MANAGEMENT RESPONSE AND ACTION PLAN

Evaluation of the European Union & Council of Europe Joint Project Inclusive Schools: Making a Difference for Roma Children (INSCHOOL2) 16 June 2021 – 28 November 2021

Name of Evaluation Report:	Evaluation of the European Union/C	Council of Europe Joint Project "Inclus	ive schools: Making a Difference for			
	Roma Children (INSCHOOL2)					
Date of Evaluation Report:	28 November	Date of Action Plan:	01 February 2022			

Overall management response to the evaluation:

The Roma and Travellers Team welcomes the positive evaluation of the EU/CoE Joint Project "Inclusive Schools: Making a Difference for Roma Children (INSCHOOL2)" in relation to the criteria of effectiveness, efficiency, and sustainability. The specific recommendations of the evaluation report are useful and have been almost entirely taken into consideration and incorporated into the third cycle of the INSCHOOL project, which is currently under implementation until August 2023. Given that the new project proposal was in the process of negotiations during the second half of 2021, the draft findings of the report were instrumental in the design of the specific immediate and intermediate outcomes of the project, including several of its specific activities. Additional fine-tuning will have to be further considered, particularly in relation to the systemic collection and assessment of data about the project and its impact. Some actions in this regard have been introduced in the DoA of INSCHOOL 3.

[FOR DECENTRALISED EVALUATIONS] Dissemination plan for the evaluation: please briefly explain how the report will be shared (internally, other CoE entities, donors, beneficiaries etc.), methods (email, events, website etc.), resources, timeframe and person responsible.

The final evaluation report was shared among the following colleagues and external partners:

- Internal email distribution, January 2022:
 - Thorsten Afflerbach, Head of Roma and Travellers Team and Marcos Andrade, Deputy Head of Roma and Travellers Team. Both have provided valuable comments and guidance during the process of evaluation
 - Nedim Vrabac, JUSTROM Project Manager, Ana Oprisan, ROMACT Programme Manager, Marina Vasic ROMACTED Programme manager and Lejla Hadzimesic, ROMACTED Programme Officer (all members of the Roma and Travellers Team)

- External email distribution, January 2022:
 - Hristina Petkova, Policy Officer, DG Education, Youth, Sports and Culture, Strategy and Investments Unit
 - Dora Husz, Team Leader, DG Education, Youth, Sports and Culture, Strategy and Investments Unit

Management	Entity	in	Planned Actions ²	Justification ³	for	Target	Date	for	Person Responsible for Action
Decision ¹	Charge		(determined by Entity)	Non-Acceptance	e	Action			
Recommendation	ecommendation 1: Strengthen networking between participating schools within a country, but also between countries (and inviting new								
schools to join th	ne networ	ks),	ensuring that networking is ir	nstitutionalised;					
⊠Accepted	RTT		The recommendation is			August	2023		Denis Durmish - INSCHOOL Project
☐ Rejected			fully taken into						Manager and INSCHOOL Project team
□Under			consideration and						
consideration			incorporated as a specific						
			objective within the third						
			cycle of the project						
			(INSCHOOL3). The new						
			DoA incorporates the						
			following components: in-						
			country networking and						
			capacity building; cross-						
			country exchanges and						
			thematic visits; and a						
			multinational policy peer-						
			platform. It is to be noted						
			that the new cycle of the						
			project focuses on policy						
			interventions with fewer						

¹ The management decision is in relation to the Recommendation (Accept, Partially Accept, Reject, Consider).

² For implementing accepted recommendations.

³ For recommendations that are rejected or under consideration.

Recommendation 2: Establish a 'compendium' of successful inclusion practices, drawing on the Accepted RTT The recommendation is fully taken into consideration. Two specific objectives of the new project focus on developing evidence-based solutions through the collection and distribution of information, data and publications about good and inspiring policy and education practices on Quality Inclusive Education and desegregation. In	he Denis Durmish - INSCHOOL Project n Manager and INSCHOOL Project team
fully taken into consideration. Two specific objectives of the new project focus on developing evidence-based solutions through the collection and distribution of information, data and publications about good and inspiring policy and education practices on Quality Inclusive Education and desegregation. In	n Manager and INSCHOOL Project team
addition, a specific output is envisaged for the development and publication of an online education resource (hub) with materials/information/data about QIE and Roma	

			•		Denis Durmish, INSCHOOL Project Manager and INSCHOOL Project team larly done with the self-evaluation/country ose experiences to the relevant education
⊠Accepted ☐ Rejected ☐ Under consideration	RTT	The second intermedia outcome of the new project focuses on "supporting national level evidence-based solutions, promoting changes in inclusive education policy and practice, and acting to reduce and prevent further segregation of children in educational settings". This		August 2023	Denis Durmish, INSCHOOL Project Manager

		will entail developing research, data, and education (and policy) materials to support national level policy making.			
Recommendation	n 5: Explore th	ne possibilities and impact of	the Index methodolo	gy has on addressir	ng education and systemic inequalities and
countering segre	egation practic	es in education;			
⊠Accepted ☐ Rejected ☐Under consideration	RTT DG EAC/ EU funding Authorities	The Index for Inclusion will still be the primary methodology to be promoted, together with other relevant methodologies of the CoE. The strategic directions in which the Index will be used will be decided during the inception phase of the third cycle of the project. For example, in the Czech Republic, public authorities have specifically expressed interest in teacher training based on the Index. The index will be promoted as an inspirational practice to address inequalities in		August 2023	Denis Durmish, INSCHOOL Project Manager / Steering Board of INSCHOOL 3 (including DGEAC and other relevant DG's)

⊠Accepted	RTT	The participation of Roma		August 2023	Denis Durmish,	INSCHOOL	Project
☐ Rejected		(parents) and other groups			Manager and INSC	HOOL National	Teams
□Under		at risk of marginalisation in					
consideration		policy and consultative					
		processes is now an					
		immediate outcome of the					
		new cycle of the project.					
		Representatives of NGOs,					
		education professionals,					
		representatives of groups					
		at the risk of					
		marginalisation will be					
		supported to take proactive					
		part in policy development					
		processes.					
		implementing INSCHOOL, care	•	•		•	
		nt resources (e.g., staff, physic	-		of the Index - indeed,	especially the	survey
findings nainta	d to limited sc	hool resources (staff shortages	and limited budgets	5);			
munigs pointe							
	RTT	T	The school level of		Denis Durmish,	INSCHOOL	Project
□Accepted	RTT		The school level of interventions is no		· ·	INSCHOOL	Project
□Accepted ⊠ Rejected	RTT		interventions is no		Denis Durmish, Manager	INSCHOOL	Project
□Accepted ☑ Rejected □Under	RTT				· ·	INSCHOOL	Project
□Accepted ☑ Rejected □Under	RTT		interventions is no longer a priority of		· ·	INSCHOOL	Project
□Accepted ☑ Rejected □Under	RTT		interventions is no longer a priority of the project.		· ·	INSCHOOL	Project
□Accepted ☑ Rejected □Under	RTT		interventions is no longer a priority of the project. However, schools,		· ·	INSCHOOL	Project
□Accepted ☑ Rejected □Under	RTT		interventions is no longer a priority of the project. However, schools, education		· ·	INSCHOOL	Project
□Accepted ☑ Rejected □Under	RTT		interventions is no longer a priority of the project. However, schools, education institutions and		· ·	INSCHOOL	Project
□Accepted ☑ Rejected □Under consideration	RTT		interventions is no longer a priority of the project. However, schools, education institutions and teachers will		· ·	INSCHOOL	Project

			T	Τ	1		
			authorities and in				
			the capacity				
			building activities.				
Recommendation case of the Nation		or further develop the cooper Groups;	ation and coordinati	on under national	 policy coordination	mechanisms,	as in the
⊠Accepted	RTT	Recommendation is fully		August 2023	Denis Durmish,	INSCHOOL	Project
\square Rejected	DG EAC	considered. One of the			Manager and Steeri	ng Board of IN	ISCHOOL
□Under		main focuses of the new			(involving EC DG E	AC and other	relevant
consideration		project is the technical			DGs)		
		support to and cooperation					
		with public authorities,					
		policy coordination					
		entities, EU funding					
		managing authorities, etc.					
Recommendation	on 9: INSCHO	OL's approach to assessing lev	els of inclusion at par	rticipating schools a	t the outset of imple	menting INSC	CHOOL in
the schools can	be considere	d exemplary (e.g., systematic ι	ise of baseline survey	s / questionnaires)	. Whilst the perform	ance of INSCH	IOOL can
be assessed on	the basis of	qualitative methods, future i	monitoring and evalu	uation would bene	fit of complementar	y data via sy	stematic
quantification o	f outcomes, i	ncluding the setting of targets,	and corresponding s	ystematic data coll	ection;		
oxtimeAccepted	RTT	During the inception phase		May 2022	Denis Durmish,	INSCHOOL	Project
☐ Rejected		of the project, a mapping of			Manager		
□Under		institutional needs will be					
consideration		carried out to produce a					
		baseline report/data of					
		human, institutional and					
		organisational capacities in					
		member States, which will					
		consequently help to assess					
		the level of impact and					
		changes because of the					
		project. A specific project					
		component is dedicated to					

the collection of data and
research, which should
support the monitoring of
progress at the national
level. In addition, a revision
of the online reporting
system designed for the
project will be done to
carefully assess the
progress made in the
achievements of the
specific outcomes, outputs
and indicators of the
project.