Training manual on equality data collection and analysis to prevent and address systemic discrimination

Committee of Experts on Intercultural Integration of Migrants (ADI-INT)

Training manual on equality data collection and analysis to prevent and address systemic discrimination

Committee of Experts on Intercultural Integration of Migrants (ADI-INT)

Council of Europe

Contents

Introduction

Starting points

The Model framework for an intercultural integration strategy at the national level, adopted by the Council of Europe's Steering Committee on Anti-Discrimination, Diversity and Inclusion (CDADI) in June 2021 (hereinafter "Model Framework"), establishes the underlying principles of intercultural integration as: ensuring equality (including combating all forms of discrimination), valuing diversity, fostering meaningful interaction and facilitating active citizenship and participation.[1] It notes that "understood as policy goals, these principles help address the full range of diversity challenges and maximise the impact of policy and grassroots action in the field of equality".[2]

---

1. These principles are defined in the glossary of terms at the end of this training manual.
2. Model framework for an intercultural integration strategy at the national level – Intercultural integration strategies: managing diversity as an opportunity, Steering Committee on Anti-Discrimination, Diversity and Inclusion (CDADI), Council of Europe, 2021.

Under the principle of "ensuring equality", the Model Framework states that: "Measures should be adopted to deal with both direct and indirect discrimination, with a special focus on systemic discrimination, on the basis of 'visible' diversity as well as inequality motivated by cultural difference, gender, sexual orientation and gender identity and other protected characteristics." It emphasises the role of data in ensuring that the starting point for any national/multilevel intercultural integration strategy is "an evidence-based analysis of the situation that is to be addressed, through the prism of equality, diversity, interaction and participation".

Likewise, Recommendation CM/Rec(2022)10 of the Committee of Ministers to member States on multilevel policies and governance for intercultural integration, adopted by the Committee of Ministers on 6 April 2022, under the same principle of "ensuring equality", states that: "Direct or indirect discrimination in the functioning of public administration and in public service delivery should be identified and eliminated in a systematic way, including by carrying out anti-discrimination audits and reviews, adopting anti-discrimination charters and action plans to favour integration, providing anti-discrimination and intercultural training as well as intercultural mediation and, where possible, employing participatory service design that involves a diverse range of users."[3]

This training manual has been developed by the Committee of Experts on Intercultural Integration of Migrants (ADI-INT) and was adopted on 29 June 2023 by the Steering Committee on Anti-Discrimination, Diversity and Inclusion (CDADI). It is one of the tools produced to support the implementation of Recommendation CM/Rec(2022)10 on multilevel policies and governance for intercultural integration (adopted by the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe on 6 April 2022) by Council of Europe member states.

Resulting from the collaborative work of local, regional and national authorities, this training manual responds to the desire to address and prevent systemic discrimination and underpins this focus on the role of data in enabling effective action on systemic discrimination. It will further help relevant stakeholders and agencies of Council of Europe member states to acquire some of the skills that are needed to implement the recommendations of the Committee of Ministers in this field. Data can be particularly useful in addressing and preventing systemic discrimination given that this is a phenomenon that is often invisible and without apparent intent.

---

3. Recommendation CM/Rec(2022)10.

Systemic discrimination involves the procedures, routines and organisational culture of any organisation that, often without intent, contribute to less favourable outcomes for minority groups than for the majority of the population, from the organisation's policies, programmes, employment, and services.[4]

Systemic discrimination comes under a range of titles in the literature including "structural discrimination"; "institutional discrimination"; and "systematic discrimination". It is not specifically defined in international or European legislation.

This manual draws more specifically from the work on systemic discrimination undertaken within the Intercultural Cities (ICC) Programme of the Council of Europe. This includes the 2020 "Policy brief – Identifying and preventing discrimination at the local level"[5] and its accompanying policy study.[6] The policy brief defines systemic discrimination (as above) and identifies four "strands" of action required on this issue at the local level, with equality data having a key role in rendering the issue visible and in providing an evidence base for effective action.

The training manual addresses the national, regional and local levels of governance, reflecting that all levels of governance have a necessary contribution to make in addressing and preventing systemic discrimination. It aligns with Recommendation CM/Rec(2022)10[7] which defines multilevel governance as a model of governance embracing central, regional and local governments, as well as civil society organisations. It states that: "Member States should adopt a holistic approach to integration by ensuring that public policies in all fields and at all levels, as well as civil society stakeholders, contribute to the goal of building inclusive societies."

---

4. Policy brief– Identifying and preventing discrimination at the local level, Intercultural Cities, Council of Europe, Strasbourg, 2020.
5. Ibid.
6. Policy study – Identifying and preventing systemic discrimination at the local level, Intercultural Cities, Council of Europe, Strasbourg, 2020.
7. Recommendation CM/Rec(2022)10 op.cit.

Purpose and target audience

This training manual focuses on the use of equality data in addressing and preventing systemic discrimination.

The aims are to:

► enhance the awareness and understanding of users of the potential of equality data in responding effectively to systemic discrimination, the issues in collecting and analysing equality data and the need for and nature of targeted equality data initiatives alongside equality data strategies, at all levels of governance; and

► inform and enable action by users on the collection and analysis of equality data, in a manner that can advance effective responses to systemic discrimination.

The target audience encompasses:

► stakeholders at all levels of governments engaged in:

– progressing the policy cycle of policy thinking, policy making, policy implementation and policy monitoring and evaluation; and

– data collection and management, and undertaking research and data analysis.

► stakeholders from:

– public policy institutions, statistical and research institutions, official statutory statistical bodies, academia and civil society organisations, at the national, regional and local levels of governance.

Content

This training manual addresses, in turn, each of the three following areas:

► **Module 1 – Equality data: purpose and principles**, which establishes the issue of systemic discrimination and explores the potential roles for equality data collection and analysis in addressing this issue, and the challenges in this.

► **Module 2 – Targeted equality data initiatives**, which explores a developmental approach to engaging with communities in generating and learning from equality data.

► **Module 3 – An equality data strategy**, which explores an institutional approach to realising ongoing and systematic collection, analysis and use of equality data to inform and shape policy and programme development.

Each module of the training manual includes material on approaches to and issues in collecting and analysing equality data in order to address and prevent discrimination, alongside food for thought exercises, quiz questions, checklists and reference documents. It also features an intercultural glossary of key terms as an appendix.

Module 1

Equality data: purpose and principles

This module examines and explores the basic elements for action on equality data collection and analysis in seeking to prevent and address systemic discrimination. It first establishes the nature and impact of systemic discrimination. It then examines equality data and the role of such data in responding to systemic discrimination. Finally, it explores the challenges faced in collecting equality data and the values that need to be engaged when meeting these challenges.

Systemic discrimination and equality data

Systemic discrimination

The Model Framework underlines the imperative of addressing systemic discrimination within any intercultural integration strategy, as part of the policy goal of ensuring equality. In this it draws attention to the work done by the Intercultural Cities Programme, which advances the following definition of systemic discrimination.

> Systemic discrimination involves the procedures, routines and organisational culture of any organisation that, often without intent, contribute to less favourable outcomes for minority groups than for the majority of the population, from the organisation's policies, programmes, employment, and services.[8]

8. Policy brief – Identifying and preventing systemic discrimination at the local level, op. cit.

Systemic discrimination is not an immediately visible issue. It is rarely a result of specific intent. It is a complex phenomenon to identify and establish. Yet, it is the source of disadvantage and inequality for a wide range of groups in society. This disadvantage and inequality are of an entrenched and intergenerational nature. Systemic discrimination thus undermines any ambition for ensuring equality (including non-discrimination), valuing diversity, fostering meaningful intercultural interaction or promoting active citizenship and participation, the four principles identified as underlying intercultural integration.[9]

Systemic discrimination can operate across the full spectrum of institutional endeavours: policy making, programme design, service delivery and employment. It can be detected across the full spectrum of policy fields: employment, income, education, health, housing, culture, policing, public infrastructure and beyond. It can also be evident at all levels of governance: national, regional and local.

Systemic discrimination becomes visible in the situation and experience of groups such as women, racialised groups,[10] LGBTI people, people with disabilities, lone parents, carers, older people, young people, people with particular religious beliefs and groups with a particular socio-economic status. Unequal outcomes for these groups are the markers of systemic discrimination at work.

Equality data

The term "equality data" is understood "as any piece of information that is useful for the purposes of describing and analysing the state of equality. The information may be quantitative or qualitative in nature".[11] The gathering and analysis of such data have proven challenging and require the development of suitable structures and systems at all levels of governance to drive the change needed.

There is limited guidance available on such structures and systems. However, the European Commission, through its High-Level Group on Non-Discrimination, Equality and Diversity, has moved forward with useful

---

9. These principles are defined in the glossary of terms at the end of this training manual.
10. See: ECRI Opinion on the Concept of Racialisation, adopted at ECRI's 87th plenary meeting on 8 December 2021 – "'racialisation' as the process of ascribing characteristics and attributes that are presented as innate to a group of concern to it and of constructing false social hierarchies in racial terms and associated exclusion and hostility. The use of the concept of 'racialisation' has the potential to aid understanding of the processes underpinning racism and racial discrimination and to ensure that the voices of racialised groups are heard and taken into account".
11. Makkonen T., European Handbook on Equality Data, DG Justice and Consumers, European Commission, 2016.

initiatives on equality data that offer a structure and approach that are used increasingly widely, and that can be adapted for different member state contexts and different levels of governance.

Equality data include:

▶ data specifically gathered in relation to specific groups, which are aggregated to capture situations and experiences of inequality or their causes or effects; and

▶ data gathered for other purposes that are disaggregated, in being broken down by specific groups to assess the comparative situations of these groups.

These specific groups include, for example: women, racialised groups, LGBTI people, people with disabilities, lone parents, carers, older people, young people, people with particular religious beliefs and people of particular socio-economic status.

Equality data can capture:

▶ the situation of these groups, in terms of disadvantage in the level and quality of resources the group can access, and in the outcomes for the group resultant from this;

▶ the experience of these groups, in terms of the quality and nature of the group's engagement with wider society, including their engagement with public and private entities; and

▶ the identity of these groups, in terms of the manner in which the group gives expression to its identity and to any lack of recognition for this diversity that leads to unmet needs.

The role of equality data in addressing systemic discrimination

Equality data on the situation, experience and identity of the groups exposed to discrimination, renders systemic discrimination visible in terms of its resultant outcomes and provides an evidence base from which to address discrimination.

Four interlinked "strands" of activity are identified as necessary to address systemic discrimination:

▶ to gear up, in creating the conditions within an organisation to tackle the issue;

▶ to identify, in uncovering and rendering systemic discrimination visible;

▶ to prevent, in developing systemic remedies to what is a systemic problem; and

▶ to share practice, in enabling a coherent response to the issue across all sectors.[12]

The collection and analysis of equality data are central to two of these strands of activity:

1. **gear up** to address the issue; and

2. **identify** systemic discrimination, making it visible.

1. Gearing up to address systemic discrimination requires establishing leadership, an organisational infrastructure to drive action on the issue and standards set in relation to the issue. The organisational infrastructure required involves: an equality policy; a driver for action in the form of a cross-organisational committee, with responsibility to plan for, coordinate, support and monitor action on the issue; an action plan on the issue; capacity building on the issue; and equality and diversity data systems.

When gearing up to address discrimination, the focus on equality and diversity data systems encompasses:

▶ strengthening the organisational systems that gather and analyse data on policy beneficiaries, service users and staff of an organisation, such that they capture the situation and experience of the diverse groups exposed to systemic discrimination;

▶ availing of these organisational systems to gather and analyse both quantitative and qualitative equality data.

2. Identifying systemic discrimination requires diagnosing issues of systemic discrimination, dialogue on these issues and monitoring incidents and patterns of discrimination.

The following types of initiative offer sources of necessary equality data, both quantitative and qualitative:

▶ **Diagnosis** establishes an overview of the situation, experience and specific needs of groups experiencing inequality, in order to identify systemic discrimination, and improve the outcomes achieved for these

---

12. Policy study – Identifying and preventing systemic discrimination at the local level, op.cit.

groups from an organisation's policies, plans, programmes and practices. It involves studies and surveys of the targeted population and its diversity.

▶ **Dialogue** allows engaging with and learning from people and groups who are at risk of systemic discrimination, and their representative organisations, in order to apply and respond to this knowledge and understanding. It involves: an accessible process to enable their voice to be heard, analysis of the knowledge gathered to determine the implications for policy and practice and publicly reporting on the findings and conclusions.

▶ **Monitoring** tracks and establishes the nature, patterns and severity of incidents of discrimination, of all forms, and ensures redress is available. It involves: the provision of advice, support and redress for complainants; tracking complaints made over time; and analysing and reporting on the patterns of complaints and their implications.

Purpose of equality data collection and analysis

The overarching aim of equality data collection and analysis to prevent and address systemic discrimination is to enhance the situation and experience of groups exposed to such discrimination and ensure needs specific to these groups are appropriately addressed. Within this overarching aim, it is important to consider the specific purpose being pursued in collecting and analysing equality data when seeking to prevent and address systemic discrimination.

This purpose is the key starting point in collecting and analysing equality data. There is a need for clarity on why these data are being collected. The collection and analysis of equality data are not and must not be the ultimate goal, but they are the means of achieving equality-related goals.

Clarity of purpose enables the implications of the identified purpose to be teased out in terms of what equality data are needed, how best to collect these data and how best to analyse the data gathered.

The "purpose" is therefore concerned with those exposed to discrimination and inequality, the wider society and institutions that make policy, employ staff and provide services. Purpose encompasses objectives to:

1. empower the specific groups experiencing inequality;
2. orient policy making and its potential impact, and enable its evaluation;
3. shape organisational practice and outcomes, and enable their evaluation; and
4. monitor and respond to issues of discrimination and harassment, and evaluate progress made.

1. The purpose of equality data collection and analysis is first and foremost to empower people that experience inequality and that are exposed to discrimination.

> Equality data are collected and analysed in order to enable these groups to achieve equality. Equality data are gathered from and about these groups with a view to learning together with these groups in order to advance initiatives that effectively improve their situation and experience and meet their specific needs.

2. Equality data can be collected and analysed to inform policy making and enable its evaluation.

> Effective policy making requires an adequate and appropriate understanding of the issues and gaps to be addressed. Equality data collection and analysis enable this understanding and underpin good and just decisions by policy makers. Equality data are required to inform all policy fields: employment, income, education, health, housing, culture, policing, public infrastructure and beyond. This ensures policies are free from all forms of discrimination and effective in achieving equality outcomes.

3. Equality data can be collected and analysed to shape organisational practice and enable its evaluation.

> Organisations need equality data on the diversity and composition of their staff so that they can create the conditions to adequately and appropriately reflect the community and society within which they operate among their staff, and to effectively eliminate all forms of discrimination.

> Organisations need equality data about the diversity of customers and service users, and policy beneficiaries, to create the conditions to ensure equal outcomes and eliminate all forms of discrimination.

4. Equality data can be collected and analysed to monitor discrimination and harassment, and evaluate progress made.

> Equality data render all forms of discrimination visible and track their evolution over time. Equality data enable an understanding of discrimination and the different forms it takes, how it occurs, how it is experienced and how it affects people. In this equality data inform effective responses to all forms of discrimination, enable impactful awareness raising and education initiatives about this discrimination and support the effective use of equal treatment legislation.

The European Commission against Racism and Intolerance (ECRI) of the Council of Europe has emphasised the importance of equality data in recommending that member states collect data which will assist in assessing and evaluating the situation and experiences of groups which are particularly vulnerable to racism, xenophobia, antisemitism and intolerance.[13] This listing has expanded over time and further ECRI work, including, for example, groups vulnerable to Islamophobia.[14] ECRI has regularly recommended consistent, systematic and comprehensive equality data collection and analysis in member states in its country reports, given its importance in shaping sound policies, promoting equal opportunities and evaluating the effectiveness of and the progress made through social policies.

Values engaged in equality data collection and analysis

The collection and analysis of equality data are usefully framed and informed by the following values.

---

13. ECRI General Policy Recommendation No. 1 on combating racism, xenophobia, antisemitism and intolerance, adopted on 4 October 1996, CRI(96)43.
14. ECRI General Policy Recommendation No. 5 (revised) on preventing and combating anti-Muslim racism and discrimination, adopted on 8 December 2021, Council of Europe.

Dignity: reflects a valuing of human worth and involves relationships of mutual respect, trust and respect for privacy.

The UN Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights emphasises that the overriding human rights principle "do no harm" should be respected in data collection and analysis.[15] As such, equality data collection and analysis should not create or reinforce existing discrimination, bias or stereotypes; and data on personal characteristics should be kept safe and used only for the benefit of the groups they describe and society as a whole. In this, participation, disaggregation, self-identification, transparency, privacy and accountability are emphasised.

Dignity points to the need for equality data collection and analysis to involve:

- ► **relationships of trust**, with time taken to build, structure and nurture relationships with those who are the subject of equality data collection and analysis;

- ► **self-identification**, with people who are the subject of equality data collection being afforded the opportunity to identify, or not, whatever data category or characterisation they might belong to;

- ► **transparency**, with clear communication of the purpose of, approach in and outcomes from the collection and analysis of equality data to all stakeholders, in particular those groups that are the subject of such initiatives;

- ► **privacy**, with steps taken to ensure individuals providing data are not identified or identifiable, directly or indirectly, where such data are published or made publicly accessible; and

- ► **accountability**, with those responsible for equality data collection and analysis having clear lines and forms of accountability, in particular to those who are the subject of such data collection and analysis.

Participation: reflects the need to engage with people and groups so that their voices are heard and have influence in decision making. It involves processes to enable and empower them in this participation, and structures and systems to ensure their experience and expertise are recognised and their views have influence and are taken into account.

---

15. A Human Rights Based Approach to Data: Leaving no one behind in the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights, United Nations, 2017.

The Model Framework underlines that the "vertical process of multilevel governance must be complemented by a horizontal process of public participation, in the design, delivery and evaluation of intercultural integration plans", and emphasises that "participation, by individuals and through non-governmental organisations, is essential to match the complexity of the 'diversity of diversity', to engender a sense of stakeholding, especially on the part of individuals and organisations of minority backgrounds, and to gain widespread public buy-in to intercultural integration plans".[16]

Participation points to the need for equality data collection and analysis to involve:

► **co-production and consultation**, with those who are the subject of such an exercise, in the design and implementation of equality data collection and analysis processes;

► initiatives to **support** and strengthen the collective voice of those people and groups, including their representative organisations, who are the subject of equality data collection and analysis;

► **provision of information** on the need for and use of equality data to those who are the subject of equality data collection and analysis, to enable their informed participation; and

► **recognition** of the experience, expertise and knowledge of the groups that are the subject of data collection and analysis.

Comprehensiveness: reflects a concern to include a focus on all groups experiencing inequality, and involves addressing all the relevant policy fields and arenas within which such inequality is generated and experienced.

Comprehensiveness in equality data collection and analysis is emphasised in the guide of the European Commission, in order to ensure that the full range of key areas of life where discrimination can occur is recorded by equality data sources: employment, education, access to goods and services, housing, health, poverty/social exclusion, political and civic participation, etc.[17]

---

16. Model framework for an intercultural integration strategy at the national level – Intercultural integration strategies: managing diversity as an opportunity, op. cit.
17. Guidelines on improving the collection and use of equality data, Subgroup on Equality Data, High Level Group on Non-Discrimination, Equality and Diversity, DG Justice and Consumers, European Commission, 2021.

Comprehensiveness points to the need for equality data collection and analysis to involve:

- ► a **holistic focus** in addressing a breadth of policy fields, including employment, income, education, health, housing, culture, policing, public infrastructure and beyond, and doing so across all governance levels;
- ► a **broad focus** to encompass groups such as women, racialised groups, LGBTI people, people with disabilities, lone parents, carers, older people, young people, people with particular religious beliefs and those of particular socio-economic status;
- ► an **intersectional focus** that encompasses those people at the intersections of more than one of these groups; and
- ► a **multi-layered approach** in gathering and analysing equality data within the wider population, in an organisation and of a specific community.

Effectiveness: reflects an ability to achieve planned "outputs" and "outcomes" and involves a planned and systematic approach to realising goals.

An effective approach to equality data collection and analysis is identified in the guide of the European Commission as requiring action to: enhance the capacity of relevant stakeholders to collect reliable and robust equality data; ensure that the staff from different stakeholders who regularly engage in equality data collection have the relevant skills, expertise and awareness of best practice; and promote an institutional culture acknowledging the need for robust and reliable equality data to ensure evidence-based policy making across public institutions and all relevant stakeholders.[18]

Effectiveness points to the need for equality data collection and analysis to involve:

- ► **inter-institutional co-operation**, at all levels of governance, which draws in the full range of organisations collecting and holding equality data;
- ► **broad stakeholder engagement** that encompasses equality bodies and civil society organisations representing groups that are the subject of data collection and analysis;
- ► **investment in the systems and initiatives** required for the adequate and appropriate collection and analysis of equality data by the relevant organisations;
- ► **staff training** across relevant stakeholder organisations, to build capacity in data generation and analysis, and expertise in the culture of data analysis;

18. Ibid.

► developing processes for equality data collection and analysis that encompass both **quantitative and qualitative approaches**, and that include targeted initiatives alongside wider equality data strategies; and

► taking action to **address the implications** of the equality data collected and the recommendations from the analysis undertaken.

Respect for data protection frameworks is important and is reflected in the above list of values. However, the inaccurate interpretation of data protection frameworks can be a barrier to the collection and analysis of equality data.

Data protection frameworks do not prohibit the collection and analysis of equality data, rather they establish the conditions under which the collection and analysis of equality data are allowed. Further, data protection frameworks do not apply to anonymised data, which do not relate to an identified or identifiable natural person, or which relate to personal data rendered anonymous in such a manner that the data subject is not or no longer identifiable.

The collection and analysis of such personal data must involve consent from the subject of the data being collected and to the processing of the data for the objective underpinning its collection that is specified. Further, the collection and analysis of such personal data must be for an objective that is necessary for reasons of substantial public interest, statistical purposes, scientific or historical research purposes, or for archiving purposes in the public interest.

The values set out above, and careful alignment with the concrete steps set out for each value, will enable compliance with these conditions, in particular taking those steps that relate to the value of dignity.

Multilevel governance

The Model Framework underlines that "multilevel governance should be applied throughout the whole life of the intercultural integration strategy, from the needs assessment to the conception, implementation and evaluation of the strategy. Given that many competences and responsibilities are shared between the various levels of governance in different countries, multilevel governance is needed to achieve further collaboration between different administrations, better public policies implementation and a greater cohesion."[19]

---

19. Model framework for an intercultural integration strategy at the national level – Intercultural integration strategies: managing diversity as an opportunity, op. cit.

Addressing systemic discrimination, a key part of any intercultural integration strategy, is thus a responsibility for all levels of governance. Therefore, the collection and analysis of equality data to serve this goal should be seen as a task for all levels of governance.

The approaches proposed in this training manual for equality data collection, both targeted initiatives and equality data strategies, can be pursued across all levels of governance. In this it will be important to secure coherence and sharing of learning across and between these different levels of governance.

Food for thought

Your organisation, at its particular level of governance, is concerned with improving its collection and analysis of equality data.

► What purpose would you identify as a priority for further equality data collection and analysis in your organisation?

► What are the implications of this purpose for your approach to the collection and analysis of equality data?

Question 1. What are the key features of systemic discrimination?

A. Inefficient and ineffective organisational systems.

B. Organisational procedures, routines and culture that result in disadvantage for particular groups.

C. Individual managers who make decisions that lead to the exclusion of or discrimination against members of particular groups.

Feedback: B best hits the mark.

Systemic discrimination does involve inefficient and ineffective organisation systems, but it goes beyond poor performance of an organisation in specifically disadvantaging particular groups in the outcomes achieved from these systems.

While individuals might at some point design and implement the procedures and routines of an organisation, systemic discrimination looks beyond individual acts of discrimination to capture the discrimination that emerges from the manner in which key institutions function – a form of discrimination that is often without intent.

Question 2. What are the key contributions of equality data to addressing systemic discrimination?

A. Equality data enable an organisation to protect itself from criticism and from claims of discrimination.

B. Equality data provide insights into the issues within communities experiencing inequality.

C. Equality data make systemic discrimination visible and provide an evidence base to inform responses to systemic discrimination.

Feedback: C best hits the mark.

Equality data are collected for a purpose, a purpose that is to the benefit of those that are the subject of the data being gathered and analysed. In making systemic discrimination visible, they enable and inform the review and redesign of procedures and routines and reshaping of organisational culture to achieve more equal outcomes for these groups from the functions of the organisation.

While there might be secondary benefits to the gathering of such data that include protection for the organisation or better understanding of the internal dynamics of communities, this cannot be the primary purpose for their collection in seeking to prevent and address systemic discrimination.

Question 3. How should the value of participation be ensured in equality data collection and analysis?

A. Participation is about getting the key stakeholder organisations around the table to get their input into the data collection initiative.

B. Participation is about involving those who are the subject of data collection as co-producers of any such initiative.

C. Participation is about giving feedback to the communities involved on the issues that the equality data unveil.

Feedback: B best hits the mark

Co-production with those who are the subject of equality data collection places these groups at the centre of the process. There are other stakeholder organisations that can usefully be involved, but that is a secondary concern. Feedback is an important element within co-production, but it is not sufficient itself.

Checklist

In taking initiatives to support action on systemic discrimination through equality data collection and analysis, have you put in place the necessary foundations?

This is the case if:

- ☐ a specific purpose has been established and communicated, for which the equality data is to be collected and analysed;

- ☐ processes to empower those who are the subject of the equality data to be collected and analysed have been implemented, including communication with these communities, strengthening relationships of trust and engaging representatives in co-production of the equality data initiatives or strategies;

- ☐ institutional commitments to take action to address systemic discrimination, in policy and practice, have been made to accompany and respond to the results of the equality data collection and analysis.

Module 2
Targeted equality data initiatives

This module examines and explores the development and implementation of targeted equality data initiatives. It first establishes the aim and focus of targeted equality data initiatives and the elements of the developmental approach they require. It then explores the challenges to be met for quality targeted equality data initiatives. Finally, it examines different types of targeted equality data initiatives, their purpose and nature, providing some examples of these.

Developmental approach

Aim and focus for targeted equality data initiatives

Targeted equality data initiatives aim both to empower communities exposed to discrimination and inequality, and to contribute to change in their situation and experience.

Targeted equality data initiatives can focus on:

- ► local populations from a specific area with a view to exploring territorial equity in the situation of particular groups;

- ► specific groups with a view to exploring the situation, experience and identity, or specific needs, of these groups; and

- ► staff within an organisation with a view to exploring the situation, experience and identity, or specific needs, of particular groups among staff of the organisation.

Approach to developing and implementing targeted equality data initiatives

Targeted equality data initiatives, with their objective of empowering the groups concerned, involve a developmental approach. A developmental approach includes processes for:

- ► relationship and trust building to prepare for the initiative;

- ► enabling leadership from the group concerned within the initiative;

- ► securing engagement with the group concerned in the design, implementation and outcome from the initiative; and

- ► achieving mutual learning through the initiative.

Targeted equality data initiatives include a focus on both qualitative data and quantitative data.

Two key types of targeted equality data initiatives can be identified:

- ► building a knowledge base (see below), which can be:

  - – institution based, in terms of institutional structures with a mandate in relation to gathering and analysing equality data; or

  - – research based, in terms of research and survey work to identify, analyse and understand patterns of inequality and discrimination.

- ► community engagement (see below), which can involve processes of shared learning on issues of and responses to the situation, experience and identity, or specific needs of, particular groups exposed to inequality and discrimination, and which can be based on populations from a specific area, specific groups or staff members.

It is of note that, in relation to building a knowledge base, ECRI drafted a recommendation to member states on the need to ensure national surveys on

the experience and perception of racism and discrimination from the point of view of potential victims are undertaken, with guidelines on this process.[20]

Multilevel governance

Targeted equality data initiatives, of both types, can be implemented at all governance levels – national, regional and local.

Examples of building a knowledge base are readily developed at all levels and can be done so in an interconnected manner.

ECRI, by way of illustration, has recommended that member states undertake research into the nature, causes and manifestations of racism, xenophobia, antisemitism and intolerance at local, regional and national levels,[21] and that it would encourage and support research projects and independent monitoring of anti-Muslim racism and discrimination.[22]

For community engagement, examples are most readily developed at the local level in relation to populations from a specific area and specific communities, given the more immediate access to these groups at this level. Nonetheless, targeted equality data initiatives of this nature can be tailored to the regional and national levels, particularly in relation to the design of the engagement with the groups concerned.

When it comes to staff members, examples are more readily developed at all levels of governance given their organisational basis.

Challenges in developing and implementing targeted equality data initiatives

Equality data and information are limited and there can be difficulty in accessing them for use in targeted equality data initiatives.

Creating a shared understanding of and achieving an engagement on equality data issues across a range of organisations require leadership and resources if they are to be effective and long term.

There can be a reluctance to explore issues pertaining to one's own organisation. This presents barriers to adequately capturing and understanding issues of systemic discrimination, and engaging with the responses required.

---

20. ECRI General Policy Recommendation No. 4 on national surveys on the experience and perceptions of discrimination and racism from the point of view of potential victims, Adopted on 6 March 1998, CRI(98)30.
21. ECRI General Policy Recommendation No. 1 op. cit.
22. ECRI General Policy Recommendation No. 5 op. cit.

Underreporting of discrimination, and in particular systemic discrimination, is high which renders these issues less visible. Underreporting is driven by a lack of trust in agencies, the belief that change cannot occur, a normalisation of discriminatory treatment and fear of consequences for having reported an incident.

There can, further, be an underrecording of discrimination. This is a result of inadequate systems to receive and respond to complaints or lack of capacity to implement such systems where they are in place.

Data on systemic discrimination, other than data on outcomes for affected groups, are difficult to gather.

There is a need for creativity in adequately attending to intersectionality and the situation, experience, and identity, or specific needs, of those people at the intersection between two or more of these groups affected by discrimination.

Building a knowledge base

Building a knowledge base is one kind of targeted equality data initiative, which can be specifically undertaken to gather data on groups experiencing inequality. This can involve:

1. the establishment and operation of a dedicated institution; or
2. the undertaking of research initiatives.

1. Type of initiative – Establish an institutional infrastructure with a mandate in relation to equality data

Purpose: gather, analyse, retain and disseminate data on issues of discrimination and inequality, including systemic discrimination, such that it can inform policy and programmes.

Nature: this institutional infrastructure can take a range of forms, including:

► a stand-alone observatory with a mandate on equality data;

► a consultative body on equality data;

► an equality data working group; or

► community-led partnerships of research expertise, academia, public bodies and local communities affected by discrimination and inequality.

While the following case study is at the local level, it can very immediately be replicated at the regional and national levels. The institution that is the focus for this case study could well be located at a national or a regional level. A

purpose that is specific to the level of governance undertaking the initiative would need to be established to inform the design of the initiative.

The key challenge in positioning such initiatives at these levels of governance is one of reach, of getting to the point where discrimination is happening and being recorded:

► reach could be enabled with the involvement of networks of organisations; or

► reach could be enabled with a multilevel approach where observatories are in place and linked across the different levels of governance.

Case study – An observatory in Barcelona, Spain

Purpose: since 2018, the Barcelona Discrimination Observatory has worked to make visible situations of discrimination in the city, and to contribute to the scale and design of the measures required to address such situations.

Structure: the observatory is a partnership initiative involving:

► the Office for Non-Discrimination of the Barcelona City Council, which monitors, investigates and reports on cases of discrimination, providing psychosocial care, legal advice and conciliation and mediation;

► the Human Rights Resource Centre of the Barcelona City Council, which gathers resources on human rights, organises training on discrimination and human rights, advises on human rights from a municipality perspective and oversees compliance with human rights protocols; and

► the Board of Organisations for the Assistance of Victims of Discrimination which is currently made up of 26 social entities that assist victims of discrimination and which works to better co-ordinate the response of public institutions and social entities to discrimination, and as a space for training and the dissemination of information on the problems that are being worked on.

Initiative: the observatory publishes an annual report on discrimination, with a specific topic in particular focus in each edition, such as, most recently, discrimination in housing. It addresses who is being discriminated against; who discriminates; where this discrimination takes place; on what ground is the discrimination; how the discrimination has been expressed; what rights have been violated and what response has been given to the victims and with what result.

The report covers 10 grounds: racism and xenophobia, disability, language, religion, health, age, gender, ideology, aporophobia and LGBTI phobia, including an intersectional perspective. It addresses discrimination by individuals, private organisations/companies, public authorities and police forces. It encompasses both direct and indirect discrimination, though the main focus to date has been on direct discrimination which includes: differential treatment, verbal assaults, physical assaults, discriminatory or hate speech and vandalism.

Process: the report draws from the data held by, and the reflections of, the Office for Non- Discrimination and the member organisations of the Board of Organisations for the Assistance of Victims of Discrimination. It combines quantitative and qualitative data. The report incorporates data from the survey of neighbourhood relations and coexistence of the Barcelona Metropolitan Area, published by the Institute for Regional and Metropolitan Studies of Barcelona.

Key reference document: Barcelona Discrimination Observatory Report 2021, Barcelona City Council, 2022.

2. Type of initiative – Undertaking research specifically on the issue of systemic discrimination

Purpose: present an evidence-based picture of the reality of systemic discrimination, and inform and enable action to be taken for its elimination.

Nature: this research can involve a range of processes, including:

- ► research initiatives generating and analysing new equality data;
- ► analysis of and reporting on existing equality data;
- ► annual reports on and analysis of incidents of reported discrimination;
- ► community engagement and dialogue;
- ► hearings on the issue of systemic discrimination;
- ► use of survey tools; or
- ► development of indicators to monitor, track and measure progress in addressing the issue.

While the following two case studies are at the local level, they can very immediately be replicated at the regional and national levels. The research that is at the core of these case studies could well be undertaken at the regional or national level. A purpose that is specific to the level of governance

undertaking the initiative would need to be established to inform the design of the initiative.

The key challenges in locating such an initiative at these levels of governance are of scale and impact:

▶ scale can be addressed in the nature of the research undertaken and invested in, or it could be addressed in a multilevel approach that involves a programme of linked and coherent research projects; and

▶ impact requires a strategy to ensure the research findings are addressed appropriately by the level(s) of governance involved.

Case study – Reaching a point from which to take action in Oslo, Norway

Purpose: The municipality of Oslo undertook a research initiative to gather data on experiences of discrimination, alongside an initiative to develop indicators to measure progress made in response to the research. The purpose of these initiatives was to enable an effective focus on diversity in strategic policy documents, secure a high standard of equal services in service delivery and respond to the high levels of underreporting of discrimination.

Stimulus: Political commitment to equality and non-discrimination drove this initiative, as part of detailed commitments made in relation to equality and non-discrimination on the political platform of the parties governing the city. The mobilisation of the Black Lives Matter movement in the city was a further stimulus. While there had been much discussion of discrimination in the city over the previous decade, a civil society drive for delivery on this issue, rather than just talk, was of further assistance.

Process: A research team with the necessary expertise was contracted by the municipality. The research involved a survey, focus groups and workshops involving people from minority ethnic backgrounds. Young people, civil society organisations, employees, agencies and districts in the city were engaged in the focus groups and in the workshops.

The research found high numbers of minority ethnic people reporting discrimination by the municipality. In this, there was a significant focus on forms of discrimination at the individual level. However, this result informed the focus on systemic forms of discrimination that emerged in subsequent seminars and discussion on the research, and in action planning in response to the research.

Alongside the research, the municipality sought to establish indicators for the progress to be made on this issue by its services, in achieving the delivery of equal services, and its workplace, by securing a diversity of its employees at all levels. An expert institution was contracted by the municipality to prepare a report on the indicators required. This report encompassed minority ethnic groups, groups based on religion, LGBTI people and people with disabilities.

The process for this report on indicators included a series of workshops that involved agencies and civil society organisations. A framework for indicators was applied that encompassed:

- ► celebration of diversity, making the situation and contribution of minority groups visible and making the municipality's strategies for diversity visible, to the public and to its employees;

- ► competence and training, establishing expectations of managers and employees in this field and bringing this focus into strategic policy documents;

- ► and inclusion and protection from discrimination, ensuring effective instruments in place to address this experience.

Impact: This initiative has led to acknowledgement that discrimination is a problem, on the basis that if so many people recount this experience of discrimination, the municipality is not able to deliver quality services. The initiative has informed a new shared understanding of the issue, with a common language to discuss and respond to it. The necessity for action on the issue is seen at senior levels, enabling access to people at this level to discuss the issue and the responses required.

The initiative has brought the municipality to the point of action on the issue. There is an interest in training managers on these issues and making this training obligatory. Action planning is enabling a focus on organisational system change as being central to the action required. An action plan has been developed on recruitment of leaders, managers and board members with a minority ethnic background. There is a requirement that when making plans, the problem is defined based on facts, and the planning process for a solution involves the population, including people with a minority ethnic background.

Key reference document: Sindre Bangstad, Netta Marie Rønningen, Edvard Nergård Larsen, Tony Sandset and Prisca Bruno Massao, Mapping of racism and discrimination encountered in Oslo Municipality, Report 2022/2, KIFO, 2022 (Norwegian).

Case study – Provoking debate, enabling understanding and stimulating action through research on structural racism in Bergen, Norway

Purpose: this research initiative was undertaken by the municipality of Bergen to establish how minority ethnic populations perceived the way they were treated by the city's services and how they experienced living in Bergen. There was an interest to record the narratives of minority ethnic people and to hear their critiques.

Stimulus: the primary driver for this initiative was political commitment, including the existence of an institutional infrastructure such as the Diversity and Equality section. The municipality, through this structure, was also engaging with the Muslim community on experiences of Islamophobia and this was a further stimulus.

Process: the contracting of an external research team, with expertise in structural racism, was central to the approach taken. Involving this expertise was important for the effectiveness of the initiative. A wider engagement with civil society and minority ethnic communities was engaged in the development of actions resulting from the research findings.

A qualitative aspect to the research involved one-to-one interviews with a small number of minority ethnic people. Securing such first-hand narratives of encounters with the city's services and of living in Bergen, was a politically influential part of the final report. Education services emerged as a particular area of concern. A quantitative aspect to the research involved a survey of some 400 minority ethnic people. Employment and the housing rental market emerged as a particular focus for concern.

There were methodological challenges. The Covid-19 pandemic ruled out holding the intended group interviews. Data protection concerns precluded access to the data required to secure a fully representative survey, with respondents subsequently found to be more highly educated than the average.

The distinction between individual and systemic forms of discrimination was not easy to establish. However, the understanding and expertise of the research team on structural racism enabled patterns of racism to be identified from the analysis of interviews and survey findings, which pointed to more systemic forms.

The identification of the focus for the research as structural racism reflected the political commitment to the issue, and gave confidence in the initiative, encouraging participation by minority ethnic people.

Impact: the research report provoked debate. Politicians on the City Council took it seriously, and a number of public meetings on the report were held. There was good media coverage of, and public debate on, the report.

The research report enabled understanding of the issue of structural racism, and it has become a reference point for the issue. A specific and accessible theoretical chapter on the issue was included in the report on what the concept of structural racism means in the Norwegian context. This has enabled city officials to operationalise the concept in plans and actions.

The research report prompted action and continues to do so. An action plan on discrimination and hatred experienced by Muslim people was published. Funding has been allocated to the education sector to combat racism in a more systematic way, training teachers in relation to the issue and increasing awareness. A network of several NGOs, representative of a range of minority groups, has been given funding to establish an office to enable low-threshold reporting of discrimination.

Key reference documents: Sindre Bangstad, Edvard Nergård Larsen and Lise B. Grung, Structural racism in Bergen, Report 2021/09, Vista Analysis and KIFO, 2021 (Norwegian).

Engaging communities

Engaging communities is another type of targeted equality data initiative, which is specifically undertaken to gather data on groups experiencing inequality. It focuses on and works directly with specific groups, which can be:

1. a local population;
2. a specific group experiencing inequality; and/or
3. staff within an organisation.

1. Focus – Local population

Purpose: establish a picture of the territorial reality for groups and the gaps that exist between groups, to inform policy and programme development, as well as decisions on resource investment.

Nature: the local population can be a focus for exploration through processes that involve:

▶ research using existing equality data;

▶ research or survey work to generate new equality data; and/or

▶ hearings on issues of discrimination and inequality.

The following case study is at the local level, but it could be tailored for replication at the regional and national levels. This is most effectively done from a multilevel governance approach based on a programme of linked and coherent gathering and analysing of population data, using territorial units that enable policy and programme responses to the analysis undertaken. A purpose that is specific to the level of governance undertaking the initiative would need to be established to inform the design of the initiative.

Case study – Territorial and cultural equity, Montréal, Canada – Population focus

Purpose: population data are gathered and analysed to inform and guide the design and implementation of city interventions, ensuring they are as just and intelligent as possible, and orienting action to combat racism and systemic discrimination.

Structure: the Office de Consultation Publique de Montréal (OCPM) published a report in June 2020 on a consultation process regarding systemic racism and discrimination in the city. The commission that was convened for this consultation recommended to collect and publish, every three years, population data of a comparative nature and differentiated to identify and measure gaps between communities in areas such as employment, public security, housing, culture and social and economic development.

Implementation of this recommendation is overseen by the Office of the Commissioner for the Combat against Racism and Systemic Discrimination, and by the city's Social Affairs Intelligence and Optimisation Division of the Service for Diversity and Social Inclusion.

Process: data are the means to respond to a question; they are a means to an end, not an end in themselves. Data gathering is preceded by a mobilisation of knowledge and a gathering of intelligence on social affairs, to enable an accurate definition of the question, and an adequate identification of the data to be gathered. Both data and a culture of data analysis are required, and the administration has to be ready to use the data in pursuit of an inclusive transition. This readiness starts from a vision and political strategy for inclusion.

Five themes are identified as needing to be addressed in an inclusive transition: administration without discrimination, public security without profiling, territorial equity, cultural equity and inclusive citizenship. Data gathering is noted as one of the seven levers for action on these themes. The other levers noted are vision and political strategy, governance, organisational practice, communication, an ecosystem of institutional and citizen organisation and events.

Key reference document: Racism and systemic discrimination in the competences of the City of Montréal, Office de Consultation Publique de Montréal (OCPM), 2020 (French).

2. Focus – Specific groups

Purpose: establish a shared knowledge and understanding of the situation, experience and identity, or specific needs, of groups that are exposed to inequality and discrimination, with a view to agreed action to address the issues established.

Nature: specific groups, exposed to inequality and discrimination, can be a focus for mutual learning through processes that involve:

- ► direct communication;
- ► relationship and trust building;
- ► dialogue;
- ► joint endeavour; and/or
- ► partnership building and initiative.

The following case study is at the local level, but could be tailored for replication at the regional and national levels. While this type of targeted equality

data initiative, when focused on specific groups, best fits with the local level, it can be designed to be implemented at the regional or national level. A purpose that is specific to the level of governance undertaking the initiative would need to be established to inform the design of the initiative.

The key element of community engagement would need to be reconsidered at these other levels of governance:

- ► civil society organisations that are representative of the specific groups, and organised at the level of governance on which the initiative is being taken, would need to be central to the process in bringing the voice and perspective of the group into a participative process;
- ► local level sampling would be involved to secure grassroots perspective and experience;
- ► multilevel governance would usefully be involved.

Case study – The Iroko 7 Cs, Kirklees, United Kingdom – Community focus

Purpose: the Iroko project was developed over an eight-month period to respond to a context of stark inequalities for Black and African Caribbean[23] communities revealed by the Covid-19 pandemic and Black Lives Matter protests, fractured relationships with these communities that needed repair and a concern to understand racism as it was being experienced by these communities. It involved:

Co-production: the initiative was designed for learning with the community, not for extracting data from the community. It involved being present in the community, engaging the community where they were at on the issue and enabling community leadership in the initiative.

Communication: the initiative involved building and strengthening a community ambassadors team; online community engagement on why collect data, how to collect data and what data to collect; action planning for the initiative with Black and African Caribbean leaders; and capacity building and leadership training for Black and African Caribbean community members.

Collection: the initiative gathered data through an online engagement in four community sessions; three in-person sessions with young people from the community; and three in-person interviews. It engaged with 394 participants and facilitators, aged from 16 years to over 65 years.

---

23. Terms used in the United Kingdom context. This footnote is also applicable for the rest of the document, each time these terms are used.

Cultural analysis: the initiative involved an analysis of the stories, voices and data gathered to establish themes in relation to the impact of racism, and to identify the systems, from the personal to the public, within which racism was being experienced.

Cultural humility: the initiative allowed for reflection, led by Black and African Caribbean leaders to ensure that it was trust-based and that it engaged all voices. It further allowed for internal reflection through training to prepare council staff to hear and respond to the outcomes of the initiative.

Council systems: the initiative was directed at identifying and effecting the change required in council systems to address and eliminate racism – including systems for planning, service provision and community engagement.

Change: the initiative influenced council plans and strategies, improved service provision and sustained new relationships with the Black and African Caribbean community. The initiative empowered the Black and African Caribbean community to assume responsibility, enabled learning and developed self-determination.

Kirklees Council, Huddersfield University, and Amber & Greene (EDI specialist) implemented the initiative.

Key reference document: Kirklees Iroko Project online presence: Project Summary and Iroko Project Trustee.

3. Focus – Staff

Purpose: establish and track the diversity of staff within an organisation, with a view to informing recruitment, promotion and workplace policies and initiatives that can achieve this diversity, recognise this diversity and respond appropriately to this diversity.

Nature: staff members are a focus for engagement on diversity within an organisation, and issues of inequality and discrimination can be explored through processes that can involve:

- ► communication and trust building;
- ► engagement with staff networks and individual staff members; and/or
- ► survey and research initiatives.

While the following case study is at the regional level, it can very easily be replicated at the local and national levels. This type of targeted equality data initiative, when focused on staff, fits best at whatever level the organisation

involved is located. The design and implementation of the initiative will reflect the nature of the organisation and the level(s) of governance it encompasses.

Case study – The administration as exemplar, Canton of Neuchâtel, Switzerland – Staff focus

Purpose: the initiative is focused on staff diversity within the administration. It responds to an ambition of the administration to be exemplary in its approach to equality and openness to diversity, and seeks to develop an organisational culture that encompasses inclusion and diversity and enables necessary structural changes.

Foundation: this initiative is rooted in the "Road map for an administration that is open to diversity and egalitarian" approved by the Canton of Neuchâtel in 2018. A working group to advance an action plan for this road map is made up of representatives of state services, including: the human resources department, the statistics department, the communications department, the Office for Family Policy and Equality and the multicultural cohesion service. The full Swiss Council of States supports this work.

Staff survey: a survey questionnaire was developed and distributed among members of staff, with the involvement of the Université de Neuchâtel, in order to record the diversity of staff within the administration. The university was involved to ensure staff confidence and participation in the initiative. The questionnaire encompassed three axes: profile of employees, work–life balance for employees and experiences of racism and/or other forms of discrimination. The staff profile included place of birth, nationality, country of origin of parents, language, age, gender (including non-binary) and childcare responsibilities. Sexual orientation was not listed in the questionnaire but will still be a focus for action.

There was a 46% response rate from an overall staff of 5 314. There was diversity found among employees, but a large majority were Swiss citizens. A significant level of discrimination was noted on the basis of gender, age, origin and physical appearance. The Université de Neuchâtel prepared a report on the findings, while respecting the confidentiality of respondents. This was communicated both internally and externally.

Taking action: the survey informs an action plan to be approved by the Canton of Neuchâtel. The measures in this plan are to be prioritised and their implementation monitored.

In terms of change of a systemic nature, there is a commitment to review internal policies and documents and the manner in which they reference and respond to diversity and inclusion objectives. An ethics/diversity charter is to be prepared. Recruitment processes will be a particular focus, including training for relevant personnel. Staff induction will include a focus on the administration's commitment to diversity and its zero tolerance for discrimination.

The challenge is to communicate the report among staff to create the conditions for implementing the action plan. Staff need to buy into the required changes and should be able to be active participants in this change. The report was communicated by e-mail to all canton employees, while explaining the ambition being pursued to be exemplary and to improve the representativeness of diversity among staff.

The working group is considering which elements of the report are to be included as indicators in the monitoring of the overall road map. This first survey is to serve as a baseline with the exercise repeated every four years, to coincide with the term of office of the legislature.

Key reference document: Ruedin D. and Fehlmann J., Panorama de la diversité au sein du personnel de l'administration du Canton de Neuchâtel, SFM Studies # 79, Université de Neuchâtel and République et Canton de Neuchâtel, 2022 (French).

Food for thought

1. Your organisation, at its particular level of governance, is concerned about the inequalities experienced by a number of minority ethnic groups in the field of employment. It has committed to implementing a targeted equality data initiative that involves community engagement to investigate the nature and scale of these inequalities and to establish effective responses.

▶ What steps would you take in preparing for this initiative? How would you go about implementing the initiative? What would you do with the results of this initiative?

2. Your organisation, at its particular level of governance, is determined to track, monitor and respond to incidents and experiences of discrimination for a range of groups. It has decided to establish an observatory institution to meet this ambition.

▶ What mandate would you give to this observatory? How would you structure this observatory, keeping in mind any particular challenges due to the level of governance at which it is being established? What initiatives would you want this observatory to take?

Question 1. What are targeted equality data initiatives?

A. Initiatives that target a particular issue.

B. Initiatives that target a particular group.

C. Initiatives that gather specific equality data for a specific purpose.

Feedback : C best hits the mark.

These equality data initiatives can target a particular group or they can target a particular issue, or they can do both. However, the term tries to capture that these are initiatives that are separate from the more general data gathering of an organisation, which should be disaggregated to capture groups experiencing inequality, and are often initiatives to address limitations or gaps in these more general data gathering systems.

Question 2. What is the key purpose of a targeted equality data initiative focused on the staff of an organisation?

A. Informing human resource policies.

B. Establishing the diversity of staff.

C. Recording staff perspectives.

Feedback : A best hits the mark.

Purpose is key to such targeted equality data initiatives, but this purpose must lead to positive change for those who are the focus for such initiatives. The initiative might well establish the diversity of staff and capture their perspectives, but purpose combined with positive change point to A as the answer.

Question 3. What does a developmental approach to targeted equality data initiatives involve?

A. Trust building, group involvement, co-production and mutual learning.

B. Capacity building for the targeted groups and opportunities for learning, and support for acting on the issues identified.

C. Strengthening and building capacity in the organisation to better respond to the issues identified.

Feedback: A best hits the mark.

The developmental approach is focused on the relationship between the organisation and the group that is a focus for the initiative. This does not preclude that such initiatives would build the capacity of the organisation involved and of the group involved in different ways, but this is not the primary concern of a developmental approach to targeted equality data initiatives.

Checklist

In developing a targeted equality data initiative to support action on systemic discrimination, have you explored and identified the most appropriate options to take?

This is the case if:

☐ the purpose of your initiative has been explored and defined in terms of:

▶ empowerment: engaging with the particular community that is the subject of the targeted initiative in a developmental approach of mutual learning; and

▶ organisational change: establishing an informed picture of the situation, experience and identity, or specific needs, of groups exposed to inequality and discrimination, to inform organisational change in priorities and processes.

☐ the most appropriate focus for your initiative has been explored and established, whether on:

▶ populations from a specific area;

▶ specific groups exposed to inequality and discrimination; or

▶ staff of an organisation.

☐ the most effective type of initiative has been explored and selected, whether through:

▶ building a knowledge base; or

▶ a process of community engagement.

☐ a developmental approach has been established for your initiative, with action taken to:

▶ build trust;

▶ support leadership and involvement from the group concerned;

▶ achieve co-design and co-production of the initiative; and

▶ establish mutual learning as the objective.

Module 3

An equality data strategy

T his module examines and explores the development and implementation of equality data strategies. It first establishes the aim and focus of equality data strategies and the cross-institutional approach they require. It then examines each of the key steps involved in such an approach. Finally, it explores the challenges to be met for effective equality data strategies, before presenting two case studies.

Institutional approach

Aim and focus for an equality data strategy

An equality data strategy enables and secures the continuous and systematic collection, analysis and use of equality data to inform and shape policy and programme development.

Such a strategy generates a key evidence base for policy and programme development. It enables development and impact assessment of policies and programmes at the design stage in relation to ensuring their impact on equality and outcomes for groups exposed to discrimination. It underpins a capacity to monitor trends over time for different groups in society and makes issues of systemic discrimination visible.

An equality data strategy has a particular focus on data in relation to the situation of groups exposed to inequality, in terms of disadvantage in the level and quality of resources the group can access, and of outcomes resultant from this.

The institutional approach to developing and implementing an equality data strategy engages all relevant stakeholders in the development and implementation of an equality data strategy. It involves multi-stakeholder dialogue and initiative. This underpins the participative nature of the initiative.

Approach in developing and implementing an equality data strategy

There are four phases to the development of an equality data strategy:

1. convening the stakeholders;
2. auditing the current sources of equality data;
3. presenting the available equality data in an accessible manner; and
4. deploying the equality data as a part of the policy cycle.

1. Convene

Stakeholders can be convened in a working group format to drive the development and implementation of an equality data strategy. The working group format enables co-creation, co-operation and co-ordination to shape this process. It promotes coherence and consistency of initiatives in this field, as well as knowledge and resource sharing, best-practice exchange and mutual learning.

Leadership in convening the working group can come from a range of sources. At the national level, leadership can come from the relevant national statistics authority or a national government ministry. The equality body can also play a key role. At a regional level, leadership can come from a regional government department or a regional statistics authority. At a local level, leadership can come from a local or municipal authority. Key stakeholders involved in the working group, at whichever level it is convened, encompass relevant public bodies, statistic agencies, research institutions, academia, equality bodies and civil society organisations.

Adequate conditions are purposefully created for the effective and successful functioning of the working group. Initiatives in this regard can include:

► strengthening the relations of trust between the individual members of the working group, and their commitment to the joint endeavour;

► mobilising and investing resources in the activities required;

► building capacity through mutual learning in the working group, enabling all stakeholders to see and understand their role and contribution and providing training to strengthen necessary skills and awareness within the participating organisations; and

- ► ensuring coherence and comparability in equality data collection and analysis where different organisations are involved in its collection.

2. Audit

An audit can be conducted to identify and review existing sources of equality data, at the level for which the equality data strategy is being developed and implemented. The audit would identify data sources in terms of:

- ► the institutions involved;
- ► the discrimination grounds covered;
- ► the policy domains addressed; and
- ► the nature and quality of the data gathering process.

The audit would be supported by the working group. It would be implemented by the members of the working group that are best placed to gather the information required. The process would include establishing knowledge already held by members of the working group, survey initiatives and interviews with relevant personnel.

The information gathered would be reviewed to establish issues and/or gaps in the equality data gathered. These could then be a focus for recommendations to the relevant entities and for future planning by the working group.

The information gathered in the initial audit would be updated by the working group, preferably on an annual basis.

3. Present

An online equality data hub would be established by the working group and hosted by the most appropriate member(s). This would set out the information gathered in the audit, make accessible the various sources of equality data identified and provide a review of the equality data available. It could provide a wider range of materials to enable an understanding of the importance of equality data and the requirements for its effective collection and analysis.

The equality data hub would be updated on a continuous basis by the working group as new sources are identified or emerge.

4. Deploy

Equality data is collected for a purpose and it is important to focus on the use of the data gathered under the equality data strategy. This would ensure

use of these data by the relevant institutions across all policy domains, in the policy cycle of policy thinking, policy making, policy implementation and policy monitoring and evaluation.

The working group could engage in promotional activities to ensure the continuous and appropriate use of the equality data made available. This would involve securing an engagement with the equality data hub by these policy institutions across the various policy domains. Activities could include:

► the development and dissemination of regular briefing material on equality data, its availability and its deployment in the policy process; and

► seminar events with a focus on equality data and generating discussions on the collection, analysis and use of equality data among relevant institutions.

Multilevel governance

An equality data strategy can be developed and implemented at any level of governance: national, regional or local. It could also be pursued as a multilevel initiative embracing all these levels.

Whatever level of governance an equality data strategy is initiated at, the learning from that experience could usefully be made available to other levels of governance to:

► promote and enable a wider uptake of such an approach; and

► create the conditions for a multilevel approach.

A multilevel approach would involve and enable:

► co-ordination in the range of sources of equality data developed and made available;

► mutual learning in relation to the gathering of equality data and to their deployment in preventing and addressing systemic discrimination; and

► exchange of practice and perspective between the different levels of governance to inform innovation and to enable peer support.

Challenges in developing and implementing an equality data strategy

A long-term, co-ordinated approach is needed for an effective equality data strategy. This involves a focus both on developing and sustaining the collection and analysis of equality data, and on the effective deployment of this equality data in policy thinking, policy making, policy implementation and policy evaluation.

Legal frameworks that relate to personal data collection are not well known or understood. There are misconceptions as to the barriers posed by such legislation, which can limit equality data collection (see above). Capacity building and information provision in this regard are therefore important.

An adequate and appropriate focus on all groups that experience inequality is required in the collection and analysis of equality data. Within this comprehensive focus, there is the challenge of adequately attending to intersectionality and the situation, experience and identity of those people at the intersection between two or more of these groups experiencing inequality.

Developing an equality data strategy

There are recent examples available for developing an equality data strategy. The following are models from Ireland and Belgium, which have a similar approach. In both cases, the independent equality and human rights body played a central role. Both cases are at an advanced stage.

These two case studies are located at the national level. They can be replicated at the local and regional levels, as the overall approach would be identical. The level of governance at which an equality data strategy is developed will influence:

► the specific stakeholders to be engaged;

► the particular institutions that will make use of and deploy the equality data to prevent and address systemic discrimination; and

► the identification of current sources of equality data.

Case Study 1

The Irish Human Rights and Equality Commission (IHREC), the Irish equality body and national human rights institution, played a central role in the emergence of a working group on equality data at a national level, with work progressing on an equality data strategy. The case study is presented to reflect the phases of convening, auditing, presenting and deploying to the extent these have been completed.

Case study – Creating the conditions for an equality data strategy in Ireland

Equality data are of critical importance and significant interest to all those involved in this initiative. Data are required in the course of a policy cycle to enhance evidence-based policy making in all relevant policy areas and to address all the different grounds for discrimination. The policy cycle encompasses policy thinking and public debate, the initial design of measures and policies, the implementation of policy measures and the monitoring and evaluation of their impact.

Convening

Equality data are a public good, and as such they are beyond the scope of any one organisation to develop and sustain. Collective effort and inter-institutional co-operation are required to realise this public good, and deploy it to identify and prevent systemic discrimination.

The national Equality Data Strategy Working Group reflects such an approach. It includes representation from public bodies, government departments, the IHREC, statistics organisations, research institutes and a civil society organisation. It is co-chaired by the Department of Children, Equality, Disability, Integration and Youth and the Central Statistics Office, with both sharing the secretariat.

The working group meets regularly to draft the strategy. The plan is to develop a draft equality data strategy and send it to the government, with publication envisaged at the end of 2023.

Alliance building involved the mapping of relevant stakeholders and drivers for equality data, national and local, and the drawing together of these stakeholders behind a common endeavour, and the securing of their commitment to this. The working group emerged from this activity. The focus on common endeavour was viewed as important in securing participation, as there was a concern that some stakeholders would be left with full responsibility for delivery of a complex initiative.

The IHREC, as an "honest broker", held bilateral meetings with key stakeholders such as the Central Statistics Office, government officials, research institutes and civil society organisations to understand their views on equality data and what they needed to move this agenda forward. The IHREC was able to present the stakeholders with a menu of institutional, structural and operational activities from its work on this issue of equality data at European and national levels, to frame and structure dialogue and planning.

In building alliances for equality data, it was important to create space for the stakeholders to understand "each other's worlds", the contributions each had to make and the opportunities each could offer. The tone for engagement has to be collegial, appreciative, respectful, non-judgmental and fun. There were opportunities to showcase work, to develop professional knowledge and skills, and to extend professional networks.

The IHREC convened an equality data event, where members of the European Union Equality Data Subgroup talked through the guidelines developed, and discussed how they had developed equality data, sharing real world experiences. Partner organisations in Ireland, from the working group, met their counterparts from other organisations to share ambitions and concerns, and identify challenges in moving forward on this issue.

Auditing

The Central Statistics Office undertook an equality data audit in 2020 using the tool developed by the European Union Equality Data Subgroup. The audit provides an agreed baseline for talking about the state of equality data. This was based on the Equality Data Diagnostic Mapping Tool, available on the website of the European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights.[24]

The audit identified issues in that: only two datasets routinely and systematically collect data on the "race ground" as defined in Irish equality legislation; another 24 datasets which were marked as covering the race ground actually only contain nationality or ethnicity data; membership of the Traveller community appears only in 12 datasets; and there are significant data gaps in relation to sexual orientation and religion.

Presenting/deploying

Establishing where the partner organisations on the working group want to take this initiative is essential, as the development and ongoing implementation and evolution of an equality data strategy can be a long road. This vision is mapped out through real world planning and a focus on institutional and operational change.

Institutional change encompasses inter-institutional co-operation on equality data, an equality data hub, capacity building and effective use of equality data collected.

---

24. Available at: Compendium of Practices on Equality Data | European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights (europa.eu).

Operational change encompasses data collection, securing comprehensive and representative equality data collection, mainstreaming a focus on equality data in surveys undertaken, and validity, reliability and comparability of data collected.

Key reference document: Equality Data Audit, 2020, Central Statistics Office, Ireland.

Case Study 2

Unia, an equality body and national human rights institution in Belgium, played a lead role in a project that has enabled the development of an equality data hub and created the conditions for an equality data strategy at national level, with local level perspectives.

The initiative involves a national governance level equality data strategy. However, there has been an engagement from the Brussels-Capital Region with a view to developing a regional level equality data strategy based on this approach.

The following case study presents the phases of convening, auditing, presenting and deploying to the extent these have been completed.

Case study – Improving equality data collection in Belgium

The equality data strategy responds to the imperative for a precise picture of reality, an evidence base, to be available if policy solutions to inequality are to be effectively designed and advanced.

Convening

Unia, an equality body and a national human rights institution in Belgium, in partnership with the Equal Opportunities Team of the Federal Public Service for Justice, implemented an initiative to improve the use and collection of equality data in Belgium.

The participatory approach taken involved public institutions, civil society organisations and universities in an advisory committee. A survey and interviews with experts to gather information on equality data sources further expanded this participation.

Auditing

A mapping of existing equality data sources was the first key output of the project. A survey and interviews were deployed to establish an overview of existing equality data sources in Belgium.

A report was then prepared. This analysed the mapping exercise to identify gaps in data sources, and made recommendations for further steps required.

Presenting

An online equality data hub was developed to centralise the equality data sources identified and to make them more accessible to the public and relevant stakeholders. This allows for an easy and accessible search of these equality data sources by:

- ▶ different criteria: nationality, skin colour, origin/migration history/descent/other racial criteria, religious/philosophical beliefs, sexual orientation, gender identity, gender expression and sex characteristics;
- ▶ different domains: employment, education, health, housing, justice and police, media and others.

Deployment

Deployment involves steps to:

- ▶ use the data hub: this involves putting the data sources into action across all domains and categories;
- ▶ update the data hub: newly published sources must be included; the range of categories covered needs to be expanded, such as disability; and attention needs to be given to intersectionality;
- ▶ create more awareness about the legal framework for equality data collection.

There is a need to develop a long-term, co-ordinated approach to equality data collection, including the establishment of a consultative body on equality data to develop a co-ordinated and structural strategy; provide a meeting point for data producers and users across public institutions, academia and civil society; and sustain the participative approach.

The minimal conditions for equality data collection need to be promoted: respect for privacy, participation of the groups concerned, precise purposes, appropriate methods, ensuring transparency, being accountable, building and maintaining trust and self-identification as a goal.

Key reference documents: Online data hub available at: www.equalitydata. unia.be/nl/. Project report (Fr): Final report – Improving equality data collection in Belgium, Unia and Federal Public Service for Justice, 2021.

Food for thought

Your organisation, at its particular level of governance, is preparing to implement an equality data strategy.

▶ Which organisations would you involve in a working group for the strategy, who would take leadership roles, and what steps would you take to ensure collegiality within the group?

Question 1. What is the key purpose of an equality data strategy?

A. Influencing and informing the policy-making cycle.

B. Generating a better picture of the situation of those experiencing inequality.

C. Improving organisational data systems for monitoring and reporting purposes.

Feedback: A best hits the mark.

The core purpose for an equality data strategy is a better-informed policy cycle, such that it can be free from any traces of systemic discrimination. Improved knowledge of the situation of different groups is important, but this knowledge needs to be put to use. Improved data systems are important, but it is necessary to look beyond monitoring performance to improving performance when it comes to systemic discrimination.

Question 2. What does an institutional approach to an equality data strategy involve?

A. Informed and active engagement of senior management within the institution developing the equality data strategy.

B. Leadership by those responsible, investment of resources and organisational commitment.

C. Broad involvement of organisations, a multi-stakeholder structure and joint initiatives.

Feedback: C best hits the mark.

Senior management commitment is important, but an equality data strategy will inevitably involve more than any one organisation or sector. Leadership, resources and organisational commitment are needed, but fail to capture the joint initiative involved in an equality data strategy and the imperative of building effective multi-stakeholder involvement.

Question 3. What are the key steps in an equality data strategy?

A. Development, publication and deployment of the steps required to gather and analyse equality data.

B. Convening stakeholders, auditing data sources, making current sources accessible and ensuring they are used.

C. Identifying those with responsibility and mandate to collect population data and requiring them to do so in a manner that is disaggregated by those groups experiencing inequality.

Feedback: B best hits the mark.

Yes, an equality data strategy will be developed, published and made use of, but this does not capture the different phases that are involved and the need to think through how best to approach each of these phases. Yes, those with responsibility for data collection do need to further develop their capacity to disaggregate the data they produce, but this does not capture the diverse contributions to be made by different organisations from across a range of sectors, to developing and implementing an effective equality data strategy.

Checklist

In developing an equality data strategy to support action on systemic discrimination, have you planned for all necessary steps?

This is the case if:

☐   a broad range of relevant stakeholders are convened and enabled to co-operate and co-ordinate their actions in developing and implementing the equality data strategy;

☐   the full range of existing equality data sources are audited and reviewed for comprehensiveness, representativeness and comparability, with recommendations made to address gaps and issues;

☐   existing equality data sources are made available and accessible, through an equality data hub, with responsibilities for policy thinking, policy making, policy implementation and policy evaluation;

☐   equality data available are made use of by those organisations involved in policy thinking, policy making, policy implementation and policy evaluation.

Finish

Congratulations on completing this training. Hopefully you have found it useful and inspiring for your work, and in particular for your interest in preventing and addressing systemic discrimination.

The collection and analysis of equality data is a key starting point for preventing and addressing systemic discrimination. This is an urgent challenge given the prevalence of this issue, and given how it undermines any ambition for inclusion.

It is hoped that you can make use of the knowledge, ideas and links in this training manual in developing targeted equality data initiatives and/or equality data strategies. It might be useful to open up this possibility by:

► establishing what actions are being taken in your organisation to strengthen the collection, analysis and use of equality data, and/or what gaps there might be in this regard;

► identifying the personnel within your organisation that might have a role to play and an interest in taking action to address systemic discrimination and to initiate this through work on equality data collection; and

► establishing a working group to identify the purpose of taking action on equality data, and the type of equality data initiative that might best fit this purpose.

We wish you well in this vital endeavour!

Appendix – Intercultural glossary

This glossary aims at fostering a shared and consistent understanding of the principles and concepts linked to intercultural integration. Definitions come from key resources produced by the Council of Europe, whether it be by the Intercultural Cities (ICC) Programme, the Steering Committee on Anti-Discrimination, Diversity and Inclusion (CDADI) or other Council of Europe entities. These resources include in particular the model framework for an intercultural integration strategy at the national level, adopted by the CDADI in June 2021, Recommendation CM/Rec(2022)10 of the Committee of Ministers to member States on multilevel policies and governance for inter-cultural integration, adopted by the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe on 6 April 2022, and various ICC thematic papers.[25] Unless specified otherwise, the definitions included below stem from one or several of these Council of Europe resources. They are not meant to build a normative basis and are shared for the purpose of common understanding.

---

25. Council of Europe (n.d.).

Intercultural integration: intercultural integration is a two-way process involving individuals, communities of individuals and society as a whole. It consists of effective, positive and sustainable diversity management policies that aim to help society benefit from the potential of diversity and manage its complexities, on the basis of reciprocal and symmetrical recognition, under an overarching human rights framework. The "intercultural integration" model requires a holistic approach which can guide co-ordinated and long-term policies in all fields and levels of governance in order to promote and ensure equality for all members of society, to foster a common pluralistic sense of belonging through valuing diversity and building social trust, community cohesion and meaningful intercultural interaction between people across their different socio-cultural backgrounds, and to facilitate their equal participation in and contribution to society (see Recommendation CM/Rec(2022)10). As a model, intercultural integration is based on four fundamental components: ensuring equality, valuing diversity, fostering meaningful intercultural interaction and promoting active citizenship and participation.

Active citizenship and participation (promoting): active citizenship and participation occur when stakeholders (all residents, including foreign residents where appropriate) have the right, the means, the space, the opportunity and the support to freely express their opinions and influence decision making on matters that affect them. In some situations, participation may mean those who are directly affected taking the lead and driving the process. Intercultural participation requires an equal and respectful basis, in which everyone feels heard, and involves tackling obstacles that may hinder certain stakeholders' active participation.

Discrimination: (in this context) is unjustifiably different (distinctive, exclusionary, restrictive, preferential) behaviour towards and/or treatment of certain persons or groups, based on traits of the person or on particular characteristics of the group. Discrimination is generally understood as differentiation which causes harm and is distinguished from prejudice and stereotyping by being an action or outcome of those attitudes.

Diversity (in this context) is a range of human features which make individuals differ from one another in various ways, some but not all of which are characteristics protected by human rights law. Aspects of identity – such as age, sex, gender identity, ethnicity, nationality, sexual orientation, mental and physical abilities, social class, education, economic background, religion, work experience, language, geographic location, political opinion or family status – are among the sources of diversity.

Equality refers to the state of being treated equally, whether before the law, in policy or in practice. This includes equal enjoyment of human dignity and fundamental human rights and equal access to services and opportunities. More broadly, equality of life chances (or "access") can be distinguished from equality of outcomes, with different political and economic philosophies putting differing emphases on each end of this spectrum. Within interculturalism, equality is most closely linked to the principles of non-discrimination and inclusion, and there is particular attention paid to equity: that is, allocating resources and opportunities to each person, according to their circumstances and needs, in order to obtain a more equitable outcome.

Equality data: Any piece of information that is useful for the purposes of describing and analysing the state of equality. The information may be quantitative or qualitative in nature. The main focus is on equality statistics, by which are meant aggregate data that reflect inequalities or their causes or effects in society. Sometimes data that are collected primarily for reasons other than equality-related purposes can be used for producing equality data if adequately disaggregated.[26]

———
26. Makkonen T., European Handbook on Equality Data, DG Justice and Consumers, European Commission, 2016.

Meaningful intercultural interaction (fostering) is any constructive encounter in a social setting between individuals or groups from different cultures and lifestyles in an atmosphere of mutual respect, understanding and co-operation. Intercultural policy speaks of "meaningful interactions" between different cultural or ethnic groups, which recognise both the differences and similarities between such groups/individuals, promote the atmosphere of mutual respect, understanding and co-operation, and counter the tendency towards self-segregation. Meaningful intercultural interactions are those that take place on equal terms, be they challenging or positive, and which should ultimately be fulfilling for all involved, advancing common goals. Fostering meaningful intercultural interaction through public policies is about creating conditions for positive and constructive everyday encounters across people of different backgrounds and lifestyles in a climate of mutual respect, understanding and co-operation.[27]

Systemic discrimination occurs where the procedures, routines and organisational culture of any organisation contribute to unequal outcomes for minority groups compared to the general population.

Valuing diversity/diversity advantage is the idea that diversity can bring benefits for organisations, communities and businesses, making societies more resilient and successful, when managed with competence and in the spirit of inclusion. This can happen when diversity is considered an asset to be promoted and included in all decision-making processes. Promotion of diversity is not a singular action but represents a vision and a philosophy of governance.

Other relevant definitions

Intercultural competence: the ability to understand and respect each other across all types of barriers. Intercultural competences refer to the set of knowledge and skills necessary for people and organisations to act in an intercultural way in diverse societies.

Intercultural society: a community of people with diverse backgrounds that values diversity as a collective advantage and aims to afford equal rights and opportunities for everyone by creating the conditions for full and active participation based on a common set of values, a shared sense of belonging and a pluralist collective identity. Public authorities actively combat prejudice and discrimination and ensure equal opportunities for all by adapting their governance structures, institutions and services to the needs of a diverse population, without compromising the principles of human rights,

27. Council of Europe (2021).

democracy and the rule of law. They adopt participatory approaches and multilevel governance to develop a range of policies and actions to encourage mixing and meaningful interaction across differences and to stimulate the participation of all residents in social life and decisions that affect their everyday life and environment. The high level of trust and social cohesion helps to prevent conflicts and violence, increases policy effectiveness and makes the territories attractive for people and investors alike.

Intersectionality: the concept of intersectionality recognises that each individual has a complex identity which makes them unique. It is highly unlikely, therefore, that they will think of themselves as defined entirely by one aspect of their make-up. An individual from a minority background may experience exclusion or stigmatisation because of their ethnicity, their gender, their perceived sexual orientation or some combination of these. More positively, this complexity of identity allows identifications to be made with other individuals, for example on gender grounds, which cross social dividing lines.[28]

Migrant: At international level, no universally accepted definition for "migrant" exists. The United Nations International Organization for Migration (IOM) has developed a definition for its own purposes that is not meant to imply or create any new legal category. According to that definition, migrant is an "umbrella term, not defined under international law, reflecting the common lay understanding of a person who moves away from his or her place of usual residence, whether within a country or across an international border, temporarily or permanently, and for a variety of reasons. The term includes a number of well-defined legal categories of people, such as migrant workers; persons whose particular types of movements are legally defined, such as smuggled migrants; and those whose status or means of movement are not specifically defined under international law, such as international students."[29] In the past, migration tended to be a once-and-for-all move whereby the migrant lost touch with their country of origin and attempted to make a home for themselves in their country of adoption. In a more globalised and individualised world, migration is often better thought of as mobility: it may involve more than one move and need not imply, given today's technology, becoming cut off from family.[30]

---

28. Model framework for an intercultural integration strategy at the national level – Intercultural integration strategies, managing diversity as an opportunity, op. cit.
29. See: International Organization for Migration, *Glossary on migration*.
30. Krings T. et al. (2013), *New mobilities in Europe: Polish migration to Ireland post-2004*, Manchester University Press, Manchester.

Minority: Considered in the broader sense, that is not referring exclusively to national minorities protected under the Council of Europe Framework Convention for the Protection of National Minorities, the term "minority" refers to a group which is numerically inferior to the rest of the population of a state and in a non-dominant position.[31]

Multilevel governance: multilevel governance is a model of governance which embraces central, regional and local governments, as well as civil society organisations. The ways in which it is organised may vary greatly from one country to another. Ideally, it includes a bottom-up element and implies the setting up of participatory processes for policy co-creation, co-operation and co-ordination among all relevant public authorities, at all levels of governance, and with all relevant stakeholders, in areas of shared competence or common interest.[32]

Participation in decision making: the vertical process of multilevel governance must be complemented by a horizontal process of public participation, in the design, delivery and evaluation of intercultural integration plans. Such participation, by individuals and through non-governmental organisations, is essential to match the complexity of the "diversity of diversity", to engender a sense of being a stakeholder, especially on the part of individuals and organisations of minority backgrounds, and to gain widespread public buy-in to intercultural integration plans.[33]

Prejudices: preconceived attitudes towards a group or its members, untested and therefore unjustified by evidence. While there are both positive or negative biases, the term "prejudice" has a generally negative connotation since prejudices do harm and injury. Very often they are closely related to a sense of belonging (or not) to groups and the roles individuals are supposed to have within them, thus altering the ability of the target group to self-identification. They are associated with emotions such as dislike, mistrust, fear or even hatred. They do not allow us to see others as individuals, nor to recognise diversity among the members of a stereotyped group.

Refugee: The refugee definition can be found in Article 1.A of the 1951 Refugee Convention and regional refugee instruments (including the Organisation of African Unity or the 1984 Cartagena Declaration), as well as UNHCR's statute:[34] A refugee is someone who, "owing to well-founded fear of being

---

31. Intercultural Cities Key Terminology, Council of Europe.
32. Recommendation CM/Rec(2022)10 op. cit.
33. Model framework for an intercultural integration strategy at the national level – Intercultural integration strategies, managing diversity as an opportunity, op. cit.
34. Convention and Protocol Relating to the Status of Refugees.

persecuted for reasons of race, religion, nationality, membership of a particular social group or political opinion, is outside the country of his nationality and is unable or, owing to such fear, is unwilling to avail himself of the protection of that country; or who, not having a nationality and being outside the country of his former habitual residence as a result of such events, is unable or, owing to such fear, is unwilling to return to it".

States have a mandatory requirement to accept a well-founded claim for refugee status from any individual seeking asylum at or after entry, under the 1951 United Nations Refugee Convention and its 1967 protocol.[35] In particular, they are obliged to comply with the principle of *non-refoulement*, by receiving and assessing such claims on their individual merits, rather than turning away asylum seekers at their borders.[36]

---

35. See: www.unhcr.org/uk/1951-refugee-convention.html.
36. It is worth noting that the 1954 Convention relating to the Status of Stateless Persons establishes the legal definition for stateless persons as individuals who are not considered citizens or nationals under the operation of the laws of any country. A person's citizenship and nationality may be determined based on the laws of a country where an individual is born or where her/his parents were born. A person can also lose citizenship and nationality in a number of ways, including when a country ceases to exist or a country adopts nationality laws that discriminate against certain groups. See for reference: www.unhcr.org/ibelong/about-statelessness/.

Bibliography

Council of Europe

Intercultural Cities Programme (2020), Policy brief – Identifying and preventing discrimination at the local level, available at https://rm.coe.int/icc-policy-brief-identifying-and-preventing-systemic-discrimination-at/1680a00ef5.

Intercultural Cities Programme, Intercultural Cities Key Terminology, Council of Europe, available at https://rm.coe.int/policy-study-identifying-and-preventing-systemic-discrimination-at-the/1680a00ef4.

Intercultural Cities Programme (2020), Policy study – Identifying and Preventing Systemic Discrimination at the Local Level, Intercultural Cities, Council of Europe, Strasbourg.

CDADI (2021), Model framework for an intercultural integration strategy at the national level: Intercultural integration strategies, managing diversity as an opportunity, Council of Europe, Strasbourg, available at https://rm.coe.int/prems-093421-gbr-2555-intercultural-integration-strategies-cdadi-web-a/1680a476bd.

CDADI (2022) Manual for the design of a training course on intercultural competence, Parts 1 and 2, Council of Europe Publishing, Strasbourg, available at https://www.coe.int/en/web/interculturalcities/intercultural-competence.

Committee of Ministers (2022), Recommendation CM/Rec(2022)10 of the Committee of Ministers to member States on multilevel policies and governance for intercultural integration, available at https://search.coe.int/cm/Pages/result_details.aspx?ObjectID=0900001680a6170e.

ECRI (1996), General Policy Recommendation No. 1 on combating racism, xenophobia, antisemitism and intolerance, adopted on 4 October 1996, available at https://rm.coe.int/ecri-general-policy-recommendation-no-1-on-combating-racism-xenophobia/16808b59e.1.

ECRI (1998), General Policy Recommendation No. 4 on national surveys on the experience and perceptions of discrimination and racism from the point of view of potential victims, adopted on 6 March 1998, CRI(98)30, available at https://rm.coe.int/ecri-general-policy-recommendation-no-4-on-national-surveys-on-the-exp/16808b5a59.

ECRI (2021), General Policy Recommendation No. 5 (Revised) on preventing and combating anti-Muslim racism and discrimination, adopted on 8 December 2021, Council of Europe, available at https://rm.coe.int/ecri-general-policy-recommendation-no-5-revised-on-preventing-and-comb/1680a5db32.

ECRI (2021), Opinion on the concept of racialisation, adopted at ECRI's 87th plenary meeting on 8 December 2021, available at https://rm.coe.int/ecri-opinion-on-the-concept-of-racialisation/1680a4dcc2.

European Union

DG Justice and Consumers, Makkonen T. (2016), European handbook on equality data, European Commission, available at https://op.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/cd5d60a3-094d-11e7-8a35-01aa75ed71a1.

European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights, Compendium of Practices on Equality Data, available at https://fra.europa.eu/en/themes/equality-non-discrimination-and-racism/about-compendium.

Subgroup on Equality Data, High-Level Group on Non-Discrimination, Equality, and Diversity, DG Justice and Consumers (2021), *Guidelines on improving the collection and use of equality data,* European Commission, available at https://op.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/a3d2cd88-0eba-11ec-b771-01aa75ed71a1/language-en/format-PDF/source-search.

Subgroup on Equality Data, High-Level Group on Non-Discrimination, Equality, and Diversity, DG Justice and Consumers (2021), *Guidance note on the collection and use of equality data based on racial or ethnic origin,* European

Commission, available at https://op.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/788a9c16-2d8a-11ed-975d-01aa75ed71a1.

United Nations

Convention and Protocol Relating to the Status of Refugees, 1951.

Convention relating to the Status of Stateless Persons, 1954.

International Organization for Migration, *Glossary on migration*, IML Series No. 34, 2019, available at https://publications.iom.int/books/international-migration-law-ndeg34-glossary-migration.

Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights (2017), A Human Rights Based Approach to Data: Leaving no one behind in the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, United Nations, available at www.ohchr.org/en/documents/tools-and-resources/human-rights-based-approach-data-leaving-no-one-behind-2030-agenda.

Other

Bangstad S. et al. (2022), Mapping of Racism and Discrimination Encountered in Oslo Municipality, Report 2022/2, KIFO, in Norwegian, https://www.kifo.no/ny-kifo-rapport-kartlegging-av-rasisme-og-diskriminering-i-mote-med-oslo-kommune/.

Bangstad S. et al. (2021), Structural Racism in Bergen, Report 2021/09, Vista Analysis and KIFO, in Norwegian, https://www.vista-analyse.no/no/publikasjoner/strukturell-rasisme-i-bergen/.

Barcelona City Council (2022), Barcelona Discrimination Observatory Report 2021, https://ajuntament.barcelona.cat/oficina-no-discriminacio/en/discrimination-observatory.

Central Statistics Office, Ireland (2020), Equality Data Audit, available at www.cso.ie/en/methods/methodologicalresearch/rp-eda/equalitydataaudit2020/.

District of Kirklees (2021), Kirklees Iroko Project online presence: Project Summary, available at www.kirklees.gov.uk/involve/entry.aspx?id=1085.

District of Kirklees (2022), Kirklees Iroko Project online presence: Iroko Project Trustee, available at https://kirklees.tal.net/vx/lang-en-GB/mobile-0/appcentre-1/brand-4/candidate/so/pm/1/pl/4/opp/6647-Iroko-Project-Trustee-Iroko-Project/en-GB.

Krings T. et al. (2013), *New mobilities in Europe: Polish migration to Ireland post-2004,* Manchester University Press, Manchester.

Office de Consultation Publique de Montréal (OCPM) (2020), Racism and systemic discrimination in the competences of the City of Montréal, in French, https://ocpm.qc.ca/fr/r%26ds.

Ruedin D. and Fehlmann J. (2022), *Panorama de la diversité au sein du personnel de l'administration du Canton de Neuchâtel,* SFM Studies # 79, Université de Neuchâtel and République et Canton de Neuchâtel, in French, https://libra.unine.ch/handle/123456789/30826.

Unia, Online data hub available, in Flemish or French, at: www.equalitydata.unia.be/nl/.

Unia and Federal Public Service for Justice (2021), Final Report – Improving equality data collection in Belgium, https://www.unia.be/en/publications-statistics/publications/data-on-inequality-discrimination-in-belgium.

Sales agents for publications of the Council of Europe
Agents de vente des publications du Conseil de l'Europe

Systemic discrimination is not immediately visible and is rarely the result of specific intent. Yet, it drives entrenched disadvantage and inequality for many groups in society. As this is a complex phenomenon to identify, the collection and analysis of equality data are indispensable.

Resulting from the collaborative work of local, regional and national authorities, this training manual addresses the need to combat and prevent systemic discrimination by focusing on data collection and processing.

This training manual will assist stakeholders in acquiring the necessary skills to develop suitable structures and systems, at all levels of governance, to effectively address systemic discrimination and drive the change needed.

This manual specifically draws from the work on systemic discrimination undertaken by the Intercultural Cities (ICC) Programme of the Council of Europe.

Each module of the manual includes material on approaches to collecting and analysing equality data, alongside "food-for-thought" exercises, quizzes, checklists and reference documents. It also features a glossary of key intercultural terms as an appendix.

ENG

The Council of Europe is the continent's leading human rights organisation. It comprises 46 member states, including all members of the European Union. All Council of Europe member states have signed up to the European Convention on Human Rights, a treaty designed to protect human rights, democracy and the rule of law. The European Court of Human Rights oversees the implementation of the Convention in the member states.

www.coe.int

COUNCIL OF EUROPE

CONSEIL DE L'EUROPE