


Judiciary at a glance in Finland

General data


Population:	5 533 793	GDP per capita:	42 701 €	Average annual salary:	43 140 €
--------------------	------------------	------------------------	-----------------	-------------------------------	-----------------

Professionals

Judicial professionals per 100 000 inhabitants




Gross salaries of judges and prosecutors vs average annual salary in the country




Efficiency

Clearance rate by instance and by matter (%)




Disposition time by instance and by matter (in days)



Information and communication technology

ICT tools assessment from 2018 to 2020



*ICT calculations are described in more details in Annex 5 - IT Calculation methodology

Synthesis table for the main indicators for: Finland

Economic and demographic data	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations					
										2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Population	5 426 674	5 451 270	5 471 753	5 486 616	5 503 297	5 513 130	5 521 773	5 525 292	5 533 793	<div><div></div><div>2,0%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>0,6%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>0,3%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>0,2%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>0,1%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>0,2%</div></div>
GDP per capita	35 571	37 018	37 559	38 162	38 959	40 612	42 340	43 567	42 701	<div><div></div><div>20,0%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>3,7%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>8,7%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>4,3%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>2,9%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-2,0%</div></div>
Exchange rate (local currency needed to obtain 1€)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
Average annual salary	38 472		39 624		40 416		41 580	42 336	43 140	<div><div></div><div>12,1%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>2,0%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>2,9%</div></div>		<div><div></div><div>1,8%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>1,9%</div></div>
Resources	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Professional judges per 100 000 inhab.	18,1	18,1	18,1	18,1	19,4	19,0	19,6	19,7	19,5	<div><div></div><div>7,7%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>7,5%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>0,9%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>3,3%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>0,5%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-1,1%</div></div>
Non-judge staff per 100 000 inhab.	40,8	40,3	39,5	39,1	39,4	38,8	38,6	38,5	39,1	<div><div></div><div>-4,2%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-0,2%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-2,1%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-0,4%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-0,2%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>1,4%</div></div>
Lawyers per 100 000 inh.	35,7	36,9	38,7	64,7	68,9	69,8	71,8	72,8	73,9	<div><div></div><div>107,1%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>78,2%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>4,2%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>2,9%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>1,4%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>1,5%</div></div>
Mediators	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
ICT overall assesment							7,3	7,2	7,7					<div><div></div><div>-2,3%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>8,1%</div></div>
First instance incoming cases per 100 inhab.	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Civil and commercial litigious cases	0,190	0,195	0,195	0,202	0,156	0,150	0,149	0,153	0,166	<div><div></div><div>-12,6%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-20,0%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-4,3%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-0,3%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>2,4%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>8,7%</div></div>
Administrative law cases	0,508	0,5	0,5	0,494	0,706	0,505	0,445	0,460	0,447	<div><div></div><div>-12,0%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>36,6%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-36,9%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-11,7%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>3,2%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-2,7%</div></div>
Total criminal law cases									1,029						
First instance performance indicators (Clearance Rate)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020 (percentage points)	2014-2016 (percentage points)	2016-2018 (percentage points)	2017-2018 (percentage points)	2018-2019 (percentage points)	2019-2020 (percentage points)
CR civil and commercial litigious cases	103%	106%	105%	94%	125%	111%	102%	100%	94%	<div><div></div><div>-9,58</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>20,26</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-22,60</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-8,59</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-2,36</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-6,22</div></div>
CR administrative law cases	101%	95%	97%	102%	79%	107%	112%	100%	99%	<div><div></div><div>-2,25</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-17,72</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>32,90</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>4,85</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-12,45</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-1,07</div></div>
CR total criminal law cases									89%						
First instance performance indicators (Disposition Time)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
DT civil and commercial litigious cases cases (days)	325	288	289	332	252	258	273	280	300	<div><div></div><div>-7,8%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-12,8%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>8,4%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>5,9%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>2,4%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>7,3%</div></div>
DT administrative law cases (days)	248	277	280	271	279	255	235	254	274	<div><div></div><div>10,4%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-0,4%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-15,9%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-8,0%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>8,4%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>7,7%</div></div>
DT total criminal law cases (days)									189						
First instance pending cases per 100 inhab. on 31 dec.	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Civil and commercial litigious cases	0,17	0,16	0,16	0,17	0,13	0,12	0,11	0,12	0,13	<div><div></div><div>-26,9%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-16,7%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-15,1%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-2,6%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>2,5%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>9,4%</div></div>
Administrative law cases	0,35	0,37	0,38	0,37	0,43	0,38	0,32	0,32	0,33	<div><div></div><div>-5,0%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>11,3%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-24,9%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-15,1%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-0,5%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>3,6%</div></div>
Total criminal law cases									0,48						
Second instance performance indicators (Clearance Rate)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020 (percentage points)	2014-2016 (percentage points)	2016-2018 (percentage points)	2017-2018 (percentage points)	2018-2019 (percentage points)	2019-2020 (percentage points)
CR civil and commercial litigious cases			NA	101%	119%	95%	107%	97%	104%		NA	<div><div></div><div>-11,93</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>11,40</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-10,00</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>7,62</div></div>
CR administrative law cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP		NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
CR total criminal law cases									104%						
Second instance performance indicators (Disposition Time)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
DT civil and commercial litigious cases (days)			NA	206	150	212	177	205	210		NA	<div><div></div><div>17,8%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-16,7%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>15,9%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>2,2%</div></div>
DT administrative law cases (days)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP		NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
DT total criminal law cases (days)									182						
Supreme court performance indicators (Clearance Rate)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020 (percentage points)	2014-2016 (percentage points)	2016-2018 (percentage points)	2017-2018 (percentage points)	2018-2019 (percentage points)	2019-2020 (percentage points)
CR civil and commercial litigious cases			93%	103%	107%	115%	108%	97%	108%		<div><div></div><div>13,52</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>1,38</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-6,53</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-11,12</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>11,38</div></div>
CR administrative law cases			102%	93%	112%	104%	95%	100%	103%		<div><div></div><div>10,79</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-17,27</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-8,33</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>5,20</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>2,68</div></div>
CR total criminal law cases									93%						
Supreme court performance indicators (Disposition Time)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
DT civil and commercial litigious cases (days)			224	219	165	132	131	163	127		<div><div></div><div>-26,3%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-20,8%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-0,6%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>24,7%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-22,2%</div></div>
DT administrative law cases (days)			312	358	225	169	207	197	214		<div><div></div><div>-27,8%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-7,8%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>23,0%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>-5,0%</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>8,8%</div></div>
DT total criminal law cases									122						


1. Judicial organisation in Finland

In Finland, there are 20 district courts with 36 offices, five courts of appeal, the Supreme Court, six administrative courts, the Supreme Administrative Court, the Market Court, the Labour Court and the Insurance Court. One of the Administrative Courts, the Labour Court and the Market Court are located in the same location. In total there are 36 courts in 52 geographic locations.

It is noteworthy recalling that the number of district courts was reduced from 27 to 20 courts at the re-structuring on 1 January 2019. A court can have more than one office. The number of the district courts’ offices has been reduced from 57 to 36 offices.

Besides, as of 1 September 2019, undisputed civil cases (for example debt collection, unpaid rents, other small debts and eviction cases) which are handled and decided in summary proceedings are centralised from all 20 district courts to 9 district courts.


Distribution of general courts in Finland



According to 2020 data, the distribution between 1st instance and higher instances courts of general jurisdiction in Finland is quite different from the EU median of 87% - 13%.

Evolution of number of first instance courts in Finland

	Geographic locations	Legal entities	
		General jurisdiction	Specialised jurisdiction
2012	82	27	11
2013	78	27	11
2014	81	27	9
2015	79	27	9
2016	73	27	9
2017	73	27	9
2018	71	27	9
2019	52	20	9
2020	52	20	9



Distribution of first instance general jurisdiction and specialised courts



The distribution between number of general jurisdiction courts and specialised courts of 69,0% - 31,0% is around the EU median (distribution tendency in EU: 75,5% - 24,5%).

Specialised courts	First instance	Higher instance
Total	9	1
Commercial courts (excluded insolvency courts)	1	NAP
Insolvency courts	NAP	NAP
Labour courts	1	NAP
Family courts	NAP	NAP
Rent and tenancies courts	NAP	NAP
Enforcement of criminal sanctions courts	NAP	NAP
Fight against terrorism, organised crime and corruption	NAP	NAP
Internet related disputes	NAP	NAP
Administrative courts	6	1
Insurance and / or social welfare courts	1	NAP
Military courts	NAP	NAP
Juvenile courts	NAP	NAP
Other specialised 1st instance courts	NAP	NAP

In Finland, there are nine first instance specialised courts, namely: six regional administrative courts, the Market Court, the Labour Court and the Insurance Court.

Another specialised court is the High Court of Impeachment that hears charges against ministers (i.e. members of the Government), the Chancellor of Justice, the Parliamentary Ombudsman and members of the Supreme Court or the Supreme Administrative Court for unlawful conduct in office. In addition, the High Court of Impeachment deals with charges concerning the criminal liability of the President of the Republic. However, it is convened only when necessary.

2. Professionals of justice in Finland

Professional judges and non-judge staff

Evolution of the number of professional judges since 2012 (Q46)




According to 2020 data, the total number of professional judges sitting in courts (all instances) in Finland is 1 077, which is -0,9% less than in previous cycle.

More precisely, in Finland, there are 19,46 professional judges per 100 000 inhabitants (this figure is below the EU median of 23,92 judges per 100 000 inhabitants) and about 2,01 non-judge staff per judge .

There has been a small increase compared with previous cycle when this ratio was at 1,96 non-judge staff per judge.

Absolute number of professional judges by instance and gender

2020	Total	Distribution by instance	Male	Female	% Male	% Female
1st instance	854	79,3%	323	531	37,8%	62,2%
2nd instance	178	16,5%	81	97	45,5%	54,5%
Supreme courts	45	4,2%	29	16	64,4%	35,6%
Total	1 077		433	644	40,2%	59,8%



In this cycle, the total number of female professional judges (all instances) is 644, which represents 59,8% of the total number of judges.

The total number of judges is distributed among the different judicial instances in the following way: 854 are sitting in first instance courts (of which 531 are female); 178 are sitting in second instance courts (of which 97 are female) and 45 are sitting in Supreme Court (of which 16 are female).

Compared with the EU distribution of professional judges per instance the trend in Finland is similar. However, we can notice that first instance and Supreme Court judges are more numerous, while second instance judges are fewer.

As regards the distribution male/female, it has to be specified that that female judges do not have the majority only at third instance.

Absolute number of professional judges by instance and matter

2020	Total	Civil and commercial	Criminal	Administrative	Other
1st instance	854	NA	NA	251	NAP
2nd instance	178	NA	NA	NAP	NA
Supreme courts	45	NA	NA	27	NAP
Total	1 077	NA	NA	278	NAP

In Finland, the distribution of judges per categories of cases is possible for some categories only as presented in the graph below.


Distribution of professional judges by instance and matter

2020	Civil and commercial	Criminal	Administrative	Other
1st instance	NA	NA	29,4%	NAP
2nd instance	NA	NA	NAP	NA
Supreme courts	NA	NA	60,0%	NAP
Total	NA	NA	25,8%	NAP

It should be pointed out that, in Finland, there are not statistics on the number of civil and/ or commercial and criminal judges in the general courts as in many courts judges work in both types of cases. In Market Court, there are 21 judges who are civil/commercial judges.

Non-judge staff

Year	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Number of non-judge staff	2 214	2 196	2 161	2 145	2 170	2 137	2 131	2 128	2 162
Per 100 000 inhabitants	40,80	40,28	39,49	39,10	39,43	38,76	38,59	38,51	39,07



2020	Absolute number	in %
Total	2 162	
Rechtspfleger	NA	NA
Non-judge staff assisting the judge	NA	NA
Staff in charge of administrative tasks	NA	NA
Technical staff	NA	NA
Other	NA	NA

In 2020, Finland has 2 162 non-judge staff (of which 1 646 are females). The total number of non-judge staff in comparison with the previous cycle reveals an increase of 1,6%.

In 2020, the number of non-judge staff per 100 000 inhabitants has increased (from 38,5 in 2019 to 39,1 in 2020).

During the same period, the number of judges per 100 000 inhabitants evolves from 19,7 judges per 100 000 inhabitants in 2019 to 19,5 in 2020.

It is noteworthy that the Finnish court staff organisation does not correspond to the CEPEJ subcategories. Therefore, only the total of non-judge staff can be provided, including office staff (1477), summoners (273), trainee district judges (137) and referendaries (275).

Office staff has tasks mentioned in the categories 2-5.


Summoners' tasks are for example to serve summons, subpoenas and other documents.

Trainee judges have the same responsibility as judges, but they do not have competence to deal with difficult cases. They are always appointed for a fixed term period (one year). In the courts of appeal, the administrative courts, the Supreme Court, the Supreme Administrative Court, the Labour Court and the Market Court a referendary prepares and presents a case to the judges but the final judgment is decided by the judges. The tasks of trainee judges and referendaries correspond to the categories 1 and 2.

As concerns Rechtspfleger, the senior judge of a district court may appoint in writing a member of the office personnel at the district court who has given an affirmation corresponding to the judge's affirmation, who has received sufficient training and who has sufficient skills to attend to the duties: (1) in cases referred to in Chapter 5, section 3 of the Code of Judicial Procedure: (a) to give judgments by default; (b) to give, on the basis of Chapter 21, section 8(c) of the Code of Judicial Procedure, decisions and judgments on court costs, if the respondent has conceded the claim; (c) to decide on the staying of an action if the plaintiff has withdrawn the action and the respondent does not call for a decision in the case; (2) to decide on applications for divorce on the basis of section 25, subsection 1 of the Marriage Act (234/1929) if both spouses are domiciled in Finland. If the case to be decided by office personnel, as referred to in subsection 1, proves to be extensive, subject to interpretation or otherwise difficult to decide, the case shall be transferred for a decision of a notary or a legally trained judge at the district court. The chief judge of a district court may appoint in writing a member of the office personnel at the district court who has sufficient skills, to issue summons and certificates, to effect service of documents and to attend to other duties connected to the preparation, consideration or enforcement of administration of justice matters. Before taking such tasks, the staff member must give an oath. (Courts Act, Chapter 19, Section 6).


Professional judges, non-judge staff and their ratio (Q46, Q52)

Per 100 000 inhabitants	Finland	EU median
Professional judges	19,46	23,92
Non-judge staff	39,07	59,00
Non-judge staff per judge	2,01	3,30



Evolution of the ratio between professional judges and non-judge staff (Q46, Q52)

	Judges per 100 000 inh.	Non-judge staff per 100 000 inh.	Ratio between professional judges and non-judge staff
2012	18,08	40,80	2,26
2013	18,09	40,28	2,23
2014	18,06	39,49	2,19
2015	18,06	39,10	2,16
2016	19,41	39,43	2,03
2017	18,95	38,76	2,04
2018	19,58	38,59	1,97
2019	19,67	38,51	1,96
2020	19,46	39,07	2,01




• Public prosecutors and non-prosecutor staff

Absolute number of public prosecutors by instance and gender (Q55)

2020	Total	Distribution by instance	Male	Female	Male	Female
1st instance	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
2nd instance	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
Supreme courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
Total	390		155	235	39,7%	60,3%

Distribution of public prosecutors by gender



In this cycle, the total number of female prosecutors (all instances) is 235, which represents 60,3% of the total number of prosecutors.

The total number of prosecutors cannot be distributed among the different judicial instances.

As regards the methodology of presentation of data in respect of the number of prosecutors, it should be noticed that prosecutors in Finland are not bound on Court instances. Each prosecutor is expected, in a normal situation, to handle and prosecute the criminal case all the way to the Supreme Court, if necessary.

It should be noted that the National Prosecution Authority comprises the Office of the Prosecutor General that acts as the general administrative unit, and five prosecution districts, namely, Southern Finland, Western Finland, Northern Finland, Eastern Finland and Åland. The National Prosecution Authority has 34 offices around Finland.

The Prosecutor General is the supreme prosecutor and the head of the prosecution service. The Prosecutor General directs and develops prosecutorial activity by issuing general instructions and guidelines to the prosecutors. She/he also appoints district prosecutors. The Prosecutor General may take over a case from a prosecutor, but cannot order a prosecutor to decide the case in any given manner. She/he can also self-decide on the bringing of charges and designate a prosecutor to pursue the case in the courts.


The Deputy Prosecutor General decides the matters in his/her competence on the same authority as the Prosecutor General. He/she also acts as a deputy for the Prosecutor General when necessary.

For regular prosecutorial tasks, the Office of the Prosecutor General has state prosecutors whose jurisdiction covers the entire country. Most criminal matters (about 91 3000 cases annually) are dealt with by the prosecution areas. The Office of the Prosecutor General deals mainly with criminal cases with wider significance to society as a whole, a few dozen every year.

Non-prosecutor staff by gender (Q60)

Non-prosecutor staff	Total	Male	Female
2020	139	11	128


Non-prosecutor staff by gender



Public prosecutors, non-prosecutor staff and their ratio (Q55, Q60)


Per 100 000 inhabitants	Finland	EU median
Public prosecutors	7,05	9,91
Non-prosecutor staff	2,51	15,22
Non-prosecutor staff per prosecutor	0,36	1,11

Public prosecutors and non-prosecutor staff per 100 000 inhabitants, and their ratio



• Salaries of professional judges and prosecutors at beginning of a career and at the highest instance (Q132, Q4)

Salaries of professional judges and prosecutors (Q132, Q4)	Average gross annual salary in €	Average net annual salary in €	Ratio with national average annual gross salary
Judge at the beginning of a career	66 900 €	NA	1,55
Judge of the highest court	136 300 €	NA	3,16
Prosecutor at the beginning of a career	50 880 €	NA	1,18
Public prosecutor at highest instance	NAP	NAP	NA




According to 2020 data, the absolute gross salary of a judge at the begining of a career in Finland of 66 900€ is somewhat above when compared to the EU median of 51 946€. As a ratio with the annual average salary of the country, the salary for a judge at the beginning of career is: 1,55 compared with EU median of : 2,02.

In Finland, there are several salary categories for judges. The salary depends also on the years of work experience. A first instance judge is in a salary category T11 in which the gross salary is from 4731,58€/month to 6042,23€/month depending on his/her experience. A permanent first instance judge has usually at least nine years of work experience which means the salary is 5441,32€/month. In Finland, the taxation is progressive so the information on net salary depends from person to person and is therefore not available.

• Lawyers

Lawyers	Absolute number	Per 100 000 inhabitants
2012	1 935	35,66
2013	2 009	36,85
2014	2 115	38,65
2015	3 550	64,70
2016	3 791	68,89
2017	3 846	69,76
2018	3 965	71,81
2019	4 022	72,79
2020	4 087	73,86



In 2020, there are 4 087 lawyers, which is 1,6% more than in 2019.

Finland has 73,9 lawyers per 100 000 inhabitants, which is below the EU median of 122,1 lawyers per 100 000 inhabitants.

In 2020, the total number of lawyers includes 2211 attorneys-at-law, 1664 licensed legal counsels and 212 public legal aid lawyers. These lawyers can represent a client in court. The title of attorney-at-law is protected by law and can only be used by lawyers accepted into the Finnish Bar Association. The total number of in-house lawyers, trade union lawyers and lawyers working for public authorities is not available.


It is important recalling that as of 2014, only attorneys-at-law, public legal aid lawyers and licenced legal counsels are allowed to represent a client in court. In addition, in-house lawyers can represent their company in court. Lawyers working for trade unions can represent a client in a district court and in the Labour Court in disputes regarding employment relationship. Lawyers working for public authorities can represent the public authority in court.

Generally speaking, in order to qualify as an attorney-at-law, a lawyer needs to have at least four years of work experience and must pass the demanding three-part professional qualification test known as the bar examination. The titles of attorney-at-law and attorney's office are protected by law and can only be used by lawyers accepted into the Finnish Bar Association. Attorney's offices employ also associate lawyers, that is lawyers who are not yet members of the bar.

• Judicial professionals (summary)


Judicial professionals in absolute number and per 100 000 inhabitants (Q46, Q52, Q55, Q60, Q146)

	Absolute number	Per 100 000 inhabitants	EU Median
Professional judges	1 087	19,67	23,92
Non-judge staff	2 162	39,07	59,00
Prosecutors	390	7,05	9,91
Non-prosecutor staff	139	2,51	15,22
Lawyers	4 087	73,86	122,09



Judicial professionals: Gender balance

	% Male	% Female
Professional judges	40,2%	59,8%
Non judge staff	23,9%	76,1%
Prosecutors	39,7%	60,3%
Non-prosecutor staff	7,9%	92,1%
Lawyers	NA	NA



3. Legal aid and court fees in Finland

In Finland, legal aid includes:

- Coverage of court fees:

✗
- Exemption from court fees:

✓

In Finland, legal aid is available for :

- > Representation in court:

◦ Criminal cases

✓

◦ Other than criminal cases

✓
- > Legal advice, ADR and other legal services:

◦ Criminal cases

✓

◦ Other than criminal cases

✓
- > Fees related to enforcement of judicial decisions as fees for enforcement agents (Q18)
- > Other costs than above (Q19)
- ✓
- ✓

The granting of legal aid exempts the recipient from liability for the enforcement fees pertaining to the judgment or the court order and any expenses payable in advance. All necessary costs of enforcement are covered from state funds, if they cannot be collected from the opposing party (Legal Aid Act, Section 4(4)).


The fees and compensations arising from the interpretation and translation services required in the consideration of the matter are waived for a recipient of legal aid. Compensation for a witness called by a party receiving legal aid is paid from the state funds. Other costs arising from presenting evidence by a party receiving legal aid are paid from the state funds if the evidence was necessary for deciding the case. If a party receiving legal aid, other than the defendant in a criminal case, has been summoned to the court in person, the compensation for the costs of appearing before the court are paid from the state funds.

Legal aid can be granted for travel and lodging costs for the lawyer, as well as for the expenses of witnesses, expert witnesses included. A state-covered support person may be appointed to a victim of violent or sexual crimes, in addition to his/her legal representation.

Number of cases for which legal aid has been granted

Absolute number (in 2020)	Total	Cases brought to court	Cases not brought to court
Total	82 628	NA	NA
In criminal cases	NA	NA	NA
In other than criminal cases	NA	NA	NA

Per 100 000 inhabitants (in 2020)	Finland	EU Median
Total	1 493,2	734,2
In criminal cases	NA	330,9
In other than criminal cases	NA	402,7



Currently, the reporting system of the legal aid is being renewed, therefore, the number of cases for which legal aid has been granted cannot be provided.

Legal aid decisions are done by the State Legal Aid Offices. Legal aid can be provided in respect of almost any sort of legal matter. In court cases, the applicant has a choice of lawyers: (1) a public legal aid lawyer (working at the State Legal Aid Office) or (2) a private lawyer, who can be an advocate (member of the Finnish Bar Association) or a licensed lawyer (lawyer who has been granted a permit by the Licensed Lawyers Board to act as a licensed lawyer). In certain matters, legal aid is only given by public legal aid lawyers.

Timeframes of the procedure for granting legal aid (in relation to the duration from the initial legal aid request to the final approval of the legal aid request)

- Maximum duration prescribed in law/regulations:

NAP
- Actual average duration:

NA

4. Performance of courts in Finland

• Efficiency indicators

◦ Clearance Rate (CR) and Disposition Time (DT)


The Clearance Rate shows the capacity of a judicial system to deal with the incoming cases. A Clearance Rate of 100% and higher does not generate backlog.

The Disposition Time determines the estimated number of days necessary for a pending case to be solved in a court.

First instance Total of other than criminal cases

◦ Incoming, resolved and pending cases

Evolution of number of all other than criminal cases per 100 inhabitants



The number of incoming cases in 2020 in Finland (8,46 per 100 inhabitants) is somewhat above EU median (6,82 per 100 inhabitants).


The number of resolved cases in 2020 in Finland (8,89 per 100 inhabitants) is somewhat above EU median (6,60 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of pending cases at the end of 2020 in Finland (2,37 per 100 inhabitants) is slightly below EU median (2,66 per 100 inhabitants).

◦ Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

Other than criminal cases	CR (%)	DT (days)
2012	95%	101
2013	100%	97
2014	102%	103
2015	99%	111
2016	98%	113
2017	96%	118
2018	106%	86
2019	95%	105
2020	105%	97

Clearance Rate in % (CR) and Disposition Time in days (DT) for Other than criminal cases



With a Clearance Rate calculated at 105,1% in 2020 Finland seems to be able to deal with its other than criminal cases.


Between 2019 and 2020, the Clearance Rate has increased by 10,3 points.

In 2020, other than criminal cases are solved in approximately 97 days, which is slightly below EU median of 109 days.

The analysis of the 2019 - 2020 period reveals a -7,4% decrease of the Disposition Time.

First instance Civil (and commercial) litigious cases

◦ Incoming, resolved and pending cases




The number of incoming cases in 2020 in Finland (0,17 per 100 inhabitants) is significantly below EU median (1,56 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of resolved cases in 2020 in Finland (0,16 per 100 inhabitants) is significantly below EU median (1,50 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of pending cases at the end of 2020 in Finland (0,13 per 100 inhabitants) is significantly below EU median (1,05 per 100 inhabitants).

◦ Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	CR (%)	DT (days)
2012	103,2%	325
2013	106,3%	288
2014	104,6%	289
2015	94,2%	332
2016	124,8%	252
2017	110,8%	258
2018	102,2%	273
2019	99,9%	280
2020	93,6%	300



With a Clearance Rate calculated at 93,6% in 2020, Finland seems to encounter some difficulties to deal with its civil and commercial litigious cases.

Between 2019 and 2020, the Clearance Rate has decreased by -6,2 points.


In 2020, the civil and commercial litigious cases are solved in approximately 300 days, which is somewhat above EU median of 221 days.

The analysis of the 2019 - 2020 period reveals a 7,3% increase of the Disposition Time.

The number of civil and commercial litigious cases older than 2 years is not available.

First instance Administrative cases

◦ Incoming, resolved and pending cases




The number of incoming cases in 2020 in Finland (0,45 per 100 inhabitants) is somewhat above EU median (0,30 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of resolved cases in 2020 in Finland (0,44 per 100 inhabitants) is significantly above EU median (0,26 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of pending cases at the end of 2020 in Finland (0,33 per 100 inhabitants) is significantly above EU median (0,21 per 100 inhabitants).

◦ Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

Administrative cases	CR (%)	DT (days)
2012	101,0%	248
2013	94,8%	277
2014	97,1%	280
2015	101,8%	271
2016	79,4%	279
2017	107,4%	255
2018	112,3%	235
2019	99,8%	254
2020	98,7%	274



With a Clearance Rate calculated at 98,7% in 2020, Finland seems to be able to deal with its administrative cases.

Between 2019 and 2020, the Clearance Rate has decreased for -1,1 points.

In 2020, the administrative cases are solved in approximately 274 days, which is somewhat below EU median of 388 days.

The analysis of the 2019 - 2020 period reveals a 7,7% increase of the Disposition Time.


The number of administrative law cases includes cases dealt by the administrative courts, the Market Court and the Insurance Court.

The number of administrative law cases older than 2 years is not available.

Insolvency cases

◦ Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

Insolvency cases	CR (%)	DT (days)
2012	97,1%	250
2013	95,1%	262
2014	103,5%	243
2015	109,9%	235
2016	104,7%	246
2017	108,8%	243
2018	94,8%	260
2019	98,7%	253
2020	121,6%	198



The Clearance Rate was calculated at 121,6% in 2020 for insolvency cases, Finland seems to deal efficiently with its insolvency cases.

Between 2019 and 2020, the Clearance Rate has increased by 22,9 points.

In 2020, insolvency cases are solved in a approximately 198 days, which is somewhat below the EU median of 281 days.

The analysis of the 2019 - 2020 period reveals a -22,0% decrease of the Disposition Time.


• First instance Criminal Law Cases

◦ Incoming, resolved and pending cases

	Pending cases 1 Jan	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases 31 Dec
Total	20 227	56 932	50 834	26 325
Severe criminal cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
Misdemeanour and/or minor cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
Other cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP

Per 100 inhabitants	Pending cases 1 Jan	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases 31 Dec
Total	0,37	1,03	0,92	0,48
Severe criminal cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
Misdemeanour and/or minor cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
Other cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP

Total criminal law cases per 100 inhabitants



The number of total incoming criminal cases in 2020 in Finland (1,03 per 100 inhabitants) is somewhat below EU median (1,60 per 100 inhabitants).


The number of total resolved criminal cases in 2020 in Finland (0,92 per 100 inhabitants) is somewhat below EU median (1,48 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of total pending criminal cases at the end of 2020 in Finland (0,48 per 100 inhabitants) is slightly above EU median (0,46 per 100 inhabitants).

◦ Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

Total criminal law cases	CR (%)	DT (days)
Total	89,3%	189
Severe criminal cases	NAP	NAP
Misdemeanour and/or minor cases	NAP	NAP
Other cases	NAP	NAP

Clearance Rate in % (CR) and Disposition Time in days (DT)





With the Clearance Rate calculated at 89,3% in 2020 for total criminal cases, Finland seems to encounter difficulties to deal with its total criminal cases.

In 2020, criminal law cases were solved in approximately 189 days, which is somewhat above EU median of 139 days.

In Finland, criminal cases are not statistically catecorised in severe criminal cases and misdemeanour and / or minor cases.

Overall efficiency by instance and by case matter

	CR (%)			DT (days)		
	1st instance	2nd instance	Supreme Court	1st instance	2nd instance	Supreme Court
Civil and commercial litigious cases	93,6%	104,4%	108,3%	300	210	127
Administrative cases	98,7%	NAP	103,1%	274	NAP	214
Total criminal law cases	89,3%	104,5%	93,4%	189	182	122



As regards civil and administrative cases, the Clearance rate indicator is below the 100% threshold only in respect of first instance civil cases, while in criminal matters, it is above the efficiency treshold only at second instance.

As to the Disposition Time indicator, the Finnish value is beyond the EU median only with regard to first instance civil cases (the EU median being 221 days). Generally speaking, the cases are processed faster at the Supreme Court level and much longer at first instance. Besides, civil proceedings seem to be the longest at all instances.

5. Public prosecution services in Finland

• Role and powers of the public prosecutor

In the criminal procedure, the public prosecutor in Finland has the following 7 out of 11 possible roles and powers:

To conduct or supervise police investigation	✗	To appeal	✓
To conduct investigations	✗	To supervise the enforcement procedure	✗
When necessary, to request investigation measures from the judge	✓	To discontinue a case without needing a decision by a judge	✓
To charge	✓	To end the case by imposing or negotiating a penalty or measure without requiring a judicial decision	✓
To present the case in the court	✓	Other significant powers	✗
To propose a sentence to the judge	✓		

The pre-trial investigation is the duty of the pre-trial authorities who are the police, the Finnish Border Guard, the Finnish Customs and the Finnish Defence Forces. Once the criminal investigation is completed, the material compiled during the investigation which is called 'pre-trial investigation material' is sent to the prosecutor. Then the prosecutor evaluates whether a criminal offence has been committed and whether there is sufficient evidence for prosecution and for what kind of charges. The prosecutor cooperates with the police in the pre-trial investigation and serves as the head of the pre-trial investigation in cases where the suspect is a police officer. On the request of the public prosecutor, the pre-trial investigation authority shall conduct a criminal investigation or perform a criminal investigation measure. The pre-trial investigation authority shall also comply with orders given by the public prosecutor intended to ensure clarification of the matter. The pre-trial investigation authority shall, in the manner required by the nature or scope of the matter, notify the prosecutor of the conducting of a criminal investigation and of circumstances connected with criminal investigation measures and otherwise of progress in the investigation.

A prosecutor's task is to make sure that a criminal act is punished by a legal sanction. A prosecutor has to consider a case impartially, promptly and economically in a manner consistent with the legal safeguards of the parties and the public interest. A charge must be brought if there is a prima facie case against the suspect. If a charge is not brought, the prosecutor must make a decision not to prosecute. A prosecutor is independent in his or her decision-making. The prosecutor cannot accept instructions or orders from anyone in his or her cases. For example, the police's opinion on who has committed an offence or which offence has been committed does not bind the prosecutor. In certain circumstances, based on the proposal of the pre-trial investigation officer in charge of the investigation the prosecutor may order that a pre-trial investigation is carried out or a pre-trial investigation already started is discontinued. The prosecutor brings a charge by filing a written application for a summons to the district court. If the court allows it, the prosecutor may bring a charge by self-issuing a summons.



The prosecutor must present the case orally in the court. It is the duty of the prosecutor to prove the charge, by obtaining sufficient evidence in support of the charge and by presenting the evidence to the court. After the trial, it is for the court to decide whether to accept or dismiss the charge, to determine the punishment and to assess the compensation for damages and the other possible sanctions. Like other parties of the case, the prosecutor has the right to appeal the judgment of a lower court to a higher court.

In certain simple cases, the prosecutor has the competence to order a fine and a confiscatory sanction in written proceedings without bringing the case to the court. (Art 3 of the "Law on Ordering Fines and Summary Fines (754/2010)") This option is available if the suspect does not demand that a court hears the case (Art 4). A prosecutor orders a summary fine or a fine based on the seriousness of the act and the suspect's income (Art 1). The decision can be appealed to the district court (Art 35).

The public prosecutor does not have any role in civil, administrative or insolvency cases.

Public prosecutors: Number of first instance criminal cases

Type of cases	Absolute number	Per 100 inhabitants
1. Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	13 991	0,25
2. Incoming/received cases	91 246	1,65
3. Processed cases (3.1 + 3.2 + 3.3 + 3.4)	87 530	1,58
3.1. Discontinued during the reference year (3.1.1 + 3.1.2 + 3.1.3 + 3.1.4)	25 888	0,47
3.1.1 Discontinued by the public prosecutor because the offender could not be identified	NAP	NAP
3.1.2 Discontinued by the public prosecutor due to the lack of an established offence or a specific legal situation	389	0,01
3.1.3 Discontinued by the public prosecutor for reasons of opportunity	16 809	0,30
3.1.4 Discontinued for other reasons	8 690	0,16
3.2. Concluded by a penalty or a measure imposed or negotiated by the public prosecutor	12	0,00
3.3. Cases closed by the public prosecutor for other reasons	4 917	0,09
3.4. Cases brought to court	56 713	1,02
4. Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	17 707	0,32



It should be noted that notice cases of summary fines are not included in the category cases "Concluded by a penalty or a measure imposed or negotiated by the public prosecutor". The number of summary fines is 38 433.

The decrease in the number of cases “Concluded by a penalty or a measure imposed or negotiated by the public prosecutor” is due to a change in the law. The law on certain type of fine (rangaistusmääräysmenettely) was abolished in 2016 and replaced with the law on fines and summary penalty fee (laki sakon ja rikesakon määräämisestä (754/2010). According to this law, the police can order the summary penal fee. The following page, in Finnish, shows figures of the amount in euros of these summary fines imposed by prosecutors (2nd graph) and by the police (3rd graph): <https://www.oikeusrekisterikeskus.fi/fi/index/tietopalvelu/tilastotjaavoindata/sakot.html>

With regard to the categories "Discontinued cases for other reasons" and "Cases closed by the public prosecutor for other reasons", it should be pointed out that: The prosecutor must waive prosecution if: (1) the prerequisites for the bringing of charges provided in section 6, subsection 1 are not met; (2) the prosecutor waives prosecution on the basis of section 6, subsection 2; (3) the injured party has not requested that charges be brought or another special prerequisite provided in law for the bringing of charges referred to in section 2, subsection 2 is not met and the nature of the case requires that a separate decision be made.

The prosecutor may waive prosecution if: (1) if no sentence more severe than a fine is to be anticipated for the offence and the offence, with consideration to its detrimental effects or the degree of culpability of the offender manifested in it, is to be deemed petty as a whole; and (2) if the suspect had not reached the age of eighteen at the time of the commission of the suspected offence and no sentence more severe than a fine or imprisonment for at most six months is to be anticipated for this offence and it is to be deemed to be more the result of lack of understanding or thoughtlessness than of heedlessness of the prohibitions and commands of the law. In addition, the prosecutor may waive prosecution, unless an important public or private interest requires otherwise if: 1) if criminal proceedings and punishment are to be deemed unreasonable or inappropriate in view of a settlement reached by the suspect in the offence and the injured party, the other action of the suspect in the offence to prevent or remove the effects of the offence, the personal circumstances of the suspect in the offence, the other consequences of the act to him or her, the welfare and health care measures undertaken and the other circumstances; (2) under the provisions on joint punishment or on the consideration of previous punishments in sentencing, the suspected offence would not have an essential effect on the total punishment; or (3) the expenses in continuing to consider the case would be in manifest disproportion to the nature of the case and to the sanction possibly to be expected in it.

Also, If charges are being considered for two or more offences for which the same person is suspected and if he or she has contributed to the clarification of one or more of the suspected offences, the prosecutor may decide not to bring charges for all of the suspected offences. However, charges shall be brought if required by an important public or private interest.

6. Existence and use of alternative dispute resolution in Finland

Number of mediators

In Finland, there is no accreditation or register for court-related mediators. All mediators are trained in a special training program for mediation.

The variation between 2019 and 2020 cannot be calculated.

Number of court related mediations

Type of cases	Number of cases for which the parties agreed to start mediation	Number of finished court-related mediations	Number of cases in which there is a settlement agreement
All cases	2417	2288,00	1802,00
Civil and commercial	946	869,00	690,00
Family cases	1258	1217,00	956,00
Administrative	NAP	NAP	NAP
Employment dismissal	213	202,00	156,00
Criminal cases	NAP	NAP	NAP
Consumer cases	NA	NA	NA

In Finland, consumer cases are not statistically specified. Therefore, the number of cases in which consumers are involved is included in the number of civil and commercial cases.

7. ICT tools of courts in Finland

•The ICT tools of courts and for court users

The use of ICT in courts in 2020 has been evaluated as : EU Median


Total	7,7	6,6
Assistance tools (0 to 3)	2,0	2,0
Case management system (0 to 7)	5,6	5,2
Financial management tools (0 to 3)	1,0	1,3
Measurement tools to assess the workload (0 to 5)	3,7	2,5
Electronic communication (0 to 10)	9,4	6,9

The calculation of this values for each field is based on the answers for that question/s and weighted according the avaiability or deployment rate. The total value is normalised to max 10 points for readability and comparison.

The details of the calculation are given in Annex 5 - IT calculations
The result by area may be summarized in these graphics, where each field has been evaluated from 0 to 4 points.

Note: index is modified based on the available questions. This cycle the recalculation was made for the last three cycles to be able to follow the development.

ICT tools assessment from 2018 to 2020



Comments on voice recording tools

Simple dictation tools are not used to dictate for someone to type it later.

Regarding the availability of multiple speakers recording tools, it should be mentioned that witness statements are recorded in the courts to a centralised server from which they can be accessed by a higher court handling the appeal.

As regards the case management systems, it should be highlighted that courts are in transition from the old systems (Sakari and Tuomas) to the new system (AIPA). Some of the cases were still handled in the old systems but some have already moved to the new system (secret coercive measures, petitionary matters). As the development of the new system is still ongoing, for example the statistical tools are not yet fully functional/automated.

In HAIPA (administrative and specialized courts), the parties can access the following data from the system: a date and time of hearing which is open to parties, documents that they themselves have sent to the court, documents sent by other parties after the judge has classified them available, the status of the case including "decided", and the decision after the judge has classified it as available.

More information on AIPA project (the development of the case management system for general courts), and HAIPA project (the development of the case management system for administrative court) can be found from the web-pages of the Finnish National Courts Administration, at www.tuomioistuinvirasto.fi

Comments on measurement tools on workload

There is a system for collecting data on handling cases and this is deployed to all courts.

In administrative courts, Power BI software is compatible with the new case management system, HAIPA. During the transition period, the administrative courts also use the Business Objects Board software (BOBI) the cases still pending in the old case management system.

The general courts are also transitioning to a new case management system, AIPA. However, the number of cases in the new system was much lower than in the administrative courts. Similarly, during the transition period, the general courts also use the Business Objects Board software (BOBI) regarding the cases still pending in the old case management system.

Due to data protection, only the court where the judge / staff member works, consults the data related to an individual. The heads of courts are able to follow the number of cases resolved by the judge. Often, this data is not used on a detailed/short term manner. Rather, it may be used at a court level (for example in budget negotiations) and as a long term indicator, or in case of a sudden and radical change in judges output (but even then not as a tool for disciplinary measure).

In addition, there is a tool for reporting the working hours is 'deployed' to the courts 100% in the sense that it is available and accessible. The estimated use corresponds '50-99%'.

As concerns prosecutors, the data is used for monitoring at national level and at local level. The tool used (BOBI) is not connected to the CMS. PowerBI software will be introduced in 2021 for statistical and monitoring purposes. It was prepared in 2020.

Similarly, the introduction of the new case management system AIPA and the new administrative register HILDA in 2021 were prepared in 2020.

Comments on communication tools

With respect to submission of a case to courts by electronic means, it should be recalled that as of 1 September 2019, it has been mandatory to submit the applications for summons in undisputed civil cases via electronic services. Only private individuals representing themselves can submit their applications for summons in person, by post or by e-mail.

Regarding the transmission of summons, the Supreme Administrative Court has ruled that providing its email address to the court is interpreted as a consent to use it. The portal for parties for administrative courts is technically a secured internet page. If this functionality is approved by the parties to the procedure, the administrative court's case management system HAIPA sends an SMS to notify them of new messages in the system. These messages do not contain the content of the court's message. For the general courts, an email including an invitation to a preparatory hearing to a civil case or a petitionary case can be sent directly from the case management system AIPA. This message includes a separate document for "acknowledgement of service" that the invited person is asked to return to the court. For other messages, the AIPA case management system has an interesting semi-electronic feature - a letter will be sent directly from the system in electronic form, but an external service provider will print the letter and post it to the recipient as an ordinary letter.

With respect to the possibilities of electronic communication between courts and lawyers and/or parties, it should be pointed out that in a summary civil case (an undisputed debt, undisputed cases concerning evictions, restoration of possession or a disrupted circumstance), except for a private individual, initiating the matter must be done using electronic services. The digitalization project for the prosecutors and the general courts, AIPA, is ongoing. As already mentioned, this case management system will replace their current case management systems. Since spring 2018, the tool has been used for secret coercive measure cases and since spring 2020, for petitionary matters. As mentioned above, the administrative and special courts have their own case management system, HAIPA. There are three different ways to communicate electronically with the administrative and special courts: 1) email (signature not required if there is no doubt about identity of the sender) except when a document needs to be served in a "verifiable way", 2) via the customer portal of the HAIPA-system (also available to those govt agencies integrated with the system), or 3) the "Verifiable electronic service" described below (from parties to the courts). The Code on Judicial Procedure (Chapter 11 Section 3) allows for serving documents electronically: "[...] (2) by letter, (3) by an electronic message as is stipulated in the Act on Electronic Services and Communication in the Public Sector (13/2003), Section 18. [...] The documents referred to above in subsection 1(2) may also be sent as an electronic message in the manner identified by the addressee." Similarly, the Administrative Procedure Act acknowledges the electronic service – it refers both to the Code on Judicial Procedure (Chapter 11) and the Act on Electronic Services and Communication in the Public Sector (13/2003). In turn, the Act on Electronic Services and Communication in the Public Sector (13/2003), Section 18 stipulates on the "Verifiable electronic service" with the consent of the party. In such cases, the authority notifies the party that the decision is available for retrieval by the party or a representative of the party. After verifiable identification the party or the representative of the party can retrieve the decision. The service of the decision shall be considered effected when the document has been retrieved. If the decision is not retrieved within seven days of the notification, the document will be served in another matter.

In practice, however, electronic communication is not used in the manner described in the Act on Electronic Services and Communication in the Public Sector. After the parties have approved to the use of electronic messages and verified the correct address, the courts use email in communication with the parties (with the exception of the decisions which required a verifiable service / acknowledgment of receipt).

Electronic communication used by professionals other than lawyers: as concerns notaries, their tasks do not require them to deal with courts. However, as they are civil servants, their decisions can be appealed. In such case, they can deal with the courts with an email that includes electronic signature. When a notary is a party to the procedure, there is no specific computer application.

As regards enforcement agents, enforcement here includes Enforcement Agency (fines, confiscation, forfeitures) but also prison and probation services. Enforcement Agency can interact with the courts by email. Prison and probation Services has a specific computer application that transfers data from the courts to them. Similarly, the courts send data to Legal Register Centre/Fines via a specific application.

8. Systems for measuring and evaluating the performance of courts and public prosecution services in Finland

In Finland, quality standards are determined for the judicial system at national level (e.g. quality systems for the judiciary and/or judicial quality policies). Specialised personnel within the public prosecution services is entrusted with implementation of these national level quality standards.

More precisely, there are quality projects covering civil and/or criminal cases in the Court of Appeal of Rovaniemi judicial district and in the Helsinki Court of Appeal judicial district. In a quality project, one or several working groups are set up usually for a year. There are judges from district courts within the judicial district of a court of appeal and court of appeal judges and referendaries in the working group. Depending on the topic, prosecutors, attorneys-at-law and other lawyers, public legal aid lawyers and police may also participate in the working group's work. The working group writes a report on a specific theme, for example developing conduct of the court proceedings or legal costs in criminal and civil cases. The written report is presented and discussed in a formal event and published. The aim is to provide legal professionals with practical information and guidelines on a certain topic.

In addition, there are co-operation projects between administrative courts.

The Finnish Association of Judges compiled and published Ethical Principles for Judges in 2012.

Finally, it should be highlighted that Prosecution Services' system quality improvement project is underway.

• Systems for measuring and evaluating courts' performance

A regular monitoring system of court activities is in place concerning:

Number of incoming cases	✓	Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)	✗
Length of proceedings (timeframes)	✓	Costs of the judicial procedures	✗
Number of resolved cases	✓	Number of appeals	✓
Number of pending cases	✓	Appeal ratio	✓
Backlogs	✓	Clearance rate	✓
Productivity of judges and court staff	✓	Disposition time	✓
Satisfaction of court staff	✓	Other	✗

All courts keep statistics of the mentioned court activities in the operational case management systems. National Courts Administration can access these figures through a reporting system.

In Finland, there is a system to regularly evaluate the court performance based primarily on defined indicators and the frequency of the reporting is annual.

More precisely, the performance of each court is evaluated during the annual budget negotiations. However, the general performance of the courts as a whole (for example disposition times) is monitored more frequently.

Performance and quality indicators are defined for the activity of each court.

The following indicators are used:

Number of incoming cases	✓	Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)	✗
Length of proceedings (timeframes)	✓	Costs of the judicial procedures	✗
Number of resolved cases	✓	Number of appeals	✓
Number of pending cases	✓	Appeal ratio	✓
Backlogs	✓	Clearance rate	✓
Productivity of judges and court staff	✓	Disposition time	✓
Satisfaction of court staff	✓	Other	✗

Statistics Finland (until 2013) or Ministry of Justice (until 2019) no longer collect statistical data regarding the functioning of the courts and the judiciary. From 2020, onward the National Courts Administration collects data and publishes the annual operational statistics.

The evaluation of the courts' activities is used for the later allocation of means in the courts.

• Systems for measuring and evaluating public prosecution services' performance

A regular monitoring system of public prosecution services activities is in place concerning:

Number of incoming cases	✓	Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the public prosecution)	✗
Length of proceedings (timeframes)	✓	Costs of the judicial procedures	✗
Number of resolved cases	✓	Clearance rate	✗
Number of pending cases	✓	Disposition time	✗
Backlogs	✓	Percentage of convictions and acquittals	✓
Productivity of prosecutors and prosecution staff	✓	Other	✗
Satisfaction of prosecution staff	✓		

In respect of the category "backlogs", it should be pointed out that the cases that are monitored are the cases that have been pending for longer than a year .

In Finland, there is a system to evaluate regularly the activity of each public prosecution service and the reporting is more frequent than annual.

More precisely, the prosecution services are evaluated biannually.

Performance and quality indicators are defined for the activity of each public prosecution service.

The following indicators are used:

Number of incoming cases	✓	Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the public prosecutors)	✗
Length of proceedings (timeframes)	✓	Costs of the judicial procedures	✗
Number of resolved cases	✓	Clearance rate	✗
Number of pending cases	✓	Disposition time	✗
Backlogs	✓	Percentage of convictions and acquittals	✗
Productivity of prosecutors and prosecution staff	✓	Other	✗
Satisfaction of prosecution staff	✓		

In Finland, only performance indicators are defined for the activity of each public prosecution service.

As mentionned above, Prosecution Services' system quality improvement project is underway.

The evaluation of the public prosecution services' activities is not used for the later allocation of means in the public prosecution services.

Finland (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Table General Data: Economic and demographic data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)																		
Q1 Number of inhabitants	5 426 674	5 451 270	5 471 753	5 486 616	5 503 297	5 513 130	5 521 773	5 525 292	5 533 793	2,0%	0,5%	0,4%	0,3%	0,3%	0,2%	0,2%	0,1%	0,2%
Q.3 GDP Per capita (in €) in current prices	35 571	37 018	37 559	38 162	38 959	40 612	42 340	43 567	42 701	20,0%	4,1%	1,5%	1,6%	2,1%	4,2%	4,3%	2,9%	-2,0%
Q5. Exchange rate of Nat currency to € on 1 Jan	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Indicator 1: Systems for measuring and evaluating the performance of courts and prosecution services (Indicator 4 in 2019)																		
Table 1.1 to Table 1.10 (Q66, Q67, Q77, Q78, Q77-1, Q78-1, Q73, Q73-0, Q73-1, Q73-2, Q73-3, Q73-4, Q73-5, Q73-6, Q70, Q70-1, Q71, Q72, Q83-2, Q83-3, Q120 and Q120-1)																		
66 Qlty standards formulated_jud system	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
67 Specialised court staff entrusted_qlty standards	No	No	No	No	False	False	False	False	False									
77 Performance and quality indicators of court activities	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
078.1.1 Number of incoming cases							True	True	True									
078.1.2 Length of proceedings (timeframes)							True	True	True									
078.1.3 Number of resolved cases							True	True	True									
078.1.4 Number of pending cases							True	True	True									
078.1.5 Backlogs							True	True	True									
078.1.6 Productivity of judges and court staff							True	True	True									
078.1.7 Satisfaction of court staff							False	True	True									
078.1.8 Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)							False	False	False									
078.1.9 Costs of the judicial procedures							False	False	False									
078.1.10 Number of appeals							True	True	True									
078.1.11 Appeal ratio							True	True	True									
078.1.12 Clearance rate							True	True	True									
078.1.13 Disposition time							True	True	True									
078.1.14 Other							False	False	False									
077-1.1.1 Defined performance and quality indicators									True									

Finland (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
078-1.1.1 Number of incoming cases									True									
078-1.1.2 Length of proceedings (timeframes)									True									
078-1.1.3 Number of resolved cases									True									
078-1.1.4 Number of pending cases									True									
078-1.1.5 Backlogs									True									
078-1.1.6 Productivity of prosecutors and prosecution staff									True									
078-1.1.7 Satisfaction of prosecution staff									True									
078-1.1.8 Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the public prosecution)									False									
078-1.1.9 Costs of the judicial procedures									False									
078-1.1.10 Clearance rate									False									
078-1.1.11 Disposition time									False									
078-1.1.12 Percentage of convictions and acquittals									False									
078-1.1.13 Other									False									
73 Regular system_evaluation_performance_each court	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
073-0.1.1 Annual					True	True	True	True	True									
073-0.1.2 Less frequent					False	False	False	False	False									
073-0.1.3 More frequent					False	False	False	False	False									
073-1.1.1 Evaluation used for the allocation of resources within the court			Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
073-2.1.1 Courses of action taken in the evaluation is used for the allocation of resources							True	True	True									
073-2.1.2 Reallocating resources (human/financial resources based on performance)							True	True	True									
073-2.1.3 Reengineering of internal procedures to increase efficiency							False	False	False									
073-2.1.4 Other							False	False	False									
073-3.1.1 Regular evaluation of the public prosecution services performance									True									

Finland (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
073-4.1.1 Annual									False									
073-4.1.2 Less frequent									False									
073-4.1.3 More frequent									True									
073-5.1.1 Evaluation used for the allocation of resources within the public prosecution services									False									
073-6.1.1 Identifying the causes of improved or deteriorated performance									True									
073-6.1.2 Reallocating resources (human/financial resources based on performance)									True									
073-6.1.3 Reengineering of internal procedures to increase efficiency									True									
073-6.1.4 Other									True									
070.1.1 number of incoming cases	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
070.1.2 length of proceedings (timeframes)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
070.1.3 number of resolved cases	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
070.1.4 number of pending cases							True	True	True									
070.1.5 backlogs							True	True	True									
070.1.6 productivity of judges and court staff							True	True	True									
070.1.7 satisfaction of court staff							False	True	True									
070.1.8 satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)							False	False	False									
070.1.9 costs of the judicial procedures							False	False	False									
070.1.10 number of appeals							True	True	True									
070.1.11 appeal ratio							True	True	True									
070.1.12 clearance rate							True	True	True									

Finland (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
070-1.1.1 Number of incoming cases									True									
070-1.1.2 Length of proceedings (timeframes)									True									
070-1.1.3 Number of resolved cases									True									
070-1.1.4 Number of pending cases									True									
070-1.1.5 Backlogs									True									
070-1.1.6 Productivity of prosecutors and prosecution staff									True									
070-1.1.7 Satisfaction of prosecution staff									True									
070-1.1.8 Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the public prosecution)									False									
070-1.1.9 Costs of the judicial procedures									False									
070-1.1.10 Clearance rate									False									
070-1.1.11 Disposition time									False									
070-1.1.12 Percentage of convictions and acquittals									True									
070-1.1.13 Other									False									
071.1.1 Monitoring backlogs in Civil law cases									True									
071.1.2 Monitoring backlogs in Criminal law cases									True									
071.1.3 Monitoring backlogs in Administrative law cases									True									
072.1.1 Monitoring timeframes Within the courts									True									
072.1.2 Monitoring timeframes Within the public prosecution services									True									
083-2.1.1 Quantitative performance tagets defined for each prosecutors									True									
083-3.1.1 Body responsible - Executive power (for example the Ministry of Justice)									False									
083-3.1.2 Body responsible - Prosecutor General /State public prosecutor									False									
083-3.1.3 Body responsible - Public Prosecutorial Council									False									
083-3.1.4 Body responsible - Head of the organisational unit or hierarchically superior public prosecutor									True									
083-3.1.5 Body responsible - Other									False									

Finland (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
120.1.1 Qualitative individual assessment of the public prosecutors' work									False									
120-1.1.1 Feequency - Annual									-									
120-1.1.2 Feequency - Less frequent									-									
120-1.1.3 Feequency - More frequent									-									
Indicator 2: The judicial organisation																		
Tables 2.1a; 2.1b; 2.2a; 2.2b; 2.3a; 2.3b; 2.4 and 2.5(EC) (Q42, Q43 and Q44)																		
Q42.1.1Total number of all courts - legal entities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	36	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Q42.1.2 Total number of courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	26	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Q42.1.3 First instance courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	20	-25,9%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	-25,9%	0,0%
Q42.1.4 Second instance courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Q42.1.5 Highest instance courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Q42.1.6 Total number of specialised courts - legal entities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.1 Total number of specialised courts of first instance	11	11	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	-18,2%	0,0%	-18,2%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%
43.1.2 Commercial courts (excluded insolvency courts)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%
43.1.3 Insolvency courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.4 Labour courts	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%
43.1.5 Family courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.6 Rent and tenancies courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.7 Enforcement of criminal sanctions courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.8 Fight against terrorism, organised crime and corruption	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.9 Internet related disputes	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.10 Administrative courts	8	8	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	-25,0%	0,0%	-25,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%
43.1.11 Insurance and / or social welfare courts	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%
43.1.12 Military courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.13 Juvenile courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Finland (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
43.1.14 Other specialised courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.1 Total number of specialised courts of higher instances	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.2 Commercial courts (excluded insolvency courts)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.3 Insolvency courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.4 Labour courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.5 Family courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.6 Rent and tenancies courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.7 Enforcement of criminal sanctions courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.8 Fight against terrorism, organised crime and corruption	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.9 Internet related disputes	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.10 Administrative courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.11 Insurance and / or social welfare courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.12 Military courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.13 Juvenile courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.14 Other specialised courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44.1.1 First instance courts geographic locations	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	45	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44.1.2 All courts geographic locations	82	78	81	79	73	73	71	52	52	-36,6%	-4,9%	3,8%	-2,5%	-7,6%	0,0%	-2,7%	-26,8%	0,0%

Finland (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Indicator 3: The performance of courts at all stages of the proceedings																			
Tables 3.1.1.1 to 3.1.1.4 (all years) Number of other than criminal cases (Q91)																			
Table 3.3.1 to 3.3.3 Variation of first instance other than criminal cases per 100 inhabitants (Q1, Q91)																			
Table 3.13.7 (EC) to 3.13.12 (EC) First instance other than criminal cases (Q91)																			
91.1.1 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	109 588	137 004	137 261	127 125	128 042	136 237	154 229	115 918	155 291	41,7%	25,0%	0,2%	-7,4%	0,7%	6,4%	13,2%	-24,8%	34,0%	
91.1.2 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	9 829	9 600	9 321	8 883	9 530	7 358	6 487	6 451	6 497	-33,9%	-2,3%	-2,9%	-4,7%	7,3%	-22,8%	-11,8%	-0,6%	0,7%	
91.1.3 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	102 233	91 790	97 217	100 644	121 848	86 233	125 526	-	-	-	-10,2%	5,9%	3,5%	21,1%	-29,2%	45,6%	
91.1.4 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	75 446	103 192	102 233	91 790	97 217	100 644	121 848	86 233	125 526	66,4%	36,8%	-0,9%	-10,2%	5,9%	3,5%	21,1%	-29,2%	45,6%	
91.1.5 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
91.1.6 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
91.1.7 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
91.1.8 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
91.1.9 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
91.1.10 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Administrative law cases	19 203	18 849	20 233	20 955	15 553	22 940	20 765	17 620	18 029	-6,1%	-1,8%	7,3%	3,6%	-25,8%	47,5%	-9,5%	-15,1%	2,3%	
91.1.11 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	5 110	5 363	5 474	5 497	5 742	5 295	5 129	5 614	5 242	2,6%	5,0%	2,1%	0,4%	4,5%	-7,8%	-3,1%	9,5%	-6,6%	
91.2.1 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	524 352	519 154	440 553	441 823	451 430	496 472	499 995	522 977	467 946	-10,8%	-1,0%	-15,1%	0,3%	2,2%	10,0%	0,7%	4,6%	-10,5%	
91.2.2 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	10 320	10 644	10 677	11 108	8 587	8 259	8 244	8 448	9 201	-10,8%	3,1%	0,3%	4,0%	-22,7%	-3,8%	-0,2%	2,5%	8,9%	
91.2.3 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	391 260	393 554	393 960	450 958	457 303	480 320	425 171	-	-	-	0,6%	0,1%	14,5%	1,4%	5,0%	-11,5%	
91.2.4 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	476 764	470 137	391 260	393 554	393 960	450 958	457 303	480 320	425 171	-10,8%	-1,4%	-16,8%	0,6%	0,1%	14,5%	1,4%	5,0%	-11,5%	
91.2.5 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
91.2.6 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
91.2.7 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
91.2.8 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
91.2.9 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
91.2.10 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Administrative law cases	27 579	28 214	28 254	27 112	38 831	27 817	24 593	25 396	24 743	-10,3%	2,3%	0,1%	-4,0%	43,2%	-28,4%	-11,6%	3,3%	-2,6%	
91.2.11 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	9 689	10 159	10 362	10 049	10 052	9 438	9 855	8 813	8 831	-8,9%	4,9%	2,0%	-3,0%	0,0%	-6,1%	4,4%	-10,6%	0,2%	

Finland (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
91.3.1 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	497 063	518 725	450 486	436 443	442 641	478 438	529 974	495 812	491 856	-1,0%	4,4%	-13,2%	-3,1%	1,4%	8,1%	10,8%	-6,4%	-0,8%
91.3.2 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	10 653	11 319	11 164	10 463	10 718	9 152	8 427	8 436	8 616	-19,1%	6,3%	-1,4%	-6,3%	2,4%	-14,6%	-7,9%	0,1%	2,1%
91.3.3 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	401 590	388 228	390 607	429 811	484 490	452 792	449 309	-	-	-	-3,3%	0,6%	10,0%	12,7%	-6,5%	-0,8%
91.3.4 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	449 101	470 722	401 590	388 228	390 607	429 811	484 490	452 792	449 309	0,0%	4,8%	-14,7%	-3,3%	0,6%	10,0%	12,7%	-6,5%	-0,8%
91.3.5 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.3.6 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.3.7 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.3.8 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.3.9 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.3.10 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Administrative law cases	27 852	26 745	27 429	27 595	30 815	29 878	27 608	25 348	24 432	-12,3%	-4,0%	2,6%	0,6%	11,7%	-3,0%	-7,6%	-8,2%	-3,6%
91.3.11 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	9 457	9 939	10 303	10 157	10 501	9 597	9 449	9 236	9 499	0,4%	5,1%	3,7%	-1,4%	3,4%	-8,6%	-1,5%	-2,3%	2,8%
91.4.1 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	136 877	137 433	127 328	132 586	136 831	154 271	124 250	143 083	131 384	-4,0%	0,4%	-7,4%	4,1%	3,2%	12,7%	-19,5%	15,2%	-8,2%
91.4.2 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	9 496	8 925	8 834	9 528	7 399	6 465	6 304	6 463	7 082	-25,4%	-6,0%	-1,0%	7,9%	-22,3%	-12,6%	-2,5%	2,5%	9,6%
91.4.3 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	91 903	97 116	100 570	121 791	94 661	113 761	101 388	-	-	-	5,7%	3,6%	21,1%	-22,3%	20,2%	-10,9%
91.4.4 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	103 109	102 607	91 903	97 116	100 570	121 791	94 661	113 761	101 388	-1,7%	-0,5%	-10,4%	5,7%	3,6%	21,1%	-22,3%	20,2%	-10,9%
91.4.5 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.4.6 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.4.7 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.4.8 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.4.9 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.4.10 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Administrative law cases	18 930	20 318	21 058	20 475	23 569	20 879	17 750	17 668	18 340	-3,1%	7,3%	3,6%	-2,8%	15,1%	-11,4%	-15,0%	-0,5%	3,8%
91.4.11 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	5 342	5 583	5 533	5 467	5 293	5 136	5 535	5 191	4 574	-14,4%	4,5%	-0,9%	-1,2%	-3,2%	-3,0%	7,8%	-6,2%	-11,9%

Finland (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions										
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020		
Table 3.2.1.1 to 3.2.1.2 (all years) First instance courts: Clearance rate and disposition time for other than criminal cases (Q91)																				
Table 3.3.4 to 3.3.7 Variation of Clearence Rate and Disposition Time of first instance other than criminal cases (Q91)																				
Table 3.13.1 (EC) to 3.13.6 (EC) First instance courts: Disposition time and clearance rate for other than criminal cases (Q91)																				
CR Total of other than criminal law cases	94,8%	99,9%	102,3%	98,8%	98,1%	96,4%	106,0%	94,8%	105,1%	10,88	5,40	2,34	- 3,40	- 0,74	- 1,72	9,99	- 10,56	10,87		
CR Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	103,2%	106,3%	104,6%	94,2%	124,8%	110,8%	102,2%	99,9%	93,6%	- 9,29	3,02	- 1,67	- 9,92	32,51	- 11,22	- 7,75	- 2,31	- 6,22		
CR Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	102,6%	98,6%	99,1%	95,3%	105,9%	94,3%	105,7%	-	-	-	- 3,89	0,51	- 3,87	11,16	- 11,02	12,10		
CR General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	94,2%	100,1%	102,6%	98,6%	99,1%	95,3%	105,9%	94,3%	105,7%	12,19	6,29	2,51	- 3,89	0,51	- 3,87	11,16	- 11,02	12,10		
CR Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
CR Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
CR Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
CR Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
CR Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
CR Administrative law cases	101,0%	94,8%	97,1%	101,8%	79,4%	107,4%	112,3%	99,8%	98,7%	- 2,22	- 6,14	2,41	4,84	- 22,03	35,35	4,52	- 11,09	- 1,07		
CR Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	97,6%	97,8%	99,4%	101,1%	104,5%	101,7%	95,9%	104,8%	107,6%	10,20	0,23	1,63	1,65	3,36	- 2,66	- 5,71	9,30	2,64		
DT Total of other than criminal law cases	101	97	103	111	113	118	86	105	97	-3,0%	-3,8%	6,7%	7,5%	1,8%	4,3%	-27,3%	23,1%	-7,4%		
DT Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	325	288	289	332	252	258	273	280	300	-7,8%	-11,5%	0,4%	15,1%	-24,2%	2,3%	5,9%	2,4%	7,3%		
DT Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	84	91	94	103	71	92	82	-	-	-	9,3%	2,9%	10,1%	-31,0%	28,6%	-10,2%		
DT General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	84	80	84	91	94	103	71	92	82	-1,7%	-5,1%	5,0%	9,3%	2,9%	10,1%	-31,0%	28,6%	-10,2%		
DT Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
DT Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
DT Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
DT Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
DT Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
DT Administrative law cases	248	277	280	271	279	255	235	254	274	10,4%	11,8%	1,1%	-3,4%	3,1%	-8,6%	-8,0%	8,4%	7,7%		
DT Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	206	205	196	196	184	195	214	205	176	-14,8%	-0,6%	-4,4%	0,2%	-6,4%	6,2%	9,5%	-4,1%	-14,3%		

Finland (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Table 3.4.1 (all years) First instance courts, number of cases for specific case categories (Q101)																			
101.1.1 Pending cases on 1 Jan. Litigious divorce case	11 706	12 203	12 127	12 326	12 384	11 255	11 444	11 999	12 069	3,1%	4,2%	-0,6%	1,6%	0,5%	-9,1%	1,7%	4,8%	0,6%	
101.1.2 Pending cases on 1 Jan. Employment dismissal case	559	509	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	480	-14,1%	-8,9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
101.1.3 Pending cases on 1 Jan. Insolvency	2 135	2 251	2 439	2 326	2 050	1 936	1 745	1 946	2 031	-4,9%	5,4%	8,4%	-4,6%	-11,9%	-5,6%	-9,9%	11,5%	4,4%	
101.2.1 Incoming cases_Litigious divorce case	17 075	18 185	18 542	18 579	17 023	17 648	18 001	17 553	17 058	-0,1%	6,5%	2,0%	0,2%	-8,4%	3,7%	2,0%	-2,5%	-2,8%	
101.2.2 Incoming cases_Employment dismissal case	577	638	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	452	-21,7%	10,6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
101.2.3 Incoming cases_Insolvency	3 359	3 553	3 372	2 882	2 725	2 384	2 801	2 894	2 321	-30,9%	5,8%	-5,1%	-14,5%	-5,4%	-12,5%	17,5%	3,3%	-19,8%	
101.3.1 Resolved cases_Litigious divorce case	17 696	18 262	18 325	18 545	18 145	17 458	17 579	19 042	17 593	-0,6%	3,2%	0,3%	1,2%	-2,2%	-3,8%	0,7%	8,3%	-7,6%	
101.3.2 Resolved cases_Employment dismissal case	647	601	658	666	662	557	529	505	463	-28,4%	-7,1%	9,5%	1,2%	-0,6%	-15,9%	-5,0%	-4,5%	-8,3%	
101.3.3 Resolved cases_Insolvency	3 261	3 379	3 489	3 168	2 852	2 593	2 654	2 857	2 823	-13,4%	3,6%	3,3%	-9,2%	-10,0%	-9,1%	2,4%	7,6%	-1,2%	
101.4.1 Pending cases on 31 Dec. Litigious divorce case	11 085	12 126	12 344	12 360	11 262	11 445	11 866	10 510	11 534	4,1%	9,4%	1,8%	0,1%	-8,9%	1,6%	3,7%	-11,4%	9,7%	
101.4.2 Pending cases on 31 Dec. Employment dismissal case	489	546	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	469	-4,1%	11,7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
101.4.3 Pending cases on 31 Dec. Insolvency	2 233	2 425	2 322	2 040	1 923	1 727	1 892	1 983	1 529	-31,5%	8,6%	-4,2%	-12,1%	-5,7%	-10,2%	9,6%	4,8%	-22,9%	

Table 3.5.1 (all years) First instance courts: Clearance rate and disposition time for specific case categories (Q101)																			
Table 3.6.1 and 3.6.2 Variations of CR and DT for specific case categories of first instance cases (Q101)																			
CR Litigious divorce cases	103,6%	100,4%	98,8%	99,8%	106,6%	98,9%	97,7%	108,5%	103,1%	- 0,48 - 3,10 - 1,59 1,00 6,79 - 7,19 - 1,28 11,09 - 4,93									
CR Employment dismissal cases	112,1%	94,2%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	102,4%	- 8,65 - 15,99 - - - - - - -									
CR Insolvency cases	97,1%	95,1%	103,5%	109,9%	104,7%	108,8%	94,8%	98,7%	121,6%	25,28 - 2,04 8,80 6,24 - 4,79 3,92 - 12,89 4,19 23,20									
DT Litigious divorce cases	229	242	246	243	227	239	246	201	239	4,7% 6,0% 1,4% -1,1% -6,9% 5,6% 3,0% -18,2% 18,8%									
DT Employment dismissal cases	276	332	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	370	34,0% 20,2% - - - - - - -									
DT Insolvency cases	250	262	243	235	246	243	260	253	198	-20,9% 4,8% -7,3% -3,2% 4,7% -1,2% 7,0% -2,6% -22,0%									

Finland (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Table 3.7.1 to 3.7.5 (2019 and 2020) Second instance other than criminal cases (Q97)																			
Table 3.9.1 to 3.9.3 (2019 and 2020) Variation of second instance other than criminal cases (Q97)																			
97.1.1 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			1 843	1 913	1 912	1 363	1 456	1 288	1 395	-	-	-	3,8%	-0,1%	-28,7%	6,8%	-11,5%	8,3%	
97.1.2 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			NA	1 651	1 606	1 161	1 267	1 120	1 181	-	-	-	-	-2,7%	-27,7%	9,1%	-11,6%	5,4%	
97.1.3 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			240	206	252	162	138	117	177	-	-	-	-14,2%	22,3%	-35,7%	-14,8%	-15,2%	51,3%	
97.1.4 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			240	206	252	162	138	117	177	-	-	-	-14,2%	22,3%	-35,7%	-14,8%	-15,2%	51,3%	
97.1.5 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
97.1.6 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
97.1.7 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
97.1.8 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
97.1.9 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
97.1.10 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Administrative law cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
97.1.11 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other cases			64	56	54	40	51	51	37	-	-	-	-12,5%	-3,6%	-25,9%	27,5%	0,0%	-27,5%	
97.2.1 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			3 835	3 780	3 069	2 894	2 739	2 801	2 493	-	-	-	-1,4%	-18,8%	-5,7%	-5,4%	2,3%	-11,0%	
97.2.2 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			NA	2 806	2 376	2 281	2 163	2 187	1 833	-	-	-	-	-15,3%	-4,0%	-5,2%	1,1%	-16,2%	
97.2.3 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			828	914	651	562	529	569	581	-	-	-	10,4%	-28,8%	-13,7%	-5,9%	7,6%	2,1%	
97.2.4 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			828	914	651	562	529	569	581	-	-	-	10,4%	-28,8%	-13,7%	-5,9%	7,6%	2,1%	
97.2.5 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
97.2.6 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
97.2.7 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
97.2.8 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
97.2.9 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
97.2.10 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Administrative law cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
97.2.11 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Other cases			54	60	42	51	47	45	79	-	-	-	11,1%	-30,0%	21,4%	-7,8%	-4,3%	75,6%	

Finland (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
97.3.1 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			3 763	3 779	3 618	2 804	2 905	2 698	2 604	-	-	-	0,4%	-4,3%	-22,5%	3,6%	-7,1%	-3,5%
97.3.2 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			NA	2 848	2 821	2 176	2 310	2 117	1 914	-	-	-	-	-0,9%	-22,9%	6,2%	-8,4%	-9,6%
97.3.3 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			861	869	741	586	550	523	625	-	-	-	0,9%	-14,7%	-20,9%	-6,1%	-4,9%	19,5%
97.3.4 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			861	869	741	586	550	523	625	-	-	-	0,9%	-14,7%	-20,9%	-6,1%	-4,9%	19,5%
97.3.5 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.6 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.7 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.8 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.9 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.10 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Administrative law cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.11 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Other cases			62	62	56	42	45	58	65	-	-	-	0,0%	-9,7%	-25,0%	7,1%	28,9%	12,1%
97.4.1 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			1 915	1 914	1 363	1 453	1 290	1 391	1 284	-	-	-	-0,1%	-28,8%	6,6%	-11,2%	7,8%	-7,7%
97.4.2 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			NA	1 609	1 161	1 266	1 120	1 190	1 100	-	-	-	-	-27,8%	9,0%	-11,5%	6,3%	-7,6%
97.4.3 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			207	251	162	138	117	163	133	-	-	-	21,3%	-35,5%	-14,8%	-15,2%	39,3%	-18,4%
97.4.4 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			207	251	162	138	117	163	133	-	-	-	21,3%	-35,5%	-14,8%	-15,2%	39,3%	-18,4%
97.4.5 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.6 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.7 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.8 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.9 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.10 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Administrative law cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.11 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other cases			56	54	40	49	53	38	51	-	-	-	-3,6%	-25,9%	22,5%	8,2%	-28,3%	34,2%
97.5.1 2nd inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.5.2 2nd inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.5.10 2nd inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Administrative law cases			-	-	NAP	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Finland (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions												
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020				
Table 3.8.1 and 3.8.2 (2019 and 2020): Second instance clearance rate and disposition time for other than criminal law cases (Q97)																						
Table 3.9.4 and 3.9.5 (2019 and 2020): Variation of second clearance rate and disposition time for other than criminal law cases (Q97)																						
CR Total of other than criminal law cases			98,1%	100,0%	117,9%	96,9%	106,1%	96,3%	104,5%	-	-	-	1,89	17,92	-	17,81	9,46	-	9,18	8,44		
CR Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			NA	101,5%	118,7%	95,4%	106,8%	96,8%	104,4%	-	-	-	-	16,98	-	19,65	11,95	-	9,36	7,87		
CR Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			104,0%	95,1%	113,8%	104,3%	104,0%	91,9%	107,6%	-	-	-	-	8,57	19,72	-	8,39	-	0,29	-	11,59	17,03
CR General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			104,0%	95,1%	113,8%	104,3%	104,0%	91,9%	107,6%	-	-	-	-	8,57	19,72	-	8,39	-	0,29	-	11,59	17,03
CR Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
CR Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
CR Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
CR Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
CR Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
CR Administrative law cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
CR Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			114,8%	103,3%	133,3%	82,4%	95,7%	128,9%	82,3%	-	-	-	-	10,00	29,03	-	38,24	16,26	34,62	-	36,16	
DT Total of other than criminal law cases			186	185	138	189	162	188	180	-	-	-	-	-0,5%	-25,6%	37,5%	-14,3%	16,1%	-4,4%			
DT Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			NA	206	150	212	177	205	210	-	-	-	-	-27,2%	41,4%	-16,7%	15,9%	2,2%				
DT Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			88	105	80	86	78	114	78	-	-	-	-	20,1%	-24,3%	7,7%	-9,7%	46,5%	-31,7%			
DT General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			88	105	80	86	78	114	78	-	-	-	-	20,1%	-24,3%	7,7%	-9,7%	46,5%	-31,7%			
DT Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
DT Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
DT Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
DT Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
DT Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
DT Administrative law cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
DT Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			330	318	261	426	430	239	286	-	-	-	-	-3,6%	-18,0%	63,3%	1,0%	-44,4%	19,8%			

Finland (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Table 3.10.1 to 3.10.5 (2019 and 2020) Supreme courts, number of other than criminal law cases (Q99)																			
Table 3.12.1 to 3.12.3 (2019 and 2020) Variation of the supreme courts, number of other than criminal law cases (Q99)																			
99.1.1 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			4 536	4 519	4 746	4 012	3 648	3 791	3 758	-	-	-	-0,4%	5,0%	-15,5%	-9,1%	3,9%	-0,9%	
99.1.2 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			510	579	549	482	359	292	314	-	-	-	13,5%	-5,2%	-12,2%	-25,5%	-18,7%	7,5%	
99.1.3 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.1.4 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.1.5 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.1.6 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.1.7 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.1.8 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.1.9 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.1.10 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Administrative law cases			3 719	3 625	3 916	3 294	3 057	3 337	3 312	-	-	-	-2,5%	8,0%	-15,9%	-7,2%	9,2%	-0,7%	
99.1.11 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			307	315	281	236	232	162	132	-	-	-	2,6%	-10,8%	-16,0%	-1,7%	-30,2%	-18,5%	
99.2.1 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			5 747	5 720	6 195	7 736	7 321	7 177	6 188	-	-	-	-0,5%	8,3%	24,9%	-5,4%	-2,0%	-13,8%	
99.2.2 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			1 013	884	999	862	767	725	683	-	-	-	-12,7%	13,0%	-13,7%	-11,0%	-5,5%	-5,8%	
99.2.3 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.2.4 High inst courts_Incoming cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.2.5 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.2.6 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.2.7 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.2.8 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.2.9 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.2.10 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Administrative law cases			4 201	4 319	4 785	6 411	6 199	6 113	5 204	-	-	-	2,8%	10,8%	34,0%	-3,3%	-1,4%	-14,9%	
99.2.11 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			533	517	411	463	355	339	301	-	-	-	-3,0%	-20,5%	12,7%	-23,3%	-4,5%	-11,2%	

Finland (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
99.3.1 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			5 741	5 475	6 905	8 094	7 155	7 215	6 383	-	-	-	-4,6%	26,1%	17,2%	-11,6%	0,8%	-11,5%
99.3.2 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			944	914	1 066	988	829	703	740	-	-	-	-3,2%	16,6%	-7,3%	-16,1%	-15,2%	5,3%
99.3.3 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.4 High inst courts_Resolved cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.5 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.6 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.7 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.8 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.9 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.10 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Administrative law cases			4 272	4 012	5 382	6 638	5 902	6 138	5 365	-	-	-	-6,1%	34,1%	23,3%	-11,1%	4,0%	-12,6%
99.3.11 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			525	549	457	468	424	374	278	-	-	-	4,6%	-16,8%	2,4%	-9,4%	-11,8%	-25,7%
99.4.1 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			4 542	4 764	4 036	3 654	3 814	3 753	3 563	-	-	-	4,9%	-15,3%	-9,5%	4,4%	-1,6%	-5,1%
99.4.2 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			579	549	482	356	297	314	257	-	-	-	-5,2%	-12,2%	-26,1%	-16,6%	5,7%	-18,2%
99.4.3 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.4 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.5 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.6 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.7 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.8 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.9 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.10 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Administrative law cases			3 648	3 932	3 319	3 067	3 354	3 312	3 151	-	-	-	7,8%	-15,6%	-7,6%	9,4%	-1,3%	-4,9%
99.4.11 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			315	283	235	231	163	127	155	-	-	-	-10,2%	-17,0%	-1,7%	-29,4%	-22,1%	22,0%
99.5.1 High inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.5.2 High inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.5.10 High inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Administrative law cases			-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Finland (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Table 3.11.1 and 3.11.2 Supreme courts, clearance rate and disposition time for other than criminal law cases (Q97)																			
Table 3.12.4 and 3.12.5 Variation of the supreme courts, clearance rate and disposition time for other than criminal law cases (Q97)																			
CR Total of other than criminal law cases			99,9%	95,7%	111,5%	104,6%	97,7%	100,5%	103,2%	-	-	-	- 4,18	16,45	- 6,13	- 6,59	2,86	2,61	
CR Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			93,2%	103,4%	106,7%	114,6%	108,1%	97,0%	108,3%	-	-	-	10,95	3,20	7,41	- 5,70	10,29	11,74	
CR Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR Administrative law cases			101,7%	92,9%	112,5%	103,5%	95,2%	100,4%	103,1%	-	-	-	- 8,65	21,08	- 7,94	- 8,05	5,46	2,67	
CR Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			98,5%	106,2%	111,2%	101,1%	119,4%	110,3%	92,4%	-	-	-	7,81	4,71	- 9,09	18,16	- 7,63	- 16,28	
DT Total of other than criminal law cases			289	318	213	165	195	190	204	-	-	-	10,0%	-32,8%	-22,8%	18,1%	-2,4%	7,3%	
DT Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			224	219	165	132	131	163	127	-	-	-	-2,1%	-24,7%	-20,3%	-0,6%	24,7%	-22,2%	
DT Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Administrative law cases			312	358	225	169	207	197	214	-	-	-	14,8%	-37,1%	-25,1%	23,0%	-5,0%	8,8%	
DT Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			219	188	188	180	140	124	204	-	-	-	-14,1%	-0,2%	-4,0%	-22,1%	-11,7%	64,2%	

Finland (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Table 3.14.1 to 3.14.5 First instance criminal law cases (Q94)																			
094.1.1 Total - pending 1 Jan									20 227	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.1.2 Severe cases - pending 1 Jan									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.1.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 1 Jan									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.1.4 Other - pending 1 Jan									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.2.1 Total -incoming									56 932	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.2.2 Severe cases - incoming									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.2.3 Misdemeanour cases - incoming									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.2.4 Other - incoming									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.3.1 Total - resolved									50 834	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.3.2 Severe cases -resolved									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.3.3 Misdemeanour cases - resolved									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.3.4 Other - resolved									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.4.1 Total - pending 31 Dec									26 325	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.4.2 Severe cases - pending 31 Dec									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.4.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 31 Dec									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.4.4 Other - pending 31 Dec									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.5.1 Total - pending more then 2 years									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.5.2 Severe cases - pending more then 2 years									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.5.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending more then 2 years									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.5.4 Other - pending more then 2 years									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Finland (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Table 3.15.1 to 3.10.2 CR and DT for first instance criminal law cases (Q94)																			
CR of Total									89,3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR o2 Severe cases									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR of Misdemeanour cases									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR of Other									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT of Total									189	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT of Severe cases									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT of Misdemeanour cases									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT of Other									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Table 3.16.1 to 3.16.5 Second instance criminal law cases (Q98)																			
098.1.1 Total - pending 1 Jan									2 760	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
098.1.2 Severe cases - pending 1 Jan									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
098.1.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 1 Jan									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
098.1.4 Other - pending 1 Jan									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
098.2.1 Total -incoming									4 876	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
098.2.2 Severe cases - incoming									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
098.2.3 Misdemeanour cases - incoming									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
098.2.4 Other - incoming									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
098.3.1 Total - resolved									5 094	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
098.3.2 Severe cases -resolved									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
098.3.3 Misdemeanour cases - resolved									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
098.3.4 Other - resolved									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Finland (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
098.4.1 Total - pending 31 Dec									2 542	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.4.2 Severe cases - pending 31 Dec									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.4.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 31 Dec									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.4.4 Other - pending 31 Dec									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.5.1 Total - pending more then 2 years									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.5.2 Severe cases - pending more then 2 years									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.5.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending more then 2 years									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.5.4 Other - pending more then 2 years									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Table 3.17.1 to 3.17.2 CR and DT for second instance criminal law cases (Q98)																		
CR of Total									104,5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR o2 Severe cases									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR of Misdemeanour cases									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR of Other									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Total									182	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Severe cases									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Misdemeanour cases									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Other									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Finland (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Table 3.18.1 to 3.18.5 Supreme court criminal law cases (Q100)																			
100.1.1 Total - pending 1 Jan									205	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.1.2 Severe cases - pending 1 Jan									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.1.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 1 Jan									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.1.4 Other - pending 1 Jan									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.2.1 Total -incoming									833	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.2.2 Severe cases - incoming									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.2.3 Misdemeanour cases - incoming									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.2.4 Other - incoming									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.3.1 Total - resolved									778	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.3.2 Severe cases -resolved									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.3.3 Misdemeanour cases - resolved									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.3.4 Other - resolved									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.4.1 Total - pending 31 Dec									260	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.4.2 Severe cases - pending 31 Dec									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.4.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 31 Dec									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.4.4 Other - pending 31 Dec									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.5.1 Total - pending more then 2 years									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.5.2 Severe cases - pending more then 2 years									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.5.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending more then 2 years									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.5.4 Other - pending more then 2 years									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Finland (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Table 3.19.1 to 3.19.2 CR and DT for supreme court criminal law cases (Q100)																			
CR of Total									93,4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR o2 Severe cases									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR of Misdemeanour cases									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR of Other									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT of Total									122	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT of Severe cases									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT of Misdemeanour cases									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT of Other									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Finland (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Indicator 5: Access to justice																		
Legal aid																		
Table 5.1 to Table 5.6 (Q12-2, Q16, Q18, Q19, Q20, Q20-1)																		
12-2.1.1 Coverage of court fees									False									
12-2.1.2 Exemption from court fees									True									
16.1.1 Legal aid applies to representation in court (criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
16.1.2 Legal aid applies to legal advice (criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
16.2.1 Legal aid applies to representation in court (other than criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
16.2.2 Legal aid applies to legal advice (other than criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
18.1.1 Legal aid for the enforcement of judicial decisions					True	True	True	True	True									
19.1.1 Legal aid granted for other costs - criminal cases									True									
19.1.2 Legal aid granted for other costs - other than criminal cases									True									
020.1.1 Total									82 628									
020.1.2 Total - criminal cases									NA									
020.1.3 Total - other than criminal cases									NA									
020.2.1 Total brought to court									NA									
020.2.2 Brought to court - criminal cases									NA									
020.2.3 Brought to court - other then criminal									NA									
020.3.1 Total not brought to court									NA									
020.3.2 Not brought to court - criminal cases									NA									
020.3.3 Not brought to court - other then criminal									NA									
020-1.1.1 Maximum duration prescribed in law/regulation									NAP									
020-1.1.2 Average duration									NA									

Finland (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
System for compensating users																		
Table 5.7.1 and Table 5.7.2 (Q37)																		
037.1.1 Requests for compensation - Total									NA									
037.1.2 Requests for compensation - Excessive length of proceedings									56									
037.1.3 Requests for compensation - Non-execution of court decisions									NAP									
037.1.4 Requests for compensation - Wrongful arrest									NA									
037.1.5 Requests for compensation - Wrongful conviction									NA									
037.1.6 Requests for compensation - Other									NAP									
037.2.1 Condemnations - Total									NA									
037.2.2 Condemnations - Excessive length of proceedings									40									
037.2.3 Condemnations - Non-execution of court decisions									NAP									
037.2.4 Condemnations - Wrongful arrest									NA									
037.2.5 Condemnations - Wrongful conviction									NA									
037.2.6 Condemnations - Other									NAP									
037.3.1 Amount - Total									NA									
037.3.2 Amount - Excessive length of proceedings									154 264 €									
037.3.3 Amount - Non-execution of court decisions									NAP									
037.3.4 Amount - Wrongful arrest									NA									
037.3.5 Amount - Wrongful conviction									NA									
037.3.6 Amount - Other									NAP									

Finland (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Indicator 6: The ICT tools of courts and for court users																		
Table 6.1 to Table 6.11 (Q62-7, Q62-7-1, Q62-8, Q62-8-1, Q63-1, Q63-1-1, Q63-2 Q63-6, Q63-7, Q63-7-1, Q64-2, Q64-4, Q64-6, Q64-3, Q64-3-1, Q64-7, Q64-7-1, Q64-9)																		
62-7 Writing assistance tools coordinated at national level							True	True	True									
62-7-1.1 Deployment rate in civil matter							100%	100%	100%									
62-7-1.2 Deployment rate in criminal matter							100%	100%	100%									
62-7-1.3 Deployment rate in administrative matter							100%	100%	100%									
62-8 Voice recording tools							True	True	True									
62-8-1.1.1 Availability of simple dictation tools in civil matter							NA	NA	not available for this matter									
62-8-1.1.2 Availability of simple dictation tools in criminal matter							NA	NA	not available for this matter									
62-8-1.1.3 Availability of simple dictation tools in administrative matter							NA	NA	not available for this matter									
62-8-1.2.1 Availability of multiple speakers recording tools in civil matter							in all courts	in all courts	in all courts									
62-8-1.2.2 Availability of multiple speakers recording tools in criminal matter							in all courts	in all courts	in all courts									
62-8-1.2.3 Availability of multiple speakers recording tools in administrative matter							in all courts	in all courts	in all courts									
62-8-1.3.1 Availability of voice recognition in civil matter							No	No	No									
62-8-1.3.2 Availability of voice recognition in criminal matter							No	No	No									
62-8-1.3.3 Availability of voice recognition in administrative matter							No	No	No									
062-9 Availability of intranet site within the judicial system for distribution of news/novelties							100%	100%	100%									
63.1 Is there a case management system?							True	True	True									
63.1-1.1 CMS for civil matter (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
63.1-1.1 CMS for criminal matter (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
63.1-1.1 CMS for administrative matter (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
63.1-1.2 CMS for civil matter (status of case online)							Not accessible at all	Not accessible at all	Not accessible at all									
63.1-1.2 CMS for criminal matter (status of case online)							Not accessible at all	Not accessible at all	Not accessible at all									
63.1-1.2 CMS for administrative matter (status of case onlinee)							Not accessible at all	Not accessible at all	Both									

Finland (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
63.1-1.3 CMS for civil matter (Centralised or interoperable database)						-	True	True	True									
63.1-1.3 CMS for criminal matter (Centralised or interoperable database)						-	True	True	True									
63.1-1.3 CMS for administrative matter (Centralised or interoperable database)						-	True	True	True									
63.1-1.4 CMS for civil matter (Early warning signals)						-	False	False	False									
63.1-1.4 CMS for criminal matter (Early warning signals)						-	False	False	True									
63.1-1.4 CMS for administrative matter (Early warning signals)						-	True	True	True									
63-1-1.5 Statistics in CMS civil matter							Not integrated but connected	Not integrated but connected	Not integrated but connected									
63-1-1.5 Statistics in CMS criminal matter							Not integrated but connected	Not integrated but connected	Integrated									
63-1-1.5 Statistics in CMS administrative matter							Not integrated but connected	Integrated	Fully integrated including BI									
63-2.1 Deployment rate for computerised registries managed by courts - land registry							0% (NAP)	0% (NAP)	0% (NAP)									
63-2.1 Deployment rate for computerised registries managed by courts - business registry							0% (NAP)	0% (NAP)	0% (NAP)									
63-2.2 Data consolidated at national level for land registry						-	False	NAP	NAP									
63-2.2 Data consolidated at national level for business registry						-	False	NAP	NAP									
63-2.3 Service available online for land registry						-	False	NAP	NAP									
63-2.3 Service available online for business registry						-	False	NAP	NAP									
63-2.4 Statistical module integrated or connected for land registry						-	False	NAP	NAP									
63-2.4 Statistical module integrated or connected for business registry						-	False	NAP	NAP									
063-6.1.1 Budgetary and financial management of courts (deployment rate)						-	100%	100%	100%									
063-6.1.2 Justice expenses management (deployment rate)						-	100%	100%	100%									
063-6.1.3 Other financial management tools (deployment rate)						-	NA	NA	NA									
063-6.2.1 Budgetary and financial management of courts (Data consolidated at national level)						-	True	True	True									
063-6.2.2 Justice expenses management (Data consolidated at national level)						-	True	True	True									
063-6.2.3 Other financial management tools (Data consolidated at national level)						-	False	NA	NA									
063-6.3.1 Budgetary and financial management of courts (System communicating with other ministries)						-	False	False	False									
063-6.3.2 Justice expenses management (System communicating with other ministries)						-	False	False	False									
063-6.3.3 Other financial management tools (System communicating with other ministries)						-	False	NA	NA									

Finland (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
63-7.1 Measurement tools to assess the workload							True	True	True									
63-7-1.1.1 Deployment rate - workload of judges							100%	100%	100%									
63-7-1.1.2 Deployment rate - workload of prosecutors							100%	100%	50-99%									
63-7-1.1.3 Deployment rate - workload of non-judge and non-prosecutor staff							100%	100%	100%									
63-7-1.2.1 Monitoring on national level - judges							True	True	False									
63-7-1.2.2 Monitoring on national level - prosecutors							False	False	True									
63-7-1.2.2 Monitoring on national level - non-judge and non-prosecutor staff							False	False	False									
63-7-1.3.1 Monitoring on court level - judges							True	True	True									
63-7-1.3.2 Monitoring on court level - prosecutors							False	False	False									
63-7-1.3.3 Monitoring on court level - non-judge and non-prosecutor staff							False	False	False									
064-2 - Possibility to submit a case to courts by electronic means							True	True	True									
064-2 - Civil and/or commercial							100%	100%	100%									
064-2 - Criminal							100%	100%	100%									
064-2 - Administrative							100%	100%	100%									
064-2 - Submission in paper remains mandatory - civil							False	False	False									
064-2 - Submission in paper remains mandatory - criminal							False	False	False									
064-2 - Submission in paper remains mandatory - administrative							False	False	False									
064-2 - Specific legislative framework - civil							True	True	True									
064-2 - Specific legislative framework - criminal							True	True	True									
064-2 - Specific legislative framework - administrative							True	True	True									
064-2 - Integrated/connected with the CMS - civil							False	False	True									
064-2 - Integrated/connected with the CMS - criminal							False	False	True									
064-2 - Integrated/connected with the CMS - administrative							True	True	True									

Finland (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
064-3 - Is it possible to request for granting legal aid by electronic means?							True	True	True									
064-3-1.1 - Equipment rate							100%	100%	100%									
064-3-1.2 - Request in paper mandatory							False	False	False									
064-3-1.3 - Specific legislative framework							True	True	True									
064-3-1.4 - Granting LA is also electronic							True	True	True									
064-3-1.5 - Information available in CMS							True	True	True									
064-4 - Possibility to transmit summons to a judicial meeting or a hearing by electronic means							True	True	True									
064-4-1.1.1 - Summons produced by CMS- civil							True	True	True									
064-4-1.1.2 - Summons produced by CMS- criminal							True	True	True									
064-4-1.1.3 - Summons produced by CMS- administrative							True	True	True									
064-4-1.2.1 - Simultaneous summon in paper form remains mandatory- civil							False	False	False									
064-4-1.2.2 - Simultaneous summon in paper form remains mandatory- criminal							False	False	False									
064-4-1.2.3 - Simultaneous summon in paper form remains mandatory- administrative							False	False	False									
064-4-1.3.1 - Consent of the user - civil							True	True	True									
064-4-1.3.2 - Consent of the user - criminal							True	True	True									
064-4-1.3.3 - Consent of the user - administrative							True	True	True									
064-6.1.1 - Civil and/or commercial (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
064-6.1.2 - Criminal (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
064-6.1.3 - Administrative (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									

Finland (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
064-6.2.1 - Civil and/or commercial (Trial phases concerned)							Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling Decision transmission	Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling Decision transmission	Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling Decision transmission									
064-6.2.2 - Criminal (Trial phases concerned)							Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling	Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling	Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling									
064-6.2.3 - Administrative (Trial phases concerned)							Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling	Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling	Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling									
064-6.3.1 - Civil and/or commercial (Modalities)							E-mail	E-mail Specific application	E-mail Specific application									
064-6.3.2 - Criminal (Modalities)							E-mail	E-mail	E-mail Specific application									
064-6.3.3 - Administrative (Modalities)							E-mail	E-mail Specific application	E-mail Specific application									
064-6.4.1 - Civil and/or commercial (specific legal framework)							True	True	True									
064-6.4.2 - Criminal (specific legal framework)							True	True	True									
064-6.4.3 - Administrative (specific legal framework)							True	True	True									
064-6.5.1 - Civil and/or commercial (availability for)									Lawyers & Parties not represented by lawyer									
064-6.5.2 - Criminal (availability for)									Lawyers & Parties not represented by lawyer									
064-6.5.3 - Administrative (availability for)									Lawyers & Parties not represented by lawyer									

Finland (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
064-7.1.1 - Electronic communication of enforcement agents and courts (deployment rate)							100%	NA	100%									
064-7.1.2 - Electronic communication of notaries and courts (deployment rate)							0% (NAP)	NA	0% (NAP)									
064-7.1.3 - Electronic communication of experts and courts (deployment rate)							NA	NA	100%									
064-7.1.4 - Electronic communication of judicial police and courts (deployment rate)							100%	100%	0% (NAP)									
064-7.2.1 - Electronic communication of enforcement agents and courts (Modalities)							E-mail Specific application	E-mail Specific application	E-mail Specific application									
064-7.2.2 - Electronic communication of notaries and courts (Modalities)							E-mail											
064-7.2.3 - Electronic communication of experts and courts (Modalities)							E-mail	E-mail	E-mail									
064-7.2.4 - Electronic communication of judicial police and courts (Modalities)							E-mail	E-mail Specific application										
064-7.3.1 - Electronic communication of enforcement agents and courts (specific legal framework)							True	True	True									
064-7.32.2 - Electronic communication of notaries and courts (specific legal framework)							False	True	False									
064-7.3.3 - Electronic communication of experts and courts (specific legal framework)							False	False	True									
064-7.3.4 - Electronic communication of judicial police and courts (specific legal framework)							False	True	False									
064-9 - Existance of online processing devices of specialised litigation							True	True	True									

Finland (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Indicator 7: Professionals of justice (Indicator 9 in 2019)																			
Table 7.1.1 to 7.5.6 for judges, non judge staff, prosecutors, non prosecutor staff and salaries																			
46.1.1 Total Number of professional judges	981	986	988	991	1 068	1 045	1 081	1 087	1 077	9,8%	0,5%	0,2%	0,3%	7,8%	-2,2%	3,4%	0,6%	-0,9%	
46.1.2 Number of 1st inst professional judges	744	758	758	761	834	817	850	850	854	14,8%	1,9%	0,0%	0,4%	9,6%	-2,0%	4,0%	0,0%	0,5%	
46.1.3 Number of 2nd inst professional judges	194	185	186	188	184	178	184	191	178	-8,2%	-4,6%	0,5%	1,1%	-2,1%	-3,3%	3,4%	3,8%	-6,8%	
46.1.4 Number of Supreme court professional judges	43	43	44	42	50	50	47	46	45	4,7%	0,0%	2,3%	-4,5%	19,0%	0,0%	-6,0%	-2,1%	-2,2%	
46.2.1 Number of professional judges_males	482	484	473	451	485	460	452	451	433	-10,2%	0,4%	-2,3%	-4,7%	7,5%	-5,2%	-1,7%	-0,2%	-4,0%	
46.2.2 Number of 1st instance professional judges_males	350	362	356	338	368	350	344	342	323	-7,7%	3,4%	-1,7%	-5,1%	8,9%	-4,9%	-1,7%	-0,6%	-5,6%	
46.2.3 Number of 2nd instance professional judges_males	105	95	89	85	84	78	78	90	81	-22,9%	-9,5%	-6,3%	-4,5%	-1,2%	-7,1%	0,0%	15,4%	-10,0%	
46.2.4 Number of Supreme court professional judges_males	27	27	28	28	33	32	30	29	29	7,4%	0,0%	3,7%	0,0%	17,9%	-3,0%	-6,3%	-3,3%	0,0%	
46.3.1 Number of professional judges_females	499	502	515	540	583	585	629	636	644	29,1%	0,6%	2,6%	4,9%	8,0%	0,3%	7,5%	1,1%	1,3%	
46.3.2 Number of 1st inst professional judges_females	394	396	402	423	466	467	506	508	531	34,8%	0,5%	1,5%	5,2%	10,2%	0,2%	8,4%	0,4%	4,5%	
46.3.3 Number of 2nd inst professional judges_females	89	90	97	103	100	100	106	111	97	9,0%	1,1%	7,8%	6,2%	-2,9%	0,0%	6,0%	4,7%	-12,6%	
46.3.4 Number of Supreme court professional judges_females	16	16	16	14	17	18	17	17	16	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	-12,5%	21,4%	5,9%	-5,6%	0,0%	-5,9%	
046-2.1.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 077	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.1.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	854	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.1.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	178	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.1.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	45	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.2.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Civil and commercial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.2.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Civil and commercial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.2.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Civil and commercial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.2.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Civil and commercial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.3.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Criminal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.3.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Criminal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.3.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Criminal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.3.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Criminal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Finland (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
046-2.4.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Administrative	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	278	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.4.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Administrative	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	251	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.4.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Administrative	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.4.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Administrative	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.5.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.5.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.5.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.5.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.1.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts	2 214	2 196	2 161	2 145	2 170	2 137	2 131	2 128	2 162	-2,3%	-0,8%	-1,6%	-0,7%	1,2%	-1,5%	-0,3%	-0,1%	1,6%
52.1.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.1.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.1.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.1.5 Number of Technical staff	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.1.6 Number of Other non judge staff	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.2.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts(men)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	488	498	516	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,0%	3,6%
52.2.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)(men)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.2.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges(men)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.2.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks(men)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.2.5 Number of Technical staff(men)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.2.6 Number of Other non judge staff(men)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.3.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts(women)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	1 643	1 630	1 646	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-0,8%	1,0%
52.3.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)(women)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.3.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges(women)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.3.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks(women)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.3.5 Number of Technical staff(women)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.3.6 Number of Other non judge staff(women)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Finland (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
052-1.1.1 Non-judge staff (Total)									2 162	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.1.2 Non-judge staff at first instance (total)									1 783	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.1.3 Non-judge staff at second instance (total)									233	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.1.4 Non-judge staff at Supreme court (total)									146	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.2.1 Non-judge staff (Males)									516	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.2.2 Non-judge staff at first instance (males)									426	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.2.3 Non-judge staff at second instance (males)									53	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.2.4 Non-judge staff at Supreme court (males)									37	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.3.1 Non-judge staff (females)									1 646	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.3.2 Non-judge staff at first instance (females)									1 357	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.3.3 Non-judge staff at second instance (females)									180	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.3.4 Non-judge staff at supreme court (females)									109	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.1.1 Prosecutors (total)									390	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.1.2 Prosecutors (1st inst.)									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.1.3 Prosecutors (2nd inst.)									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.1.4 Prosecutors (Highest instance)									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.2.1 Prosecutors - Males -total									155	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.2.2 Prosecutors - Males, 1st inst.									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.2.3 Prosecutors - Males, 2nd inst.									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.2.4 Prosecutors - Males, Supreme courts									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.3.1 Prosecutors - Females, Total									235	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.3.2 Prosecutors - Females, 1st inst.									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.3.3 Prosecutors - Females, 2nd inst.									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.3.4 Prosecutors - Females, Supreme courts									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Finland (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
060.1.1 Number of non-prosecutor staff Total									139	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
060.2.1 Number of non-prosecutor staff Males									11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
060.3.1 Number of non-prosecutor staff Females									128	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
004 Annual average salary in the country						-	-		43 140 €	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.1.1 Gross annual salary, in € - Professional judge at the beginning of career						-	-		66 900 €	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.1.2 Gross annual salary, in € - Judge of the Supreme Court						-	-		136 300 €	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.1.3 Gross annual salary, in € - Public prosecutor at the beginning of career						-	-		50 880 €	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.1.4 Gross annual salary, in € - Public prosecutor of the Supreme Court or the Highest Appellate Instance						-	-		NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.2.1 Net annual salary, in € - Professional judge at the beginning of career						-	-		NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.2.2 Net annual salary, in € - Judge of the Supreme Court						-	-		NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.2.3 Net annual salary, in € - Public prosecutor at the beginning of career						-	-		NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.2.4 Net annual salary, in € - Public prosecutor of the Supreme Court or the Highest Appellate Instance						-	-		NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
133.1.1.1 - Additional benefits for judges - Reduced taxation						-	-		False									
133.1.2.1 - Additional benefits for judges - Special pension						-	-		False									
133.1.3.1 - Additional benefits for judges - Housing						-	-		False									
133.1.4.1 - Additional benefits for judges - Other financial benefit						-	-		False									
133.2.1.1 - Additional benefits for prosecutors - Reduced taxation						-	-		False									
133.2.2.1 - Additional benefits for prosecutors - Special pension						-	-		False									
133.2.3.1 - Additional benefits for prosecutors - Housing						-	-		False									
133.2.4.1 - Additional benefits for prosecutors - Other financial benefit						-	-		False									

Finland (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
144.1.1 Disciplinary procedures for Judges - Total number (1+2+3+4)						-	-		NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.1.2 Disciplinary procedures for Judges - 1. Breach of professional ethics						-	-		NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.1.3 Disciplinary procedures for Judges - 2. Professional inadequacy						-	-		NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.1.4 Disciplinary procedures for Judges - 3. Criminal offence						-	-		NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.1.5 Disciplinary procedures for Judges - 4. Other						-	-		NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.2.1 Disciplinary procedures for Prosecutors - Total number (1+2+3+4)						-	-		NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.2.2 Disciplinary procedures for Prosecutors - 1. Breach of professional ethics						-	-		NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.2.3 Disciplinary procedures for Prosecutors - 2. Professional inadequacy									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.2.4 Disciplinary procedures for Prosecutors - 3. Criminal offence									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.2.5 Disciplinary procedures for Prosecutors - 4. Other									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.1 Sanctions against Judges - Total number (total 1 to 9)									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.2 Sanctions against Judges - 1. Reprimand									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.3 Sanctions against Judges - 2. Suspension									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.4 Sanctions against Judges - 3. Withdrawal from cases									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.5 Sanctions against Judges - 4. Fine									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.6 Sanctions against Judges - 5. Temporary reduction of salary									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.7 Sanctions against Judges - 6. Position downgrade									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.8 Sanctions against Judges - 7. Transfer to another geographical (court) location									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.9 Sanctions against Judges - 8. Resignation									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.10 Sanctions against Judges - 9. Other									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.11 Sanctions against Judges - 10. Dismissal									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Finland (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
145.2.1 Sanctions against Prosecutors - Total number (total 1 to 9)									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.2 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 1. Reprimand									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.3 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 2. Suspension									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.4 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 3. Withdrawal from cases									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.5 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 4. Fine									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.6 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 5. Temporary reduction of salary									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.7 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 6. Position downgrade									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.8 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 7. Transfer to another geographical (court) location									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.9 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 8. Resignation									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.10 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 9. Other									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.11 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 10. Dismissal									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lawyers																		
Tables 7.6.1, 7.6.2, 7.6.3, 7.7 and 7.8																		
146.1.1 Total number of lawyers practising	1 935	2 009	2 115	3 550	3 791	3 846	3 965	4 022	4 087	111,2%	3,8%	5,3%	67,8%	6,8%	1,5%	3,1%	1,4%	1,6%
146.2.1 Practicing lawyers - man	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
146.3.1 Practicing lawyers - woman	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
147 Does this figure include “legal advisors” who cannot represent their clients in court (for example, some solicitors or in-house counsellors)?			No	No	False	False	False	False	False	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Finland (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Indicator 8: The existence and use of alternative dispute resolution methods																			
Table 8.1 8.2 and 8.3																			
166 Number of accredited or registered mediators who practice judicial mediation:	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
167.1.1 Total number started					673	726	1 671	2 349	2 417	-	-	-	-	-	7,9%	130,2%	40,6%	2,9%	
167. 1.2 Civil and commercial cases - started					204	237	505	857	946	-	-	-	-	-	16,2%	113,1%	69,7%	10,4%	
167. 1.2 Family cases - started					425	432	1 034	1 293	1 258	-	-	-	-	-	1,6%	139,4%	25,0%	-2,7%	
167.1.4 Administrative cases - started					NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
167.1.5 Labour cases including employment dismissal cases - started					44	57	132	199	213	-	-	-	-	-	29,5%	131,6%	50,8%	7,0%	
167.1.6. Criminal cases - started					NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
167.1.7 Consumer cases - started					-	-	NAP	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Key: Variation of more than (+ -) 20%