



**Reference data 2018 (01/01/2018 - 31/12/2018)**

**Start/end date of the data collection campaign : 01/03/2019 - 01/10/2019**

**Objective :**

The CEPEJ decided, at its 31th plenary meeting, to launch the eighth evaluation cycle 2018 – 2020, focused on 2018 data. The CEPEJ wishes to use the methodology developed in the previous cycles to get, with the support of its national correspondents' network, a general evaluation of the judicial systems in the 47 member states of the Council of Europe as well as three observer states (Israel, Morocco and Kazakhstan). This will enable policy makers and judicial practitioners to take account of such unique information when carrying out their activities.

The present questionnaire was adapted by the Working group on evaluation of judicial systems (CEPEJ-GT-EVAL) in view of the previous evaluation cycles and considering the comments submitted by CEPEJ members, observers, experts and national correspondents. The aim of this exercise is to increase awareness of judicial systems in the participating states, to compare the functioning of judicial systems in their various aspects, as well as to have a better knowledge of the trends of the judicial organisation in order to help improve the efficiency of justice. The evaluation questionnaire and the analysis of the results becomes a genuine tool in favour of public policies on justice, for the sake of the European citizens.

**Instruction :**

The ways to use the application and to answer the questions are guided by two main documents:

- User manual
- Explanatory note

While the explanatory note gives definitions and explanations on the CEPEJ evaluation questionnaire and the methodology needed for replying, the User manual is a tool to help you navigate through this application. You can download the Explanatory note as a whole on the CEPEJ website. The specific explanations are also accessible for each question within this application under the tab "Explanatory note". This will serve as immediate consultation tool when answering questions. The user manual is accessible in the "Documentation" tab of the application.

In case you have any questions related to these documents or on the use of the application, please do not hesitate to contact the Secretariat.

---

## 1.General and financial information

### 1.1.Demographic and economic data

#### 1.1.1.Inhabitants and economic general information



##### 001. Number of inhabitants (if possible on 1 January of the reference year +1)

[ 10 741 165 ]

Comments

**002. Total of annual public expenditure at state level and where appropriate, public expenditure at regional or federal entity level (in €)**

	Amount
State or federal level	86 746 000 000 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Regional / federal entity level (total for all regions / federal entities)	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

**003. Per capita GDP (in €) in current prices for the reference year**

[ 16 736 ]

Comments The data provided correspond to 2017. The data for 2018 will be available on summer 2020 ([http://www.statistics.gr/news-announcements/-/asset\\_publisher/oj6VK3PQ0oCe/content/nws-gdp-oct](http://www.statistics.gr/news-announcements/-/asset_publisher/oj6VK3PQ0oCe/content/nws-gdp-oct)).

**004. Average gross annual salary (in €) for the reference year**

[            ]  
 NA

Comments

**005. Exchange rate of national currency (non-Euro zone) in € on 1 January of the reference year +1**

[            ]  
Allow decimals : 5  
 NAP

Comments

**A1. Please indicate the sources for answering questions 1 to 5**

Sources: Hellenic Statistical Authority  
Bank of Greece

**1.1.2. Budgetary data concerning judicial system**



**006. Annual (approved and implemented) public budget allocated to the functioning of all courts, in € (without the budget of the public prosecution services and without the budget of legal aid). If you cannot separate the budget allocated to the courts from the budgets of public prosecution services and/or legal aid, please go to question 7. If you are able to answer this question 6, please answer NA to the question 7.**

	Approved budget (in €)	Implemented budget (in €)
<b>TOTAL - Annual public budget allocated to the functioning of all courts (1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5 + 6 + 7)</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>1. Annual public budget allocated to (gross) salaries</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2. Annual public budget allocated to computerisation</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>3. Annual public budget allocated to justice expenses (expertise, interpretation, etc.)</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>4. Annual public budget allocated to court buildings (maintenance, operating costs)</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>5. Annual public budget allocated to investments in new (court) buildings</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>6. Annual public budget allocated to training</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>7. Other (please specify)</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP

Please indicate any useful comment to explain the figures provided. If the annual public budget allocated to the functioning of all courts actually implemented is different from the approved annual public budget allocated to the functioning of all courts, please indicate the main reasons for the differences:

**007. If you cannot answer question 6 because you cannot isolate the public budget allocated to courts from the budget allocated to public prosecution services and/or legal aid, please fill only the appropriate line in the table according to your system:**

	Approved budget (in €)	Implemented budget (in €)
<b>Total annual public budget allocated to all courts and the public prosecution services together</b>	511 320 664 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	552 747 465 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>Total annual public budget allocated to all courts and legal aid together</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>Total annual public budget allocated to all courts, public prosecution services and legal aid together</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments - Please indicate any useful comment to explain the figures provided. If the annual public budget actually implemented is different from the approved annual public budget, please indicate the main reasons for the differences:

**008. Are litigants in general required to pay a court fee to start a proceeding at a court of general jurisdiction:**

	<b>Litigants required to pay a court fee to start a proceeding at a court of general jurisdiction ?</b>
<b>for criminal cases</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No
<b>for other than criminal cases</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No

If there are exceptions to the rule to pay these court fees, could you please provide comments on those exceptions?

**008-1. Please briefly present the methodology of calculation of these court fees:**

- Concerning the Administrative Tribunals

The deposit as a rule is standard and it varies from 25 to 300 Euros according to the nature of the litigation with the exemption of a) the fiscal and customs cases and b) the interim measures concerning the public procurement cases where the deposit is proportional. Besides, for the admissibility of the claim for damage, there is a proportional judicial stamp. Ref. Law 2717/1999 art. 277 as amended by law 4446/2016 art. 37 There is an exception for the State, the Local Government Authorities and the Legal Entities of Public Law from the court fees, the deposit and the judicial stamp. Ref. Law 2579/1998 art. 28 par. 4 maintained in force by Law 2717/1999 art.285

Concerning the Civil and Penal Justice

B. The calculation of the Court fees is based on the following legislation:

1. the Code of Civil Procedure, which provides for the calculation in detail on the allocation of the Court fees among the litigating Parties (art. 179-193)
2. the Lawyers' Code, which provides for the calculation of the lawyers' fees.

The combination of these two codes and of some supplementary provisions (found in other legislation, applying in cases meeting specific criteria), regulate the calculation of Court fees.

**008-2. The amount of court fees requested to commence an action for 3000€ debt recovery:**

- [ ]
- [ X ] NA
- [ ] NAP

Comments

**009. Annual income of court fees received by the State (in €):**

- [ 128 674 943 ]
- [ ] NA
- [ ] NAP

Comments For the year 2018, we had an increase in our court fees revenues due to the increase of the number of applications, lawsuits and other court material.

**012. Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid, in €.**

<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>Criminal cases</b>	<b>Other than criminal cases</b>
--------------	-----------------------	----------------------------------

<b>TOTAL - Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid (12.1 + 12.2)</b>	21 323 380 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>12.1 for cases brought to court (court fees and/or legal representation)</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>12.2 for cases not brought to court (legal advice, ADR and other legal services)</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments The difference in the approved and implemented budget is because the approved budget concerns economic obligations not only of 2018 but also of previous years.

### 012-1. Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid, in €.

	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>Criminal cases</b>	<b>Other than criminal cases</b>
<b>TOTAL - Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid (12-1.1 + 12-1.2)</b>	7 026 655 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>12-1.1 for cases brought to court (court fees and/or legal representation)</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>12-1.2 for cases not brought to court (legal advice, ADR and other legal services)</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP

If the public budget actually implemented regarding legal aid is different from the annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid, please indicate the main reasons for the differences: The difference in the approved and implemented budget is because the approved budget concerns economic obligations not only of 2018 but also of previous years.

### 013. Annual (approved and implemented) public budget allocated to the public prosecution services, in €.

	<b>Approved budget (in €)</b>	<b>Implemented budget (in €)</b>
<b>Total annual public budget allocated to the public prosecution services, in € (including 13.1)</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>13.1. Annual public budget allocated to training of public prosecution services</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP

Please indicate any useful comment to explain the figures provided. Moreover, if the annual public budget allocated to the public prosecution services actually implemented is different from the approved annual public budget, please indicate the main reasons for the differences:

### 014. Authorities formally responsible for the budgets allocated to the courts (multiple options possible):

<b>Preparation of the total court budget</b>	<b>Adoption/approval of the total court budget</b>	<b>Management and allocation of the budget among the courts</b>	<b>Evaluation of the use of the budget at a national level</b>

<b>Ministry of Justice</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes ( X ) No [ ] NAP	( X ) Yes ( ) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes ( X ) No [ ] NAP
<b>Other ministry</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No [ ] NAP	( X ) Yes ( ) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes ( X ) No [ ] NAP	( X ) Yes ( ) No [ ] NAP
<b>Parliament</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No [ ] NAP	( X ) Yes ( ) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes ( X ) No [ ] NAP	( X ) Yes ( ) No [ ] NAP
<b>Supreme Court</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes ( X ) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes ( X ) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes ( X ) No [ ] NAP
<b>High Judicial Council</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes ( X ) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes ( X ) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes ( X ) No [ ] NAP
<b>Courts</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes ( X ) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes ( X ) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes ( X ) No [ ] NAP
<b>Inspection body</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes ( X ) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes ( X ) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes ( X ) No [ ] NAP
<b>Other</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes ( X ) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes ( X ) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes ( X ) No [ ] NAP

If any other Ministry and/or inspection body and/or other, please specify:

**014-1. (Former question 61) Who is entrusted with responsibilities related to the budget within the court?**

	<b>Preparation of the budget</b>	<b>Arbitration and allocation of the budget</b>	<b>Day to day management of the budget</b>	<b>Evaluation and control of the use of the budget</b>
<b>Management Board</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>Court President</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>Court administrative director</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>Head of the court clerk office</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>Other</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No

Comments - If "other", please specify:

**A2. Please indicate the sources for answering questions 6 to 14:**

Sources: Hellenic Ministry of Justice - Budgeting Dpt,  
General Commission of the State,  
Supreme Court,  
Council of State

### 1.1.3. Budgetary data concerning the whole justice system

015-1. Annual (approved and implemented) public budget allocated to the whole justice system, in € (this global budget includes the judicial system budget - see 15-2 and other elements of the justice system - see 15-3)

	Approved budget (in €)	Implemented budget (in €)
Total annual public budget allocated to the whole justice system in €	667 460 664 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	690 980 622 [ ] NA [ ] NAP

Please indicate any useful comment to explain the figures provided above and specify if a large portion of the budget allocated to the whole justice system comes from an international organisation. Moreover, if the annual public budget allocated to the whole justice system actually implemented is different from the approved annual public budget, please indicate the main reasons for the differences:

### 015-2. Elements of the judicial system budget (Q6, Q7, Q12 and Q13)

	Included	Not included	Does not exist (NAP)
Courts (see question 6 or 7)	( X )	( )	( )
Legal aid (see question 12 or 7)	( X )	( )	( )
Public prosecution services (see question 13 or 7)	( X )	( )	( )

### 015-3. Other budgetary elements

	Included	Not included	Does not exist (NAP)
Prison system	( X )	( )	( )
Probation services	( X )	( )	( )
High Judicial Council	( X )	( )	( )
Constitutional court	( )	( )	( X )
Judicial management body	( X )	( )	( )
State advocacy	( )	( X )	( )
Enforcement services	( )	( X )	( )
Notariat	( X )	( )	( )
Forensic services	( X )	( )	( )

Judicial protection of juveniles	( X )	( )	( )
Functioning of the Ministry of Justice	( X )	( )	( )
Refugees and asylum seekers services	( )	( X )	( )
Immigration Service	( )	( X )	( )
Some police services (e.g. : transfer, investigation, prisoners' security)	( )	( X )	( )
Other	( )	( X )	( )

If "other", please specify:

### A3. Please indicate the sources for answering questions 15-1, 15-2 and 15-3:

Sources:
----------

## 2. Access to justice and all courts

### 2.1. Legal Aid

#### 2.1.1. Scope of legal aid

016. Does legal aid apply to:

	Criminal cases	Other than criminal cases
Representation in court	( X ) Yes ( ) No [ ] NA [ ] NAP	( X ) Yes ( ) No [ ] NA [ ] NAP
Legal advice, ADR and other legal services	( X ) Yes ( ) No [ ] NA [ ] NAP	( X ) Yes ( ) No [ ] NA [ ] NAP

016-1. Please briefly describe the organisation of the legal aid system in your country both before going to court and during court proceedings.

- Concerning criminal cases: The legal aid system is regulated by L. 3226/2004 as amended by L. 4596/2019 For other than criminal case: Ref. Administrative Litigation Code (Law 2717/1999 art. 276, 276A), Presidential Decree 18/1989 (art. 37) and for legal aid to asylum seekers, Law 4375/2016 (art. 46 and 44) which refers to the procedure laid down by Law 3226/2004.
---



**017. Does legal aid include the coverage of or the exemption from court fees?**

Yes

No

NAP

If yes, please specify:

**018. Can legal aid be granted for the fees that are related to the enforcement of judicial decisions (e.g. fees of an enforcement agent)?**

Yes

No

NAP

If yes, please specify: Exoneration from paying court fees in civil and commercial cases covers court bailiffs' fees.

**019. Can legal aid be granted for other costs (different from those mentioned in questions 16 to 18, e.g. fees of technical advisors or experts, costs of other legal professionals (notaries), travel costs etc.)?**

	Criminal cases	Other than criminal cases
Legal aid granted for other costs	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If yes, please specify:

**2.1.2. Information on legal aid**

**020. Please indicate the number of cases for which legal aid has been granted:**

	Total	Cases brought to court	Cases not brought to court
<b>TOTAL</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>In criminal cases</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>In other than criminal cases</b>	657 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	20 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - Please specify when appropriate: From the 657 cases, 637 correspond to cases from administrative disputes in general, while 20 cases correspond to the Council of State (the same 20 cases that were brought to court). More specifically, for the Council of State and for 2018, 52 applications were submitted, 20 of which were accepted.

**021. In criminal cases, can individuals who do not have sufficient financial means be assisted by a free of charge (or financed by a public budget) lawyer?**

	Assisted by a free of charge lawyer
Accused individuals	( X ) Yes ( ) No
Victims	( X ) Yes ( ) No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

**022. In criminal cases are these individuals free to choose their lawyer within the framework of the legal aid system?**

	free selection of lawyer
Accused individuals	( ) Yes ( X ) No [ ] NAP
Victims	( ) Yes ( X ) No [ ] NAP

Comments

**023-0. Does your country have an income and assets evaluation for granting full or partial legal aid?**

( X ) Yes

( ) No

Comments - Please indicate if any other criteria are taken into account for the granting of legal aid and any comment that could explain the data provided above:

**023. If yes, please specify in the table:**

	Annual income value (for one person), (in €)	Assets value (for one person), (in €)
Full legal aid to the applicant for criminal cases	5 500 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
Full legal aid to the applicant for other than criminal cases	5 500 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
Partial legal aid to the applicant for criminal cases	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
Partial legal aid to the applicant for other than criminal cases	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP

**024. Is it possible to refuse legal aid for lack of merit of the case (for example for frivolous action or no chance of success)?**

( ) Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please explain the exact criteria for denying legal aid:

**025. Is the decision to grant or refuse legal aid taken by:**

the court

an authority external to the court

a mixed authority (court and external bodies)

Comments

**026. Is there a private system of legal expense insurance enabling individuals (this does not concern companies or other legal persons) to finance court proceedings?**

Yes

No

Comments - If appropriate, please inform about the current development of such insurances in your country; is it a growing phenomenon?

**027. Can judicial decisions direct how legal costs, paid by the parties during the procedure, will be shared:**

	Judicial decisions direct how legal costs will be shared
in criminal cases	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
in other than criminal cases	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Comments

**B1. Please indicate the sources for answering questions 20 and 23 :**

Sources: General Commission of the State, Areios Pagos (Supreme Court), Council of State.

**2.2. Court users and victims**

**2.2.1. Rights of the users and victims**

**028. Are there official internet sites/portals (e.g. Ministry of Justice, etc.) where general public may have free of charge access to the following:**

	Yes	Internet adresse(es)
legal texts (e.g. codes, laws, regulations, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <a href="http://www.ministryofjustice.gr/site/el.aspx">http://www.ministryofjustice.gr/site/el.aspx</a>

case-law of the higher court/s	( )	( X ) <a href="http://www.areiospagos.gr/">http://www.areiospagos.gr/</a> , <a href="http://www.adjustice.gr/">http://www.adjustice.gr/</a>
other documents (e.g. downloadable forms, online registration)	( )	( X ) <a href="http://www.adjustice.gr/">http://www.adjustice.gr/</a> , <a href="http://www.nsk.gr/">http://www.nsk.gr/</a>

Please specify what documents and information are included in “other documents”: For the Council of State: model forms for: a) General applications, b) withdrawal from writs c) for fixing a Court hearing (to the President of the Court and a separate one for the President of the chamber), d) submission of a Cash order, e) engrossment of a judgement.

### 029. Is there an obligation to provide information to the parties concerning the foreseeable timeframes of proceedings?

( ) Yes, always

( X ) No

( ) Yes, only in some specific situations

Comments - If yes, only in some specific situations, please specify: There is no such obligation, nevertheless Litigants can always have an overview and follow the progress of their cases, using a personal code Number.

Council of state and Administrative Justice: [http://www.adjustice.gr/webcenter/portal/ste/ypiresies/ypotheseis?\\_adf.ctrl-state=gjnpzshib\\_632&\\_afLoop=38012252479346933#!](http://www.adjustice.gr/webcenter/portal/ste/ypiresies/ypotheseis?_adf.ctrl-state=gjnpzshib_632&_afLoop=38012252479346933#!)

Areios pagos (for criminal cases): <http://www.areiospagos.gr/>

For Civil cases (all levels of jurisdiction): <https://extapps.solon.gov.gr/mojwp/faces/TrackLdoPublic>

### 030. Is there a public and free-of-charge specific information system to inform and to help victims of offences?

( X ) Yes

( ) No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

### 031. Are there special favourable arrangements to be applied, during judicial proceedings, to the following categories of vulnerable persons:

	Information mechanism	Special arrangements in hearings	Other specific arrangements
Victims of sexual violence/rape	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
Victims of terrorism	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
Minors (witnesses or victims)	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
Victims of domestic violence	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
Ethnic minorities	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
Disabled persons	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No

<b>Juvenile offenders</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Other (e.g. victims of human trafficking, forced marriage, sexual mutilation)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Comments - If “other vulnerable person” and/or “other special arrangements”, please specify:

**031-1. Is it possible for minors to be a party to a judicial proceeding:**

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify which procedures can be concerned (civil, criminal, administrative / normal or accelerated procedure) and at which conditions (can minor benefit from legal aid, be represented by a lawyer, etc.): They may be represented to Civil, criminal and administrative proceedings by the person having their parental responsibility. They can also be heard as witnesses in criminal proceedings (art. 221,227-228 Code of Penal Procedure)

**032. Does your country allocate compensation for victims of offences?**

Yes, please specify for which kind of offences: Under the provisions of Law 3811/2009 on Compensation to Violent Crime Victims and Other Provisions, all victims of violent crimes have the right to apply for compensation if they fulfil the legal criteria.

No

Comments

**032-1. Is a court decision necessary in the framework of the compensation procedure?**

Yes

No

Comments It should be noted that according L.3811/2009 the victim’s claim for compensation by the State (public authorities) arises in the following cases: a) when, following an irrevocable conviction, the offender lacks the financial means required to satisfy the above claim, b) when, in case of prosecution initiated against a person or persons unknown, the offender cannot be identified, c) when the offender cannot be prosecuted due to the prosecution having been terminated by order of the competent Public Prosecutor and d) when, due to an irrevocable acquittal decree, issued by the competent Judicial Council, or an irrevocable acquittal decision issued by the Court, there can be no penalty imposed on the alleged perpetrator.

**033. If yes, does this compensation come from:**

a public fund

damages and interests to be paid by the person responsible

a private fund

Comments

**034. Are there studies that evaluate the recovery rate of the damages awarded by courts to victims?**

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please illustrate with available data concerning the recovery rate, the title of the studies, the frequency of the studies and the coordinating body:

**035. Do public prosecutors have a specific role with respect to victims (protection and assistance)?**

Yes

( ) No

Comments - If yes, please specify: Public prosecutors have a specific role (Protection and Assistance) with respect to violent crime minors and violent crime victims of domestic violence.

**036. Do victims of offences have the right to dispute a public prosecutor's decision to discontinue a case? Please verify the consistency of your answer with that of the question 105 regarding the possibility for a public prosecutor "to discontinue a case without needing a decision by a judge". (The answer NAP means that the public prosecutor cannot decide to discontinue a case on his/her own. A decision by a judge is needed.)**

( X ) Yes

( ) No

[ ] NAP

Comments - If necessary, please specify:

**037. Is there a system for compensating users in the following circumstances:**

	Number of requests for compensation	Number of condemnations	Total amount (in €)
<b>Total</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>Excessive length of proceedings</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>Non-execution of court decisions</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>Wrongful arrest</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>Wrongful conviction</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>Other</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments - Where appropriate, please give details on the compensation procedure and the calculation method for the amount of the compensation (e.g. the amount per day for unjustified detentions or convictions): Chapter C L.4055/2012 and Articles 1-7 L.4239/2014 provide for the compensation system for the cases brought before administrative, Civil and Criminal courts.

Furthermore, according to Criminal Procedure Court there is a provisional compensation between 8,804 euro and 29,347 euro per day, for the wrongly convicted, which is granted by a relevant judicial decision.

## **2.2.2 Confidence and satisfaction of citizens with their justice system**

**038. Does your country implement surveys aimed at legal professionals and court users to measure their trust in justice and their satisfaction with the services delivered by the judicial system? If yes, how frequently and up to what level?**

	National level	Court level
1. Surveys aimed at judges	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
2. Surveys aimed at court staff	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
3. Surveys aimed at public prosecutors	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
4. Surveys aimed at lawyers	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
5. Surveys aimed at the parties	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
6. Surveys aimed at other court users (e.g. jurors, witnesses, experts, interpreters, representatives of governmental agencies, NGOs)	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
7. Surveys aimed at victims	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
8. Other not mentioned	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc

Comments - Please, indicate the references and links to the satisfaction surveys you mentioned above: There are no such surveys

**040. Is there a national or local procedure for filing complaints about the functioning of the judicial system? (for example, handling of the case by a judge or the duration of a proceeding)**

( X ) Yes

( ) No

Comments

**041. If yes, please specify certain aspects of this procedure:**

	Authority responsible for dealing with the complaint	Existence of a time limit to deal with the complaint for this authority
Court concerned	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Higher court	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Ministry of Justice	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

<b>High Judicial Council</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>Other external bodies (e.g. Ombudsman)</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No

Comments

**041-1. If yes, please specify certain aspects of this procedure:**

	<b>Number of complaints</b>	<b>Compensation amount granted</b>
<b>Court concerned</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>Higher court</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
<b>Ministry of Justice</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
<b>High Judicial Council</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
<b>Other external bodies (e.g. Ombudsman)</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP

Comments - If possible, please give information concerning the efficiency of this complaint procedure and any useful comment: Only specific court formations are competent to deal with such complaints. As far as the Ministry of Justice is concerned, it does not compensate the complainant, but only reimburses, due to the lack of relevant budget of the courts.

### 3. Organisation of the court system

#### 3.1. Courts

##### 3.1.1. Number of courts

**042. Number of courts considered as legal entities (administrative structures) and geographic locations.**

	<b>Number of courts</b>
<b>42.1 First instance courts of general jurisdiction (legal entities)</b>	289 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>42.2 First instance specialised courts (legal entities)</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>42.3 All the courts (geographic locations) (this includes 1st instance courts of general jurisdiction, first instance specialised courts, all second instance courts and courts of appeal and all Supreme Courts)</b>	319 [ ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments



**043. Number (legal entities) of first instance specialised courts (or specific judicial order)**

	Number of courts
<b>Total (must be the same as the data given under question 42.2)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Commercial courts (excluded insolvency courts)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Insolvency courts</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Labour courts</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Family courts</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Rent and tenancies courts</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Enforcement of criminal sanctions courts</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Fight against terrorism, organised crime and corruption</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Internet related disputes</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Administrative courts</b>	30 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Insurance and / or social welfare courts</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Military courts</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Other specialised 1st instance courts</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If "other specialised 1st instance courts", please specify:

**044. Is there a foreseen change in the structure of courts [for example a reduction of the number of courts (geographic locations) or a change in the powers of courts]?**

Yes

No

Comments - Please specify:

**045. Number of first instance courts (geographic locations) competent for a case concerning:**

	Number of courts
a debt collection for small claims	155 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
an employment dismissal	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
a robbery	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
an insolvency case	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments

**045-1. Is your definition for small claims the same as the one in the Explanatory note?**

( X ) Yes

( ) No

Comments - If not, please give your definition for small claims:

**045-2. Please indicate the value in € of a small claim:**

[ 5 000 ]

Comments

**C. Please indicate the sources for answering questions 42, 43 and 45:**

Sources: Areios Pagos (Supreme Court), Ministry of Justice, General Commission of the State, Code of Civil procedure

**3.2. Court staff**

**3.2.1. Judges and non-judge staff**



**046. Number of professional judges sitting in courts (if possible on 31 December of the reference year). (Please give the information in full-time equivalent and for permanent posts actually filled for all types of courts - general jurisdiction and specialised courts )**

	Total	Males	Females
Total number of professional judges (1 + 2 + 3)	2 874 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP

<b>1. Number of first instance professional judges</b>	1 720 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2. Number of second instance (court of appeal) professional judges</b>	911 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>3. Number of Supreme Court professional judges</b>	243 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comment - Please provide any useful comment for interpreting the data above: There is not a specific reason for the discrepancy of point 3. The number 243 is a result of the subtraction of points 1 and 2 from the total number of professional judges (1+2+3), just as last year.

**047. Number of court presidents (professional judges).**

	<b>Total</b>	<b>Males</b>	<b>Females</b>
<b>Total number of court presidents (1 + 2 + 3)</b>	123 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>1. Number of first instance court presidents</b>	93 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2. Number of second instance (court of appeal) court presidents</b>	28 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>3. Number of Supreme Court presidents</b>	2 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

**048. Number of professional judges sitting in courts on an occasional basis and who are paid as such (if possible on 31 December of the reference year):**

	<b>Figure</b>
<b>Gross figure</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>In full-time equivalent</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If necessary, please provide comments to explain the answer provided:

**048-1. Do these professional judges sitting in courts on an occasional basis deal with a significant part of cases?**

- Yes If yes, please give specifications on the types of cases and an estimate in percentage. ....
- No
- NAP

Comments

**049. Number of non-professional judges who are not remunerated but who can possibly receive a simple defrayal of costs (if possible on 31 December of the reference year) (e.g. lay judges or “juges consulaires”, but not arbitrators or persons sitting in a jury):**

	Figure
Gross figure	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
In full time equivalent	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

**049-1. If such non-professional judges exist at first instance in your country, please specify for which types of cases:**

	Yes	No	Echevinage
criminal cases (severe)	( )	( )	( )
criminal cases (misdemeanour and/or minor)	( )	( )	( )
family law cases	( )	( )	( )
labour law cases	( )	( )	( )
social law cases	( )	( )	( )
commercial law cases	( )	( )	( )
insolvency cases	( )	( )	( )
other civil cases	( )	( )	( )

NAP

Comments - If “other”, please specify:

**050. Does your judicial system include trial by jury with the participation of citizens?**

Yes

No

Comments

**050-1. If yes, for which type of case(s)?**

Criminal cases

Other than criminal cases

Comments

**051. Number of citizens who were involved in such juries for the year of reference:**

[            ]

NA

NAP

Comments

**052. Number of non-judge staff who are working in courts (if possible on 31 December of the reference year) (this data should not include the staff working for public prosecutors; see question 60) (please give the information in full-time equivalent and for permanent posts actually filled)**

	Total	Males	Females
<b>Total non-judge staff working in courts (1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5)</b>	4 179 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	1 112 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	3 067 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>1. Rechtspfleger (or similar bodies) with judicial or quasi-judicial tasks having autonomous competence and whose decisions could be subject to appeal</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2. Non-judge staff whose task is to assist the judges such as registrars (case file preparation, assistance during the hearing, court recording, helping to draft the decisions)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>3. Staff in charge of different administrative tasks and of the management of the courts (human resources management, material and equipment management, including computer systems, financial and budgetary management, training management)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>4. Technical staff</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>5. Other non-judge staff</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If "other non-judge staff", please specify:

**052-1. Number of non-judge staff by instance (if possible on 31 December of the reference year) (this data should not include the staff working for public prosecutors; see question 60) (please give the information in full-time equivalent and for permanent posts actually filled)**

	Total	Males	Females
<b>Total non-judge staff working in courts (1+2+3)</b>	4 179 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	1 112 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	3 067 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

<b>1. Total non-judge staff working in courts at first instance level</b>	3 330 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2. Total non-judge staff working in courts at second instance (court of appeal) level</b>	722 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>3. Total non-judge staff working in courts at Supreme Court level</b>	127 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments

**053. If there are Rechtspfleger (or similar bodies) in your judicial system, please specify in which fields do they have a role:**

- legal aid
- family cases
- payment orders
- registry cases (land and/or business registry cases)
- enforcement of civil cases
- enforcement of criminal cases
- other cases not mentioned (please describe in comment)
- non-litigious cases
- NAP

Comments - Please briefly describe their status and duties:

**054. Have the courts outsourced certain services under their responsibilities to external providers?**

- Yes
- No

Comments

**054-1. If yes, please specify which services have been outsourced:**

- IT services
- Training of staff
- Security
- Archives
- Cleaning
- Other types of services (please specify): .....

Comments

**C1. Please indicate the sources for answering questions 46, 47, 48, 49 and 52**

Sources: Hellenic Ministry of Justice

### 3.3. Public prosecution

#### 3.3.1. Public prosecutors and staff

055. Number of public prosecutors (on 31 December of the reference year). Please give the information in full-time equivalent and for permanent posts actually filled for all types of courts - general jurisdiction and specialised courts.

	Total	Males	Females
Total number of prosecutors (1 + 2 + 3)	585 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
1. Number of prosecutors at first instance level	375 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
2. Number of prosecutors at second instance (court of appeal) level	188 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
3. Number of prosecutors at Supreme Court level	22 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP

Please indicate any useful comment for interpreting the data above:

056. Number of heads of prosecution offices.

	Total	Males	Females
Total number of heads of prosecution offices (1 + 2 + 3)	83 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
1. Number of heads of prosecution offices at first instance level	63 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
2. Number of heads of prosecution offices at second instance (court of appeal) level	19 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
3. Number of heads of prosecution offices at Supreme Court level	1 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP

Please provide any useful comment for interpreting the data above:

057. Do other persons have similar duties to those of public prosecutors?

( X ) Yes

( ) No

Comments - If yes, please specify their title and functions:

057-1. Please specify their number (in full-time equivalent):

[            ]  
[ X ] NA

**059. If yes, is their number included in the number of public prosecutors that you have indicated under question 55?**

Yes

No

NAP

Comments

**059-1. Do prosecution offices have specially trained prosecutors in domestic violence and sexual violence ?**

Yes

No

Comments

**060. Number of staff (non-public prosecutors) attached to the public prosecution services (on 31 December of the reference year) (without the number of non-judge staff, see question 52) (in full-time equivalent and for permanent posts actually filled).**

	Total	Males	Females
Number of staff (non-public prosecutors) attached to the public prosecution service	1 612 <input type="checkbox"/> NA	464 <input type="checkbox"/> NA	1 148 <input type="checkbox"/> NA

Comments

**C2. Please indicate the sources for answering questions 55, 56 and 60**

Sources: Ministry of Justice

### 3.4. Gender equality

#### 3.4.1 Specific provisions for facilitating gender equality

**061-2. Are there specific provisions for facilitating gender equality within the framework of the procedures for recruiting :**

	Yes, please specify	No
judges	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
prosecutors	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
non-judge staff	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>



lawyers	( )	( X )
notaries	( )	( X )
enforcement agents	( )	( X )

[ ] NA

Comments - if the situation changed since the reference year, please specify in the comments. If you have additional comments please specify:

**061-3. Are there specific provisions for facilitating gender equality within the framework of the procedures for promoting :**

	Yes, please specify	No
judges	( )	( X )
prosecutors	( )	( X )
non-judge staff	( )	( X )
lawyers	( )	( X )
notaries	( )	( X )
enforcement agents	( )	( X )

[ ] NA

Comments - if the situation changed since the reference year, please specify in the comments. If you have additional comments please specify:

**3.4.2 At national level**

**061-4. Do you have, at national level, one or more recent surveys or reports related to - wholly or partly - the distribution males/females within the judicial system concerning:**

	Yes	No
judges	( )	( X )
prosecutors	( )	( X )
non-judge staff	( )	( X )
lawyers	( )	( X )
notaries	( )	( X )
enforcement agents	( )	( X )

Comments - If the situation changed since the reference year, please specify in the comments. Could you specify the reference or internet link of this/these document(s) or send it/them to us?

**061-5. Is there a national programme or an orientation document to promote males/females equality within the judicial system?**

( ) Yes

( X ) No

Comments - if the situation changed since the reference year, please specify in the comments. Could you specify the reference or internet link of this/these document(s) or send it/them to us?

**061-6. At national level, is there any specific person (e.g. an equal opportunities commissioner)/institution dealing with gender issues in the justice system concerning:**

	Yes, please specify	No
the recruitment of judges	( )	( X )
the promotion of judges	( )	( X )
the recruitment of prosecutors	( )	( X )
the promotion of prosecutors	( )	( X )
the recruitment of non-judge staff	( )	( X )
the promotion of non-judge staff	( )	( X )

Comments - if other than recruitment and/or promotion, please specify. If the situation changed since the reference year, please specify in the comments

**061-6-1. Please specify the text which set up this person/institution :**

(title, date, nature of the text)

[ X ] NAP

**061-6-2. Please specify the status of this person/institution:**

(e.g. independent, attached to the Ministry of Justice, to the High Judicial Council or equivalent or to an inter-ministerial institution specifically dedicated to gender equality)

[ X ] NAP

**061-6-3. Please specify if this person/institution has an information and consultative function or if**

its opinions/decisions have legal consequences:

(e.g. block a decision or allow an appeal)

[ X ] NAP

### 3.4.3 At court/public prosecution services level

**061-7. At the court or public prosecution services level, is there a person (e.g. an equal opportunities commissioner)/institution specifically dedicated to ensure the respect of gender equality in the organisation of judicial work:**

	Yes	No
in courts (judges)	( )	( X )
in public prosecution services (prosecutors)	( )	( X )
for courts' non-judge staff	( )	( X )

Comments - If yes, please specify their titles and tasks. If the situation changed since the reference year, please specify in the comments.

**061-8. Does the feminisation of certain functions, if it exists in your country, within courts or public prosecution services, lead to concrete changes in the organisation of the work in the following areas:**

	Yes	No
Assignment in different positions	( )	( X )
Workload distribution	( )	( X )
Working hours	( )	( X )
Modalities of teleworking and presence in the work space	( )	( X )
Replacement of absent persons	( )	( X )
Organisation of the hearings	( )	( X )
Other	( )	( X )

Comments - If other, please specify. Could you also indicate concrete examples referring to the various possibilities mentioned? If the situation changed since the reference year, please specify in the comments.

**061-9. In order to improve gender balance in access to different judicial professions and equality in promotion and in access to functions of responsibility, what are the measures, in your country,**

**which:**

have been already implemented (please specify) :

are planned (please specify) :

Comments - If the situation changed since reference year, please specify in the comments.

NAP

**061-10. In your judicial system, and eventually based on evaluation, studies or official reports, what are the main causes of inequalities in:**

recruitment procedures (please specify):

promotion procedures and access to the functions of responsibility (please specify) :

Comments - If the situation changed since reference year, please specify in the comments.

NAP

**061-11. In your courts, is there particular attention given to gender issues regarding the public and users of justice, in particular:**

	Yes, please specify	No
<b>judges and court staff are more chosen among males or females according to the type of cases</b>	( )	( X )

the composition of hearings with several judges is always mixed	( )	( X )
statistics exist concerning males and females who initiate a case/victims, accused persons, etc.	( )	( X )

Comments - if you have additional comments please specify. If the situation changed since reference year, please specify in the comments.

### 3.5 Use of information technologies in courts

#### 3.5.1 General policies in Information Technology in judicial systems

##### 062-1. Basic principles and models used in Information technology policies and strategies definition

	Organisation
IT policies and strategies	<input type="checkbox"/> defined and coordinated at national level by one institution <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> defined and coordinated at national level by several institutions <input type="checkbox"/> defined and coordinated at unit/stakeholder level <input type="checkbox"/> other
IT Governance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> governed on national level by one institution <input type="checkbox"/> governed on national level by several institutions <input type="checkbox"/> organised at unit/stakeholder level <input type="checkbox"/> other

Comments

##### 065-1. In case there is a national structure in charge of the strategic policy making and governance of the judicial system modernisation (including also IT) what is the composition of this structure?

- administrative, technical and scientific staff only  
 mixed teams of judicial staff (judges/prosecutors/etc.) and administrative/technical/scientific staff  
 other (please specify in a comment)

Comments - (please specify if there are other modernisation approaches that have been implemented):

##### 065-2. Which is the organisational model primarily chosen for conducting structural IT projects in courts and the management of applications (maintenance, evolution)?

	Implementing new projects	Management of applications
Mainly by an IT department with the help of professionals in the field (judges, prosecutors, non-judge judicial staff, etc.)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Non

Mainly by professionals in the field (judges, prosecutors, non-judge judicial staff, etc.) with the help of an internal IT department and/or an external service provider	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) Non
Other alternatives (external service provider only – specify in a comment)	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) Non

Comments - please also describe in case of “other alternatives” Due to the lack of IT experts in courts by all means (minimum number of employees, absence of IT departments in the majority of courts, low degree of expertise in general IT subjects, etc) and due to the absence of effective organisation schemes in IT court administration, the strategic planning and rollout of the ICT projects is realised by Ministry of Justice.

**065-3. Is there a device of detection and promotion of innovations regarding IT coming from personal and/or local/court level initiatives?**

- ( ) Yes
- ( X ) No

Comments (please specify projects that have experienced national developments)

**065-4. Have you measured the impact resulting from the implementation of one or several components of your new information system?**

- ( ) Yes
- ( X ) No

**065-4-1. If yes, have you measured the impact on (multiple answers possible):**

- [ ] Business processes
- [ ] Workload
- [ ] Human resources
- [ ] Costs
- [ ] Other, please specify .....

Comments (please specify examples of the impact)

**3.5.2 Security of courts information system and personal data protection**

**065-5. Are there independent audits or other mechanisms to contribute to the global security policy regarding the information system of the judiciary ?**

- ( ) Yes
- ( X ) No

Comments (please specify in particular if national frameworks of information security exist):

**065-6. Is the protection of personal data managed by courts ensured at legislative level?**

- ( X ) Yes
- ( ) No

Comment - If yes, please specify among others: if there are authorities specifically responsible for protection of personal data; the extent

### 3.5.3 Centralised databases for decision support

#### 062-4. Is there a centralised national database of court decisions (case-law, etc.)?

Yes

Non

Comments

##### 062-4-1. If yes, please specify the following information:

	For 1st instance decisions	For 2nd instance decisions	For 3rd instance decisions	Link with ECHR case law	Data anonymised	Case-law database available free online	Case-law database available in open data
<b>Civil and/or commercial</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes all judgements <input type="checkbox"/> Yes some judgements <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes all judgements <input type="checkbox"/> Yes some judgements <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes all judgements <input type="checkbox"/> Yes some judgements <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Criminal</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes all judgements <input type="checkbox"/> Yes some judgements <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes all judgements <input type="checkbox"/> Yes some judgements <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes all judgements <input type="checkbox"/> Yes some judgements <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Administrative</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes all judgements <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes some judgements <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes all judgements <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes some judgements <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes all judgements <input type="checkbox"/> Yes some judgements <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Comments - if it exists in other matters please specify For civil and criminal cases of 3d instance, the database contains decisions only after 2007.

#### 062-6. Is there a computerised national record centralising all criminal convictions?

Yes

No

Comments There is indeed, a computerized national record, but it centralizes only irrevocable criminal convictions.

##### 062-6-1. If yes, please specify the following information:

- Linkage with other European records of the same nature
- Content directly available through computerised means for judges and/or prosecutors
- Content directly available for purposes other than criminal (civil and administrative matters)

Comments - Please specify who is the authority delivering the access

### 3.5.4 Writing assistance tools

**062-7. Are there writing assistance tools for which the content is coordinated at national level? (models or templates, paragraphs already pre-written, etc.)**

Yes

No

Comment – if it exists in other matters please specify

**062-7-1. If yes, please specify the following information:**

	Availability rate
<b>Civil and/or commercial</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Criminal</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Administrative</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA

**062-8. Are there voice recording tools?**

Yes

No

Comments

**062-8-1. If yes, please specify:**

	Availability of simple dictation tools	Availability of multiple speakers recording tools	Voice recognition feature
<b>Civil and/or commercial</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> in all courts <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> in most of the courts <input type="checkbox"/> in some courts / some pilot phases <input type="checkbox"/> not available for this matter <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> in all courts <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> in most of the courts <input type="checkbox"/> in some courts / some pilot phases <input type="checkbox"/> not available for this matter <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Pilot testing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA



<b>Criminal</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> in all courts <input type="checkbox"/> in most of the courts <input type="checkbox"/> in some courts / some pilot phases <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> not available for this matter <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> in all courts <input type="checkbox"/> in most of the courts <input type="checkbox"/> in some courts / some pilot phases <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> not available for this matter <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Pilot testing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA
<b>Administrative</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> in all courts <input type="checkbox"/> in most of the courts <input type="checkbox"/> in some courts / some pilot phases <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> not available for this matter <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> in all courts <input type="checkbox"/> in most of the courts <input type="checkbox"/> in some courts / some pilot phases <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> not available for this matter <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Pilot testing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA

**062-9. Is there an intranet site within the judicial system for distribution of news/novelities?**

**Availability rate:**

- 100% - accessible to everyone in judiciary
- 50-99% - accessible for most judges/prosecutors in all instances
- 10-49% - in some courts only
- 1-9% - in one court only
- 0% (NAP) - No access

NA

Comments

**3.5.5 Technologies used for administration of the courts and case management**

**063-1. Is there a case management system (CMS) ? (Software used for registering judicial proceedings and their management)**

- Yes
- No

Comments - if it exists in other matters please specify

**063-1-1. If yes, please specify the following information:**

CMS deployment rate	Status of case online	Centralised or interoperable database	Early warning signals (for active case management)	Status of integration/connection of a CMS with a statistical tool

<b>Civil and/or commercial</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> accessible to parties <input type="checkbox"/> publication of decision online <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> both <input type="checkbox"/> not accessible at all <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fully integrated including BI <input type="checkbox"/> Integrated <input type="checkbox"/> Not integrated but connected <input type="checkbox"/> Not connected at all <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Criminal</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> accessible to parties <input type="checkbox"/> publication of decision online <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> both <input type="checkbox"/> not accessible at all <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fully integrated including BI <input type="checkbox"/> Integrated <input type="checkbox"/> Not integrated but connected <input type="checkbox"/> Not connected at all <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Administrative</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> accessible to parties <input type="checkbox"/> publication of decision online <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> both <input type="checkbox"/> not accessible at all <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fully integrated including BI <input type="checkbox"/> Integrated <input type="checkbox"/> Not integrated but connected <input type="checkbox"/> Not connected at all <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

### 063-2. Computerised registries managed by courts

	<b>Deployment rate</b>	<b>Data consolidated at national level</b>	<b>Service available online</b>	<b>Statistical module integrated or connected</b>
<b>Land registry</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Business registry</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Comment – if it exists in other matters please specify

**063-6. Budgetary and financial management systems of courts**

	Tool deployment rate	Data consolidated at national level	System communicating with other ministries (financial among others)
<b>Budgetary and financial management of courts</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Justice expenses management</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Other (please specify in comments)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Comments

**063-7. Measurement tools to assess the workload of judges, prosecutors and/or non-judge/non-prosecutor staff (tool quantifying the activity of judges, prosecutors and/or non-judge/non-prosecutor staff – for example the number of cases resolved)**

Yes

No

Comments

**063-7-1. If yes, please specify the following information:**

	Tools deployment rate	Data used for monitoring at national level	Data used for monitoring at court local level	Tool integrated in the CMS
<b>For judges</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 100% <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>For prosecutors</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

<b>For non-judge/non-prosecutor staff</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 100%	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
	<input type="checkbox"/> 50-99%	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input type="checkbox"/> 10-49%	<input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> NA
	<input type="checkbox"/> 1-9%	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP
	<input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP)			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA			

### 3.5.6 Technologies used for communication between courts, professionals and/or court users

**064-2. Is there a possibility to submit a case to courts by electronic means?(possibility to introduce a case by electronic means, for example an e-mail or a form on a website)**

Yes

No

Comments

**064-2-1. If yes, please specify the following information:**

	<b>Availability rate</b>	<b>Simultaneous submission of cases in paper form remains mandatory</b>	<b>Specific legislative framework authorising the submission of a case</b>	<b>An integrated/connect ed tool with the CMS</b>
<b>Civil and/or commercial</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 100% <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Criminal</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Administrative</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - if it exist in other matters please specify

**064-3. Is it possible to request legal aid by electronic means?**

Yes

No

Comments

**064-3-1. If yes, please specify the following information:**

	Requesting legal aid electronically
Availability rate	<input type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Formalisation of the request in paper form remains mandatory	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Specific legislative framework regarding requests for legal aid by electronic means	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Granting legal aid is also electronic	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Information available in CMS	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

**064-4. Is it possible to transmit summons to a judicial meeting or a hearing by electronic means? (a judicial meeting relates to stages prior to a court hearing, with a view to mediation or conciliation)**

Yes

No

Comments

**064-4-1. If yes, please specify the following information:**

	Summons produced by CMS	Simultaneous summon in paper form remains mandatory	Consent of the user to be notified by electronic means	Modalities (if other please specify in comments)	Specific legislative framework
Civil and/or commercial	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> SMS <input type="checkbox"/> E-mail <input type="checkbox"/> Specific computer application <input type="checkbox"/> Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

<b>Criminal</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [ X ]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [ X ]	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ]	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] SMS <input type="checkbox"/> [ ] E-mail <input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Specific computer application <input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Other	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ]
<b>Administrative</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ]	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ]	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ]	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] SMS <input type="checkbox"/> [ ] E-mail <input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Specific computer application <input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Other	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ]

Comments

**064-6. Are there possibilities of electronic communication between courts and lawyers and/or parties? (sending of electronic files and data concerning a judicial proceeding with or without scanned documents, mainly to develop dematerialised communication)**

**Communication between court and lawyers representing parties**

( X ) Yes

( ) No

**Communication between court and parties not represented by lawyer**

( ) Yes

( X ) No

Comments

**064-6-1. If yes, please specify the following information:**

	<b>Tool deployment rate</b>	<b>Trial phases concerned</b>	<b>Modalities (if there are different according to the trial phases or if other, please specify in a comment)</b>	<b>Specific legal framework</b>
<b>Civil and/or commercial</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] 100% <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [ X ] 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> [ ] 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> [ ] 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> [ ] 0% (NAP) - for this matter <input type="checkbox"/> [ ] NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [ X ] Submission of a case to a court <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [ X ] Phases preparatory to a hearing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [ X ] Schedule of hearings and/or appeals management <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [ X ] Transmission of court decisions	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] E-mail <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [ X ] Specific computer application <input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Other	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [ X ] Yes

<b>Criminal</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 100% <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) - for this matter <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Submission of a case to a court <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Phases preparatory to a hearing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Schedule of hearings and/or appeals management <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transmission of court decisions	<input type="checkbox"/> E-mail <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific computer application <input type="checkbox"/> Other	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
<b>Administrative</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) - for this matter <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Submission of a case to a court <input type="checkbox"/> Phases preparatory to a hearing <input type="checkbox"/> Schedule of hearings and/or appeals management <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transmission of court decisions	<input type="checkbox"/> E-mail <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific computer application <input type="checkbox"/> Other	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

Comments

**064-7. Terms and conditions of electronic communication used by professionals other than lawyers (sending of electronic data concerning a judicial proceeding with or without scanned documents, mainly to develop dematerialised communication)**

	<b>Tool deployment rate</b>	<b>Modalities (if there are different according to the deeds or if other, please specify in a comment)</b>	<b>Specific legal framework</b>
<b>Enforcement agents (as defined in Q169 and following)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> E-mail <input type="checkbox"/> Specific computer application <input type="checkbox"/> Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
<b>Notaries (as defined in Q192 and following)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> E-mail <input type="checkbox"/> Specific computer application <input type="checkbox"/> Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
<b>Experts (as defined in Q202 and following)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> E-mail <input type="checkbox"/> Specific computer application <input type="checkbox"/> Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes

<b>Judicial police services</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 100%	<input type="checkbox"/> E-mail	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
	<input type="checkbox"/> 50-99%	<input type="checkbox"/> Specific	
	<input type="checkbox"/> 10-49%	computer application	
	<input type="checkbox"/> 1-9%	<input type="checkbox"/> Other	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP)		
	<input type="checkbox"/> NA		

Comments

**064-9. Are there online processing devices of specialised litigation? (low value litigation, undisputed claims, preparatory phases to the resolution of family conflicts, etc. – please, specify in “comments” section)**

Yes

No

Comments – Please describe the system that exists.

**064-10. Videoconferencing between courts, professionals and/or users (this concerns the use of audio-visual devices in the framework of judicial proceedings such as the hearing of parties, etc.)**

Yes

No

Comments

**064-10-1. If yes, please specify the following information and describe in comments of this section the cases of actual use of videoconferencing and the expected benefits (for example, the use of this device to reduce the number of detainees’ transfers to the court):**

	Deployment rate (chose one only)	Proceeding phase	Specific legislative framework
<b>Civil and/or commercial</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Prior to the hearing <input type="checkbox"/> During the hearing <input type="checkbox"/> After the hearing	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Criminal</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Prior to the hearing <input type="checkbox"/> During the hearing <input type="checkbox"/> After the hearing	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Administrative</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Prior to the hearing <input type="checkbox"/> During the hearing <input type="checkbox"/> After the hearing	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Comments



**064-11. Recording of hearings or debates (sound or audio-visual recording during the investigation and/or trial phase(s))**

Yes

No

Comments

**064-11-1. If yes, please specify the following information:**

	Tool deployment rate	Type of recording	Specific legislative framework
<b>Civil and/or commercial</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 100% <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sound <input type="checkbox"/> Video <input type="checkbox"/> Both <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Criminal</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sound <input type="checkbox"/> Video <input type="checkbox"/> Both <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Administrative</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/> 50-99% <input type="checkbox"/> 10-49% <input type="checkbox"/> 1-9% <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 0% (NAP) <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sound <input type="checkbox"/> Video <input type="checkbox"/> Both <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

**064-12. Is electronic evidence admissible?**

	Admissibility of electronic evidence	Legislative framework
<b>Civil and/or commercial</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General law only <input type="checkbox"/> General and specialised law <input type="checkbox"/> Specialised law only
<b>Criminal</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General law only <input type="checkbox"/> General and specialised law <input type="checkbox"/> Specialised law only
<b>Administrative</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General law only <input type="checkbox"/> General and specialised law <input type="checkbox"/> Specialised law only

Comments

**3.6. Performance and evaluation**

### 3.6.1.National policies applied in courts and public prosecution services

**066. Are quality standards determined for the judicial system at national level (are there quality systems for the judiciary and/or judicial quality policies)?**

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

**067. Do you have specialised personnel entrusted with implementation of these national level quality standards?**

	Yes / No
<b>within the courts</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>within the public prosecution services</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Comments

### 3.6.2.Performance and quality objectives at court level/public prosecution services

**077. Concerning court activities, have you defined performance and quality indicators?**

Yes

No

Comments

**078. If yes, please select the main performance and quality indicators that have been defined for courts:**

number of incoming cases

length of proceedings (timeframes)

number of resolved cases

number of pending cases

backlogs

productivity of judges and court staff

satisfaction of court staff

satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)

costs of the judicial procedures

number of appeals

appeal ratio

clearance rate

disposition time

other (please specify): .....

Comments

**077-1. Concerning public prosecution activities, have you defined performance and quality indicators?**

Yes

No

Comments

**078-1. If yes, please select the main performance and quality indicators for the public prosecution services that have been defined:**

number of incoming cases

length of proceedings (timeframes)

number of resolved cases

number of pending cases

backlogs

productivity of prosecutors and prosecution staff

satisfaction of prosecution staff

satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the public prosecutors)

costs of the judicial procedures

clearance rate

disposition time

percentage of convictions and acquittals

other (please specify): .....

Comments

**073. Do you have a system to evaluate regularly court performance based primarily on the defined indicators?**

Yes

No

Comments

**073-0. If yes, please specify the frequency:**

Annual

Less frequent

More frequent

Comments - If "less frequent" or "more frequent", please specify:

**073-1. Is this evaluation of the court activity used for the later allocation of resources within this court?**

Yes

No

Comments

**073-2. If yes, which courses of action are taken?**

- Identifying to the causes of improved or deteriorated performance
- Reallocating resources (human/financial resources based on performance (treatment))
- Reengineering of internal procedures to increase efficiency (treatment)
- Other (please specify): .....

Comments

**073-3. Do you have a system to evaluate regularly the performance of the public prosecution services based primarily on the defined indicators?**

Yes

No

Comments

**073-4. If yes, please specify the frequency:**

- Annual
- Less frequent
- More frequent

Comments - If "less frequent" or "more frequent", please specify:

**073-5. Is this evaluation of the activity of public prosecution services used for the later allocation of resources within this public prosecution service?**

Yes

No

Comments

**073-6. If yes, which courses of action are taken?**

- Identifying to the causes of improved or deteriorated performance
- Reallocating resources (human/financial resources based on performance (treatment))
- Reengineering of internal procedures to increase efficiency (treatment)
- Other (please specify): .....

Comments

**079. Who is responsible for evaluating the performance of the courts (multiple options possible) :**

- High Judicial Council
- Ministry of Justice
- Inspection authority

- Supreme Court
- External audit body
- Other (please specify): .....

Comments

**079-1. Who is responsible for evaluating the performance of the public prosecution services (multiple options possible) :**

- Public prosecutorial Council
- Ministry of Justice
- Head of the organisational unit or hierarchical superior public prosecutor
- Prosecutor General /State public prosecutor
- External audit body
- Other (please specify): .....

Comments The Courts Inspection

**3.6.3. Measuring courts' / public prosecution services activity**

**070. Do you regularly monitor court activities (performance and quality) concerning:**

- number of incoming cases
- length of proceedings (timeframes)
- number of resolved cases
- number of pending cases
- backlogs
- productivity of judges and court staff
- satisfaction of court staff
- satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)
- costs of the judicial procedures
- number of appeals
- appeal ratio
- clearance rate
- disposition time
- other (please specify): .....

Comments

**070-1. Do you regularly monitor public prosecution activities (performance and quality) concerning:**

- number of incoming cases
- length of proceedings (timeframes)
- number of resolved cases

- number of pending cases
- backlogs
- productivity of prosecutors and prosecution staff
- satisfaction of prosecution staff
- satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the by the public prosecution)
- costs of the judicial procedures
- clearance rate
- disposition time
- percentage of convictions and acquittals
- other (please specify): .....

Comments

**071. Do you monitor the number of pending cases and cases that are not processed within a reasonable timeframe (backlogs) for:**

- civil law cases
- criminal law cases
- administrative law cases

Comments

**072. Do you monitor waiting time during judicial proceedings?**

	Yes (If yes, please specify)	No
<b>within the courts</b>	( )	( X )
<b>within the public prosecution services</b>	( )	( X )

Comments There is a monitoring system regarding the length of the judicial procedure, but it does not include such kind of information.

**3.6.4. Information regarding courts /public prosecution services activity**

**080. Is there a centralised institution that is responsible for collecting statistical data regarding the functioning of the courts?**

- Yes (please indicate the name and the address of this institution): .....
- No

Comments Ministry of Justice, Transparency and Human Rights (Mesogeion Avenue 96, 11527, Athens)

**080-1. Does this institution publish statistics on the functioning of each court:**

- Yes, on internet
- No, only internally (in an intranet website)
- No

Comments [www.ministryofjustice.gr](http://www.ministryofjustice.gr)

**080-2. Is there a centralised institution that is responsible for collecting statistical data regarding the functioning of the public prosecution services?**

Yes (please indicate the name and the address of this institution): .....

No

Comments Ministry of Justice, Transparency and Human Rights (Mesogeion Avenue 96, 11527, Athens)

**080-3. Does this institution publish statistics on the functioning of each public prosecution service?**

Yes, on internet

No, only internally (in an intranet website)

No

Comments

**081. Are individual courts required to prepare an activity report (that includes, for example, data on the number of resolved cases or pending cases, the number of judges and administrative staff, targets and assessment of the activity)?**

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please describe the content of the report and its audience (i.e. to whom the report is intended):

**081-1. If yes, please specify in which form this report is released:**

Internet

Intranet (internal) website

Paper distribution

Comments

**081-2. If yes, please, indicate the periodicity at which the report is released:**

Annual

Less frequent

More frequent

Comments Every 3 months

**081-3. Are public prosecution services required to prepare an activity report (that includes, for example, data on the number of incoming cases, the number of decisions, the number of public prosecutors and administrative staff, targets and assessment of the activity)?**

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please describe the content of the report and its audience (i.e. to whom the report is intended):

**081-4. If yes, please specify in which form this report is released:**

Internet

Intranet (internal) website

Paper distribution

Comments

**081-5. If yes, please, indicate the periodicity at which the report is released:**

Annual

Less frequent

More frequent

Comments Every 3 months

### 3.6.5 Courts administration

**082. Is there a process or structure of dialogue between the public prosecution services and courts regarding the way cases are presented before courts (for example the organisation, number and planning of hearings, on-call service for urgent cases, selection of simplified procedures of prosecution...)?**

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify: The plenary Administrative session of each Court specifies the Number of hearings and the Number of cases per hearing.

**082-1. Is there in general a process or structure of dialogue between lawyers and courts regarding the way cases are presented before courts in other than criminal matters (e.g. organisation, number and planning of hearings, on-call service for urgent cases)?**

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

### 3.6.6 Performance and evaluation of judges and public prosecutors

**083. Are there quantitative performance targets defined for each judge (e.g. the number of resolved cases in a month or year)?**

Yes

No

Comments Every judge is charged each year with a specific number of cases to carry out, stipulated by an internal Regulation.

**083-1. Who is responsible for setting the individual targets for each judge?**

Executive power (for example the Ministry of Justice)

Legislative power

Judicial power (for example the High Judicial Council, Supreme Court)

President of the court



Other (please specify): .....

Comments

**114. Is there a system of qualitative individual assessment of the judges' work?**

Yes

No

Comments

**114-1. If yes, please specify the frequency of this assessment:**

Annual

Less frequent

More frequent

**083-2. Are there quantitative performance targets defined for each public prosecutor (e.g. the number of decisions in a month or year)?**

Yes

No

Comments

**083-3. Who is responsible for setting the individual targets for each public prosecutor**

Executive power (for example the Ministry of Justice)

Prosecutor General /State public prosecutor

Public prosecutorial Council

Head of the organisational unit or hierarchical superior public prosecutor

Other (please specify): .....

Comments

**120. Is there a system of qualitative individual assessment of the public prosecutors' work?**

Yes

No

Comments

**120-1. If yes, please specify the frequency of this assessment:**

Annual

Less frequent

More frequent

Comments

**C4. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this chapter:**

Sources: Office of the Public Prosecutor to the Supreme Court,

## 4. Fair trial

### 4.1. Principles

#### 4.1.1. Principles of fair trial

**084. Percentage of first instance criminal in absentia judgments (cases in which the suspect is not attending the hearing in person nor is represented by a lawyer)?**

[            ]

[ X ] NA

[ ] NAP

Comments - Please add methodology for calculation used.

**085. Is there a procedure to effectively challenge a judge, if a party considers that the judge is not impartial?**

( X ) Yes

( ) No

Comments - Please could you briefly specify:

**085-1. Ratio between the total number of initiated procedures of challenges and total number of finalised challenges (in the reference year):**

[            ]

[ X ] NA

Comments

**086. Is there in your country a monitoring system for the violations related to Article 6 of the European Convention on Human Rights?**

[ ] For civil procedures (non-enforcement)

[ X ] For civil procedures (timeframe)

[ X ] For criminal procedures (timeframe)

[ ] NAP

Comments - Please specify what are the terms and conditions of this monitoring system (information related to acknowledged violations by ECHR at the State/courts level; implementation of internal systems to prevent other violations (that are similar) and if possible to measure an evolution of the established violations):

**086-1. Is there in your country a possibility to review a case after a decision on violation of human rights by the European Court of Human Rights?**

Yes

No

NAP

Comments

## **D1. Please indicate the sources for answering questions in this chapter.**

Sources: Public Prosecutor's Office to the Supreme Court, General Commission of the State, Council of State, Legal Council of the State

## **4.2. Timeframe of proceedings**

### **4.2.1. General information**

#### **087. Are there specific procedures for urgent matters regarding:**

civil cases

criminal cases

administrative cases

There is no specific procedure for urgent matters

Comments - If yes, please specify:

#### **088. Are there simplified procedures for:**

civil cases (small disputes)

criminal cases (misdemeanour cases)

administrative cases

There is no simplified procedure

Comments - If yes, please specify:

#### **088-1. For these simplified procedures, may judges deliver an oral judgement with a written order and without the full reasoning of the judgement ?**

civil cases

criminal cases

administrative cases

Comments - If yes, please specify:

#### **089. Do courts and lawyers have the possibility to conclude agreements on arrangements for processing cases (presentation of files, decisions on timeframes for lawyers to submit their conclusions and on dates of hearings)?**

Yes

No



## 4.2.2. Case flow management – first instance

### 091. First instance courts: number of other than criminal law cases.

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the first instance court
<b>Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>1. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases (including litigious enforcement cases and if possible without administrative law cases, see category 3)</b>	252 811 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	213 468 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	184 131 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	282 148 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2.1. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases, e.g. uncontested payment orders, request for a change of name, non-litigious enforcement cases etc. (if possible without administrative law cases, see category 3; without registry cases and other cases, see categories 2.2 and 2.3)</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2.2. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2.2.1. Non litigious land registry cases</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2.2.2 Non-litigious business registry cases</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2.2.3. Other registry cases</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2.3. Other non-litigious cases</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>3. Administrative law cases</b>	200 803 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	60 320 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	98 633 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	162 490 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP

4. Other cases	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA
	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments -

**092. If courts deal with “civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases”, please indicate the case categories included:**

. N/A

**093. Please indicate the case categories included in the category "other cases":**

. N/A

**094. First instance courts: number of criminal law cases.**

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the first instance court
<b>Total of criminal law cases (1+2+3)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	468 760 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	276 714 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>1. Severe criminal cases</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2. Misdemeanour and / or minor criminal cases</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>3. Other cases</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If you cannot make a distinction between misdemeanour criminal cases and severe criminal cases (according to the CEPEJ definitions), please indicate the categories of cases reported in the category "serious offences" and cases reported in the category "minor offences". If "Other cases" please specify: To give a brief overview of the national criminal procedure, criminal cases are filed for admission in the Public Prosecutor's Office, where they are further investigated. If a prosecution is initiated, the cases are discussed in court. The Court of First Instance or the Court of Appeal discusses and issues a judgment on each case brought before the court. The decision can then either postpone the case (whereby the case is forwarded to the competent Prosecutor's Office for further processing), or convict/ acquit the defendants. When the case is forwarded to the competent Prosecutor's Office (after a postponement), it is uncertain if and when it will go back to the court for discussion. Criminal cases cannot be tracked down throughout the different stages of the criminal procedure at present. With the Integrated Civil and Criminal Court Case Management System (SDDY-PP)- Phase A', which was completed in March 2019 for 41 courts of the State, an integrated information system is implemented, which includes individual applications (subsystems) to support the operational functioning of the units of all levels of the courts involved in the flow of every case



### 4.2.3. Case flow management – second instance

#### 097. Second instance courts (appeal): Number of “other than criminal law” cases.

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the second instance court
<b>Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>1. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases (including litigious enforcement cases and if possible without administrative law cases, see category 3)</b>	38 983 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	22 431 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	21 767 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	39 492 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2.1. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases, e.g. uncontested payment orders, request for a change of name, non-litigious enforcement cases etc. (if possible without administrative law cases, see category 3; without registry cases and other cases, see categories 2.2 and 2.3)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2.2. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2.2.1. Non litigious land registry cases</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2.2.2 Non-litigious business registry cases</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2.2.3. Other registry cases</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2.3. Other non-litigious cases</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>3. Administrative law cases</b>	36 360 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	19 066 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	21 786 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	33 640 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

4. Other cases	<input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> NA
	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If "Other cases" please specify

#### 098. Second instance courts (appeal): Number of criminal law cases.

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the second instance court
<b>Total of criminal law cases (1+2+3)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	56 796 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	33 082 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>1. Severe criminal cases</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2. Misdemeanour and / or minor criminal cases</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>3. Other cases</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If you cannot make a distinction between misdemeanour criminal cases and severe criminal cases (according to the CEPEJ definitions), please indicate the categories of cases reported in the category "serious offences" and cases reported in the category "minor offences". If "Other cases", please specify. To give a brief overview of the national criminal procedure, criminal cases are filed for admission in the Public Prosecutor's Office, where they are further investigated. If a prosecution is initiated, the cases are discussed in court. The Court of First Instance or the Court of Appeal discusses and issues a judgment on each case brought before the court. The decision can then either postpone the case (whereby the case is forwarded to the competent Prosecutor's Office for further processing), or convict/ acquit the defendants. When the case is forwarded to the competent Prosecutor's Office (after a postponement), it is uncertain if and when it will go back to the court for discussion. Criminal cases cannot be tracked down throughout the different stages of the criminal procedure at present. With the Integrated Civil and Criminal Court Case Management System (SDDY-PP)- Phase A', which was completed in March 2019 for 41 courts of the State, an integrated information system is implemented, which includes individual applications (subsystems) to support the operational functioning of the units of all levels of the courts involved in the flow of every case (criminal and civil), allowing the extraction of the relevant data.

#### 4.2.4. Case flow management – Supreme Court

#### 099. Highest instance courts (Supreme Court): Number of "other than criminal law" cases:

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the Supreme Court
<b>Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)</b>	15 597 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	5 969 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	6 102 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	15 475 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	8 586 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

<b>1. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases (including litigious enforcement cases and if possible without administrative law cases, see category 3)</b>	1 904 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	2 324 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	2 216 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	2 012 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
<b>2.1. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases, e.g. uncontested payment orders, request for a change of name, non-litigious enforcement cases etc. (if possible without administrative law cases, see category 3; without registry cases and other cases, see categories 2.2 and 2.3)</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
<b>2.2. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
<b>2.2.1. Non litigious land registry cases</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
<b>2.2.2 Non-litigious business registry cases</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
<b>2.2.3. Other registry cases</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
<b>2.3. Other non-litigious cases</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
<b>3. Administrative law cases</b>	13 693 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	3 645 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	3 886 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	13 463 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	8 586 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>4. Other cases</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP

Comments - If “Other cases”, please specify “the discrepancy between the number of the resolved cases of 2017 and of 2018 for administrative law cases is due to the combination of the following factors:

- in 2018 a number of difficult cases, that had to do with the system of social insurance, was about to be completed
- lawyers become familiar with the filters regarding the cassation and its strict prerequisites, which lead to less rejections of cases as inadmissible and subsequently to a higher number of cases being discussed as far as their real facts are concerned.
- for the abovementioned reason the fast procedure provided for by the relevant code of procedure is not so often implemented
- there are still vacant places of councillors of state, i.e. of the highest rank.”

**099-1. At the level of the Highest court (Supreme Court), is there a procedure of manifest inadmissibility?**



( X ) Yes

( ) No

Comments

**099-1-1. If yes, please indicate the number of:**

cases received by the Highest court? [ 274 ]

cases closed by this procedure? [ 0 ]

Comments The number 274 corresponds only to cases received by the Council of State (Supreme Administrative Court). For the cases closed by the Council of State, those data are not available. For Areios Pagos the data are N/A.

**100. Highest instance courts (Supreme Court): Number of criminal law cases.**

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the Supreme Court
<b>Total of criminal law cases (1+2+3)</b>	481 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	1 612 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	2 237 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	35 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	8 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>1. Severe criminal cases</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2. Misdemeanour and / or minor criminal cases</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>3. Other cases</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments - If you cannot make a distinction between misdemeanour criminal cases and severe criminal cases (according to the CEPEJ definitions), please indicate the categories of cases reported in the category "serious offences" and cases reported in the category "minor offences". If "Other cases", please specify

**4.2.5. Case flow management and timeframes – specific cases**



**101. Number of litigious divorce cases, employment dismissal cases, insolvency, robbery cases, intentional homicide cases, cases relating to asylum seekers and cases relating to the right of entry and stay for aliens received and processed by first instance courts.**

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec ref. year
<b>Litigious divorce cases</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>Employment dismissal cases</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>Insolvency</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP

<b>Robbery case</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Intentional homicide</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Cases relating to asylum seekers (refugee status under the 1951 Geneva Convention)</b>	725 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	861 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	708 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	878 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Cases relating to the right of entry and stay for aliens</b>	11 595 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	1 281 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	7 746 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	5 130 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

**101-1. Could you briefly describe the system in your country dealing with judicial remedies relating to asylum seekers (refugee status under the 1951 Geneva Convention) and the right of entry and stay for aliens:**

. Participation of judges in the Independent Appeal Committees. These Committees, currently composed of three members, are competent for examining appeals against decisions of the Asylum Service rejecting a request for international protection. The President as well as one of the members is a judge of the administrative courts. The total number of the above Committees is twenty and they are required to fulfill judicial duties, ensuring the effective remedy provided by the article 46 of the Directive 2013/32, although they do not constitute courts under the Greek constitution (dec. of the Council of State no.1237/2017).

A petition for judicial review (annulment) against decisions of the independent Appeal Committees is allowed within a period of 60 days after the day of their performance (art. 64 L. 4375/2016 & art. 46 PD 18/1989). The relevant decisions on the petition for judicial review (annulment) of the Administrative Court of Appeal are subject to appeal before the Council of State. Third country nationals or stateless persons that do not have legal residence permit in Greece, are being referred to the competent police authority for the provided by the law procedures of expulsion, return or readmission. Against the relevant administrative act a petition for judicial review (annulment) is permitted to be submitted to the competent Administrative Court of First Instance within a period of 60 days after the day of those acts' performance. The relevant decisions of the Administrative Court of First Instance are subject to appeal before the Council of State.

According to article 46 par. 5 L.4375/2016, the decision that orders the detention of a person that has applied for international protection as well as any other decision that extends the period of this detention is forwarded to the competent President of First Instance Court who considers the legality of the measure imposed. During this procedure the President of First Instance Court must hear the applicant concerned or his lawyer. According to article 30 L. 3907/2011 in combination with art. 14 L.4375/2016 third country nationals or stateless persons whose application for international protection has been rejected or who do not fall within the legal provisions granting international protection or any other form of protection are referred to the competent police authority for the procedures of their return. Until the completion of the procedure of their removal, they may be placed, by a decision, under administrative detention. Against this decision the concerned persons have the right to object before the competent President of the Administrative court of First Instance. The legitimacy of any decision, in addition to the original, that extends the detention is examined ex officio by the President of the competent Administrative Court of First Instance.

he international protection applicants that are under administrative detention as well as the persons their application has been rejected, are entitled to legal aid when lodging objections against the decision for their detention (detention order) or when submitting an appeal before the Independent Appeal Committees or later on a petition for judicial review (annulment).

102. Average length of proceedings, in days (from the date the application for judicial review is lodged). The average length of proceedings has to be calculated from the date the application for judicial review is lodged to the date the judgment is made, without taking into account the enforcement procedure.

	% of decisions subject to appeal	Average length in 1st instance (in days)	Average length in 2nd instance (in days)	Average length in 3rd instance (in days)	Average total length of the total procedure (in days)	% of cases pending for more than 3 years for all instances
Civil and commercial litigious cases	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Litigious divorce case	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Employment dismissal case	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Insolvency	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Robbery case	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Intentional homicide	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

103. Where appropriate, please indicate the specific procedure regarding divorce cases (litigious and non-litigious):

. Divorce cases are resolved under the special procedure, as described in the Code of Civil Procedure (art. 592 and following). Namely, the procedure in the special court panels is faster and simplified compared to the ordinary civil procedure.

104. How is the length of proceedings calculated for the six case categories of question 102? Please give a description of the calculation method.

. There is not a consistent system for calculating the length of proceedings as a whole.

#### 4.2.6. Case flow management – public prosecution

105. Role and powers of the public prosecutor in the criminal procedure (multiple options

**possible):**

- to conduct or supervise police investigation
- to conduct investigations
- when necessary, to request investigation measures from the judge
- to charge
- to present the case in court
- to propose a sentence to the judge
- to appeal
- to supervise the enforcement procedure
- to discontinue a case without needing a decision by a judge (ensure consistency with question 36!)
- to end the case by imposing or negotiating a penalty or measure without requiring a judicial decision
- other significant powers (please specify): Public prosecutors can adopt temporary measures with regard to cases which concern the possession of real estate, when one of the litigants is the State or a public corporation or a municipality. Besides, they ensure the supervision and the control of correctional facilities.

Comments

**106. Does the public prosecutor also have a role in:**

- civil cases
- administrative cases
- insolvency cases

Comments - If yes, please specify: Public prosecutors have a limited role in cases of non-contentious jurisdiction - juveniles. Furthermore, they have competence in respect of civil cases at the Supreme Court.

**107. Cases processed by the public prosecutor - Total number of first instance criminal cases:**

	Received during the reference year	Discontinued during the reference year (see Q108 below)	Concluded by a penalty or a measure imposed or negotiated by the public prosecutor	Cases brought to court
<b>Total number of first instance cases processed by the public prosecutor</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

**107-1. If the guilty plea procedure exists, how many cases were brought to court by the prosecutor through this procedure?**

	Number of guilty plea procedures
<b>Total</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

<b>Before the court case</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>During the court case</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

**108. Total number of cases which were discontinued by the public prosecutor.**

	Number of cases
<b>Total number of cases which were discontinued by the public prosecutor (1+2+3+4)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>1. Discontinued by the public prosecutor because the offender could not be identified</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2. Discontinued by the public prosecutor due to the lack of an established offence or a specific legal situation</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>3. Discontinued by the public prosecutor for reasons of opportunity</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>4. Other</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

**109. Do the figures include traffic offence cases?**

- Yes  
 No

Comments

**D2. Please indicate the sources for answering questions 91, 94, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 107, 107-1 and 108.**

Sources: Ministry of Justice, General Commission of the State, Council of State, Supreme Court (Areios Pagos), General Prosecutor's Office to the Supreme Court

**5. Career of judges and public prosecutors**

**5.1. Recruitment and promotion**

**5.1.1. Recruitment and promotion of judges**



## 110. How are judges recruited?

- mainly through a competitive exam (open competition)
- mainly through a recruitment procedure for experienced legal professionals (for example experienced lawyers)
- a combination of both (competitive exam and working experience)
- other (please specify): .....

Comments

## 111. Authority(ies) responsible for recruitment. Are judges initially/at the beginning of their career recruited and nominated by:

- an authority made up of judges only
- an authority made up of non-judges only
- an authority made up of judges and non-judges

Comments - Please indicate the name of the authority(ies) involved in the whole procedure of recruitment and nomination of judges. If there are several authorities, please describe their respective roles:

## 112. Is the same authority (Q111) competent for the promotion of judges?

- Yes
- No

Comments

## 113. What is the procedure for the promotion of judges? (multiple answers possible)

- Competitive test / Exam
- Other procedure (interview or other)
- No special procedure

Comments - Please specify how the promotion of judges is organised (especially if there is no competition or examination):

## 113-1. Please indicate the criteria used for the promotion of a judge? (multiple answers possible)

- Years of experience
- Professional skills (and/or qualitative performance)
- Performance (quantitative)
- Assessment results
- Subjective criteria (e.g. integrity, reputation)
- Other
- No criteria

Comments - Please specify any useful comment regarding the criteria (especially if you have checked the box "performance" or "other"):

## 5.1.2. Status, recruitment and promotion of prosecutors

### 115. What is the status of public prosecution services?

- statutory independent
- under the authority of the Minister of Justice or another central authority

other (please specify): .....

Comments - When appropriate, please specify the objective guarantees of this independence (transfer, appointment...).

**115-1. Does the law or another regulation prevent specific instructions to prosecute or not, addressed to a public prosecutor?**

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

**116. How are public prosecutors recruited?**

mainly through a competitive exam (open competition)

mainly through a recruitment procedure for experienced legal professionals (for example experienced lawyers)

a combination of both (competitive exam and working experience)

other (please specify): .....

Comments

**117. Authority(ies) responsible for recruitment. Are public prosecutors initially/at the beginning of their career recruited by:**

an authority composed of public prosecutors only

an authority composed of non-public prosecutors only

an authority composed of public prosecutors and non-public prosecutors

Comments - Please indicate the name of the authority(ies) involved in the whole procedure of recruitment and nomination of public prosecutors. If there are several authorities, please describe their respective roles:

**118. Is the same authority (Q.117) formally responsible for the promotion of public prosecutors?**

Yes

No, please specify which authority is competent for promoting public prosecutors. The Supreme Judicial Council is formally responsible for the promotion of public prosecutors.

Comments

**119. What is the procedure for the promotion of prosecutors? (multiple answers possible)**

Competitive test / exam

Other procedure (interview or other)

No special procedure

Comments - Please, specify the procedure (especially if it is a procedure different from a competitive test or an exam):

**119-2. Please indicate the criteria used for the promotion of a prosecutor:**

Years of experience

Professional skills (and/or qualitative performance)

Performance (quantitative)

Assessment results

Subjective criteria (e.g. integrity, reputation)

Other

No criteria

Comments - Please, specify any useful comment regarding the criteria (especially if you have checked the box "performance" or "other"):

### **5.1.3.Mandate and retirement of judges and prosecutors**

**121. Are judges appointed to office for an undetermined period (i.e. "for life" = until the official age of retirement)?**

Yes, please indicate the compulsory retirement age:65 and 67 for the Supreme Judges

No

Comments - If yes, are there exceptions (e.g. dismissal as a disciplinary sanction)? Please specify: The exceptions are dismissal as a disciplinary sanction, dismissal after an irrevocable conviction, dismissal for incapacity due to illness or for professional incompetence.

**121-1. Can a judge be transferred to another court without his/her consent:**

For disciplinary reasons

For organisational reasons

For other reasons (please specify modalities and safeguards): .....

No

Comments

**122. Is there a probation period for judges (e.g. before being appointed "for life")? If yes, how long is this period?**

Yes, duration of the probation period (in years):10 months

No

Comments

**123. Are public prosecutors appointed to office for an undetermined period (i.e. "for life" = until the official age of retirement)?**

Yes, please indicate the compulsory retirement age:65 and 67 for the Supreme Prosecutors

No

Comments - If yes, are there exceptions (e.g. dismissal as a disciplinary sanction)? Please specify:

**124. Is there a probation period for public prosecutors? If yes, how long is this period?**

Yes, duration of the probation period (in years):10 months

No

Comments

**125. If the mandate for judges is not for an undetermined period (see question 121), what is the length of the mandate (in years)?**

[            ]



NA

NAP

Comments There is no fixed mandate for judges/prosecutors since they are appointed for undetermined period (until their retirement)

**125-1. Is it renewable?**

Yes

No

NAP

Comments

**126. If the mandate for public prosecutors is not for an undetermined period (see question 123), what is the length of the mandate (in years)?**

[            ]

NA

NAP

Comments There is no fixed mandate for judges/prosecutors since they are appointed for undetermined period (until their retirement)

**126-1. Is it renewable?**

Yes

No

NAP

Comments

**E1. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this chapter:**

Sources: Public Prosecutor's Office to the Supreme Court, Council of State, Supreme Court, Ministry of Justice

**5.2. Training**

**5.2.1. Training of judges**

**127. Types of different trainings offered to judges:**

	Compulsory	Optional	No training proposed
<b>Initial training (e.g. attend a judicial school, traineeship in the court)</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>General in-service training</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>In-service training for specialised judicial functions (e.g. judge for economic or administrative issues)</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No

<b>In-service training for management functions of the court (e.g. court president)</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>In-service training for the use of computer facilities in courts</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>In-service training on ethics</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No

Comments

## 128. Frequency of the in-service training of judges:

	<b>Frequency of the judges training</b>
<b>General in-service training</b>	[ ] Regularly (for example every year) [ X ] Occasional (as needed) [ ] No training proposed
<b>In-service training for specialised judicial functions (e.g. judge for economic or administrative issues)</b>	[ ] Regularly (for example every year) [ X ] Occasional (as needed) [ ] No training proposed
<b>In-service training for management functions of the court (e.g. court president)</b>	[ ] Regularly (for example every year) [ X ] Occasional (as needed) [ ] No training proposed
<b>In-service training for the use of computer facilities in courts</b>	[ ] Regularly (for example every year) [ X ] Occasional (as needed) [ ] No training proposed
<b>In-service training on ethics</b>	[ ] Regularly (for example every year) [ ] Occasional (as needed) [ X ] No training proposed

Comments - Please indicate any information on the periodicity of the continuous training of judges:

## 5.2.2. Training of prosecutors

### 129. Types of different trainings offered to public prosecutors:

	<b>Compulsory</b>	<b>Optional</b>	<b>No training proposed</b>
<b>Initial training</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>General in-service training</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>In-service training for specialised functions (e.g. public prosecutors specialised on organised crime)</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No

<b>In-service training for management functions (e.g. Head of prosecution office, manager)</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>In-service training for the use of computer facilities in office</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>In-service training on ethics</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No

Comments

### 130. Frequency of the in-service training of public prosecutors :

	Frequency of the in-service training
<b>General in-service training</b>	[ ] Regularly (for example every year) [ X ] Occasional (as needed) [ ] No training proposed
<b>In-service training for specialised functions (e.g. public prosecutor specialised on organised crime)</b>	[ ] Regularly (for example every year) [ X ] Occasional (as needed) [ ] No training proposed
<b>In-service training for management functions (e.g. Head of prosecution office, manager)</b>	[ ] Regularly (for example every year) [ X ] Occasional (as needed) [ ] No training proposed
<b>In-service training for the use of computer facilities in office</b>	[ ] Regularly (for example every year) [ X ] Occasional (as needed) [ ] No training proposed
<b>In-service training on ethics</b>	[ ] Regularly (for example every year) [ ] Occasional (as needed) [ X ] No training proposed

Comments - Please indicate any information on the periodicity of the in-service training of prosecutors:

### 131. Do you have public training institutions for judges and / or prosecutors?

	Initial training only	Continuous training only	Initial and continuous training
<b>One institution for judges</b>	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
<b>One institution for prosecutors</b>	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
<b>One single institution for both judges and prosecutors</b>	[ ]	[ ]	[ X ]

Comments

### 131-0. If yes, what is the budget of such institution(s)?

	Budget of the institution for the reference year, in €
One institution for judges	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
One institution for prosecutors	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
One single institution for both judges and prosecutors	2 957 470 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments The increase was due to the fact that students (judges and prosecutors) were more in 2018, than in 2017.

**131-1. If judges and/or prosecutors have no compulsory initial training in such institutions, please indicate briefly how these judges and/or prosecutors are trained?**

. Initial training of judges and prosecutors is compulsory, as described in questions 129 and 131

**131-2. Number of in-service training courses (in days) organised by the judicial training institution for judges, prosecutors, non-judge and non-prosecutor staff**

	Number of training courses in days organised, without e-learning	Online training courses available during the reference year (e-learning)
Total	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
1. Only for judges	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
2. Only for prosecutors	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
3. Only for other non-judge staff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
4. Only for other non-prosecutor staff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
5. Other common training	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments:

**E2. Please indicate the sources for answering the questions in this chapter:**

Sources: National School of Judges,  
Ministry of Justice

## 5.3. Practice of the profession

### 5.3.1. Salaries and benefits of judges and prosecutors

#### 132. Salaries of judges and public prosecutors on 31 December of the reference year:

	Gross annual salary, in €	Net annual salary, in €	Gross annual salary, in local currency	Net annual salary, in local currency
First instance professional judge at the beginning of his/her career	31 710 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	22 795 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	31 710 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	22 795 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Judge of the Supreme Court or the Highest Appellate Court (please indicate the average salary of a judge at this level, and not the salary of the Court President)	87 247 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	49 749 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	87 247 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	49 749 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Public prosecutor at the beginning of his/her career	31 710 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	22 795 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	31 710 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	22 795 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Public prosecutor of the Supreme Court or the Highest Appellate Instance (please indicate the average salary of a public prosecutor at this level, and not the salary of the Attorney General).	87 247 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	49 749 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	87 247 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	49 749 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

#### 133. Do judges and public prosecutors have additional benefits?

	Judges	Public prosecutors
Reduced taxation	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Special pension	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Housing	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Other financial benefit	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Comments

#### 134. If “other financial benefit”, please specify:

NAP

**135. Can judges combine their work with any of the following other functions/activities?**

	With remuneration	Without remuneration
<b>Teaching</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No
<b>Research and publication</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No
<b>Arbitrator</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>Consultant</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>Cultural function</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>Political function</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>Mediator</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No
<b>Other function</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No

Comments - If rules exist in your country (e.g. authorisation needed to perform these activities), please specify. If "other function", please specify.

**137. Can public prosecutors combine their work with any of the following other functions/activities?**

	With remuneration	Without remuneration
<b>Teaching</b>	( X ) Yes ( ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No
<b>Research and publication</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( X ) Yes ( ) No
<b>Arbitrator</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>Consultant</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>Cultural function</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>Political function</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>Mediator</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No
<b>Other function</b>	( ) Yes ( X ) No	( ) Yes ( X ) No

Comments - If rules exist in your country (e.g. authorisation needed to perform these activities), please specify. If "other function", please specify:

**139. Productivity bonuses: do judges receive bonuses based on the fulfilment of quantitative objectives in relation to the number of resolved cases (e.g. number of cases resolved over a given period of time)?**

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify the conditions and possibly the amounts:

### **5.3.2 Body/institution of ethics**

**138. Is there in your country an institution / body giving opinions on ethical questions of the conduct of judges (e.g. involvement in political life, use of social media by judges, etc.)**

Yes

No

Comments

**138-1. If yes, how is this institution / body formed**

only by judges

by judges and other legal professionals

other, please specify: .....

Comments

**138-2. Are the opinions of this institution / body publicly available?**

Yes

No

NAP

Comments - Please describe the work of this institution / body, the frequency of opinions, etc.

NAP

**138-3. Is there in your country an institution / body giving opinions on ethical questions of the conduct of prosecutors (e.g. involvement in political life, use of social media by prosecutors, etc.)**

Yes

No

Comments

**138-4. If yes, how is this institution / body formed**

only by prosecutors

by prosecutors and other legal professionals

other, please specify: .....

Comments

**138-5. Are the opinions of this institution / body publicly available?**

Yes

No

NAP

Comments - Please describe the work of this institution / body, the frequency of opinions, etc.

NAP

## 5.4. Disciplinary procedures

### 5.4.1. Authorities responsible for disciplinary procedures and sanctions

#### 140. Who is authorised to initiate disciplinary proceedings against judges (multiple options possible)?

Court users

Relevant Court or hierarchical superior

High Court / Supreme Court

High Judicial Council

Disciplinary court or body

Ombudsman

Parliament

Executive power (please specify): Minister of Justice

Other (please specify): .....

This is not possible

Comments

#### 141. Who is authorised to initiate disciplinary proceedings against public prosecutors (multiple options possible):

Citizens

Head of the organisational unit or hierarchical superior public prosecutor

Prosecutor General / State public prosecutor

Public prosecutorial Council (High Judicial Council)

Disciplinary court or body

Ombudsman

Professional body

Executive power (please specify): Minister of Justice

Other (please specify): President of the Supreme Court

This is not possible

Comments

#### 142. Which authority has disciplinary power over judges? (multiple options possible)

Court

Higher Court / Supreme Court



- High Judicial Council
- Disciplinary court or body
- Ombudsman
- Parliament
- Executive power (please specify): .....
- Other (please specify): .....

Comments

**143. Which authority has disciplinary power over public prosecutors? (multiple options possible):**

- Supreme Court
- Head of the organisational unit or hierarchical superior
- Prosecutor General /State public prosecutor
- Public prosecutorial Council (High Judicial Council)
- Disciplinary court or body
- Ombudsman
- Professional body
- Executive power (please specify): .....
- Other (please specify): .....

Comments

**5.4.2. Number of disciplinary procedures and sanctions**



**144. Number of disciplinary proceedings initiated during the reference year against judges and public prosecutors. (If a disciplinary proceeding is undertaken because of several reasons, please count the proceedings only once and for the main reason.)**

	Judges	Prosecutors
<b>Total number (1+2+3+4)</b>	110 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	32 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>1. Breach of professional ethics</b>	49 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	14 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2. Professional inadequacy</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>3. Criminal offence</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>4. Other</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If "other", please specify:

**145. Number of sanctions pronounced during the reference year against judges and public prosecutors:**

	Judges	Prosecutors
<b>Total number (total 1 to 10)</b>	46 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	12 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>1. Reprimand</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2. Suspension</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>3. Withdrawal from cases</b>	13 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>4. Fine</b>	30 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	4 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>5. Temporary reduction of salary</b>	1 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	7 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>6. Position downgrade</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>7. Transfer to another geographical (court) location</b>	1 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>8. Resignation</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>9. Other</b>	1 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>10. Dismissal</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	1 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If "other", please specify. If a significant difference exists between the number of disciplinary proceedings and the number of sanctions, please indicate the reasons.

**E3. Please indicate the sources for answering questions 144 and 145:**

Sources: Ministry of Justice  
General Commission of the State

**6.Lawyers**

## 6.1. Profession of lawyer

### 6.1.1. Status of the profession of lawyers

#### 146. Total number of lawyers practising in your country:

	Total	Male	Female
Number of lawyers	42 949 [ ] NA	[ X ] NA	[ X ] NA

Comments The number is indicative and constantly changing, in the absence of restrictions on the number of positions.

Source: Plenary Session of the Presidents of Hellenic Bar Associations

#### 147. Does this figure include “legal advisors” who cannot represent their clients in court (for example, some solicitors or in-house counsellors)?

Yes ( )

No ( X )

Comments

#### 148. Number of legal advisors who cannot represent their clients in court:

[ ]

[ ] NA

[ X ] NAP

Comments All lawyers can be legal advisors therefore they have the right to represent their clients in court.

#### 149. Do lawyers have a monopoly on legal representation in (multiple options are possible):

	First instance	Second instance	Highest instance court (Supreme Court)
Civil cases	[ X ]	[ X ]	[ X ]
Dismissal cases	[ X ]	[ X ]	[ X ]
Criminal cases – Defendant	[ X ]	[ X ]	[ X ]
Criminal cases – Victim	[ X ]	[ X ]	[ X ]
Administrative cases	[ X ]	[ X ]	[ X ]

[ ] NAP

Comments - Please indicate any useful clarifications regarding the content of lawyers' monopoly:

#### 149-0. If there is no monopoly, please specify the organisations or persons that may represent a client in court:

First instance	Second instance	Highest instance court (Supreme Court)
----------------	-----------------	--

<b>Civil society organisation</b>	( ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( ) No
<b>Family member</b>	( ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( ) No
<b>Self-representation</b>	( ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( ) No
<b>Trade union</b>	( ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( ) No
<b>Other</b>	( ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( ) No	( ) Yes ( ) No

Comments - If "other", please specify. In addition, please specify for the categories mentioned the types of cases concerned by this/these representation(s): NAP

**149-1. In addition to the functions of legal representation and legal advice, can a lawyer exercise other activities?**

- Notarial activity
- Arbitration / mediation
- Proxy / representation
- Property manager
- Real estate agent
- Other law activities (please specify): .....

Comments "other law activities": research of the books of mortgage offices and land registers along with the preparation of the relevant documents of property titles

**149-2. What are the statuses for exercising the profession of lawyer?**

- Self-employed lawyer
- Staff lawyer
- In-house lawyer

Comments

**150. Is the lawyer profession organised through:**

- a national bar association
- a regional bar association
- a local bar association

Comments

**151. Is there a specific initial training and/or exam to enter the profession of lawyer?**

- Yes
- No

Comments - If not, please indicate if there are other specific requirements as regards diplomas or university degrees:

**152. Is there a mandatory general in-service professional training system for lawyers?**

Yes

No

Comments

**153. Is the specialisation in some legal fields linked to specific training, levels of qualification, specific diploma or specific authorisations?**

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

**F1. Please indicate the sources for answering questions 146 and 148:**

Sources: Hellenic Ministry of Justice - Dpt of Lawyer's Function, Office of the General Prosecutor to the Supreme Court,  
Law 4194/2013 Code of Lawyers

### 6.1.2. Practicing the profession

**154. Can court users establish easily what the lawyers' fees will be (i.e. a prior information on the foreseeable amount of fees)?**

Yes

No

Comments

**155. Are lawyers' fees freely negotiated?**

Yes

No

Comments

**156. Do laws or bar association standards provide any rules on lawyers' fees (including those freely negotiated)?**

Yes, laws provide rules

Yes, standards of the bar association provide rules

No, neither laws nor bar association standards provide rules

Comments

### 6.1.3. Quality standards and disciplinary procedures

**157. Have quality standards been determined for lawyers?**

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, what are the quality criteria used?

**158. If yes, who is responsible for formulating these quality standards:**

- the bar association
- the Parliament
- other (please specify): .....

Comments

**159. Is it possible to file a complaint about:**

- the performance of lawyers
- the amount of fees

Comments - Please specify:

**160. Which authority is responsible for disciplinary procedures?**

- a judge
- Ministry of Justice
- a professional authority
- other (please specify): .....

Comments The Disciplinary Boards of the Bar Associations in first degree (articles 146 and 147, Code of Lawyers) and the Supreme Disciplinary Board of Lawyers in second degree (article 148, Code of Lawyers).

**161. Disciplinary proceedings initiated against lawyers. (If a disciplinary proceeding is undertaken because of several reasons, please count the proceedings only once and for the main reason.)**

	Number of disciplinary proceedings
<b>Total number of disciplinary proceedings initiated (1 + 2 + 3 + 4)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>1. Breach of professional ethics</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2. Professional inadequacy</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>3. Criminal offence</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>4. Other</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If "other", please specify:

**162. Sanctions pronounced against lawyers.**

Number of sanctions
---------------------

<b>Total number of sanctions (1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5)</b>	18 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>1. Reprimand</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
<b>2. Suspension</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>3. Withdrawal from cases</b>	[ ] NA [ X ] NAP
<b>4. Fine</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>5. Other</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments - If "other", please specify. If a significant difference between the number of disciplinary proceedings and the number of sanctions exists, please indicate the reasons.

## 7. Court related mediation and other alternative Dispute Resolution

### 7.1 Court related mediation

#### 7.1.1 Details on court related mediation

#### 163. Does the judicial system provide for court-related mediation procedures?

Yes

No

Comments

#### 163-1. In some fields, does the judicial system provide for mandatory mediation with a mediator?

Before/instead of going to court

Ordered by the court, the judge, the public prosecutor or a public authority in the course of a judicial proceeding

No mandatory mediation

Comments - If there is mandatory mediation, please specify which fields are concerned:

#### 163-2. In some fields, does the legal system provide for mandatory informative sessions with a mediator?

Yes

No

Comments - If there are mandatory informative sessions, please specify which fields are concerned:

#### 164. Please specify, by type of cases, who provides court-related mediation services:

	Private mediator	Public authority (other than the court)	Judge	Public prosecutor
<b>Civil and commercial cases</b>	( ) Yes (X) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes (X) No [ ] NAP	(X) Yes ( ) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes (X) No [ ] NAP
<b>Family cases</b>	( ) Yes (X) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes (X) No [ ] NAP	(X) Yes ( ) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes (X) No [ ] NAP
<b>Administrative cases</b>	( ) Yes (X) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes (X) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes (X) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes (X) No [ ] NAP
<b>Labour cases including employment dismissals</b>	( ) Yes (X) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes (X) No [ ] NAP	(X) Yes ( ) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes (X) No [ ] NAP
<b>Criminal cases</b>	( ) Yes (X) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes (X) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes (X) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes (X) No [ ] NAP
<b>Consumer cases</b>	( ) Yes (X) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes (X) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes (X) No [ ] NAP	( ) Yes (X) No [ ] NAP

Comments

**165. Is there a possibility to receive legal aid for court-related mediation or receive these services free of charge?**

(X) Yes

( ) No

[ ] NAP

Comments - If yes, please specify (only one or both options)::

**166. Number of accredited or registered mediators for court-related mediation:**

	Total	Males	Females
<b>Number of mediators</b>	1 665 [ ] NA [ ] NAP	[X] NA [ ] NAP	[X] NA [ ] NAP

Comments

**167. Number of court-related mediations:**

	Number of cases for which the parties agreed to start mediation	Number of finished court-related mediations	Number of cases in which there is a settlement agreement
<b>Total ( 1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5 + 6)</b>	[X] NA [ ] NAP	[X] NA [ ] NAP	[X] NA [ ] NAP
<b>1. Civil and commercial cases</b>	[X] NA [ ] NAP	[X] NA [ ] NAP	[X] NA [ ] NAP



<b>2. Family cases</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>3. Administrative cases</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>4. Labour cases including employment dismissal cases</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>5. Criminal cases</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>6. Consumer cases</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - Please indicate the source:

**168. Do the following alternative dispute resolution (ADR) methods exist in your country?**

- Mediation other than court-related mediation
- Arbitration
- Conciliation (if different from mediation)
- Other ADR (please specify): .....

Comments Mediation in civil and commercial cases (Law 3898/2010 as in force)

**G1. Please indicate the source for answering question 166:**

Source: Mr Charalambos Macheras, Judge to the Supreme Court Areios Pagos  
 Mrs. Panagiota - Eirini Sideri, Judge to the First Instance Court of Athens  
 Ministry of Justice

**8.Enforcement of court decisions**

**8.1.Execution of decisions in civil matters**

**8.1.1.Functioning**

**169. Do you have enforcement agents in your judicial system?**

- Yes
- No

Comments

**170. Number of enforcement agents**

Total	Male	Female

<b>Number of enforcement agents</b>	1 916 <input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA
-------------------------------------	--------------------------------------	--	--

Comments

**171. Are enforcement agents (multiple options are possible):**

- judges  
 bailiffs practising as private professionals under the authority (control) of public authorities  
 bailiffs working in a public institution  
 other

Comments - Please specify their status and powers:

**171-1. Do enforcement agents have the monopoly in exercising their profession?**

- Yes  
 No

Comments - Please indicate any useful clarifications regarding the content of the enforcement agents' monopoly or on the opposite regarding the competition they have to deal with:

**171-2. Can the enforcement agent carry out the following civil enforcement proceedings:**

	<b>Option</b>
<b>Seizure of movable tangible properties</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes with monopoly <input type="checkbox"/> Yes without monopoly <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Seizure of immovable properties</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes with monopoly <input type="checkbox"/> Yes without monopoly <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Seizure from a third party of the debtor claims regarding a sum of money</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes with monopoly <input type="checkbox"/> Yes without monopoly <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Seizure of remunerations</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes with monopoly <input type="checkbox"/> Yes without monopoly <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Seizure of motorised vehicles</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes with monopoly <input type="checkbox"/> Yes without monopoly <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Eviction measures</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes with monopoly <input type="checkbox"/> Yes without monopoly <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

<b>Enforced sale by public tender of seized properties</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes with monopoly <input type="checkbox"/> Yes without monopoly <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>Other</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes with monopoly <input type="checkbox"/> Yes without monopoly <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

**171-3. Apart of the enforcement of court decisions, what are the other activities that can be carried out by enforcement agents?**

- Service of judicial and extrajudicial documents
- Debt recovery
- Voluntary sale of moveable or immoveable property at public auction
- Seizure of goods
- Recording and reporting of evidence
- Court hearings service
- Provision of legal advice
- Bankruptcy procedures
- Performing tasks assigned by judges
- Representing parties in courts
- Drawing up private deeds and documents
- Building manager
- Other

Comments

**172. Is there a specific initial training or exam to become an enforcement agent?**

- Yes
- No

Comments

**172-1. Is there a system of mandatory general continuous training for enforcement agents?**

- Yes
- No

Comments

**173. Is the profession of enforcement agents organised by (the answer NAP means that the profession is not organised):**

- a national body
- a regional body

a local body

NAP

Comments

**174. Are enforcement fees easily established and transparent for court users?**

Yes

No

Comments

**175. Are enforcement fees freely negotiated?**

Yes

No

Comments

**176. Do laws provide any rules on enforcement fees (including those freely negotiated)?**

Yes

No

Comments

**H0. Please indicate the sources for answering question 170**

Source: Ministry of Justice, Transparency and Human Rights, Office of the General Prosecutor to the Supreme Court

**8.1.2. Efficiency of enforcement services**



**177. Is there a body entrusted with supervising and monitoring the enforcement agents' activity?**

Yes

No

Comments

**178. Which authority is responsible for supervising and monitoring enforcement agents?**

professional body

judge

Ministry of Justice

public prosecutor

other (please specify): .....

Comments

**179. Have quality standards been determined for enforcement agents?**

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, what are the quality criteria used?

**180. If yes, who is responsible for establishing these quality standards?**

professional body

judge

Ministry of Justice

other (please specify):NAP

Comments NAP

**181. Is there a specific mechanism for executing court decisions rendered against public authorities, including supervising such execution?**

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

**182. Is there a system for monitoring how the enforcement procedure is conducted by the enforcement agent?**

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

**183. What are the main complaints made by users concerning the enforcement procedure? Please indicate a maximum of 3.**

no execution at all

non execution of court decisions against public authorities

lack of information

excessive length

unlawful practices

insufficient supervision

excessive cost

other (please specify): .....

Comments

**184. Has your country prepared or established concrete measures to change the situation concerning the enforcement of court decisions – in particular regarding decisions against public authorities?**

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify: Laws 3068/2002 and 3301/2004

**185. Is there a system measuring the length of enforcement procedures:**

	Existence of the system
for civil cases	( ) Yes ( X ) No
for administrative cases	( ) Yes ( X ) No

Comments

**186. Regarding a decision on debt collection, please estimate the average timeframe to notify the decision to the parties who live in the city where the court sits (one option only):**

- ( ) between 1 and 5 days  
 ( X ) between 6 and 10 days  
 ( ) between 11 and 30 days  
 ( ) more (please specify): .....  
 [ ] NA

Comments

**187. Number of disciplinary proceedings initiated against enforcement agents. (If a disciplinary proceeding is undertaken because of several reasons, please count the proceedings only once and for the main reason.)**

	Number of disciplinary proceedings initiated
Total number of initiated disciplinary proceedings (1+2+3+4)	24 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
1. For breach of professional ethics	19 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
2. For professional inadequacy	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
3. For criminal offence	5 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
4. Other	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments - If "other", please specify:

**188. Number of sanctions pronounced against enforcement agents:**

Number of sanctions pronounced
--------------------------------

<b>Total number of sanctions (1+2+3+4+5)</b>	14 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>1. Reprimand</b>	3 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2. Suspension</b>	10 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>3. Withdrawal from cases</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>4. Fine</b>	1 [ ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>5. Other</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments - If “other”, please specify. If a significant difference between the number of disciplinary proceedings and the number of sanctions exists, please indicate the reasons:

**H1. Please indicate the sources for answering questions 186, 187 and 188:**

Source: Ministry of Justice

**8.2. Execution of decisions in criminal matters**

**8.2.1. Functioning of execution in criminal matters**

**189. Which authority is in charge of the enforcement of judgments in criminal matters? (multiple options possible)**

- Judge
- Public prosecutor
- Prison and Probation Services
- Other authority (please specify): .....

Comments - Please specify his/her functions and duties (e.g. initiative or monitoring functions).

**190. Are the effective recovery rates of fines decided by a criminal court evaluated by studies?**

- Yes
- No

Comments

**191. If yes, what is the recovery rate?**

- 80-100%

50-79%

less than 50%

Comments - Please indicate the source for answering this question:

## 9. Notaries

### 9.1. Profession of notary

#### 9.1.1. Number and status of notaries

192. Number and type of notaries in your country. If you do not have notaries skip to question 197.

	Total	Male	Female
<b>TOTAL (1+2+3+4)</b>	3 000 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>1. Private professionals (without control from public authorities)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>2. Professionals appointed by the State</b>	3 000 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>3. Public officials</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
<b>4. Other</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If "other", please specify the status: Notaries are professionals appointed by the state and in particular, by the Minister of Justice after successful participation in certain public competition. They are considered by our Constitution as public servants without receiving any salary from the State and they practice their profession as in private sector.

#### 192-1. What are the access conditions to the profession of notary (multiple options possible):

diploma

professional experience/professional training

exam

appointment procedure by the State

other (please specify): .....

Comments

#### 192-2. Are notaries appointed to office for an undetermined period (i.e. "for life" = until the official age of retirement)?

yes, please indicate the age of retirement: 70

no, please specify the duration of the appointment: .....



Comments - are there exceptions (e.g. dismissal as a disciplinary sanction)? Please specify:

**194. What kind of activities do notaries perform (multiple options possible):**

- Within some civil procedures (for example inheritance or inheritance distribution; divorce by mutual consent)
- Authentication
- Certification of signatures
- Legality control of documents submitted by the parties
- Mediation
- Taking of oaths
- Other, for example collect taxes, keep registers etc. (please specify):art. 1, Law 2830/2000

Comments

**194-1. Do notaries have the exclusive rights when exercising their profession:**

- Within some civil procedures (for example inheritance or inheritance distribution; divorce by mutual consent)
- Authentication
- Certification of signatures
- Legality control of documents submitted by the parties
- Mediation
- Taking of oaths
- Other, for example collect taxes, keep registers etc. (please specify): .....

Comments - Please indicate any useful clarifications regarding the content of the notaries' exclusive rights or on the opposite regarding the competition they have to deal with:

**194-2. In which areas of law do notaries perform their activities (multiple options possible)?**

- Real estate transaction
- Family law
- Succession law
- Company law
- Legality control of gambling activities
- Other

Comments

**194-3. Do notaries use specialised digital systems in their activity?**

- In establishing authentic instruments
- In recording authentic instruments (archives)
- Other activity (please specify):Electronic auctions

Comments

**195. Is there an authority entrusted with supervising and monitoring the notaries' work?**

- Yes

( ) No

Comments

**196. If yes, which authority is responsible for supervising and monitoring notaries (multiple options possible)?**

professional body

court

Ministry of Justice

public prosecutor

other (please specify): .....

Comments

**196-1. Is there a system of general continuous training for all notaries?**

( ) Yes

( X ) No

Comments

**I1. Please indicate the sources for answering question 192:**

Sources: Ministry of Justice, Transparency and Human Rights

---

**10.Court interpreters**

**10.1.Details on profession of court interpreter**

**10.1.1.Status of court interpreters**

**197. Is the title of court interpreters protected?**

( ) Yes

( X ) No

Comments

**198. Is the function of court interpreters regulated by legal norms?**

( X ) Yes

( ) No

Comments

**199. Number of accredited or registered court interpreters:**

[            ]

[ X ] NA

Comments

**200. Are there binding provisions regarding the quality of court interpretation within judicial proceedings?**

( ) Yes

( X ) No

Comments - If yes, please specify (e.g. having passed a specific exam):

**201. Are the courts responsible for selecting court interpreters?**

[ X ] Yes, for recruitment and/or appointment for a specific term of office

[ X ] Yes, for recruitment and/or appointment on an ad hoc basis, according to the specific needs of given proceedings

[ ] No, please specify which authority selects court interpreters .....

Comments Article 233 Code of Criminal Procedure

**J1. Please indicate the sources for answering question 199**

Sources: Ministry of Justice

**11.Judicial experts**

**11.1.Profession of judicial expert**

**11.1.1.Status of judicial experts**



**202. In your system, what types of judicial experts can be requested to participate in judicial procedures (multiple choices possible):**

[ X ] experts who are requested by the parties to bring their expertise to support their argumentation,

[ X ] experts appointed by a court to put their scientific and technical knowledge on issues of fact at the court's disposal,

[ X ] "legal experts" who might be consulted by the judge on specific legal issues or requested to support the judge in preparing the judicial work (but do not take part in the decision).

[ ] Other (please specify): .....

Comments Regarding "Legal experts", the positive reply is provided only in the sense that they can provide Legal information on the Law of a jurisdiction of another state.

**202-1. Are there lists or databases of registered judicial experts?**

( X ) Yes

( ) No

Comments - Please indicate any useful comment regarding these lists or databases of experts, if they do exist (e.g. : Does the expert take an oath? How is his/her skill evaluated? By whom?): The plenary of each Court appoints annually the Technical experts.

**202-2. Who is responsible for registering judicial experts?**

- Ministry of justice
- Courts
- Independent body (association of judicial experts)
- Other

Comments

**202-3. Is the registration of judicial experts limited in time?**

- Yes, for how long .....
- No

Comments

**203. Is the title of judicial experts protected?**

- Yes
- No

Comments - If appropriate, please explain the meaning of this protection:

**203-1. Does the judicial expert have an obligation of training?**

	Obligation of training
<b>Initial training</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Continuous training</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Comments

**203-2. If yes, does this training concern:**

- judicial proceedings
- the profession of expert
- other

Comments

**204. Is the function of judicial experts regulated by legal norms?**

- Yes
- No

Comments

**204-1. On the occasion of a task entrusted to him/her, does the judicial expert have to report any potential conflicts of interest?**

- Yes
- No

Comments

**205. Number of accredited or registered judicial experts:**

	Total	Male	Female
Number of experts	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments

**205-1. Who sets the expert remuneration?**

- The Law
-----------

**206. Are there binding provisions regarding the exercise of the function of judicial expert within judicial proceedings?**

( X ) Yes

( ) No

Comments - If yes, please specify, in particular the given time to provide a technical report to the judge:

**206-1. Number of cases where expert opinion was ordered by a judge or requested by the parties**

	Number of cases
<b>Total (1+2+3+4)</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>1.Civil and commercial litigious cases</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>2.Administrative cases</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>3.Criminal cases</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP
<b>4.Other cases</b>	[ X ] NA [ ] NAP

Comments

**207. Are the courts responsible for selecting judicial experts?**

[ X ] Yes, for recruitment and/or appointment for a specific term of office

[ X ] Yes, for recruitment and/or appointment on an ad hoc basis, according to the specific needs of given proceedings

[ ] No, please specify which authority selects judicial experts .....

Comments

## 207-1. Does the judge control the progress of the expertise?

Yes

No

Comments

## K1. Please indicate the sources for answering question 205

Sources: Supreme Court, Public Prosecutor's Office to the Supreme Court

## 12.Reforms in judiciary

### 12.1.Foreseen reforms

#### 12.1.1.Reforms

**208. Can you provide information on the current debate in your country regarding the functioning of justice? Are there foreseen reforms? Please inform whether these reforms are under preparation or have only been envisaged at this stage. Have innovative projects been implemented? If possible, please observe the following categories:**

1. (Comprehensive) reform plans The General Commission of the State for ordinary administrative courts has already formed a plan facing different aspects of administrative justice (a new role for the General Commission within the framework set by the Constitution, a new judicial map, a new management system for administrative courts, codification of administrative litigation, implementation of new technologies etc.)

2. Budget N/A

3. Courts and public prosecution services (e.g. powers and organisation, structural changes - e.g. reduction of the number of courts -, management and working methods, information technologies, backlogs and efficiency, court fees, renovations and construction of new buildings) A total redistribution of (vacant) statutory posts of judicial staff in all areas of justice has already been completed (art. 35 Law 4509/2017 and the recently adopted ministerial decision 885/2019), aiming to the proper functioning of justice and to get more skilled staff. oreover, the new draft Code of Judicial Officials, provides for new branches of qualified staff. In the field of new technologies we have initiated the electronic filing of appeals for all the administrative courts since the month of May and we are working on the teleconference aiming mainly to serve the inhabitants of the greek islands in particular of the eastern Aegean.

3.1. Access to justice and legal aid N/A

4. High Judicial Council N/A

5. Legal professionals (judges, public prosecutors, lawyers, notaries, enforcement agents, etc.): organisation, education and training, etc. N/A

6. Reforms regarding civil, criminal and administrative laws, international conventions and cooperation activities N/A

7. Enforcement of court decisions N/A

8. Mediation and other ADR Amendments regarding reforms in the Mediation Legislation (Law 4512/2018), especially in compliance with a recent judgment of the ECJ, are due to pass in the Parliament by the end of November 2019.

9. Fight against crime N/A

9.1. Prison system N/A

9.2 Child friendly justice N/A

9.3. Violence against partners N/A

10. New information and communication technologies N/A

11. Other N/A