

**Budget**: In 2022, the implemented budget of the judicial system of Greece amounts to 539 561 654 €, which represents 50,5 € per inhabitant, below the CoE median. As a % of GDP (0,26%), it is close to the CoE median. It is not possible to distinguish between courts' budget and the one of the public prosecution services. The implemented legal aid budget was 0,3 € per inhabitant, one of the lowest among the member States and entities.

**Minors:** The "Children's Houses" of Athens and Piraeus, are fully operational since 2021. They are responsible for the individual assessment of minor victims to determine special protection needs and the provision of assistance to judicial authorities for the appropriate child-victim-friendly examination during criminal proceedings.

**Justice professionals:** The number of professional judges per 100 000 inhabitants (37) is high above the CoE median (17), while the number of prosecutors (6) is well below (11). However, it is worth noting that the ratio of non-judge staff per judge is 1 in Greece versus 3 in Europe, and 4 non-prosecutor staff per prosecutor versus 1 in Europe. Besides, deputy prosecutors and members of the prosecution have similar duties to prosecutors.


**Performance and quality:** Since 2022, Supreme court judges appointed as inspectors for 2 years, draft every year general reports on the operation of each court and prosecutor's office in their district and recommend the necessary measures for the proper functioning of the service. Regarding administrative courts, this task is fulfilled by the General Commission of the State for ordinary administrative courts.

Efficiency: At the outset it should be mentioned that comparisons with previous cycles are not relevant, the data collection process is being improved. In 2022, courts are most efficient in 1st instance criminal cases, while the Supreme Administrative Court proves to be least efficient. When considering 1st and 2d instances combined, criminal cases are the most efficient area of law.

In 2022, the CR achieves 100% only in administrative matters. Despite these positive values, the DT is well above the CoE medians at all instances. In civil and criminal matters, at all instances for which data are available, the CR is considerably below the 100% threshold, while the DT is well above the respective CoE medians.



#### **Gender Balance**




#### Absolute gross salaries



## Ratio with the average annual gross salary

Salary at the begining of career



#### **Training of Justice Professionals**




\* This indicator is calculated as follows: the number of participants in live trainings is divided by the number of professionals for that category. For example, if the CoE Median for judges is 3,9, this means that, each judge in Europe participated to 3,9 live trainings (as mid value). Indeed, this analysis allows to better understand quantity of training per professional if all were trained.

### **CEPEJ Efficiency Indicators**


Clearance Rate (CR) = (Resolved cases / Incoming cases) *100		Instance			
CR > 100%, the court/judicial system is able to resolve more cases than it received => backlog is decreasing	Greece	1st Instance			
CR < 100%, the court/judicial system is able to resolve fewer cases than it received => backlog is increasing	CoE Median	2nd Instance			
Dispostion Time (DT) = (Pending cases / Resolved cases) *365		Highest Instance			
The Disposition Time (DT) is the theoretical time for a pending case to be resolved, taken into consideration the current pace of work of the courts					

		Clearance Rate		Disposition Time (in days)	Evolution of Disposition Time						
						2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022
Civil	1st Instance	93%	100% 99%	746 239	Civil	469	330	610	559	• NA	746
	2nd Instance	79%	99%	422 200		834	509	1149	662	- NA	422
	Highest Instance	NA	105%	NA 152		346	• NA	• NA	331	• NA	• NA
Criminal	1st Instance	70%	99%	223	Criminal	• NA	• NA	• NA	• NA	• NA	223
	2nd Instance	64%	99%	294 110		- NA	• NA	• NA	• NA	- NA	294
	Highest Instance	84%	100%	304 101		• 24	<ul> <li>16</li> </ul>	121	• 6	• NA	304
Administrative	1st Instance	127%	98%	464 292	Administrative	1520	• NA	1086	601	551	464
	2nd Instance	107%	103%	661 215		1130	• NA	915	564	599	661
	Highest Instance	115%	102%	1239 2 <u>34</u>		• NA	• NA	893	<b>1</b> 265	<b>1</b> 107	1239


#### Incoming Cases




#### Total number of 2nd instance cases per 100 inhabitants



#### Total number of Supreme Court cases per 100 inhabitants



#### **Public Prosecution Services**



Note: There are different methodologies for calculating the number of cases in the prosecution services' statistics: by event or by perpetrator. The CEPEJ collects data per case (event), but some countries present it per perpetrator.

#### **ICT Deployment and Usage Index** (from 0 to 10) **Deployment index by matter** (0 to 10) **Deployment index by category** (0 to 10) Administrative matter Decision support 4.1 2.6 Total deployment rate : 3,28 4,16 2.68Total usage rate : 2,67 2,03 2,27 3.24 (experimental) 4,98 4,5 5,7 3,4 4,1 Civil matter Criminal matter Case management Digital access to justice **Judiciary Related Websites** Legal texts Case-law of the higher court/s Information about the judicial system https://ministryofjustice.gr/ http://www.areiospagos.gr/ https://ministryofjustice.gr/ https://solon.gov.gr/ https://solon.gov.gr/ https://moj.gov.gr/ http://www.adjustice.gr