Landscape and education

Tropea, 3-4 October 2018

• Landscape education: the case study of Marathon

- Kimon Hadjibiros, National Technical University of Athens,
- <u>k.hadjibiros@hydro.ntua.gr</u>

Schinias-Marathon, 45 km N-E of Athens



Land area of 9 km², variety of natural habitats, more than 320 species of flora, freshwater fish, amphibians, reptiles, many threatened resident and migrating birds



Sand dunes covered by Pinus pinea and Pinus halepensis forest, pristine rocky peninsula covered by Mediterranean maquis





Freshwater spring, coastal wetland



Ecological habitat formation and function, valuable natural and cultural landscape

- Freshwater from Makaria spring
- Stagnation and fine balance of fresh and brackish water in the wetland during many months of the year
- Coastal forest persistence and regeneration
- Land and aquatic wildlife diversity
- Outstanding scenery
- Maintains characteristics of the classic Attica coastal landscape
- Famous archeological sites in the area of Marathon

Perturbation of hydrological regime, military installations, small civil airport, waste, other environmental pressures

- Since 1923, the water of the spring diverted to the sea
- A part of the wetland cultivated, the rest a semi-dried marsh
- Only about 10% of water inflow available for the wetland
- The dynamic balance between surface and underground salt, brackish and fresh water has been heavily modified



In 1953, 4 years after the end of Greek Civil War, an American Navy communications base (operative until 1990) established on the wetland

- Small power plant (4 diesel machines, 6 transformers), warehouses, fuel containers, auxiliary buildings
- On March 23, 2001, parts of the transformers were stolen, the perpetrators emptied the toxic liquid that served as insulator on the ground, 450 m2 of the wetland's soil heavily polluted by PCBs



Abandoned power plant, photograph taken by the author (2004)



Abandoned fuel containers,

photograph taken by the author (2004)



Solid waste disposal in the wetland



Uncontrolled car parking, camping, building-1



Uncontrolled car parking, camping, building-2



Litter left by summer visitors in the coastal forest



Uncontrolled noise, hunting, fishing, grazing, motocross, etc.



- Landscape degradation
- Natural habitat fragmentation
- Limited regeneration of *Pinus pinea*
- Decrease of bird populations
- Risk of extinction of endemic fish population
- The founding of a National Park aiming at the control and reduction of illegal and environmentally harmful activities that constituted a long-lived status quo

Protecting and upgrading this site seemed impossible in the middle of the 1990s

- Adverse local interests
- Restoring the flow of water to the wetland: minimally probable
- High cost

A major event changed the picture

- The Olympic Games 2004 as a tool to achieve environmental goals
- Conditions became favourable for ambitious environmental design
- An Olympic Rowing Centre would upgrade hydrological conditions



Schinias with the Rowing Centre: the small airport was removed, the Rowing Centre (2 interconnected semi-natural lakes) aimed at restoring ecosystem quality





Restoration and cleaning

- Water diverted from spring to the sea has been conducted to the new lakes; their overflow has been driven to the wetland
- Essentially a lifting of the drainage that had begun in 1923
- The creation of the new lakes increased the available freshwater water quantity and quality (by regulating its salinity)
- Tons of waste removed



Results: the best natural landscape in the last 90 years

- Available quantity of freshwater in the wetland provides better hydrological conditions of groundwater
- Natural regeneration of Mediterranean and aquatic vegetation
- A future improvement of the forest's natural regeneration could be expected
- The natural annual fluctuation of the water's presence in the wetland increases the attractiveness of the area for the birds
- The decrease of disturbing activities and the environmental remediation strengthen the naturalness of the landscape
- The endemic *Pelasgus marathonicus* lives now in the water of the Rowing Centre
- 117 bird species were recorded in 1997; 243 species have recently been recorded

Natural vegetation regeneration in the area enclosed by the old military fence, photograph taken by the author (2005)

- The military facilities were demolished
- A considerable volume of potentially dangerous materials was removed, the area was covered by natural soil
- Chemical analysis did not indicate any significant toxic pollution in the following years



National Park: a potentially attractive place for people living in Attica

- Mild activities: hiking, cycling, swimming, bird watching and environmental education
- Very attractive for families with small children as well as for disabled people
- Necessary application of strict rules



Control of car movement, speed and parking in the Park and other measures should restrict disturbing human pressures



Environmental awareness





Ideal place for environmental education; visited by primary and secondary school classes of Attica



Significant number of university thesis; very advantageous place for multiple continuing landscape education





However, the process of landscape rehabilitation is extremely slow

 7 illegal taverns and bars were working at the edge of the forest and on the beach, powered by liquid hydrocarbon engines, presenting a continuous risk of causing a forest fire and draining waste water into the sea illegally



Removal of these harmful facilities needed 14 years

- They should been demolished by the public authorities, according to judicial decisions of 2004 that remained unexecuted for many years
- Continuous pressure from EU
- They have been recently (January 2018) removed



Innovative and complex human intervention in a valuable site: a technical and environmental success but a social failure

- Ideal place for landscape education
- Unexpected lessons for landscape managers
- The technical project has proven to be successful for the upgrading of the natural landscape
- The National Park remains problematic
- Most NGOs were strongly opposed to what, according to their intransigent ecological positions, they considered as artificial constructions in a protected natural site; they refused to consider possible positive outcomes resulting from an environmentally friendly technical project

The social dimension

- Rehabilitation of the wetland's water balance and measures that should restrict disturbing human activities met strong opposition by many people in the local community and neutral apathy by others
- Many inhabitants disagreed with the rules; they were annoyed by the restoration of the marsh, the control of car movement and parking, the limitation of building
- Local Government adopted a neutral or negative attitude, moving naturally together with the dominant desires of farmers, shepherds, hunters and land speculators who were opposed to any conservation measure
- Environmental concern of local community remains low, despite multiple efforts to develop it
- Significant lack of active support by the numerous potential users of the National Park
- When, some years later, land values fell due to the economic crisis, protests against the National Park slowly decreased

Time: an important factor

- The local community needs a long time in order to, hopefully, adapt to the landscape management measures
- Continuing significant support from the EU
- Positive efforts of the stuff of the National Park
- Keep the legal protection status without a strict application of all protection measures
- Landscape is been maintained in good condition by the solid and persistent technical works that offer a significant time prospect to the long-term effort; society may, gradually, acquire higher environmental concern

Landscape conservation measures need solid constructions...that cannot be easily destroyed!



 What should be the role of Public Authorities and Local Governments if a local community does not consent to measures that restrict disturbing human activities, because it gives higher priority to expected short-term economic benefits than to long-term environmental objectives?