



**Reference data 2020 (01/01/2020 - 31/12/2020)**

**Start/end date of the data collection campaign : 19/03/2021 - 01/10/2021**

**Objective :**

The CEPEJ decided, at its 35th plenary meeting, to launch the ninth evaluation cycle 2020 – 2022, focused on 2020 data. The CEPEJ wishes to use the methodology developed in the previous cycles to get, with the support of its national correspondents' network, a general evaluation of the judicial systems in the 47 member states of the Council of Europe as well as three observer states (Israel, Morocco and Kazakhstan). This will enable policy makers and judicial practitioners to take account of such unique information when carrying out their activities.

The present questionnaire was adapted by the Working group on evaluation of judicial systems (CEPEJ-GT-EVAL) in view of the previous evaluation cycles and considering the comments submitted by CEPEJ members, observers, experts and national correspondents. The aim of this exercise is to increase awareness of judicial systems in the participating states, to compare the functioning of judicial systems in their various aspects, as well as to have a better knowledge of the trends of the judicial organisation in order to help improve the efficiency of justice. The evaluation questionnaire and the analysis of the results becomes a genuine tool in favour of public policies on justice, for the sake of the European citizens.

**Instruction :**

The ways to use the application and to answer the questions are guided by two main documents:

- User manual
- Explanatory note

While the explanatory note gives definitions and explanations on the CEPEJ evaluation questionnaire and the methodology needed for replying, the User manual is a tool to help you navigate through this application. You can download the Explanatory note as a whole on the CEPEJ website. The specific explanations are also accessible for each question within this application under the tab "Explanatory note". This will serve as immediate consultation tool when answering questions. In case you have any questions related to these documents or on the use of the application, please do not hesitate to contact the Secretariat.

---

## 1.General and financial information

### 1.1.Demographic and economic data

#### 1.1.1Inhabitants and economic general information

##### 001. Number of inhabitants (if possible on 1 January of the reference year +1)

[ 9 890 640 ]

Comments Central Statistical Office (KSH)

=

## 002. Total of annual public expenditure at state level and where appropriate, public expenditure at regional or federal entity level (in €)

	Amount
State or federal level	60 365 702 849 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Regional / federal entity level (total for all regions / federal entities)	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments Act LXXI of 2019 on Hungary's central budget for 2020

=

## 003. Per capita GDP (in €) in current prices for the reference year

[ 13 940 ]

Comments The GDP increased significantly compared to the last cycle. According to Eurostat, the Hungarian economy continued to catch up with the EU average in the year of the coronavirus crisis.

## 004. Average gross annual salary (in €) for the reference year

[ 12 901 ]

NA

Comments

## 005. Exchange rate of national currency (non-Euro zone) in € on 1 January of the reference year +1

[ 360.9 ]

Allow decimals : 5

NAP

Comments 1 € = 360,90 HUF

## A1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: Q 3-4 Central Statistical Office (KSH) Q5 Hungarian National Bank  
<https://www.mnb.hu/arfolyam-tablazat?deviza=rbCurrencySelect&devizaSelected=EUR&datefrom=2021.01.01.&datetill=2021.02.01.&order=1>

### 1.1.2 Budgetary data concerning judicial system

006. Annual (approved and implemented) public budget allocated to the functioning of all courts, in € (without the budget of the public prosecution services and without the budget of legal aid). If you cannot separate the budget allocated to the courts from the budget of public prosecution

services and/or the one allocated to legal aid, please go to question 7. If you are able to answer this question 6, please answer NA to question 7.

	Approved budget (in €)	Implemented budget (in €)
<b>TOTAL - Annual public budget allocated to the functioning of all courts (1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5 + 6 + 7)</b>	346 119 147 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	402 994 718 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>1. Annual public budget allocated to (gross) salaries</b>	198 090 610 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	191 267 119 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2. Annual public budget allocated to computerisation (2.1 + 2.2)</b>	20 976 452 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	18 049 623 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2.1 Investments in computerisation</b>	12 600 553 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	5 614 017 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2.2 Maintenance of the IT equipment of courts</b>	8 075 899 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	12 435 606 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>3. Annual public budget allocated to justice expenses (expertise, interpretation, etc.)</b>	29 547 974 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	31 317 400 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>4. Annual public budget allocated to court buildings (maintenance, operating costs)</b>	5 518 803 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	8 378 828 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>5. Annual public budget allocated to investments in new (court) buildings</b>	19 638 793 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	15 450 280 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>6. Annual public budget allocated to training</b>	861 180 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	79 800 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>7. Other (please specify)</b>	71 485 335 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	138 451 668 [ ] NA [ ] NAP

Please indicate any useful comment to explain the figures provided. If the annual public budget allocated to the functioning of all courts actually implemented is different from the approved annual public budget allocated to the functioning of all courts, please indicate the main reasons for the differences: 2. Annual public budget allocated to computerisation: in 2020, the approved budget increased with the aim of developing computerization.

5. Annual public budget allocated to investments in new (court) buildings: in 2020 was planned the construction of additional new buildings. 6. Annual public budget allocated to training: the pandemic effected the trainings: in 2020, personal trainings were mostly postponed or held online. Therefore, the implemented budget decreased between 2018 and 2020. 7. Other: includes taxes, unpredicted personal (salary) expenditures, other maintenance costs.

The approved budget does not include the remaining funds from the previous year and the any changes or additional funds, and transfers made during the year appear in the implemented budget. This explains the variations between the implemented and approved budget in general.

**007. If you cannot answer question 6 because you cannot isolate the public budget allocated to courts from the budget allocated to public prosecution services and/or the one allocated to legal aid, please fill in only the appropriate line in the table according to your system:**

	Approved budget (in €)	Implemented budget (in €)
<b>Total annual public budget allocated to all courts and the public prosecution services together</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Total annual public budget allocated to all courts and legal aid together</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Total annual public budget allocated to all courts, public prosecution services and legal aid together</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - Please indicate any useful comment to explain the figures provided. If the annual public budget actually implemented is different from the approved annual public budget, please indicate the main reasons for the differences:

=

### 008. Are litigants in general required to pay a court fee to initiate a proceeding at a court of general jurisdiction:

	Litigants required to pay a court fee to initiate a proceeding at a court of general jurisdiction ?
<b>for criminal cases</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, at the beginning of the procedure <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, at a later stage <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>for other than criminal cases</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, at the beginning of the procedure <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, at a later stage <input type="checkbox"/> No

If there are exceptions to the obligation to pay these court fees, could you please provide comments on those exceptions?

### 008-1. Please briefly present the methodology of calculation of these court fees:

- The general amount of the court fee in a first instance civil case is 6% of the value of the case, but the minimum amount is approximately 42 Euro and maximum is approximately 4156 Euro. In some cases laws define different percentage or fix amount, e.g. the court fee of a litigious divorce case is a fix amount of approximately 83 Euro.

The general amount for a second instance case (paid by the appealing party) is 8% of the value of the case but the minimum amount is approximately 42 Euro and maximum is approximately 6927 Euro.

The general amount for a review of the case at the Supreme Court (Kúria) (paid by the party asking for the review of the case) is 10% of the value of the case but the minimum amount is approximately 139 Euro and maximum is approximately 9697 Euro.

### 008-2. The amount of court fees requested to commence an action for 3000€ debt recovery:

[ 180 ]  
 NA  
 NAP

Comments

### 009. Annual income of court fees received by the State (in €):

[ 8 221 690 ]

[ ] NA

[ ] NAP

Comments

### 012. Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid, in €.

	TOTAL	Criminal cases	Other than criminal cases
<b>TOTAL - Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid (12.1 + 12.2)</b>	689 942 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>12.1 for cases brought to court (court fees and/or legal representation)</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>12.2 for cases not brought to court (legal advice, ADR and other legal services)</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments

### 012-1. Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid, in €.

	TOTAL	Criminal cases	Other than criminal cases
<b>TOTAL - Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid (12-1.1 + 12-1.2)</b>	502 078 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>12-1.1 for cases brought to court (court fees and/or legal representation)</b>	262 122 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>12-1.2 for cases not brought to court (legal advice, ADR and other legal services)</b>	239 956 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP

If the public budget actually implemented regarding legal aid is different from the annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid, please indicate the main reasons for the differences:

=

### 012-2. Does legal aid include:

	Legal aid includes:
<b>Coverage of court fees</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No [ ] NAP
<b>Exemption from court fees</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No [ ] NAP

=

## 012-3. Do legal aid budgets indicated in Q12 and Q12-1 include:

	Amount calculated/estimated included
Coverage of court fees	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Exemption from court fees	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

=

## 013. Annual (approved and implemented) public budget allocated to the public prosecution services, in €.

	Approved budget (in €)	Implemented budget (in €)
Total annual public budget allocated to the public prosecution services, in € (including 13.1)	137 313 106 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	143 320 003 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
13.1. Annual public budget allocated to training of public prosecution services	442 657 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	276 020 [ ] NA [ ] NAP

Please indicate any useful comment to explain the figures provided. Moreover, if the annual public budget allocated to the public prosecution services actually implemented is different from the approved annual public budget, please indicate the main reasons for the differences: Q 13 Act LXXI of 2019 on Hungary's central budget for 2020

The act for implemented state budget of 2020 is before the Parliament (T / 17188. of law proposal ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF Act LXXI OF 2019 ON HUNGARY'S CENTRAL BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2020) but not yet adapted.

=

## 014. Authorities formally responsible for the budgets allocated to the courts (multiple options possible):

	Preparation of the total court budget	Adoption/approval of the total court budget	Management and allocation of the budget among the courts	Evaluation of the use of the budget at a national level courts
Ministry of Justice	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Other ministry	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Parliament	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

<b>Supreme Court</b>	( ) Yes (X) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes (X) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes (X) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes (X) No [ ] NAP
<b>High Judicial Council</b>	(X) Yes ( ) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes (X) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes (X) No [ ] NAP	(X) Yes ( ) No [ ] NAP
<b>Courts</b>	( ) Yes (X) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes (X) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes (X) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes (X) No [ ] NAP
<b>Inspection body</b>	( ) Yes (X) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes (X) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes (X) No [ ] NAP	(X) Yes ( ) No [ ] NAP
<b>Other</b>	(X) Yes ( ) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes (X) No [ ] NAP	(X) Yes ( ) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes (X) No [ ] NAP

Comments - If “Other Ministry” and/or “Inspection body” and/or “Other”, please specify:

**014-0. What are the criteria used to allocate financial resources among courts? Furthermore, please select maximum three main criteria of allocation**

	<b>Criteria used</b>	<b>Main criteria</b>
<b>Previous years’ budget costs</b>	[ X ]	[ ]
<b>Special needs assessment</b>	[ X ]	[ ]
<b>Number of judges/non judges’ staff</b>	[ X ]	[ X ]
<b>Number of incoming cases</b>	[ X ]	[ ]
<b>Number of pending cases</b>	[ X ]	[ ]
<b>Number of resolved cases</b>	[ X ]	[ ]
<b>Other</b>	[ ]	[ ]

[ ] NAP

Comments - If “Other”, please specify

**014-1. Who is entrusted with responsibilities related to the budget within a first instance court?**

	<b>Preparation of the budget</b>	<b>Arbitration and allocation of the budget</b>	<b>Day to day management of the budget</b>	<b>Evaluation and control of the use of the budget</b>
<b>Court President and/or judge(s)</b>	(X) Yes ( ) No [ ] NAP	(X) Yes ( ) No [ ] NAP	(X) Yes ( ) No [ ] NAP	(X) Yes ( ) No [ ] NAP
<b>Head of court administration and/or non-judges</b>	( ) Yes (X) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes (X) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes (X) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes (X) No [ ] NAP

<b>Mixed body (judge(s) and non-judge(s))</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes ( X ) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes ( X ) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes ( X ) No [ ] NAP
<b>Other</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes ( X ) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes ( X ) No [ ] NAP	( X ) Yes ( ) No [ ] NAP

Comments - If "Other", please specify. If the responsibilities are different depending on the type/instance of courts, please answer the question for the first instance court of general jurisdiction and describe the differences in the comment box: Other evaluation: State Audit Office

Other preparation and evaluation: The judicial council forms an opinion the plan of the budget in the preparatory phase and also form an opinion after the implementation of the yearly budget. The judicial council is a body consisting of judges elected by their peers at every regional court, regional court of appeal and at the Kúria (supreme court). A judicial council has 5-15 members in accordance with the size of the court.

## A2. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: National Office for Judiciary

### 1.1.3 Budgetary data concerning the whole justice system

015-1. Annual (approved and implemented) public budget allocated to the whole justice system, in € (this global budget includes the judicial system budget - see 15-2 and other elements of the justice system - see 15-3)

	Approved budget (in €)	Implemented budget (in €)
<b>Total annual public budget allocated to the whole justice system in €</b>	1 528 293 434 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	1 793 982 379 [ ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments - Please indicate any useful comment to explain the figures provided above and specify if a large portion of the budget allocated to the whole justice system comes from an international organisation. Moreover, if the annual public budget allocated to the whole justice system actually implemented is different from the approved annual public budget, please indicate the main reasons for the differences: Act CXVI of 2021 for the implemented state budget of 2020 adopted by the Parliament.

015-2. Elements of the judicial system budget (Q6, Q7, Q12, Q13)

	Included
<b>Courts</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No [ ] NAP
<b>Legal aid</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No [ ] NAP
<b>Public prosecution services</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No [ ] NAP



## 015-3. Other budgetary elements

	Included
Prison system	( X ) Yes ( ) No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Probation services	( ) Yes ( X ) No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
High Judicial Council	( X ) Yes ( ) No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
High Prosecutorial Council	( ) Yes ( ) No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Constitutional court	( X ) Yes ( ) No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Judicial management body	( X ) Yes ( ) No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
State advocacy	( ) Yes ( ) No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Enforcement services	( ) Yes ( X ) No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Notariat	( ) Yes ( X ) No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Forensic services	( X ) Yes ( ) No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Judicial protection of juveniles	( ) Yes ( X ) No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Functioning of the Ministry of Justice	( X ) Yes ( ) No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Refugees and asylum seekers services	( X ) Yes ( ) No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Immigration Service	( ) Yes ( X ) No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Some police services (e.g. : transfer, investigation, prisoners' security)	( X ) Yes ( ) No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Other

Yes

No

NAP

If "Other", please specify: There are no other elements.

### A3. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: Act LXXI of 2019 on the state annual budget of 2020

## 1.2. Organisation and management of courts and public prosecution services

### 015-4. Please describe who has responsibilities for the management of individual courts, what management roles they have, what is their status and their position in the organisational hierarchy of the court concerned.

- The Hungarian court system has four levels.

The Kúria [Supreme Court] is located in Budapest. Its jurisdiction in criminal, civil, labour and administrative cases covers adjudication of extraordinary remedies and (exceptionally) appeals, the adoption of uniformity decisions, adjudication of uniformity complaints, performing jurisprudence analysis, deciding upon the legality of municipal decrees.

The five Regional Court of Appeals are located in the cities of Budapest, Debrecen, Gyr, Pécs and Szeged. Their jurisdiction in criminal, civil and labour law cases covers the adjudication of appeals received from the regional courts.

The twenty Regional Courts are located in the seat towns of the counties (with two exceptions: in Békés County the regional court is located in Gyula instead of Békéscsaba and in Nógrád County the regional court is located in Balassagyarmat instead of Salgótarján). Their jurisdiction in criminal and civil cases covers the adjudication of appeals received from district courts and the deal with cases of first instance in labour law cases and certain criminal and civil cases. Eight regional court (Metropolitan Court, Regional Court of Budapest, Regional Court of Debrecen, Regional Court of Gyr, Regional Court of Miskolc, Regional Court of Pécs, Regional Court of Szeged, Regional Court of Veszprém) also deal with administrative cases at first instance.

The 113 District Courts are mostly located in the seat towns of townships. Their jurisdiction in criminal and civil cases covers procedures at first instance. Each court has its president who is appointed from the judiciary for a six year term. The president of the Kúria (Supreme Court) is elected by the Parliament with a 2/3 majority. The candidate is nominated by the president of the republic. Vice presidents of the Kúria are appointed by the president of the republic after the nomination by the president of the Kúria. Every other court executive is appointed after an open application process.

The presidents of the Regional Courts of Appeal and the presidents of the Regional Courts are appointed by the president of the National Office for the Judiciary. There is an open application procedure for vacant positions, any judge may apply who is appointed for an indefinite term. The local judiciary forms an opinion about the candidates by a secret ballot. If the president of the National Office for the Judiciary wants to appoint a candidate who has not received the majority of the votes (s)he has to ask for the prior consent of the National Judicial Council.

Presidents of the district courts are appointed by the presidents of the Regional Court. There is an open application procedure for vacant positions, any judge may apply who is appointed for an indefinite term. The local judiciary forms an opinion about the candidates by a secret ballot.

Managerial duties at a court are divided both horizontally and vertically between court executives. Every court except the smallest district courts has one or more vice-president(s). Professional division that include all the judges of that field of law exist at the Kúria, the Regional Courts of Appeal and at the Regional Courts (e.g. Criminal Division and Civil Division are at all of these courts, the above mentioned eight regional court has an Administrative Division, and every Regional Court of Appeal and the two largest Regional Courts have Labour Divisions as well, while the Kúria has an Administrative-Labour Division). Heads of divisions of the

Kúria are appointed by the President of the Kúria, while the head of divisions at other courts are appointed by the president of the NOJ (after the above mentioned open application process). Vice heads of departments are appointed by the presidents of the court concerned.

Professional groups may exist at the Regional Courts and District Courts, they include the judges of a specific legal field or they have organisational reason (e.g. at larger courts more civil law groups may exist).

Court presidents are responsible for the day-to-day management of the court (e.g. HR, IT, budgetary issues), while the heads of departments or groups are only responsible for organizing the work of the judges that belong to the department or group without any authority of an employer.

Max characters value : 10 000

**015-5. Please describe who has responsibilities for the management of individual public prosecution offices, what management roles they have, what is their status and their position in the organisational hierarchy of the office concerned.**

- At national level is the Office of the Prosecutor General managed by the Prosecutor General.  
 Appellate Chief Prosecution Offices are managed by appellate chief prosecutors who are assisted by deputy chief prosecutors. There are 5 Appellate Chief Prosecution Offices in Hungary.  
 Chief Prosecutor Offices are led by chief prosecutors who are assisted by deputy chief prosecutors. There are 21 Chief Prosecution Offices.  
 Local-level Prosecution Offices are managed by lead prosecutors who are assisted by deputy lead prosecutors. 124 Local-level Prosecution Offices are functioning in the country.  
 ORGANISATIONAL REGULATIONS: The Fundamental Law of Hungary; Act CLXIII of 2011 on the Prosecution Service of Hungary (Prosecution Service Act); Act CLXIV of 2011 on the Status and Career Path of the Prosecutor General, Prosecutors and other Prosecution Employees (Prosecution Employment Status Act).

Max characters value : 10 000

**2. Access to justice and all courts**

**2.1. Legal Aid**

**2.1.1 Scope of legal aid**

**016. Does legal aid apply to:**

	<b>Criminal cases</b>	<b>Other than criminal cases</b>
<b>Representation in court</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

<b>Legal advice, ADR and other legal services</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
---	---	---

=

**016-1. Please briefly describe the organisation of the legal aid system in your country both before going to court and during court proceedings.**

- The Act LXXX of 2003 on legal aid aims to establish institutions for socially disadvantaged people in order to enhance their access to justice by providing professional legal advice and representation in courts in case of asserting rights and resolving legal disputes. According to the Act, the National Legal Aid Service may grant legal aid in extrajudicial cases, furthermore in civil and criminal procedures.

The legal aid service (including legal advice, drafting of legal documents, legal representation in civil or criminal procedures) is provided by legal aid providers, as attorneys, lawyer’s offices, European Community lawyers permanently acting in Hungary, non-governmental organizations, foundations, ethnic minority governments engaged in activities related to legal protection, and law universities. Providing state legal aid is voluntary in Hungary. The activity of a legal aid provider may be performed by the above mentioned persons and organizations recorded in the registry of legal aid providers kept by the Ministry of Justice. The Legal Aid Services currently operate (together with other justice services) as a department of the district offices of the county government offices and the Ministry of Justice.

The county government offices: •provide legal assistance in plainly solvable cases directly and notwithstanding the client’s financial circumstances;

•receive and judge applications for granting legal aid provided by the registered legal aid providers (attorneys, lawyer’s offices, NGOs, etc.). •proceed equity procedures.

The Ministry of Justice is responsible for the legislation and the professional supervision of the area.

Legal aid provided for the applicants can be granted in two basic forms: as free assistance, or by advancing the fees of the assistance. The criteria for granting legal aid are mainly the amount of income of the applicant as well as his financial situation. Except from very few cases, only individuals may apply for legal aid, and no aid may be provided to organizations. Free assistance:

•The fees of legal aid shall be covered by the Hungarian State instead of the client if the net monthly income per capita of the client does not exceed the current minimum amount of the retirement pension established on the basis of the term of employment (HUF 28.500 in 2020). •The fees of legal aid provided by the registered legal aid providers shall be covered by the Hungarian State instead of the client if the net monthly income of the single client does not exceed the 150 percent of the current minimum amount of the retirement pension established on the basis of the term of employment (HUF 42.750 in 2020), provided that he does not possess properties other than assets necessary for everyday life; objects, instruments, equipment used for work (e.g. for a taxi driver the car shall be regarded as such); and the real estate he lives in.

Advanced fees of the assistance: •The State shall advance the fees of legal services provided by the registered legal aid providers in lieu of the individual if the monthly net income available to him does not exceed the 43 percent of the gross monthly average earnings of employees in the national economy of the second year before the current year (HUF 141.875 in 2020). Assistance of crime victims:

•The State shall advance the fees of legal aid for those who became victims of crime. The victim status is declared by the Victim Support Service. Victim may be granted for the above type of legal aid if his net monthly income does not exceed the 86 percent of the gross monthly average earnings of employees in the national economy of the second year before the current year (HUF 283.750 in 2020).

Notwithstanding income and financial situation, the following individuals shall be considered as being in need:

•person receives regular social assistance, •person receives public health provision, •homeless persons spending nights at temporary lodgings.

European Union citizens with Hungarian place of residence or stay are entitled to legal aid on the same terms as Hungarian nationals. Legal aid providers rendering extrajudicial services under the Act LXXX of 2003 on Legal Aid are entitled to an hourly fee determined each year by the Parliament in the law on the annual state budget, plus 15 percent of this fee as a lump sum for expenses.

In 2020 the hourly fee is 6000 HUF (17,3 EUR).

Attorneys representing clients in civil and criminal court procedures are paid the following fees up to the end of the first-instance proceedings, if the person represented by the attorney (legal aid provider) is the losing party:

- in litigious proceedings, six times the hourly fees for ex officio appointed defense counsels (2020: 36,000 HUF/ 104 EUR),
- in non-litigious proceedings, three times the hourly fees for ex officio appointed defense counsels (2020: 18,000 HUF/ 52 EUR),
- in criminal cases, six times the hourly fees for ex officio appointed defense counsels (2020: 36,000 HUF/ 104 EUR).

If the case is sent to the second instance court, or in case of extraordinary remedies, repeated procedures, or in criminal cases in third instance proceedings, the fees of the attorney to be paid by the state will equal to 50 percent of the fees in the first instance proceedings.

However, in civil court proceedings, if the person represented by the attorney is the winning party of the lawsuit, the attorney will be entitled to far higher fees, in accordance with the general rules for claiming legal fees. In each of the above mentioned cases, the attorney is entitled to the 25 percent of his fee as a lump sum for expenses, as a main rule.

=

**018. Can legal aid be granted for the fees that are related to the enforcement of judicial decisions (e.g. fees of an enforcement agent)?**

Yes

No

NAP

If yes, please specify:

**019. Can legal aid be granted for other costs (different from those mentioned in questions 16 to 18, e.g. fees of technical advisors or experts, costs of other legal professionals (notaries), travel costs etc.)?**

	Criminal cases	Other than criminal cases
Legal aid granted for other costs	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If yes, please specify:

**2.1.2 Information on legal aid**

**020. Please indicate the number of cases for which legal aid has been granted:**

	Total	Cases brought to court	Cases not brought to court
<b>TOTAL</b>	5 748 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	2 006 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	3 742 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>In criminal cases</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

In other than criminal cases	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA
	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - Please specify when appropriate:

**020-1. Please indicate the timeframes of the procedure for granting legal aid, in relation to the duration from the initial legal aid request to the final approval of the legal aid request:**

	Time in days
Maximum duration prescribed in law/regulation	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Actual average duration	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - Please specify if the envisaged timeframe is set in a statutory law, or in other regulation. Furthermore, if different timeframes are envisaged for criminal and other than criminal cases please provide more information:

=

**021. In criminal cases, can individuals who do not have sufficient financial means be assisted by a free of charge (or financed by a public budget) lawyer?**

	Assisted by a free of charge lawyer
Accused individuals	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Victims	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

**022. In criminal cases are these individuals free to choose their lawyer within the framework of the legal aid system?**

	free selection of lawyer
Accused individuals	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Victims	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

**023-0. Does your country have an income and assets evaluation for granting full or partial legal aid?**

Yes

No

Comments - Please indicate if any other criteria are taken into account for the granting of legal aid and any comment that could explain the data provided above:

**023. If yes, please specify in the table:**

	Annual income value (for one person), (in €)	Assets value (for one person), (in €)
Full legal aid to the applicant for criminal cases	947 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
Full legal aid to the applicant for other than criminal cases	947 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
Partial legal aid to the applicant for criminal cases	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
Partial legal aid to the applicant for other than criminal cases	5 259 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP

**024. Is it possible to refuse legal aid for lack of merit of the case (for example for frivolous action or no chance of success)?**

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please explain the exact criteria for denying legal aid: -Aid may not be given if the party has already received the necessary aid in a particular case or if the state administrative agency proceeding in the party's case has helped the party prepare their submission.

-No aid may be granted to a party:

1) for a period of five years from the date of withdrawal if any previously granted aid had been withdrawn on account of the disclosure of false data;

2) if, despite of being obliged to do so, the party failed to repay to the State the fee for legal services previously provided to him/her, or to another person; or

3) if the party obstructs an investigation intended to verify the information provided in his application.

- A party may be granted legal aid in exceptional cases if the party can credibly prove that their income and financial situation or other personal circumstances have deteriorated to such an extent that they will not be able to repay the fee for the legal services received through no fault of their own.

**025. Is the decision to grant or refuse legal aid taken by:**

the judge(s) dealing with the main case

another judge or official

an authority external to the court

several authorities (court and external bodies)

Comments

**026. Is there a private system of legal expense insurance enabling individuals (this does not concern companies or other legal persons) to finance court proceedings?**

( X ) Yes

( ) No

Comments - If appropriate, please inform about the current development of such insurances in your country; is it a growing phenomenon?

**027. Can judicial decisions direct how legal costs, paid by the parties during the procedure, will be shared:**

	Judicial decisions direct how legal costs will be shared
in criminal cases	( X ) Yes ( ) No
in other than criminal cases	( X ) Yes ( ) No

Comments - If no, please specify how legal costs are distributed:

**B1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part**

Sources: Ministry of Justice

**2.2. Court users and victims**

**2.2.1 Rights of the users and victims**

**028. Are there official internet sites/portals (e.g. Ministry of Justice, Judicial Council etc.) where general public may have free-of-charge access to the following:**

	Yes, internet adresse(es)	No
Legal texts (e.g. codes, laws, regulations, etc.)	( X ) <a href="https://njt.hu">https://njt.hu</a>	( )
Case-law of the higher court/s	( X ) <a href="https://kuria-birosag.hu/hu/node?theme=kuria_smg">https://kuria-birosag.hu/hu/node?theme=kuria_smg</a> <a href="https://birosag.hu/birosagi-hatarozatok-gyjtemenye">https://birosag.hu/birosagi-hatarozatok-gyjtemenye</a>	( )
Information about the judicial system (organisation of courts, court proceedings, etc)	( X ) <a href="https://birosag.hu">https://birosag.hu</a>	( )
Other documents (e.g. forms, downloadable forms, online registration forms)	( X ) <a href="http://igazsagugyihivatal.gov.hu/download/2/c9/11000/A%2BB_%C3%A9krelemnyomtatv%C3%A1ny_%C3%A1ldozatseg%C3%ADt%C3%A9s.pdf">http://igazsagugyihivatal.gov.hu/download/2/c9/11000/A%2BB_%C3%A9krelemnyomtatv%C3%A1ny_%C3%A1ldozatseg%C3%ADt%C3%A9s.pdf</a> <a href="http://birosag.hu/allampolgarok/nak/nyomtatvanyok-urlapok">http://birosag.hu/allampolgarok/nak/nyomtatvanyok-urlapok</a> ; <a href="http://e-ugyintezes.birosag.hu">http://e-ugyintezes.birosag.hu</a>	( )



Comment - Please specify what documents and information are included in "Other documents"

**029. Is there an obligation to provide information to the parties concerning the foreseeable timeframes of their proceedings?**

Yes, always

No

Yes, only in some specific situations

Comment - If "Yes, only in some specific situations", please specify: Only in respect of criminal procedures. The summon needs to refer to the foreseeable timeframe (mentioning the hours) of the procedural activity concerned.

**030. Is there a public and free-of-charge information system for providing information and facilitating access to justice:**

	Information system
<b>General for citizens</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Online information <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephone <input type="checkbox"/> Interactive chat <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> In-person (physical access on site) <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Specific for victims of offences</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Online information <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephone <input type="checkbox"/> Interactive chat <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> In-person (physical access on site) <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Specific for minors (child-friendly systems)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Online information <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephone <input type="checkbox"/> Interactive chat <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> In-person (physical access on site) <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> No

Comment - Please provide more information on these systems. Furthermore, please specify how this assistance is provided. Online information: There are two important websites (<https://igazsagugyiinformaciok.kormany.hu/aldozatsegito-szolgalat>, <https://vansegitsej.hu/>) on the most relevant information regarding victim support assistance which contain information on legal help too. Telephone: Victim Support Hotline can be called 24 hours a day, 7 days a week at 06 80 225 225, to provide information and guidance to victims regarding access to justice as well.

In-person (physical access on site): According to national law the victim support services (the Government Offices and the Victim Support Centres) provide legal advice in simple cases and issues a certificate on victim status for apply legal aid. Legal aid service is regulated by a separate act (Act LXXX of 2003 on Legal Aid), and the victims of crimes can apply for this service under more favorable terms. In addition, it should be noted that witness care is a victim support service too, which is the task of courts. A witness summoned to a court hearing may turn to a witness care provider for proper information. A court clerk as witness care provider provides the witness with information in the manner specified in a separate legal act in order to facilitate giving testimony and the necessary court appearance.

**031. Are there special favourable arrangements to be applied, during judicial proceedings, to the following categories of vulnerable persons:**

	<b>Information mechanism</b>	<b>Special arrangements in hearings</b>	<b>Other specific arrangements</b>
<b>Victims of sexual violence/rape</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No
<b>Victims of terrorism</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No
<b>Minors (witnesses or victims)</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No
<b>Victims of domestic violence</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No
<b>Ethnic minorities</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>Persons with disabilities</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No
<b>Juvenile offenders</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No
<b>Other (e.g. victims of human trafficking, forced marriage, sexual mutilation)</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No

Comments - If “Other vulnerable person” and/or “Other specific arrangements”, please specify: The criminal procedural code contains special regulations for criminal procedures against juvenile offenders (offenders between 14-18, or in special cases between 12-14 years of age). There are also specific regulations if the victim or witness is a minor (under the age of 18). For any vulnerable victim or witness it is available to: - ask for their name and personal data to be kept secretly from other stakeholders of the procedure - if it is needed the witness or victim can be placed under witness protection program, - the judge can order the accused person to leave the courtroom while the witness makes his/her testimony. The testimony later will be read to the accused person. For physically disabled persons (either accused person, victim, or witness) as specific arrangement the authorities may hear them at a specific place other than the office of the authority. A mentally disabled accused person always has a defense attorney to represent him. Ethnic minorities (either accused person, victim, or witness) may use the ethnic language during a criminal procedure free of charge (interpretation and translation shall be provided).

**031-0. If there are special arrangements for minors, what are the settings / tools / facilities / practises employed to protect them when they participate in judicial proceedings?**

- Special and child-adequate preparation for participation in trials / lawsuits (explaining in a child-friendly manner the proceedings)
- Special room in court designated for child-friendly hearings
- Special person / team of trained professional(s) (such as psychologists) to accompany a minor throughout the proceedings
- Special ways to communicate and explain meaning of court decisions
- Interagency/multidisciplinary structure such as “Children's Houses”
- Other, please specify .....
- NAP

Comment

**031-1. What are the main criteria for a minor to initiate a proceeding, take procedural actions in his/her own name or to be a witness?**

Civil proceedings	Criminal proceedings
-------------------	----------------------

<b>Capacity to initiate a proceeding and take other procedural actions in his/her own name</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Age threshold [Comment]18 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exceptions from the threshold <input type="checkbox"/> Capacity for discernment <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Age threshold [Comment]18 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exceptions from the threshold <input type="checkbox"/> Capacity for discernment <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>To be a witness</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Age threshold [Comment] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exceptions from the threshold <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Capacity for discernment <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Age threshold [Comment]14 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exceptions from the threshold <input type="checkbox"/> Capacity for discernment <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comment - Please specify if you selected answers “Exceptions from the threshold” and “Other”. If your system distinguishes between full and limited capacity to take legal actions, please describe the basis for this differentiation (age, capacity for discernment, type of action, type of cases, other). A person has full legal capacity after the age of 18 has limited legal capacity over age 14. Minors between the age of 14 and 18 have limited legal capacity, but they can initiate some cases in their own name (e.g. private indictment in criminal cases or may conclude contracts that only offer advantages to him/her).

To be a witness in a criminal case there is no age limit but a minor under the age of 14 may only be questioned if the evidence anticipated from the testimony cannot be replaced by any other evidence. The accused person may not be present at the hearing.

To be a witness in a civil case there is also no age limit, but minors under the age of 14 may only be heard about facts and only if they have the mental capability to understand the relevance of these.

### 031-2. If a minor cannot conduct proceedings in his/her own name, who can represent him/her in judicial proceedings?

	Civil proceedings	Criminal proceedings
<b>Parent/legal guardian</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, always <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, except in some specific situations <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, always <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, except in some specific situations <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Other representative (instead of parent/legal guardian)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Social care services or other public institution <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Legal professional <input type="checkbox"/> Associations for protection of minors <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Social care services or other public institution <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Legal professional <input type="checkbox"/> Associations for protection of minors <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comment Unless otherwise provided for by law, the legal statements of a minor with limited capacity (between age 14-18) shall not be deemed valid without the consent of that minor’s legal representative (e.g. parent or guardian).

Minors of limited capacity shall, without the involvement of their legal representatives, be entitled to conduct some proceedings in their own name e.g. as they are entitled to dispose of the earnings they acquire by employment and undertake commitments up to the extent of their earnings, so they may represent themselves in a procedure regarding this matter.

### 031-3. What are the different criteria for the criminal liability of minors? (multiple replies

**possible)**

Age threshold(s)

Capacity for discernment

Other criteria

Comment

### **031-3-1. What is the age threshold for the criminal liability of minors?**

**Criminal liability resulting in sentence without privation of liberty (for example, educational measures)**

[ 12 ]

NA

NAP

**Criminal liability resulting in sentence of privation of liberty**

[ 14 ]

NA

NAP

Comment - Please describe, briefly, the specifics of your system. Could you, please specify if the possibility of mitigation applies to the sanctions and how?

### **032. Does your country allocate compensation for victims of offences?**

Yes, but only if offender is unknown

Yes, but only if compensation could not be obtained from offender

Yes, always

No

Comment

#### **032-0. If yes, for what types of offences the compensation is allocated?**

For all types of offences

For some types of offences

NAP

Comment - Please specify:

#### **032-1. Is a court decision necessary in the framework of the compensation procedure?**

Yes

No

Comments

#### **032-0. If yes, for what types of offences the compensation is allocated?**

For all types of offences

For some types of offences

NAP

Comment - Please specify:

**032-1. Is a court decision necessary in the framework of the compensation procedure?**

Yes

No

Comments

**032-0. If yes, for what types of offences the compensation is allocated?**

For all types of offences

For some types of offences

NAP

Comment - Please specify:

**032-1. Is a court decision necessary in the framework of the compensation procedure?**

Yes

No

Comments

**034. Are there studies that evaluate the recovery rate of the damages awarded by courts to victims?**

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please illustrate with available data concerning the recovery rate, the title of the studies, the frequency of the studies and the coordinating body: The issue of victim compensation in criminal proceedings has been addressed by several authors in the past years: Tünde A. BARABÁS: "Compensation of victims", in Acta Humana, 1997/26; József VIGH: "Means of indemnification against damages in the Hungarian criminal justice system", in Jogelméleti szemle, 2003/2; Ilona GÖRGÉNYI: "Indemnification by the State, restitution by the offender and endeavours for restorative justice", in A viktimológia alapkérdései, negyedik fejezet (The basic issues of victimology, Ch. IV), 2004, Erika RÓTH: "The position of the injured party in criminal proceedings", in Áldozatsegítés Európában 2004 (Victim support in Europe 2004), the 2005 publication of the Ministry of Justice; Anna KISS: "The role of the adhesion procedure in criminal proceedings", in: Kriminológiai Tanulmányok (Studies in Criminology) 2005/42. It must be noted that a comprehensive research on "The role of the injured party in criminal proceedings" is being carried out by the National Institute of Criminology (an institute of the Attorney General's Office).

**035. Do public prosecutors have a specific role with respect to victims (protection and assistance)?**

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

**035-1. Do public prosecutors have a specific role with respect to minor victims (protection and assistance)?**

Yes

No

Comment - If yes, please specify: Pursuant to Section 2 of the Act CLXIII of 2011 on the Prosecution Service, public prosecutors must grant special attention to combating crimes committed by and against minors, to compliance with the special rules of procedure of administrative and criminal proceedings instituted against juvenile persons; participate in enforcing the rights of minors and launch proceedings to have the necessary child protection measures taken in the cases provided for by law. Prosecutors take special care that victims and witnesses below the age of 14 are heard by investigating judges as soon as possible. Furthermore, minor victims of criminal

offences should be interviewed or heard by officers of the same sex in proceedings.

**036. Do victims of offences have the right to dispute a public prosecutor's decision to discontinue a case? Please verify the consistency of your answers in this question and question 105 regarding the possibility for a public prosecutor "to discontinue a case without needing a decision by a judge".**

Yes

No

NAP

Comment - If necessary, please specify:

**037. Is there a system for compensating users in the following circumstances:**

	Number of requests for compensation	Number of condemnations	Total amount (in €)
<b>Total</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Excessive length of proceedings</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Non-execution of court decisions</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Wrongful arrest</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Wrongful conviction</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Other</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comment - Where appropriate, please give details of the compensation procedure and the calculation method for the amount of the compensation (e.g. the amount per day for unjustified detentions or convictions): There is no national level database containing the data for the question.

## 2.2.2 Confidence and satisfaction of citizens with their justice system

**038. Does your country implement surveys to measure trust in justice and satisfaction with the services delivered by the judicial system?**

	National level	Court level
<b>Surveys for judges</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
<b>Surveys for court staff</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc

<b>Surveys for public prosecutors</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
<b>Surveys for lawyers</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
<b>Surveys for other professionals</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
<b>Surveys for the parties</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
<b>Surveys for other court users (e.g. jurors, witnesses, experts, interpreters, representatives of governmental agencies, NGOs)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
<b>Surveys for victims</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
<b>Surveys for minors</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
<b>Surveys for the general public</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
<b>Other not mentioned</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc

Comment - Please, indicate the references and links to the satisfaction surveys you mentioned above: As a regular national survey we have a permanent satisfaction questionnaire for court users online: <https://e-ugyintezes.birosag.hu/kerdoiv>  
Parallely many courts have paper based surveys aimed at either the court staff or the court users.

**039. Are there statistical data concerning male and female court users, persons who initiate a case, victims, accused persons, etc.**

- Yes, please specify: .....
- No

Comment - If you have additional comments please specify:

**040. Is there a national or local procedure for filing complaints about the functioning of the judicial system? (for example, handling of the case by a judge or the duration of a proceeding)**

- Yes
- No

Comments

**041. If yes, please specify certain aspects of this procedure:**

	Authority responsible for dealing with the complaint	Existence of a time limit to deal with the complaint for this authority
<b>Court concerned</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No
<b>Higher court</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No
<b>Ministry of Justice</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>High Judicial Council</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>Other external bodies (e.g. Ombudsman)</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No

Comments Other includes: e.g. Ombudsman, National Authority for Data Protection and Freedom of Information

### 041-1. If yes, please specify certain aspects of this procedure:

	Number of complaints	Compensation amount granted
<b>Court concerned</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>Higher court</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>Ministry of Justice</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
<b>High Judicial Council</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
<b>Other external bodies (e.g. Ombudsman)</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments - If possible, please give information concerning the efficiency of this complaint procedure and any useful comment: Court users can also submit complaints 24 hours a day, every day of the week, without personal appearance, but using an electronic form via the client portal (<https://e-ugyintezes.birosag.hu/>).

## 3. Organisation of the court system

### 3.1. Courts

#### 3.1.1 Number of courts

#### 042. Number of courts - legal entities.

Number of courts
------------------





<b>Total number of all courts - legal entities (1 + 2)</b>	139 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>1 Total number of courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities (1.1 + 1.2 + 1.3)</b>	139 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>1.1 First instance courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities</b>	113 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>1.2 Second instance courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities</b>	25 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>1.3 Highest instance courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities</b>	1 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2 Total number of specialised courts - legal entities</b>	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments The Hungarian court system is as follows: Kúria (1) – the Hungarian Supreme Court - its jurisdiction in criminal, civil and administrative cases covers adjudication of extraordinary remedies and appeals, adopting uniformity decisions. It also decides if municipal decrees are in compliance with higher level legislation. Regional courts of appeal (5) – their jurisdiction in criminal and civil cases covers the adjudication of appeals received from the regional courts (third instance in criminal cases). Regional courts (20) – their jurisdiction in criminal, civil and administrative cases covers the adjudication of appeals received from district courts, administrative and labour courts, and procedure at first instance in certain criminal and civil cases. District courts (113) – their jurisdiction in criminal and civil cases covers the procedures at first instance. Out of the 113 district courts, the district courts in the seat of the regional courts have special competences in many cases. Administrative and labour courts (20) were dismissed on the 31st of March 2020. From this date Regional Courts deal with labour cases on first instance while administrative cases are dealt with by eight Regional Court on a regional level.

#### 043. Number of specialised courts – legal entities.

	<b>First instance</b>	<b>Higher instances</b>
<b>Total number of specialised courts - legal entities</b>	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>Commercial courts (excluded insolvency courts)</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
<b>Insolvency courts</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
<b>Labour courts</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
<b>Family courts</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
<b>Rent and tenancies courts</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
<b>Enforcement of criminal sanctions courts</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP

<b>Fight against terrorism, organised crime and corruption</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Internet related disputes</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Administrative courts</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Insurance and / or social welfare courts</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Military courts</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Juvenile courts</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Other specialised courts</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If “Other specialised courts”, please specify: “Administrative and labour courts (20) were dismissed on the 31st of March 2020. From this date Regional Courts deal with labour cases on first instance while administrative cases are dealt with by eight Regional Court on a regional level”.

#### 044. Number of courts - geographic locations.

	Number of courts (geographic locations)
<b>First instance courts geographic locations (this includes 1st instance courts of general jurisdiction and first instance specialised courts)</b>	113 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>All the courts (geographic locations) (this includes 1st instance courts of general jurisdiction, first instance specialised courts, all second instance courts and courts of appeal and all Supreme Courts)</b>	139 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

=

#### 045. Number of first instance courts (geographic locations) competent for a case concerning:

	Number of courts
<b>A small claim</b>	113 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>An employment dismissal</b>	20 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>A robbery</b>	133 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

An insolvency case

20

NA

NAP

Comments Robbery cases may be dealt on first instance by a district court or a regional court, depending the amount of money concerned.

### 045-1. Is your definition of a small claim the same as the one in the Explanatory note?

Yes

No

Comments - If not, please give your definition of a small claim: Any pecuniary claim that is under 3.000.000,- HUF (8.312 EUR) should be claimed by a payment order issued by a notary public. If the defendant contradicts the payment order, the case is sent to the court by the notary public.

These lawsuits are being dealt with by the district court on first instance.

### 045-2. Please indicate the value in € of a small claim:

[ 8 312 ]

Comments

## C. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: National Office for Judiciary

## 3.2. Court staff

### 3.2.1 Judges and non-judge staff

046. Number of professional judges sitting in courts (if possible on 31 December of the reference year). (please give the information in full-time equivalent and for posts actually filled for all types of courts - general jurisdiction and specialised courts)

	Total	Males	Females
Total number of professional judges (1 + 2 + 3)	2 789 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	868 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	1 921 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
1. Number of first instance professional judges	1 420 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	399 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	1 021 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
2. Number of second instance (court of appeal) professional judges	1 283 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	434 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	849 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
3. Number of Supreme Court professional judges	86 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	35 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	51 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comment - Please provide any useful comment for interpreting the data above:

=

**046-1-1. Does your system allow part-time work for judges with proportionally reduced remuneration?**

Yes

No

Comments

**046-1-2. If yes, please specify in which situation part-time work can be granted? (multiple replies possible):**

Child-care

Elderly care

For the purposes of early retirement

Other reason, please specify: .....

Without reason

Comments

**046-1-3. If yes, what is the percentage of judges working part-time (in relation to the total number of judges)?**

	Total (%)	Male (%)	Females (%)
<b>Total (1 + 2 + 3) (%)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>1. At first instance level (%)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2. At second instance (court of appeal) level (%)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>3. At Supreme Court level (%)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

**046-1-4. What is the percentage of work time of a judge working part-time compared to a full-time equivalent judge?**

Less than 50%

50 – 60%

60 - 80%

More than 80%

NA

NAP

Comments

=



**046-2. Number of judges (FTE) by case type:**

	Total	Civil and/or commercial	Criminal	Administrative	Other
<b>Total number of judges</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>First instance</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Second instance</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Supreme court</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

If "Other", please explain which types of cases:



=

**047. Number of court presidents (professional judges).**

	Total	Males	Females
<b>Total number of court presidents (1 + 2 + 3)</b>	129 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	60 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	69 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>1. Number of first instance court presidents</b>	104 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	45 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	59 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2. Number of second instance (court of appeal) court presidents</b>	24 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	14 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	10 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>3. Number of Supreme Court presidents</b>	1 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	1 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

**048. Number of professional judges sitting in courts on an occasional basis and who are paid as such (if possible, on 31 December of the reference year):**

	Figure
<b>Gross figure</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>In full-time equivalent</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If necessary, please provide comments to explain the answer provided:

**048-1. Do these professional judges sitting in courts on an occasional basis deal with a significant**

**part of cases?**

Yes If yes, please give specifications on the types of cases and an estimate in percentage. ....

No

NAP

Comments

**049. Number of non-professional judges who are not remunerated but who may receive a simple defrayal of costs (if possible, on 31 December of the reference year) (e.g. lay judges or “juges consulaires”, but not arbitrators or persons sitting on a jury):**

	Figure
Gross figure	2 235 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
In full time equivalent	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments The currently elected lay judges' term ends in 2023 so the next election is due in that year.

**049-1. If such non-professional judges exist at first instance in your country, please specify for which types of cases:**

	Yes	No	Echevinage / mixed bench
Criminal cases (severe)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Criminal cases (misdemeanour and/or minor)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Family law cases	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Labour law cases	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Social law cases	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Commercial law cases	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Insolvency cases	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other civil cases	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

NAP

Comments - If “Other civil cases”, please specify:

**050. Does your judicial system include trial by jury with the participation of citizens?**

Yes

No

Comments

**050-1. If yes, for which type(s) of case(s)?**

Criminal cases

Other than criminal cases

Comments

**051. Number of citizens who were involved in such juries for the year of reference:**

[            ]

NA

NAP

Comments

=

**052. Number of non-judge staff who are working in courts (if possible on 31 December of the reference year) (this data should not include the staff working for public prosecutors; see question 60) (please give the information in full-time equivalent and for posts actually filled)**

	Total	Males	Females
<b>Total non-judge staff working in courts (1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5)</b>	8 576 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	1 372 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	7 204 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>1. Rechtspfleger (or similar bodies) with judicial or quasi-judicial tasks having autonomous competence and whose decisions could be subject to appeal</b>	936 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	188 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	748 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2. Non-judge (judicial) staff whose task is to assist the judges such as registrars (case file preparation, assistance during the hearing, helping to draft the decisions)</b>	961 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	119 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	842 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>3. Staff in charge of different administrative tasks and of the management of the courts (human resources management, material and equipment management, including computer systems, financial and budgetary management, training management)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>4. Technical staff</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>5. Other non-judge staff</b>	6 679 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	1 065 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	5 614 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If "Other non-judge staff", please specify: Other non-judge staff includes staff in charge of different administrative tasks and of the management of the courts (3) and technical staff (4).

**052-1. Number of non-judge staff by instance (if possible, on 31 December of the reference year)**

(this data should not include the staff working for public prosecutors; see question 60) (please give the information in full-time equivalent and for posts actually filled).

	Total	Males	Females
<b>Total non-judge staff working in courts (1+2+3)</b>	8 576 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	1 372 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	7 204 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>1. Total non-judge staff working in courts at first instance level</b>	4 237 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	476 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	3 761 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2. Total non-judge staff working in courts at second instance (court of appeal) level</b>	4 104 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	830 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	3 274 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>3. Total non-judge staff working in courts at Supreme Court level</b>	235 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	66 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	169 [ ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments

=

**053. If there are Rechtspfleger (or similar bodies) with judicial or quasi-judicial tasks having autonomous competence and whose decisions could be subject to appeal in your judicial system, please specify in which fields they have a role:**

- Legal aid
- Family cases
- Payment orders
- Registry cases (land and/or business registry cases)
- Enforcement of civil cases
- Enforcement of criminal cases
- Non-litigious cases
- Other cases not mentioned (please describe in comment)
- NAP

Comments - Please briefly describe their status and duties:

**054. Have the courts outsourced certain services under their responsibilities to external providers?**

- Yes
- No

Comments

**054-1. If yes, please specify which services have been outsourced:**

- IT services
- Training of staff
- Security



Archives

Cleaning

Other types of services (please specify): .....

Comments

### C1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: National Office for Judiciary

### 3.3. Public prosecution

#### 3.3.1 Public prosecutors and staff

055. Number of public prosecutors (on 31 December of the reference year). (Please give the information in full-time equivalent and for posts actually filled, for all types of courts – general jurisdiction and specialised courts).

	Total	Males	Females
<b>Total number of prosecutors (1 + 2 + 3)</b>	1 876 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	742 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	1 134 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>1. Number of prosecutors at first instance level</b>	1 207 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	433 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	774 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2. Number of prosecutors at second instance (court of appeal) level</b>	558 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	249 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	309 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>3. Number of prosecutors at Supreme Court level</b>	111 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	60 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	51 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - Please indicate any useful comment for interpreting the data above:

=

055-1-1. Does your system allow part-time work for prosecutors with proportionally reduced remuneration?

Yes

No

Comments

055-1-2. If yes, please specify in which situation part-time work can be granted? (multiple replies possible):

Child-care

- Elderly care
- For the purposes of early retirement
- Other reason, please specify: .....
- Without reason

Comments

**055-1-3. If yes, what is the percentage of prosecutors working part-time (in relation to the total number of prosecutors)?**

	Total (%)	Male (%)	Females (%)
<b>Total (1 + 2 + 3) (%)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>1. At first instance level (%)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2. At second instance (court of appeal) level (%)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>3. At Supreme Court level (%)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

**055-1-4. What is the percentage of work time of a prosecutor working part-time compared to a full-time equivalent prosecutor?**

- Less than 50%
- 50 - 60%
- 60 - 80%
- More than 80%
- NA
- NAP

Comments

**056. Number of heads of prosecution offices.**

	Total	Males	Females
<b>Total number of heads of prosecution offices (1 + 2 + 3)</b>	150 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	87 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	63 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>1. Number of heads of prosecution offices at first instance level</b>	124 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	66 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	58 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2. Number of heads of prosecution offices at second instance (court of appeal) level</b>	25 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	20 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	5 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

<b>3. Number of heads of prosecution offices at Supreme Court level</b>	1 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	1 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
---	------------------------	------------------------	------------------------

Please provide any useful comment for interpreting the data above:

**057. Do other persons have similar duties to those of public prosecutors?**

- Yes
- No

Comments - If yes, please specify their titles and functions:

**057-1. Please specify their number (in full-time equivalent):**

[            ]  
[ ] NA

**059. If yes, is their number included in the number of public prosecutors that you have indicated under question 55?**

- Yes
- No
- [ ] NAP

Comments

**059-1. Do prosecution offices have prosecutors who are specially trained in areas of domestic violence and sexual violence?**

	-
<b>Domestic violence</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, specifically for minor victims <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Sexual violence</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, specifically for minor victims <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If yes, please specify

=

**060. Number of staff (non-public prosecutors) attached to the public prosecution services, if possible, on 31 December of the reference year and without the number of non-judge staff, see question 52 (in full-time equivalent and for posts actually filled).**

<b>Total</b>	<b>Males</b>	<b>Females</b>
--------------	--------------	----------------

<b>Number of staff (non-public prosecutors) attached to the public prosecution service</b>	2 425 [ ] NA	470 [ ] NA	1 955 [ ] NA
--	-----------------	---------------	-----------------

Comments

## C2. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: Department of Personnel

### 3.4. Gender equality

#### 3.4.1 Specific provisions for facilitating gender equality

061-2. Are there specific provisions for facilitating gender equality within the framework of the procedures for recruiting :

	Yes, please specify	No
judges	( )	( X )
prosecutors	( )	( X )
non-judge staff	( )	( X )
lawyers	( )	( X )
notaries	( )	( X )
enforcement agents	( )	( X )

[ ] NA

Comments - if the situation changed since the reference year, please specify in the comments. If you have additional comments please specify:

061-3. Are there specific provisions for facilitating gender equality within the framework of the procedures for promoting :

	Yes, please specify	No
judges	( )	( X )
prosecutors	( )	( X )
non-judge staff	( )	( X )
lawyers	( )	( X )

notaries	( )	( X )
enforcement agents	( )	( X )

Comments - If the situation changed since the reference year or you have additional comments, please specify:

=

**061-3-1. Are there specific provisions for facilitating gender equality within the framework of the procedures for the appointment of:**

	Yes / No
Court president	( ) Yes If “yes”, please specify:[Comment] ( X ) No
Head of prosecution services	( ) Yes If “yes”, please specify:[Comment] ( X ) No

Comments

**3.4.2 At national level**

**061-5. Does your country have an overarching document (e.g. policy/strategy/action plan/program) on gender equality that applies specifically to the judiciary?**

( ) Yes

( X ) No

Comments - If the situation changed since the reference year, please indicate in the comments. Could you specify the reference or internet link of this/these document(s) or send/upload it/them to us?

**061-6. At national level, is there any specific person (e.g. an equal opportunities commissioner) / institution dealing with gender issues in the justice system concerning:**

	Yes, please specify	No
The recruitment of judges	( )	( X )
The promotion of judges	( )	( X )
The recruitment of prosecutors	( )	( X )
The promotion of prosecutors	( )	( X )
The recruitment of non-judge staff	( )	( X )
The promotion of non-judge staff	( )	( X )

Comments - if other than recruitment and/or promotion, please specify. If the situation changed since the reference year, please specify in the comments:

**061-6-1. Please specify the text which set up this person/institution :**

(title, date, nature of the text)

[ X ] NAP

**061-6-2. Please specify the status of this person/institution:**

(e.g. independent, attached to the Ministry of Justice, to the High Judicial Council or equivalent or to an inter-ministerial institution specifically dedicated to gender equality)

[ X ] NAP

**061-6-3. Please specify if this person/institution has an information and consultative function or if its opinions/decisions have legal consequences:**

(e.g. to block a decision or allow an appeal)

[ X ] NAP

**3.4.3 At court/public prosecution services level**

**061-7. At the court or public prosecution services level, is there a person (e.g. an equal opportunities commissioner)/institution specifically dedicated to ensure the respect of gender equality in the organisation of judicial work:**

	Yes	No
in courts (judges)	( )	( X )
in public prosecution services (prosecutors)	( )	( X )
for courts' non-judge staff	( )	( X )

Comments - Please specify the details of this person/institution, in particular its titles and function:

**061-8. Does the feminisation of certain functions, if it exists in your country, within courts or public prosecution services, lead to concrete changes in the organisation of the work in the following areas:**

	Yes	No
Assignment to different positions	( )	( X )
Workload distribution	( )	( X )
Working hours	( )	( X )
Modalities of teleworking and presence in the workspace	( )	( X )
Replacement of absent persons	( )	( X )
Organisation of the hearings	( )	( X )
Other	( )	( X )

Comments - If other, please specify. Could you also indicate concrete examples referring to the various possibilities mentioned? If the situation changed since the reference year, please specify in the comments.

**061-9. In order to improve gender balance in access to different judicial professions and equality in promotion and in access to functions of responsibility, what are the measures, in your country, which:**

have been already implemented (please specify) :

are planned (please specify) :

Comments - If the situation changed since reference year, please specify in the comments.

NAP

**061-10. Are there evaluation studies or official reports regarding the main causes of possible inequalities with regard to:**

- Recruitment procedures, please specify: .....
- Appointment to the position of court president, please specify: .....
- Appointment to the position of head of prosecution services, please specify: .....
- Promotion procedures and access to the functions of responsibility, please specify: .....

[ ] Other studies, please specify: .....

[X] NAP

Comments - Please specify also the reference documents.

### 3.5 Use of information technologies in courts

#### 3.5.1 General policies in Information Technology in judicial systems

##### 062-1. Basic principles and models used in Information technology policies and strategies definition

	Organisation
IT policies and strategies	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defined and coordinated at national level by one institution <input type="checkbox"/> Defined and coordinated at national level by several institutions <input type="checkbox"/> Defined and coordinated at unit/stakeholder level <input type="checkbox"/> Other
IT Governance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Governed at national level by one institution <input type="checkbox"/> Governed at national level by several institutions <input type="checkbox"/> Organised at unit/stakeholder level <input type="checkbox"/> Other

Comments

##### 065-1. In case there is a national structure in charge of the strategic policy making and governance of the judicial system modernisation (including also IT) what is the composition of this structure?

administrative, technical and scientific staff only

mixed teams of judicial staff (judges/prosecutors/etc.) and administrative/technical/scientific staff

other (please specify in a comment)

Comments - (please specify if there are other modernisation approaches that have been implemented):

##### 065-2. Which is the organisational model primarily chosen for conducting structural IT projects in courts and the management of applications (maintenance, evolution)?

	Implementing new projects	Management of applications
Mainly by an IT department with the help of professionals in the field (judges, prosecutors, non-judge judicial staff, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Mainly by professionals in the field (judges, prosecutors, non-judge judicial staff, etc.) with the help of an internal IT department and/or an external service provider	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



<b>Other alternatives (external service provider only – specify in a comment)</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
---	---------------------	---------------------

Comments - please also describe in case of “other alternatives”

**065-4. Have you measured the impact resulting from the implementation of one or several components of your new information system?**

- ( X ) Yes
- ( ) No

**065-4-1. If yes, have you measured the impact on (multiple answers possible):**

- Business processes
- Workload
- Human resources
- Costs
- Other, please specify .....

Comments (please specify examples of the impact) Our IT applications allow court executives to gather information on the timely jurisdiction of the cases of the court. This helps the court executives to take adequate measures in types of cases if it is needed to support the effective jurisdiction of the court. Timeframe reduction is a general consequence of electronic communication between the court and the parties.

**3.5.2 Security of courts information system and personal data protection**

**065-5. Are there independent audits or other mechanisms to contribute to the global security policy regarding the information system of the judiciary ?**

- ( X ) Yes
- ( ) No

Comments (please specify in particular if national frameworks of information security exist):

**065-6. Is the protection of personal data managed by courts ensured at legislative level?**

- ( X ) Yes
- ( ) No

Comment - If yes, please specify among others: if there are authorities specifically responsible for protection of personal data; the extent of the rights granted to citizens in the specific framework of software used by courts; if there are controls or limitations by law regarding the sharing of databases managed by courts with other administrations (police, etc.)

**3.5.3 Centralised databases for decision support**

**062-4. Is there a centralised national database of court decisions (case-law, etc.)?**

- ( X ) Yes
- ( ) Non

Comments All personal data shall be anonymised, except the following: name of those who represented authorities in the procedure, name of the attorneys, name of a public organization or foundation if it was a party of the case, any public data.

**062-4-1. If yes, please specify the following information:**

	For 1st instance decisions	For 2nd instance decisions	For 3rd instance decisions	Link with ECHR case law	Data anonymised	Case-law database available free online	Case-law database available in open data
<b>Civil and/or commercial</b>	( X ) Yes all judgements ( ) Yes some judgements ( ) No	( X ) Yes all judgements ( ) Yes some judgements ( ) No	( X ) Yes all judgements ( ) Yes some judgements ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>Criminal</b>	( X ) Yes all judgements ( ) Yes some judgements ( ) No	( X ) Yes all judgements ( ) Yes some judgements ( ) No	( X ) Yes all judgements ( ) Yes some judgements ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>Administrative</b>	( X ) Yes all judgements ( ) Yes some judgements ( ) No	( X ) Yes all judgements ( ) Yes some judgements ( ) No	( X ) Yes all judgements ( ) Yes some judgements ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No

Comments - if it exists in other matters please specify

**062-6. Is there a computerised national record centralising all criminal convictions?**

( X ) Yes

( ) No

Comments

**062-6-1. If yes, please specify the following information:**

[ ] Linkage with other European records of the same nature

[ X ] Content directly available through computerised means for judges and/or prosecutors

[ ] Content directly available for purposes other than criminal (civil and administrative matters)

Comments - Please specify who is the authority delivering the access

**3.5.4 Writing assistance tools**

**062-7. Are there writing assistance tools for which the content is coordinated at national level?**

**(models or templates, paragraphs already pre-written, etc.)**

( X ) Yes

( ) No

Comment – if it exists in other matters please specify

**062-7-1. If yes, please specify the following information:**

	<b>Availability rate</b>
<b>Civil and/or commercial</b>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% (all templates are available for all courts of this matter)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% (most of the templates are available for all courts or all templates for most of the courts)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% (some of the templates are available for most of the courts or most of the templates for some of the courts)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% (just starting to become available or in testing phase)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) (does not exist at all for this matter)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NA</p>
<b>Criminal</b>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% (all templates are available for all courts of this matter)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% (most of the templates are available for all courts or all templates for most of the courts)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% (some of the templates are available for most of the courts or most of the templates for some of the courts)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% (just starting to become available or in testing phase)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) (does not exist at all for this matter)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NA</p>
<b>Administrative</b>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% (all templates are available for all courts of this matter)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% (most of the templates are available for all courts or all templates for most of the courts)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% (some of the templates are available for most of the courts or most of the templates for some of the courts)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% (just starting to become available or in testing phase)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) (does not exist at all for this matter)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NA</p>

**062-8. Are there voice recording tools?**

Yes

No

Comments

**062-8-1. If yes, please specify:**

	Availability of simple dictation tools	Availability of multiple speakers recording tools	Voice recognition feature
<b>Civil and/or commercial</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> in all courts <input type="checkbox"/> in most of the courts <input type="checkbox"/> in some courts / some pilot phases <input type="checkbox"/> not available for this matter <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> in all courts <input type="checkbox"/> in most of the courts <input type="checkbox"/> in some courts / some pilot phases <input type="checkbox"/> not available for this matter <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Pilot testing <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Criminal</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> in all courts <input type="checkbox"/> in most of the courts <input type="checkbox"/> in some courts / some pilot phases <input type="checkbox"/> not available for this matter <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> in all courts <input type="checkbox"/> in most of the courts <input type="checkbox"/> in some courts / some pilot phases <input type="checkbox"/> not available for this matter <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Pilot testing <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Administrative</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> in all courts <input type="checkbox"/> in most of the courts <input type="checkbox"/> in some courts / some pilot phases <input type="checkbox"/> not available for this matter <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> in all courts <input type="checkbox"/> in most of the courts <input type="checkbox"/> in some courts / some pilot phases <input type="checkbox"/> not available for this matter <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Pilot testing <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA

### 062-9. Is there an intranet site within the judicial system for distribution of news/novelities?

#### Availability rate:

- 100% - accessible to everyone in judiciary  
 50-99% - accessible for most judges/prosecutors in all instances  
 10-49% - in some courts only  
 1-9% - in one court only  
 0% (NAP) - No access

NA

Comments

### 3.5.5 Technologies used for administration of the courts and case management

#### 063-1. Is there a case management system (CMS) ? (Software used for registering judicial proceedings and their management)

- Yes  
 No

Comments - if it exists in other matters please specify

#### 063-1-1. If yes, please specify the following information:

	<b>CMS deployment rate</b>	<b>Status of case online</b>	<b>Centralised or interoperable database</b>	<b>Early warning signals (for active case management)</b>	<b>Status of integration/connection of a CMS with a statistical tool</b>
<b>Civil and/or commercial</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accessible to parties <input type="checkbox"/> Publication of decision online <input type="checkbox"/> Both <input type="checkbox"/> Not accessible at all <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fully integrated including BI <input type="checkbox"/> Integrated <input type="checkbox"/> Not integrated but connected <input type="checkbox"/> Not connected at all <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Criminal</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accessible to parties <input type="checkbox"/> Publication of decision online <input type="checkbox"/> Both <input type="checkbox"/> Not accessible at all <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fully integrated including BI <input type="checkbox"/> Integrated <input type="checkbox"/> Not integrated but connected <input type="checkbox"/> Not connected at all <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Administrative</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accessible to parties <input type="checkbox"/> Publication of decision online <input type="checkbox"/> Both <input type="checkbox"/> Not accessible at all <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fully integrated including BI <input type="checkbox"/> Integrated <input type="checkbox"/> Not integrated but connected <input type="checkbox"/> Not connected at all <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comment - If it exists in other matters please specify:

### 063-2. Computerised registries managed by courts

	<b>Deployment rate</b>	<b>Data consolidated at national level</b>	<b>Service available online</b>	<b>Statistical module integrated or connected</b>
<b>Land registry</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

<b>Business registry</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
--------------------------	---	---	---	---

Comment – if it exists in other matters please specify:

## Budgetary and financial monitoring

### 063-6. Budgetary and financial management systems of courts

	Tool deployment rate	Data consolidated at national level	System communicating with other ministries (financial among others)
<b>Budgetary and financial management of courts</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Justice expenses management</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Other (please specify in comments)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments NEXON HR - budgetary aspects of human resource management, KIRA - management of wages.

## Other tools of courts management

### 063-7. Measurement tools to assess the workload of judges, prosecutors and/or non-judge/non-prosecutor staff (tool quantifying the activity of judges, prosecutors and/or non-judge/non-prosecutor staff – for example the number of cases resolved)

Yes

No

Comments

#### 063-7-1. If yes, please specify the following information:

Tools deployment rate	Data used for monitoring at national level	Data used for monitoring at court local level	Tool integrated in the CMS
-----------------------	--	---	----------------------------

<b>For judges</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>For prosecutors</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>For non-judge/non-prosecutor staff</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

### 3.5.6 Technologies used for communication between courts, professionals and/or court users

#### users

**064-2. Is there a possibility to submit a case to courts by electronic means?(possibility to introduce a case by electronic means, for example an e-mail or a form on a website)**

Yes

No

Comments

**064-2-1. If yes, please specify the following information:**

	<b>Availability rate</b>	<b>Simultaneous submission of cases in paper form remains mandatory</b>	<b>Specific legislative framework authorising the submission of a case</b>	<b>An integrated/connect ed tool with the CMS</b>
<b>Civil and/or commercial</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Criminal</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

<b>Administrative</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
-----------------------	---	---	---	---

Comments - if it exist in other matters please specify

**064-3. Is it possible to request legal aid by electronic means?**

Yes

No

Comments

**064-3-1. If yes, please specify the following information:**

	Requesting legal aid electronically
<b>Availability rate</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Formalisation of the request in paper form remains mandatory</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Specific legislative framework regarding requests for legal aid by electronic means</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Granting legal aid is also electronic</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Information available in CMS</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

**064-4. Is it possible to transmit summons to a judicial meeting or a hearing by electronic means? (a judicial meeting relates to stages prior to a court hearing, with a view to mediation or conciliation)**

Yes

No

Comments

**064-4-1. If yes, please specify the following information:**



	Summons produced by CMS	Simultaneous summon in paper form remains mandatory	Consent of the user to be notified by electronic means	Modalities (if other please specify in comments)	Specific legislative framework
Civil and/or commercial	[ X ]	[ ]	[ X ]	[ ] SMS [ ] E-mail [ X ] Specific computer application [ ] Other	[ X ]
Criminal	[ X ]	[ ]	[ X ]	[ ] SMS [ ] E-mail [ X ] Specific computer application [ ] Other	[ X ]
Administrative	[ X ]	[ ]	[ X ]	[ ] SMS [ ] E-mail [ X ] Specific computer application [ ] Other	[ X ]

Comments

**Use of information technologies for improving the quality of the communication between courts and professionals**

064-6. Are there possibilities of electronic communication between courts and lawyers and/or parties? (sending of electronic files and data concerning a judicial proceeding with or without scanned documents, mainly to develop dematerialised communication)

Tool deployment rate	Trial phases concerned	Modalities (if there are different according to the trial phases or if other, please specify in a comment)	Specific legal framework	Availability for

<b>Civil and/or commercial</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Submission of a case to a court <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Phases preparatory to a hearing <input type="checkbox"/> Schedule of hearings and/or deferrals <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transmission of court decisions	<input type="checkbox"/> E-mail <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific computer application <input type="checkbox"/> Other	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lawyers <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Parties not represented by lawyer
<b>Criminal</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Submission of a case to a court <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Phases preparatory to a hearing <input type="checkbox"/> Schedule of hearings and/or deferrals <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transmission of court decisions	<input type="checkbox"/> E-mail <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific computer application <input type="checkbox"/> Other	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lawyers <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Parties not represented by lawyer
<b>Administrative</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Submission of a case to a court <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Phases preparatory to a hearing <input type="checkbox"/> Schedule of hearings and/or deferrals <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transmission of court decisions	<input type="checkbox"/> E-mail <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific computer application <input type="checkbox"/> Other	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lawyers <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Parties not represented by lawyer

Comments

**064-7. Terms and conditions of electronic communication used by professionals other than lawyers (sending of electronic data concerning a judicial proceeding with or without scanned documents, mainly to develop dematerialised communication)**

Tool deployment rate	Modalities (if there are different according to the deeds or if other, please specify in a comment)	Specific legal framework
----------------------	---	--------------------------

<b>Enforcement agents (as defined in Q169 and following)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> E-mail <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific computer application <input type="checkbox"/> Other	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
<b>Notaries (as defined in Q192 and following)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> E-mail <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific computer application <input type="checkbox"/> Other	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
<b>Experts (as defined in Q202 and following)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> E-mail <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific computer application <input type="checkbox"/> Other	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
<b>Judicial police services</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> E-mail <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific computer application <input type="checkbox"/> Other	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

Comments

**064-9. Are there online processing systems of specialised litigation (small claim litigation, undisputed claims, preparatory phases to the resolution of family conflicts, etc. – please, specify in “comments” section)?**

Yes

No

Comments – Please describe the system that exists.

**Use of information technologies between courts, professionals and users in the framework of judicial proceedings**

**064-10. Videoconferencing between courts, professionals and/or users (this concerns the use of audio-visual devices in the framework of judicial proceedings such as the hearing of parties, etc.)**

Yes

No

Comments

**064-10-1. If yes, please specify the following information and describe in comments of this section the cases of actual use of videoconferencing and the expected benefits (for example, the use of this device to reduce the number of detainees’ transfers to the court):**

	Deployment rate (chose one only)	Proceeding phase	Specific legislative framework
<b>Civil and/or commercial</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prior to the hearing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> During the hearing <input type="checkbox"/> After the hearing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Criminal</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prior to the hearing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> During the hearing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> After the hearing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Administrative</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prior to the hearing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> During the hearing <input type="checkbox"/> After the hearing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Comments It is noteworthy that the digitalisation of the court system is a priority for the central administration of the court system. This process was enhanced by the pandemic and digital solutions became more important.

### 064-11. Recording of hearings or debates (sound or audio-visual recording during the investigation and/or trial phase(s))

Yes

No

Comments It is noteworthy that the digitalisation of the court system is a priority for the central administration of the court system. This process was enhanced by the pandemic and digital solutions became more important.

#### 064-11-1. If yes, please specify the following information:

	Tool deployment rate	Type of recording	Specific legislative framework
<b>Civil and/or commercial</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Sound <input type="checkbox"/> Video <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Both <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Criminal</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Sound <input type="checkbox"/> Video <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Both <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

<b>Administrative</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Sound <input type="checkbox"/> Video <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Both <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
-----------------------	---	---	---

### 064-12. Is electronic evidence admissible?

	Admissibility of electronic evidence	Legislative framework
<b>Civil and/or commercial</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> General law only <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General and specialised law <input type="checkbox"/> Specialised law only <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Criminal</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> General law only <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General and specialised law <input type="checkbox"/> Specialised law only <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Administrative</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> General law only <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General and specialised law <input type="checkbox"/> Specialised law only <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - Other devices of electronic communication between courts, professionals and/or users

## 3.6. Performance and evaluation

### 3.6.1 National policies applied in courts and public prosecution services

**066. Are quality standards determined for the judicial system at national level (are there quality systems for the judiciary and/or judicial quality policies)?**

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

**067. Do you have specialised personnel entrusted with implementation of these national level quality standards?**

	Yes / No
<b>within the courts</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>within the public prosecution services</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Comments

### 3.6.2 Performance and quality objectives at court level/public prosecution services



#### **077. Concerning court activities, have you defined performance and quality indicators?**

Yes

No

Comments

#### **078. If yes, please select the main performance and quality indicators that have been defined for courts:**

number of incoming cases

length of proceedings (timeframes)

number of resolved cases

number of pending cases

backlogs

productivity of judges and court staff

satisfaction of court staff

satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)

costs of the judicial procedures

number of appeals

appeal ratio

clearance rate

disposition time

other (please specify): .....

Comments Among others: individual judge's statistics; statistics on the reasons of the postpone of the trials; number of trial days in cases; number of cases heard per day; pending cases of an individual judge / court; the time frame of pending cases; number of appealed cases; the subject of incoming / finished / pending cases; the ratio of litigious and non-litigious cases; cases that are pending over 2 or 5 years have a separated statistical report every month; cases in which there were no actions taken in the last 30 days by the court have a separated statistical report every month

#### **077-1. Concerning public prosecution activities, have you defined performance and quality indicators?**

Yes

No

Comments

#### **078-1. If yes, please select the main performance and quality indicators for the public prosecution services that have been defined:**

number of incoming cases

length of proceedings (timeframes)

number of resolved cases

- number of pending cases
- backlogs
- productivity of prosecutors and prosecution staff
- satisfaction of prosecution staff
- satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the public prosecutors)
- costs of the judicial procedures
- clearance rate
- disposition time
- percentage of convictions and acquittals
- other (please specify): .....

Comments

**073. Do you have a system to evaluate regularly court performance based primarily on the defined indicators?**

- Yes
- No

Comments

**073-0. If yes, please specify the frequency:**

- Annual
- Less frequent
- More frequent

Comments - If “Less frequent” or “More frequent”, please specify: The statistics of the court system are composed in every quarter, half and whole year. It is published on the central internet website of the courts in every half year.

**073-1. Is this evaluation of the court activity used for the later allocation of resources within this court?**

- Yes
- No

Comments

**073-2. If yes, which courses of action are taken?**

- Identifying to the causes of improved or deteriorated performance
- Reallocating resources (human/financial resources based on performance (treatment)
- Reengineering of internal procedures to increase efficiency (treatment)
- Other (please specify): .....

Comments

**073-3. Do you have a system to evaluate regularly the performance of the public prosecution services based primarily on the defined indicators?**

( ) Yes

( X ) No

Comments

**073-4. If yes, please specify the frequency:**

( ) Annual

( ) Less frequent

( ) More frequent

Comments - If "less frequent" or "more frequent", please specify:

**073-5. Is this evaluation of the activity of public prosecution services used for the later allocation of resources within this public prosecution service?**

( ) Yes

( X ) No

Comments

**073-6. If yes, which courses of action are taken?**

[ ] Identifying to the causes of improved or deteriorated performance

[ ] Reallocating resources (human/financial resources based on performance (treatment))

[ ] Reengineering of internal procedures to increase efficiency (treatment)

[ ] Other (please specify): .....

Comments

=

**079. Who is responsible for evaluating the performance of the courts (multiple replies possible)?**

[ X ] High Judicial Council

[ ] Ministry of Justice

[ ] Inspection authority

[ X ] Supreme Court

[ ] External audit body

[ X ] Other (please specify):National Office for the Judiciary

Comments

**079-1. Who is responsible for evaluating the performance of the public prosecution services (multiple replies possible)?**

[ ] Public Prosecutorial Council

[ ] Ministry of Justice

[ X ] Head of the organisational unit or hierarchically superior public prosecutor

[ ] Prosecutor General /State public prosecutor

[ ] External audit body



Other (please specify): .....

Comments

### **3.6.3 Measuring courts' / public prosecution services activity**

#### **070. Do you regularly monitor court activities (performance and quality) concerning:**

- number of incoming cases
- length of proceedings (timeframes)
- number of resolved cases
- number of pending cases
- backlogs
- productivity of judges and court staff
- satisfaction of court staff
- satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)
- costs of the judicial procedures
- number of appeals
- appeal ratio
- clearance rate
- disposition time
- other (please specify): .....

Comments - statistics on the reasons of the postpone of the trials,

- number of trial days in cases, - number of tried cases per day,
- the subject of incoming / finished / pending cases,
- the ratio of litigious and non-litigious cases,
- cases that are pending over 2 or 5 years have a separated statistical report every month
- cases in which there were no actions taken in the last 30 days by the court have a separated statistical report every month

#### **070-1. Do you regularly monitor public prosecution activities (performance and quality) concerning:**

- number of incoming cases
- length of proceedings (timeframes)
- number of resolved cases
- number of pending cases
- backlogs
- productivity of prosecutors and prosecution staff
- satisfaction of prosecution staff
- satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the by the public prosecution)
- costs of the judicial procedures
- clearance rate
- disposition time

percentage of convictions and acquittals

other (please specify): .....

Comments

**071. Do you monitor the number of pending cases and cases that are not processed within a reasonable timeframe (backlogs) for:**

civil law cases

criminal law cases

administrative law cases

Comments

**072. Do you monitor waiting time during judicial proceedings?**

	Yes (If yes, please specify)	No
within the courts	( X )	( )
within the public prosecution services	( X )	( )

Comments

**3.6.4 Information regarding courts /public prosecution services activity**

**080. Is there a centralised institution that is responsible for collecting statistical data regarding the functioning of the courts?**

Yes (please indicate the name and the address of this institution): Országos Bírósági Hivatal (National Office for the Judiciary), H-1055, Hungary, Budapest, Szalay utca 16.

No

Comments

**080-1. Are the statistics on the functioning of each court published?**

Yes, on the internet

No, only internally (on an intranet website)

No

Comments

=

**080-2. Is there a centralised institution that is responsible for collecting statistical data regarding the functioning of the public prosecution services?**

Yes (please indicate the name and the address of this institution): IT Department of the Office of the Prosecutor General (1055 Budapest, Markó u. 16.)

No

Comments

**080-3. Are the statistics on the functioning of each public prosecution service published?**

- Yes, on the internet
- No, only internally (on an intranet website)
- No

Comments

=

**081. Are individual courts required to prepare an activity report (that includes, for example, data on the number of resolved cases or pending cases, the number of judges and administrative staff, targets and assessment of the activity)?**

- Yes
- No

Comments - If yes, please describe the content of the report and its audience (i.e. to whom the report is intended):

**081-1. If yes, please specify in which form this report is released:**

- Internet
- Intranet (internal) website
- Paper distribution

Comments

**081-2. If yes, please, indicate the periodicity at which the report is released:**

- Annual
- Less frequent
- More frequent

Comments

=

**081-3. Are public prosecution services required to prepare an activity report (that includes, for example, data on the number of incoming cases, the number of decisions, the number of public prosecutors and administrative staff, targets and assessment of the activity)?**

- Yes
- No

Comments - If yes, please describe the content of the report and its audience (i.e. to whom the report is intended):

**081-4. If yes, please specify in which form this report is released:**

- Internet
- Intranet (internal) website
- Paper distribution

Comments The Prosecutor General is obliged to report annually to the Parliament on the activities of the Prosecution Service.

**081-5. If yes, please, indicate the periodicity at which the report is released:**

- Annual
- Less frequent
- More frequent

Comments <http://ugyeszseg.hu/en/about-us/annual-parliamentary-reports/>

### 3.6.5 Courts administration

**082. Is there a process or structure of dialogue between the public prosecution services and courts regarding the way cases are presented before courts (for example the organisation, number and planning of hearings, on-call service for urgent cases, selection of simplified procedures of prosecution...)?**

- Yes
- No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

**082-1. Is there in general a process or structure of dialogue between lawyers and courts regarding the way cases are presented before courts in other than criminal matters (e.g. organisation, number and planning of hearings, on-call service for urgent cases)?**

- Yes
- No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

### 3.6.6 Performance and evaluation of judges and public prosecutors

**083. Are there quantitative performance targets defined for each judge (e.g. the number of resolved cases in a month or year)?**

- Yes
- No

Comments

**083-1. Who is responsible for setting the individual targets for each judge?**

- Executive power (for example the Ministry of Justice)
- Legislative power
- Judicial power (for example the High Judicial Council, Supreme Court)
- President of the court
- Other (please specify): .....
- NAP

Comments

**114. Is there a system of qualitative individual assessment of the judges' work?**

Yes

No

Comments

**114-1. If yes, please specify the frequency of this assessment:**

Annual

Less frequent

More frequent

=

**083-2. Are there quantitative performance targets defined for each public prosecutor (e.g. the number of decisions in a month or year)?**

Yes

No

Comments

**083-3. Who is responsible for setting the individual targets for each public prosecutor**

Executive power (for example the Ministry of Justice)

Prosecutor General /State public prosecutor

Public Prosecutorial Council

Head of the organisational unit or hierarchically superior public prosecutor

Other (please specify): .....

NAP

Comments

**120. Is there a system of qualitative individual assessment of the public prosecutors' work?**

Yes

No

Comments

**120-1. If yes, please specify the frequency of this assessment:**

Annual

Less frequent

More frequent

Comments

**C4. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part**

Sources: Prosecutors: Act CXLIV of 2011 on status of prosecutors  
Judges: National Office for the Judiciary

---

## 4.Fair trial

### 4.1.Principles

#### 4.1.1Principles of fair trial

**084. Percentage of first instance criminal in absentia judgments (cases in which the suspect is not attending the hearing in person nor is represented by a lawyer)?**

[            ]

[ ] NA

[ X ] NAP

Comments - Please add methodology for calculation used.

**085. Is there a procedure to effectively challenge a judge (recusal), if a party considers that the judge is not impartial?**

( X ) Yes

(   ) No

Comments - Please could you briefly specify:

**085-1. If yes, what is the ratio between the total number of initiated procedures and the total number of recusals pronounced (in the reference year):**

[            ]

[ X ] NA

Comments According to the procedural codes, if a party considers that a judge is not impartial he/she can file a motion for bias. The Hungarian procedural codes declare that a judge who is biased is excluded from the case.

The reasons for exclusion of the judge may be based on objective criteria (e.g. the judge is a relative of one of the parties) or based on the presumption that an impartial decision cannot be expected from the judge because of "any cause".

If the judge doesn't agree with his/her exclusion from the case, another panel of the same court decides in the question.

**086. Is there in your country a monitoring system for the violations related to Article 6 of the European Convention on Human Rights?**

[   ] For civil procedures (non-enforcement)

[   ] For civil procedures (timeframe)

[   ] For criminal procedures (timeframe)

[ X ] NAP

Comments - Please specify what are the terms and conditions of this monitoring system (information related to acknowledged violations by ECHR at the State/courts level; implementation of internal systems to prevent other violations (that are similar) and if possible to measure an evolution of the established violations):

**086-1. 1 Is there in your country a possibility to review a case after a finding of a violation of the European Convention on Human Rights by the European Court of Human Rights?**

( X ) Yes

No

NAP

Comments

## D1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: Q 86 and 86-1: Ministry of Justice

### 4.2. Timeframe of proceedings

#### 4.2.1 General information

##### 087. Are there specific procedures for urgent matters regarding:

civil cases

criminal cases

administrative cases

There is no specific procedure for urgent matters

Comments - If yes, please specify:

##### 088. Are there simplified procedures for:

civil cases (small disputes)

criminal cases (misdemeanour cases)

administrative cases

There is no simplified procedure

Comments - If yes, please specify:

##### 088-1. For these simplified procedures, may judges deliver an oral judgement with a written order and without the full reasoning of the judgement ?

civil cases

criminal cases

administrative cases

Comments - If yes, please specify:

##### 089. Do courts and lawyers have the possibility to conclude agreements on arrangements for processing cases (presentation of files, decisions on timeframes for lawyers to submit their conclusions and on dates of hearings)?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

## 4.2.2 Case flow management – first instance



### 091. First instance courts: number of other than criminal law cases.

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the first instance court
<b>Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)</b>	126 602 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	634 257 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	623 392 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	137 467 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>1. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases (including litigious enforcement cases and if possible without administrative law cases, see category 3)</b>	57 987 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	127 410 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	127 656 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	57 741 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)</b>	48 405 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	458 787 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	448 443 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	58 749 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2.1. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases, e.g. uncontested payment orders, request for a change of name, non-litigious enforcement cases etc. (if possible without administrative law cases, see category 3; without registry cases and other cases, see categories 2.2 and 2.3)</b>	17 714 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	165 017 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	163 630 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	19 101 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2.2. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)</b>	30 336 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	291 916 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	282 953 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	39 299 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2.2.1. Non litigious land registry cases</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
<b>2.2.2 Non-litigious business registry cases</b>	28 523 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	286 917 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	277 984 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	37 456 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2.2.3. Other registry cases</b>	1 813 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	4 999 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	4 969 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	1 843 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2.3. Other non-litigious cases</b>	355 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	1 854 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	1 860 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	349 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>3. Administrative law cases</b>	4 768 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	29 254 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	26 133 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	7 889 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>4. Other cases</b>	15 442 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	18 806 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	21 160 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	13 088 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP



Comments The pandemic situation had a huge effect on the case flow of the courts on every level of the court system. Special regulations were adopted by the legislator to promote videoconferencing and the courts were "closed between the 16th of March and the 31th of March (during this period no procedural events could be performed at the courts). Although the courts carried out their main activities, many cases were prolonged e.g. because the parties were not able to attend the hearings. Regarding administrative cases the re-organization of administrative jurisdiction also could have an effect on the case-flow.

**092. If courts deal with “civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases”, please indicate the case categories included:**

. 2.3. “other non-litigious cases” include court mediation and non-litigious labour cases.  
 Civil and commercial non-litigious cases include all of those cases that are not concluded through the rules of the civil procedure, but through a more or less simplified procedure:

- exclusion of a judge,
- preliminary verification,
- issuance of a restraining order and review of that,
- declaring sy legally dead,
- revision of the medical care of mentally disordered patients,
- deposit at the court
- hearing sy on the request of another court
- etc.

**093. Please indicate the case categories included in the category "other cases":**

. 4. Category "other cases" include: insolvency cases, labour cases

**094. First instance courts: number of criminal law cases.**

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the first instance court
<b>Total of criminal law cases (1+2+3)</b>	42 484 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	360 839 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	350 933 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	52 390 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>1. Severe criminal cases</b>	20 753 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	125 130 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	122 476 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	23 407 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2. Misdemeanour and / or minor criminal cases</b>	21 731 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	235 709 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	228 457 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	28 983 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>3. Other criminal cases</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP



### 4.2.3 Case flow management – second instance

#### 097. Second instance courts (appeal): Number of “other than criminal law” cases.

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the second instance court
<b>Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)</b>	8 643 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	33 297 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	35 117 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	6 823 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>1. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases (including litigious enforcement cases and if possible without administrative law cases, see category 3)</b>	3 741 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	9 084 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	9 726 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	3 099 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)</b>	3 925 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	21 130 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	21 784 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	3 271 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2.1. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases, e.g. uncontested payment orders, request for a change of name, non-litigious enforcement cases etc. (if possible without administrative law cases, see category 3; without registry cases and other cases, see categories 2.2 and 2.3)</b>	3 782 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	20 164 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	20 770 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	3 176 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2.2. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)</b>	110 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	643 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	684 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	69 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2.2.1. Non litigious land registry cases</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
<b>2.2.2 Non-litigious business registry cases</b>	94 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	551 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	594 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	51 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2.2.3. Other registry cases</b>	16 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	92 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	90 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	18 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2.3. Other non-litigious cases</b>	33 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	323 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	330 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	26 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>3. Administrative law cases</b>	418 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	887 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	1 291 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	14 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP

<b>4. Other cases</b>	559 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	2 196 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	2 316 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	439 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
-----------------------	--------------------------	----------------------------	----------------------------	--------------------------	---------------------

Comments - If "Other cases" please specify The pandemic situation had a huge effect on the case flow of the courts on every level of the court system. Special regulations were adopted by the legislator to promote videoconferencing and the courts were "closed between the 16th of March and the 31th of March (during this period no procedural events could be performed at the courts). Although the courts carried out their main activities, many cases were prolonged e.g. because the parties were not able to attend the hearings. Regarding administrative cases the re-organization of administrative jurisdiction also could have an effect on the case-flow.

#### 098. Second instance courts (appeal): Number of criminal law cases.

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the second instance court
<b>Total of criminal law cases (1+2+3)</b>	5 360 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	33 696 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	34 507 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	4 549 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>1. Severe criminal cases</b>	5 342 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	33 348 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	34 163 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	4 527 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2. Misdemeanour and / or minor criminal cases</b>	18 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	348 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	344 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	22 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>3. Other cases</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP

Comments - If you cannot make a distinction between misdemeanour criminal cases and severe criminal cases (according to the CEPEJ definitions), please indicate the categories of cases reported in the category "serious offences" and cases reported in the category "minor offences". If "Other cases", please specify.

#### 4.2.4 Case flow management – Supreme Court



#### 099. Highest instance courts (Supreme Court): Number of "other than criminal law" cases:

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the Supreme Court
<b>Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)</b>	2 620 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	5 553 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	6 533 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	1 640 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>1. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases (including litigious enforcement cases and if possible without administrative law cases, see category 3)</b>	1 508 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	1 718 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	2 265 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	961 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)</b>	87 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	413 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	446 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	54 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP

<b>2.1. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases, e.g. uncontested payment orders, request for a change of name, non-litigious enforcement cases etc. (if possible without administrative law cases, see category 3; without registry cases and other cases, see categories 2.2 and 2.3)</b>	63 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	372 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	391 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	44 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2.2. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)</b>	19 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	27 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	36 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	10 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2.2.1. Non litigious land registry cases</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
<b>2.2.2 Non-litigious business registry cases</b>	18 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	24 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	32 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	10 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2.2.3. Other registry cases</b>	1 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	3 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	4 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2.3. Other non-litigious cases</b>	5 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	14 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	19 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>3. Administrative law cases</b>	824 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	3 177 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	3 450 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	551 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>4. Other cases</b>	201 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	245 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	371 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	75 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments - If "Other cases", please specify The pandemic situation had a huge effect on the case flow of the courts on every level of the court system. Special regulations were adopted by the legislator to promote videoconferencing and the courts were "closed between the 16th of March and the 31th of March (during this period no procedural events could be performed at the courts). Although the courts carried out their main activities, many cases were prolonged e.g. because the parties were not able to attend the hearings. Regarding administrative cases the re-organization of administrative jurisdiction also could have an effect on the case-flow.

**099-1. At the level of the Highest court (Supreme Court), is there a procedure of manifest inadmissibility?**

( ) Yes, please indicate the number of cases closed by this procedure: .....

( X ) No

Comments

**100. Highest instance courts (Supreme Court): Number of criminal law cases.**

Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the Supreme Court

<b>Total of criminal law cases (1+2+3)</b>	325 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	1 414 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	1 474 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	265 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>1. Severe criminal cases</b>	325 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	1 414 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	1 474 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	265 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2. Misdemeanour and / or minor criminal cases</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
<b>3. Other criminal cases</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP

Comment - If you cannot make a distinction between misdemeanour criminal cases and severe criminal cases (according to the CEPEJ definitions), please specify what cases are reported in those categories.. If "Other criminal cases", please specify

#### 4.2.5 Case flow management and timeframes – specific cases

##### 101. Number of specific litigious cases received and processed by first instance courts.

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec ref. year	Pending for more than 2 years
<b>Litigious divorce cases</b>	10 579 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	25 579 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	25 663 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	10 495 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>Employment dismissal cases</b>	842 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	2 896 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	2 793 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	949 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>Insolvency</b>	32 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	63 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	93 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	2 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>Robbery case</b>	363 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	498 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	432 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	429 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>Intentional homicide</b>	105 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	166 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	129 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	142 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments The pandemic situation had a huge effect on the case flow of the courts on every level of the court system. Special regulations were adopted by the legislator to promote videoconferencing and the courts were "closed between the 16th of March and the 31th of March (during this period no procedural events could be performed at the courts). Although the courts carried out their main activities, many cases were prolonged e.g. because the parties were not able to attend the hearings. The increase of the number of incoming and resolved Employment dismissal cases is the result of technical changes and transfer of responsibilities from the Administrative and Labour Courts to the Regional Courts. In March 2020, the Administrative and Labour Courts were dismissed, and the pending cases were transferred to the Regional Courts, which deal with these cases on first instance since April 1, 2020. As a result, these cases were technically administered as "incoming" cases at the Regional Court and as "resolved" cases at the Administrative and Labour Courts.

=

##### 101-0. Number of procedures/cases relating to asylum seekers and to the right of entry and stay for aliens.

Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec ref. year	Pending for more than 2 years
-----------------------------------	----------------	----------------	-----------------------------------	-------------------------------

<b>Non-court procedures relating to asylum seekers (refugee status under the 1951 Geneva Convention)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Non-court procedures relating to the right of entry and stay for aliens</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Court cases relating to asylum seekers (refugee status under the 1951 Geneva Convention)</b>	55 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	309 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	330 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	34 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Court cases relating to the right of entry and stay for aliens</b>	143 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	1 201 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	1 164 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	180 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

**101-1. Could you briefly describe the system in your country dealing with legal remedies relating to asylum seekers (refugee status under the 1951 Geneva Convention) and the right of entry and stay for aliens:**

. Asylum seekers (refugee status under the 1951 Geneva Convention) – general judicial remedy against rejection resolution: the refugee authority (Bevándorlási és Menekültügyi Hivatal – BMH) shall grant refugee status to aliens to whom Article XIV (3) of the Fundamental Law applies. As EU member, Hungary shall grant subsidiary protection status to an alien who does not qualify as a refugee but in respect of whom substantial grounds exist for believing that the person concerned, if returning to his/her country of origin would face a real risk of suffering serious harm, and is unable, or, owing to such risk, unwilling to avail him/herself of the protection of that country.

The authority's rejection resolution can be appealed through legal remedy in form of judicial supervision (article XXVIII (7) of the Fundamental Law and Section 68 of Act LXXX of 2007 on Asylum (Met.)). The court shall provide a full and ex nunc examination of both the facts and the law and shall hear asylum seekers. The assessment of an application for recognition during the authority's examination shall be based on the individual position and personal circumstances of the applicant concerned. In court procedure the same requirement shall be secured. If the court finds any administrative decision unlawful, the latter shall be abolished - with the exception of any violation of a procedural rule that does not affect the merits of the case. If it is necessary, the court shall order the refugee authority to reopen the case. The petition for judicial review shall be decided by the court - within sixty days following receipt of the petition - in contentious proceedings. If the applicant is subjected to any restriction of personal liberty, the case is heard on a priority basis.

Asylum seekers – judicial remedies against other BMH decisions (not on the merits of the case): the preliminary examination procedure conducted by the BMH shall involve an inquiry on whether the conditions for the application of the Dublin Regulations are fulfilled, whether the application is inadmissible or whether the accelerated procedure should be used. The order on the transfer of an applicant may be subject to judicial review. Also, the decision on refusing the application on the grounds of inadmissibility may be subject to judicial review, if it was adopted in the accelerated procedure. The court may not overturn the decision of the refugee authority, but it may abolish any administrative decision it finds unlawful. In 2016 the Met. had special provisions for border procedures, airport procedures, for withdrawal of refugee status and subsidiary protection status, and for conditions for subsidiary protection and review of compliance with such conditions. Although the judicial remedies were the same as the above mentioned, the deadlines were shorter in some cases.

The right of entry and stay for aliens: the right of free movement and residence afforded in the Treaty establishing the European Community, and to ascertain equal treatment for the family members of Hungarian citizens who do not have Hungarian citizenship, are regulated in Act I of 2007 on the Admission and Residence of Persons with the Right of Free Movement and Residence (Szmtv.). The right of entry and stay of third country nationals (from countries within and outside the borders of the European Union) is

regulated in Act II of 2007 on the Admission and Right of Residence of Third-Country Nationals. Both statute based on harmonized community law, relevant regulations are implied by these two acts and lower level legislation.

Nearly all types of authority decisions are reviewable by court: all resolutions, and most of the decisions. The main difference between judicial procedures against such resolutions and the asylum cases is that the court review shall not provide for a full and ex nunc examination of both facts and points of law. The court doesn't act ex officio (except for very limited cases, e.g. when the circumstances cause invalidity), just on motions and reviews the authority's resolution in the frame set up by the petition. It is general that the court may not overturn the decision of the authority, but only abolish unlawful administrative decisions (with the exception of any violation of a procedural rule that does not affect the merits of the case). If it is necessary, the court shall order the authority to reopen the case. Most of the decisions not on the merit of the case are also appealable (the deadlines are usually limited).

### 101-2. Number of cases relating to child sexual abuse and child pornography received and processed by first instance courts.

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the first instance court
<b>Child sexual abuse</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>Child pornography</b>	26 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	34 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	46 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	14 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments - Please explain what are the legal definitions of these categories of offences in your system:

### 102. Percentage of decisions subject to appeal, average length of proceedings and percentage of cases pending for more than 3 years for all instances for specific litigious cases. The average length of proceedings has to be calculated from the date the application for judicial review is lodged to the date the judgment is made, without taking into account the investigation phase in criminal cases as well as enforcement procedure.

	% of decisions subject to appeal	Average length in 1st instance (in days)	Average length in 2nd instance (in days)	Average length in 3rd instance (in days)	Average total length of the total procedure (in days)	% of cases pending for more than 3 years for all instances
<b>Civil and commercial litigious cases</b>	_____ Max numeric value allowed : 100 [ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	_____ Max numeric value allowed : 100 [ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>Litigious divorce cases</b>	_____ Max numeric value allowed : 100 [ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	_____ Max numeric value allowed : 100 [ X ] NA [ ] NAP

<b>Employment dismissal cases</b>	<p>_____</p> <p>Max numeric value allowed : 100</p> <p>[ X ] NA [ ] NAP</p>	<p>[ X ] NA [ ] NAP</p>	<p>[ X ] NA [ ] NAP</p>	<p>[ X ] NA [ ] NAP</p>	<p>[ X ] NA [ ] NAP</p>	<p>_____</p> <p>Max numeric value allowed : 100</p> <p>[ X ] NA [ ] NAP</p>
<b>Insolvency cases</b>	<p>_____</p> <p>Max numeric value allowed : 100</p> <p>[ X ] NA [ ] NAP</p>	<p>[ X ] NA [ ] NAP</p>	<p>[ X ] NA [ ] NAP</p>	<p>[ X ] NA [ ] NAP</p>	<p>[ X ] NA [ ] NAP</p>	<p>_____</p> <p>Max numeric value allowed : 100</p> <p>[ X ] NA [ ] NAP</p>
<b>Robbery cases</b>	<p>_____</p> <p>Max numeric value allowed : 100</p> <p>[ X ] NA [ ] NAP</p>	<p>[ X ] NA [ ] NAP</p>	<p>[ X ] NA [ ] NAP</p>	<p>[ X ] NA [ ] NAP</p>	<p>[ X ] NA [ ] NAP</p>	<p>_____</p> <p>Max numeric value allowed : 100</p> <p>[ X ] NA [ ] NAP</p>
<b>Intentional homicide cases</b>	<p>_____</p> <p>Max numeric value allowed : 100</p> <p>[ X ] NA [ ] NAP</p>	<p>[ X ] NA [ ] NAP</p>	<p>[ X ] NA [ ] NAP</p>	<p>[ X ] NA [ ] NAP</p>	<p>[ X ] NA [ ] NAP</p>	<p>_____</p> <p>Max numeric value allowed : 100</p> <p>[ X ] NA [ ] NAP</p>

Comments

**103. Where appropriate, please indicate the specific procedure regarding divorce cases (litigious and non-litigious):**

. The provisions of civil procedural law shall apply to matrimonial proceedings subject to the exceptions set out in the Act CXXX of 2016 on the Code of Civil Procedure. Matrimonial proceedings shall cover actions for dissolution of a marriage or the annulment of a marriage, as well as actions for establishing the validity or the existence or non-existence of marriage. Special provisions for the divorce cases: If the plaintiff fails to appear in person at the first hearing, the case shall be dismissed. The court may attempt at any time during the proceedings to help the parties to reconcile their dispute. If during the first hearing in a divorce case the parties fail to settle their differences, the court shall postpone the hearing, the court shall set the date of the next hearing. If dissolution of the marriage was requested jointly, or the parties have no child of minor age, the court shall proceed to hear the case on the merits during the first session. It is an important deviation from the general rules, that the court may order the taking of evidence of its own motion where deemed necessary. If the marriage is dissolved, the court shall decide - if deemed necessary - concerning the placement and maintenance of the couple's minor children even in the absence of a claim filed therefor.

**104. How is the length of proceedings calculated for the six case categories of question 102? Please give a description of the calculation method.**

. The length of proceedings is calculated similarly for every type of cases. The starting day is the day when the case arrives at the court. If the decision is repealed and the case continues, the starting day will be still the original submission of the case to court.





## 4.2.6 Case flow management – public prosecution

### 105. Role and powers of the public prosecutor in the criminal procedure (multiple options possible):

- to conduct or supervise police investigation
- to conduct investigations
- when necessary, to request investigation measures from the judge
- to charge
- to present the case in court
- to propose a sentence to the judge
- to appeal
- to supervise the enforcement procedure
- to discontinue a case without needing a decision by a judge (ensure consistency with question 36!)
- to end the case by imposing or negotiating a penalty or measure without requiring a judicial decision
- other significant powers (please specify): .....

Comments

### 106. Does the public prosecutor also have a role in:

- civil cases
- administrative cases
- insolvency cases

Comments - If yes, please specify:

### 107. Public prosecutors: Total number of 1st instance criminal cases.

	Number of cases
<b>1.Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2.Incoming/received cases</b>	243 700 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>3.Processed cases (3.1+3.2+3.3+3.4)</b>	141 432 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>3.1.Discontinued during the reference year (3.1.1+3.1.2+3.1.3+3.1.4.)</b>	20 129 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>3.1.1 Discontinued by the public prosecutor because the offender could not be identified</b>	3 052 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>3.1.2 Discontinued by the public prosecutor due to the lack of an established offence or a specific legal situation</b>	8 048 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

<b>3.1.3 Discontinued by the public prosecutor for reasons of opportunity</b>	3 126 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>3.1.4 Discontinued for other reasons</b>	5 903 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>3.2. Concluded by a penalty or a measure imposed or negotiated by the public prosecutor</b>	4 354 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>3.3. Cases closed by the public prosecutor for other reasons</b>	4 808 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>3.4. Cases brought to court</b>	112 141 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>4. Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments 3.1.4 Discontinued for other reasons:

If the Special Part of the Penal Code regulates the conduct of the accused after the commencement of the proceedings as a ground for termination of criminal liability.

3.3. Cases closed by the public prosecutor for other reasons: At the stage of preparation of the prosecution, Section 221 / A (7) of the Criminal Procedure Act provides that if the mediation proceedings are successful and the application of Section 29 (1) of the Criminal Code is appropriate, the prosecutor shall terminate the proceedings.

### 107-1. If the guilty plea procedure exists, how many cases were concluded by this procedure?

	Total	Severe criminal cases	Misdemeanour and / or minor criminal cases
<b>Total number of guilty plea procedures</b>	9 675 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>Before the main trial</b>	8 455 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>During the main trial</b>	1 220 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments This procedure exists only from 1 July 2018, following the entry into force of the Act XC of 2017 on the new criminal procedure law. In the event that the prosecution can prove the guilt of the accused beyond a reasonable doubt and there is no opportunity to explain what happened in an acceptable manner, the accused will do his best to admit the act and avoid a lengthy trial.

### 109. Do the figures provided in Q107 include traffic offence cases?

( X ) Yes

( ) No

Comments

### D2. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: Q 107, 107-1,108: Statistic Department of the Prosecutor General's Office

## 5. Career of judges and public prosecutors

### 5.1. Recruitment and promotion

#### 5.1.1 Recruitment and promotion of judges

##### 110. How are judges recruited?

- mainly through a competitive exam (open competition)
- mainly through a recruitment procedure for experienced legal professionals (for example experienced lawyers)
- a combination of both (competitive exam and working experience)
- other (please specify): see comment

Comments

##### 111. Authority(ies) responsible for recruitment - are judges initially/at the beginning of their career recruited and nominated by:

- An authority made up of judges only
- An authority made up of non-judges only
- An authority/authorities made up of judges and non-judges
- Other

Comments - Please indicate the name of the authority(ies) involved in the whole procedure of recruitment and nomination of judges. If there are several authorities, please describe their respective roles:

##### 111-1. How many members compose this authority?

	Total	Male	Female
<b>Members</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments – Please specify what is the status of this authority and who is proposing its members?

##### 111-2. May non-selected candidates appeal against the decision on recruitment/appointment?

- Yes
- No

Comments – please specify which body is competent to decide on appeal?

##### 112. Is the same authority (Q111) competent for the promotion of judges?

- Yes
- No

Comments

##### 113. What is the procedure for the promotion of judges? (multiple answers possible)

- Competitive test / Exam

Other procedure (interview or other)

No special procedure

Comments - Please specify how the promotion procedure for judges is organised (especially if there is no competition or examination):

### **113-1. Please indicate the criteria used for the promotion of a judge? (multiple replies possible)**

Years of experience

Professional skills (and/or qualitative performance)

Performance (quantitative)

Subjective criteria (e.g. integrity, reputation)

Other

No criteria

Comments - Please specify any useful comment regarding the criteria (especially if you have checked the box “performance” or “other”):

## **5.1.2 Status, recruitment and promotion of prosecutors**

### **115. What is the status of public prosecution services?**

Has an independent status as a separate entity among state institutions

Is part of the executive power but enjoys functional independence (please briefly explain how and to what extent)

Is part of the executive power (without functional independence)

Is part of the judicial power but enjoys functional independence (please briefly explain how and to what extent)

Is part of the judicial power (without functional independence)

Is a mixed model (please explain)

Has other status (please explain)

Comments - When appropriate, please specify the objective guarantees of this independence (such as funding) and where they are enshrined (Constitution, legislation etc.). Furthermore, if “mixed model” or “other”, please specify.

### **115-1. Does the law or other regulation prevent specific instructions to prosecute or not, addressed to a public prosecutor?**

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

### **115-2. If you answered “Yes” to Q115-1, are there exceptions provided by the law/regulations?**

Yes

No

Comments - Please describe these exceptions: The Fundamental Law Act CLXIII of 2011 on the Prosecution Service (Prosecution Service Act) and the Act CLXIV of 2011 on the Status of the Prosecutor General, Prosecutors and other Prosecution Employees and the Prosecution Career (Prosecution Employment Status Act) laid down the new rules regarding the organization and employment relations of the Prosecution Service. Pursuant to these Acts, the Prosecutor General and the Prosecution Service perform their duties and responsibilities in accordance with the Fundamental Law, relevant Acts and laws. Prosecutors shall accept instructions only from a superior prosecutor and the Prosecutor General.

**115-3. If you answered “No” to Q115-1, which authority can issue the specific instructions?**

- General Prosecutor
- Higher prosecutor/Head of prosecution office
- Executive power
- Other

Comments - If “Other”, please specify: Prosecutors shall accept instructions only from a superior prosecutor and the Prosecutor General.

**115-4. What form these instructions may take?**

- Oral instruction
- Oral instruction with written confirmation
- Written instruction
- Other
- NAP

Comments - If “Other”, please specify:

**115-5. In that case, are the instructions:**

- Issued seeking prior advice from the competent public prosecutor
- Mandatory
- Reasoned
- Recorded in the case file
- Other
- NAP

Comments - If “Other”, please specify:

**115-6. What is the frequency of this type of instructions:**

- Exceptional
- Occasional
- Frequent
- Systematic
- NAP

Comments

**115-7. Can the public prosecutor oppose/report an instruction to an independent body?**

- Yes
- No
- NAP

Comments - If yes, please specify to which body/institution and please describe under which conditions. Prosecutors are subordinated to the Prosecutor General and they may be given order exclusively by him/her and by the superior prosecutor.

**116. How are public prosecutors recruited?**

- mainly through a competitive exam (open competition)

mainly through a recruitment procedure for experienced legal professionals (for example experienced lawyers)

a combination of both (competitive exam and working experience)

other (please specify): .....

Comments

**117. Authority(ies) responsible for recruitment - Are public prosecutors initially/at the beginning of their career recruited by:**

An authority composed of public prosecutors only

An authority composed of non-public prosecutors only

An authority composed of public prosecutors and non-public prosecutors

Other

Comments - Please indicate the name of the authority(ies) involved in the whole procedure of recruitment and nomination of public prosecutors. If there are several authorities, please describe their respective roles: Public prosecutors are initially recruited by the Prosecutor General of Hungary.

**117-1. How many members compose this authority?**

	Total	Male	Female
<b>Members</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - Please specify what is the status of this authority and who is proposing its members?

**117-2. May non-selected candidates appeal against the decision on recruitment/appointment?**

Yes

No

Comments - Please specify which body is competent to decide on appeal?

**118. Is the same authority (Q.117) formally responsible for the promotion of public prosecutors?**

Yes

No, please specify which authority is competent for promoting public prosecutors .....

Comments

**119. What is the procedure for the promotion of prosecutors? (multiple answers possible)**

Competitive test / exam

Other procedure (interview or other)

No special procedure

Comments - Please specify how the promotion procedure for prosecutors is organised (especially if there is no competition or examination): Public prosecutors are recruited and promoted by the Prosecutor General of Hungary. The latter consults the Council of Prosecutors ahead of the decision

**119-2. Please indicate the criteria used for the promotion of a prosecutor:**

Years of experience

Professional skills (and/or qualitative performance)

Performance (quantitative)

Subjective criteria (e.g. integrity, reputation)

Other

No criteria

Comments - Please, specify any useful comment regarding the criteria (especially if you have checked the box "performance" or "other"):

### **5.1.3Mandate and retirement of judges and prosecutors**

**121. Are judges appointed to office for an undetermined period (i.e. "for life" = until the official age of retirement)?**

Yes, please indicate the compulsory retirement age:65-70

No

Comments - If yes, are there exceptions (e.g. dismissal as a disciplinary sanction)? Please specify:

**121-1. Can a judge be transferred to another court without his/her consent:**

For disciplinary reasons

For organisational reasons

For other reasons (please specify modalities and safeguards): .....

No

Comments

**122. Is there a probation period for judges (e.g. before being appointed "for life")? If yes, how long is this period?**

Yes, duration of the probation period (in years):3

No

Comments

**123. Are public prosecutors appointed to office for an undetermined period (i.e. "for life" = until the official age of retirement)?**

Yes, please indicate the compulsory retirement age:65-70

No

Comments - If yes, are there exceptions (e.g. dismissal as a disciplinary sanction)? Please specify:

**124. Is there a probation period for public prosecutors? If yes, how long is this period?**

Yes, duration of the probation period (in years):3

No

Comments

**125. If the mandate for judges is not for an undetermined period (see question 121), what is the length of the mandate (in years)?**

[ ]

[ ] NA

[X] NAP

Comments

### 125-1. Is it renewable?

( ) Yes

( ) No

[X] NAP

Comments

### 126. If the mandate for public prosecutors is not for an undetermined period (see question 123), what is the length of the mandate (in years)?

[ ]

[ ] NA

[X] NAP

Comments

### 126-1. Is it renewable?

( ) Yes

( ) No

[X] NAP

Comments

## E1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: Judges: National Office for Judiciary

Prosecutors: General Prosecutor's Office

## 5.2. Training

### 5.2.1 Training of judges

#### 127. Types of different trainings offered to judges:

	Compulsory	Optional	No training proposed
Initial training (e.g. attend a judicial school, traineeship in a court)	(X) Yes ( ) No	(X) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes (X) No
General in-service training	(X) Yes ( ) No	(X) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes (X) No



<b>In-service training for specialised judicial functions (e.g. judge for economic or administrative issues)</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>In-service training for management functions of the court (e.g. court president)</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>In-service training for the use of computer facilities in courts</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>In-service training on ethics</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>In-service training on child-friendly justice</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No

Comments

### 128. Frequency of the in-service training of judges:

	Frequency of the judges training
<b>General in-service training</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
<b>In-service training for specialised judicial functions (e.g. judge for economic or administrative issues)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
<b>In-service training for management functions of the court (e.g. court president)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
<b>In-service training for the use of computer facilities in courts</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
<b>In-service training on ethics</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
<b>In-service training on child-friendly justice</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed

Comments - Please indicate any information on the periodicity of the continuous training of judges:

## 5.2.2 Training of prosecutors

### 129. Types of different trainings offered to public prosecutors:

	Compulsory	Optional	No training proposed
<b>Initial training</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>General in-service training</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>In-service training for specialised functions (e.g. public prosecutors specialised in organised crime)</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>In-service training for management functions (e.g. Head of prosecution office, manager)</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>In-service training for the use of computer facilities in office</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>In-service training on ethics</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>In-service training on child-friendly justice</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No

Comments Prosecution Service do not organize a separate course on ethics, the lecture on ethics is part of the compulsory basic training for trainees and deputy-prosecutors.

### 130. Frequency of the in-service training of public prosecutors :

	Frequency of the in-service training
<b>General in-service training</b>	[ X ] Regularly (for example every year) [ ] Occasional (as needed) [ ] No training proposed
<b>In-service training for specialised functions (e.g. public prosecutor specialised in organised crime)</b>	[ X ] Regularly (for example every year) [ ] Occasional (as needed) [ ] No training proposed
<b>In-service training for management functions (e.g. Head of prosecution office, manager)</b>	[ X ] Regularly (for example every year) [ ] Occasional (as needed) [ ] No training proposed
<b>In-service training for the use of computer facilities in office</b>	[ X ] Regularly (for example every year) [ ] Occasional (as needed) [ ] No training proposed
<b>In-service training on ethics</b>	[ X ] Regularly (for example every year) [ ] Occasional (as needed) [ ] No training proposed

<b>In-service training on child-friendly justice</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
--	--

Comments - Please indicate any information on the periodicity of the in-service training of prosecutors: Prosecution Service do not organize a separate course on ethics, the lecture on ethics is part of the compulsory basic training for trainees and deputy-prosecutors (In 2020, there was a deputy-prosecutorial course).

### 5.2.3 Training institutions

#### 131. Do you have public training institutions for judges and / or prosecutors?

	Initial training only	Continuous training only	Initial and continuous training
<b>Institution(s) for judges</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>Institution(s) for prosecutors</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>Institution(s) for both judges and prosecutors</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments

#### 131-0. If yes, what is the budget of such institution(s)?

	Budget of the institution(s) for the reference year, in €
<b>Institution(s) for judges</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Institution(s) for prosecutors</b>	442 657 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Institution(s) for both judges and prosecutors</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments At the Hungarian Prosecutor's Office Training Center, 442 657 EUR was the budget planned for 2020 for the planned large number of training sessions this year. However, due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the budget of the Hungarian Prosecutor's Office Training Center was executed in 2020 in 276020 EUR.

#### 131-1. If judges and/or prosecutors have no compulsory initial training in such institutions, please indicate briefly how these judges and/or prosecutors are trained?

. There are initial training for judges and prosecutors.

### 5.2.4 Number of trainings

#### 131-2. Number of in-service training courses available and delivered (in days) by the public

**institution(s) responsible for training**

	<b>Number of in-person training courses available</b>	<b>Number of delivered in-person training courses in days</b>	<b>Online training courses available during the reference year (e-learning)</b>
<b>Total</b>	82 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	123 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	157 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>1. For judges</b>	59 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	94 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	136 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2. For prosecutors</b>	2 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	5 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	12 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>3. For other non-judge staff</b>	65 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	92 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	99 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>4. For other non-prosecutor staff</b>	2 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	4 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	4 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>5. Training for other professionals</b>	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments – please specify if there are training courses of judges and/or prosecutors that include other professionals in the field of justice. There were 47 in person trainings and 94 online trainings that were common trainings for judges and judicial staff. In person training days for judges were 22, for judicial employees 20, and there were 72 training days for common trainings. In 2020, due to the pandemic, the number of delivered in-person training courses in days decreased while the number of online training courses increased.

**131-3. Number of participants of the training courses during the reference year**

	<b>Number of participants in in-person training courses</b>	<b>Number of participants in online training courses (e-learning)</b>
<b>Total</b>	2 746 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	5 706 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>Judges</b>	1 034 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	3 893 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>Prosecutors</b>	216 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	1 270 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>Non-judge staff</b>	1 268 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	227 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>Non-prosecutor staff</b>	228 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	316 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>Other professionals</b>	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments

## 5.3. Practice of the profession

### 5.3.1 Salaries and benefits of judges and prosecutors



#### 132. Salaries of judges and public prosecutors on 31 December of the reference year:

	Gross annual salary, in €	Net annual salary, in €	Gross annual salary, in local currency	Net annual salary, in local currency
First instance professional judge at the beginning of his/her career	21 856 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	15 534 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	7 887 948 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	5 245 485 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Judge of the Supreme Court or the Highest Appellate Court (please indicate the average salary of a judge at this level, and not the salary of the Court President)	57 542 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	38 266 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	20 767 082 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	13 810 110 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Public prosecutor at the beginning of his/her career	21 856 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	14 534 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	7 887 948 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	5 245 485 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Public prosecutor of the Supreme Court or the Highest Appellate Instance (please indicate the average salary of a public prosecutor at this level, and not the salary of the Attorney General).	45 961 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	30 564 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	16 587 310 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	11 030 561 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments At its December 2019 session, the National Assembly passed a law increasing the salaries of judges by 32 percent and that of prosecutors by 21 percent.

#### 133. Do judges and public prosecutors have additional benefits?

	Judges	Public prosecutors
Reduced taxation	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Special pension	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Housing	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Other financial benefit	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Comments

#### 134. If “other financial benefit”, please specify:

. Judges and prosecutors have additional benefits such as meal contribution, on-duty bonus, housing allowances, resettlement assistance, social and schooling aid, family support, scholarship, aid for training, contribution for life and pension, supplementary insurance.

=

### 135. Can judges combine their work with any of the following functions/activities?

	With remuneration	Without remuneration
Teaching	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No
Research and publication	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No
Arbitrator	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
Consultant	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
Cultural function	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No
Political function	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
Mediator	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No
Other function	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No

Comments - If rules exist in your country (e.g. authorisation needed to perform these activities), please specify. If "other function", please specify. Other activities that may be carried out by a judge with or without remuneration: artistic or sport activities.

### 137. Can public prosecutors combine their work with any of the following functions/activities?

	With remuneration	Without remuneration
Teaching	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No
Research and publication	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No
Arbitrator	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
Consultant	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
Cultural function	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No
Political function	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
Mediator	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
Other function	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No

Comments - If rules exist in your country (e.g. authorisation needed to perform these activities), please specify. If “other function”, please specify: Other activities that may be carried out by a public prosecutor with or without remuneration: artistic or sport activities.

**139. Productivity bonuses: do judges receive bonuses based on the fulfilment of quantitative objectives in relation to the number of resolved cases (e.g. number of cases resolved over a given period of time)?**

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify the conditions and if possible the amounts:

**5.3.2 Body/institution of ethics**

**138. Is there in your country an institution / body giving opinions on ethical questions of the conduct of judges (e.g. involvement in political life, use of social media by judges, etc.)**

Yes

No

Comments

**138-1. If yes, who are the members of this institution/body?**

Only judges

Judges and other legal professionals

Other, please specify: .....

Comments

**138-2. Are the opinions of this institution / body publicly available?**

Yes

No

NAP

Comments - Please describe the work of this institution / body, the frequency of opinions, etc. Judges may turn to the National Judicial Council if they have a general ethical question. As the National Judicial Council adopts the Ethical Code for judges, it may interpret its regulations on request.

**138-3. Is there in your country an institution / body giving opinions on ethical questions of the conduct of prosecutors (e.g. involvement in political life, use of social media by prosecutors, etc.)**

Yes

No

Comments The code of conduct of the Prosecution Service serves as a guide in our rapidly changing world. The detailed standards of ethical conduct are determined by a recommendation from the General Prosecutor.

**138-4. If yes, who are the members of this institution/body?**

Only prosecutors

Prosecutors and other legal professionals

Other, please specify: .....

**138-5. Are the opinions of this institution / body publicly available?**

Yes

No

NAP

Comments - Please describe the work of this institution / body, the frequency of opinions, etc.

**5.4. Disciplinary procedures**

**5.4.1 Authorities responsible for disciplinary procedures and sanctions**

**140. Who is authorised to initiate disciplinary proceedings against judges (multiple replies possible)?**

Court users

Relevant Court or hierarchical superior

High Court / Supreme Court

High Judicial Council

Disciplinary court

Disciplinary body (disciplinary prosecutor, investigator etc.)

Ombudsman

Parliament

Executive power (please specify): .....

Other (please specify): .....

This is not possible

Comments

**141. Who is authorised to initiate disciplinary proceedings against public prosecutors: (multiple replies possible):**

Citizens

Head of the organisational unit or hierarchical superior public prosecutor

Prosecutor General /State public prosecutor

Public prosecutorial Council (High Judicial Council)

Disciplinary court

Disciplinary body (disciplinary prosecutor, investigator etc.)

Ombudsman

Professional body

Executive power (please specify): .....

Other (please specify): .....

This is not possible



Comments Act CLXIV of 2011 on the Status of the Prosecutor General, Prosecutors, Other Prosecution Employees and the Prosecution Career of Hungary (hereinafter: Prosecutorial Employment and Career Act)The Prosecutorial Employment and Career Act contains rules about prosecutors' disciplinary liability, their liability for damages and the preconditions of paying non-pecuniary damages. These rules are also applicable when an ethical breach constitutes a disciplinary offence as well. An effective system of remedies is available when prosecutors are called to account: appeals can be lodged to the Prosecutor General of Hungary and lawsuits can be filed to the court in the form of an employment legal dispute.

**142. Which authority has disciplinary power over judges? (multiple replies possible)**

- Court
- Higher Court / Supreme Court
- High Judicial Council
- Disciplinary court or body
- Ombudsman
- Parliament
- Executive power (please specify): .....
- Other (please specify): .....

Comments

**143. Which authority has disciplinary power over public prosecutors? (multiple replies possible)**

- Supreme Court
- Head of the organisational unit or hierarchical superior
- Prosecutor General /State public prosecutor
- Public prosecutorial Council (High Judicial Council)
- Disciplinary court or body
- Ombudsman
- Professional body
- Executive power (please specify): .....
- Other (please specify): .....

Comments

**5.4.2 Number of disciplinary procedures and sanctions**

**144. Number of disciplinary proceedings initiated during the reference year against judges and public prosecutors. (If a disciplinary proceeding is undertaken because of several reasons, please count the proceedings only once and for the main reason.)**

	Judges	Prosecutors
<b>Total number (1+2+3+4)</b>	21 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	9 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

<b>1. Breach of professional ethics</b>	2 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	4 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2. Professional inadequacy</b>	13 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	2 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>3. Criminal offence</b>	5 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	3 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>4. Other</b>	1 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If "other", please specify: Prosecutors: In 3. A crime has been suspected and the cases are still under investigation.  
Judges: Other category includes a case when a judge carried out an activity for remuneration that (s)he was not allowed by the law.

### 145. Number of sanctions pronounced during the reference year against judges and public prosecutors:

	Judges	Prosecutors
<b>Total number (total 1 to 10)</b>	13 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	4 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>1. Reprimand</b>	5 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	2 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2. Suspension</b>	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>3. Withdrawal from cases</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>4. Fine</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>5. Temporary reduction of salary</b>	3 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>6. Position downgrade</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>7. Transfer to another geographical (court) location</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>8. Resignation</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>9. Other</b>	4 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>10. Dismissal</b>	1 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	2 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If “other”, please specify. If a significant difference exists between the number of disciplinary proceedings and the number of sanctions, please indicate the reasons. Prosecutors: In 1. and 10.: one case was initiated in 2019, ie it does not belong to the above 9 proceedings, but due to the issue it had to be included.

Of the 9 proceedings against prosecutors in 2020, three were discontinued, three, as criminal proceedings were also instituted in the case, were suspended, and in 2021 a written warning was applied in 2021 instead of a disciplinary sanction. The remaining two cases are the above-mentioned one-stop and one office-closed procedure.

In the case of prosecutors, no disciplinary proceedings were initiated in a further 11 minor disciplinary cases, and a written warning, which does not constitute a disciplinary sanction, was applied. The reason for the measure was the guilty breach of official duty in 9 cases, and the certification of an act violating or endangering the authority of his profession with his lifestyle and behavior in 2 cases.

Judges: Other category includes 4 cases in which the Service Tribunal finished the case without establishing any disciplinary liability of the judge.

### E3. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: Prosecutors: Department of Personnel

Judges: National Office for Judiciary

## 6.Lawyers

### 6.1.Profession of lawyer

#### 6.1.1Status of the profession of lawyers



#### 146. Total number of lawyers practising in your country:

	Total	Male	Female
Number of lawyers	12 965 [ ] NA	7 160 [ ] NA	5 805 [ ] NA

Comments A new act on the attorneys (Act LXXXVIII of 2017) entered into force on 1 January 2018.

[https://njt.hu/translated/doc/J2017T0078P\\_20180101\\_FIN.pdf](https://njt.hu/translated/doc/J2017T0078P_20180101_FIN.pdf)

#### 147. Does this figure include “legal advisors” who cannot represent their clients in court (for example, some solicitors or in-house counsellors)?

Yes ( )

No ( X )

Comments Legal advisors (jogtanácsos) facilitate the functioning of the organisation by which they are employed. They conduct legal representation within the organisation, provide legal advice and information; prepare applications, contracts and other documents; participate in organising legal work. As a general rule, legal advisors, in contrast to attorneys, discharge their duties (which are not as extensive as those of attorneys) as employees. Their compensation is based on the regulations concerning employment. Any person entered in the register maintained by the county court in Budapest can become a legal advisor. Besides, applicants must hold citizenship in one of the member States participating in the Agreement on the European Economic Area; have no criminal record; hold a university degree; have passed the Hungarian professional examination in law.

#### 148. Number of legal advisors who cannot represent their clients in court:

[ ]

[X] NA

[ ] NAP

Comments



=

### 149. Is legal representation in courts exclusively exercised by lawyers in: (multiple replies possible)

	First instance	Second instance	Highest instance court (Supreme Court)
<b>Civil cases</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Dismissal cases</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Criminal cases – Defendant</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Criminal cases – Victim</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Administrative cases</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - Please indicate any useful clarifications regarding the content of lawyers' exclusive rights: Types of lawyers in Hungary: In Hungary "attorneys" are those legal professionals who hold a degree in law and have passed the BAR exam and registered by and members of the local bar association. There is no distinction between Hungarian attorneys, such as solicitors and barristers in the UK, any Hungarian attorney can represent a client before the court. An attorney, however, may practise either as an individual attorney, or as a member of a law firm. In other words, he/she can't be an employee. Those lawyers who work as employees of a company are called "legal advisors" (i.e. in-house counsels). They have the right to represent their employers in any proceedings, the limitation is that they can only act on behalf of their employers and can't have any other clients. There is a special category of legal advisors (in-house counsels) who are members of the local bar association as "registered legal advisors".

Moreover, there are "employed attorneys" (whose employer is limited to a lawyer or law firm), European legal counsels (under the EU Directives as implemented by Hungarian legislation), and employed European legal counsels. All different categories described above are subordinated to the profession of a lawyer and legal advisor. Monopoly: Lawyers (attorneys), and to a certain extent registered and non-registered legal advisors are the only professionals who are entitled to represent an individual and/or legal entity in legal matters. So, from this point of view there is a monopoly, since no one else is allowed to fulfil the role of legal representative as a professional, for consideration. There is no general requirement to have legal representation in court or administrative cases, this is only mandatory in certain proceedings, so from this point of view there is no monopoly (please see the detailed list of the cases below, where legal representation is mandatory). Attorneys have a monopoly of representation of defendants in criminal cases during the whole length of criminal proceedings. Victims of criminal cases may also be represented by their family members. In administrative proceedings, legal representation is not mandatory, and in general any person can represent a party who has the mandate to do so (but the limitation still

applies that professionally only an attorney can represent parties in these proceedings too). The Code of Criminal Procedure (Act XC of 2017) prescribes the presence of a defence attorney in the following cases:

- \* a criminal offence for which the law prescribes five or more years of imprisonment,
- \* the accused is subject to a coercive measure affecting a person's personal freedom, or in another matter is subject to arrest, preliminary involuntary treatment in a mental institution, or is placed under imprisonment, custodial arrest or educational training;
- \* the accused is deaf, mute, blind or – regardless of his/her legal capacity – mentally incompetent,
- \* the accused does not know the Hungarian language or the language of the proceedings,
- \* the accused is not able to personally defend himself/herself for other reasons,
- \* the court, the public prosecutor's office or the investigating authority assigned a defence attorney upon the request of the defendant or due to considering it necessary for other reasons,
- \* it is especially prescribed by law (e.g. in case of an accused minor).

According to the Act CXXX of 2016 on the Code of Civil Procedure, in the case of civil cases, there is compulsory legal representation in all types of cases with the exception of lawsuits where the District Courts are competent including the appeals and extraordinary revisions in such cases.

It is noteworthy that with respect to second instance and Supreme Court, only lawyers can represent a client.

#### 149-0. If other than lawyers may represent a client in court, please specify who:

	First instance	Second instance	Highest instance court (Supreme Court)
<b>Civil society organisation</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>Family member</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>Self-representation</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>Trade union</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>Other</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No

Comments - If "other", please specify. In addition, for the categories selected please specify the types of cases concerned by this/these representation(s): The client may give authorization to the following persons other than lawyers and law firms to represent the client in cases where legal representation is not compulsory:

- registered legal counsels in cases defined by the Act on Legal Practice;
- the client's family member;
- the client's co-litigant, and the representative of the client's co-litigant;
- the employees of economic operators, and/or other entities related to the employer's activities;
- the employees of administrative bodies, and/or other budgetary organizations related to the activities of such administrative bodies, budgetary organizations;
- the employees of municipal government bodies in matters in which a municipal government or the powers and responsibilities of its bodies are involved; and
- any person authorized by law.

It is noteworthy that with respect to second instance and Supreme Court, only lawyers can represent a client.

#### 149-1. In addition to the functions of legal representation and legal advice, can a lawyer exercise other activities?

- [ ] Notarial activity
- [ X ] Arbitration / mediation
- [ X ] Proxy / representation

Property manager

Real estate agent

Other law activities (please specify): .....

Comments

### **149-2. What are the statuses for exercising the profession of lawyer?**

Self-employed lawyer

Staff lawyer

In-house lawyer

Comments

### **150. Is the lawyer profession organised through:**

a national bar association

a regional bar association

a local bar association

Comments

### **151. Is there a specific initial training and/or exam to enter the profession of lawyer?**

Yes

No

Comments - Please indicate if there are other specific requirements as regards diplomas or university degrees:

### **152. Is there a mandatory general in-service professional training system for lawyers?**

Yes

No

Comments

### **153. Is the specialisation in some legal fields linked to specific training, levels of qualification, specific diploma or specific authorisations?**

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

### **F1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part**

Sources: Database of the Hungarian Bar Association (Magyar Ügyvédi Kamara). This figure excludes those who are currently suspending their attorney practice and the so-called trainee lawyers (a trainee lawyer in Hungary is a person who has graduated from law school, works for a law firm but has not passed the BAR exam yet). The answers to these questions also excludes the European community lawyers and the foreign legal advisors working in Hungary, however the number of such lawyers is insignificant. Q147 and 148 Legal advisors (jogtanácsos): The fundamental task of legal advisors is to facilitate the operation of the organisation by which they are employed. Legal advisors conduct legal representation within the organisation employing them, provide legal advice and information; prepare applications, contracts and other documents; and participate in organising legal work. As a general rule, legal advisors – in contrast to attorneys – discharge their duties (which are not as extensive as those of attorneys) as employees. Legal

advisors compensation is based on the regulations concerning employment. Any person entered in the register maintained by the general court – in Budapest (that is, the Metropolitan Court of Budapest) (Fvárosi Törvénytörvény) – can become a legal advisor. Applicants must: - Hold citizenship in one of the member states participating in the Agreement on the European Economic Area (az Európai Gazdasági Térségről szóló megállapodás); - Have no criminal record; Hold a university degree; - Have passed the Hungarian professional examination in law; and - Be entered in the register.

## 6.1.2 Practicing the profession

**154. Can court users establish easily what the lawyers' fees will be (i.e. a prior information on the foreseeable amount of fees)?**

Yes

No

Comments

**155. Are lawyers' fees freely negotiated?**

Yes

No

Comments

**156. Do laws or bar standards provide any rules on lawyers' fees (including those freely negotiated)?**

Yes, laws provide rules

Yes, standards of the bar association provide rules

No, neither laws nor bar association standards provide rules

Comments

## 6.1.3 Quality standards and disciplinary procedures

**157. Have quality standards been determined for lawyers?**

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, what are the quality criteria used?

**158. If yes, who is responsible for formulating these quality standards:**

the bar association

the Parliament

other (please specify): .....

Comments

**159. Is it possible to file a complaint about:**

the performance of lawyers

the amount of fees

Comments - Please specify:

### 160. Which authority is responsible for disciplinary procedures?

a judge

Ministry of Justice

a professional authority

other (please specify): .....

Comments

### 161. Disciplinary proceedings initiated against lawyers. (If a disciplinary proceeding is undertaken because of several reasons, please count the proceedings only once and for the main reason.)

	Number of disciplinary proceedings
<b>Total number of disciplinary proceedings initiated (1 + 2 + 3 + 4)</b>	255 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>1. Breach of professional ethics</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2. Professional inadequacy</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>3. Criminal offence</b>	12 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>4. Other</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If "other", please specify:

### 162. Sanctions pronounced against lawyers.

	Number of sanctions
<b>Total number of sanctions (1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>1. Reprimand</b>	58 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2. Suspension</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>3. Withdrawal from cases</b>	26 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP



4. Fine	92 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
5. Other	60 [ ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments - If "other", please specify. If a significant difference between the number of disciplinary proceedings and the number of sanctions exists, please indicate the reasons.

## 7. Court related mediation and other alternative Dispute Resolution

### 7.1. Court related mediation

#### 7.1.1 Details on court related mediation

#### 163. Does the judicial system provide for court-related mediation procedures?

Yes

No

Comments

#### 163-1. In some fields, does the judicial system provide for mandatory mediation with a mediator?

Before/instead of going to court

Ordered by the court, the judge, the public prosecutor or a public authority in the course of a judicial proceeding

No mandatory mediation

Comments - If there is mandatory mediation, please specify which fields are concerned:

#### 163-2. In some fields, does the legal system provide for mandatory informative sessions with a mediator?

Yes

No

Comments - If there are mandatory informative sessions, please specify which fields are concerned:

#### 164. Please specify, by type of cases, who provides court-related mediation services:

	Private mediator	Public authority (other than the court)	Judge	Public prosecutor
Civil and commercial cases	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No [ ] NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No [ ] NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No [ ] NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No [ ] NAP
Family cases	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No [ ] NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No [ ] NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No [ ] NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No [ ] NAP
Administrative cases	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No [ ] NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No [ ] NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No [ ] NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No [ ] NAP

<b>Labour cases including employment dismissals</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No [ ] NAP	( X ) Yes ( ) No [ ] NAP	( X ) Yes ( ) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes ( X ) No [ ] NAP
<b>Criminal cases</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No [ ] NAP	( X ) Yes ( ) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes ( X ) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes ( X ) No [ ] NAP
<b>Consumer cases</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes ( X ) No [ ] NAP	( X ) Yes ( ) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes ( X ) No [ ] NAP

Comments

**165. Is there a possibility to receive legal aid for court-related mediation or receive these services free of charge?**

( X ) Yes

( ) No

[ ] NAP

Comments - If yes, please specify (only one or both options)::

=

**166. Number of accredited or registered mediators for court-related mediation:**

	<b>Total</b>	<b>Males</b>	<b>Females</b>
<b>Number of mediators</b>	141 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	15 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	126 [ ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments

**167. Number of court-related mediations:**

	<b>Number of cases for which the parties agreed to start mediation</b>	<b>Number of finished court-related mediations</b>	<b>Number of cases in which there is a settlement agreement</b>
<b>Total ( 1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5 + 6)</b>	899 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	873 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	248 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>1. Civil and commercial cases</b>	141 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	123 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	16 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2. Family cases</b>	725 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	718 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	223 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>3. Administrative cases</b>	4 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	3 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	1 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>4. Labour cases including employment dismissal cases</b>	29 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	29 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	8 [ ] NA [ ] NAP

<b>5. Criminal cases</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>6. Consumer cases</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - Please indicate the source: Consumer cases are included in category 1 "civil and commercial cases".

=

### 168. Do the following alternative dispute resolution (ADR) methods exist in your country?

- Mediation other than court-related mediation
- Arbitration
- Conciliation (if different from mediation)
- Other ADR (please specify): .....

Comments

### G1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Source: National Office for Judiciary

## 8.Enforcement of court decisions

### 8.1.Execution of decisions in civil matters

#### 8.1.1 Number of enforcement agents, status and mandate

### 169. Number and type of enforcement agents in your country.

	Total	Male	Female
<b>Total (1+2+3+4)</b>	227 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>1. Private professionals under the authority (control) of public authorities</b>	227 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2. Enforcement agents working in a public institution (civil servants paid by state)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>3. Judges</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>4. Other</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If other, please specify their status and competences: The Hungarian Judicial Enforcement Chamber cannot provide data

regarding males and females.

**170. What are the requirements to access the profession of enforcement agent (multiple replies possible)?**

- diploma
- professional experience
- specific exam
- appointment procedure by the State
- initial training
- other

Comments - If "other", please specify:

**171. Are enforcement agents appointed to office for an undetermined period (i.e. "for life" = until the official age of retirement)?**

- Yes, please indicate the age of retirement: 65
- No, please specify the duration of the appointment: .....

Comments - If yes, are there exceptions (e.g. dismissal as a disciplinary sanction)? Please specify:

**8.1.2 Activities/scope of competence**

**171-1. Which debtor's information can the enforcement agent access at the beginning of the enforcement procedure?**

	Access to information	Direct electronic access to information
<b>Address</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Date of birth</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Civil status</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Cohabitant</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Employer</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Motor vehicle</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Movable property</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Immovable property</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Bank account</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

<b>Other enforcement proceedings underway</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Insolvency proceedings (bankruptcy, judicial reorganisation, collective debt settlement etc.)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Other</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Comments - If "other", please specify:

**171-2. Can the enforcement agent carry out the following civil enforcement proceedings:**

	<b>Option</b>
<b>Seizure of movable tangible properties</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Preventive seizure of movable tangible properties</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Seizure of immovable properties</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Preventive seizure of immovable properties</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Seizure from a third party of the debtor claims regarding a sum of money</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Seizure of remunerations</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

<b>Seizure of motorised vehicles</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Eviction measures</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Seizures of boats and ships</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Seizure of aircrafts</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Seizure of electronic assets (e.g cryptocurrency)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Enforced sale by public tender of seized properties</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Sale of shares</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Other</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

**171-3. Apart from the enforcement of court decisions, what are the other activities that can be**

### **carried out by enforcement agents?**

- Service of judicial and extrajudicial documents
- Debt recovery
- Voluntary or public auctions of moveable or immovable property
- Custody of goods
- Recording and reporting of evidence
- Court hearings service
- Provision of legal advice
- Bankruptcy procedures
- Performing tasks assigned by judges
- Representing parties in courts
- Drawing up private deeds and documents
- Building manager
- Other

Comments

### **8.1.3 Training and ICT**

#### **172-1. Is there a system of mandatory general continuous training for enforcement agents?**

- Yes
- No

Comments

#### **172-2. Do you have an e-learning training system established for enforcement agents?**

- Yes
- No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

#### **172-3. Does the content of the continuous training system also include ICT (related to enforcement procedures)?**

- Yes
- No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

#### **172-4. Have an electronic service of documents or electronic notifications been introduced in your country?**

- Yes
- No

Comments

**172-5. Does the development of new technologies have an effect on the different stages of the enforcement procedure?**

Yes

No

Comments - Please explain:

### 8.1.4 Fees

**174. Are enforcement fees easily established and transparent for parties?**

Yes

No

Comments

**175-1. Are the fees charged in case of successful enforcement proceedings freely negotiated?**

Yes

No

Comments

**175-2. Who has to pay these fees if the enforcement proceedings are successful?**

The debtor

The creditor

Other – please specify .....

Comments

**176. Do laws provide any rules on enforcement fees (including those freely negotiated)?**

Yes

No

Comments Fees are set by new Regulation 35/2015 (XI.10.) IM on determining judicial officers' fees.

**H0. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part**

Source: National Order of Judicial Officers of Hungary

### 8.1.5 Organisation of profession and efficiency of enforcement services

**177. Is there a body entrusted with supervising and monitoring the enforcement agents' activity?**

Yes

No

Comments



**178. Which authority is responsible for supervising and monitoring enforcement agents?**

- professional body
- judge
- Ministry of Justice
- public prosecutor
- other (please specify): .....

Comments

**181. Is there a specific mechanism for executing court decisions rendered against public authorities, including supervising such execution?**

- Yes
- No

Comments - If yes, please specify: Order of Minister of Justice on bailiff fees [No. 35/2015. (XI.10.)]

**182. Is there a system for monitoring how the enforcement procedure is conducted by the enforcement agent?**

- Yes
- No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

**183. What are the main complaints made by users concerning the enforcement procedure? Please indicate a maximum of 3.**

- no execution at all
- non execution of court decisions against public authorities
- lack of information
- excessive length
- unlawful practices
- insufficient supervision
- excessive cost
- unethical behaviour of enforcement agent
- other (please specify): .....

Comments

**185. Is there a system measuring the length of enforcement procedures:**

	Existence of the system
for civil cases	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
for administrative cases	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

**186. Regarding a decision on debt collection, please estimate the average timeframe to serve and/or notify the decision to the parties who live in the city where the court sits (one option only):**

- between 1 and 5 days
- between 6 and 10 days
- between 11 and 30 days
- more (please specify): .....
- NA

Comments

**187. Number of disciplinary proceedings initiated against enforcement agents. (If a disciplinary proceeding is undertaken because of several reasons, please count the proceedings only once and for the main reason.)**

	Number of disciplinary proceedings initiated
<b>Total number of initiated disciplinary proceedings (1+2+3+4)</b>	9 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>1. For breach of professional ethics</b>	7 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2. For professional inadequacy</b>	1 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>3. For criminal offence</b>	1 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>4. Other</b>	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments - If "other", please specify:

**188. Number of sanctions pronounced against enforcement agents:**

	Number of sanctions pronounced
<b>Total number of sanctions (1+2+3+4+5)</b>	3 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>1. Reprimand</b>	1 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2. Suspension</b>	1 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>3. Withdrawal from cases</b>	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP

4. Fine	1 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
5. Other	0 [ ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments - If "other", please specify. If a significant difference between the number of disciplinary proceedings and the number of sanctions exists, please indicate the reasons:

**H1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part**

Source: Register kept by the National Order Of Judicial Officers of Hungary

**8.2.Execution of decisions in criminal matters**

**8.2.1Functioning of execution in criminal matters**

**189. Which authority is in charge of the enforcement of judgments in criminal matters? (multiple replies possible)**

- Judge
- Public prosecutor
- Prison and Probation Services
- Enforcement agent
- Other authority (please specify): .....

Comments - Please specify his/her functions and duties (e.g. initiative or monitoring functions).

**190. Are the effective recovery rates of fines decided by a criminal court evaluated by studies?**

- Yes
- No

Comments

**191. If yes, what is the recovery rate?**

- 80-100%
- 50-79%
- less than 50%

Comments - Please indicate the source for answering this question:

**9.Notaries**

**9.1.Profession of notary**

**9.1.1Number, status and mandate of notaries**

**192. Number and status of notaries in your country.**

	Total	Male	Female
<b>TOTAL (1+2+3+4)</b>	313 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>1. Private professionals (without control from public authorities)</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
<b>2. Holders of public offices appointed by the State</b>	313 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>3. Civil servants (paid by the State)</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
<b>4. Other</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP

Comments - If "Other", please specify the status, or if "holder of a public office appointed by the State", please indicate which ministry is mainly engaged in the appointment procedure: Act XLI of 1991 on Civil Law Notaries

**192-1. What are the access conditions to the profession of notary (multiple replies possible):**

diploma

professional experience

specific exam

appointment procedure by the State

initial training

other (please specify): citizenship of an EU/EEA Member State, clean criminal record, aptitude test; Act XLI of 1991 on Civil Law Notaries

Comments

**192-2. Are notaries appointed to office for an undetermined period (i.e. "for life" = until the official age of retirement)?**

yes, please indicate the age of retirement: 67,5

no, please specify the duration of the appointment: .....

Comments - are there exceptions (e.g. dismissal as a disciplinary sanction)? Please specify:

**9.1.2 Activities/scope of competences**

**194. What kind of activities do notaries perform (multiple options possible):**

Please select one option
--------------------------

<b>Authentication</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Certification of signatures</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Legalisation of signatures / Apostille</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Legality control of documents</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Mediation</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Taking of oaths</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Non-contentious judicial procedures (e.g. acting as court commissioner in a successions file, performing divorce, division of estate, please specify)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Act as civil servant (for example performing marriage, please specify)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

<b>Other judicial functions (for example, payment orders)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Public auctions</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Other (for example collect taxes, run registers etc.)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If "other", please specify. Please indicate any useful clarifications regarding the content of the notaries' exclusive rights or, on the opposite, other bodies that also have competences for the listed activities.

### 194-2. In which areas of law do notaries perform their activities (multiple options possible)?

- Real estate transaction
- Family law
- Succession law
- Company law
- Legality control of gambling activities
- Protection of vulnerable persons
- Other

Comments

### 9.1.3 ICT, organisation of the profession and training

#### 194-3. Do notaries use specialised ICT systems in their activity?

- In their relations with the State (e.g. courts, registries, chambers of commerce, tax authorities)
- In their relations with their clients
- In their relations with other notaries (e.g. videoconferencing, system to exchange documents)

Comments

#### 194-4. Which computerised registries can notaries consult?

- Land registry
- Business registry
- Civil status / Population registry
- Succession / Family law registry

Any other registry (please specify) .....

None

Comments

**194-5. Are there registries/ registry infrastructures run by the notaries?**

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

**194-6. In which computerised registries can notaries modify data (either directly or by submitting an online request)?**

	Directly modifying	Indirectly modifying by submitting an online request
<b>Land registry</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Business registry</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Civil status/ Population registry</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Succession / Family law registry</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Any other registry (please specify)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>None</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments Any other registry (please specify): registries / registry infrastructures run by the notaries

**194-7. What ICT tools are used by notaries in their relations with clients?**

Videoconferencing (e.g. digital advice)

Digital act

Digital identification

Digital archiving

Other, please specify .....

None

Comments

**194-8. Who is responsible to run the digital archives?**

Notariat / Professional body

Other public authority

Another entity (please specify) .....

Comments

**195. Is there an authority entrusted with supervising and monitoring the notaries' work?**

Yes

No

Comments

**196. If yes, which authority is responsible for supervising and monitoring notaries (multiple options possible)?**

professional body

court

Ministry of Justice

public prosecutor

other (please specify): .....

Comments

**196-1. Is there a system of general continuous training for all notaries?**

Yes

No

Comments

**196-2. Do notaries have training on:**

	Yes	No
European law	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Law of another Member State (cross-border training programmes)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments - If yes, please indicate the types (e.g. traditional courses, e-learning, webinar) and the major topics of the training activities:

**I1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part**

Sources: Act XLI of 1991 on Civil Law Notaries, Decree Nr. 15/1991. (XI. 26.) of the Minister of Justice, Act XXXVIII of 2010 on Probate Proceedings, Act L of 2009 on the Order for Payment Procedure, Act XLV of 2008 on particular non-contentious notarial procedures,  
Hungarian Chamber of Civil Law Notaries: [https://www.mokk.hu/ugyfeleknek/index\\_en.php](https://www.mokk.hu/ugyfeleknek/index_en.php)



## 10. Court interpreters

### 10.1. Details on profession of court interpreter

#### 10.1.1 Status of court interpreters

##### 197. Is the title of court interpreters protected?

Yes

No

Comments

##### 198. Is the function of court interpreters regulated by legal norms?

Yes

No

Comments

##### 199. Number of registered court interpreters:

[            ]

NA

NAP

Comments

##### 200. Are there binding provisions regarding the quality of court interpretation within judicial proceedings?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify (e.g. having passed a specific exam):

##### 201. Are the courts responsible for selecting court interpreters?

Yes, for recruitment and/or appointment for a specific term of office

Yes, for recruitment and/or appointment on an ad hoc basis, according to the specific needs of given proceedings

No, please specify which authority selects court interpreters .....

Comments

## J1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: National Office for the Judiciary

---

## 11. Judicial experts

## 11.1. Profession of judicial expert

### 11.1.1 Status of judicial experts



#### **202. In your system, what types of judicial experts can participate in judicial procedures (multiple replies possible):**

- Experts designated by the parties in support of their arguments but bound by a duty of independence and impartiality to the court
- Experts appointed by the court or other authority independent of the parties
- Other system of judicial expertise, please specify .....

Comments - Please specify who is proposing and appointing experts in an individual case. Both are possible.

#### **202-1. Are there lists or any other form of official registration for judicial experts?**

- Yes
- No

Comments

##### **202-1-1. If yes, at which level is the list established (multiple replies possible):**

- national
- administrative district or federal entity
- judicial district
- other

Comments - Please, indicate any other comment regarding these lists or databases of experts, if they do exist (e.g. does the expert take an oath? How are his/her skills evaluated? By whom?): The Ministry of Justice evaluates and registers the judicial experts. The registration depends on legal prerequisites (e.g. diploma, practice and candidate period), but whoever meets these criteria shall be entered into the national register of judicial experts. The expert must take an oath.

##### **202-1-2. Are these lists publicly available?**

- Yes, available on the internet
- Yes
- No

Comments Available on the internet: <https://inyr.im.gov.hu>

#### **202-2. Which authority is competent for the registration of judicial experts?**

- Ministry of justice
- Courts
- Administrative body
- Independent body (association of judicial experts)
- Other

Comments - Please also specify the registration criteria:

#### **202-3. Is the registration of judicial experts limited in time?**

- Yes, for how long .....

No

Comments

**202-4. Can an expert who is not on the list or not registered be appointed in a case?**

Yes

No

Comment - If yes, please specify in which cases:

**203. Is the title of judicial experts protected?**

Yes

No

Comments - If appropriate, please explain the meaning of this protection:

**203-1. Does the judicial expert have an obligation of training?**

	Obligation of training
<b>Initial training</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Continuous training</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Comments

**203-2. If yes, does this training concern:**

judicial proceedings

the profession of expert

other

Comments

**204. Is the function of judicial experts regulated by legal norms?**

Yes

No

Comments

**204-1. On the occasion of a task entrusted to him/her, does the judicial expert have to report any potential conflicts of interest?**

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

**205. Number of accredited or registered judicial experts:**

Total	Male	Female

Number of experts	2 889 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
-------------------	--	--	--

Comments There are no comment for decrease of expert's numbers.

## 206-1. Number of cases where expert opinion was ordered by a judge or requested by the parties

	Number of cases
<b>Total (1+2+3+4)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>1.Civil and commercial litigious cases</b>	15 078 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2.Administrative cases</b>	89 686 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>3.Criminal cases</b>	7 912 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>4.Other cases</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

## 205-1. Who defines the amount of the expert remuneration?

	In civil/administrative cases	In criminal cases
<b>Defined by law/by-law or a special regulation</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Defined by the court/judge</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Defined by Ministry of Justice or another ministry (setting a tariff for example)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Salary of public official (in case of forensic or another specialist – who is public employee)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Freely agreed between expert and the parties</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Other</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If other, please specify:

## 206. Are there binding provisions for judicial experts regarding:

	Yes	No
Deadlines to provide expertise	( X )	( )
Quality of expertise	( X )	( )
Other	( )	( X )

NAP

Comments - If yes, please specify, and provide details in case there are possible sanctions:

### 207-1. Does the judge or another body control the progress of the expertise?

Yes

No

If yes, please specify:

### 207-2. Are judicial experts' associations involved in:

Selection processes

Initial or continuous training

Disciplinary procedures

NAP

Comments

### K1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: Hungarian Chamber of Judicial Experts (Magyar Igazságügyi Szakérti Kamara)

## 12.Reforms in judiciary

### 12.1.Foreseen reforms

#### 12.1.1Reforms

208. Can you provide information on the current debate in your country regarding the functioning of justice? Are there undergoing or foreseen reforms? If possible, please observe the following categories:

#### 208-1. (Comprehensive) reform plans

Yes (planned)

Yes (adopted)

Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)

No

NA

Comments - If yes, please specify:

## **208-2. Budget**

Yes (planned)

Yes (adopted)

Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)

No

NA

Comments - If yes, please specify:

## **208-3. Courts and public prosecution services (e.g. powers and organisation, structural changes - e.g. reduction of the number of courts (geographic locations), competences of the courts, management and working methods, information technologies, backlogs and efficiency, court fees, renovations and construction of new buildings)**

Yes (planned)

Yes (adopted)

Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)

No

NA

Comments - If yes, please specify:

## **208-4. Access to justice and legal aid**

Yes (planned)

Yes (adopted)

Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)

No

NA

Comments - If yes, please specify: Creating the conditions for online courts as soon as possible

## **208-5. High Judicial Council**

Yes (planned)

Yes (adopted)

Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)

No

NA

Comments - If yes, please specify:

## **208-6. Legal professionals (judges, public prosecutors, lawyers, notaries, enforcement agents, etc.): organisation, education and training, etc.**

- Yes (planned)
- Yes (adopted)
- Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)
- No
- NA

Comments - If yes, please specify:

### **208-7. Gender balance**

- Yes (planned)
- Yes (adopted)
- Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)
- No
- NA

Comments - If yes, please specify:

### **208-8. Reforms regarding civil, criminal and administrative laws, international conventions and cooperation activities**

- Yes (planned)
- Yes (adopted)
- Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)
- No
- NA

Comments - If yes, please specify:

### **208-9. Enforcement of court decisions and in particular regarding decisions against public authorities**

- Yes (planned)
- Yes (adopted)
- Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)
- No
- NA

Comments - If yes, please specify:

### **208-10. Mediation and other Alternative Dispute Resolution**

- Yes (planned)
- Yes (adopted)
- Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)
- No
- NA

Comments - If yes, please specify:

## 208-11. Fight against crime

- Yes (planned)
- Yes (adopted)
- Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)
- No
- NA

Comments - If yes, please specify:

## 208-12. Prison system

- Yes (planned)
- Yes (adopted)
- Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)
- No
- NA

Comments - If yes, please specify:

## 208-13. Child friendly justice

- Yes (planned)
- Yes (adopted)
- Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)
- No
- NA

Comments - If yes, please specify:

## 208-14. Domestic violence

- Yes (planned)
- Yes (adopted)
- Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)
- No
- NA

Comments - If yes, please specify:

## 208-15. New information and communication technologies

- Yes (planned)
- Yes (adopted)
- Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)
- No
- NA

Comments - If yes, please specify: The Act on National Data Assets will be adopted shortly.

## 208-16. Other



Yes (planned)

Yes (adopted)

Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)

No

NA

Comments - If yes, please specify: