

# AI and Data Protection: some issues.

Council of Europe - Seminar for African DPA - 5th of November  
2020

Yves Poulet, Professor Universities of Namur and Lille (UCLille), Member of the Royal Academy of Belgium and of the Belgian DAP, co-chairman of the Namur Digital Institute (NADI)

# The « red wire »

- ▶ From the technology to its success
- ▶ Some risks linked with AI applications
- ▶ Issues and Data Protection solutions: Convention 108+ facing AI.

# AI - the context

## ▶ The AI phenomenon - A definition;

'artificial intelligence' means a system that is either software-based or **embedded in hardware devices**, and that displays behaviour **simulating intelligence** by, inter alia, **collecting and processing data**, analysing and interpreting its environment, and by taking action, with some **degree of autonomy**, to achieve specific goals.

1. Embedded in hardware devices (sometimes humanoids) - the robots (smart speakers, automated car, chatbots,...)
2. Collecting and processing data: two complementary technologies:
  - ▶ The nano phenomenon - the digital ubiquity: Internet of Things ( e.g. the RFID, the 'self quantified', the body's implants) 4800 connections each day in 2025
  - ▶ The *big data* »: in 30 years, from a capacity of kilo (1000) operations /sec.) to Tera (1000 billions of operations/sec.) - The Moore, Kryder and Nielsen Law: towards an infinite capacity of storage of processing and transmission
3. Simulating human intelligence: from causation (If... then) to pure statistical not necessarily explainable aggregation - the possibility to decide and to predict.
4. With a certain degree of autonomy: From supervised system to non supervised system - From « *machine learning* » to '*deep learning*' - the relative opacity of the AI systems

# AI and its applications

- ▶ AI and its triple virtue for our Governments, administration, companies AND citizens (e.g. SPOTIFY) - about three paradigmatic examples.
  - ▶ Public **Security**: Use of facial recognition systems by LEA; prediction of burglaries; ...
  - ▶ Companies' marketing **Optimisation** - Profiling « *Know your customer* » - « *it will become very difficult for people to see or consume something that has not in some sense been tailored for them.* » (Google CEO) - adaptative pricing - nudges - ...
  - ▶ Recruiting people - « **Objectivitation** » of the decision : '*Data do not lie*' - affective computing

# The risks linked to the AI applications

- ▶ As regards the **functioning** of AI systems:
  - ▶ Reductionism and decontextualisation
  - ▶ Errors and biases in programming
  - ▶ Opaque functioning
  - ▶ Evolutive systems
- ▶ As regards the **AI applications**
  - ▶ The continuous spying and global surveillance
  - ▶ The ability to predict (the risks of manipulation (the *nudges and the stigmatisation*)).
  - ▶ The discrimination: adaptative pricing and exclusion phenomenon
  - ▶ The increasing sensitivity of the applications (Health, public security, education, ...)
  - ▶ The individuals' normalization : the anticipatory conformism.
- ▶ As regards the **AI actors** :
  - ▶ The number of actors involved in the building up of a AI system
  - ▶ The still increasing disequilibrium of informational powers between certain DC and DS (the GAFAM)

# Risks' typology - a first attempt

- ▶ As regards ethical values like dignity, autonomy and social Justice
- ▶ As regards the dimension of the risks:
  - ▶ Risks to the individual
  - ▶ Collective risks: the emerging notion of 'group privacy' - the risks to the democracy (*Cambridge Analytica*) or to social and legal rules (one-to-one insurance and AI) -

**Warning : DP legislation and DPA are in principle not competent to face the collective challenges caused by AI. Need for an enlargement of their competences.**

# The DP legislations facing the AI challenges - some uncertainties...

## ► Definitions:

- Art. 2 a - The notion of personal data (vs. anonymous data) still available - e.g. the data generated by an autonomous car?
- Art. 6. - The notion of sensitive data- from a definition by nature to a definition by the end-purpose of the processing (e.g. the Cambridge Analytica Case) - the need to include biometric and genetic data.
- The numerous actors in the supply-chain of an AI system:
  1. Beyond Data Controllers and Data Processors ? -
  2. The recent guidelines of the EDPB towards a joint liability between platforms and companies using their services.

# The DP legislations facing the AI challenges - some uncertainties...

## ▶ The D.P. principles (art. 5):

- ▶ Need for definite and limited end-purposes (Art. 5.1.) ?
- ▶ **Data minimization** and proportionate duration in contradiction with the AI essence (art. 5.4. c) ?
- ▶ **Consent** (Art. 5.2.) - from panacea to the '*privacy bug*' - The need to have choices between different levels of profiling (e.g. Spotify)
- ▶ **Loyalty and transparency Principles** (Art. 5.4 a)) and the need to reinforce the information to be given to the D.S. (e.g. in case of profiling: the categories of data, their origin, the processing model used, the impact of the decision or draft decision, the beneficiaries, ...)
- ▶ The **Security** Principle (Art. 7): fear of hackers and bias.



# The DP legislations facing the AI challenges - some uncertainties ...

- ▶ The principle of **non submission to a decision taken solely (?) on the basis of an automated system with 'significant' impact to the DS** (art. 9.1.a): the need for explainability and the right to have recourse before a person competent to modify the decision.
- ▶ The '*privacy by design*' principle. (art. 10. 2 et 3)
- ▶ The obligation to proceed to a '**Privacy Risk Assessment**' (art. 10.2), especially in case of high risk systems (art. 10.4). The problem of the AI systems auditability. Towards an external, continuous, multistakeholders and multidisciplinary systematic assessment?

- ▶ Questions ?????
- ▶ Thanks for your attention and if you want to pursue the dialog... do not hesitate,

[Yves.poullet@unamur.be](mailto:Yves.poullet@unamur.be)