

Evaluation of the judicial systems 2024 (data 2022)



Greece

Generated on : 30/09/2024 15:02

Reference data 2022 (01/01/2022 - 31/12/2022)

Start/end date of the data collection campaign : 15/03/2023 - 01/10/2023

Objective :

The CEPEJ decided, at its 39th plenary meeting, to launch the ninth evaluation cycle 2024, focused on 2022 data. The CEPEJ wishes to use the methodology developed in the previous cycles to get, with the support of its national correspondents' network, a general evaluation of the judicial systems in the 46 member states of the Council of Europe as well as three observer states (Israel, Morocco and Kazakhstan).

The present questionnaire was developed by the Working group on evaluation of judicial systems (CEPEJ-GT-EVAL) in view of the previous evaluation cycles and considering the comments submitted by CEPEJ members, observers, experts and national correspondents. The aim of this exercise is to increase awareness of judicial systems in the participating states, to compare the functioning of judicial systems in their various aspects, as well as to have a better knowledge of the trends of the judicial organisation in order to help improve the efficiency of justice. The evaluation questionnaire and the analysis of the results becomes a genuine tool in favour of public policies on justice, in service of the European citizens.

For better understanding of the questions it is necessary to consult the Explanatory note that gives definitions and explanations on the CEPEJ evaluation questionnaire and the methodology needed for replying, You can download the Explanatory note as a whole document on the CEPEJ website. In addition to the Explanatory note, there is also the User manual that is a technical document to help you navigate through this application for data collection.

In case you have any questions related to these documents or on the use of the application, please do not hesitate to contact the Secretariat.

Instruction :

Explanatory note: <https://rm.coe.int/explanatory-note-2024-cycle-cepej-2023-2-en/1680aae30a>

Word version of the questionnaire - <https://rm.coe.int/evaluation-scheme-2024-cycle-cepej-2022-9rev1-en-30-march-2023/1680aae309>

CEPEJ COLLECT - User manual – you can download under Documentation tab

1.General and financial information

1.1.Demographic and economic data

1.1.1Inhabitants and economic general information



001. Number of inhabitants (if possible on 1 January of the reference year +1)

[10 678 632]

Comments Estimated population on 01-01-2021.The estimated population for the year 2022 will be published after the end of February 2024.



=

003. Per capita GDP (in €) in current prices for the reference year

[19 548]

Comments GDP per capita 2022: 19548*(provisional data). Here is the relevant link.:[https://www.statistics.gr/el/statistics/-/publication/SEL33/-](https://www.statistics.gr/el/statistics/-/publication/SEL33/)

004. Average gross annual salary (in €) for the reference year

[]

NA

Comments Our service has the data of the structure and distribution of Remuneration Survey in enterprises on the structure of remuneration of employees(having a dependent employment relationship) in enterprises by Sector (B-S branches), excluding X (Public Administration and Defense, compulsory Social Security) based on the NACE Rev. 2. The survey is conducted on a four-year basis. Therefore, the latest available figures are of the year 2018. The results o of the survey will be published in late 2024 to early 2025.

005. Exchange rate of national currency (non-Euro zone) in € on 1 January of the reference year +1:

[]

Allow decimals : 5

NAP

Comments

A1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: Hellenic Statistical Authority

1.1.2 Budgetary data concerning judicial system



006. Annual (approved and implemented) public budget allocated to the functioning of all courts, in € (without the budget of the public prosecution services and without the budget of legal aid). If you cannot separate the budget allocated to the courts from the budget of public prosecution services and/or the one allocated to legal aid, please go to question 7. If you are able to answer this question, please answer NA to question 7.

	Approved budget (in €)	Implemented budget (in €)
TOTAL - Annual public budget allocated to the functioning of all courts (1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5 + 6 + 7)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
1. Annual public budget allocated to (gross) salaries	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

2. Annual public budget allocated to computerisation (2.1 + 2.2)	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP
2.1 Investments in computerisation	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP
2.2 Maintenance of the IT equipment of courts	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP
3. Annual public budget allocated to justice expenses (expertise, interpretation, etc.)	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP
4. Annual public budget allocated to court buildings (maintenance, operating costs)	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP
5. Annual public budget allocated to investments in new (court) buildings	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP
6. Annual public budget allocated to training	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP
7. Other (please specify)	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP

Please indicate any useful comment to explain the figures provided. If the annual public budget allocated to the functioning of all courts actually implemented is different from the approved annual public budget allocated to the functioning of all courts, please indicate the main reasons for the differences: In our ministry, and in particular in its regular budget, there is no distinct special code for the country's prosecution services. Therefore, it is not possible to complete the column 'approved budget'. Regard to the implemented budget column, because the payment system used by our ministry enables a distinction between public prosecutors' offices and other judicial services, an effort has been made by our department to complete the second column. However, there were difficulties in separating, among other things, the salaries of prosecutors and judges, building maintenance, but also operating expenses as some prosecutors' offices may be co-located with other courts, difficulties in separating into other budgets from which we derive data, such as the budget of the Fund for financing judicial buildings.

007. If you cannot answer question 6 because you cannot isolate the public budget allocated to courts from the budget allocated to public prosecution services and/or the one allocated to legal aid, please fill in only the appropriate line in the table according to your system:

	Approved budget (in €)	Implemented budget (in €)
Total annual public budget allocated to all courts and the public prosecution services together	606 865 663 [] NA [] NAP	536 221 580 [] NA [] NAP
Total annual public budget allocated to all courts and legal aid together	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP
Total annual public budget allocated to all courts, public prosecution services and legal aid together	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP

Comments - Please indicate any useful comment to explain the figures provided. If the annual public budget actually implemented is different from the approved annual public budget, please indicate the main reasons for the differences: The difference between the initially approved and implemented budget mainly concerns:

a) a backlog of the budget of the legal entity of Public Law "Fund for the financing of judicial buildings" (approximately EUR 20,000,000), which arose due to understaffing of the legal entity of Public Law and transfer of unpaid obligations to the next financial year; and

B) a backlog of the Ministry's Public Investment Program and in particular the one funded by the recovery and Resilience Fund (about 45,000,000 EUR), as most projects are new, on the one hand, with large budgets (as they mostly concern purchase/ construction or reconstruction of court buildings, so there was not much absorption in 2022.

It is noted that the data for 2022 have calculated elements that were not calculated in the corresponding data for 2021, such as the expenditure of the Court of Auditors.



=

008. Are litigants in general required to pay a court fee to initiate a proceeding at a court of general jurisdiction:

	Litigants required to pay a court fee to initiate a proceeding at a court of general jurisdiction ?
for criminal cases	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, at the beginning of the procedure <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, at a later stage <input type="checkbox"/> No
for other than criminal cases	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, at the beginning of the procedure <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, at a later stage <input type="checkbox"/> No

Comments - If there are exceptions to the obligation to pay these court fees, could you please provide comments on those exceptions? -
 The public sector and legal entities governed by Public Law and those who have received legal aid do not pay a fee.
 - In the Council of State and in the administrative courts, when they hear annulment disputes, the fee is paid either with the filing of the legal remedy or within one month of it.

008-1. Please briefly present the methodology of calculation of these court fees:

- The amount is determined by law depending on the court and procedure.

Concerning the Administrative Tribunals:

1.The deposit as a rule is standard and it varies from 25 to 300 Euros according to the nature of the litigation with the exemption of a) the fiscal and customs cases and b) the interim measures concerning the public procurement cases where the deposit is proportionate up to a maximum of 15.000 €. (Ref. Law 4412/2016 art. 363).

2.Besides, for the admissibility of the claim for damage, there is a proportional judicial stamp (8‰). Ref. Law 2717/1999 art. 274, 277 as amended by law 4446/2016 art. 37.

There is an exception for the State, the Local Government Authorities and the Legal Entities of Public Law from the court fees, the deposit and the judicial stamp. Ref. Law 2579/1998 art. 28 par. 4 maintained in force by Law 2717/1999 art.285

3.Furthermore, according to the Lawyers' Code (Ref. Law 4194/2013 art. 58, 63) lawyers' fee is a matter of a written mutual agreement, otherwise the legislator sets the level of remuneration from 2% t 0,05% for disputes exceeding the amount of €25 million Euros.

Concerning the Penal and Civil Justice

-Every accused person sentenced to a penalty shall also be ordered to pay the costs of the criminal proceedings. The amount of the costs is set in the judgment of conviction under the Code of Criminal Procedure depending on the type of court (e.g. whether it is a single-member court, a three-member court, etc.). In addition, costs are awarded in the event of an appeal being dismissed, in particular, where the judgment dismisses the appeal or application for revision or reopening of proceedings or annulment of the judgment or annulment of the proceedings in their entirety, costs are awarded to each of those who brought the appeal or application, and the amount of the costs depends on the type of court.

The amount is adjusted by a joint decision of the Ministers of Finance and Justice. In Penal Justice the court fees are defined by the provisions of the Code of Criminal Procedure, Law 4446/2016 and the joint decisions of the Ministers of Finance and Justice. The issue of legal expenses in civil proceedings is regulated by the provisions of articles 173-193 of the Code of Civil Procedure in conjunction with the provisions of the lawyers ' code that regulate the relevant issue for each case and law 4446/2016.

008-2. The amount of court fees requested to commence an action for 3000€ debt recovery:

[]

[X] NA

[] NAP

Comments

009. Annual income of court fees received by the State (in €):

[38 992 627]

[] NA

[] NAP

Comments

012. Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid, in €.

	TOTAL	Criminal cases	Other than criminal cases
TOTAL - Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid (12.1 + 12.2)	13 868 973 [] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP
12.1 for cases brought to court (court fees and/or legal representation)	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP
12.2 for cases not brought to court (legal advice, ADR and other legal services)	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP

Comments The invoices issued by the legal aid lawyers were for a smaller amount and the corresponding amount was committed in the budget. The data were given by the Fund for the financing of judicial buildings.

012-1. Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid, in €.

	TOTAL	Criminal cases	Other than criminal cases
TOTAL - Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid (12-1.1 + 12-1.2)	3 340 074 [] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP
12-1.1 for cases brought to court (court fees and/or legal representation)	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP
12-1.2 for cases not brought to court (legal advice, ADR and other legal services)	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP

If the public budget actually implemented regarding legal aid is different from the annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid, please indicate the main reasons for the differences: Under-staffing of the service, in conjunction with the provisions of N. 4254/2014 for the insurance control of debts, through the e-Government of Social Security, resulted in the delay in the liquidation and repayment of liabilities.

=

012-3. Do legal aid budgets indicated in Q12 and Q12-1 include:

	Amount calculated/estimated included
Coverage of court fees	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP (Legal aid does not include coverage of court fees)
Exemption from court fees	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP (Legal aid does not include exemption from court fees)

Comments

013. Annual (approved and implemented) public budget allocated to the public prosecution services, in €.

	Approved budget (in €)	Implemented budget (in €)
Total annual public budget allocated to the public prosecution services, in € (including 13.1)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
13.1. Annual public budget allocated to training of public prosecution services	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Please indicate any useful comment to explain the figures provided. Moreover, if the annual public budget allocated to the public prosecution services actually implemented is different from the approved annual public budget, please indicate the main reasons for the differences: As explained in question 6, there is no possibility of separating budgeted expenditures on the one hand and some sub-categories of expenditures (in terms of execution) on the other, between the public prosecutor's offices and other courts in the country.

A2. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: Directorate of Budget and Financial Management, Fund for the financing of judicial buildings.

1.1.3 Budgetary data concerning the whole justice system

015-1. Annual (approved and implemented) public budget allocated to the whole justice system, in € (this global budget includes the judicial system budget - see 15-2 and other elements of the justice system - see 15-3)

	Approved budget (in €)	Implemented budget (in €)
Total annual public budget allocated to the whole justice system in €	626 179 869 [] NA [] NAP	546 148 827 [] NA [] NAP

Comments - Please indicate any useful comment to explain the figures provided above and specify if a large portion of the budget allocated to the whole justice system comes from an international organisation. Moreover, if the annual public budget allocated to the whole justice system actually implemented is different from the approved annual public budget, please indicate the main reasons for the differences: The difference between the initially approved and implemented budget mainly concerns:

a) a backlog of the budget of the legal entity of Public Law "Fund for the financing of judicial buildings" (approximately EUR 30.000.000, including Legal Aid), which arose due to understaffing of the abovementioned legal entity of Public Law and transfer of unpaid obligations to the next financial year; and

B) a backlog of the Ministry's Public Investment Program and in particular the one funded by the recovery and Resilience Fund (about 45,000,000 EUR), as most projects are new, on the one hand, with large budgets (as they mostly concern purchase/ construction or reconstruction of court buildings, so there was not much absorption in 2022.

It is noted that the data for 2022 have calculated elements that were not calculated in the corresponding data for 2021, such as the expenditure of the Court of Auditors.

015-2. Elements of the judicial system budget (Q6, Q7, Q12, Q13)

	Included
Courts	(X) Yes () No [] NAP
Legal aid	(X) Yes () No [] NAP
Public prosecution services	(X) Yes () No [] NAP

Comments

015-3. Other budgetary elements

	Included
Prison system	() Yes (X) No [] NAP
Probation services	(X) Yes () No [] NAP
High Judicial Council	(X) Yes () No [] NAP
High Prosecutorial Council	(X) Yes () No [] NAP

Constitutional court	() Yes () No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Judicial management body	(X) Yes () No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Service for legal representation of the State	() Yes (X) No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Enforcement services	() Yes (X) No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Notariat	(X) Yes () No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Forensic services	(X) Yes () No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Judicial protection of juveniles	(X) Yes () No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Functioning of the Ministry of Justice	(X) Yes () No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Refugees and asylum seekers services	() Yes (X) No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Immigration Service	() Yes (X) No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Some police services (e.g. : transfer, investigation, prisoners' security)	() Yes (X) No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Other	() Yes (X) No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

If "Other", please specify: For the High Prosecutorial Council, we refer to the Prosecutor's Office of the Supreme Court.

The General Commission of the State, headed by the Commissioner General, is a special branch of judicial officials whose purpose is to monitor and control the functioning of the ordinary administrative courts and to assist in their work

A3. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

- Sources: - Regular budget of the Ministry of Justice 2022
- Public Investment Program of the Ministry of Justice 2022
- Budget of the Fund for the financing of judicial buildings 2022
- Budget of The National School Of Judges 2022

2. Access to justice and all courts

2.1. Legal Aid

2.1.1 Scope of legal aid

016. Does legal aid apply to:

	Criminal cases	Other than criminal cases
Representation in court	(X) Yes () No [] NA [] NAP	(X) Yes () No [] NA [] NAP
Legal advice, ADR and other legal services	(X) Yes () No [] NA [] NAP	(X) Yes () No [] NA [] NAP

016-1. Please briefly describe the organisation of the legal aid system in your country.

1. Legal aid: the provision of legal aid in criminal matters consists of the appointment of a lawyer and in civil and commercial matters it consists of the appointment of a lawyer, a notary and a bailiff with a mandate to defend the beneficiary, to represent him in court and to carry out the necessary judicial acts.
2. Beneficiaries of Legal Aid: are citizens of a member state of the European Union and citizens of a third state and stateless if they are legally domiciled in the European Union. Low-income citizens are those who have an annual family income of no more than two-thirds of the minimum annual individual earnings provided for by the legislation.
3. Procedure: legal aid is provided in our country at the request of the beneficiary, to which are attached the supporting documents proving his financial situation (copy of tax return, copy of property statement, paycheck) and his residence. The documents are submitted at least 15 days before the trial. For the admission of the application, probabilism is sufficient. The competent judge shall examine the application.
4. Appointment of a lawyer: the lawyer is selected on the basis of a list drawn up by the relevant Bar Association in alphabetical order each month, which is sent to the relevant court. Each lawyer can be appointed for one case of the person entitled to legal aid.
5. The competent body for the appointment of a lawyer draws up a monthly report, for the lawyers appointed and for those who refused to take the case or resigned, which it sends to the relevant Bar Association.

018. Can legal aid be granted for the fees that are related to the enforcement of judicial decisions (e.g. fees of an enforcement agent)?

(X) Yes

() No

[] NAP

If yes, please specify: Indicatively it is stated that In N. 3226/2004 for legal aid provision is made for exemption of fees for bailiff and notary. Also, exemption registration fee and their surcharges, court stamp fee, stamp duty

019. Can legal aid be granted for other costs (different from those mentioned in questions 16 to 18,

e.g. fees of technical advisors or experts, costs of other legal professionals (notaries), travel costs etc.)?)

	Criminal cases	Other than criminal cases
Legal aid granted for other costs	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If yes, please specify: Also costs of witnesses, experts, etc.

2.1.2 Information on legal aid

020. Please indicate the number of cases for which legal aid has been granted:

	Total	Cases brought to court	Cases not brought to court
TOTAL	7 469 [] NA [] NAP	6 235 [] NA [] NAP	1 234 [] NA [] NAP
In criminal cases	1 765 [] NA [] NAP	806 [] NA [] NAP	959 [] NA [] NAP
In other than criminal cases	5 704 [] NA [] NAP	5 429 [] NA [] NAP	275 [] NA [] NAP

Comments - Please specify when appropriate:

020-0. Please indicate the number of recipients of legal aid:

	Total	Cases brought to court	Cases not brought to court
TOTAL	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP
In criminal cases	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP
In other than criminal cases	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP

Comments - Please specify when appropriate:

020-0-1. Are there statistical data disaggregated by gender in respect of recipients of legal aid?

Yes

No

Comments There are data on part of the courts and not on the whole of them.

020-0-2. If yes, please provide details on distribution by gender of recipients of legal aid:

	Total	Males	Females
Number of recipients of legal aid	[] NA [] NAP	[] NA [] NAP	[] NA [] NAP

Comments

020-0-3. Is it possible to divide the number of recipients of legal aid per different categories of cases?

- () Yes
(X) No

Comment: If yes, please specify for which categories of cases: There are data on part of the courts and not on the whole of them.

020-0-4. Are there situations where legal aid is automatically granted depending on categories of cases?

- () Yes
(X) No

Comment: If yes, please specify: In the case of Article 1 par. 2 L. 3226/2004, as in force "beneficiaries of legal aid in respect of any criminal and civil claims are also the victims of the criminal acts provided for in articles 187a, 187b, 323a, 324, 339, 342, 348 par. 2 first paragraph, 348a, 351A of the Criminal Code and Article 29 par. 5 and 6 and 30 of L. 4251/2014, as well as minor victims of the acts provided for in articles 336, 338, 343, 345, 348, 348b, 348c and 349 of the Criminal Code. In such cases, the competent body, in accordance with the provisions of the present, for the provision of Legal Aid may, if necessary, appoint an advocate ex officio from the situations of par. 2 and 3 of Article 3.»

In the case of Article 7 par. 3 L. 3226/2003 as in force: 'in the process of issuing or issuing and executing a European arrest warrant, the applicant, deprived of his liberty following an arrest, shall submit the application, immediately after being informed of the right to legal aid, to the competent Public Prosecutor of Appeals. In the case of an objective inability to provide the necessary supporting documents, a declaration by the applicant that he fulfills the financial criteria of the first subparagraph of paragraph 2 or paragraph 4 of article 6 is sufficient. In the event of an untrue statement, paragraph 6 of article 22 of Law 1599/1989(A'75) shall apply accordingly . The competent prosecutor shall without delay appoint a legal aid advocate to the applicant from the relevant situation of the bar of the seat of the Court of Appeal.

In the case of Article 340 par.1 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, which states that: "in felonies and misdemeanors for which the law threatens a prison sentence of at least three (3) years, the president of the court obligatorily appoints counsel to those defendants who do not have a list drawn up in January of each year by the board of the relevant Bar Association. The same obligation has the juvenile judge, when the juvenile is accused of an act that if performed by an adult would be a felony. For this purpose, at the beginning of the hearing, the president of the court shall determine for all cases whether the accused are without a defence counsel. Cases in which counsel is appointed in accordance with the above, are necessarily heard in a sitting after recess, in order that the appointed counsel may be properly prepared. The trial after this interruption may not be more than thirty (30) days. Counsel may also be appointed before the hearing, if the accused so requests, even by a simple letter to the prosecutor. If he is being held in prison, his request shall be forwarded by the director of the detention facility. The prosecutor shall appoint an advocate from the list and make the case file available to him. If the accused refuses his defence by the appointed counsel, the president of the court shall appoint to him another counsel from the same list."

020-0-5. How many of the recipients of legal aid are alleged victims of domestic violence?

	Total	Males	Females
Number of recipients of legal aid who are alleged victims of domestic violence	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP

020-1. Please indicate the timeframes of the procedure for granting legal aid, in relation to the duration from the initial legal aid request to the final decision on the legal aid request:

	Time in days
Maximum duration prescribed in law/regulation	15 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Actual average duration	4 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - Please specify if the envisaged timeframe is set in a statutory law, or in other regulation. Furthermore, if different timeframes are envisaged for criminal and other than criminal cases, please provide more information:

=

021. In criminal cases, can individuals who do not have sufficient financial means be assisted by a free of charge (or financed by a public budget) lawyer?

	Assisted by a free of charge lawyer
Accused individuals	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Victims	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

022. In criminal cases are these individuals free to choose their lawyer within the framework of the legal aid system?

	free selection of lawyer
Accused individuals	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Victims	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

023-0. Does your country have an income and assets evaluation for granting full or partial legal aid?

Yes

No

Comments - Please indicate if any other criteria are taken into account for the granting of legal aid and any comment that could explain the data provided above: The Law 3226/2004 as amended and in force.

According to Article 1 of L. 3226/2004, which does not apply to proceedings before the Council of state, beneficiaries of Legal Aid "shall be those whose annual family income does not exceed two thirds of the minimum annual individual earnings provided for by the legislation in force. In the case of a domestic dispute or dispute, the income of the person with whom the dispute or dispute exists is not taken into account" (2/3 of EUR 780 which is the minimum wage as of 1 April 2023, EUR 520)

Different amounts are provided for in criminal cases.

-Full legal aid to the applicant for criminal cases:6,000 euros for unmarried, 8,000 euros for married + 1,000 euros for each child up to 4 children.

023. If yes, please specify in the table:

	Annual income value (for one person), (in €)	Assets value (for one person), (in €)
Full legal aid to the applicant for criminal cases	12 000 [] NA [] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP
Full legal aid to the applicant for other than criminal cases	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP
Partial legal aid to the applicant for criminal cases	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP
Partial legal aid to the applicant for other than criminal cases	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP

024. Is it possible to refuse legal aid for lack of merit of the case (for example for frivolous action or no chance of success)?

() Yes

(X) No

Comments - If yes, please specify the exact criteria for denying legal aid: The application can be rejected by the judge on the grounds of a manifestly inadmissible or unfounded appeal. Manifestly inadmissible or unfounded legal remedy, e.g. obviously, beyond any doubt, delayed legal remedies, infringement of irrevocable court decisions.(Council of State)

025. Is the decision to grant or refuse legal aid taken by:

(X) the judge(s) dealing with the main case

() another judge or official

() an authority external to the court

() several authorities (court and external bodies)

Comments It is noted that many courts have chosen the first two options and the Supreme Administrative Court only the second option. The competence is assigned to the judge at the head of the Court or the judge appointed by him.

027. Can judicial decisions direct how legal costs, paid by the parties during the procedure, will be shared:

	Judicial decisions direct how legal costs will be shared
in criminal cases	(X) Yes () No

in other than criminal cases

Yes

No

Comments - If no, please specify how legal costs are distributed:

B1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: Courts.

2.2. Court users and victims

2.2.1 Rights of the users and victims

028. Are there official internet sites/portals (e.g. Ministry of Justice, Judicial Council etc.) where general public may have free-of-charge access to the following:

	Yes, internet adresse(es)	No
Legal texts (e.g. codes, laws, regulations, etc.)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> https://ministryofjustice.gr/ ; https://solon.gov.gr/ ; http://www.adjustice.gr/ ; https://www.elsyn.gr/	<input type="checkbox"/>
Case-law of the higher court/s	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> https://www.elsyn.gr/ ; http://www.areiospagos.gr/ ; https://solon.gov.gr/ ; http://www.adjustice.gr	<input type="checkbox"/>

Information about the judicial system (organisation of courts, court proceedings, etc)

(X)

()

<https://ministryofjustice.gr/> : Information on the Justice in Greece is provided, such as the constitution of Central Authority, Civil/ Criminal/ Administrative Justice, their organization and functioning e.t.c. <https://moj.gov.gr/> : Information on the participation of Hellenic MoJ in the European Program “Justice” is provided.

<https://www.solon.gov.gr/> : : Information on the civil & criminal justice system is provided, such as electronic services, court proceedings e.t.c. through the ICT System of the OSDDYPP Phase A.

<http://www.adjustice.gr> : Detailed information on the administrative justice system is provided, such as the constitution of the Court of Justice, its organization and functioning, the structure of the registries of the judicial formations, the rules of procedure. The charter of ethics has recently been added.

<https://www.ncris.gov.gr> : Information on the National Criminal Records system such as electronic services & relevant proceedings.

<https://www.ospd.gr/> : Information on the Integrated Court Transcripts system such as electronic services & relevant proceedings.

<https://dikes.moj.gov.gr/> : Information on the online progress of the current court cases conducted in the First Instance Court of Athens.

<https://www.diamesolavisi.gov.gr/> : Information on the out-of-court resolution of private disputes, in which the parties, with the help and assistance of

the mediator, i.e. a third party, independent and neutral to the parties, attempt to reach, through negotiation between them, a viable and mutually satisfactory resolution of the dispute.

http://www.keyd.gov.gr/ryumish_ofeilvn_apallagh/ : Information on the new bankruptcy law.

<http://www.keyd.gov.gr/epanaprosdiorismos> : Information on the acceleration of the pending cases of law 3869/2010.

<https://www.gov.gr/upourgeia/upourgeio-dikaiousunes/dikaiousunes/plerophorese-stadiou-poinikes-dikographias-roe-menuses> : Real-time criminal case information (lawsuit flow) from a lawyer for the Prosecution Offices of OSDDYPP Phase A.

<https://dvs.gov.gr> : Information on Issuance of Intangible Consensual Divorce.

<https://www.eauction.gr/> : Information on conducting electronic auctions.

<https://olomeleia.gr/> : Information about Plenary Session of Bar Associations. Authentication of lawyers for the use of judicial electronic services is provided through this portal.

https://en.mitos.gov.gr/index.php/Main_Page Category:Justice: Information on Judicial Proceedings and Judicial Electronic Services.

<https://www.gov.gr/en/ipiresies/dikaiousune> : Information on Judicial Proceedings and Judicial Electronic Services.

<p>Other documents (e.g. forms, downloadable forms, online registration forms)</p>	<p>(X)</p> <p>http://www.adjustice.gr : Regarding the Supreme Administrative Court, any kind of court certificate can be asked through an online form; for the administrative courts of first instance and appeal the application is still in pilot mode. Electronic services related to the information of the previous point, are provided through the following urls:</p> <p>https://ministryofjustice.gr/ https://moj.gov.gr/ https://www.solon.gov.gr/ https://www.ncris.gov.gr https://www.ospd.gr/ https://dikes.moj.gov.gr/ https://www.diamesolavisi.gov.gr/ https://dvs.gov.gr/ https://app.moj.gov.gr/pnet/plogin http://www.keyd.gov.gr/ryumish_ofeilvn_apallagh/ http://www.keyd.gov.gr/epanaprosdiorismos https://olomeleia.gr/ https://en.mitos.gov.gr/index.php/Main_Page https://www.gov.gr/en/ipiresies/dikaiosune https://www.eauction.gr/</p>	<p>()</p>
---	---	------------

Comment - Please specify what documents and information are included in “Other documents” <https://ministryofjustice.gr/> : Legal texts describing the function and structure of Justice in Greece <https://solon.gov.gr/> : Legal texts describing the function and structure of the civil and criminal courts of Greece are provided. <http://www.adjustice.gr> : Legal texts describing the function and structure of the Council of State and the administrative courts of Greece are provided. <https://www.elsyn.gr/> : Legal texts describing the function and structure of the Court of Auditors.

<https://www.elsyn.gr> : Anonymized decisions of the Court of Auditors are available to the public through user authentication.

<http://www.areiospagos.gr/> : Anonymized civil and criminal decisions of the Supreme Court are available to the public.

<https://solon.gov.gr/> : The civil & criminal justice information system allows all judges in 41 Courts and Prosecution Offices of the Appellate Court Districts of Athens, Thessaloniki, Piraeus and Evia to have access to anonymized decisions of Supreme Court for Civil & Criminal Cases and Maritime Law (ordinary Single-member and Multi-member) of the First Instance Court of Piraeus (OSDDYPP Phase A). <http://www.adjustice.gr> : The administrative justice information system allows all judges of all courts (Council of State and administrative courts) to have access to all decisions. In addition, summaries of the most important decisions are provided, as well as all decisions in which personal data have been removed.

<https://ministryofjustice.gr/> : Information on the Justice in Greece is provided, such as the constitution of Central Authority, Civil/ Criminal/ Administrative Justice, their organization and functioning e.t.c.

<https://moj.gov.gr/> : Information on the participation of Hellenic MoJ in the European Program “Justice” is provided.

<https://www.solon.gov.gr/> : Information on the civil & criminal justice system is provided, such as electronic services, court proceedings e.t.c. through the ICT System of the OSDDYPP Phase A. <http://www.adjustice.gr> : Detailed information on the administrative justice system is provided, such as the constitution of the Court of Justice, its organization and functioning, the structure of the registries of the

judicial formations, the rules of procedure. The charter of ethics has recently been added. <https://www.ncris.gov.gr> : Information on the National Criminal Records system such as electronic services & relevant proceedings. <https://www.ospd.gr/> : Information on the Integrated Court Transcripts system such as electronic services & relevant proceedings. <https://dikes.moj.gov.gr/> : Information on the online progress of the current court cases conducted in the First Instance Court of Athens. <https://www.diamesolavisi.gov.gr/> : Information on the out-of-court resolution of private disputes, in which the parties, with the help and assistance of the mediator, i.e. a third party, independent and neutral to the parties, attempt to reach, through negotiation between them, a viable and mutually satisfactory resolution of the dispute. http://www.keyd.gov.gr/ryumish_ofeilvn_apallagh/ : Information on the new bankruptcy law. <http://www.keyd.gov.gr/epanaprosdiorismos> : Information on the acceleration of the pending cases of law 3869/2010. <https://www.gov.gr/upourgeia/upourgeio-dikaiosunes/dikaiosunes/plerophorese-stadiou-poinikes-dikographias-roe-menuses> : Real-time criminal case information (lawsuit flow) from a lawyer for the Prosecution Offices of OSDDYPP Phase A. <https://dvs.gov.gr/> : Information on Issuance of Intangible Consensual Divorce. <https://www.eauction.gr/> : Information on conducting electronic auctions. <https://olomeleia.gr/> : Information about Plenary Session of Bar Associations. Authentication of lawyers for the use of judicial electronic services is provided through this portal. https://en.mitos.gov.gr/index.php/Main_Page Category:Justice: Information on Judicial Proceedings and Judicial Electronic Services. <https://www.gov.gr/en/ipiresies/dikaiosune> : Information on Judicial Proceedings and Judicial Electronic Services.

<http://www.adjustice.gr> : Regarding the Supreme Administrative Court, any kind of court certificate can be asked through an online form; for the administrative courts of first instance and appeal the application is still in pilot mode. Electronic services related to the information of the previous point, are provided though the following urls: <https://ministryofjustice.gr/> <https://moj.gov.gr/> <https://www.solon.gov.gr/> <https://www.ncris.gov.gr> <https://www.ospd.gr/> <https://dikes.moj.gov.gr/> <https://www.diamesolavisi.gov.gr/> <https://dvs.gov.gr/> <https://app.moj.gov.gr/pnet/plogin> http://www.keyd.gov.gr/ryumish_ofeilvn_apallagh/ <http://www.keyd.gov.gr/epanaprosdiorismos> <https://olomeleia.gr/> https://en.mitos.gov.gr/index.php/Main_Page <https://www.gov.gr/en/ipiresies/dikaiosune> <https://www.eauction.gr/>

029. Is there an obligation to provide information to the parties concerning the foreseeable timeframes of their proceedings?

- Yes, always
- No
- Yes, only in some specific situations

Comment - If “Yes, only in some specific situations”, please specify:

030. Is there a public and free-of-charge information system for providing information and facilitating access to justice:

	Information system
General for citizens	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Online information <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephone <input type="checkbox"/> Interactive chat <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> In-person (physical access on site) <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> No
Specific for victims of offences	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Online information <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephone <input type="checkbox"/> Interactive chat <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> In-person (physical access on site) <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> No

Specific for minors (child-friendly systems)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Online information <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephone <input type="checkbox"/> Interactive chat <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> In-person (physical access on site) <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> No
---	--

Comments - Please provide more information on these systems and specify how this assistance is provided: Administrative Justice: Through the adjustice portal, citizens can track their case pending in all courts, search for anonymized judgements of the Supreme Administrative Court and read selected anonymized judgements of administrative courts of first instance and appeal.

Civil & Criminal Justice: Through the solon portal (<https://www.solon.gov.gr/>), citizens can:

- Monitoring the progress of the Case

- Monitoring the Docket/ Exhibit (Hearings/ Halls)

for the Courts and Prosecution Offices of the Appellate Court Districts of Athens, Thessaloniki, Piraeus and Evia (OSDDYPP Phase A).

Through the <https://www.ospd.gr/>, citizens can:

- Receive copies of minutes (When case transcripts are finalized and approved by the competent Judge, they are recoverable to those who have a legitimate interest).

Through the <https://dikes.moj.gov.gr/> citizens can:

- Have access to the online progress of the current court cases conducted in the First Instance Court of Athens.

Through the https://en.mitos.gov.gr/index.php/Main_Page and <https://www.gov.gr/en/ipiresies/dikaiosune> can: •Have access to information about Judicial Proceedings and Judicial Electronic Services.

031. Are there special favourable arrangements to be applied, during judicial proceedings, to the following categories of vulnerable persons:

	Information mechanism	Special arrangements in hearings	Other specific arrangements
Victims of sexual violence/rape	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No
Victims of terrorism	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No	() Yes (X) No
Minors (witnesses or victims)	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No
Victims of domestic violence	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No
Ethnic minorities	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No	() Yes (X) No
Persons with disabilities	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No	() Yes (X) No
Juvenile offenders	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No	() Yes (X) No
Other (e.g. victims of human trafficking, forced marriage, sexual mutilation)	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No

Comments - If “Other vulnerable person” and/or “Other specific arrangements”, please specify: The legislative framework concerning support to victims (Law 4478/2017) was further strengthened by provisions introduced by Law 4855/2021 as regards, inter alia, the protection of witnesses (e.g. victims of trafficking), who have access to a grid of established rights, support and protection of victims of crime, such is i) information on the type of support they can obtain and from whom, ii) access to healthcare, iii) access to legal advice, the procedure and the conditions for accessing legal aid, iv) interpretation services and compensation, as well as the reimbursement of any expenses incurred as a result of their participation in criminal proceedings, v) individual assessment of the victim, so that any specific

protection needs to be identified in order to be assessed whether and to what extent the victim may benefit from, vi) special protection measures, vii) protection against secondary and repeated victimization, intimidation and reprisals during the investigation, as well as the conduct of criminal proceedings, including the hearing of the case.

According to the aforementioned legislation, victims have at their disposal a grid of rights, which is as follows: Article 56 Right of victims to understand and be understood

Article 57 Right to receive information from the first contact with the competent authority

Article 58 Right of victims when filing a complaint

Article 59 Right of victims to receive information about their case

Article 60 Right of interpretation and translation

Article 61 Right of access to victim support and care services

Article 62 Support from victim support services

Article 63 Right to safeguards in the context of restorative justice services

Article 63A Return of assets

Article 64 Rights of victims residing in another EU member state

Article 65 Right to avoid contact between victim and perpetrator. Protection of victims and their relatives

Article 66 Right to protection of victims during the criminal investigation

Article 67 Right to privacy

Article 68 Individual assessment of victims to determine special protection needs

Article 69 Right to protection of victims with special protection needs during criminal proceedings

Also see answer to Q. 31-0

031-0. If there are special arrangements for minors, what are the settings / tools / facilities / practises employed to protect them when they participate in judicial proceedings?

Special and child-adequate preparation for participation in trials / lawsuits (explaining in a child-friendly manner the proceedings)

Special room in court designated for child-friendly hearings

Special person / team of trained professional(s) (such as psychologists) to accompany a minor throughout the proceedings

Special ways to communicate and explain meaning of court decisions

Interagency/multidisciplinary structure such as "Children's Houses"

Other, please specify

NAP

Comment Law 4478/2017 provides for the establishment of Independent Offices for the Protection of Minor Victims - "Children's Houses" in five major cities of the country. Article 68 par. 3 of Law 4478/2017, as applies, provides that minor victims have special need for protection due to the particular risk of suffering secondary and repeated victimization, intimidation and retaliation and for this purpose they are subject to individual assessment by the Independent Offices for the Protection of Minor Victims - "Children's Houses" of the Ministry of Justice or by the Independent Offices of Juvenile Curators and Social Welfare, in collaboration with a child psychologist or child psychiatrist of the mental health structures and in lack of the above, a psychologist or psychiatrist in order to be decided if and to what extent the minor victim benefits from special measures. The "Children's Houses" are responsible for carrying out the individual assessment of minor victims to determine special protection needs, the assessment of the perceptive capacity and mental state of minor victims by specialized staff, the provision of assistance to pre-investigative, investigative, prosecutorial and judicial authorities for the appropriate child-victim-friendly examination during criminal proceedings.

The co-located Independent Offices for the Protection of Minor Victims "Children's Houses" of Athens and Piraeus, are fully operational since December 2021 in a specially designed space, accessible and friendly to children, equipped with the appropriate logistical infrastructure for the monitoring and recording of testimonies. In both services, the judicial interviews are carried out by a specially trained psychologist who follows the Protocol of the judicial examination, and the statements are recorded with modern audio-visual means in order to avoid secondary victimization of the children.

The legislative framework was further strengthened by provisions introduced by Law 4855/2021, which amended the Penal Code and the Code of Criminal Procedure and enhanced protection of minors who are victims of crimes. Article 227 of the Code of Criminal Procedure provides that the examination as a witness of the minor victim is carried out at the Independent Offices for the Protection of Minor

Victims of the Appellate District or, where these do not operate, at specially designed and adapted for this purpose, without culpable delay and with as few interviews as possible. In addition, it provides that the examination of the minors is carried out by the pre-investigative officers and judicial officials through and in presence of a child psychologist or child psychiatrist and that the child's statement is drawn up in writing and recorded in an electronic audio-visual means, so that the child does not have to testify again at the next stages of the procedure as the electronic testimony replaces the physical presence.

031-1. What are the main criteria for a person under 18 years of age to act in court proceedings or to be a witness?

	Civil proceedings	Criminal proceedings
Capacity to initiate a proceeding and take other procedural actions in his/her own name	<input type="checkbox"/> Age threshold [Comment] <input type="checkbox"/> Capacity for discernment <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Age threshold [Comment]13 <input type="checkbox"/> Capacity for discernment <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
To be a witness	<input type="checkbox"/> Age threshold [Comment] <input type="checkbox"/> Capacity for discernment <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Age threshold [Comment] <input type="checkbox"/> Capacity for discernment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - Please specify if you selected "Other". - In civil cases, proceedings on behalf of a minor are initiated by parents or legal guardians, regardless of age.

- In civil cases minors are not examined as witnesses. Only the possibility of a private hearing by the judge hearing the case, regardless of the age of the minor, in the context of family disputes depending on the child's degree of maturity.

- In criminal proceedings minors under 13 years of age will submit a complaint through their legal representative while for minors over 13 (age 13-17) the legal representative and the minor himself have the right to complain.

- For criminal proceedings, the provisions of articles 226A and 227 of the Code of Criminal Procedure apply . Briefly in criminal proceedings, minors testify without oath, but not before an audience and always in the presence of a child psychologist.

031-2. If a person under 18 years of age cannot act in court proceedings in his/her own name, who can represent him/her in judicial proceedings?

	Civil proceedings	Criminal proceedings
Parent/legal guardian	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, always <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, except in some specific situations <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, always <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, except in some specific situations <input type="checkbox"/> No
Another representative (instead of parent/legal guardian)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Social care services or other public institution <input type="checkbox"/> Legal professional <input type="checkbox"/> Associations for protection of minors <input type="checkbox"/> Other	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Social care services or other public institution <input type="checkbox"/> Legal professional <input type="checkbox"/> Associations for protection of minors <input type="checkbox"/> Other

Comment

031-3. What are the different criteria for the criminal liability of minors? (multiple replies

possible)

Age threshold(s)

Capacity for discernment

Other criteria

Comment

031-3-1. What is the age threshold for the criminal liability of minors?

Criminal liability resulting in sentence without privation of liberty (for example, educational measures)

[12]

NA

NAP

Criminal liability resulting in sentence of privation of liberty

[15]

NA

NAP

Comment - Please describe, briefly, the specifics of your system. Could you, please specify if the possibility of mitigation applies to the sanctions and how? According to Article 126 of the Penal Code: "1. A crime committed by a minor of twelve to fifteen years of age shall not be imputed to him. The court may impose on him reformatory or curative measures. 2. A minor who has committed a criminal offence and has reached the fifteenth year of age shall also be subject to reformatory or therapeutic measures, unless it is deemed necessary to impose a restriction on a special detention facility for young people as referred to in the following article." According to Article 127 of the Criminal Code, confinement in a special detention facility is imposed only on minors who have reached the fifteenth year of age, if their act was committed by an adult would be a felony and contain elements of violence or directed against life or physical integrity. In accordance with Article 130 (1) of the Penal Code "1. The provision of Article 126 (1) shall also apply to minors who have committed a crime before the completion of the fifteenth year and are brought to trial after the completion of the eighteenth year. In such a case, the reform measures shall automatically cease when the person responsible reaches the twenty-fifth year of age." Finally, the possibility of mitigations also applies to the sanctions against minors, where according to Article 83 (E) of the Criminal Code, the penalty is reduced freely to its minimum .

-

032. Does your country allocate compensation for victims of offences?

Yes, but only if the offender is unknown

Yes, but only if compensation could not be obtained from the offender

Yes, in both situations

No

Comment

032-0. If yes, for what types of offences the compensation is allocated?

For all types of offences

For some types of offences

NAP

Comment - Please specify: As far as victims' right to claim compensation for their loss of income or some expenses is concerned, according to Article 3 of Law 3811/2009, as amended by Law 4689/2020, victims of crimes of violence with intent or of "Trafficking in persons", "Travel with the purpose of sexual abuse of minors", "Rape", "Seduction of Children", "Indecent assault against children",

“Child Pornography”, “Enticing minors for sexual purposes”, “Pornographic performances of minors”, and finally “Sexual abuse of a minor in return for remuneration” of the Greek Penal Code, may claim compensation by the Greek Compensation Authority.

032-1. Is a court decision necessary in the framework of the compensation procedure?

Yes

No

Comments According to art. 3 par. 2 of Law 3811/2009 (as amended) victims of intentional and violent crimes may also claim State Compensation in certain cases where the perpetrator remains unknown and the competent Public Prosecutor is filing the case as such. In the majority of cases, the claim for damages - compensation is determined by a court decision.

032-0. If yes, for what types of offences the compensation is allocated?

For all types of offences

For some types of offences

NAP

Comment - Please specify: As far as victims' right to claim compensation for their loss of income or some expenses is concerned, according to Article 3 of Law 3811/2009, as amended by Law 4689/2020, victims of crimes of violence with intent or of “Trafficking in persons”, “Travel with the purpose of sexual abuse of minors”, “Rape”, “Seduction of Children”, “Indecent assault against children”, “Child Pornography”, “Enticing minors for sexual purposes”, “Pornographic performances of minors”, and finally “Sexual abuse of a minor in return for remuneration” of the Greek Penal Code, may claim compensation by the Greek Compensation Authority.

032-1. Is a court decision necessary in the framework of the compensation procedure?

Yes

No

Comments According to art. 3 par. 2 of Law 3811/2009 (as amended) victims of intentional and violent crimes may also claim State Compensation in certain cases where the perpetrator remains unknown and the competent Public Prosecutor is filing the case as such. In the majority of cases, the claim for damages - compensation is determined by a court decision.

032-0. If yes, for what types of offences the compensation is allocated?

For all types of offences

For some types of offences

NAP

Comment - Please specify: As far as victims' right to claim compensation for their loss of income or some expenses is concerned, according to Article 3 of Law 3811/2009, as amended by Law 4689/2020, victims of crimes of violence with intent or of “Trafficking in persons”, “Travel with the purpose of sexual abuse of minors”, “Rape”, “Seduction of Children”, “Indecent assault against children”, “Child Pornography”, “Enticing minors for sexual purposes”, “Pornographic performances of minors”, and finally “Sexual abuse of a minor in return for remuneration” of the Greek Penal Code, may claim compensation by the Greek Compensation Authority.

032-1. Is a court decision necessary in the framework of the compensation procedure?

Yes

No

Comments According to art. 3 par. 2 of Law 3811/2009 (as amended) victims of intentional and violent crimes may also claim State Compensation in certain cases where the perpetrator remains unknown and the competent Public Prosecutor is filing the case as such. In the majority of cases, the claim for damages - compensation is determined by a court decision.

034. Is there a regular monitoring (official studies, reports etc.) allowing the evaluation of the

recovery rate of the damages awarded by courts to victims?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please illustrate with available data concerning the recovery rate, the title of the studies, the frequency of the studies and the coordinating body:

035. Do public prosecutors have a specific role with respect to victims (protection and assistance)?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify: Law 4478/2017 on victims' rights art. 60, 63, 64, 66, 67, 68, 69.

035-1. Do public prosecutors have a specific role with respect to minor victims (protection and assistance)?

Yes

No

Comment - If yes, please specify:

-



036. Do victims of offences have the right to dispute a public prosecutor's decision to discontinue a case? Please verify the consistency of your answers in this question and question 105 regarding the possibility for a public prosecutor "to discontinue a case without needing a decision by a judge".

Yes

No

NAP

Comment - If necessary, please specify: By appeal according to article 52 of the Code of Criminal Procedure(L.4620/2019). Article 51 of the Code of Criminal Procedure(L. 4620/2019).

037. Is there a system of compensation in the following circumstances:

	Number of requests for compensation	Number of compensations granted	Total amount of compensations granted (in €)
Total	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Excessive length of proceedings	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Non-execution of court decisions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Wrongful arrest/detention	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Wrongful conviction	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Other	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - Where appropriate, please give details on the compensation procedure and the calculation method for the amount of the compensation (e.g., the amount per day for unjustified detentions or convictions): -Articles 538,539,540 of the Code of Criminal Procedure

-According to the Council of the State (1501/2014) and in line with the case law of the CJUE, the State may be held liable for damages to the citizen in case of an erroneous judicial decision if there is a manifest error.

-Law 4239/2014 as amended by Law 4842/2021 provides for fair compensation for excessive length of proceedings

037-1. Please specify which authorities are responsible for dealing with the requests and whether a legal time limit exists to deal with these requests:

	Responsible authorities	Legal time limit
Court concerned	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other court	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Ministry of Justice	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
High Judicial Council	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other external bodies (e.g. Ombudsman)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments

037-2. Are there statistical data disaggregated by gender concerning the number of:

	Existence of statistical data disaggregated by gender
Persons who initiate a case in other than criminal matters	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes - If yes, please specify for which categories of cases: [Comment] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Victims recognised as such by the court	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes - If yes, please specify for which types of offences: [Comment] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Perpetrators of criminal offences	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes - If yes, please specify for which types of offences: [Comment] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments

037-3. Are there statistical data on the relation between the perpetrator of the criminal offence and the victim recognised by the court?

() Yes

(X) No

If yes, please specify:

2.2.2 Confidence and satisfaction of citizens with their justice system

038. Does your country implement surveys to measure trust in justice and satisfaction with the services delivered by the judicial system?

	National level	Court level
Surveys for judges	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
Surveys for court staff	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
Surveys for public prosecutors	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
Surveys for lawyers	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
Surveys for other professionals	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
Surveys for the parties	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
Surveys for other court users (e.g. jurors, witnesses, experts, interpreters, representatives of governmental agencies, NGOs)	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
Surveys for victims	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
Surveys for minors	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
Surveys for the general public	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
Other not mentioned	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc

NA

Comment - Please, indicate the references and links to the satisfaction surveys you mentioned above: There are no such surveys

3. Organisation of the court system

3.1. Courts

3.1.1 Number of courts

042. Number of courts - legal entities.

	Number of courts
Total number of all courts - legal entities (1 + 2)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
1 Total number of courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities (1.1 + 1.2 + 1.3)	279 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
1.1 First instance courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities	259 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
1.2 Second instance courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities	19 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
1.3 Highest instance courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities	1 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
2 Total number of specialised courts - legal entities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

043. Number of specialised courts – legal entities.

	First instance	Higher instances
Total number of specialised courts - legal entities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Commercial courts (excluded insolvency courts)	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Insolvency courts	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Labour courts	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Family courts	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Rent and tenancies courts	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Enforcement of criminal sanctions courts	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Fight against terrorism, organised crime and corruption	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Internet related disputes	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Administrative courts	30 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	10 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Insurance and / or social welfare courts	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Military courts	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Juvenile courts	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Other specialised courts	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	1 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If “Other specialised courts”, please specify: The Court of Auditors is one of three supreme courts in Greece.

044. Number of courts - geographic locations.

	Number of courts (geographic locations)
First instance courts geographic locations (this includes 1st instance courts of general jurisdiction and first instance specialised courts)	289 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
All the courts (geographic locations) (this includes 1st instance courts of general jurisdiction, first instance specialised courts, all second instance courts and courts of appeal and all Supreme Courts)	320 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

C. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: Directorate of Organization and Functioning of Justice

3.2. Court staff

3.2.1 Judges and non-judge staff

046. Number of professional judges sitting in courts (if possible on 31 December of the reference year). (Please give the information in full-time equivalent and for posts actually filled for all types



of courts - general jurisdiction and specialised courts)

	Total	Males	Females
Total number of professional judges (1 + 2 + 3)	3 981 [] NA [] NAP	1 002 [] NA [] NAP	2 979 [] NA [] NAP
1. Number of first instance professional judges	2 640 [] NA [] NAP	633 [] NA [] NAP	2 007 [] NA [] NAP
2. Number of second instance (court of appeal) professional judges	925 [] NA [] NAP	235 [] NA [] NAP	690 [] NA [] NAP
3. Number of Supreme Court professional judges	416 [] NA [] NAP	134 [] NA [] NAP	282 [] NA [] NAP

Comment - Please provide any useful comment for interpreting the data above: The answer came after the cooperation of the Directorate for the organization and operation of Justice with Juststat. The discrepancy has been analyzed to last year questionnaire.

=

046-1-1. Does your system allow part-time work for professional judges with proportionally reduced remuneration?

- () Yes
- (X) No

Comments

046-1-2. If yes, please specify in which situation(s) part-time work can be granted (multiple replies possible).

- [] Child-care
- [] Elderly care or other dependant persons' care
- [] Training
- [] For the purposes of early retirement
- [] No specific reason required
- [] Other reason, please specify:

Comments

046-1-3. If yes, what is the number of professional judges working part-time with reduced remuneration?

	Total	Males	Females
Total (1 + 2 + 3)	[] NA [] NAP	[] NA [] NAP	[] NA [] NAP

1. At first instance level	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
2. At second instance (court of appeal) level	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
3. At Supreme Court level	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

046-1-4. Are there other possibilities (apart from part-time) for regular adjustment of working time or conditions with or without reduced remuneration?

	Adjustment of working time or conditions with or without reduced remuneration
Temporary reduction of the workload	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Temporary reduction of the working time / special leave	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Other measures	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Comment: If such possibilities for regular adjustment exist, please specify if they imply or not a reduction of the remuneration?

046-1-5. If yes, please specify in which situation(s) these possibilities can be used?

- Child-care
- Elderly care or other dependant persons' care
- Training
- For the purposes of early retirement
- As part of induction process for new judges
- No specific reason required
- Other reason, please specify:
- NAP

Comments The answer to the previous question is no.

=

046-2. Number of judges (FTE) by case type:

	Total	Civil and/or commercial	Criminal	Administrative	Other
Total number of judges	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
First instance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Second instance	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Supreme Court	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

If "Other", please explain which types of cases: There are two categories of judges, those dealing with criminal and civil justice and administrative judges. There is no data on the separation of cases. For the Council of State(Supreme Administrative Court) in administrative cases the judges are 166.

=

047. Number of court presidents .

	Total	Males	Females
Total number of court presidents (1 + 2 + 3)	124 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
1. Number of first instance court presidents	93 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
2. Number of second instance (court of appeal) court presidents	28 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
3. Number of Supreme Court presidents	3 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

048. Number of professional judges sitting in courts on an occasional basis and who are paid as such (if possible, on 31 December of the reference year):

	Figure
Gross figure	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
In full-time equivalent	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If necessary, please provide comments to explain the answer provided:

048-1. Do these professional judges sitting in courts on an occasional basis deal with a significant part of cases?

() Yes If yes, please give specifications on the types of cases and an estimate in percentage.

() No

NAP

Comments

049. Number of non-professional judges who are not remunerated but who may receive a simple defrayal of costs (if possible, on 31 December of the reference year) (e.g. lay judges or “juges consulaires”, but not arbitrators or persons sitting on a jury):

	Figure
Gross figure	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
In full time equivalent	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

049-1. If such non-professional judges exist at first instance in your country, please specify for which types of cases:

	Yes	No	Echevinage / mixed bench
Criminal cases (severe)	()	()	()
Criminal cases (misdemeanour and/or minor)	()	()	()
Family law cases	()	()	()
Labour law cases	()	()	()
Social law cases	()	()	()
Commercial law cases	()	()	()
Insolvency cases	()	()	()
Other civil cases	()	()	()

NAP

Comments - If “Other civil cases”, please specify:

050. Does your judicial system include trial by jury with the participation of citizens?

Yes

No

Comments

050-1. If yes, for which type(s) of case(s)?

Criminal cases

Other than criminal cases

Comments

051. Number of citizens who were involved in such juries for the year of reference:

[]

[X] NA

[] NAP

Comments



=

052. Number of non-judge staff who are working in courts (if possible on 31 December of the reference year) (this data should not include the staff working for public prosecutors; see question 60) (please give the information in full-time equivalent and for posts actually filled)

	Total	Males	Females
Total non-judge staff working in courts (1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5)	4 770 [] NA [] NAP	1 279 [] NA [] NAP	3 491 [] NA [] NAP
1. Rechtspfleger (or similar bodies) (see Explanatory Note)	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP
2. Non-judge (judicial) staff whose task is to assist the judges such as registrars (case preparation, assistance during the hearing, helping to draft the decisions)	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP
3. Staff in charge of different administrative tasks and of the management of the courts (human resources management, material and equipment management, including computer systems, financial and budgetary management, training management)	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP
4. Technical staff	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP
5. Other non-judge staff	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP

Comments - If "Other non-judge staff", please specify:

052-1. Number of non-judge staff by instance (if possible, on 31 December of the reference year) (this data should not include the staff working for public prosecutors; see question 60) (please give the information in full-time equivalent and for posts actually filled).

	Total	Males	Females
Total non-judge staff working in courts (1+2+3)	4 770 [] NA [] NAP	1 279 [] NA [] NAP	3 491 [] NA [] NAP

1. Total non-judge staff working in courts at first instance level	3 384 [] NA [] NAP	855 [] NA [] NAP	2 529 [] NA [] NAP
2. Total non-judge staff working in courts at second instance (court of appeal) level	626 [] NA [] NAP	168 [] NA [] NAP	458 [] NA [] NAP
3. Total non-judge staff working in courts at Supreme Court level	760 [] NA [] NAP	256 [] NA [] NAP	504 [] NA [] NAP

Comments he explanation was given in last year's questionnaire.

=

053. If there are Rechtspfleger (or similar bodies), please specify in which fields they have a role:

- Legal aid
- Family cases
- Payment orders
- Registry cases (land and/or business registry cases)
- Enforcement of civil cases
- Enforcement of criminal cases
- Non-litigious cases
- Other cases not mentioned (please describe in comment)
- NAP

Comments - Please briefly describe their status and exact duties:

054. Have the courts outsourced certain services under their responsibilities to external providers?

- Yes
- No

Comments In many courts the above-mentioned activities are fulfilled by private sector following the award of public contracts procedure. Building maintenance services (e.g. heating, air conditioning) are provided for the Council of State .

054-1. If yes, please specify which services have been outsourced:

- IT services
- Training of staff
- Security
- Archives
- Cleaning
- Other types of services (please specify):

Comments - If "Other types of services", please specify:

NA

C1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: Directorate of Organization and Functioning of Justice, Courts.

3.3. Public prosecution

3.3.1 Public prosecutors and staff

055. Number of public prosecutors (on 31 December of the reference year). (Please give the information in full-time equivalent and for posts actually filled.)

	Total	Males	Females
Total number of prosecutors (1 + 2 + 3)	607 [] NA [] NAP	215 [] NA [] NAP	392 [] NA [] NAP
1. Number of prosecutors at first instance level	382 [] NA [] NAP	129 [] NA [] NAP	253 [] NA [] NAP
2. Number of prosecutors at second instance (court of appeal) level	198 [] NA [] NAP	72 [] NA [] NAP	126 [] NA [] NAP
3. Number of prosecutors at Supreme Court level	27 [] NA [] NAP	14 [] NA [] NAP	13 [] NA [] NAP

Comments - Please indicate any useful comment for interpreting the data above:

=

055-1-1. Does your system allow part-time work for prosecutors with proportionally reduced remuneration?

() Yes

(X) No

Comments

055-1-2. If yes, please specify in which situation(s) part-time work can be granted? (multiple replies possible)

[] Child-care

[] Elderly care or other dependant persons' care

[] Training

[] For the purposes of early retirement

[] No specific reason required

[] Other reason, please specify:

Comments

055-1-3. If yes, what is the number of prosecutors working part-time with reduced remuneration?

Total	Males	Females

Total (1 + 2 + 3)	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
1. At first instance level	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
2. At second instance (court of appeal) level	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
3. At Supreme Court level	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

055-1-4. Are there other possibilities (apart of part-time work) for regular adjustment of working time or conditions with or without reduced remuneration?

	Adjustment of working time or conditions with or without reduced remuneration
Temporary reduction of the workload	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Temporary reduction of the working time / special leave	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Other measures	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Comment: If such possibilities for regular adjustment exist, please specify if they imply or not a reduction of the remuneration?

055-1-5 . If yes, please specify in which situation(s) these possibilities can be used?

- Child-care
- Elderly care or other dependant persons' care
- Training
- For the purposes of early retirement
- As part of induction process for new prosecutors
- No specific reason required
- Other reason, please specify:
- NAP

Comments

056. Number of heads of prosecution offices.

	Total	Males	Females
Total number of heads of prosecution offices (1 + 2 + 3)	83 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

1. Number of heads of prosecution offices at first instance level	63 [] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP
2. Number of heads of prosecution offices at second instance (court of appeal) level	19 [] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP
3. Number of heads of prosecution offices at Supreme Court level	1 [] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP

Please provide any useful comment for interpreting the data above:

057. In your judicial system, do other persons have similar duties to those of public prosecutors?

(X) Yes

() No

Comments - If yes, please specify their titles and functions:

057-1. If yes, please provide the number (in full-time equivalent):

[]

[X] NA

059. If yes, is their number included in the number of public prosecutors that you have indicated under question 55?

() Yes

(X) No

[] NAP

Comments Deputy Prosecutors and members of the prosecution(is the first degree after graduation from the National School of) have related duties to prosecutors.

059-1. Do prosecution offices have prosecutors who are specially trained in areas of domestic violence and sexual violence?

	-
Domestic violence	[X] Yes [] Yes, specifically for minor victims [] No [] NA [] NAP
Sexual violence	[X] Yes [] Yes, specifically for minor victims [] No [] NA [] NAP

Comments - If yes, please specify There are specialized prosecutors, but not in all prosecution offices.

=

060. Number of staff (non-public prosecutors) attached to the public prosecution services, if

possible, on 31 December of the reference year and without the number of non-judge staff, see question 52 (in full-time equivalent and for posts actually filled).

	Total	Males	Females
Number of staff (non-public prosecutors) attached to the public prosecution service	1 565 [] NA	420 [] NA	1 145 [] NA

Comment – please describe which categories of staff you have included in your reply: The majority is the category of Secretaries , the other categories are court bailiffs, typists, It clerks and two public prosecutor's offices have one security clerk each.

C2. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: the Directorate of judicial officials with Juststat.

3.4. Gender equality

3.4.1 Specific provisions for facilitating gender equality

061-2. Are there specific provisions for facilitating gender equality within the framework of the procedures for recruiting :

	Yes, please specify	No
judges	()	(X)
prosecutors	()	(X)
non-judge staff	()	(X)
lawyers	()	(X)
notaries	()	(X)
enforcement agents	()	(X)

[] NA

Comments - if the situation changed since the reference year, please specify in the comments. If you have additional comments please specify:

061-3. Are there specific provisions for facilitating gender equality within the framework of the procedures for promoting :

	Yes, please specify	No
judges	()	(X)

prosecutors	()	(X)
non-judge staff	()	(X)
lawyers	()	(X)
notaries	()	(X)
enforcement agents	()	(X)

Comments - If the situation changed since the reference year or you have additional comments, please specify:

061-3-1. Are there specific provisions for facilitating gender equality within the framework of the procedures for the appointment of:

	Yes / No
Court president	() Yes If “yes”, please specify:[Comment] (X) No
Head of prosecution services	() Yes If “yes”, please specify:[Comment] (X) No

Comments

3.4.2 At national level

061-5. Does your country have an overarching document (e.g. policy/strategy/action plan/program) on gender equality that applies specifically to the judiciary?

() Yes

(X) No

Comments - If the situation changed since the reference year, please indicate in the comments. Could you specify the reference or internet link of this/these document(s) or send/upload it/them to us?

061-6. At national level, is there any specific person (e.g. an equal opportunities commissioner) / institution dealing with gender issues in the justice system concerning:

	Yes, please specify	No
The recruitment of judges	()	(X)
The promotion of judges	()	(X)
The recruitment of prosecutors	()	(X)
The promotion of prosecutors	()	(X)
The recruitment of non-judge staff	()	(X)

The promotion of non-judge staff	()	(X)
----------------------------------	-----	-------

Comments - Please specify the status of this person/institution and if it has a consultative function or if its opinions/decisions have legal consequences:

3.4.3 At court/public prosecution services level

061-7. At the court or public prosecution services level, is there a person (e.g. an equal opportunities commissioner)/institution specifically dedicated to ensure the respect of gender equality in the organisation of judicial work?

	Yes	No
in courts (judges)	()	(X)
in public prosecution services (prosecutors)	()	(X)
for courts' non-judge staff	()	(X)

Comments - Please specify the details of this person/institution, in particular its titles and function:

061-9. In order to improve gender balance in access to different judicial professions and gender equality in promotion and in access to functions of responsibility, what are the measures, in your country, which:

have been already implemented (please specify) :

are planned (please specify) :

Comments - If the situation changed since reference year, please specify in the comments.

NAP

061-10. Are there evaluation studies or official reports regarding the main causes of possible gender inequalities with regard to:

- Recruitment procedures, please specify:
- Appointment to the position of court president, please specify:
- Appointment to the position of head of prosecution services, please specify:

Promotion procedures and access to the functions of responsibility, please specify:

Other studies, please specify:

NAP

Comments - Please specify also the reference documents.

3.5. Use of information technologies in courts

3.5.1 Governance

ICT STRATEGY

062-01. Do you have an overall Information and Communication Technology (ICT) strategy in the judicial system?

Yes

No

Comments The essential elements of the digital transformation for the field of Justice concern the development, integration and improvement of digital tools related to the access and management of legal information, the operation of court proceedings and in general the improvement of the functioning of the judicial system. The users of these digital tools will be the citizens, the legal practitioners, the judicial authorities and the Public Sector bodies.

(source: <https://digitalstrategy.gov.gr/sector/dikaioisini>)

062-02. If there is an overall ICT strategy in the judicial system, who was involved in the process of its definition?

Judges (Judicial council)

Prosecutors (Prosecutorial or judicial council)

Ministry of justice

Lawyers (bar association)

Notaries (association of notaries)

Enforcement agents (association of enforcement agents)

Other (please specify) Court IT departments

NA

NAP

Comments

LEGISLATION

062-03. Does a national legislation/regulation of ICT in the judicial system exist?

Yes

No

Comments

062-04. If yes, how is this legislation/regulation of ICT in the judicial system structured?

Relevant norms are included in the general e-government legislation/regulation

Relevant norms are included in specific legislation/regulation only for the judicial system

Relevant texts are included in dedicated technical documents/specifications

Other, please specify

NA

Comment - If more than one of the proposed models exist in your country, please select them all and explain the details There are specific rules in the Civil, Criminal and Administrative Procedural Codes as well as a Presidential Degree regarding the online filing of a case, there are also specific provisions in the new (2022) Code of organization of courts.

NA

IMPACT OF IMPLEMENTATION OF ICT SYSTEMS

062-05. Have you already organised audits/evaluations/assessments of the impact of the implementation of the ICT system?

Yes

No

Comments

062-06. If these audits/evaluations/assessments were already organised, please specify their modalities:

	Format	Last conducted audit
ICT Governance	<input type="checkbox"/> Internal <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> External <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - no audit has been organised <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> In the last 2 years <input type="checkbox"/> Between 2 and 5 years ago <input type="checkbox"/> More than 5 years ago <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - no audit has been organised <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Security and risk management	<input type="checkbox"/> Internal <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> External <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - no audit has been organised <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> In the last 2 years <input type="checkbox"/> Between 2 and 5 years ago <input type="checkbox"/> More than 5 years ago <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - no audit has been organised <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Impact on efficiency and quality of the business processes and workflow	<input type="checkbox"/> Internal <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> External <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - no audit has been organised <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> In the last 2 years <input type="checkbox"/> Between 2 and 5 years ago <input type="checkbox"/> More than 5 years ago <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - no audit has been organised <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Impact on human resources (number, workload, wellbeing)	<input type="checkbox"/> Internal <input type="checkbox"/> External <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP - no audit has been organised <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> In the last 2 years <input type="checkbox"/> Between 2 and 5 years ago <input type="checkbox"/> More than 5 years ago <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP - no audit has been organised <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Other, please specify in comments	<input type="checkbox"/> Internal	<input type="checkbox"/> In the last 2 years
	<input type="checkbox"/> External	<input type="checkbox"/> Between 2 and 5 years ago
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP - no audit has been organised	<input type="checkbox"/> More than 5 years ago
	<input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP - no audit has been organised
		<input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comment - If you have selected other area, please provide details. Please also add details on the content of the last organised evaluation.

062-07. If these audits/evaluations/assessments were organised in the last 5 years, how did you apply their recommendations/results?

- Update applications
 - Define new ICT projects/modules
 - Adjust legislation
 - Adjust working processes
 - Withdraw/stop use of a module/application
 - Reporting purpose only
 - Other, please specify
- NA
 NAP

Comments

3.5.2 Electronic case processing

ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION OF CASES

062-08. If it is possible to submit a case to a court electronically, what are the deployment and usage rates?

	Deployment rate	Usage rate
Civil	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 %
	<input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 %
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 50-75 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 %
	<input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 %	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 25-50 %
	<input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 %
	<input type="checkbox"/> 0 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 0 %
	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic submission is not possible	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic submission is not possible
	<input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> NA

Administrative	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic submission is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic submission is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Criminal	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic submission is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic submission is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments

062-09. If it is possible to submit a case to a court electronically, please specify the modalities:

	Electronic or paper	Possible to be submitted electronically by:	Data integration
Civil	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Paper submission is still possible <input type="checkbox"/> Paper submission is not possible anymore (electronic submission is the only way) <input type="checkbox"/> Double submission (paper must accompany the electronic submission) <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic submission is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lawyer <input type="checkbox"/> Party not represented by a lawyer <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic submission is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The data are electronically transferred to the Case Management System (CMS) <input type="checkbox"/> The data are manually re-entered in the CMS <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic submission is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Administrative	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Paper submission is still possible <input type="checkbox"/> Paper submission is not possible anymore (electronic submission is the only way) <input type="checkbox"/> Double submission (paper must accompany the electronic submission) <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic submission is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lawyer <input type="checkbox"/> Party not represented by a lawyer <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic submission is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The data are electronically transferred to the Case Management System (CMS) <input type="checkbox"/> The data are manually re-entered in the CMS <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic submission is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Criminal	<input type="checkbox"/> Paper submission is still possible <input type="checkbox"/> Paper submission is not possible anymore (electronic submission is the only way) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Double submission (paper must accompany the electronic submission) <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic submission is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Lawyer <input type="checkbox"/> Party not represented by a lawyer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic submission is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The data are electronically transferred to the Case Management System (CMS) <input type="checkbox"/> The data are manually re-entered in the CMS <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic submission is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments (See general comment)

SENDING ELECTRONIC DOCUMENTS TO COURT

062-10. If it is possible to send case-related documents to the courts electronically, what are the deployment and usage rates?

	Deployment rate	Usage rate
Civil	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Criminal	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments

062-11. If it is possible to send electronically case related documents to the courts, please specify the modalities:

	Electronic or paper	Possible to be submitted electronically by:	Data integration
Civil	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Paper delivery is still possible <input type="checkbox"/> Paper delivery is not possible anymore (electronic delivery is the only way) <input type="checkbox"/> Double delivery (Paper delivery must accompany the electronic one) <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Documents sent by a lawyer <input type="checkbox"/> Documents sent by a party not represented by a lawyer <input type="checkbox"/> Documents sent by another person/institution <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The data are electronically transferred to the CMS <input type="checkbox"/> The data are manually re-entered in the CMS <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Paper delivery is still possible <input type="checkbox"/> Paper delivery is not possible anymore (electronic delivery is the only way) <input type="checkbox"/> Double delivery (Paper delivery must accompany the electronic one) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Documents sent by a lawyer <input type="checkbox"/> Documents sent by a party not represented by a lawyer <input type="checkbox"/> Documents sent by another person/institution <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> The data are electronically transferred to the CMS <input type="checkbox"/> The data are manually re-entered in the CMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Criminal	<input type="checkbox"/> Paper delivery is still possible <input type="checkbox"/> Paper delivery is not possible anymore (electronic delivery is the only way) <input type="checkbox"/> Double delivery (Paper delivery must accompany the electronic one) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Documents sent by a lawyer <input type="checkbox"/> Documents sent by a party not represented by a lawyer <input type="checkbox"/> Documents sent by another person/institution <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> The data are electronically transferred to the CMS <input type="checkbox"/> The data are manually re-entered in the CMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic delivery is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comment - If you have selected the option “Documents sent by another person/institution”, please specify details.

ELECTRONIC NOTIFICATIONS

062-12. If it is possible for courts to send electronic notifications, what are the deployment and usage rates?

	Deployment rate	Usage rate
Civil	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic notifications are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic notifications are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic notifications are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic notifications are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Criminal	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic notifications are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic notifications are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments For Administrative Courts the rate of deployment is indicated only for certain cases concerning to the legal council of the state.

062-13. If it is possible for courts to send electronic notifications, please specify the modalities:

	Electronic or paper	Type of notification	Data integration
Civil	<input type="checkbox"/> Paper notification is still possible <input type="checkbox"/> Paper notification is not possible anymore (electronic notification is the only way) <input type="checkbox"/> Double notification (paper notification must accompany the electronic one) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic notifications are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Notifications sent by the court to the lawyer <input type="checkbox"/> Notifications sent by the court to the party not represented by a lawyer <input type="checkbox"/> Notifications with attached official documents sent by the courts <input type="checkbox"/> Notifications sent to other persons/institutions <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic notifications are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> The electronic notification is generated from the CMS <input type="checkbox"/> The electronic notification is manually generated <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic notifications are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Paper notification is still possible <input type="checkbox"/> Paper notification is not possible anymore (electronic notification is the only way) <input type="checkbox"/> Double notification (paper notification must accompany the electronic one) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic notifications are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Notifications sent by the court to the lawyer <input type="checkbox"/> Notifications sent by the court to the party not represented by a lawyer <input type="checkbox"/> Notifications with attached official documents sent by the courts <input type="checkbox"/> Notifications sent to other persons/institutions <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic notifications are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> The electronic notification is generated from the CMS <input type="checkbox"/> The electronic notification is manually generated <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic notifications are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Criminal	<input type="checkbox"/> Paper notification is still possible <input type="checkbox"/> Paper notification is not possible anymore (electronic notification is the only way) <input type="checkbox"/> Double notification (paper notification must accompany the electronic one) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic notifications are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Notifications sent by the court to the lawyer <input type="checkbox"/> Notifications sent by the court to the party not represented by a lawyer <input type="checkbox"/> Notifications with attached official documents sent by the courts <input type="checkbox"/> Notifications sent to other persons/institutions <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic notifications are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> The electronic notification is generated from the CMS <input type="checkbox"/> The electronic notification is manually generated <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic notifications are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comment - If you have selected the option “Notifications sent to other persons/institutions”, please specify details.

CONSULTATION OF A CASE ONLINE

062-14. If it is possible for external users to consult a case online, what are the deployment and usage rates?

Deployment rate	Usage rate
-----------------	------------

Civil	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - online consultation is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - online consultation is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - online consultation is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - online consultation is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Criminal	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - online consultation is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - online consultation is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments

062-15. If it is possible for external users to consult a case online, please specify the modalities:

	Content	Access	Consultation format
Civil	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Case status <input type="checkbox"/> Documents <input type="checkbox"/> Notifications <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Events/calendar <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Court decision <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – online consultation is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lawyer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Party not represented by a lawyer <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – online consultation is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Electronic access at the court premises <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – online consultation is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Administrative	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Case status <input type="checkbox"/> Documents <input type="checkbox"/> Notifications <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Events/calendar <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Court decision <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – online consultation is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lawyer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Party not represented by a lawyer <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – online consultation is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Electronic access at the court premises <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – online consultation is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Criminal	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Case status <input type="checkbox"/> Documents <input type="checkbox"/> Notifications <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Events/calendar <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Court decision <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – online consultation is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lawyer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Party not represented by a lawyer <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – online consultation is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Electronic access at the court premises <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – online consultation is not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comment - If you have selected the option “Other”, please specify details.

REMOTE HEARINGS

062-16. If it is possible to organise remote hearings what are the deployment and usage rates?

	Deployment rate	Usage rate
Civil	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - remote hearings are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - remote hearings are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - remote hearings are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - remote hearings are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Criminal	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 %
	<input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 %
	<input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 %
	<input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 %
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1-25 %	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1-25 %
	<input type="checkbox"/> 0 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 0 %
	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP - remote hearings are not possible	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP - remote hearings are not possible
	<input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments

062-17. If it is possible to organise remote hearings, please specify the functionalities and modalities:

	Functionalities	Modalities
Civil	<input type="checkbox"/> Dedicated tool specially designed for the use by courts <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Publicly available tools used by courts <input type="checkbox"/> Organisation of private sessions within online hearings for consultation between parties and their lawyers <input type="checkbox"/> Tools for witness protection (voice distortion, picture distortion) <input type="checkbox"/> Tools for simultaneous interpretation <input type="checkbox"/> Tools for automatic subtitling (speech-to-text) <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – remote hearings are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Agreement of the parties is needed <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The judge can impose a remote hearing <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – remote hearings are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Dedicated tool specially designed for the use by courts <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Publicly available tools used by courts <input type="checkbox"/> Organisation of private sessions within online hearings for consultation between parties and their lawyers <input type="checkbox"/> Tools for witness protection (voice distortion, picture distortion) <input type="checkbox"/> Tools for simultaneous interpretation <input type="checkbox"/> Tools for automatic subtitling (speech-to-text) <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – remote hearings are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Agreement of the parties is needed <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The judge can impose a remote hearing <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – remote hearings are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Criminal	<input type="checkbox"/> Dedicated tool specially designed for the use by courts <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Publicly available tools used by courts <input type="checkbox"/> Organisation of private sessions within online hearings for consultation between parties and their lawyers <input type="checkbox"/> Tools for witness protection (voice distortion, picture distortion) <input type="checkbox"/> Tools for simultaneous interpretation <input type="checkbox"/> Tools for automatic subtitling (speech-to-text) <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – remote hearings are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Agreement of the parties is needed <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The judge can impose a remote hearing <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – remote hearings are not possible <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments

ELECTRONIC ARCHIVES



062-18. If electronic archives of cases exist, what are the deployment and usage rates?

Deployment rate	Usage rate
------------------------	-------------------

Civil	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic archives do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic archives do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic archives do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic archives do not exist <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA
Criminal	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic archives do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - electronic archives do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments For administrative cases the deployment rate is higher than 25-50% only for Council of State but the cases of the Council of State are far fewer in proportion than the total cases of Administrative Justice.

062-19. If an electronic archive of cases exists, please specify the modalities:

	Electronic or paper
Civil	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Paper archiving is still possible <input type="checkbox"/> Paper archiving is not possible anymore (electronic archiving is the only way) <input type="checkbox"/> Double archiving (paper archiving must accompany the electronic one) <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic archives do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Administrative	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Paper archiving is still possible <input type="checkbox"/> Paper archiving is not possible anymore (electronic archiving is the only way) <input type="checkbox"/> Double archiving (paper archiving must accompany the electronic one) <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic archives do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Criminal	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Paper archiving is still possible <input type="checkbox"/> Paper archiving is not possible anymore (electronic archiving is the only way) <input type="checkbox"/> Double archiving (paper archiving must accompany the electronic one) <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – electronic archives do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments

3.5.3 Tools

CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS (CMS)

062-20. If one or more case management system(s) (CMS) exist, what are the deployment and usage rates?

	Deployment rate	Usage rate
Civil	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - CMS does not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - CMS does not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Administrative	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - CMS does not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - CMS does not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Criminal	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 %	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 %
	<input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 %
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 50-75 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 %
	<input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 %
	<input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 %
	<input type="checkbox"/> 0 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 0 %
	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP - CMS does not exist	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP - CMS does not exist
	<input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments

062-21. If one or more case management system(s) (CMS) exist, please specify the functionalities of these system(s):

	Functionalities
Civil	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Centralised and/or interoperable CMS databases <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Active case management dashboard <input type="checkbox"/> Random allocation of cases <input type="checkbox"/> Case weighting <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Identification of a case between instances (unique or linked id number) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Electronic transfer of a case to another instance/court <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymisation of decisions to be published <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Interoperability with other systems (civil register, tax register, insolvency register) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Access to closed/resolved cases <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced search engine <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Protected log files <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Electronic signature <input type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – CMS does not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Administrative

- Centralised and/or interoperable CMS databases
 - Active case management dashboard
 - Random allocation of cases
 - Case weighting
 - Identification of a case between instances (unique or linked id number)
 - Electronic transfer of a case to another instance/court
 - Anonymisation of decisions to be published
 - Interoperability with other systems (civil register, tax register, insolvency register)
 - Access to closed/resolved cases
 - Advanced search engine
 - Protected log files
 - Electronic signature
 - Other special functionality, please specify
 - NAP – CMS does not exist
- NA

Comment - If you have selected the option “Other special functionality”, because of its importance please specify details.

062-22. If one or more case management system(s) (CMS) exist, please specify the functionalities of these system(s):

Functionalities

Criminal	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Centralised and/or interoperable CMS databases <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Active case management dashboard <input type="checkbox"/> Random allocation of cases <input type="checkbox"/> Case weighting <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Identification of a case between instances (unique or linked id number) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Electronic transfer of a case to another instance/court <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymisation of decisions to be published <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Interoperability with prosecution system <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Interoperability with other systems (civil register, tax register, insolvency register) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Access to closed/resolved cases <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced search engine <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Protected log files <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Electronic signature <input type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – CMS does not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA
-----------------	--

Comment - If you have selected the option “Other special functionality”, please specify the details.

WRITING ASSISTANCE TOOLS

062-23. If writing assistance tools exist in courts, what are their deployment and usage rates?

	Deployment rate	Usage rate
Civil	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - writing assistance tools do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - writing assistance tools do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - writing assistance tools do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - writing assistance tools do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Criminal	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - writing assistance tools do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - writing assistance tools do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA
-----------------	--	--

Comments

062-24. If writing assistance tools exist in courts, please describe their functionalities:

	Functionalities
Civil	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Templates <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Automatically generated text <input type="checkbox"/> Automatically suggested decision <input type="checkbox"/> Speech-to-text <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Electronic signature <input type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – writing assistance tools do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Administrative	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Templates <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Automatically generated text <input type="checkbox"/> Automatically suggested decision <input type="checkbox"/> Speech-to-text <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Electronic signature <input type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – writing assistance tools do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Criminal	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Templates <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Automatically generated text <input type="checkbox"/> Automatically suggested decision <input type="checkbox"/> Speech-to-text <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Electronic signature <input type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – writing assistance tools do not exist <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comment - If you have selected the option “Other special functionality”, please specify the details.

RECORDING OF COURT HEARINGS

062-25. If a tool to record court hearings exists, what are the deployment and usage rates?

	Deployment rate	Usage rate
Civil	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - there is no tool for recording hearings <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - there is no tool for recording hearings <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP - there is no tool for recording hearings <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP - there is no tool for recording hearings <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Criminal	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - there is no tool for recording hearings <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - there is no tool for recording hearings <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments

062-26. If a tool to record court hearings exist, please specify its functionalities:

	Functionalities
Civil	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Audio recording <input type="checkbox"/> Video recording <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systematic recording for all hearings <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Automatically indexed recording <input type="checkbox"/> Automatic transcript from recording <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Possibility to request a copy of the recording <input type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – there is no tool for recording hearings <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Audio recording <input type="checkbox"/> Video recording <input type="checkbox"/> Systematic recording for all hearings <input type="checkbox"/> Automatically indexed recording <input type="checkbox"/> Automatic transcript from recording <input type="checkbox"/> Possibility to request a copy of the recording <input type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP – there is no tool for recording hearings <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Criminal	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Audio recording <input type="checkbox"/> Video recording <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systematic recording for all hearings <input type="checkbox"/> Automatically indexed recording <input type="checkbox"/> Automatic transcript from recording <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Possibility to request a copy of the recording <input type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – there is no tool for recording hearings <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comment - If you have selected the option “Other special functionality”, please specify the details.

DATABASE OF COURT DECISIONS

062-27. If there is a national database of court decisions, please provide the percentage of the decisions published at each instance.

	Percentage of 1st instance decisions published	Percentage of 2nd instance decisions published	Percentage of Supreme court decisions published
Civil	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Administrative	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Criminal	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments

062-28. If there is a national database of court decisions, please specify the modalities in publishing these decisions:

	1st instance	2nd instance	Supreme court
Civil	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Published online (public website) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Published in an internal database <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP- There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Published online (public website) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Published in an internal database <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP- There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Published online (public website) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Published in an internal database <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP- There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Administrative	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Published online (public website) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Published in an internal database <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP- There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Published online (public website) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Published in an internal database <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP- There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Published online (public website) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Published in an internal database <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP- There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Criminal	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Published online (public website)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Published online (public website)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Published online (public website)
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Published in an internal database	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Published in an internal database	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Published in an internal database
	<input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify	<input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify	<input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify
	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP– There is no database for these decisions	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP– There is no database for these decisions	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP– There is no database for these decisions
	<input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> NA

- If you have selected the option “Other” because the court decisions are published online in some other way then the presented modalities, please describe. The decisions from administrative courts in all instances when published online(public website) are anonymized-in first and second instance when published in an internal database(all decisions)

Anonymized decisions of the Council of State and Administrative Courts are available to the public at <http://www.adjustice.gr>

Anonymized civil and criminal decisions of the Supreme Court are available to the public at <https://www.areiospagos.gr>

Anonymized Civil decisions of the Appeal Court of Piraeus are available to the public at <http://www.efeteio-peir.gr/>

Anonymized decisions of Maritime Law of the First Instance Court of Piraeus are available to the public at <https://www.protodikeio-peir.gr>

062-29. If there is a database of court decisions at national level, what are the functionalities of this database?

	Functionalities
Civil	<input type="checkbox"/> Automatic anonymisation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Manual anonymisation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Free public online access <input type="checkbox"/> Link to the case law of the European Court of Human Rights (ECHR) <input type="checkbox"/> Open data <input type="checkbox"/> Advanced search engine <input type="checkbox"/> Machine-readable content <input type="checkbox"/> Structured content <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Metadata <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> European Case Law Identifier (ECLI) <input type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Automatic anonymisation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Manual anonymisation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Free public online access <input type="checkbox"/> Link to the case law of the European Court of Human Rights (ECHR) <input type="checkbox"/> Open data <input type="checkbox"/> Advanced search engine <input type="checkbox"/> Machine-readable content <input type="checkbox"/> Structured content <input type="checkbox"/> Metadata <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> European Case Law Identifier (ECLI) <input type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Criminal	<input type="checkbox"/> Automatic anonymisation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Manual anonymisation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Free public online access <input type="checkbox"/> Link to the case law of the European Court of Human Rights (ECHR) <input type="checkbox"/> Open data <input type="checkbox"/> Advanced search engine <input type="checkbox"/> Machine-readable content <input type="checkbox"/> Structured content <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Metadata <input type="checkbox"/> European Case Law Identifier (ECLI) <input type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – There is no database for these decisions <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comment - If you have selected the option “Other special functionality”, please specify the details. European Case Law Identifier (ECLI) in administrative courts only for Council of State.

STATISTICAL TOOLS

062-30. If there are statistical tools for analysing court case data, what is their deployment rate?

	Deployment rate
Civil	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - there are no statistical tools <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - there are no statistical tools <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Criminal	<input type="checkbox"/> 95-100 % <input type="checkbox"/> 75-95 % <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 50-75 % <input type="checkbox"/> 25-50 % <input type="checkbox"/> 1-25 % <input type="checkbox"/> 0 % <input type="checkbox"/> NAP - there are no statistical tools <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments In administrative category the percentage refers to the Council of State.

062-31. If there are statistical tools for analysing court case data, please describe their functionalities and the data available for statistical analysis:

	Functionalities	Data available for statistical analysis
Civil	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Integration/connection with the CMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Business intelligence software <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Generation of predefined statistical reports <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Generation of customised statistical reports <input type="checkbox"/> Internal page and/or dashboard <input type="checkbox"/> External page with statistics (public website) <input type="checkbox"/> Real-time data availability <input type="checkbox"/> Automatic consolidation of data at the national level <input type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify <input type="checkbox"/> NAP – there are no statistical tools <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Case flow data (number of incoming, resolved, pending) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Age of a pending case <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Length of proceedings <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Number of hearings <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cases per judge <input type="checkbox"/> Case weights <input type="checkbox"/> Number of parties in a case <input type="checkbox"/> Indicator of appeal <input type="checkbox"/> Result of the appeal <input type="checkbox"/> NAP– there are no statistical tools <input type="checkbox"/> NA

<p>Administrative</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Integration/connection with the CMS</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Business intelligence software</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Generation of predefined statistical reports</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Generation of customised statistical reports</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Internal page and/or dashboard</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> External page with statistics (public website)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Real-time data availability</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Automatic consolidation of data at the national level</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NAP – there are no statistical tools</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NA</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Case flow data (number of incoming, resolved, pending)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Age of a pending case</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Length of proceedings</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Number of hearings</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cases per judge</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Case weights</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Number of parties in a case</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Indicator of appeal</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Result of the appeal</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NAP– there are no statistical tools</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NA</p>
<p>Criminal</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Integration/connection with the CMS</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Business intelligence software</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Generation of predefined statistical reports</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Generation of customised statistical reports</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Internal page and/or dashboard</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> External page with statistics (public website)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Real-time data availability</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Automatic consolidation of data at the national level</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other special functionality, please specify</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NAP – there are no statistical tools</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NA</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Case flow data (number of incoming, resolved, pending)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Age of a pending case</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Length of proceedings</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Number of hearings</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cases per judge</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Case weights</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Number of parties in a case</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Indicator of appeal</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Result of the appeal</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NAP– there are no statistical tools</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NA</p>

Comment - If you have selected the option “Other special functionality”, please specify the details The office for the collection and processing of judicial statistics of the Ministry of Justice has some common functions and some differentiated in relation to the courts. The same applies to the data available for analysis.

OTHER TOOLS

062-32. Is there any application for online court-related dispute resolution?

() Yes

(X) No

Comments

062-33. If yes, is there a maximum value over which online court-related dispute resolution cannot be organised?

() Yes, please specify the maximum value

() No

Comments

062-34. If yes, can the online court-related dispute resolution be used in the following areas?

[] Small claim litigation

[] Undisputed claim

[] Payment order

[] Misdemeanour criminal cases

[] Enforcement of civil cases

[] Other, please specify

Comment: Please describe the existing online procedures:

062-35. Is there a computerised national record centralising all criminal convictions?

(X) Yes

() No

Comments

062-36. If yes, please specify the following information:

[] The computerised record includes biometric data (ex. fingerprint data, picture)

[X] The computerised record is linked to other European records of the same nature (ex. ECRIS)

[X] The content is directly available through computerised means for judges and/or prosecutors (ex. interoperability with the CMS)

[X] The content is directly available for purposes other than criminal (ex. civil and administrative matters)

[X] The record contains conviction information on third-country nationals and stateless persons

Comments

062-37. Is there a Document Management System (DMS) in the registry of courts?

(X) Yes

() No

Comment: If yes, please provide details on the purposes and usage of this system. See the general comment.

062-38. In addition to the tools listed in the ICT section of this questionnaire does your judicial system use other innovative ICT tools?

() Yes

No

Comment: If yes, please list and describe these ICT tools.

3.6.Performance and evaluation

3.6.1 National policies applied in courts and public prosecution services

066. Are quality standards determined for the judicial system at national level (are there quality systems for the judiciary and/or judicial quality policies)?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify: According to Law 4938/2022 (art.104) of the organization of court and tribunals code.

067. Do you have specialised personnel entrusted with implementation of these national level quality standards?

	Yes / No
within the courts	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
within the public prosecution services	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Comments

3.6.2 Measuring court/public prosecution services

070. Do you regularly monitor court activities (performance and quality) concerning:

number of incoming cases

length of proceedings (timeframes)

number of resolved cases

number of pending cases

backlogs

productivity of judges and court staff

satisfaction of court staff

satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)

costs of the judicial procedures

number of appeals

appeal ratio

clearance rate

disposition time

other (please specify):

Comments Many courts have chosen the option number of Appeals but not all. The Council of State has additionally selected the options number of Appeals and ratio of Appeals. The General Commission of the State for ordinary administrative courts has additionally selected

the options number of Appeals and clearance rate.

According to Law 4938/2022 (art. 99-104), supreme judges appointed as inspectors for two year's term, redact every year general reports on the operation of each court and prosecutor's office in their district and recommend the necessary measures for the proper functioning of the service.

Regarding administrative courts, this task is fulfilled by the General Commission of the State for ordinary administrative courts. In the near future we hope to be able to use a business intelligence program, in order to extract composite statistical data without contacting any court.

[E-mail: g-epitropia-d-d@otenet.gr]

070-1. Do you regularly monitor public prosecution activities (performance and quality) concerning:

- number of incoming cases
- length of proceedings (timeframes)
- number of resolved cases
- number of pending cases
- backlogs
- productivity of prosecutors and prosecution staff
- satisfaction of prosecution staff
- satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the by the public prosecution)
- costs of the judicial procedures
- clearance rate
- disposition time
- percentage of convictions and acquittals
- other (please specify):

Comments It is noted that the clearance rate was not selected by all prosecutors' offices, in addition several prosecutors' offices but not all have chosen the option of percentage of convictions and acquittals.

071. Do you monitor the number of pending cases and cases that are not processed within a reasonable timeframe (backlogs) for:

- civil law cases
- criminal law cases
- administrative law cases

Comments

072. Do you monitor waiting time during judicial proceedings?

	Yes (If yes, please specify)	No
within the courts	(X)	()
within the public prosecution services	(X)	()

Comments

073. Do you have a system to evaluate regularly court performance based on the monitored indicators of question 70?

Yes

No

Comments

073-0. If yes, please specify the frequency:

Annual

Less frequent

More frequent

Comments - If "Less frequent" or "More frequent", please specify:

073-1. Is this evaluation of the court activity used for the later allocation of resources within this court?

Yes

No

Comments Only the Supreme Courts and the General Commission of the state for ordinary administrative Courts make use of the evaluation to reallocate resources.

073-2. If yes, which courses of action are taken (multiple replies possible)?

Identifying the causes of improved or deteriorated performance

Reallocating resources (human/financial resources based on performance)

Reengineering of internal procedures to increase efficiency

Other (please specify):

Comments

073-3. Do you have a system to evaluate regularly the performance of the public prosecution services based on the monitored indicators of question 70-1?

Yes

No

Comments

073-4. If yes, please specify the frequency:

Annual

Less frequent

More frequent

Comments - If "less frequent" or "more frequent", please specify:

073-5. Is this evaluation of the activity of public prosecution services used for the later allocation of resources within this public prosecution service?

() Yes

(X) No

Comments

073-6. If yes, which courses of action are taken (multiple replies possible)?

- Identifying the causes of improved or deteriorated performance
- Reallocating resources (human/financial resources based on performance)
- Reengineering of internal procedures to increase efficiency
- Other (please specify):

Comments

=

079. Who is responsible for evaluating the performance of the courts (multiple replies possible)?

- High Judicial Council
- Ministry of Justice
- Inspection authority
- Supreme Court
- External audit body
- Other (please specify):

Comments court Inspection

079-1. Who is responsible for evaluating the performance of the public prosecution services (multiple replies possible)?

- Public Prosecutorial Council
- Ministry of Justice
- Head of the organisational unit or hierarchically superior public prosecutor
- Prosecutor General /State public prosecutor
- External audit body
- Other (please specify):

Comments

3.6.3 Information regarding courts /public prosecution services activity

080. Is there a centralised institution that is responsible for collecting statistical data regarding the functioning of the courts?

- (X) Yes (please indicate the name and the address of this institution):
- () No

Comments Office for the collection and processing of judicial statistics (JustStat) at Ministry of Justice (Mesogeion Avenue 96, 11527, Athens) (Statistics@justice.gov.gr).

(The General Commission of the State for ordinary administrative courts (L. Riankour 85, 11503, Athens).

The General Commission of the State submits an annual report regarding the operation and productivity of each administrative court to

the Ministry of Justice and is posted to its official website)

080-1. Are the statistics on the functioning of each court published?

- Yes, on the internet (please provide the link)https://ministryofjustice.gr/?page_id=1603
- No, only internally (on an intranet website)
- No

Comments

=

080-2. Is there a centralised institution that is responsible for collecting statistical data regarding the functioning of the public prosecution services?

- Yes (please indicate the name and the address of this institution):
- No

Comments Office for the collection and processing of judicials statistics, Statistics@justice.gov.gr

080-3. Are the statistics on the functioning of each public prosecution service published?

- Yes, on the internet (please provide the link)https://ministryofjustice.gr/?page_id=1603
- No, only internally (on an intranet website)
- No

Comments

=

081. Are individual courts required to prepare an activity report (that includes, for example, data on the number of resolved cases or pending cases, the number of judges and administrative staff, targets and assessment of the activity)?

- Yes
- No

Comments - If yes, please describe the content of the report and its audience (i.e. to whom the report is primarily intended):

081-1. If yes, please specify in which form this report is released:

- Internet
- Intranet (internal) website
- Paper distribution

Comments

081-2. If yes, please, indicate the periodicity at which the report is released:

- Annual
- Less frequent
- More frequent

Comments Every 3 months

=

081-3. Are public prosecution services required to prepare an activity report (that includes, for example, data on the number of incoming cases, the number of decisions, the number of public prosecutors and administrative staff, targets and assessment of the activity)?

- Yes
- No

Comments - If yes, please describe the content of the report and its audience (i.e. for whom the report is primarily intended):

081-4. If yes, please specify in which form this report is released:

- Internet
- Intranet (internal) website
- Paper distribution

Comments Annual report to the Inspector Prosecutor of the Supreme Court, quarterly report to the prosecutor of the Supreme Court, every six months to the Ministry of Justice.

The data are posted on the internet by the competent office of the Ministry of Justice(JustStat)

081-5. If yes, please, indicate the periodicity at which the report is released:

- Annual
- Less frequent
- More frequent

Comments

3.6.4 Performance and evaluation of judges and public prosecutors

083. Are there quantitative performance targets defined for each judge (e.g. the number of resolved cases in a month or year)?

- Yes
- No

Comments Every administrative judge is charged each year with a specific number of cases to carry out, stipulated by an internal Regulation, according to a model adopted by the General Commission of the State.

083-1. Who is responsible for setting these targets for each judge?

- Executive power (for example the Ministry of Justice)
- Legislative power
- Judicial power (for example the High Judicial Council, Supreme Court)
- President of the court
- Other (please specify):
- NAP

Comments

083-1-1. What are the consequences for a judge if these targets are not met?

	Consequences:
Without disciplinary procedure	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Warning by court's president <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Temporary salary reduction <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reflected in the individual assessment <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify: [Comment]
With disciplinary procedure	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Warning by court's president <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Temporary salary reduction <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reflected in the individual assessment <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify: [Comment]
-	<input type="checkbox"/> No consequences
-	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP (no targets defined)

Comments

114. Is there a system of individual evaluation of the judges' work?

	Existence of a system of individual evaluation of the judges' work
Quantitative	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Qualitative	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Comment: Please specify the criteria on which the assessment is based, the authority competent for carrying out the assessment, the purposes for which the results of the assessment are used: -In order to be promoted to the Supreme Court(Areios Pagos), ethics, courage, judgment and perception, quantitative and qualitative performance, speed in the administration of justice in relation to the seriousness and difficulty of the cases, scientific training and social performance are evaluated as essential qualifications.

- 1.) there is a three-member Board of inspection of the Associate Councillors and Judges-Rapporteurs of the Council of State.
2) For the Associate Councillors , the ability and speed of drawing up draft decisions are evaluated, as well as the way of processing the legal and factual part of the cases for which they drafted recommendations in relation to their seriousness and difficulty, their contribution during the conference, diligence in the performance of their duties, their performance, their behavior in the audience and their morals.For the evaluation of the Judges-Rapporteurs, the way of processing the legal and factual part of the cases for which they prepared pre-proposals, in relation to their seriousness and difficulty, the ability and speed of drafting pre-proposals, the diligence in the performance of their tasks, their performance, ethos and valence.

The judgments are specifically reasoned.

3) To improve the quality and efficiency of judicial work, as well as for the promotion of judicial officers. Poor evaluation may result in the activation of disciplinary procedures against judges.

-The competent authority for the evaluation of the Judges of the courts of Appeals is the President of the Inspection Department of the Supreme Court(Areios Pagos) . The evaluation criteria of the Judicial Officers are the Judicial ethos, valence and character, scientific training, judgment and perception (ability in terms of judicial affiliation and the effective resolution of disputes), diligence, qualitative performance, hard work, quantitative performance (in conjunction with awareness of pending proceedings), the ability to administer justice (in conjunction with the formulation of judicial decisions), the ability to administer justice (in conjunction with the management of proceedings), behaviour, in general and in particular in the audience and the social performance.

114-1. Please specify the frequency of this evaluation:

Annual

- () Less frequent
- () More frequent
- () Different frequencies used, please specify:
- [] NAP

=

083-2. Are there quantitative performance targets defined for each public prosecutor (e.g. the number of decisions in a month or year)?

- () Yes
- (X) No

Comments There is, however, as far as possible an equal distribution of cases between them by the Head of the Service (Prosecution Service) and the obligation of the public prosecutor to process the cases assigned to him in the time frames provided for each case.

083-3. Who is responsible for setting these targets for each public prosecutor?

- [] Executive power (for example the Ministry of Justice)
- [] Prosecutor General /State public prosecutor
- [] Public Prosecutorial Council
- [] Head of the organisational unit or hierarchically superior public prosecutor
- [] Other (please specify):
- [X] NAP

Comments The answer chosen is in accordance with the answers of the majority of the prosecutors ' offices.

083-3-1. What are the consequences for a prosecutor if these targets are not met?

	Consequences:
Without disciplinary procedure	<input type="checkbox"/> Warning by head of prosecution <input type="checkbox"/> Temporary salary reduction <input type="checkbox"/> Reflected in the individual assessment <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify: [Comment] <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
With disciplinary procedure	<input type="checkbox"/> Warning by head of prosecution <input type="checkbox"/> Temporary salary reduction <input type="checkbox"/> Reflected in the individual assessment <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify: [Comment] <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
No consequences	<input type="checkbox"/> No consequences <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments NAP:No targets are set

120. Is there a system of individual evaluation of the public prosecutors' work?

Existence of a system of individual evaluation of the public prosecutors' work

Quantitative	() Yes (X) No
Qualitative	(X) Yes () No

Comment: Please specify the criteria on which the assessment is based, the authority competent for carrying out the assessment, the purposes for which the results of the assessment are used: he question was answered by the prosecutor's office of the Supreme Court.

120-1. Please specify the frequency of this evaluation:

- Annual
- Less frequent
- More frequent
- Different frequencies used, please specify:
- NAP

Comments he question was answered by the prosecutor's office of the Supreme Court.

C4. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: Prosecutor's office of the Supreme Court and the prosecutors ' offices.

4.Fair trial

4.1.Principles

4.1.1Principles of fair trial

084. Percentage of first instance criminal in absentia judgments (cases in which the suspect is not attending the hearing in person nor is represented by a lawyer)?

- []
- NA
- NAP

Comments - Please add methodology for calculation used.

085. Is there a procedure to effectively challenge a judge (recusal), if a party considers that the judge is not impartial?

- Yes
- No

Comments - Please could you briefly specify:

085-1. If yes, what are:

-

The total number of the initiated procedures in the reference year	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
The total number of recusals pronounced in the reference year	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comment - Please, could you briefly specify:

086. Is there in your country a monitoring system for the violations related to Article 6 of the European Convention on Human Rights?

- For civil procedures (non-enforcement)
 For civil procedures (timeframe)
 For criminal procedures (timeframe)
 NAP

Comments - Please specify what are the terms and conditions of this monitoring system (information related to acknowledged violations by ECHR at the State/courts level; implementation of internal systems to prevent other violations (that are similar) and if possible to measure an evolution of the established violations): Legal Council of the State (see relevant annual reports)

<https://www.nsk.gr/documents/15678/30956/%CE%95%CE%A4%CE%97%CE%A3%CE%99%CE%91+%CE%95%CE%9A%CE%98%CE%95%CE%A3%CE%97+%CE%9D%CE%A3%CE%9A+2022.pdf/16b9fdd2-2872-49ba-97c9-0151d8009a4e>

086-1. Is there in your country a possibility to review/reopen a case after a finding of a violation of the European Convention on Human Rights by the European Court of Human Rights?

- For civil cases
 For criminal cases
 For administrative cases
 NAP

Comments -Article 758 of Civil Procedure Code (as amended by art. 29 of Law 4491/2017)

-Article 16 of Law 4446/2016 -Article 525 of Criminal Procedure Code

-Article 105a of Administrative Procedure Code

D1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: Courts,Department Of Human Rights.

4.2. Timeframe of proceedings

4.2.1 General information

087. Are there specific procedures for urgent matters regarding:

- civil cases
 criminal cases
 administrative cases
 There is no specific procedure for urgent matters

Comments - If yes, please specify:

088. Are there simplified procedures for:

- civil cases (small disputes)
- criminal cases (misdemeanour cases)
- administrative cases
- There is no simplified procedure

Comments - If yes, please specify:

088-1. For these simplified procedures, may judges deliver an oral judgement with a written order and without the full reasoning of the judgement ?

- civil cases
- criminal cases
- administrative cases

Comments - If yes, please specify: -Issuing a temporary injunction in civil cases and issuing a criminal injunction in misdemeanors. -Only with regard to the interim measures for administrative cases(answered by the General Commission of the State for ordinary administrative courts).

089. Do courts and lawyers have the possibility to conclude agreements on arrangements for processing cases (presentation of files, decisions on timeframes for lawyers to submit their conclusions etc.)?

	Yes	No
Agreement on general arrangements	()	(X)
Agreement in specific cases	()	(X)

Comments answered by the Supreme Court(Areios Pagos)

4.2.2 Case flow management – first instance



091. First instance courts: number of other than criminal law cases.

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the first instance court
Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	376 148 [] NA [] NAP	214 619 [] NA [] NAP	215 909 [] NA [] NAP	374 858 [] NA [] NAP	4 513 [] NA [] NAP
1. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases (including litigious enforcement cases and if possible without administrative law cases, see category 3)	256 150 [] NA [] NAP	140 011 [] NA [] NAP	130 171 [] NA [] NAP	265 990 [] NA [] NAP	3 699 [] NA [] NAP

2. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	19 556 [] NA [] NAP	19 436 [] NA [] NAP	16 733 [] NA [] NAP	22 259 [] NA [] NAP	787 [] NA [] NAP
2.1. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases, e.g. uncontested payment orders, request for a change of name, non-litigious enforcement cases etc. (if possible without administrative law cases, see category 3; without registry cases and other cases, see categories 2.2 and 2.3)	16 557 [] NA [] NAP	13 497 [] NA [] NAP	12 110 [] NA [] NAP	17 944 [] NA [] NAP	689 [] NA [] NAP
2.2. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	770 [] NA [] NAP	2 253 [] NA [] NAP	1 181 [] NA [] NAP	1 842 [] NA [] NAP	49 [] NA [] NAP
2.2.1. Non litigious land registry cases	651 [] NA [] NAP	2 085 [] NA [] NAP	1 007 [] NA [] NAP	1 729 [] NA [] NAP	42 [] NA [] NAP
2.2.2 Non-litigious business registry cases	119 [] NA [] NAP	168 [] NA [] NAP	174 [] NA [] NAP	113 [] NA [] NAP	7 [] NA [] NAP
2.2.3. Other registry cases	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP
2.3. Other non-litigious cases	2 229 [] NA [] NAP	3 686 [] NA [] NAP	3 442 [] NA [] NAP	2 473 [] NA [] NAP	49 [] NA [] NAP
3. Administrative law cases	98 916 [] NA [] NAP	52 463 [] NA [] NAP	66 635 [] NA [] NAP	84 744 [] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP
4. Other cases	1 526 [] NA [] NAP	2 709 [] NA [] NAP	2 370 [] NA [] NAP	1 865 [] NA [] NAP	27 [] NA [] NAP

Comments The data has been provided by the office for the collection and processing of judicial statistics.

It is worth highlighting that comparisons with previous data/cycles are not relevant. Indeed, since last year, measures have been taken to improve the system for collecting statistical data, such as the training of staff and the creation of an instructional manual guide with definitions and instructions. These measures were intended to help collecting more reliable data from both small and large courts and prosecution services and eliminate the standard errors in judicial statistics. As our methodology is developing, discrepancies in data can be observed. Besides, as regards “Other cases”, it should be mentioned that some types of cases that were previously included in this category, are now distributed in the other case-categories in the table.

092. If courts deal with “civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases”, please indicate the case categories included:

. Voluntary jurisdiction (art. 739 Code of Civil Procedure = CCP)is the power of civil courts to take regulatory measures of an administrative nature for the purpose of establishing a legal relationship or establishing a factual situation, without recognizing any private right, as is the case in the disputed jurisdiction (art. 1 (1) and(2), CCP).The voluntary jurisdiction is clearly differentiated from the disputed one because in the first one the diagnosis of private right is not sought but is not achieved, as in the second, but administrative jurisdiction is exercised with the result that the relevant judicial decisions do not contain a diagnosis of legal relations and do not produce res judicata under Art. 331 CCP, even in the case of their incidental examination. Ordinary civil courts are not

dealt with in all cases of voluntary jurisdiction without exception (art. 739, CCP) but only in those for which either law specifically provides (art. 94 S and 782-866, CCP), or refers to them, as e.g. arbitration (art. 878 of CCP), the declaration of Foreign title in Greece (art. 905, CCP), the order for the seizure of special property (art. 1023, CCP), or the introductory law of the CCP (art. 3 par. 3 and 44) or provided for by special provisions of laws, as e.g. in cases involving bankruptcy proceedings, etc., (Evang.Perakis, Bankruptcy Law, 160, 179). In these cases, the courts are called upon to: A) or to surround with the validity and guarantees of their correct and impartial judgment certain acts of private individuals (e.g. adoption), B) or give permission to perform a certain act (e.g. permission to divest property), C) or to approve an action (e.g. permission to a minor or commissioner), D) or even to take measures for the protection of a person or his property (e.g. judicial support, appointment of guardian of Scholastic inheritance.

093. Please indicate the case categories included in the category "other cases":

. land disputes filed under the ordinary procedure, orders for payment, corrections , re-discussions , applications to set aside judgements by default, additional grounds for opposition , ancillary actions,revocations of decisions on pre-notice , interim measures, cars, expropriations , insults against personality, filing of an appeal, appeals, correction of decisions, oppositions against an administrative expulsion protocol, oppositions against determination of compensation, special procedure(property and family disputes), oath-replacement of expert.

094. First instance courts: number of criminal law cases.

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the first instance court
Total of criminal law cases (1+2+3)	34 563 [] NA [] NAP	264 496 [] NA [] NAP	185 752 [] NA [] NAP	113 307 [] NA [] NAP	10 317 [] NA [] NAP
1. Severe criminal cases	134 [] NA [] NAP	1 195 [] NA [] NAP	664 [] NA [] NAP	665 [] NA [] NAP	37 [] NA [] NAP
2. Misdemeanour and / or minor criminal cases	34 366 [] NA [] NAP	259 513 [] NA [] NAP	181 357 [] NA [] NAP	112 522 [] NA [] NAP	10 280 [] NA [] NAP
3. Other criminal cases	63 [] NA [] NAP	3 788 [] NA [] NAP	3 731 [] NA [] NAP	120 [] NA [] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP

Comments - If you cannot make a distinction between misdemeanour criminal cases and severe criminal cases (according to the CEPEJ definitions), please specify what cases are reported in those categories. If "Other criminal cases", please specify It is worth highlighting that comparisons with previous data/cycles are not relevant. Indeed, since last year, measures have been taken to improve the system for collecting statistical data. The content of the categories of criminal cases in the table are the same as for the previous evaluation cycle, but the services now monitor and record these cases better so discrepancies in data can be observed. This is especially the case for the Misdemeanour and / or minor criminal cases.

4.2.3 Case flow management – second instance



097. Second instance courts (appeal): Number of “other than criminal law” cases.

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the second instance court
Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	46 263 [] NA [] NAP	33 565 [] NA [] NAP	31 464 [] NA [] NAP	48 364 [] NA [] NAP	1 855 [] NA [] NAP
1. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases (including litigious enforcement cases and if possible without administrative law cases, see category 3)	11 032 [] NA [] NAP	15 637 [] NA [] NAP	12 364 [] NA [] NAP	14 305 [] NA [] NAP	1 850 [] NA [] NAP
2. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	224 [] NA [] NAP	512 [] NA [] NAP	395 [] NA [] NAP	341 [] NA [] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP
2.1. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases, e.g. uncontested payment orders, request for a change of name, non-litigious enforcement cases etc. (if possible without administrative law cases, see category 3; without registry cases and other cases, see categories 2.2 and 2.3)	152 [] NA [] NAP	396 [] NA [] NAP	287 [] NA [] NAP	261 [] NA [] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP
2.2. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	23 [] NA [] NAP	81 [] NA [] NAP	74 [] NA [] NAP	30 [] NA [] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP
2.2.1. Non litigious land registry cases	23 [] NA [] NAP	81 [] NA [] NAP	74 [] NA [] NAP	30 [] NA [] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP
2.2.2 Non-litigious business registry cases	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP
2.2.3. Other registry cases	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP
2.3. Other non-litigious cases	49 [] NA [] NAP	35 [] NA [] NAP	34 [] NA [] NAP	50 [] NA [] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP
3. Administrative law cases	34 970 [] NA [] NAP	17 305 [] NA [] NAP	18 601 [] NA [] NAP	33 674 [] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP
4. Other cases	37 [] NA [] NAP	111 [] NA [] NAP	104 [] NA [] NAP	44 [] NA [] NAP	5 [] NA [] NAP

Comments - If “Other cases” please specify The data has been provided by the office for the collection and processing of judicial

statistics.

It is worth highlighting that comparisons with previous data/cycles are not relevant. Indeed, since last year, measures have been taken to improve the system for collecting statistical data, such as the training of staff and the creation of an instructional manual guide with definitions and instructions. These measures were intended to help collecting more reliable data from both small and large courts and prosecution services and eliminate the standard errors in judicial statistics. As our methodology is developing, discrepancies in data can be observed. Besides, as regards “Other cases”, it should be mentioned that some types of cases that were previously included in this category, are now distributed in the other case-categories in the table.

098. Second instance courts (appeal): Number of criminal law cases.

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the second instance court
Total of criminal law cases (1+2+3)	5 999 [] NA [] NAP	38 201 [] NA [] NAP	24 470 [] NA [] NAP	19 730 [] NA [] NAP	234 [] NA [] NAP
1. Severe criminal cases	3 913 [] NA [] NAP	25 762 [] NA [] NAP	13 979 [] NA [] NAP	15 696 [] NA [] NAP	191 [] NA [] NAP
2. Misdemeanour and / or minor criminal cases	2 066 [] NA [] NAP	11 910 [] NA [] NAP	9 989 [] NA [] NAP	3 987 [] NA [] NAP	43 [] NA [] NAP
3. Other criminal cases	20 [] NA [] NAP	529 [] NA [] NAP	502 [] NA [] NAP	47 [] NA [] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP

Comments - If you cannot make a distinction between misdemeanour criminal cases and severe criminal cases (according to the CEPEJ definitions), please specify what cases are reported in those categories. If “Other criminal cases”, please specify: The data has been provided by the office for the collection and processing of judicial statistics.

It is worth highlighting that comparisons with previous data/cycles are not relevant. Indeed, since last year, measures have been taken to improve the system for collecting statistical data. The content of the categories of criminal cases in the table are the same as for the previous evaluation cycle, but the services now monitor and record these cases better so discrepancies in data can be observed. This is especially the case for the Misdemeanour and / or minor criminal cases.

4.2.4 Case flow management – Supreme Court

099. Highest instance courts (Supreme Court): Number of “other than criminal law” cases:

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the Supreme Court
Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	14 993 [] NA [] NAP	5 604 [] NA [] NAP	6 023 [] NA [] NAP	14 574 [] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP
1. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases (including litigious enforcement cases and if possible without administrative law cases, see category 3)	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP

2. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
2.1. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases, e.g. uncontested payment orders, request for a change of name, non-litigious enforcement cases etc. (if possible without administrative law cases, see category 3; without registry cases and other cases, see categories 2.2 and 2.3)	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
2.2. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
2.2.1. Non litigious land registry cases	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
2.2.2 Non-litigious business registry cases	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
2.2.3. Other registry cases	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
2.3. Other non-litigious cases	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
3. Administrative law cases	12 310 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	3 038 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	3 492 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	11 856 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
4. Other cases	2 683 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	2 566 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	2 531 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	2 718 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If “Other cases”, please specify It is worth highlighting that comparisons with previous data/cycles are not relevant. Indeed, since last year, measures have been taken to improve the system for collecting statistical data, such as the training of staff and the creation of an instructional manual guide with definitions and instructions. These measures were intended to help collecting more reliable data from both small and large courts and prosecution services and eliminate the standard errors in judicial statistics. As our methodology is developing, discrepancies in data can be observed. Besides, as regards “Other cases”, it should be mentioned that some types of cases that were previously included in this category, are now distributed in the other case-categories in the table.

099-1. At the level of the Highest court (Supreme Court), is there a procedure of manifest inadmissibility?

- (X) Yes, please indicate the number of cases closed by this procedure: NA
- () No

Comments Based on this procedure, 247 decisions were issued in 2022 by the Council of State (Supreme Administrative Court).

100. Highest instance courts (Supreme Court): Number of criminal law cases.

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the Supreme Court
Total of criminal law cases (1+2+3)	680 [] NA [] NAP	1 260 [] NA [] NAP	1 058 [] NA [] NAP	882 [] NA [] NAP	5 [] NA [] NAP
1. Severe criminal cases	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	5 [] NA [] NAP
2. Misdemeanour and / or minor criminal cases	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP
3. Other criminal cases	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP

Comment - If you cannot make a distinction between misdemeanour criminal cases and severe criminal cases (according to the CEPEJ definitions), please specify what cases are reported in those categories. If “Other criminal cases”, please specify It is worth highlighting that comparisons with previous data/cycles are not relevant. Indeed, since last year, measures have been taken to improve the system for collecting statistical data. The content of the categories of criminal cases in the table are the same as for the previous evaluation cycle, but the services now monitor and record these cases better so discrepancies in data can be observed. This is especially the case for the Misdemeanour and / or minor criminal cases.

4.2.5 Case flow management and timeframes – specific cases

101. Number of specific litigious cases received and processed by first instance courts.

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the first instance court
Litigious divorce cases	2 356 [] NA [] NAP	3 128 [] NA [] NAP	3 147 [] NA [] NAP	2 337 [] NA [] NAP	52 [] NA [] NAP
Employment dismissal cases	456 [] NA [] NAP	204 [] NA [] NAP	235 [] NA [] NAP	425 [] NA [] NAP	6 [] NA [] NAP
Insolvency	1 273 [] NA [] NAP	588 [] NA [] NAP	645 [] NA [] NAP	1 216 [] NA [] NAP	187 [] NA [] NAP
Robbery case	31 [] NA [] NAP	281 [] NA [] NAP	157 [] NA [] NAP	155 [] NA [] NAP	14 [] NA [] NAP
Intentional homicide	20 [] NA [] NAP	177 [] NA [] NAP	105 [] NA [] NAP	92 [] NA [] NAP	2 [] NA [] NAP

Comments

101-0. Number of cases relating to asylum seekers and to the right of entry and stay for aliens.

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec ref. year	Pending cases for more than 2 years
Court cases relating to asylum seekers (refugee status under the 1951 Geneva Convention)	2 230 [] NA [] NAP	1 556 [] NA [] NAP	1 839 [] NA [] NAP	1 947 [] NA [] NAP	258 [] NA [] NAP
Court cases relating to the right of entry and stay for aliens	2 390 [] NA [] NAP	1 403 [] NA [] NAP	1 708 [] NA [] NAP	2 085 [] NA [] NAP	380 [] NA [] NAP

Comments The data has been provided by the General Commission of the State for ordinary administrative courts.

The increase in the number of pending cases is due to the fact that in 2021, there was an extremely reduced number of decisions published from the Ordinary Administrative Courts. During 2021, there was an unusual disruption in the pace of work in this area, due to exogenous factors (on the one hand, special procedural regulations or even suspension of work from time to time due to measures taken to prevent the further spread of the pandemic throughout the whole country, on the other hand, the existence of a pending preliminary question before the Council of State concerning almost all relevant cases, the discussion of which was compulsorily postponed under the rules of relevant provisions).

101-1. Could you briefly describe the system in your country dealing with legal remedies relating to asylum seekers (refugee status under the 1951 Geneva Convention) and the right of entry and stay for aliens:

. Participation of judges in the Independent Appeal Committees. These Committees, currently composed of three members, are competent for examining appeals against decisions of the Asylum Service rejecting a request for international protection. The President and the other two members are judges of the administrative courts. The total number of the above Committees is twenty and they are required to fulfill judicial duties, ensuring the effective remedy provided by the article 46 of the Directive 2013/32, although they do not constitute courts under the Greek constitution (dec. of the Council of State no.1237/2017).

A petition for judicial review (annulment) against decisions of the independent Appeal Committees is allowed within a period of 60 days after the day of their performance (art114 L.4939/2022 & art. 46 PD 18/1989). The relevant decisions on the petition for judicial review (annulment) of the Administrative Court of Appeal and now the Courts of First Instance are subject to appeal before the Council of State. Third country nationals or stateless persons that do not have legal residence permit in Greece, are being referred to the competent police authority for the provided by the law procedures of expulsion, return or readmission. Against the relevant administrative act a petition for judicial review (annulment) is permitted to be submitted to the competent Administrative Court of First Instance within a period of 60 days after the day of those acts' performance. The relevant decisions of the Administrative Court of First Instance are subject to appeal before the Council of State.

According to 50 L.4939/2022 , the decision that orders the detention of a person that has applied for international protection as well as any other decision that extends the period of this detention is forwarded to the competent President of First Instance Court who considers the legality of the measure imposed. During this procedure the President of First Instance Court must hear the applicant concerned or his lawyer. According to article 30 L. 3907/2011 in combination with art. 14 L.4375/2016 third country nationals or stateless persons whose application for international protection has been rejected or who do not fall within the legal provisions granting international protection or any other form of protection are referred to the competent police authority for the procedures of their return. Until the completion of the procedure of their removal, they may be placed, by a decision, under administrative detention. Against this decision the concerned persons have the right to object before the competent President of the Administrative court of First Instance. The legitimacy of any decision, in addition to the original, that extends the detention is examined ex officio by the President of the competent Administrative Court of First Instance.

he international protection applicants that are under administrative detention as well as the persons their application has been rejected, are entitled to legal aid when lodging objections against the decision for their detention (detention order) or when submitting an appeal before the Independent Appeal Committees or later on a petition for judicial review (annulment).

101-2. Number of cases relating to child sexual abuse and child pornography received and processed by first instance courts.

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the first instance court
Child sexual abuse	30 [] NA [] NAP	202 [] NA [] NAP	121 [] NA [] NAP	111 [] NA [] NAP	10 [] NA [] NAP
Child pornography	20 [] NA [] NAP	95 [] NA [] NAP	58 [] NA [] NAP	57 [] NA [] NAP	2 [] NA [] NAP

Comments - Please explain what are the legal definitions of these categories of offences in your system:

102. Percentage of decisions subject to appeal, average length of proceedings and percentage of cases pending for more than 3 years for all instances for specific litigious cases. The average length of proceedings has to be calculated from the date the application for judicial review is lodged to the date the judgment is made, without taking into account the investigation phase in criminal cases as well as enforcement procedure.

	% of decisions subject to appeal	Average length in 1st instance (in days)	Average length in 2nd instance (in days)	Average length in 3rd instance (in days)	Average length of the entire procedure (in days)	% of cases pending for more than 3 years for all instances
Civil and commercial litigious cases	_____ Allow decimals : 2 38.8 [] NA [] NAP	327 [] NA [] NAP	668 [] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	507 [] NA [] NAP	_____ Allow decimals : 2 12 [] NA [] NAP
Litigious divorce cases	_____ Allow decimals : 2 58.2 [] NA [] NAP	304 [] NA [] NAP	500 [] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	343 [] NA [] NAP	_____ Allow decimals : 2 0 [] NA [] NAP
Employment dismissal cases	_____ Allow decimals : 2 50.5 [] NA [] NAP	234 [] NA [] NAP	390 [] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	287 [] NA [] NAP	_____ Allow decimals : 2 0 [] NA [] NAP
Insolvency cases	_____ Allow decimals : 2 60.6 [] NA [] NAP	274 [] NA [] NAP	285 [] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	331 [] NA [] NAP	_____ Allow decimals : 2 0 [] NA [] NAP
Robbery cases	_____ Allow decimals : 2 55.35 [] NA [] NAP	397 [] NA [] NAP	1 171 [] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	577 [] NA [] NAP	_____ Allow decimals : 2 3.3 [] NA [] NAP

Intentional homicide cases	_____	419	1 153		402	_____
	Allow decimals : 2	[] NA	[] NA	[X] NA	[] NA	Allow decimals : 2
	60.7	[] NAP	[] NAP	[] NAP	[] NAP	12
	[] NA					[] NA
	[] NAP					[] NAP

Comments

104. How is the length of proceedings calculated for the six case categories of question 102? Please give a description of the calculation method.

. We add up the days each court has declared as the average length of court proceedings and divide by the number of courts.

4.2.6 Case flow management – public prosecution

105. Role and powers of the public prosecutor in the criminal procedure (multiple replies possible):

- to conduct or supervise investigation
- when necessary, to request investigation measures from the judge
- to charge
- to present the case in court
- to propose a sentence to the judge
- to appeal
- to supervise the enforcement procedure
- to discontinue a case without needing a decision by a judge (ensure consistency with question 36!)
- to end the case by imposing or negotiating a penalty or measure without requiring a judicial decision
- other significant powers (please specify):

Comments

106. Does the public prosecutor also have a role in:

- civil cases
- administrative cases
- insolvency cases

Comments - If yes, please specify:

=

107. Public prosecutors: Total number of 1st instance criminal cases.

Number of cases

1.Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	244 034 [] NA [] NAP
2.Incoming/received cases	403 577 [] NA [] NAP
3.Processed cases (3.1+3.2+3.3+3.4)	434 123 [] NA [] NAP
3.1.Discontinued during the reference year (3.1.1+3.1.2+3.1.3+3.1.4.)	186 539 [] NA [] NAP
3.1.1 Discontinued by the public prosecutor because the offender could not be identified	97 684 [] NA [] NAP
3.1.2 Discontinued by the public prosecutor due to the lack of an established offence or a specific legal situation	54 571 [] NA [] NAP
3.1.3 Discontinued by the public prosecutor for reasons of opportunity	3 364 [] NA [] NAP
3.1.4 Discontinued for other reasons	30 920 [] NA [] NAP
3.2.Concluded by a penalty or a measure imposed or negotiated by the public prosecutor	3 567 [] NA [] NAP
3.3.Cases brought to court	244 017 [] NA [] NAP
4.Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	238 920 [] NA [] NAP

Comments It is worth highlighting that comparisons with previous data/cycles are not relevant. Indeed, since last year, measures have been taken to improve the system for collecting and calculating statistical data. As the methodology is developing, discrepancies in data can be observed.

107-1. If the guilty plea procedure exists, how many cases were concluded by this procedure?

	Total	Severe criminal cases	Misdemeanour and / or minor criminal cases
Total number of guilty plea procedures	1 441 [] NA [] NAP	19 [] NA [] NAP	1 422 [] NA [] NAP
Before the main trial	717 [] NA [] NAP	10 [] NA [] NAP	707 [] NA [] NAP
During the main trial	724 [] NA [] NAP	9 [] NA [] NAP	715 [] NA [] NAP

Comments This year, we modified the request by asking the information from the courts. We believe that this is reason for the variations observed.

109. Do the figures provided in Q107 include traffic offence cases?

Yes

No

Comments

D2. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: Office for the collection and processing of Judicials Statistics

5. Career of judges and public prosecutors

5.1. Recruitment and promotion

5.1.1 Recruitment and promotion of judges

110. How are judges recruited?

through a competitive exam (open competition)

through a recruitment procedure for experienced legal professionals (for example experienced lawyers)

other (please specify):

Comments With examinations in law courses, admission to the National School of Judicial Officers, attendance at the faculty and internship in the courts, export examinations and then appointment and placement.(answered by the National School of Judicial Officers). The procedure has not changed, the admission to the National School of Judicial Officers is through a competition.

110-1. Please briefly describe the recruitment procedure(s) for judges in your country:

. Graduates from the National School of judicial officers then go through a two-year probationary service.

110-2. What are the recruitment requirements for judges (multiple replies possible)?

Age

Nationality

Physical/Psychological capacity

General studies in law

Advanced studies in law (Master, PhD)

Number of years of relevant experience

Traineeship/judicial functions in courts

Validation of a general state examination in law

Validation of a specific examination for judges

- Clean criminal record
- Foreign languages
- Personal requirements (related to integrity)
- Other
- NAP

Comments - If "other", please specify: At least two years 'practice as a lawyer (if you are a judicial officer a three year service).
For men fulfilling military obligations.

110-3. In the frame of these recruitments, please indicate the number of applicants for the position of judge and the number of recruitments actually made during the reference year:

	Total	Males	Females
Number of applicants	1 239 <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA
Number of recruited persons	114 <input type="checkbox"/> NA	23 <input type="checkbox"/> NA	91 <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments Answered by the National School of Judicial Officers.

110-4. If the number of applicants decreased in the last years did you take any remedial measures?

- Yes
- No

Comments We have not taken measures because the number of applicants has not decreased.

110-5. If yes, please specify what remedies you implemented:

- Increase of salary
- Other financial incentives
- Improving working conditions
- Workload reduction at the beginning of career
- Other adjustments in the frame of the induction of new judges
- Other

Comments: If "other", please, specify:

=

111. Authority(ies) responsible for recruitment - are judges initially/at the beginning of their career recruited and nominated by:

- An authority made up of judges only
- An authority made up of non-judges only
- An authority/authorities made up of judges and non-judges
- Other

Comments - Please indicate the name of the authority(ies) responsible for the whole procedure of recruitment and nomination of judges. If there are several authorities, please describe their respective roles: Admission to the National School of Judicial Officers is conducted

through a competition by a five-member committee per each direction for the judges. Graduates of the school are appointed as judges by Presidential Decree, following a decision of the competent judicial council - by direction - which decides on their placement. The Presidential Decree is signed by the Minister of Justice and the President of the Republic and then published in the Government Gazette.

111-1. How many members compose this authority?

	Total	Males	Females
Members	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments – Please specify what is the status of this authority and who is proposing/appointing its members: answered by the Directorate of Organization and functioning of Justice.

111-2. May non-selected candidates appeal against the decision on recruitment/appointment?

- Yes
- No

Comments – Please specify the procedure to be followed, the competent authority, the moment for exercising the right of appeal: If the graduate of the School of Judicial Officers is not appointed, he can apply for request for cancellation against the omission of his appointment (It has never happened).

The competent court is the Council of State.(answered by the Council of State).

112. Is the same authority (Q111) competent for the promotion of judges?

- Yes
- No

Comments - No, please specify which authority is competent for promoting judges Supreme Judicial Council.

113. What is the procedure for the promotion of judges? (multiple replies possible)

- Competitive test / Exam
- Previous individual evaluations
- Other procedure(s) (interview or other)
- No special procedure

Comments - Please specify how the promotion procedure for judges is organised (especially if there is no competition or examination) and how the publicity of promotion processes is ensured: -other procedure:seniority

-It is decided by the Supreme Judicial Council which checks the candidates ' files (disciplinary , inspection reports) and then a presidential decree is issued which is signed by the Minister of Justice and the president of the Republic and published in the Government Gazette.

113-0. In the frame of the promotion procedures, please indicate the number of applicants and the number of promotions actually made during the reference year:

	Total	Males	Females
Number of applicants	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Number of promoted persons	392 <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments They do not apply for their promotion.

113-1. Please indicate the criteria used for the promotion of a judge? (multiple replies possible)

- Years of experience
- Professional skills (and/or qualitative performance)
- Performance (quantitative)
- Subjective criteria (e.g. integrity, reputation)
- Other
- No criteria

Comments - Please specify any useful comment regarding the criteria (especially if you have checked the box "performance" or "other"):

5.1.2 Status, recruitment and promotion of prosecutors

115. What is the status of public prosecution services?

- Has an independent status as a separate entity among state institutions
- Is part of the executive power but enjoys functional independence (please briefly explain how and to what extent)
- Is part of the executive power (without functional independence)
- Is part of the judicial power but enjoys functional independence (please briefly explain how and to what extent)
- Is part of the judicial power (without functional independence)
- Is a mixed model (please explain)
- Has other status (please explain)

Comments - When appropriate, please specify the objective guarantees of this independence (such as funding) and where they are enshrined (Constitution, legislation etc.). Furthermore, if "mixed model" or "other", please specify. According to the Constitution, judicial officers, including prosecutors, enjoy personal and operational independence. According to article 28 of Law 4938/2022, the public prosecutor's office is a judicial authority, independent of the courts and the executive power. It acts unitedly and indivisible and has as its mission the observance of legality, the protection of the citizen and the preservation of the rules of public order.

115-1. Are specific instructions addressed to a public prosecutor to prosecute or not prohibited by law or other regulation?

- Yes
- No

Comments - If yes, please specify: Special instructions for the prosecution or not are prohibited by the Constitution, the Code of the Organization of Courts and the Status of Judiciary and Article 27 par.2 of the Code of Criminal Procedure as a manifestation of the principle of personal and functional independence of prosecutors.

115-2. If they are prohibited by law or other regulation, are there exceptions?

- Yes
- No
- NAP

Comments - Please describe these exceptions: Articles 28 and 32 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

115-3. Which authority can issue such specific instructions?

- General Prosecutor

Higher prosecutor/Head of prosecution office

Executive power

Other

NAP

Comments - If "Other", please specify:

115-4. What form these instructions may take?

Oral instruction

Oral instruction with written confirmation

Written instruction

Other

NAP

Comments - If "Other", please specify:

115-5. In that case, are the instructions:

Issued seeking prior advice from the competent public prosecutor

Mandatory

Reasoned

Recorded in the case file

Other

NAP

Comments - If "Other", please specify:

115-6. What is the frequency of this type of instructions:

Exceptional

Occasional

Frequent

Systematic

NAP

Comments

115-7. Can the public prosecutor oppose/report an instruction to an independent body?

Yes

No

NAP

Comments - If yes, please specify to which body/institution and please describe under which conditions.

=

116. How are public prosecutors recruited?

through a competitive exam (open competition)

through a recruitment procedure for experienced legal professionals (for example experienced lawyers)

other (please specify):

Comments With examinations in law courses, admission to the National School of Judicial Officers, attendance at the faculty and internship in the courts, export examinations and then appointment and placement.

116-1. Please briefly describe the recruitment procedure(s) for prosecutors in your country:

. Graduates from the National School of judicial officers then go through a two-year probationary service.

116-2. What are the recruitment requirements for prosecutors (multiple replies possible)?

- Age
- Nationality
- Physical/Psychological capacity
- General studies in law
- Advanced studies in law (Master, PhD)
- Number of years of relevant experience
- Traineeship/judicial functions in courts
- Validation of a general state examination in law
- Validation of a specific examination for prosecutors
- Clean criminal record
- Foreign languages
- Personal requirements (related to integrity)
- Other
- NAP

Comments - If "other", please specify: At least two years 'practice as a lawyer (if you are a judicial officer a three year service) For men fulfilling military obligations.

116-3. In the frame of these recruitments, please indicate the number of applicants for the position of prosecutor and the number of recruitments actually made during the reference year:

	Total	Males	Females
Number of applicants	465 <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA
Number of recruited persons	14 <input type="checkbox"/> NA	6 <input type="checkbox"/> NA	8 <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments

116-4. If the number of applicants decreased in the last years did you take any remedial measures?

- Yes
- No

Comments , because the number of applicants has not decreased.

116-5. If yes, please specify what remedies you implemented:

- Increase of salary
- Other financial incentives
- Improving working conditions
- Workload reduction at the beginning of career
- Other adjustments in the frame of the induction of new prosecutors
- Other

Comments: If “other”, please, specify:

117. Authority(ies) responsible for recruitment - Are public prosecutors initially/at the beginning of their career recruited by:

- An authority composed of public prosecutors only
- An authority composed of non-public prosecutors only
- An authority composed of public prosecutors and non-public prosecutors
- Other

Comments - Please indicate the name of the authority(ies) responsible for the whole procedure of recruitment and nomination of public prosecutors. If there are several authorities, please describe their respective roles:

117-1. How many members compose this authority?

	Total	Male	Female
Members	5	4	1
	<input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> NA
	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments – Please specify what is the status of this authority and who is proposing/appointing its members: Article 18 as in force of Law 4871/2021 (246) applies to the judicial officer competition committees.

For the 2022 competition, in the committee for the direction of prosecutors, from the regular members, there were four (4) men and one (1) woman and from the substitutes, three (3) women and two (2) men.

-The committee for the direction of the Prosecutors consists of:

- a) A Vice-President of the Supreme Court,
- b) a Deputy Prosecutor of the Supreme Court,
- c) an Athens Appeals Prosecutor,
- d) a member of the Teaching Scientific Staff from the rank of Professor of private law of the Law Schools of the Country and
- e) a lawyer with at least twenty-five years of actual legal service with special practice in criminal law.

" The members of the above committee who have the status of judicial officer are appointed with their deputies by the Heads of the relevant Court and the relevant Prosecutor's Office. The members who have the status of members of Teaching Scientific Staff are appointed with their deputies, after a lottery conducted at the Ministry of Justice by the General Director of the School among all the professors of the Law Schools of the country, whose subject matter is relevant to the courses being examined . The members who have the status of lawyers are appointed with their deputies after a lottery conducted at the Ministry of Justice by the General Director of the School among twenty (20) lawyers proposed by the President of the Plenary of the Presidents of the country's bar associations. Those who have been members of entrance competition committees of any direction cannot be re-appointed before the expiration of two years for the exercise of the specific duties. On the contrary, members of graduation examination committees may be appointed before the above period of time has passed. The members of both of these committees are not allowed to have the status of a teacher at the School or to participate in the bodies of its Administration.

117-2. May non-selected candidates appeal against the decision on recruitment/appointment?

- Yes
- No

Comments – Please specify the procedure to be followed, the competent authority, the moment for exercising the right of appeal:

118. Is the same authority (Q.117) competent for the promotion of public prosecutors?

- Yes
- No, please specify which authority is competent for promoting public prosecutors

Comments

119. What is the procedure for the promotion of prosecutors? (multiple replies possible)

- Competitive test / exam
- Previous individual evaluations
- Other procedure(s) (interview or other)
- No special procedure

Comments - Please specify how the promotion procedure for prosecutors is organised (especially if there is no competition or examination) and how the publicity of promotion processes is ensured: -other procedure:seniority

-It is decided by the Supreme Judicial Council which checks the candidates ' files (disciplinary , inspection reports) and then a presidential decree is issued which is signed by the Minister of Justice and the president of the Republic and published in the Government Gazette. The promotion to the position of Prosecutor of the Supreme Court is acted upon by presidential decree, issued on the proposal of the Council of Ministers.

119-1. In the frame of the promotion procedures, please indicate the number of applicants and the number of promotions actually made during the reference year:

	Total	Males	Females
Number of applicants	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Number of promoted persons	75 <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments we put zero because they don't apply for their promotion.

119-2. Please indicate the criteria used for the promotion of a prosecutor:

- Years of experience
- Professional skills (and/or qualitative performance)
- Performance (quantitative)
- Subjective criteria (e.g. integrity, reputation)
- Other
- No criteria

Comments - Please, specify any useful comment regarding the criteria (especially if you have checked the box “performance” or “other”):

5.1.3Mandate and retirement of judges and prosecutors



121. Are judges appointed to office for an undetermined period (i.e. "for life" = until the official age of retirement)?

Yes, please indicate the compulsory retirement age:judges up to the rank of the appellant retire at the 65th year of their age and the Supreme judges at the 67th (

No

Comments - If yes, are there exceptions (e.g. dismissal as a disciplinary sanction)? Please specify: If the judge commits serious disciplinary misconduct, he may be terminated by decision of the judicial plenary of the relevant judicial branch.

121-1. Can a judge be transferred to another court without his/her consent:

For disciplinary reasons

For organisational reasons

For other reasons (please specify modalities and safeguards):

No

Comments In case of promotion(comment from the Council of State)

122. Is there a probation period for judges (e.g. before being appointed "for life")? If yes, how long is this period?

Yes, duration of the probation period (in years):10 months

No

Comments

123. Are public prosecutors appointed to office for an undetermined period (i.e. "for life" = until the official age of retirement)?

Yes, please indicate the compulsory retirement age:65 and 67 for the Supreme Prosecutors

No

Comments - If yes, are there exceptions (e.g. dismissal as a disciplinary sanction)? Please specify: Judicial officers up to the rank of Deputy Prosecutor of Appeals must leave the service as soon as they reach the sixty-fifth (65th) year of age. All judicial officers of the higher grades retire upon reaching the sixty-seventh (67th) year of age. For the application of this provision, the day of reaching the age limit is considered to be the 30th of June of the year of retirement, on which the service relationship is terminated.

According to Article 72 L. 4938/2022 «1. Except in the case of the imposition of a disciplinary penalty for permanent cessation due to disciplinary misconduct (provided for in Article 111 L. 4938/2022), the judicial officer is permanently terminated: a) if he was deprived of his political rights due to an irrevocable conviction, b) if he was irrevocably sentenced to a custodial sentence of more than three (3) months for an offence committed fraudulently, c) if he was irrevocably sentenced to any penalty, for an offence of indent e ' of par. 1 of Article 44. 2. The judicial officer may be permanently dismissed: (a) for incapacity to perform his duties, due to illness or disability, physical or mental, provided that such incapacity lasts beyond the time prescribed by the provisions in force for civil servants, (b) for incapacity for work. 3. For the permanent termination of the judicial officer in accordance with par. 1 and 2 shall be decided by the court having jurisdiction on a case-by-case basis to impose the disciplinary penalty for final termination. 4. The procedure for the permanent dismissal of the judicial officer, summons the competent court, is initiated in any case by the Minister of Justice, the president of the Supreme Court concerned, or the person responsible for bringing the disciplinary action.

124. Is there a probation period for public prosecutors? If yes, how long is this period?

Yes, duration of the probation period (in years):10 months

No

Comments

125. If the mandate of judges is not for an undetermined period (see question 121), what is the length of the mandate (in years)?

[]

[] NA

[X] NAP

Comments

125-1. Is it renewable?

() Yes

() No

[X] NAP

Comments

126. If the mandate of public prosecutors is not for an undetermined period (see question 123), what is the length of the mandate (in years)?

[]

[] NA

[X] NAP

Comments

126-1. Is it renewable?

() Yes

() No

[X] NAP

Comments

E1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: Directorate of Organization and Fuctioning of Justice, Supreme Courts.

5.2. Training

5.2.1 Training of judges

127. Types of different trainings offered to judges:

	Compulsory	Optional	No training proposed
Initial training (e.g. attend a judicial school, traineeship in a court)	(X) Yes () No	() Yes (X) No	() Yes (X) No

General in-service training	(X) Yes () No	() Yes (X) No	() Yes (X) No
In-service training for specialised judicial functions (e.g. judge for economic or administrative issues)	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No	() Yes (X) No
In-service training for management functions of the court (e.g. court president)	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No	() Yes (X) No
In-service training for the use of computer facilities in courts	(X) Yes () No	() Yes (X) No	() Yes (X) No
In-service training on ethics	(X) Yes () No	() Yes (X) No	() Yes (X) No
In-service training on child-friendly justice	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No	() Yes (X) No
In-service training on gender equality	() Yes (X) No	(X) Yes () No	() Yes (X) No
Other in- service training	() Yes (X) No	(X) Yes () No	() Yes (X) No

Comments According to Law 4871 (Government Gazette 246 10-12-2021) Reforms to the legislative framework of the National School of Judicial Officers and other urgent provisions and specifically Articles 39 and 40, the Council of Studies determines the annual training program for students and the mandatory training program for judicial officers of article 40, therefore what is included in the above training programs is mandatory. The annual training program can be supplemented and modified during the academic year. The Council of Studies determines the days, seminars for students and for active judicial officers which are optional, with the obligation of active judicial officers to attend 8 seminars within four years. The modules referred to in the said question are mandatory if they are included in the mandatory training of students and judicial officers of Article 40 and optional if they are in the form of seminars.

- It is noted that training in the service for gender equality was not included in the annual training program of the students for the year 2022, therefore the answer was chosen no, in the following years this answer can be modified to yes as the training program varies each year (educational) or during it. The legislator with authorizing provisions assigns all powers to the Boards of Studies.

- The answer other in-service training no to the obligation is according to the data of 2022, if any other training module is included besides those mentioned in question 127, the no will change to yes.

- Every year the Board of Studies decides, on the recommendation of the Director of Training, to draw up the list of seminars to be held at the National School of Judicial Officers (as part of the training activity). In particular, training on the use of computers (digital skills) is usually carried out by each Service separately for the judges and prosecutors serving there, depending on their needs and the needs of the Court. In general, the National School of Judicial Officers takes into account the amendments to the laws and codes and the needs of the judicial reality when planning training.

128. Frequency of the in-service training of judges:

	Frequency of the judges training
General in-service training	[X] Regularly (for example every year) [] Occasional (as needed) [] No training proposed
In-service training for specialised judicial functions (e.g. judge for economic or administrative issues)	[X] Regularly (for example every year) [] Occasional (as needed) [] No training proposed

In-service training for management functions of the court (e.g. court president)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
In-service training for the use of computer facilities in courts	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
In-service training on ethics	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
In-service training on child-friendly justice	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
In-service training on gender equality	<input type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
Other in- service training	<input type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed

Comments - Please indicate any information on the periodicity of the continuous training of judges: 1. On a regular basis article 40 par.2 Law 4871-10-12-2021

2. On a regular basis article 40 par.2 Law 4871-10-12-2021 case a

3. on a regular basis article 40 par.2 Law 4871-10-12-2021 case a

4. on a regular basis article 40 par.3 Law 4871-10-12-2021

5. On a regular basis article 40 par.2 Law 4871-10-12-2021 case a

6. On a regular basis article 40 par.2 Law 4871-10-12-2021 case d

-Answers for in-service training for gender equality and other in-service training the choice is occasional according to the data of 2022, if the training module is included in the mandatory training of judicial officers in the next year or the following years the answer will be changed regularly, likewise in the event that a different educational subject is included than those mentioned in question 128, the answer other in-service training will be changed to regular.

128-1. Do you have a minimum number of compulsory trainings per judge:

	Per judge
Initial compulsory training – minimum number of trainings	<hr/> Min numeric value allowed : 0 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Initial compulsory training – minimum number of days	<hr/> Min numeric value allowed : 0 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

In-service compulsory trainings – minimum number of trainings per year	<p>_____</p> <p>Min numeric value allowed : 0</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NAP</p>
In-service compulsory trainings – minimum number of days per year	<p>_____</p> <p>Min numeric value allowed : 0</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NAP</p>

Comments The National School of Judicial Officers offers pre-admission training to its student prospective judges and prosecutors (who enter the National School of Judicial Officers through an entrance examination) for as long as they are studying and continuing training for practicing judges and prosecutors.

Regarding continuing training, the law provides that the judicial officer must attend 8 seminars in four years.

-For students: a) there is mandatory initial training which is decided by the Board of Studies and may be modified per educational year b) by law, training in the form of seminars is not mandatory, as there is no provision imposing their organization, nor their minimum number. Nevertheless, in the pre-admission education, days and seminars are organized, which are determined annually by the Council of Studies of the National School of Judicial Officers, with a different theme each time and which are mandatory for the student of the National School of Judicial Officers to attend.

-For active judicial officers: a) who are affected by the provisions of article 40 of Law 4871/2021, must participate in a training program annually, from any cycle of their choice, until they complete at least one (1) time, all cycles of the mandatory training programs.

However, it is possible to complete these programs in a period longer than four (4) years, but not more than eight (8) years.

b) the law provides that the judicial officer must attend 8 seminars in four years.

5.2.2 Training of prosecutors

129. Types of different trainings offered to public prosecutors:

	Compulsory	Optional	No training proposed
Initial training	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
General in-service training	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
In-service training for specialised functions (e.g. public prosecutors specialised in organised crime)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
In-service training for management functions (e.g. Head of prosecution office, manager)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
In-service training for the use of computer facilities in office	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
In-service training on ethics	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
In-service training on child-friendly justice	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
In-service training on gender equality	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Other in- service training	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Comments -According to Law 4871 (Government Gazette 246 10-12-2021) Reforms to the legislative framework of the National School of Judicial Officers and other urgent provisions and specifically Articles 39 and 40, the Council of Studies determines the annual training program for students and the mandatory training program for prosecutors of article 40, therefore what is included in the above training programs is mandatory. The annual training program can be supplemented and modified during the academic year. The Study Council determines the days, seminars for students and for active prosecutors which are optional, with the obligation of active prosecutors to attend 8 seminars within four years. The modules mentioned in the question are mandatory if they are included in the compulsory training of students and prosecutors in Article 40 and optional if they are in the form of seminars.

-It is noted that training in the service for gender equality was not included in the annual training program of the students for the year 2022, therefore the answer was chosen no, in the following years this answer can be modified to yes as the training program varies each year (educational) or during it. The legislator with authorizing provisions assigns all powers to the Boards of Studies.

-The answer other in-service training no to the obligation is according to the data of 2022, if any other training module is included besides those mentioned in question 129, the no will change to yes.

130. Frequency of the in-service training of public prosecutors :

	Frequency of the in-service training
General in-service training	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
In-service training for specialised functions (e.g. public prosecutor specialised in organised crime)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
In-service training for management functions (e.g. Head of prosecution office, manager)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
In-service training for the use of computer facilities in office	<input type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
In-service training on ethics	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
In-service training on child-friendly justice	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
In-service training on gender equality	<input type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
Other in- service training	<input type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed

Comments - Please indicate any information on the periodicity of the in-service training of prosecutors: 1. On a regular basis article 40 par.2 Law 4871-10-12-2021

2. On a regular basis article 40 par.2 Law 4871-10-12-2021 case c

3. On a regular basis article 40 par.2 Law 4871-10-12-2021 case a

4. On an occasional basis article 40 para. 3 Law 4871-10-12-2021

5. On a regular basis article 40 par.2 Law 4871-10-12-2021 case a

6. On a regular basis article 40 par.2 Law 4871-10-12-2021 case d

-The answers for the in-service training for gender equality and other in-service training the choice is occasional according to the data of 2022, if the training module is included in the mandatory training of prosecutors in the next year or the following years the answer will be changed to regular, likewise in the event that a different educational subject is included than those mentioned in question 130, the answer other training in the service will be changed to regular.

130-1. Do you have a minimum number of compulsory trainings per prosecutor:

	Per prosecutor
Initial compulsory training – minimum number of trainings	<p>_____</p> <p>Min numeric value allowed : 0</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NAP</p>
Initial compulsory training – minimum number of days	<p>_____</p> <p>Min numeric value allowed : 0</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NAP</p>
In-service compulsory trainings – minimum number of trainings per year	<p>_____</p> <p>Min numeric value allowed : 0</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NAP</p>
In-service compulsory trainings – minimum number of days per year	<p>_____</p> <p>Min numeric value allowed : 0</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NAP</p>

Comments The National School of Judicial Officers offers pre-admission training to its student prospective judges and prosecutors (who enter the National School of Judicial Officers through an entrance examination) for as long as they are studying and continuing training for practicing judges and prosecutors.

Regarding continuing training, the law provides that the judicial officer must attend 8 seminars in four years.

-For students: a) there is mandatory initial training which is decided by the Board of Studies and may be modified per educational year.

b) by law, training in the form of seminars is not mandatory, as there is no provision imposing their organization, nor their minimum number. Nevertheless, in the pre-admission education, days and seminars are organized, which are determined annually by the Study Council of the National School of Judicial Officers with a different theme each time and which are mandatory for the student of the National School of Judicial Officers to attend.

-For active prosecutors: a) who are affected by the provisions of article 40 of Law 4871/2021, they must participate in a training program annually, from any cycle of their choice, until they complete, at least one (1) time , all cycles of the mandatory training programs.

However, it is possible to complete these programs in a period longer than four (4) years, but not more than eight (8) years.

b) the law provides that the prosecutor must attend 8 seminars in four years.

5.2.3 Training institutions

131. Do you have public training institutions for judges and / or prosecutors?

	Initial training only	Continuous training only	Initial and continuous training
Institution(s) for judges	[]	[]	[]
Institution(s) for prosecutors	[]	[]	[]
Institution(s) for both judges and prosecutors	[]	[]	[X]

Comments

131-0. If yes, what is the implemented budget of such institution(s)?

	Implemented budget of the institution for the reference year, in €
Institution(s) for judges	[] NA [X] NAP
Institution(s) for prosecutors	[] NA [X] NAP
Institution(s) for both judges and prosecutors	250 000 [] NA [] NAP

Comments In addition to the grant of 250,000.00 euros from the Regular Budget, the School in the academic year 2022 had the following income for the execution of the programs of the Pre-Introductory Training and Training of Judicial Officers:

A) from the PUBLIC INVESTMENT PROGRAM (operational program "PUBLIC SECTOR REFORM"):

INTRODUCTORY TRAINING NATIONAL SCHOOL OF JUDICIAL FUNCTIONS 2020-2023 with OPS CODE 5063525:

5,040,750.04 euros

CONTINUING TRAINING NATIONAL SCHOOL OF JUDICIAL FUNCTIONS 2014-2021 WITH OPS CODE 5000233: 260,576.23

euros

TOTAL (A) 5,301,326.27 euros

B) from the Recovery & Resilience Fund

"Training of Candidates/Student Judges" WITH OPS CODE TA 5149206: 181,500.00 euros

"Technical Assistance for Education Sub-projects 1, 2 & 3" WITH OPS CODE TA 5161699: 90,000.00 euros

TOTAL (B) 271,500.00 euros

131-1. If judges and/or prosecutors have no compulsory initial training in such institutions, please indicate briefly how judges and/or prosecutors are trained?

. They have compulsory initial training.

5.2.4 Number of trainings

131-2. Number of in-service trainings available and delivered (in days) by the public institution(s) responsible for training.

	Number of different live (in person, hybrid, videoconference) trainings available	Number of live (in person, hybrid, videoconference) trainings delivered	Number of days of delivered live (in person, hybrid, videoconference) trainings	Number of internet-based trainings available on the e-learning platform of the training institution (not live)
Total	12 [] NA [] NAP	12 [] NA [] NAP	35 [] NA [] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP
For judges	12 [] NA [] NAP	12 [] NA [] NAP	20 [] NA [] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP
For prosecutors	8 [] NA [] NAP	8 [] NA [] NAP	15 [] NA [] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP
For non-judge staff	0 [] NA [] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP
For non-prosecutor staff	0 [] NA [] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP

Comments

131-3. Number of participants in the trainings during the reference year.

	Number of participants in live (in-person, hybrid, videoconference) trainings	Number of participants in internet-based trainings provided on the e-learning platform of the training institution (not live)
Total	2 531 [] NA [] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP
Judges	2 247 [] NA [] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP
Prosecutors	284 [] NA [] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP
Non-judge staff	0 [] NA [] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP
Non-prosecutor staff	0 [] NA [] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP

Comments

E2. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: Keeping data from the Secretariat of the National School of judges.

5.3.Practice of the profession

5.3.1Salaries and benefits of judges and prosecutors

132. Salaries of judges and public prosecutors on 31 December of the reference year:

	Gross annual salary, in €	Net annual salary, in €	Gross annual salary, in local currency	Net annual salary, in local currency
First instance professional judge at the beginning of his/her career	31 710 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	22 987 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Judge of the Supreme Court or the Highest Appellate Court (please indicate the highest salary of a judge at this level, excluding the salary of the Court President)	96 037 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	56 373 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Public prosecutor at the beginning of his/her career	31 710 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	22 995 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Public prosecutor of the Supreme Court or the Highest Appellate Instance (please indicate the highest salary of a public prosecutor at this level, excluding the salary of the Attorney General).	87 247 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	51 923 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comment – Please describe briefly how the salaries are determined during the career of a judge/prosecutor:

133. Do judges and public prosecutors have additional benefits?

	Judges	Public prosecutors
Reduced taxation	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Special pension	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Housing	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Other financial benefit	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Comments

134. If “other financial benefit”, please specify:

NAP

135. Can judges combine their work with any of the following functions/activities?

	With remuneration	Without remuneration
Teaching	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No
Research and publication	() Yes (X) No	(X) Yes () No
Arbitrator	(X) Yes () No	() Yes (X) No
Consultant	() Yes (X) No	() Yes (X) No
Cultural function	() Yes (X) No	() Yes (X) No
Political function	() Yes (X) No	() Yes (X) No
Mediator	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No
Other function	() Yes (X) No	() Yes (X) No

Comments - If rules exist in your country (e.g. authorisation needed to perform these activities), please specify. If "other function", please specify.

137. Can public prosecutors combine their work with any of the following functions/activities?

	With remuneration	Without remuneration
Teaching	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No
Research and publication	() Yes (X) No	(X) Yes () No
Arbitrator	() Yes (X) No	() Yes (X) No
Consultant	() Yes (X) No	() Yes (X) No
Cultural function	() Yes (X) No	() Yes (X) No
Political function	() Yes (X) No	() Yes (X) No
Mediator	() Yes (X) No	() Yes (X) No
Other function	() Yes (X) No	() Yes (X) No

Comments - If rules exist in your country (e.g. authorisation needed to perform these activities), please specify. If "other function", please specify.

specify:

139. Productivity bonuses: do judges receive bonuses based on the fulfilment of quantitative objectives in relation to the number of resolved cases (e.g. number of cases resolved over a given period of time)?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify the conditions and if possible the amounts:

5.3.2 Body/institution of ethics

138. Is there in your country an institution / body giving guidelines and/or opinions on ethical questions of the conduct of judges (e.g. involvement in political life, use of social media by judges, etc.)?

Yes

No

Comment - Please specify:

138-1. If yes, who are the members of this institution/body?

Only judges

Judges and other legal professionals

Other, please specify:

Comments An ethics committee of the judges of the Council of State has been operating in the Council of State since the year 2022

138-2. Are the guidelines and/or opinions of this institution / body publicly available?

Yes

No

Comments - Please describe the work of this institution / body, the frequency of the guidelines and/or opinions, etc.: It is planned to post the relevant opinions on the website of the Council of State.

138-2-1. How many guidelines and/or opinions were given during the reference year?

[0]

[] NA

Comments – Please specify what were the topics addressed in these guidelines and/or opinions

138-3. Is there in your country an institution / body giving guidelines and/or opinions on ethical questions of the conduct of prosecutors (e.g. involvement in political life, use of social media by prosecutors, etc.)

Yes

No

Comment: Please specify

138-4. If yes, who are the members of this institution/body?

- Only prosecutors
- Prosecutors and other legal professionals
- Other, please specify:

Comments

138-5. Are the guidelines and/or opinions of this institution / body publicly available?

- Yes
- No

Comments - Please describe the work of this institution / body, the frequency of opinions, etc.

138-5-1. How many guidelines and/or opinions were given during the reference year?

- []
- NA

Comments – Please specify what were the topics addressed in these guidelines and/or opinions

5.4. Disciplinary procedures

5.4.1 Authorities responsible for disciplinary procedures and sanctions

140. Who is authorised to initiate disciplinary proceedings against judges (multiple replies possible)?

- Court users
- Relevant Court or hierarchical superior
- High Court / Supreme Court
- High Judicial Council
- Disciplinary court
- Disciplinary body
- Ombudsman
- Parliament
- Executive power (please specify): Minister of Justice
- Other (please specify):
- This is not possible

Comments

141. Who is authorised to initiate disciplinary proceedings against public prosecutors: (multiple replies possible):

- Citizens
- Head of the organisational unit or hierarchical superior public prosecutor
- Prosecutor General /State public prosecutor
- Public prosecutorial Council (High Judicial Council)

- Disciplinary court
- Disciplinary body
- Ombudsman
- Professional body
- Executive power (please specify): Minister of Justice
- Other (please specify):
- This is not possible

Comments

142. Which authority has disciplinary power over judges (multiple replies possible)?

- Court
- Higher Court / Supreme Court
- High Judicial Council
- Disciplinary court or body
- Ombudsman
- Parliament
- Executive power (please specify):
- Other (please specify):

Comments

143. Which authority has disciplinary power over public prosecutors (multiple replies possible)?

- Supreme Court
- Head of the organisational unit or hierarchical superior
- Prosecutor General /State public prosecutor
- Public prosecutorial Council (High Judicial Council)
- Disciplinary court or body
- Ombudsman
- Professional body
- Executive power (please specify):
- Other (please specify):

Comments

5.4.2 Number of disciplinary procedures and sanctions

144. Number of disciplinary proceedings initiated during the reference year against judges and public prosecutors. (If a disciplinary proceeding is undertaken because of several reasons, please count the proceedings only once and for the main reason.)

Judges	Prosecutors
--------	-------------

Total number (1+2+3+4)	23 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	29 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
1. Breach of professional ethics	13 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	5 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
2. Professional inadequacy	10 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	24 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
3. Criminal offence	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
4. Other	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If "other", please specify:

145. Number of sanctions pronounced during the reference year against judges and public prosecutors:

	Judges	Prosecutors
Total number (total 1 to 10)	84 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	37 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
1. Reprimand	20 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	8 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
2. Suspension	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
3. Withdrawal from cases	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
4. Fine	43 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	24 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
5. Temporary reduction of salary	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
6. Position downgrade	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
7. Transfer to another geographical (court) location	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
8. Resignation	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
9. Other	9 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	3 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

10. Dismissal	12	2
	<input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> NA
	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If "other", please specify. If a significant difference exists between the number of disciplinary proceedings and the number of sanctions, please indicate the reasons. other: temporary cessation.

ctions taken within a year are not necessary to be completed within the same year. In 2022, disciplinary decisions were issued that were brought in earlier periods of time.

For the same judiciary more than one disciplinary decision may have been issued and more similar actions may have been brought for different offences.

E3. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: Directorate of justice organization and operation

6.Lawyers

6.1.Profession of lawyer

6.1.1Status of the profession of lawyers

146. Total number of lawyers practising in your country:

	Total	Males	Females
Number of lawyers	47 141	19 613	27 528
	<input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments

147. Does this figure include "legal advisors" who cannot represent their clients in court (for example, some solicitors or in-house counsellors)?

Yes ()

No (X)

Comments All lawyers can be legal advisers and therefore have the right to be represented in court.

148. Number of legal advisors who cannot represent their clients in court:

[]

NA

NAP

Comments All lawyers can be legal advisers and therefore have the right to be represented in court.

=

149. Is legal representation in courts exclusively exercised by lawyers in: (multiple replies possible)

	First instance	Second instance	Highest instance court (Supreme Court)
Civil cases	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Dismissal cases	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Criminal cases – Defendant	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Criminal cases – Victim	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Administrative cases	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes always <input type="checkbox"/> Yes in some cases <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - Please indicate any useful clarifications regarding the content of lawyers' exclusive rights: In the Council of State (Supreme Administrative Court) the parties are always represented by a lawyer

In the courts of the first and second instance the same rule applies, but there are cases in which a lawyer is not needed (Article 27 of the Code of Administrative Procedure) and they are: a) small claims (up to 1,500 euros, B) insurance disputes and C) temporary judicial protection.

149-0. If other than lawyers may represent a client in court, please specify who:

	First instance	Second instance	Highest instance court (Supreme Court)
Civil society organisation	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Family member	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Self-representation	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Trade union	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Comments - If "other", please specify. In addition, for the categories selected please specify the types of cases concerned by this/these representation(s):

149-1. In addition to the functions of legal representation and legal advice, can a lawyer exercise other activities?

Notarial activity

- Arbitration / mediation
 Proxy / representation
 Property manager
 Real estate agent
 Other (please specify): Translation and validation of documents

Comments

149-2. Professional lawyers may have the status of:

- Self-employed lawyer
 Staff lawyer
 In-house lawyer

Comments

150. Is the lawyer profession organised through:

- a national bar association
 a regional bar association
 a local bar association

Comments

151. Is there a specific initial training and/or exam to enter the profession of lawyer?

- Yes
 No

Comments - Please indicate if there are other specific requirements as regards diplomas or university degrees:

152. Is there a mandatory general in-service professional training system for lawyers?

- Yes
 No

Comments The existence of professional training of already lawyers and not trainees to become lawyers the correct answer is no.

153. Is the specialisation in some legal fields linked to specific training, levels of qualification, specific diploma or specific authorisations?

- Yes
 No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

F1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: Code of lawyers law 4194/2013, as amended and in force. Plenary Of Greek Bar Associations

6.1.2 Practicing the profession of lawyer

154. Can court users establish easily what the lawyers' fees will be (i.e. a prior information on the foreseeable amount of fees)?

Yes

No

Comments

155. Are lawyers' fees freely negotiated?

Yes

No

Comments

156. Do laws or bar standards provide any rules on lawyers' fees (including those freely negotiated)?

Yes, laws provide rules

Yes, standards of the bar association provide rules

No, neither laws nor bar association standards provide rules

Comments

6.1.3 Quality standards and disciplinary procedures for lawyers

157. Have quality standards been determined for lawyers?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, what are the quality criteria used?

158. If yes, who is responsible for formulating these quality standards:

the bar association

the Parliament

other (please specify):

Comments

159. Is it possible to file a complaint about:

the performance of lawyers

the amount of fees

Comments - Please specify:

160. Which authority is responsible for disciplinary procedures?

a judge

Ministry of Justice

a professional authority

other (please specify):

Comments

161. Disciplinary proceedings initiated against lawyers. (If a disciplinary proceeding is undertaken because of several reasons, please count the proceedings only once and for the main reason.)

	Number of disciplinary proceedings
Total number of disciplinary proceedings initiated (1 + 2 + 3 + 4)	321 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
1. Breach of professional ethics	227 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
2. Professional inadequacy	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
3. Criminal offence	94 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
4. Other	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If "other", please specify: A total of 321 petitions were filed , including criminal prosecutions against lawyers by the relevant prosecutors ' offices.

Of the above, 227 relate to a violation of the Code of lawyers and the Code of conduct of the legal profession and 94 relate to criminal offences.

162. Sanctions pronounced against lawyers.

	Number of sanctions
Total number of sanctions (1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5)	23 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
1. Reprimand	3 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
2. Suspension	14 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
3. Withdrawal from cases	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
4. Fine	4 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
5. Other	2 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If "other", please specify. If a significant difference between the number of disciplinary proceedings and the number of

sanctions exists, please indicate the reasons. other: one recommendation and one definite deletion.

A total of 321 petitions were filed , including criminal prosecutions against lawyers by the relevant prosecutors ' offices.

Of the above, 227 relate to a violation of the Code of lawyers and the Code of conduct of the legal profession and 94 relate to criminal offences.

There were 23 convictions.Of these 14 relate to temporary cessation, 3 to reprimand, 4 to fine ,1 to recommendation and 1 to definite deletion.

7. Court related mediation and other alternative Dispute Resolution

7.1. Court related mediation

7.1.1 Details on court related mediation

163. Does the judicial system provide for court-related mediation procedures?

Yes

No

Comments

163-1. In some fields, does the judicial system provide for mandatory mediation with a mediator?

Before/instead of going to court

Ordered by the court, the judge, the public prosecutor or a public authority in the course of a judicial proceeding

No mandatory mediation

Comments - If there is mandatory mediation, please specify which fields are concerned: [The mandatory is identified only in the following:

According to Article 3 par.2 of L.4640/2019 " before going to court, the attorney must inform his client in writing about the possibility of a mediation settlement of the dispute or part of it, in accordance with paragraph 1 as well as about the obligation to resort to the mandatory initial session and this procedure of Articles 6 and 7 of the law. The information document shall be completed and signed by the principal and his lawyer and is filed with the document which instituted the proceedings that may be brought under penalty of inadmissibility"]

163-2. In some fields, does the legal system provide for mandatory informative sessions with a mediator?

Yes

No

Comments - If there are mandatory informative sessions, please specify which fields are concerned: Civil-commercial-family disputes (within the meaning of the above clarification)

164. Please specify, by type of cases, who provides court-related mediation services:

	Private mediator	Public authority (other than the court)	Judge	Public prosecutor
Civil and commercial cases	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Family cases	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Administrative cases	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Labour cases including employment dismissals	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Criminal cases	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Consumer cases	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

165. Is there a possibility to receive legal aid for court-related mediation or receive these services free of charge?

- Yes
 No
 NAP

Comments - If yes, please specify: Legal aid is also provided in cases of mediation in civil and commercial matters, in accordance with the Joint Ministerial Decision of the Ministers of Justice and Finance no 35403/2022(4056).]This decision refers to the compensation of mediators and legal representatives who provide services in the context of the provision of legal aid in mediation.

=

166. Number of accredited or registered mediators for court-related mediation:

	Total	Males	Females
Number of mediators	3 363 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

166-1. Could you please describe what are the requirements and what is the procedure to become an accredited or registered mediator in your country (educational requirements, working experiences, accrediting procedure etc.)?

. Mediators must be: (a) higher education graduates or holders of an equivalent degree abroad; (B) trained by a mediator training body recognised by the Central mediation Commission or holders of an accreditation certificate from another member state of the European Union; and (C) accredited by the Central mediation Commission and registered in the registers referred to in Article 29. If a graduate of higher education or holder of an equivalent degree from abroad is also a holder of a master's or doctoral degree from universities or an equivalent degree from abroad on mediation, further training is not required in order to be accredited by a mediator Training Institution and may participate directly in the examinations for its accreditation. Those who serve as public, municipal and judicial officials or employees of a legal entity governed by Public Law, as well as active judicial or public officials, are excluded from the exercise of the profession of Mediator.

167. Number of court-related mediations:

	Number of cases for which the parties agreed to start mediation	Number of finished court-related mediations	Number of cases in which there is a settlement agreement
Total (1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5 + 6 + 7)	2 103 [] NA [] NAP	1 056 [] NA [] NAP	638 [] NA [] NAP
1. Civil and commercial cases	801 [] NA [] NAP	568 [] NA [] NAP	239 [] NA [] NAP
2. Family cases	302 [] NA [] NAP	235 [] NA [] NAP	245 [] NA [] NAP
3. Administrative cases	4 [] NA [] NAP	4 [] NA [] NAP	5 [] NA [] NAP
4. Labour cases including employment dismissal cases	14 [] NA [] NAP	3 [] NA [] NAP	1 [] NA [] NAP
5. Criminal cases	981 [] NA [] NAP	234 [] NA [] NAP	147 [] NA [] NAP
6. Consumer cases	1 [] NA [] NAP	12 [] NA [] NAP	1 [] NA [] NAP
7. Other cases	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP

Comments - Please indicate the source: For lines 1,2,3,4,6 and 7 Courts of First Instance for Line 5 prosecutors ' offices of First Instance. The year 2022 was the first time that the country's courts and prosecutors' offices were asked to provide the specific statistics, as well as the year of establishment of the Judicial Statistics Bureau. Until then, the judicial services did not monitor the specific cases. Also during 2023, the use of the digital platform for registering statistics was improved, resulting in a smaller deviation percentage for statistics of 2022. For this reason, the year 2021 should be considered as a pilot year and we would recommend not comparing the data of 2021 but the data of 2022 and beyond.

=

168. Do the following alternative dispute resolution (ADR) methods exist in your country?

- Mediation other than court-related mediation
- Arbitration
- Conciliation (if different from mediation)
- Other ADR (please specify):

Comments

G1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Source: Code of Civil Procedure Law 4640 Government Gazette ' 190/30. 11. 2019

8. Enforcement of court decisions

8.1. Execution of decisions in civil matters

8.1.1 Number of enforcement agents, status and mandate

169. Number and type of enforcement agents in your country.

	Total	Male	Female
Total (1+2+3+4)	1 800 [] NA	1 000 [] NA	800 [] NA
1. Private professionals under the authority (control) of public authorities	1 800 [] NA [] NAP	1 000 [] NA [] NAP	800 [] NA [] NAP
2. Enforcement agents working in a public institution (civil servants paid by state)	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP
3. Judges	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP
4. Other	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP

Comments - If other, please specify their status and competences:

170. What are the requirements to access the profession of enforcement agent (multiple replies possible)?

- diploma
- professional experience
- specific exam
- appointment procedure by the State
- initial training
- other

Comments - If "other", please specify:

171. Are enforcement agents appointed to office for an undetermined period (i.e. "for life" = until the official age of retirement)?

- Yes, please indicate the age of retirement: 65
- No, please specify the duration of the appointment:

Comments - If yes, are there exceptions (e.g. dismissal as a disciplinary sanction)? Please specify: Yes, dismissal as the most severe disciplinary penalty.

8.1.2 Activities/scope of competence

171-1. Which debtor's information can the enforcement agent access at the beginning of the

enforcement procedure?

	Access to information	Direct electronic access to information
Address	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No
Date of birth	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No
Civil status	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No
Cohabitant	() Yes (X) No	() Yes (X) No
Employer	() Yes (X) No	() Yes (X) No
Motor vehicle	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No
Movable property	(X) Yes () No	() Yes (X) No
Immovable property	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No
Bank account	(X) Yes () No	() Yes (X) No
Other enforcement proceedings underway	(X) Yes () No	() Yes (X) No
Insolvency proceedings (bankruptcy, judicial reorganisation, collective debt settlement etc.)	() Yes (X) No	() Yes (X) No
Other	() Yes (X) No	() Yes (X) No

Comments - If "other", please specify:

171-2. Can the enforcement agent carry out the following civil enforcement proceedings:

	Option
Seizure of movable tangible properties	(X) Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents () Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents () No [] NAP
Preventive seizure of movable tangible properties	(X) Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents () Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents () No [] NAP

Seizure of immovable properties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Preventive seizure of immovable properties	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Seizure from a third party of the debtor claims regarding a sum of money	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Seizure of remunerations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Seizure of motorised vehicles	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Eviction measures	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Seizures of boats and ships	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Seizure of aircrafts	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Seizure of electronic assets (e.g cryptocurrency)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Enforced sale by public tender of seized properties	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Sale of shares	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Other	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by enforcement agents <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments other:Statement of facts under after a court's decision till today operatable in marriages cases(communication of children with divorced parents)

171-3. Apart from the enforcement of court decisions, what are the other activities that can be carried out by enforcement agents?

- Service of judicial and extrajudicial documents
- Debt recovery
- Voluntary or public auctions of moveable or immovable property
- Custody of goods
- Recording and reporting of evidence
- Court hearings service
- Provision of legal advice
- Bankruptcy procedures
- Performing tasks assigned by judges
- Representing parties in courts
- Drawing up private deeds and documents
- Building manager
- Other

Comments The bailiff is authorized to make findings following a court decision or Law (certifying acts)

8.1.3 Training and ICT

172-1. Is there a system of mandatory general continuous training for enforcement agents?

Yes

No

Comments

172-2. Do you have an e-learning training system established for enforcement agents?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

172-3. Does the content of the continuous training system also include ICT (related to enforcement procedures)?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

172-4. Have an electronic service of documents or electronic notifications been introduced in your country?

Yes

No

Comments

172-5. Does the development of new technologies have an effect on the different stages of the enforcement procedure?

Yes

No

Comments - Please explain: Affects faster enforcement of court decisions.

8.1.4 Fees

174. Are enforcement fees easily established and transparent for parties?

Yes

No

Comments

175-1. Are the fees charged in case of successful enforcement proceedings freely negotiated?

Yes

No

Comments

175-2. Who has to pay these fees if the enforcement proceedings are successful?

The debtor

The creditor

Other – please specify

Comments

176. Do laws provide any rules on enforcement fees (including those freely negotiated)?

Yes

No

Comments

H0. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Source: FEDERATION OF BAILIFFS

8.1.5 Organisation of profession and efficiency of enforcement services



177. Is there a body entrusted with supervising and monitoring the enforcement agents' activity?

Yes

No

Comments

178. Which authority is responsible for supervising and monitoring enforcement agents?

professional body

judge

Ministry of Justice

public prosecutor

other (please specify):

Comments

181. Is there a specific mechanism for executing court decisions rendered against public authorities, including supervising such execution?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

182. Is there a system for monitoring how the enforcement procedure is conducted by the enforcement agent?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

183. What are the main complaints made by users concerning the enforcement procedure? Please indicate a maximum of 3.

- no execution at all
- non execution of court decisions against public authorities
- lack of information
- excessive length
- unlawful practices
- insufficient supervision
- excessive cost
- unethical behaviour of enforcement agent
- other (please specify):

Comments The excessive cost answer was not chosen by the plurality of the courts.

185. Is there a system measuring the length of enforcement procedures:

	Existence of the system
for civil cases	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
for administrative cases	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Comments

186. Regarding a decision on debt collection, please estimate the average timeframe to serve and/or notify the decision to the parties who live in the city where the court sits (one option only):

- between 1 and 5 days
- between 6 and 10 days
- between 11 and 30 days
- more (please specify):
- NA

Comments The differentiated reply was given by the Federation of Bailiffs.

187. Number of disciplinary proceedings initiated against enforcement agents. (If a disciplinary proceeding is undertaken because of several reasons, please count the proceedings only once and for the main reason.)

	Number of disciplinary proceedings initiated
Total number of initiated disciplinary proceedings (1+2+3+4)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

1. For breach of professional ethics	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
2. For professional inadequacy	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
3. For criminal offence	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
4. Other	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If "other", please specify:

188. Number of sanctions pronounced against enforcement agents:

	Number of sanctions pronounced
Total number of sanctions (1+2+3+4+5)	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
1. Reprimand	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
2. Suspension	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
3. Withdrawal from cases	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
4. Fine	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
5. Other	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If "other", please specify. If a significant difference between the number of disciplinary proceedings and the number of sanctions exists, please indicate the reasons:

H1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Source: Directorate Of Legal Professions.

8.2. Execution of decisions in criminal matters

8.2.1 Functioning of execution in criminal matters

189. Which authority is in charge of the enforcement of judgments in criminal matters? (multiple replies possible)

- Judge
- Public prosecutor
- Prison and Probation Services
- Enforcement agent
- Other authority (please specify):

Comments - Please specify his/her functions and duties (e.g. initiative or monitoring functions).

190. Are the effective recovery rates of fines decided by a criminal court evaluated by studies?

- Yes
- No

Comments

191. If yes, what is the recovery rate?

- 80-100%
- 50-79%
- less than 50%

Comments - Please indicate the source for answering this question:

9. Notaries

9.1. Profession of notary

9.1.1 Number, status and mandate of notaries

192. Number and status of notaries in your country.

	Total	Males	Females
TOTAL (1+2+3+4)	3 200 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
1. Private professionals (without control from public authorities)	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
2. Holders of public offices appointed by the State	3 200 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
3. Civil servants (paid by the State)	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
4. Other	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If “Other”, please specify the status, or if “holder of a public office appointed by the State”, please indicate which ministry is mainly engaged in the appointment procedure:

192-1. What are the access conditions to the profession of notary (multiple replies possible):

diploma

professional experience

specific exam

appointment procedure by the State

initial training

other (please specify):The successful candidate in the competition of notaries, after completing the procedure of appointment, in accordance with the provisions of articles 26 and 27 of the Law. 2830/2000 (Code of Notaries), is compulsorily registered as a member of the notarial Association, in the region of which belongs the District Civil Court of the business place of the notary.For his registration he does not pay any amount as a registration fee to the Notary Association.Pays an annual contribution to the notarial Association as a member of it (i.e. after its registration), the amount of which is decided by the board of Directors of each Association.

Comments -Professional experience:there is no legislative provision for the practice of candidate notary, i.e. trainee Notary, as there is the practice of candidate lawyers (trainee lawyers). But under Article 20 of L. 2830/2000 (Code of Notaries), which refers to the special qualifications for the appointment of a notary, includes the compulsory practice for two years of lawyer or two years of service as a judge of any branch and grade or as a non-stipendiary land registrar.

192-2. Are notaries appointed to office for an undetermined period (i.e. "for life" = until the official age of retirement)?

yes, please indicate the age of retirement:70

no, please specify the duration of the appointment:

Comments - are there exceptions (e.g. dismissal as a disciplinary sanction)? Please specify: 1) one may voluntarily resign for health reasons. 2) forced cessation a) due to disciplinary action B) for serious health reasons following a Special Administrative Procedure.

9.1.2 Activities/scope of competences

194. What kind of activities do notaries perform (multiple options possible)?

	Please select one option
Authentication	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Certification of signatures	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Mediation	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Taking of oaths	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Non-contentious judicial procedures (e.g. acting as court commissioner in a successions file, performing divorce, division of estate, please specify)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Act as civil servant (for example performing marriage, please specify)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Other judicial functions (for example, payment orders)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Public auctions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Other (for example collect taxes, run registers etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, exclusively performed by notaries <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not exclusively performed by notaries <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If "other", please specify. Please indicate any useful clarifications regarding the content of the notaries' exclusive rights or, on the opposite, other bodies that also have competences for the listed activities.

194-2. In which areas of law do notaries perform their activities (multiple replies possible)?

- Real estate transaction
- Family law
- Succession law
- Company law
- Legality control of gambling activities
- Protection of vulnerable persons
- Other

Comments

9.1.3 ICT, organisation of the profession and training

194-3. Do notaries use specialised ICT systems in their activity?

In their relations with the State (e.g. courts, registries, chambers of commerce, tax authorities)

In their relations with their clients

In their relations with other notaries (e.g. videoconferencing, system to exchange documents)

Comments

194-4. Which computerised registries can notaries consult?

Land registry

Business registry

Civil status / Population registry

Succession / Family law registry

Any other registry (please specify)Registry Of Published Wills

None

Comments

194-5. Are there registries/ registry infrastructures run by the notaries?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify: General Commercial Registry.

194-6. In which computerised registries can notaries modify data (either directly or by submitting an online request)?

	Directly modifying	Indirectly modifying by submitting an online request
Land registry	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Business registry	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Civil status/ Population registry	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Succession / Family law registry	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Any other registry (please specify)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
None	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments Directly modifying to the business registry refers to the general commercial registry for incorporation of companies.
Any other registry refers to registry of of wills.



=

194-7. What ICT tools are used by notaries in their relations with clients?

- Videoconferencing (e.g. digital advice)
- Digital act
- Digital identification
- Digital archiving
- Other, please specify
- None

Comments

194-8. Who is responsible to run the digital archives?

- Notariat / Professional body
- Other public authority
- Another entity (please specify)each notary individually

Comments

195. Is there an authority entrusted with supervising and monitoring the notaries' work?

- Yes
- No

Comments

196. If yes, which authority is responsible for supervising and monitoring notaries (multiple options possible)?

- professional body
- court
- Ministry of Justice
- public prosecutor
- other (please specify):Ministry Of Finance

Comments

196-1. Is there a system of general continuous training for all notaries?

- Yes
- No

Comments

196-2. Do notaries have training on:

Yes	No
-----	----

European law	(X)	()
Law of another Member State (cross-border training programmes)	(X)	()

Comments - If yes, please indicate the types (e.g. traditional courses, e-learning, webinar) and the major topics of the training activities: Educational programs for the use of platform a) Land Registry (e-ktimatologio) B) auctions (e-auction) C) real estate transfer (my property) d) one-stop shop for company formation (E-YMS). Training webinars on issues of Notarial interest such as: 1)property tax treatment eg enfia , FMA, VAT, 2) urban planning provisions eg. L.4495/2017 (settlement of arbitrary buildings), 3) Land Registry and forestry legislation .

Cross-border training programmes such as: a) European regulation on succession –cross –border inheritances B) European regulation on the circulation of public documents-notarial acts.

I1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: NOTARY ASSOCIATION OF ATHENS

10.Judicial experts

10.1.Profession of judicial expert

10.1.1Status of judicial experts

202. In your system, what types of judicial experts can participate in judicial procedures (multiple replies possible):

- Experts designated by the parties in support of their arguments but bound by a duty of independence and impartiality to the court
- Experts appointed by the court or other authority independent of the parties
- Other system of judicial expertise, please specify

Comments - Please specify who is proposing and appointing experts in an individual case.

202-1. Are there lists or any other form of official registration for judicial experts?

Yes

No

Comments

202-1-1. If yes, at which level is the list established (multiple replies possible):

- national
- administrative district or federal entity
- judicial district
- other

Comments - Please, indicate any other comment regarding these lists or databases of experts, if they do exist (e.g. does the expert take an oath? How are his/her skills evaluated? By whom?):

202-1-2. Are these lists publicly available?

- Yes, available on the internet
- Yes
- No

Comments

202-2. Which authority is competent for the registration of judicial experts?

- Ministry of justice
- Courts
- Administrative body
- Independent body (association of judicial experts)
- Other

Comments - Please also specify the registration criteria:

202-3. Is the registration of judicial experts limited in time?

- Yes, for how long
- No

Comments A list of experts is devised each year with the possibility of renewal. This means that experts have no quantitative restriction on registration as long as they maintain the requirements.

202-4. Can an expert who is not on the list or not registered be appointed in a case?

- Yes
- No

Comment - If yes, please specify in which cases: If in a court there is no list of experts or persons with specific knowledge are not included.

203. Is the title of judicial experts protected?

- Yes
- No

Comments - If appropriate, please explain the meaning of this protection:

203-1. Does the judicial expert have an obligation of training?

	Obligation of training
Initial training	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Continuous training	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Comments "No" to initial training refers to the fact that the expert is not obliged to attend training seminars. They are obliged to have the training to perform their duties, which in most cases is proven by their degree and in other cases by special training, e.g. in handwriting experts.

203-2. If yes, does this training concern:

- judicial proceedings
- the profession of expert
- other

Comments

=

204. Is the function of judicial experts regulated by legal norms?

- Yes
- No

Comments

204-1. On the occasion of a task entrusted to him/her, does the judicial expert have to report any potential conflicts of interest?

- Yes
- No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

205. Number of accredited or registered judicial experts:

	Total	Males	Females
Number of experts	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

206-1. Number of cases where an expert opinion was ordered by a judge or requested by the parties

	Number of cases
Total (1+2+3+4)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
1.Civil and commercial litigious cases	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
2.Administrative cases	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
3.Criminal cases	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
4.Other cases	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

205-1. Who defines the amount of the expert remuneration?

	In civil/administrative cases	In criminal cases
Defined by law/by-law or a special regulation	() Yes (X) No [] NAP	(X) Yes () No [] NAP
Defined by the court/judge	(X) Yes () No [] NAP	() Yes (X) No [] NAP
Defined by the Ministry of Justice or another ministry (setting a tariff for example)	() Yes (X) No [] NAP	(X) Yes () No [] NAP
Salary of public official (in case of forensic or another specialist – who is public employee)	(X) Yes () No [] NAP	(X) Yes () No [] NAP
Freely agreed between expert and the parties	(X) Yes () No [] NAP	() Yes (X) No [] NAP
Other	() Yes (X) No [] NAP	() Yes (X) No [] NAP

Comments - If other, please specify:

206. Are there binding provisions for judicial experts regarding:

	Yes	No
Deadlines to provide expertise	(X)	()
Quality of expertise	()	(X)
Other	()	(X)

[] NAP

Comments - If yes, please specify, and provide details in case there are possible sanctions: In accordance with paragraph 1 of Article 202 of the Code of Criminal Procedure The expert who did not deliver his report within the time limit set, as well as the one who showed negligence in conducting the investigation, shall be punished with a fine of fifty to one hundred and fifty (50 to 150) euros as well as with the payment of costs and any damages.

207-1. Does the judge or another body control the progress of the expertise?

(X) Yes

() No

If yes, please specify:

207-2. Are judicial experts' associations involved in:

[] Selection processes

Initial or continuous training

Disciplinary procedures

NAP

Comments The answer was changed to not applicable because it was chosen by the majority of the courts

K1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this part

Sources: Courts,Public Prosecutions Offices

11.Reforms in judiciary

11.1.Foreseen reforms

11.1.1Reforms

208. Can you provide information on the current debate in your country regarding the functioning of justice? Are there undergoing or foreseen reforms? If possible, please observe the following categories:

208-1. (Comprehensive) reform plans

Yes (planned)

Yes (adopted)

Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)

No

NA

Comments - If yes, please specify: -It is planned to amend the judicial map (abolitions - mergers of courts) and the basic codes (Code of Civil Procedure and Code of Criminal Procedure) in order to simplify them(it is referred to the first choice and specifically to the penal and civil courts)

-LAW 4938/2022 (GOVERNMENT GAZETTE A' 109/06. 06. 2022)

Code of Organization of courts and status of Judicial Officers and other provisions.(it is referred to the second and third choice) •Upgrade and Expansion of the IT Systems of the Justice Sector

oDevelopment of an Integrated Information System (IIS) for the modernization of the operation of the Court of Audits

oActions for the strengthening of the information system of the National Criminal Record and further expansion of its services

oUpgrading and expansion of the functionality of the Integrated Judicial Case Management System of Civil & Criminal Justice (SDDYPP) – OSDDYPP Phase B

•Digital Recording, Archiving & Distribution of Court Hearings & Proceedings

•Digitization of Courts Files & Records

•Video conferencing services in courts and penitentiaries and information services of courts' e-dockets and exhibits

•Upgrade of the Integrated Administrative Court Case Management System of Greece (OSSDY-DD)

•Development of a System for the Collection & Processing of Justice Statistics

•Creation of National electronic catalogs of civil & Criminal Justice

(it is referred to the first and second choice)

208-2. Budget

Yes (planned)

Yes (adopted)

Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)

No

NA

Comments - If yes, please specify:

208-3. Courts and public prosecution services (e.g. powers and organisation, structural changes - e.g. reduction of the number of courts (geographic locations), competences of the courts, management and working methods, information technologies, backlogs and efficiency, court fees, renovations and construction of new buildings)

Yes (planned)

Yes (adopted)

Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)

No

NA

Comments - If yes, please specify: -LAW 5028/2023 (GOVERNMENT GAZETTE A' 54/09. 03. 2023)

Rearrangement of Regions and decentralisation of competences of ordinary Administrative Courts, Organisation of telematic Session, conversion of transitional offices of the courts and establishment of telematics judicial offices - other urgent arrangements of the Ministry of Justice and other provisions.

-There is a plan of judicial map and for the criminal and civil courts.

208-4. Access to justice and legal aid

Yes (planned)

Yes (adopted)

Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)

No

NA

Comments - If yes, please specify: LAW 5043/2023 for legal aid

208-5. High Judicial Council (competent for judges and/or prosecutors)

Yes (planned)

Yes (adopted)

Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)

No

NA

Comments - If yes, please specify: LAW 4938/2022 (GOVERNMENT GAZETTE A' 109/06. 06. 2022)

Code of Organization of courts and status of Judicial Officers and other provisions. LAW 5028/2023.

208-6. Legal professionals (judges, public prosecutors, lawyers, notaries, enforcement agents, etc.): organisation, education and training, etc.

Yes (planned)

- Yes (adopted)
- Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)
- No
- NA

Comments - If yes, please specify:

208-7. Gender equality

- Yes (planned)
- Yes (adopted)
- Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)
- No
- NA

Comments - If yes, please specify: GREVIO

208-8. Reforms regarding civil, criminal and administrative laws, international conventions and cooperation activities

- Yes (planned)
- Yes (adopted)
- Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)
- No
- NA

Comments - If yes, please specify: Judicial map- code amendments.

208-9. Enforcement of court decisions and in particular regarding decisions against public authorities

- Yes (planned)
- Yes (adopted)
- Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)
- No
- NA

Comments - If yes, please specify:

208-10. Mediation and other Alternative Dispute Resolution

- Yes (planned)
- Yes (adopted)
- Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)
- No
- NA

Comments - If yes, please specify:

208-11. Fight against crime

Yes (planned)

Yes (adopted)

Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)

No

NA

Comments - If yes, please specify:

208-12. Prison system

Yes (planned)

Yes (adopted)

Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)

No

NA

Comments - If yes, please specify: ew prisons.

208-13. Child friendly justice

Yes (planned)

Yes (adopted)

Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)

No

NA

Comments - If yes, please specify:

208-14. Domestic violence

Yes (planned)

Yes (adopted)

Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)

No

NA

Comments - If yes, please specify: Law amendment for the domestic violence

208-15. New information and communication technologies

Yes (planned)

Yes (adopted)

Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)

No

NA

Comments - If yes, please specify: LAW 5028/2023 (GOVERNMENT GAZETTE A' 54/09. 03. 2023)

Rearrangement of Regions and decentralisation of competences of ordinary Administrative Courts, Organisation of telematic Session, conversion of transitional offices of the courts and establishment of telematics judicial offices - other urgent arrangements of the Ministry of Justice and other provisions

208-16. Other

Yes (planned)

Yes (adopted)

Yes (implemented during year of reference +1)

No

NA

Comments - If yes, please specify: