



PROSPECTIVE OF I.Os ON  
DATA COLLECTION: ECRI



# ECRI

**Who:** 47 independent experts / ECRI

**What:** monitoring body on racism, racial discrimination, antisemitism, xenophobia and intolerance

**Where:** Strasbourg

**When:** Since 1994, 3 plenaries per year

**How:** Country monitoring reports and General Policy Recommendations.

**Why:** Continued efforts and high vigilance are needed to build and maintain strong safeguards against old and new forms of racism/intolerance.



# How do international organisations use national data and information?

- No specific request for data (contrary to ODIHR or FRA, ECRI does not prepare specific reports or surveys comparing countries' data)
- Before an ECRI country visit, a questionnaire is sent with questions, also about data collection (on discrimination, hate speech and hate crime)
- Relevant info collected from the replies to the questionnaire and meeting during the country visit are used/mentioned in ECRI country reports to justify findings and recommendations
- So far more than 100 ECtHR judgments/decisions quoted ECRI findings (country reports) and guidelines (GPRs) which report countries' data

# The importance of NGO data when official data is limited

- NGO/EBs data should not replace the obligation of states to collect data
- NGO data are useful to better understand the relevance/dimension of certain problems (e.g. under reporting, victimisation, lack of adequate)
- ECRI GPR No. 15 explicitly recommends that “***States support the monitoring of hate speech by civil society, equality bodies and national human rights institutions and promote cooperation in undertaking this task between them and public authorities***”
- NGOs data/research ensure that the gathering of data on hate speech is not limited to the criminal justice sector

# How can and do ILO support national efforts to combat discrimination and what is the role of data therein?

## ■ Support

- GPRs recommendations to States related to data gathering
- Specific country recommendations
- Cooperation activities
- Intergovernmental work

## ■ Role of data

- highlight areas where action is especially necessary;
- evaluate and elaborate of policies which take into account the experiences and concerns of the groups concerned;
- increase public awareness and understanding of the problems of discrimination as seen from the viewpoint of victims;
- increase awareness among those working in particular areas of how their institutions and practices are perceived by minority groups

# Align data collection to int. mechanisms' requirements

- gathering of data (HS/discrimination) not limited to the criminal justice sector; but
- capture the experience of those who are affected by such use and who may be reluctant to report the fact of its occurrence;
- the data gathered is appropriately disaggregated;
- research that seeks to analyse the conditions conducive to the use of hate speech and its forms should be supported;
- data should be disseminated on a regular basis, both to the relevant public authorities and to the public;
- data gathering should be compatible with data protection guarantees, but
- privacy guarantees should not be invoked to preclude the collection of data where: this is for a lawful purpose; data is adequate, relevant and not excessive for that purpose; it is not retained for longer than necessary;
- data protection guarantees have no application to any data which is rendered anonymous and that should be the case for all statistical analyses of the use of hate speech.

# Results from data collection in EaP region: Regional overview? Common challenges?

- Absent or scarce or at best patchy and incomparable data at the national level
- Legislative gaps
- Achieving data disaggregation
- Lack of training
- Limited resources
- Lack of Political commitment
- Lack of interinstitutional cooperation