

# CEPEJ Study on the judicial systems in the EU Member States - Country fiche

## Judiciary at a glance in Finland


(2022 data)

### General data


**Population:** 5 563 970 **GDP per capita:** 43 049 € **Average annual salary:** 47 696 €

### Professionals

Judicial professionals per 100 000 inhabitants




Gross salaries of judges and prosecutors vs average annual salary in 2022




### Efficiency

Clearance Rate by instance and by matter in 2022 (%)




Disposition Time by instance and by matter in 2022 (days)



### Incoming cases per 100 inhabitants

Incoming Civil (and commercial) litigious cases per 100 inhabitants\*



\* Please note that the Supreme Court data prior to 2014 were not collected.

CEPEJ (2023) 17rev part 2 - Finland

Synthesis table for the main indicators for:

Finland

Economic and demographic data	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Trend 2012-2022	Variations	
													2012-2022	2021-2022
Population	5 426 674	5 451 270	5 471 753	5 486 616	5 503 297	5 513 130	5 521 773	5 525 292	5 533 793	5 548 241	5 563 970	<div><div></div></div>	<div><div></div></div> 2,5%	<div><div></div></div> 0,3%
GDP per capita	35 571	37 018	37 559	38 162	38 959	40 612	42 340	43 567	42 701	43 483	43 049	<div><div></div></div>	<div><div></div></div> 21,0%	<div><div></div></div> -1,0%
Exchange rate (local currency needed to obtain 1€)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP		NAP	NAP
Average annual salary							41 580	42 336	43 140	47 516	47 696	<div><div></div></div>		0,4%

Resources per 100 000 inh.	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Trend 2012-2022	2012-2022	2021-2022
Professional judges	18,08	18,09	18,06	18,06	19,41	18,95	19,58	19,67	19,46	20,87	20,63	<div><div></div></div>	<div><div></div></div> 14,1%	<div><div></div></div> -1,1%
Non-judge staff	40,80	40,28	39,49	39,10	39,43	38,76	38,59	38,51	39,07	39,80	39,43	<div><div></div></div>	<div><div></div></div> -3,3%	<div><div></div></div> -0,9%
Public prosecutors									7,05	7,64	7,93	<div><div></div></div>		<div><div></div></div> 3,7%
Non-prosecutors staff									2,51	3,05	3,16	<div><div></div></div>		<div><div></div></div> 3,8%
Lawyers	35,66	36,85	38,65	64,70	68,89	69,76	71,81	72,79	73,86	75,21	73,65	<div><div></div></div>	<div><div></div></div> 106,6%	<div><div></div></div> -2,1%
Mediators	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP		NAP	NAP

First instance incoming cases per 100 inhab.	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Trends 2012-2021	2012-2022	2021-2022
Civil and commercial litigious cases	0,19	0,20	0,20	0,20	0,16	0,15	0,15	0,15	0,17	0,16	0,14	<div><div></div></div>	<div><div></div></div> -23,9%	<div><div></div></div> -7,4%
Administrative law cases	0,51	0,52	0,52	0,49	0,71	0,50	0,45	0,46	0,45	0,39	0,38	<div><div></div></div>	<div><div></div></div> -25,0%	<div><div></div></div> -2,9%
Total criminal law cases									1,03	1,27	1,16	<div><div></div></div>		<div><div></div></div> -8,4%

First instance performance indicators (Clearance Rate)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Trends 2012-2021	2012-2022 (percentage points)	2021-2022 (percentage points)
CR civil and commercial litigious cases	103%	106%	105%	94%	125%	111%	102%	100%	94%	100%	100%	<div><div></div></div>	<div><div></div></div> -2,75	<div><div></div></div> 0,14
CR administrative law cases	101%	95%	97%	102%	79%	107%	112%	100%	99%	102%	104%	<div><div></div></div>	<div><div></div></div> 3,21	<div><div></div></div> 2,46
CR total criminal law cases									89%	98%	100%	<div><div></div></div>		<div><div></div></div> 2,47

First instance performance indicators (Disposition Time)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Trend 2012-2022	2012-2022	2021-2022
DT civil and commercial litigious cases (days)	325	288	289	332	252	258	273	280	300	305	327	<div><div></div></div>	<div><div></div></div> 0,6%	<div><div></div></div> 7,3%
DT administrative law cases (days)	248	277	280	271	279	255	235	254	274	296	281	<div><div></div></div>	<div><div></div></div> 13,3%	<div><div></div></div> -4,9%
DT total criminal law cases (days)									189	160	164	<div><div></div></div>		<div><div></div></div> 2,6%

First instance pending cases per 100 inhab. on 31 dec.	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Trend 2012-2022	2012-2022	2021-2022
Civil and commercial litigious cases	0,17	0,16	0,16	0,17	0,13	0,12	0,11	0,12	0,13	0,13	0,13	<div><div></div></div>	<div><div></div></div> -20,4%	<div><div></div></div> -0,5%
Administrative law cases	0,35	0,37	0,38	0,37	0,43	0,38	0,32	0,32	0,33	0,32	0,31	<div><div></div></div>	<div><div></div></div> -18,0%	<div><div></div></div> -5,5%
Total criminal law cases									0,48	0,54	0,52	<div><div></div></div>		<div><div></div></div> -3,6%

Second instance performance indicators (Clearance Rate)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Trend 2012-2022	2012-2022 (percentage points)	2021-2022 (percentage points)
CR civil and commercial litigious cases				101%	119%	95%	107%	97%	104%	95%	102%			6,59
CR administrative law cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP			NAP
CR total criminal law cases									104%	95%	99%			4,19
Second instance performance indicators (Disposition Time)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Trend 2012-2022	2012-2022	2021-2022
DT civil and commercial litigious cases (days)				206	150	212	177	205	210	222	218			-1,4%
DT administrative law cases (days)				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP			NAP
DT total criminal law cases (days)									182	197	198			0,5%
Supreme court performance indicators (Clearance Rate)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Trend 2012-2022	2012-2022 (percentage points)	2021-2022 (percentage points)
CR civil and commercial litigious cases				103%	107%	115%	108%	97%	108%	92%	106%			13,70
CR administrative law cases				93%	112%	104%	95%	100%	103%	124%	101%			-22,82
CR total criminal law cases									93%	90%	93%			2,95
Supreme court performance indicators (Disposition Time)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Trend 2012-2022	2012-2022	2021-2022
DT civil and commercial litigious cases (days)				219	165	132	131	163	127	173	151			-12,7%
DT administrative law cases (days)				358	225	169	207	197	214	154	203			32,1%
DT total criminal law cases (days)									122	151	159			5,0%


1. Judicial organisation in Finland (2022 data)

In 2022, in Finland, there are 20 district courts with 36 offices, five courts of appeal, the Supreme Court, six administrative courts, the Supreme Administrative Court, the Market Court, the Labour Court and the Insurance Court. One of the Administrative Courts, the Labour Court and the Market Court are located in the same location.

In total, there are 36 courts in 52 geographic locations.


Evolution of total number of courts

Total number of courts	Geographic locations	Legal entities	
		General jurisdiction	Specialised jurisdiction
2012	82		
2013	78		
2014	81		
2015	79		
2016	73		
2017	73		
2018	71		
2019	52		
2020	52	26	10
2021	52	26	10
2022	52	26	10





Evolution of number of first instance courts

First instance courts	Geographic locations	Legal entities	
		General jurisdiction	Specialised jurisdiction
2012		27	11
2013		27	11
2014		27	9
2015		27	9
2016		27	9
2017		27	9
2018		27	9
2019		20	9
2020	45	20	9
2021	45	20	9
2022	45	20	9



It is noteworthy recalling that the number of district courts was reduced from 27 to 20 courts at the re-structuring on 1 January 2019. A court can have more than one office. The number of the district courts' offices has been reduced from 57 to 36 offices.

Distribution of first instance general jurisdiction and specialised courts



The distribution between first instance general jurisdiction courts and first instance specialised courts in Finland is 69,0% - 31,0% (distribution tendency in EU: 72,8% - 27,2%).

Specialised Courts

Specialised courts	First instance	Higher instance
Total	9	1
Commercial courts (excluded insolvency courts)	1	NAP
Insolvency courts	NAP	NAP
Labour courts	1	NAP
Family courts	NAP	NAP
Rent and tenancies courts	NAP	NAP
Enforcement of criminal sanctions courts	NAP	NAP
Fight against terrorism, organised crime and corruption	NAP	NAP
Internet related disputes	NAP	NAP
Administrative courts	6	1
Insurance and / or social welfare courts	1	NAP
Military courts	NAP	NAP
Juvenile courts	NAP	NAP
Other specialised courts	NAP	NAP

In Finland, there are six regional administrative courts, the Market Court, the Labour Court and the Insurance Court.

The Supreme Administrative Court is the sole higher instance specialised court in Finland.


Another specialised court is the High Court of Impeachment that hears charges against ministers (i.e. members of the Government), the Chancellor of Justice, the Parliamentary Ombudsman and members of the Supreme Court or the Supreme Administrative Court for unlawful conduct in office. In addition, the High Court of Impeachment deals with charges concerning the criminal liability of the President of the Republic. However, it is convened only when necessary.

2. Professionals of justice in Finland (2022 data)

Judicial professionals (summary)


Judicial professionals in absolute number and per 100 000 inhabitants

	Absolute number	Per 100 000 inhabitants	EU Median
Professional judges	1 148	20,6	22,9
Non-judge staff	2 194	39	59
Prosecutors	441	7,9	14,4
Non-prosecutor staff	176	3,2	11,1
Lawyers	4 098	73,7	132,1



Judicial professionals: Gender balance


	% Male	% Female
Professional judges	39,8%	60,2%
Non judge staff	23,5%	76,5%
Prosecutors	35,8%	64,2%
Non-prosecutor staff	6,8%	93,2%
Lawyers	NA	NA



Professional judges

Evolution of the number of professional judges since 2012 (Q46)

Number of professional judges	Absolute Number	Per 100 000 inhabitants	
	Finland	Finland	EU Median
2012	981	18,1	19,2
2013	986	18,1	19,4
2014	988	18,1	19,2
2015	991	18,1	19,2
2016	1 068	19,4	23,6
2017	1 045	19,0	23,9
2018	1 081	19,6	24,1
2019	1 087	19,7	24,5
2020	1 077	19,5	23,9
2021	1 158	20,9	24,1
2022	1 148	20,6	22,9




According to 2022 data, the total number of professional judges sitting in courts (all instances) in Finland is 1 148, which is -0,9% less than in previous cycle.

More precisely, in Finland, there are 20,6 professional judges per 100 000 inhabitants. This figure is below the EU median of 22,9 judges per 100 000 inhabitants.



Absolute number of professional judges by instance and gender

Professional judges 2022	Total	Distribution by instance	Male	Female	% Male	% Female
1st instance	895	78,0%	335	560	37,4%	62,6%
2nd instance	208	18,1%	94	114	45,2%	54,8%
Supreme court	45	3,9%	28	17	62,2%	37,8%
Total	1 148		457	691	39,8%	60,2%



The total number of judges is distributed among the different judicial instances in the following way: 895 are sitting in the first instance courts (of which 560 are female); 208 are sitting in the second instance courts (of which 114 are female) and 45 are sitting at the Supreme Court (of which 17 are female).

Compared with the EU distribution of professional judges per instance, the trend in Finland is similar. However, we can notice that first instance judges are more numerous, while second instance judges are fewer.

In this cycle, the total number of female professional judges (all instances) is 691, which represents 60,2% of the total number of judges. It should be notices that female judges are the majority in all instances except at the Supreme court. Indeed, the percentage of female judges in the latter is 37,8% and it has slightly decreased from 2021.

Absolute number of professional judges by instance and matter

Professional judges 2022	Total	Civil and commercial	Criminal	Administrative	Other
1st instance	895	NA	NA	282	NAP
2nd instance	208	NA	NA	NAP	NAP
Supreme court	45	NA	NA	25	NAP
Total	1 148	NA	NA	307	NAP

In Finland, the distribution of judges by categories of cases is possible for some categories only as, presented in the graph below.

Distribution of professional judges by instance and matter

Distribution of Professional judges by instance and matter 2022	Civil and commercial	Criminal	Administrative	Other
1st instance	NA	NA	31,5%	NAP
2nd instance	NA	NA	NAP	NAP
Supreme court	NA	NA	55,6%	NAP
Total	NA	NA	26,7%	NAP


Finland could provide the figures on the distribution of the professional judges working in the administrative matters since they do not statistic of the number of the civil and/ or commercial and criminal judges in the general courts. This is because, in many courts, judges work in both types of cases.

In the Market Court, there are 23 judges who are civil/commercial judges.

Court presidents

Absolute number of court presidents by instance and gender

Court presidents 2022	Total	Distribution by instance	Male	Female	% Male	% Female
1st instance	29	80,6%	19	10	65,5%	34,5%
2nd instance	5	13,9%	5	0	100,0%	0,0%
Supreme court	2	5,6%	2	0	100,0%	0,0%
Total	36		26	10	72,2%	27,8%




The total number of court presidents is distributed among the different judicial instances in the following way: 29 are sitting in first instance courts (of which 10 are female); 5 are sitting in second instance courts (none of them are female) and 2 are sitting in Supreme Court (none of them are female).

In this cycle, the total number of female court presidents (all instances) is 10, which represents 27,8% of the total number of court presidents.

Non-judge staff

Number of non-judge staff	Absolute Number	Per 100 000 inhabitants	
	Finland	Finland	EU Median
2012	2 214	40,8	62,6
2013	2 196	40,3	55,4
2014	2 161	39,5	54,9
2015	2 145	39,1	54,4
2016	2 170	39,4	63,4
2017	2 137	38,8	63,0
2018	2 131	38,6	56,6
2019	2 128	38,5	57,5
2020	2 162	39,1	59,0
2021	2 208	39,8	58,5
2022	2 194	39,4	59,4



In 2022, Finland has 2 194 non-judge staff (of which 1 678 are Female). The total non-judge staff includes office staff 1521, summoners 274, trainee district judges 135 and referendaries 264.

The total number of non-judge staff has slightly decrease compared to the previous cycle (-0,6%). Accordingly, also the number of non-judge staff per 100 000 inhabitants has decreased over the same period of time (from 39,8 in 2021 to 39,4 in 2022).


During the same period, the number of judges per 100 000 inhabitants evolved from 20,9 judges per 100 000 inhabitants in 2021 to 20,6 in 2022.

Number of non-judge staff by category in 2022	Absolute number	in %
Total	2 194	
Rechtspfleger	NAP	NAP
Non-judge staff assisting the judge	NA	NA
Staff in charge of administrative tasks	NA	NA
Technical staff	NA	NA
Other	NA	NA

The Finnish court staff organisation does not correspond to the CEPEJ subcategories. Therefore, only the total of non-judge staff can be provided for the question 52. Office staff has tasks mentioned in the categories 2-5. Summoners' tasks are for example to serve summons, subpoenas and other documents. Trainee judges have the same responsibility as judges but they do not have competence to deal with difficult cases. They are always appointed for a fixed term period (one year). In the courts of appeal, the administrative courts, the Supreme Court, the Supreme Administrative Court, the Labour Court and the Market Court a referendary prepares and presents a case to the judges but the final judgment is decided by the judges. The tasks of trainee judges and referendaries correspond to the categories 1 and 2. The senior judge of a district court may appoint in writing a member of the office personnel at the district court who has given an affirmation corresponding to the judge's affirmation, who has received sufficient training and who has sufficient skills to attend to the duties: (1) in cases referred to in Chapter 5, section 3 of the Code of Judicial Procedure: (a) to give judgments by default; (b) to give, on the basis of Chapter 21, section 8(c) of the Code of Judicial Procedure, decisions and judgments on court costs, if the respondent has conceded the claim; (c) to decide on the staying of an action if the plaintiff has withdrawn the action and the respondent does not call for a decision in the case; (2) to decide on applications for divorce on the basis of section 25, subsection 1 of the Marriage Act (234/1929) if both spouses are domiciled in Finland. If the case to be decided by office personnel, as referred to in subsection 1, proves to be extensive, subject to interpretation or otherwise difficult to decide, the case shall be transferred for a decision of a notary or a legally trained judge at the district court. The chief judge of a district court may appoint in writing a member of the office personnel at the district court who has sufficient skills, to issue summons and certificates, to effect service of documents and to attend to other duties connected to the preparation, consideration or enforcement of administration of justice matters. Before taking such tasks the staff member must give an oath. (Courts Act, Chapter 19, Section 6).

Ratio between professional judges and non-judge staff

Per 100 000 inhabitants	Finland	EU median
Professional judges	20,6	22,9
Non-judge staff	39,4	59,4
Non-judge staff per judge	1,9	3,3




In Finland, there are 20,6 professional judges per 100 000 inhabitants and about 1,9 non-judge staff per judge (which is significantly below the EU median of 3,3).

There is no difference compared with the previous cycle's ratio.



Evolution of the ratio between professional judges and non-judge staff

Cycle	Judges per 100 000 inh.	Non-judge staff per 100 000 inh.	Ratio between professional judges and non-judge staff	
	Finland	Finland	Finland	EU median
2012	18,1	40,8	2,26	3,41
2013	18,1	40,3	2,23	3,25
2014	18,1	39,5	2,19	3,23
2015	18,1	39,1	2,16	3,18
2016	19,4	39,4	2,03	3,24
2017	19,0	38,8	2,04	3,21
2018	19,6	38,6	1,97	3,25
2019	19,7	38,5	1,96	3,32
2020	19,5	39,1	2,01	3,30
2021	20,9	39,8	1,91	3,15
2022	20,6	39,4	1,91	3,32




Public prosecutors

Absolute number of public prosecutors by instance and gender

2022	Total	Distribution by instance	Male	Female	Male	Female
1st instance	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
2nd instance	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
Supreme court	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
Total	441		158	283	35,8%	64,2%

Distribution of public prosecutors by instance and gender



In 2022, the total number of prosecutors is 441, i.e. 7,9 per 100 000 inhabitants.

The National Prosecution Authority comprises the Office of the Prosecutor General that acts as the general administrative unit, and five prosecution districts: Southern Finland, Western Finland, Northern Finland, Eastern Finland and Åland. The National Prosecution Authority has 34 offices around Finland. The Prosecutor General is the supreme prosecutor and the head of the prosecution service. The Prosecutor General directs and develops prosecutorial activity by issuing general instructions and guidelines to the prosecutors. She/he also appoints district prosecutors. The Prosecutor General may take over a case from a prosecutor, but cannot order a prosecutor to decide the case in any given manner. She/he can also self-decide on the bringing of charges and designate a prosecutor to pursue the case in the courts. The Deputy Prosecutor General decides the matters in his/her competence on the same authority as the Prosecutor General. He/she also acts as a deputy for the Prosecutor General when necessary. For regular prosecutorial tasks, the Office of the Prosecutor General has state prosecutors whose jurisdiction covers the entire country. Most criminal matters (about 91 3000 cases annually) are dealt with by the prosecution areas. The Office of the Prosecutor General deals mainly with criminal cases with wider significance to society as a whole, a few dozen every year.

Prosecutors in Finland are not bound on Court instances, and every prosecutor is expected to, in a normal situation, to handle and prosecute the criminal case all the way to the Supreme Court, if needed.

In this cycle, the total number of Female prosecutors (all instances) is 283, which represents 64,2% of the total number of prosecutors.

Heads of prosecution services

Absolute number of Heads of prosecution services by instance and gender

Heads of prosecution services 2022	Total	Distribution by instance	Male	Female	% Male	% Female
1st instance	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
2nd instance	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
Supreme court	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
Total	6		4	2	66,7%	33,3%

Distribution of Heads of prosecution services by gender and by instance in Finland



The total number of Heads of prosecution is distributed among the different judicial instances in the following way: NAP at first instance level (of which NAP are female); NAP at second instance level (of which NAP are female) and NAP at the highest instance level (of which NAP are female).


In this cycle, the total number of female Heads of prosecution (all instances) is 2, which represents 33,3% of the total number of Heads of prosecution.

Non-prosecutor staff

Non-prosecutor staff	Total	Male	Female
2020	139	11	128
2021	169	14	155
2022	176	12	164,0

The non-prosecutor staff is composed by prosecutors' secretaries and other specialist staff.


Non-prosecutor staff by gender



Ratio between non-prosecutor staff and public prosecutors

Per 100 000 inhabitants	Finland	EU median
Public prosecutors	7,9	14,4
Non-prosecutor staff	3,2	11,1
Non-prosecutor staff per prosecutor	0,4	0,9

Public prosecutors and non-prosecutor staff per 100 000 inhabitants, and their ratio




The ratio of non-prosecutor staff per prosecutor is 0,4 in Finland. This is less than half the EU median of 0,9.

Lawyers

Lawyers	Absolute Number	Per 100 000 inhabitants	
	Finland	Finland	EU Median
2012	1 935	35,7	107,5
2013	2 009	36,9	107,6
2014	2 115	38,7	112,5
2015	3 550	64,7	116,5
2016	3 791	68,9	114,2
2017	3 846	69,8	114,9
2018	3 965	71,8	117,9
2019	4 022	72,8	121,3
2020	4 087	73,9	119,8
2021	4 173	75,2	122,4
2022	4 098	73,7	132,1

Lawyers per 100 000 inhabitants




In 2022, there are 4 098 lawyers, which is -1,8% less than in 2021. This number includes 2255 attorneys (i.e. members of the Bar Association), 1733 licensed trial counsels and 200 public legal aid attorneys. From the public legal aid attorneys 90 were also members of the Bar Association.

Thus, Finland has 73,7 lawyers per 100 000 inhabitants, which is well below the EU median of 132,1 lawyers per 100 000 inhabitants.

It is worth noticing that, as of 2014, only attorneys-at-law, public legal aid lawyers and licenced legal counsels are allowed to represent a client in court. In addition, in-house lawyers can represent their company in court. Lawyers working for trade unions can represent a client in a district court and in the Labour Court in disputes regarding employment relationship. Lawyers working for public authorities can represent the public authority in court. In order to qualify as an attorney-at-law, a lawyer needs to have at least four years of work experience and must pass the demanding three-part professional qualification test known as the bar examination. The titles of attorney-at-law and attorney's office are protected by law and can only be used by lawyers accepted into the Finnish Bar Association. Attorney's offices employ also associate lawyers, that is lawyers who are not yet members of the bar.

Salaries of professional judges and prosecutors at beginning of a career and at the highest instance



In the European Union, the absolute gross salary for a judge at the beginning of career ranges from a minimum of 26 931€ to a maximum of 140 244€.

According to 2022 data, the absolute gross salary of a judge at the beginning of his/her career in Finland is 70 628€, which is quite above the EU median of 54 224€ (30% above).

Compared with the annual average salary in the country, the salary for a judge at the beginning of his/her career is 1,5 times higher (the EU median is 1,9).

At the highest level, the range of the EU absolute gross salary for a judge is from 53 144€ to 261 648€. Finland's absolute gross salaries for judges at the highest level is 33% above the EU median of 106 533€.

For the public prosecutors, the range for the absolute gross salary at the beginning of career is from a minimum of 24 609€ to a maximum of 96 084€.

In 2022, the absolute gross salary of a public prosecutor at the beginning of his/her career in Finland of 50 880€ is slightly above the EU median of 48 728€ (4% above).

Compared with the annual average salary in the country, the salary for a public prosecutors at the beginning of his/her career is 1,1 times higher (the EU median is 1,7).

At the highest level, the minimum absolute gross salary for prosecutors in the European Union is 44 880€ and the maximum is 194 005€. Finland's absolute gross salaries for prosecutors at the highest level is 9% above the EU median of 87 247€.

Prosecutors salaries are based on three components: task-specific , personal component and component based on the years of employment. At the start of the career prosecutors usually start at the lower levels of task-specific paylevels, as well as the component based on years of employment is lower. By your own capability you can rise your own personal component. Experienced professionals usually have higher levels in all three components.

In Finland, the taxation is progressive so the information on net salary varies from person to person and is not available.

3. System of compensating users in Finland (2022 data)

System for compensating users

2022	Number of requests for compensation	Number of condemnations	Amount paid in compensations
Total	NA	NA	NA
Excessive length of proceedings	96	75	173 250 €
Non-execution of court decisions	NAP	NAP	NAP
Wrongful arrest/detention	NA	NA	NA
Wrongful conviction	NA	NA	NA
Other	NAP	NAP	NAP

Year	Number of requests for compensation	Number of condemnations	Amount paid in compensations
2020	NA	NA	NA
2021	NA	NA	NA
2022	NA	NA	NA

In criminal cases the primary means to compensate excessive length of proceedings for a convicted person is to reduce the sentence. Therefore the number of compensation paid does not reflect the whole picture of the cases where the proceeding has taken too long. For excessive length the compensation is 1500 euro/unduly delayed year, maximum 10.000 euro, which may be exceeded if there are special circumstances.

4. Performance of courts in Finland (2022 data)

• Efficiency indicators



◦ Clearance Rate (CR) and Disposition Time (DT)

The Clearance Rate shows the capacity of a judicial system to deal with the incoming cases. A Clearance Rate of 100% and higher does not generate backlog.

The Disposition Time determines the estimated number of days necessary for a pending case to be solved in a court.

Overall efficiency by instance and by case matter

Overall efficiency by instance and by case matter		Civil and commercial litigious cases		Administrative law cases		Total criminal law cases	
		Finland	EU Median	Finland	EU Median	Finland	EU Median
Clearance Rate (%)	1st instance	100,5%	100,5%	104,2%	98,8%	100,0%	100,0%
	2nd instance	101,8%	97,1%	NAP	102,3%	98,7%	99,1%
	Supreme Court	105,5%	104,7%	101,2%	101,7%	92,9%	98,5%
Disposition Time (days)	1st instance	327	239	281	288	164	136
	2nd instance	218	207	NAP	325	198	129
	Supreme Court	151	216	203	241	159	126



In 2022, the administrative proceedings (all instances combined) appear as the fastest in Finland, in contrast with the EU trend. In fact, the Clearance Rate indicator in administrative matters is above the 100% and the Disposition Time indicator is below the EU median for all instances. In the Supreme Administrative Court, there has been exceptionally high number of cases concerning international protection since 2017 and now as the number of incoming cases of this case category has decreased, the numbers have been stabilised. This also corresponds to the decrease in the number of resolved cases, because the synergistic benefits of large number of cases with common features have decreased and the extra personnel resources reserved for clearing the backlog have been given up.


The courts dealing with the civil and commercial litigious rate also have a Clearance Rate above 100% in all instances but the Disposition Time is above the EU medians for the first and the second instance. Indeed, the first instance civil and commercial litigious rate is the slowest instance compared to all other instances and matters. With a figure of 327 days is also well above the EU median of 239.

Moreover, the total criminal cases have a Disposition Time that is above the EU median, the maximum being 198 days for the second instance courts. The total length of proceedings in civil as well as in criminal matters is longer than the respective EU medians.

First instance Civil (and commercial) litigious cases

Incoming, resolved and pending cases


First instance Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	Incoming cases per 100 inhabitants	Resolved cases per 100 inhabitants	Pending cases on 31 Dec. per 100 inh.
2012	0,19	0,20	0,17
2013	0,20	0,21	0,16
2014	0,20	0,20	0,16
2015	0,20	0,19	0,17
2016	0,16	0,19	0,13
2017	0,15	0,17	0,12
2018	0,15	0,15	0,11
2019	0,15	0,15	0,12
2020	0,17	0,16	0,13
2021	0,16	0,16	0,13
2022	0,14	0,15	0,13
2022 EU Median	1,91	1,58	1,03



In 2022, the number of Civil (and commercial) litigious incoming, resolved cases and pending cases at the end of year per 100 inhabitants are significantly below the EU median. In particular, Finland is a country with lowest number of incoming cases per 100 inhabitants in EU (the range spans from the minimum 0,14 to the maximum 6,99 per 100 inhabitants). The resolved cases per 100 inhabitants are also very low (0,15 vs the EU median of 1,58) and the pending cases at the end of year as well (0,13 vs 1,03).

Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

First instance Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	Clearance Rate (%)		Disposition Time (days)	
	Finland	EU Median	Finland	EU Median
2012	103,2%	100,4%	325	218
2013	106,3%	101,2%	288	247
2014	104,6%	101,8%	289	201
2015	94,2%	102,5%	332	182
2016	124,8%	102,0%	252	196
2017	110,8%	101,3%	258	204
2018	102,2%	101,2%	273	220
2019	99,9%	99,9%	280	213
2020	93,6%	98,5%	300	221
2021	100,3%	102,5%	305	234
2022	100,5%	100,5%	327	239



With a Clearance Rate calculated at 100,5% in 2022 Finland seems able to deal with its first instance Civil (and commercial) litigious cases.

Between 2021 and 2022, the Clearance Rate has slightly increased by 0,1 points.

In 2022, first instance Civil (and commercial) litigious cases are solved in approximately 327 days, which is well above the EU median of 239 days.


The analysis of the 2021 - 2022 period reveals a 7,3% increase of the Disposition Time.



First instance Administrative law cases

◦ Incoming, resolved and pending cases

First instance Administrative law cases	Incoming cases per 100 inhabitants	Resolved cases per 100 inhabitants	Pending cases on 31 Dec. per 100 inh.
2012	0,51	0,51	0,35
2013	0,52	0,49	0,37
2014	0,52	0,50	0,38
2015	0,49	0,50	0,37
2016	0,71	0,56	0,43
2017	0,50	0,54	0,38
2018	0,45	0,50	0,32
2019	0,46	0,46	0,32
2020	0,45	0,44	0,33
2021	0,39	0,40	0,32
2022	0,38	0,40	0,31
2022 EU Median	0,33	0,34	0,19




In 2022, the number of first instance administrative incoming, resolved cases and pending cases at the end of year cases per 100 inhabitants are above the EU median. While the incoming cases per 100 inhabitants are 0,38 (vs the EU median of 0,33), the resolved cases are 0,40 (vs the EU median of 0,34). Finally, the pending cases at the end of the year are 0,31 per 100 inhabitants whereas the EU median is 0,19.

◦ Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

First instance Administrative law cases	Clearance Rate (%)		Disposition Time (days)	
	Finland	EU Median	Finland	EU Median
2012	101,0%	101,0%	248	286
2013	94,8%	100,3%	277	281
2014	97,1%	99,6%	280	305
2015	101,8%	103,3%	271	313
2016	79,4%	103,0%	279	297
2017	107,4%	102,1%	255	303
2018	112,3%	99,7%	235	308
2019	99,8%	102,1%	254	284
2020	98,7%	100,1%	274	388
2021	101,7%	101,7%	296	296
2022	104,2%	98,7%	281	286

Clearance Rate (%) and Disposition Time (days) for first instance Administrative cases



With a Clearance Rate calculated at 104,2% in 2022 Finland seems able to deal with its first instance Administrative law cases.

Between 2021 and 2022, the Clearance Rate has increased by 2,5 points.


In 2022, first instance Administrative law cases are solved in approximately 281 days, which is slightly below EU median of 286 days.

The analysis of the 2021 - 2022 period reveals a -4,9% decrease of the Disposition Time.

First instance Total of other than criminal cases

Incoming, resolved and pending cases


First instance Total other than criminal cases	Incoming cases per 100 inhabitants	Resolved cases per 100 inhabitants	Pending cases on 31 Dec. per 100 inh.
2012	9,66	9,16	2,52
2013	9,52	9,52	2,52
2014	8,05	8,23	2,33
2015	8,05	7,95	2,42
2016	8,20	8,04	2,49
2017	9,01	8,68	2,80
2018	9,05	9,60	2,25
2019	9,47	8,97	2,59
2020	8,46	8,89	2,37
2021	8,82	9,03	2,17
2022	8,24	8,12	2,29
2022 EU Median	6,81	6,83	2,81



In 2022, the number of incoming total other than criminal cases are 8,24 per 100 inhabitants, which is above EU median of 6,81 per 100 inhabitants. The number of resolved cases are also above the EU median (8,12 vs 6,83 per 100 inhabitants). Given that the resolved cases were slightly less than the incoming cases, the pending cases at the end of the year have increased compared to the previous cycle from 2,17 to 2,29. Yet, in 2022, they are still below the EU median of 2,81.

Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

First instance Total other than criminal cases	Clearance Rate (%)		Disposition Time (days)	
	Finland	EU Median	Finland	EU Median
2012	94,8%	100,5%	101	133
2013	99,9%	100,7%	97	119
2014	102,3%	101,9%	103	133
2015	98,8%	101,2%	111	111
2016	98,1%	101,5%	113	98
2017	96,4%	100,6%	118	107
2018	106,0%	100,6%	86	91
2019	94,8%	99,8%	105	111
2020	105,1%	98,7%	97	109
2021	102,3%	101,2%	88	107
2022	98,6%	99,8%	103	100



With a Clearance Rate calculated at 98,6% in 2022 Finland seemsto face some difficulties in dealing with its other than criminal cases.

Between 2021 and 2022, the Clearance Rate has decreased by -3,8 points.

In 2022, other than criminal cases are solved in approximately 103 days, which is slightly above EU median of 100 days.

The analysis of the 2021 - 2022 period reveals a 17,2% increase of the Disposition Time.



First instance Criminal Law Cases

◦ Incoming, resolved and pending cases

First instance Criminal Law Cases	Pending cases 1 Jan	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases 31 Dec
Total	29 093	64 657	64 657	29 093
Severe criminal cases	NA	NA	NA	NA
Misdemeanour and/or minor cases	NA	NA	NA	NA
Other criminal cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP

First instance Criminal Law Cases Per 100 inh.	Pending cases 1 Jan	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases 31 Dec
Total	0,52	1,16	1,16	0,52
Severe criminal cases	NA	NA	NA	NA
Misdemeanour and/or minor cases	NA	NA	NA	NA
Other criminal cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP


In 2022, the number of total incoming criminal cases (1,16 per 100 inhabitants) is below EU median (1,71 per 100 inhabitants). Similarly, also the number of resolved cases per 100 inhabitants is below the EU median (1,16 vs 1,79). Conversely, the number of total pending criminal cases at the end of 2022 (0,52 per 100 inhabitants) is slightly above EU median (0,50 per 100 inhabitants). It is worth noticing that the cases are not statistically categorised in severe criminal cases and misdemeanour and / or minor cases in Finland. Thus, only the figures for total criminal cases have been provided.



◦ Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

First instance Total criminal law cases	Clearance Rate (%)		Disposition Time (days)	
	Finland	EU Median	Finland	EU Median
2020	89,3%	95,2%	189	139
2021	97,5%	100,0%	160	134
2022	100,0%	100,0%	164	136

Clearance Rate (%) and Disposition Time (days) for First Instance Total criminal law cases



With a Clearance Rate calculated at 100,0% in 2022 Finland seems able to deal with its first instance total criminal law cases.

Between 2021 and 2022, the Clearance Rate has increased by 2,5 points.


In 2022, first instance total criminal law cases are solved in approximately 164 days, which is somewhat above EU median of 136 days.

The analysis of the 2021 - 2022 period reveals a 2,6% increase of the Disposition Time.

5. Public prosecution services in Finland (2022 data)

Public prosecution implemented budget

Total implemented annual public budget allocated to the public prosecution services in €	Absolute Number	Per inhabitant	
	Finland	Finland	EU Median
2015	42 200 000 €	7,7 €	11,4 €
2016	46 243 000 €	8,4 €	12,3 €
2017	44 800 000 €	8,1 €	12,3 €
2018	45 042 000 €	8,2 €	13,8 €
2019	48 848 000 €	8,8 €	14,0 €
2020	50 210 000 €	9,1 €	14,5 €
2021	51 760 000 €	9,3 €	15,8 €
2022	55 299 030 €	9,9 €	16,3 €



Implemented annual public budget allocated to training of public prosecution services in € (included in the total)	Absolute Number	Per inhabitant	
	Finland	Finland	EU Median
2019	586 128 €	0,11 €	0,03 €
2020	379 043 €	0,07 €	0,01 €
2021	407 595 €	0,07 €	0,02 €
2022	715 813 €	0,13 €	0,01 €



In 2022 the total implemented budget for training was 715 813 euro. This comprises of: 374 587 euro for salaries related to trainings (+ plus pensions etc. payments), 173 843 euro of travel expenses, 57 925 euro for purchasing different course and conference services, and 109 458 euro (excluding VAT) used for training by the Office of the Prosecutor General. In 2020 the expenses were historically low and in 2022 the expenses were closer to the annual average. In 2022, there were about 50 % more training days than in 2020. More people also participated in the trainings. In addition, the travel costs for trainings increased in 2022.

Public prosecution caseload: Number of first instance criminal cases

Public prosecution caseload: Number of first instance criminal cases	Absolute Number *			Per 100 inhabitants	
	Finland	% Variation 2021 - 2022		Finland	Eu Median
1. Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	18 011	▲ 1,6%		0,32	0,88
2. Incoming/received cases	85 053	▼ -4,7%		1,53	2,89
3. Processed cases (3.1 + 3.2 + 3.3 + 3.4)	84 195	▼ -5,4%		1,51	3,15
3.1. Discontinued during the reference year	32 827	▲ 17,6%		0,59	2,08
3.1.1 Discontinued by the public prosecutor because the offender could not be identified	NAP	NAP		NAP	0,61
3.1.2 Discontinued by the public prosecutor due to the lack of an established offence or a specific legal situation	119	▼ -12,5%		0,00	0,64
3.1.3 Discontinued by the public prosecutor for reasons of opportunity	21 977	▲ 38,2%		0,39	0,12
3.1.4 Discontinued for other reasons	10 731	▼ -9,6%		0,19	0,06
3.2. Concluded by a penalty or a measure imposed or negotiated by the public prosecutor	9	▼ -43,8%		0,00	0,17
3.3. Cases brought to court	51 359	▼ -8,9%		0,92	0,63
4. Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	18 869	▲ 4,8%		0,34	0,97


\* Please note that these figures include traffic offence cases.

It is worth noticing that the category "cases concluded by a penalty or a measure imposed or negotiated by the public prosecutory" does not include the notice cases of summary fines. The number of summary fines in 2022 was 34 426.



◦ Evolution of incoming, processed and pending cases

First instance Total other than criminal cases	Incoming cases per 100 inhabitants	Processed cases per 100 inhabitants	Pending cases on 31 Dec. per 100 inh.
2020	1,65	1,58	0,32
2021	1,61	1,60	0,32
2022	1,53	1,51	0,34
2022 EU Median	2,89	3,15	0,97



In 2022, the number of incoming, processed cases and pending cases at the end of year cases per 100 inhabitants are significantly below the EU median. Indeed, the incoming cases per 100 inhabitants are 1,53 (vs the EU median of 2,89), the processed cases are 1,51 (vs 3,15) and the pending cases at the end of the year are 0,34 (almost 3 times less than the EU median of 0,97).

6. Mediators and notaries in Finland (2022 data)

Number of mediators in 2022

Mediators	Absolute Number	Per 100 000 inhabitants	
	Finland	Finland	EU Median
2012	NAP	NAP	9,5
2013	NAP	NAP	9,6
2014	NAP	NAP	8,9
2015	NAP	NAP	11,3
2016	NAP	NAP	13,0
2017	NAP	NAP	13,2
2018	NAP	NAP	14,9
2019	NAP	NAP	14,3
2020	NAP	NAP	14,4
2021	NAP	NAP	16,2
2022	NAP	NAP	17,4

In Finland, accredited or registered mediators do not exist.

A specific training is required for judges who want to become mediators. The training is not an actual legal requirement, but it is a general rule to get special training in order to mediate.

There are two different kinds of training paths, depending which kind of cases are mediated, civil cases or custody dispute. There does not exist mediation for criminal cases. In civil cases, training is targeted to judges only and it consists of 3 parts, and it lasts a total of 6 days. First part is a 3-days training, and it is done before starting mediation, 2 others are done afterwards (within 1 or 2 years). The first training includes basic theories about mediation, examples, structure of the process and practise. The two latter trainings are basically to deepen the already-acquired skills.

Child dispute mediation training is meant for judges and auxiliaries. The training path consists of 5 different trainings, some targeted to judges, some to auxiliaries and some are joint trainings for a total of 8 days. The training includes basic mediation theory, custody dispute theory/law, child law/ child rights, practise etc.

Number of notaries in 2022

Notaries	Absolute Number	Per 100 000 inhabitants	
	Finland	Finland	EU Median
Total	144	2,6	7,6
1.Private professionals	NAP	NAP	-
2.Holders of public offices appointed by the State	NAP	NAP	7,6
3.Civil servants	144	2,6	-
4.Other	NAP	NAP	-

In 2022, there are 144 notaries which represents 2,6 notaries per 100 000 inhabitants.

In Finland, the notary system is not similar to some other European countries. Notaries do not work in the courts but in the local register offices.

The law on public notaries applies to them: <https://www.finlex.fi/fi/laki/ajantasa/2014/20140420> (FI) or <https://www.finlex.fi/sv/laki/ajantasa/2014/20140420> (SE)

## 7. ICT tools of courts in Finland (2022 data)

### The ICT questions

The answers to the ICT questions in 2022 can be summarised to:

#### Writing assistance tools

Deployment rate  
Usage rate

Civil and/or commercial	Administrative	Criminal
95-100 %	95-100 %	95-100 %
95-100 %	95-100 %	95-100 %

#### Features of the writing assistance tools

Templates  
Automatically generated text  
Automatically suggested decision  
Speech-to-text  
Electronic signature  
Other special functionality

Civil and/or commercial	Administrative	Criminal
Yes	Yes	Yes
Yes	Yes	Yes
No	No	No
No	No	No
Yes	Yes	No
No	No	No

#### Recording of court hearings

Deployment rate  
Usage rate

Civil and/or commercial	Administrative	Criminal
95-100 %	95-100 %	95-100 %
95-100 %	95-100 %	95-100 %

#### Features of the recording of court hearings

Audio recording  
Video recording  
Systematic recording for all hearings  
Automatically indexed recording  
Automatic transcript from recording  
Possibility to request a copy of the recording  
Other special functionality

Civil and/or commercial	Administrative	Criminal
Yes	Yes	Yes
No	No	No
No	No	No
No	No	No
No	No	No
Yes	Yes	Yes

#### Comments on writing assistance tools

The case management systems HAIPA and AIPA use Word as the writing assistance tool.

#### Comments on recording of court hearings

In criminal and civil matters, only the witness testimonial is recorded.



## 8. Systems for measuring and evaluating the performance of courts and public prosecution services in Finland (2022 data)

In Finland, there are no quality standards covering the whole judiciary. Instead there are several quality projects going on, for example the Quality Project of the Administrative Courts, which started in 2020 and concerns all administrative courts in Finland. The Rovaniemi Court of Appeal Quality Projects have produced quality benchmarks, which evaluate the quality of the adjudication in courts of law. Last assessment was conducted in 2020. Within the public prosecution services, there are specialised personnel entrusted with implementation of these national level quality standards.

### Systems for measuring and evaluating courts' performance

#### A regular monitoring system of court activities is in place concerning:

Number of incoming cases	✓	Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)	✗
Length of proceedings (timeframes)	✓	Costs of the judicial procedures	✓
Number of resolved cases	✓	Number of appeals	✓
Number of pending cases	✓	Appeal ratio	✓
Backlogs	✓	Clearance rate	✓
Productivity of judges and court staff	✓	Disposition time	✓
Satisfaction of court staff	✓	Other	✗

All courts keep statistics of the mentioned court activities in the operational case management systems. The National Courts Administration can access these figures through a reporting system.

#### Quantitative performance targets exist for judges. ✓

There are no consequences, if these targets are not met are.

##### Without disciplinary procedure

Warning by court's president	✗
Temporary salary reduction	✗
Reflected in the individual assessment	✗
Other	✗

##### With disciplinary procedure

Warning by court's president	✗
Temporary salary reduction	✗
Reflected in the individual assessment	✗
Other	✗

A court can decide whether it sets quantitative performance targets for each judge. There are targets set for each judge in some courts.

The National Courts Administration negotiates annually with each court in order to set targets and objectives for the court for the next year. An allocation of permanent staff and possible additional temporary judges or other staff is decided upon these negotiations but within the state budget. Timeframe (length of proceedings) targets are also set. Possible issues and problems with developing the activities of the court are also discussed. Finally, the appropriation to cover the annual costs of the court operations is agreed. A minutes of the negotiation is written and it includes the targets, objectives and estimated workloads for the court for the next year. The chief justices of the courts can then apply this results-based management system in their respective courts as they see appropriate.

### Systems for measuring and evaluating public prosecution services' performance

#### A regular monitoring system of public prosecution services activities is in place concerning:

Number of incoming cases	✓	Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the public prosecution)	✗
Length of proceedings (timeframes)	✓	Costs of the judicial procedures	✗
Number of resolved cases	✓	Clearance rate	✗
Number of pending cases	✓	Disposition time	✗
Backlogs	✓	Percentage of convictions and acquittals	✓
Productivity of prosecutors and prosecution staff	✓	Other	✗
Satisfaction of prosecution staff	✓		

In Finland, there is now system to regularly evaluate the activity of each public prosecution service quantitatively nor qualitatively.

#### Quantitative performance targets exist for public prosecutors. ✓

There are no consequences, if these targets are not met are.

##### Without disciplinary procedure

Warning by head of prosecution	✗
Temporary salary reduction	✗
Reflected in the individual assessment	✗
Other	✗

##### With disciplinary procedure

Warning by head of prosecution	✗
Temporary salary reduction	✗
Reflected in the individual assessment	✗
Other	✗

Finland

(2012-2022) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Variations for quantitative questions	
												2012-2022	2021-2022
Table General Data: Economic and demographic data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)													
Q1 Number of inhabitants	5 426 674	5 451 270	5 471 753	5 486 616	5 503 297	5 513 130	5 521 773	5 525 292	5 533 793	5 548 241	5 563 970	2,5%	0,3%
Q.3 GDP Per capita (in €) in current prices	35 571	37 018	37 559	38 162	38 959	40 612	42 340	43 567	42 701	43 483	43 049	21,0%	-1,0%
Q5. Exchange rate of Nat currency to € on 1 Jan	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
Indicator 1: Systems for measuring and evaluating the performance of courts and prosecution services													
(Q66, Q67, Q77, Q78, Q77-1, Q78-1, Q73, Q73-0, Q73-1, Q73-2, Q73-3, Q73-4, Q73-5, Q73-6, Q70, Q70-1, Q71, Q72, Q83-2, Q83-3, Q120 and Q120-1)													
66 Qlty standards formulated_jud system	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True	True	True	False	
67 Specialised court staff entrusted_qlty standards	No	No	No	No	False	False	False	False	False	False	False	False	
070.1.1 number of incoming cases	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True	True	True	True	
070.1.2 length of proceedings (timeframes)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True	True	True	True	
070.1.3 number of resolved cases	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True	True	True	True	
070.1.4 number of pending cases							True	True	True	True	True	True	
070.1.5 backlogs							True	True	True	True	True	True	
070.1.6 productivity of judges and court staff							True	True	True	True	True	True	
070.1.7 satisfaction of court staff							False	True	True	True	True	True	
070.1.8 satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)							False	False	False	False	False	False	
070.1.9 costs of the judicial procedures							False	False	False	False	False	True	
070.1.10 number of appeals							True	True	True	True	True	True	
070.1.11 appeal ratio							True	True	True	True	True	True	
070.1.12 clearance rate							True	True	True	True	True	True	
070-1.1.1 Number of incoming cases									True	True	True	True	
070-1.1.2 Length of proceedings (timeframes)									True	True	True	True	
070-1.1.3 Number of resolved cases									True	True	True	True	
070-1.1.4 Number of pending cases									True	True	True	True	

Finland

(2012-2022) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Variations for quantitative questions	
												2012-2022	2021-2022
070-1.1.5 Backlogs									True	True	True		
070-1.1.6 Productivity of prosecutors and prosecution staff									True	True	True		
070-1.1.7 Satisfaction of prosecution staff									True	True	True		
070-1.1.8 Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the public prosecution)									False	False	False		
070-1.1.9 Costs of the judicial procedures									False	False	False		
070-1.1.10 Clearance rate									False	False	False		
070-1.1.11 Disposition time									False	False	False		
070-1.1.12 Percentage of convictions and acquittals									True	True	True		
070-1.1.13 Other									False	False	False		
071.1.1 Monitoring backlogs in Civil law cases									True	True	True		
071.1.2 Monitoring backlogs in Criminal law cases									True	True	True		
071.1.3 Monitoring backlogs in Administrative law cases									True	True	True		
072.1.1 Monitoring timeframes Within the courts									True	True	False		
072.1.2 Monitoring timeframes Within the public prosecution services									True	True	False		
083-2.1.1 Quantitative performance tagets defined for each prosecutors									True	True	True		
083-3.1.1 Body responsible - Executive power (for example the Ministry of Justice)									False	False	False		
083-3.1.2 Body responsible - Prosecutor General /State public prosecutor									False	False	False		
083-3.1.3 Body responsible - Public Prosecutorial Council									False	False	False		
083-3.1.4 Body responsible - Head of the organisational unit or hierarchically superior public prosecutor									True	True	True		
083-3.1.5 Body responsible - Other									False	False	False		
120.1.1 Qualitative individual assessment of the public prosecutors' work									-	-	-		
120-1.1.1 Feequency - Annual									-	-	NAP		
120-1.1.2 Feequency - Less frequent									-	-	NAP		
120-1.1.3 Feequency - More frequent									-	-	NAP		

Finland

(2012-2022) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Variations for quantitative questions	
												2012-2022	2021-2022
Indicator 2: The judicial organisation													
(Q42, Q43 and Q44)													
Q42.1.1Total number of all courts - legal entities									36	36	36	-	0,0%
Q42.1.2 Total number of courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities									26	26	26	-	0,0%
Q42.1.3 First instance courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	20	20	20	20	-25,9%	0,0%
Q42.1.4 Second instance courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities									5	5	5	-	0,0%
Q42.1.5 Highest instance courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities									1	1	1	-	0,0%
Q42.1.6 Total number of specialised courts - legal entities									10	10	10	-	0,0%
43.1.1 Total number of specialised courts of first instance	11	11	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	-18,2%	0,0%
43.1.2 Commercial courts (excluded insolvency courts)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0,0%	0,0%
43.1.3 Insolvency courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.1.4 Labour courts	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0,0%	0,0%
43.1.5 Family courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.1.6 Rent and tenancies courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.1.7 Enforcement of criminal sanctions courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.1.8 Fight against terrorism, organised crime and corruption	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.1.9 Internet related disputes	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.1.10 Administrative courts	8	8	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	-25,0%	0,0%
43.1.11 Insurance and / or social welfare courts	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0,0%	0,0%
43.1.12 Military courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.1.13 Juvenile courts									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.1.14 Other specialised courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.2.1 Total number of specialised courts of higher instances									1	1	1	-	0,0%
43.2.2 Commercial courts (excluded insolvency courts)									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-

Finland

(2012-2022) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Variations for quantitative questions	
												2012-2022	2021-2022
43.2.3 Insolvency courts									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.2.4 Labour courts									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.2.5 Family courts									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.2.6 Rent and tenancies courts									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.2.7 Enforcement of criminal sanctions courts									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.2.8 Fight against terrorism, organised crime and corruption									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.2.9 Internet related disputes									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.2.10 Administrative courts									1	1	1	-	0,0%
43.2.11 Insurance and / or social welfare courts									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.2.12 Military courts									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.2.13 Juvenile courts									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.2.14 Other specialised courts									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
44.1.1 First instance courts geographic locations									45	45	45	-	0,0%
44.1.2 All courts geographic locations	82	78	81	79	73	73	71	52	52	52	52	-36,6%	0,0%

Indicator 3: The performance of courts at all stages of the proceedings													
First instance other than criminal cases (Q91)													
91.1.1 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	109 588	137 004	137 261	127 125	128 042	136 237	154 229	115 918	155 291	131 983	120 775	10,2%	-8,5%
91.1.2 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	9 829	9 600	9 321	8 883	9 530	7 358	6 487	6 451	6 497	7 290	7 286	-25,9%	-0,1%
91.1.3 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			102 233	91 790	97 217	100 644	121 848	86 233	125 526	101 762	90 897	-	-10,7%
91.1.4 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	75 446	103 192	102 233	91 790	97 217	100 644	121 848	86 233	125 526	101 762	90 897	20,5%	-10,7%
91.1.5 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.1.6 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.1.7 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.1.8 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-

Finland

(2012-2022) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Variations for quantitative questions	
												2012-2022	2021-2022
91.1.9 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.1.10 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Administrative law cases	19 203	18 849	20 233	20 955	15 553	22 940	20 765	17 620	18 029	18 324	17 900	-6,8%	-2,3%
91.1.11 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	5 110	5 363	5 474	5 497	5 742	5 295	5 129	5 614	5 242	4 607	4 692	-8,2%	1,8%
91.2.1 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	524 352	519 154	440 553	441 823	451 430	496 472	499 995	522 977	467 946	489 605	458 372	-12,6%	-6,4%
91.2.2 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	10 320	10 644	10 677	11 108	8 587	8 259	8 244	8 448	9 201	8 666	8 048	-22,0%	-7,1%
91.2.3 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			391 260	393 554	393 960	450 958	457 303	480 320	425 171	450 037	419 118	-	-6,9%
91.2.4 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	476 764	470 137	391 260	393 554	393 960	450 958	457 303	480 320	425 171	450 037	419 118	-12,1%	-6,9%
91.2.5 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.2.6 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.2.7 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.2.8 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.2.9 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.2.10 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Administrative law cases	27 579	28 214	28 254	27 112	38 831	27 817	24 593	25 396	24 743	21 782	21 203	-23,1%	-2,7%
91.2.11 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	9 689	10 159	10 362	10 049	10 052	9 438	9 855	8 813	8 831	9 120	10 003	3,2%	9,7%
91.3.1 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	497 063	518 725	450 486	436 443	442 641	478 438	529 974	495 812	491 856	501 069	451 783	-9,1%	-9,8%
91.3.2 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	10 653	11 319	11 164	10 463	10 718	9 152	8 427	8 436	8 616	8 695	8 086	-24,1%	-7,0%
91.3.3 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			401 590	388 228	390 607	429 811	484 490	452 792	449 309	461 131	412 306	-	-10,6%
91.3.4 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	449 101	470 722	401 590	388 228	390 607	429 811	484 490	452 792	449 309	461 131	412 306	-8,2%	-10,6%
91.3.5 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.3.6 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.3.7 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.3.8 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.3.9 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.3.10 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Administrative law cases	27 852	26 745	27 429	27 595	30 815	29 878	27 608	25 348	24 432	22 161	22 094	-20,7%	-0,3%



Finland

(2012-2022) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Variations for quantitative questions	
												2012-2022	2021-2022
91.3.11 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	9 457	9 939	10 303	10 157	10 501	9 597	9 449	9 236	9 499	9 082	9 297	-1,7%	2,4%
91.4.1 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	136 877	137 433	127 328	132 586	136 831	154 271	124 250	143 083	131 384	120 519	127 364	-7,0%	5,7%
91.4.2 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	9 496	8 925	8 834	9 528	7 399	6 465	6 304	6 463	7 082	7 261	7 248	-23,7%	-0,2%
91.4.3 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			91 903	97 116	100 570	121 791	94 661	113 761	101 388	90 668	97 709	-	7,8%
91.4.4 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	103 109	102 607	91 903	97 116	100 570	121 791	94 661	113 761	101 388	90 668	97 709	-5,2%	7,8%
91.4.5 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.4.6 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.4.7 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.4.8 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.4.9 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.4.10 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Administrative law cases	18 930	20 318	21 058	20 475	23 569	20 879	17 750	17 668	18 340	17 945	17 009	-10,1%	-5,2%
91.4.11 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	5 342	5 583	5 533	5 467	5 293	5 136	5 535	5 191	4 574	4 645	5 398	1,0%	16,2%
Clearence rate and Disposition time for first instance other than criminal cases (Q91)													
CR Total of other than criminal law cases	94,8%	99,9%	102,3%	98,8%	98,1%	96,4%	106,0%	94,8%	105,1%	102,3%	98,6%	3,77	(3,78)
CR Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	103,2%	106,3%	104,6%	94,2%	124,8%	110,8%	102,2%	99,9%	93,6%	100,3%	100,5%	(2,75)	0,14
CR Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	102,6%	98,6%	99,1%	95,3%	105,9%	94,3%	105,7%	102,5%	98,4%	-	(4,09)
CR General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	94,2%	100,1%	102,6%	98,6%	99,1%	95,3%	105,9%	94,3%	105,7%	102,5%	98,4%	4,18	(4,09)
CR Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
CR Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
CR Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
CR Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
CR Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
CR Administrative law cases	101,0%	94,8%	97,1%	101,8%	79,4%	107,4%	112,3%	99,8%	98,7%	101,7%	104,2%	3,21	2,46
CR Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	97,6%	97,8%	99,4%	101,1%	104,5%	101,7%	95,9%	104,8%	107,6%	99,6%	92,9%	(4,66)	(6,64)

Finland

(2012-2022) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Variations for quantitative questions	
												2012-2022	2021-2022
DT Total of other than criminal law cases	101	97	103	111	113	118	86	105	97	88	103	2,4%	17,2%
DT Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	325	288	289	332	252	258	273	280	300	305	327	0,6%	7,3%
DT Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	84	91	94	103	71	92	82	72	86	-	20,5%
DT General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	84	80	84	91	94	103	71	92	82	72	86	3,2%	20,5%
DT Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT Administrative law cases	248	277	280	271	279	255	235	254	274	296	281	13,3%	-4,9%
DT Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	206	205	196	196	184	195	214	205	176	187	212	2,8%	13,5%

Second instance other than criminal cases (Q97)													
97.1.1 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)				1 913	1 912	1 363	1 456	1 288	1 395	1 285	1 346	-	4,7%
97.1.2 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases				1 651	1 606	1 161	1 267	1 120	1 181	1 102	1 202	-	9,1%
97.1.3 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)				206	252	162	138	117	177	132	144	-	9,1%
97.1.4 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases				206	252	162	138	117	177	132	144	-	9,1%
97.1.5 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.1.6 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious land registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.1.7 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non-litigious business registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.1.8 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.1.9 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other non-litigious cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.1.10 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Administrative law cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.1.11 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other cases				56	54	40	51	51	37	51	NAP	-	-

Finland

(2012-2022) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Variations for quantitative questions	
												2012-2022	2021-2022
97.2.1 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)				3 780	3 069	2 894	2 739	2 801	2 493	2 652	2 411	-	-9,1%
97.2.2 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases				2 806	2 376	2 281	2 163	2 187	1 833	2 078	1 916	-	-7,8%
97.2.3 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)				914	651	562	529	569	581	518	495	-	-4,4%
97.2.4 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases				914	651	562	529	569	581	518	495	-	-4,4%
97.2.5 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.2.6 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious land registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.2.7 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Non-litigious business registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.2.8 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Other registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.2.9 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Other non-litigious cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.2.10 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Administrative law cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.2.11 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Other cases				60	42	51	47	45	79	56	NAP	-	-
97.3.1 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)				3 779	3 618	2 804	2 905	2 698	2 604	2 547	2 440	-	-4,2%
97.3.2 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases				2 848	2 821	2 176	2 310	2 117	1 914	1 979	1 951	-	-1,4%
97.3.3 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)				869	741	586	550	523	625	506	489	-	-3,4%
97.3.4 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases				869	741	586	550	523	625	506	489	-	-3,4%
97.3.5 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.3.6 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious land registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.3.7 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Non-litigious business registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.3.8 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Other registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.3.9 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Other non-litigious cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.3.10 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Administrative law cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.3.11 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Other cases				62	56	42	45	58	65	62	NAP	-	-
97.4.1 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)				1 914	1 363	1 453	1 290	1 391	1 284	1 390	1 317	-	-5,3%
97.4.2 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases				1 609	1 161	1 266	1 120	1 190	1 100	1 201	1 167	-	-2,8%

Finland

(2012-2022) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Variations for quantitative questions	
												2012-2022	2021-2022
97.4.3 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)				251	162	138	117	163	133	144	150	-	4,2%
97.4.4 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases				251	162	138	117	163	133	144	150	-	4,2%
97.4.5 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.4.6 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious land registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.4.7 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non-litigious business registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.4.8 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.4.9 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other non-litigious cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.4.10 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Administrative law cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.4.11 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other cases				54	40	49	53	38	51	45	NAP	-	-
97.5.1 2nd inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)				-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
97.5.2 2nd inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Civil (and commercial) litigious cases				-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
97.5.10 2nd inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Administrative law cases				-	NAP	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
Clearance rate and disposition time for second instance other than criminal law cases (Q97)													
CR Total of other than criminal law cases				100,0%	117,9%	96,9%	106,1%	96,3%	104,5%	96,0%	101,2%	-	5,16
CR Civil (and commercial) litigious cases				101,5%	118,7%	95,4%	106,8%	96,8%	104,4%	95,2%	101,8%	-	6,59
CR Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)				95,1%	113,8%	104,3%	104,0%	91,9%	107,6%	97,7%	98,8%	-	1,10
CR General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases				95,1%	113,8%	104,3%	104,0%	91,9%	107,6%	97,7%	98,8%	-	1,10
CR Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
CR Non litigious land registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
CR Non-litigious business registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
CR Other registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
CR Other non-litigious cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
CR Administrative law cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
CR Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)				103,3%	133,3%	82,4%	95,7%	128,9%	82,3%	110,7%	NAP	-	-

Finland

(2012-2022) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Variations for quantitative questions	
												2012-2022	2021-2022
DT Total of other than criminal law cases				185	138	189	162	188	180	199	197	-	-1,1%
DT Civil (and commercial) litigious cases				206	150	212	177	205	210	222	218	-	-1,4%
DT Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)				105	80	86	78	114	78	104	112	-	7,8%
DT General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases				105	80	86	78	114	78	104	112	-	7,8%
DT Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT Non litigious land registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT Non-litigious business registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT Other registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT Other non-litigious cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT Administrative law cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)				318	261	426	430	239	286	265	NAP	-	-
Supreme courts' other than criminal law cases (Q99)													
99.1.1 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)				4 519	4 746	4 012	3 648	3 791	3 758	3 543	2 633	-	-25,7%
99.1.2 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases				579	549	482	359	292	314	259	321	-	23,9%
99.1.3 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.1.4 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.1.5 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.1.6 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious land registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.1.7 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non-litigious business registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.1.8 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.1.9 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other non-litigious cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.1.10 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Administrative law cases				3 625	3 916	3 294	3 057	3 337	3 312	3 129	2 138	-	-31,7%
99.1.11 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)				315	281	236	232	162	132	155	174	-	12,3%
99.2.1 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)				5 720	6 195	7 736	7 321	7 177	6 188	5 143	4 606	-	-10,4%

Finland

(2012-2022) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Variations for quantitative questions	
												2012-2022	2021-2022
99.2.2 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases				884	999	862	767	725	683	733	653	-	-10,9%
99.2.3 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.2.4 High inst courts_Incoming cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.2.5 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.2.6 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious land registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.2.7 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Non-litigious business registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.2.8 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Other registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.2.9 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Other non-litigious cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.2.10 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Administrative law cases				4 319	4 785	6 411	6 199	6 113	5 204	4 099	3 713	-	-9,4%
99.2.11 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)				517	411	463	355	339	301	311	240	-	-22,8%
99.3.1 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)				5 475	6 905	8 094	7 155	7 215	6 383	6 048	4 730	-	-21,8%
99.3.2 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases				914	1 066	988	829	703	740	673	689	-	2,4%
99.3.3 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.3.4 High inst courts_Resolved cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.3.5 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.3.6 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious land registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.3.7 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Non-litigious business registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.3.8 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Other registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.3.9 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Other non-litigious cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.3.10 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Administrative law cases				4 012	5 382	6 638	5 902	6 138	5 365	5 083	3 757	-	-26,1%
99.3.11 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)				549	457	468	424	374	278	292	284	-	-2,7%
99.4.1 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)				4 764	4 036	3 654	3 814	3 753	3 563	2 638	2 509	-	-4,9%
99.4.2 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases				549	482	356	297	314	257	319	285	-	-10,7%
99.4.3 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-



Finland

(2012-2022) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Variations for quantitative questions	
												2012-2022	2021-2022
99.4.4 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.4.5 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.4.6 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious land registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.4.7 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non-litigious business registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.4.8 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.4.9 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other non-litigious cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.4.10 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Administrative law cases				3 932	3 319	3 067	3 354	3 312	3 151	2 145	2 094	-	-2,4%
99.4.11 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)				283	235	231	163	127	155	174	130	-	-25,3%
99.5.1 High inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)				-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
99.5.2 High inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Civil (and commercial) litigious cases				-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
99.5.10 High inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Administrative law cases				-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
Clearance rate and disposition time for Supreme courts' other than criminal law cases (Q97)													
CR Total of other than criminal law cases				95,7%	111,5%	104,6%	97,7%	100,5%	103,2%	117,6%	102,7%	-	(14,90)
CR Civil (and commercial) litigious cases				103,4%	106,7%	114,6%	108,1%	97,0%	108,3%	91,8%	105,5%	-	13,70
CR Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
CR General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
CR Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
CR Non litigious land registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
CR Non-litigious business registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
CR Other registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
CR Other non-litigious cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
CR Administrative law cases				92,9%	112,5%	103,5%	95,2%	100,4%	103,1%	124,0%	101,2%	-	(22,82)
CR Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)				106,2%	111,2%	101,1%	119,4%	110,3%	92,4%	93,9%	118,3%	-	24,44
DT Total of other than criminal law cases				318	213	165	195	190	204	159	194	-	21,6%

Finland

(2012-2022) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Variations for quantitative questions	
												2012-2022	2021-2022
DT Civil (and commercial) litigious cases				219	165	132	131	163	127	173	151	-	-12,7%
DT Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT Non litigious land registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT Non-litigious business registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT Other registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT Other non-litigious cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT Administrative law cases				358	225	169	207	197	214	154	203	-	32,1%
DT Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)				188	188	180	140	124	204	218	167	-	-23,2%

First instance criminal law cases (Q94)													
094.1.1 Total - pending 1 Jan									20 227	28 360	29 093	-	2,6%
094.1.2 Severe cases - pending 1 Jan									NAP	NAP	NA	-	-
094.1.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 1 Jan									NAP	NAP	NA	-	-
094.1.4 Other - pending 1 Jan									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
094.2.1 Total -incoming									56 932	70 375	64 657	-	-8,1%
094.2.2 Severe cases - incoming									NAP	NAP	NA	-	-
094.2.3 Misdemeanour cases - incoming									NAP	NAP	NA	-	-
094.2.4 Other - incoming									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
094.3.1 Total - resolved									50 834	68 638	64 657	-	-5,8%
094.3.2 Severe cases -resolved									NAP	NAP	NA	-	-
094.3.3 Misdemeanour cases - resolved									NAP	NAP	NA	-	-
094.3.4 Other - resolved									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
094.4.1 Total - pending 31 Dec									26 325	30 097	29 093	-	-3,3%

Finland

(2012-2022) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Variations for quantitative questions	
												2012-2022	2021-2022
094.4.2 Severe cases - pending 31 Dec									NAP	NAP	NA	-	-
094.4.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 31 Dec									NAP	NAP	NA	-	-
094.4.4 Other - pending 31 Dec									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
094.5.1 Total - pending more then 2 years									NA	NA	NA	-	-
094.5.2 Severe cases - pending more then 2 years									NAP	NAP	NA	-	-
094.5.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending more then 2 years									NAP	NAP	NA	-	-
094.5.4 Other - pending more then 2 years									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
Clearance rate and disposition time for first instance criminal law cases (Q94)													
CR of Total									89%	98%	100%	-	2,5
CR of Severe cases									NAP	NAP	NA	-	-
CR of Misdemeanour cases									NAP	NAP	NA	-	-
CR of Other									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT of Total									189	160	164	-	2,6%
DT of Severe cases									NAP	NAP	NA	-	-
DT of Misdemeanour cases									NAP	NAP	NA	-	-
DT of Other									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
Second instance criminal law cases (Q98)													
098.1.1 Total - pending 1 Jan									2 760	2 543	2 858	-	12,4%
098.1.2 Severe cases - pending 1 Jan									NAP	NAP	NA	-	-
098.1.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 1 Jan									NAP	NAP	NA	-	-
098.1.4 Other - pending 1 Jan									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
098.2.1 Total -incoming									4 876	5 574	5 460	-	-2,0%
098.2.2 Severe cases - incoming									NAP	NAP	NA	-	-

Finland

(2012-2022) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Variations for quantitative questions	
												2012-2022	2021-2022
098.2.3 Misdemeanour cases - incoming									NAP	NAP	NA	-	-
098.2.4 Other - incoming									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
098.3.1 Total - resolved									5 094	5 269	5 390	-	2,3%
098.3.2 Severe cases -resolved									NAP	NAP	NA	-	-
098.3.3 Misdemeanour cases - resolved									NAP	NAP	NA	-	-
098.3.4 Other - resolved									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
098.4.1 Total - pending 31 Dec									2 542	2 848	2 928	-	2,8%
098.4.2 Severe cases - pending 31 Dec									NAP	NAP	NA	-	-
098.4.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 31 Dec									NAP	NAP	NA	-	-
098.4.4 Other - pending 31 Dec									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
098.5.1 Total - pending more then 2 years									NA	NA	NA	-	-
098.5.2 Severe cases - pending more then 2 years									NAP	NAP	NA	-	-
098.5.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending more then 2 years									NAP	NAP	NA	-	-
098.5.4 Other - pending more then 2 years									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
Clearance rate and disposition time for second instance criminal law cases (Q98)													
CR of Total									104,5%	94,5%	98,7%	-	4,43
CR o2 Severe cases									NAP	NAP	NA	-	-
CR of Misdemeanour cases									NAP	NAP	NA	-	-
CR of Other									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT of Total									182	197	198	-	0,5%
DT of Severe cases									NAP	NAP	NA	-	-
DT of Misdemeanour cases									NAP	NAP	NA	-	-
DT of Other									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
Supreme courts' criminal law cases (Q100)													

Finland

(2012-2022) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Variations for quantitative questions	
												2012-2022	2021-2022
100.1.1 Total - pending 1 Jan									205	243	308	-	26,7%
100.1.2 Severe cases - pending 1 Jan									NAP	NAP	NA	-	-
100.1.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 1 Jan									NAP	NAP	NA	-	-
100.1.4 Other - pending 1 Jan									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
100.2.1 Total -incoming									833	889	921	-	3,6%
100.2.2 Severe cases - incoming									NAP	NAP	NA	-	-
100.2.3 Misdemeanour cases - incoming									NAP	NAP	NA	-	-
100.2.4 Other - incoming									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
100.3.1 Total - resolved									778	800	856	-	7,0%
100.3.2 Severe cases -resolved									NAP	NAP	NA	-	-
100.3.3 Misdemeanour cases - resolved									NAP	NAP	NA	-	-
100.3.4 Other - resolved									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
100.4.1 Total - pending 31 Dec									260	332	373	-	12,3%
100.4.2 Severe cases - pending 31 Dec									NAP	NAP	NA	-	-
100.4.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 31 Dec									NAP	NAP	NA	-	-
100.4.4 Other - pending 31 Dec									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
100.5.1 Total - pending more then 2 years									NA	NA	NA	-	-
100.5.2 Severe cases - pending more then 2 years									NAP	NAP	NA	-	-
100.5.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending more then 2 years									NAP	NAP	NA	-	-
100.5.4 Other - pending more then 2 years									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
Clearance rate and disposition time for supreme courts' criminal law cases (Q100)													
CR of Total									93,4%	90,0%	92,9%	-	2,95
CR of Severe cases									NAP	NAP	NA	-	-
CR of Misdemeanour cases									NAP	NAP	NA	-	-

Finland

(2012-2022) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Variations for quantitative questions	
												2012-2022	2021-2022
CR of Other									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT of Total									122	151	159	-	5,0%
DT of Severe cases									NAP	NAP	NA	-	-
DT of Misdemeanour cases									NAP	NAP	NA	-	-
DT of Other									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
Indicator 4: Public prosecution services													
(Q107, Q107-1, Q109)													
1. Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year									13 991	17 725	18 011	-	1,6%
2. Incoming/received cases									91 246	89 256	85 053	-	-4,7%
3. Processed cases (3.1 + 3.2 + 3.3 + 3.4)									87 530	88 979	84 195	-	-5,4%
3.1. Discontinued during the reference year (3.1.1+3.1.2+3.1.3+3.1.4.)									25 888	27 916	32 827	-	17,6%
3.1.1 Discontinued by the public prosecutor because the offender could not be identified									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
3.1.2 Discontinued by the public prosecutor due to the lack of an established offence or a specific legal situation									389	136	119	-	-12,5%
3.1.3 Discontinued by the public prosecutor for reasons of opportunity									16 809	15 904	21 977	-	38,2%
3.1.4 Discontinued for other reasons									8 690	11 876	10 731	-	-9,6%
3.2. Concluded by a penalty or a measure imposed or negotiated by the public prosecutor									12	16	9	-	-43,8%
3.3. Cases brought to court									56 713	56 364	51 359	-	-8,9%
4. Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year									17 707	18 002	18 869	-	4,8%
Public prosecution: Ratio of processed cases with incoming cases									0,96	1,00	0,99	-	-0,7%
Public prosecution: Ratio of pending cases with incoming cases									0,20	0,20	0,21	-	4,8%
Number of cases concluded with the guilty plea procedure - Total									NA	NA	NA	-	-
Number of cases concluded with the guilty plea procedure - Total - Severe criminal cases									NA	NA	NA	-	-



Finland

(2012-2022) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Variations for quantitative questions	
												2012-2022	2021-2022
Number of cases concluded with the guilty plea procedure - Total - Misdemeanour and / or minor criminal cases									NA	NA	NA	-	-
Number of cases concluded with the guilty plea procedure - Before the main trial - Total									NA	NA	NA	-	-
Number of cases concluded with the guilty plea procedure - Before the main trial - Severe criminal cases									NA	NA	NA	-	-
Number of cases concluded with the guilty plea procedure - Before the main trial - Misdemeanour and / or minor criminal cases									NA	NA	NA	-	-
Number of cases concluded with the guilty plea procedure - During the main trial - Total									NA	NA	NA	-	-
Number of cases concluded with the guilty plea procedure - During the main trial - Severe criminal cases									NA	NA	NA	-	-
Number of cases concluded with the guilty plea procedure - During the main trial - Misdemeanour and / or minor criminal cases									NA	NA	NA	-	-
Budget of public prosecution services (Q13)													
Total approved annual public budget allocated to the public prosecution services in €	45 312 000 €	45 947 000 €	46 223 000 €	43 800 000 €	46 243 000 €	44 000 000 €	45 042 000 €	48 848 000 €	51 540 000 €	57 320 000 €	56 577 000 €	24,9%	-1,30%
Total implemented annual public budget allocated to the public prosecution services in €	-	-	46 223 000 €	42 200 000 €	46 243 000 €	44 800 000 €	45 042 000 €	48 848 000 €	50 210 000 €	51 760 000 €	55 299 030 €	-	6,84%
Approved annual public budget allocated to training of public prosecution services in € (included in the total)	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
Implemented annual public budget allocated to training of public prosecution services in € (included in the total)	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	586 128 €	379 043 €	407 595 €	715 813 €	-	75,62%

Indicator 5: Access to justice													
System for compensating users													
(Q37)													
Number of requests for compensation													
037.1.1 Total									NA	NA	NA	-	-

Finland

(2012-2022) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Variations for quantitative questions	
												2012-2022	2021-2022
037.1.2 Excessive length of proceedings									56	49	96	-	95,9%
037.1.3 Non-execution of court decisions									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
037.1.4 Wrongful arrest/detention									NA	NA	NA	-	-
037.1.5 Wrongful conviction									NA	NA	NA	-	-
037.1.6 Other									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
Number of compensations granted													
037.2.1 Total									NA	NA	NA	-	-
037.2.2 Excessive length of proceedings									40	28	75	-	167,9%
037.2.3 Non-execution of court decisions									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
037.2.4 Wrongful arrest/detention									NA	NA	NA	-	-
037.2.5 Wrongful conviction									NA	NA	NA	-	-
037.2.6 Other									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
Amount of compensations granted (in €)													
037.3.1 Total									NA	NA	NA	-	-
037.3.2 Excessive length of proceedings									154 264 €	212 218 €	173 250 €	-	-18,4%
037.3.3 Non-execution of court decisions									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
037.3.4 Wrongful arrest/detention									NA	NA	NA	-	-
037.3.5 Wrongful conviction									NA	NA	NA	-	-
037.3.6 Other									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-

Indicator 6: The ICT tools of courts and for court users												
(Q62-23, Q62-24, Q62-25, Q62-26)												
Writing assistance tools												
062-23.1.1.1 Deployment rate in civil and/or commercial matter											95-100 %	
062-23.1.2.1 Usage rate in civil and/or commercial matter											95-100 %	

Finland

(2012-2022) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Variations for quantitative questions	
												2012-2022	2021-2022
062-23.1.3.1 Deployment rate in administrative matter											95-100 %		
062-23.2.1.1 Usage rate in administrative matter											95-100 %		
062-23.2.2.1 Deployment rate in criminal matter											95-100 %		
062-23.2.3.1 Usage rate in criminal matter											95-100 %		
Features of the writing assistance tools													
Civil and/or commercial matter													
062-24.1.1.1 Templates											True		
062-24.1.1.2 Automatically generated text											True		
062-24.1.1.3 Automatically suggested decision											False		
062-24.1.1.4 Speech-to-text											False		
062-24.1.1.5 Electronic signature											True		
062-24.1.1.6 Other special functionality											False		
Administrative matter													
062-24.1.2.1 Templates											True		
062-24.1.2.2 Automatically generated text											True		
062-24.1.2.3 Automatically suggested decision											False		
062-24.1.2.4 Speech-to-text											False		
062-24.1.2.5 Electronic signature											True		
062-24.1.2.6 Other special functionality											False		
Criminal matter													
062-24.1.3.1 Templates											True		
062-24.1.3.2 Automatically generated text											True		
062-24.1.3.3 Automatically suggested decision											False		
062-24.1.3.4 Speech-to-text											False		

Finland

(2012-2022) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Variations for quantitative questions	
												2012-2022	2021-2022
062-24.1.3.5 Electronic signature											False		
062-24.1.3.6 Other special functionality											False		
Recording of court hearings													
062-25.1.1.1 Deployment rate in civil and/or commercial matter											95-100 %		
062-25.1.2.1 Usage rate in civil and/or commercial matter											95-100 %		
062-25.1.3.1 Deployment rate in administrative matter											95-100 %		
062-25.2.1.1 Usage rate in administrative matter											95-100 %		
062-25.2.2.1 Deployment rate in criminal matter											95-100 %		
062-25.2.3.1 Usage rate in criminal matter											95-100 %		
Features of the recording of court hearings													
Civil and/or commercial matter													
062-26.1.1.1 Audio recording											True		
062-26.1.1.2 Video recording											False		
062-26.1.1.3 Systematic recording for all hearings											False		
062-26.1.1.4 Automatically indexed recording											False		
062-26.1.1.5 Automatic transcript from recording											False		
062-26.1.1.6 Possibility to request a copy of the recording											True		
062-26.1.1.7 Other special functionality							-	-			False		
Administrative matter													
062-26.1.2.1 Audio recording											True		
062-26.1.2.2 Video recording											False		
062-26.1.2.3 Systematic recording for all hearings											False		
062-26.1.2.4 Automatically indexed recording											False		
062-26.1.2.5 Automatic transcript from recording											False		

Finland

(2012-2022) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Variations for quantitative questions	
												2012-2022	2021-2022
062-26.1.2.6 Possibility to request a copy of the recording											True		
062-26.1.2.7 Other special functionality							-	-			False		
Criminal matter													
062-26.1.3.1 Audio recording											True		
062-26.1.3.2 Video recording											False		
062-26.1.3.3 Systematic recording for all hearings											False		
062-26.1.3.4 Automatically indexed recording											False		
062-26.1.3.5 Automatic transcript from recording											False		
062-26.1.3.6 Possibility to request a copy of the recording											True		
062-26.1.3.7 Other special functionality											False		

Indicator 7: Professionals of justice													
(Q46, Q46-2, Q52, Q52-1, Q55, Q60, Q4, Q132, Q133, Q144, Q145, Q146)													
46.1.1 Total Number of professional judges	981	986	988	991	1 068	1 045	1 081	1 087	1 077	1 158	1 148	17,0%	-0,9%
46.1.2 Number of 1st inst professional judges	744	758	758	761	834	817	850	850	854	901	895	20,3%	-0,7%
46.1.3 Number of 2nd inst professional judges	194	185	186	188	184	178	184	191	178	209	208	7,2%	-0,5%
46.1.4 Number of Supreme court professional judges	43	43	44	42	50	50	47	46	45	48	45	4,7%	-6,3%
46.2.1 Number of professional judges_males	482	484	473	451	485	460	452	451	433	462	457	-5,2%	-1,1%
46.2.2 Number of 1st instance professional judges_males	350	362	356	338	368	350	344	342	323	339	335	-4,3%	-1,2%
46.2.3 Number of 2nd instance professional judges_males	105	95	89	85	84	78	78	90	81	95	94	-10,5%	-1,1%
46.2.4 Number of Supreme court professional judges_males	27	27	28	28	33	32	30	29	29	28	28	3,7%	0,0%
46.3.1 Number of professional judges_females	499	502	515	540	583	585	629	636	644	696	691	38,5%	-0,7%
46.3.2 Number of 1st inst professional judges_females	394	396	402	423	466	467	506	508	531	562	560	42,1%	-0,4%
46.3.3 Number of 2nd inst professional judges_females	89	90	97	103	100	100	106	111	97	114	114	28,1%	0,0%
46.3.4 Number of Supreme court professional judges_females	16	16	16	14	17	18	17	17	16	20	17	6,3%	-15,0%

Finland

(2012-2022) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Variations for quantitative questions	
												2012-2022	2021-2022
046-2.1.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Total									1 077	1 158	1 148	-	-0,9%
046-2.1.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Total									854	901	895	-	-0,7%
046-2.1.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Total									178	209	208	-	-0,5%
046-2.1.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Total									45	48	45	-	-6,3%
046-2.2.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Civil and commercial									NA	NA	NA	-	-
046-2.2.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Civil and commercial									NA	NA	NA	-	-
046-2.2.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Civil and commercial									NA	NA	NA	-	-
046-2.2.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Civil and commercial									NA	NA	NA	-	-
046-2.3.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Criminal									NA	NA	NA	-	-
046-2.3.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Criminal									NA	NA	NA	-	-
046-2.3.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Criminal									NA	NA	NA	-	-
046-2.3.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Criminal									NA	NA	NA	-	-
046-2.4.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Administrative									278	310	307	-	-1,0%
046-2.4.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Administrative									251	282	282	-	0,0%
046-2.4.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Administrative									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
046-2.4.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Administrative									27	28	25	-	-10,7%
046-2.5.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Other									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
046-2.5.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Other									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
046-2.5.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Other									NA	NAP	NAP	-	-
046-2.5.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Other									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
52.1.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts	2 214	2 196	2 161	2 145	2 170	2 137	2 131	2 128	2 162	2 208	2 194	-0,9%	-0,6%
52.1.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	-	-
52.1.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
52.1.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-



Finland

(2012-2022) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Variations for quantitative questions	
												2012-2022	2021-2022
52.1.5 Number of Technical staff	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
52.1.6 Number of Other non judge staff	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
52.2.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts(men)	NA	2 196	NA	NA	NA	NA	488	498	516	520	516	-	-0,8%
52.2.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)(men)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	-	-
52.2.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges(men)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
52.2.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks(men)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
52.2.5 Number of Technical staff(men)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
52.2.6 Number of Other non judge staff(men)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
52.3.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts(women)	NA	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	1 643	1 630	1 646	1 688	1 678	-	-0,6%
52.3.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)(women)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	-	-
52.3.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges(women)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
52.3.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks(women)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
52.3.5 Number of Technical staff(women)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
52.3.6 Number of Other non judge staff(women)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
052-1.1.1 Non-judge staff (Total)									2 162	2 208	2 194	-	-0,6%
052-1.1.2 Non-judge staff at first instance (total)									1 783	1 822	1 810	-	-0,7%
052-1.1.3 Non-judge staff at second instance (total)									233	237	242	-	2,1%
052-1.1.4 Non-judge staff at Supreme court (total)									146	149	142	-	-4,7%
052-1.2.1 Non-judge staff (Males)									516	520	516	-	-0,8%
052-1.2.2 Non-judge staff at first instance (males)									426	422	418	-	-0,9%
052-1.2.3 Non-judge staff at second instance (males)									53	60	61	-	1,7%
052-1.2.4 Non-judge staff at Supreme court (males)									37	38	37	-	-2,6%
052-1.3.1 Non-judge staff (females)									1 646	1 688	1 678	-	-0,6%
052-1.3.2 Non-judge staff at first instance (females)									1 357	1 400	1 392	-	-0,6%

Finland

(2012-2022) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Variations for quantitative questions	
												2012-2022	2021-2022
052-1.3.3 Non-judge staff at second instance (females)									180	177	181	-	2,3%
052-1.3.4 Non-judge staff at supreme court (females)									109	111	105	-	-5,4%
055.1.1 Prosecutors (total)									390	424	441	-	4,0%
055.1.2 Prosecutors (1st inst.)									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
055.1.3 Prosecutors (2nd inst.)									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
055.1.4 Prosecutors (Highest instance)									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
055.2.1 Prosecutors - Males -total									155	158	158	-	0,0%
055.2.2 Prosecutors - Males, 1st inst.									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
055.2.3 Prosecutors - Males, 2nd inst.									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
055.2.4 Prosecutors - Males, Supreme courts									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
055.3.1 Prosecutors - Females, Total									235	266	283	-	6,4%
055.3.2 Prosecutors - Females, 1st inst.									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
055.3.3 Prosecutors - Females, 2nd inst.									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
055.3.4 Prosecutors - Females, Supreme courts									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
057 Other with similar duties as public prsecutors											True	-	-
056.1.1 Heads of prosecution (total)											6	-	-
056.1.2 Heads of prosecution (1st inst.)											NAP	-	-
056.1.3 Heads of prosecution (2nd inst.)											NAP	-	-
056.1.4 Heads of prosecution (Highest instance)											NAP	-	-
056.2.1 Heads of prosecution - Males -total											4	-	-
056.2.2 Heads of prosecution - Males, 1st inst.											NAP	-	-
056.2.3 Heads of prosecution - Males, 2nd inst.											NAP	-	-
056.2.4 Heads of prosecution - Males, Supreme courts											NAP	-	-
056.3.1 Heads of prosecution - Females, Total											2	-	-
056.3.2 Heads of prosecution - Females, 1st inst.											NAP	-	-

Finland

(2012-2022) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Variations for quantitative questions	
												2012-2022	2021-2022
056.3.3 Heads of prosecution - Females, 2nd inst.											NAP	-	-
056.3.4 Heads of prosecution - Females, Supreme courts											NAP	-	-
060.1.1 Number of non-prosecutor staff Total							164	-	139	169	176	-	4,1%
060.2.1 Number of non-prosecutor staff Males							10	-	11	14	12	-	-14,3%
060.3.1 Number of non-prosecutor staff Females							154	-	128	155	164	-	5,8%
004 Annual average salary in the country							41 580 €	42 336 €	43 140 €	47 516 €	47 696 €	-	0,4%
132.1.1 Gross annual salary, in € - Professional judge at the beginning of career							63 000 €	64 500 €	66 900 €	68 900 €	70 628 €	-	2,5%
132.1.2 Gross annual salary, in € - Judge of the Supreme Court							132 000 €	134 500 €	136 300 €	141 720 €	141 720 €	-	0,0%
132.1.3 Gross annual salary, in € - Public prosecutor at the beginning of career							48 800 €	50 400 €	50 880 €	50 880 €	50 880 €	-	0,0%
132.1.4 Gross annual salary, in € - Public prosecutor of the Supreme Court or the Highest Appellate Instance							NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	95 000 €	-	-
132.2.1 Net annual salary, in € - Professional judge at the beginning of career							NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
132.2.2 Net annual salary, in € - Judge of the Supreme Court							NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
132.2.3 Net annual salary, in € - Public prosecutor at the beginning of career							NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
132.2.4 Net annual salary, in € - Public prosecutor of the Supreme Court or the Highest Appellate Instance							NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NA	-	-
133.1.1.1 - Additional benefits for judges - Reduced taxation							False	False	False	False	False		
133.1.2.1 - Additional benefits for judges - Special pension							False	False	False	False	False		
133.1.3.1 - Additional benefits for judges - Housing							False	False	False	False	False		
133.1.4.1 - Additional benefits for judges - Other financial benefit							False	False	False	False	False		
133.2.1.1 - Additional benefits for prosecutors - Reduced taxation							False	False	False	False	False		
133.2.2.1 - Additional benefits for prosecutors - Special pension							False	False	False	False	False		
133.2.3.1 - Additional benefits for prosecutors - Housing							False	False	False	False	False		
133.2.4.1 - Additional benefits for prosecutors - Other financial benefit							False	False	False	False	False		

Finland

(2012-2022) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Variations for quantitative questions	
												2012-2022	2021-2022
Lawyers													
(Q146, Q147, Q148)													
146.1.1 Total number of lawyers practising	1 935	2 009	2 115	3 550	3 791	3 846	3 965	4 022	4 087	4 173	4 098	111,8%	-1,8%
146.2.1 Practicing lawyers - man							NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
146.3.1 Practicing lawyers - woman							NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
147 Does this figure include “legal advisors” who cannot represent their clients in court (for example, some solicitors or in-house counsellors)?				No	False	False	False	False	False	False	False	-	-
148 Number of legal advisors who cannot represent their clients in court:				NAP	NAP	NAP	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
Indicator 8: Mediators and notaries													
(Q166, Q157)													
166 Number of accredited or registered mediators who practice judicial mediation:	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
166.2.1 Mediators - male							NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
166.3.1 Mediators - female							NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
192.1.1 Number of notaries											144	-	-
192.1.2 Private professionals (without control from public authorities)											NAP	-	-
192.1.3 Holders of public offices appointed by the State											NAP	-	-
192.1.4 Civil servants (paid by the State)											144	-	-
192.1.5 Other											NAP	-	-
192.2.1 Number of notaries- male											31	-	-
192.2.2 Private professionals (without control from public authorities)- male											NAP	-	-
192.2.3 Holders of public offices appointed by the State- male											NAP	-	-
192.2.4 Civil servants (paid by the State)- male											31	-	-
192.2.5 Other- male											NAP	-	-
192.3.1 Number of notaries- female											113	-	-
192.3.2 Private professionals (without control from public authorities)- female											NAP	-	-
192.3.3 Holders of public offices appointed by the State- female											NAP	-	-
192.3.4 Civil servants (paid by the State)- female											113	-	-
192.3.5 Other- female											NAP	-	-

Key: Variation of more than (+ -) 30%