



Published on *HEREIN System* (<http://www.herein-system.eu>)

Home > Conservation and management - Estonia

Conservation and management - Estonia

Country: Estonia

Expand all

31A Balanced strategies for the protection, conservation and enhancement of heritage sites included in general planning policies

Yes

Approach (click to collaps)

Integrated Approach

Maakond (county)

Municipalities

state

3.1.B Specific regulations required in order to reconcile and combine the respective needs of heritage and development plans.

Yes

Approach (click to collaps)

Integrated Approach

Maakond (county)

Municipalities

state

3.1.C Known heritage sites ignored by the planning process

No

31D How categories are integrated into planning policy. Regulations for the different activities. Who provides regulatory advice

Scheduled archaeological monuments / National

Demolition	Permit	Heritage professional
New constructions	Permit	Heritage professional
Changes in volume	Permit	Heritage professional
Changes in appearance	Permit	Heritage professional
Changes in ground level	Permit	Heritage professional

3.1.E Is information available on the number of heritage sites (all types of heritage) destroyed or severely damaged (without ..

3.1.E Information available on the number of heritage sites (all types of heritage) destroyed or severely damaged (without the permission of the authorities) due to development projects.

Yes

Approximate number of sites destroyed or severely damaged in the year

All development	2010	3
Public development	2010	1
Private development	2010	2

3.1.F Is information available on the number of heritage sites destroyed or severely damaged due to any of the activities listed

3.1.F Information available on the number of heritage sites destroyed or severely damaged due to the activities listed below, which are outside the spatial planning system but can impact on heritage sites (e.g. agriculture, natural erosion)

Partially

Please give the approximate number of sites affected per year and specify if other activities affect heritage in your context

Forestry	2013	2
Military activity	2013	0
Natural erosion	2013	1

3.2.A For each category/level of heritage listed in 2.3, please indicate which party (state, owner or other) carries out conserv

Category and level: Scheduled archaeological monuments / National

Conservation (click to collaps)

Regular maintenance

Who does conservation?	Regulation	Who oversees this conservation work?
Owner	Permit	Heritage professional

Can public authorities can carry out conservation on this category if the owner fails to do so?: Yes

Restoration

Who does conservation?	Regulation	Who oversees this conservation work?
------------------------	------------	--------------------------------------

3.2.B Can owners or the regulatory body contract the conservation to other organisations?

3.2.B Owners or the regulatory body contracting the conservation to other organisations:

Yes

Are there general regulations for selecting contractors?:

Yes

Is there an official selection procedure for contractors?:

No

Do contractors require specific authorisation or accreditation to qualify?:

Yes

3.2.C Which organisations listed in Theme 1 are the contracting authorities ?

3.2.C Organisations which are the contracting authorities:

National Heritage Board

3.2.D Can other types of organisations not previously listed in Theme 1 compete for this work?

3.2.D How categories are integrated into planning policy. Regulations for different activities. Who provides regulatory advice.:

Yes

Description::

Licenced companies with a valid permit issued by the National Heritage Board.

3.2.E Are there other procedures for controlling the quality of work for the various stages of the conservation of heritage, and

Procedures:

Conditions attached to development permits

Organisation responsible for supervising:

National Heritage Board

3.2.F What criteria are used to establish the priority for publicly funded works to the heritage?

Integrated approach

Urgency:

1

Budget:

2

Type of heritage:

4

Governmental decision:

3

3.2.G Do permits or licences for archaeological work include specific conditions that include requirements to carry out non- des

3.2.G Do permits or licences for archaeological work include specific conditions that include requirements to carry out non- destructive methods of investigation, such as those listed below, as part of the project? :

Yes

Preventative archaeology

32G Preventative archaeology:**Preventative
archaeology:**

Field walking

Other (please specify):

archival studies

3.2.I When there is a loss of heritage as the direct result of human intervention, does the law require the costs of mitigation

3.2.I Law requiring the costs of mitigation to be borne by those who benefit from the change when there is a loss of heritage.:

Yes

Which agents/organisations are those included in this law?:

Public owners / authorities

Private owners

Public developers

Private developers

What system is used to implement this principle?:

Whole cost of works

3.2.J Are there procedures in place for the conservation and maintenance of the archaeological heritage in situ?

Please specify when these procedures are applicable:

3.3.C Who is responsible for the maintenance of the heritage (both the categories/levels previously listed in Theme 2.3 and unprotected heritage) and is this enforceable?

Heritage categories:

3.3.D If maintenance is the responsibility of owners, but they fail to do it, are there measures in place for public authorities to intervene, ultimately involving compulsory purchase or expropriation?

Please estimate this budget::

3.5.A Is responsibility for post-disaster⁵⁵ action to sustain/maintain heritage (both the categories listed in theme 2.3 and unprotected heritage) allocated to anyone?

If yes, who is responsible and is this enforceable?:

Source URL: http://www.herein-system.eu/conservation-and-management-estonia?field_country1_1_tid=134