of cases for which the parties agreed to start mediation	Number of finished court-related mediations	Number of cases in which there is a settlement agreement
Total of all cases	899	873	248
Civil and commercial	141	123	16
Family cases	725	718	223
Administrative	4	3	1
Employment dismissal	29	29	8
Criminal cases	NAP	NAP	NAP
Consumer cases	NA	NA	NA

Consumer cases are included in category 1 "civil and commercial cases".

7. ICT tools of courts in Hungary

●The ICT tools of courts and for court users

The use of ICT in courts in 2020 has been evaluated as :

EU Median

Total	8,9	6,6
Assistance tools (0 to 3)	3,0	2,0
Case management system (0 to 7)	6,7	5,2
Financial management tools (0 to 3)	2,0	1,3
Measurement tools to assess the workload (0 to 5)	3,3	2,5
Electronic communication (0 to 10)	10,0	6,9

The calculation of this values for each field is based on the answers for that question/s and weighted according the availability or deployment rate. The total value is normalised to max 10 points for readability and comparison.


The details of the calculation are given in Annex 5 - IT calculations

The result by area may be summarized in these graphics, where each field has been evaluated from 0 to 4 points.

Note: index is modified based on the available questions. This cycle the recalculation was made for the last three cycles to be able to follow the development.

ICT tools assessment from 2018 to 2020

■ 2018 ■ 2019 ■ 2020 ■ EU Median 2020



Since 2018 evolution is observed for Assistance tools, Case management system and for Electronic communication while the other two categories are stable. All categories for Hungary are quite above EU median for 2020.

8. Systems for measuring and evaluating the performance of courts and public prosecution services in Hungary

In Hungary, quality standards are determined for the judicial system at national level (e.g. quality systems for the judiciary and/or judicial quality policies). However, there is no specialised personnel within the courts or the public prosecution services entrusted with implementation of these national level quality standards.

Second instance courts have to prepare a note on the decision and the trial procedure of the first instance court, based on professional criteria in every case. In this note, the court of appeal has to examine: the application of substantive, procedural and administrative regulations; the preparation of the hearings; the quality of the judges trial leading practice; if the coercive measures were well founded; if the hearings were set timely; if the ruling was transcribed in time; if the decision was edited correctly. The conclusions are summarized and judges of first instance courts are informed about them at least once a year. Furthermore, the departments of the Supreme Court (Kúria) responsible for examining the judicial practice evaluates the practice of the courts and regularly inform judges about their experience.

• Systems for measuring and evaluating courts' performance

A regular monitoring system of court activities is in place concerning:

Number of incoming cases	✓	Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)	✓
Length of proceedings (timeframes)	✓	Costs of the judicial procedures	✗
Number of resolved cases	✓	Number of appeals	✓
Number of pending cases	✓	Appeal ratio	✓
Backlogs	✓	Clearance rate	✓
Productivity of judges and court staff	✓	Disposition time	✓
Satisfaction of court staff	✗	Other	✓

- In category other among others there are:
- individual judge's statistics, - statistics on the reasons of the postpone of the trials,
 - number of trial days in cases, - number of tried cases per day,
 - pending cases of an individual judge / court,
 - the time frame of pending cases
 - number of appealed cases,
 - the subject of incoming / finished / pending cases,
 - the ratio of litigious and non-litigious cases,
 - cases that are pending over 2 or 5 years have a separated statistical report every month
 - cases in which there were no actions taken in the last 30 days by the court have a separated statistical report every month

In Hungary, there is a system to regularly evaluate the court performance based primarily on defined indicators and the reporting is more frequent than annual. The statistics of the court system are composed quarterly, semi-annually and annually. It is published on the central internet website of the courts in every half year. Performance and quality indicators are defined for the activity of each court.

The following indicators are used:

Number of incoming cases	✓	Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)	✗
Length of proceedings (timeframes)	✓	Costs of the judicial procedures	✗
Number of resolved cases	✓	Number of appeals	✓
Number of pending cases	✓	Appeal ratio	✓
Backlogs	✓	Clearance rate	✓
Productivity of judges and court staff	✓	Disposition time	✓
Satisfaction of court staff	✗	Other	✓

- Measuring the satisfaction of court users has been introduced in 2014. Similarly as for the regular monitoring system, the other include:
- individual judge's statistics;
 - statistics on the reasons of the postpone of the trials;
 - number of trial days in cases;
 - number of cases heard per day;
 - pending cases of an individual judge / court;
 - the time frame of pending cases;
 - number of appealed cases;
 - the subject of incoming / finished / pending cases;
 - the ratio of litigious and non-litigious cases; cases that are pending over 2 or 5 years have a separated statistical report every month;
 - cases in which there were no actions taken in the last 30 days by the court have a separated statistical report every month;

The evaluation of the courts' activities is used for the later allocation of means in the courts. The statistical output of a court (mainly the number of incoming and pending cases) is taken into consideration during the distribution of human resources.

• Systems for measuring and evaluating public prosecution services' performance

A regular monitoring system of public prosecution services activities is in place concerning:

Number of incoming cases	✓	Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the public prosecution)	✓
Length of proceedings (timeframes)	✓	Costs of the judicial procedures	✗
Number of resolved cases	✓	Clearance rate	✓
Number of pending cases	✓	Disposition time	✓
Backlogs	✓	Percentage of convictions and acquittals	✓
Productivity of prosecutors and prosecution staff	✓	Other	✗
Satisfaction of prosecution staff	✗		

In Hungary, there is no system to evaluate regularly the activity of each public prosecution service and consequently no indicators exist. See below table.

Performance and quality indicators are not defined for the activity of each public prosecution service.

None of the following indicators are used:

Number of incoming cases	✗	Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the public prosecutors)	✗
Length of proceedings (timeframes)	✗	Costs of the judicial procedures	✗
Number of resolved cases	✗	Clearance rate	✗
Number of pending cases	✗	Disposition time	✗
Backlogs	✗	Percentage of convictions and acquittals	✗
Productivity of prosecutors and prosecution staff	✗	Other	✗
Satisfaction of prosecution staff	✗		

The evaluation of the public prosecution services' activities is not used for the later allocation of means in the public prosecution services.

Hungary (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Table General Data: Economic and demographic data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)																			
Q1 Number of inhabitants	9 908 798	9 877 365	9 855 571	9 830 485	9 797 561	9 877 365	9 591 495	9 769 000	9 890 640	-0,2%	-0,3%	-0,2%	-0,3%	-0,3%	0,8%	-2,9%	1,9%	1,2%	
Q.3 GDP Per capita (in €) in current prices	9 800	9 900	10 500	10 900	11 200	11 800	12 500	13 180	13 940	42,2%	1,0%	6,1%	3,8%	2,8%	5,4%	5,9%	5,4%	5,8%	
Q5. Exchange rate of Nat currency to € on 1 Jan	293	297	315	316	309	309	322	330	361	23,2%	1,3%	6,1%	0,2%	-2,0%	0,0%	4,1%	2,4%	9,4%	
Indicator 1: Systems for measuring and evaluating the performance of courts and prosecution services (Indicator 4 in 2019)																			
Table 1.1 to Table 1.10 (Q66, Q67, Q77, Q78, Q77-1, Q78-1, Q73, Q73-0, Q73-1, Q73-2, Q73-3, Q73-4, Q73-5, Q73-6, Q70, Q70-1, Q71, Q72, Q83-2, Q83-3, Q120 and Q120-1)																			
66 Qlty standards formulated_jud system	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True										
67 Specialised court staff entrusted_qlty standards	No	No	No	No	False	False	False	False	False										
77 Performance and quality indicators of court activities	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True										
078.1.1 Number of incoming cases							True	True	True										
078.1.2 Length of proceedings (timeframes)							True	True	True										
078.1.3 Number of resolved cases							True	True	True										
078.1.4 Number of pending cases							True	True	True										
078.1.5 Backlogs							True	True	True										
078.1.6 Productivity of judges and court staff							True	True	True										
078.1.7 Satisfaction of court staff							False	False	False										
078.1.8 Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)							False	False	False										
078.1.9 Costs of the judicial procedures							False	False	False										
078.1.10 Number of appeals							True	True	True										
078.1.11 Appeal ratio							True	True	True										
078.1.12 Clearance rate							True	True	True										
078.1.13 Disposition time							True	True	True										
078.1.14 Other							True	True	True										
077-1.1.1 Defined performance and quality indicators									False										

Hungary (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
078-1.1.1 Number of incoming cases										-								
078-1.1.2 Length of proceedings (timeframes)										-								
078-1.1.3 Number of resolved cases										-								
078-1.1.4 Number of pending cases										-								
078-1.1.5 Backlogs										-								
078-1.1.6 Productivity of prosecutors and prosecution staff										-								
078-1.1.7 Satisfaction of prosecution staff										-								
078-1.1.8 Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the public prosecution)										-								
078-1.1.9 Costs of the judicial procedures										-								
078-1.1.10 Clearance rate										-								
078-1.1.11 Disposition time										-								
078-1.1.12 Percentage of convictions and acquittals										-								
078-1.1.13 Other										-								
73 Regular system_evaluation_performance_each court	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
073-0.1.1 Annual					False	False	False	False	False									
073-0.1.2 Less frequent					False	False	False	False	False									
073-0.1.3 More frequent					True	True	True	True	True									
073-1.1.1 Evaluation used for the allocation of resources within the court			Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
073-2.1.1 Courses of action taken in the evaluation is used for the allocation of resources							True	True	True									
073-2.1.2 Reallocating resources (human/financial resources based on performance)							True	True	True									
073-2.1.3 Reengineering of internal procedures to increase efficiency							True	True	True									
073-2.1.4 Other							False	False	False									
073-3.1.1 Regular evaluation of the public prosecution services performance																		False

Hungary (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
073-4.1.1 Annual									-									
073-4.1.2 Less frequent									-									
073-4.1.3 More frequent									-									
073-5.1.1 Evaluation used for the allocation of resources within the public prosecution services									False									
073-6.1.1 Identifying the causes of improved or deteriorated performance									-									
073-6.1.2 Reallocating resources (human/financial resources based on performance)									-									
073-6.1.3 Reengineering of internal procedures to increase efficiency									-									
073-6.1.4 Other									-									
070.1.1 number of incoming cases	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
070.1.2 length of proceedings (timeframes)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
070.1.3 number of resolved cases	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
070.1.4 number of pending cases							True	True	True									
070.1.5 backlogs							True	True	True									
070.1.6 productivity of judges and court staff							True	True	True									
070.1.7 satisfaction of court staff							False	False	False									
070.1.8 satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)							True	True	True									
070.1.9 costs of the judicial procedures							False	False	False									
070.1.10 number of appeals							True	True	True									
070.1.11 appeal ratio							True	True	True									
070.1.12 clearance rate							True	True	True									

Hungary (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
070-1.1.1 Number of incoming cases									True									
070-1.1.2 Length of proceedings (timeframes)									True									
070-1.1.3 Number of resolved cases									True									
070-1.1.4 Number of pending cases									True									
070-1.1.5 Backlogs									True									
070-1.1.6 Productivity of prosecutors and prosecution staff									True									
070-1.1.7 Satisfaction of prosecution staff									False									
070-1.1.8 Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the public prosecution)									True									
070-1.1.9 Costs of the judicial procedures									False									
070-1.1.10 Clearance rate									True									
070-1.1.11 Disposition time									True									
070-1.1.12 Percentage of convictions and acquittals									True									
070-1.1.13 Other									False									
071.1.1 Monitoring backlogs in Civil law cases									True									
071.1.2 Monitoring backlogs in Criminal law cases									True									
071.1.3 Monitoring backlogs in Administrative law cases									True									
072.1.1 Monitoring timeframes Within the courts									True									
072.1.2 Monitoring timeframes Within the public prosecution services									True									
083-2.1.1 Quantitative performance tagets defined for each prosecutors									True									
083-3.1.1 Body responsible - Executive power (for example the Ministry of Justice)									False									
083-3.1.2 Body responsible - Prosecutor General /State public prosecutor									False									
083-3.1.3 Body responsible - Public Prosecutorial Council									False									
083-3.1.4 Body responsible - Head of the organisational unit or hierarchically superior public prosecutor									True									
083-3.1.5 Body responsible - Other									False									

Hungary (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
120.1.1 Qualitative individual assessment of the public prosecutors' work									True									
120-1.1.1 Feequency - Annual									False									
120-1.1.2 Feequency - Less frequent									True									
120-1.1.3 Feequency - More frequent									False									
Indicator 2: The judicial organisation																		
Tables 2.1a; 2.1b; 2.2a; 2.2b; 2.3a; 2.3b; 2.4 and 2.5(EC) (Q42, Q43 and Q44)																		
Q42.1.1Total number of all courts - legal entities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	139	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Q42.1.2 Total number of courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	139	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Q42.1.3 First instance courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities	131	131	111	111	111	112	113	113	113	-13,7%	0,0%	-15,3%	0,0%	0,0%	0,9%	0,9%	0,0%	0,0%
Q42.1.4 Second instance courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Q42.1.5 Highest instance courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Q42.1.6 Total number of specialised courts - legal entities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.1 Total number of specialised courts of first instance	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	-	-	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	-
43.1.2 Commercial courts (excluded insolvency courts)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.3 Insolvency courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.4 Labour courts	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	NAP	-	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	-
43.1.5 Family courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.6 Rent and tenancies courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.7 Enforcement of criminal sanctions courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.8 Fight against terrorism, organised crime and corruption	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.9 Internet related disputes	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.10 Administrative courts	NA	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	NAP	-	-	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	-
43.1.11 Insurance and / or social welfare courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.12 Military courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.13 Juvenile courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Hungary (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
43.1.14 Other specialised courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.1 Total number of specialised courts of higher instances	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.2 Commercial courts (excluded insolvency courts)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.3 Insolvency courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.4 Labour courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.5 Family courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.6 Rent and tenancies courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.7 Enforcement of criminal sanctions courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.8 Fight against terrorism, organised crime and corruption	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.9 Internet related disputes	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.10 Administrative courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.11 Insurance and / or social welfare courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.12 Military courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.13 Juvenile courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.14 Other specialised courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44.1.1 First instance courts geographic locations	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	113	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44.1.2 All courts geographic locations	157	157	157	157	157	158	159	159	139	-11,5%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,6%	0,6%	0,0%	-12,6%

Hungary (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Indicator 3: The performance of courts at all stages of the proceedings																			
Tables 3.1.1.1 to 3.1.1.4 (all years) Number of other than criminal cases (Q91)																			
Table 3.3.1 to 3.3.3 Variation of first instance other than criminal cases per 100 inhabitants (Q1, Q91)																			
Table 3.13.7 (EC) to 3.13.12 (EC) First instance other than criminal cases (Q91)																			
91.1.1 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	NA	NA	162 126	150 305	148 425	138 168	174 020	131 158	126 602	-	-	-	-7,3%	-1,3%	-6,9%	25,9%	-24,6%	-3,5%	
91.1.2 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	142 113	78 381	82 107	74 290	76 124	79 099	85 430	63 848	57 987	-59,2%	-44,8%	4,8%	-9,5%	2,5%	3,9%	8,0%	-25,3%	-9,2%	
91.1.3 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	28 503	26 626	31 335	25 806	58 332	43 355	48 405	-	-	-	-6,6%	17,7%	-17,6%	126,0%	-25,7%	11,6%	
91.1.4 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	51 785	27 684	27 373	25 154	30 442	25 130	20 389	17 886	17 714	-65,8%	-46,5%	-1,1%	-8,1%	21,0%	-17,4%	-18,9%	-12,3%	-1,0%	
91.1.5 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	962	1 076	893	704	37 436	25 208	30 336	-	-	-	11,9%	-17,0%	-21,2%	5217,6%	-32,7%	20,3%	
91.1.6 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
91.1.7 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non-litigious business registry cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	35 986	23 606	28 523	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-34,4%	20,8%	
91.1.8 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other registry cases	-	-	962	1 076	893	704	1 450	1 602	1 813	-	-	-	11,9%	-17,0%	-21,2%	106,0%	10,5%	13,2%	
91.1.9 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other non-litigious cases	-	-	168	396	391	492	507	261	355	-	-	-	135,7%	-1,3%	25,8%	3,0%	-48,5%	36,0%	
91.1.10 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Administrative law cases	6 483	6 019	5 320	6 734	5 776	5 827	5 467	5 180	4 768	-26,5%	-7,2%	-11,6%	26,6%	-14,2%	0,9%	-6,2%	-5,2%	-8,0%	
91.1.11 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	56 882	57 094	46 196	42 655	35 190	27 436	24 791	18 775	15 442	-72,9%	0,4%	-19,1%	-7,7%	-17,5%	-22,0%	-9,6%	-24,3%	-17,8%	
91.2.1 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	1 129 126	1 164 682	848 998	902 411	870 257	847 148	719 282	663 594	634 257	-43,8%	3,1%	-27,1%	6,3%	-3,6%	-2,7%	-15,1%	-7,7%	-4,4%	
91.2.2 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	432 443	180 813	180 382	176 407	184 824	178 330	132 557	133 406	127 410	-70,5%	-58,2%	-0,2%	-2,2%	4,8%	-3,5%	-25,7%	0,6%	-4,5%	
91.2.3 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	613 158	678 103	637 091	623 259	550 507	497 329	458 787	-	-	-	10,6%	-6,0%	-2,2%	-11,7%	-9,7%	-7,7%	
91.2.4 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	246 856	201 578	180 459	212 034	191 575	201 591	203 997	178 014	165 017	-33,2%	-18,3%	-10,5%	17,5%	-9,6%	5,2%	1,2%	-12,7%	-7,3%	
91.2.5 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	430 096	463 007	441 767	418 418	344 358	317 207	291 916	-	-	-	7,7%	-4,6%	-5,3%	-17,7%	-7,9%	-8,0%	
91.2.6 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
91.2.7 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Non-litigious business registry cases	385 241	726 545	427 114	459 210	437 387	414 067	339 852	311 808	286 917	-25,5%	88,6%	-41,2%	7,5%	-4,8%	-5,3%	-17,9%	-8,3%	-8,0%	
91.2.8 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Other registry cases	-	-	2 982	3 797	4 380	4 351	4 506	5 399	4 999	-	-	-	27,3%	15,4%	-0,7%	3,6%	19,8%	-7,4%	
91.2.9 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Other non-litigious cases	-	-	2 603	3 062	3 749	3 250	2 152	2 108	1 854	-	-	-	17,6%	22,4%	-13,3%	-33,8%	-2,0%	-12,0%	
91.2.10 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Administrative law cases	12 595	16 189	18 008	18 149	19 590	16 908	17 120	16 432	29 254	132,3%	28,5%	11,2%	0,8%	7,9%	-13,7%	1,3%	-4,0%	78,0%	
91.2.11 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	51 991	39 557	37 450	29 752	28 752	28 651	19 098	16 427	18 806	-63,8%	-23,9%	-5,3%	-20,6%	-3,4%	-0,4%	-33,3%	-14,0%	14,5%	

Hungary (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
91.3.1 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	1 176 429	1 135 973	872 260	914 672	888 592	840 592	762 142	668 015	623 392	-47,0%	-3,4%	-23,2%	4,9%	-2,9%	-5,4%	-9,3%	-12,4%	-6,7%
91.3.2 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	454 369	177 087	188 199	174 573	181 849	171 999	154 139	139 267	127 656	-71,9%	-61,0%	6,3%	-7,2%	4,2%	-5,4%	-10,4%	-9,6%	-8,3%
91.3.3 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	626 526	681 609	650 977	620 029	565 484	492 145	448 443	-	-	-	8,8%	-4,5%	-4,8%	-8,8%	-13,0%	-8,9%
91.3.4 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	262 314	200 004	182 894	206 746	196 915	206 332	206 500	178 186	163 630	-37,6%	-23,8%	-8,6%	13,0%	-4,8%	4,8%	0,1%	-13,7%	-8,2%
91.3.5 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	441 257	471 796	450 414	410 463	356 586	311 945	282 953	-	-	-	6,9%	-4,5%	-8,9%	-13,1%	-12,5%	-9,3%
91.3.6 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.3.7 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Non-litigious business registry cases	394 348	691 613	438 389	467 816	445 845	406 858	352 232	306 757	277 984	-29,5%	75,4%	-36,6%	6,7%	-4,7%	-8,7%	-13,4%	-12,9%	-9,4%
91.3.8 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Other registry cases	-	-	2 868	3 980	4 569	3 605	4 354	5 188	4 969	-	-	-	38,8%	14,8%	-21,1%	20,8%	19,2%	-4,2%
91.3.9 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Other non-litigious cases	-	-	2 375	3 067	3 648	3 235	2 398	2 014	1 860	-	-	-	29,1%	18,9%	-11,3%	-25,9%	-16,0%	-7,6%
91.3.10 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Administrative law cases	13 599	16 888	16 594	19 107	19 539	17 268	17 407	16 844	26 133	92,2%	24,2%	-1,7%	15,1%	2,3%	-11,6%	0,8%	-3,2%	55,1%
91.3.11 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	51 799	50 381	40 941	39 383	36 227	31 296	25 112	19 759	21 160	-59,1%	-2,7%	-18,7%	-3,8%	-8,0%	-13,6%	-19,8%	-21,3%	7,1%
91.4.1 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	NA	NA	150 089	146 650	138 177	144 724	131 158	126 736	137 467	-	-	-	-2,3%	-5,8%	4,7%	-9,4%	-3,4%	8,5%
91.4.2 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	120 187	82 107	74 290	76 124	79 099	85 430	63 848	57 987	57 741	-52,0%	-31,7%	-9,5%	2,5%	3,9%	8,0%	-25,3%	-9,2%	-0,4%
91.4.3 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	26 410	31 726	25 806	29 036	43 355	48 539	58 749	-	-	-	20,1%	-18,7%	12,5%	49,3%	12,0%	21,0%
91.4.4 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	36 327	29 258	24 938	30 442	25 102	20 389	17 886	17 714	19 101	-47,4%	-19,5%	-14,8%	22,1%	-17,5%	-18,8%	-12,3%	-1,0%	7,8%
91.4.5 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	1 076	893	704	8 659	25 208	30 470	39 299	-	-	-	-17,0%	-21,2%	1130,0%	191,1%	20,9%	29,0%
91.4.6 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.4.7 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non-litigious business registry cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	23 606	28 657	37 456	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	21,4%	30,7%
91.4.8 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other registry cases	-	-	1 076	893	704	1 450	1 602	1 813	1 843	-	-	-	-17,0%	-21,2%	106,0%	10,5%	13,2%	1,7%
91.4.9 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other non-litigious cases	-	-	396	391	492	507	261	355	349	-	-	-	-1,3%	25,8%	3,0%	-48,5%	36,0%	-1,7%
91.4.10 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Administrative law cases	5 479	5 320	6 734	5 776	5 827	5 467	5 180	4 768	7 889	44,0%	-2,9%	26,6%	-14,2%	0,9%	-6,2%	-5,2%	-8,0%	65,5%
91.4.11 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	57 074	46 270	42 655	33 024	27 445	24 791	18 775	15 442	13 088	-77,1%	-18,9%	-7,8%	-22,6%	-16,9%	-9,7%	-24,3%	-17,8%	-15,2%

Hungary (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions										
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020		
Table 3.2.1.1 to 3.2.1.2 (all years) First instance courts: Clearance rate and disposition time for other than criminal cases (Q91)																				
Table 3.3.4 to 3.3.7 Variation of Clearence Rate and Disposition Time of first instance other than criminal cases (Q91)																				
Table 3.13.1 (EC) to 3.13.6 (EC) First instance courts: Disposition time and clearance rate for other than criminal cases (Q91)																				
CR Total of other than criminal law cases	104,2%	97,5%	102,7%	101,4%	102,1%	99,2%	106,0%	100,7%	98,3%	- 5,67	- 6,39	5,34	- 1,34	0,74	- 2,82	6,79	- 4,99	- 2,36		
CR Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	105,1%	97,9%	104,3%	99,0%	98,4%	96,4%	116,3%	104,4%	100,2%	- 4,64	- 6,79	6,53	- 5,15	- 0,58	- 1,97	20,56	- 10,22	- 4,02		
CR Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	102,2%	100,5%	102,2%	99,5%	102,7%	99,0%	97,7%	-	-	-	- 1,63	1,65	- 2,64	3,26	- 3,66	- 1,23		
CR General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	106,3%	99,2%	101,3%	97,5%	102,8%	102,4%	101,2%	100,1%	99,2%	- 6,68	- 6,63	2,15	- 3,79	5,42	- 0,42	- 1,10	- 1,12	- 0,94		
CR Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	102,6%	101,9%	102,0%	98,1%	103,6%	98,3%	96,9%	-	-	-	- 0,68	0,06	- 3,78	5,56	- 5,03	- 1,44		
CR Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
CR Non-litigious business registry cases	102,4%	95,2%	102,6%	101,9%	101,9%	98,3%	103,6%	98,4%	96,9%	- 5,35	- 7,01	7,82	- 0,75	0,06	- 3,61	5,48	- 5,08	- 1,52		
CR Other registry cases	-	-	96,2%	104,8%	104,3%	82,9%	96,6%	96,1%	99,4%	-	-	-	8,99	- 0,48	- 20,57	16,62	- 0,55	3,44		
CR Other non-litigious cases	-	-	91,2%	100,2%	97,3%	99,5%	111,4%	95,5%	100,3%	-	-	-	9,78	- 2,85	2,29	11,95	- 14,26	5,01		
CR Administrative law cases	108,0%	104,3%	92,1%	105,3%	99,7%	102,1%	101,7%	102,5%	89,3%	- 17,26	- 3,38	- 11,67	14,25	- 5,26	2,40	- 0,44	0,82	- 12,85		
CR Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	99,6%	127,4%	109,3%	132,4%	126,0%	109,2%	131,5%	120,3%	112,5%	12,93	27,84	- 14,17	21,08	- 4,81	- 13,31	20,38	- 8,52	- 6,46		
DT Total of other than criminal law cases	NA	NA	63	59	57	63	63	69	80	-	-	-	-6,8%	-3,0%	10,7%	0,0%	10,2%	16,2%		
DT Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	97	169	144	159	159	181	151	152	165	71,0%	75,3%	-14,9%	10,5%	-0,2%	14,2%	-16,6%	0,5%	8,6%		
DT Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	15	17	14	17	28	36	48	-	-	-	10,4%	-14,8%	18,1%	63,7%	28,6%	32,8%		
DT General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	51	53	50	54	47	36	32	36	43	-15,7%	5,6%	-6,8%	8,0%	-13,4%	-22,5%	-12,3%	14,8%	17,4%		
DT Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	1	1	1	8	26	36	51	-	-	-	-22,4%	-17,4%	1249,7%	235,1%	38,2%	42,2%		
DT Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
DT Non-litigious business registry cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	24	34	49	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	39,4%	44,2%		
DT Other registry cases	-	-	137	82	56	147	134	128	135	-	-	-	-40,2%	-31,3%	161,0%	-8,5%	-5,0%	6,1%		
DT Other non-litigious cases	-	-	61	47	49	57	40	64	68	-	-	-	-23,5%	5,8%	16,2%	-30,6%	61,9%	6,4%		
DT Administrative law cases	147	115	148	110	109	116	109	103	110	-25,1%	-21,8%	28,8%	-25,5%	-1,3%	6,2%	-6,0%	-4,9%	6,6%		
DT Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	402	335	380	306	277	289	273	285	226	-43,9%	-16,6%	13,4%	-19,5%	-9,7%	4,6%	-5,6%	4,5%	-20,9%		

Hungary (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Table 3.4.1 (all years) First instance courts, number of cases for specific case categories (Q101)																			
101.1.1 Pending cases on 1 Jan. Litigious divorce case	16 416	13 134	12 878	NA	10 682	11 371	13 123	11 425	10 579	-35,6%	-20,0%	-1,9%	-	-	6,5%	15,4%	-12,9%	-7,4%	
101.1.2 Pending cases on 1 Jan. Employment dismissal case	3 389	3 144	2 492	2 198	1 762	1 332	1 306	909	842	-75,2%	-7,2%	-20,7%	-11,8%	-19,8%	-24,4%	-2,0%	-30,4%	-7,4%	
101.1.3 Pending cases on 1 Jan. Insolvency	62	51	85	37	54	39	12	13	32	-48,4%	-17,7%	66,7%	-56,5%	45,9%	-27,8%	-69,2%	8,3%	146,2%	
101.2.1 Incoming cases_Litigious divorce case	27 394	28 392	28 512	27 446	27 677	28 326	24 452	26 735	25 579	-6,6%	3,6%	0,4%	-3,7%	0,8%	2,3%	-13,7%	9,3%	-4,3%	
101.2.2 Incoming cases_Employment dismissal case	5 119	4 170	3 872	3 231	2 452	2 258	1 552	1 630	2 896	-43,4%	-18,5%	-7,1%	-16,6%	-24,1%	-7,9%	-31,3%	5,0%	77,7%	
101.2.3 Incoming cases_Insolvency	124	154	100	77	120	109	64	123	63	-49,2%	24,2%	-35,1%	-23,0%	55,8%	-9,2%	-41,3%	92,2%	-48,8%	
101.3.1 Resolved cases_Litigious divorce case	30 676	28 648	28 641	16 764	26 988	26 574	26 150	27 581	25 663	-16,3%	-6,6%	0,0%	-41,5%	61,0%	-1,5%	-1,6%	5,5%	-7,0%	
101.3.2 Resolved cases_Employment dismissal case	5 364	4 822	4 166	3 667	2 882	2 265	1 949	1 697	2 793	-47,9%	-10,1%	-13,6%	-12,0%	-21,4%	-21,4%	-14,0%	-12,9%	64,6%	
101.3.3 Resolved cases_Insolvency	135	120	148	78	130	136	63	104	93	-31,1%	-11,1%	23,3%	-47,3%	66,7%	4,6%	-53,7%	65,1%	-10,6%	
101.4.1 Pending cases on 31 Dec. Litigious divorce case	13 134	12 878	12 749	10 682	11 371	13 123	11 425	10 579	10 495	-20,1%	-1,9%	-1,0%	-16,2%	6,5%	15,4%	-12,9%	-7,4%	-0,8%	
101.4.2 Pending cases on 31 Dec. Employment dismissal case	3 144	2 492	2 198	1 762	1 332	1 325	909	842	949	-69,8%	-20,7%	-11,8%	-19,8%	-24,4%	-0,5%	-31,4%	-7,4%	12,7%	
101.4.3 Pending cases on 31 Dec. Insolvency	51	85	37	36	44	12	13	32	2	-96,1%	66,7%	-56,5%	-2,7%	22,2%	-72,7%	8,3%	146,2%	-93,8%	

Table 3.5.1 (all years) First instance courts: Clearance rate and disposition time for specific case categories (Q101)																									
Table 3.6.1 and 3.6.2 Variations of CR and DT for specific case categories of first instance cases (Q101)																									
CR Litigious divorce cases	112,0%	100,9%	100,5%	61,1%	97,5%	93,8%	106,9%	103,2%	100,3%	-	10,41	-	9,89	-	0,45	-	39,20	59,64	-	3,79	13,99	-	3,53	-	2,75
CR Employment dismissal cases	104,8%	115,6%	107,6%	113,5%	117,5%	100,3%	125,6%	104,1%	96,4%	-	7,96	10,35	-	6,96	5,48	3,56	-	14,66	25,19	-	17,10	-	7,36		
CR Insolvency cases	108,9%	77,9%	148,0%	101,3%	108,3%	124,8%	98,4%	84,6%	147,6%		35,59	-	28,43	89,93	-	31,55	6,94	15,17	-	21,11	-	14,11		74,59	
DT Litigious divorce cases	156	164	162	233	154	180	159	140	149		-4,5%	5,0%		-1,0%	43,1%	-33,9%	17,2%	-11,5%		-12,2%		6,6%			
DT Employment dismissal cases	214	189	193	175	169	214	170	181	124		-42,0%		-11,8%		2,1%	-8,9%	-3,8%	26,6%		-20,3%		6,4%		-31,5%	
DT Insolvency cases	138	259	91	168	124	32	75	112	8		-94,3%		87,5%		-64,7%	84,6%	-26,7%	-73,9%		133,9%		49,1%		-93,0%	

Hungary (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Table 3.7.1 to 3.7.5 (2019 and 2020) Second instance other than criminal cases (Q97)																			
Table 3.9.1 to 3.9.3 (2019 and 2020) Variation of second instance other than criminal cases (Q97)																			
97.1.1 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			14 768	12 415	11 410	11 724	12 508	10 738	8 643	-	-	-	-15,9%	-8,1%	2,8%	6,7%	-14,2%	-19,5%	
97.1.2 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			7 898	5 947	5 607	5 575	5 721	4 883	3 741	-	-	-	-24,7%	-5,7%	-0,6%	2,6%	-14,6%	-23,4%	
97.1.3 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			4 628	4 334	3 889	3 921	4 337	4 445	3 925	-	-	-	-6,4%	-10,3%	0,8%	10,6%	2,5%	-11,7%	
97.1.4 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			4 510	3 803	3 443	3 559	4 057	4 197	3 782	-	-	-	-15,7%	-9,5%	3,4%	14,0%	3,5%	-9,9%	
97.1.5 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			84	435	317	304	216	190	110	-	-	-	417,9%	-27,1%	-4,1%	-28,9%	-12,0%	-42,1%	
97.1.6 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
97.1.7 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non-litigious business registry cases			38	163	217	239	197	174	94	-	-	-	328,9%	33,1%	10,1%	-17,6%	-11,7%	-46,0%	
97.1.8 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other registry cases			46	272	100	65	19	16	16	-	-	-	491,3%	-63,2%	-35,0%	-70,8%	-15,8%	0,0%	
97.1.9 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other non-litigious cases			34	96	129	58	64	58	33	-	-	-	182,4%	34,4%	-55,0%	10,3%	-9,4%	-43,1%	
97.1.10 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Administrative law cases			421	447	406	472	497	561	418	-	-	-	6,2%	-9,2%	16,3%	5,3%	12,9%	-25,5%	
97.1.11 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other cases			1 821	1 687	1 508	1 756	1 953	849	559	-	-	-	-7,4%	-10,6%	16,4%	11,2%	-56,5%	-34,2%	
97.2.1 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			52 315	47 429	51 351	49 176	47 084	40 152	33 297	-	-	-	-9,3%	8,3%	-4,2%	-4,3%	-14,7%	-17,1%	
97.2.2 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			17 137	16 439	16 729	15 890	15 009	11 857	9 084	-	-	-	-4,1%	1,8%	-5,0%	-5,5%	-21,0%	-23,4%	
97.2.3 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			28 788	24 769	27 741	25 732	26 048	23 619	21 130	-	-	-	-14,0%	12,0%	-7,2%	1,2%	-9,3%	-10,5%	
97.2.4 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			26 806	22 072	25 565	24 206	24 698	22 469	20 164	-	-	-	-17,7%	15,8%	-5,3%	2,0%	-9,0%	-10,3%	
97.2.5 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			1 257	2 067	1 619	992	921	809	643	-	-	-	64,4%	-21,7%	-38,7%	-7,2%	-12,2%	-20,5%	
97.2.6 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
97.2.7 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Non-litigious business registry cases			171	783	929	824	802	693	551	-	-	-	357,9%	18,6%	-11,3%	-2,7%	-13,6%	-20,5%	
97.2.8 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Other registry cases			1 086	1 284	690	168	119	116	92	-	-	-	18,2%	-46,3%	-75,7%	-29,2%	-2,5%	-20,7%	
97.2.9 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Other non-litigious cases			725	630	557	534	429	341	323	-	-	-	-13,1%	-11,6%	-4,1%	-19,7%	-20,5%	-5,3%	
97.2.10 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Administrative law cases			1 761	1 654	2 151	2 017	2 302	2 246	887	-	-	-	-6,1%	30,0%	-6,2%	14,1%	-2,4%	-60,5%	
97.2.11 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Other cases			4 629	4 567	4 730	5 537	3 725	2 430	2 196	-	-	-	-1,3%	3,6%	17,1%	-32,7%	-34,8%	-9,6%	

Hungary (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
97.3.1 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			53 693	48 434	51 037	48 392	48 854	42 247	35 117	-	-	-	-9,8%	5,4%	-5,2%	1,0%	-13,5%	-16,9%
97.3.2 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			19 082	16 759	16 761	15 744	15 847	12 999	9 726	-	-	-	-12,2%	0,0%	-6,1%	0,7%	-18,0%	-25,2%
97.3.3 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			28 113	25 214	27 709	25 316	25 940	24 139	21 784	-	-	-	-10,3%	9,9%	-8,6%	2,5%	-6,9%	-9,8%
97.3.4 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			26 429	22 432	25 449	23 708	24 558	22 884	20 770	-	-	-	-15,1%	13,4%	-6,8%	3,6%	-6,8%	-9,2%
97.3.5 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			1 021	2 185	1 632	1 080	947	889	684	-	-	-	114,0%	-25,3%	-33,8%	-12,3%	-6,1%	-23,1%
97.3.6 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.7 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Non-litigious business registry cases			161	729	907	866	825	773	594	-	-	-	352,8%	24,4%	-4,5%	-4,7%	-6,3%	-23,2%
97.3.8 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Other registry cases			860	1 456	725	214	122	116	90	-	-	-	69,3%	-50,2%	-70,5%	-43,0%	-4,9%	-22,4%
97.3.9 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Other non-litigious cases			663	597	628	528	435	366	330	-	-	-	-10,0%	5,2%	-15,9%	-17,6%	-15,9%	-9,8%
97.3.10 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Administrative law cases			1 735	1 695	2 085	1 992	2 238	2 389	1 291	-	-	-	-2,3%	23,0%	-4,5%	12,3%	6,7%	-46,0%
97.3.11 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Other cases			4 763	4 766	4 482	5 340	4 829	2 720	2 316	-	-	-	0,1%	-6,0%	19,1%	-9,6%	-43,7%	-14,9%
97.4.1 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			13 390	11 410	11 724	12 508	10 738	8 643	6 823	-	-	-	-14,8%	2,8%	6,7%	-14,2%	-19,5%	-21,1%
97.4.2 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			5 953	5 633	5 575	5 721	4 883	3 741	3 099	-	-	-	-5,4%	-1,0%	2,6%	-14,6%	-23,4%	-17,2%
97.4.3 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			5 303	3 889	3 921	4 337	4 445	3 925	3 271	-	-	-	-26,7%	0,8%	10,6%	2,5%	-11,7%	-16,7%
97.4.4 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			4 887	3 443	3 559	4 057	4 197	3 782	3 176	-	-	-	-29,5%	3,4%	14,0%	3,5%	-9,9%	-16,0%
97.4.5 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			320	317	304	216	190	110	69	-	-	-	-0,9%	-4,1%	-28,9%	-12,0%	-42,1%	-37,3%
97.4.6 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.7 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non-litigious business registry cases			48	217	239	197	174	94	51	-	-	-	352,1%	10,1%	-17,6%	-11,7%	-46,0%	-45,7%
97.4.8 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other registry cases			272	100	65	19	16	16	18	-	-	-	-63,2%	-35,0%	-70,8%	-15,8%	0,0%	12,5%
97.4.9 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other non-litigious cases			96	129	58	64	58	33	26	-	-	-	34,4%	-55,0%	10,3%	-9,4%	-43,1%	-21,2%
97.4.10 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Administrative law cases			447	406	472	497	561	418	14	-	-	-	-9,2%	16,3%	5,3%	12,9%	-25,5%	-96,7%
97.4.11 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other cases			1 687	1 482	1 756	1 953	849	559	439	-	-	-	-12,2%	18,5%	11,2%	-56,5%	-34,2%	-21,5%
97.5.1 2nd inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.5.2 2nd inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.5.10 2nd inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Administrative law cases			-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Hungary (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions													
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020					
Table 3.8.1 and 3.8.2 (2019 and 2020): Second instance clearance rate and disposition time for other than criminal law cases (Q97)																							
Table 3.9.4 and 3.9.5 (2019 and 2020): Variation of second clearance rate and disposition time for other than criminal law cases (Q97)																							
CR Total of other than criminal law cases			102,6%	102,1%	99,4%	98,4%	103,8%	105,2%	105,5%	-	-	-	-	0,50	-	2,67	-	0,99	5,44	1,41	0,24		
CR Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			111,3%	101,9%	100,2%	99,1%	105,6%	109,6%	107,1%	-	-	-	-	8,44	-	1,72	-	1,11	6,56	3,83	-	2,34	
CR Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			97,7%	101,8%	99,9%	98,4%	99,6%	102,2%	103,1%	-	-	-	-	4,24	-	1,88	-	1,50	1,22	2,63	0,87		
CR General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			98,6%	101,6%	99,5%	97,9%	99,4%	101,8%	103,0%	-	-	-	-	3,08	-	2,05	-	1,61	1,52	2,43	-	1,14	
CR Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			81,2%	105,7%	100,8%	108,9%	102,8%	109,9%	106,4%	-	-	-	-	30,14	-	4,64	8,00	-	5,56	6,87	-	3,20	
CR Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
CR Non-litigious business registry cases			94,2%	93,1%	97,6%	105,1%	102,9%	111,5%	107,8%	-	-	-	-	1,11	4,86	7,65	-	2,12	8,43	-	3,35		
CR Other registry cases			79,2%	113,4%	105,1%	127,4%	102,5%	100,0%	97,8%	-	-	-	-	43,19	-	7,34	21,23	-	19,52	-	2,46	-	2,17
CR Other non-litigious cases			91,4%	94,8%	112,7%	98,9%	101,4%	107,3%	102,2%	-	-	-	-	3,62	18,98	-	12,30	2,55	5,85	-	4,81		
CR Administrative law cases			98,5%	102,5%	96,9%	98,8%	97,2%	106,4%	145,5%	-	-	-	-	4,01	-	5,41	1,89	-	1,56	9,41	36,83		
CR Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			102,9%	104,4%	94,8%	96,4%	129,6%	111,9%	105,5%	-	-	-	-	1,42	-	9,20	1,78	34,42	-	13,66	-	5,78	
DT Total of other than criminal law cases			91	86	84	94	80	75	71	-	-	-	-	-5,5%	-2,5%	12,5%	-15,0%	-6,9%	-5,0%				
DT Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			114	123	121	133	112	105	116	-	-	-	-	7,7%	-1,0%	9,2%	-15,2%	-6,6%	10,7%				
DT Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			69	56	52	63	63	59	55	-	-	-	-	-18,2%	-8,3%	21,1%	0,0%	-5,1%	-7,7%				
DT General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			67	56	51	62	62	60	56	-	-	-	-	-17,0%	-8,9%	22,4%	-0,1%	-3,3%	-7,5%				
DT Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			114	53	68	73	73	45	37	-	-	-	-	-53,7%	28,4%	7,4%	0,3%	-38,3%	-18,5%				
DT Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
DT Non-litigious business registry cases			109	109	96	83	77	44	31	-	-	-	-	-0,2%	-11,5%	-13,7%	-7,3%	-42,3%	-29,4%				
DT Other registry cases			115	25	33	32	48	50	73	-	-	-	-	-78,3%	30,5%	-1,0%	47,7%	5,2%	45,0%				
DT Other non-litigious cases			53	79	34	44	49	33	29	-	-	-	-	49,2%	-57,3%	31,2%	10,0%	-32,4%	-12,6%				
DT Administrative law cases			94	87	83	91	91	64	4	-	-	-	-	-7,0%	-5,5%	10,2%	0,5%	-30,2%	-93,8%				
DT Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			129	113	143	133	64	75	69	-	-	-	-	-12,2%	26,0%	-6,7%	-51,9%	16,9%	-7,8%				

Hungary (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Table 3.10.1 to 3.10.5 (2019 and 2020) Supreme courts, number of other than criminal law cases (Q99)																			
Table 3.12.1 to 3.12.3 (2019 and 2020) Variation of the supreme courts, number of other than criminal law cases (Q99)																			
99.1.1 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			2 604	2 308	2 428	3 186	3 663	3 448	2 620	-	-	-	-11,4%	5,2%	31,2%	15,0%	-5,9%	-24,0%	
99.1.2 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			1 073	1 030	1 121	1 579	1 993	1 744	1 508	-	-	-	-4,0%	8,8%	40,9%	26,2%	-12,5%	-13,5%	
99.1.3 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			43	83	47	104	120	139	87	-	-	-	93,0%	-43,4%	121,3%	15,4%	15,8%	-37,4%	
99.1.4 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			32	73	38	68	104	104	63	-	-	-	128,1%	-47,9%	78,9%	52,9%	0,0%	-39,4%	
99.1.5 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			9	10	8	34	8	32	19	-	-	-	11,1%	-20,0%	325,0%	-76,5%	300,0%	-40,6%	
99.1.6 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.1.7 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non-litigious business registry cases			4	9	7	28	8	30	18	-	-	-	125,0%	-22,2%	300,0%	-71,4%	275,0%	-40,0%	
99.1.8 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other registry cases			3	1	1	6	-	2	1	-	-	-	-66,7%	0,0%	500,0%	-	-	-50,0%	
99.1.9 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other non-litigious cases			-	-	1	2	8	3	5	-	-	-	-	-	100,0%	300,0%	-62,5%	66,7%	
99.1.10 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Administrative law cases			980	817	903	924	1 000	1 218	824	-	-	-	-16,6%	10,5%	2,3%	8,2%	21,8%	-32,3%	
99.1.11 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			508	378	357	579	550	347	201	-	-	-	-25,6%	-5,6%	62,2%	-5,0%	-36,9%	-42,1%	
99.2.1 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			5 883	5 799	7 069	6 748	5 928	5 161	5 553	-	-	-	-1,4%	21,9%	-4,5%	-12,2%	-12,9%	7,6%	
99.2.2 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			2 338	2 354	3 301	3 376	2 531	2 139	1 718	-	-	-	0,7%	40,2%	2,3%	-25,0%	-15,5%	-19,7%	
99.2.3 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			608	557	707	640	608	426	413	-	-	-	-8,4%	26,9%	-9,5%	-5,0%	-29,9%	-3,1%	
99.2.4 High inst courts_Incoming cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			549	508	626	594	548	374	372	-	-	-	-7,5%	23,2%	-5,1%	-7,7%	-31,8%	-0,5%	
99.2.5 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			45	26	47	12	35	31	27	-	-	-	-42,2%	80,8%	-74,5%	191,7%	-11,4%	-12,9%	
99.2.6 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.2.7 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Non-litigious business registry cases			28	21	39	12	33	29	24	-	-	-	-25,0%	85,7%	-69,2%	175,0%	-12,1%	-17,2%	
99.2.8 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Other registry cases			3	5	8	-	2	2	3	-	-	-	66,7%	60,0%	-	-	0,0%	50,0%	
99.2.9 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Other non-litigious cases			14	23	34	34	25	21	14	-	-	-	64,3%	47,8%	0,0%	-26,5%	-16,0%	-33,3%	
99.2.10 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Administrative law cases			2 143	2 038	2 030	1 889	2 167	2 188	3 177	-	-	-	-4,9%	-0,4%	-6,9%	14,7%	1,0%	45,2%	
99.2.11 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			794	850	1 031	843	622	408	245	-	-	-	7,1%	21,3%	-18,2%	-26,2%	-34,4%	-40,0%	

Hungary (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
99.3.1 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			6 179	5 679	6 311	6 271	6 143	5 989	6 533	-	-	-	-8,1%	11,1%	-0,6%	-2,0%	-2,5%	9,1%
99.3.2 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			2 381	2 263	2 843	2 962	2 780	2 375	2 265	-	-	-	-5,0%	25,6%	4,2%	-6,1%	-14,6%	-4,6%
99.3.3 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			568	593	650	624	589	478	446	-	-	-	4,4%	9,6%	-4,0%	-5,6%	-18,8%	-6,7%
99.3.4 High inst courts_Resolved cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			508	543	596	558	548	415	391	-	-	-	6,9%	9,8%	-6,4%	-1,8%	-24,3%	-5,8%
99.3.5 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			44	28	21	38	11	44	36	-	-	-	-36,4%	-25,0%	81,0%	-71,1%	300,0%	-18,2%
99.3.6 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.7 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Non-litigious business registry cases			23	23	18	32	11	41	32	-	-	-	0,0%	-21,7%	77,8%	-65,6%	272,7%	-22,0%
99.3.8 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Other registry cases			5	5	3	6	-	3	4	-	-	-	0,0%	-40,0%	100,0%	-	-	33,3%
99.3.9 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Other non-litigious cases			16	22	33	28	30	19	19	-	-	-	37,5%	50,0%	-15,2%	7,1%	-36,7%	0,0%
99.3.10 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Administrative law cases			2 306	1 952	2 009	1 813	1 949	2 582	3 450	-	-	-	-15,4%	2,9%	-9,8%	7,5%	32,5%	33,6%
99.3.11 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			924	871	809	872	825	554	371	-	-	-	-5,7%	-7,1%	7,8%	-5,4%	-32,8%	-33,0%
99.4.1 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			2 308	2 428	3 186	3 663	3 448	2 620	1 640	-	-	-	5,2%	31,2%	15,0%	-5,9%	-24,0%	-37,4%
99.4.2 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			1 030	1 121	1 579	1 993	1 744	1 508	961	-	-	-	8,8%	40,9%	26,2%	-12,5%	-13,5%	-36,3%
99.4.3 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			83	47	104	120	139	87	54	-	-	-	-43,4%	121,3%	15,4%	15,8%	-37,4%	-37,9%
99.4.4 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			73	38	68	104	104	63	44	-	-	-	-47,9%	78,9%	52,9%	0,0%	-39,4%	-30,2%
99.4.5 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			10	8	34	8	32	19	10	-	-	-	-20,0%	325,0%	-76,5%	300,0%	-40,6%	-47,4%
99.4.6 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.7 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non-litigious business registry cases			9	7	28	8	30	18	10	-	-	-	-22,2%	300,0%	-71,4%	275,0%	-40,0%	-44,4%
99.4.8 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other registry cases			1	1	6	-	2	1	-	-	-	-	0,0%	500,0%	-	-	-50,0%	-
99.4.9 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other non-litigious cases			-	1	2	8	3	5	-	-	-	-	-	100,0%	300,0%	-62,5%	66,7%	-
99.4.10 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Administrative law cases			817	903	924	1 000	1 218	824	551	-	-	-	10,5%	2,3%	8,2%	21,8%	-32,3%	-33,1%
99.4.11 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			378	357	579	550	347	201	75	-	-	-	-5,6%	62,2%	-5,0%	-36,9%	-42,1%	-62,7%
99.5.1 High inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.5.2 High inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.5.10 High inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Administrative law cases			-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Hungary (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions												
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020				
Table 3.11.1 and 3.11.2 Supreme courts, clearance rate and disposition time for other than criminal law cases (Q97)																						
Table 3.12.4 and 3.12.5 Variation of the supreme courts, clearance rate and disposition time for other than criminal law cases (Q97)																						
CR Total of other than criminal law cases			105,0%	97,9%	89,3%	92,9%	103,6%	116,0%	117,6%	-	-	-	-	6,76	-	8,84	4,09	11,51	11,98	1,38		
CR Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			101,8%	96,1%	86,1%	87,7%	109,8%	111,0%	131,8%	-	-	-	-	5,60	-	10,41	1,87	25,19	1,09	18,74		
CR Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			93,4%	106,5%	91,9%	97,5%	96,9%	112,2%	108,0%	-	-	-	-	13,96	-	13,64	6,05	-	0,64	15,83	-	3,76
CR General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			92,5%	106,9%	95,2%	93,9%	100,0%	111,0%	105,1%	-	-	-	-	15,52	-	10,93	-	1,33	6,45	10,96	-	5,28
CR Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			97,8%	107,7%	44,7%	316,7%	31,4%	141,9%	133,3%	-	-	-	-	10,14	-	58,51	608,73	-	90,08	351,61	-	6,06
CR Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Non-litigious business registry cases			82,1%	109,5%	46,2%	266,7%	33,3%	141,4%	133,3%	-	-	-	-	33,33	-	57,86	477,78	-	87,50	324,14	-	5,69
CR Other registry cases			166,7%	100,0%	37,5%	-	-	150,0%	133,3%	-	-	-	-	40,00	-	62,50	-	-	-	-	-	11,11
CR Other non-litigious cases			114,3%	95,7%	97,1%	82,4%	120,0%	90,5%	135,7%	-	-	-	-	16,30	1,47	-	15,15	45,71	-	24,60	50,00	
CR Administrative law cases			107,6%	95,8%	99,0%	96,0%	89,9%	118,0%	108,6%	-	-	-	-	10,99	3,33	-	3,02	-	6,29	31,21	-	7,98
CR Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			116,4%	102,5%	78,5%	103,4%	132,6%	135,8%	151,4%	-	-	-	-	11,95	-	23,42	31,83	28,23	2,37	11,52		
DT Total of other than criminal law cases			136	156	184	213	205	160	92	-	-	-	-	14,5%	18,1%	15,7%	-3,9%	-22,1%	-42,6%			
DT Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			158	181	203	246	229	232	155	-	-	-	-	14,5%	12,1%	21,1%	-6,8%	1,2%	-33,2%			
DT Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			53	29	58	70	86	66	44	-	-	-	-	-45,8%	101,9%	20,2%	22,7%	-22,9%	-33,5%			
DT General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			52	26	42	68	69	55	41	-	-	-	-	-51,3%	63,0%	63,4%	1,8%	-20,0%	-25,9%			
DT Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			83	104	591	77	1062	158	101	-	-	-	-	25,7%	466,7%	-87,0%	1281,8%	-85,2%	-35,7%			
DT Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Non-litigious business registry cases			143	111	568	91	995	160	114	-	-	-	-	-22,2%	411,1%	-83,9%	990,9%	-83,9%	-28,8%			
DT Other registry cases			73	73	730	-	-	122	-	-	-	-	-	0,0%	900,0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Other non-litigious cases			-	17	22	104	37	96	-	-	-	-	-	-	33,3%	371,4%	-65,0%	163,2%	-	-	-	-
DT Administrative law cases			129	169	168	201	228	116	58	-	-	-	-	30,6%	-0,6%	19,9%	13,3%	-48,9%	-50,0%			
DT Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			149	150	261	230	154	132	74	-	-	-	-	0,2%	74,6%	-11,9%	-33,3%	-13,7%	-44,3%			

Hungary (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Table 3.14.1 to 3.14.5 First instance criminal law cases (Q94)																			
094.1.1 Total - pending 1 Jan									42 484	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.1.2 Severe cases - pending 1 Jan									20 753	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.1.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 1 Jan									21 731	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.1.4 Other - pending 1 Jan									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.2.1 Total -incoming									360 839	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.2.2 Severe cases - incoming									125 130	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.2.3 Misdemeanour cases - incoming									235 709	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.2.4 Other - incoming									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.3.1 Total - resolved									350 933	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.3.2 Severe cases -resolved									122 476	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.3.3 Misdemeanour cases - resolved									228 457	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.3.4 Other - resolved									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.4.1 Total - pending 31 Dec									52 390	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.4.2 Severe cases - pending 31 Dec									23 407	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.4.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 31 Dec									28 983	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.4.4 Other - pending 31 Dec									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.5.1 Total - pending more then 2 years									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.5.2 Severe cases - pending more then 2 years									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.5.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending more then 2 years									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.5.4 Other - pending more then 2 years									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Hungary (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Table 3.15.1 to 3.10.2 CR and DT for first instance criminal law cases (Q94)																			
CR of Total									97,3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR o2 Severe cases									97,9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR of Misdemeanour cases									96,9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR of Other									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT of Total									54	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT of Severe cases									70	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT of Misdemeanour cases									46	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT of Other									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Table 3.16.1 to 3.16.5 Second instance criminal law cases (Q98)																			
098.1.1 Total - pending 1 Jan									5 360	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
098.1.2 Severe cases - pending 1 Jan									5 342	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
098.1.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 1 Jan									18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
098.1.4 Other - pending 1 Jan									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
098.2.1 Total -incoming									33 696	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
098.2.2 Severe cases - incoming									33 348	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
098.2.3 Misdemeanour cases - incoming									348	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
098.2.4 Other - incoming									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
098.3.1 Total - resolved									34 507	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
098.3.2 Severe cases -resolved									34 163	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
098.3.3 Misdemeanour cases - resolved									344	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
098.3.4 Other - resolved									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Hungary (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
098.4.1 Total - pending 31 Dec									4 549	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.4.2 Severe cases - pending 31 Dec									4 527	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.4.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 31 Dec									22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.4.4 Other - pending 31 Dec									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.5.1 Total - pending more then 2 years									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.5.2 Severe cases - pending more then 2 years									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.5.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending more then 2 years									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.5.4 Other - pending more then 2 years									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Table 3.17.1 to 3.17.2 CR and DT for second instance criminal law cases (Q98)																		
CR of Total									102,4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR o2 Severe cases									102,4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR of Misdemeanour cases									98,9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR of Other									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Total									48	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Severe cases									48	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Misdemeanour cases									23	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Other									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Hungary (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Table 3.18.1 to 3.18.5 Supreme court criminal law cases (Q100)																			
100.1.1 Total - pending 1 Jan									325	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.1.2 Severe cases - pending 1 Jan									325	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.1.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 1 Jan									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.1.4 Other - pending 1 Jan									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.2.1 Total -incoming									1 414	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.2.2 Severe cases - incoming									1 414	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.2.3 Misdemeanour cases - incoming									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.2.4 Other - incoming									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.3.1 Total - resolved									1 474	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.3.2 Severe cases -resolved									1 474	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.3.3 Misdemeanour cases - resolved									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.3.4 Other - resolved									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.4.1 Total - pending 31 Dec									265	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.4.2 Severe cases - pending 31 Dec									265	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.4.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 31 Dec									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.4.4 Other - pending 31 Dec									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.5.1 Total - pending more then 2 years									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.5.2 Severe cases - pending more then 2 years									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.5.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending more then 2 years									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.5.4 Other - pending more then 2 years									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Hungary (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Table 3.19.1 to 3.19.2 CR and DT for supreme court criminal law cases (Q100)																			
CR of Total									104,2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR o2 Severe cases									104,2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR of Misdemeanour cases									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR of Other									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT of Total									66	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT of Severe cases									66	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT of Misdemeanour cases									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT of Other									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Hungary (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Indicator 5: Access to justice																		
Legal aid																		
Table 5.1 to Table 5.6 (Q12-2, Q16, Q18, Q19, Q20, Q20-1)																		
12-2.1.1 Coverage of court fees									True									
12-2.1.2 Exemption from court fees									True									
16.1.1 Legal aid applies to representation in court (criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
16.1.2 Legal aid applies to legal advice (criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
16.2.1 Legal aid applies to representation in court (other than criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
16.2.2 Legal aid applies to legal advice (other than criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
18.1.1 Legal aid for the enforcement of judicial decisions					True	True	True	True	True									
19.1.1 Legal aid granted for other costs - criminal cases									NAP									
19.1.2 Legal aid granted for other costs - other than criminal cases									NAP									
020.1.1 Total									5 748									
020.1.2 Total - criminal cases									NA									
020.1.3 Total - other than criminal cases									NA									
020.2.1 Total brought to court									2 006									
020.2.2 Brought to court - criminal cases									NA									
020.2.3 Brought to court - other then criminal									NA									
020.3.1 Total not brought to court									3 742									
020.3.2 Not brought to court - criminal cases									NA									
020.3.3 Not brought to court - other then criminal									NA									
020-1.1.1 Maximum duration prescribed in law/regulation									NAP									
020-1.1.2 Average duration									NAP									

Hungary (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
System for compensating users																		
Table 5.7.1 and Table 5.7.2 (Q37)																		
037.1.1 Requests for compensation - Total									NA									
037.1.2 Requests for compensation - Excessive length of proceedings									NA									
037.1.3 Requests for compensation - Non-execution of court decisions									NA									
037.1.4 Requests for compensation - Wrongful arrest									NA									
037.1.5 Requests for compensation - Wrongful conviction									NA									
037.1.6 Requests for compensation - Other									NA									
037.2.1 Condemnations - Total									NA									
037.2.2 Condemnations - Excessive length of proceedings									NA									
037.2.3 Condemnations - Non-execution of court decisions									NA									
037.2.4 Condemnations - Wrongful arrest									NA									
037.2.5 Condemnations - Wrongful conviction									NA									
037.2.6 Condemnations - Other									NA									
037.3.1 Amount - Total									NA									
037.3.2 Amount - Excessive length of proceedings									NA									
037.3.3 Amount - Non-execution of court decisions									NA									
037.3.4 Amount - Wrongful arrest									NA									
037.3.5 Amount - Wrongful conviction									NA									
037.3.6 Amount - Other									NA									

Hungary (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Indicator 6: The ICT tools of courts and for court users																			
Table 6.1 to Table 6.11 (Q62-7, Q62-7-1, Q62-8, Q62-8-1, Q63-1, Q63-1-1, Q63-2 Q63-6, Q63-7, Q63-7-1, Q64-2, Q64-4, Q64-6, Q64-3, Q64-3-1, Q64-7, Q64-7-1, Q64-9)																			
62-7 Writing assistance tools coordinated at national level							True	True	True										
62-7-1.1 Deployment rate in civil matter							50-99%	100%	100%										
62-7-1.2 Deployment rate in criminal matter							50-99%	100%	100%										
62-7-1.3 Deployment rate in administrative matter							50-99%	100%	100%										
62-8 Voice recording tools							True	True	True										
62-8-1.1.1 Availability of simple dictation tools in civil matter							in all courts	in all courts	in all courts										
62-8-1.1.2 Availability of simple dictation tools in criminal matter							in all courts	in all courts	in all courts										
62-8-1.1.3 Availability of simple dictation tools in administrative matter							in all courts	in all courts	in all courts										
62-8-1.2.1 Availability of multiple speakers recording tools in civil matter							not available for this matter	in some courts / some pilot phases	in all courts										
62-8-1.2.2 Availability of multiple speakers recording tools in criminal matter							in some courts / some pilot phases	in some courts / some pilot phases	in all courts										
62-8-1.2.3 Availability of multiple speakers recording tools in administrative matter							not available for this matter	in some courts / some pilot phases	in all courts										
62-8-1.3.1 Availability of voice recognition in civil matter							Yes	Yes	Yes										
62-8-1.3.2 Availability of voice recognition in criminal matter							Yes	Yes	Yes										
62-8-1.3.3 Availability of voice recognition in administrative matter							Yes	Yes	Yes										
062-9 Availability of intranet site within the judicial system for distribution of news/novelties						-	100%	100%	100%										
63.1 Is there a case management system?							True	True	True										
63.1-1.1 CMS for civil matter (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%										
63.1-1.1 CMS for criminal matter (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%										
63.1-1.1 CMS for administrative matter (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%										
63.1-1.2 CMS for civil matter (status of case online)						-	Accessible to parties	Accessible to parties	Accessible to parties										
63.1-1.2 CMS for criminal matter (status of case online)						-	Accessible to parties	Accessible to parties	Accessible to parties										
63.1-1.2 CMS for administrative matter (status of case onlinee)						-	Accessible to parties	Accessible to parties	Accessible to parties										

Hungary (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
63.1-1.3 CMS for civil matter (Centralised or interoperable database)						-	True	True	True									
63.1-1.3 CMS for criminal matter (Centralised or interoperable database)						-	True	True	True									
63.1-1.3 CMS for administrative matter (Centralised or interoperable database)						-	True	True	True									
63.1-1.4 CMS for civil matter (Early warning signals)						-	True	True	True									
63.1-1.4 CMS for criminal matter (Early warning signals)						-	True	True	True									
63.1-1.4 CMS for administrative matter (Early warning signals)						-	True	True	True									
63-1-1.5 Statistics in CMS civil matter							Integrated	Integrated	Fully integrated including BI									
63-1-1.5 Statistics in CMS criminal matter							Integrated	Integrated	Fully integrated including BI									
63-1-1.5 Statistics in CMS administrative matter							Integrated	Integrated	Fully integrated including BI									
63-2.1 Deployment rate for computerised registries managed by courts - land registry							0% (NAP)	0% (NAP)	0% (NAP)									
63-2.1 Deployment rate for computerised registries managed by courts - business registry							100%	100%	100%									
63-2.2 Data consolidated at national level for land registry						-	False	NAP	NAP									
63-2.2 Data consolidated at national level for business registry						-	True	True	True									
63-2.3 Service available online for land registry						-	False	NAP	NAP									
63-2.3 Service available online for business registry						-	True	True	True									
63-2.4 Statistical module integrated or connected for land registry						-	False	NAP	NAP									
63-2.4 Statistical module integrated or connected for business registry						-	True	True	True									
063-6.1.1 Budgetary and financial management of courts (deployment rate)						-	100%	100%	100%									
063-6.1.2 Justice expenses management (deployment rate)						-	100%	100%	100%									
063-6.1.3 Other financial management tools (deployment rate)						-	100%	100%	100%									
063-6.2.1 Budgetary and financial management of courts (Data consolidated at national level)						-	True	True	True									
063-6.2.2 Justice expenses management (Data consolidated at national level)						-	True	True	True									
063-6.2.3 Other financial management tools (Data consolidated at national level)						-	True	True	True									
063-6.3.1 Budgetary and financial management of courts (System communicating with other ministries)						-	True	True	True									
063-6.3.2 Justice expenses management (System communicating with other ministries)						-	True	True	True									
063-6.3.3 Other financial management tools (System communicating with other ministries)						-	True	True	True									

Hungary (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
63-7.1 Measurement tools to assess the workload							True	True	True									
63-7-1.1.1 Deployment rate - workload of judges							100%	100%	100%									
63-7-1.1.2 Deployment rate - workload of prosecutors							100%	100%	100%									
63-7-1.1.3 Deployment rate - workload of non-judge and non-prosecutor staff							0% (NAP)	0% (NAP)	0% (NAP)									
63-7-1.2.1 Monitoring on national level - judges							True	True	True									
63-7-1.2.2 Monitoring on national level - prosecutors							False	False	False									
63-7-1.2.2 Monitoring on national level - non-judge and non-prosecutor staff							False	False	False									
63-7-1.3.1 Monitoring on court level - judges							False	False	False									
63-7-1.3.2 Monitoring on court level - prosecutors							False	False	False									
63-7-1.3.3 Monitoring on court level - non-judge and non-prosecutor staff							False	False	False									
064-2 - Possibility to submit a case to courts by electronic means							True	True	True									
064-2 - Civil and/or commercial							100%	100%	100%									
064-2 - Criminal							100%	100%	100%									
064-2 - Administrative							100%	100%	100%									
064-2 - Submission in paper remains mandatory - civil							False	False	False									
064-2 - Submission in paper remains mandatory - criminal							False	False	False									
064-2 - Submission in paper remains mandatory - administrative							False	False	False									
064-2 - Specific legislative framework - civil							True	True	True									
064-2 - Specific legislative framework - criminal							True	True	True									
064-2 - Specific legislative framework - administrative							True	True	True									
064-2 - Integrated/connected with the CMS - civil							True	True	True									
064-2 - Integrated/connected with the CMS - criminal							True	True	True									
064-2 - Integrated/connected with the CMS - administrative							True	True	True									

Hungary (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
064-3 - Is it possible to request for granting legal aid by electronic means?							True	True	True									
064-3-1.1 - Equipment rate							100%	100%	100%									
064-3-1.2 - Request in paper mandatory							False	False	False									
064-3-1.3 - Specific legislative framework							True	True	True									
064-3-1.4 - Granting LA is also electronic							True	True	True									
064-3-1.5 - Information available in CMS							True	True	True									
064-4 - Possibility to transmit summons to a judicial meeting or a hearing by electronic means							True	True	True									
064-4-1.1.1 - Summons produced by CMS- civil							True	True	True									
064-4-1.1.2 - Summons produced by CMS- criminal							True	True	True									
064-4-1.1.3 - Summons produced by CMS- administrative							True	True	True									
064-4-1.2.1 - Simultaneous summon in paper form remains mandatory- civil							False	False	False									
064-4-1.2.2 - Simultaneous summon in paper form remains mandatory- criminal							False	False	False									
064-4-1.2.3 - Simultaneous summon in paper form remains mandatory- administrative							False	False	False									
064-4-1.3.1 - Consent of the user - civil							True	True	True									
064-4-1.3.2 - Consent of the user - criminal							True	True	True									
064-4-1.3.3 - Consent of the user - administrative							True	True	True									
064-6.1.1 - Civil and/or commercial (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
064-6.1.2 - Criminal (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
064-6.1.3 - Administrative (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									

Hungary (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
064-6.2.1 - Civil and/or commercial (Trial phases concerned)							Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling Decision transmission	Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling Decision transmission	Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling Decision transmission									
064-6.2.2 - Criminal (Trial phases concerned)							Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling	Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling	Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling									
064-6.2.3 - Administrative (Trial phases concerned)							Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling	Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling	Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling									
064-6.3.1 - Civil and/or commercial (Modalities)							Specific application	Specific application	Specific application									
064-6.3.2 - Criminal (Modalities)							Specific application	Specific application	Specific application									
064-6.3.3 - Administrative (Modalities)							Specific application	Specific application	Specific application									
064-6.4.1 - Civil and/or commercial (specific legal framework)							True	True	True									
064-6.4.2 - Criminal (specific legal framework)							True	True	True									
064-6.4.3 - Administrative (specific legal framework)							True	True	True									
064-6.5.1 - Civil and/or commercial (availability for)									Lawyers & Parties not represented by lawyer									
064-6.5.2 - Criminal (availability for)									Lawyers & Parties not represented by lawyer									
064-6.5.3 - Administrative (availability for)									Lawyers & Parties not represented by lawyer									

Hungary (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
064-7.1.1 - Electronic communication of enforcement agents and courts (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
064-7.1.2 - Electronic communication of notaries and courts (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
064-7.1.3 - Electronic communication of experts and courts (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
064-7.1.4 - Electronic communication of judicial police and courts (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
064-7.2.1 - Electronic communication of enforcement agents and courts (Modalities)							Specific application	Specific application	Specific application									
064-7.2.2 - Electronic communication of notaries and courts (Modalities)							Specific application	Specific application	Specific application									
064-7.2.3 - Electronic communication of experts and courts (Modalities)							Specific application	Specific application	Specific application									
064-7.2.4 - Electronic communication of judicial police and courts (Modalities)							Specific application	Specific application	Specific application									
064-7.3.1 - Electronic communication of enforcement agents and courts (specific legal framework)							True	True	True									
064-7.3.2 - Electronic communication of notaries and courts (specific legal framework)							True	True	True									
064-7.3.3 - Electronic communication of experts and courts (specific legal framework)							True	True	True									
064-7.3.4 - Electronic communication of judicial police and courts (specific legal framework)							True	True	True									
064-9 - Existance of online processing devices of specialised litigation							True	True	True									

Hungary (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Indicator 7: Professionals of justice (Indicator 9 in 2019)																			
Table 7.1.1 to 7.5.6 for judges, non judge staff, prosecutors, non prosecutor staff and salaries																			
46.1.1 Total Number of professional judges	2 767	2 807	2 813	2 813	2 811	2 828	2 892	2 878	2 789	0,8%	1,4%	0,2%	0,0%	-0,1%	0,6%	2,3%	-0,5%	-3,1%	
46.1.2 Number of 1st inst professional judges	1 672	1 687	1 684	1 662	1 678	1 669	1 682	1 670	1 420	-15,1%	0,9%	-0,2%	-1,3%	1,0%	-0,5%	0,8%	-0,7%	-15,0%	
46.1.3 Number of 2nd inst professional judges	1 021	1 036	1 047	1 066	1 051	1 075	1 126	1 127	1 283	25,7%	1,5%	1,1%	1,8%	-1,4%	2,3%	4,7%	0,1%	13,8%	
46.1.4 Number of Supreme court professional judges	74	84	82	85	82	84	84	81	86	16,2%	13,5%	-2,4%	3,7%	-3,5%	2,4%	0,0%	-3,6%	6,2%	
46.2.1 Number of professional judges_males	856	894	873	868	871	879	902	887	868	1,4%	4,4%	-2,3%	-0,6%	0,3%	0,9%	2,6%	-1,7%	-2,1%	
46.2.2 Number of 1st instance professional judges_males	496	502	500	484	472	476	475	464	399	-19,6%	1,2%	-0,4%	-3,2%	-2,5%	0,8%	-0,2%	-2,3%	-14,0%	
46.2.3 Number of 2nd instance professional judges_males	326	350	332	341	358	365	389	387	434	33,1%	7,4%	-5,1%	2,7%	5,0%	2,0%	6,6%	-0,5%	12,1%	
46.2.4 Number of Supreme court professional judges_males	34	42	41	43	41	38	38	36	35	2,9%	23,5%	-2,4%	4,9%	-4,7%	-7,3%	0,0%	-5,3%	-2,8%	
46.3.1 Number of professional judges_females	1 911	1 913	1 940	1 945	1 940	1 949	1 990	1 991	1 921	0,5%	0,1%	1,4%	0,3%	-0,3%	0,5%	2,1%	0,1%	-3,5%	
46.3.2 Number of 1st inst professional judges_females	1 176	1 185	1 184	1 178	1 206	1 193	1 207	1 206	1 021	-13,2%	0,8%	-0,1%	-0,5%	2,4%	-1,1%	1,2%	-0,1%	-15,3%	
46.3.3 Number of 2nd inst professional judges_females	695	686	715	725	693	710	737	740	849	22,2%	-1,3%	4,2%	1,4%	-4,4%	2,5%	3,8%	0,4%	14,7%	
46.3.4 Number of Supreme court professional judges_females	40	42	41	42	41	46	46	45	51	27,5%	5,0%	-2,4%	2,4%	-2,4%	12,2%	0,0%	-2,2%	13,3%	
046-2.1.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.1.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.1.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.1.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.2.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Civil and commercial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.2.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Civil and commercial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.2.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Civil and commercial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.2.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Civil and commercial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.3.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Criminal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.3.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Criminal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.3.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Criminal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.3.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Criminal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Hungary (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
046-2.4.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Administrative	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.4.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Administrative	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.4.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Administrative	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.4.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Administrative	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.5.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.5.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.5.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.5.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.1.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts	8 142	8 000	8 022	7 979	8 003	8 379	8 528	8 538	8 576	5,3%	-1,7%	0,3%	-0,5%	0,3%	4,7%	1,8%	0,1%	0,4%
52.1.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)	767	777	778	808	820	852	888	909	936	22,0%	1,3%	0,1%	3,9%	1,5%	3,9%	4,2%	2,4%	3,0%
52.1.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges	2 406	2 254	907	899	897	930	935	947	961	-60,1%	-6,3%	-59,8%	-0,9%	-0,2%	3,7%	0,5%	1,3%	1,5%
52.1.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.1.5 Number of Technical staff	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.1.6 Number of Other non judge staff	4 969	4 969	6 337	6 272	6 286	6 597	6 705	6 682	6 679	34,4%	0,0%	27,5%	-1,0%	0,2%	4,9%	1,6%	-0,3%	0,0%
52.2.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts(men)	-	-	1 312	1 248	1 256	1 267	1 316	1 343	1 372	-	-	-	-4,9%	0,6%	0,9%	3,9%	2,1%	2,2%
52.2.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)(men)	-	-	NA	NA	162	176	184	188	188	-	-	-	-	-	8,6%	4,5%	2,2%	0,0%
52.2.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges(men)	-	-	NA	NA	120	100	106	108	119	-	-	-	-	-	-16,7%	6,0%	1,9%	10,2%
52.2.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks(men)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.2.5 Number of Technical staff(men)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.2.6 Number of Other non judge staff(men)	-	-	NA	NA	974	991	1 026	1 047	1 065	-	-	-	-	-	1,7%	3,5%	2,0%	1,7%
52.3.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts(women)	-	NA	6 710	6 731	6 747	7 112	7 212	7 195	7 204	-	-	-	0,3%	0,2%	5,4%	1,4%	-0,2%	0,1%
52.3.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)(women)	-	NA	NA	NA	658	676	704	721	748	-	-	-	-	-	2,7%	4,1%	2,4%	3,7%
52.3.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges(women)	-	NA	NA	NA	777	830	829	839	842	-	-	-	-	-	6,8%	-0,1%	1,2%	0,4%
52.3.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks(women)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.3.5 Number of Technical staff(women)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.3.6 Number of Other non judge staff(women)	-	NA	NA	NA	5 312	5 606	5 679	5 635	5 614	-	-	-	-	-	5,5%	1,3%	-0,8%	-0,4%

Hungary (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
052-1.1.1 Non-judge staff (Total)									8 576	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.1.2 Non-judge staff at first instance (total)									4 237	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.1.3 Non-judge staff at second instance (total)									4 104	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.1.4 Non-judge staff at Supreme court (total)									235	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.2.1 Non-judge staff (Males)									1 372	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.2.2 Non-judge staff at first instance (males)									476	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.2.3 Non-judge staff at second instance (males)									830	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.2.4 Non-judge staff at Supreme court (males)									66	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.3.1 Non-judge staff (females)									7 204	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.3.2 Non-judge staff at first instance (females)									3 761	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.3.3 Non-judge staff at second instance (females)									3 274	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.3.4 Non-judge staff at supreme court (females)									169	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.1.1 Prosecutors (total)									1 876	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.1.2 Prosecutors (1st inst.)									1 207	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.1.3 Prosecutors (2nd inst.)									558	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.1.4 Prosecutors (Highest instance)									111	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.2.1 Prosecutors - Males -total									742	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.2.2 Prosecutors - Males, 1st inst.									433	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.2.3 Prosecutors - Males, 2nd inst.									249	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.2.4 Prosecutors - Males, Supreme courts									60	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.3.1 Prosecutors - Females, Total									1 134	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.3.2 Prosecutors - Females, 1st inst.									774	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.3.3 Prosecutors - Females, 2nd inst.									309	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.3.4 Prosecutors - Females, Supreme courts									51	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Hungary (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
060.1.1 Number of non-prosecutor staff Total									2 425	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
060.2.1 Number of non-prosecutor staff Males									470	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
060.3.1 Number of non-prosecutor staff Females									1 955	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
004 Annual average salary in the country						-	-		12 901 €	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.1.1 Gross annual salary, in € - Professional judge at the beginning of career						-	-		21 856 €	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.1.2 Gross annual salary, in € - Judge of the Supreme Court						-	-		57 542 €	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.1.3 Gross annual salary, in € - Public prosecutor at the beginning of career						-	-		21 856 €	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.1.4 Gross annual salary, in € - Public prosecutor of the Supreme Court or the Highest Appellate Instance						-	-		45 961 €	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.2.1 Net annual salary, in € - Professional judge at the beginning of career						-	-		15 534 €	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.2.2 Net annual salary, in € - Judge of the Supreme Court						-	-		38 266 €	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.2.3 Net annual salary, in € - Public prosecutor at the beginning of career						-	-		14 534 €	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.2.4 Net annual salary, in € - Public prosecutor of the Supreme Court or the Highest Appellate Instance						-	-		30 564 €	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
133.1.1.1 - Additional benefits for judges - Reduced taxation						-	-		False									
133.1.2.1 - Additional benefits for judges - Special pension						-	-		False									
133.1.3.1 - Additional benefits for judges - Housing						-	-		False									
133.1.4.1 - Additional benefits for judges - Other financial benefit						-	-		True									
133.2.1.1 - Additional benefits for prosecutors - Reduced taxation						-	-		False									
133.2.2.1 - Additional benefits for prosecutors - Special pension						-	-		False									
133.2.3.1 - Additional benefits for prosecutors - Housing						-	-		False									
133.2.4.1 - Additional benefits for prosecutors - Other financial benefit						-	-		True									

Hungary (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
144.1.1 Disciplinary procedures for Judges - Total number (1+2+3+4)						-	-		21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.1.2 Disciplinary procedures for Judges - 1. Breach of professional ethics						-	-		2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.1.3 Disciplinary procedures for Judges - 2. Professional inadequacy						-	-		13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.1.4 Disciplinary procedures for Judges - 3. Criminal offence						-	-		5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.1.5 Disciplinary procedures for Judges - 4. Other						-	-		1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.2.1 Disciplinary procedures for Prosecutors - Total number (1+2+3+4)						-	-		9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.2.2 Disciplinary procedures for Prosecutors - 1. Breach of professional ethics						-	-		4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.2.3 Disciplinary procedures for Prosecutors - 2. Professional inadequacy									2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.2.4 Disciplinary procedures for Prosecutors - 3. Criminal offence									3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.2.5 Disciplinary procedures for Prosecutors - 4. Other									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.1 Sanctions against Judges - Total number (total 1 to 9)									13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.2 Sanctions against Judges - 1. Reprimand									5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.3 Sanctions against Judges - 2. Suspension									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.4 Sanctions against Judges - 3. Withdrawal from cases									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.5 Sanctions against Judges - 4. Fine									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.6 Sanctions against Judges - 5. Temporary reduction of salary									3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.7 Sanctions against Judges - 6. Position downgrade									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.8 Sanctions against Judges - 7. Transfer to another geographical (court) location									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.9 Sanctions against Judges - 8. Resignation									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.10 Sanctions against Judges - 9. Other									4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.11 Sanctions against Judges - 10. Dismissal									1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Hungary (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
145.2.1 Sanctions against Prosecutors - Total number (total 1 to 9)									4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.2 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 1. Reprimand									2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.3 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 2. Suspension									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.4 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 3. Withdrawal from cases									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.5 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 4. Fine									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.6 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 5. Temporary reduction of salary									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.7 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 6. Position downgrade									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.8 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 7. Transfer to another geographical (court) location									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.9 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 8. Resignation									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.10 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 9. Other									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.11 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 10. Dismissal									2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lawyers																		
Tables 7.6.1, 7.6.2, 7.6.3, 7.7 and 7.8																		
146.1.1 Total number of lawyers practising	13 000	13 000	13 000	13 000	11 191	11 191	12 715	12 719	12 965	-0,3%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	-13,9%	0,0%	13,6%	0,0%	1,9%
146.2.1 Practicing lawyers - man	-	-	-	-	-	-	7 035	7 038	7 160	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0,0%	1,7%
146.3.1 Practicing lawyers - woman	-	-	-	-	-	-	5 680	5 681	5 805	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0,0%	2,2%
147 Does this figure include “legal advisors” who cannot represent their clients in court (for example, some solicitors or in-house counsellors)?			No	No	False	False	False	False	False	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Hungary (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Indicator 8: The existence and use of alternative dispute resolution methods																			
Table 8.1 8.2 and 8.3																			
166 Number of accredited or registered mediators who practice judicial mediation:	12	20	120	160	174	174	153	203	141	1075,0%	66,7%	500,0%	33,3%	8,8%	0,0%	-12,1%	32,7%	-30,5%	
167.1.1 Total number started					919	975	1 299	746	899	-	-	-	-	-	6,1%	33,2%	-42,6%	20,5%	
167. 1.2 Civil and commercial cases - started					NA	NA	257	75	141	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-70,8%	88,0%	
167. 1.2 Family cases - started					NA	NA	977	644	725	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-34,1%	12,6%	
167.1.4 Administrative cases - started					NAP	NAP	9	NA	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
167.1.5 Labour cases including employment dismissal cases - started					NA	NA	56	27	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-51,8%	7,4%	
167.1.6. Criminal cases - started					NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
167.1.7 Consumer cases - started					-	-	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Key: Variation of more than (+ -) 20%