


<sup>\*</sup>ICT calculations are described in the Part 5. ICT and national data collection system and in more details in Annex 5 - IT Calculation methodology


	Synthesis table of main indicators for: France												
Economic and demographic data	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012-2019	Va 2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019
Population	65,585,857	65,821,000	66,317,994	66,627,602	66,991,000	67,186,638	66,992,699	67,063,703	2.3%	1.0%	0.0%	-0.3%	0.1%
GDP per capita	31,059 €	32,112 €	32,227 €	32,796 €	33,337 €	34,150 €	34,978 €	35,960 €	15.8%	3.4%	4.9%	2.4%	2.8%
Exchange rate (local currency needed to obtain 1€)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAF
Average annual salary	34,100 €		34,500 €		35,400€		35,763 €	36,705 €	7.6%	2.6%	1.0%		2.6%
Resources	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012-2019	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019
Professional judges per 100 000 inhab.	10.7	10.7	10.5	10.5	10.4	10.5	10.9	11.1	3.3%	-0.1%	4.0%	3.3%	2.0%
Non-judge staff per 100 000 inhab.	33.2	33.3	33.7	33.5	33.9	33.8	34.1	34.9	5.2%	d.6%	0.6%	0.9%	2.3%
Lawyers per 100 000 inh.	85.7	91.5	93.6	93.2	97.7	99.7	99.9	102.6	19.8%	4.4%	2.3%	0.3%	2.7%
Mediators	NA	3.7	3.7	3.9	4.4	4.4	2.1	NA	NA	18.8%	-51.2%	-51.0%	N/
Availability of the Case Management System (4 max) Availability of tools for court statistics (4 max)				2.7	2.7 2.7	2.7 2.7	2.7 2.7	2.7 2.7			0.0% 0.0%	0.0% 0.0%	<b>0.0</b> %
First instance incoming cases per 100 inhab.	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012-2019	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019
Litigious civil (and commercial) cases	2.575	2.719	2.636	2.612	2.536	2.468	2.236	2.093	<mark>-1</mark> 8.7%	-3.8%	-1 <mark>1.8</mark> %	9.4%	6.4%
Non-litigious civil (and commercial) cases	0.485	0.490	0.516	0.535	0.540	0.417	0.256	0.249	<b>-4</b> 8.7%	4.6%	-52.7%	-38.8%	2.5%
Non-litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAF
Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAF
Administrative law cases	0.272	0.3	0.3	0.288	0.289	0.294	0.318	0.345	26.7%	2.1%	10.1%	8.3%	8.5%
First instance performance indicators (Clearence Rate)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012-2019 (in points)	2014-2016 (in points)	2016-2018 (in points)	2017-2018 (in points)	2018-2019 (in points)
CR litigious civil (and commercial) cases	99%	98%	94%	98%	99%	103%	96%	100%	0.46	4.65	-3.27	<b>-</b> 6.79	3.90
CR non-litigious civil (and commercial) cases	101%	98%	97%	98%	96%	111%	99%	101%	-0.33	<mark>-</mark> 1.26	3.26	-12.58	2.33
CR non-litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAF
CR non-litigious business registry	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAF
cases CR administrative law cases	107%	104%	96%	98%	99%	102%	98%	97%	-10.14	2.80	-0.65	-3.74	<mark>-</mark> 1.88
First instance													
performance indicators (Disposition Time) DT litigious civil (and commercial)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016 353	2017	2018	2019	2012-2019	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019
cases (days) DT non-litigious civil (and		80											1
commercial) cases (days) DT non-litigious land registry cases	73		89	93	111	86	162	158	117.4%	25.0%	46.3%	89.8%	-2.4%
(days) DT non-litigious business registry	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAF
cases (days)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAF
DT administrative law cases (days)	302	284	305	313	314	290	285	284	-5.9%	2.9%	-9.0%	-1.5%	-0.4%
First instance pending cases per 100 inhab. on 31 dec.	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012-2019	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019
Litigious civil (and commercial) cases	2.18	2.24	2.37	2.42	2.43	2.36	2.47	2.47	13.3%	2.6%	1.4%	4.3%	0.2%
Non-litigious civil (and commercial) cases	0.10	0.11	0.12	0.13	0.16	0.11	0.11	0.11	11.2%	29.0%	-28.4%	3.1%	-2.6%
Non-litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAF
Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAF
Administrative law cases	0.24	0.22	0.24	0.24	0.25	0.24	0.24	0.26	7.9%	3.7%	-0.5%	2.8%	5.9%
Second instance performance indicators (Clearence	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	(in points)				
Rate) CR litigious civil (and commercial)	000/	94%	000/	050/	050/	104%	4050/	4000/	0.00		0.04	4.00	0.41
cases CR administrative law cases	99%	100%	93%	95%	95% 98%	104%	105% 97%	102% 96%	-6.36	1.92 -2.49	9.34	1.09 -2.72	-2.49
Second instance performance indicators (Disposition	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012-2019	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019
Time) DT litigious civil (and commercial)	405	431	477	476	487	464	466	481	18.8%	2.1%	-4.2%	0.4%	3.3%
cases (days)			477									<u> </u>	_
DT administrative law cases (days)	346	345	334	329	341	334	327	329	<mark>-4</mark> .9%	2.0%	-4.0%	-1.9%	0.5%
Supreme Court performance indicators (Clearence Rate) CR litigious civil (and commercial)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012-2019 (in points)	points)	2016-2018 (in points)	points)	2018-2019 (in points)
cases	96%		92%	88%	105%	90%	123%	102%	6.61	12.64	18.26	32.82	-20.75
CR administrative law cases	101%	-	101%	109%	100%	103%	100%	101%	-0.04	<b>-1</b> .54	0.34	<b>-2</b> .58	0.8
Supreme court performance indicators (Disposition Time)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012-2019	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019
DT litigious civil (and commercial) cases (days)	378		425	516	376	469	337	402	6.4%	-11.4%	-10.5%	-28.2%	19.2%
DT administrative law cases (days)	271	-	185	206	207	184	200	188	-30.4%	12.3%	-3.5%	8.5%	<mark>-5</mark> .9%

### 1. Presentation of the functioning of the judicial system in France

In France, justice services are provided by two autonomous branches of the courts: ordinary courts, which have jurisdiction over civil and criminal matters, and administrative courts, which have jurisdiction over administrative law. Both of these branches are organised in a three level structure including first instance courts, courts of appeal and one Supreme Court (Cour de cassation and Conseil d'Etat, respectively).

According to 2019 data, in France there are 168 first instance courts of general jurisdiction (164 TGI (Tribunaux de grande instance)+ 4 TPI (Tribunaux de première instance)) and 1 186 first instance specialised courts.





The 1186 first instance specialised courts encompass 143 commercial courts, 216 labour courts, 289 rent and tenancies courts, 49 enforcement of criminal sanctions courts, 9 courts specialised in fight against terrorism, organised crime and corruption, 42 administrative courts and 438 other specialised courts. The latter category includes: 274 joint courts for rural leases; 155 juvenile courts; the Court for navigation on the Rhine; 6 maritime courts; the National asylum court; the Court of first instance for navigation on the Moselle.

Since 1 January 2019, social litigation, formerly divided between the social security courts (TASS), the incapacity courts (TCI) and the departmental social assistance commissions (CDAS), has been merged and transferred to the "Tribunaux de grande instance" (first instance courts of general jurisdiction). As a result, these specialised courts have been abolished.

As of 1 November 2019, litigation concerning military invalidity pensions will be transferred to the administrative courts, eliminating the military invalidity pension courts and the regional military invalidity pension courts which rule on appeal.

These changes explain the variation in the number of courts compared to the previous year.

#### 2. Resources of justice and courts framework in France

#### Approved Implemented: • Budget allocated to the whole justice system (Q15-1): 9,859,437,605 € This budget includes the following budgetary elements: · Court budget

- Legal aid budget
- Public prosecution services budget
- Prison system
- Probation services
- · Council of the judiciary
- · Constitutionnal court
- · Judicial management body
- State advocacyEnforcement services
- Notariat
- · Forensic services
- · Judicial protection of juveniles
- · Functioning of the Ministry of Justice
- Refugees and asylum seekers service
- · Immigration services
- Some police services
- · Other services

9,773,301,202 €

The above annual public budget includes data for the whole justice system, attached to the Ministry of Justice, and includes data for the Supreme administrative court (Conseil d'Etat), the administrative courts, the Court of Justice of the Republic and the Constitutional Court. The evolution of the budget between 2018 and 2019 is mainly explained by:

- a 4% increase in the amount of the "Justice" mission;
- the integration of the portion not included in the general justice budget of appropriations contributing to the transversal "Juvenile Justice" policy (under the responsibility of the national police, the national gendarmerie, secondary public-school education, social inclusion and individual protection).

#### • Human resources

#### Judges

### Evolution of the number of professional judges since 2012 (Q46)

Year	Absolute number	Per 100 000 inhabitants	Male	Female
2012	7,033	10.72	40.2%	59.8%
2013	7,054	10.72	38.9%	61.1%
2014	6,935	10.46	37.7%	62.3%
2015	6,967	10.46	36.7%	63.3%
2016	6,995	10.44	35.6%	64.4%
2017	7,066	10.52	34.6%	65.4%
2018	7,277	10.86	33.9%	66.1%
2019	7,427	11.07	33.0%	67.0%



According to 2019 data, the total number of professional judges sitting in courts (all instances) in France is 7 427 which is 2,1% more than in previous cycle.

More precisely, in France, in 2019 there are 11,1 professional judges per 100 000 inhabitants (this figure is below the EU median of 24,5 judges per 100 000 inhabitants) and about 3,2 non-judge staff per judge (in previous cycle this ratio was at 3,1 non-judge staff per judge).


#### Absolute number of professional judges byinstance and gender

idiliber of professional judges by installee and gender				
2019	Total number of professional judges	Male	Female	
1st instance courts	5,243	1,629	3,613	
2nd instance courts	1,827	656	1,171	
Supreme courts	355	167	188	
Total	7,427	2,454	4,973	


#### Distribution of professional judges by instance and gender

2019	Total number of professional judges	Male	Female
1st instance courts	70.6%	31.1%	68.9%
2nd instance courts	24.6%	35.9%	64.1%
Supreme courts	4.8%	47.0%	53.0%

#### Professional judges by gender per instance



Distribution of professional judges by instance



The total number of female professional judges (all instances), in this cycle is 4 973 which represents 67,0% of the total number of judges.

The total number of judges is distributed among the different judicial instances in the following way: 5 243 are sitting in first instance courts (among which 3 613 are female); 1 827 are sitting in second instance courts (among which 1 171 are female) and 355 are sitting in Supreme Court (among which 188 are female).

It should be pinpointed that data on judges is presented in full time equivalent, part-time employees being counted. Besides, it refers to the number of judges on duty in the courts. All judges in courts are counted, including presidents of courts, as the latter perform judges' duties.

According to 2019 data on the number of judges from civil society (first instance) they are in total 19,002 (489 temporary judges (MTT) + 13,277 labour judges (conseillers prud'hommes) + 1,832 Assessors of the Social Centres (APS) + 3,404 Consular Judges of the Commercial Courts (JC); Men: 11,249 (243 MTT + 6,902 CPH + 1,294 APS + 2,810 JC); Women: 7,753 (246 MTT + 6375 CPH + 538 APS + 594 JC).

In France, training of judges requirements are broken down as follows:

- · Initial training:
- General in-service training:
- $\circ$  In-service training for specialised judicial functions:
- In-service training for management functions of the court:
- In-service training for the use of computer facilities in courts:



As regards judges from civil society, compulsory training schemes have been provided under the aegis of the National School for the Judiciary.

For consular judges: initial 8-day training course and 2 days of in-service training per year.

For labour judges ("conseillers prud'hommes"): 5-day initial training course (3 days e-learning and 2 days in person) and in-service training of 6 weeks per mandate (4 years) under the aegis of the Ministry of Labour.

For judges working on a temporary basis: initial training: 10 days of theoretical probationary training and 40 to 80 days of practical training in the courts; in-service training of 5 days compulsory in the first year, then 3 days per year in the following years.


For the assessors of the social centres: compulsory one-day initial training.

The number of training courses orgnised in 2019 is not available.

The 5-day in-service training is compulsory every year. Judges may supplement it with other training days, without any limitation other than that of continuity of service.

#### Non-judge staff

Year	Total	Rechtspfleger	Non-judge staff assisting the judge	Staff in charge of administrativ e tasks	Technical staff	Other
2012	21,758	NAP	17,663	1,352	964	1,779
2013	21,946	NAP	17,920	2,979	1,047	NAP
2014	22,360	NAP	18,816	2,493	1,051	NAP
2015	22,326	NAP	18,906	2,513	907	NAP
2016	22,712	NAP	18,904	2,613	923	272
2017	22,714	NAP	19,074	2,703	937	NAP
2018	22,844	NAP	18,894	2,657	1,025	268
2019	23,396	NAP	18,891	2,853	1,001	651



In France, in this cycle there are 23 396 non-judge staff (among which 19 152 females). Comparison with previous cycle reveals an increase of 2,4%.

In this cycle, the non-judge staff is broken down as follows:

- $\circ$  18 891 non-judge staff whose task is to assist the judges such as registrars (among which 16 361 are women);
- 2 853 staff in charge of different administrative tasks and of the court management (among which 2 165 are women);
- ∘ 1 001 technical staff (among which 158 are women);

∘ 651 other (among which 467 are women);

Other non-judge staff includes specialised assistants (106, 48 men and 58 women) and legal assistants (422, 93 men and 329 women) working in the civil and criminal courts. The increase in the number of legal assistants between 2018 and 2019 is due to the creation of new budgetary posts obtained.


In the light of the data relevant for the judges, it is possible to notice that in 2019 the number of non-judge staff per 100 000 inhabitants has increased (from 34,1 in 2018 to 34,9 in 2019).

During the same period, the number of judges per 100 000 inhabitants evolves from 10,9 judges per 100 000 inhabitants in 2018 to 11,1 in 2019.


It is interesting to mention that as of 31/12/2019, 1,693 category A and B staff (including 1,408 women) were undergoing initial training at the "Ecole nationale des greffes", most of them on practical training courses in the courts. These staff will join the courts in 2020 or 2021, which will significantly increase the number of staff working in the courts and regional administrative departments.

#### Professional judges, non-judge staff and their ratio (Q46, Q52)

	France	EU median
Professional judges	11.1	24.5
Non-judge staff	34.9	57.5
Non-judge staff per judge	3.15	3.3




	Ratio
2012	3.09
2013	3.11
2014	3.22
2015	3.20
2016	3.25
2017	3.21
2018	3.14
2019	3.15



### Salaries of professional judges and prosecutors at beginning of a career and at the highest instance (Q132, Q4)

	Average gross annual salary in €	Average net annual salary in €	Ratio with the annual gross salary
First instance professional judge at the beginning of his/her career	46,149€	37,716€	1.26
Judge of the Supreme Court or the Highest Appellate Court	123,213 €	101,922 €	3.36
Public prosecutor at the beginning of his/her career	46,738 €	38,502 €	1.27
Public prosecutor of the Supreme Court or the Highest Appellate Instance	123,213 €	101,922€	3.36



The above described categories correspond to:

- First-instance professional judge (civil and criminal courts) at the beginning of his/her career: judge at the 3rd step of the second grade lump-sum compensation: 35% flexible bonus 12%.
- Public prosecutor at the beginning of his/her career: prosecutor of the Public Prosecutor's Office at the 3rd step of the second grade lump-sum compensation: 38% flexible bonus 12%.
- Judge of the Court of Cassation: President of Chamber CC (F: 1369) flexible bonus 14%.
- Prosecutor at the Court of Cassation: First Advocate General CC (F: 1369) flexible premium 14%.

### Number of Lawyers

Year	Absolute number	Per 100 000 inhabitants
2012	56,176	85.65
2013	60,223	91.50
2014	62,073	93.60
2015	62,073	93.16
2016	65,480	97.74
2017	66,958	99.66
2018	66,958	99.95
2019	68,835	102.64



In 2019, there are 68 835 lawyers, which is 2,8% more than in 2018.

This data of 102,6 lawyers per 100 000 inhabitants in 2019 is below the EU median of 121,3 lawyers per 100 000 inhabitants.

### Judicial professionals in absolute number and per 100 000 inhabitants (Q46, Q52, Q146)

Туре	Absolute number	per 100 000 inhabitants	EU Median
Professional judges	7,427	11.07	24.48
Non judge staff	23,396	34.89	57.49
Lawyers	68,835	102.64	121.32



#### 3. Access to justice

#### ∘ Legal aid

The total annual approved public budget to legal aid is 543 319 313 € (8,1 € per capita).

The total annual implemented public budget to legal aid is 528 101 885 € (7,9 € per capita).

The distribution of the total annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid is as follows:

Approved

- In criminal law cases:	NA	NA
- In other than criminal law cases:	NA	NA
Annual public budget allocated to legal aid for cases brought to court:	506 716 963 €	492 100 591 €
- In criminal law cases:	NA	NA
- In other than criminal law cases:	NA	NA
• Annual public budget allocated to legal aid for cases not brought to court:	36 602 350 €	36 001 294 €
- In criminal law cases:	NA	NA
- In other than criminal law cases:	NA	NA

**Implemented** 

In France, the law pertaining to legal aid has several components: legal aid granted to litigants before courts as well as for out of court proceedings (transactions, participatory procedures in civil matters that are not brought to court); legal aid granted for consultation out of any proceedings; legal aid covering legal representation by a lawyer granted to individuals detained in custody, individuals detained in the frame of disciplinary proceedings, or in matters of mediation and plea bargaining procedures; legal aid granted for legal consultation (Legal Advice Centres and legal access points created by Departmental Councils for Access to the Law offer court users free legal consultations by lawyers, notaries and bailiffs).

It is noteworthy that the annual public budget for cases brought to court has two components: the approved budget and the REBAJ (legal aid extrabudgetary resources) which are not voted appropriations in the strict sense. Accordingly, the 2019 approved annual public budget allocated to legal aid for cases brought to court corresponds to 423,716,957 Euro + 83,0006 Euro REBAJ = 506,716,963 Euro. The 2019 implemented annual public budget allocated to legal aid for cases brought to court corresponds to 409 100 585 Euro+ 83000006 euro REBAJ = 492 100 591 Euro.

In France, legal aid is avaiable for :



Enforcement agents may be appointed to enforce any legal decision for a beneficiary of legal aid, either as a continuation of the proceedings or separately. Moreover, according to article 10 of the Law of 10 July 1991 on Legal Aid, legal aid may be granted on the occasion of the enforcement, on French territory, of a court decision or any other enforceable title, including if they emanate from another Member State of the European Union except for Denmark.

Article 11 of the aforementioned law provides for that legal aid "applies automatically to procedures, acts or measures for the enforcement of court decisions obtained with its benefit, unless enforcement is suspended for more than one year for a reason other than the exercise of a remedy or a decision to suspend enforcement".

Legal aid covers all the legal costs related to an instance (in case of total legal aid). More precisely, articles 40 and 40-1 of the Act of the 10th of July 1991 on legal aid provide that the beneficiary of legal aid is entitled to the assistance of a lawyer and any public or ministerial officials (bailiffs, solicitors, and notaries in particular). S/he is also exempt from the payment of advance or deposit of all costs relating to the proceedings, procedures or acts for which it has been granted (expertise, social inquiry, family mediation, etc.), with the exception of a hearing right of €13.

In criminal cases:

Accused individuals are free to chose their lawyers in the frame of legal aid system.

Victims are free to chose their lawyers in the frame of legal aid system.

### · Court fees

Litigants do not have to pay taxes to start a proceeding in other than criminal matters.

There is an exception in certain civil matters. Indeed, there is a fee payable by the parties to the appeal proceedings where the appointment of lawyer is compulsory before the Court of appeal. The fee is paid by the lawyer applying on behalf of his/her client either by means of mobile stamps or by electronic means. It is not due by the party receiving legal aid. The amount of this fee is allocated to the compensation fund of the profession of "avoué" (FIDA) at the courts of appeal.

The amount of court fees to commence an action for 3000€ debt recovery is 0€.

In order to commence an action for 3000€ debt recovery, the simplified procedure for the recovery of small claims applies (decree no. 2016-285 of March 9, 2016). Akin to the procedure before the first instance judge, the implementation of the simplified procedure, at the request of the creditor, is free of charge.

It should be noted that the decree of December 11, 2019, which came into force on January 1, 2020, sets the use of this simplified procedure at a new ceiling of 5,000€.

### 3. Efficiency and quality of the judicial system

### • Court performance

· Clearance Rate (CR) and Disposition Time (DT)

The Clearance Rate shows the capacity of a judicial system to deal with the incoming cases. A Clearance Rate of 100% and higher does not generate backlog.

The Disposition Time determines the estimated number of days necessary for a pending case to be solved in a court.


#### First instance cases


· Total other than criminal cases



The number of incoming cases in 2019 in France (2,69 per 100 inhabitants) is significantly below the EU median (7,22 per 100 inhabitants); as for the number of resolved cases, which is 2,67 per 100 inhabitants compared to the EU median of 7,27 per 100 inhabitants.

The number of pending cases at the end of 2019 (2,84 per 100 inhabitants) is the same of the EU median (2,84 per 100 inhabitants).






With a Clearance Rate calculated at 99,4% in 2019 France seems to be able to deal with its other than criminal cases.

Between 2018 and 2019, the Clearance Rate has increased for 3,1 points.


In 2019, other than criminal cases are solved in approximately 388 days, which is well above EU median of 111 days.

Analysis of the 2018 - 2019 period reveals a 1,9% increase of the Disposition Time.

#### · Civil (and commercial) litigious cases



The number of incoming cases in 2019 in France (2,09 per 100 inhabitants) is above the EU median (1,92 per 100 inhabitants). Moreover, the number of resolved cases in 2019 (2,09 per 100 inhabitants) is also above EU median (1,73 per 100 inhabitants). Finally, the number of pending cases at the end of 2019 (2,47 per 100 inhabitants) is well above the EU median (1,10 per 100 inhabitants).



With a Clearance Rate calculated at 99,7% in 2019, France seems to be able to deal with its civil and commercial litigious cases.


Between 2018 and 2019, the Clearance Rate has increased for 3,9 points.

In 2019, the civil and commercial litigious cases are solved in approximately 432 days, which is well above the EU median of 213 days.

Analysis of the 2018 - 2019 period reveals a 2,8% increase of the Disposition Time.


The number of civil and commercial litigious cases older than 2 years is not available

#### · Administrative cases



The number of incoming cases in 2019 in France (0,34 per 100 inhabitants) is above the EU median (0,25 per 100 inhabitants); as for the number of resolved cases, which is 0,33 per 100 inhabitants compared to the EU median of 0,31 per 100 inhabitants.

Moreover, the number of pending cases at the end of 2019 in France (0,26 per 100 inhabitants) is also above EU median (0,19 per 100 inhabitants).



With a Clearance Rate calculated at 96,5% in 2019, France seems to be able to deal with its administrative cases.

Between 2018 and 2019, the Clearance Rate has decreased for -1,9 points.


In 2019, the administrative cases are solved in approximately 284 days, which is the same of the EU median of 284 days.

Analysis of the 2018 - 2019 period reveals a -0,4% decrease of the Disposition Time.

In France, there are 12 255 administrative law cases older than 2 years. This is 7,1% of the total number of pending cases at the end of the year

It is noteworthy that, in contrast with previous cycle, 2019 data on administrative law cases pending for more than 2 years are expressed in net figures, excluding serial cases presenting the same legal issue for trial.

#### · Insolvency




The Clearance Rate was calculated at 105,6% in 2019 for insolvency cases, France seems to be able to deal with its insolvency cases.

Between 2018 and 2019, the Clearance Rate has increased for 3,6 points.

The Disposition Time for insolvency cases cannot be calculated

### Overall efficiency by instance and by case matter



Concerning civil and commercial litigious cases, courts of appeal appear to be the less efficient instance, while the Court of cassation is characterised by the lowest Disposition time among the three instances. However, the Disposition Time of all three instances is significantly above the respective EU medians (213 days at first instance, 175 days at second instance and 217 days at third instance).

As to administrative law cases, the Supreme administrative court (Conseil d'Etat) appear as the most efficient instance with a Disposition Time below the EU median of 219 days. The Disposition Time indicators of first instance courts and courts of appeal correspond exactly to the EU medians.

At all three instances, administrative law cases are solved more promptly than civil and commercial litigious cases.

#### • Alternative dispute resolutions

The judicial system in France provides court related mediation.

The court related mediation system in France provides mandatory court related mediation.

Before/instead of going to court


Ordered by the court, the judge, the public prosecutor or a public authority in the course of a judicial proceeding

The legal system provides for mandatory informative sessions with a mediatorIn in the field of civil service, for employees of the territorial civil service, the Ministry of National Education and the Ministry of Foreign Affairs (in certain areas: e.g. remuneration, secondment or lay-off); with regard to social disputes in certain departments (employment centres, active solidarity income, housing allowance, specific solidarity allowance).

In civil matters, Law No. 2019222 of 23 March 2019 on the 2018-2022 justice programme provides for a mandatory attempt to resort to amicable dispute resolution, which includes mediation. Indeed, Article 3 of the aforementioned law specifies that "when the claim seeks payment of a sum not exceeding a certain amount (5 000 euros) or relates to a neighbourhood dispute, the referral to court (Tribunal de grande instance) must, subject to inadmissibility, which the judge may rule ex officio, be preceded, at the choice of the parties, by an attempt at conciliation conducted by a judicial conciliator, an attempt at mediation, as defined in Article 21 of Act No. 95-125 of 8 February 1995 on the organisation of the courts and civil, criminal and administrative procedure, or an attempt at participatory procedure, except under conditions laid down by law". Some procedures necessarily begin with a mediation phase before the court. This is the case for disputes between employer and employee as well as for disputes concerning rural leases.

In family matters, the attempt at compulsory prior family mediation, provided for in Article 6 of Act No. 2016-1547 of 18 November 2016 on the modernisation of justice in the 21st century, is still being tested in 11 courts of first instance (Tribunaux de grande instance). The 3-year experiment (till 31 December 2019) applies to applications for modification of the arrangements for the exercise of parental authority or contribution to the maintenance and education of the child laid down in a judgment or an approved agreement.


Mediators	Total	Per 100 000 inhab.
2012	NA	NA
2013	2,435	3.70
2014	2,450	3.69
2015	2,571	3.86
2016	2,940	4.39
2017	2,940	4.38
2018	1,436	2.14
2019	NA	NA



In 2019, the number of accredited or registered mediators who practise court related mediation is not available.

Accordingly, the variation between 2018 and 2019 cannot be calculated.

Type of cases	Total	Per 100 000 inhab.
All cases	NA	NA
Civil and commercial	1,156	2
Family cases	2,751	4
Administrative	1,021	2
Employment dismissal	NA	NA
Criminal cases	NA	NA
Consumer cases	NA	NA



#### . Systems for measuring and evaluating the court performance

In 2019, individual courts are not required to prepare an activity report.

However, such report exists in the field of administrative justice. The report takes stock of the human and budgetary resources granted to the court as well as activity statistics. The document is distributed annually to all heads of courts in a dematerialised manner.

A regular monitoring system of court activities is in place concerning:

number of incoming cases
length of proceedings (timeframes)
number of resolved cases
number of pending cases
backlogs
satisfaction of court staff
satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)
number of appeals
appeal ratio

Civil and criminal justice: After the deployment of innovative applications, satisfaction questionnaires are sent to users in the courts (heads of courts, directors of registries, judges and registry officials) in order to improve change support actions and the implementation.

In addition, with regard to victims, the Ministry of Justice conducted a satisfaction survey in the second half of 2019 among victims of criminal offences who resort to victim support associations. The results of this survey, similar to a previous survey conducted in 2011, could be published in 2020. Likewise, the Ministry of Justice is attentive to citizens' views on the way they are received in the courts. For several years now, surveys have been conducted on the reception in the courts by a service provider pretending being a litigant. In 2018, an online survey, coupled with a face-to-face survey, was conducted in seven 1st instance courts "tribunaux de grande instance" among litigants appearing in these courts. In 2019, the satisfaction survey will be carried out in all "tribunaux de grande instance" via an online survey accessible by internet address or QR code. Finally, a national survey is also under way on the reception of litigants in the courts in the specific context of the implementation of social centres within the "tribunaux de grande instance" and the integration within these courts of the three separate courts that previously dealt with these types of litigation. The survey, carried out among court staff, aims to assess the difficulties encountered by persons presenting themselves at the reception desk and to identify any corrections that could be included in the texts.

The reply to the question encompasses replies from administrative justice and civil and criminal justice.

In France, there is a system to evaluate regularly the activity of each court.

• The reporting is more frequent than annual

Concerning civil and criminal branches, there are two objectives for evaluating courts' performance. The first lies in the need to provide the heads of courts with steering elements via monthly dashboards (Civil and Criminal), the second in the context of annual management dialogues, the dashboard covering this time a whole year. These dashboards are freely accessible so that they can be widely diffused to all stakeholders and thus facilitate comparison, the latter being the primary means of analysing performance. Administrative courts also use monthly dashboards and civil and criminal courts receive quarterly reports on steering activities via a business application.

A system to evaluate regurlarly the activity of each court (in terms of performance and output) exists and performance and quality indicators are defined at the court level.

number of incoming cases
length of proceedings (timeframes)
number of resolved cases
number of pending cases
backlogs
productivity of judges and court staff
number of appeals
appeal ratio
clearance rate
disposition time

The evaluation of the court activity is used for the later allocation of means in this court.

### 5. ICT and national data collection system

### •The ICT tools of courts and for court users

The use of ICT in courts had been evaluated in 2 fields and 5 sub-fields within those fields in :2019 (graphics below):


,	points (up to 4)
Administration and management	2.7
Case management systems	3.3
Tools of producing courts activity statistics	2.0
Communication between court and users	1.5
Possibility to submit a case by electronic means	1.7
Possibility to monitor the stages of an online judicial proceeding	1.3
Electronic communication between courts and lawyers	2.7
Videoconferencing with users	0.5


The calculation of this index for each field is an average of the values of the sub-fields included. The calculation of the sub-field depends on each question and the combination of different modalities of the answers.

The details of the calculation are given in Annex 5 - IT calculations

The result by area may be summarized in these graphics, where each field has been evaluated from 0 to 4 points.

Note; Index is modified each cycle depending on the new modalities included in the questionnaire that show more precise details on the ICT situation that can make the index drop even if there is no real change.





Concerning writing assistance tools: Cassiopée for all « Tribunaux de grande instance » APPI for execution of sentences services MINOS for police courts

As to voice recording tools, the positive reply concerns only administrative justice.

In respect of Case management systems (CMS), there are applications to manage court proceedings for both criminal and civil matters. These applications are not based on CMS but have been developed specifically for the needs of the Ministry of Justice.

### Statistical data

The centralised institution responsible for collecting statistical data regarding the functioning of the civil and criminal courts is the Sub-Directorate of Statistics and Studies of the Ministry of Justice. Concerning the administrative courts, it is the General Secretariat of the Supreme Administrative Court (Conseil d'Etat) and the Office of analysis and forecasting of the Directorate of prospective and Finance of the Supreme Administrative Court (Conseil d'Etat).

This institution publishes statistics of each court on internet.

Individual courts are not required to prepare an activity report.

However, such reports exist in the field of administrative justice. The report takes stock of the human and budgetary resources granted to the court as well as activity statistics. The document is distributed annually to all heads of courts in a dematerialised manner.

Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
Q1 Number of inhabitants	65,585,857	65,821,000	66,317,994	66,627,602	66,991,000	67,186,638	66,992,699	67,063,703	2.3%	0.4%	0.8%	0.5%	0.5%	0.3%	-0.3%	0.1%
Q.3 GDP Per capita (in €) in current prices	31,059 €	32,112€	32,227 €	32,796 €	33,337 €	34,150 €	34,978 €	35,960 €	15.8%	3.4%	0.4%	1.8%	1.6%	2.4%	2.4%	2.8%
Q5. Exchange rate of Nat currency to € on 1 Jan	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-							

Indicator 1: The budget and resources of courts and the justice system in €  Table 1.3.2 Budgetary elements of the budget allocated to the whole justice system in €  Table 1.3.2 Budgetary elements of the budget allocated to the whole justice system (Q15.2, Q15-3)  15-1.1.1 Annual approved public budget allocated to the whole justice system (Q15.2, Q15-3)  15-2.1.1 Court budget (Q15 included in whole justice system budget (Q15 included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)  15-2.1.2 Eugla aid budget (Q12) included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)  15-2.1.3 Public prosecution services budget (Q12) included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)  15-2.1.3 Public prosecution services budget (Q12) included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)  15-2.1.2 Probation services budget (Q15-1)  15-2.1.3 Probation services included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)  15-2.1.3 Probation services included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)  15-2.1.3 Council of the judiciary included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)  15-2.1.3 Council of the judiciary included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)  No No No No No Yes Yes  Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes  Yes Yes Yes Yes  Yes Yes Yes Yes  Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes																
		Indi	cator 1: The	budget and	resources of	courts and	the justice s	ystem								
		Annual app	roved and ir	mplemented I	budgets allo	cated to the	whole justice	e system in	€							
	Table	1.3.2 Budge	etary elemen	ts of the bud	get allocated	d to the who	le justice sys	tem (Q15.2	, Q15-3)							
	8,087,936,029	8,117,218,594	8,497,762,614	8,662,252,315	8,887,412,229	9,297,768,512	9,399,793,877	9,859,437,605	21.9%	0.4%	4.7%	1.9%	2.6%	4.6%	1.1%	4.9%
	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	-	Yes	Yes								
	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	-	Yes	Yes								
	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	-	Yes	Yes								
	Yes	Yes														
	Yes	Yes														
	Yes	Yes														
	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes								
	Yes	Yes														
15-3.1.6 State advocacy included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	No	NAP								
15-3.1.7 Enforcement services included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	No	NAP								
15-3.1.8 Notariat included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	No	No														
15-3.1.9 Forensic services included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes								
15-3.1.10 Judicial protection of juveniles included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	Yes	Yes														
15-3.1.11 Functioning of the Ministry of Justice included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	Yes	Yes														
15-3.1.12 Refugees and asylum seekers service included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	No	No														
15-3.1.13 Immigration services	-	-	-	-	No	No	No	No								
15-3.1.14 Some police services included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	-	-	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes								
15-3.1.15 Other services included in whole justice system budget (Q15-1)	Yes	Yes														

-										<u> </u>						
Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
Fig	ure 1.4 Corre	lation betwe	en the GDP p	oer capita an	d the approv	ed whole jus	stice system	budget in 20	019 (Q1,	Q3, Q15-	1)					
Q1. Number of inhabitants	65,585,857	65,821,000	66,317,994	66,627,602	66,991,000	67,186,638	66,992,699	67,063,703	2.3%	0.4%	0.8%	0.5%	0.5%	0.3%	-0.3%	0.1%
Q.3 GDP Per capita (in €) in current prices	31,059 €	32,112€	32,227 €	32,796 €	33,337 €	34,150 €	34,978 €	35,960 €	15.8%	3.4%	0.4%	1.8%	1.6%	2.4%	2.4%	2.8%
15-1.1.1 Annual approved public budget allocated to the whole justice system, in €	8,087,936,029	8,117,218,594	8,497,762,614	8,662,252,315	8,887,412,229	9,297,768,512	9,399,793,877	9,859,437,605	21.9%	0 €	4.7%	1.9%	2.6%	4.6%	1.1%	4.9%
15-1.2.1 Annual implemented public budget allocated to the whole justice system, in €	-	-	8,338,592,823	8,597,790,089	8,721,899,705	9,066,584,493	9,271,415,202	9,773,301,202	-	-	-	3.1%	1.4%	4.0%	2.3%	5.4%

	Fig	ure 1.7 Evol	ution of reve	nues from co	ourt taxes an	d fees in 201	0, 2012,and	2015 in € (Q	1, Q9)							
Table 1.8 Participation of the annual income of court taxes and fees in the budget of the whole justice system from 2012 to 2019 in € (Q1, Q9, Q15-1)																
1. Number of inhabitants 65,585,857 65,821,000 66,317,994 66,627,602 66,991,000 67,186,638 66,992,699 67,063,703 2.3% 0.4% 0.8% 0.5% 0.5% 0.3% -0.3%															0.1%	
15-1.1.1 Annual approved public budget allocated to the whole justice system, in €	8,087,936,029	8,117,218,594	8,497,762,614	8,662,252,315	8,887,412,229	9,297,768,512	9,399,793,877	9,859,437,605	21.9%	0.4%	4.7%	1.9%	2.6%	4.6%	1.1%	4.9%
Q9. Annual income of court taxes or fees received by the state	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	29,902,926	29,286,825	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-2.1%

			F	igure 1.9 Coι	ırt fees and t	axes (Q8-1,	Q8-2)						
Q8-2. Amount of court fees to commence an action for 3000 Euro debt					0	NAP	0	0					
recovery	_	_		_	0	IVAI	0	U		_	_	Ī	/ E

				Indicator 2:	The judicial	organisation	1									
Tables 2.1 and 2.1b Number of	f first instance o	ourts (gener	al and speci	alised) as le	gal entities a	ınd number o	of all courts	(first, appeal	and high	courts)	as geog	raphic lo	cations (	Q42)		
Table 2.3 (EC) Variation of the absolute number of all courts (geographic locations) (Q42)																
Q1. Number of inhabitants	65,585,857	65,821,000	66,317,994	66,627,602	66,991,000	67,186,638	66,992,699	67,063,703	2.3%	0.4%	0.8%	0.5%	0.5%	0.3%	-0.3%	0.1%
42.1.1 First instance courts of general jurisdiction	778	783	786	786	786	786	168	168	-78.4%	0.6%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-78.6%	0.0%
42.1.2 Specialised first instance courts	1,156	1,089	1,094	1,094	1,086	1,086	1,463	1,186	2.6%	-5.8%	0.5%	0.0%	-0.7%	0.0%	34.7%	-18.9%
42.1.3 All the courts (geographic locations)	640	641	643	643	641	641	641	641	0.2%	0.2%	0.3%	0.0%	-0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
	Tal	ble 2.2 Numb	per of (legal o	entities) first	instance sp	ecialised cou	ırts and its b	reak-down	(Q43)							
43.1.1 Total Nr of first instance specialised courts	1,156	1,089	1,094	1,094	1,086	1,086	1,463	1,186	2.6%	-5.8%	0.5%	0.0%	-0.7%	0.0%	34.7%	-18.9%
43.1.2 Number of commercial courts	143	143	148	148	143	143	143	143	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	0.0%	-3.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
43.1.3 Number of insolvency courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.4 Number of labour courts	216	216	216	216	216	216	216	216	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
43.1.5 Number of family courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.6 Number of rent and tenancies courts	NAP	281	281	281	281	281	289	289	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.8%	0.0%
43.1.7 Number of enforcement of criminal sanctions courts	NAP	50	50	50	50	50	49	49	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-2.0%	0.0%
43.1.8 Number of courts fight against terrorism, organised crime and corruption	NAP	8	8	8	8	8	9	9	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%	0.0%
43.1.9 Number of internet related disputes	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.10 Number of administrative courts	42	42	42	42	42	42	42	42	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
43.1.11 Number of insurance and social welfare courts	115	141	141	141	141	141	241	NAP	-	22.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	70.9%	
43.1.12 Number of military courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.13 Number of other specialised 1st instance courts	640	208	208	208	200	200	474	438	-31.6%	-67.5%	0.0%	0.0%	-3.8%	0.0%	137.0%	-7.6%

								ГІС	alice	(2012	-2013)	uala	tables	•		
Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
		Indi	icator 3: The	performance	of courts a	t all stages o	f the proceed	dings								
	Tables 3.1.	1.1 to 3.1.1.4	(all years) ar	nd 3.1.1.5 Fir	st instance	courts: Numl	ber of other t	than crimina	ıl cases (	Q91)						
Table 3	.9.1 and 3.9.2	(all years) F	ist instance o	ourts: Case	load in the E	U of other th	an criminal	cases pe 10	0 inhabit	ants (Q1,	Q91)					
	Table 3.9.3	to 3.9.4 Fist	instance cou	ırts: Variatio	n of caseloa	d in the EU o	of other than	criminal ca	ses (Q1,	Q91)						
Q1. Number of inhabitants	65,585,857	65,821,000	66,317,994	66,627,602	66,991,000	67,186,638	66,992,699	67,063,703	2.3%	0.4%	0.8%	0.5%	0.5%	0.3%	-0.3%	0.1%
91.1.1 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	1,654,187	1,643,188	1,692,658	1,810,803	1,863,243	1,899,497	1,821,752	1,892,584	14.4%	-0.7%	3.0%	7.0%	2.9%	1.9%	-4.1%	3.9%
91.1.2 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	1,415,720	1,428,811	1,473,097	1,571,438	1,611,461	1,630,342	1,588,116	1,651,625	16.7%	0.9%	3.1%	6.7%	2.5%	1.2%	-2.6%	4.0%
91.1.3 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	69,629	80,597	88,926	105,064	73,162	75,218	-	-	-	15.8%	10.3%	18.1%	-30.4%	2.8%
91.1.4 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	69,108	64,473	69,629	80,597	88,926	105,064	73,162	75,218	8.8%	-6.7%	8.0%	15.8%	10.3%	18.1%	-30.4%	2.8%
91.1.5 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.1.6 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.1.7 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.1.8 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.1.9 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.1.10 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Administrative law cases	169,359	149,904	149,932	158,768	162,856	164,091	160,474	165,741	-2.1%	-11.5%	0.0%	5.9%	2.6%	0.8%	-2.2%	3.3%
91.1.11 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.2.1 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	2,185,753	2,288,177	2,285,876	2,288,643	2,253,976	2,135,602	1,882,289	1,801,871	-17.6%	4.7%	-0.1%	0.1%	-1.5%	-5.3%	-11.9%	-4.3%
91.2.2 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	1,688,929	1,789,902	1,747,989	1,740,302	1,698,704	1,658,004	1,498,080	1,403,505	-16.9%	6.0%	-2.3%	-0.4%	-2.4%	-2.4%	-9.6%	-6.3%
91.2.3 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)		-	342,262	356,334	361,740	280,355	171,180	167,086	-	-	-	4.1%	1.5%	-22.5%	-38.9%	-2.4%
91.2.4 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	318,333	322,513	342,262	356,334	361,740	280,355	171,180	167,086	-47.5%	1.3%	6.1%	4.1%	1.5%	-22.5%	-38.9%	-2.4%
91.2.5 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.2.6 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.2.7 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.2.8 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.2.9 1st inst courts_incoming cases_Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.2.10 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Administrative law cases	178,491	175,762	195,625	192,007	193,532	197,243	213,029	231,280	29.6%	-1.5%	11.3%	-1.8%	0.8%	1.9%	8.0%	8.6%
91.2.11 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

									41100	(2012		, aata	tubiot			
Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
91.3.1 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	2,189,186	2,246,155	2,169,237	2,237,067	2,219,465	2,213,947	1,813,313	1,791,335	-18.2%	2.6%	-3.4%	3.1%	-0.8%	-0.2%	-18.1%	-1.2%
91.3.2 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	1,675,838	1,745,616	1,649,648	1,700,279	1,682,166	1,700,230	1,434,571	1,399,133	-16.5%	4.2%	-5.5%	3.1%	-1.1%	1.1%	-15.6%	-2.5%
91.3.3 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	331,294	348,005	345,602	312,257	169,124	168,973	-	-	-	5.0%	-0.7%	-9.6%	-45.8%	-0.1%
91.3.4 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	322,968	317,357	331,294	348,005	345,602	312,257	169,124	168,973	-47.7%	-1.7%	4.4%	5.0%	-0.7%	-9.6%	-45.8%	-0.1%
91.3.5 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.3.6 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-							
91.3.7 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-							
91.3.8 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.3.9 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.3.10 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Administrative law cases	190,380	183,182	188,295	188,783	191,697	201,460	209,618	223,229	17.3%	-3.8%	2.8%	0.3%	1.5%	5.1%	4.0%	6.5%
91.3.11 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-							
91.4.1 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	1,650,754	1,685,210	1,809,297	1,862,379	1,897,754	1,821,152	1,890,728	1,903,120	15.3%	2.1%	7.4%	2.9%	1.9%	-4.0%	3.8%	0.7%
91.4.2 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	1,428,811	1,473,097	1,571,438	1,611,461	1,627,999	1,588,116	1,651,625	1,655,997	15.9%	3.1%	6.7%	2.5%	1.0%	-2.4%	4.0%	0.3%
91.4.3 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	80,597	88,926	105,064	73,162	75,218	73,331	-	-	-	10.3%	18.1%	-30.4%	2.8%	-2.5%
91.4.4 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	64,473	69,629	80,597	88,926	105,064	73,162	75,218	73,331	13.7%	8.0%	15.8%	10.3%	18.1%	-30.4%	2.8%	-2.5%
91.4.5 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.4.6 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-							
91.4.7 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-							
91.4.8 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.4.9 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.4.10 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Administrative law cases	157,470	142,484	157,262	161,992	164,691	159,874	163,885	173,792	10.4%	-9.5%	10.4%	3.0%	1.7%	-2.9%	2.5%	6.0%
91.4.11 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-							

Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
Table 3.2.1.1 t	o 3.2.1.1 (all	/ears) First i	nstance cou	rts: Clearand	e rate and d	isposition tir	ne in differer	nt type of otl	ner than	criminal (	cases (Q	91)				
Table 3.2.2.1 an	d 3.2.2.3 Firs	t instance co	ourts: Variati	on of cleara	nce rate and	disposition t	time in differ	ent type of c	ther tha	n crimina	l cases (	(Q91)				
Table 3.10.1 (EC) to 3.10.6 (EC) First	instance cou	rts: Disposit	ion time and	l clearance ra	ate for other	than crimina	l cases, litig	ious civil an	d comme	ercial cas	es and a	ndministr	ative cas	es (Q91)		
CR Total of other than criminal law cases	100.2%	98.2%	94.9%	97.7%	98.5%	103.7%	96.3%	99.4%	-0.7%	-2.0%	-3.3%	3.0%	0.7%	5.3%	-7.1%	3.2%
CR Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	99.2%	97.5%	94.4%	97.7%	99.0%	102.5%	95.8%	99.7%	0.5%	-1.7%	-3.2%	3.5%	1.4%	3.6%	-6.6%	4.1%
CR Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-		96.8%	97.7%	95.5%	111.4%	98.8%	101.1%	-	-	-	0.9%	-2.2%	16.6%	-11.3%	2.4%
CR General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	101.5%	98.4%	96.8%	97.7%	95.5%	111.4%	98.8%	101.1%	-0.3%	-3.0%	-1.6%	0.9%	-2.2%	16.6%	-11.3%	2.4%
CR Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Administrative law cases	106.7%	104.2%	96.3%	98.3%	99.1%	102.1%	98.4%	96.5%	-9.5%	-2.3%	-7.6%	2.1%	0.7%	3.1%	-3.7%	-1.9%
CR Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Total of other than criminal law cases	275	274	304	304	312	300	381	388	40.9%	-0.5%	11.2%	-0.2%	2.7%	-3.8%	26.8%	1.9%
DT Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	311	308	348	346	353	341	420	432	38.8%	-1.0%	12.9%	-0.5%	2.1%	-3.5%	23.3%	2.8%
DT Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	89	93	111	86	162	158	-	-	-	5.0%	19.0%	-22.9%	89.8%	-2.4%
DT General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	73	80	89	93	111	86	162	158	117.4%	9.9%	10.9%	5.0%	19.0%	-22.9%	89.8%	-2.4%
DT Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Administrative law cases	302	284	305	313	314	290	285	284	-5.9%	-6.0%	7.4%	2.7%	0.1%	-7.6%	-1.5%	-0.4%
DT Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 3.3.1 (all years) First instance courts, number of cases for specific case categories i(litigious divorce, employment dismissal and insolvency cases) (Q101)

									101100 (2012 2010) data tableo							
Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
101.1.1 Pending cases on 1 Jan. Litigious divorce case	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
101.1.2 Pending cases on 1 Jan. Employment dismissal case	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
101.1.3 Pending cases on 1 Jan. Insolvency	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
101.2.1 Incoming cases_Litigious divorce case	92,864	90,694	91,882	86,926	84,579	94,560	92,802	89,026	-4.1%	-2.3%	1.3%	-5.4%	-2.7%	11.8%	-1.9%	-4.1%
101.2.2 Incoming cases_Employment dismissal case	124,434	145,779	134,837	128,489	108,193	94,099	90,504	80,566	-35.3%	17.2%	-7.5%	-4.7%	-15.8%	-13.0%	-3.8%	-11.0%
101.2.3 Incoming cases_Insolvency	55,561	57,743	56,820	57,902	53,072	49,626	49,083	46,375	-16.5%	3.9%	-1.6%	1.9%	-8.3%	-6.5%	-1.1%	-5.5%
101.3.1 Resolved cases_Litigious divorce case	92,659	89,956	88,220	84,602	85,560	82,562	86,771	90,569	-2.3%	-2.9%	-1.9%	-4.1%	1.1%	-3.5%	5.1%	4.4%
101.3.2 Resolved cases_Employment dismissal case	130,478	128,657	130,574	136,021	131,063	122,120	97,053	96,580	-26.0%	-1.4%	1.5%	4.2%	-3.6%	-6.8%	-20.5%	-0.5%
101.3.3 Resolved cases_Insolvency	47,942	49,024	51,577	59,686	56,300	54,768	50,039	48,969	2.1%	2.3%	5.2%	15.7%	-5.7%	-2.7%	-8.6%	-2.1%
101.4.1 Pending cases on 31 Dec. Litigious divorce case	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
101.4.2 Pending cases on 31 Dec. Employment dismissal case	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
101.4.3 Pending cases on 31 Dec. Insolvency	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 3.4.1 (all yea	ars) First inst	ance courts:	Clearance ra	ate and disp	osition time	litigious dive	orce, employ	ment dismis	sal and	insolvend	cy cases	(Q101)				
Table 3.4.2 First instance of	courts: Variat	ion of cleara	ince rate and	disposition	time betwee	n years (litig	ous divorce	, employmer	nt dismis	sal and i	nsolveno	cy cases)	(Q101)			
CR Litigious divorce cases	99.8%	99.2%	96.0%	97.3%	101.2%	87.3%	93.5%	101.7%	2.0%	-0.6%	-3.2%	1.4%	3.9%	-13.7%	7.1%	8.89
CR Employment dismissal cases	104.9%	88.3%	96.8%	105.9%	121.1%	129.8%	107.2%	119.9%	14.3%	-15.8%	9.7%	9.3%	14.4%	7.1%	-17.4%	11.8%
CR Insolvency cases	86.3%	84.9%	90.8%	103.1%	106.1%	110.4%	101.9%	105.6%	22.4%	-1.6%	6.9%	13.6%	2.9%	4.0%	-7.6%	3.6%
DT Litigious divorce cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	. NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Employment dismissal cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	. NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
DT Insolvency cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	. NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

										1		,	tables			
Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
		Table 3.5.1	to 3.5.5 Seco	nd instance	courts: Num	ber of other	than crimina	ıl cases (Q9	7)							
97.1.1 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	264,198	266,006	294,069	294,210	307,020	317,778	310,011	302,841	14.6%	0.7%	10.5%	0.0%	4.4%	3.5%	-2.4%	-2.3%
97.1.2 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	224,664	227,624	254,353	254,567	266,127	276,110	268,669	260,673	16.0%	1.3%	11.7%	0.1%	4.5%	3.8%	-2.7%	-3.0%
97.1.3 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	12,215	12,170	12,996	NA	12,798	12,700	-	-	-	-0.4%	6.8%	-	-	-0.8%
97.1.4 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	11,211	10,833	12,215	12,170	12,996	13,068	12,798	12,700	13.3%	-3.4%	12.8%	-0.4%	6.8%	0.6%	-2.1%	-0.8%
97.1.5 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.1.6 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.1.7 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.1.8 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.1.9 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.1.10 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Administrative law cases	28,323	27,549	27,501	27,473	27,897	28,600	28,544	29,468	4.0%	-2.7%	-0.2%	-0.1%	1.5%	2.5%	-0.2%	3.2%
97.1.11 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.2.1 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	265,158	274,843	282,436	279,740	282,835	273,682	263,086	263,044	-0.8%	3.7%	2.8%	-1.0%	1.1%	-3.2%	-3.9%	0.0%
97.2.2 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	206,339	215,309	220,119	215,160	217,135	203,792	194,060	190,203	-7.8%	4.3%	2.2%	-2.3%	0.9%	-6.1%	-4.8%	-2.0%
97.2.3 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	32,460	33,983	34,392	NA	35,253	37,157	-	-	-	4.7%	1.2%	-	-	5.4%
97.2.4 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	30,325	30,649	32,460	33,983	34,392	38,607	35,253	37,157	22.5%	1.1%	5.9%	4.7%	1.2%	12.3%	-8.7%	5.4%
97.2.5 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.2.6 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
$97.2.7\ 2nd\ inst\ courts\_Incoming\ cases\_Non-litigious\ business\ registry\ cases$	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.2.8 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Other registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.2.9 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.2.10 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Administrative law cases	28,494	28,885	29,857	30,597	31,308	31,283	33,773	35,684	25.2%	1.4%	3.4%	2.5%	2.3%	-0.1%	8.0%	5.7%
97.2.11 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Other cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
97.3.1 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	263,746	262,203	267,325	267,682	272,077	281,393	270,311	264,733	0.4%	-0.6%	2.0%	0.1%	1.6%	3.4%	-3.9%	-2.1%
97.3.2 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	204,319	203,196	205,772	203,896	207,152	211,233	203,258	194,479	-4.8%	-0.5%	1.3%	-0.9%	1.6%	2.0%	-3.8%	-4.3%
97.3.3 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	31,623	33,246	34,320	NA	34,199	35,994	-	-	-	5.1%	3.2%	-	-	5.2%
$97.3.4\ 2nd\ inst\ courts\_Resolved\ cases\_General\ civil\ (and\ commercial)\ non-litigious\ cases$	30,258	29,992	31,623	33,246	34,320	38,877	34,199	35,994	19.0%	-0.9%	5.4%	5.1%	3.2%	13.3%	-12.0%	5.2%

										<b>,</b> -		,				
Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
97.3.5 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.6 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.7 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-							
97.3.8 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Other registry cases	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-							
97.3.9 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.10 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Administrative law cases	29,169	29,015	29,930	30,540	30,605	31,283	32,854	34,260	17.5%	-0.5%	3.2%	2.0%	0.2%	2.2%	5.0%	4.3%
97.3.11 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Other cases	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-							
97.4.1 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	265,610	278,646	309,180	306,268	317,778	310,067	302,786	301,152	13.4%	4.9%	11.0%	-0.9%	3.8%	-2.4%	-2.3%	-0.5%
97.4.2 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	226,684	239,737	268,700	265,831	276,110	268,669	259,471	256,397	13.1%	5.8%	12.1%	-1.1%	3.9%	-2.7%	-3.4%	-1.2%
97.4.3 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	13,052	12,907	13,068	NA	13,852	13,863	-	-	-	-1.1%	1.2%	-	-	0.1%
97.4.4 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	11,278	11,490	13,052	12,907	13,068	12,798	13,852	13,863	22.9%	1.9%	13.6%	-1.1%	1.2%	-2.1%	8.2%	0.1%
97.4.5 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
97.4.6 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
97.4.7 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-							
97.4.8 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other registry cases	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-		-							
97.4.9 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.10 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Administrative law cases	27,648	27,419	27,428	27,530	28,600	28,600	29,463	30,892	11.7%	-0.8%	0.0%	0.4%	3.9%	0.0%	3.0%	4.9%
97.4.11 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other cases	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-							
97.5.1 2nd inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4) $$	-	-	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-				-	-	-
97.5.2 2nd inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	-	-	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-				-	-	-
97.5.10 2nd inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Administrative law cases	-	-	-	-	NA	NA	NA	950	-	-				-	-	-

									41100	<del>\_0</del>	,	dutu				
Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
	1	Table 3.6.1: S	Second insta	nce courts, c	learance rate	e of other tha	an criminal la	aw cases (C	97)							
	т	able 3.6.2: S	econd instan	ice courts, d	isposition tin	ne of other tl	nan criminal	law cases (	Q97)							
CR Total of other than criminal law cases	99.5%	95.4%	94.6%	95.7%	96.2%	102.8%	102.7%	100.6%	1.2%	-4.1%	-0.8%	1.1%	0.5%	6.9%	-0.1%	-2.0%
CR Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	99.0%	94.4%	93.5%	94.8%	95.4%	103.7%	104.7%	102.2%	3.3%	-4.7%	-0.9%	1.4%	0.7%	8.6%	1.1%	-2.4%
CR Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	97.4%	97.8%	99.8%	NA	97.0%	96.9%	-	-	-	0.4%	2.0%	-		-0.1%
CR General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	99.8%	97.9%	97.4%	97.8%	99.8%	100.7%	97.0%	96.9%	-2.9%	-1.9%	-0.4%	0.4%	2.0%	0.9%	-3.7%	-0.1%
CR Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
CR Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
CR Other registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
CR Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
CR Administrative law cases	102.4%	100.5%	100.2%	99.8%	97.8%	100.0%	97.3%	96.0%	-6.2%	-1.9%	-0.2%	-0.4%	-2.1%	2.3%	-2.7%	-1.3%
CR Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP		-	-	-	-	-		-
DT Total of other than criminal law cases	368	388	422	418	426	402	409	415	13.0%	5.5%	8.8%	-1.1%	2.1%	-5.7%	1.7%	1.6%
DT Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	405	431	477	476	487	464	466	481	18.8%	6.3%	10.7%	-0.2%	2.2%	-4.6%	0.4%	3.3%
DT Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	151	142	139	NA	148	141	-	-	-	-5.9%	-1.9%	-		-4.9%
DT General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	136	140	151	142	139	120	148	141	3.3%	2.8%	7.7%	-5.9%	-1.9%	-13.5%	23.0%	-4.9%
DT Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP		-	-	-	-	-		-
DT Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
DT Other registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Administrative law cases	346	345	334	329	341	334	327	329	-4.9%	-0.3%	-3.0%	-1.6%	3.7%	-2.2%	-1.9%	0.5%
DT Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP		-	-	-	-	-	-	-

									41100	(2012		<i>,</i>				
Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
		Table 3.7.	.1 to 3.7.5: Su	preme court	s, number o	f other than o	criminal law	cases (Q99)								
99.1.1 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	27,533	-	27,568	29,070	28,489	29,757	29,145	25,062	-9.0%	-	-	5.4%	-2.0%	4.5%	-2.1%	-14.0%
99.1.2 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	20,666	-	21,199	22,858	23,041	24,358	23,870	19,635	-5.0%	-	-	7.8%	0.8%	5.7%	-2.0%	-17.7%
99.1.3 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.1.4 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NA	-	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.1.5 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.1.6 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.1.7 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.1.8 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other registry cases	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.1.9 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.1.10 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Administrative law cases	6,867	-	6,369	6,212	5,448	5,399	5,275	5,427	-21.0%	-	-	-2.5%	-12.3%	-0.9%	-2.3%	2.9%
99.1.11 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.2.1 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	30,833	-	33,377	29,139	30,018	32,754	27,021	27,287	-11.5%	-	-	-12.7%	3.0%	9.1%	-17.5%	1.0%
99.2.2 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	21,798	-	21,295	20,412	20,398	22,890	17,458	17,071	-21.7%	-	-	-4.1%	-0.1%	12.2%	-23.7%	-2.2%
99.2.3 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.2.4 High inst courts_Incoming cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NA	-	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.2.5 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.2.6 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.2.7 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.2.8 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Other registry cases	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.2.9 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.2.10 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Administrative law cases	9,035	-	12,082	8,727	9,620	9,864	9,563	10,216	13.1%	-	-	-27.8%	10.2%	2.5%	-3.1%	6.8%
99.2.11 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.1 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	30,005	-	31,888	27,476	30,994	30,806	31,076	27,795	-7.4%	-	-	-13.8%	12.8%	-0.6%	0.9%	-10.6%
99.3.2 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	20,874	-	19,636	17,923	21,387	20,667	21,493	17,475	-16.3%	-	-	-8.7%	19.3%	-3.4%	4.0%	-18.7%
99.3.3 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.4 High inst courts_Resolved cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NA	-	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

										<b>'</b>		,	tabic	•		
Table Coursel Bate Facusaria and domains his												Varia	tions			
Table General Data: Economic and demographic data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
99.3.5 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.6 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.7 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.8 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Other registry cases	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.9 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.10 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Administrative law cases	9,131	-	12,252	9,553	9,607	10,139	9,583	10,320	13.0%	-	-	-22.0%	0.6%	5.5%	-5.5%	7.7%
99.3.11 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.1 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	28,361	-	29,057	30,733	27,513	31,705	25,090	24,554	-13.4%	-	-	5.8%	-10.5%	15.2%	-20.9%	-2.1%
99.4.2 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	21,590	-	22,858	25,347	22,052	26,581	19,835	19,231	-10.9%	-	-	10.9%	-13.0%	20.5%	-25.4%	-3.0%
99.4.3 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
99.4.4 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NA	-	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
99.4.5 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
99.4.6 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.7 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.8 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other registry cases	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.9 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.10 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Administrative law cases	6,771	-	6,199	5,386	5,461	5,124	5,255	5,323	-21.4%	-	-	-13.1%	1.4%	-6.2%	2.6%	1.3%
99.4.11 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.5.1 High inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	-	-	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-				-	-	-
99.5.2 High inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	-	-	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-				-	-	-
99.5.10 High inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Administrative law cases	-	-	-	-	NA	NA	NA	89	-	-				-	-	-

									41100	(=0:=	_0.0	, aata	Labies	,		
Table Consul Date Formula and domestic												Varia	tions			
Table General Data: Economic and demographic data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
		Table 3.8	.1: Supreme	courts, clear	ance rate of	other than c	riminal law c	ases (Q97)								
		Table 3.8.	2: Supreme o	courts, dispo	sition time o	of other than	criminal law	cases (Q97)								
CR Total of other than criminal law cases	97.3%	-	95.5%	94.3%	103.3%	94.1%	115.0%	101.9%	4.7%	-	-	-1.3%	9.5%	-8.9%	22.3%	-11.4%
CR Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	95.8%	-	92.2%	87.8%	104.8%	90.3%	123.1%	102.4%	6.9%	-	-	-4.8%	19.4%	-13.9%	36.4%	-16.9%
CR Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NA	-	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Other registry cases	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR Administrative law cases	101.1%	-	101.4%	109.5%	99.9%	102.8%	100.2%	101.0%	0.0%	-	-	7.9%	-8.8%	2.9%	-2.5%	0.8%
CR Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Total of other than criminal law cases	345	-	333	408	324	376	295	322	-6.5%	-	-	22.8%	-20.6%	15.9%	-21.6%	9.4%
DT Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	378	-	425	516	376	469	337	402	6.4%	-	-	21.5%	-27.1%	24.7%	-28.2%	19.2%
DT Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	NA	-	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-			-
DT Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Non litigious land registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-			-
DT Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-			-
DT Other registry cases	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-			-
DT Administrative law cases	271	-	185	206	207	184	200	188	-30.4%	-	-	11.4%	0.8%	-11.1%	8.5%	-5.9%
DT Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2013-

2014

2012-

2013

Variations

2015-

2016

2014-

2015

2016-

2017

2018-2019

2017-

2018

Table General Data: Economic and demographic	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2040	2019	
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019
Indicator 4: Sys	tems for mea	suring and	evaluating th	e performan	ce of courts				
Table	e 4.1: Modalit	ies of monit	oring system	ıs (Q81, Q70	<b>)</b> )				
81 Are individual courts required to prepare an annual activity report?	, only on Intranet	, only on Intranet	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	
070.1.1 number of incoming cases	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
070.1.2 length of proceedings (timeframes)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
070.1.3 number of resolved cases	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
070.1.4 number of pending cases	-	-	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	
070.1.5 backlogs	-	-	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	
070.1.6 productivity of judges and court staff	-	-	-	-	-	-	Yes	No	
070.1.7 satisfaction of court staff	-	-	-	-	-	-	No	Yes	
070.1.8 satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)	-	-	-	-	-	-	No	Yes	
070.1.9 costs of the judicial procedures	-	-	-	-	-	-	No	No	
070.1.10 number of appeals	-	-	-	-	-	-	No	Yes	
070.1.11 appeal ratio	-	-	-	-	-	-	No	Yes	
070.1.12 clearance rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	No	No	

Table 4.2: Performance	and evaluat	ion of the ju	dicial system	ns (Q77, Q73	3, Q73.1, Q66	, Q67)		
66 Qity standards formulated_jud system	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
67 Specialised court staff entrusted_qlty standards	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
73 Regular system_evaluation_performance_each court	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
73.1 Is this evaluation of the court activity used for the later allocation of means to this court? (new question)	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
77 Perf and quality indicators of court activities	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

										1-4	,	,				
Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
		Indicator 5: I	Legal aid													
Table 5	.1: Type of le	egal aid (othe	er than crimi	nal cases) (Q	16)											
16.1.1 Legal aid applies to representation in court (criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
16.1.2 Legal aid applies to legal advice (criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
16.2.1 Legal aid applies to representation in court (other than criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
16.2.2 Legal aid applies to legal advice (other than criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
	Table 5	i.2: Legal aid	coverage (Q	17)												
17 Legal aid included the coverage of or the exemption from court	-	_	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								

		Table 5	.3.1 Annual a	pproved pu	blic budget a	illocated to l	egal aid by ty	ype (Q12)								
	Table 5.4 Tota	ıl annual app	roved public	: budget allo	cated to lega	ıl aid (absolu	ite number a	nd per inhat	oitant) (C	1, Q12)						
Q1. Number of inhabitants	65,585,857	65,821,000	66,317,994	66,627,602	66,991,000	67,186,638	66,992,699	67,063,703	2.3%	0.4%	0.8%	0.5%	0.5%	0.3%	-0.3%	0.1%
12 Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) - Total (12.1 + 12.2)	367,180,000	369,270,787	366,887,166	389,200,710	365,684,483	455,671,354	514,790,357	543,319,313	48.0%	0.6%	-0.6%	6.1%	-6.0%	24.6%	13.0%	5.5%
12.1 Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) for cases brought to court - Total	308,120,000	310,014,019	345,406,000	384,034,110	330,748,321	447,196,004	478,793,007	506,716,963	64.5%	0.6%	11.4%	11.2%	-13.9%	35.2%	7.1%	5.8%
12.2 Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) for non-litigious cases or cases not brought to court - Total	59,060,000	59,256,768	21,481,166	5,166,600	34,936,162	8,475,350	35,997,350	36,602,350	-38.0%	0.3%	-63.7%	-75.9%	576.2%	-75.7%	324.7%	1.7%
12 Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) - Total criminal cases (12.1 + 12.2)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-		-	
12.1 Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) for cases brought to court - Total criminal cases	88,730,000	88,198,988	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-0.6%	-	-	-		-	
12.2 Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) for non-litigious cases or cases not brought to court - Total criminal	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
12 Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) - Total other than criminal cases (12.1 + 12.2)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
12.1 Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) for cases brought to court - Total other than criminal cases	219,390,000	221,815,031	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	1.1%	-	-	-		-	-
12.2. Annual approved public dudget allocated to legal atd (in €) for non-littglous cases or cases not brought to court - Total other than criminal cases	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

										1-0:-		,				
Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
		Table 5.3.	2 Annual imp	lemented pu	ıblic budget	allocated to	legal aid by	type (Q12-1)								
12 Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) - Total (12.1 + 12.2)	-	-	381,268,078	319,155,587	338,820,356	433,291,526	506,719,237	528,101,885	-	-	-	-16.3%	6.2%	27.9%	16.9%	4.2%
12.1 Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid (in $\ensuremath{\mathfrak{e}}$ ) for cases brought to court - Total	-	-	360,262,109	313,655,576	305,194,866	425,370,649	471,713,627	492,100,591	-	-	-	-12.9%	-2.7%	39.4%	10.9%	4.3%
12.2 Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) for non-litigious cases or cases not brought to court - Total	-	-	21,005,969	5,500,011	33,625,490	7,920,887	35,005,610	36,001,294	-	-	-	-73.8%	511.4%	-76.4%	341.9%	2.8%
12 Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) - Total criminal cases (12.1 + 12.2)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12.1 Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) for cases brought to court - Total criminal cases	-	-	NA	210,371,889	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12.2 Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) for non-litigious cases or cases not brought to court - Total criminal cases	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12 Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) - Total criminal other than criminal cases (12.1 + 12.2)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-		-	-	-	-	-
12.1 Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) for cases brought to court - Total other than criminal cases	-	-	NA	103,283,687	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12.2 Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid (in €) for non-litiglous cases or cases not brought to court - Total other than criminal cases	-		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 5.6: Court fees re	quired to sta	art a proceed	ling at a cou	t of general	jurisdiction i	n (Q8)		
8.1.1 Have litigants to pay taxes to start a proceeding - Criminal cases	No		No	No	No	No	No	No
8.1.2 Have litigants to pay taxes to start a proceeding - Other cases	No	-	No	No	No	No	No	No

Table 5.7 (EC): Cove	rage of lega	l aid (other t	han criminal	cases) (Q16	Q17, Q18, C	(19)		
16.1.1 Legal aid applies to representation in court (criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
16.1.2 Legal aid applies to legal advice (criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
16.2.1 Legal aid applies to representation in court (other than criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
16.2.2 Legal aid applies to legal advice (other than criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
17 Legal aid included the coverage of or the exemption from court fees	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
			Indicate	or 6: The ICT	tools of cou	rts and for c	ourt users									
			Table	6.1 (EC) Pos	sibility of on	line training	(Q131-2)									
131-2.1.1 Number of training courses in days organised, without elearning	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
131-2.2.1 Online training courses available during the reference year (e-learning)	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NA	-	-				-	-	

Table 6.2 (EC) Technologie	es used for co	ourt manag	ement and a	dministratior	ı (Q63.1, Q63	.2, Q63.7)		
63.1 Is there a case management system?							Yes	Yes
63.1-1 CMS for civil matter (equipment rate)							100%	50-99%
63.1-1 CMS for criminal matter (equipment rate)							100%	50-99%
63.1-1 CMS for administrative matter (equipment rate)							100%	100%
63-1-1 Statistics in CMS civil matter						Not integral	ed but connected	d but connected
63-1-1 Statistics in CMS criminal matter						Not integral	ed but connected	d but connected
63-1-1 Statistics in CMS administrative matter						Not integrat	ed but connected	d but connected
63-2 Computerised registries managed by courts - land registry							NA	100%
63-2 Computerised registries managed by courts - business registry							NA	50-99%
63-7.1 Measurement tools to assess the workload							No	No
63-7.1 workload of judges								
63-7.1 workload of prosecutors								
63-7.1 workload of non-judge and non-prosecutor staff								
63-7.2 Monitoring on national level - judges							No	0
63-7.2 Monitoring on national level - prosecutors							No	0
63-7.2 Monitoring on national level - non-judge and non-prosecutor staff							No	0
63-7.3 Monitoring on court level - judges							No	0
63-7.3 Monitoring on court level - prosecutors							No	0
63-7.3 Monitoring on court level - non-judge and non-prosecutor staff							No	0

										12012		,				
Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
Table 6.3.1 (EC) Technologies used for electronic	submission			f summons a	and online m	onitoring of	proceedings	(Q63.1,								
		Q64.2, Q	(64.4)													
064-2 - Possibility to submit a case to courts by electronic means							Yes	Yes								
064-2 - Civil and/or commercial							0% (NAP)	NA								
064-2 - Criminal							0% (NAP)	NA								
064-2 - Administrative							50-99%	100%								
064-2 - Submission in paper remains mandatory - civil							No	NA								
064-2 - Submission in paper remains mandatory - criminal							No	NA								
064-2 - Submission in paper remains mandatory - administrative							No	No								
064-2 - Specific legislative framework - civil							No	NA								
064-2 - Specific legislative framework - criminal							No	NA			Civil - Equip	ment rate				
064-2 - Specific legislative framework - administrative							Yes	Yes			Civil - Sumn	non in papeı	form remain	ns mandator	у	
064-2 - Integrated/connected with the CMS - civil							No	NA			Civil - Conse	ent of the us	er to be noti	fied by elect	ronic means	5
064-2 - Integrated/connected with the CMS - criminal							No	NA			Civil - SMS					
064-2 - Integrated/connected with the CMS - administrative							Yes	Yes			Civil - E-mai	il				
064-4 - Possibility to transmit summons to a judicial meeting or a hearing by electronic means							Yes	Yes			Civil - Speci	fic compute	r application			
064-4 - Summons produced by CMS- civil							Yes	Yes			Civil - Other	r				
064-4 - Summons produced by CMS- criminal							No	No								
064-4 - Summons produced by CMS- administrative							Yes	Yes								
064-4 - Simultaneous summon in paper form remains mandatory- civil							No	No								

2013-

2014

2012-2013 Variations

2015-

2016

2014-

2015

2016-2017 2018-2019

2017-

2018

Table General Data: Economic and demographic data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	20 20
064-4 - Simultaneous summon in paper form remains mandatory- criminal							No	No	
064-4 - Simultaneous summon in paper form remains mandatory- administrative							No	No	
064-4 - Consent of the user - civil							Yes	Yes	
064-4 - Consent of the user - criminal							No	No	
064-4 - Consent of the user - administrative							Yes	Yes	
063-1 - Possibility to monitor the stage of proceedings - Civil and/or commercial							accessible to parties	accessible to parties	
063-1 - Possibility to monitor the stage of proceedings - Criminal							accessible to parties	accessible to parties	
063-1 - Possibility to monitor the stage of proceedings - Administrative						acc	essible to parties	essible to parties	

Table 6.3.2 (EC) Communicatio	n with courts	s and videoc	onferencing	between cou	ırts (Q64.6, 0	Q64.10, Q64.1	1)	
064-6 - between court and lawyers representing parties							Yes	Yes
064-6 - between court and parties not represented by lawyer							Yes	Yes
064-6 - Civil and/or commercial							NA	50-99%
064-6 - Criminal							0% (NAP)	0% (NAP)
064-6 - Administrative							50-99%	100%
064-10 - Videoconferencing between courts, professionals and/or users							Yes	Yes
064-10- Civil and/or commercial							NA	NA
064-10 - Criminal							NA	NA
064-10 - Administrative							10-49%	NA
064-11 - Recording of hearings or debates							No	Yes
064-11 - Civil and/or commercial								NA
064-11 - Criminal								100%
064-11 - Administrative								0% (NAP)
064-11 - Type of recording - Civil and/or commercial							FALSE	FALSE
064-11 - Type of recording - Criminal							FALSE	FALSE
064-11 - Type of recording - Administrative							FALSE	FALSE

Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015		2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019

Та	ble 6.4.2 We	bsites for jud	licial informa	ntion (Q28)				
028.1.1 - Websites with legal texts	-	-	Yes	-	-	-	Yes	Yes
028.1.2 - Websites with case-law of the higher court/s	-	-	Yes	-	-	-	Yes	Yes
028.1.3 - Websites with other documents	-	-	Yes	-	-	-	Yes	Yes

064-3 - Is it possible to request for granting legal aid by electronic							No	No
means?							NO	INC
064-3 - Equipment rate								
064-3 - Request in paper mandatory							0	
064-3 - Specific legislative framework							0	(
064-3 - Granting LA is also electronic							0	
064-3 - Information available in CMS							0	
Table 6.5 Technologies used	for commun	ication betw	een courts a	nd enforcem	ent agents ir	2018 (Q64.7	r)	
064-7 - Equipment rate							50-99%	50-99%
064-7 - Modality - Email							No	Ye
064-7 - Modality - Specific computer application							Yes	Ye
064-7 - Modality - Other							No	N
064-7 - Specific legislative framework							Yes	Ye

2013-

2014

2012-2013 Variations

2015-

2016

2014-

2015

2016-2017 2018-2019

2017-

2018

Table 6.6 Technologies used for communication between courts and notaries in 2018 (Q64.7)  A4.7 - Equipment rate  A4.7 - Specific legislative framework  A4.7 - Other									110	ullo
A-7 - Equipment rate  A-7 - Specific computer application  No A-7 - Specific legislative framework  A-8 - Specific legislative framework  A-7 - Specific legislative framework  A-8 - Specific computer application  A-7 - Specific computer application  A-7 - Specific legislative framework  A-8 - Specific computer application  A-7 - Specific legislative framework  A-8 - Specific legislative framework  A-9 - Specific computer application  A-7 - Specific computer application  A-8 - Specific legislative framework  A-8 - Specific computer application		2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	201 201
54.7 - Specific computer application  No Yes  4.7 - Specific legislative framework  No No  4.8 - Femall  No No  4.8 - Pemall  No Administrative matter  No No  No  No  8.8 - Pemall  No Administrative matter  No No  No  No  No  8.8 - Pemall  No Administrative matter  No No  No  No  No  No  No  No  No  No	Table 6.6 Technologies	used for cor	l mmunication	between co	urts and not	l aries in 2018	(Q64.7)			
18-7 - Specific computer application  No Yes 18-7 - Specific legislative framework  Table 6.7 Technologies used for communication between courts and judicial experts in 2018 (Q64.7)  Table 6.7 Technologies used for communication between courts and judicial experts in 2018 (Q64.7)  18-7 - Equipment rate  No No 18-7 - Specific computer application  Yes Yes 18-7 - Other  No No 18-7 - Specific legislative framework  Table 6.8 Admissibility of electronic evidence in 2018 (Q64.12)  18-8 - Admission  Table 6.8 Admissibility of electronic evidence in 2018 (Q64.12)  18-8 - Admission  Yes Yes 18-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence  No No 18-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence	064-7 - Equipment rate							NA	NA	
1847 - Other No No Yes  Table 6.7 Technologies used for communication between courts and judicial experts in 2018 (Q64.7)  1847 - Equipment rate  No N	064-7 - Email							No	No	
Table 6.7 Technologies used for communication between courts and judicial experts in 2018 (Q64.7)  14-7 - Equipment rate  14-7 - Equipment rate  14-7 - Specific computer application  14-7 - Specific computer application  14-7 - Specific legislative framework  14-7 - Other  14-7 - Specific legislative framework  14-8 - Specific legislative framework  14-9 - Specific legislative framework	064-7 - Specific computer application							No	Yes	
Table 6.7 Technologies used for communication between courts and judicial experts in 2018 (Q64.7)  14.7 - Equipment rate 15.4.7 - Equipment rate 15.4.7 - Specific computer application 16.4.7 - Specific computer application 17.5 - Specific legislative framework 18.6 - Admissibility of electronic evidence in 2018 (Q64.12)  18.6 - Admission 18.6 - Specific legislative framework 18.6 - General law to admit electronic evidence 18.6 - Admission 18.6 - Admi	064-7 - Other							No	No	
1847 - Equipment rate  1847 - Email  1847 - Specific computer application  1847 - Specific computer application  1847 - Specific legislative framework  1847 - Other  1847 - Specific legislative framework  1848 - Specific legislative framework  1849 - Specific legislative framework  1840 - Specific legislative framework  1841 - Admission  1841 - Admission  1841 - General law to admit electronic evidence  1841 - Admission  1841 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence  1841 - Admission  1841 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence  1841 - Admission  1841 - Admission  1841 - Admission  1841 - General and specialised law to admit electronic evidence  1841 - Admission  1841 - General and specialised law to admit electronic evidence  1841 - Admission  1841 - General and specialised law to admit electronic evidence  1841 - Admission  1841 - General and specialised law to admit electronic evidence  1841 - Admission  1841 - General and specialised law to admit electronic evidence  1841 - Admission  1841 - General law to admit electronic evidence  1841 - Admission  1841 - General law to admit electronic evidence  1841 - Admission  1842 - Admission  1841 - Admission  1842 - Admis	064-7 - Specific legislative framework							No	Yes	
Set 7 - Email No No No Set 7 - Specific computer application Yes Yes Set 7 - Specific legislative framework No No No Set 7 - Specific legislative framework Yes No Table 6.8 Admissibility of electronic evidence in 2018 (Q64.12)  **Table 6.8 Admissibility of electronic evidence in 2018 (Q64.12)  **Table 6.8 Admissibility of electronic evidence in 2018 (Q64.12)  **Table 6.8 Admissibility of electronic evidence in 2018 (Q64.12)  **Table 6.8 Admissibility of electronic evidence in 2018 (Q64.12)  **Table 6.8 Admissibility of electronic evidence in 2018 (Q64.12)  **Table 6.8 Admissibility of electronic evidence in 2018 (Q64.12)  **Table 6.8 Admissibility of electronic evidence in 2018 (Q64.12)  **Table 6.8 Admissibility of electronic evidence in 2018 (Q64.12)  **Table 6.8 Admissibility of electronic evidence in 2018 (Q64.12)  **Table 6.8 Admissibility of electronic evidence in 2018 (Q64.12)  **Table 6.8 Admissibility of electronic evidence in 2018 (Q64.12)  **Table 6.8 Admissibility of electronic evidence in 2018 (Q64.12)  **Table 6.8 Admissibility of electronic evidence in 2018 (Q64.12)  **Table 6.8 Admissibility of electronic evidence in 2018 (Q64.12)  **Table 6.8 Admissibility of electronic evidence in 2018 (Q64.12)  **No No N	Table 6.7 Technologies use	ed for commi	unication bet	ween courts	and judicial	experts in 2	018 (Q64.7)			
S4-7 - Specific computer application  S4-7 - Other  No No S4-7 - Other  No S4-7 - Specific legislative framework  Table 6.8 Admissibility of electronic evidence in 2018 (Q64.12)  S4-1 - Admission  S4-1 - Admission  Yes Yes S4-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence  No No No S4-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence  No No No S4-1 - Admission  Yes Yes S4-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence  No No No S4-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence  No No No S4-1 - Admission  Yes Yes S4-1 - Admission  Yes Yes S4-1 - Admission  Yes Yes S4-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence  No No No S4-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence  No No No S4-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence  No No No S4-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence  No No No S4-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence  No	064-7 - Equipment rate							NA	NA	
S4-7 - Other S4-7 - Specific legislative framework  Table 6.8 Admissibility of electronic evidence in 2018 (Q64.12)  Table 6.8 Admissibility of electronic evidence in 2018 (Q64.12)  1-1 civil and commercial matters  84-1 - Admission  Yes Yes S4-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence  No No S4-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence  No No No S4-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence  No No No S4-1 - Admission  Yes Yes S4-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence  No No No S4-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence  No No No S4-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence  No No No S4-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence  No	064-7 - Email							No	No	
Table 6.8 Admissibility of electronic evidence in 2018 (Q64.12)    Civil and commercial matters   Yes   Yes	064-7 - Specific computer application							Yes	Yes	
Table 6.8 Admissibility of electronic evidence in 2018 (Q64.12)  1 civil and commercial matters  84-1 - Admission  Yes Yes  84-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence  No No  84-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence  No No  1 criminal matter  84-1 - Admission  Yes Yes  84-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence  No No  84-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence  No No  84-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence  No No  84-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence  No No  84-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence  No No  84-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence  No No  84-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence  No No  84-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence  No No  84-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence  No No  84-1 - Admission  No No  84-1 - Admission  No No  No  No  No  No  No  No  No  No	964-7 - Other							No	No	
S4-1 - Admission  S4-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence  No No S4-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence  No No S4-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence  No No S4-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence  No No S4-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence  S4-1 - Admission  Yes Yes S4-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence  No No S4-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence  No No S4-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence  No No S4-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence  No No S4-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence  No No S4-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence  No No S4-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence  No No S4-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence  No No S4-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence  No No S4-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence  No No S4-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence  No No S4-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence  No N	064-7 - Specific legislative framework							Yes	No	
Yes Yes S4-1 - Admission Yes Yes S4-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence No No No S5-1 - General and specialised law to admit electronic evidence No	Table 6.	8 Admissibil	ity of electro	nic evidence	in 2018 (Q6	4.12)				
S4-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence  No No S4-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence  Yes Yes S4-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence  No No No No No No No No S4-1 - Admission  Yes Yes S4-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence  No N	n civil and commercial matters									
S4-1 - General and specialised law to admit electronic evidence  No No  No No  retiminal matter  S4-1 - Admission  S4-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence  No No  No  No  No  No  No  No  No  No	64-1 - Admission							Yes	Yes	
S4-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence  No No  Personal matter  S4-1 - Admission  Yes Yes  S4-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence  No No  No  S4-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence  Yes Yes  S4-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence  No No  No  No  No  No  No  No  No  No	64-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence							No	No	
Actininal matter  64-1 - Admission  Yes Yes  64-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence  No No  64-1 - General and specialised law to admit electronic evidence  Yes Yes  64-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence  No No  No  Administrative matter  64-1 - Admission  Yes Yes  64-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence  No No  No  No  No  No  No  No  No  No	064-1 - General and specialised law to admit electronic evidence							Yes	Yes	
Set-1 - Admission  Yes Yes  Set-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence  No No  Set-1 - General and specialised law to admit electronic evidence  Yes Yes  Set-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence  No No  sadministrative matter  Set-1 - Admission  Yes Yes  Set-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence  No No  No  No  No  No  No  No  No  No	064-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence							No	No	
No N	n criminal matter									
Set-1 - General and specialised law to admit electronic evidence  Yes Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes  No	064-1 - Admission							Yes	Yes	
64-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence  No No  administrative matter  64-1 - Admission  Yes Yes  64-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence  No No	064-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence							No	No	
administrative matter  64-1 - Admission  Yes Yes  64-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence  No No	064-1 - General and specialised law to admit electronic evidence							Yes	Yes	
Yes Yes 64-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence  No No	064-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence							No	No	
No No No	n administrative matter									
	064-1 - Admission							Yes	Yes	
14-1 - General and specialised law to admit electronic evidence	064-1 - General law to admit electronic evidence							No	No	
	064-1 - General and specialised law to admit electronic evidence							Yes	Yes	

2013-

2014

2012-2019 2012-2013 Variations

2015-

2016

2014-

2015

2016-2017 2018-2019

2017-

2018

Table General Data: Economic and demographic data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
064-1 - Specialised law to admit electronic evidence							No	No
Table 6.9 O	ther aspects	of the ICT s	ystems in co	urts in 2018	(Q65.4)			
065-4 - Measuring actual benefits resulting of the use of one or several components of your information system							Yes	Yes
065-4 - Impact on Business processes							Yes	Yes
065-4 - Impact on Workload							Yes	Yes
065-4 - Impact on Human resources							No	No
065-4 - Impact on Costs							Yes	Yes
065-4 - Impact on Other							No	No
Table 6.10 Existan	ce of online	processing (	devices of sp	ecialised liti	gation (Q64-	9)		
064-9 - Existance of online processing devices of specialised litigation							Yes	Yes

	Indicator	7: Career an	d status of jւ	ıdges				
	Table 7.1 (I	EC): Training	s for judges	(Q127)				
127.1.1 Initial training (e.g. attend a judicial school, traineeship in the court)	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory
127.1.2 General in-service training	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory
127.1.3 In-service training for specialised judicial functions (e.g. judge for economic or administrative issues)	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory	
127.1.4 In-service training for management functions of the court (e.g. court president)	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory	Compulsory Optional
127.1.5 In-service training for the use of computer facilities in courts	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional		
127.1.6 In-service training on ethics							Optional	Optional

198.9%

Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
		Indica	tor 8: The ex	istence and	use of altern	ative dispute	resolution	methods								
	Table 8.1 No	umber of acc	redited or re	gistered med	diators (abso	lute values a	and per 100 (	000 inhabita	nts) (Q1,	Q166)						
166 Number of accredited or registered mediators who practice judicial mediation:	NA	2,435	2,450	2,571	2,940	2,940	1,436	NA	-	-	0.6%	4.9%	14.4%	0.0%	-51.2%	-

	Table 8.1 Nu	mber of acc	credited or re	gistered me	diators (abso	lute values a	and per 100 (	000 inhabitar	nts) (Q1,	Q166)				
166 Number of accredited or registered mediators who practice judicial mediation:	NA	2,435	2,450	2,571	2,940	2,940	1,436	NA			- 0.6%	4.9%	14.4%	0.0
		Т	able 8.2: Ava	ailability of	court-related	mediation p	rocedure (Q	163)						
		Table 8.3(l	EC) Number	of court rela	ted mediatior	n procedures	s (absolute v	alues) (Q167	")					
	Та	ıble 8.4 Nun	nber of court	related med	iation proced	lures (per 10	0 000 inhabi	tants) (Q1, Q	167)					
163-1.1 Provision of mandatory mediation procedures_before going to court!	Yes	-	No	-	False	False	True	True			-	-		
163-1.2 Provision of mandatory mediation procedures_ordered by a judge in a course of jud. proc.!	Yes	-	No	-	False	False	True	True				-		
167. Total number started	NA	-	33,922	-	NA NA	NA	NA	NA			-		-	
167. 1. Civil and commercial cases - started	2,954	-	4,805	-	. NA	NA	NA	1,156					-	
167. 2. Family cases - started	2,740	-	7,022	-	. NA	NA	2,724	2,751					-	
167. 3. Administrative cases - started	NA	-	-	-	. NA	261	780	1,021					-	
167. 4. Labour cases including employment dismissal cases - started	NA	-	9,604	-	NA	NA	NA	NA					-	
167. 5. Criminal cases - started	15,231	-	12,491	-	11,995	NA	NA	NA					-	
167. 6. Consumer cases - started	-	-		-		-	NA	NA					-	
Table 8.5:	Providers of	court-relate	ed mediation	procedure	(Q164)									
164. Civil and commercial cases - Private mediator	Yes	-	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes						
164. Civil and commercial cases - Public authority (other than the court)	No	-	No	-	No	No	No	No						
164. Civil and commercial cases - Judge	No	-	No	-	No	No	No	No						
164. Civil and commercial cases - Public prosecutor	No	-	No	-	No	No	No	No						
164. Family law cases - Private mediator	Yes	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes						
164. Family law cases - Public authority (other than the court)	No	-	No	-	No	No	No	No						
164. Family law cases - Judge	No	-	No	-	No	No	No	No						
164. Family law cases - Public prosecutor	Yes	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	No	No						

Yes

No

Yes

No

164. Administrative cases - Private mediator

164. Administrative cases - Public authority (other than the court)

Yes

No

Yes

Yes

Yes

No

Yes

No

Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions			
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
164. Administrative cases - Judge	No	-	No	-	No	Yes	No	No								
164. Administrative cases - Public prosecutor	No	-	No	-	No	No	No	No								
164. Employment dismissals - Private mediator	Yes	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
164. Employment dismissals - Public authority (other than the court)	No	-	No	-	No	No	No	No								
164. Employment dismissals - Judge	No	-	No	-	No	No	No	No								
164. Employment dismissals - Public prosecutor	No	-	No	-	No	No	No	No								
164. Criminal cases - Private mediator	No	-	No	-	No	No	No	No								
164. Criminal cases - Public authority (other than the court)	Yes	-	Yes	-	Yes	No	Yes	Yes								
164. Criminal cases - Judge	No	-	No	-	No	No	No	No								
164. Criminal cases - Public prosecutor	Yes	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
164. Consumer cases - Private mediator	-	-	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes								
164. Consumer cases - Public authority (other than the court)	-	-	-	-	-	-	No	No								
164. Consumer cases - Judge	-	-	-	-	-	-	No	No								
164. Consumer cases - Public prosecutor	-	-	-	-	-	-	No	No								
Table 8.6: A	Availability of	legal aid fo	r court-relate	d mediation	(Q165)											
Table 8.7: Av	ailability of A	DR other th	an court rela	ted mediatio	n (Q168)											
165 Availability of legal aid for court related mediation	Yes	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
168 Avaialability of ADR - Mediation other than court-related mediation	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	-	Yes	Yes								
168 Avaialability of ADR - Arbitration	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	-	Yes	Yes								
168 Avaialability of ADR - Conciliation	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	-	Yes	Yes								
168 Avaialability of ADR - Other	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	-	Yes	Yes								

0.1%

Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	itions		
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014		2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2018- 2019

#### Indicator 9: Professionals of justice

Table 9.1.1 and 9.1.2 Number of professional judges (absolute number, per 100 000 inhabitants and variations) (Q1, Q46)

Table 9.1.3 and 9.1.3b Distribution of professional judges by instances and per 100 000 inhabitants (Q46)

Table 9.1.4 to 9.1.7 Distribution of male and female professional judges within the total number of professional judges in first instance in 2010 and 2012 (Q46)

Table 9.5.1 (EC) Number of professional judges sitting in courts per 100 000 inhabitants (Q1, Q46)

Table 9.2.1 to 9.2.3 Total number of non-judge staff (absolute number and per 100 000 inhabitants) and its distribution per category (Q1, Q52)

#### Table 9.2.4 Number of non-judge staff vs professional judges (values per 100 000 inhabitants) (Q1, Q46, Q52) Q1. Number of inhabitants 65.585.857 65.821.000 66.317.994 66.627.602 66.991.000 67.186.638 66.992.699 67.063.703 2.3% 0.4% 0.8% 0.5% 0.5% 0.3% -0.3% 7,033 7,054 6,935 6.967 6,995 7.066 7,277 5.6% 0.3% -1.7% 0.5% 0.4% 1.0% 7.427

										,			tions			
Table General Data: Economic and demographic data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012-	2012-	2013-	2014-	2015-	2016-	2017-	2018-
									2019	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
52.2.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)(men)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.2.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges(men)	-	-	2,454	2,450	2,497	2,585	NA	2,530	-	-	-	-0.2%	1.9%	3.5%	-	-
52.2.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks(men)	-	-	585	603	621	658	NA	687	-	-	-	3.1%	3.0%	6.0%	-	-
52.2.5 Number of Technical staff(men)	-	-	863	744	759	764	NA	843	-	-	-	-13.8%	2.0%	0.7%	-	-
52.2.6 Number of Other non judge staff(men)	-	-	NAP	NAP	92	NAP	NA	184	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.3.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts(women)	16,767	18,215	18,458	18,529	18,743	18,707	NA	19,152	14.2%	8.6%	1.3%	0.4%	1.2%	-0.2%	-	-
52.3.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)(women)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.3.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges(women)	15,517	15,662	16,362	16,456	16,407	16,489	NA	16,361	5.4%	0.9%	4.5%	0.6%	-0.3%	0.5%	-	-
52.3.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks(women)	1,060	2,300	1,908	1,910	1,992	2,045	NA	2,165	104.2%	117.0%	-17.0%	0.1%	4.3%	2.7%	-	-
52.3.5 Number of Technical staff(women)	190	253	188	163	164	173	NA	158	-16.8%	33.2%	-25.7%	-13.3%	0.6%	5.5%	-	-
52.3.6 Number of Other non judge staff(women)	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	180	NAP	NA	467	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

	Table 9.3.	1 Number of	lawyers* (ab	solute numl	per, per 100 (	000 inhabitan	its and varia	tions) (Q1, (	Q146, Q1	47)						
		Tab	le 9.5.2 (EC)	Number of I	awyers per 1	00 000 inhab	itants (Q1, (	Q146)								
	Table 9	.3.3 Number	of lawyers v	s profession	al judges (va	alues per 100	000 inhabit	ants) (Q1, Q	146, Q52	)						
Q1. Number of inhabitants																
146 Total number of lawyers practising in your country.	country. 56,176 60,223 62,073 62,073 65,480 66,958 66,958 68,835 22.5% 7.2% 3.1% 0.0% 5.5% 2.3% 0.0%															2.8%
147 Does this figure include "legal advisors" who cannot represent their clients in court (for example, some solicitors or in-house counsellors)?	No	-	No	No	No	No	No	No	-	-	-	-		-		
52.1.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts	21,758	21,946	22,360	22,326	22,712	22,714	22,844	23,396	7.5%	0.9%	1.9%	-0.2%	1.7%	0.0%	0.6%	2.4%
52.1.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-					
52.1.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges	17,663	17,920	18,816	18,906	18,904	19,074	18,894	18,891	7.0%	1.5%	5.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.9%	-0.9%	0.0%

THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACT									41100				tions			
Table General Data: Economic and demographic data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
52.1.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks	1,352	2,979	2,493	2,513	2,613	2,703	2,657	2,853	111.0%	120.3%	-16.3%	0.8%	4.0%	3.4%	-1.7%	7.4%
52.1.5 Number of Technical staff	964	1,047	1,051	907	923	937	1,025	1,001	3.8%	8.6%	0.4%	-13.7%	1.8%	1.5%	9.4%	-2.3%
52.1.6 Number of Other non judge staff	1,779	NAP	NAP	NAP	272	NAP	268	651	-63.4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	142.9%
52.2.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts(men)	-	-	3,902	3,797	3,969	4,007	NA	4,244	-	-	-	-2.7%	4.5%	1.0%	-	-
52.2.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)(men)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.2.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges(men)	-	-	2,454	2,450	2,497	2,585	NA	2,530	-	-	-	-0.2%	1.9%	3.5%		-
52.2.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks(men)	-	-	585	603	621	658	NA	687	-	-	-	3.1%	3.0%	6.0%	-	-
52.2.5 Number of Technical staff(men)	-	-	863	744	759	764	NA	843	-	-	-	-13.8%	2.0%	0.7%	-	-
52.2.6 Number of Other non judge staff(men)	-	-	NAP	NAP	92	NAP	NA	184	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.3.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts(women)	16,767	18,215	18,458	18,529	18,743	18,707	NA	19,152	14.2%	8.6%	1.3%	0.4%	1.2%	-0.2%	-	-
52.3.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)(women)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
52.3.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges(women)	15,517	15,662	16,362	16,456	16,407	16,489	NA	16,361	5.4%	0.9%	4.5%	0.6%	-0.3%	0.5%	-	-
52.3.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks(women)	1,060	2,300	1,908	1,910	1,992	2,045	NA	2,165	104.2%	117.0%	-17.0%	0.1%	4.3%	2.7%	-	-
52.3.5 Number of Technical staff(women)	190	253	188	163	164	173	NA	158	-16.8%	33.2%	-25.7%	-13.3%	0.6%	5.5%	-	-
52.3.6 Number of Other non judge staff(women)	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	180	NAP	NA	467	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Indicator 10: The methods, sources and efficiency of national data collection

Table 10.1: Centralised institution responsible for collecting statistical data regarding the functioning of the courts and judiciary (Q80)

Table General Data: Economic and demographic												Varia	tions		
data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2012- 2019	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2017- 2018	
80.1 Centralised instit resp_collecting data_func_C&J	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	Yes	Yes	No							

Table 10.2: Publication	of statistics	on the funct	tioning of ea	ch court on t	he internet (	Q80.1)		
80-1 Published statistics on the functioning of each court	Yes	Yes	Yes, on internet	Yes, on internet	Yes on Internet	Yes on Internet	Yes on Internet	Yes on Internet

Table 11.1: Existance o	f specific prov	isions for fa	cilitating ger	nder equality	in recruiting	n (O61-2)		
Table 11.1. Existance o	r specific pro-	1310113 101 10	cilitating ge	ider equality	iii recruitiii	(401-2)		
Judges							No	1
Prosecutors							No	1
Non-judge staff							Yes	Y
Lawyers							Yes	Y
Notaries							No	١
Enforcement agents							No	1
Table 11.2: Existance of spo Judges	ecific provisio	ns for facilita	ating gender	equality in p	romotion in	2018 (Q61-2)	No	1
Prosecutors							No	,
Non-judge staff							Yes	Ye
Lawyers							Yes	Y
Notaries							No	1
Enforcement agents							No	١
Table 11.3: Availabil	ty of national	programme	to promote (	gender equali	ity in 2018 (	Q61-5)		
National programme for gender equality							No	1
Table 11.4: Existance of person/inst	tution specifi	cally dedicat	ed to ensure	the respect	of gender ed	uality in 2018(	Q61-7)	
In courts (judges)							No	1
In public prosecution services (prosecutors)							No	1
For courts' non-judge staff							No	N

Key: Variation of more than (+ -) 20%