





\*ICT calculations are described in more details in Annex 5 - IT Calculation methodology

# Synthesis table for the main indicators for: France

										Variations					
Economic and demographic data	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Population	65 585 857	65 821 000	66 317 994	66 627 602	66 991 000	67 186 638	66 992 699	67 063 703	67 407 241	2,8%	1,0%	0,0%	-0,3%	0,1%	0,5%
GDP per capita	31 059	32 112	32 227	32 796	33 337	34 150	34 978	35 960	33 959	9,3%	3,4%	4,9%	2,4%	2,8%	-5,6%
Exchange rate (local currency needed to obtain 1€)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP									
Average annual salary	34 100		34 500		35 400		35 763	36 705	34 495	1,2%	2,6%	1,0%		2,6%	-6,0%
										-					-
Resources	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Professional judges per 100 000 inhab.	10,7	10,7	10,5	10,5	10,4	10,5	10,9	11,1	11,2	4,1%	-0,1%	4,0%	3,3%	2,0%	0,8%
Non-judge staff per 100 000 inhab.	33,2	33,3	33,7	33,5	33,9	33,8	34,1	34,9	35,7	7,6%	0,6%	0,6%	0,9%	2,3%	2,3%
Lawyers per 100 000 inh.	85,7	91,5	93,6	93,2	97,7	99,7	99,9	102,6	104,0	<mark>21</mark> ,4%	4,4%	2,3%	0,3%	2,7%	1,3%
Mediators	NA	3,7	3,7	3,9	4,4	4,4	2,1	NA	3,8	NA	18,8%	-51,2%	-51,0%	NA	NA
ICT overall assesment							3,5	3,5	4,9		·	•	•	-0,5%	40,7%

First instance incoming cases per 100 inhab.	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Civil and commercial litigious cases	2,575	2,719	2,636	2,612	2,536	2,468	2,236	2,093	1,586	-38,4%	-3,8%	-11,8%	-9,4%	-6,4%	-24,2%
Administrative law cases	0,272	0,3	0,3	0,288	0,289	0,294	0,318	0,345	0,312	14,8%	-2,1%	10,1%	8,3%	8,5%	-9,4%
Total criminal law cases									1,433						

First instance performance indicators (Clearence Rate)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020 (percentange points)	2014-2016 (percentange points)	2016-2018 (percentange points)	2017-2018 (percentange points)	2018-2019 (percentange points)	2019-2020 (percentange points)
CR civil and commercial litigious cases	99%	98%	94%	98%	99%	103%	96%	100%	93%	-6,37	4,65	-3,27	-6,79	3,93	-6,83
CR administrative law cases	107%	104%	96%	98%	99%	102%	98%	97%	95%	-11,46	2,80	-0,65	-3,74	-1,88	-1,32
CR total criminal law cases									91%						

First instance performance indicators (Disposition Time)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
DT civil and commercial litigious cases cases (days)	311	308	348	346	353	341	420	432	637	104,7%	1,6%	19,0%	23,3%	2,8%	47,5%
DT administrative law cases (days)	302	284	305	313	314	290	285	284	333	10,2%	2,9%	-9,0%	-1,5%	-0,4%	17,1%
DT total criminal law cases (days)									NA						
First instance pending cases per 100	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
inhab. on 31 dec.															
Civil and commercial litigious cases	2,18	2,24	2,37	2,42	2,43	2,36	2,47	2,47	2,57	18,0%	2,6%	1,4%	4,3%	0,2%	4,1%
Administrative law cases	0,24	0,22	0,24	0,24	0,25	0,24	0,24	0,26	0,27	12,9%	3,7%	-0,5%	2,8%	5,9%	4,6%
Total criminal law cases															

Second instance performance indicators (Clearence Rate)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020 (percentange points)	2014-2016 (percentange points)	2016-2018 (percentange points)	2017-2018 (percentange points)	2018-2019 (percentange points)	2019-2020 (percentange points)
CR civil and commercial litigious cases			93%	95%	95%	104%	105%	102%	105%		1,92	9,34	1,09	-2,49	3,04
CR administrative law cases			100%	100%	98%	100%	97%	96%	102%		-2,49	-0,48	-2,72	-1,27	5,57
CR total criminal law cases									102%						

Second instance performance indicators (Disposition Time)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
DT civil and commercial litigious cases (days)			477	476	487	464	466	481	607		2,1%	-4,2%	0,4%	3,3%	26,1%
DT administrative law cases (days)			334	329	341	334	327	329	362		2,0%	-4,0%	-1,9%	0,5%	10,0%
DT total criminal law cases (days)									399						

Supreme court performance indicators (Clearence Rate)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020 (percentange points)	2014-2016 (percentange points)	2016-2018 (percentange points)	2017-2018 (percentange points)	2018-2019 (percentange points)	2019-2020 (percentange points)
CR civil and commercial litigious cases			92%	88%	105%	90%	123%	102%	78%		12,64	18,26	32,82	-20,75	-24,35
CR administrative law cases			101%	109%	100%	103%	100%	101%	96%		-1,54	0,34	-2,58	0,81	-4,64
CR total criminal law cases									104%						

Supreme court performance indicators (Disposition Time)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
DT civil and commercial litigious cases (days)			425	516	376	469	337	402	773		-11,4%	-10,5%	-28,2%	19,2%	92,6%
DT administrative law cases (days)			185	206	207	184	200	188	221		12,3%	-3,5%	8,5%	-5,9%	17,5%
DT total criminal law cases									146						







#### **1. Judicial organisation in France**

In 2020 in France, following the implementation of the justice reform (Law No. 2016-1547 of November 18, 2016 on the modernization of justice in the 21st century; Law no. 2019-222 of 23 March 2019 on programming 2018-2022 and reform for justice) the number of courts considered as legal entities is 1 066. Namely, there are 206 courts of general jurisdiction and 860 specialised courts.

Among the 206 legal entities of general jurisdiction, the 168 "tribunaux judiciaires" have competence at first instance, the 37 Courts of appeal are the second instance courts of general jurisdiction, while the Cassation Court is the highest instance court of general jurisdiction in the French judicial system.

Among the 860 legal entities of specialised jurisdiction, 851 are of first while 9 are higher instance specialised courts (infra).

In terms of geographic locations, there are 672 courts among which 618 are of first instance. More precisely, as concerns civil and criminal courts, there are 576 courts of first instance - geographical locations and in total 619 Courts - geographical locations. As regards the administrative order, there are 42 administrative courts of first instance - geographic locations and in total 53 administrative courts - geographic locations.

#### **Distribution of general courts in France**



According to 2020 data, the distribution between 1st instance and higher instances courts of general jurisdiction in France is around the EU median of 87% - 13%.

#### **Evolution of number of first instance courts in France**

	<b>O</b> a superstation	Legal er	ntities
	Geographic locations	General jurisdiction	Specialised jurisdiction
2012	640	778	1 156
2013	641	783	1 089
2014	643	786	1 094
2015	643	786	1 094
2016	641	786	1 086
2017	641	786	1 086
2018	641	168	1 463
2019	641	168	1 186
2020	672	168	851



#### **Evolution of number of first instance courts in France**



#### Distribution of first instance general jurisdiction and specialised courts

The distribution between number of general jurisdiction courts and specialised courts of 16,5% - 83,5% is quite different from the EU median (distribution tendency in EU: 75,5% - 24,5%).

In fact, France constitutes an extreme configuration with its 860 secialised courts.

Specialised courts	First instance	Higher instance
Total	851	9
Commercial courts (excluded insolvency courts)	152	NAP
Insolvency courts	NAP	NAP
Labour courts	216	NAP
Family courts	NAP	NAP
Rent and tenancies courts	NAP	NAP
Enforcement of criminal sanctions courts	NA	NAP
Fight against terrorism, organised crime and corruption	NAP	NAP
Internet related disputes	NAP	NAP
Administrative courts	42	9
Insurance and / or social welfare courts	NAP	NAP
Military courts	NAP	NAP
Juvenile courts	155	NAP
Other specialised 1st instance courts	286	NAP

In France in 2020, at first instance there are 216 labor courts (210 "conseils de prud'hommes" and 6 labor courts); 152 commercial courts; 42 administrative courts; 155 juvenile courts and 286 other specialised courts (275 parity courts for rural leases (tribunaux paritaires des baux ruraux (TPBR)), the Court for navigation on the Rhine; the Court for navigation on the Moselle; 6 Maritime courts; the National court of asylum; the "Commission du contentieux du stationnement payant").

In matters of enforcement of sentences, the enforcement judge (JAP) is a court of first instance for the enforcement of sentences, being at the same time a decisionmaking, monitoring and follow-up body. The Court for the Enforcement of Sentences (TAP) is a court of first instance, composed of three JAPs of the judicial courts (most complex and sensitive cases). In each court of appeal, a TAP is established. The exact number of enforcement of criminal sanctions courts is not available. However, as it is a small number it would not significantly affect the total.

In matters of terrorism, a derogatory jurisdiction is provided for under ordinary law (articles 706-22-1 and D 49-75 to D49-81-5 of the CPP). The Paris enforcement courts specialized in terrorist matters (JAPAT, the TAPAT and the enforcement chamber) have exclusive jurisdiction to monitor persons convicted by specialized terrorism trial courts; shared jurisdiction with ordinary law enforcement courts to monitor persons convicted of acts of terrorism and other offenses falling within the scope of Article 706-16 of the CPP by ordinary law courts.

Since January 1, 2019, social litigation is within the competence of first instance courts of general jurisdiction (tribunaux judiciaires) and the specialized jurisdictions have been abolished.

Concerning military jurisdictions, in peacetime courts of general jurisdiction are competent, while in times of war, the territorial courts of the armed forces are competent as exceptional jurisdictions. Since November 1, 2019, litigation concerning military disability pensions has been transferred to administrative courts.

As to insolvency cases, depending on the nature of the litigants, they are within the competence of "tribunaux judiciaires", Commercial courts or TPBR. Family law judges work within the "tribunaux judiciaires". Same applies to rental/lease cases.

Higher instance specialised courts exist only in administrative matters - the 8 Administrative court of appeal and the Council fo State.

#### 2. Professionals of justice in France

#### • Professional judges and non-judge staff

#### Evolution of the number of professional judges since 2012 (Q46)

Year	Absolute number	Per 100 000 inhabitants
2012	7 033	10,72
2013	7 054	10,72
2014	6 935	10,46
2015	6 967	10,46
2016	6 995	10,44
2017	7 066	10,52
2018	7 277	10,86
2019	7 427	11,07
2020	7 522	11,16



According to 2020 data, the total number of professional judges sitting in courts (all instances) in France is 7 522, which is 1,3% more than in previous cycle.

More precisely, in France, there are 11,16 professional judges per 100 000 inhabitants (this figure is below the EU median of 23,92 judges per 100 000 inhabitants) and about 3,20 non-judge staff per judge.

There has been a small increase compared with previous cycle when this ratio was at 3,15 non-judge staff per judge.

#### Absolute number of professional judges by instance and gender

2020	Total	Distribution by instance	Male	Female	% Male	% Female
1st instance	5 288	70,3%	NA	NA	NA	NA
2nd instance	1 880	25,0%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Supreme courts	354	4,7%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Total	7 522		NA	NA	NA	NA



In this cycle, it was not possible to carry out a gender breakdown in respect of number of judges.

The total number of judges is distributed among the different judicial instances in the following way: 5 288 are sitting in first instance courts; 1 880 are sitting in second instance courts and 354 are sitting in Supreme Court.

Compared with the EU distribution of professional judges per instance, a similar trend is observed in France. However, the predominance of first instance judges is less pronounced, while second and third instance judges are more numerous.

As regards the methodology of presentation of data in respect of the number of judges, some useful details have been provided.

In respect of civil and criminal courts, the data are expressed in full-time equivalent. These figures concern only judges (and not paralegals) who sit in court (judges seconded to the central administration are not counted).

- Total number of professional judges: total 6177.9; men 1725.5; women 4452.4
- 1. Number of first instance professional judges: total 4378.6; men 1133.7; women 3244.9
- 2. Number of professional judges in courts of appeal: total 1577.8; men 503.8; women 1074
- 3. Number of professional judges in the Cassation court: total 221.5; men 88; women 133.5

For the administrative order, the data includes the National Court of Asylum (CNDA) and the "Commission du contentieux du stationnement payant (CCSP)". In FTE, only the total is available. The detail in physical staff is as follows:

- Total number of professional judges: total 1357; men 727; women 630
- 1. Number of professional judges of first instance: total 920; men 487; women 433
- 2. Number of professional judges in the courts of appeal: total 306; men 156; women 150

3. Number of professional judges in the Council of State: total 131; men 84; women 47

#### Absolute number of professional judges by instance and matter

2020	Total	Civil and commercial	Criminal	Administrative	Other
1st instance	5 288	NA	NA	909	NA
2nd instance	1 880	NA	NA	303	NA
Supreme courts	354	NA	NA	132	NA
Total	7 522	NA	NA	1 343	NA

In France, the distribution of judges per categories of cases is possible for some categories only.

#### Distribution of professional judges by instance and matter

2020	Civil and commercial	Criminal	Administrative	Other
1st instance	NA	NA	17,2%	NA
2nd instance	NA	NA	16,1%	NA
Supreme courts	NA	NA	37,3%	NA
Total	NA	NA	17,9%	NA

The distinction by type of case is not possible in respect of civil and criminal cases (*ordre judiciaire*). Namely, the distribution of the processing of civil and criminal cases within courts, which depends on the organization of the jurisdictions, does not allow providing the requested information. With regard to administrative courts, the FTEs have been rounded up.

#### Non-judge staff

Year	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Number of non-judge staff	21 758	21 946	22 360	22 326	22 712	22 714	22 844	23 396	24 062
Per 100 000 inhabitants	33,17	33,34	33,72	33,51	33,90	33,81	34,10	34,89	35,70



2020	Absolute number	in %
Total	24 062	
Rechtspfleger	NAP	NAP
Non-judge staff assisting the judge	19 573	81,3%
Staff in charge of administrative tasks	3 045	12,7%
Technical staff	889	3,7%
Other	554	2,3%

In 2020, France has 24 062 non-judge staff (of which 19 678 are females). The total number of non-judge staff in comparison with the previous cycle reveals an increase of 2,8%.

In this cycle, the non-judge staff is broken down as follows:

- 19 573 non-judge staff whose task is to assist the judges such as registrars (of which 16 839 are women);
- 3 045 staff in charge of different administrative tasks and of the court management (of which 2 279 are women);
- 889 technical staff (of which 146 are women);
- 554 other (of which 413 are women);

In 2020, the number of non-judge staff per 100 000 inhabitants has increased (from 34,9 in 2019 to 35,7 in 2020).

During the same period, the number of judges per 100 000 inhabitants evolves from 11,1 judges per 100 000 inhabitants in 2019 to 11,2 in 2020.

"Other non-judicial staff" encompasses legal assistants and specialized assistants who do not work for the prosecution service. Unlike in previous years, this distinction could be made for the numbers in 2020, which explains the decrease in the figures provided compared to the previous year.

The category "Non-judge (judicial) staff whose task is to assist the judges" includes the category B contractual employees recruited under the plan to support justice, implemented since the second half of 2020 on the sole basis of article 7bis of the law n°84-16 of January 11, 1984, concerning statutory provisions relating to the State civil service, created by the law on the transformation of the civil service of August 6, 2019, instituting the project contract. These contract employees are hired for 3 years.

As of 12/31/2020, 1,699 category A and B agents (including 1,388 women) were undergoing initial training at the National School of Clerks, most of whom were on practical training in the courts. These personnel will join the courts during 2021 or 2022, which will significantly increase the number of staff working in the courts and regional administrative services.

Professional judges

Non-judge staff per judge

Non-judge staff

Trainee judges are not included.

The data compiles data from civil, criminal and administrative courts.

#### Professional judges, non-judge staff and their ratio (Q46, Q52)

Per 100 000 inhabitants	France	EU median
Professional judges	11,16	23,92
Non-judge staff	35,70	59,00
Non-judge staff per judge	3,20	3,30

Professional judges and non-judge staff per 100 000 inhabitants, and their ratio



#### Evolution of the ratio between professional judges and non-judge staff (Q46, Q52)

	Judges per 100 000 inh.	Non-judge staff per 100 000 inh.	Ratio between professional judges and non-judge staff	E	volution o	of the ratio		n professio (Q46, Q52	onal judge: )	s and non	-judge sta	aff
2012	10,72	33,17	3,09									
2013	10,72	33,34	3,11									
2014	10,46	33,72	3,22									
2015	10,46	33,51	3,20									
2016	10,44	33,90	3,25									
2017	10,52	33,81	3,21			3,22	3,20	3,25	3,21			2
2018	10,86	34,10	3,14	3,09	3,11	S,EE	5,20		5,21	3,14	3,15	3,2
2019	11,07	34,89	3,15	•			•					
2020	11,16	35,70	3,20	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	20





#### • Public prosecutors and non-prosecutor staff

#### **Distribution by** 2020 Total Male Female Male Female instance 1st instance 1 605 74,6% 594 1 011 37,0% 63,0% 2nd instance 489 22,7% 247 242 50,5% 49,5% Supreme courts 30 27 57 2,6% 52,6% 47,4% Total 871 1 280 40,5% 59,5% 2 151





In this cycle, the total number of female prosecutors (all instances) is 1 280, which represents 59,5% of the total number of prosecutors.

The total number of prosecutors is distributed among the different judicial instances in the following way: 1 605 in first instance (of which 1 011 are female); 489 are in second instance (of which 242 are female) and 57 in final instance (of which 27 are female).

As regards the distribution male/female, it has to be specified that female prosecutors have the majority only at first instance.

#### Non-prosecutor staff by gender (Q60)

Non-prosecutor staff	Total	Male	Female
2020	712	180	532





#### Public prosecutors, non-prosecutor staff and their ratio (Q55, Q60)

Per 100 000 inhabitants	France	EU median
Public prosecutors	3,19	9,91
Non-prosecutor staff	1,06	15,22
Non-prosecutor staff per prosecutor	0,33	1,11

Public prosecutors and non-prosecutor staff per 100 000 inhabitants, and their ratio



France

EU median

#### • Salaries of professional judges and prosecutors at beginning of a career and at the highest instance (Q132, Q4)





According to 2020 data, the absolute gross salary of a judge at the begining of a career in France of 46 149€ is around the EU median when compared to the EU median of 51 946€. As a ratio with the annual average salary of the country, the salary for a judge at the begining of career is: 1,34 compared with EU median of : 2,02.

The following clarifications have been provided:

First-instance professional judge (civil and criminal courts) at the beginning of his/her career: judge at the 3rd step of the second grade - lump-sum compensation: 35% - flexible bonus 12%. Public prosecutor at the beginning of his/her career: prosecutor of the Public Prosecutor's Office at the 3rd step of the second grade - lump-sum compensation: 38% - flexible bonus 12%. Judge of the Court of Cassation: President of Chamber CC (F: 1369) - flexible bonus 14%.

Prosecutor at the Court of Cassation: First Advocate General CC (F: 1369) - flexible premium 14%.

It is important to highlight that the provided data concern only judges of civil and criminal courts ("ordre judiciaire") excluding administrative judges ("ordre administratif").

For the administrative order:

-gross annual salary in euros of a professional judge of 1st instance at the beginning of his/her career: 47,100 euros

-gross annual salary in euros of a Council of State judge: 94,000 euros

-net annual salary in euros of a professional judge of first instance at the beginning of his career: 38,700 euros

-net annual salary in euros of a Council of State judge: 76,000 euros.

#### • Lawyers

Lawyers	Absolute number	Per 100 000 inhabitants				Numbe	er of lawyers p	oer 100 000 inh	abitants			
2012	56 176	85,65										122,09
2013	60 223	91,50			02.00	00.46	97,74	99,66	99,95	102,64	103,95	
2014	62 073	93,60	85,65	91,50	93,60	93,16						
2015	62 073	93,16	-									
2016	65 480	97,74										

2020	70 073	103,95	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	EU median 2020
2019	68 835	102,64										
2018	66 958	99,95										
2017	66 958	99,66										

In 2020, there are 70 073 lawyers, which is 1,8% more than in 2019.

France has 104,0 lawyers per 100 000 inhabitants, which is below the EU median of 122,1 lawyers per 100 000 inhabitants.

### Judicial professionals (summary)

### Judicial professionals in absolute number and per 100 000 inhabitants (Q46, Q52, Q55, Q60, Q146)

Non judge staff

Prosecutors

Lawyers

Non-prosecutor staff

	Absolute number	Per 100 000 inhabitants	EU Median
Professional judges	7 427	11,07	23,92
Non-judge staff	24 062	35,70	59,00
Prosecutors	2 151	3,19	9,91
Non-prosecutor staff	on-prosecutor staff 712		15,22
Lawyers	70 073	103,95	122,09

#### Judicial professionals per 100 000 inhabitants EU Median France 122,09 103*,*95 59,00 35,70 23,92 15,22 11,07 9,91 3,19 1,06 Professional judges Non-judge staff Prosecutors Non-prosecutor staff Lawyers

### Judicial professionals: Gender balance

	% Male	% Female
Professional judges	NA	NA
Non judge staff	18,2%	81,8%
Prosecutors	40,5%	59,5%
Non-prosecutor staff	25,3%	74,7%
Lawyers	43,2%	56,8%

Judicial professionals: Gender balance



#### 3. Legal aid and court fees in France

In France, legal aid includes:

<ul> <li>Coverage of court fees:</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Exemption from court fees:</li> </ul>	$\bigotimes$

Article 24 of the law n°91-647 of July 10, 1991 relating to legal aid states that "the expenses which would be incurred by the recipient of legal aid if he or she did not have such aid shall be borne by the State".

More precisely, the law n°91-647 has several components, as follows:

- Assistance in accessing the law, which includes general information for people on their rights and obligations, help in carrying out any procedure, legal advice and assistance in drafting and concluding legal acts. Assistance in accessing the law is based on a network of "Points-Justice" spread throughout the country. This assistance is free, anonymous and unconditionally accessible to everyone;

- Mediation assistance (art. 11-1 of the law);

- Legal aid, which may be granted to parties in non-litigious or litigious matters before any court; this aid may also be granted for all or part of the proceedings and with a view to reaching a settlement or agreement before the court proceedings. This aid may also be granted in cases of divorce by mutual consent before a notary. Finally, it may be granted in connection with the enforcement on French territory of a court decision or any other enforceable title, including if they emanate from another EU Member State with the exception of Denmark (Article 10 of the Act);

- Assistance with the intervention of a lawyer in non-judicial criminal proceedings (cf. Articles 11-2 to 11-4 of the Act), which allows for the assistance of persons in police custody, customs detention or detention of foreigners for the purpose of verifying the right to move and reside and the right to asylum

In France, legal aid is available for :

> Representation in court:

<ul> <li>Criminal cases</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Other than criminal cases</li> </ul>	$\bigcirc$
> Legal advice, ADR and other legal services:	
<ul> <li>Criminal cases</li> </ul>	$\checkmark$
<ul> <li>Other than criminal cases</li> </ul>	$\checkmark$
> Fees related to enforcement of judicial decisions as fees for enforcement agents (Q18)	$\checkmark$
> Other costs than above (Q19)	

Article 11 of the aforementioned law provides that legal aid "shall apply as of right to proceedings, acts or measures for the enforcement of legal decisions obtained with its benefit, unless enforcement is suspended for more than one year for a reason other than the exercise of an appeal or a decision to suspend enforcement. Enforcement agents may be appointed to enforce any legal decision for a beneficiary of legal aid, either as a continuation of the proceedings or separately. Moreover, as mentioned above, legal aid may be granted on the occasion of the enforcement, on French territory, of a court decision or any other enforceable title, including if they emanate from another EU Member State except for Denmark.

Legal aid covers all legal costs related to a case (in case of total legal aid). Concretely, articles 40 and 40-1 of the aforementioned Act provide that the beneficiary of legal aid is entitled to the assistance of a lawyer and any public or ministerial officials (bailiffs, solicitors, and notaries in particular). He/she is also exempt from the payment of advance or deposit of all costs relating to the proceedings, procedures or acts for which it has been granted (expertise, social inquiry, family mediation, etc.), with the exception of a hearing right of  $\in$ 13.

#### Number of cases for which legal aid has been granted

Absolute number (in 2020)	Total	Cases brought to court	Cases not brought to court
Total	888 343	NA	NA
In criminal cases	348 715	NA	NA
In other than criminal cases	539 628	NA	NA



Per 100 000 inhabitants (in 2020)	France	EU Median
Total	1 317,9	734,2
In criminal cases	517,3	330,9
In other than criminal cases	800,5	402,7

Number of cases for which legal has been granted per 100 000



The data provided is the number of admissions to legal aid per year.

It is not possible distinguishing between cases brought and not brought to court.

The decrease in the number of cases granted with legal aid is explained by the particular context of the health crisis in 2020.

Timeframes of the procedure for granting legal aid (in relation to the duration from the initial legal aid request to the final approval of the legal aid request)

<ul> <li>Maximum duration prescribed in law/regulations:</li> </ul>	45
<ul> <li>Actual average duration:</li> </ul>	52

The processing time for legal aid applications has been set at less than 45 days in the 2020 Annual Performance Project indicators. The actual average time is the time between the filing of the application and the date of the admission or rejection decision, calculated from the time limits maintained by each legal aid office. There is no distinction provided for criminal and other than criminal cases.

#### 4. Performance of courts in France

- Efficiency indicators
  - Clearance Rate (CR) and Disposition Time (DT)

The Clearance Rate shows the capacity of a judicial system to deal with the incoming cases. A Clearance Rate of 100% and higher does not generate backlog.

The Disposition Time determines the estimated number of days necessary for a pending case to be solved in a court.

AT the outset, it should be noticed that in 2020 in France the number of resolved cases decreased more significantly than the number of incoming cases in both civil and criminal matters. The health crisis and lockdown measures may have affected the number of resolved cases (reducing the ability of courts to process cases) but also the number of incoming cases (fewer misdemeanor offences committed, fewer cases brought to court). Prior to this, a major lawyers' strike and a transport strike had mainly affected the number of resolved cases.

#### First instance Total of other than criminal cases

#### Incoming, resolved and pending cases



Evolution of number of all other than criminal cases per 100 inhabitants

The number of incoming cases in 2020 in France (2,08 per 100 inhabitants) is significantly below the EU median (6,82 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of resolved cases in 2020 in France (1,94 per 100 inhabitants) is significantly below the EU median (6,60 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of pending cases at the end of 2020 in France (2,95 per 100 inhabitants) is slightly above the EU median (2,66 per 100 inhabitants).

#### • Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

Other than criminal cases	CR (%)	DT (days)
2012	100%	275
2013	98%	274
2014	95%	304
2015	98%	304
2016	98%	312
2017	104%	300
2018	96%	381



2019	99%	388	275	274	204	304	212	200	201	388	EE4	109
2020	94%	554			2014		2016	2017	2018		2020	
			2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	EU median

With a Clearance Rate calculated at 93,6% in 2020 France seems to face difficulties in dealing with its other than criminal cases.

Between 2019 and 2020, the Clearance Rate has decreased by -5,8 points.

In 2020, other than criminal cases are solved in approximately 554 days, which is well above EU median of 109 days.

The analysis of the 2019 - 2020 period reveals a 43,0% increase of the Disposition Time.

#### First instance Civil (and commercial) litigious cases

#### Incoming, resolved and pending cases



Evolution of number of civil and commercial litigious cases per 100 inhabitants

The number of incoming cases in 2020 in France (1,59 per 100 inhabitants) is slightly above the EU median (1,56 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of resolved cases in 2020 in France (1,47 per 100 inhabitants) is slightly below the EU median (1,50 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of pending cases at the end of 2020 in France (2,57 per 100 inhabitants) is well above the EU median (1,05 per 100 inhabitants).

Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	CR (%)	DT (days)
2012	99,2%	311
2013	97,5%	308
2014	94,4%	348
2015	97,7%	346
2016	99,0%	353
2017	102,5%	341
2018	95,8%	420
2019	99,7%	432
2020	92,9%	637





With a Clearance Rate calculated at 92,9% in 2020, France seems facing difficulties in dealing with its civil and commercial litigious cases.

Between 2019 and 2020, the Clearance Rate has decreased by -6,8 points.

In 2020, the civil and commercial litigious cases are solved in approximately 637 days, which is well above the EU median of 221 days.

The analysis of the 2019 - 2020 period reveals a 47,5% increase of the Disposition Time.

The number of civil and commercial litigious cases older than 2 years is not available.

#### First instance Administrative cases

#### Incoming, resolved and pending cases



Evolution of number of administrative cases per 100 inhabitants

The number of incoming cases in 2020 in France (0,31 per 100 inhabitants) is slightly above the EU median (0,30 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of resolved cases in 2020 in France (0,30 per 100 inhabitants) is slightly above the EU median (0,26 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of pending cases at the end of 2020 in France (0,27 per 100 inhabitants) is somewhat above the EU median (0,21 per 100 inhabitants).



#### • Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

With a Clearance Rate calculated at 95,2% in 2020, France seems to encounter some difficulties in dealing with its administrative cases.

Between 2019 and 2020, the Clearance Rate has decreased for -1,3 points.

In 2020, the administrative cases are solved in approximately 333 days, which is slightly below the EU median of 388 days.

The analysis of the 2019 - 2020 period reveals a 17,1% increase of the Disposition Time.

In France, there are 16 309 administrative law cases older than 2 years. This is 8,9% of the total number of pending cases at the end of the year.

The measures derogating from the ordinary law of litigious administrative procedure adopted to respond to the situation arising from the health crisis caused by the Covid-19 epidemic were provided for by order no. 2020-305 of March 25, 2020, then by order no. 2020-1402 of November 18, 2020, and decree no. 2020-1406 of the same day. For example: 1°) Use of audiovisual or any other means of electronic communication; 2°) Provisions allowing to limit the number of persons attending the hearing and those allowing the president of the court to decide that the hearing will be held without the presence of the public; 3°) The dispensation of the public rapporteur's conclusions for cases that did not present any difficulty; 4°) The orders of March and November 2020 allowed the interim relief judge to rule without a hearing, in addition to the cases provided for in article L. 522-3 of the Code of Administrative Justice; 5°) The possibility for the administrative courts of appeal to rule by order without a hearing on applications for a stay of execution; 6°) In matters of DALO-Injunction, the possibility of ruling by order.

Courts made very little use of the derogation provisions relating to the composition of the trial panels, namely the possibility of completing a panel of judges with the participation of an honorary magistrate from another court.

The provisions allowing heads of court to appoint advisers to rule by order under the conditions set out in article R. 222-13 of the CJA were hardly used. In total. it appears that the measures provided for have been used very differently depending on the measure taken into account. Video hearings and the new rules for summary proceedings have opened up possibilities, with provisions that can be seen as having been the medium for experimentation.

### Insolvency cases

#### • Clearance Rate and Disposition Time



The Clearance Rate was calculated at 147,5% in 2020 for insolvency cases, France seems to deal efficiently with its insolvency cases.

Between 2019 and 2020, the Clearance Rate has increased by 41,9 points.

The Disposition Time for insolvency cases cannot be calculated.

#### First instance Criminal Law Cases

#### Incoming, resolved and pending cases

	Pending cases 1 Jan	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases 31 Dec
Total	NA	965 679	882 087	NA
Severe criminal cases	NA	497 526	490 172	NA
Misdemeanour and/or minor cases	NA	468 153	391 915	NA
Other cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP





Severe, Misdemeanour and/or minor criminal cases, and other criminal law cases per 100 inhabitants



The number of total incoming criminal cases in 2020 in France (1,43 per 100 inhabitants) is slightly below the EU median (1,60 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of total resolved criminal cases in 2020 in France (1,31 per 100 inhabitants) is slightly below the EU median (1,48 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of total pending criminal cases at the end of 2020 in France is not available.

#### • Clearance Rate and Disposition Time



With the Clearance Rate calculated at 91,3% in 2020 for total criminal cases, France seems to encounter difficulties in dealing with its total criminal cases.

#### Overall efficiency by instance and by case matter

	CR (%)			DT (days)		
	1st instance	2nd instance	Supreme Court	1st instance	2nd instance	Supreme Court
Civil and commercial litigious cases	92,9%	105,3%	78,0%	637	607	773
Administrative cases	95,2%	101,6%	96,4%	333	362	221
Total criminal law cases	91,3%	102,4%	104,2%	NA	399	146



It seems that in 2020 in France courts of all instances faced difficulties in dealing with their case-flow. As explained above, the pandemic and the measures adopted in its respect have considerably affected the functioning of the courts, resulting in meaningful decreases in the number of resolved cases. In civil and administrative matters, only second instance courts register a positive Clearance Rate, while in criminal matters the Cassation Court has a very satisfactory Clearance Rate indicator just as second instance courts.

In terms of Disposition Time, only in administrative matters the values remained below or aligned to the EU medians at different instances (first instance - 388 days; second instance - 362 days; third instance - 281). In civil matters, this indicator is meaningfully above the EU medians (first instance - 221 days; second instance - 177 days; third instance - 224 days). The same applies to criminal courts, the EU medians for second and third instance being respectively 101 days and 120 days.

#### 5. Public prosecution services in France

#### • Role and powers of the public prosecutor

In the criminal procedure, the public prosecutor in France has the following 10 out of 11 possible roles and powers:

<b>⊘</b>	To appeal	
8	To supervise the enforcement procedure	
	To discontinue a case without needing a decision by a judge	
<b>⊘</b>	To end the case by imposing or negotiating a penalty or measure without requiring a judicial decision	
<b>⊘</b>	Other significant powers	
	<ul> <li>⊘</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>To supervise the enforcement procedure</li> <li>To discontinue a case without needing a decision by a judge</li> <li>To end the case by imposing or negotiating a penalty or measure without requiring a judicial decision</li> </ul>

The prosecutor does not conduct the investigation stricto sensu, s/he directs and supervises it. However, there are certain cases provided for by law in which the prosecutor must carry out the investigation him/herself. These are, for example, searches of the home of a lawyer, a doctor, etc.

The public prosecutor has other responsibilities in the area of child protection (placement) and public policies (local security and prevention policies, local commissions in the fight against illegal work, domestic violence, racism, discrimination, etc.). The public prosecutor is also responsible, in conjunction with the president of the court, for the hearing of criminal cases.

Other significant powers are those in the enforcement phase.

The public prosecutor also has a role in civil and insolvency cases.

The public prosecutor has other responsibilities in the areas of commercial and civil matters, child protection, civil status and parentage law.

#### • Public prosecutors: Number of first instance criminal cases

Type of	cases	Absolute number	Per 100 inhabitants
1. Pendi	ng cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	NA	NA
2. Incom	ning/received cases	4 124 168	6,12
3. Proce	ssed cases (3.1 + 3.2 + 3.3 + 3.4)	2 655 865	3,94
3.1. [ + 3.1	Discontinued during the reference year (3.1.1 + 3.1.2 + 3.1.3 .4)	1 648 743	2,45
	3.1.1 Discontinued by the public prosecutor because the offender could not be identified	903 345	1,34
	3.1.2 Discontinued by the public prosecutor due to the lack of an established offence or a specific legal situation	553 520	0,82



	3.1.3 Discontinued by the public prosecutor for reasons of opportunity	191 878	0,28	Processed cases per France	<b>100 inhabitants</b>		
	3.1.4 Discontinued for other reasons	NAP	NAP	3.1. Discontinued during the reference year 2	2,45		1,05
	Concluded by a penalty or a measure imposed or negotiated e public prosecutor	477 768	0,71	3.2. Concluded by a penalty or a measure imposed or negotiated by the public prosecutor	0,71		0,12
3.3. (	Cases closed by the public prosecutor for other reasons	NAP	NAP	3.3. Cases closed by the public prosecutor for other reasons	NAI	P	0,30
3.4. (	Cases brought to court	529 354	0,79		_		
4. Pendi	ng cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	NA	NA	3.4. Cases brought to court	0,79		0,53

The complexity and diversity of criminal data do not permit the production of estimates of pending cases.

#### 6. Existence and use of alternative dispute resolution in France

#### Number of mediators

Mediators	Total	Per 100 000 inhabitants
2012	NA	NA
2013	2435	3,7
2014	2450	3,7
2015	2571	3,9
2016	2940	4,4
2017	2940	4,4
2018	1436	2,1
2019	NA	NA
2020	2542	3,8



In 2020, there are 2 542 accredited or registered mediators who practise court related mediation which represents 3,8 accredited or registered mediators per

There are also 312 legal persons.

It should be pointed out that the provided data concern only civil mediation. The increase in the number of mediators registered on the lists of mediators established by the Courts of Appeal is indicative of the development of the use of alternative dispute resolution methods and more particularly mediation.

The Ministry of Justice strongly encourages mediators to register on these lists. The registration obeys certain conditions as mentioned in the decree n°2021-95 of January 29, 2021 amending the decrees n°2017-1457 of October 9, 2017 relating to the list of mediators with the court of appeal. In addition, the mediator wishing to be registered must provide, in support of his/her application, supporting documents attesting in particular to his/her training (decree of January 29, 2021). A verification of his or her criminal record is also carried out. These requirements help to ensure the minimum guarantees (training, impartiality, independence and verification of criminal status) required of a mediator recommended by the courts. Finally, the mediators registered on these lists have a better visibility since the litigants are led to go to the lists of the courts of appeal to find a mediator (https://www.justice.fr/r%C3%A9gler-litigesautrement/m%C3%A9diation). A mediator recommended by the justice is, moreover, a guarantee of confidence for the litigants.

#### Number of court related mediations

Type of cases	Number of cases for which the parties agreed to start mediation	Number of finished court-related mediations	Number of cases in which there is a settlement agreement
All cases	NA	NA	NA
Civil and commercial	NA	NA	NA
Family cases	NA	NA	NA
Administrative	1394	927,00	386,00
Employment dismissal	NA	NA	NA
Criminal cases	NA	NA	NAP

Consumer cases	NA	NA	NA

It is worth mentioning that France has launched a voluntarist project in the field of mediation at the initiative of the judge before the administrative jurisdiction, each jurisdiction having to reach a quantified objective of mediations proposed by the judge and accepted by the parties (but without obligation to see these mediations leading to an agreement, which the jurisdiction does not control). The objective is, over the period 2019-2022, to reach about 2000 mediations initiated by the judge before the administrative courts (i.e. about 1% of the entries of the TA and CAA).

### 7. ICT tools of courts in France

#### •The ICT tools of courts and for court users

Total

I ne use of ICI in courts in 2020 has been evaluated as	n courts in 2020 has been evaluated as :
---	--

	4,9	6,6
Assistance tools (0 to 3)	0,0	2,0
Case management system (0 to 7)	4,8	5,2
Financial management tools (0 to 3)	2,0	1,3
Measurement tools to assess the workload (0 to 5)	1,7	2,5
Electronic communication (0 to 10)	5,3	6,9

The calculation of this values for each field is based on the answers for that question/s and weighted according the avaiability or deployment rate. The total value is normalised to max 10 points for readability and comparison.

The details of the calculation are given in Annex 5 - IT calculations

The result by area may be summarized in these graphics, where each field has been evaluated from 0 to 4 points.

Note: index is modified based on the available questions. This cycle the recalculation was made for the last three cycles to be able to follow the development.



#### ICT tools assessment from 2018 to 2020

■ 2018 ■ 2019 ■ 2020 ■ EU Median 2020

workload

EU Median

#### Comments on measurment tools on workload

Concerning non-judge staff, the Civil Servant Job Management and Distribution Tool (OUTILGREF) was created in 1992 (online since 2006). It measures the workload of court clerks (i.e., excluding legal assistants and specialized assistants) and evaluates the need for court clerks in the courts and regional administrative services (SAR), the need being understood as the volume of staff necessary for the annual processing of the flow of cases in order to not generate any stock. This tool also includes an evaluation of the workload of the common or support services. It is regularly updated according to the reforms and the evolution of working methods. A data collection operation is carried out each year to feed it.

With regard to judges and prosecutors, the French Ministry of Justice is currently conducting work to better measure their workload. A system for evaluating their activity, based on the weighting of court cases, is being developed and should, by the end of 2022, provide a better understanding of the activity of courts, as well as a more accurate allocation of resources between jurisdictions and within the departments of the same jurisdiction. With this in mind, a working group has been set up and has met more than ten times since December 2019, with the Ministry favouring peer-to-peer meetings (Delphi method), which is based on an estimate of time in order to establish the weighting table.

As to administrative courts, a negative reply has been provided.

#### Comments on communication tools

With regard to criminal law: with the deployment of digital criminal procedure currently underway, transmission from the investigation services is done in waves of deployment and according to criminal law orientations. It has therefore been specified at this stage 10-49% but this will increase sharply at the beginning of 2022 and France should be close to 100% by the end of 2023.

The option "specific computer application" concerning criminal cases is validated for 2020. The Ministry of Justice has indeed set up a dedicated computer application, the digital criminal procedure, which is currently being deployed in the jurisdictions (Digital Criminal Procedure Program, PPN). This is a very important information systems project for criminal justice and a flagship IT program of the Ministry of Justice, in the same way as Portalis in civil matters.

#### 8. Systems for measuring and evaluating the performance of courts and public prosecution services in France

In France, quality standards are determined for the judicial system at national level (e.g. quality systems for the judiciary and/or judicial quality policies). Specialised personnel within courts (except for administrative courts) and the public prosecution services is entrusted with implementation of these national level quality standards.

Quality standards developed for public administration are used in the judicial system. The charter of the administrations thus sets the rules for the reception of litigants in all the courts and can lead to certification. There are also local initiatives aimed at setting up a "quality system" based on certification by an external body, which consist in establishing procedures describing the reception process, the organization of work and the management of a case.

With regard to administrative justice: the rate of annulment and reversal of jurisdictional decisions must be kept below 15% and the stock of cases older than two years below 7.5% of the total stock.

#### • Systems for measuring and evaluating courts' performance

A regular monitoring system of court activities is in place concerning:

Number of incoming cases		Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)	
Length of proceedings (timeframes)		Costs of the judicial procedures	$\bigotimes$
Number of resolved cases		Number of appeals	
Number of pending cases		Appeal ratio	
Backlogs		Clearance rate	
Productivity of judges and court staff		Disposition time	
Satisfaction of court staff	8	Other	$\bigotimes$

The replies are combination of the replies of both orders - administrative on the one hand and civil and criminal on the one hand.

In France, there is a system to regularly evaluate the court performance based primarily on defined indicators and the reporting is more frequent than annual.

With regard to courts of the civil and criminal order, there are two objectives for evaluating their performance. The first is the need to provide the heads of courts with steering elements via monthly dashboards (civil and criminal); the second consists, in the context of annual management dialogues, in proposing dashboards covering a whole year. These dashboards are freely accessible in order to allow for a very wide distribution to all the actors and thus encourage comparison, the first vector of performance analysis.

Performance and quality indicators are defined for the activity of each court.

The following indicators are used:

Number of incoming cases	Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)	
Length of proceedings (timeframes)	Costs of the judicial procedures	$\otimes$
Number of resolved cases	Number of appeals	
Number of pending cases	Appeal ratio	
Backlogs	Clearance rate	$\otimes$

Productivity of judges and court staff		Disposition time	$\otimes$
Satisfaction of court staff	$\otimes$	Other	$\otimes$

The evaluation of the courts' activities is used for the later allocation of means in the courts.

### • Systems for measuring and evaluating public prosecution services' performance

A regular monitoring system of public prosecution services activities is in place concerning:

Number of incoming cases		Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the public prosecution)	
Length of proceedings (timeframes)	$\checkmark$	Costs of the judicial procedures	×
Number of resolved cases	$\bigcirc$	Clearance rate	×
Number of pending cases	$\bigcirc$	Disposition time	×
Backlogs	$\bigcirc$	Percentage of convictions and aquittals	
Productivity of prosecutors and prosecution staff	$\bigcirc$	Other	×
Satisfaction of prosecution staff	$\otimes$		

In France, there is a system to evaluate regularly the activity of each public prosecution service and the reporting is more frequent than annual.

Performance and quality indicators are defined for the activity of each public prosecution service. However, the 2020 data on specific indicators that are used is not available.

The evaluation of the public prosecution services' activities is used for the later allocation of means in the public prosecution services.

									ГС	ance (2012-2020) data tables									
												Varia	ations for	quantita	tive quest	ions			
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020	
		Tal	ole General I	Data: Econo	mic and den	nographic da	ta, in absolu	ute values (	Q1, Q3, Q5	)									
Q1 Number of inhabitants	65 585 857	65 821 000	66 317 994	66 627 602	66 991 000	67 186 638	66 992 699	67 063 703	67 407 241	2,8%	0,4%	0,8%	0,5%	0,5%	0,3%	-0,3%	0,1%	0,5%	
Q.3 GDP Per capita (in €) in current prices	31 059	32 112	32 227	32 796	33 337	34 150	34 978	35 960	33 959	9,3%	3,4%	0,4%	1,8%	1,6%	2,4%	2,4%	2,8%	-5,6%	
Q5. Exchange rate of Nat currency to € on 1 Jan	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	
Indicator 1: Systems for measuring	and evaluat	ting the perfo	rmance of c	ourts and pr	osecution s	ervices (Indi	cator 4 in 20	019)											
Table 1.1 to Table 1.10 (Q66, Q67, Q77, Q78, Q77-1,	Q78-1, Q73		1, Q73-2, Q7 Q120-1)	3-3, Q73-4, (	Q73-5, Q73-6	6, Q70, Q70-1,	, Q71, Q72, (	Q83-2, Q83-	·3, Q120										
66 Qlty standards formulated_jud system	Yes		Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True										
67 Specialised court staff entrusted_qlty standards	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	False	True	True										
77 Performance and quality indicators of court activities	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True										
078.1.1 Number of incoming cases							True	True	True										
078.1.2 Length of proceedings (timeframes)							True	True	True										
078.1.3 Number of resolved cases							True	True	True										
078.1.4 Number of pending cases							True	True	True										
078.1.5 Backlogs							True	True	True										
078.1.6 Productivity of judges and court staff							True	True	True										
078.1.7 Satisfaction of court staff							False	False	False										
078.1.8 Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)							False	False	True										
078.1.9 Costs of the judicial procedures							False	False	False										
078.1.10 Number of appeals							True	True	True										
078.1.11 Appeal ratio							True	True	True										
078.1.12 Clearance rate							False	True	False										
078.1.13 Disposition time							False	True	False										
078.1.14 Other							True	False	False										
077-1.1.1 Defined performance and quality indicators									True										

										ance (2012-2020) data tables									
												Varia	ations for	quantita	tive quest	ions			
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020	
		Ta	ble General I	Data: Econo	mic and den	nographic da	ita, in absolu	ute values (	Q1, Q3, Q5	<b>)</b>									
Q1 Number of inhabitants	65 585 857	65 821 000	66 317 994	66 627 602	66 991 000	67 186 638	66 992 699	67 063 703	67 407 241	2,8%	0,4%	0,8%	0,5%	0,5%	0,3%	-0,3%	0,1%	0,5%	
Q.3 GDP Per capita (in €) in current prices	31 059	32 112	32 227	32 796	33 337	34 150	34 978	35 960	33 959	9,3%	3,4%	0,4%	1,8%	1,6%	2,4%	2,4%	2,8%	-5,6%	
Q5. Exchange rate of Nat currency to € on 1 Jan	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP		-	-	-	-	-		-	-	
Indicator 1: Systems for measuring	and evaluat	ing the perfo	ormance of c	ourts and pr	osecution s	ervices (Ind	icator 4 in 20	019)											
Table 1.1 to Table 1.10 (Q66, Q67, Q77, Q78, Q77-1,	, Q78-1, Q73		1, Q73-2, Q7 Q120-1)	3-3, Q73-4, (	Q73-5, Q73-6	6, Q70, Q70-1	, Q71, Q72, (	Q83-2, Q83	-3, Q120										
66 Qlty standards formulated_jud system	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True										
67 Specialised court staff entrusted_qlty standards	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	False	True	True										
77 Performance and quality indicators of court activities	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True										
078.1.1 Number of incoming cases							True	True	True										
078.1.2 Length of proceedings (timeframes)							True	True	True										
078.1.3 Number of resolved cases							True	True	True										
078.1.4 Number of pending cases							True	True	True										
078.1.5 Backlogs							True	True	True										
078.1.6 Productivity of judges and court staff							True	True	True										
078.1.7 Satisfaction of court staff							False	False	False										
078.1.8 Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)							False	False	True										
078.1.9 Costs of the judicial procedures							False	False	False										
078.1.10 Number of appeals							True	True	True										
078.1.11 Appeal ratio							True	True	True										
078.1.12 Clearance rate							False	True	False										
078.1.13 Disposition time							False	True	False										
078.1.14 Other							True	False	False										
077-1.1.1 Defined performance and quality indicators									True										

											·		<u>,</u>					
												Vari	ations for	quantita	tive quest	ions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
078-1.1.1 Number of incoming cases																		
078-1.1.2 Length of proceedings (timeframes)									-									
078-1.1.3 Number of resolved cases																		
078-1.1.4 Number of pending cases																		
078-1.1.5 Backlogs																		
078-1.1.6 Productivity of prosecutors and prosecution staff																		
078-1.1.7 Satisfaction of prosecution staff																		
078-1.1.8 Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the public prosecution)																		
078-1.1.9 Costs of the judicial procedures									-									
078-1.1.10 Clearance rate																		
078-1.1.11 Disposition time																		
078-1.1.12 Percentage of convictions and aquittals																		
078-1.1.13 Other									-									
73 Regular system_evaluation_performance_each court	Yes	s Yes	s Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
073-0.1.1 Annual					True	True	False	False	False									
073-0.1.2 Less frequent					False	False	False	False	False									
073-0.1.3 More frequent					False	False	True	True	True									
073-1.1.1 Evaluation used for the allocation of resources within the court			Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
073-2.1.1 Courses of action taken in the evaluation is used for the allocation of resources							True	True	True									
073-2.1.2 Reallocating resources (human/financial resources based on performance)							True	True	True									
073-2.1.3 Reengineering of internal procedures to increase efficiency							False	True	True									
073-2.1.4 Other							True	False	False									
073-3.1.1 Regular evaluation of the public prosecution services performance									True									

											- <b>`</b>		ations for	quantitat	tive quest	ions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
073-4.1.1 Annual									False									
073-4.1.2 Less frequent									False									
073-4.1.3 More frequent									True									
073-5.1.1 Evaluation used for the allocation of resources within the public prosecution services									True									
073-6.1.1 Identifying the causes of improved or deteriorated performance									True									
073-6.1.2 Reallocating resources (human/financial resources based on performance)									True									
073-6.1.3 Reengineering of internal procedures to increase efficiency									True									
073-6.1.4 Other									False									
070.1.1 number of incoming cases	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
070.1.2 length of proceedings (timeframes)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
070.1.3 number of resolved cases	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
070.1.4 number of pending cases							True	True	True									
070.1.5 backlogs							True	True	True									
070.1.6 productivity of judges and court staff							True	False	True									
070.1.7 satisfaction of court staff							False	True	False									
070.1.8 satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)							False	True	True									
070.1.9 costs of the judicial procedures							False	False	False									
070.1.10 number of appeals							False	True	True									
070.1.11 appeal ratio							False	True	True									
070.1.12 clearance rate							False	False	True									

											· -		) data		-			
												Vari	ations for	quantita	tive quest	ions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
070-1.1.1 Number of incoming cases									True									
070-1.1.2 Length of proceedings (timeframes)									True									
070-1.1.3 Number of resolved cases									True									
070-1.1.4 Number of pending cases									True									
070-1.1.5 Backlogs									True									
070-1.1.6 Productivity of prosecutors and prosecution staff									True									
070-1.1.7 Satisfaction of prosecution staff									False									
070-1.1.8 Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the public prosecution)									True									
070-1.1.9 Costs of the judicial procedures									False									
070-1.1.10 Clearance rate									False									
070-1.1.11 Disposition time									False									
070-1.1.12 Percentage of convictions and aquittals									True									
070-1.1.13 Other									False									
071.1.1 Monitoring backlogs in Civil law cases									True									
071.1.2 Monitoring backlogs in Criminal law cases									True									
071.1.3 Monitoring backlogs in Administrative law cases									True									
072.1.1 Monitoring timeframes Within the courts									False									
072.1.2 Monitoring timeframes Within the public prosecution services									False									
083-2.1.1 Quantitative performance tagets defined for each prosecutors									False									
083-3.1.1 Body responsible - Executive power (for example the Ministry of Justice)									False									
083-3.1.2 Body responsible - Prosecutor General /State public prosecutor									True									
083-3.1.3 Body responsible - Public Prosecutorial Council									False									
083-3.1.4 Body responsible - Head of the organisational unit or hierarchically superior public prosecutor									False									
083-3.1.5 Body responsible - Other									False									

France (2012-2020)	data tables
--------------------	-------------

												2020	, uata	lanc	5			
											-	Varia	tions for	quantitat	ive quest	ions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
120.1.1 Qualitative individual assessment of the public prosecutors' work									True									
120-1.1.1 Feequency - Annual									False									
120-1.1.2 Feequency - Less frequent									True									
120-1.1.3 Feequency - More frequent									False									
				In	dicator 2: Th	e judicial or	ganisation											
			Tables 2.1	la; 2.1b; 2.2	a; 2.2b; 2.3a;	; 2.3b; 2.4 ar	nd 2.5(EC) (	Q42, Q43 aı	nd Q44)									
Q42.1.1Total number of all courts - legal entities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 066	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
Q42.1.2 Total number of courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities			-	-	-	-	-	-	206	-	-	-	-		-		-	-
Q42.1.3 First instance courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities	778	783	786	786	786	786	168	168	168	-78,4%	0,6%	0,4%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	-78,6%	0,0%	0,0%
Q42.1.4 Second instance courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities	-		-	-		-	-	-	37	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
Q42.1.5 Highest instance courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Q42.1.6 Total number of specialised courts - legal entities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	860	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.1 Total number of specialised courts of first instance	1 156	1 089	1 094	1 094	1 086	1 086	1 463	1 186	851	-26,4%	-5,8%	0,5%	0,0%	-0,7%	0,0%	34,7%	-18,9%	-28,2%
43.1.2 Commercial courts (excluded insolvency courts)	143	143	148	148	143	143	143	143	152	6,3%	0,0%	3,5%	0,0%	-3,4%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	6,3%
43.1.3 Insolvency courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.4 Labour courts	216	216	216	216	216	216	216	216	216	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%
43.1.5 Family courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.6 Rent and tenancies courts	NAP	281	281	281	281	281	289	289	NAP	-	-	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	2,8%	0,0%	-
43.1.7 Enforcement of criminal sanctions courts	NAP	50	50	50	50	50	49	49	NA	-	-	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	-2,0%	0,0%	-
43.1.8 Fight against terrorism, organised crime and corruption	NAP	8	8	8	8	8	9	9	NAP	-	-	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	12,5%	0,0%	-
43.1.9 Internet related disputes	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-		-	-	-		-	-
43.1.10 Administrative courts	42	42	42	42	42	42	42	42	42	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%
43.1.11 Insurance and / or social welfare courts	115	141	141	141	141	141	241	NAP	NAP	-	22,6%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	70,9%	-	-
43.1.12 Military courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.13 Juvenile courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	155	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

45.1.1 Total number of specialised courts of first instance	1150	1 003	1034	1034	1 000	1 000	1 +03	1100
43.1.2 Commercial courts (excluded insolvency courts)	143	143	148	148	143	143	143	143
43.1.3 Insolvency courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
43.1.4 Labour courts	216	216	216	216	216	216	216	216
43.1.5 Family courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
43.1.6 Rent and tenancies courts	NAP	281	281	281	281	281	289	289
43.1.7 Enforcement of criminal sanctions courts	NAP	50	50	50	50	50	49	49
43.1.8 Fight against terrorism, organised crime and corruption	NAP	8	8	8	8	8	9	9
43.1.9 Internet related disputes	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
43.1.10 Administrative courts	42	42	42	42	42	42	42	42
43.1.11 Insurance and / or social welfare courts	115	141	141	141	141	141	241	NAP
43.1.12 Military courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
43.1.13 Juvenile courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

									110	ance		-2020	Juala	taple	3			
												Varia	tions for	quantitat	ive quest	ions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
43.1.14 Other specialised courts	640	208	208	208	200	200	474	438	286	-55,3%	-67,5%	0,0%	0,0%	-3,8%	0,0%	137,0%	-7,6%	-34,7%
43.2.1 Total number of specialised courts of higher instances	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.2 Commercial courts (excluded insolvency courts)	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.3 Insolvency courts	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.4 Labour courts	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.5 Family courts	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.6 Rent and tenancies courts	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.7 Enforcement of criminal sanctions courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.8 Fight against terrorism, organised crime and corruption	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.9 Internet related disputes	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.10 Administrative courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.11 Insurance and / or social welfare courts	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.12 Military courts	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.13 Juvenile courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.14 Other specialised courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44.1.1 First instance courts geographic locations	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	618	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44.1.2 All courts geographic locations	640	641	643	643	641	641	641	641	672	5,0%	0,2%	0,3%	0,0%	-0,3%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	4,8%

									Fra	ance	(2012	-2020	) data	tables	5			
												Varia	ations for	quantitat	ive questi	ons		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
			Indicato	or 3: The per	formance of	f courts at al	I stages of	the proceed	ings									
			Tables 3.1.	1.1 to 3.1.1.4	l (all years)	Number of o	ther than c	riminal case	s (Q91)									
		Table 3.3.	1 to 3.3.3 Var	iation of firs	t instance o	other than cri	minal case	s per 100 inl	nabitants (	Q1, Q91)								
			Table 3.13.7	(EC) to 3.13	.12 (EC) Fir	st instance o	other than c	riminal case	es (Q91)									
91.1.1 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	1 654 187	1 643 188	1 692 658	1 810 803	1 863 243	1 899 497	1 821 752	1 892 584	1 903 120	15,0%	-0,7%	3,0%	7,0%	2,9%	1,9%	-4,1%	3,9%	0,6%
91.1.2 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	1 415 720	1 428 811	1 473 097	1 571 438	1 611 461	1 630 342	1 588 116	1 651 625	1 655 997	17,0%	0,9%	3,1%	6,7%	2,5%	1,2%	-2,6%	4,0%	0,3%
91.1.3 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	69 629	80 597	88 926	105 064	73 162	75 218	73 331	-	-		15,8%	10,3%	18,1%	-30,4%	2,8%	-2,5%
91.1.4 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	69 108	64 473	69 629	80 597	88 926	105 064	73 162	75 218	73 331	6,1%	-6,7%	8,0%	15,8%	10,3%	18,1%	-30,4%	2,8%	-2,5%
91.1.5 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.1.6 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-
91.1.7 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.1.8 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
91.1.9 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.1.10 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Administrative law cases	169 359	149 904	149 932	158 768	162 856	164 091	160 474	165 741	173 792	2,6%	-11,5%	0,0%	5,9%	2,6%	0,8%	-2,2%	3,3%	4,9%
91.1.11 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.2.1 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	2 185 753	2 288 177	2 285 876	2 288 643	2 253 976	2 135 602	1 882 289	1 801 871	1 400 368	-35,9%	4,7%	-0,1%	0,1%	-1,5%	-5,3%	-11,9%	-4,3%	-22,3%
91.2.2 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	1 688 929	1 789 902	1 747 989	1 740 302	1 698 704	1 658 004	1 498 080	1 403 505	1 068 850	-36,7%	6,0%	-2,3%	-0,4%	-2,4%	-2,4%	-9,6%	-6,3%	-23,8%
91.2.3 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	342 262	356 334	361 740	280 355	171 180	167 086	121 004	-	-		4,1%	1,5%	-22,5%	-38,9%	-2,4%	-27,6%
91.2.4 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	318 333	322 513	342 262	356 334	361 740	280 355	171 180	167 086	121 004	-62,0%	1,3%	6,1%	4,1%	1,5%	-22,5%	-38,9%	-2,4%	-27,6%
91.2.5 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.2.6 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.2.7 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.2.8 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.2.9 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-		-	-	-	-		-
91.2.10 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Administrative law cases	178 491	175 762	195 625	192 007	193 532	197 243	213 029	231 280	210 514	17,9%	-1,5%	11,3%	-1,8%	0,8%	1,9%	8,0%	8,6%	-9,0%
91.2.11 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-

									<u> </u>	ance		-2020)	) data	tables	5			
												Varia	tions for	quantitati	ive questi	ions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
91.3.1 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	2 189 186	2 246 155	2 169 237	2 237 067	2 219 465	2 213 947	1 813 313	1 791 335	1 310 960	-40,1%	2,6%	-3,4%	3,1%	-0,8%	-0,2%	-18,1%	-1,2%	-26,8%
91.3.2 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	1 675 838	1 745 616	1 649 648	1 700 279	1 682 166	1 700 230	1 434 571	1 399 133	992 473	-40,8%	4,2%	-5,5%	3,1%	-1,1%	1,1%	-15,6%	-2,5%	-29,1%
91.3.3 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	331 294	348 005	345 602	312 257	169 124	168 973	118 076	-	-	-	5,0%	-0,7%	-9,6%	-45,8%	-0,1%	-30,1%
91.3.4 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	322 968	317 357	331 294	348 005	345 602	312 257	169 124	168 973	118 076	-63,4%	-1,7%	4,4%	5,0%	-0,7%	-9,6%	-45,8%	-0,1%	-30,1%
91.3.5 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-						
91.3.6 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-								
91.3.7 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	-	-	-		-	-		-	-								
91.3.8 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-						
91.3.9 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-						
91.3.10 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Administrative law cases	190 380	183 182	188 295	188 783	191 697	201 460	209 618	223 229	200 411	5,3%	-3,8%	2,8%	0,3%	1,5%	5,1%	4,0%	6,5%	-10,2%
91.3.11 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-								
91.4.1 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	1 650 754	1 685 210	1 809 297	1 862 379	1 897 754	1 821 152	1 890 728	1 903 120	1 991 346	20,6%	2,1%	7,4%	2,9%	1,9%	-4,0%	3,8%	0,7%	4,6%
91.4.2 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	1 428 811	1 473 097	1 571 438	1 611 461	1 627 999	1 588 116	1 651 625	1 655 997	1 732 374	21,2%	3,1%	6,7%	2,5%	1,0%	-2,4%	4,0%	0,3%	4,6%
91.4.3 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	80 597	88 926	105 064	73 162	75 218	73 331	76 259	-	-	-	10,3%	18,1%	-30,4%	2,8%	-2,5%	4,0%
91.4.4 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	64 473	69 629	80 597	88 926	105 064	73 162	75 218	73 331	76 259	18,3%	8,0%	15,8%	10,3%	18,1%	-30,4%	2,8%	-2,5%	4,0%
91.4.5 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-						
91.4.6 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-								
91.4.7 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-								
91.4.8 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-						
91.4.9 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-						
91.4.10 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Administrative law cases	157 470	142 484	157 262	161 992	164 691	159 874	163 885	173 792	182 713	16,0%	-9,5%	10,4%	3,0%	1,7%	-2,9%	2,5%	6,0%	5,1%
91.4.11 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-								

									France (2012-2020) data tables         Variations for quantitative questions													
											2012- 2013- 2014- 2015- 2016- 2017- 2018- 2019-											
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020				
	Table 3.2.1	.1 to 3.2.1.2	(all years) Fi	st instance	courts: Clea	arance rate a	nd disposit	ion time for	other than	criminal	cases (O	291)										
	Table	3.3.4 to 3.3.7	Variation of	Clearence F	Rate and Dis	position Tim	e of first in	stance othe	r than crim	inal case	s (Q91)											
	Table 3.13	3.1 (EC) to 3.1	13.6 (EC) Fire	st instance c	ourts: Disp	osition time	and clearan	ce rate for c	other than o	criminal c	ases (Q	91)										
CR Total of other than criminal law cases	100,2%	98,2%	94,9%	97,7%	98,5%	103,7%	96,3%	99,4%	93,6%	- 6,53	- 1,99	- 3,33	3,00	0,74	5,28	- 7,07	3,20 -	5,83				
CR Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	99,2%	97,5%	94,4%	97,7%	99,0%	102,5%	95,8%	99,7%	92,9%	- 6,42	- 1,71	- 3,23	3,52	1,36	3,55	- 6,62	4,10 -	6,86				
CR Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	96,8%	97,7%	95,5%	111,4%	98,8%	101,1%	97,6%	-	-	-	0,90	- 2,17	16,58	- 11,29	2,36 -	. 3,51				
CR General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	101,5%	98,4%	96,8%	97,7%	95,5%	111,4%	98,8%	101,1%	97,6%	- 3,82	- 3,01	- 1,63	0,90	- 2,17	16,58	- 11,29	2,36 -	. 3,51				
CR Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
CR Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
CR Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
CR Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
CR Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
CR Administrative law cases	106,7%	104,2%	96,3%	98,3%	99,1%	102,1%	98,4%	96,5%	95,2%	- 10,74	- 2,29	- 7,65	2,15	0,74	3,12	- 3,66 -	1,91 -	- 1,37				
CR Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
DT Total of other than criminal law cases	275	274	304	304	312	300	381	388	554	101,4%	-0,5%	11,2%	-0,2%	2,7%	-3,8%	26,8%	1,9%	43,0%				
DT Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	311	308	348	346	353	341	420	432	637	104,7%	-1,0%	12,9%	-0,5%	2,1%	-3,5%	23,3%	2,8%	47,5%				
DT Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	89	93	111	86	162	158	236	-	-	-	5,0%	19,0%	-22,9%	89,8%	-2,4%	48,8%				
DT General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	73	80	89	93	111	86	162	158	236	223,5%	9,9%	10,9%	5,0%	19,0%	-22,9%	89,8%	-2,4%	48,8%				
DT Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
DT Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
DT Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
DT Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
DT Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
DT Administrative law cases	302	284	305	313	314	290	285	284	333	10,2%	-6,0%	7,4%	2,7%	0,1%	-7,6%	-1,5%	-0,4%	17,1%				
DT Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				

											(		/					
												Varia	ations for	quantitat	ive questi	ons		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
		Table 3	.4.1 (all years	) First insta	nce courts,	number of ca	ases for sp	ecific case	categories	(Q101)								
101.1.1 Pending cases on 1 Jan. Litigious divorce case	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
101.1.2 Pending cases on 1 Jan. Employment dismissal case	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
101.1.3 Pending cases on 1 Jan. Insolvency	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
101.2.1 Incoming cases_Litigious divorce case	92 864	90 694	91 882	86 926	84 579	94 560	92 802	89 026	75 733	-18,4%	-2,3%	1,3%	-5,4%	-2,7%	11,8%	-1,9%	-4,1%	-14,9%
101.2.2 Incoming cases_Employment dismissal case	124 434	145 779	134 837	128 489	108 193	94 099	90 504	80 566	71 501	-42,5%	17,2%	-7,5%	-4,7%	-15,8%	-13,0%	-3,8%	-11,0%	-11,3%
101.2.3 Incoming cases_Insolvency	55 561	57 743	56 820	57 902	53 072	49 626	49 083	46 375	30 931	-44,3%	3,9%	-1,6%	1,9%	-8,3%	-6,5%	-1,1%	-5,5%	-33,3%
101.3.1 Resolved cases_Litigious divorce case	92 659	89 956	88 220	84 602	85 560	82 562	86 771	90 569	79 589	-14,1%	-2,9%	-1,9%	-4,1%	1,1%	-3,5%	5,1%	4,4%	-12,1%
101.3.2 Resolved cases_Employment dismissal case	130 478	128 657	130 574	136 021	131 063	122 120	97 053	96 580	68 734	-47,3%	-1,4%	1,5%	4,2%	-3,6%	-6,8%	-20,5%	-0,5%	-28,8%
101.3.3 Resolved cases_Insolvency	47 942	49 024	51 577	59 686	56 300	54 768	50 039	48 969	45 621	-4,8%	2,3%	5,2%	15,7%	-5,7%	-2,7%	-8,6%	-2,1%	-6,8%
101.4.1 Pending cases on 31 Dec. Litigious divorce case	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
101.4.2 Pending cases on 31 Dec. Employment dismissal case	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
101.4.3 Pending cases on 31 Dec. Insolvency	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

	Table 3	3.5.1 (all year	s) First insta	nce courts:	Clearance r	ate and disp	osition time	for specific	case cat	egories (Q	101)							
	١	able 3.6.1 an	d 3.6.2 Varia	tions of CR	and DT for s	pecific case	e categories	of first inst	ance case	es (Q101)								
CR Litigious divorce cases	99,8%	99,2%	96,0%	97,3%	101,2%	87,3%	93,5%	101,7%	105,1%	5,32 -	0,59 -	3,20	1,37	3,94 -	13,69	7,09	8,80	3,30
CR Employment dismissal cases	104,9%	88,3%	96,8%	105,9%	121,1%	129,8%	107,2%	119,9%	96,1%	- 8,32 -	15,83	9,73	9,32	14,43	7,13 -	17,37	11,79 -	- 19,81
CR Insolvency cases	86,3%	84,9%	90,8%	103,1%	106,1%	110,4%	101,9%	105,6%	147,5%	70,93 -	1,61	6,92	13,56	2,91	4,03 -	7,62	3,58	39,68
DT Litigious divorce cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
DT Employment dismissal cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Insolvency cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

									<u> </u>	France (2012-2020) data tables											
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions											
										2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020			
Table 3.7.1 to 3.7.5 (2019 and 2020) Second instance other than criminal cases (Q97)																					
Table 3.9.1 to 3.9.3 (2019 and 2020) Variation of second instance other than criminal cases (Q97)																					
97.1.1 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			294 069	294 210	307 020	317 778	310 011	302 841	295 549	-	-		0,0%	4,4%	3,5%	-2,4%	-2,3%	-2,4%			
97.1.2 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			254 353	254 567	266 127	276 110	268 669	260 673	247 769	-	-		0,1%	4,5%	3,8%	-2,7%	-3,0%	-5,0%			
97.1.3 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			12 215	12 170	12 996	NA	12 798	12 700	16 837	-	-		-0,4%	6,8%	-	-	-0,8%	32,6%			
97.1.4 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			12 215	12 170	12 996	13 068	12 798	12 700	16 837	-	-		-0,4%	6,8%	0,6%	-2,1%	-0,8%	32,6%			
97.1.5 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	-	-		-		-	-	-	-									
97.1.6 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-									
97.1.7 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	-	-		-		-	-	-	-									
97.1.8 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other registry cases			NAP	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-									
97.1.9 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other non-litigious cases			NAP	-	-		-		-	-	-	-									
97.1.10 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Administrative law cases			27 501	27 473	27 897	28 600	28 544	29 468	30 943	-	-		-0,1%	1,5%	2,5%	-0,2%	3,2%	5,0%			
97.1.11 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other cases			NAP	-			-	-	-	-	-	-									
97.2.1 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			282 436	279 740	282 835	273 682	263 086	263 044	201 536	-	-		-1,0%	1,1%	-3,2%	-3,9%	0,0%	-23,4%			
97.2.2 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			220 119	215 160	217 135	203 792	194 060	190 203	137 434	-	-		-2,3%	0,9%	-6,1%	-4,8%	-2,0%	-27,7%			
97.2.3 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			32 460	33 983	34 392	NA	35 253	37 157	33 873	-	-		4,7%	1,2%	-	-	5,4%	-8,8%			
97.2.4 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			32 460	33 983	34 392	38 607	35 253	37 157	33 873	-	-		4,7%	1,2%	12,3%	-8,7%	5,4%	-8,8%			
97.2.5 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	-	-		-		-	-	-	-									
97.2.6 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-									
97.2.7 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	-	-		-		-	-	-	-									
97.2.8 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Other registry cases			NAP	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-									
97.2.9 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Other non-litigious cases			NAP	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-									
97.2.10 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Administrative law cases			29 857	30 597	31 308	31 283	33 773	35 684	30 229	-	-		2,5%	2,3%	-0,1%	8,0%	5,7%	-15,3%			
97.2.11 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Other cases			NAP	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-									

										France (2012-2020) data tables											
	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions											
Question										2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020			
97.3.1 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			267 325	267 682	272 077	281 393	270 311	264 733	207 617	-			0,1%	1,6%	3,4%	-3,9%	-2,1%	-21,6%			
97.3.2 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			205 772	203 896	207 152	211 233	203 258	194 479	144 706	-			-0,9%	1,6%	2,0%	-3,8%	-4,3%	-25,6%			
97.3.3 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			31 623	33 246	34 320	NA	34 199	35 994	32 205	-			5,1%	3,2%	-	-	5,2%	-10,5%			
97.3.4 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			31 623	33 246	34 320	38 877	34 199	35 994	32 205	-			5,1%	3,2%	13,3%	-12,0%	5,2%	-10,5%			
97.3.5 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	-			-	-	-	-	-	-									
97.3.6 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	-			-	-	-	-	-	-									
97.3.7 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	-			-	-	-	-	-	-									
97.3.8 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Other registry cases			NAP	-			-	-	-	-	-	-									
97.3.9 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Other non-litigious cases			NAP	-			-	-	-	-	-	-									
97.3.10 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Administrative law cases			29 930	30 540	30 605	31 283	32 854	34 260	30 706	-			2,0%	0,2%	2,2%	5,0%	4,3%	-10,4%			
97.3.11 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Other cases			NAP	-				-	-	-	-										
97.4.1 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			309 180	306 268	317 778	310 067	302 786	301 152	289 468	-			-0,9%	3,8%	-2,4%	-2,3%	-0,5%	-3,9%			
97.4.2 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			268 700	265 831	276 110	268 669	259 471	256 397	240 497	-			-1,1%	3,9%	-2,7%	-3,4%	-1,2%	-6,2%			
97.4.3 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			13 052	12 907	13 068	NA	13 852	13 863	18 505	-			-1,1%	1,2%	-	-	0,1%	33,5%			
97.4.4 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			13 052	12 907	13 068	12 798	13 852	13 863	18 505	-			-1,1%	1,2%	-2,1%	8,2%	0,1%	33,5%			
97.4.5 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	-			-	-	-	-	-	-									
97.4.6 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	-			-	-	-	-	-	-									
97.4.7 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	-			-	-	-	-	-	-									
97.4.8 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other registry cases			NAP	-			-	-	-	-	-	-									
97.4.9 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other non-litigious cases			NAP	-			-	-	-	-	-	-									
97.4.10 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Administrative law cases			27 428	27 530	28 600	28 600	29 463	30 892	30 466	-			0,4%	3,9%	0,0%	3,0%	4,9%	-1,4%			
97.4.11 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other cases			NAP	-			-	-	-	-	-	-									
97.5.1 2nd inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-			-	-	-	-	-	-			
97.5.2 2nd inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-			-	-	-	-	-	-			
97.5.10 2nd inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Administrative law cases			-	-	NA	NA	NA	950	1 108	-			-	-	-	-	-	16,6%			
											(		/ 4414		<u> </u>						
---	---------------	----------------------------	----------------	----------------	-------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------			
												Varia	ations for	quantitat	ive quest	ions					
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020			
	Table 3.8.1	and 3.8.2 (20 <sup>-</sup>	19 and 2020):	Second inst	ance cleara	nce rate and	disposition	time for ot	her than c	riminal la	w cases	(Q97)									
	Table 3.9.4 a	nd 3.9.5 (2019	9 and 2020): \	/ariation of s	econd clear	ance rate an	d dispositio	n time for c	other than	criminal	law case	es (Q97)									
CR Total of other than criminal law cases			94,6%	95,7%	96,2%	102,8%	102,7%	100,6%	103,0%	-	-	-	1,10	0,53	6,88	- 0,07	- 2,05	2,36			
CR Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			93,5%	94,8%	95,4%	103,7%	104,7%	102,2%	105,3%	-	-	-	1,37	0,67	8,65	1,05	- 2,38	2,98			
CR Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			97,4%	97,8%	99,8%	NA	97,0%	96,9%	95,1%	-	-	-	0,42	2,00	-	-	- 0,14	- 1,85			
CR General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			97,4%	97,8%	99,8%	100,7%	97,0%	96,9%	95,1%	-	-	-	0,42	2,00	0,91	- 3,66	- 0,14	- 1,85			
CR Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
CR Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
CR Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
CR Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
CR Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
CR Administrative law cases			100,2%	99,8%	97,8%	100,0%	97,3%	96,0%	101,6%	-	-	-	- 0,43	- 2,06	2,30	- 2,72	- 1,30	5,80			
CR Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
DT Total of other than criminal law cases			422	418	426	402	409	415	509	-	-	-	-1,1%	2,1%	-5,7%	1,7%	1,6%	22,6%			
DT Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			477	476	487	464	466	481	607	-	-	-	-0,2%	2,2%	-4,6%	0,4%	3,3%	26,1%			
DT Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			151	142	139	NA	148	141	210	-	-	-	-5,9%	-1,9%	-		-4,9%	49,2%			
DT General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			151	142	139	120	148	141	210	-	-	-	-5,9%	-1,9%	-13,5%	23,0%	-4,9%	49,2%			
DT Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-			
DT Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-			
DT Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-			
DT Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
DT Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
DT Administrative law cases			334	329	341	334	327	329	362	-	-	-	-1,6%	3,7%	-2,2%	-1,9%	0,5%	10,0%			
DT Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-			

France (2012-2020) data tables	France	(2012-2020)	data tables
--------------------------------	--------	-------------	-------------

											(-0.1		y uata					
												Vari	ations for	quantitat	ive quest	ions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
		Table 3.10	0.1 to 3.10.5 (2	2019 and 202	20) Supreme	courts, nun	nber of othe	r than crimi	nal law cas	ses (Q99)	)							
	Table	3.12.1 to 3.4	12.3 (2019 and	1 2020) Varia	tion of the s	supreme cou	ırts, number	of other the	an crimina	law cas	es (Q99	)						
99.1.1 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			27 568	29 070	28 489	29 757	29 145	25 062	24 729	-			5,4%	-2,0%	4,5%	-2,1%	-14,0%	-1,3%
99.1.2 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			21 199	22 858	23 041	24 358	23 870	19 635	19 231	-			7,8%	0,8%	5,7%	-2,0%	-17,7%	-2,1%
99.1.3 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-			-	-		-	-	-
99.1.4 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NA	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
99.1.5 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
99.1.6 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
99.1.7 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
99.1.8 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
99.1.9 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-			-	-		-	-	-
99.1.10 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Administrative law cases			6 369	6 212	5 448	5 399	5 275	5 427	5 498	-			-2,5%	-12,3%	-0,9%	-2,3%	2,9%	1,3%
99.1.11 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-			-	-		-	-	-
99.2.1 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			33 377	29 139	30 018	32 754	27 021	27 287	23 451	-			-12,7%	3,0%	9,1%	-17,5%	1,0%	-14,1%
99.2.2 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			21 295	20 412	20 398	22 890	17 458	17 071	13 417	-			-4,1%	-0,1%	12,2%	-23,7%	-2,2%	-21,4%
99.2.3 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-			-	-		-	-	-
99.2.4 High inst courts_Incoming cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NA	-			-	-		-	-	-
99.2.5 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-			-	-		-	-	-
99.2.6 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-			-	-		-	-	-
99.2.7 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-			-	-		-	-	-
99.2.8 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
99.2.9 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-			-	-		-	-	-
99.2.10 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Administrative law cases			12 082	8 727	9 620	9 864	9 563	10 216	10 034	-			-27,8%	10,2%	2,5%	-3,1%	6,8%	-1,8%
99.2.11 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-			-	-		-	-	-

France (2012-2020) data table
-------------------------------

									<u> </u>		(2012	2-2020	) uala	laple	5			
												Varia	ations for	quantitat	ive quest	ions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
99.3.1 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			31 888	27 476	30 994	30 806	31 076	27 795	20 138	-			-13,8%	12,8%	-0,6%	0,9%	-10,6%	-27,5%
99.3.2 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			19 636	17 923	21 387	20 667	21 493	17 475	10 467	-			-8,7%	19,3%	-3,4%	4,0%	-18,7%	-40,1%
99.3.3 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.4 High inst courts_Resolved cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NA	-			-	-		-	-	-
99.3.5 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	-			-	-		-	-	-						
99.3.6 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	-			-			-	-	-						
99.3.7 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	-			-			-	-	-						
99.3.8 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Other registry cases			NAP	-			-	-		-	-	-						
99.3.9 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Other non-litigious cases			NAP	-			-	-		-	-	-						
99.3.10 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Administrative law cases			12 252	9 553	9 607	10 139	9 583	10 320	9 671	-			-22,0%	0,6%	5,5%	-5,5%	7,7%	-6,3%
99.3.11 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			NAP	-				-		-	-	-						
99.4.1 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			29 057	30 733	27 513	31 705	25 090	24 554	28 042	-			5,8%	-10,5%	15,2%	-20,9%	-2,1%	14,2%
99.4.2 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			22 858	25 347	22 052	26 581	19 835	19 231	22 181	-			10,9%	-13,0%	20,5%	-25,4%	-3,0%	15,3%
99.4.3 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-			-	-		-	-	-
99.4.4 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NA	-			-	-		-	-	-
99.4.5 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	-			-	-		-	-	-						
99.4.6 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	-			-			-	-	-						
99.4.7 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	-			-	-		-	-	-						
99.4.8 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other registry cases			NAP	-			-	-		-	-	-						
99.4.9 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other non-litigious cases			NAP	-				-		-	-	-						
99.4.10 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Administrative law cases			6 199	5 386	5 461	5 124	5 255	5 323	5 861	-			-13,1%	1,4%	-6,2%	2,6%	1,3%	10,1%
99.4.11 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			NAP	-			-	-	-	-	-	-						
99.5.1 High inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-			-	-		-	-	-
99.5.2 High inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
99.5.10 High inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Administrative law cases			-	-	NA	NA	NA	89	132	-			-	-	-	-	-	48,3%

									<u> </u>				,					
												Varia	ations for	quantitat	ive quest	ions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
	Tabl	e 3.11.1 and	3.11.2 Supre	me courts, cl	earance rate	e and dispos	ition time fo	or other than	n criminal	aw case	s (Q97)							
	Table 3.12.4	and 3.12.5 V	ariation of th	e supreme c	ourts, cleara	ance rate and	d dispositio	n time for ot	her than c	riminal l	aw cases	(Q97)						
CR Total of other than criminal law cases			95,5%	94,3%	103,3%	94,1%	115,0%	101,9%	85,9%	-	-	-	- 1,30	9,50	- 8,91	22,28	- 11,43	- 15,70
CR Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			92,2%	87,8%	104,8%	90,3%	123,1%	102,4%	78,0%	-	-	-	- 4,78	19,41	- 13,89	36,35	- 16,85	- 23,79
CR Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Administrative law cases			101,4%	109,5%	99,9%	102,8%	100,2%	101,0%	96,4%	-	-	-	7,95	- 8,77	2,93	- 2,51	0,81	- 4,59
CR Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Total of other than criminal law cases			333	408	324	376	295	322	508	-	-	-	22,8%	-20,6%	15,9%	-21,6%	9,4%	57,6%
DT Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			425	516	376	469	337	402	773	-	-	-	21,5%	-27,1%	24,7%	-28,2%	19,2%	92,6%
DT Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-
DT General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NA	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-
DT Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Administrative law cases			185	206	207	184	200	188	221	-	-	-	11,4%	0,8%	-11,1%	8,5%	-5,9%	17,5%
DT Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

												2-2020	) data	labic	5			
												Varia	ations for	quantitat	ive quest	ions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
			т	able 3.14.1 t	o 3.14.5 Firs	t instance cr	iminal law c	ases (Q94)										
094.1.1 Total - pending 1 Jan									NA	-	-		-	-	-	-		-
094.1.2 Severe cases - pending 1 Jan									NA	-	-		-	-	-	-		-
094.1.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 1 Jan									NA	-	-		-	-	-	-		-
094.1.4 Other - pending 1 Jan									NAP	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
094.2.1 Total -incoming									965 679	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
094.2.2 Severe cases - incoming									497 526	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
094.2.3 Misdemeanour cases - incoming									468 153	-	-	. <u>-</u>	-	-	-	-	-	-
094.2.4 Other - incoming									NAP	-	-		-	-	-	-		-
094.3.1 Total - resolved									882 087	-	-		-	-	-	-		-
094.3.2 Severe cases -resolved									490 172	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
094.3.3 Misdemeanour cases - resolved									391 915	-	-		-	-	-	-		-
094.3.4 Other - resolved									NAP	-	-		-	-	-	-		-
094.4.1 Total - pending 31 Dec									NA	-	-			-	-	-		-
094.4.2 Severe cases - pending 31 Dec									NA	-	-		-		-	-		-
094.4.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 31 Dec									NA	-					-	-		-
094.4.4 Other - pending 31 Dec									NAP	-	-		-	-	-	-		-
094.5.1 Total - pending more then 2 years									NA	-	-		-	-	-	-		-
094.5.2 Severe cases - pending more then 2 years									NA	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
094.5.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending more then 2 years									NA	-	-			-	-	-		-
094.5.4 Other - pending more then 2 years									NA	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-

											· ·			เลมเฮ				
												Varia	ations for	quantitat	ive quest	ions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
			Table 3.7	15.1 to 3.10.2	CR and DT	for first insta	ance crimin	al law case	s (Q94)									
CR of Total									91,3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR o2 Severe cases									98,5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR of Misdemeanour cases									83,7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR of Other									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Total									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
DT of Severe cases									NA	-	-		-	-	-		-	-
DT of Misdemeanour cases									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
DT of Other									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
			Ta	ble 3.16.1 to	3.16.5 Seco	nd instance o	criminal law	cases (Q98	3)									
098.1.1 Total - pending 1 Jan									43 287	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
098.1.2 Severe cases - pending 1 Jan									NA	-	-		-	-	-		-	-
098.1.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 1 Jan									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.1.4 Other - pending 1 Jan									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.2.1 Total -incoming									37 811	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.2.2 Severe cases - incoming									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.2.3 Misdemeanour cases - incoming									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.2.4 Other - incoming									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.3.1 Total - resolved									38 730	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.3.2 Severe cases -resolved									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.3.3 Misdemeanour cases - resolved									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.3.4 Other - resolved									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

											(2012	2-2020	j uala	lanc	3			
												Varia	ations for	quantitat	ive quest	tions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
098.4.1 Total - pending 31 Dec									42 368	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.4.2 Severe cases - pending 31 Dec									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.4.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 31 Dec									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.4.4 Other - pending 31 Dec									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.5.1 Total - pending more then 2 years									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.5.2 Severe cases - pending more then 2 years									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.5.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending more then 2 years									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.5.4 Other - pending more then 2 years									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
			Table 3.17	7.1 to 3.17.2	CR and DT fo	or second in	stance crim	inal law cas	ses (Q98)									
CR of Total									102,4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR o2 Severe cases									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR of Misdemeanour cases									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR of Other									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Total									399	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Severe cases									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Misdemeanour cases									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Other									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

									110			-2020	) uutu	labic	5			
												Varia	ations for	quantitat	ive quest	ions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
			Та	ble 3.18.1 to	3.18.5 Supre	eme court cr	iminal law c	ases (Q100	)									
100.1.1 Total - pending 1 Jan									3 302		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.1.2 Severe cases - pending 1 Jan									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.1.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 1 Jan									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.1.4 Other - pending 1 Jan									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.2.1 Total -incoming									7 199	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.2.2 Severe cases - incoming									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.2.3 Misdemeanour cases - incoming									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.2.4 Other - incoming									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.3.1 Total - resolved									7 503	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.3.2 Severe cases -resolved									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.3.3 Misdemeanour cases - resolved									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.3.4 Other - resolved									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.4.1 Total - pending 31 Dec									2 998	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.4.2 Severe cases - pending 31 Dec									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.4.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 31 Dec									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.4.4 Other - pending 31 Dec									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.5.1 Total - pending more then 2 years									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.5.2 Severe cases - pending more then 2 years									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.5.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending more then 2 years									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100.5.4 Other - pending more then 2 years									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

											•		/					
												Varia	ations for	quantitat	tive quest	tions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-	2012-	2013-	2014-	2015-	2016-	2017-	2018-	2019-
										2020	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
			Table 3.19	.1 to 3.19.2 (	CR and DT fo	or supreme o	court crimir	nal law case	s (Q100)									
CR of Total									104,2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR o2 Severe cases									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR of Misdemeanour cases									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR of Other									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Total									146	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Severe cases									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Misdemeanour cases									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Other									NAP	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-

												2-2020	) uala	lapie	3			
												Vari	ations fo	<sup>r</sup> quantita	tive quest	ions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
		Indicator 5:	: Access to ju	ıstice														
		L	egal aid															
Та	able 5.1 to Ta	able 5.6 (Q1	12-2, Q16, Q18	8, Q19, Q20,	Q20-1)													
12-2.1.1 Coverage of court fees									True									
12-2.1.2 Exemption from court fees									False									
16.1.1 Legal aid applies to representation in court (criminal cases)	Yes	-	- Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
16.1.2 Legal aid applies to legal advice (criminal cases)	Yes	-	- Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
16.2.1 Legal aid applies to representation in court (other than criminal cases)	Yes		- Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
16.2.2 Legal aid applies to legal advice (other than criminal cases)	Yes		- Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
18.1.1 Legal aid for the enforcement of judicial decisions					True	True	True	True	True									
19.1.1 Legal aid granted for other costs - criminal cases									True									
19.1.2 Legal aid granted for other costs - other than criminal cases									True									
020.1.1 Total									888 343									
020.1.2 Total - criminal cases									348 715									
020.1.3 Total - other than criminal cases									539 628									
020.2.1 Total brought to court									NA									
020.2.2 Broight to court - criminal cases									NA									
020.2.3 Brought to court - other then criminal									NA									
020.3.1 Total not brought to court									NA									
020.3.2 Not broight to court - criminal cases									NA									
020.3.3 Not brought to court - other then criminal									NA									
020-1.1.1 Maximum duration prescribed in law/regulation									45									
020-1.1.2 Average duration									52									

												2-2020	<i>,                                    </i>		<u> </u>			
												Vari	ations for	quantita	tive quest	ions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
	;	System for c	ompensating	g users														
	١	Table 5.7.1 ai	nd Table 5.7.	2 (Q37)														
037.1.1 Requests for compensation - Total									908									
037.1.2 Requests for compensation - Excessive length of proceedings									NA									
037.1.3 Requests for compensation - Non-execution of court decisions									NA									
037.1.4 Requests for compensation - Wrongful arrest									NA									
037.1.5 Requests for compensation - Wrongful conviction									NA									
037.1.6 Requests for compensation - Other									NA									
037.2.1 Condemnations - Total									249									
037.2.2 Condemnations - Excessive length of proceedings									217									
037.2.3 Condemnations - Non-execution of court decisions									NA									
037.2.4 Condemnations - Wrongful arrest									NA									
037.2.5 Condemnations - Wrongful conviction									NA									
037.2.6 Condemnations - Other									NA									
037.3.1 Amount - Total									1 975 018€									
037.3.2 Amount - Excessive length of proceedings									1 388 393€									
037.3.3 Amount - Non-execution of court decisions									NA									
037.3.4 Amount - Wrongful arrest									NA									
037.3.5 Amount - Wrongful conviction									NA									
037.3.6 Amount - Other									NA									

									ГІС	ince	(201	2-2020	) uala	lapie	5			
												Varia	ations for	<sup>r</sup> quantita	tive quest	ions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013		2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
	Indicator 6:	The ICT tool	s of courts a	ind for court	users													
Table 6.1 to Table 6.11 (Q62-7, Q62-7-1, Q62-8, Q62	2-8-1, Q63-1		63-2 Q63-6, 0 Q64-9)	Q63-7, Q63-7	′-1, Q64-2,  Q	64-4, Q64-6,	, Q64-3, Q64-	3-1, Q64-7,	Q64-7-1,									
62-7 Writing assistance tools coordinated at national level							True	True	True									
62-7-1.1 Deployment rate in civil matter							NA	NA	NA									
62-7-1.2 Deployment rate in criminal matter							50-99%	50-99%	NA									
62-7-1.3 Deployment rate in administrative matter							50-99%	100%	100%									
62-8 Voice recording tools							False	True	True									
62-8-1.1.1 Availability of simple dictation tools in civil matter								NA	NA									
62-8-1.1.2 Availability of simple dictation tools in criminal matter								NA	NA									
62-8-1.1.3 Availability of simple dictation tools in administrative matter								In some courts / some pilot phases	In some courts / some pilot phases									
62-8-1.2.1 Availability of multiple speakers recording tools in civil matter								NA	NA									
62-8-1.2.2 Availability of multiple speakers recording tools in criminal matter								NA	NA									
62-8-1.2.3 Availability of multiple speakers recording tools in administrative matter								NA	NA									
62-8-1.3.1 Availability of voice recognition in civil matter							FALSE	NA	NA									
62-8-1.3.2 Availability of voice recognition in criminal matter							FALSE	NA	NA									
62-8-1.3.3 Availability of voice recognition in administrative matter							FALSE	NA	NA									
062-9 Availability of intranet site within the judicial system for distribution of news/novelties							- 100%	100%	100%									
63.1 Is there a case management system?							True	True	True									
63.1-1.1 CMS for civil matter (deployment rate)							100%	50-99%	50-99%									
63.1-1.1 CMS for criminal matter (deployment rate)							100%	50-99%	100%									
63.1-1.1 CMS for administrative matter (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
63.1-1.2 CMS for civil matter (status of case online)						-	Accessible to parties	Accessible to parties	Accessible to parties									
63.1-1.2 CMS for criminal matter (status of case online)						-	Accessible to parties	Accessible to parties	Accessible to parties									
63.1-1.2 CMS for administrative matter (status of case onlinee)						-	Accessible to parties	Accessible to parties	Accessible to parties									

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·													/					
												Varia	ations for	quantitat	tive quest	ions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
63.1-1.3 CMS for civil matter (Centralised or interoperable database)						-	True	False	True									
63.1-1.3 CMS for criminal matter (Centralised or interoperable database)						-	True	True	True									
63.1-1.3 CMS for administrative matter (Centralised or interoperable database)						-	True	True	True									
63.1-1.4 CMS for civil matter (Early warning signals)						-	True	False	True									
63.1-1.4 CMS for criminal matter (Early warning signals)						-	False	False	False									
63.1-1.4 CMS for administrative matter (Early warning signals)						-	False	False	False									
63-1-1.5 Statistics in CMS civil matter								Not integrated but connected										
63-1-1.5 Statistics in CMS criminal matter							Not integrated but connected	Not integrated	Integrated									
63-1-1.5 Statistics in CMS administrative matter							Not integrated	Not integrated but connected										
63-2.1 Deployment rate for computerised registries managed by courts - land registry							NA	100%	NA									
63-2.1 Deployment rate for computerised registries managed by courts - business registry							NA	50-99%	100%									
63-2.2 Data consolidated at national level for land registry						-	False	False	True									
63-2.2 Data consolidated at national level for business registry						-	False	False	True									
63-2.3 Service available online for land registry						-	False	True	True									
63-2.3 Service available online for business registry						-	False	True	True									
63-2.4 Statistical module integrated or connected for land registry						-	False	True	NA									
63-2.4 Statistical module integrated or connected for business registry						-	False	False	True									
063-6.1.1 Budgetary and financial management of courts (deployment rate)						-	100%	100%	100%									
063-6.1.2 Justice expenses management (deployment rate)						-	100%	100%	100%									
063-6.1.3 Other financial management tools (deployment rate)						-	NA	NA	NA									
063-6.2.1 Budgetary and financial management of courts (Data consolidated at national level)						-	True	True	True									
063-6.2.2 Justice expenses management (Data consolidated at national level)						-	True	True	True									
063-6.2.3 Other financial management tools (Data consolidated at national level)						-	False	NA	NA									
063-6.3.1 Budgetary and financial management of courts (System communicating with other ministries)						-	True	True	True									
063-6.3.2 Justice expenses management (System communicating with other ministries)						-	True	True	True									
063-6.3.3 Other financial management tools (System communicating with other ministries)						-	False	NA	NA									

											_`		/					
												Vari	ations for	quantita	tive quest	ions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
63-7.1 Measurement tools to assess the workload							False	False	True									
63-7-1.1.1 Deployment rate - workload of judges									NA									
63-7-1.1.2 Deployment rate - workload of prosecutors									NA									
63-7-1.1.3 Deployment rate - workload of non-judge and non- prosecutor staff									100%									
63-7-1.2.1 Monitoring on national level - judges							False	-	NA									
63-7-1.2.2 Monitoring on national level - prosecutors							False	-	NA									
63-7-1.2.2 Monitoring on national level - non-judge and non- prosecutor staff							False	-	NA									
63-7-1.3.1 Monitoring on court level - judges							False	-	True									
63-7-1.3.2 Monitoring on court level - prosecutors							False	-	False									
63-7-1.3.3 Monitoring on court level - non-judge and non-prosecutor staff							False	-	False									
064-2 - Possibility to submit a case to courts by electronic means							True	True	True									
064-2 - Civil and/or commercial							0% (NAP)	NA	NA									
064-2 - Criminal							0% (NAP)	NA	10-49%									
064-2 - Administrative							50-99%	100%	100%									
064-2 - Submission in paper remains mandatory - civil							False	NA	False									
064-2 - Submission in paper remains mandatory - criminal							False	NA	False									
064-2 - Submission in paper remains mandatory - administrative							False	False	False									
064-2 - Specific legislative framework - civil							False	NA	True									
064-2 - Specific legislative framework - criminal							False	NA	True									
064-2 - Specific legislative framework - administrative							True	True	True									
064-2 - Integrated/connected with the CMS - civil							False	NA	True									
064-2 - Integrated/connected with the CMS - criminal							False	NA	True									
064-2 - Integrated/connected with the CMS - administrative							True	True	True									

France (2012-2020) data tak
-----------------------------

													-		tive quest	ions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
064-3 - Is it possible to request for granting legal aid by electronic means?							False	False	True									
064-3-1.1 - Equipment rate									10-49%									
064-3-1.2 - Request in paper mandatory							-	-	False									
064-3-1.3 - Specific legislative framework							-	-	NA									
064-3-1.4 - Granting LA is also electronic							-	-	True									
064-3-1.5 - Information available in CMS							-	-	False									
064-4 - Possibility to transmit summons to a judicial meeting or a hearing by electronic means							True	True	True									
064-4-1.1.1 - Summons produced by CMS- civil							True	True	True									
064-4-1.1.2 - Summons produced by CMS- criminal							False	False	False									
064-4-1.1.3 - Summons produced by CMS- administrative							True	True	True									
064-4-1.2.1 - Simultaneous summon in paper form remains mandatory- civil							False	False	False									
064-4-1.2.2 - Simultaneous summon in paper form remains mandatory- criminal							False	False	False									
064-4-1.2.3 - Simultaneous summon in paper form remains mandatory- administrative							False	False	False									
064-4-1.3.1 - Consent of the user - civil							True	True	True									
064-4-1.3.2 - Consent of the user - criminal							False	False	False									
064-4-1.3.3 - Consent of the user - administrative							True	True	True									
064-6.1.1 - Civil and/or commercial (deployment rate)							NA	50-99%	50-99%									
064-6.1.2 - Criminal (deployment rate)							0% (NAP)	0% (NAP)	50-99%									
064-6.1.3 - Administrative (deployment rate)							50-99%	100%	100%									

France (2	2012-2020)	data	tables
-----------	------------	------	--------

											(				tive quest	ions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
064-6.2.1 - Civil and/or commercial (Trial phases concerned)							Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling	Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling Decision transmission	Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling									
064-6.2.2 - Criminal (Trial phases concerned)																		
064-6.2.3 - Administrative (Trial phases concerned)							Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling	a case Hearing preparatory phases	Hearing preparatory phases									
064-6.3.1 - Civil and/or commercial (Modalities)							Specific application	E-mail Specific application	Specific application									
064-6.3.2 - Criminal (Modalities)									Specific application									
064-6.3.3 - Administrative (Modalities)							Specific application	Specific application										
064-6.4.1 - Civil and/or commercial (specific legal framework)							True	True	True									
064-6.4.2 - Criminal (specific legal framework)							False	False	True									
064-6.4.3 - Administrative (specific legal framework)							True	True	True									
064-6.5.1 - Civil and/or commercial (availability for)									Lawyers & Parties not represented by lawyer									
064-6.5.2 - Criminal (availability for)									Lawyers									
064-6.5.3 - Administrative (availability for)									Lawyers & Parties not represented by lawyer									

												Varia	ations for	quantitat	ive quest	ions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
064-7.1.1 - Electronic communication of enforcement agents and courts (deployment rate)							50-99%	50-99%	NA									
064-7.1.2 - Electronic communication of notaries and courts (deployment rate)							NA	NA	10-49%									
064-7.1.3 - Electronic communication of experts and courts (deployment rate)							NA	NA	1-9%									
064-7.1.4 - Electronic communication of judicial police and courts (deployment rate)						-	NA	NA	50-99%									
064-7.2.1 - Electronic communication of enforcement agents and courts (Modalities)							Specific application	E-mail Specific application	Specific application									
064-7.2.2 - Electronic communication of notaries and courts (Modalities)								Specific application	E-mail									
064-7.2.3 - Electronic communication of experts and courts (Modalities)							Specific application	Specific application	Specific application									
064-7.2.4 - Electronic communication of judicial police and courts (Modalities)									Specific application									
064-7.3.1 - Electronic communication of enforcement agents and courts (specific legal framework)							True	True	True									
064-7.32.2 - Electronic communication of notaries and courts (specific legal framework)							False	True	False									
064-7.3.3 - Electronic communication of experts and courts (specific legal framework)							True	False	False									
064-7.3.4 - Electronic communication of judicial police and courts (specific legal framework)							False	False	True									
064-9 - Existance of online processing devices of specialised litigation							True	True	True									

France (2012-2020) data tabl
------------------------------

									110		(2012-	2020)	uata	labie	5			
												Varia	tions for	quantitat	ive questi	ions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
				Indicator 7: I	Professional	s of justice	(Indicator 9	in 2019)										
		Table 7	7.1.1 to 7.5.6	for judges, i	non judge sta	aff, prosecu	tors, non pr	osecutor st	aff and sal	aries								
46.1.1 Total Number of professional judges	7 033	7 054	6 935	6 967	6 995	7 066	7 277	7 427	7 522	7,0%	0,3%	-1,7%	0,5%	0,4%	1,0%	3,0%	2,1%	1,3%
46.1.2 Number of 1st inst professional judges	4 962	4 977	4 876	4 883	4 919	4 982	5 121	5 243	5 288	6,6%	0,3%	-2,0%	0,1%	0,7%	1,3%	2,8%	2,4%	0,9%
46.1.3 Number of 2nd inst professional judges	1 695	1 708	1 706	1 721	1 731	1 748	1 805	1 827	1 880	10,9%	0,8%	-0,1%	0,9%	0,6%	1,0%	3,3%	1,2%	2,9%
46.1.4 Number of Supreme court professional judges	376	369	353	363	345	336	351	355	354	-5,9%	-1,9%	-4,3%	2,8%	-5,0%	-2,6%	4,5%	1,1%	-0,3%
46.2.1 Number of professional judges_males	2 829	2 746	2 617	2 555	2 491	2 443	2 466	2 454	NA	-	-2,9%	-4,7%	-2,4%	-2,5%	-1,9%	0,9%	-0,5%	-
46.2.2 Number of 1st instance professional judges_males	1 819	1 772	1 701	1 657	1 628	1 608	1 611	1 629	NA	-	-2,6%	-4,0%	-2,6%	-1,8%	-1,2%	0,2%	1,1%	-
46.2.3 Number of 2nd instance professional judges_males	787	760	719	701	687	668	685	656	NA	-	-3,4%	-5,4%	-2,5%	-2,0%	-2,8%	2,5%	-4,2%	-
46.2.4 Number of Supreme court professional judges_males	223	214	197	197	176	167	170	167	NA	-	-4,0%	-7,9%	0,0%	-10,7%	-5,1%	1,8%	-1,8%	-
46.3.1 Number of professional judges_females	4 204	4 308	4 318	4 412	4 504	4 623	4 811	4 973	NA	-	2,5%	0,2%	2,2%	2,1%	2,6%	4,1%	3,4%	-
46.3.2 Number of 1st inst professional judges_females	3 143	3 205	3 175	3 226	3 291	3 374	3 510	3 613	NA	-	2,0%	-0,9%	1,6%	2,0%	2,5%	4,0%	2,9%	-
46.3.3 Number of 2nd inst professional judges_females	908	948	987	1 020	1 044	1 080	1 120	1 171	NA	-	4,4%	4,1%	3,3%	2,4%	3,4%	3,7%	4,6%	-
46.3.4 Number of Supreme court professional judges_females	153	155	156	166	169	169	181	188	NA	-	1,3%	0,6%	6,4%	1,8%	0,0%	7,1%	3,9%	-
046-2.1.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7 522	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.1.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5 288	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.1.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 880	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.1.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	354	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.2.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Civil and commercial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.2.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Civil and commercial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.2.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Civil and commercial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.2.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Civil and commercial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.3.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Criminal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.3.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Criminal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.3.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Criminal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.3.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Criminal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

									ГІС		(2012	2-2020	j uala	laple	5			
												Varia	ations for	quantitat	ive questi	ons		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
046-2.4.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Administrative	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		1 343	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
046-2.4.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Administrative	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		909	-	-	. <u>-</u>	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.4.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Administrative	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		303	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
046-2.4.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Administrative	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	132	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.5.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.5.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.5.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.5.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	. <u>-</u>	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.1.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts	21 758	21 946	22 360	22 326	22 712	22 714	22 844	23 396	24 062	10,6%	0,9%	1,9%	-0,2%	1,7%	0,0%	0,6%	2,4%	2,8%
52.1.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-								
52.1.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges	17 663	17 920	18 816	18 906	18 904	19 074	18 894	18 891	19 573	10,8%	1,5%	5,0%	0,5%	0,0%	0,9%	-0,9%	0,0%	3,6%
52.1.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks	1 352	2 979	2 493	2 513	2 613	2 703	2 657	2 853	3 045	125,2%	120,3%	-16,3%	0,8%	4,0%	3,4%	-1,7%	7,4%	6,7%
52.1.5 Number of Technical staff	964	1 047	1 051	907	923	937	1 025	1 001	889	-7,8%	8,6%	0,4%	-13,7%	1,8%	1,5%	9,4%	-2,3%	-11,2%
52.1.6 Number of Other non judge staff	1 779	NAP	NAP	NAP	272	NAP	268	651	554	-68,9%	-	. <u>-</u>	-	-	-	-	142,9%	-14,9%
52.2.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts(men)	-	-	3 902	3 797	3 969	4 007	NA	4 244	4 383	-	-	-	-2,7%	4,5%	1,0%	-	-	3,3%
52.2.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)(men)	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-						
52.2.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges(men)	-	-	2 454	2 450	2 497	2 585	NA	2 530	2 734	-	-	-	-0,2%	1,9%	3,5%	-	-	8,1%
52.2.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks(men)	-	-	585	603	621	658	NA	687	765	-	-	. <u>-</u>	3,1%	3,0%	6,0%	-	-	11,4%
52.2.5 Number of Technical staff(men)	-	-	863	744	759	764	NA	843	742	-	-	. <u>-</u>	-13,8%	2,0%	0,7%	-	-	-12,0%
52.2.6 Number of Other non judge staff(men)	-	-	NAP	NAP	92	NAP	NA	184	141	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-23,4%
52.3.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts(women)	16 767	18 215	18 458	18 529	18 743	18 707	NA	19 152	19 678	17,4%	8,6%	1,3%	0,4%	1,2%	-0,2%	-	-	2,7%
52.3.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)(women)	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-								
52.3.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges(women)	15 517	15 662	16 362	16 456	16 407	16 489	NA	16 361	16 839	8,5%	0,9%	4,5%	0,6%	-0,3%	0,5%	-	-	2,9%
52.3.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks(women)	1 060	2 300	1 908	1 910	1 992	2 045	NA	2 165	2 279	115,0%	117,0%	-17,0%	0,1%	4,3%	2,7%	-	-	5,3%
52.3.5 Number of Technical staff(women)	190	253	188	163	164	173	NA	158	146	-23,2%	33,2%	-25,7%	-13,3%	0,6%	5,5%	-	-	-7,6%
52.3.6 Number of Other non judge staff(women)	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	180	NAP	NA	467	413	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-11,6%

		Clance (2012-2020) data tables         Variations for quantitative questions																	
Image: Control of the second relations of the second re		S	uestior	tive qu	quantita	ations for	Vari												
92-1.2 Non-judge staft after instance (rotat)Image: staft as econd instance (rotat)Im	2018- 201 2019 202									2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	Question
12-1.1 Non-judge start at source our (total)Image: source our (total)Image	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	24 062									052-1.1.1 Non-judge staff (Total)
52-1.4 Non-judge staff alsaymene court (nota)Image: Solution of the state of the sta	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA									052-1.1.2 Non-judge staff at first instance (total)
D22.12 Non-judge staff takes D22.12 Non-judge staff takes D22.12 Non-judge staff takes D22.12 Non-judge staff takes 	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA									052-1.1.3 Non-judge staff at second instance (total)
52122 Non-judge staff at second instance (males)       Image: Second insta		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA									052-1.1.4 Non-judge staff at Supreme court (total)
D52.1.23 Non-judge staff at second instance (males)Image: second instan		-	-	-	-	-	-		-	NA									052-1.2.1 Non-judge staff (Males)
D52-1.2.4 Non-judge staff at Supreme court (makes)Image: staff at Supreme court (makes) </td <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>NA</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>052-1.2.2 Non-judge staff at first instance (males)</td>		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA									052-1.2.2 Non-judge staff at first instance (males)
And the second		-	-	-	-	-	-		-	NA									052-1.2.3 Non-judge staff at second instance (males)
D52-1.3.2 Non-judge staff at first instance (females)Image: staff at second instance (females)Image: staff at se		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA									052-1.2.4 Non-judge staff at Supreme court (males)
Non-judge staff at second instance (females)Image: boot of the second instance (females)Image: boot of t		-	-	-	-	-	-		-	NA									052-1.3.1 Non-judge staff (females)
D52-1.3.4 Non-judge staff at supreme court (females)Image: staff at supreme court (females)I		-	-	-	-	-	-		-	NA									052-1.3.2 Non-judge staff at first instance (females)
Image: Note that the second secon	-	-	-	-			-		-	NA									052-1.3.3 Non-judge staff at second instance (females)
055.1.2 Prosecutors (1st inst.)       Image: Constant of the constant		-	-	-			-		-	NA									052-1.3.4 Non-judge staff at supreme court (females)
D55.1.3 Prosecutors (2nd inst.)     055.1.4 Prosecutors (Highest instance)     Image: Descent of the secure of the secu	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	2 151									055.1.1 Prosecutors (total)
055.1.4 Prosecutors (Highest instance)	-	-	-	-		-	-		-	1 605									055.1.2 Prosecutors (1st inst.)
	-	-	-	-		-	-		-	489									055.1.3 Prosecutors (2nd inst.)
055.2.1 Prosecutors - Males - total 871	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	57									055.1.4 Prosecutors (Highest instance)
		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	871									055.2.1 Prosecutors - Males -total
055.2.2 Prosecutors - Males, 1st inst.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	594									055.2.2 Prosecutors - Males, 1st inst.
055.2.3 Prosecutors - Males, 2nd inst.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	247									055.2.3 Prosecutors - Males, 2nd inst.
055.2.4 Prosecutors - Males, Supreme courts - Males, Supre		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	30									055.2.4 Prosecutors - Males, Supreme courts
1 280		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 280									055.3.1 Prosecutors - Females, Total
1 01		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 011									055.3.2 Prosecutors - Females, 1st inst.
055.3.3 Prosecutors - Females, 2nd inst.		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	242									055.3.3 Prosecutors - Females, 2nd inst.
27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	27									055.3.4 Prosecutors - Females, Supreme courts

												2-2020	<u>, aata</u>		<u> </u>			
												Vari	ations for	quantita	tive quest	ions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
060.1.1 Number of non-prosecutor staff Total									712	-	-		-	-	-		-	-
060.2.1 Number of non-prosecutor staff Males									180	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
060.3.1 Number of non-prosecutor staff Females									532		-		-	-	-	-	-	-
004 Annual average salary in the country						-			34 495 €	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
132.1.1 Gross annual salary, in € -Professional judge at the beginning of career						-			46 149€		-		-	-	-	-	-	-
132.1.2 Gross annual salary, in € - Judge of the Supreme Court						-			123 213 €		-		-	-	-		-	-
132.1.3 Gross annual salary, in € -Public prosecutor at the beginning of career						-			48 738 €				-	-	-		-	-
132.1.4 Gross annual salary, in € - Public prosecutor of the Supreme Court or the Highest Appellate Instance						-			123 213 €				-	-	-	-	-	-
132.2.1 Net annual salary, in € - Professional judge at the beginning of career						-			37 716€				-	-	-	-	-	-
132.2.2 Net annual salary, in € - Judge of the Supreme Court						-			101 922€	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
132.2.3 Net annual salary, in € - Public prosecutor at the beginning of career						-			38 502€	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
132.2.4 Net annual salary, in € - Public prosecutor of the Supreme Court or the Highest Appellate Instance						-			101 922€	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
133.1.1.1 - Additional benefits for judges - Reduced taxation						-			False									
133.1.2.1 - Additional benefits for judges - Special pension						-			False									
133.1.3.1 - Additional benefits for judges - Housing						-			False									
133.1.4.1 - Additional benefits for judges - Other financial benefit						-			False									
133.2.1.1 - Additional benefits for prosecutors - Reduced taxation						-			False									
133.2.2.1 - Additional benefits for prosecutors - Special pension						-			False									
133.2.3.1 - Additional benefits for prosecutors - Housing						-			False									
133.2.4.1 - Additional benefits for prosecutors - Other financial benefit						-			False									

										ance	(2012	-2020	) uala	lane	3			
												Vari	ations for	quantitat	ive ques	tions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
144.1.1 Disciplinary procedures for Judges - Total number (1+2+3+4)						-			4	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
144.1.2 Disciplinary procedures for Judges - 1. Breach of professional ethics						-			1	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
144.1.3 Disciplinary procedures for Judges - 2. Professional inadequacy						-			1	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
144.1.4 Disciplinary procedures for Judges - 3. Criminal offence						-			2	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
144.1.5 Disciplinary procedures for Judges - 4. Other						-			C	-	-			-	-	-	-	-
144.2.1 Disciplinary procedures for Prosecutors - Total number (1+2+3+4)						-			3	-				-	-	-	-	-
144.2.2 Disciplinary procedures for Prosecutors - 1. Breach of professional ethics						-			2	-				-	-	-	-	-
144.2.3 Disciplinary procedures for Prosecutors - 2. Professional inadequacy									NAP	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
144.2.4 Disciplinary procedures for Prosecutors - 3. Criminal offence									1	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
144.2.5 Disciplinary procedures for Prosecutors - 4. Other									C	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.1 Sanctions against Judges - Total number (total 1 to 9)									7	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.2 Sanctions against Judges - 1. Reprimand									0	-	-	· -	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.3 Sanctions against Judges - 2. Suspension									0	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.4 Sanctions against Judges - 3. Withdrawal from cases									NAP	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	
145.1.5 Sanctions against Judges - 4. Fine									NAP	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	
145.1.6 Sanctions against Judges - 5. Temporary reduction of salary									1	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.7 Sanctions against Judges - 6. Position downgrade									2	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.8 Sanctions against Judges - 7. Transfer to another geographical (court) location									3	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.9 Sanctions against Judges - 8. Resignation									NAP	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.10 Sanctions against Judges - 9. Other									1	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.11 Sanctions against Judges - 10. Dismissal									O	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-

									<u> </u>	ance	(2012	2-2020	j uala	เลมเฮ	3			
												Vari	ations for	quantitat	ive ques	tions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
145.2.1 Sanctions against Prosecutors - Total number (total 1 to 9)									2	-	-		-	-	-		-	-
145.2.2 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 1. Reprimand									C	-			-	-			-	-
145.2.3 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 2. Suspension									C	-			-	-			-	-
145.2.4 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 3. Withdrawal from cases									NAP	-			-	-			-	-
145.2.5 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 4. Fine									NAP	-	-		-	-			-	-
145.2.6 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 5. Temporary reduction of salary									1	-	-		-	-	-		-	-
145.2.7 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 6. Position downgrade									C	-				-			-	-
145.2.8 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 7. Transfer to another geographical (court) location									C	-	-		-	-	-		-	-
145.2.9 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 8. Resignation									NAF	-	-		-	-			-	-
145.2.10 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 9. Other									1	-	-		-	-			-	-
145.2.11 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 10. Dismissal									C	-	-		-	-			-	-
						Lawyers												
				Т	ables 7.6.1,	7.6.2, 7.6.3, 7	7.7 and 7.8											

146.1.1 Total number of lawyers practising	56 176	60 223	62 073	62 073	65 480	66 958	66 958	68 835
146.2.1 Practicing lawyers - man	-	-	-	-	-	-	29 700	29 835
146.3.1 Practicing lawyers - woman	-	-	-	-	-	-	37 258	38 464
147 Does this figure include "legal advisors" who cannot represent their clients in court (for example, some solicitors or in-house counsellors)?			No	No	False	False	False	False

70 073	24,7%	7,2%	3,1%	0,0%	5,5%	2,3%	0,0%	2,8%	1,8%
30 304	-	-	-	-	-		-	0,5%	1,6%
39 769	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,2%	3,4%
False	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

									110		(2012	-2020	Juala	lanc	3			
												Varia	tions for	quantitat	ive quest	ions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
			Indicator	8: The existe	ence and use	of alternativ	/e dispute i	esolution n	nethods									
					Table	8.1 8.2 and 8	.3											
166 Number of accredited or registered mediators who practice judicial mediation:	NA	2 435	2 450	2 571	2 940	2 940	1 436	NA	2 542	-	-	0,6%	4,9%	14,4%	0,0%	-51,2%	-	-
167.1.1 Total number started					NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
167. 1.2 Civil and commercial cases - started					NA	NA	NA	1 156	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
167. 1.2 Family cases - started					NA	NA	2 724	2 751	NA	-	-	-		-	-	-	1,0%	-
167.1.4 Administrative cases - started					NA	261	780	1 021	1 394	-	-	-	-	-	-	198,9%	30,9%	36,5%
167.1.5 Labour cases including employment dismissal cases - started					NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
167.1.6. Criminal cases - started					11 995	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
167.1.7 Consumer cases - started					-	-	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

									<u> </u>	ance		-2020	juala	เลมเธ	3			
												Varia	ations for	quantitat	ive quest	ions		
Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012- 2020	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020
			Indicator	8: The existe	nce and use	of alternativ	ve dispute	resolution n	nethods									
					Table	8.1 8.2 and 8	3.3											
166 Number of accredited or registered mediators who practice judicial mediation:	NA	2 435	2 450	2 571	2 940	2 940	1 436	NA	2 542	-	-	0,6%	4,9%	14,4%	0,0%	-51,2%	-	-
167.1.1 Total number started					NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
167. 1.2 Civil and commercial cases - started					NA	NA	NA	1 156	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
167. 1.2 Family cases - started					NA	NA	2 724	2 751	NA	-		-	-		-	-	1,0%	-
167.1.4 Administrative cases - started					NA	261	780	1 021	1 394	-	-	-	-	-	-	198,9%	30,9%	36,5%
167.1.5 Labour cases including employment dismissal cases - started					NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
167.1.6. Criminal cases - started					11 995	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
167.1.7 Consumer cases - started					-		NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Key: Variation of more than (+ -) 20%