


Judiciary at a glance in Estonia

General data


Population:	1 329 460	GDP per capita:	20 324 €	Average annual salary:	17 376 €
--------------------	------------------	------------------------	-----------------	-------------------------------	-----------------

Professionals

Judicial professionals per 100 000 inhabitants




Gross salaries of judges and prosecutors vs average annual salary in the country




Efficiency

Clearance rate by instance and by matter (%)




Disposition time by instance and by matter (in days)



Information and communication technology

ICT tools assessment from 2018 to 2020



*ICT calculations are described in more details in Annex 5 - IT Calculation methodology


Synthesis table for the main indicators for: Estonia

Economic and demographic data	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations					
										2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Population	1 286 479	1 315 819	1 313 271	1 315 944	1 315 635	1 315 635	1 319 133	1 324 820	1 329 460	3,3%	0,2%	0,3%	0,3%	0,4%	0,4%
GDP per capita	13 495	14 218	15 186	15 405	16 034	17 926	19 737	21 163	20 324	50,6%	5,6%	23,1%	10,1%	7,2%	-4,0%
Exchange rate (local currency needed to obtain 1€)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
Average annual salary	10 644		12 060		13 752		15 612	16 884	17 376	63,2%	14,0%	13,5%		8,1%	2,9%
Resources	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Professional judges per 100 000 inhab.	17,7	17,2	17,6	17,8	17,6	17,3	17,7	17,3	17,6	-0,7%	0,3%	0,2%	2,4%	-2,1%	1,8%
Non-judge staff per 100 000 inhab.	74,4	75,2	77,4	73,3	66,7	64,3	62,1	60,5	62,1	-16,6%	-13,9%	-6,9%	-3,4%	-2,5%	2,5%
Lawyers per 100 000 inh.	65,8	66,7	71,1	73,7	75,5	77,8	78,9	81,2	82,4	25,4%	6,1%	4,6%	1,4%	2,9%	1,5%
Mediators	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
ICT overall assesment							8,8	8,4	9,5					-3,9%	12,9%
First instance incoming cases per 100 inhab.	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Civil and commercial litigious cases	1,270	1,349	1,277	1,154	1,247	1,228	1,166	1,396	1,425	12,3%	-2,4%	-6,5%	-5,1%	19,8%	2,1%
Administrative law cases	0,222	0,2	0,3	0,256	0,225	0,227	0,188	0,191	0,206	-7,2%	-22,1%	-16,4%	-17,2%	1,8%	7,7%
Total criminal law cases									1,534						
First instance performance indicators (Clearance Rate)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020 (percentage points)	2014-2016 (percentage points)	2016-2018 (percentage points)	2017-2018 (percentage points)	2018-2019 (percentage points)	2019-2020 (percentage points)
CR civil and commercial litigious cases	112%	108%	104%	102%	98%	99%	101%	94%	100%	-12,61	-6,68	3,04	1,31	-6,36	5,61
CR administrative law cases	106%	91%	90%	105%	106%	99%	100%	94%	92%	-13,06	15,26	-5,69	0,60	-5,68	-1,80
CR total criminal law cases									100%						
First instance performance indicators (Disposition Time)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
DT civil and commercial litigious cases cases (days)	167	130	125	136	139	140	143	147	135	-19,0%	11,4%	2,8%	1,9%	2,7%	-8,2%
DT administrative law cases (days)	108	139	141	117	108	108	119	136	142	32,2%	-23,9%	10,5%	9,8%	14,4%	4,7%
DT total criminal law cases (days)									30						
First instance pending cases per 100 inhab. on 31 dec.	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Civil and commercial litigious cases	0,65	0,52	0,46	0,44	0,46	0,47	0,46	0,53	0,53	-19,3%	1,8%	-0,9%	-2,0%	15,2%	-0,7%
Administrative law cases	0,07	0,08	0,10	0,09	0,07	0,07	0,06	0,07	0,07	7,4%	-30,7%	-12,6%	-8,5%	9,8%	10,6%
Total criminal law cases									0,13						
Second instance performance indicators (Clearance Rate)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020 (percentage points)	2014-2016 (percentage points)	2016-2018 (percentage points)	2017-2018 (percentage points)	2018-2019 (percentage points)	2019-2020 (percentage points)
CR civil and commercial litigious cases			107%	100%	106%	97%	97%	97%	102%		-1,04	-8,84	0,43	0,14	4,90
CR administrative law cases			100%	95%	106%	108%	111%	103%	95%		5,92	5,29	3,13	-8,37	-7,34
CR total criminal law cases									99%						
Second instance performance indicators (Disposition Time)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
DT civil and commercial litigious cases (days)			100	113	95	111	119	130	120		-4,5%	25,4%	7,0%	9,2%	-8,0%
DT administrative law cases (days)			143	154	130	102	81	92	105		-8,8%	-37,6%	-20,2%	13,0%	14,6%
DT total criminal law cases (days)									27						
Supreme court performance indicators (Clearance Rate)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020 (percentage points)	2014-2016 (percentage points)	2016-2018 (percentage points)	2017-2018 (percentage points)	2018-2019 (percentage points)	2019-2020 (percentage points)
CR civil and commercial litigious cases			98%	105%	93%	107%	98%	101%	84%		-4,42	4,62	-8,49	2,61	-17,11
CR administrative law cases			110%	95%	96%	110%	95%	117%	92%		-13,96	-1,04	-15,39	22,14	-25,25
CR total criminal law cases									87%						
Supreme court performance indicators (Disposition Time)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
DT civil and commercial litigious cases (days)			114	88	132	85	66	72	172		15,6%	-49,9%	-22,1%	9,9%	137,0%
DT administrative law cases (days)			126	162	173	150	207	147	204		37,3%	19,3%	37,4%	-28,9%	38,9%
DT total criminal law cases									95						

1. Judicial organisation in Estonia

Estonia has 17 courthouses of county courts (first instance courts), 4 courthouses of administrative courts (first instance courts), 2 courthouses of appellate courts (second instance courts) and 1 courthouse of the Supreme Court (highest instance court), all together 24 courthouses. However, as some of the courts are situated in the same house (e.g Tallinn Administrative Court and Tallinn Circuit Court) and taking into account the fact that Pärnu County Court has a courthouse that is divided between two locations, there are 20 actual geographical locations of Estonian courts. Besides, it should be mentioned that in 2020, Tartu county court closed one courthouse.


Distribution of general courts in Estonia



According to 2020 data, the distribution between 1st instance and higher instances courts of general jurisdiction in Estonia is different from the EU median of 87% - 13%.

Evolution of number of first instance courts in Estonia

	Geographic locations	Legal entities	
		General jurisdiction	Specialised jurisdiction
2012	22	4	2
2013	22	4	2
2014	22	4	2
2015	22	4	2
2016	21	4	2
2017	22	4	2
2018	21	4	2
2019	21	4	2
2020	20	4	2



Distribution of first instance general jurisdiction and specialised courts



The distribution between number of general jurisdiction courts and specialised courts of 66,7% - 33,3% is around the EU median (distribution tendency in EU: 75,5% - 24,5%).

Specialised courts	First instance	Higher instance
Total	2	NAP
Commercial courts (excluded insolvency courts)	NAP	NAP
Insolvency courts	NAP	NAP
Labour courts	NAP	NAP
Family courts	NAP	NAP
Rent and tenancies courts	NAP	NAP
Enforcement of criminal sanctions courts	NAP	NAP
Fight against terrorism, organised crime and corruption	NAP	NAP
Internet related disputes	NAP	NAP
Administrative courts	2	NAP
Insurance and / or social welfare courts	NAP	NAP
Military courts	NAP	NAP
Juvenile courts	NAP	NAP
Other specialised 1st instance courts	NAP	NAP


In Estonia, there are no specialized first instance courts, other than administrative courts. All the cases are dealt with by ordinary courts of first instance. The two administrative courts of first instance are situated in Tallinn and Tartu. Nevertheless, for guaranteeing wider access to justice, these two courts have several court buildings in other cities, namely in Pärnu and Jõhvi, where judges and their supporting legal staff are working.

2. Professionals of justice in Estonia

Professional judges and non-judge staff

Evolution of the number of professional judges since 2012 (Q46)

Year	Absolute number	Per 100 000 inhabitants
2012	228	17,72
2013	226	17,18
2014	231	17,59
2015	234	17,78
2016	232	17,63
2017	227	17,25
2018	233	17,66
2019	229	17,29
2020	234	17,60





According to 2020 data, the total number of professional judges sitting in courts (all instances) in Estonia is 234, which is 2,2% more than in previous cycle.

More precisely, in Estonia, there are 17,60 professional judges per 100 000 inhabitants (this figure is below the EU median of 23,92 judges per 100 000 inhabitants) and about 3,53 non-judge staff per judge .

There is no significant difference compared with previous cycle when this ratio was at 3,50 non-judge staff per judge.

Absolute number of professional judges by instance and gender

2020	Total	Distribution by instance	Male	Female	% Male	% Female
1st instance	169	72,2%	50	119	29,6%	70,4%
2nd instance	46	19,7%	20	26	43,5%	56,5%
Supreme courts	19	8,1%	15	4	78,9%	21,1%
Total	234		85	149	36,3%	63,7%



In this cycle, the total number of female professional judges (all instances) is 149, which represents 63,7% of the total number of judges.

The total number of judges is distributed among the different judicial instances in the following way: 169 are sitting in first instance courts (of which 119 are female); 46 are sitting in second instance courts (of which 26 are female) and 19 are sitting in Supreme Court (of which 4 are female).

Compared with the EU distribution of professional judges per instance, a similar trend is observed in Estonia. However, the predominance of first and second instance judges is less pronounced, while third instance judges are more numerous.

As regards the distribution male/female, it has to be specified that female judges do not have the majority only at third instance.

Absolute number of professional judges by instance and matter

2020	Total	Civil and commercial	Criminal	Administrative	Other
1st instance	169	NA	NA	25	NAP
2nd instance	46	23	12	11	NAP
Supreme courts	19	8	5	6	NAP
Total	234	NA	NA	42	NAP

In Estonia, the distribution of judges per categories of cases is possible for some categories only as presented in the graph below.


Distribution of professional judges by instance and matter

2020	Civil and commercial	Criminal	Administrative	Other
1st instance	NA	NA	14,8%	NAP
2nd instance	50,0%	26,1%	23,9%	NAP
Supreme courts	42,1%	26,3%	31,6%	NAP
Total	NA	NA	17,9%	NAP

At first instance, the judges are not categorised seperated as criminal or civil judges.

Non-judge staff

Year	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Number of non-judge staff	957	990	1 017	965	877	846	819	802	825
Per 100 000 inhabitants	74,39	75,24	77,44	73,33	66,66	64,30	62,09	60,54	62,06



2020	Absolute number	in %
Total	825	
Rechtspfleger	51	6,2%
Non-judge staff assisting the judge	591	71,6%
Staff in charge of administrative tasks	77	9,3%
Technical staff	73	8,8%
Other	33	4,0%

In 2020, Estonia has 825 non-judge staff (of which 701 are females). The total number of non-judge staff in comparison with the previous cycle reveals an increase of 2,9%.

In this cycle, the non-judge staff is broken down as follows:


- 51 Rechtspfleger (or similar bodies) with judicial or quasi-judicial tasks having autonomous competence and whose decisions could be subject to appeal (among which 46
- 591 non-judge staff whose task is to assist the judges such as registrars (of which 546 are women);
- 77 staff in charge of different administrative tasks and of the court management (of which 56 are women);
- 73 technical staff (of which 22 are women);
- 33 other (of which 31 are women);

In 2020, the number of non-judge staff per 100 000 inhabitants has increased (from 60,5 in 2019 to 62,1 in 2020).

During the same period, the number of judges per 100 000 inhabitants evolves from 17,3 judges per 100 000 inhabitants in 2019 to 17,6 in 2020.


Professional judges, non-judge staff and their ratio (Q46, Q52)

Per 100 000 inhabitants	Estonia	EU median
Professional judges	17,60	23,92
Non-judge staff	62,06	59,00
Non-judge staff per judge	3,53	3,30



Evolution of the ratio between professional judges and non-judge staff (Q46, Q52)

	Judges per 100 000 inh.	Non-judge staff per 100 000 inh.	Ratio between professional judges and non-judge staff
2012	17,72	74,39	4,20
2013	17,18	75,24	4,38
2014	17,59	77,44	4,40
2015	17,78	73,33	4,12
2016	17,63	66,66	3,78
2017	17,25	64,30	3,73
2018	17,66	62,09	3,52
2019	17,29	60,54	3,50
2020	17,60	62,06	3,53



Public prosecutors and non-prosecutor staff

Absolute number of public prosecutors by instance and gender (Q55)

2020	Total	Distribution by instance	Male	Female	Male	Female
1st instance	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
2nd instance	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
Supreme courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
Total	169		50	119	29,6%	70,4%

In this cycle, the total number of female prosecutors (all instances) is 119, which represents 70,4% of the total number of prosecutors.


As regards the methodology of presentation of data in respect of the number of prosecutors, it should be noticed that the categorization by instances regarding public prosecutors does not exist in Estonia.

The distribution male/female is therefore not available.

Non-prosecutor staff by gender (Q60)

Non-prosecutor staff	Total	Male	Female
2020	89	29	60


Non-prosecutor staff by gender



Public prosecutors, non-prosecutor staff and their ratio (Q55, Q60)


Per 100 000 inhabitants	Estonia	EU median
Public prosecutors	12,71	9,91
Non-prosecutor staff	6,69	15,22
Non-prosecutor staff per prosecutor	0,53	1,11

Public prosecutors and non-prosecutor staff per 100 000 inhabitants, and their ratio



• Salaries of professional judges and prosecutors at beginning of a career and at the highest instance (Q132, Q4)

Salaries of professional judges and prosecutors (Q132, Q4)	Average gross annual salary in €	Average net annual salary in €	Ratio with national average annual gross salary
Judge at the beginning of a career	51 962 €	40 068 €	2,99
Judge of the highest court	67 942 €	52 392 €	3,91
Prosecutor at the beginning of a career	47 556 €	36 672 €	2,74
Public prosecutor at highest instance	53 353 €	41 145 €	3,07




According to 2020 data, the absolute gross salary of a judge at the begining of a career in Estonia of 51 962€ is somewhat above when compared to the EU median of 51 946€. As a ratio with the annual average salary of the country, the salary for a judge at the beginning of career is: 2,99 compared with EU median of : 2,02.

Since 2010, the salary of prosecutors depends on the salary of the President and is indexed by 1 April of each calendar year. In 2018, the salary system of public prosecutors changed and with that, the smallest salaries increased the most.

• Lawyers

Lawyers	Absolute number	Per 100 000 inhabitants
2012	846	65,76
2013	878	66,73
2014	934	71,12
2015	970	73,71
2016	993	75,48
2017	1 024	77,83
2018	1 041	78,92
2019	1 076	81,22
2020	1 096	82,44




In 2020, there are 1 096 lawyers, which is 1,9% more than in 2019.

Estonia has 82,4 lawyers per 100 000 inhabitants, which is below the EU median of 122,1 lawyers per 100 000 inhabitants.

• Judicial professionals (summary)


Judicial professionals in absolute number and per 100 000 inhabitants (Q46, Q52, Q55, Q60, Q146)

	Absolute number	Per 100 000 inhabitants	EU Median
Professional judges	229	17,29	23,92
Non-judge staff	825	62,06	59,00
Prosecutors	169	12,71	9,91
Non-prosecutor staff	89	6,69	15,22
Lawyers	1 096	82,44	122,09



Judicial professionals: Gender balance

	% Male	% Female
Professional judges	36,3%	63,7%
Non judge staff	15,0%	85,0%
Prosecutors	29,6%	70,4%
Non-prosecutor staff	32,6%	67,4%
Lawyers	51,0%	49,0%



3. Legal aid and court fees in Estonia

In Estonia, legal aid includes:

- Coverage of court fees:

✖
- Exemption from court fees:

✔

In Estonia, legal aid is available for :

- > Representation in court:

◦ Criminal cases

✔

◦ Other than criminal cases

✔
- > Legal advice, ADR and other legal services:

◦ Criminal cases

✔

◦ Other than criminal cases

✔
- > Fees related to enforcement of judicial decisions as fees for enforcement agents (Q18)

✔
- > Other costs than above (Q19)


✔

Legal aid cannot be granted for fees related to the enforcement of judicial decisions (except for representing a person in enforcement proceedings), but procedural assistance can be granted to release a person from all or a part of the expenses related to enforcement proceedings.


With respect to "other costs", it should be noted that at the request of a lawyer who has provided state legal aid, the court, investigative body or prosecutor's office shall determine the reimbursable travel and accommodation expenses incurred by the lawyer or the manager of the law firm in connection with the provision of state legal aid. Travel and accommodation expenses shall be reimbursed only if the State legal aid has been provided in a place other than the town or municipality where the law firm or the structural unit through which the lawyer provides legal services is located.

Number of cases for which legal aid has been granted

Absolute number (in 2020)	Total	Cases brought to court	Cases not brought to court
Total	12 421	NA	NA
In criminal cases	7 067	NA	NA
In other than criminal cases	5 354	NA	NA



Per 100 000 inhabitants (in 2020)	Estonia	EU Median
Total	934,3	734,2
In criminal cases	531,6	330,9
In other than criminal cases	402,7	402,7



The number of cases brought to court for which legal aid has been granted cannot be separated from the number of cases for which legal aid has been granted only for legal advice.

Timeframes of the procedure for granting legal aid (in relation to the duration from the initial legal aid request to the final approval of the legal aid request)

- Maximum duration prescribed in law/regulations: NAP
- Actual average duration: NA

In Estonia, the data with respect to legal aid is in two separate information systems and therefore it is not possible to collect data on actual average duration of the procedure for granting legal aid.

4. Performance of courts in Estonia

• Efficiency indicators

◦ Clearance Rate (CR) and Disposition Time (DT)


The Clearance Rate shows the capacity of a judicial system to deal with the incoming cases. A Clearance Rate of 100% and higher does not generate backlog.

The Disposition Time determines the estimated number of days necessary for a pending case to be solved in a court.

First instance Total of other than criminal cases

◦ Incoming, resolved and pending cases

Evolution of number of all other than criminal cases per 100 inhabitants




The number of resolved cases in 2020 in Estonia (23,71 per 100 inhabitants) is well above EU median (6,60 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of pending cases at the end of 2020 in Estonia (1,61 per 100 inhabitants) is somewhat below EU median (2,66 per 100 inhabitants).

◦ Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

Other than criminal cases	CR (%)	DT (days)
2012	111%	44
2013	NA	NA
2014	98%	33
2015	140%	39
2016	98%	40
2017	104%	24
2018	101%	30
2019	100%	32
2020	101%	25

Clearance Rate in % (CR) and Disposition Time in days (DT) for Other than criminal cases



With a Clearance Rate calculated at 101,3% in 2020 Estonia seems to be able to deal with its other than criminal cases.


Between 2019 and 2020, the Clearance Rate has increased by 1,3 points.

In 2020, other than criminal cases are solved in approximately 25 days, which is significantly below EU median of 109 days.

The analysis of the 2019 - 2020 period reveals a -21,4% decrease of the Disposition Time.

First instance Civil (and commercial) litigious cases

◦ Incoming, resolved and pending cases




The number of incoming cases in 2020 in Estonia (1,43 per 100 inhabitants) is slightly below EU median (1,56 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of resolved cases in 2020 in Estonia (1,42 per 100 inhabitants) is slightly below EU median (1,50 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of pending cases at the end of 2020 in Estonia (0,53 per 100 inhabitants) is somewhat below EU median (1,05 per 100 inhabitants).

◦ Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	CR (%)	DT (days)
2012	112,5%	167
2013	107,6%	130
2014	104,2%	125
2015	102,1%	136
2016	97,6%	139
2017	99,3%	140
2018	100,6%	143
2019	94,2%	147
2020	99,8%	135



With a Clearance Rate calculated at 99,8% in 2020, Estonia seems to be able to deal with its civil and commercial litigious cases.

Between 2019 and 2020, the Clearance Rate has increased by 5,6 points.


In 2020, the civil and commercial litigious cases are solved in approximately 135 days, which is somewhat below EU median of 221 days.

The analysis of the 2019 - 2020 period reveals a -8,2% decrease of the Disposition Time.

In Estonia, there are 487 civil and commercial litigious cases older than 2 years. This is 7,0% of the total number of pending cases at the end of the year

First instance Administrative cases

◦ Incoming, resolved and pending cases




The number of incoming cases in 2020 in Estonia (0,21 per 100 inhabitants) is somewhat below EU median (0,30 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of resolved cases in 2020 in Estonia (0,19 per 100 inhabitants) is somewhat below EU median (0,26 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of pending cases at the end of 2020 in Estonia (0,07 per 100 inhabitants) is significantly below EU median (0,21 per 100 inhabitants).

◦ Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

Administrative cases	CR (%)	DT (days)
2012	105,5%	108
2013	90,9%	139
2014	90,4%	141
2015	104,5%	117
2016	105,6%	108
2017	99,4%	108
2018	100,0%	119
2019	94,3%	136
2020	92,5%	142



With a Clearance Rate calculated at 92,5% in 2020, Estonia seems to encounter difficulties in dealing with its administrative cases.

Between 2019 and 2020, the Clearance Rate has decreased for -1,8 points.

In 2020, the administrative cases are solved in approximately 142 days, which is significantly below EU median of 388 days.


The analysis of the 2019 - 2020 period reveals a 4,7% increase of the Disposition Time.

In Estonia, there are 35 administrative law cases older than 2 years. This is 3,5% of the total number of pending cases at the end of the year.

Insolvency cases

◦ Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

Insolvency cases	CR (%)	DT (days)
2012	95,4%	104
2013	98,5%	69
2014	96,9%	73
2015	100,1%	67
2016	101,5%	61
2017	97,5%	67
2018	94,9%	63
2019	98,7%	96
2020	97,3%	54



The Clearance Rate was calculated at 97,3% in 2020 for insolvency cases, Estonia seems to face some difficulties in dealing with its insolvency cases.

Between 2019 and 2020, the Clearance Rate has decreased by -1,3 points.


In 2020, insolvency cases are solved in a approximately 54 days, which is significantly below the EU median of 281 days.

The analysis of the 2019 - 2020 period reveals a -44,0% decrease of the Disposition Time.


• First instance Criminal Law Cases

◦ Incoming, resolved and pending cases

	Pending cases 1 Jan	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases 31 Dec
Total	1 859	20 392	20 385	1 688
Severe criminal cases	597	5 210	5 114	642
Misdemeanour and/or minor cases	675	5 952	5 926	574
Other cases	587	9 230	9 345	472



Per 100 inhabitants	Pending cases 1 Jan	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases 31 Dec
Total	0,14	1,53	1,53	0,13
Severe criminal cases	0,04	0,39	0,38	0,05
Misdemeanour and/or minor cases	0,05	0,45	0,45	0,04
Other cases	0,04	0,69	0,70	0,04




The number of total incoming criminal cases in 2020 in Estonia (1,53 per 100 inhabitants) is slightly below EU median (1,60 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of total resolved criminal cases in 2020 in Estonia (1,53 per 100 inhabitants) is slightly above EU median (1,48 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of total pending criminal cases at the end of 2020 in Estonia (0,13 per 100 inhabitants) is significantly below EU median (0,46 per 100 inhabitants).

◦ Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

Total criminal law cases	CR (%)	DT (days)
Total	100,0%	30
Severe criminal cases	98,2%	46
Misdemeanour and/or minor cases	99,6%	35
Other cases	101,2%	18



With the Clearance Rate calculated at 100,0% in 2020 for total criminal cases, Estonia seems to be able to deal with its total criminal cases.

In 2020, criminal law cases were solved in approximately 30 days, which is significantly below EU median of 139 days.



It is noteworthy highlighting that the pandemic has affected the courts activity in criminal matters in general. The criminal procedure law was not as flexible when judges had to work online.

The category "other criminal cases" encompasses for example different enforcement and pretrial cases.

The complete revision of the criminal procedure law is ongoing and will come into force next year.

Overall efficiency by instance and by case matter

	CR (%)			DT (days)		
	1st instance	2nd instance	Supreme Court	1st instance	2nd instance	Supreme Court
Civil and commercial litigious cases	99,8%	102,2%	83,6%	135	120	172
Administrative cases	92,5%	95,3%	91,9%	142	105	204
Total criminal law cases	100,0%	99,4%	87,1%	30	27	95



As regards civil and commercial litigious cases, the Clearance rate is beyond 100% for second instance cases. Moreover, this threshold is almost reached in respect of first instance cases (99,8%). Concerning the administrative cases, the Clearance rate is below the 100% threshold at all three instances. As to criminal law cases, the Clearance rate indicator has been negatively affected in 2020 only in respect of the Supreme Court.

Generally speaking, it seems that highest courts encounter some difficulties in dealing with their caseload.

As to the Disposition Time indicator, the analysis reveals positive trend for 2020. In fact, the Estonian value is below the EU medians established for all cases at all instances. As one can see from the graph above, criminal proceedings are faster than civil and administrative cases at all instances.

5. Public prosecution services in Estonia

• Role and powers of the public prosecutor

In the criminal procedure, the public prosecutor in Estonia has the following 9 out of 11 possible roles and powers:



To conduct or supervise police investigation	✓	To appeal	✓
To conduct investigations	✓	To supervise the enforcement procedure	✗
When necessary, to request investigation measures from the judge	✓	To discontinue a case without needing a decision by a judge	✓
To charge	✓	To end the case by imposing or negotiating a penalty or measure without requiring a judicial decision	✓
To present the case in the court	✓	Other significant powers	✗
To propose a sentence to the judge	✓		

It should be noted that in Estonia, public prosecutors participate in the planning of surveillance necessary to detect and combat criminal offences.

The public prosecutor does not have any role in civil, administrative or insolvency cases.

• Public prosecutors: Number of first instance criminal cases

Type of cases	Absolute number	Per 100 inhabitants
1. Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	2 397	0,18
2. Incoming/received cases	25 817	1,94
3. Processed cases (3.1 + 3.2 + 3.3 + 3.4)	9 378	0,71
3.1. Discontinued during the reference year (3.1.1 + 3.1.2 + 3.1.3 + 3.1.4)	3 895	0,29
3.1.1 Discontinued by the public prosecutor because the offender could not be identified	765	0,06
3.1.2 Discontinued by the public prosecutor due to the lack of an established offence or a specific legal situation	NA	NA
3.1.3 Discontinued by the public prosecutor for reasons of opportunity	NA	NA
3.1.4 Discontinued for other reasons	NA	NA
3.2. Concluded by a penalty or a measure imposed or negotiated by the public prosecutor	NA	NA
3.3. Cases closed by the public prosecutor for other reasons	NA	NA
3.4. Cases brought to court	5 483	0,41
4. Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	2 397	0,18



6. Existence and use of alternative dispute resolution in Estonia

Data on the number of court-related mediations are not recorded in any information system and are therefore not available.

7. ICT tools of courts in Estonia

•The ICT tools of courts and for court users

The use of ICT in courts in 2020 has been evaluated as :

EU Median

Total	9,5	6,6
Assistance tools (0 to 3)	3,0	2,0
Case management system (0 to 7)	6,7	5,2
Financial management tools (0 to 3)	2,0	1,3
Measurement tools to assess the workload (0 to 5)	5,0	2,5
Electronic communication (0 to 10)	10,0	6,9

The calculation of this values for each field is based on the answers for that question/s and weighted according the availability or deployment rate. The total value is normalised to max 10 points for readability and comparison.


The details of the calculation are given in Annex 5 - IT calculations

The result by area may be summarized in these graphics, where each field has been evaluated from 0 to 4 points.

Note: index is modified based on the available questions. This cycle the recalculation was made for the last three cycles to be able to follow the development.

ICT tools assessment from 2018 to 2020

■ 2018 ■ 2019 ■ 2020 ■ EU Median 2020



Comments on voice recording tools

It should be mentioned that courts have adopted voice recognition software.

Comments on CMS

Regarding the status of integration of a CMS with a statistical tool, it should be highlighted that statistical tool has been improved. It is now fully integrated including BI.

Comments on communication tools

With respect to the possibility to submit a case to courts by electronic means, it should be noted that in all matters, simultaneous submission of cases in paper form is possible, but not compulsory.

Regarding the electronic communication between courts and lawyers and/or parties, it is noteworthy that public e-file now contains information about different deadlines and calendar functionality (which includes trials).

8. Systems for measuring and evaluating the performance of courts and public prosecution services in Estonia

In Estonia, quality standards are determined for the judicial system at national level (e.g. quality systems for the judiciary and/or judicial quality policies). However, there is no specialised personnel within the courts or the public prosecution services entrusted with implementation of these national level quality standards.

[Comment ALL 066] Estonia has developed a quality system consisting of 3 parts. The first part contains the quality standards (good practice) for the management of the court that describe activities related to the chairman of the court. The second part contains the quality standards for the administration of courts and is focused on the different roles of the parties involved in the administration of courts: directors, Ministry of Justice, Council for the Administration of Courts. The third part contains quality standards for the court proceedings and is addressed to all the judges. All of the three parts of the quality standards have been discussed and approved by the Council for Administration of Courts, respectively in 2012, 2013 and 2015.

• Systems for measuring and evaluating courts' performance

A regular monitoring system of court activities is in place concerning:

Number of incoming cases	✓	Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)	✓
Length of proceedings (timeframes)	✓	Costs of the judicial procedures	✗
Number of resolved cases	✓	Number of appeals	✓
Number of pending cases	✓	Appeal ratio	✓
Backlogs	✓	Clearance rate	✓
Productivity of judges and court staff	✓	Disposition time	✓
Satisfaction of court staff	✓	Other	✓

The scope of the monitoring system is extended to the results of proceedings; the categories of cases; the number of decisions appealed and revoked, fully or partially. The waiting time and the 'age' of pending (not solved) cases are also monitored. It is worthy of mention that every year, all the courts and the Ministry of Justice enter into an agreement according to which courts should aim to carry out structural changes and to make changes in case-flow management that will ultimately ensure efficient proceedings. The content of the agreement has changed since 2017. The goals are more general and the same for all the courts (except The Supreme Court).

In Estonia, there is a system to regularly evaluate the court performance based primarily on defined indicators and the reporting is more frequent than annual.

More precisely, it is carried out four times a year.

Performance and quality indicators are defined for the activity of each court.

The following indicators are used:

Number of incoming cases	✓	Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)	✓
Length of proceedings (timeframes)	✓	Costs of the judicial procedures	✗
Number of resolved cases	✓	Number of appeals	✗
Number of pending cases	✓	Appeal ratio	✗
Backlogs	✓	Clearance rate	✓
Productivity of judges and court staff	✓	Disposition time	✓
Satisfaction of court staff	✓	Other	✗

The evaluation of the courts' activities is not used for the later allocation of means in the courts.

• Systems for measuring and evaluating public prosecution services' performance

A regular monitoring system of public prosecution services activities is in place concerning:

Number of incoming cases	✓	Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the public prosecution)	✓
Length of proceedings (timeframes)	✓	Costs of the judicial procedures	✓
Number of resolved cases	✓	Clearance rate	✓
Number of pending cases	✓	Disposition time	✓
Backlogs	✓	Percentage of convictions and acquittals	✓
Productivity of prosecutors and prosecution staff	✓	Other	✗
Satisfaction of prosecution staff	✓		

In Estonia, there is no system to evaluate regularly the activity of each public prosecution service.

Performance and quality indicators are defined for the activity of each public prosecution service.

The following indicators are used:

Number of incoming cases	✓	Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the public prosecutors)	✓
Length of proceedings (timeframes)	✓	Costs of the judicial procedures	✗
Number of resolved cases	✓	Clearance rate	✓
Number of pending cases	✓	Disposition time	✓
Backlogs	✓	Percentage of convictions and acquittals	✗
Productivity of prosecutors and prosecution staff	✓	Other	✗
Satisfaction of prosecution staff	✓		

The evaluation of the public prosecution services' activities is not used for the later allocation of means in the public prosecution services.

Estonia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Table General Data: Economic and demographic data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)																			
Q1 Number of inhabitants	1 286 479	1 315 819	1 313 271	1 315 944	1 315 635	1 315 635	1 319 133	1 324 820	1 329 460		3,3%	2,3%	-0,2%	0,2%	0,0%	0,0%	0,3%	0,4%	0,4%
Q.3 GDP Per capita (in €) in current prices	13 495	14 218	15 186	15 405	16 034	17 926	19 737	21 163	20 324		50,6%	5,4%	6,8%	1,4%	4,1%	11,8%	10,1%	7,2%	-4,0%
Q5. Exchange rate of Nat currency to € on 1 Jan	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Indicator 1: Systems for measuring and evaluating the performance of courts and prosecution services (Indicator 4 in 2019)																			
Table 1.1 to Table 1.10 (Q66, Q67, Q77, Q78, Q77-1, Q78-1, Q73, Q73-0, Q73-1, Q73-2, Q73-3, Q73-4, Q73-5, Q73-6, Q70, Q70-1, Q71, Q72, Q83-2, Q83-3, Q120 and Q120-1)																			
66 Qlty standards formulated_jud system	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True										
67 Specialised court staff entrusted_qlty standards	No	No	No	No	False	False	False	False	False										
77 Performance and quality indicators of court activities	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True										
078.1.1 Number of incoming cases							True	True	True										
078.1.2 Length of proceedings (timeframes)							True	True	True										
078.1.3 Number of resolved cases							True	True	True										
078.1.4 Number of pending cases							True	True	True										
078.1.5 Backlogs							True	True	True										
078.1.6 Productivity of judges and court staff							True	True	True										
078.1.7 Satisfaction of court staff							True	True	True										
078.1.8 Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)							True	True	True										
078.1.9 Costs of the judicial procedures							False	False	False										
078.1.10 Number of appeals							False	False	False										
078.1.11 Appeal ratio							False	False	False										
078.1.12 Clearance rate							True	True	True										
078.1.13 Disposition time							True	True	True										
078.1.14 Other							False	False	False										
077-1.1.1 Defined performance and quality indicators									True										

Estonia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
078-1.1.1 Number of incoming cases									True									
078-1.1.2 Length of proceedings (timeframes)									True									
078-1.1.3 Number of resolved cases									True									
078-1.1.4 Number of pending cases									True									
078-1.1.5 Backlogs									True									
078-1.1.6 Productivity of prosecutors and prosecution staff									True									
078-1.1.7 Satisfaction of prosecution staff									True									
078-1.1.8 Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the public prosecution)									True									
078-1.1.9 Costs of the judicial procedures									False									
078-1.1.10 Clearance rate									True									
078-1.1.11 Disposition time									True									
078-1.1.12 Percentage of convictions and acquittals									False									
078-1.1.13 Other									False									
73 Regular system_evaluation_performance_each court	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
073-0.1.1 Annual					False	False	False	False	False									
073-0.1.2 Less frequent					False	False	False	False	False									
073-0.1.3 More frequent					True	True	True	True	True									
073-1.1.1 Evaluation used for the allocation of resources within the court			Yes	Yes	False	False	False	False	False									
073-2.1.1 Courses of action taken in the evaluation is used for the allocation of resources							False	True	-									
073-2.1.2 Reallocating resources (human/financial resources based on performance)							False	True	-									
073-2.1.3 Reengineering of internal procedures to increase efficiency							False	True	-									
073-2.1.4 Other							False	False	-									
073-3.1.1 Regular evaluation of the public prosecution services performance									False									

Estonia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
073-4.1.1 Annual										-								
073-4.1.2 Less frequent										-								
073-4.1.3 More frequent										-								
073-5.1.1 Evaluation used for the allocation of resources within the public prosecution services										False								
073-6.1.1 Identifying the causes of improved or deteriorated performance										-								
073-6.1.2 Reallocating resources (human/financial resources based on performance)										-								
073-6.1.3 Reengineering of internal procedures to increase efficiency										-								
073-6.1.4 Other										-								
070.1.1 number of incoming cases	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True	True								
070.1.2 length of proceedings (timeframes)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True	True								
070.1.3 number of resolved cases	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True	True								
070.1.4 number of pending cases							True	True	True	True								
070.1.5 backlogs							True	True	True	True								
070.1.6 productivity of judges and court staff							True	True	True	True								
070.1.7 satisfaction of court staff							True	True	True	True								
070.1.8 satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)							True	True	True	True								
070.1.9 costs of the judicial procedures							False	False	False	False								
070.1.10 number of appeals							True	True	True	True								
070.1.11 appeal ratio							True	True	True	True								
070.1.12 clearance rate							True	True	True	True								

Estonia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
070-1.1.1 Number of incoming cases									True									
070-1.1.2 Length of proceedings (timeframes)									True									
070-1.1.3 Number of resolved cases									True									
070-1.1.4 Number of pending cases									True									
070-1.1.5 Backlogs									True									
070-1.1.6 Productivity of prosecutors and prosecution staff									True									
070-1.1.7 Satisfaction of prosecution staff									True									
070-1.1.8 Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the public prosecution)									True									
070-1.1.9 Costs of the judicial procedures									True									
070-1.1.10 Clearance rate									True									
070-1.1.11 Disposition time									True									
070-1.1.12 Percentage of convictions and acquittals									True									
070-1.1.13 Other									False									
071.1.1 Monitoring backlogs in Civil law cases									True									
071.1.2 Monitoring backlogs in Criminal law cases									True									
071.1.3 Monitoring backlogs in Administrative law cases									True									
072.1.1 Monitoring timeframes Within the courts									True									
072.1.2 Monitoring timeframes Within the public prosecution services									True									
083-2.1.1 Quantitative performance tagets defined for each prosecutors									False									
083-3.1.1 Body responsible - Executive power (for example the Ministry of Justice)									-									
083-3.1.2 Body responsible - Prosecutor General /State public prosecutor									-									
083-3.1.3 Body responsible - Public Prosecutorial Council									-									
083-3.1.4 Body responsible - Head of the organisational unit or hierarchically superior public prosecutor									-									
083-3.1.5 Body responsible - Other									-									

Estonia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
120.1.1 Qualitative individual assessment of the public prosecutors' work									False									
120-1.1.1 Feequency - Annual									-									
120-1.1.2 Feequency - Less frequent									-									
120-1.1.3 Feequency - More frequent									-									
Indicator 2: The judicial organisation																		
Tables 2.1a; 2.1b; 2.2a; 2.2b; 2.3a; 2.3b; 2.4 and 2.5(EC) (Q42, Q43 and Q44)																		
Q42.1.1Total number of all courts - legal entities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Q42.1.2 Total number of courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Q42.1.3 First instance courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%
Q42.1.4 Second instance courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Q42.1.5 Highest instance courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Q42.1.6 Total number of specialised courts - legal entities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.1 Total number of specialised courts of first instance	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%
43.1.2 Commercial courts (excluded insolvency courts)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.3 Insolvency courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.4 Labour courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.5 Family courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.6 Rent and tenancies courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.7 Enforcement of criminal sanctions courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.8 Fight against terrorism, organised crime and corruption	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.9 Internet related disputes	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.10 Administrative courts	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%
43.1.11 Insurance and / or social welfare courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.12 Military courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.13 Juvenile courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Estonia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
43.1.14 Other specialised courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.1 Total number of specialised courts of higher instances	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.2 Commercial courts (excluded insolvency courts)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.3 Insolvency courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.4 Labour courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.5 Family courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.6 Rent and tenancies courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.7 Enforcement of criminal sanctions courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.8 Fight against terrorism, organised crime and corruption	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.9 Internet related disputes	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.10 Administrative courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.11 Insurance and / or social welfare courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.12 Military courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.13 Juvenile courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.14 Other specialised courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44.1.1 First instance courts geographic locations	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44.1.2 All courts geographic locations	22	22	22	22	21	22	21	21	20	-9,1%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	-4,5%	4,8%	-4,5%	0,0%	-4,8%

Estonia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Indicator 3: The performance of courts at all stages of the proceedings																			
Tables 3.1.1.1 to 3.1.1.4 (all years) Number of other than criminal cases (Q91)																			
Table 3.3.1 to 3.3.3 Variation of first instance other than criminal cases per 100 inhabitants (Q1, Q91)																			
Table 3.13.7 (EC) to 3.13.12 (EC) First instance other than criminal cases (Q91)																			
91.1.1 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	66 242	NA	24 107	23 838	28 828	29 923	26 056	25 371	24 913	-62,4%	-	-	-1,1%	20,9%	3,8%	-12,9%	-2,6%	-1,8%	
91.1.2 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	10 418	8 412	6 803	6 116	5 845	6 193	6 280	6 157	7 097	-31,9%	-19,3%	-19,1%	-10,1%	-4,4%	6,0%	1,4%	-2,0%	15,3%	
91.1.3 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	16 282	16 392	21 836	22 802	18 884	18 394	16 910	-	-	-	0,7%	33,2%	4,4%	-17,2%	-2,6%	-8,1%	
91.1.4 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	13 554	11 553	11 323	9 510	7 727	2 039	9 294	11 338	11 968	-11,7%	-14,8%	-2,0%	-16,0%	-18,7%	-73,6%	355,8%	22,0%	5,6%	
91.1.5 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	4 959	6 882	14 109	20 763	9 590	7 056	4 942	-	-	-	38,8%	105,0%	47,2%	-53,8%	-26,4%	-30,0%	
91.1.6 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious land registry cases	3 782	3 033	3 843	3 125	3 682	3 674	4 775	4 717	3 159	-16,5%	-19,8%	26,7%	-18,7%	17,8%	-0,2%	30,0%	-1,2%	-33,0%	
91.1.7 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non-litigious business registry cases	37 335	2 777	1 116	3 757	10 427	17 089	4 815	2 339	1 783	-95,2%	-92,6%	-59,8%	236,6%	177,5%	63,9%	-71,8%	-51,4%	-23,8%	
91.1.8 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
91.1.9 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
91.1.10 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Administrative law cases	1 153	891	1 022	1 330	1 147	928	892	820	906	-21,4%	-22,7%	14,7%	30,1%	-13,8%	-19,1%	-3,9%	-8,1%	10,5%	
91.1.11 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
91.2.1 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	265 301	NA	237 929	236 230	325 147	267 703	297 825	300 762	310 988	17,2%	-	-	-0,7%	37,6%	-17,7%	11,3%	1,0%	3,4%	
91.2.2 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	16 336	17 745	16 775	15 189	16 408	16 159	15 382	18 501	18 950	16,0%	8,6%	-5,5%	-9,5%	8,0%	-1,5%	-4,8%	20,3%	2,4%	
91.2.3 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	217 368	217 670	305 783	248 558	279 965	279 728	289 301	-	-	-	0,1%	40,5%	-18,7%	12,6%	-0,1%	3,4%	
91.2.4 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	44 136	51 112	46 864	44 407	43 717	14 020	48 177	52 590	60 270	36,6%	15,8%	-8,3%	-5,2%	-1,6%	-67,9%	243,6%	9,2%	14,6%	
91.2.5 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	170 504	173 263	262 066	234 538	231 788	227 138	229 031	-	-	-	1,6%	51,3%	-10,5%	-1,2%	-2,0%	0,8%	
91.2.6 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious land registry cases	91 218	92 832	97 704	72 800	107 351	121 455	111 522	112 455	108 686	19,1%	1,8%	5,2%	-25,5%	47,5%	13,1%	-8,2%	0,8%	-3,4%	
91.2.7 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Non-litigious business registry cases	110 756	90 012	72 800	100 463	154 715	113 083	120 266	114 683	120 345	8,7%	-18,7%	-19,1%	38,0%	54,0%	-26,9%	6,4%	-4,6%	4,9%	
91.2.8 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
91.2.9 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
91.2.10 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Administrative law cases	2 855	2 957	3 786	3 371	2 956	2 986	2 478	2 533	2 737	-4,1%	3,6%	28,0%	-11,0%	-12,3%	1,0%	-17,0%	2,2%	8,1%	
91.2.11 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Estonia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
91.3.1 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	295 674	NA	233 577	329 909	317 757	278 506	299 371	300 911	315 176	6,6%	-	-	41,2%	-3,7%	-12,4%	7,5%	0,5%	4,7%
91.3.2 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	18 370	19 096	17 486	15 504	16 007	16 043	15 473	17 433	18 920	3,0%	4,0%	-8,4%	-11,3%	3,2%	0,2%	-3,6%	12,7%	8,5%
91.3.3 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	212 669	310 882	298 627	259 496	281 421	281 090	293 725	-	-	-	46,2%	-3,9%	-13,1%	8,4%	-0,1%	4,5%
91.3.4 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	46 041	50 946	42 969	46 104	44 042	14 025	46 060	52 873	64 011	39,0%	10,7%	-15,7%	7,3%	-4,5%	-68,2%	228,4%	14,8%	21,1%
91.3.5 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	169 700	264 778	254 585	245 471	235 361	228 217	229 714	-	-	-	56,0%	-3,8%	-3,6%	-4,1%	-3,0%	0,7%
91.3.6 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious land registry cases	92 043	92 066	97 769	163 565	106 635	120 113	112 715	112 976	108 869	18,3%	0,0%	6,2%	67,3%	-34,8%	12,6%	-6,2%	0,2%	-3,6%
91.3.7 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Non-litigious business registry cases	136 207	91 099	71 931	101 213	147 950	125 358	122 646	115 241	120 845	-11,3%	-33,1%	-21,0%	40,7%	46,2%	-15,3%	-2,2%	-6,0%	4,9%
91.3.8 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.3.9 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.3.10 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Administrative law cases	3 013	2 687	3 422	3 523	3 123	2 967	2 477	2 388	2 531	-16,0%	-10,8%	27,4%	3,0%	-11,4%	-5,0%	-16,5%	-3,6%	6,0%
91.3.11 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.4.1 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	35 558	NA	21 252	35 228	35 078	18 556	24 225	25 990	21 402	-39,8%	-	-	65,8%	-0,4%	-47,1%	30,6%	7,3%	-17,7%
91.4.2 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	8 393	6 812	5 991	5 767	6 110	6 175	6 069	7 021	6 998	-16,6%	-18,8%	-12,1%	-3,7%	5,9%	1,1%	-1,7%	15,7%	-0,3%
91.4.3 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	13 935	28 333	28 047	11 501	17 349	18 079	13 416	-	-	-	103,3%	-1,0%	-59,0%	50,8%	4,2%	-25,8%
91.4.4 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	11 434	11 765	9 147	7 724	7 326	1 943	11 328	11 954	8 120	-29,0%	2,9%	-22,3%	-15,6%	-5,2%	-73,5%	483,0%	5,5%	-32,1%
91.4.5 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	4 788	20 609	20 721	9 558	6 021	6 125	5 296	-	-	-	330,4%	0,5%	-53,9%	-37,0%	1,7%	-13,5%
91.4.6 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious land registry cases	2 957	3 799	3 758	17 628	3 674	4 743	3 660	4 342	4 013	35,7%	28,5%	-1,1%	369,1%	-79,2%	29,1%	-22,8%	18,6%	-7,6%
91.4.7 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non-litigious business registry cases	11 884	1 634	1 030	2 981	17 047	4 815	2 361	1 783	1 283	-89,2%	-86,3%	-37,0%	189,4%	471,9%	-71,8%	-51,0%	-24,5%	-28,0%
91.4.8 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.4.9 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.4.10 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Administrative law cases	890	1 026	1 326	1 128	921	880	807	890	988	11,0%	15,3%	29,2%	-14,9%	-18,4%	-4,5%	-8,3%	10,3%	11,0%
91.4.11 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Estonia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions										
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020		
Table 3.2.1.1 to 3.2.1.2 (all years) First instance courts: Clearance rate and disposition time for other than criminal cases (Q91)																				
Table 3.3.4 to 3.3.7 Variation of Clearence Rate and Disposition Time of first instance other than criminal cases (Q91)																				
Table 3.13.1 (EC) to 3.13.6 (EC) First instance courts: Disposition time and clearance rate for other than criminal cases (Q91)																				
CR Total of other than criminal law cases	111,4%	NA	98,2%	139,7%	97,7%	104,0%	100,5%	100,0%	101,3%	- 9,06	-	-	42,26	- 30,02	6,45	- 3,38	- 0,47	1,30		
CR Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	112,5%	107,6%	104,2%	102,1%	97,6%	99,3%	100,6%	94,2%	99,8%	- 11,21	- 4,30	- 3,14	- 2,08	- 4,43	1,77	1,32	- 6,33	5,96		
CR Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	97,8%	142,8%	97,7%	104,4%	100,5%	100,5%	101,5%	-	-	-	45,98	- 31,62	6,90	- 3,72	- 0,03	1,04		
CR General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	104,3%	99,7%	91,7%	103,8%	100,7%	100,0%	95,6%	100,5%	106,2%	1,81	- 4,45	- 8,01	13,23	- 2,96	- 0,70	- 4,43	5,16	5,64		
CR Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	99,5%	152,8%	97,1%	104,7%	101,5%	100,5%	100,3%	-	-	-	53,54	- 36,43	7,74	- 2,98	- 1,05	- 0,18		
CR Non litigious land registry cases	100,9%	99,2%	100,1%	224,7%	99,3%	98,9%	101,1%	100,5%	100,2%	- 0,73	- 1,71	0,90	124,53	- 55,79	- 0,44	2,20	- 0,60	- 0,29		
CR Non-litigious business registry cases	123,0%	101,2%	98,8%	100,7%	95,6%	110,9%	102,0%	100,5%	100,4%	- 18,35	- 17,70	- 2,37	1,96	- 5,08	15,92	- 8,01	- 1,46	- 0,07		
CR Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
CR Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
CR Administrative law cases	105,5%	90,9%	90,4%	104,5%	105,6%	99,4%	100,0%	94,3%	92,5%	- 12,38	- 13,90	- 0,53	15,63	1,09	- 5,95	0,60	- 5,69	- 1,91		
CR Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
DT Total of other than criminal law cases	44	NA	33	39	40	24	30	32	25	-43,5%	-	-	17,4%	3,4%	-39,6%	21,5%	6,7%	-21,4%		
DT Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	167	130	125	136	139	140	143	147	135	-19,0%	-21,9%	-4,0%	8,6%	2,6%	0,8%	1,9%	2,7%	-8,2%		
DT Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	24	33	34	16	23	23	17	-	-	-	39,1%	3,1%	-52,8%	39,1%	4,3%	-29,0%		
DT General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	91	84	78	61	61	51	90	83	46	-48,9%	-7,0%	-7,8%	-21,3%	-0,7%	-16,7%	77,5%	-8,1%	-43,9%		
DT Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	10	28	30	14	9	10	8	-	-	-	175,9%	4,6%	-52,2%	-34,3%	4,9%	-14,1%		
DT Non litigious land registry cases	12	15	14	39	13	14	12	14	13	14,7%	28,4%	-6,8%	180,4%	-68,0%	14,6%	-17,8%	18,4%	-4,1%		
DT Non-litigious business registry cases	32	7	5	11	42	14	7	6	4	-87,8%	-79,4%	-20,2%	105,7%	291,2%	-66,7%	-49,9%	-19,6%	-31,4%		
DT Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
DT Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
DT Administrative law cases	108	139	141	117	108	108	119	136	142	32,2%	29,3%	1,5%	-17,4%	-7,9%	0,6%	9,8%	14,4%	4,7%		
DT Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

Estonia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Table 3.4.1 (all years) First instance courts, number of cases for specific case categories (Q101)																			
101.1.1 Pending cases on 1 Jan. Litigious divorce case	263	172	280	300	240	163	168	194	194	-26,2%	-34,6%	62,8%	7,1%	-20,0%	-32,1%	3,1%	15,5%	0,0%	
101.1.2 Pending cases on 1 Jan. Employment dismissal case	283	306	277	232	218	222	193	191	180	-36,4%	8,1%	-9,5%	-16,2%	-6,0%	1,8%	-13,1%	-1,0%	-5,8%	
101.1.3 Pending cases on 1 Jan. Insolvency	289	267	235	237	230	226	193	440	230	-20,4%	-7,6%	-12,0%	0,9%	-3,0%	-1,7%	-14,6%	128,0%	-47,7%	
101.2.1 Incoming cases_Litigious divorce case	652	691	912	814	828	829	805	855	841	29,0%	6,0%	32,0%	-10,7%	1,7%	0,1%	-2,9%	6,2%	-1,6%	
101.2.2 Incoming cases_Employment dismissal case	331	451	375	386	446	356	282	291	337	1,8%	36,3%	-16,9%	2,9%	15,5%	-20,2%	-20,8%	3,2%	15,8%	
101.2.3 Incoming cases_Insolvency	1 152	1 306	1 331	1 145	1 194	1 314	1 522	1 635	1 614	40,1%	13,4%	1,9%	-14,0%	4,3%	10,1%	15,8%	7,4%	-1,3%	
101.3.1 Resolved cases_Litigious divorce case	598	585	873	876	900	823	778	860	860	43,8%	-2,2%	49,2%	0,3%	2,7%	-8,6%	-5,5%	10,5%	0,0%	
101.3.2 Resolved cases_Employment dismissal case	320	432	382	390	389	364	277	290	255	-20,3%	35,0%	-11,6%	2,1%	-0,3%	-6,4%	-23,9%	4,7%	-12,1%	
101.3.3 Resolved cases_Insolvency	1 099	1 286	1 290	1 146	1 212	1 281	1 444	1 613	1 571	42,9%	17,0%	0,3%	-11,2%	5,8%	5,7%	12,7%	11,7%	-2,6%	
101.4.1 Pending cases on 31 Dec. Litigious divorce case	316	275	319	238	166	169	194	189	174	-44,9%	-13,0%	16,0%	-25,4%	-30,3%	1,8%	14,8%	-2,6%	-7,9%	
101.4.2 Pending cases on 31 Dec. Employment dismissal case	277	277	228	213	222	192	187	178	250	-9,7%	0,0%	-17,7%	-6,6%	4,2%	-13,5%	-2,6%	-4,8%	40,4%	
101.4.3 Pending cases on 31 Dec. Insolvency	312	242	258	209	201	236	250	425	232	-25,6%	-22,4%	6,6%	-19,0%	-3,8%	17,4%	5,9%	70,0%	-45,4%	

Table 3.5.1 (all years) First instance courts: Clearance rate and disposition time for specific case categories (Q101)																								
Table 3.6.1 and 3.6.2 Variations of CR and DT for specific case categories of first instance cases (Q101)																								
CR Litigious divorce cases	91,7%	84,7%	95,7%	107,6%	108,7%	99,3%	96,6%	100,6%	102,3%	11,49	-	7,70	13,07	12,42	1,00	-	8,67	-	2,65	4,08	1,66			
CR Employment dismissal cases	96,7%	95,8%	101,9%	101,0%	87,2%	102,2%	98,2%	99,7%	75,7%	-	21,73	-	0,92	6,35	-	0,82	-	13,67	17,23	-	3,93	1,46	-	24,07
CR Insolvency cases	95,4%	98,5%	96,9%	100,1%	101,5%	97,5%	94,9%	98,7%	97,3%	2,03	3,22	-	1,57	3,27	1,42	-	3,96	-	2,68	3,98	-	1,34		
DT Litigious divorce cases	193	172	133	99	67	75	91	80	74	-61,7%	-11,0%	-22,3%	-25,6%	-32,1%	11,3%	21,4%	-11,9%							
DT Employment dismissal cases	316	234	218	199	208	193	246	224	358	13,3%	-25,9%	-6,9%	-8,5%	4,5%	-7,6%	28,0%	-9,1%						59,7%	
DT Insolvency cases	104	69	73	67	61	67	63	96	54	-48,0%	-33,7%	6,3%	-8,8%	-9,1%	11,1%	-6,0%							52,2%	-44,0%

Estonia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Table 3.7.1 to 3.7.5 (2019 and 2020) Second instance other than criminal cases (Q97)																			
Table 3.9.1 to 3.9.3 (2019 and 2020) Variation of second instance other than criminal cases (Q97)																			
97.1.1 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			1 515	1 358	1 432	1 214	1 135	988	1 154	-	-	-	-10,4%	5,4%	-15,2%	-6,5%	-13,0%	16,8%	
97.1.2 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			732	591	602	497	559	610	644	-	-	-	-19,3%	1,9%	-17,4%	12,5%	9,1%	5,6%	
97.1.3 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			138	123	114	100	109	146	222	-	-	-	-10,9%	-7,3%	-12,3%	9,0%	33,9%	52,1%	
97.1.4 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			138	123	114	100	109	146	222	-	-	-	-10,9%	-7,3%	-12,3%	9,0%	33,9%	52,1%	
97.1.5 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
97.1.6 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
97.1.7 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
97.1.8 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
97.1.9 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
97.1.10 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Administrative law cases			645	644	716	617	467	323	288	-	-	-	-0,2%	11,2%	-13,8%	-24,3%	-30,8%	-10,8%	
97.1.11 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
97.2.1 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			4 583	4 731	4 409	4 425	4 221	3 822	4 060	-	-	-	3,2%	-6,8%	0,4%	-4,6%	-9,5%	6,2%	
97.2.2 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			2 021	1 932	1 789	1 890	1 928	1 841	1 745	-	-	-	-4,4%	-7,4%	5,6%	2,0%	-4,5%	-5,2%	
97.2.3 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			908	1 013	982	979	989	865	1 070	-	-	-	11,6%	-3,1%	-0,3%	1,0%	-12,5%	23,7%	
97.2.4 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			908	1 013	982	979	989	865	1 070	-	-	-	11,6%	-3,1%	-0,3%	1,0%	-12,5%	23,7%	
97.2.5 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
97.2.6 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
97.2.7 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
97.2.8 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
97.2.9 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
97.2.10 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Administrative law cases			1 654	1 786	1 638	1 556	1 304	1 116	1 245	-	-	-	8,0%	-8,3%	-5,0%	-16,2%	-14,4%	11,6%	
97.2.11 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Other cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Estonia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
97.3.1 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			4 753	4 645	4 626	4 473	4 237	3 751	4 015	-	-	-	-2,3%	-0,4%	-3,3%	-5,3%	-11,5%	7,0%
97.3.2 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			2 164	1 925	1 897	1 829	1 874	1 792	1 784	-	-	-	-11,0%	-1,5%	-3,6%	2,5%	-4,4%	-0,4%
97.3.3 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			939	1 018	998	966	916	814	1 045	-	-	-	8,4%	-2,0%	-3,2%	-5,2%	-11,1%	28,4%
97.3.4 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			939	1 018	998	966	916	814	1 045	-	-	-	8,4%	-2,0%	-3,2%	-5,2%	-11,1%	28,4%
97.3.5 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.6 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.7 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.8 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.9 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.10 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Administrative law cases			1 650	1 702	1 731	1 678	1 447	1 145	1 186	-	-	-	3,2%	1,7%	-3,1%	-13,8%	-20,9%	3,6%
97.3.11 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Other cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.1 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			1 341	1 434	1 209	1 139	1 116	1 109	1 148	-	-	-	6,9%	-15,7%	-5,8%	-2,0%	-0,6%	3,5%
97.4.2 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			590	598	494	558	612	639	585	-	-	-	1,4%	-17,4%	13,0%	9,7%	4,4%	-8,5%
97.4.3 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			106	118	98	113	182	182	221	-	-	-	11,3%	-16,9%	15,3%	61,1%	0,0%	21,4%
97.4.4 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			106	118	98	113	182	182	221	-	-	-	11,3%	-16,9%	15,3%	61,1%	0,0%	21,4%
97.4.5 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.6 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.7 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.8 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.9 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.10 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Administrative law cases			645	718	617	468	322	288	342	-	-	-	11,3%	-14,1%	-24,1%	-31,2%	-10,6%	18,8%
97.4.11 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.5.1 2nd inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			-	-	2	3	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	50,0%	-66,7%	-	-
97.5.2 2nd inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			-	-	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0,0%	0,0%	-	-
97.5.10 2nd inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Administrative law cases			-	-	1	2	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100,0%	150,0%	-	-

Estonia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions												
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020				
Table 3.8.1 and 3.8.2 (2019 and 2020): Second instance clearance rate and disposition time for other than criminal law cases (Q97)																						
Table 3.9.4 and 3.9.5 (2019 and 2020): Variation of second clearance rate and disposition time for other than criminal law cases (Q97)																						
CR Total of other than criminal law cases			103,7%	98,2%	104,9%	101,1%	100,4%	98,1%	98,9%	-	-	-	-	5,33	6,86	-	3,66	-	0,70	-	2,23	0,76
CR Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			107,1%	99,6%	106,0%	96,8%	97,2%	97,3%	102,2%	-	-	-	-	6,95	6,42	-	8,74	0,44	0,14	5,03		
CR Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			103,4%	100,5%	101,6%	98,7%	92,6%	94,1%	97,7%	-	-	-	-	2,82	1,13	-	2,91	-	6,13	1,60	3,78	
CR General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			103,4%	100,5%	101,6%	98,7%	92,6%	94,1%	97,7%	-	-	-	-	2,82	1,13	-	2,91	-	6,13	1,60	3,78	
CR Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
CR Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
CR Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
CR Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
CR Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
CR Administrative law cases			99,8%	95,3%	105,7%	107,8%	111,0%	102,6%	95,3%	-	-	-	-	4,47	10,89	2,05	2,90	-	7,54	-	7,15	
CR Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
DT Total of other than criminal law cases			103	113	95	93	96	108	104	-	-	-	-	9,4%	-15,3%	-2,6%	3,4%	12,2%	-3,3%			
DT Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			100	113	95	111	119	130	120	-	-	-	-	13,9%	-16,2%	17,2%	7,0%	9,2%	-8,0%			
DT Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			41	42	36	43	73	82	77	-	-	-	-	2,7%	-15,3%	19,1%	69,9%	12,5%	-5,4%			
DT General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			41	42	36	43	73	82	77	-	-	-	-	2,7%	-15,3%	19,1%	69,9%	12,5%	-5,4%			
DT Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
DT Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
DT Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
DT Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
DT Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
DT Administrative law cases			143	154	130	102	81	92	105	-	-	-	-	7,9%	-15,5%	-21,8%	-20,2%	13,0%	14,6%			
DT Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

Estonia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Table 3.10.1 to 3.10.5 (2019 and 2020) Supreme courts, number of other than criminal law cases (Q99)																			
Table 3.12.1 to 3.12.3 (2019 and 2020) Variation of the supreme courts, number of other than criminal law cases (Q99)																			
99.1.1 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			96	93	91	99	108	73	61	-	-	-	-3,1%	-2,2%	8,8%	9,1%	-32,4%	-16,4%	
99.1.2 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			54	58	49	57	62	29	28	-	-	-	7,4%	-15,5%	16,3%	8,8%	-53,2%	-3,4%	
99.1.3 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.1.4 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.1.5 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.1.6 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.1.7 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.1.8 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.1.9 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.1.10 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Administrative law cases			42	35	42	42	46	44	33	-	-	-	-16,7%	20,0%	0,0%	9,5%	-4,3%	-25,0%	
99.1.11 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.2.1 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			290	289	285	259	238	210	196	-	-	-	-0,3%	-1,4%	-9,1%	-8,1%	-11,8%	-6,7%	
99.2.2 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			190	194	184	182	158	140	122	-	-	-	2,1%	-5,2%	-1,1%	-13,2%	-11,4%	-12,9%	
99.2.3 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.2.4 High inst courts_Incoming cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.2.5 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.2.6 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.2.7 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.2.8 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.2.9 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.2.10 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Administrative law cases			100	95	101	77	80	70	74	-	-	-	-5,0%	6,3%	-23,8%	3,9%	-12,5%	5,7%	
99.2.11 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Estonia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
99.3.1 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			296	293	269	279	231	223	170	-	-	-	-1,0%	-8,2%	3,7%	-17,2%	-3,5%	-23,8%
99.3.2 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			186	203	172	194	155	141	102	-	-	-	9,1%	-15,3%	12,8%	-20,1%	-9,0%	-27,7%
99.3.3 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.4 High inst courts_Resolved cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.5 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.6 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.7 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.8 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.9 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.10 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Administrative law cases			110	90	97	85	76	82	68	-	-	-	-18,2%	7,8%	-12,4%	-10,6%	7,9%	-17,1%
99.3.11 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.1 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			89	89	108	80	71	61	87	-	-	-	0,0%	21,3%	-25,9%	-11,3%	-14,1%	42,6%
99.4.2 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			58	49	62	45	28	28	48	-	-	-	-15,5%	26,5%	-27,4%	-37,8%	0,0%	71,4%
99.4.3 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.4 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.5 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.6 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.7 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.8 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.9 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.10 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Administrative law cases			38	40	46	35	43	33	38	-	-	-	5,3%	15,0%	-23,9%	22,9%	-23,3%	15,2%
99.4.11 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.5.1 High inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			-	-	NAP	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.5.2 High inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			-	-	NAP	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.5.10 High inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Administrative law cases			-	-	NAP	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Estonia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Table 3.11.1 and 3.11.2 Supreme courts, clearance rate and disposition time for other than criminal law cases (Q97)																			
Table 3.12.4 and 3.12.5 Variation of the supreme courts, clearance rate and disposition time for other than criminal law cases (Q97)																			
CR Total of other than criminal law cases			102,1%	101,4%	94,4%	107,7%	97,1%	106,2%	86,7%	-	-	-	- 0,67	- 6,90	14,13	- 9,90	9,41	- 18,32	
CR Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			97,9%	104,6%	93,5%	106,6%	98,1%	100,7%	83,6%	-	-	-	6,89	- 10,67	14,03	- 7,97	2,66	- 16,99	
CR Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR Administrative law cases			110,0%	94,7%	96,0%	110,4%	95,0%	117,1%	91,9%	-	-	-	- 13,88	1,38	14,94	- 13,94	23,31	- 21,56	
CR Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Total of other than criminal law cases			110	111	147	105	112	100	187	-	-	-	1,0%	32,2%	-28,6%	7,2%	-11,0%	87,1%	
DT Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			114	88	132	85	66	72	172	-	-	-	-22,6%	49,3%	-35,7%	-22,1%	9,9%	137,0%	
DT Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Administrative law cases			126	162	173	150	207	147	204	-	-	-	28,7%	6,7%	-13,2%	37,4%	-28,9%	38,9%	
DT Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Estonia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Table 3.14.1 to 3.14.5 First instance criminal law cases (Q94)																			
094.1.1 Total - pending 1 Jan									1 859	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.1.2 Severe cases - pending 1 Jan									597	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.1.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 1 Jan									675	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.1.4 Other - pending 1 Jan									587	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.2.1 Total -incoming									20 392	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.2.2 Severe cases - incoming									5 210	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.2.3 Misdemeanour cases - incoming									5 952	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.2.4 Other - incoming									9 230	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.3.1 Total - resolved									20 385	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.3.2 Severe cases -resolved									5 114	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.3.3 Misdemeanour cases - resolved									5 926	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.3.4 Other - resolved									9 345	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.4.1 Total - pending 31 Dec									1 688	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.4.2 Severe cases - pending 31 Dec									642	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.4.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 31 Dec									574	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.4.4 Other - pending 31 Dec									472	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.5.1 Total - pending more then 2 years									59	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.5.2 Severe cases - pending more then 2 years									28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.5.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending more then 2 years									19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.5.4 Other - pending more then 2 years									12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Estonia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Table 3.15.1 to 3.10.2 CR and DT for first instance criminal law cases (Q94)																		
CR of Total									100,0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR o2 Severe cases									98,2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR of Misdemeanour cases									99,6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR of Other									101,2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Total									30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Severe cases									46	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Misdemeanour cases									35	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Other									18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Table 3.16.1 to 3.16.5 Second instance criminal law cases (Q98)																		
098.1.1 Total - pending 1 Jan									136	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.1.2 Severe cases - pending 1 Jan									126	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.1.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 1 Jan									10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.1.4 Other - pending 1 Jan									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.2.1 Total -incoming									1 993	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.2.2 Severe cases - incoming									1 874	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.2.3 Misdemeanour cases - incoming									119	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.2.4 Other - incoming									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.3.1 Total - resolved									1 982	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.3.2 Severe cases -resolved									1 860	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.3.3 Misdemeanour cases - resolved									122	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.3.4 Other - resolved									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Estonia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
098.4.1 Total - pending 31 Dec									146	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.4.2 Severe cases - pending 31 Dec									139	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.4.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 31 Dec									7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.4.4 Other - pending 31 Dec									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.5.1 Total - pending more then 2 years									-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.5.2 Severe cases - pending more then 2 years									-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.5.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending more then 2 years									-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.5.4 Other - pending more then 2 years									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Table 3.17.1 to 3.17.2 CR and DT for second instance criminal law cases (Q98)																		
CR of Total									99,4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR o2 Severe cases									99,3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR of Misdemeanour cases									102,5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR of Other									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Total									27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Severe cases									27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Misdemeanour cases									21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Other									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Estonia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Table 3.18.1 to 3.18.5 Supreme court criminal law cases (Q100)																			
100.1.1 Total - pending 1 Jan									10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.1.2 Severe cases - pending 1 Jan									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.1.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 1 Jan									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.1.4 Other - pending 1 Jan									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.2.1 Total -incoming									101	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.2.2 Severe cases - incoming									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.2.3 Misdemeanour cases - incoming									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.2.4 Other - incoming									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.3.1 Total - resolved									88	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.3.2 Severe cases -resolved									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.3.3 Misdemeanour cases - resolved									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.3.4 Other - resolved									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.4.1 Total - pending 31 Dec									23	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.4.2 Severe cases - pending 31 Dec									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.4.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 31 Dec									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.4.4 Other - pending 31 Dec									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.5.1 Total - pending more then 2 years									-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.5.2 Severe cases - pending more then 2 years									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.5.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending more then 2 years									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.5.4 Other - pending more then 2 years									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Estonia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Table 3.19.1 to 3.19.2 CR and DT for supreme court criminal law cases (Q100)																			
CR of Total									87,1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR o2 Severe cases									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR of Misdemeanour cases									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR of Other									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Total									95	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Severe cases									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Misdemeanour cases									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Other									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Estonia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Indicator 5: Access to justice																		
Legal aid																		
Table 5.1 to Table 5.6 (Q12-2, Q16, Q18, Q19, Q20, Q20-1)																		
12-2.1.1 Coverage of court fees									False									
12-2.1.2 Exemption from court fees									True									
16.1.1 Legal aid applies to representation in court (criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
16.1.2 Legal aid applies to legal advice (criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
16.2.1 Legal aid applies to representation in court (other than criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
16.2.2 Legal aid applies to legal advice (other than criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
18.1.1 Legal aid for the enforcement of judicial decisions					True	True	True	True	True									
19.1.1 Legal aid granted for other costs - criminal cases									True									
19.1.2 Legal aid granted for other costs - other than criminal cases									True									
020.1.1 Total									12 421									
020.1.2 Total - criminal cases									7 067									
020.1.3 Total - other than criminal cases									5 354									
020.2.1 Total brought to court									NA									
020.2.2 Brought to court - criminal cases									NA									
020.2.3 Brought to court - other then criminal									NA									
020.3.1 Total not brought to court									NA									
020.3.2 Not brought to court - criminal cases									NA									
020.3.3 Not brought to court - other then criminal									NA									
020-1.1.1 Maximum duration prescribed in law/regulation									NAP									
020-1.1.2 Average duration									NA									

Estonia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
System for compensating users																		
Table 5.7.1 and Table 5.7.2 (Q37)																		
037.1.1 Requests for compensation - Total									NA									
037.1.2 Requests for compensation - Excessive length of proceedings									NA									
037.1.3 Requests for compensation - Non-execution of court decisions									NA									
037.1.4 Requests for compensation - Wrongful arrest									NA									
037.1.5 Requests for compensation - Wrongful conviction									NA									
037.1.6 Requests for compensation - Other									NAP									
037.2.1 Condemnations - Total									NA									
037.2.2 Condemnations - Excessive length of proceedings									NA									
037.2.3 Condemnations - Non-execution of court decisions									NA									
037.2.4 Condemnations - Wrongful arrest									NA									
037.2.5 Condemnations - Wrongful conviction									NA									
037.2.6 Condemnations - Other									NAP									
037.3.1 Amount - Total									NA									
037.3.2 Amount - Excessive length of proceedings									NA									
037.3.3 Amount - Non-execution of court decisions									NA									
037.3.4 Amount - Wrongful arrest									NA									
037.3.5 Amount - Wrongful conviction									NA									
037.3.6 Amount - Other									NAP									

Estonia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Indicator 6: The ICT tools of courts and for court users																		
Table 6.1 to Table 6.11 (Q62-7, Q62-7-1, Q62-8, Q62-8-1, Q63-1, Q63-1-1, Q63-2 Q63-6, Q63-7, Q63-7-1, Q64-2, Q64-4, Q64-6, Q64-3, Q64-3-1, Q64-7, Q64-7-1, Q64-9)																		
62-7 Writing assistance tools coordinated at national level							True	True	True									
62-7-1.1 Deployment rate in civil matter							100%	100%	100%									
62-7-1.2 Deployment rate in criminal matter							100%	100%	100%									
62-7-1.3 Deployment rate in administrative matter							100%	100%	100%									
62-8 Voice recording tools							True	False	True									
62-8-1.1.1 Availability of simple dictation tools in civil matter							NA		not available for this matter									
62-8-1.1.2 Availability of simple dictation tools in criminal matter							NA		not available for this matter									
62-8-1.1.3 Availability of simple dictation tools in administrative matter							NA		not available for this matter									
62-8-1.2.1 Availability of multiple speakers recording tools in civil matter							in all courts		in all courts									
62-8-1.2.2 Availability of multiple speakers recording tools in criminal matter							in all courts		in all courts									
62-8-1.2.3 Availability of multiple speakers recording tools in administrative matter							in all courts		in all courts									
62-8-1.3.1 Availability of voice recognition in civil matter							No	FALSE	Yes									
62-8-1.3.2 Availability of voice recognition in criminal matter							No	FALSE	Yes									
62-8-1.3.3 Availability of voice recognition in administrative matter							No	FALSE	Yes									
062-9 Availability of intranet site within the judicial system for distribution of news/novelties						-	100%	100%	100%									
63.1 Is there a case management system?							True	True	True									
63.1-1.1 CMS for civil matter (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
63.1-1.1 CMS for criminal matter (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
63.1-1.1 CMS for administrative matter (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
63.1-1.2 CMS for civil matter (status of case online)						-	Accessible to parties	Accessible to parties	Accessible to parties									
63.1-1.2 CMS for criminal matter (status of case online)						-	Accessible to parties	Accessible to parties	Accessible to parties									
63.1-1.2 CMS for administrative matter (status of case onlinee)						-	Accessible to parties	Accessible to parties	Accessible to parties									

Estonia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
63.1-1.3 CMS for civil matter (Centralised or interoperable database)						-	True	True	True									
63.1-1.3 CMS for criminal matter (Centralised or interoperable database)						-	True	True	True									
63.1-1.3 CMS for administrative matter (Centralised or interoperable database)						-	True	True	True									
63.1-1.4 CMS for civil matter (Early warning signals)						-	True	True	True									
63.1-1.4 CMS for criminal matter (Early warning signals)						-	True	True	True									
63.1-1.4 CMS for administrative matter (Early warning signals)						-	True	True	True									
63-1-1.5 Statistics in CMS civil matter							Integrated	Integrated	Fully integrated including BI									
63-1-1.5 Statistics in CMS criminal matter							Integrated	Integrated	Fully integrated including BI									
63-1-1.5 Statistics in CMS administrative matter							Integrated	Integrated	Fully integrated including BI									
63-2.1 Deployment rate for computerised registries managed by courts - land registry							100%	100%	100%									
63-2.1 Deployment rate for computerised registries managed by courts - business registry							100%	100%	100%									
63-2.2 Data consolidated at national level for land registry						-	True	True	True									
63-2.2 Data consolidated at national level for business registry						-	True	True	True									
63-2.3 Service available online for land registry						-	True	True	True									
63-2.3 Service available online for business registry						-	True	True	True									
63-2.4 Statistical module integrated or connected for land registry						-	True	True	True									
63-2.4 Statistical module integrated or connected for business registry						-	True	True	True									
063-6.1.1 Budgetary and financial management of courts (deployment rate)						-	100%	100%	100%									
063-6.1.2 Justice expenses management (deployment rate)						-	100%	100%	100%									
063-6.1.3 Other financial management tools (deployment rate)						-	NA	NA	100%									
063-6.2.1 Budgetary and financial management of courts (Data consolidated at national level)						-	True	True	True									
063-6.2.2 Justice expenses management (Data consolidated at national level)						-	True	True	True									
063-6.2.3 Other financial management tools (Data consolidated at national level)						-	False	NA	True									
063-6.3.1 Budgetary and financial management of courts (System communicating with other ministries)						-	True	True	True									
063-6.3.2 Justice expenses management (System communicating with other ministries)						-	True	True	True									
063-6.3.3 Other financial management tools (System communicating with other ministries)						-	False	NA	True									

Estonia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
63-7.1 Measurement tools to assess the workload							True	True	True									
63-7-1.1.1 Deployment rate - workload of judges							100%	100%	100%									
63-7-1.1.2 Deployment rate - workload of prosecutors							100%	100%	100%									
63-7-1.1.3 Deployment rate - workload of non-judge and non-prosecutor staff							100%	100%	100%									
63-7-1.2.1 Monitoring on national level - judges							True	True	True									
63-7-1.2.2 Monitoring on national level - prosecutors							False	False	False									
63-7-1.2.2 Monitoring on national level - non-judge and non-prosecutor staff							False	False	False									
63-7-1.3.1 Monitoring on court level - judges							True	True	True									
63-7-1.3.2 Monitoring on court level - prosecutors							False	False	False									
63-7-1.3.3 Monitoring on court level - non-judge and non-prosecutor staff							False	False	False									
064-2 - Possibility to submit a case to courts by electronic means							True	True	True									
064-2 - Civil and/or commercial							100%	100%	100%									
064-2 - Criminal							100%	100%	100%									
064-2 - Administrative							100%	100%	100%									
064-2 - Submission in paper remains mandatory - civil							False	False	False									
064-2 - Submission in paper remains mandatory - criminal							False	False	False									
064-2 - Submission in paper remains mandatory - administrative							False	False	False									
064-2 - Specific legislative framework - civil							True	True	True									
064-2 - Specific legislative framework - criminal							True	True	True									
064-2 - Specific legislative framework - administrative							True	True	True									
064-2 - Integrated/connected with the CMS - civil							True	True	True									
064-2 - Integrated/connected with the CMS - criminal							True	True	True									
064-2 - Integrated/connected with the CMS - administrative							True	True	True									

Estonia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
064-3 - Is it possible to request for granting legal aid by electronic means?							True	True	True									
064-3-1.1 - Equipment rate							100%	100%	100%									
064-3-1.2 - Request in paper mandatory							False	False	False									
064-3-1.3 - Specific legislative framework							True	True	True									
064-3-1.4 - Granting LA is also electronic							True	True	True									
064-3-1.5 - Information available in CMS							True	True	True									
064-4 - Possibility to transmit summons to a judicial meeting or a hearing by electronic means							True	True	True									
064-4-1.1.1 - Summons produced by CMS- civil							True	True	True									
064-4-1.1.2 - Summons produced by CMS- criminal							True	True	True									
064-4-1.1.3 - Summons produced by CMS- administrative							True	True	True									
064-4-1.2.1 - Simultaneous summon in paper form remains mandatory- civil							False	False	False									
064-4-1.2.2 - Simultaneous summon in paper form remains mandatory- criminal							False	False	False									
064-4-1.2.3 - Simultaneous summon in paper form remains mandatory- administrative							False	False	False									
064-4-1.3.1 - Consent of the user - civil							False	False	False									
064-4-1.3.2 - Consent of the user - criminal							False	False	False									
064-4-1.3.3 - Consent of the user - administrative							False	False	False									
064-6.1.1 - Civil and/or commercial (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
064-6.1.2 - Criminal (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
064-6.1.3 - Administrative (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									

Estonia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
064-6.2.1 - Civil and/or commercial (Trial phases concerned)							Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling Decision transmission	Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling Decision transmission	Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling Decision transmission									
064-6.2.2 - Criminal (Trial phases concerned)							Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Decision	Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling	Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling									
064-6.2.3 - Administrative (Trial phases concerned)							Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling	Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling	Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling									
064-6.3.1 - Civil and/or commercial (Modalities)							E-mail Specific application	E-mail Specific application	E-mail Specific application									
064-6.3.2 - Criminal (Modalities)							E-mail Specific application	E-mail Specific application	E-mail Specific application									
064-6.3.3 - Administrative (Modalities)							E-mail Specific application	E-mail Specific application	E-mail Specific application									
064-6.4.1 - Civil and/or commercial (specific legal framework)							True	True	True									
064-6.4.2 - Criminal (specific legal framework)							False	False	False									
064-6.4.3 - Administrative (specific legal framework)							False	False	False									
064-6.5.1 - Civil and/or commercial (availability for)									Lawyers & Parties not represented by lawyer									
064-6.5.2 - Criminal (availability for)									Lawyers & Parties not represented by lawyer									
064-6.5.3 - Administrative (availability for)									Lawyers & Parties not represented by lawyer									

Estonia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
064-7.1.1 - Electronic communication of enforcement agents and courts (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
064-7.1.2 - Electronic communication of notaries and courts (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
064-7.1.3 - Electronic communication of experts and courts (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
064-7.1.4 - Electronic communication of judicial police and courts (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
064-7.2.1 - Electronic communication of enforcement agents and courts (Modalities)							E-mail Specific application	E-mail Specific application	E-mail Specific application									
064-7.2.2 - Electronic communication of notaries and courts (Modalities)							E-mail Specific application	E-mail Specific application	E-mail Specific application									
064-7.2.3 - Electronic communication of experts and courts (Modalities)							E-mail Specific application	E-mail Specific application	E-mail Specific application									
064-7.2.4 - Electronic communication of judicial police and courts (Modalities)							E-mail Specific application	E-mail Specific application	E-mail Specific application									
064-7.3.1 - Electronic communication of enforcement agents and courts (specific legal framework)							True	True	True									
064-7.3.2 - Electronic communication of notaries and courts (specific legal framework)							True	True	True									
064-7.3.3 - Electronic communication of experts and courts (specific legal framework)							True	True	True									
064-7.3.4 - Electronic communication of judicial police and courts (specific legal framework)							True	True	True									
064-9 - Existance of online processing devices of specialised litigation							True	True	True									

Estonia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Indicator 7: Professionals of justice (Indicator 9 in 2019)																			
Table 7.1.1 to 7.5.6 for judges, non judge staff, prosecutors, non prosecutor staff and salaries																			
46.1.1 Total Number of professional judges	228	226	231	234	232	227	233	229	234	2,6%	-0,9%	2,2%	1,3%	-0,9%	-2,2%	2,6%	-1,7%	2,2%	
46.1.2 Number of 1st inst professional judges	167	165	169	170	168	163	169	164	169	1,2%	-1,2%	2,4%	0,6%	-1,2%	-3,0%	3,7%	-3,0%	3,0%	
46.1.3 Number of 2nd inst professional judges	42	43	44	45	45	45	45	46	46	9,5%	2,4%	2,3%	2,3%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	2,2%	0,0%	
46.1.4 Number of Supreme court professional judges	19	18	18	19	19	19	19	19	19	0,0%	-5,3%	0,0%	5,6%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	
46.2.1 Number of professional judges_males	83	83	86	86	85	83	87	86	85	2,4%	0,0%	3,6%	0,0%	-1,2%	-2,4%	4,8%	-1,1%	-1,2%	
46.2.2 Number of 1st instance professional judges_males	49	50	51	51	51	49	52	49	50	2,0%	2,0%	2,0%	0,0%	0,0%	-3,9%	6,1%	-5,8%	2,0%	
46.2.3 Number of 2nd instance professional judges_males	17	17	20	20	20	20	20	22	20	17,6%	0,0%	17,6%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	10,0%	-9,1%	
46.2.4 Number of Supreme court professional judges_males	17	16	15	15	14	14	15	15	15	-11,8%	-5,9%	-6,3%	0,0%	-6,7%	0,0%	7,1%	0,0%	0,0%	
46.3.1 Number of professional judges_females	145	143	145	148	147	144	146	143	149	2,8%	-1,4%	1,4%	2,1%	-0,7%	-2,0%	1,4%	-2,1%	4,2%	
46.3.2 Number of 1st inst professional judges_females	118	115	118	119	117	114	117	115	119	0,8%	-2,5%	2,6%	0,8%	-1,7%	-2,6%	2,6%	-1,7%	3,5%	
46.3.3 Number of 2nd inst professional judges_females	25	26	24	25	25	25	25	24	26	4,0%	4,0%	-7,7%	4,2%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	-4,0%	8,3%	
46.3.4 Number of Supreme court professional judges_females	2	2	3	4	5	5	4	4	4	100,0%	0,0%	50,0%	33,3%	25,0%	0,0%	-20,0%	0,0%	0,0%	
046-2.1.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	234	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.1.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	169	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.1.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	46	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.1.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.2.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Civil and commercial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.2.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Civil and commercial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.2.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Civil and commercial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.2.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Civil and commercial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.3.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Criminal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.3.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Criminal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.3.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Criminal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.3.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Criminal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Estonia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
046-2.4.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Administrative	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	42	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.4.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Administrative	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.4.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Administrative	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.4.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Administrative	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.5.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.5.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.5.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.5.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.1.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts	957	990	1 017	965	877	846	819	802	825	-13,8%	3,4%	2,7%	-5,1%	-9,1%	-3,5%	-3,2%	-2,1%	2,9%
52.1.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)	63	54	51	71	51	51	51	51	51	-19,0%	-14,3%	-5,6%	39,2%	-28,2%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%
52.1.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges	220	239	684	652	615	596	583	569	591	168,6%	8,6%	186,2%	-4,7%	-5,7%	-3,1%	-2,2%	-2,4%	3,9%
52.1.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks	489	501	78	87	82	80	77	79	77	-84,3%	2,5%	-84,4%	11,5%	-5,7%	-2,4%	-3,8%	2,6%	-2,5%
52.1.5 Number of Technical staff	138	149	161	111	88	81	73	72	73	-47,1%	8,0%	8,1%	-31,1%	-20,7%	-8,0%	-9,9%	-1,4%	1,4%
52.1.6 Number of Other non judge staff	47	47	43	44	41	38	35	31	33	-29,8%	0,0%	-8,5%	2,3%	-6,8%	-7,3%	-7,9%	-11,4%	6,5%
52.2.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts(men)	-	-	126	146	128	130	122	122	124	-	-	-	15,9%	-12,3%	1,6%	-6,2%	0,0%	1,6%
52.2.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)(men)	-	-	4	20	5	5	5	5	5	-	-	-	400,0%	-75,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%
52.2.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges(men)	-	-	39	40	42	43	43	43	45	-	-	-	2,6%	5,0%	2,4%	0,0%	0,0%	4,7%
52.2.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks(men)	-	-	14	17	16	22	21	21	21	-	-	-	21,4%	-5,9%	37,5%	-4,5%	0,0%	0,0%
52.2.5 Number of Technical staff(men)	-	-	65	64	60	56	51	51	51	-	-	-	-1,5%	-6,3%	-6,7%	-8,9%	0,0%	0,0%
52.2.6 Number of Other non judge staff(men)	-	-	4	5	5	4	2	2	2	-	-	-	25,0%	0,0%	-20,0%	-50,0%	0,0%	0,0%
52.3.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts(women)	828	865	891	819	749	716	697	680	701	-15,3%	4,5%	3,0%	-8,1%	-8,5%	-4,4%	-2,7%	-2,4%	3,1%
52.3.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)(women)	58	49	47	51	46	46	46	46	46	-20,7%	-15,5%	-4,1%	8,5%	-9,8%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%
52.3.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges(women)	186	210	645	612	573	553	540	526	546	193,5%	12,9%	207,1%	-5,1%	-6,4%	-3,5%	-2,4%	-2,6%	3,8%
52.3.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks(women)	466	483	64	70	66	58	56	58	56	-88,0%	3,6%	-86,7%	9,4%	-5,7%	-12,1%	-3,4%	3,6%	-3,4%
52.3.5 Number of Technical staff(women)	75	81	96	47	28	25	22	21	22	-70,7%	8,0%	18,5%	-51,0%	-40,4%	-10,7%	-12,0%	-4,5%	4,8%
52.3.6 Number of Other non judge staff(women)	-	42	39	39	36	34	33	29	31	-	-	-7,1%	0,0%	-7,7%	-5,6%	-2,9%	-12,1%	6,9%

Estonia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
052-1.1.1 Non-judge staff (Total)									825	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.1.2 Non-judge staff at first instance (total)									648	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.1.3 Non-judge staff at second instance (total)									88	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.1.4 Non-judge staff at Supreme court (total)									89	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.2.1 Non-judge staff (Males)									124	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.2.2 Non-judge staff at first instance (males)									78	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.2.3 Non-judge staff at second instance (males)									13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.2.4 Non-judge staff at Supreme court (males)									33	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.3.1 Non-judge staff (females)									701	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.3.2 Non-judge staff at first instance (females)									570	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.3.3 Non-judge staff at second instance (females)									75	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.3.4 Non-judge staff at supreme court (females)									56	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.1.1 Prosecutors (total)									169	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.1.2 Prosecutors (1st inst.)									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.1.3 Prosecutors (2nd inst.)									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.1.4 Prosecutors (Highest instance)									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.2.1 Prosecutors - Males -total									50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.2.2 Prosecutors - Males, 1st inst.									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.2.3 Prosecutors - Males, 2nd inst.									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.2.4 Prosecutors - Males, Supreme courts									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.3.1 Prosecutors - Females, Total									119	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.3.2 Prosecutors - Females, 1st inst.									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.3.3 Prosecutors - Females, 2nd inst.									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.3.4 Prosecutors - Females, Supreme courts									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Estonia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
060.1.1 Number of non-prosecutor staff Total									89	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
060.2.1 Number of non-prosecutor staff Males									29	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
060.3.1 Number of non-prosecutor staff Females									60	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
004 Annual average salary in the country						-	-		17 376 €	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.1.1 Gross annual salary, in € - Professional judge at the beginning of career						-	-		51 962 €	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.1.2 Gross annual salary, in € - Judge of the Supreme Court						-	-		67 942 €	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.1.3 Gross annual salary, in € - Public prosecutor at the beginning of career						-	-		47 556 €	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.1.4 Gross annual salary, in € - Public prosecutor of the Supreme Court or the Highest Appellate Instance						-	-		53 353 €	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.2.1 Net annual salary, in € - Professional judge at the beginning of career						-	-		40 068 €	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.2.2 Net annual salary, in € - Judge of the Supreme Court						-	-		52 392 €	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.2.3 Net annual salary, in € - Public prosecutor at the beginning of career						-	-		36 672 €	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.2.4 Net annual salary, in € - Public prosecutor of the Supreme Court or the Highest Appellate Instance						-	-		41 145 €	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
133.1.1.1 - Additional benefits for judges - Reduced taxation						-	-		False									
133.1.2.1 - Additional benefits for judges - Special pension						-	-		False									
133.1.3.1 - Additional benefits for judges - Housing						-	-		False									
133.1.4.1 - Additional benefits for judges - Other financial benefit						-	-		False									
133.2.1.1 - Additional benefits for prosecutors - Reduced taxation						-	-		False									
133.2.2.1 - Additional benefits for prosecutors - Special pension						-	-		False									
133.2.3.1 - Additional benefits for prosecutors - Housing						-	-		False									
133.2.4.1 - Additional benefits for prosecutors - Other financial benefit						-	-		False									

Estonia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
144.1.1 Disciplinary procedures for Judges - Total number (1+2+3+4)						-	-		0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.1.2 Disciplinary procedures for Judges - 1. Breach of professional ethics						-	-		0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.1.3 Disciplinary procedures for Judges - 2. Professional inadequacy						-	-		0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.1.4 Disciplinary procedures for Judges - 3. Criminal offence						-	-		0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.1.5 Disciplinary procedures for Judges - 4. Other						-	-		0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.2.1 Disciplinary procedures for Prosecutors - Total number (1+2+3+4)						-	-		0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.2.2 Disciplinary procedures for Prosecutors - 1. Breach of professional ethics						-	-		0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.2.3 Disciplinary procedures for Prosecutors - 2. Professional inadequacy									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.2.4 Disciplinary procedures for Prosecutors - 3. Criminal offence									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.2.5 Disciplinary procedures for Prosecutors - 4. Other									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.1 Sanctions against Judges - Total number (total 1 to 9)									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.2 Sanctions against Judges - 1. Reprimand									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.3 Sanctions against Judges - 2. Suspension									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.4 Sanctions against Judges - 3. Withdrawal from cases									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.5 Sanctions against Judges - 4. Fine									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.6 Sanctions against Judges - 5. Temporary reduction of salary									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.7 Sanctions against Judges - 6. Position downgrade									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.8 Sanctions against Judges - 7. Transfer to another geographical (court) location									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.9 Sanctions against Judges - 8. Resignation									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.10 Sanctions against Judges - 9. Other									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.11 Sanctions against Judges - 10. Dismissal									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Estonia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
145.2.1 Sanctions against Prosecutors - Total number (total 1 to 9)									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.2 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 1. Reprimand									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.3 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 2. Suspension									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.4 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 3. Withdrawal from cases									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.5 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 4. Fine									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.6 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 5. Temporary reduction of salary									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.7 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 6. Position downgrade									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.8 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 7. Transfer to another geographical (court) location									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.9 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 8. Resignation									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.10 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 9. Other									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.11 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 10. Dismissal									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lawyers																		
Tables 7.6.1, 7.6.2, 7.6.3, 7.7 and 7.8																		
146.1.1 Total number of lawyers practising	846	878	934	970	993	1 024	1 041	1 076	1 096	29,6%	3,8%	6,4%	3,9%	2,4%	3,1%	1,7%	3,4%	1,9%
146.2.1 Practicing lawyers - man	-	-	-	-	-	-	552	562	559	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,8%	-0,5%
146.3.1 Practicing lawyers - woman	-	-	-	-	-	-	489	514	537	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5,1%	4,5%
147 Does this figure include “legal advisors” who cannot represent their clients in court (for example, some solicitors or in-house counsellors)?			No	No	False	False	False	False	False	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Estonia (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Indicator 8: The existence and use of alternative dispute resolution methods																			
Table 8.1 8.2 and 8.3																			
166 Number of accredited or registered mediators who practice judicial mediation:	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
167.1.1 Total number started					NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
167. 1.2 Civil and commercial cases - started					NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
167. 1.2 Family cases - started					NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
167.1.4 Administrative cases - started					NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
167.1.5 Labour cases including employment dismissal cases - started					NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
167.1.6. Criminal cases - started					NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
167.1.7 Consumer cases - started					-	-	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Key: Variation of more than (+ -) 20%