

# Judiciary at a glance in Finland

(2021 data)

## General data


**Population:** 5 548 241

**GDP per capita:** 43 483 €


**Average annual salary:** 47 516 €

## Professionals

Judicial professionals per 100 000 inhabitants




Gross salaries of judges and prosecutors vs average annual salary in 2021




## Efficiency

Clearance Rate by instance and by matter in 2021 (%)




Disposition Time by instance and by matter in 2021 (days)



## Incoming cases per 100 inhabitants

Incoming Civil (and commercial) litigious cases per 100 inhabitants\*



\* Please note that there is no data for the Supreme Court cases for 2013.

Synthesis table for the main indicators for:

Finland

Economic and demographic data	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Trend 2012-2021	Variations	
												2012-2021	2020-2021
Population	5 426 674	5 451 270	5 471 753	5 486 616	5 503 297	5 513 130	5 521 773	5 525 292	5 533 793	5 548 241		2,2%	0,3%
GDP per capita	35 571	37 018	37 559	38 162	38 959	40 612	42 340	43 567	42 701	43 483		22,2%	1,8%
Exchange rate (local currency needed to obtain 1€)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP		NAP	NAP
Average annual salary	38 472		39 624		40 416		41 580	42 336	43 140	47 516		23,5%	10,1%

Resources	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Trends 2012-2021	2012-2021	2020-2021
										2012-2021		2020-2021	
Professional judges per 100 000 inhab.	18,1	18,1	18,1	18,1	19,4	19,0	19,6	19,7	19,5	20,9		15,5%	7,2%
Non-judge staff per 100 000 inhab.	40,8	40,3	39,5	39,1	39,4	38,8	38,6	38,5	39,1	39,8		-2,5%	1,9%
Public prosecutors per 100 000 inhabitants									7,0	7,6			8,4%
Non-prosecutors staff per 100 000 inhab.									2,5	3,0			21,3%
Lawyers per 100 000 inh.	35,7	36,9	38,7	64,7	68,9	69,8	71,8	72,8	73,9	75,2		110,9%	1,8%
Mediators	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP		NAP	NAP

First instance incoming cases per 100 inhab.	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Trends 2012-2021	2012-2021	2020-2021
										2012-2021		2020-2021	
Civil and commercial litigious cases	0,190	0,195	0,195	0,202	0,156	0,150	0,149	0,153	0,166	0,156		-17,9%	-6,1%
Administrative law cases	0,508	0,5	0,5	0,494	0,706	0,505	0,445	0,460	0,447	0,393		-22,8%	-12,2%
Total criminal law cases									1,029	1,268			23,3%

First instance performance indicators (Clearance Rate)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Trends 2012-2021	2012-2021 (percentage points)	2020-2021 (percentage points)
										2012-2021		2020-2021	
CR civil and commercial litigious cases	103%	106%	105%	94%	125%	111%	102%	100%	94%	100%		-2,89	6,69
CR administrative law cases	101%	95%	97%	102%	79%	107%	112%	100%	99%	102%		0,75	3,00
CR total criminal law cases									89%	98%			8,24

First instance performance indicators (Disposition Time)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Trends 2012-2021	2012-2021	2020-2021
										2012-2021		2020-2021	
DT civil and commercial litigious cases (days)	325	288	289	332	252	258	273	280	300	305		-6,3%	1,6%
DT administrative law cases (days)	248	277	280	271	279	255	235	254	274	296		19,1%	7,9%
DT total criminal law cases (days)									189	160			-15,3%

First instance pending cases per 100 inhab. on 31 dec.	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Trends 2012-2021	2012-2021	2020-2021
										2012-2021		2020-2021	
Civil and commercial litigious cases	0,17	0,16	0,16	0,17	0,13	0,12	0,11	0,12	0,13	0,13		-25,2%	2,3%
Administrative law cases	0,35	0,37	0,38	0,37	0,43	0,38	0,32	0,32	0,33	0,32		-7,3%	-2,4%
Total criminal law cases									0,48	0,54			14,0%

Second instance performance indicators (Clearance Rate)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Trends 2012-2021	2012-2021 (percentage points)	2020-2021 (percentage points)
										2012-2021		2020-2021	
CR civil and commercial litigious cases			NA	101%	119%	95%	107%	97%	104%	95%			-9,18
CR administrative law cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP			NAP
CR total criminal law cases									104%	95%			-9,94

Second instance performance indicators (Disposition Time)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Trends 2012-2021	2012-2021	2020-2021
										2012-2021		2020-2021	
DT civil and commercial litigious cases (days)			NA	206	150	212	177	205	210	222			5,6%
DT administrative law cases (days)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP			NAP
DT total criminal law cases (days)									182	197			8,3%

Supreme court performance indicators (Clearance Rate)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Trends 2012-2021	2012-2021 (percentage points)	2020-2021 (percentage points)
										2012-2021		2020-2021	
CR civil and commercial litigious cases			93%	103%	107%	115%	108%	97%	108%	92%			-16,53
CR administrative law cases			102%	93%	112%	104%	95%	100%	103%	124%			20,91
CR total criminal law cases									93%	90%			-3,41

Supreme court performance indicators (Disposition Time)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Trends 2012-2021	2012-2021	2020-2021
										2012-2021		2020-2021	
DT civil and commercial litigious cases (days)			224	219	165	132	131	163	127	173			36,5%
DT administrative law cases (days)			312	358	225	169	207	197	214	154			-28,1%
DT total criminal law cases (days)									122	151			24,2%


## 1. Judicial organisation in Finland (2021 data)

In 2021, in Finland, there are 20 district courts with 36 offices, five courts of appeal, the Supreme Court, six administrative courts, the Supreme Administrative Court, the Market Court, the Labour Court and the Insurance Court. One of the Administrative Courts, the Labour Court and the Market Court are located in the same location.

In total there are 36 courts in 52 geographic locations.


### Evolution of total number of courts

Total number of courts	Geographic locations	Legal entities	
		General jurisdiction	Specialised jurisdiction
2012	82		
2013	78		
2014	81		
2015	79		
2016	73		
2017	73		
2018	71		
2019	52		
2020	52	26	10
2021	52	26	10



## Evolution of number of first instance courts

First instance courts	Geographic locations	Legal entities	
		General jurisdiction	Specialised jurisdiction
2012		27	11
2013		27	11
2014		27	9
2015		27	9
2016		27	9
2017		27	9
2018		27	9
2019		20	9
2020	45	20	9
2021	45	20	9



It is noteworthy recalling that the number of district courts was reduced from 27 to 20 courts at the re-structuring on 1 January 2019. A court can have more than one office. The number of the district courts' offices has been reduced from 57 to 36 offices.

Distribution of first instance general jurisdiction and specialised courts



The distribution between first instance general jurisdiction courts and first instance specialised courts is 69,0% - 31,0% which is quite similar to the distribution tendency in EU: 72,8% - 27,2%.

## Specialised Courts

Specialised courts	First instance	Higher instance
Total	9	1
Commercial courts (excluded insolvency courts)	1	NAP
Insolvency courts	NAP	NAP
Labour courts	1	NAP
Family courts	NAP	NAP
Rent and tenancies courts	NAP	NAP
Enforcement of criminal sanctions courts	NAP	NAP
Fight against terrorism, organised crime and corruption	NAP	NAP
Internet related disputes	NAP	NAP
Administrative courts	6	1
Insurance and / or social welfare courts	1	NAP
Military courts	NAP	NAP
Juvenile courts	NAP	NAP
Other specialised courts	NAP	NAP

In Finland, there are six regional administrative courts, the Market Court, the Labour Court and the Insurance Court.

The Supreme Administrative Court is the sole higher instance specialised court in Finland.


Another specialised court is the High Court of Impeachment that hears charges against ministers (i.e. members of the Government), the Chancellor of Justice, the Parliamentary Ombudsman and members of the Supreme Court or the Supreme Administrative Court for unlawful conduct in office. In addition, the High Court of Impeachment deals with charges concerning the criminal liability of the President of the Republic. However, it is convened only when necessary.

## 2. Professionals of justice in Finland (2021 data)

### Judicial professionals (summary)


#### Judicial professionals in absolute number and per 100 000 inhabitants

	Absolute number	Per 100 000 inhabitants	EU Median
Professional judges	1 158	20,9	24,1
Non-judge staff	2 208	39,8	58,5
Prosecutors	424	7,6	10,8
Non-prosecutor staff	169	3,0	14,7
Lawyers	4 173	75,2	122,4



#### Judicial professionals: Gender balance


	% Male	% Female
Professional judges	39,9%	60,1%
Non judge staff	23,6%	76,4%
Prosecutors	37,3%	62,7%
Non-prosecutor staff	8,3%	91,7%
Lawyers	NA	NA



## Professional judges

### Evolution of the number of professional judges since 2012 (Q46)

Number of professional judges	Per 100 000 inhabitants	
	Finland	EU Median
2012	981	18,1
2013	986	18,1
2014	988	18,1
2015	991	18,1
2016	1 068	19,4
2017	1 045	19,0
2018	1 081	19,6
2019	1 087	19,7
2020	1 077	19,5
2021	1 158	20,9





According to 2021 data, the total number of professional judges sitting in courts (all instances) in Finland is 1 158, which is 7,5% more than in previous cycle.

More precisely, in Finland, there are 20,87 professional judges per 100 000 inhabitants (this figure is below the EU median of 24,11 judges per 100 000 inhabitants) and about 1,91 non-judge staff per judge.

There is no significant difference compared with previous cycle when this ratio was at 2,01 non-judge staff per judge.

### Absolute number of professional judges by instance and gender

Professional judges 2021	Total	Distribution by instance	Male	Female	% Male	% Female
1st instance	901	77,8%	339	562	37,6%	62,4%
2nd instance	209	18,0%	95	114	45,5%	54,5%
Supreme court	48	4,1%	28	20	58,3%	41,7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1 158</b>		<b>462</b>	<b>696</b>	<b>39,9%</b>	<b>60,1%</b>



In this cycle, the total number of Female professional judges (all instances) is 696, which represents 60,1% of the total number of judges.

The total number of judges is distributed among the different judicial instances in the following way: 901 are sitting in first instance courts (of which 562 are Female); 209 are sitting in second instance courts (of which 114 are Female) and 48 are sitting in Supreme Court (of which 20 are Female).

Compared with the EU distribution of professional judges per instance, the trend in Finland is similar. However, we can notice that first instance judges are more numerous, while second instance judges are fewer.

As regards the distribution male/female, it has to be specified that female judges do not have the majority only at third instance.

#### Absolute number of professional judges by instance and matter

Professional judges 2021	Total	Civil and commercial	Criminal	Administrative	Other
1st instance	901	NA	NA	282	NAP
2nd instance	209	NA	NA	NAP	NAP
Supreme court	48	NA	NA	28	NAP
<b>Total</b>	<b>1 158</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>310</b>	<b>NAP</b>

In Finland, the distribution of judges by categories of cases is possible for some categories only as presented in the graph below.

#### Distribution of professional judges by instance and matter


Distribution of Professional judges by instance and matter 2021	Civil and commercial	Criminal	Administrative	Other
1st instance	NA	NA	31,3%	NAP
2nd instance	NA	NA	NAP	NAP
Supreme court	NA	NA	58,3%	NAP
<b>Total</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>26,8%</b>	<b>NAP</b>

Statistics on the amount of the civil and/ or commercial and criminal judges in the general courts do not exist as in many courts judges work in both types of cases. In Market Court, there are 21 judges who are civil/commercial judges.



## Non-judge staff

Number of non-judge staff	Absolute Number	Per 100 000 inhabitants	
	Finland	Finland	EU Median
2012	2 214	40,8	62,6
2013	2 196	40,3	55,4
2014	2 161	39,5	54,9
2015	2 145	39,1	55,2
2016	2 170	39,4	63,4
2017	2 137	38,8	63,0
2018	2 131	38,6	56,6
2019	2 128	38,5	57,5
2020	2 162	39,1	59,0
2021	2 208	39,8	58,5



In 2021, Finland has 2 208 non-judge staff (of which 1 688 are Female). The total number of non-judge staff in comparison with the previous cycle reveals an increase of 2,1%.

In 2021, the number of non-judge staff per 100 000 inhabitants has increased (from 39,1 in 2020 to 39,8 in 2021).

During the same period, the number of judges per 100 000 inhabitants evolved from 19,5 judges per 100 000 inhabitants in 2020 to 20,9 in 2021.


The Finnish court staff organisation does not correspond to the CEPEJ subcategories. Therefore, only the total of non-judge staff can be provided. Office staff has tasks mentioned in the categories 2-5. Summoners' tasks are for example to serve summons, subpoenas and other documents. Trainee judges have the same responsibility as judges but they do not have competence to deal with difficult cases. They are always appointed for a fixed term period (one year). In the courts of appeal, the administrative courts, the Supreme Court, the Supreme Administrative Court, the Labour Court and the Market Court a referendary prepares and presents a case to the judges but the final judgment is decided by the judges.

In 2021, the total non-judge staff includes 1530 office staff, 269 summoners, 131 trainee district judges and 278 referendaries. As concerns the function of "Rechtspfleger (or similar bodies)", it should be mentioned that the senior judge of a district court may appoint in writing a member of the office personnel at the district court who has given an affirmation corresponding to the judge's affirmation, who has received sufficient training and who has sufficient skills to attend to the duties: (1) in cases referred to in Chapter 5, section 3 of the Code of Judicial Procedure: (a) to give judgments by default; (b) to give, on the basis of Chapter 21, section 8(c) of the Code of Judicial Procedure, decisions and judgments on court costs, if the respondent has conceded the claim; (c) to decide on the staying of an action if the plaintiff has withdrawn the action and the respondent does not call for a decision in the case; (2) to decide on applications for divorce on the basis of section 25, subsection 1 of the Marriage Act (234/1929) if both spouses are domiciled in Finland. If the case to be decided by office personnel, as referred to in subsection 1, proves to be extensive, subject to interpretation or otherwise difficult to decide, the case shall be transferred for a decision of a notary or a legally trained judge at the district court. The chief judge of a district court may appoint in writing a member of the office personnel at the district court who has sufficient skills, to issue summons and certificates, to effect service of documents and to attend to other duties connected to the preparation, consideration or enforcement of administration of justice matters. Before taking such tasks the staff member must give an oath. (Courts Act, Chapter 19, Section 6).

## Ratio between professional judges and non-judge staff

Per 100 000 inhabitants	Finland	EU median
<b>Professional judges</b>	20,9	24,1
<b>Non-judge staff</b>	39,8	58,5
<b>Non-judge staff per judge</b>	1,9	3,1


Professional judges and non-judge staff per 100 000 inhabitants, and their ratio



## Evolution of the ratio between professional judges and non-judge staff

	Judges per 100 000 inh.	Non-judge staff per 100 000 inh.	Ratio between professional judges and non-judge staff	
	Finland	Finland	Finland	EU median
2012	18,1	40,8	2,26	3,4
2013	18,1	40,3	2,2	3,3
2014	18,1	39,5	2,2	3,2
2015	18,1	39,1	2,2	3,2
2016	19,4	39,4	2,0	3,2
2017	19,0	38,8	2,0	3,2
2018	19,6	38,6	2,0	3,3
2019	19,7	38,5	2,0	3,3
2020	19,5	39,1	2,0	3,3
2021	20,9	39,8	1,9	3,1

Evolution of the ratio between professional judges and non-judge staff



## Public prosecutors

### Absolute number of public prosecutors by instance and gender

2021	Total	Distribution by instance	Male	Female	Male	Female
1st instance	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
2nd instance	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
Supreme court	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
<b>Total</b>	424		158	266	37,3%	62,7%

Distribution of public prosecutors by instance and gender



In this cycle, the total number of Female prosecutors (all instances) is 266, which represents 62,7% of the total number of prosecutors.


As regards the distribution of the number of prosecutors among the different judicial instances, Finland presents some peculiarities which should be mentioned. Namely, prosecutors in Finland are not bound on Court instances, and every prosecutor is expected to, in a normal situation, to handle and prosecute the criminal case all the way to the Supreme Court, if needed.

The National Prosecution Authority comprises the Office of the Prosecutor General that acts as the general administrative unit, and five prosecution districts: Southern Finland, Western Finland, Northern Finland, Eastern Finland and Åland. The National Prosecution Authority has 34 offices around Finland. The Prosecutor General is the supreme prosecutor and the head of the prosecution service. The Prosecutor General directs and develops prosecutorial activity by issuing general instructions and guidelines to the prosecutors. She/he also appoints district prosecutors. The Prosecutor General may take over a case from a prosecutor, but cannot order a prosecutor to decide the case in any given manner. She/he can also self-decide on the bringing of charges and designate a prosecutor to pursue the case in the courts. The Deputy Prosecutor General decides the matters in his/her competence on the same authority as the Prosecutor General. He/she also acts as a deputy for the Prosecutor General when necessary. For regular prosecutorial tasks, the Office of the Prosecutor General has state prosecutors whose jurisdiction covers the entire country. Most criminal matters (89 256 cases annually) are dealt with by the prosecution areas. The Office of the Prosecutor General deals mainly with criminal cases with wider significance to society as a whole, a few dozen every year.

## Non-prosecutor staff

Non-prosecutor staff	Total	Male	Female
2021	169	14	155


Non-prosecutor staff by gender



## Ratio between non-prosecutor staff and public prosecutors


Per 100 000 inhabitants	Finland	EU median
Public prosecutors	7,6	10,8
Non-prosecutor staff	3,0	14,7
Non-prosecutor staff per prosecutor	0,4	1,0

Public prosecutors and non-prosecutor staff per 100 000 inhabitants, and their ratio



## Lawyers

Lawyers	Absolute Number	Per 100 000 inhabitants	
	Finland	Finland	EU Median
2012	1 935	35,7	107,5
2013	2 009	36,9	107,6
2014	2 115	38,7	112,5
2015	3 550	64,7	118,1
2016	3 791	68,9	114,2
2017	3 846	69,8	114,9
2018	3 965	71,8	117,9
2019	4 022	72,8	121,3
2020	4 087	73,9	119,8
2021	4 173	75,2	122,4



In 2021, there are 4 173 lawyers, which is 2,1% more than in 2020.

It is not possible to carry out gender breakdown within the number of lawyers.

Finland has 75,2 lawyers per 100 000 inhabitants, which is below the EU median of 122,4 lawyers per 100 000 inhabitants.


It is worth recalling that as of 2014, only attorneys-at-law, public legal aid lawyers and licenced legal counsels are allowed to represent a client in court. In addition, in-house lawyers can represent their company in court. Lawyers working for trade unions can represent a client in a district court and in the Labour Court in disputes regarding employment relationship. Lawyers working for public authorities can represent the public authority in court.

In order to qualify as an attorney-at-law, a lawyer needs to have at least four years of work experience and must pass the demanding three-part professional qualification test known as the bar examination. The titles of attorney-at-law and attorney's office are protected by law and can only be used by lawyers accepted into the Finnish Bar Association. Attorney's offices employ also associate lawyers, that is lawyers who are not yet members of the bar.

The total number of lawyers includes 2230 attorneys-at-law, 1738 licensed legal counsels and 205 public legal aid lawyers. These lawyers can represent a client in court. The title of attorney-at-law is protected by law and can only be used by lawyers accepted into the Finnish Bar Association. The total number of in-house lawyers, trade union lawyers and lawyers working for public authorities is not available.

## Salaries of professional judges and prosecutors at beginning of a career and at the highest instance

Salaries of professional judges and prosecutors	Average gross annual salary in €	Average net annual salary in €	Ratio with national average annual gross salary	
	Finland		Finland	EU median
First instance professional judge at the beginning of his/her career	68 900€	NA	1,5	1,9
Judge of the Supreme Court or the Highest Appellate Court	141 720€	NA	3,0	4,1
Public prosecutor at the beginning of his/her career	50 880€	NA	1,1	1,7
Public prosecutor of the Supreme Court or the Highest Appellate Instance	NAP	NAP	NA	3,4



According to 2021 data, the absolute gross salary of a judge at the beginning of his/her career in Finland of 68 900€ is quite above when compared to the EU median of 52 534€. However, as a ratio with the annual average salary of the country, the salary for a judge at the beginning of his/her career is 1,45 which is below the EU median of 1,91.

In Finland, there are several salary categories for judges. The salary depends also on the years of work experience. At the end of 2021 first instance judge is in a salary category T11 in which the gross salary is from 4847,68 €/month to 6301,98€/month depending on his/her experience. A permanent first instance judge has usually at least nine years of work experience which means the salary is 5918,33 €/month. In Finland, the taxation is progressive so the information on net salary varies from person to person and is not available.

Prosecutors in Finland are not bound on Court instances.

### 3. Legal aid and court fees in Finland (2021 data)

In Finland, legal aid is available for :

> Representation in court:

- Criminal cases ✓
- Other than criminal cases ✓

> Legal advice, ADR and other legal services:

- Criminal cases ✓
- Other than criminal cases ✓

> Fees related to enforcement of judicial decisions as fees for enforcement agents (Q18) ✓

> Other costs than above (Q19) ✓


The granting of legal aid exempts the recipient from liability for the enforcement fees pertaining to the judgment or the court order and any expenses payable in advance. All necessary costs of enforcement are covered from state funds, if they cannot be collected from the opposing party. (Legal Aid Act, Section 4(4)).

Moreover, the fees and compensations arising from the interpretation and translation services required in the consideration of the matter are waived for a recipient of legal aid. Compensation for a witness called by a party receiving legal aid is paid from the state funds. Other costs arising from presenting evidence by a party receiving legal aid are paid from the state funds if the evidence was necessary for deciding the case. If a party receiving legal aid, other than the defendant in a criminal case, has been summoned to the court in person, the compensation for the costs of appearing before the court are paid from the state funds. Legal aid can be granted for travel and lodging costs for the lawyer, as well as for the expenses of witnesses, expert witnesses included. A state-covered support person may be appointed to a victim of violent or sexual crimes, in addition to his/her legal representation.

#### Number of cases for which legal aid has been granted


Number of cases for which legal aid has been granted Absolute number (in 2021)	Total	Cases brought to court	Cases not brought to court
<b>Total</b>	81 138	NA	NA
<b>In criminal cases</b>	NA	NA	NA
<b>In other than criminal cases</b>	NA	NA	NA

Ratio of number of cases brought to court for which legal aid has been granted



Number of cases for which legal aid has been granted Per 100 000 inhabitants (in 2021)	Finland	EU Median
<b>Total</b>	1 462,4	843,9
<b>In criminal cases</b>	NA	406,7
<b>In other than criminal cases</b>	NA	323,9

Number of cases for which legal aid has been granted per 100 000 inhabitants



Legal aid decisions are taken by the State Legal Aid Offices. Legal aid can be provided in respect of almost any sort of legal matter. In court cases the applicant has a choice of lawyers: (1) a public legal aid lawyer (working at the State Legal Aid Office) or (2) a private lawyer, who can be an advocate (member of the Finnish Bar Association) or a licensed lawyer (lawyer who has been granted a permit by the Licensed Lawyers Board to act as an licensed lawyer). In certain matters legal aid is only given by public legal aid lawyers.

At the moment, detailed data cannot be provided because the reporting system of the legal aid is currently being renewed.

#### Timeframes of the procedure for granting legal aid (in relation to the duration from the initial legal aid request to the final approval of the legal aid request)

- Maximum duration prescribed in law/regulations: NAP
- Actual average duration: NA

#### 4. Performance of courts in Finland (2021 data)

##### • Efficiency indicators

- Clearance Rate (CR) and Disposition Time (DT)


The Clearance Rate shows the capacity of a judicial system to deal with the incoming cases. A Clearance Rate of 100% and higher does not generate backlog.

The Disposition Time determines the estimated number of days necessary for a pending case to be solved in a court.


#### Overall efficiency by instance and by case matter

Overall efficiency by instance and by case matter		Civil and commercial litigious cases		Administrative law cases		Total criminal law cases	
		Finland	EU Median	Finland	EU Median	Finland	EU Median
Clearance Rate (%)	1st instance	100,3%	102,5%	101,7%	101,7%	97,5%	100,0%
	2nd instance	95,2%	100,4%	NAP	96,8%	94,5%	98,5%
	Supreme Court	91,8%	99,9%	124,0%	100,4%	90,0%	98,3%
Disposition Time (days)	1st instance	305	234	296	296	160	134
	2nd instance	222	180	NAP	284	197	117
	Supreme Court	173	247	154	241	151	126

Clearance Rate by instance and by matter in 2021 (%)



Disposition Time by instance and by matter in 2021 (days)



In contrast with the EU trend, in 2021, the administrative proceedings (all instances combined) appear as the fastest in Finland. In fact, the Clearance Rate indicator in administrative matters is above the 100% threshold at all instances, while the Disposition Time indicator constitutes the EU median at first instance and is considerably below the EU median at last instance. One can notice that the number of incoming third instance administrative cases has been on the decline for the last years.


In 2021, courts prove to be more efficient in criminal matters than in civil litigious matters. However, in respect of criminal cases, the Clearance Rate indicator remains below the 100% threshold at all instances and the Disposition Time is above the EU median values also at all instances. The total length of proceedings in civil as well as in criminal matters is longer than the respective EU medians.

In civil matters, the situation is more nuanced. The Disposition Time is below the EU median only at the last instance, even though the Clearance Rate of the Supreme court is below the 100% in 2021. At first and second instance, the Disposition Time is higher than the EU values.

## First instance Civil (and commercial) litigious cases

### ◦ Incoming, resolved and pending cases

First instance Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	Incoming cases per 100 inhabitants	Resolved cases per 100 inhabitants	Pending cases on 31 Dec. per 100 inh.
2012	0,19	0,20	0,17
2013	0,20	0,21	0,16
2014	0,20	0,20	0,16
2015	0,20	0,19	0,17
2016	0,16	0,19	0,13
2017	0,15	0,17	0,12
2018	0,15	0,15	0,11
2019	0,15	0,15	0,12
2020	0,17	0,16	0,13
2021	0,16	0,16	0,13
<b>2021 EU Median</b>	<b>1,76</b>	<b>1,56</b>	<b>1,12</b>




The number of incoming civil (and commercial) litigious cases in 2021 in Finland (0,16 per 100 inhabitants) is significantly below the EU median (1,76 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of resolved civil (and commercial) litigious cases in 2021 in Finland (0,16 per 100 inhabitants) is significantly below the EU median (1,56 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of civil (and commercial) litigious pending cases at the end of 2021 in Finland (0,13 per 100 inhabitants) is significantly below the EU median (1,12 per 100 inhabitants).

### ◦ Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

First instance Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	Clearance Rate (%)		Disposition Time (days)	
	Finland	EU Median	Finland	EU Median
2012	103,2%	100,4%	325	218
2013	106,3%	101,2%	288	247
2014	104,6%	101,8%	289	201
2015	94,2%	102,3%	332	190
2016	124,8%	102,0%	252	196
2017	110,8%	101,3%	258	204
2018	102,2%	101,2%	273	220
2019	99,9%	99,9%	280	213
2020	93,6%	98,5%	300	221
2021	100,3%	102,5%	305	234



With a Clearance Rate calculated at 100,3% in 2021 Finland seems to be able to deal with its first instance civil (and commercial) litigious cases.

Between 2020 and 2021, the Clearance Rate has increased by 6,7 points.

In 2021, first instance civil (and commercial) litigious cases are solved in approximately 305 days, which is somewhat above the EU median of 234 days.

The analysis of the 2020 - 2021 period reveals a 1,6% increase of the Disposition Time.




## First instance Administrative law cases

### ◦ Incoming, resolved and pending cases

First instance Administrative law cases	Incoming cases per 100 inhabitants	Resolved cases per 100 inhabitants	Pending cases on 31 Dec. per 100 inh.
2012	0,51	0,51	0,35
2013	0,52	0,49	0,37
2014	0,52	0,50	0,38
2015	0,49	0,50	0,37
2016	0,71	0,56	0,43
2017	0,50	0,54	0,38
2018	0,45	0,50	0,32
2019	0,46	0,46	0,32
2020	0,45	0,44	0,33
2021	0,39	0,40	0,32
2021 EU Median	0,35	0,34	0,21

Evolution of number of first instance Administrative law cases per 100 inhabitants



The number of incoming administrative cases in 2021 in Finland (0,39 per 100 inhabitants) is slightly above the EU median (0,35 per 100 inhabitants).


The number of resolved administrative cases in 2021 in Finland (0,40 per 100 inhabitants) is slightly above the EU median (0,34 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of pending administrative cases at the end of 2021 in Finland (0,32 per 100 inhabitants) is above the EU median (0,21 per 100 inhabitants).

### ◦ Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

First instance Administrative law cases	Clearance Rate (%)		Disposition Time (days)	
	Finland	EU Median	Finland	EU Median
2012	101,0%	101,0%	248	286
2013	94,8%	100,3%	277	281
2014	97,1%	99,6%	280	305
2015	101,8%	103,7%	271	315
2016	79,4%	103,0%	279	297
2017	107,4%	102,1%	255	303
2018	112,3%	99,7%	235	308
2019	99,8%	102,1%	254	284
2020	98,7%	100,1%	274	388
2021	101,7%	101,7%	296	296

Clearance Rate (%) and Disposition Time (days) for first instance Administrative cases



With a Clearance Rate calculated at 101,7% in 2021 Finland seems to be able to deal with its first instance administrative law cases.

Between 2020 and 2021, the Clearance Rate has increased by 3,0 points.

In 2021, first instance administrative law cases are solved in approximately 296 days, which corresponds to the EU median.


The analysis of the 2020 - 2021 period reveals a 7,9% increase of the Disposition Time.

## First instance Total of other than criminal cases

### ◦ Incoming, resolved and pending cases

First instance Total other than criminal cases	Incoming cases per 100 inhabitants	Resolved cases per 100 inhabitants	Pending cases on 31 Dec. per 100 inh.
2012	9,66	9,16	2,52
2013	9,52	9,52	2,52
2014	8,05	8,23	2,33
2015	8,05	7,95	2,42
2016	8,20	8,04	2,49
2017	9,01	8,68	2,80
2018	9,05	9,60	2,25
2019	9,47	8,97	2,59
2020	8,46	8,89	2,37
2021	8,82	9,03	2,17
2021 EU Median	6,56	6,63	2,82

Evolution of number of first instance Total other than criminal cases per 100 inhabitants



The number of incoming other than criminal cases in 2021 in Finland (8,82 per 100 inhabitants) is somewhat above the EU median (6,56 per 100 inhabitants).


The number of resolved other than criminal cases in 2021 in Finland (9,03 per 100 inhabitants) is somewhat above the EU median (6,63 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of pending other than criminal cases at the end of 2021 in Finland (2,17 per 100 inhabitants) is slightly below the EU median (2,82 per 100 inhabitants).

### ◦ Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

First instance Total other than criminal cases	Clearance Rate (%)		Disposition Time (days)	
	Finland	EU Median	Finland	EU Median
2012	94,8%	100,5%	101	133
2013	99,9%	100,7%	97	119
2014	102,3%	101,9%	103	133
2015	98,8%	101,0%	111	119
2016	98,1%	101,5%	113	98
2017	96,4%	100,6%	118	107
2018	106,0%	100,6%	86	91
2019	94,8%	99,8%	105	111
2020	105,1%	98,7%	97	109
2021	102,3%	101,2%	88	107

Clearance Rate (%) and Disposition Time (days) for first instance Total of other than criminal cases



With a Clearance Rate calculated at 102,3% in 2021 Finland seems to be able to deal with its other than criminal cases.

Between 2020 and 2021, the Clearance Rate has decreased by -2,8 points.


In 2021, other than criminal cases are solved in approximately 88 days, which is slightly below the EU median of 107 days.

The analysis of the 2020 - 2021 period reveals a -10,0% decrease of the Disposition Time.

## Insolvency cases

### ◦ Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

Insolvency cases	Clearance Rate (%)		Disposition Time (days)	
	Finland	EU Median	Finland	EU Median
2012	97,1%	95,0%	250	323
2013	95,1%	89,6%	262	282
2014	103,5%	96,9%	243	304
2015	109,9%	104,5%	235	282
2016	104,7%	102,0%	246	246
2017	108,8%	103,6%	243	243
2018	94,8%	101,5%	260	271
2019	98,7%	101,2%	253	258
2020	121,6%	109,7%	198	281
2021	90,2%	103,3%	271	299



With a Clearance Rate calculated at 90,2% in 2021 Finland seems to encounter some difficulties in dealing with its insolvency cases.

Between 2020 and 2021, the Clearance Rate has decreased by -31,4 points.

In 2021, insolvency cases are solved in approximately 271 days, which is slightly below the EU median of 299 days.

The analysis of the 2020 - 2021 period reveals a 37,0% increase of the Disposition Time.


## First instance Criminal Law Cases

### ◦ Incoming, resolved and pending cases


First instance Criminal Law Cases	Pending cases 1 Jan	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases 31 Dec
<b>Total</b>	28 360	70 375	68 638	30 097
<b>Severe criminal cases</b>	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
<b>Misdemeanour and/or minor cases</b>	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
<b>Other criminal cases</b>	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP

First instance Criminal Law Cases Per 100 inh.	Pending cases 1 Jan	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases 31 Dec
<b>Total</b>	0,51	1,27	1,24	0,54
<b>Severe criminal cases</b>	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
<b>Misdemeanour and/or minor cases</b>	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
<b>Other criminal cases</b>	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP

First instance Total Criminal law cases per 100 inhabitants in 2021



Severe, Misdemeanour and/or minor criminal cases, and other criminal law cases per 100 inhabitants



The number of total incoming criminal cases in 2021 in Finland (1,27 per 100 inhabitants) is somewhat below the EU median (1,58 per 100 inhabitants).


The number of total resolved criminal cases in 2021 in Finland (1,24 per 100 inhabitants) is somewhat below the EU median (1,92 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of total pending criminal cases at the end of 2021 in Finland (0,54 per 100 inhabitants) is slightly above the EU median (0,49 per 100 inhabitants).

### ◦ Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

First instance Total criminal law cases	Clearance Rate (%)		Disposition Time (days)	
	Finland	EU Median	Finland	EU Median
<b>2020</b>	89,3%	95,2%	189	139
<b>2021</b>	97,5%	100,0%	160	134

Clearance Rate (%) and Disposition Time (days) for First Instance Total criminal law cases



With a Clearance Rate calculated at 97,5% in 2021 Finland seems to be able to deal with its first instance total criminal law cases.

Between 2020 and 2021, the Clearance Rate has increased by 8,2 points.

In 2021, first instance total criminal law cases are solved in approximately 160 days, which is slightly above the EU median of 134 days.

The analysis of the 2020 - 2021 period reveals a -15,3% decrease of the Disposition Time.

In Finland, the cases are not statistically categorised in severe criminal cases and misdemeanour and / or minor cases.

It is noteworthy that for the first time, military court cases and coercive measures are included in the numbers.


"Coercive measures": these are cases that are dealt separately from a criminal case and therefore get their own case ID-number. They include telecommunications interception and electronic surveillance, confiscation, detention on remand, detention of an alien, travel ban and other coercive measures, restraint on alienation [hukkaamiskielto] and confiscation for security. When a coercive measure is dealt within an ongoing case it does not get its own case ID-number and is not counted as a separate case.

"Military trials" deal with cases of military offences (e.g. service offences, sentry offences, absence from duty offences, obedience offences, offences by a superior officer). In addition, certain crimes against soldiers are military offences as are some criminal offences stipulated in Conscription Act (Chapter 45 of the Criminal Code). The "military trial" is a case dealt with by the district courts (excluding the district court of Åland), the Court of appeal of Helsinki and the Supreme court. In a district court the case is dealt with by a judge (as a chair) and two military members. Because of this different composition of the panel, it is referred to as "military court" even though it is a composition of the district court. Similarly, the panel in the Court of appeal and the Supreme court includes two military members.

## 5. Public prosecution services in Finland (2021 data)

### Public prosecution implemented budget

Total implemented annual public budget allocated to the public prosecution services in €	Absolute Number	Per inhabitant	
	Finland	Finland	EU Median
2014	46 223 000 €	8,4 €	11,0 €
2015	42 200 000 €	7,7 €	11,4 €
2016	46 243 000 €	8,4 €	12,3 €
2017	44 800 000 €	8,1 €	12,3 €
2018	45 042 000 €	8,2 €	13,8 €
2019	48 848 000 €	8,8 €	14,0 €
2020	50 210 000 €	9,1 €	14,5 €
2021	51 760 000 €	9,3 €	15,8 €



Implemented annual public budget allocated to training of public prosecution services in € (included in the total)	Absolute Number	Per inhabitant	
	Finland	Finland	EU Median
2018	NA	NA	0,018 €
2019	586 128 €	0,106 €	0,029 €
2020	379 043 €	0,068 €	0,011 €
2021	407 595 €	0,073 €	0,022 €

Concerning the annual public budget allocated to training of public prosecution services, in 2021 the total amount used for training was 407 595 euro. The latter comprises: 261 683 euro for salaries related to trainings (+ plus pensions etc. payments); 29 328 euro of travel expenses (excluding VAT), 73 230 euro for purchasing different course and conference services, and 43 354 euro used for training by the Office of the Prosecutor General.

The annual public budget allocated to training of public prosecution services increased only slightly since the particular year of the pandemic. In fact, in 2021, the Prosecution Authority had a recommendation on remote work in force and also most of the trainings were organized remotely.



### Public prosecution caseload: Number of first instance criminal cases

Public prosecution caseload: Number of first instance criminal cases	Absolute Number *		Per 100 inhabitants	
	Finland	% Variation 2020 - 2021	Finland	Eu Median
1. Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	17 725	▲ 26,7%	0,32	0,84
2. Incoming/received cases	89 256	▼ -2,2%	1,61	4,00
3. Processed cases (3.1 + 3.2 + 3.3 + 3.4)	88 979	▲ 1,7%	1,60	2,87
3.1. Discontinued during the reference year (3.1.1 + 3.1.2 + 3.1.3 + 3.1.4)	27 916	▲ 7,8%	0,50	1,36
3.1.1 Discontinued by the public prosecutor because the offender could not be identified	NAP	NAP	NAP	NA
3.1.2 Discontinued by the public prosecutor due to the lack of an established offence or a specific legal situation	136	▼ -65,0%	0,00	NA
3.1.3 Discontinued by the public prosecutor for reasons of opportunity	15 904	▼ -5,4%	0,29	NA
3.1.4 Discontinued for other reasons	11 876	▲ 36,7%	0,21	NA
3.2. Concluded by a penalty or a measure imposed or negotiated by the public prosecutor	16	▲ 33,3%	0,00	0,16
3.3. Cases closed by the public prosecutor for other reasons	4 683	▼ -4,8%	0,08	0,28
3.4. Cases brought to court	56 364	▼ -0,6%	1,02	0,52
4. Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	18 002	▲ 1,7%	0,32	0,87

\* Please note that these figures include traffic offence cases.

The category "cases concluded by a penalty" does not include notice cases of summary fines. The number of summary fines in 2021 was 34 306.

The category "cases closed by the public prosecutor for other reasons" refers to internal transfers of cases between prosecution districts, joining of cases and technical decisions.



## 6. Existence and use of alternative dispute resolution in Finland (2021 data)

### Number of mediators

In Finland there is no accreditation or a register for court-related mediators, all mediators being trained in a special training program for mediation.

### Number of court related mediations

Type of cases	Number of cases for which the parties agreed to start mediation	Number of finished court-related mediations	Number of cases in which there is a settlement agreement
All Cases	2 219	2 319	1 493
Civil and commercial	801	1 005	561
Family cases	1 242	1 132	818
Administrative	NAP	NAP	NAP
Employment dismissal	176	182	114
Criminal cases	NAP	NAP	NAP
Consumer cases	NA	NA	NA

Given that consumer cases are not statistically specified, cases in which consumers are involved are included in the number of civil and commercial cases.

## 7. ICT tools of courts in Finland (2021 data)

### The ICT questions

The answers to the ICT questions in 2021 can be summarised to :

<b>Assistance tools</b>		<b>Civil and/or commercial</b>	<b>Criminal</b>	<b>Administrative</b>
Writing assistance tools	Yes	100%	100%	100%
Simple dictation tools	Yes	not available	not available	not available
Multiple speakers recording tools		in all courts	in all courts	in all courts
Voice recognition feature		No	No	No

<b>Financial management tools</b>		<b>Deployment rate</b>	<b>Data consolidated at national level</b>	<b>System communicating with other ministries</b>
Budgetary and financial management of courts		100%	Yes	No
Justice expenses management		100%	Yes	No
Other		NA	NA	NA

<b>Measurement tools to assess the workload</b>		<b>Deployment rate</b>	<b>Monitoring at national level</b>	<b>Monitoring at court level</b>	<b>Integrated with CMS</b>
Judges	Yes	100%	Yes	Yes	No
Prosecutors		50-99%	Yes	Yes	No
Non-judge/non-prosecutor staff		100%	Yes	Yes	No

There is a system for collecting data on handling cases and this is deployed to all courts. In administrative courts Power BI software is compatible with the new case management system, HAIPA. During the transition period, the administrative courts also use the Business Objects Board software (BOBI) for cases still pending in the old case management system.

The general courts are also transitioning to a new case management system, AIPA. However, the number of cases in the new system was much lower than in the administrative courts. Similarly, during the transition period, the general courts also use the Business Objects Board software (BOBI) for the cases still pending in the old case management system.

Due to data protection, only the court where the judge / staff member works, looks at the data related to an individual. The heads of courts are able to follow the number of cases resolved by the judge. Often, these data are not used on detailed/short term manner.

Rather, they may be used at a court level (for example in budget negotiations) and as a long term indicator, or in case of a sudden and radical change in judges output (but even then not as a tool for disciplinary measure). In addition, a tool for reporting the working hours is 'deployed' within courts 100% in the sense that it is available and accessible. We estimated the use to correspond to '50-99%'.

## 8. Systems for measuring and evaluating the performance of courts and public prosecution services in Finland (2021 data)

In Finland, quality standards are determined for the judicial system at national level (e.g. quality systems for the judiciary and/or judicial quality policies). Specialised personnel within the public prosecution services is entrusted with implementation of these national level quality standards.

There are quality projects covering both civil and criminal cases in the Court of Appeal of Rovaniemi judicial district and in the Helsinki Court of Appeal judicial district. In a quality project, one or several working groups are set up usually for a year. There are judges from each district court within the judicial district of a court of appeal and court of appeal judges and referendaries in the working group. Depending on the topic, prosecutors, attorneys-at-law and other lawyers, public legal aid lawyers and police may also participate in the working group's work. The working group writes a report on a specific theme, for example developing conduct of the court proceedings or legal costs in criminal and civil cases. The written report is presented and discussed in a formal event and published. The aim is to provide legal professionals with practical information and guidelines on a certain topic.

In addition, there are co-operation projects between administrative courts.

Prosecution Services' system quality improvement project is underway.

The Finnish Association of Judges compiled and published Ethical Principles for Judges in 2012.

### Systems for measuring and evaluating courts' performance

#### A regular monitoring system of court activities is in place concerning:

Number of incoming cases	✓	Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)	✗
Length of proceedings (timeframes)	✓	Costs of the judicial procedures	✗
Number of resolved cases	✓	Number of appeals	✓
Number of pending cases	✓	Appeal ratio	✓
Backlogs	✓	Clearance rate	✓
Productivity of judges and court staff	✓	Disposition time	✓
Satisfaction of court staff	✓	Other	✗

All courts keep statistics of the mentioned court activities in the operational case management systems. The National Courts Administration can access these figures through a reporting system.

In Finland, there is a system to regularly evaluate the court performance based primarily on defined indicators and the frequency of the reporting is annual.

During the annual budget negotiations the performance of each court is evaluated. However, the general performance of the courts as a whole (for example Disposition Times) is monitored more frequently.

#### Performance and quality indicators are defined for the activity of each court.

The following indicators are used:

Number of incoming cases	✓	Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)	✗
Length of proceedings (timeframes)	✓	Costs of the judicial procedures	✗
Number of resolved cases	✓	Number of appeals	✓
Number of pending cases	✓	Appeal ratio	✓
Backlogs	✓	Clearance rate	✓
Productivity of judges and court staff	✓	Disposition time	✓
Satisfaction of court staff	✓	Other	✗

It is worth mentioning that there are only performance and not quality indicators.

The evaluation of the courts' activities is used for the later allocation of means in the courts.



**A regular monitoring system of public prosecution services activities is in place concerning:**

Number of incoming cases	✓	Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the public prosecution)	✗
Length of proceedings (timeframes)	✓	Costs of the judicial procedures	✗
Number of resolved cases	✓	Clearance rate	✗
Number of pending cases	✓	Disposition time	✗
Backlogs	✓	Percentage of convictions and acquittals	✓
Productivity of prosecutors and prosecution staff	✓	Other	✗
Satisfaction of prosecution staff	✓		

Concerning the "Backlogs" indicator, cases that have been pending for longer than a year are monitored.

In Finland, there is a system to evaluate regularly the activity of each public prosecution service and the reporting is more frequent than annual.

The prosecution services are evaluated twice a year.

**Performance and quality indicators are defined for the activity of each public prosecution service.**

The following indicators are used:

Number of incoming cases	✓	Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the public prosecutors)	✗
Length of proceedings (timeframes)	✓	Costs of the judicial procedures	✗
Number of resolved cases	✓	Clearance rate	✗
Number of pending cases	✓	Disposition time	✗
Backlogs	✓	Percentage of convictions and acquittals	✗
Productivity of prosecutors and prosecution staff	✓	Other	✗
Satisfaction of prosecution staff	✓		

The evaluation of the public prosecution services' activities is used for the later allocation of means in the public prosecution services.

# Finland

## (2012-2021) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Variations for quantitative questions	
											2012-2021	2020-2021
Q1 Number of inhabitants	5 426 674	5 451 270	5 471 753	5 486 616	5 503 297	5 513 130	5 521 773	5 525 292	5 533 793	5 548 241	2,2%	0,3%
Q.3 GDP Per capita (in €) in current prices	35 571	37 018	37 559	38 162	38 959	40 612	42 340	43 567	42 701	43 483	22,2%	1,8%
Q5. Exchange rate of Nat currency to € on 1 Jan	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-

### Indicator 1: Systems for measuring and evaluating the performance of courts and prosecution services

(Q66, Q67, Q77, Q78, Q77-1, Q78-1, Q73, Q73-0, Q73-1, Q73-2, Q73-3, Q73-4, Q73-5, Q73-6, Q70, Q70-1, Q71, Q72, Q83-2, Q83-3, Q120 and Q120-1)

66 Qlty standards formulated_jud system	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True	True	True
67 Specialised court staff entrusted_qlty standards	No	No	No	No	False	False	False	False	False	False	False
77 Performance and quality indicators of court activities	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True	True	True
078.1.1 Number of incoming cases							True	True	True	True	True
078.1.2 Length of proceedings (timeframes)							True	True	True	True	True
078.1.3 Number of resolved cases							True	True	True	True	True
078.1.4 Number of pending cases							True	True	True	True	True
078.1.5 Backlogs							True	True	True	True	True
078.1.6 Productivity of judges and court staff							True	True	True	True	True
078.1.7 Satisfaction of court staff							False	True	True	True	True
078.1.8 Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)							False	False	False	False	False
078.1.9 Costs of the judicial procedures							False	False	False	False	False
078.1.10 Number of appeals							True	True	True	True	True
078.1.11 Appeal ratio							True	True	True	True	True
078.1.12 Clearance rate							True	True	True	True	True

# Finland

## (2012-2021) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Variations for quantitative questions	
											2012-2021	2020-2021
078-1.13 Disposition time							True	True	True	True		
078-1.14 Other							False	False	False	False		
077-1.1.1 Defined performance and quality indicators									True	True		
078-1.1.1 Number of incoming cases									True	True		
078-1.1.2 Length of proceedings (timeframes)									True	True		
078-1.1.3 Number of resolved cases									True	True		
078-1.1.4 Number of pending cases									True	True		
078-1.1.5 Backlogs									True	True		
078-1.1.6 Productivity of prosecutors and prosecution staff									True	True		
078-1.1.7 Satisfaction of prosecution staff									True	True		
078-1.1.8 Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the public prosecution)									False	False		
078-1.1.9 Costs of the judicial procedures									False	False		
078-1.1.10 Clearance rate									False	False		
078-1.1.11 Disposition time									False	False		
078-1.1.12 Percentage of convictions and acquittals									False	False		
078-1.1.13 Other									False	False		
73 Regular system_evaluation_performance_each court	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True	True		
073-0.1.1 Annual					True	True	True	True	True	True		
073-0.1.2 Less frequent					False	False	False	False	False	False		
073-0.1.3 More frequent					False	False	False	False	False	False		

# Finland

## (2012-2021) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Variations for quantitative questions	
											2012-2021	2020-2021
073-1.1.1 Evaluation used for the allocation of resources within the court			Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True	True		
073-2.1.1 Courses of action taken in the evaluation is used for the allocation of resources							True	True	True	True		
073-2.1.2 Reallocating resources (human/financial resources based on performance)							True	True	True	True		
073-2.1.3 Reengineering of internal procedures to increase efficiency							False	False	False	False		
073-2.1.4 Other							False	False	False	False		
073-3.1.1 Regular evaluation of the public prosecution services performance									True	True		
073-4.1.1 Annual									False	False		
073-4.1.2 Less frequent									False	False		
073-4.1.3 More frequent									True	True		
073-5.1.1 Evaluation used for the allocation of resources within the public prosecution services									False	True		
073-6.1.1 Identifying the causes of improved or deteriorated performance									True	True		
073-6.1.2 Reallocating resources (human/financial resources based on performance)									True	True		
073-6.1.3 Reengineering of internal procedures to increase efficiency									True	True		
073-6.1.4 Other									True	False		
070.1.1 number of incoming cases	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True	True	True	
070.1.2 length of proceedings (timeframes)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True	True	True	
070.1.3 number of resolved cases	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True	True	True	
070.1.4 number of pending cases							True	True	True	True	True	
070.1.5 backlogs							True	True	True	True	True	
070.1.6 productivity of judges and court staff							True	True	True	True	True	

# Finland

## (2012-2021) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Variations for quantitative questions	
											2012-2021	2020-2021
070.1.7 satisfaction of court staff							False	True	True	True		
070.1.8 satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)							False	False	False	False		
070.1.9 costs of the judicial procedures							False	False	False	False		
070.1.10 number of appeals							True	True	True	True		
070.1.11 appeal ratio							True	True	True	True		
070.1.12 clearance rate							True	True	True	True		
070-1.1.1 Number of incoming cases									True	True		
070-1.1.2 Length of proceedings (timeframes)									True	True		
070-1.1.3 Number of resolved cases									True	True		
070-1.1.4 Number of pending cases									True	True		
070-1.1.5 Backlogs									True	True		
070-1.1.6 Productivity of prosecutors and prosecution staff									True	True		
070-1.1.7 Satisfaction of prosecution staff									True	True		
070-1.1.8 Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the public prosecution)									False	False		
070-1.1.9 Costs of the judicial procedures									False	False		
070-1.1.10 Clearance rate									False	False		
070-1.1.11 Disposition time									False	False		
070-1.1.12 Percentage of convictions and acquittals									True	True		
070-1.1.13 Other									False	False		
071.1.1 Monitoring backlogs in Civil law cases									True	True		

# Finland

## (2012-2021) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Variations for quantitative questions	
											2012-2021	2020-2021
071.1.2 Monitoring backlogs in Criminal law cases									True	True		
071.1.3 Monitoring backlogs in Administrative law cases									True	True		
072.1.1 Monitoring timeframes Within the courts									True	True		
072.1.2 Monitoring timeframes Within the public prosecution services									True	True		
083-2.1.1 Quantitative performance targets defined for each prosecutors									True	True		
083-3.1.1 Body responsible - Executive power (for example the Ministry of Justice)									False	False		
083-3.1.2 Body responsible - Prosecutor General /State public prosecutor									False	False		
083-3.1.3 Body responsible - Public Prosecutorial Council									False	False		
083-3.1.4 Body responsible - Head of the organisational unit or hierarchically superior public prosecutor									True	True		
083-3.1.5 Body responsible - Other									False	False		
120.1.1 Qualitative individual assessment of the public prosecutors' work									False	False		
120-1.1.1 Frequency - Annual									-	-		
120-1.1.2 Frequency - Less frequent									-	-		
120-1.1.3 Frequency - More frequent									-	-		

### Indicator 2: The judicial organisation

#### (Q42, Q43 and Q44)

Q42.1.1 Total number of all courts - legal entities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	36	36	-	0,0%
Q42.1.2 Total number of courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	26	26	-	0,0%
Q42.1.3 First instance courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	20	20	20	-25,9%	0,0%
Q42.1.4 Second instance courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	5	-	0,0%

# Finland

## (2012-2021) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Variations for quantitative questions	
											2012-2021	2020-2021
Q42.1.5 Highest instance courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	0,0%
Q42.1.6 Total number of specialised courts - legal entities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	10	-	0,0%
43.1.1 Total number of specialised courts of first instance	11	11	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	-18,2%	0,0%
43.1.2 Commercial courts (excluded insolvency courts)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0,0%	0,0%
43.1.3 Insolvency courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.1.4 Labour courts	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0,0%	0,0%
43.1.5 Family courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.1.6 Rent and tenancies courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.1.7 Enforcement of criminal sanctions courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.1.8 Fight against terrorism, organised crime and corruption	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.1.9 Internet related disputes	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.1.10 Administrative courts	8	8	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	-25,0%	0,0%
43.1.11 Insurance and / or social welfare courts	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0,0%	0,0%
43.1.12 Military courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.1.13 Juvenile courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.1.14 Other specialised courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.2.1 Total number of specialised courts of higher instances	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	0,0%
43.2.2 Commercial courts (excluded insolvency courts)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.2.3 Insolvency courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.2.4 Labour courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	NAP	-	-

# Finland

## (2012-2021) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Variations for quantitative questions	
											2012-2021	2020-2021
43.2.5 Family courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.2.6 Rent and tenancies courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.2.7 Enforcement of criminal sanctions courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.2.8 Fight against terrorism, organised crime and corruption	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.2.9 Internet related disputes	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.2.10 Administrative courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	0,0%
43.2.11 Insurance and / or social welfare courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.2.12 Military courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.2.13 Juvenile courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.2.14 Other specialised courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	NAP	-	-
44.1.1 First instance courts geographic locations	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	45	45	-	0,0%
44.1.2 All courts geographic locations	82	78	81	79	73	73	71	52	52	52	-36,6%	0,0%

### Indicator 3: The performance of courts at all stages of the proceedings

#### First instance other than criminal cases (Q91)

91.1.1 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	109 588	137 004	137 261	127 125	128 042	136 237	154 229	115 918	155 291	131 983	20,4%	-15,0%
91.1.2 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	9 829	9 600	9 321	8 883	9 530	7 358	6 487	6 451	6 497	7 290	-25,8%	12,2%
91.1.3 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	102 233	91 790	97 217	100 644	121 848	86 233	125 526	101 762	-	-18,9%
91.1.4 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	75 446	103 192	102 233	91 790	97 217	100 644	121 848	86 233	125 526	101 762	34,9%	-18,9%
91.1.5 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-



# Finland

## (2012-2021) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Variations for quantitative questions	
											2012-2021	2020-2021
91.1.6 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.1.7 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.1.8 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.1.9 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.1.10 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Administrative law cases	19 203	18 849	20 233	20 955	15 553	22 940	20 765	17 620	18 029	18 324	-4,6%	1,6%
91.1.11 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	5 110	5 363	5 474	5 497	5 742	5 295	5 129	5 614	5 242	4 607	-9,8%	-12,1%
91.2.1 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	524 352	519 154	440 553	441 823	451 430	496 472	499 995	522 977	467 946	489 605	-6,6%	4,6%
91.2.2 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	10 320	10 644	10 677	11 108	8 587	8 259	8 244	8 448	9 201	8 666	-16,0%	-5,8%
91.2.3 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	391 260	393 554	393 960	450 958	457 303	480 320	425 171	450 037	-	5,8%
91.2.4 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	476 764	470 137	391 260	393 554	393 960	450 958	457 303	480 320	425 171	450 037	-5,6%	5,8%
91.2.5 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.2.6 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.2.7 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.2.8 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.2.9 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.2.10 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Administrative law cases	27 579	28 214	28 254	27 112	38 831	27 817	24 593	25 396	24 743	21 782	-21,0%	-12,0%
91.2.11 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	9 689	10 159	10 362	10 049	10 052	9 438	9 855	8 813	8 831	9 120	-5,9%	3,3%
91.3.1 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	497 063	518 725	450 486	436 443	442 641	478 438	529 974	495 812	491 856	501 069	0,8%	1,9%
91.3.2 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	10 653	11 319	11 164	10 463	10 718	9 152	8 427	8 436	8 616	8 695	-18,4%	0,9%
91.3.3 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	401 590	388 228	390 607	429 811	484 490	452 792	449 309	461 131	-	2,6%

# Finland

## (2012-2021) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Variations for quantitative questions	
											2012-2021	2020-2021
91.3.4 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	449 101	470 722	401 590	388 228	390 607	429 811	484 490	452 792	449 309	461 131	2,7%	2,6%
91.3.5 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.3.6 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.3.7 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.3.8 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.3.9 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.3.10 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Administrative law cases	27 852	26 745	27 429	27 595	30 815	29 878	27 608	25 348	24 432	22 161	-20,4%	-9,3%
91.3.11 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	9 457	9 939	10 303	10 157	10 501	9 597	9 449	9 236	9 499	9 082	-4,0%	-4,4%
91.4.1 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	136 877	137 433	127 328	132 586	136 831	154 271	124 250	143 083	131 384	120 519	-12,0%	-8,3%
91.4.2 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	9 496	8 925	8 834	9 528	7 399	6 465	6 304	6 463	7 082	7 261	-23,5%	2,5%
91.4.3 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	91 903	97 116	100 570	121 791	94 661	113 761	101 388	90 668	-	-10,6%
91.4.4 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	103 109	102 607	91 903	97 116	100 570	121 791	94 661	113 761	101 388	90 668	-12,1%	-10,6%
91.4.5 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.4.6 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.4.7 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.4.8 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.4.9 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.4.10 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Administrative law cases	18 930	20 318	21 058	20 475	23 569	20 879	17 750	17 668	18 340	17 945	-5,2%	-2,2%
91.4.11 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	5 342	5 583	5 533	5 467	5 293	5 136	5 535	5 191	4 574	4 645	-13,0%	1,6%

# Finland

## (2012-2021) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Variations for quantitative questions	
											2012-2021	2020-2021
<b>Table 3.2.1.1 to 3.2.1.2 (all years) First instance courts: Clearance rate and disposition time for other than criminal cases (Q91)</b>												
<b>Table 3.3.4 to 3.3.7 Variation of Clearance Rate and Disposition Time of first instance other than criminal cases (Q91)</b>												
<b>Table 3.13.1 (EC) to 3.13.6 (EC) First instance courts: Disposition time and clearance rate for other than criminal cases (Q91)</b>												
CR Total of other than criminal law cases	94,8%	99,9%	102,3%	98,8%	98,1%	96,4%	106,0%	94,8%	105,1%	102,3%	7,55	(2,77)
CR Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	103,2%	106,3%	104,6%	94,2%	124,8%	110,8%	102,2%	99,9%	93,6%	100,3%	(2,89)	6,69
CR Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	102,6%	98,6%	99,1%	95,3%	105,9%	94,3%	105,7%	102,5%	-	(3,21)
CR General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	94,2%	100,1%	102,6%	98,6%	99,1%	95,3%	105,9%	94,3%	105,7%	102,5%	8,27	(3,21)
CR Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
CR Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
CR Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
CR Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
CR Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
CR Administrative law cases	101,0%	94,8%	97,1%	101,8%	79,4%	107,4%	112,3%	99,8%	98,7%	101,7%	0,75	3,00
CR Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	97,6%	97,8%	99,4%	101,1%	104,5%	101,7%	95,9%	104,8%	107,6%	99,6%	1,98	(7,98)
DT Total of other than criminal law cases	101	97	103	111	113	118	86	105	97	88	-12,7%	-10,0%
DT Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	325	288	289	332	252	258	273	280	300	305	-6,3%	1,6%
DT Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	84	91	94	103	71	92	82	72	-	-12,9%
DT General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	84	80	84	91	94	103	71	92	82	72	-14,4%	-12,9%
DT Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-

# Finland

## (2012-2021) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Variations for quantitative questions	
											2012-2021	2020-2021
DT Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT Administrative law cases	248	277	280	271	279	255	235	254	274	296	19,1%	7,9%
DT Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	206	205	196	196	184	195	214	205	176	187	-9,5%	6,2%

### First instance courts, number of cases for specific case categories (Q101)

101.1.1 Pending cases on 1 Jan. _Litigious divorce case	11 706	12 203	12 127	12 326	12 384	11 255	11 444	11 999	12 069	11 428	-2,4%	-5,3%
101.1.2 Pending cases on 1 Jan. _Employment dismissal case	559	509	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	480	479	-14,3%	-0,2%
101.1.3 Pending cases on 1 Jan. _Insolvency	2 135	2 251	2 439	2 326	2 050	1 936	1 745	1 946	2 031	1 556	-27,1%	-23,4%
101.1.3 Pending cases on 1 Jan. _Robbery cases	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	366	-	-
101.1.3 Pending cases on 1 Jan. _Intentional homicide	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	19	-	-
101.2.1 Incoming cases_Litigious divorce case	17 075	18 185	18 542	18 579	17 023	17 648	18 001	17 553	17 058	15 230	-10,8%	-10,7%
101.2.2 Incoming cases_Employment dismissal case	577	638	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	452	413	-28,4%	-8,6%
101.2.3 Incoming cases_Insolvency	3 359	3 553	3 372	2 882	2 725	2 384	2 801	2 894	2 321	2 724	-18,9%	17,4%
101.2.3 Incoming cases_Robbery cases	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	485	-	-
101.2.3 Incoming cases_Intentional homicide	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	57	-	-
101.3.1 Resolved cases_Litigious divorce case	17 696	18 262	18 325	18 545	18 145	17 458	17 579	19 042	17 593	16 397	-7,3%	-6,8%
101.3.2 Resolved cases_Employment dismissal case	647	601	658	666	662	557	529	505	463	450	-30,4%	-2,8%
101.3.3 Resolved cases_Insolvency	3 261	3 379	3 489	3 168	2 852	2 593	2 654	2 857	2 823	2 457	-24,7%	-13,0%

# Finland

## (2012-2021) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Variations for quantitative questions	
											2012-2021	2020-2021
101.3.3 Resolved cases_Robbery cases	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	415	491	-	18,3%
101.3.3 Resolved cases_Intentional homicide	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	30	59	-	96,7%
101.4.1 Pending cases on 31 Dec. _Litigious divorce case	11 085	12 126	12 344	12 360	11 262	11 445	11 866	10 510	11 534	10 261	-7,4%	-11,0%
101.4.2 Pending cases on 31 Dec. _Employment dismissal case	489	546	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	469	442	-9,6%	-5,8%
101.4.3 Pending cases on 31 Dec. _Insolvency	2 233	2 425	2 322	2 040	1 923	1 727	1 892	1 983	1 529	1 823	-18,4%	19,2%
101.4.3 Pending cases on 31 Dec. _Robbery cases	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	360	-	-
101.4.3 Pending cases on 31 Dec. _Intentional homicide	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	17	-	-

### First instance courts: Clearance rate and disposition time for specific case categories (Q101)

CR Litigious divorce cases	103,6%	100,4%	98,8%	99,8%	106,6%	98,9%	97,7%	108,5%	103,1%	107,7%	4,03	4,53
CR Employment dismissal cases	112,1%	94,2%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	102,4%	109,0%	(3,17)	6,53
CR Insolvency cases	97,1%	95,1%	103,5%	109,9%	104,7%	108,8%	94,8%	98,7%	121,6%	90,2%	(6,88)	(31,43)
DT Litigious divorce cases	229	242	246	243	227	239	246	201	239	228	-0,1%	-4,5%
DT Employment dismissal cases	276	332	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	370	359	30,0%	-3,0%
DT Insolvency cases	250	262	243	235	246	243	260	253	198	271	8,4%	37,0%

### Second instance other than criminal cases (Q97)

97.1.1 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			1 843	1 913	1 912	1 363	1 456	1 288	1 395	1 285	-	-7,9%
97.1.2 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			NA	1 651	1 606	1 161	1 267	1 120	1 181	1 102	-	-6,7%
97.1.3 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			240	206	252	162	138	117	177	132	-	-25,4%

# Finland

## (2012-2021) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Variations for quantitative questions	
											2012-2021	2020-2021
97.1.4 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			240	206	252	162	138	117	177	132	-	-25,4%
97.1.5 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.1.6 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.1.7 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.1.8 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.1.9 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.1.10 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Administrative law cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.1.11 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other cases			64	56	54	40	51	51	37	51	-	37,8%
97.2.1 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			3 835	3 780	3 069	2 894	2 739	2 801	2 493	2 652	-	6,4%
97.2.2 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			NA	2 806	2 376	2 281	2 163	2 187	1 833	2 078	-	13,4%
97.2.3 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			828	914	651	562	529	569	581	518	-	-10,8%
97.2.4 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			828	914	651	562	529	569	581	518	-	-10,8%
97.2.5 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.2.6 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.2.7 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.2.8 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.2.9 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.2.10 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Administrative law cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.2.11 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Other cases			54	60	42	51	47	45	79	56	-	-29,1%
97.3.1 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			3 763	3 779	3 618	2 804	2 905	2 698	2 604	2 547	-	-2,2%

# Finland

## (2012-2021) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Variations for quantitative questions	
											2012-2021	2020-2021
97.3.2 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			NA	2 848	2 821	2 176	2 310	2 117	1 914	1 979	-	3,4%
97.3.3 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			861	869	741	586	550	523	625	506	-	-19,0%
97.3.4 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			861	869	741	586	550	523	625	506	-	-19,0%
97.3.5 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.3.6 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.3.7 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.3.8 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.3.9 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.3.10 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Administrative law cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.3.11 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Other cases			62	62	56	42	45	58	65	62	-	-4,6%
97.4.1 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			1 915	1 914	1 363	1 453	1 290	1 391	1 284	1 390	-	8,3%
97.4.2 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			NA	1 609	1 161	1 266	1 120	1 190	1 100	1 201	-	9,2%
97.4.3 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			207	251	162	138	117	163	133	144	-	8,3%
97.4.4 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			207	251	162	138	117	163	133	144	-	8,3%
97.4.5 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.4.6 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.4.7 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.4.8 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.4.9 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.4.10 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Administrative law cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-

# Finland

## (2012-2021) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Variations for quantitative questions	
											2012-2021	2020-2021
97.4.11 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other cases			56	54	40	49	53	38	51	45	-	-11,8%
97.5.1 2nd inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
97.5.2 2nd inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
97.5.10 2nd inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Administrative law cases			-	-	NAP	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-

### Clearance rate and disposition time for second instance other than criminal law cases (Q97)

CR Total of other than criminal law cases			98,1%	100,0%	117,9%	96,9%	106,1%	96,3%	104,5%	96,0%	-	(8,41)
CR Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			NA	101,5%	118,7%	95,4%	106,8%	96,8%	104,4%	95,2%	-	(9,18)
CR Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			104,0%	95,1%	113,8%	104,3%	104,0%	91,9%	107,6%	97,7%	-	(9,89)
CR General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			104,0%	95,1%	113,8%	104,3%	104,0%	91,9%	107,6%	97,7%	-	(9,89)
CR Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
CR Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
CR Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
CR Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
CR Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
CR Administrative law cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
CR Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			114,8%	103,3%	133,3%	82,4%	95,7%	128,9%	82,3%	110,7%	-	28,44
DT Total of other than criminal law cases			186	185	138	189	162	188	180	199	-	10,7%
DT Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			NA	206	150	212	177	205	210	222	-	5,6%
DT Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			88	105	80	86	78	114	78	104	-	33,7%



# Finland

## (2012-2021) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Variations for quantitative questions	
											2012-2021	2020-2021
DT General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			88	105	80	86	78	114	78	104	-	33,7%
DT Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT Administrative law cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			330	318	261	426	430	239	286	265	-	-7,5%
<b>Supreme courts' other than criminal law cases (Q99)</b>												
99.1.1 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			4 536	4 519	4 746	4 012	3 648	3 791	3 758	3 543	-	-5,7%
99.1.2 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			510	579	549	482	359	292	314	259	-	-17,5%
99.1.3 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.1.4 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.1.5 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.1.6 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.1.7 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.1.8 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.1.9 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.1.10 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Administrative law cases			3 719	3 625	3 916	3 294	3 057	3 337	3 312	3 129	-	-5,5%

# Finland

## (2012-2021) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Variations for quantitative questions	
											2012-2021	2020-2021
99.1.11 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			307	315	281	236	232	162	132	155	-	17,4%
99.2.1 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			5 747	5 720	6 195	7 736	7 321	7 177	6 188	5 143	-	-16,9%
99.2.2 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			1 013	884	999	862	767	725	683	733	-	7,3%
99.2.3 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.2.4 High inst courts_Incoming cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.2.5 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.2.6 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.2.7 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.2.8 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.2.9 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.2.10 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Administrative law cases			4 201	4 319	4 785	6 411	6 199	6 113	5 204	4 099	-	-21,2%
99.2.11 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			533	517	411	463	355	339	301	311	-	3,3%
99.3.1 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			5 741	5 475	6 905	8 094	7 155	7 215	6 383	6 048	-	-5,2%
99.3.2 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			944	914	1 066	988	829	703	740	673	-	-9,1%
99.3.3 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.3.4 High inst courts_Resolved cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.3.5 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.3.6 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.3.7 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.3.8 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-

# Finland

## (2012-2021) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Variations for quantitative questions	
											2012-2021	2020-2021
99.3.9 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.3.10 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Administrative law cases			4 272	4 012	5 382	6 638	5 902	6 138	5 365	5 083	-	-5,3%
99.3.11 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			525	549	457	468	424	374	278	292	-	5,0%
99.4.1 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			4 542	4 764	4 036	3 654	3 814	3 753	3 563	2 638	-	-26,0%
99.4.2 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			579	549	482	356	297	314	257	319	-	24,1%
99.4.3 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.4.4 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.4.5 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.4.6 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.4.7 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.4.8 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.4.9 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.4.10 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Administrative law cases			3 648	3 932	3 319	3 067	3 354	3 312	3 151	2 145	-	-31,9%
99.4.11 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			315	283	235	231	163	127	155	174	-	12,3%
99.5.1 High inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
99.5.2 High inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
99.5.10 High inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Administrative law cases			-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
<b>Clearance rate and disposition time for Supreme courts' other than criminal law cases (Q97)</b>												
CR Total of other than criminal law cases			99,9%	95,7%	111,5%	104,6%	97,7%	100,5%	103,2%	117,6%	-	14,45
CR Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			93,2%	103,4%	106,7%	114,6%	108,1%	97,0%	108,3%	91,8%	-	(16,53)

# Finland

## (2012-2021) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Variations for quantitative questions	
											2012-2021	2020-2021
CR Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
CR General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
CR Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
CR Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
CR Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
CR Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
CR Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
CR Administrative law cases			101,7%	92,9%	112,5%	103,5%	95,2%	100,4%	103,1%	124,0%	-	20,91
CR Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			98,5%	106,2%	111,2%	101,1%	119,4%	110,3%	92,4%	93,9%	-	1,53
DT Total of other than criminal law cases			289	318	213	165	195	190	204	159	-	-21,9%
DT Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			224	219	165	132	131	163	127	173	-	36,5%
DT Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT Administrative law cases			312	358	225	169	207	197	214	154	-	-28,1%
DT Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			219	188	188	180	140	124	204	218	-	6,9%

# Finland

## (2012-2021) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Variations for quantitative questions	
											2012-2021	2020-2021
<b>First instance criminal law cases (Q94)</b>												
094.1.1 Total - pending 1 Jan									20 227	28 360	-	40,2%
094.1.2 Severe cases - pending 1 Jan									NAP	NAP	-	-
094.1.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 1 Jan									NAP	NAP	-	-
094.1.4 Other - pending 1 Jan									NAP	NAP	-	-
094.2.1 Total -incoming									56 932	70 375	-	23,6%
094.2.2 Severe cases - incoming									NAP	NAP	-	-
094.2.3 Misdemeanour cases - incoming									NAP	NAP	-	-
094.2.4 Other - incoming									NAP	NAP	-	-
094.3.1 Total - resolved									50 834	68 638	-	35,0%
094.3.2 Severe cases -resolved									NAP	NAP	-	-
094.3.3 Misdemeanour cases - resolved									NAP	NAP	-	-
094.3.4 Other - resolved									NAP	NAP	-	-
094.4.1 Total - pending 31 Dec									26 325	30 097	-	14,3%
094.4.2 Severe cases - pending 31 Dec									NAP	NAP	-	-
094.4.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 31 Dec									NAP	NAP	-	-
094.4.4 Other - pending 31 Dec									NAP	NAP	-	-
094.5.1 Total - pending more then 2 years									NA	NA	-	-
094.5.2 Severe cases - pending more then 2 years									NAP	NAP	-	-

# Finland

## (2012-2021) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Variations for quantitative questions	
											2012-2021	2020-2021
094.5.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending more than 2 years									NAP	NAP	-	-
094.5.4 Other - pending more than 2 years									NAP	NAP	-	-
<b>Clearance rate and disposition time for first instance criminal law cases (Q94)</b>												
CR of Total									89,3%	97,5%	-	8,2
CR of Severe cases									NAP	NAP	-	-
CR of Misdemeanour cases									NAP	NAP	-	-
CR of Other									NAP	NAP	-	-
DT of Total									189	160	-	-15,3%
DT of Severe cases									NAP	NAP	-	-
DT of Misdemeanour cases									NAP	NAP	-	-
DT of Other									NAP	NAP	-	-
<b>Second instance criminal law cases (Q98)</b>												
098.1.1 Total - pending 1 Jan									2 760	2 543	-	-7,9%
098.1.2 Severe cases - pending 1 Jan									NAP	NAP	-	-
098.1.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 1 Jan									NAP	NAP	-	-
098.1.4 Other - pending 1 Jan									NAP	NAP	-	-
098.2.1 Total -incoming									4 876	5 574	-	14,3%
098.2.2 Severe cases - incoming									NAP	NAP	-	-
098.2.3 Misdemeanour cases - incoming									NAP	NAP	-	-

# Finland

## (2012-2021) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Variations for quantitative questions	
											2012-2021	2020-2021
098.2.4 Other - incoming									NAP	NAP	-	-
098.3.1 Total - resolved									5 094	5 269	-	3,4%
098.3.2 Severe cases -resolved									NAP	NAP	-	-
098.3.3 Misdemeanour cases - resolved									NAP	NAP	-	-
098.3.4 Other - resolved									NAP	NAP	-	-
098.4.1 Total - pending 31 Dec									2 542	2 848	-	12,0%
098.4.2 Severe cases - pending 31 Dec									NAP	NAP	-	-
098.4.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 31 Dec									NAP	NAP	-	-
098.4.4 Other - pending 31 Dec									NAP	NAP	-	-
098.5.1 Total - pending more then 2 years									NA	NA	-	-
098.5.2 Severe cases - pending more then 2 years									NAP	NAP	-	-
098.5.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending more then 2 years									NAP	NAP	-	-
098.5.4 Other - pending more then 2 years									NAP	NAP	-	-
<b>Clearance rate and disposition time for second instance criminal law cases (Q98)</b>												
CR of Total									104,5%	94,5%	-	(9,52)
CR o2 Severe cases									NAP	NAP	-	-
CR of Misdemeanour cases									NAP	NAP	-	-
CR of Other									NAP	NAP	-	-
DT of Total									182	197	-	8,3%

# Finland

## (2012-2021) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Variations for quantitative questions	
											2012-2021	2020-2021
DT of Severe cases									NAP	NAP	-	-
DT of Misdemeanour cases									NAP	NAP	-	-
DT of Other									NAP	NAP	-	-
<b>Supreme courts' criminal law cases (Q100)</b>												
100.1.1 Total - pending 1 Jan									205	243	-	18,5%
100.1.2 Severe cases - pending 1 Jan									NAP	NAP	-	-
100.1.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 1 Jan									NAP	NAP	-	-
100.1.4 Other - pending 1 Jan									NAP	NAP	-	-
100.2.1 Total -incoming									833	889	-	6,7%
100.2.2 Severe cases - incoming									NAP	NAP	-	-
100.2.3 Misdemeanour cases - incoming									NAP	NAP	-	-
100.2.4 Other - incoming									NAP	NAP	-	-
100.3.1 Total - resolved									778	800	-	2,8%
100.3.2 Severe cases -resolved									NAP	NAP	-	-
100.3.3 Misdemeanour cases - resolved									NAP	NAP	-	-
100.3.4 Other - resolved									NAP	NAP	-	-
100.4.1 Total - pending 31 Dec									260	332	-	27,7%
100.4.2 Severe cases - pending 31 Dec									NAP	NAP	-	-
100.4.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 31 Dec									NAP	NAP	-	-



# Finland

## (2012-2021) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Variations for quantitative questions	
											2012-2021	2020-2021
100.4.4 Other - pending 31 Dec									NAP	NAP	-	-
100.5.1 Total - pending more than 2 years									NA	NA	-	-
100.5.2 Severe cases - pending more than 2 years									NAP	NAP	-	-
100.5.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending more than 2 years									NAP	NAP	-	-
100.5.4 Other - pending more than 2 years									NAP	NAP	-	-
<b>Clearance rate and disposition time for supreme courts' criminal law cases (Q100)</b>												
CR of Total									93,4%	90,0%	-	(3,41)
CR of Severe cases									NAP	NAP	-	-
CR of Misdemeanour cases									NAP	NAP	-	-
CR of Other									NAP	NAP	-	-
DT of Total									122	151	-	24,2%
DT of Severe cases									NAP	NAP	-	-
DT of Misdemeanour cases									NAP	NAP	-	-
DT of Other									NAP	NAP	-	-
<b>Indicator 4: Public prosecution services</b>												
<b>(Q107, Q107-1, Q109)</b>												
1. Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year									13 991	17 725	-	26,7%
2. Incoming/received cases									91 246	89 256	-	-2,2%
3. Processed cases (3.1 + 3.2 + 3.3 + 3.4)									87 530	88 979	-	1,7%

# Finland

## (2012-2021) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Variations for quantitative questions	
											2012-2021	2020-2021
<b>3.1. Discontinued during the reference year (3.1.1+3.1.2+3.1.3+3.1.4.)</b>									25 888	27 916	-	7,8%
3.1.1 Discontinued by the public prosecutor because the offender could not be identified									NAP	NAP	-	-
3.1.2 Discontinued by the public prosecutor due to the lack of an established offence or a specific legal situation									389	136	-	-65,0%
3.1.3 Discontinued by the public prosecutor for reasons of opportunity									16 809	15 904	-	-5,4%
3.1.4 Discontinued for other reasons									8 690	11 876	-	36,7%
3.2. Concluded by a penalty or a measure imposed or negotiated by the public prosecutor									12	16	-	33,3%
3.3. Cases closed by the public prosecutor for other reasons									4 917	4 683	-	-4,8%
3.4. Cases brought to court									56 713	56 364	-	-0,6%
4. Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year									17 707	18 002	-	1,7%
Public prosecution: Ratio of processed cases with incoming cases									0,96	0,98	-	1,7%
Public prosecution: Ratio of pending cases with incoming cases									0,19	0,20	-	1,7%
Number of cases concluded with the guilty plea procedure - Total									NA	NA	-	-
Number of cases concluded with the guilty plea procedure - Total - Severe criminal cases									NA	NA	-	-
Number of cases concluded with the guilty plea procedure - Total - Misdemeanour and / or minor criminal cases									NA	NA	-	-
Number of cases concluded with the guilty plea procedure - Before the main trial - Total									NA	NA	-	-
Number of cases concluded with the guilty plea procedure - Before the main trial - Severe criminal cases									NA	NA	-	-

# Finland

## (2012-2021) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Variations for quantitative questions	
											2012-2021	2020-2021
Number of cases concluded with the guilty plea procedure - Before the main trial - Misdemeanour and / or minor criminal cases									NA	NA	-	-
Number of cases concluded with the guilty plea procedure - During the main trial - Total									NA	NA	-	-
Number of cases concluded with the guilty plea procedure - During the main trial - Severe criminal cases									NA	NA	-	-
Number of cases concluded with the guilty plea procedure - During the main trial - Misdemeanour and / or minor criminal cases									NA	NA	-	-
<b>Budget of public prosecution services (Q13)</b>												
Total approved annual public budget allocated to the public prosecution services in €	45 312 000	45 947 000	46 223 000	43 800 000	46 243 000	44 000 000	45 042 000	48 848 000	51 540 000	57 320 000	26,5%	11,21%
Total implemented annual public budget allocated to the public prosecution services in €	-	-	46 223 000	42 200 000	46 243 000	44 800 000	45 042 000	48 848 000	50 210 000	51 760 000	-	3,09%
Approved annual public budget allocated to training of public prosecution services in € (included in the total)	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
Implemented annual public budget allocated to training of public prosecution services in € (included in the total)	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	586 128	379 043	407 595	-	7,53%

### Indicator 5: Access to justice

#### Legal aid

#### (Q16, Q18, Q19, Q20, Q20-1)

16.1.1 Legal aid applies to representation in court (criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True	True	True
16.1.2 Legal aid applies to legal advice (criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True	True	True

# Finland

## (2012-2021) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Variations for quantitative questions	
											2012-2021	2020-2021
16.2.1 Legal aid applies to representation in court (other than criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True	True		
16.2.2 Legal aid applies to legal advice (other than criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True	True		
18.1.1 Legal aid for the enforcement of judicial decisions					True	True	True	True	True	True		
19.1.1 Legal aid granted for other costs - criminal cases									True	True		
19.1.2 Legal aid granted for other costs - other than criminal cases									True	True		
020.1.1 Total									82 628	81 138	-	(0,02)
020.1.2 Total - criminal cases									NA	NA	-	-
020.1.3 Total - other than criminal cases									NA	NA	-	-
020.2.1 Total brought to court									NA	NA	-	-
020.2.2 Brought to court - criminal cases									NA	NA	-	-
020.2.3 Brought to court - other then criminal									NA	NA	-	-
020.3.1 Total not brought to court									NA	NA	-	-
020.3.2 Not brought to court - criminal cases									NA	NA	-	-
020.3.3 Not brought to court - other then criminal									NA	NA	-	-
020-1.1.1 Maximum duration prescribed in law/regulation									NAP	NAP	-	-
020-1.1.2 Average duration									NA	NA	-	-
<b>System for compensating users</b>												
<b>(Q37)</b>												
037.1.1 Requests for compensation - Total									NA	NA	-	-
037.1.2 Requests for compensation - Excessive length of proceedings									56	49	-	-12,5%

# Finland

## (2012-2021) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Variations for quantitative questions	
											2012-2021	2020-2021
037.1.3 Requests for compensation - Non-execution of court decisions									NAP	NAP	-	-
037.1.4 Requests for compensation - Wrongful arrest									NA	NA	-	-
037.1.5 Requests for compensation - Wrongful conviction									NA	NA	-	-
037.1.6 Requests for compensation - Other									NAP	NAP	-	-
037.2.1 Condemnations - Total									NA	NA	-	-
037.2.2 Condemnations - Excessive length of proceedings									40	28	-	-30,0%
037.2.3 Condemnations - Non-execution of court decisions									NAP	NAP	-	-
037.2.4 Condemnations - Wrongful arrest									NA	NA	-	-
037.2.5 Condemnations - Wrongful conviction									NA	NA	-	-
037.2.6 Condemnations - Other									NAP	NAP	-	-
037.3.1 Amount - Total									NA	NA	-	-
037.3.2 Amount - Excessive length of proceedings									154 264 £	212 218 £	-	37,6%
037.3.3 Amount - Non-execution of court decisions									NAP	NAP	-	-
037.3.4 Amount - Wrongful arrest									NA	NA	-	-
037.3.5 Amount - Wrongful conviction									NA	NA	-	-
037.3.6 Amount - Other									NAP	NAP	-	-

### Indicator 6: The ICT tools of courts and for court users

(Q62-7, Q62-7-1, Q62-8, Q62-8-1, Q63-6, Q63-7, Q63-7-1)

62-7 Writing assistance tools coordinated at national level

							True	True	True	True
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	------	------	------	------

# Finland

## (2012-2021) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Variations for quantitative questions	
											2012-2021	2020-2021
62-7-1.1 Deployment rate in civil matter							100%	100%	100%	100%		
62-7-1.2 Deployment rate in criminal matter							100%	100%	100%	100%		
62-7-1.3 Deployment rate in administrative matter							100%	100%	100%	100%		
62-8 Voice recording tools							True	True	True	True		
62-8-1.1.1 Availability of simple dictation tools in civil matter							NA	NA	not available for this matter	in some courts / some pilot phases		
62-8-1.1.2 Availability of simple dictation tools in criminal matter							NA	NA	not available for this matter	in some courts / some pilot phases		
62-8-1.1.3 Availability of simple dictation tools in administrative matter							NA	NA	not available for this matter	in some courts / some pilot phases		
62-8-1.2.1 Availability of multiple speakers recording tools in civil matter							in all courts	in all courts	in all courts	in all courts		
62-8-1.2.2 Availability of multiple speakers recording tools in criminal matter							in all courts	in all courts	in all courts	in all courts		
62-8-1.2.3 Availability of multiple speakers recording tools in administrative matter							in all courts	in all courts	in all courts	in all courts		
62-8-1.3.1 Availability of voice recognition in civil matter							No	No	No	No		
62-8-1.3.2 Availability of voice recognition in criminal matter							No	No	No	No		
62-8-1.3.3 Availability of voice recognition in administrative matter							No	No	No	No		
063-6.1.1 Budgetary and financial management of courts (deployment rate)						-	100%	100%	100%	100%		
063-6.1.2 Justice expenses management (deployment rate)						-	100%	100%	100%	100%		
063-6.1.3 Other financial management tools (deployment rate)						-	NA	NA	NA	NA		
063-6.2.1 Budgetary and financial management of courts (Data consolidated at national level)						-	True	True	True	True		
063-6.2.2 Justice expenses management (Data consolidated at national level)						-	True	True	True	True		
063-6.2.3 Other financial management tools (Data consolidated at national level)						-	False	NA	NA	NA		
063-6.3.1 Budgetary and financial management of courts (System communicating with other ministries)						-	False	False	False	False		

# Finland

## (2012-2021) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Variations for quantitative questions	
											2012-2021	2020-2021
063-6.3.2 Justice expenses management (System communicating with other ministries)						-	False	False	False	False		
063-6.3.3 Other financial management tools (System communicating with other ministries)						-	False	NA	NA	NA		
63-7.1 Measurement tools to assess the workload							True	True	True	True		
63-7-1.1.1 Deployment rate - workload of judges							100%	100%	100%	100%		
63-7-1.1.2 Deployment rate - workload of prosecutors							100%	100%	50-99%	50-99%		
63-7-1.1.3 Deployment rate - workload of non-judge and non-prosecutor staff							100%	100%	100%	100%		
63-7-1.2.1 Monitoring on national level - judges							True	True	False	False		
63-7-1.2.2 Monitoring on national level - prosecutors							False	False	True	True		
63-7-1.2.2 Monitoring on national level - non-judge and non-prosecutor staff							False	False	False	False		
63-7-1.3.1 Monitoring on court level - judges							True	True	True	True		
63-7-1.3.2 Monitoring on court level - prosecutors							False	False	False	False		
63-7-1.3.3 Monitoring on court level - non-judge and non-prosecutor staff							False	False	False	False		

### Indicator 7: Professionals of justice

(Q46, Q46-2, Q52, Q52-1, Q55, Q60, Q4, Q132, Q133, Q144, Q145, Q146)

46.1.1 Total Number of professional judges	981	986	988	991	1 068	1 045	1 081	1 087	1 077	1 158	18,0%	7,5%
46.1.2 Number of 1st inst professional judges	744	758	758	761	834	817	850	850	854	901	21,1%	5,5%
46.1.3 Number of 2nd inst professional judges	194	185	186	188	184	178	184	191	178	209	7,7%	17,4%
46.1.4 Number of Supreme court professional judges	43	43	44	42	50	50	47	46	45	48	11,6%	6,7%
46.2.1 Number of professional judges_males	482	484	473	451	485	460	452	451	433	462	-4,1%	6,7%

# Finland

## (2012-2021) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Variations for quantitative questions	
											2012-2021	2020-2021
46.2.2 Number of 1st instance professional judges_males	350	362	356	338	368	350	344	342	323	339	-3,1%	5,0%
46.2.3 Number of 2nd instance professional judges_males	105	95	89	85	84	78	78	90	81	95	-9,5%	17,3%
46.2.4 Number of Supreme court professional judges_males	27	27	28	28	33	32	30	29	29	28	3,7%	-3,4%
46.3.1 Number of professional judges_females	499	502	515	540	583	585	629	636	644	696	39,5%	8,1%
46.3.2 Number of 1st inst professional judges_females	394	396	402	423	466	467	506	508	531	562	42,6%	5,8%
46.3.3 Number of 2nd inst professional judges_females	89	90	97	103	100	100	106	111	97	114	28,1%	17,5%
46.3.4 Number of Supreme court professional judges_females	16	16	16	14	17	18	17	17	16	20	25,0%	25,0%
046-2.1.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 077	1 158	-	7,5%
046-2.1.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	854	901	-	5,5%
046-2.1.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	178	209	-	17,4%
046-2.1.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	45	48	-	6,7%
046-2.2.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Civil and commercial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NA	-	-
046-2.2.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Civil and commercial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NA	-	-
046-2.2.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Civil and commercial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NA	-	-
046-2.2.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Civil and commercial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NA	-	-
046-2.3.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Criminal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NA	-	-
046-2.3.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Criminal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NA	-	-
046-2.3.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Criminal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NA	-	-
046-2.3.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Criminal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NA	-	-
046-2.4.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Administrative	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	278	310	-	11,5%



# Finland

## (2012-2021) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Variations for quantitative questions	
											2012-2021	2020-2021
046-2.4.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Administrative	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	251	282	-	12,4%
046-2.4.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Administrative	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	NAP	-	-
046-2.4.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Administrative	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	27	28	-	3,7%
046-2.5.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	NAP	-	-
046-2.5.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	NAP	-	-
046-2.5.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NAP	-	-
046-2.5.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	NAP	-	-
52.1.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts	2 214	2 196	2 161	2 145	2 170	2 137	2 131	2 128	2 162	2 208	-0,3%	2,1%
52.1.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
52.1.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
52.1.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
52.1.5 Number of Technical staff	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
52.1.6 Number of Other non judge staff	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
52.2.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts(men)	NA	2 196	NA	NA	NA	NA	488	498	516	520	-	0,8%
52.2.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)(men)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
52.2.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges(men)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
52.2.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks(men)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
52.2.5 Number of Technical staff(men)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
52.2.6 Number of Other non judge staff(men)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
52.3.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts(women)	NA	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	1 643	1 630	1 646	1 688	-	2,6%

# Finland

## (2012-2021) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Variations for quantitative questions	
											2012-2021	2020-2021
52.3.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)(women)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
52.3.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges(women)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
52.3.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks(women)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
52.3.5 Number of Technical staff(women)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
52.3.6 Number of Other non judge staff(women)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
052-1.1.1 Non-judge staff (Total)									2 162	2 208	-	2,1%
052-1.1.2 Non-judge staff at first instance (total)									1 783	1 822	-	2,2%
052-1.1.3 Non-judge staff at second instance (total)									233	237	-	1,7%
052-1.1.4 Non-judge staff at Supreme court (total)									146	149	-	2,1%
052-1.2.1 Non-judge staff (Males)									516	520	-	0,8%
052-1.2.2 Non-judge staff at first instance (males)									426	422	-	-0,9%
052-1.2.3 Non-judge staff at second instance (males)									53	60	-	13,2%
052-1.2.4 Non-judge staff at Supreme court (males)									37	38	-	2,7%
052-1.3.1 Non-judge staff (females)									1 646	1 688	-	2,6%
052-1.3.2 Non-judge staff at first instance (females)									1 357	1 400	-	3,2%
052-1.3.3 Non-judge staff at second instance (females)									180	177	-	-1,7%
052-1.3.4 Non-judge staff at supreme court (females)									109	111	-	1,8%
055.1.1 Prosecutors (total)									390	424	-	8,7%
055.1.2 Prosecutors (1st inst.)									NAP	NAP	-	-
055.1.3 Prosecutors (2nd inst.)									NAP	NAP	-	-

# Finland

## (2012-2021) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Variations for quantitative questions	
											2012-2021	2020-2021
055.1.4 Prosecutors (Highest instance)									NAP	NAP	-	-
055.2.1 Prosecutors - Males -total									155	158	-	1,9%
055.2.2 Prosecutors - Males, 1st inst.									NAP	NAP	-	-
055.2.3 Prosecutors - Males, 2nd inst.									NAP	NAP	-	-
055.2.4 Prosecutors - Males, Supreme courts									NAP	NAP	-	-
055.3.1 Prosecutors - Females, Total									235	266	-	13,2%
055.3.2 Prosecutors - Females, 1st inst.									NAP	NAP	-	-
055.3.3 Prosecutors - Females, 2nd inst.									NAP	NAP	-	-
055.3.4 Prosecutors - Females, Supreme courts									NAP	NAP	-	-
060.1.1 Number of non-prosecutor staff Total									139	169	-	21,6%
060.2.1 Number of non-prosecutor staff Males									11	14	-	27,3%
060.3.1 Number of non-prosecutor staff Females									128	155	-	21,1%
004 Annual average salary in the country									43 140 £	47 516 £	-	10,1%
132.1.1 Gross annual salary, in € - Professional judge at the beginning of career									66 900 £	68 900 £	-	3,0%
132.1.2 Gross annual salary, in € - Judge of the Supreme Court									136 300 £	141 720 £	-	4,0%
132.1.3 Gross annual salary, in € - Public prosecutor at the beginning of career									50 880 £	50 880 £	-	0,0%
132.1.4 Gross annual salary, in € - Public prosecutor of the Supreme Court or the Highest Appellate Instance									NAP	NAP	-	-
132.2.1 Net annual salary, in € - Professional judge at the beginning of career									NA	NA	-	-
132.2.2 Net annual salary, in € - Judge of the Supreme Court									NA	NA	-	-
132.2.3 Net annual salary, in € - Public prosecutor at the beginning of career									NA	NA	-	-

# Finland

## (2012-2021) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Variations for quantitative questions	
											2012-2021	2020-2021
132.2.4 Net annual salary, in € - Public prosecutor of the Supreme Court or the Highest Appellate Instance									NAP	NAP	-	-
133.1.1.1 - Additional benefits for judges - Reduced taxation									False	False		
133.1.2.1 - Additional benefits for judges - Special pension									False	False		
133.1.3.1 - Additional benefits for judges - Housing									False	False		
133.1.4.1 - Additional benefits for judges - Other financial benefit									False	False		
133.2.1.1 - Additional benefits for prosecutors - Reduced taxation									False	False		
133.2.2.1 - Additional benefits for prosecutors - Special pension									False	False		
133.2.3.1 - Additional benefits for prosecutors - Housing									False	False		
133.2.4.1 - Additional benefits for prosecutors - Other financial benefit									False	False		
144.1.1 Disciplinary procedures for Judges - Total number (1+2+3+4)									NA	NA	-	-
144.1.2 Disciplinary procedures for Judges - 1. Breach of professional ethics									NA	NA	-	-
144.1.3 Disciplinary procedures for Judges - 2. Professional inadequacy									NA	NA	-	-
144.1.4 Disciplinary procedures for Judges - 3. Criminal offence									NA	NA	-	-
144.1.5 Disciplinary procedures for Judges - 4. Other									NA	NA	-	-
144.2.1 Disciplinary procedures for Prosecutors - Total number (1+2+3+4)									NA	NA	-	-
144.2.2 Disciplinary procedures for Prosecutors - 1. Breach of professional ethics									NA	NA	-	-
144.2.3 Disciplinary procedures for Prosecutors - 2. Professional inadequacy									NA	NA	-	-
144.2.4 Disciplinary procedures for Prosecutors - 3. Criminal offence									NA	NA	-	-
144.2.5 Disciplinary procedures for Prosecutors - 4. Other									NA	NA	-	-
145.1.1 Sanctions against Judges - Total number (total 1 to 9)									NA	NA	-	-

# Finland

## (2012-2021) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Variations for quantitative questions	
											2012-2021	2020-2021
145.1.2 Sanctions against Judges - 1. Reprimand									NA	NA	-	-
145.1.3 Sanctions against Judges - 2. Suspension									NA	NA	-	-
145.1.4 Sanctions against Judges - 3. Withdrawal from cases									NAP	NAP	-	-
145.1.5 Sanctions against Judges - 4. Fine									NA	NA	-	-
145.1.6 Sanctions against Judges - 5. Temporary reduction of salary									NAP	NAP	-	-
145.1.7 Sanctions against Judges - 6. Position downgrade									NAP	NAP	-	-
145.1.8 Sanctions against Judges - 7. Transfer to another geographical (court) location									NAP	NAP	-	-
145.1.9 Sanctions against Judges - 8. Resignation									NA	NA	-	-
145.1.10 Sanctions against Judges - 9. Other									NA	NA	-	-
145.1.11 Sanctions against Judges - 10. Dismissal									NA	NA	-	-
145.2.1 Sanctions against Prosecutors - Total number (total 1 to 9)									NA	NA	-	-
145.2.2 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 1. Reprimand									NA	NA	-	-
145.2.3 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 2. Suspension									NA	NA	-	-
145.2.4 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 3. Withdrawal from cases									NA	NA	-	-
145.2.5 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 4. Fine									NA	NA	-	-
145.2.6 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 5. Temporary reduction of salary									NA	NA	-	-
145.2.7 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 6. Position downgrade									NA	NA	-	-
145.2.8 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 7. Transfer to another geographical (court) location									NA	NA	-	-
145.2.9 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 8. Resignation									NA	NA	-	-
145.2.10 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 9. Other									NA	NA	-	-

# Finland

## (2012-2021) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Variations for quantitative questions	
											2012-2021	2020-2021
145.2.11 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 10. Dismissal									NA	NA	-	-
<b>Lawyers</b>												
<b>(Q146, Q147, Q148)</b>												
146.1.1 Total number of lawyers practising	1 935	2 009	2 115	3 550	3 791	3 846	3 965	4 022	4 087	4 173	115,7%	2,1%
146.2.1 Practising lawyers - man							NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
146.3.1 Practising lawyers - woman							NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
147 Does this figure include “legal advisors” who cannot represent their clients in court (for example, some solicitors or in-house counsellors)?			No	No	False	False	False	False	False	False	-	-
148 Number of legal advisors who cannot represent their clients in court:			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-

### Indicator 8: The existence and use of alternative dispute resolution methods

#### (Q166, Q157)

166 Number of accredited or registered mediators who practice judicial mediation:	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
167.1.1 Total number started					673	726	1 671	2 349	2 417	2 219	-	-8,2%
167. 1.2 Civil and commercial cases - started					204	237	505	857	946	801	-	-15,3%
167. 1.2 Family cases - started					425	432	1 034	1 293	1 258	1 242	-	-1,3%
167.1.4 Administrative cases - started					NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
167.1.5 Labour cases including employment dismissal cases - started					44	57	132	199	213	176	-	-17,4%
167.1.6. Criminal cases - started					NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-

# Finland

## (2012-2021) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Variations for quantitative questions	
											2012-2021	2020-2021
167.1.7 Consumer cases - started					-	-	NAP	NA	NA	NA	-	-

Key: Variation of more than (+ -) 30%