


Judiciary at a glance in Denmark

General data


Population:	5 840 045	GDP per capita:	53 470 €	Average annual salary:	40 872 €
--------------------	------------------	------------------------	-----------------	-------------------------------	-----------------

Professionals

Judicial professionals per 100 000 inhabitants




Gross salaries of judges and prosecutors vs average annual salary in the country




Efficiency

Clearance rate by instance and by matter (%)




Disposition time by instance and by matter (in days)



Information and communication technology

ICT tools assessment from 2018 to 2020



*ICT calculations are described in more details in Annex 5 - IT Calculation methodology

Synthesis table for the main indicators for: Denmark


Economic and demographic data	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations											
										2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020						
Population	5 602 628	5 623 501	5 659 715	5 707 251	5 748 769	5 781 190	5 806 081	5 822 763	5 840 045	<div></div>	<div>4,2%</div>	<div></div>	<div>1,6%</div>	<div></div>	<div>1,0%</div>	<div></div>	<div>0,4%</div>	<div></div>	<div>0,3%</div>	<div></div>	<div>0,3%</div>
GDP per capita	43 738	45 171	45 744	46 836	48 474	50 100	51 280	53 189	53 470	<div></div>	<div>22,3%</div>	<div></div>	<div>6,0%</div>	<div></div>	<div>5,8%</div>	<div></div>	<div>2,4%</div>	<div></div>	<div>3,7%</div>	<div></div>	<div>0,5%</div>
Exchange rate (local currency needed to obtain 1€)	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	<div></div>	<div>-0,3%</div>	<div></div>	<div>-0,1%</div>	<div></div>	<div>0,4%</div>	<div></div>	<div>1,7%</div>	<div></div>	<div>0,1%</div>	<div></div>	<div>-0,5%</div>
Average annual salary	51 774		40 140		41 974		38 035	38 891	40 872	<div></div>	<div>-21,1%</div>	<div></div>	<div>4,6%</div>	<div></div>	<div>-9,4%</div>	<div></div>	<div></div>	<div></div>	<div>2,3%</div>	<div></div>	<div>5,1%</div>
Resources	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020						
Professional judges per 100 000 inhab.	6,6	6,3	6,7	6,6	6,5	6,5	6,5	6,4	6,6	<div></div>	<div>0,1%</div>	<div></div>	<div>-2,9%</div>	<div></div>	<div>-0,2%</div>	<div></div>	<div>-1,0%</div>	<div></div>	<div>-0,3%</div>	<div></div>	<div>3,2%</div>
Non-judge staff per 100 000 inhab.	32,5	31,1	31,0	26,8	28,6	28,3	28,5	30,5	31,1	<div></div>	<div>-4,4%</div>	<div></div>	<div>-7,8%</div>	<div></div>	<div>-0,1%</div>	<div></div>	<div>0,9%</div>	<div></div>	<div>6,9%</div>	<div></div>	<div>2,0%</div>
Lawyers per 100 000 inh.	107,5	107,6	108,4	109,2	108,5	111,6	113,0	117,5	117,6	<div></div>	<div>9,5%</div>	<div></div>	<div>0,1%</div>	<div></div>	<div>4,2%</div>	<div></div>	<div>1,3%</div>	<div></div>	<div>4,0%</div>	<div></div>	<div>0,1%</div>
Mediators	2,3	2,2	2,7	2,6	2,5	2,3	2,5	2,4	2,4	<div></div>	<div>8,0%</div>	<div></div>	<div>-6,8%</div>	<div></div>	<div>-1,0%</div>	<div></div>	<div>5,5%</div>	<div></div>	<div>-1,0%</div>	<div></div>	<div>0,4%</div>
ICT overall assesment							4,9	6,0	6,1									22,5%			1,7%
First instance incoming cases per 100 inhab.	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020						
Civil and commercial litigious cases	0,825	0,780	0,737	0,737	0,724	0,715	0,721	0,840	0,701	<div></div>	<div>-15,0%</div>	<div></div>	<div>-1,8%</div>	<div></div>	<div>-0,4%</div>	<div></div>	<div>0,8%</div>	<div></div>	<div>16,6%</div>	<div></div>	<div>-16,6%</div>
Administrative law cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NA	NAP					
Total criminal law cases									2,789												
First instance performance indicators (Clearance Rate)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020 (percentage points)	2014-2016 (percentage points)	2016-2018 (percentage points)	2017-2018 (percentage points)	2018-2019 (percentage points)	2019-2020 (percentage points)						
CR civil and commercial litigious cases	109%	107%	102%	102%	101%	102%	95%	92%	111%	<div></div>	<div>2,09</div>	<div></div>	<div>-1,02</div>	<div></div>	<div>-6,18</div>	<div></div>	<div>-7,39</div>	<div></div>	<div>-3,22</div>	<div></div>	<div>19,27</div>
CR administrative law cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NA	NAP					
CR total criminal law cases									95%												
First instance performance indicators (Disposition Time)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020						
DT civil and commercial litigious cases cases (days)	165	164	177	174	176	172	207	222	190	<div></div>	<div>14,9%</div>	<div></div>	<div>-0,8%</div>	<div></div>	<div>17,6%</div>	<div></div>	<div>20,5%</div>	<div></div>	<div>7,2%</div>	<div></div>	<div>-14,4%</div>
DT administrative law cases (days)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NA	NAP					
DT total criminal law cases (days)									64												
First instance pending cases per 100 inhab. on 31 dec.	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020						
Civil and commercial litigious cases	0,41	0,38	0,37	0,36	0,35	0,34	0,39	0,47	0,40	<div></div>	<div>-0,5%</div>	<div></div>	<div>-3,5%</div>	<div></div>	<div>10,0%</div>	<div></div>	<div>12,7%</div>	<div></div>	<div>20,7%</div>	<div></div>	<div>-13,6%</div>
Administrative law cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NA	NAP					
Total criminal law cases									0,46												
Second instance performance indicators (Clearance Rate)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020 (percentage points)	2014-2016 (percentage points)	2016-2018 (percentage points)	2017-2018 (percentage points)	2018-2019 (percentage points)	2019-2020 (percentage points)						
CR civil and commercial litigious cases			104%	109%	109%	105%	96%	94%	98%		<div></div>	<div>4,96</div>	<div></div>	<div>-13,06</div>	<div></div>	<div>-9,26</div>	<div></div>	<div>-1,88</div>	<div></div>	<div>4,29</div>	
CR administrative law cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP		NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP					
CR total criminal law cases									98%												
Second instance performance indicators (Disposition Time)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020						
DT civil and commercial litigious cases (days)			170	166	141	136	168	193	180		<div></div>	<div>-17,1%</div>	<div></div>	<div>19,6%</div>	<div></div>	<div>23,3%</div>	<div></div>	<div>14,4%</div>	<div></div>	<div>-6,2%</div>	
DT administrative law cases (days)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP		NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP					
DT total criminal law cases (days)									141												
Supreme court performance indicators (Clearance Rate)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020 (percentage points)	2014-2016 (percentage points)	2016-2018 (percentage points)	2017-2018 (percentage points)	2018-2019 (percentage points)	2019-2020 (percentage points)						
CR civil and commercial litigious cases			132%	120%	93%	110%	92%	90%	105%		<div></div>	<div>-39,14</div>	<div></div>	<div>-1,32</div>	<div></div>	<div>-17,94</div>	<div></div>	<div>-1,76</div>	<div></div>	<div>15,01</div>	
CR administrative law cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP		NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP					
CR total criminal law cases									103%												
Supreme court performance indicators (Disposition Time)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2012-2020	2014-2016	2016-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020						
DT civil and commercial litigious cases (days)			187	151	207	170	187	219	257		<div></div>	<div>10,8%</div>	<div></div>	<div>-9,6%</div>	<div></div>	<div>10,0%</div>	<div></div>	<div>16,9%</div>	<div></div>	<div>17,7%</div>	
DT administrative law cases (days)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP		NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP					
DT total criminal law cases									194												

1. Judicial organisation in Denmark

The Danish court system is composed of first instance courts which are called District courts; the Land Registration court and the Maritime and Commercial Court which are considered as first instance specialized courts; second and third instance courts which are the two High Courts and the Supreme Court.

According to 2020 data, Denmark has 24 first instance courts of general jurisdiction (District courts) and 2 first instance specialised courts (the Maritime and Commercial Court and the Land registration Court).


Distribution of general courts in Denmark




According to 2020 data, the distribution between 1st instance and higher instances courts of general jurisdiction in Denmark is somewhat different from the EU median of 87% - 13%.

Evolution of number of first instance courts in Denmark

	Geographic locations	Legal entities	
		General jurisdiction	Specialised jurisdiction
2012	29	24	2
2013	29	24	2
2014	29	24	2
2015	29	24	2
2016	29	24	2
2017	29	24	2
2018	29	24	2
2019	29	24	2
2020	29	24	2



Distribution of first instance general jurisdiction and specialised courts



The distribution between number of general jurisdiction courts and specialised courts of 92,3% - 7,7% is quite different from the EU median (distribution tendency in EU: 75,5% - 24,5%).

Specialised courts	First instance	Higher instance
Total	2	NAP
Commercial courts (excluded insolvency courts)	1	NAP
Insolvency courts	1	NAP
Labour courts	NAP	NAP
Family courts	NAP	NAP
Rent and tenancies courts	NAP	NAP
Enforcement of criminal sanctions courts	NAP	NAP
Fight against terrorism, organised crime and corruption	NAP	NAP
Internet related disputes	NAP	NAP
Administrative courts	NAP	NAP
Insurance and / or social welfare courts	NAP	NAP
Military courts	NAP	NAP
Juvenile courts	NAP	NAP
Other specialised 1st instance courts	1	NAP

In Denmark, there are 2 first instance specialised courts: the Land Registration Court and the Maritime and Commercial Court. The Land Registration Court has been established in 2009. The Maritime and Commercial Court is classified as Commercial Court and Insolvency court because it is a peculiarity that this court also deals, to a great extent but not exclusively, with insolvency cases (bankruptcies etc.). Although it appears there are two courts there is only one.

Juvenile Courts do not exist. In Denmark, cases concerning juveniles are dealt with by the district courts. However, juveniles are granted special attention within a Juvenile Board.

From 1 April 2019, family law cases are within the competence of a district court section.


There is one Military court, but it is not part of the Danish Courts Administration.

2. Professionals of justice in Denmark

• Professional judges and non-judge staff

Evolution of the number of professional judges since 2012 (Q46)

Year	Absolute number	Per 100 000 inhabitants
2012	372	6,64
2013	355	6,31
2014	377	6,66
2015	374	6,55
2016	372	6,47
2017	377	6,52
2018	375	6,46
2019	375	6,44
2020	388	6,64





According to 2020 data, the total number of professional judges sitting in courts (all instances) in Denmark is 388, which is 3,5% more than in previous cycle.

More precisely, in Denmark, there are 6,64 professional judges per 100 000 inhabitants (this figure is below the EU median of 23,92 judges per 100 000 inhabitants) and about 4,68 non-judge staff per judge .

There is no significant difference compared with previous cycle when this ratio was at 4,73 non-judge staff per judge.

Absolute number of professional judges by instance and gender

2020	Total	Distribution by instance	Male	Female	% Male	% Female
1st instance	264	68,0%	107	157	40,5%	59,5%
2nd instance	106	27,3%	57	49	53,8%	46,2%
Supreme courts	18	4,6%	13	5	72,2%	27,8%
Total	388		177	211	45,6%	54,4%



In this cycle, the total number of female professional judges (all instances) is 211, which represents 54,4% of the total number of judges.

The total number of judges is distributed among the different judicial instances in the following way: 264 are sitting in first instance courts (of which 157 are female); 106 are sitting in second instance courts (of which 49 are female) and 18 are sitting in Supreme Court (of which 5 are female).

Compared with the EU distribution of professional judges per instance, a similar trend is observed in Denmark. However, the predominance of first instance judges is less pronounced, while second and third instance judges are more numerous.

As regards the distribution male/female, it has to be specified that female judges have the majority only at first instance.


Absolute number of professional judges by instance and matter

2020	Total	Civil and commercial	Criminal	Administrative	Other
1st instance	539	NA	NA	NAP	NAP
2nd instance	130	NA	NA	NAP	NAP
Supreme courts	32	NA	NA	NAP	NAP
Total	701	NA	NA	NAP	NAP

In Demark, all judges make decisions in all types of cases, therefore, the number of professional judges by case type cannot be provided.

Non-judge staff

Year	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Number of non-judge staff	1 823	1 751	1 754	1 529	1 642	1 634	1 656	1 775	1 816
Per 100 000 inhabitants	32,53	31,14	30,99	26,79	28,56	28,26	28,52	30,48	31,10



In 2020, Denmark has 1 816 non-judge staff. The total number of non-judge staff in comparison with the previous cycle reveals an increase of 2,3%.

In this cycle, the non-judge staff is broken down as follows:


- 338 Rechtspfleger (or similar bodies) with judicial or quasi-judicial tasks having autonomous competence and whose decisions could be subject to appeal (among which
- 10 non-judge staff whose task is to assist the judges such as registrars (of which NA are women);
- 1 375 staff in charge of different administrative tasks and of the court management (of which NA are women);
- 84 technical staff (of which NA are women);
- 9 other (of which NA are women);

In 2020, the number of non-judge staff per 100 000 inhabitants has increased (from 30,5 in 2019 to 31,1 in 2020).

During the same period, the number of judges per 100 000 inhabitants evolves from 6,4 judges per 100 000 inhabitants in 2019 to 6,6 in 2020.


Professional judges, non-judge staff and their ratio (Q46, Q52)

Per 100 000 inhabitants	Denmark	EU median
Professional judges	6,64	23,92
Non-judge staff	31,10	59,00
Non-judge staff per judge	4,68	3,30



Evolution of the ratio between professional judges and non-judge staff (Q46, Q52)

	Judges per 100 000 inh.	Non-judge staff per 100 000 inh.	Ratio between professional judges and non-judge staff
2012	6,64	32,53	4,90
2013	6,31	31,14	4,93
2014	6,66	30,99	4,65
2015	6,55	26,79	4,09
2016	6,47	28,56	4,41
2017	6,52	28,26	4,33
2018	6,46	28,52	4,42
2019	6,44	30,48	4,73
2020	6,64	31,10	4,68




Public prosecutors and non-prosecutor staff

Non-prosecutor staff by gender (Q60)

Non-prosecutor staff	Total	Male	Female
2020	1 670	510	1 160



Non-prosecutor staff by gender



Public prosecutors, non-prosecutor staff and their ratio (Q55, Q60)

Per 100 000 inhabitants	Denmark	EU median
Public prosecutors	12,96	9,91
Non-prosecutor staff	28,60	15,22
Non-prosecutor staff per prosecutor	2,21	1,11


Public prosecutors and non-prosecutor staff per 100 000 inhabitants, and their ratio



Salaries of professional judges and prosecutors at beginning of a career and at the highest instance (Q132, Q4)

Salaries of professional judges and prosecutors (Q132, Q4)	Average gross annual salary in €	Average net annual salary in €	Ratio with national average annual gross salary
Judge at the beginning of a career	122 545 €	NA	3,00
Judge of the highest court	236 387 €	NA	5,78
Prosecutor at the beginning of a career	48 322 €	NA	1,18
Public prosecutor at highest instance	NA	NA	NA

Gross salaries of judges and prosecutors vs average annual salary in the country



According to 2020 data, the absolute gross salary of a judge at the beginning of a career in Denmark of 122 545€ is quite above when compared to the EU median of 51 946€. As a ratio with the annual average salary of the country, the salary for a judge at the beginning of career is: 3,00 compared with EU median of : 2,02.


In 2020, at the end of career the highest absolute gross salary for judge is registered in Denmark: 236 387€.

The Danish tax system is progressive, the percentage of tax depends on the income and the municipal tax varies from municipality to municipality, and therefore, net salary could not be reported.

Lawyers

Lawyers	Absolute number	Per 100 000 inhabitants
2012	6 021	107,47
2013	6 053	107,64
2014	6 134	108,38
2015	6 235	109,25
2016	6 236	108,48
2017	6 450	111,57
2018	6 563	113,04
2019	6 843	117,52
2020	6 870	117,64

Number of lawyers per 100 000 inhabitants




In 2020, there are 6 870 lawyers, which is 0,4% more than in 2019.

Denmark has 117,6 lawyers per 100 000 inhabitants, which is around the EU median of 122,1 lawyers per 100 000 inhabitants.

• Judicial professionals (summary)


Judicial professionals in absolute number and per 100 000 inhabitants (Q46, Q52, Q55, Q60, Q146)

	Absolute number	Per 100 000 inhabitants	EU Median
Professional judges	375	6,44	23,92
Non-judge staff	1 816	31,10	59,00
Prosecutors	757	12,96	9,91
Non-prosecutor staff	1 670	28,60	15,22
Lawyers	6 870	117,64	122,09



Judicial professionals: Gender balance

	% Male	% Female
Professional judges	45,6%	54,4%
Non judge staff	NA	NA
Prosecutors	32,0%	68,0%
Non-prosecutor staff	30,5%	69,5%
Lawyers	62,6%	37,4%



3. Legal aid and court fees in Denmark

In Denmark, legal aid includes:

- Coverage of court fees: ✓
- Exemption from court fees: ✓

In Denmark, legal aid is available for :

- > Representation in court:
 - Criminal cases ✓
 - Other than criminal cases ✓
- > Legal advice, ADR and other legal services:
 - Criminal cases ✓
 - Other than criminal cases ✓
- > Fees related to enforcement of judicial decisions as fees for enforcement agents (Q18) ✓
- > Other costs than above (Q19) ✓

In all criminal cases, a defense attorney is appointed to defendants. Victims of certain criminal offences (for example sexual offences, homicide and acts of violence) have access to representation in court by a support attorney. Basic legal advice is available to all persons in criminal cases. Further legal advice is only available subject to certain economic criteria.


With regard to other than criminal cases, legal aid can be granted for all necessary costs associated with the proceedings. The court decides which expenses are covered by legal aid. For example, expenses that with good reason have been held in connection with a trial. Under special circumstances fees for technical advisors or experts are covered in criminal cases.

In respect of fees related to the enforcement of judicial decisions, it should be mentioned that according to the article 500(2) of the Danish Administration of Justice Act, the bailiff's court can grant legal aid if the person appearing before the court is deemed to need a lawyer's assistance.

Number of cases for which legal aid has been granted

Absolute number (in 2020)	Total	Cases brought to court	Cases not brought to court
Total	918	NAP	NAP
In criminal cases	NAP	NAP	NAP
In other than criminal cases	NAP	NAP	NAP

Per 100 000 inhabitants (in 2020)	Denmark	EU Median
Total	15,7	734,2
In criminal cases	NAP	330,9
In other than criminal cases	NAP	402,7



Timeframes of the procedure for granting legal aid (in relation to the duration from the initial legal aid request to the final approval of the legal aid request)

- Maximum duration prescribed in law/regulations: NA
- Actual average duration: NA

In Denmark, there is no binding legislation on the maximum duration concerning proceedings for granting legal aid. In 2020, the average processing time in cases of legal aid requests was 60 days.

4. Performance of courts in Denmark

• Efficiency indicators

◦ Clearance Rate (CR) and Disposition Time (DT)

The Clearance Rate shows the capacity of a judicial system to deal with the incoming cases. A Clearance Rate of 100% and higher does not generate backlog.

The Disposition Time determines the estimated number of days necessary for a pending case to be solved in a court.

At the outset, it is important to specify that 2020 was an unusual year because of the Covid-19 related close down of society, including close down of courts. Namely, courts were closed for 3 weeks except for vital cases and government assistance to companies helped them and reduced bankruptcies and closures of companies that would normally have happened.


In criminal matters, the number of pending cases increased as the prosecution continued to forward new cases to the courts that could not deal with it.

First instance Total of other than criminal cases

◦ Incoming, resolved and pending cases

Evolution of number of all other than criminal cases per 100 inhabitants

Incoming Resolved Pending 31 Dec



The number of incoming cases in 2020 in Denmark (47,51 per 100 inhabitants) is well above EU median (6,82 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of resolved cases in 2020 in Denmark (47,87 per 100 inhabitants) is well above EU median (6,60 per 100 inhabitants).


The number of pending cases at the end of 2020 in Denmark (2,27 per 100 inhabitants) is slightly below EU median (2,66 per 100 inhabitants).

◦ Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

Other than criminal cases	CR (%)	DT (days)
2012	101%	17
2013	100%	18
2014	100%	19
2015	100%	17
2016	100%	21
2017	100%	22
2018	100%	24
2019	101%	19
2020	101%	17

Clearance Rate in % (CR) and Disposition Time in days (DT) for Other than criminal cases

DT (days) CR (%)



With a Clearance Rate calculated at 100,8% in 2020 Denmark seems to be able to deal with its other than criminal cases.

Between 2019 and 2020, the Clearance Rate has increased by 0,2 points.

In 2020, other than criminal cases are solved in approximately 17 days, which is significantly below EU median of 109 days.


The analysis of the 2019 - 2020 period reveals a -7,6% decrease of the Disposition Time.

As regards first instance other than criminal law cases, it should be mentioned that land registration is the major source of incoming cases. It fluctuates a lot depending on interest rates, loan rescheduling etc.

It is important to note that because of new regulations/laws, it is possible to start a new company with no prior capital. This causes many more companies and many more closures in some categories and also affects number of pending cases, like for non-litigious business registry cases. Furthermore, the courts received many extra backlogged cases from the Danish Commerce and Companies Agency reinforced closure of companies that were still backlogged in the early 2020.

First instance Civil (and commercial) litigious cases

◦ Incoming, resolved and pending cases



It should be stressed that in Denmark, the number of “administrative law cases” which are litigious is encompassed in the number of “civil and commercial litigious cases”.


The number of incoming cases in 2020 in Denmark (0,70 per 100 inhabitants) is significantly below EU median (1,56 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of resolved cases in 2020 in Denmark (0,78 per 100 inhabitants) is somewhat below EU median (1,50 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of pending cases at the end of 2020 in Denmark (0,40 per 100 inhabitants) is significantly below EU median (1,05 per 100 inhabitants).

◦ Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	CR (%)	DT (days)
2012	109,0%	165
2013	107,1%	164
2014	102,2%	177
2015	101,9%	174
2016	101,2%	176
2017	102,4%	172
2018	95,0%	207
2019	91,8%	222
2020	111,1%	190



With a Clearance Rate calculated at 111,1% in 2020, Denmark seems to to deal efficiently with its civil and commercial litigious cases.

Between 2019 and 2020, the Clearance Rate has increased by 19,3 points.

In 2020, the civil and commercial litigious cases are solved in approximately 190 days, which is slightly below EU median of 221 days.

The analysis of the 2019 - 2020 period reveals a -14,4% decrease of the Disposition Time.

The number of civil and commercial litigious cases older than 2 years is not available.


First instance Administrative cases

As explained above, in Denmark, the number of “administrative law cases” which are litigious is encompassed in the number of “civil and commercial litigious cases”.

Insolvency cases

◦ Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

Insolvency cases	CR (%)	DT (days)
2012	110,1%	235
2013	116,2%	214
2014	125,4%	212
2015	110,0%	238
2016	85,3%	220
2017	91,2%	211
2018	79,3%	517
2019	99,9%	345
2020	128,1%	296



The Clearance Rate was calculated at 128,1% in 2020 for insolvency cases, Denmark seems to deal efficiently with its insolvency cases.

Between 2019 and 2020, the Clearance Rate has increased by 28,2 points.

In 2020, insolvency cases are solved in a approximately 296 days, which is slightly above EU median of 281 days.

The analysis of the 2019 - 2020 period reveals a -14,0% decrease of the Disposition Time.



It should be mentioned that due to a number of backlogged forced closures of companies in 2019 by the Danish Commerce and Companies Agency, there was a market increase in the number of bankruptcy cases at the Maritime and Commercial Court in 2020 compared to 2018.

• First instance Criminal Law Cases

◦ Incoming, resolved and pending cases

	Pending cases 1 Jan	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases 31 Dec
Total	19 143	162 899	155 064	26 978
Severe criminal cases	9 781	26 889	22 648	14 022
Misdemeanour and/or minor cases	9 362	136 010	132 416	12 956
Other cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP

Per 100 inhabitants	Pending cases 1 Jan	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases 31 Dec
Total	0,33	2,79	2,66	0,46
Severe criminal cases	0,17	0,46	0,39	0,24
Misdemeanour and/or minor cases	0,16	2,33	2,27	0,22
Other cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP




The number of total incoming criminal cases in 2020 in Denmark (2,79 per 100 inhabitants) is significantly above EU median (1,60 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of total resolved criminal cases in 2020 in Denmark (2,66 per 100 inhabitants) is significantly above EU median (1,48 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of total pending criminal cases at the end of 2020 in Denmark (0,46 per 100 inhabitants) is -0,0038571491495584 (0,46 per 100 inhabitants).

◦ Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

Total criminal law cases	CR (%)	DT (days)
Total	95,2%	64
Severe criminal cases	84,2%	226
Misdemeanour and/or minor cases	97,4%	36
Other cases	NAP	NAP



With the Clearance Rate calculated at 95,2% in 2020 for total criminal cases, Denmark seems to face some difficulties in dealing with its total criminal cases.



In 2020, criminal law cases were solved in approximately 64 days, which is significantly below EU median of 139 days.

Danish Court Administration does not have statistics on pending cases older than 2 years.

When cases are categorised as "severe", it does not mean that deprivation of liberty is the end result, but based on the category chosen by the court to deal with the case, it could include severe offences. Minor cases are typically fines that will never result in deprivation of liberty.

Overall efficiency by instance and by case matter

	CR (%)			DT (days)		
	1st instance	2nd instance	Supreme Court	1st instance	2nd instance	Supreme Court
Civil and commercial litigious cases	111,1%	98,2%	105,1%	190	180	257
Administrative cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
Total criminal law cases	95,2%	97,6%	103,3%	64	141	194



As regards civil and commercial litigious cases (including administrative cases), the Clearance rate is beyond 100% except for second instance cases, where it is close to this threshold. In respect of criminal cases, the Clearance rate is beyond the 100% threshold only with regard to the third instance. Criminal courts prove to be less efficient especially at first instance.

As to the Disposition Time indicator, the Danish value is below the EU median only with regard to first instance civil (the EU being 221 days) and criminal cases (the EU median being 139 days). Second and third instance courts proceedings in both matters are longer.

Generally speaking, criminal proceedings are faster than civil proceedings.

As the number of administrative law cases is encompassed in the number of civil and commercial litigious cases, no separate analysis can be carried out in their respect.

5. Public prosecution services in Denmark

• Role and powers of the public prosecutor



In the criminal procedure, the public prosecutor in Denmark has the following 9 out of 11 possible roles and powers:

To conduct or supervise police investigation	✓	To appeal	✓
To conduct investigations	✗	To supervise the enforcement procedure	✗
When necessary, to request investigation measures from the judge	✓	To discontinue a case without needing a decision by a judge	✓
To charge	✓	To end the case by imposing or negotiating a penalty or measure without requiring a judicial decision	✓
To present the case in the court	✓	Other significant powers	✓
To propose a sentence to the judge	✓		

The public prosecutor does not have any role in civil, administrative or insolvency cases.

• Public prosecutors: Number of first instance criminal cases

Type of cases	Absolute number	Per 100 inhabitants
1. Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	60 656	1,04
2. Incoming/received cases	223 459	3,83
3. Processed cases (3.1 + 3.2 + 3.3 + 3.4)	420 204	7,20
3.1. Discontinued during the reference year (3.1.1 + 3.1.2 + 3.1.3 + 3.1.4)	207 165	3,55
3.1.1 Discontinued by the public prosecutor because the offender could not be identified	NA	NA
3.1.2 Discontinued by the public prosecutor due to the lack of an established offence or a specific legal situation	NA	NA
3.1.3 Discontinued by the public prosecutor for reasons of opportunity	769	0,01
3.1.4 Discontinued for other reasons	NA	NA
3.2. Concluded by a penalty or a measure imposed or negotiated by the public prosecutor	47 560	0,81
3.3. Cases closed by the public prosecutor for other reasons	NAP	NAP
3.4. Cases brought to court	165 479	2,83
4. Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	61 014	1,04



It is noteworthy that the number of processed cases includes both cases dismissed by the police and cases dismissed by the public prosecutor.


It is not possible to subtract numbers on discontinued cases in the format in items 3.1.1. + 3.1.2 + 3.1.4, as the legal basis in Denmark (sections 721 and 749 of the Administration of Justice Act) is not divided in such subsectors. The data source used in items 1 and 4 (data that do not include post-registration of charges) is different from the data source used in items 2 and 3 (data that includes post-registration of charges). Hence, vertical consistency in the table is not ensured due to post-registrations of further charges.

The number of incoming cases has decreased considerably between 2018 and 2020. This is due to a change in the way of measuring the number of incoming charges. The new methodology is more accurate than the previous one, as it contains all incoming charges and not all processed charges as previously. The number of incoming cases in 2018 is 245.687 when using the new way of measuring.

6. Existence and use of alternative dispute resolution in Denmark

Number of mediators

Mediators	Total	Per 100 000 inhabitants
2012	127	2,3
2013	124	2,2
2014	151	2,7
2015	147	2,6
2016	143	2,5
2017	135	2,3
2018	143	2,5
2019	142	2,4
2020	143	2,4



In 2020, there are 143 accredited or registered mediators who practise court related mediation which represents 2,4 accredited or registered mediators per 100 000 inhabitants.

The variation between 2019 and 2020 is about 0,7%.

In 2020, among the total, there are 53 registered attorneys who are appointed to serve as mediators in court mediation and 90 registred jugdes who serves as mediators in court mediation.

The data ragarding the number of court-related mediations is not available for 2020.

7. ICT tools of courts in Denmark

•The ICT tools of courts and for court users

The use of ICT in courts in 2020 has been evaluated as :

EU Median

Total	6,1	6,6
Assistance tools (0 to 3)	2,3	2,0
Case management system (0 to 7)	5,1	5,2
Financial management tools (0 to 3)	0,4	1,3
Measurement tools to assess the workload (0 to 5)	2,4	2,5
Electronic communication (0 to 10)	6,9	6,9

The calculation of this values for each field is based on the answers for that question/s and weighted according the availability or deployment rate. The total value is normalised to max 10 points for readability and comparison.


The details of the calculation are given in Annex 5 - IT calculations

The result by area may be summarized in these graphics, where each field has been evaluated from 0 to 4 points.

Note: index is modified based on the available questions. This cycle the recalculation was made for the last three cycles to be able to follow the development.

ICT tools assessment from 2018 to 2020

■ 2018 ■ 2019 ■ 2020 ■ EU Median 2020



Comments on writing assistance tools

For civil/commercial cases the availability rate slightly decreased as the different areas of use and the different patterns of uses have been tested and it was found that there were certain areas that were not covered.

Comments on voice recording tools

Some testing of the quality of the voice recognition was conducted and it was found that the software was unreliable in regards to the Danish language. This initiative will be again moved forward.

Comments on measurement tools on workload

It has been measured the amount of time each judge or staff spends on different categories of work (civil cases, criminal cases, administration etc.). The court activities are calculated in weighted cases. Therefore, the productivity is measured.

As regards judges, according to the Danish Court Administration, the tools deployment rate is 10-49 %. However, it might be higher, namely because on district courts, all judges either fill out how time is spent on a daily basis, or - for appointed judges - on a half-yearly basis. At some courts, the court has decided that the judges, despite Danish Court Administration does not demand it, fill out anyway this daily information. Nevertheless, at a High Court and Supreme court level this is not done. Therefore, the tool deployment rate of 10-49 % is not an absolute but an estimate.

Data are used by Danish Court Administration. It is up to the individual court, to decide how they use and how closely they monitor the judge staff. The same counts for non-judge staff.

Regarding prosecutor staff, the Danish Court Administration has no data. As regards public prosecutors, overall, there have been no changes to the systems that help assess how the workload is for public prosecutors, but due to ongoing interest in how the workload is distributed – not only for the single employee but also the districts between – it is estimated that there has been an increase in the percentage (from 10-49% to 50-99%). The workload is monitored in more general terms centrally through the Attorney General's office and locally the districts/local prosecution monitor their prosecutors and the workload more closely. The tool deployment rate of 50-99% is therefore not an absolute but an estimate since there has been an increase in the focus on monitoring the workload.

8. Systems for measuring and evaluating the performance of courts and public prosecution services in Denmark

In Denmark, quality standards are not determined for the judicial system at the national level.

• Systems for measuring and evaluating courts' performance

A regular monitoring system of court activities is in place concerning:

Number of incoming cases	✓	Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)	✗
Length of proceedings (timeframes)	✓	Costs of the judicial procedures	✗
Number of resolved cases	✓	Number of appeals	✗
Number of pending cases	✓	Appeal ratio	✗
Backlogs	✗	Clearance rate	✗
Productivity of judges and court staff	✗	Disposition time	✗
Satisfaction of court staff	✗	Other	✓

Denmark attaches great importance to independence. Therefore, Danish Court Administration does not evaluate the performance or productivity of individual judges. However, overall productivity and case flow are followed as they are used to allocate resources and to identify the court most in need of vacant judge positions.

In Denmark, there is a system to regularly evaluate the court performance based primarily on defined indicators and the reporting is more frequent than annual.

More precisely, the evaluation is conducted monthly, quarterly, half yearly and yearly.

Performance and quality indicators are defined for the activity of each court.

The following indicators are used:

Number of incoming cases	✓	Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)	✗
Length of proceedings (timeframes)	✓	Costs of the judicial procedures	✗
Number of resolved cases	✓	Number of appeals	✗
Number of pending cases	✓	Appeal ratio	✗
Backlogs	✓	Clearance rate	✗
Productivity of judges and court staff	✗	Disposition time	✗
Satisfaction of court staff	✗	Other	✓

It should be stressed that the data is collected for all parts of the judicial system, such as the police, public prosecution, courts and the prison system. The data is used to measure the performance of the individual agencies/administrations, but also - and perhaps most importantly - to measure the interplay between these.

The evaluation of the courts activities is used for the subsequent allocation of means in the courts.

• Systems for measuring and evaluating public prosecution services' performance

A regular monitoring system of public prosecution services activities is in place concerning:

Number of incoming cases	✓	Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the public prosecution)	✗
Length of proceedings (timeframes)	✗	Costs of the judicial procedures	✓
Number of resolved cases	✓	Clearance rate	✓
Number of pending cases	✓	Disposition time	✓
Backlogs	✓	Percentage of convictions and acquittals	✓
Productivity of prosecutors and prosecution staff	✗	Other	✗
Satisfaction of prosecution staff	✓		

The prosecution makes quarterly reports to the Ministry of Justice on data regarding number of cases, the Clearance rate, etc.

Disposition time is measured indirectly through a report that measures all steps in a criminal case from arrest to imprisonment. There is no direct measure of disposition time, but it can be read from this report.

Satisfaction of the prosecution staff has always been measured but not by the Ministry of Justice. The prosecution makes an annual survey on the satisfaction of the prosecution staff.

In Denmark, there is a system to evaluate regularly the activity of each public prosecution service and the reporting is more frequent than annual.

More specifically, the report is carried out on a monthly basis.

Performance and quality indicators are defined for the activity of each public prosecution service.

The following indicators are used:

Number of incoming cases	✓	Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the public prosecutors)	✗
Length of proceedings (timeframes)	✗	Costs of the judicial procedures	✗
Number of resolved cases	✓	Clearance rate	✗
Number of pending cases	✓	Disposition time	✗
Backlogs	✓	Percentage of convictions and acquittals	✓
Productivity of prosecutors and prosecution staff	✗	Other	✓
Satisfaction of prosecution staff	✗		

It should be noted that there are no performance indicators that measure length of proceedings directly. Even if they are measured indirectly, since there are performance measures on the time from a case is given to the public prosecution until the case is resolved, it is more correct to state that timeframes are not measured in Denmark.

The evaluation of the public prosecution services' activities is used for the later allocation of means in the public prosecution services.

Denmark (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Table General Data: Economic and demographic data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)																		
Q1 Number of inhabitants	5 602 628	5 623 501	5 659 715	5 707 251	5 748 769	5 781 190	5 806 081	5 822 763	5 840 045	4,2%	0,4%	0,6%	0,8%	0,7%	0,6%	0,4%	0,3%	0,3%
Q.3 GDP Per capita (in €) in current prices	43 738	45 171	45 744	46 836	48 474	50 100	51 280	53 189	53 470	22,3%	3,3%	1,3%	2,4%	3,5%	3,4%	2,4%	3,7%	0,5%
Q5. Exchange rate of Nat currency to € on 1 Jan	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	-0,3%	0,0%	-0,2%	0,2%	-0,3%	-1,2%	1,7%	0,1%	-0,5%
Indicator 1: Systems for measuring and evaluating the performance of courts and prosecution services (Indicator 4 in 2019)																		
Table 1.1 to Table 1.10 (Q66, Q67, Q77, Q78, Q77-1, Q78-1, Q73, Q73-0, Q73-1, Q73-2, Q73-3, Q73-4, Q73-5, Q73-6, Q70, Q70-1, Q71, Q72, Q83-2, Q83-3, Q120 and Q120-1)																		
66 Qlty standards formulated_jud system	No	No	No	No	False	False	False	False	False									
67 Specialised court staff entrusted_qlty standards	No	No	No	No	False	False	False	False	False									
77 Performance and quality indicators of court activities	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
078.1.1 Number of incoming cases							True	True	True									
078.1.2 Length of proceedings (timeframes)							True	True	True									
078.1.3 Number of resolved cases							True	True	True									
078.1.4 Number of pending cases							True	True	True									
078.1.5 Backlogs							True	True	True									
078.1.6 Productivity of judges and court staff							False	False	False									
078.1.7 Satisfaction of court staff							False	False	False									
078.1.8 Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)							False	False	False									
078.1.9 Costs of the judicial procedures							False	False	False									
078.1.10 Number of appeals							False	False	False									
078.1.11 Appeal ratio							False	False	False									
078.1.12 Clearance rate							False	False	False									
078.1.13 Disposition time							False	False	False									
078.1.14 Other							True	True	True									
077-1.1.1 Defined performance and quality indicators									True									

Denmark (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
078-1.1.1 Number of incoming cases									True									
078-1.1.2 Length of proceedings (timeframes)									False									
078-1.1.3 Number of resolved cases									True									
078-1.1.4 Number of pending cases									True									
078-1.1.5 Backlogs									True									
078-1.1.6 Productivity of prosecutors and prosecution staff									False									
078-1.1.7 Satisfaction of prosecution staff									False									
078-1.1.8 Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the public prosecution)									False									
078-1.1.9 Costs of the judicial procedures									False									
078-1.1.10 Clearance rate									False									
078-1.1.11 Disposition time									False									
078-1.1.12 Percentage of convictions and acquittals									True									
078-1.1.13 Other									True									
73 Regular system_evaluation_performance_each court	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
073-0.1.1 Annual					False	False	False	False	False									
073-0.1.2 Less frequent					False	False	False	False	False									
073-0.1.3 More frequent					True	True	True	True	True									
073-1.1.1 Evaluation used for the allocation of resources within the court			Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
073-2.1.1 Courses of action taken in the evaluation is used for the allocation of resources							True	False	True									
073-2.1.2 Reallocating resources (human/financial resources based on performance)							True	True	True									
073-2.1.3 Reengineering of internal procedures to increase efficiency							False	False	False									
073-2.1.4 Other							False	True	False									
073-3.1.1 Regular evaluation of the public prosecution services performance									True									

Denmark (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
073-4.1.1 Annual									False									
073-4.1.2 Less frequent									False									
073-4.1.3 More frequent									True									
073-5.1.1 Evaluation used for the allocation of resources within the public prosecution services									True									
073-6.1.1 Identifying the causes of improved or deteriorated performance									False									
073-6.1.2 Reallocating resources (human/financial resources based on performance)									True									
073-6.1.3 Reengineering of internal procedures to increase efficiency									True									
073-6.1.4 Other									False									
070.1.1 number of incoming cases	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
070.1.2 length of proceedings (timeframes)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
070.1.3 number of resolved cases	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
070.1.4 number of pending cases							True	True	True									
070.1.5 backlogs							True	True	False									
070.1.6 productivity of judges and court staff							True	True	False									
070.1.7 satisfaction of court staff							False	False	False									
070.1.8 satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)							False	False	False									
070.1.9 costs of the judicial procedures							False	False	False									
070.1.10 number of appeals							False	False	False									
070.1.11 appeal ratio							False	False	False									
070.1.12 clearance rate							False	False	False									

Denmark (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
070-1.1.1 Number of incoming cases									True									
070-1.1.2 Length of proceedings (timeframes)									False									
070-1.1.3 Number of resolved cases									True									
070-1.1.4 Number of pending cases									True									
070-1.1.5 Backlogs									True									
070-1.1.6 Productivity of prosecutors and prosecution staff									False									
070-1.1.7 Satisfaction of prosecution staff									True									
070-1.1.8 Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the public prosecution)									False									
070-1.1.9 Costs of the judicial procedures									True									
070-1.1.10 Clearance rate									True									
070-1.1.11 Disposition time									True									
070-1.1.12 Percentage of convictions and acquittals									True									
070-1.1.13 Other									False									
071.1.1 Monitoring backlogs in Civil law cases									-									
071.1.2 Monitoring backlogs in Criminal law cases									-									
071.1.3 Monitoring backlogs in Administrative law cases									-									
072.1.1 Monitoring timeframes Within the courts									True									
072.1.2 Monitoring timeframes Within the public prosecution services									False									
083-2.1.1 Quantitative performance tagets defined for each prosecutors									False									
083-3.1.1 Body responsible - Executive power (for example the Ministry of Justice)									NAP									
083-3.1.2 Body responsible - Prosecutor General /State public prosecutor									NAP									
083-3.1.3 Body responsible - Public Prosecutorial Council									NAP									
083-3.1.4 Body responsible - Head of the organisational unit or hierarchically superior public prosecutor									NAP									
083-3.1.5 Body responsible - Other									NAP									

Denmark (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
120.1.1 Qualitative individual assessment of the public prosecutors' work									True									
120-1.1.1 Feequency - Annual									False									
120-1.1.2 Feequency - Less frequent									False									
120-1.1.3 Feequency - More frequent									True									
Indicator 2: The judicial organisation																		
Tables 2.1a; 2.1b; 2.2a; 2.2b; 2.3a; 2.3b; 2.4 and 2.5(EC) (Q42, Q43 and Q44)																		
Q42.1.1Total number of all courts - legal entities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Q42.1.2 Total number of courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Q42.1.3 First instance courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%
Q42.1.4 Second instance courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Q42.1.5 Highest instance courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Q42.1.6 Total number of specialised courts - legal entities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.1 Total number of specialised courts of first instance	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%
43.1.2 Commercial courts (excluded insolvency courts)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%
43.1.3 Insolvency courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	1	NAP	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0,0%	0,0%
43.1.4 Labour courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.5 Family courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	24	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.6 Rent and tenancies courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.7 Enforcement of criminal sanctions courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.8 Fight against terrorism, organised crime and corruption	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.9 Internet related disputes	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.10 Administrative courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.11 Insurance and / or social welfare courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.12 Military courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.1.13 Juvenile courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Denmark (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
43.1.14 Other specialised courts	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%
43.2.1 Total number of specialised courts of higher instances	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.2 Commercial courts (excluded insolvency courts)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.3 Insolvency courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.4 Labour courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.5 Family courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.6 Rent and tenancies courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.7 Enforcement of criminal sanctions courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.8 Fight against terrorism, organised crime and corruption	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.9 Internet related disputes	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.10 Administrative courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.11 Insurance and / or social welfare courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.12 Military courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.13 Juvenile courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43.2.14 Other specialised courts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44.1.1 First instance courts geographic locations	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	26	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44.1.2 All courts geographic locations	29	29	29	29	29	29	29	29	29	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%

Denmark (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Indicator 3: The performance of courts at all stages of the proceedings																			
Tables 3.1.1.1 to 3.1.1.4 (all years) Number of other than criminal cases (Q91)																			
Table 3.3.1 to 3.3.3 Variation of first instance other than criminal cases per 100 inhabitants (Q1, Q91)																			
Table 3.13.7 (EC) to 3.13.12 (EC) First instance other than criminal cases (Q91)																			
91.1.1 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	143 328	117 611	114 483	116 296	122 137	136 043	144 319	164 281	153 654	7,2%	-17,9%	-2,7%	1,6%	5,0%	11,4%	6,1%	13,8%	-6,5%	
91.1.2 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	26 505	23 845	21 282	20 933	20 790	20 909	20 458	23 273	28 176	6,3%	-10,0%	-10,7%	-1,6%	-0,7%	0,6%	-2,2%	13,8%	21,1%	
91.1.3 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	64 939	66 789	73 598	87 083	94 887	110 970	94 970	-	-	-	2,8%	10,2%	18,3%	9,0%	16,9%	-14,4%	
91.1.4 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	76 701	56 974	57 523	60 220	66 980	77 671	83 319	87 757	77 017	0,4%	-25,7%	1,0%	4,7%	11,2%	16,0%	7,3%	5,3%	-12,2%	
91.1.5 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	7 416	6 569	6 618	7 012	9 229	20 541	15 105	-	-	-	-11,4%	0,7%	6,0%	31,6%	122,6%	-26,5%	
91.1.6 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious land registry cases	1 333	2 460	1 680	1 616	971	1 728	3 094	2 223	3 173	138,0%	84,5%	-31,7%	-3,8%	-39,9%	78,0%	79,1%	-28,2%	42,7%	
91.1.7 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non-litigious business registry cases	7 136	6 841	5 736	4 953	5 647	5 284	6 135	18 318	11 932	67,2%	-4,1%	-16,2%	-13,7%	14,0%	-6,4%	16,1%	198,6%	-34,9%	
91.1.8 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
91.1.9 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	2 400	2 339	2 672	2 848	-	-	-	-	-	-	-2,5%	14,2%	6,6%	
91.1.10 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Administrative law cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NA	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
91.1.11 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	28 748	27 491	28 262	28 574	27 749	28 051	28 974	30 043	30 508	6,1%	-4,4%	2,8%	1,1%	-2,9%	1,1%	3,3%	3,7%	1,5%	
91.2.1 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	2 628 863	2 316 568	2 288 883	2 592 856	2 232 881	2 286 018	2 277 208	2 869 512	2 774 689	5,5%	-11,9%	-1,2%	13,3%	-13,9%	2,4%	-0,4%	26,0%	-3,3%	
91.2.2 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	46 213	43 878	41 717	42 053	41 620	41 329	41 854	48 940	40 928	-11,4%	-5,1%	-4,9%	0,8%	-1,0%	-0,7%	1,3%	16,9%	-16,4%	
91.2.3 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	2 115 501	2 420 680	2 060 019	2 104 528	2 076 446	2 650 449	2 557 380	-	-	-	14,4%	-14,9%	2,2%	-1,3%	27,6%	-3,5%	
91.2.4 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	371 900	370 649	359 920	346 762	352 091	368 012	357 316	359 176	296 786	-20,2%	-0,3%	-2,9%	-3,7%	1,5%	4,5%	-2,9%	0,5%	-17,4%	
91.2.5 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	1 755 581	2 073 918	1 707 928	1 732 276	1 714 131	2 285 719	2 255 423	-	-	-	18,1%	-17,6%	1,4%	-1,0%	33,3%	-1,3%	
91.2.6 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious land registry cases	2 071 492	1 762 764	1 744 916	2 061 209	1 689 939	1 713 233	1 689 592	2 267 166	2 238 608	8,1%	-14,9%	-1,0%	18,1%	-18,0%	1,4%	-1,4%	34,2%	-1,3%	
91.2.7 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Non-litigious business registry cases	14 694	13 341	10 665	12 709	17 989	19 043	24 539	18 553	16 815	14,4%	-9,2%	-20,1%	19,2%	41,5%	5,9%	28,9%	-24,4%	-9,4%	
91.2.8 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
91.2.9 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	4 240	4 999	5 554	5 171	-	-	-	-	-	-	17,9%	11,1%	-6,9%	
91.2.10 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Administrative law cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NA	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
91.2.11 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	124 021	125 936	131 665	130 123	131 242	140 161	158 908	170 123	176 381	42,2%	1,5%	4,5%	-1,2%	0,9%	6,8%	13,4%	7,1%	3,7%	

Denmark (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
91.3.1 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	2 656 912	2 323 265	2 288 504	2 592 317	2 225 000	2 280 231	2 267 599	2 885 425	2 795 569	5,2%	-12,6%	-1,5%	13,3%	-14,2%	2,5%	-0,6%	27,2%	-3,1%
91.3.2 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	50 361	47 009	42 638	42 867	42 116	42 325	39 768	44 924	45 458	-9,7%	-6,7%	-9,3%	0,5%	-1,8%	0,5%	-6,0%	13,0%	1,2%
91.3.3 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	2 114 440	2 418 335	2 052 009	2 098 695	2 070 226	2 670 673	2 573 426	-	-	-	14,4%	-15,1%	2,3%	-1,4%	29,0%	-3,6%
91.3.4 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	394 750	372 421	357 102	344 907	344 729	365 470	357 728	373 901	312 743	-20,8%	-5,7%	-4,1%	-3,4%	-0,1%	6,0%	-2,1%	4,5%	-16,4%
91.3.5 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	1 757 338	2 073 428	1 707 280	1 728 773	1 707 761	2 291 277	2 255 800	-	-	-	18,0%	-17,7%	1,3%	-1,2%	34,2%	-1,5%
91.3.6 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious land registry cases	2 070 365	1 763 487	1 745 063	2 061 886	1 689 196	1 711 887	1 690 470	2 266 404	2 239 046	8,1%	-14,8%	-1,0%	18,2%	-18,1%	1,3%	-1,3%	34,1%	-1,2%
91.3.7 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Non-litigious business registry cases	15 366	15 048	12 275	11 542	18 084	16 886	17 291	24 873	16 754	9,0%	-2,1%	-18,4%	-6,0%	56,7%	-6,6%	2,4%	43,8%	-32,6%
91.3.8 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.3.9 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	4 452	4 737	5 495	4 883	-	-	-	-	-	-	6,4%	16,0%	-11,1%
91.3.10 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Administrative law cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NA	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.3.11 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	125 486	125 300	131 426	131 115	130 875	139 211	157 605	169 828	176 685	40,8%	-0,1%	4,9%	-0,2%	-0,2%	6,4%	13,2%	7,8%	4,0%
91.4.1 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	120 108	114 531	118 484	119 689	129 683	140 504	149 974	148 368	132 774	10,5%	-4,6%	3,5%	1,0%	8,3%	8,3%	6,7%	-1,1%	-10,5%
91.4.2 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	22 804	21 120	20 705	20 458	20 294	19 913	22 544	27 289	23 646	3,7%	-7,4%	-2,0%	-1,2%	-0,8%	-1,9%	13,2%	21,0%	-13,3%
91.4.3 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	69 113	71 458	81 302	91 552	97 182	90 746	78 924	-	-	-	3,4%	13,8%	12,6%	6,1%	-6,6%	-13,0%
91.4.4 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	57 548	57 559	62 626	64 876	74 342	80 213	82 907	73 032	61 060	6,1%	0,0%	8,8%	3,6%	14,6%	7,9%	3,4%	-11,9%	-16,4%
91.4.5 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	6 487	6 582	6 960	9 151	11 674	14 983	14 728	-	-	-	1,5%	5,7%	31,5%	27,6%	28,3%	-1,7%
91.4.6 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious land registry cases	2 460	1 737	1 533	939	1 714	3 074	2 216	2 985	2 735	11,2%	-29,4%	-11,7%	-38,7%	82,5%	79,3%	-27,9%	34,7%	-8,4%
91.4.7 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non-litigious business registry cases	6 852	5 751	4 954	5 643	5 246	6 077	9 458	11 998	11 993	75,0%	-16,1%	-13,9%	13,9%	-7,0%	15,8%	55,6%	26,9%	0,0%
91.4.8 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.4.9 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	2 188	2 601	2 731	3 136	-	-	-	-	-	-	18,9%	5,0%	14,8%
91.4.10 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Administrative law cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NA	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91.4.11 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	27 580	28 364	28 666	27 773	28 087	29 039	30 248	30 333	30 204	9,5%	2,8%	1,1%	-3,1%	1,1%	3,4%	4,2%	0,3%	-0,4%

Denmark (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions										
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020		
Table 3.2.1.1 to 3.2.1.2 (all years) First instance courts: Clearance rate and disposition time for other than criminal cases (Q91)																				
Table 3.3.4 to 3.3.7 Variation of Clearence Rate and Disposition Time of first instance other than criminal cases (Q91)																				
Table 3.13.1 (EC) to 3.13.6 (EC) First instance courts: Disposition time and clearance rate for other than criminal cases (Q91)																				
CR Total of other than criminal law cases	101,1%	100,3%	100,0%	100,0%	99,6%	99,7%	99,6%	100,6%	100,8%	- 0,31	- 0,77	- 0,30	- 0,00	- 0,33	0,10	- 0,17	0,98	0,20		
CR Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	109,0%	107,1%	102,2%	101,9%	101,2%	102,4%	95,0%	91,8%	111,1%	1,92	- 1,69	- 4,60	- 0,27	- 0,73	1,20	- 7,22	- 3,39	21,00		
CR Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	99,9%	99,9%	99,6%	99,7%	99,7%	100,8%	100,6%	-	-	-	- 0,05	- 0,29	0,11	- 0,02	1,07	- 0,13		
CR General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	106,1%	100,5%	99,2%	99,5%	97,9%	99,3%	100,1%	104,1%	105,4%	- 0,72	- 5,34	- 1,26	0,25	- 1,56	1,43	0,81	3,98	1,23		
CR Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	100,1%	100,0%	100,0%	99,8%	99,6%	100,2%	100,0%	-	-	-	- 0,12	- 0,01	- 0,16	- 0,17	0,62	- 0,23		
CR Non litigious land registry cases	99,9%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	99,9%	100,1%	100,0%	100,0%	0,07	0,10	- 0,03	0,02	- 0,08	- 0,03	0,13	- 0,09	0,05		
CR Non-litigious business registry cases	104,6%	112,8%	115,1%	90,8%	100,5%	88,7%	70,5%	134,1%	99,6%	- 4,72	7,86	2,04	- 21,09	10,69	- 11,79	- 20,54	90,26	- 25,68		
CR Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
CR Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	105,0%	94,8%	98,9%	94,4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 9,75	4,41	- 4,56		
CR Administrative law cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NA	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
CR Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	101,2%	99,5%	99,8%	100,8%	99,7%	99,3%	99,2%	99,8%	100,2%	- 1,00	- 1,67	0,33	0,95	- 1,03	- 0,40	- 0,14	0,65	0,35		
DT Total of other than criminal law cases	17	18	19	17	21	22	24	19	17	5,1%	9,1%	5,0%	-10,8%	26,2%	5,7%	7,3%	-22,3%	-7,6%		
DT Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	165	164	177	174	176	172	207	222	190	14,9%	-0,8%	8,1%	-1,7%	1,0%	-2,4%	20,5%	7,2%	-14,4%		
DT Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	-	12	11	14	16	17	12	11	-	-	-	-9,6%	34,1%	10,1%	7,6%	-27,6%	-9,7%		
DT General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	53	56	64	69	79	80	85	71	71	33,9%	6,0%	13,5%	7,3%	14,7%	1,8%	5,6%	-15,7%	0,0%		
DT Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	-	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	-	-	-	-14,0%	28,4%	29,8%	29,1%	-4,3%	-0,2%		
DT Non litigious land registry cases	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2,8%	-17,1%	-10,8%	-48,2%	122,8%	77,0%	-27,0%	0,5%	-7,3%		
DT Non-litigious business registry cases	163	139	147	178	106	131	200	176	261	60,5%	-14,3%	5,6%	21,1%	-40,7%	24,1%	52,0%	-11,8%	48,4%		
DT Other registry cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
DT Other non-litigious cases	-	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	179	200	181	234	-	-	-	-	-	-	11,7%	-9,5%	29,2%		
DT Administrative law cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NA	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
DT Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	80	83	80	77	78	76	70	65	62	-22,2%	3,0%	-3,6%	-2,9%	1,3%	-2,8%	-8,0%	-6,9%	-4,3%		

Denmark (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Table 3.4.1 (all years) First instance courts, number of cases for specific case categories (Q101)																			
101.1.1 Pending cases on 1 Jan. Litigious divorce case	2 257	1 994	1 892	1 816	1 557	1 640	1 534	1 533	1 734	-23,2%	-11,7%	-5,1%	-4,0%	-14,3%	5,3%	-6,5%	-0,1%	13,1%	
101.1.2 Pending cases on 1 Jan. Employment dismissal case	NAP	NAP	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
101.1.3 Pending cases on 1 Jan. Insolvency	6 300	5 817	4 952	4 226	4 182	4 406	8 593	9 895	10 184	61,7%	-7,7%	-14,9%	-14,7%	-1,0%	5,4%	95,0%	15,2%	2,9%	
101.2.1 Incoming cases_Litigious divorce case	5 219	5 124	4 852	4 005	4 375	4 124	3 911	4 840	7 239	38,7%	-1,8%	-5,3%	-17,5%	9,2%	-5,7%	-5,2%	23,8%	49,6%	
101.2.2 Incoming cases_Employment dismissal case	NAP	NAP	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
101.2.3 Incoming cases_Insolvency	8 199	7 291	5 808	5 815	8 499	8 454	9 381	10 504	7 707	-6,0%	-11,1%	-20,3%	0,1%	46,2%	-0,5%	11,0%	12,0%	-26,6%	
101.3.1 Resolved cases_Litigious divorce case	5 497	5 237	4 946	4 286	4 314	4 212	3 905	4 637	7 013	27,6%	-4,7%	-5,6%	-13,3%	0,7%	-2,4%	-7,3%	18,7%	51,2%	
101.3.2 Resolved cases_Employment dismissal case	NAP	NAP	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
101.3.3 Resolved cases_Insolvency	9 024	8 472	7 283	6 399	7 248	7 708	7 438	10 489	9 873	9,4%	-6,1%	-14,0%	-12,1%	13,3%	6,3%	-3,5%	41,0%	-5,9%	
101.4.1 Pending cases on 31 Dec. Litigious divorce case	2 000	1 890	1 817	1 546	1 618	1 552	1 540	1 736	1 960	-2,0%	-5,5%	-3,9%	-14,9%	4,7%	-4,1%	-0,8%	12,7%	12,9%	
101.4.2 Pending cases on 31 Dec. Employment dismissal case	NAP	NAP	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
101.4.3 Pending cases on 31 Dec. Insolvency	5 820	4 958	4 223	4 176	4 377	4 459	10 536	9 910	8 018	37,8%	-14,8%	-14,8%	-1,1%	4,8%	1,9%	136,3%	-5,9%	-19,1%	

Table 3.5.1 (all years) First instance courts: Clearance rate and disposition time for specific case categories (Q101)																								
Table 3.6.1 and 3.6.2 Variations of CR and DT for specific case categories of first instance cases (Q101)																								
CR Litigious divorce cases	105,3%	102,2%	101,9%	107,0%	98,6%	102,1%	99,8%	95,8%	96,9%	-	8,02	-	2,96	-	0,26	4,98	-	7,86	3,58	-	2,24	-	4,05	1,12
CR Employment dismissal cases	NAP	NAP	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR Insolvency cases	110,1%	116,2%	125,4%	110,0%	85,3%	91,2%	79,3%	99,9%	128,1%	16,39	5,57	7,92	-	12,24	-	22,50	6,91	-	13,04	25,94	28,29			
DT Litigious divorce cases	133	132	134	132	137	134	144	137	102	-23,2%	-0,8%	1,8%	-1,8%	4,0%	-1,8%	7,0%	-5,1%	-25,3%						
DT Employment dismissal cases	NAP	NAP	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Insolvency cases	235	214	212	238	220	211	517	345	296	25,9%	-9,3%	-0,9%	12,5%	-7,5%	-4,2%	144,9%	-33,3%	-14,0%						

Denmark (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Table 3.7.1 to 3.7.5 (2019 and 2020) Second instance other than criminal cases (Q97)																			
Table 3.9.1 to 3.9.3 (2019 and 2020) Variation of second instance other than criminal cases (Q97)																			
97.1.1 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			3 306	3 065	2 580	2 137	1 935	2 183	2 466	-	-	-	-7,3%	-15,8%	-17,2%	-9,5%	12,8%	13,0%	
97.1.2 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			3 306	3 065	2 580	2 137	1 935	2 183	2 466	-	-	-	-7,3%	-15,8%	-17,2%	-9,5%	12,8%	13,0%	
97.1.3 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
97.1.4 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
97.1.5 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
97.1.6 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
97.1.7 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
97.1.8 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
97.1.9 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
97.1.10 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Administrative law cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
97.1.11 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
97.2.1 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			6 330	5 214	5 075	4 819	4 839	5 022	5 271	-	-	-	-17,6%	-2,7%	-5,0%	0,4%	3,8%	5,0%	
97.2.2 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			6 330	5 214	5 075	4 819	4 839	5 022	5 271	-	-	-	-17,6%	-2,7%	-5,0%	0,4%	3,8%	5,0%	
97.2.3 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
97.2.4 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
97.2.5 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
97.2.6 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
97.2.7 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
97.2.8 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
97.2.9 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
97.2.10 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Administrative law cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
97.2.11 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Other cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Denmark (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
97.3.1 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			6 577	5 690	5 525	5 063	4 636	4 717	5 177	-	-	-	-13,5%	-2,9%	-8,4%	-8,4%	1,7%	9,8%
97.3.2 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			6 577	5 690	5 525	5 063	4 636	4 717	5 177	-	-	-	-13,5%	-2,9%	-8,4%	-8,4%	1,7%	9,8%
97.3.3 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.4 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.5 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.6 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.7 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.8 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.9 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.10 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Administrative law cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.3.11 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Other cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.1 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			3 059	2 589	2 130	1 893	2 138	2 488	2 560	-	-	-	-15,4%	-17,7%	-11,1%	12,9%	16,4%	2,9%
97.4.2 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			3 059	2 589	2 130	1 893	2 138	2 488	2 560	-	-	-	-15,4%	-17,7%	-11,1%	12,9%	16,4%	2,9%
97.4.3 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.4 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.5 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.6 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.7 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.8 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.9 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.10 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Administrative law cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.4.11 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.5.1 2nd inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.5.2 2nd inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
97.5.10 2nd inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Administrative law cases			-	-	NA	NAP	NA	NA	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Denmark (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions												
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020				
Table 3.8.1 and 3.8.2 (2019 and 2020): Second instance clearance rate and disposition time for other than criminal law cases (Q97)																						
Table 3.9.4 and 3.9.5 (2019 and 2020): Variation of second clearance rate and disposition time for other than criminal law cases (Q97)																						
CR Total of other than criminal law cases			103,9%	109,1%	108,9%	105,1%	95,8%	93,9%	98,2%	-	-	-	5,03	-	0,24	-	3,49	-	8,81	-	1,96	4,57
CR Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			103,9%	109,1%	108,9%	105,1%	95,8%	93,9%	98,2%	-	-	-	5,03	-	0,24	-	3,49	-	8,81	-	1,96	4,57
CR Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR Administrative law cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Total of other than criminal law cases			170	166	141	136	168	193	180	-	-	-	-2,2%	-15,3%	-3,0%	23,3%	14,4%	-6,2%				
DT Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			170	166	141	136	168	193	180	-	-	-	-2,2%	-15,3%	-3,0%	23,3%	14,4%	-6,2%				
DT Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Administrative law cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Denmark (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Table 3.10.1 to 3.10.5 (2019 and 2020) Supreme courts, number of other than criminal law cases (Q99)																			
Table 3.12.1 to 3.12.3 (2019 and 2020) Variation of the supreme courts, number of other than criminal law cases (Q99)																			
99.1.1 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			222	159	114	131	100	133	156	-	-	-	-28,4%	-28,3%	14,9%	-23,7%	33,0%	17,3%	
99.1.2 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			222	159	114	131	100	133	156	-	-	-	-28,4%	-28,3%	14,9%	-23,7%	33,0%	17,3%	
99.1.3 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.1.4 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.1.5 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.1.6 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.1.7 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.1.8 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.1.9 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.1.10 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Administrative law cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.1.11 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.2.1 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			223	230	248	215	257	302	197	-	-	-	3,1%	7,8%	-13,3%	19,5%	17,5%	-34,8%	
99.2.2 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			223	230	248	215	257	302	197	-	-	-	3,1%	7,8%	-13,3%	19,5%	17,5%	-34,8%	
99.2.3 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.2.4 High inst courts_Incoming cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.2.5 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.2.6 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.2.7 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.2.8 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.2.9 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.2.10 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Administrative law cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99.2.11 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Denmark (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
99.3.1 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			295	275	231	236	236	272	207	-	-	-	-6,8%	-16,0%	2,2%	0,0%	15,3%	-23,9%
99.3.2 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			295	275	231	236	236	272	207	-	-	-	-6,8%	-16,0%	2,2%	0,0%	15,3%	-23,9%
99.3.3 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.4 High inst courts_Resolved cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.5 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.6 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.7 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.8 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.9 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.10 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Administrative law cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.3.11 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.1 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			151	114	131	110	121	163	146	-	-	-	-24,5%	14,9%	-16,0%	10,0%	34,7%	-10,4%
99.4.2 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			151	114	131	110	121	163	146	-	-	-	-24,5%	14,9%	-16,0%	10,0%	34,7%	-10,4%
99.4.3 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.4 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.5 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.6 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.7 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.8 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.9 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.10 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Administrative law cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.4.11 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.5.1 High inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)			-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.5.2 High inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99.5.10 High inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Administrative law cases			-	-	NA	NAP	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Denmark (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Table 3.11.1 and 3.11.2 Supreme courts, clearance rate and disposition time for other than criminal law cases (Q97)																			
Table 3.12.4 and 3.12.5 Variation of the supreme courts, clearance rate and disposition time for other than criminal law cases (Q97)																			
CR Total of other than criminal law cases			132,3%	119,6%	93,1%	109,8%	91,8%	90,1%	105,1%	-	-	-	- 9,62	- 22,10	17,85	- 16,34	- 1,92	16,67	
CR Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			132,3%	119,6%	93,1%	109,8%	91,8%	90,1%	105,1%	-	-	-	- 9,62	- 22,10	17,85	- 16,34	- 1,92	16,67	
CR Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR Administrative law cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Total of other than criminal law cases			187	151	207	170	187	219	257	-	-	-	-19,0%	36,8%	-17,8%	10,0%	16,9%	17,7%	
DT Civil (and commercial) litigious cases			187	151	207	170	187	219	257	-	-	-	-19,0%	36,8%	-17,8%	10,0%	16,9%	17,7%	
DT Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Non litigious land registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Non-litigious business registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Administrative law cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Denmark (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Table 3.14.1 to 3.14.5 First instance criminal law cases (Q94)																			
094.1.1 Total - pending 1 Jan									19 143	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.1.2 Severe cases - pending 1 Jan									9 781	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.1.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 1 Jan									9 362	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.1.4 Other - pending 1 Jan									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.2.1 Total -incoming									162 899	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.2.2 Severe cases - incoming									26 889	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.2.3 Misdemeanour cases - incoming									136 010	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.2.4 Other - incoming									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.3.1 Total - resolved									155 064	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.3.2 Severe cases -resolved									22 648	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.3.3 Misdemeanour cases - resolved									132 416	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.3.4 Other - resolved									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.4.1 Total - pending 31 Dec									26 978	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.4.2 Severe cases - pending 31 Dec									14 022	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.4.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 31 Dec									12 956	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.4.4 Other - pending 31 Dec									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.5.1 Total - pending more then 2 years									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.5.2 Severe cases - pending more then 2 years									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.5.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending more then 2 years									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
094.5.4 Other - pending more then 2 years									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Denmark (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Table 3.15.1 to 3.10.2 CR and DT for first instance criminal law cases (Q94)																		
CR of Total									95,2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR o2 Severe cases									84,2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR of Misdemeanour cases									97,4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR of Other									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Total									64	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Severe cases									226	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Misdemeanour cases									36	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Other									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Table 3.16.1 to 3.16.5 Second instance criminal law cases (Q98)																		
098.1.1 Total - pending 1 Jan									2 114	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.1.2 Severe cases - pending 1 Jan									2 114	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.1.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 1 Jan									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.1.4 Other - pending 1 Jan									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.2.1 Total -incoming									6 000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.2.2 Severe cases - incoming									6 000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.2.3 Misdemeanour cases - incoming									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.2.4 Other - incoming									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.3.1 Total - resolved									5 857	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.3.2 Severe cases -resolved									5 857	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.3.3 Misdemeanour cases - resolved									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.3.4 Other - resolved									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Denmark (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
098.4.1 Total - pending 31 Dec									2 257	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.4.2 Severe cases - pending 31 Dec									2 257	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.4.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 31 Dec									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.4.4 Other - pending 31 Dec									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.5.1 Total - pending more then 2 years									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.5.2 Severe cases - pending more then 2 years									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.5.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending more then 2 years									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098.5.4 Other - pending more then 2 years									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Table 3.17.1 to 3.17.2 CR and DT for second instance criminal law cases (Q98)																		
CR of Total									97,6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR o2 Severe cases									97,6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR of Misdemeanour cases									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR of Other									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Total									141	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Severe cases									141	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Misdemeanour cases									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT of Other									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Denmark (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Table 3.18.1 to 3.18.5 Supreme court criminal law cases (Q100)																			
100.1.1 Total - pending 1 Jan									35	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.1.2 Severe cases - pending 1 Jan									35	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.1.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 1 Jan									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.1.4 Other - pending 1 Jan									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.2.1 Total -incoming									60	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.2.2 Severe cases - incoming									60	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.2.3 Misdemeanour cases - incoming									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.2.4 Other - incoming									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.3.1 Total - resolved									62	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.3.2 Severe cases -resolved									62	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.3.3 Misdemeanour cases - resolved									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.3.4 Other - resolved									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.4.1 Total - pending 31 Dec									33	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.4.2 Severe cases - pending 31 Dec									33	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.4.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 31 Dec									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.4.4 Other - pending 31 Dec									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.5.1 Total - pending more then 2 years									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.5.2 Severe cases - pending more then 2 years									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.5.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending more then 2 years									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100.5.4 Other - pending more then 2 years									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Denmark (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Table 3.19.1 to 3.19.2 CR and DT for supreme court criminal law cases (Q100)																			
CR of Total									103,3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR o2 Severe cases									103,3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR of Misdemeanour cases									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CR of Other									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT of Total									194	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT of Severe cases									194	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT of Misdemeanour cases									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DT of Other									NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Denmark (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Indicator 5: Access to justice																		
Legal aid																		
Table 5.1 to Table 5.6 (Q12-2, Q16, Q18, Q19, Q20, Q20-1)																		
12-2.1.1 Coverage of court fees									True									
12-2.1.2 Exemption from court fees									True									
16.1.1 Legal aid applies to representation in court (criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
16.1.2 Legal aid applies to legal advice (criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
16.2.1 Legal aid applies to representation in court (other than criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
16.2.2 Legal aid applies to legal advice (other than criminal cases)	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True									
18.1.1 Legal aid for the enforcement of judicial decisions					True	True	True	True	True									
19.1.1 Legal aid granted for other costs - criminal cases									True									
19.1.2 Legal aid granted for other costs - other than criminal cases									True									
020.1.1 Total									918									
020.1.2 Total - criminal cases									NAP									
020.1.3 Total - other than criminal cases									NAP									
020.2.1 Total brought to court									NAP									
020.2.2 Brought to court - criminal cases									NAP									
020.2.3 Brought to court - other then criminal									NAP									
020.3.1 Total not brought to court									NAP									
020.3.2 Not brought to court - criminal cases									NAP									
020.3.3 Not brought to court - other then criminal									NAP									
020-1.1.1 Maximum duration prescribed in law/regulation									NA									
020-1.1.2 Average duration									NA									

Denmark (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
System for compensating users																		
Table 5.7.1 and Table 5.7.2 (Q37)																		
037.1.1 Requests for compensation - Total									NA									
037.1.2 Requests for compensation - Excessive length of proceedings									93									
037.1.3 Requests for compensation - Non-execution of court decisions									NA									
037.1.4 Requests for compensation - Wrongful arrest									125									
037.1.5 Requests for compensation - Wrongful conviction									27									
037.1.6 Requests for compensation - Other									2 193									
037.2.1 Condemnations - Total									NA									
037.2.2 Condemnations - Excessive length of proceedings									17									
037.2.3 Condemnations - Non-execution of court decisions									NA									
037.2.4 Condemnations - Wrongful arrest									82									
037.2.5 Condemnations - Wrongful conviction									25									
037.2.6 Condemnations - Other									1 286									
037.3.1 Amount - Total									NA									
037.3.2 Amount - Excessive length of proceedings									25 673 €									
037.3.3 Amount - Non-execution of court decisions									NA									
037.3.4 Amount - Wrongful arrest									89 833 €									
037.3.5 Amount - Wrongful conviction									28 317 €									
037.3.6 Amount - Other									2 600 362 €									

Denmark (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Indicator 6: The ICT tools of courts and for court users																			
Table 6.1 to Table 6.11 (Q62-7, Q62-7-1, Q62-8, Q62-8-1, Q63-1, Q63-1-1, Q63-2 Q63-6, Q63-7, Q63-7-1, Q64-2, Q64-4, Q64-6, Q64-3, Q64-3-1, Q64-7, Q64-7-1, Q64-9)																			
62-7 Writing assistance tools coordinated at national level							True	True	True										
62-7-1.1 Deployment rate in civil matter							100%	50-99%	50-99%										
62-7-1.2 Deployment rate in criminal matter							50-99%	50-99%	50-99%										
62-7-1.3 Deployment rate in administrative matter							50-99%	50-99%	50-99%										
62-8 Voice recording tools							True	True	True										
62-8-1.1.1 Availability of simple dictation tools in civil matter							in all courts	in all courts	in all courts										
62-8-1.1.2 Availability of simple dictation tools in criminal matter							in all courts	in all courts	in all courts										
62-8-1.1.3 Availability of simple dictation tools in administrative matter							NA	in all courts	in all courts										
62-8-1.2.1 Availability of multiple speakers recording tools in civil matter							in all courts	in all courts	in all courts										
62-8-1.2.2 Availability of multiple speakers recording tools in criminal matter							in all courts	in all courts	in all courts										
62-8-1.2.3 Availability of multiple speakers recording tools in administrative matter							NA	in all courts	in all courts										
62-8-1.3.1 Availability of voice recognition in civil matter							No	Pilot testing	Pilot testing										
62-8-1.3.2 Availability of voice recognition in criminal matter							No	Pilot testing	Pilot testing										
62-8-1.3.3 Availability of voice recognition in administrative matter							No	Pilot testing	Pilot testing										
062-9 Availability of intranet site within the judicial system for distribution of news/novelties							100%	100%	100%										
63.1 Is there a case management system?							True	True	True										
63.1-1.1 CMS for civil matter (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%										
63.1-1.1 CMS for criminal matter (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%										
63.1-1.1 CMS for administrative matter (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%										
63.1-1.2 CMS for civil matter (status of case online)							Accessible to parties	Accessible to parties	Accessible to parties										
63.1-1.2 CMS for criminal matter (status of case online)							Not accessible at all	Not accessible at all	Not accessible at all										
63.1-1.2 CMS for administrative matter (status of case onlinee)							Not accessible at all	Not accessible at all	Not accessible at all										

Denmark (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
63.1-1.3 CMS for civil matter (Centralised or interoperable database)						-	True	True	True									
63.1-1.3 CMS for criminal matter (Centralised or interoperable database)						-	True	True	True									
63.1-1.3 CMS for administrative matter (Centralised or interoperable database)						-	True	True	True									
63.1-1.4 CMS for civil matter (Early warning signals)						-	True	True	True									
63.1-1.4 CMS for criminal matter (Early warning signals)						-	True	True	True									
63.1-1.4 CMS for administrative matter (Early warning signals)						-	False	False	False									
63-1-1.5 Statistics in CMS civil matter							Fully integrated including BI	Not integrated but connected	Not integrated but connected									
63-1-1.5 Statistics in CMS criminal matter							Not integrated but connected	Not integrated but connected	Not integrated but connected									
63-1-1.5 Statistics in CMS administrative matter							Not connected at all	Not connected at all	Not connected at all									
63-2.1 Deployment rate for computerised registries managed by courts - land registry							100%	NA	100%									
63-2.1 Deployment rate for computerised registries managed by courts - business registry							NA	NA	NA									
63-2.2 Data consolidated at national level for land registry						-	True	NA	True									
63-2.2 Data consolidated at national level for business registry						-	False	NA	False									
63-2.3 Service available online for land registry						-	True	NA	True									
63-2.3 Service available online for business registry						-	False	NA	False									
63-2.4 Statistical module integrated or connected for land registry						-	True	NA	True									
63-2.4 Statistical module integrated or connected for business registry						-	False	NA	False									
063-6.1.1 Budgetary and financial management of courts (deployment rate)						-	1-9%	50-99%	1-9%									
063-6.1.2 Justice expenses management (deployment rate)						-	1-9%	50-99%	1-9%									
063-6.1.3 Other financial management tools (deployment rate)						-	NA	0% (NAP)	NA									
063-6.2.1 Budgetary and financial management of courts (Data consolidated at national level)						-	True	True	True									
063-6.2.2 Justice expenses management (Data consolidated at national level)						-	True	True	True									
063-6.2.3 Other financial management tools (Data consolidated at national level)						-	False	NAP	False									
063-6.3.1 Budgetary and financial management of courts (System communicating with other ministries)						-	False	False	False									
063-6.3.2 Justice expenses management (System communicating with other ministries)						-	True	True	True									
063-6.3.3 Other financial management tools (System communicating with other ministries)						-	False	NAP	False									

Denmark (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
63-7.1 Measurement tools to assess the workload							True	True	True									
63-7-1.1.1 Deployment rate - workload of judges							10-49%	10-49%	10-49%									
63-7-1.1.2 Deployment rate - workload of prosecutors							10-49%	10-49%	50-99%									
63-7-1.1.3 Deployment rate - workload of non-judge and non-prosecutor staff							10-49%	10-49%	10-49%									
63-7-1.2.1 Monitoring on national level - judges							False	False	False									
63-7-1.2.2 Monitoring on national level - prosecutors							False	False	True									
63-7-1.2.2 Monitoring on national level - non-judge and non-prosecutor staff							True	True	False									
63-7-1.3.1 Monitoring on court level - judges							NA	False	False									
63-7-1.3.2 Monitoring on court level - prosecutors							NA	False	False									
63-7-1.3.3 Monitoring on court level - non-judge and non-prosecutor staff							NA	True	True									
064-2 - Possibility to submit a case to courts by electronic means							True	True	True									
064-2 - Civil and/or commercial							100%	100%	100%									
064-2 - Criminal							0% (NAP)	50-99%	50-99%									
064-2 - Administrative							0% (NAP)	0% (NAP)	0% (NAP)									
064-2 - Submission in paper remains mandatory - civil							False	False	False									
064-2 - Submission in paper remains mandatory - criminal							True	True	True									
064-2 - Submission in paper remains mandatory - administrative							True	True	True									
064-2 - Specific legislative framework - civil							True	True	True									
064-2 - Specific legislative framework - criminal							True	True	True									
064-2 - Specific legislative framework - administrative							True	True	True									
064-2 - Integrated/connected with the CMS - civil							True	True	True									
064-2 - Integrated/connected with the CMS - criminal							False	False	False									
064-2 - Integrated/connected with the CMS - administrative							False	False	False									

Denmark (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
064-3 - Is it possible to request for granting legal aid by electronic means?							True	False	True									
064-3-1.1 - Equipment rate							1-9%		50-99%									
064-3-1.2 - Request in paper mandatory							False	-	False									
064-3-1.3 - Specific legislative framework							True	-	NAP									
064-3-1.4 - Granting LA is also electronic							True	-	NAP									
064-3-1.5 - Information available in CMS							True	-	NAP									
064-4 - Possibility to transmit summons to a judicial meeting or a hearing by electronic means							True	True	True									
064-4-1.1.1 - Summons produced by CMS- civil							True	True	True									
064-4-1.1.2 - Summons produced by CMS- criminal							False	True	True									
064-4-1.1.3 - Summons produced by CMS- administrative							False	True	True									
064-4-1.2.1 - Simultaneous summon in paper form remains mandatory- civil							False	False	False									
064-4-1.2.2 - Simultaneous summon in paper form remains mandatory- criminal							False	False	False									
064-4-1.2.3 - Simultaneous summon in paper form remains mandatory- administrative							False	False	False									
064-4-1.3.1 - Consent of the user - civil							True	True	True									
064-4-1.3.2 - Consent of the user - criminal							False	True	True									
064-4-1.3.3 - Consent of the user - administrative							False	True	True									
064-6.1.1 - Civil and/or commercial (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
064-6.1.2 - Criminal (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
064-6.1.3 - Administrative (deployment rate)							50-99%	50-99%	50-99%									

Denmark (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
064-6.2.1 - Civil and/or commercial (Trial phases concerned)							Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling Decision transmission	Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling Decision transmission	Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling Decision transmission									
064-6.2.2 - Criminal (Trial phases concerned)							Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling	Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling	Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling									
064-6.2.3 - Administrative (Trial phases concerned)							Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling	Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling	Submission of a case Hearing preparatory phases Scheduling									
064-6.3.1 - Civil and/or commercial (Modalities)							Specific application	E-mail Other	E-mail Other									
064-6.3.2 - Criminal (Modalities)							E-mail	E-mail	E-mail									
064-6.3.3 - Administrative (Modalities)							E-mail	E-mail	E-mail									
064-6.4.1 - Civil and/or commercial (specific legal framework)							True	True	True									
064-6.4.2 - Criminal (specific legal framework)							True	True	True									
064-6.4.3 - Administrative (specific legal framework)							True	True	True									
064-6.5.1 - Civil and/or commercial (availability for)									Lawyers & Parties not represented by lawyer									
064-6.5.2 - Criminal (availability for)																		
064-6.5.3 - Administrative (availability for)									Lawyers & Parties not represented by lawyer									

Denmark (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
064-7.1.1 - Electronic communication of enforcement agents and courts (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
064-7.1.2 - Electronic communication of notaries and courts (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
064-7.1.3 - Electronic communication of experts and courts (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
064-7.1.4 - Electronic communication of judicial police and courts (deployment rate)							100%	100%	100%									
064-7.2.1 - Electronic communication of enforcement agents and courts (Modalities)							E-mail Specific application	E-mail Specific application	E-mail Specific application									
064-7.2.2 - Electronic communication of notaries and courts (Modalities)							E-mail Specific application	E-mail Specific application	E-mail Specific application									
064-7.2.3 - Electronic communication of experts and courts (Modalities)							E-mail Specific application	E-mail Specific application	E-mail Specific application									
064-7.2.4 - Electronic communication of judicial police and courts (Modalities)							E-mail Specific application	E-mail Specific application	E-mail Specific application									
064-7.3.1 - Electronic communication of enforcement agents and courts (specific legal framework)							True	True	True									
064-7.3.2.2 - Electronic communication of notaries and courts (specific legal framework)							True	True	True									
064-7.3.3 - Electronic communication of experts and courts (specific legal framework)							True	True	True									
064-7.3.4 - Electronic communication of judicial police and courts (specific legal framework)							True	True	True									
064-9 - Existance of online processing devices of specialised litigation							True	True	True									

Denmark (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Indicator 7: Professionals of justice (Indicator 9 in 2019)																			
Table 7.1.1 to 7.5.6 for judges, non judge staff, prosecutors, non prosecutor staff and salaries																			
46.1.1 Total Number of professional judges	372	355	377	374	372	377	375	375	388	4,3%	-4,6%	6,2%	-0,8%	-0,5%	1,3%	-0,5%	0,0%	3,5%	
46.1.2 Number of 1st inst professional judges	259	236	261	260	254	254	258	252	264	1,9%	-8,9%	10,6%	-0,4%	-2,3%	0,0%	1,6%	-2,3%	4,8%	
46.1.3 Number of 2nd inst professional judges	94	101	97	95	99	105	99	105	106	12,8%	7,4%	-4,0%	-2,1%	4,2%	6,1%	-5,7%	6,1%	1,0%	
46.1.4 Number of Supreme court professional judges	19	18	19	19	19	18	18	18	18	-5,3%	-5,3%	5,6%	0,0%	0,0%	-5,3%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	
46.2.1 Number of professional judges_males	183	176	NA	NA	183	184	177	188	177	-3,3%	-3,8%	-	-	-	0,5%	-3,8%	6,2%	-5,9%	
46.2.2 Number of 1st instance professional judges_males	111	101	NA	NA	113	110	110	112	107	-3,6%	-9,0%	-	-	-	-2,7%	0,0%	1,8%	-4,5%	
46.2.3 Number of 2nd instance professional judges_males	59	62	58	NA	57	61	54	62	57	-3,4%	5,1%	-6,5%	-	-	7,0%	-11,5%	14,8%	-8,1%	
46.2.4 Number of Supreme court professional judges_males	14	13	14	14	13	13	13	14	13	-7,1%	-7,1%	7,7%	0,0%	-7,1%	0,0%	0,0%	7,7%	-7,1%	
46.3.1 Number of professional judges_females	189	179	NA	NA	189	193	198	187	211	11,6%	-5,3%	-	-	-	2,1%	2,6%	-5,6%	12,8%	
46.3.2 Number of 1st inst professional judges_females	148	135	NA	NA	141	144	148	140	157	6,1%	-8,8%	-	-	-	2,1%	2,8%	-5,4%	12,1%	
46.3.3 Number of 2nd inst professional judges_females	35	39	39	NA	42	44	45	43	49	40,0%	11,4%	0,0%	-	-	4,8%	2,3%	-4,4%	14,0%	
46.3.4 Number of Supreme court professional judges_females	5	5	5	5	6	5	5	4	5	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	20,0%	-16,7%	0,0%	-20,0%	25,0%	
046-2.1.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	701	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.1.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	539	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.1.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	130	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.1.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	32	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.2.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Civil and commercial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.2.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Civil and commercial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.2.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Civil and commercial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.2.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Civil and commercial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.3.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Criminal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.3.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Criminal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.3.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Criminal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
046-2.3.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Criminal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Denmark (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
046-2.4.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Administrative	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.4.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Administrative	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.4.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Administrative	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.4.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Administrative	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.5.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.5.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.5.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046-2.5.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.1.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts	1 823	1 751	1 754	1 529	1 642	1 634	1 656	1 775	1 816	-0,4%	-3,9%	0,2%	-12,8%	7,4%	-0,5%	1,3%	7,2%	2,3%
52.1.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)	319	308	572	357	275	270	274	331	338	6,0%	-3,4%	85,7%	-37,6%	-23,0%	-1,8%	1,5%	20,8%	2,1%
52.1.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges	1 072	17	18	14	12	10	9	7	10	-99,1%	-98,4%	5,9%	-22,2%	-14,3%	-16,7%	-10,0%	-22,2%	42,9%
52.1.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks	201	1 360	1 091	1 089	1 285	1 290	1 291	1 345	1 375	584,4%	577,0%	-19,8%	-0,2%	18,0%	0,4%	0,1%	4,2%	2,2%
52.1.5 Number of Technical staff	67	61	68	63	63	64	72	92	84	25,4%	-9,0%	11,5%	-7,4%	0,0%	1,6%	12,5%	27,8%	-8,7%
52.1.6 Number of Other non judge staff	164	5	5	6	7	-	10	-	9	-94,5%	-97,0%	0,0%	20,0%	16,7%	-	-	-	-
52.2.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts(men)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.2.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)(men)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.2.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges(men)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.2.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks(men)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.2.5 Number of Technical staff(men)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.2.6 Number of Other non judge staff(men)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	-	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.3.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts(women)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.3.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)(women)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.3.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges(women)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.3.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks(women)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.3.5 Number of Technical staff(women)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52.3.6 Number of Other non judge staff(women)	-	-	NA	NA	NA	-	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Denmark (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
052-1.1.1 Non-judge staff (Total)									1 816	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.1.2 Non-judge staff at first instance (total)									1 583	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.1.3 Non-judge staff at second instance (total)									202	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.1.4 Non-judge staff at Supreme court (total)									31	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.2.1 Non-judge staff (Males)									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.2.2 Non-judge staff at first instance (males)									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.2.3 Non-judge staff at second instance (males)									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.2.4 Non-judge staff at Supreme court (males)									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.3.1 Non-judge staff (females)									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.3.2 Non-judge staff at first instance (females)									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.3.3 Non-judge staff at second instance (females)									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052-1.3.4 Non-judge staff at supreme court (females)									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.1.1 Prosecutors (total)									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.1.2 Prosecutors (1st inst.)									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.1.3 Prosecutors (2nd inst.)									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.1.4 Prosecutors (Highest instance)									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.2.1 Prosecutors - Males -total									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.2.2 Prosecutors - Males, 1st inst.									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.2.3 Prosecutors - Males, 2nd inst.									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.2.4 Prosecutors - Males, Supreme courts									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.3.1 Prosecutors - Females, Total									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.3.2 Prosecutors - Females, 1st inst.									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.3.3 Prosecutors - Females, 2nd inst.									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055.3.4 Prosecutors - Females, Supreme courts									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Denmark (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
060.1.1 Number of non-prosecutor staff Total									1 670	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
060.2.1 Number of non-prosecutor staff Males									510	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
060.3.1 Number of non-prosecutor staff Females									1 160	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
004 Annual average salary in the country						-	-		40 872 €	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.1.1 Gross annual salary, in € - Professional judge at the beginning of career						-	-		122 545 €	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.1.2 Gross annual salary, in € - Judge of the Supreme Court						-	-		236 387 €	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.1.3 Gross annual salary, in € - Public prosecutor at the beginning of career						-	-		48 322 €	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.1.4 Gross annual salary, in € - Public prosecutor of the Supreme Court or the Highest Appellate Instance						-	-		NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.2.1 Net annual salary, in € - Professional judge at the beginning of career						-	-		NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.2.2 Net annual salary, in € - Judge of the Supreme Court						-	-		NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.2.3 Net annual salary, in € - Public prosecutor at the beginning of career						-	-		NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132.2.4 Net annual salary, in € - Public prosecutor of the Supreme Court or the Highest Appellate Instance						-	-		NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
133.1.1.1 - Additional benefits for judges - Reduced taxation						-	-		False									
133.1.2.1 - Additional benefits for judges - Special pension						-	-		False									
133.1.3.1 - Additional benefits for judges - Housing						-	-		False									
133.1.4.1 - Additional benefits for judges - Other financial benefit						-	-		False									
133.2.1.1 - Additional benefits for prosecutors - Reduced taxation						-	-		False									
133.2.2.1 - Additional benefits for prosecutors - Special pension						-	-		False									
133.2.3.1 - Additional benefits for prosecutors - Housing						-	-		False									
133.2.4.1 - Additional benefits for prosecutors - Other financial benefit						-	-		False									

Denmark (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
144.1.1 Disciplinary procedures for Judges - Total number (1+2+3+4)						-	-		0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.1.2 Disciplinary procedures for Judges - 1. Breach of professional ethics						-	-		0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.1.3 Disciplinary procedures for Judges - 2. Professional inadequacy						-	-		0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.1.4 Disciplinary procedures for Judges - 3. Criminal offence						-	-		0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.1.5 Disciplinary procedures for Judges - 4. Other						-	-		0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.2.1 Disciplinary procedures for Prosecutors - Total number (1+2+3+4)						-	-		NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.2.2 Disciplinary procedures for Prosecutors - 1. Breach of professional ethics						-	-		2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.2.3 Disciplinary procedures for Prosecutors - 2. Professional inadequacy									1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.2.4 Disciplinary procedures for Prosecutors - 3. Criminal offence									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144.2.5 Disciplinary procedures for Prosecutors - 4. Other									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.1 Sanctions against Judges - Total number (total 1 to 9)									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.2 Sanctions against Judges - 1. Reprimand									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.3 Sanctions against Judges - 2. Suspension									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.4 Sanctions against Judges - 3. Withdrawal from cases									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.5 Sanctions against Judges - 4. Fine									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.6 Sanctions against Judges - 5. Temporary reduction of salary									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.7 Sanctions against Judges - 6. Position downgrade									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.8 Sanctions against Judges - 7. Transfer to another geographical (court) location									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.9 Sanctions against Judges - 8. Resignation									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.10 Sanctions against Judges - 9. Other									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.1.11 Sanctions against Judges - 10. Dismissal									0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Denmark (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions								
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
145.2.1 Sanctions against Prosecutors - Total number (total 1 to 9)									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.2 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 1. Reprimand									1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.3 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 2. Suspension									1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.4 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 3. Withdrawal from cases									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.5 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 4. Fine									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.6 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 5. Temporary reduction of salary									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.7 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 6. Position downgrade									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.8 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 7. Transfer to another geographical (court) location									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.9 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 8. Resignation									1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.10 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 9. Other									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145.2.11 Sanctions against Prosecutors - 10. Dismissal									NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lawyers																		
Tables 7.6.1, 7.6.2, 7.6.3, 7.7 and 7.8																		
146.1.1 Total number of lawyers practising	6 021	6 053	6 134	6 235	6 236	6 450	6 563	6 843	6 870	14,1%	0,5%	1,3%	1,6%	0,0%	3,4%	1,8%	4,3%	0,4%
146.2.1 Practicing lawyers - man	-	-	-	-	-	-	4 195	4 307	4 302	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,7%	-0,1%
146.3.1 Practicing lawyers - woman	-	-	-	-	-	-	2 368	2 536	2 568	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7,1%	1,3%
147 Does this figure include “legal advisors” who cannot represent their clients in court (for example, some solicitors or in-house counsellors)?			No	No	False	False	False	False	False	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Denmark (2012-2020) data tables

Question	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Variations for quantitative questions									
										2012-2020	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
Indicator 8: The existence and use of alternative dispute resolution methods																			
Table 8.1 8.2 and 8.3																			
166 Number of accredited or registered mediators who practice judicial mediation:	127	124	151	147	143	135	143	142	143	12,6%	-2,4%	21,8%	-2,6%	-2,7%	-5,6%	5,9%	-0,7%	0,7%	
167.1.1 Total number started					554	NA	715	617	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-13,7%	-	
167. 1.2 Civil and commercial cases - started					321	328	460	412	NA	-	-	-	-	-	2,2%	40,2%	-10,4%	-	
167. 1.2 Family cases - started					190	161	250	198	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-15,3%	55,3%	-20,8%	-	
167.1.4 Administrative cases - started					NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
167.1.5 Labour cases including employment dismissal cases - started					NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
167.1.6. Criminal cases - started					NAP	2	5	7	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	150,0%	40,0%	-	
167.1.7 Consumer cases - started					-	-	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Key: Variation of more than (+ -) 20%