# EVALUATION OF CHANGE MANAGEMENT IN THE ADMINISTRATIVE REFORM OF THE COUNCIL OF EUROPE

Management response and action plan



November 2024 DIO-EVA(2024)44



Name of Evaluation Report:	Evaluation of Change Management in the Administrative Reform of the Council of Europe		
Date of Evaluation Report:	25 July 2024	Date of the Action Plan:	30 November 2024

# Overall management response to the evaluation:

The Organisation welcomes the evaluation of change management in the administrative reform of the Council of Europe, notably the assessment that "several good practices and actions were identified, establishing a strong basis for the Council of Europe to build on, and for improving and creating more synergies among initiatives and changes".

The evaluators recognised that "the difficult environment in recent years (e.g. COVID-19 pandemic, war of aggression of the Russian Federation against Ukraine, general financial situation of the Council of Europe) and the lack of dedicated human and financial resources available for implementing and managing the requested changes are creating a challenging context". The fact that "reforms in general and the associated change management processes are always complex" was also acknowledged.

In this context, the Organisation recognises that there are lessons to be learnt. It will endeavour to continuously improve its change management approach, notably in the area of administrative reform, in line with the Reykjavík Declaration - United around our values calling for "a modern Council of Europe enabling us to meet current and future challenges" and pledging to "continue the reform process to achieve greater transparency, efficiency and effectiveness". The Secretary General, the Deputy Secretary General and the whole Organisation are fully committed to pursuing the reform process throughout the 2024-2027 quadrennium.

Some recommendations are already being implemented, while actions envisaged to implement the remaining ones are set out in the Action Plan below, taking into account resources available as well as recommendations implemented in the framework of other audits and evaluations.

### **ACTION PLAN**

Management decision <sup>1</sup>	Entity in charge <sup>2</sup>	Planned actions <sup>3</sup> (determined by Entity)	Justification for non-acceptance <sup>4</sup>	Target date for action	Person responsible for action
The CoE should mal approach to change option (b). Option (a) offers the incremental improve Organisation to realist option requires an infollowing nine recomposition (b) implies coambition and speed Council of Europe wi	re a strategic decise management, op potential for reform ment and adaptable se significant and suvestment of financial mendations outlined intinuing with the experience of reforms be scaled ll lag behind other in the second s	change management (High <sup>5</sup> ) sion either to realise the full potential of its reforms by tion (a), or to continue with a less comprehensive and its to be implemented effectively, achieving their intended lity. This strategic commitment to a comprehensive chan ustainable improvement, ultimately benefiting the Organial and (to some extent existing) human resources. This is the the strategic actions needed (recommendations 2-10). xisting less comprehensive and systematic approach to a back to match available resources and capacities. This or international organisations in terms of effectiveness, efficiency want to implement some of the recommendations 7,	d impact and fostering management application and its staken the option recomments management, ption will also have reiency and general more displacements.	ch to change not go a culture of coronach will positioned by the example of the e	ontinuous tion the er, selecting this valuators. The s that the scope, colications, since the hould this option be
✓ Accepted □ Partially accepted □ Rejected	PO, with the support of DGA	The Organisation is committed, including at its highest level, to realising the full potential of its reforms by favouring a more systemic approach to change management (option a). This will notably be done through the implementation of the following nine recommendations (outlining the strategic actions needed), in the wider context of the Reykjavík Declaration.		31/12/2026	Director of Private Office

<sup>1.</sup> The Management decision concerns the Recommendation (Accepted, Partially accepted, Rejected).
2. Initial suggestion – to be defined/updated by management.
3. For implementing accepted recommendations.
4. For recommendations that are rejected.
5. Recommendations have been classified as either "high" or "medium" in terms of the assessment of their importance following the evaluation.

Management decision <sup>1</sup>	Entity in charge <sup>2</sup>	Planned actions <sup>3</sup> (determined by Entity)	Justification for non-acceptance <sup>4</sup>	Target date for action	Person responsible for action		
Recommendation 2:	Decision-making (F	ligh)					
	The CoE should conceptualise an internal strategic decision-making mechanism involving key actors from the Organisation to prioritise, guide and steer change in a coherent and manageable way.						
initiatives and change (recommendation 3),	processes. Its core ta prioritisation of initiat	chanism to be considered by the CoE should be responsible sks should include strategic dialogue on reform and change tives, provision of strategic guidance, initiation and fostering or introducing initiatives and changes (recommendation 5).	processes, developme	ent of a roadmap	and narrative		
		e and interactions of this mechanism with member states as logue, exchanges and continuity across change manageme		nange managen	nent hub		
	In doing so, the CoE can build on experiences gained from decision-making structures that have previously existed (Reform Steering Group) as well as existing structures (SMG) and thus learn from past experiences and prevent the creation of new structures and mechanisms.						
✓ Accepted □ Partially accepted □ Rejected	PO, with the support of DGA	The Organisation will build on experiences gained from existing structures involving key actors (notably Senior Management Group, General Affairs Team) to steer change in a coherent way.		31/12/2026	Director of Private Office Director General of		
		As applicable, this recommendation will be implemented in conjunction with the External Audit report on internal governance (ExtAud(2024)2).			Administration		

Management decision <sup>1</sup>	Entity in charge <sup>2</sup>	Planned actions <sup>3</sup> (determined by Entity)	Justification for non-acceptance <sup>4</sup>	Target date for action	Person responsible for action
Recommendation 3:	Roadmap and narra	ative (Medium)	•		
	owledging existing	rete roadmap as well as a coherent and motivating narra vision statement(s), and focusing on initiatives aimed a		_	
the sequence and tim Organisation presente should explain how th	ing of changes; (2) a ced in the visualisation ne changes are expec	parts: (1) a concise, easy-to-grasp visualisation identifying the clear, concise, coherent and motivating narrative for all staff of a link between the adopted refeted to impact the functioning and culture of the Organisaticate communication with staff and the CM about reforms, (2)	explaining and justifyir orm strategy and the n ons and what, concrete	ng the envisaged leed for the envi ly, this means fo	d evolution of the saged changes and it r staff.
a basis for internal dia	logue and decision m	naking, and (4) enhance accountability towards staff and the equest for change comes in, other initiatives and activities m	CM. The roadmap sho	uld be periodica	
✓ Accepted □ Partially accepted □ Rejected	DGA	The Organisation will develop a concrete roadmap and a narrative of the evolutions to be achieved by the main drivers of the reform, acknowledging existing vision statements (notably the Reykjavík Declaration).		30/06/2025	Director General of Administration
		The roadmap will focus on the major changes to be implemented, specifically in the field of the administrative reform (e.g. culture of change, People Strategy, Information Technology Strategy, New Way of Working, carbon footprint strategy), and will be regularly updated to reflect progress made and to include any changes in its scope or priorities.			

Management decision <sup>1</sup>	Entity in charge <sup>2</sup>	Planned actions <sup>3</sup> (determined by Entity)	Justification for non-acceptance <sup>4</sup>	Target date for action	Person responsible for action
Recommendation 4:	Culture of change (I	Medium)		•	
The CoE should pron	note a culture of cha	inge inspired by the roadmap (recommendation 2), inte	grating gender and s	pecial needs di	mensions.
the vision and mission demonstrate its comm of the Organisation. En adapt and identifying	of the CoE, the Organitment to change. The nabling factors that sland empowering 'chand' chang' chan	o and a coherent narrative, the CoE should invest in a culture of inisation as a whole and its workforce. Fostering a culture of this leadership should come from the highest level of the Orghould be considered by the CoE are ongoing training and deange ambassadors/champions' within the Organisation to a fit of the diversity of the workforce is essential in fostering an	change requires time, e panisation and involve l evelopment opportuni dvocate for change and	effort, and for the eading by exam ties to build the d help motivate	e leadership to ple at different levels skills necessary to
✓ Accepted □ Partially accepted □ Rejected	DGA DHR	The Organisation will further promote a culture of change inspired by the roadmap.  Enabling factors fostering an inclusive culture of change will be included in the People Strategy 2024-2027 and its thematic Action Plans, which will notably address workforce diversity (e.g. gender perspective, special needs dimension).  The implementation of the Secretary General's Results-Oriented Management Strategy 2023-2027 - "Learning as a driver of change" and the New Way of Working also promote a culture of change throughout the Organisation.		31/12/2026	Director General of Administration  Director of Human Resources

Management decision <sup>1</sup>	Entity in charge <sup>2</sup>	Planned actions <sup>3</sup> (determined by Entity)	Justification for non-acceptance <sup>4</sup>	Target date for action	Person responsible for action
Recommendation 5:	Dedicated resource	s for implementing change management (Medium)			
The CoE should plan	and secure the nece	essary resources for implementing reform initiatives and	l change managemer	nt in accordance	e with the roadmap.
the implementation o	f initiatives does not	resources vary from initiative to initiative. Adequate resource have a detrimental effect on the Organisation's work and sta crates and performs at the same time.			
1	ts and cost them with	be used as a fundraising tool. It should provide an overview a view to establish transparency about what reforms can b			_
Regarding the change financial resources.	e mechanisms (recom	mendations 2 and 6), the resources required should carefull	y be assessed and bala	nced with existi	ng human and
☑ Accepted □ Partially accepted □ Rejected	DGA DPB	The Organisation acknowledges that requirements for financial and human resources for implementing reform initiatives and change management are set within the available human and financial resources, as adopted in the Programme and Budget. They may be complemented with additions that vary from initiative to initiative, according to needs.  In this perspective, requirements for financial and human resources will be assessed in accordance with the roadmap, in line with the Results Based Management approach of the Council of Europe.		31/12/2026	Director General of Administration Director of Programme and Budget

Management decision <sup>1</sup>	Entity in charge <sup>2</sup>	Planned actions <sup>3</sup> (determined by Entity)	Justification for non-acceptance <sup>4</sup>	Target date for action	Person responsible for action
Recommendation 6:	Organisation-wide	change management hub (Medium)			
The CoE should upgr	ade the internal sup	pport and coordination of change management by build	ling on existing expe	rtise and efforts	5.
9	•	rate on an organisation-wide basis, taking on a support and lecision-making mechanism (recommendation 2) and shou			•
(including the establis learned, ensure system	hment and use of chanatic assessment of g	nent hub should be to: support coherent planning and implange management guidelines, recommendation 7), ensure ender and special needs dimensions, identify and mitigate outcome of changes (recommendation 8).	synergies between init	iatives, gather ar	nd share lessons
<ul><li>✓ Accepted</li><li>☐ Partially accepted</li><li>☐ Rejected</li></ul>	DGA	The Organisation will build on existing expertise, structures and good practices in order to further support change management, notably through a coherent planning and implementation of the administrative reform initiatives.  The support and coordination role in this respect will be ensured by DGA (Common Services).		31/12/2026	Director General of Administration
Recommendation 7:	Change manageme	ent guidelines (Medium)	<u>I</u>		
		uidelines for planning, implementing and assessing char neaningful reflections amongst relevant stakeholders.	nges and change mar	nagement appr	oaches. These
initiative. They should	remain at a macro lev cklist and thus be pra	d be concise, covering key elements that must be taken into vel to ensure their relevance and application across the Orga agmatic. A source of inspiration could be the key elements o	nisation as well as vari	ous reform areas	s and initiatives. They
✓ Accepted  □ Partially accepted  □ Rejected	DGA	The Organisation will develop a set of concise and pragmatic guidelines for planning, implementing and assessing change management approaches, adjusted to the needs and requirements of the Council of Europe.		31/12/2025	Director General of Administration

Management decision <sup>1</sup>	Entity in charge <sup>2</sup>		Justification for non-acceptance <sup>4</sup>		Person responsible for action
----------------------------------	-------------------------------	--	---	--	-------------------------------

# **Recommendation 8: Assessment (Medium)**

# The CoE should systematically assess the feasibility and potential consequences of initiatives, and the outcomes of adopted initiatives.

A first assessment should be part of the design phase of any initiative and should ensure that potential consequences for the Organisation, its staff and any specific groups are thoroughly addressed. A particular attention should be given to the impact on gender equality and persons with special needs. This initial assessment should be commensurate to the initiative and ask questions which are relevant to the initiative and its context, meaning that assessments, while systematic, do not necessarily imply to be large exercises.

An additional assessment is needed to capture the achievements and outcomes of initiatives in view of learning and generating evidence-based outcomes for communication.

Accepted Partially accepted Rejected	DPB DHR	The Organisation will continue to assess the feasibility and potential consequences of initiatives at the inception of every new initiative, while embedding learning from experience in the decision-making, programming and implementing process.	31/12/2025	Director of Programme and Budget  Director of Human
		implementing process.  The Organisation will capture the achievements and outcomes of initiatives, in view of generating learning and evidence-based outcomes.		Resources
		In the field of the administrative reform, the impact on gender equality and persons with special needs will be specifically addressed through the implementation of the People Strategy 2024-2027 and its thematic Action Plans, which will be fostering enlarged diversity and inclusion in the workplace.		

Management decision <sup>1</sup>	Entity in charge <sup>2</sup>	Planned actions <sup>3</sup> (determined by Entity)	Justification for non-acceptance <sup>4</sup>	Target date for action	Person responsible for action
Recommendation 9:	Communication (M	ledium)			
The CoE should deve and increase buy-in.		pproach to communicating with staff about reform, initi	atives and changes i	n order to ensu	re understanding
and results of initiative in delivering key mess that communication a require an analysis of toontextualised ways of Innovative approache is important and the S	es and changes (reco ages is essential. In p about initiatives, plan the audiences, the id- of communicating wi as might include for e secretary General and	d coherent messaging based on existing vision(s), the roadmendation 8). Regarding the culture of change (recomme particular, the communication strategy should ensure that stars and developments should be carefully choreographed with entification of appropriate channels, the purposeful selection that staff and specific groups in addition to using the intranet at a xample real time feedback, personalised news feeds and sime different selections of the purposeful selection of the staff and specific groups in addition to using the intranet at a xample real time feedback, personalised news feeds and sime different selections of the purposeful selection of the staff and selection of the staff	ndation 4), involvement aff are not overloaded thin a fully integrated connected of the con	nt of the Organis with information ommunication s well as the usage ation cascading of hes. Furthermor ating them as ke	sation's leadership n, and this means strategy. This would e of innovative and down the hierarchy. e, the 'tone at the top'
<ul><li>✓ Accepted</li><li>☐ Partially accepted</li><li>☐ Rejected</li></ul>	DGA, in cooperation with DC	The Organisation will further support an integrated approach to communicating with staff, notably about the administrative reform.		31/12/2025	Director General of Administration
		In addition to the CoE's updated Communications Strategy (Oct 2023), notably section 2.4 on Internal communications, specific communication strategies will be developed as necessary for key initiatives related to the administrative reform. Particular attention will be paid to the analysis of target audiences, the identification of appropriate channels, as well as the selection of key			Director of Communications

messages.

Management decision <sup>1</sup>	Entity in charge <sup>2</sup>	Planned actions <sup>3</sup> (determined by Entity)	Justification for non-acceptance⁴	Target date for action	Person responsible for action
Recommendation 10	: Engagement of st	aff (Medium)	•		
The CoE should ident	tify meaningful, tim	ely and sustainable ways of involving its staff to ensure	their substantive eng	agement throu	aghout processes.
In doing so, it should in consultations (e.g. HQ, workshop), level of de purpose of the consult Continuous engagem	dentify good practice /external offices, gen tails and practical mo tation. ent throughout an in	with staff in recent years, the CoE should reflect on and learn es to be continued, adjusted or introduced to ensure inclusive der, special needs) and coordination of the various engagent odalities (e.g. online, face-to-face) should be adapted to differ itiative does not necessarily imply an ongoing consultation ent communication on the process and the decision-making	re participation, adequation, adequation, adequation activities. The type rent initiatives and con	ate representati e of engagemer texts, the target Juire an appropi	on of its staff in nt (e.g. survey, t group(s), and the
<ul><li>✓ Accepted</li><li>☐ Partially accepted</li><li>☐ Rejected</li></ul>	DGA	The Organisation will benefit from lessons learned and experiences gained in engaging with staff in recent years in order to further develop meaningful, timely and sustainable ways of involving its staff, notably in the field of the administrative reform.  Particular attention will be paid to good practices to be continued in order to ensure inclusive participation (notably the adequate representation of staff at headquarters and in external offices).		31/12/2025	Director General of Administration

Abbreviations	
CoE	Council of Europe
SMG	Senior Management Group
CM	Committee of Ministers
PO	Private Office