

# CEPEJ Study on the judicial systems in the EU Member States - Country fiche

## Judiciary at a glance in Finland

(2023 data)

### General data


**Population:** 5 613 972

**GDP per capita:** 49 285 €


**Average annual salary:** 51 663 €

### Professionals

Judicial professionals per 100 000 inhabitants




Gross salaries of judges and prosecutors vs average annual salary in 2023




### Efficiency

Clearance Rate by instance and by matter in 2023 (%)




Disposition Time by instance and by matter in 2023 (days)



### Incoming cases per 100 inhabitants

Incoming Civil (and commercial) litigious cases per 100 inhabitants\*



\* Please note that the Supreme Court data prior to 2014 were not collected.

CEPEJ (2024) 6rev part 2 - Finland

Synthesis table for the main indicators for: Finland

Economic and demographic data	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Trend 2013-2023	Variations			
													2013-2023		2022-2023	
Population	5 451 270	5 471 753	5 486 616	5 503 297	5 513 130	5 521 773	5 525 292	5 533 793	5 548 241	5 563 970	5 613 972			3,0%		0,9%
GDP per capita	37 018	37 559	38 162	38 959	40 612	42 340	43 567	42 701	43 483	43 049	49 285			33,1%		14,5%
Exchange rate (local currency needed to obtain 1€)	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP		NAP NAP			
Average annual salary							42 336	43 140	47 516	47 696	51 663					8,3%
Resources per 100 000 inh.	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Trend 2013-2023	2013-2023	2022-2023		
Professional judges	18,09	18,06	18,06	19,41	18,95	19,58	19,67	19,46	20,87	20,63	21,02			16,2%		1,9%
Non-judge staff	40,28	39,49	39,10	39,43	38,76	38,59	38,51	39,07	39,80	39,43	39,17			-2,8%		-0,7%
Public prosecutors								7,05	7,64	7,93	8,14					2,7%
Non-prosecutors staff								2,51	3,05	3,16	3,30					4,2%
Lawyers	36,85	38,65	64,70	68,89	69,76	71,81	72,79	73,86	75,21	73,65	73,71			100,0%		0,1%
Mediators	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP		NAP		NAP	
First instance incoming cases per 100 inhab.	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Trends 2012-2021	2013-2023	2022-2023		
Civil and commercial litigious cases	0,20	0,20	0,20	0,16	0,15	0,15	0,15	0,17	0,16	0,14	0,17			-10,6%		20,7%
Administrative law cases	0,52	0,52	0,49	0,71	0,50	0,45	0,46	0,45	0,39	0,38	0,38			-26,6%		-0,4%
Total criminal law cases									1,27	1,16	1,24					7,1%
First instance performance indicators (Clearance Rate)	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Trends 2012-2021	2013-2023 (percentage points)	2022-2023 (percentage points)		
CR civil and commercial litigious cases	106%	105%	94%	125%	111%	102%	100%	94%	100%	100%	89%			-17,05		-11,18
CR administrative law cases	95%	97%	102%	79%	107%	112%	100%	99%	102%	104%	105%			10,13		0,72
CR total criminal law cases								89%	98%	100%	95%					-4,90
First instance performance indicators (Disposition Time)	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Trend 2013-2023	2013-2023	2022-2023		
DT civil and commercial litigious cases (days)	288	289	332	252	258	273	280	300	305	327	349			21,3%		6,7%
DT administrative law cases (days)	277	280	271	279	255	235	254	274	296	281	263			-5,1%		-6,4%
DT total criminal law cases (days)								189	160	164	173					5,6%
First instance pending cases per 100 inhab. on 31 dec.	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Trend 2013-2023	2013-2023	2022-2023		
Civil and commercial litigious cases	0,16	0,16	0,17	0,13	0,12	0,11	0,12	0,13	0,13	0,13	0,15			-7,7%		14,4%
Administrative law cases	0,37	0,38	0,37	0,43	0,38	0,32	0,32	0,33	0,32	0,31	0,29			-25,4%		-6,1%
Total criminal law cases								0,48	0,54	0,52	0,56					7,6%
Second instance performance indicators (Clearance Rate)	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Trend 2013-2023	2013-2023 (percentage points)	2022-2023 (percentage points)		
CR civil and commercial litigious cases				119%	95%	107%	97%	104%	95%	102%	102%					0,27
CR administrative law cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP					NAP
CR total criminal law cases								104%	95%	99%	98%					-0,81
Second instance performance indicators (Disposition Time)	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Trend 2013-2023	2013-2023	2022-2023		
DT civil and commercial litigious cases (days)				150	212	177	205	210	222	218	211					-3,2%
DT administrative law cases (days)				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP					NAP
DT total criminal law cases (days)								182	197	198	212					6,8%
Supreme court performance indicators (Clearance Rate)	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Trend 2013-2023	2013-2023 (percentage points)	2022-2023 (percentage points)		
CR civil and commercial litigious cases				107%	115%	108%	97%	108%	92%	106%	97%					-8,59
CR administrative law cases				112%	104%	95%	100%	103%	124%	101%	107%					6,29
CR total criminal law cases								93%	90%	93%	94%					0,56
Supreme court performance indicators (Disposition Time)	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Trend 2013-2023	2013-2023	2022-2023		
DT civil and commercial litigious cases (days)				165	132	131	163	127	173	151	178					18,0%
DT administrative law cases (days)				225	169	207	197	214	154	203	174					-14,7%
DT total criminal law cases (days)								122	151	159	173					8,7%


1. Judicial organisation in Finland (2023 data)

In 2023, in Finland, there are 26 courts of general jurisdiction and 10 specialised courts. On the one hand, there are 20 district courts with 36 offices acting as first instance courts of general jurisdiction, five Courts of appeal and the Supreme Court. On the other hand, there are six administrative courts, the Supreme Administrative Court, the Market Court, the Labour Court and the Insurance Court. One of the administrative courts, the Labour Court and the Market Court are located in the same location.

In total, there are 36 courts as legal entities, situated in 52 geographic locations.


Evolution of total number of courts

Total number of courts	Geographic locations	Legal entities	
		General jurisdiction	Specialised jurisdiction
2013	78		
2014	81		
2015	79		
2016	73		
2017	73		
2018	71		
2019	52		
2020	52	26	10
2021	52	26	10
2022	52	26	10
2023	52	26	10




Evolution of number of first instance courts

First instance courts	Geographic locations	Legal entities	
		General jurisdiction	Specialised jurisdiction
2013		27	11
2014		27	9
2015		27	9
2016		27	9
2017		27	9
2018		27	9
2019		20	9
2020	45	20	9
2021	45	20	9
2022	45	20	9
2023	45	20	9



It is noteworthy recalling that the number of district courts was reduced from 27 to 20 courts at the re-structuring on 1 January 2019. A court can have more than one office. The number of the district courts' offices has been reduced from 57 to 36 offices.



The distribution between first instance general jurisdiction courts and first instance specialised courts in Finland is 69,0% - 31,0%, which is close to the distribution tendency in EU (75,0% - 25,0%).

Specialised Courts

Specialised courts	First instance	Higher instance
Total	9	1
Commercial courts (excluded insolvency courts)	1	NAP
Insolvency courts	NAP	NAP
Labour courts	1	NAP
Family courts	NAP	NAP
Rent and tenancies courts	NAP	NAP
Enforcement of criminal sanctions courts	NAP	NAP
Fight against terrorism, organised crime and corruption	NAP	NAP
Internet related disputes	NAP	NAP
Administrative courts	6	1
Insurance and / or social welfare courts	1	NAP
Military courts	NAP	NAP
Juvenile courts	NAP	NAP
Other specialised courts	NAP	NAP


In Finland, there are six regional administrative courts, the Market Court, the Labour Court and the Insurance Court. Another specialised court is the High Court of Impeachment that hears charges against ministers (i.e., members of the Government), the Chancellor of Justice, the Parliamentary Ombudsman and members of the Supreme Court or the Supreme Administrative Court for unlawful conduct in office. In addition, the High Court of Impeachment deals with charges concerning the criminal liability of the President of the Republic. However, it is convened only when necessary.

2. Professionals of justice in Finland (2023 data)

Judicial professionals (summary)


Judicial professionals in absolute number and per 100 000 inhabitants

	Absolute number	Per 100 000 inhabitants	EU Median
Professional judges	1 180	21,0	21,5
Non-judge staff	2 199	39	60
Prosecutors	457	8,1	14,5
Non-prosecutor staff	185	3,3	10,6
Lawyers	4 138	73,7	137,6



Judicial professionals: Gender balance


	% Male	% Female
Professional judges	38,2%	61,8%
Non judge staff	23,0%	77,0%
Prosecutors	33,9%	66,1%
Non-prosecutor staff	7,0%	93,0%
Lawyers	NA	NA



Professional judges

Evolution of the number of professional judges since 2012 (Q46)

Number of professional judges	Absolute Number	Per 100 000 inhabitants	
	Finland	Finland	EU Median
2013	986	18,1	19,4
2014	988	18,1	19,2
2015	991	18,1	18,9
2016	1 068	19,4	23,6
2017	1 045	19,0	23,9
2018	1 081	19,6	21,8
2019	1 087	19,7	24,5
2020	1 077	19,5	23,9
2021	1 158	20,9	24,1
2022	1 148	20,6	22,9
2023	1 180	21,0	21,5





According to 2023 data, the total number of professional judges sitting in courts (all instances) in Finland is 1 180, which is 2,8% more than in previous cycle.

More precisely, in Finland, there are 21,0 professional judges per 100 000 inhabitants (this figure is below the EU median of 21,5 judges per 100 000 inhabitants)

Absolute number of professional judges by instance and gender

Professional judges 2023	Total	Distribution by instance	Male	Female	% Male	% Female
1st instance	923	78,2%	330	593	35,8%	64,2%
2nd instance	213	18,1%	91	122	42,7%	57,3%
Supreme Court	44	3,7%	30	14	68,2%	31,8%
Total	1 180		451	729	38,2%	61,8%



In this cycle, the total number of female professional judges (all instances) is 729, which represents 61,8% of the total number of judges.

The total number of judges is distributed among the different judicial instances in the following way: 923 are sitting in the first instance courts (of which 593 are female); 213 are sitting in the second instance courts (of which 122 are female) and 44 are sitting at the Supreme Court (of which 14 are female).

Compared with the EU distribution of professional judges per instance, the trend in Finland is similar. However, we can notice that first instance judges are even more predominant, while second and third instance judges are slightly below the respective EU medians.

As regards the distribution Male/Female, it has to be specified that, female judges constitute the majority in all instances except at the Supreme court. Indeed, the percentage of last instance female judges is 31,8%, continuing decreasing since 2021.

Absolute number of professional judges by instance and matter

Professional judges 2023	Total	Civil and commercial	Criminal	Administrative	Other
1st instance	923	NA	NA	290	NAP
2nd instance	213	NA	NA	NAP	NAP
Supreme Court	44	NA	NA	24	NAP
Total	1 180	NA	NA	314	NAP

In Finland, the distribution of judges by categories of cases is possible only with regard to administrative matters.

Distribution of professional judges by instance and matter

Distribution of Professional judges by instance and matter 2023	Civil and commercial	Criminal	Administrative	Other
1st instance	NA	NA	31,4%	NAP
2nd instance	NA	NA	NAP	NAP
Supreme Court	NA	NA	54,5%	NAP
Total	NA	NA	26,6%	NAP


There are no statistics on the number of civil and/ or commercial and criminal judges working in courts of general jurisdiction as in many courts judges work on both types of cases. In the Market Court, there are 23 judges who are civil/commercial judges.

Court presidents

Absolute number of court presidents by instance and gender

Court presidents 2023	Total	Distribution by instance	Male	Female	% Male	% Female
1st instance	29	80,6%	18	11	62,1%	37,9%
2nd instance	5	13,9%	5	0	100,0%	0,0%
Supreme Court	2	5,6%	2	0	100,0%	0,0%
Total	36		25	11	69,4%	30,6%

Distribution of court presidents by gender and by instance in Finland




In this cycle, the total number of female court presidents (all instances) is 11, which represents 30,6% of the total number of court presidents.

The total number of court presidents is distributed among the different judicial instances in the following way: 29 are sitting in first instance courts (of which 11 are female); 5 are sitting in second instance courts (of which none is female) and 2 are sitting at the Supreme Court level (of which none is female).



Non-judge staff

Number of non-judge staff	Absolute Number	Per 100 000 inhabitants	
	Finland	Finland	EU Median
2013	2 196	40,3	55,4
2014	2 161	39,5	54,9
2015	2 145	39,1	55,2
2016	2 170	39,4	63,4
2017	2 137	38,8	63,0
2018	2 131	38,6	56,5
2019	2 128	38,5	57,5
2020	2 162	39,1	59,0
2021	2 208	39,8	58,5
2022	2 194	39,4	59,4
2023	2 199	39,2	60,2



In 2023, Finland has 2 199 non-judge staff (of which 1 693 are Female). The total number of non-judge staff in comparison with the previous cycle reveals an increase of 0,2%.

In 2023, the number of non-judge staff per 100 000 inhabitants has decreased (from 39,4 in 2022 to 39,2 in 2023).

During the same period, the number of judges per 100 000 inhabitants evolved from 20,6 judges per 100 000 inhabitants in 2022 to 21,0 in 2023.

Number of non-judge staff by category in 2023	Absolute number	in %
Total	2 199	
Rechtspfleger	NAP	NAP
Non-judge staff assisting the judge	NA	NA
Staff in charge of administrative tasks	NA	NA
Technical staff	NA	NA
Other	NA	NA

The non-judge staff cannot be broken down in accordance with the CEPEJ categories.


The total non-judge staff includes in 2023 office staff (1537), summoners (273), trainee district judges (133) and referendaries (256).

Office staff has tasks mentioned in the categories 2-5. Summoners' tasks are for example to serve summons, subpoenas and other documents. Trainee judges have the same responsibility as judges but they do not have competence to deal with difficult cases. They are always appointed for a fixed term period (one year). In the courts of appeal, the administrative courts, the Supreme Court, the Supreme Administrative Court, the Labour Court and the Market Court a referendary prepares and presents a case to the judges but the final judgment is decided by the judges. The tasks of trainee judges and referendaries correspond to the categories 1 and 2.

The senior judge of a district court may appoint in writing a member of the office personnel at the district court who has given an affirmation corresponding to the judge's affirmation, who has received sufficient training and who has sufficient skills to attend to the duties: (1) in cases referred to in Chapter 5, section 3 of the Code of Judicial Procedure: (a) to give judgments by default; (b) to give, on the basis of Chapter 21, section 8(c) of the Code of Judicial Procedure, decisions and judgments on court costs, if the respondent has conceded the claim; (c) to decide on the staying of an action if the plaintiff has withdrawn the action and the respondent does not call for a decision in the case; (2) to decide on applications for divorce on the basis of section 25, subsection 1 of the Marriage Act (234/1929) if both spouses are domiciled in Finland. If the case to be decided by office personnel, as referred to in subsection 1, proves to be extensive, subject to interpretation or otherwise difficult to decide, the case shall be transferred for a decision of a notary or a legally trained judge at the district court. The chief judge of a district court may appoint in writing a member of the office personnel at the district court who has sufficient skills, to issue summons and certificates, to effect service of documents and to attend to other duties connected to the preparation, consideration or enforcement of administration of justice matters. Before taking such tasks the staff member must give an oath. (Courts Act, Chapter 19, Section 6).

Ratio between professional judges and non-judge staff

Per 100 000 inhabitants	Finland	EU median
Professional judges	21,0	21,5
Non-judge staff	39,2	60,2
Non-judge staff per judge	1,9	3,3




In Finland, there are 21,0 professional judges per 100 000 inhabitants (this figure is below the EU median of 21,5 judges per 100 000 inhabitants) and about 1,9 non-judge staff per judge.

There is no significant difference compared with previous cycle when this ratio was also at 1,9 non-judge staff per judge.

Evolution of the ratio between professional judges and non-judge staff

Cycle	Judges per 100 000 inh.	Non-judge staff per 100 000 inh.	Ratio between professional judges and non-judge staff	
	Finland	Finland	Finland	EU median
2013	18,1	40,3	2,23	3,25
2014	18,1	39,5	2,19	3,23
2015	18,1	39,1	2,16	3,19
2016	19,4	39,4	2,03	3,24
2017	19,0	38,8	2,04	3,21
2018	19,6	38,6	1,97	3,26
2019	19,7	38,5	1,96	3,32
2020	19,5	39,1	2,01	3,30
2021	20,9	39,8	1,91	3,15
2022	20,6	39,4	1,91	3,32
2023	21,0	39,2	1,86	3,27



Public prosecutors

Absolute number of public prosecutors by instance and gender

2023	Total	Distribution by instance	Male	Female	Male	Female
1st instance	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
2nd instance	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
Supreme court	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
Total	457		155	302	33,9%	66,1%

In this cycle, the total number of Female prosecutors (all instances) is 302, which represents 66,1% of the total number of prosecutors.

The total number of prosecutors cannot be distributed among the different judicial instances. Indeed, prosecutors in Finland are not bound on court instances, and every prosecutor is expected to, in a normal situation, handle and prosecute the criminal case all the way to the Supreme Court, if needed. Put differently, all Finnish prosecutors have jurisdiction on first and second court instance. Only the Prosecutor General has jurisdiction on Supreme Court level and can assign a prosecutor to handle a case on Supreme Court level.

The National Prosecution Authority comprises the Office of the Prosecutor General that acts as the general administrative unit, and five prosecution districts: Southern Finland, Western Finland, Northern Finland, Eastern Finland and Åland. The National Prosecution Authority has 34 offices around Finland. The Prosecutor General is the supreme prosecutor and the head of the prosecution service.

The Prosecutor General directs and develops prosecutorial activity by issuing general instructions and guidelines to the prosecutors. She/he also appoints district prosecutors. The Prosecutor General may take over a case from a prosecutor, but cannot order a prosecutor to decide the case in any given manner. She/he can also self-decide on the bringing of charges and designate a prosecutor to pursue the case in the courts. The Deputy Prosecutor General decides the matters in his/her competence on the same authority as the Prosecutor General. He/she also acts as a deputy for the Prosecutor General when necessary.

For regular prosecutorial tasks, the Office of the Prosecutor General has state prosecutors whose jurisdiction covers the entire country. Most criminal matters (about 91 3000 cases annually) are dealt with by the prosecution areas. The Office of the Prosecutor General deals mainly with criminal cases with wider significance to society as a whole, a few dozen every year.

Heads of prosecution services

Absolute number of Heads of prosecution services by instance and gender

Heads of prosecution services 2023	Total	Distribution by instance	Male	Female	% Male	% Female
1st instance	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NA	NAP
2nd instance	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
Supreme court	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP
Total	6		4	2	66,7%	33,3%

In this cycle, the total number of female Heads of prosecution services (all instances) is 2, which represents 33,3% of the total number of Heads of prosecution services.

The total number of Heads of prosecution services cannot be distributed among the different judicial instances.


It is worth recalling that the Prosecutor Authority is a singular organization headed by the Prosecutor General (one individual person). The Chief Prosecutors of the geographically defined districts are considered heads of prosecution offices as these departments correspond to the former independent prosecution offices.

Non-prosecutor staff


Non-prosecutor staff	Total	Male	Female
2020	139	11	128
2021	169	14	155
2022	176	12	164
2023	185	13	172

The data refer to prosecutors’ secretaries and other specialist staff.

Non-prosecutor staff by gender




Ratio between non-prosecutor staff and public prosecutors



In 2023, in Finland, the ratio between non-prosecutor staff and prosecutors of 0,4 was significantly below the EU median of 1,1

Lawyers	Absolute Number	Per 100 000 inhabitants	
	Finland	Finland	EU Median
2013	2 009	36,9	107,6
2014	2 115	38,7	112,5
2015	3 550	64,7	118,1
2016	3 791	68,9	114,2
2017	3 846	69,8	114,9
2018	3 965	71,8	117,9
2019	4 022	72,8	121,3
2020	4 087	73,9	119,8
2021	4 173	75,2	122,4
2022	4 098	73,7	132,1
2023	4 138	73,7	137,6



In 2023, there are 4 138 lawyers, which is 1,0% more than in 2022. This number includes 2 300 attorneys (i.e. members of the Finnish Bar Association), 1 725 licensed trial counsels and 205 public legal aid attorneys. From the public legal aid attorneys 92 were simultaneously members of the Finnish Bar Association.

Data by gender are not available.


Finland has 73,7 lawyers per 100 000 inhabitants, which is below the EU median of 137,6 lawyers per 100 000 inhabitants.

As of 2014, only attorneys-at-law, public legal aid lawyers and licenced legal counsels are allowed to represent a client in court. In addition, in-house lawyers can represent their company in court. Lawyers working for trade unions can represent a client in a district court and in the Labour Court in disputes regarding employment relationship. Lawyers working for public authorities can represent the public authority in court.

In order to qualify as an attorney-at-law, a lawyer needs to have at least four years of work experience and must pass the demanding three-part professional qualification test known as the bar examination. The titles of attorney-at-law and attorney's office are protected by law and can only be used by lawyers accepted into the Finnish Bar Association. Attorney's offices employ also associate lawyers, i.e., lawyers who are not yet members of the bar.

Salaries of professional judges and prosecutors at beginning of a career and at the highest instance

Salaries of professional judges and prosecutors	Average gross annual salary in €	Average net annual salary in €	Ratio with national average annual gross salary	
	Finland		Finland	EU median
First instance professional judge at the beginning of his/her career	80 761€	NA	1,6	1,9
Judge of the Supreme Court or the Highest Appellate Court	152 787€	NA	3,0	4,1
Public prosecutor at the beginning of his/her career	59 000€	NA	1,1	1,7
Public prosecutor of the Supreme Court or the Highest Appellate Instance	95 000€	NA	1,8	3,1



In the European Union, the absolute gross salary for a judge at the beginning of career ranges from a minimum of 25 759€ to a maximum of 144 856€.

According to 2023 data, the absolute gross salary of a judge at the beginning of his/her career in Finland is 80 761€, which is quite above the EU median of 58 128€. (39% above)

Compared with the annual average salary in the country, the salary for a judge at the beginning of his/her career is 1,6 times higher. (the EU median is 1,9)

At the highest level, the range of the EU absolute gross salary for a judge is from 58 623€ to 266 974€. Finland's absolute gross salaries for judges at the highest level is 29% above the EU median of 118 595€

For the public prosecutors, the range for the absolute gross salary at the beginning of career is from a minimum of 25 759€ to a maximum of 101 592€.

In 2023, the absolute gross salary of a public prosecutor at the beginning of his/her career in Finland of 59 000€ is rather above the EU median of 49 953€. (18% above)

Compared with the annual average salary in the country, the salary for a public prosecutors at the beginning of his/her career is 1,1 times higher. (the EU median is 1,7)

At the highest level, the minimum absolute gross salary for prosecutors in the European Union is 51 456€ and the maximum is 199 670€. Finland's absolute gross salaries for prosecutors at the highest level is 1% above the EU median of 93 759€

### 3. System of compensating users in Finland (2023 data)

#### System for compensating users

2023	Number of requests for compensation	Number of compensations granted	Total amount of compensations granted (in €)
<b>Total</b>	NA	NA	NA
<b>Excessive length of proceedings</b>	162	104	262 660 €
<b>Non-execution of court decisions</b>	NAP	NAP	NAP
<b>Wrongful arrest/detention</b>	NA	NA	NA
<b>Wrongful conviction</b>	NA	NA	NA
<b>Other</b>	NAP	NAP	NAP

Year	Number of requests for compensation	Number of compensations granted	Total amount of compensations granted (in €)
<b>2021</b>	NA	NA	NA
<b>2022</b>	NA	NA	NA
<b>2023</b>	NA	NA	NA

In criminal cases the primary means to compensate excessive length of proceedings for a convicted person is to reduce the sentence. Therefore the number of compensation paid does not reflect the whole picture of the cases where the proceeding has taken too long. In 2023 the sentence was reduced in five cases. For excessive length the compensation is 1500 euro/unduly delayed year, maximum 10.000 euro, which may be exceeded if there are special circumstances.

Data on wrongful arrest and wrongful conviction are compiled together and cannot be dissociated. In 2023 there were 442 requests and the State Treasury issued 462 decisions. On 71 cases the right to compensation was denied. A total of 1 800 000 euros has been paid as compensation. For wrongful arrest the compensation is in practice approximately 120 euro/day but it can be higher due to the circumstances. For wrongful conviction the compensation covers fair legal costs.

4. Performance of courts in Finland (2023 data)

• Efficiency indicators

- Clearance Rate (CR) and Disposition Time (DT)


The Clearance Rate shows the capacity of a judicial system to deal with the incoming cases. A Clearance Rate of 100% and higher does not generate backlog.

The Disposition Time determines the estimated number of days necessary for a pending case to be solved in a court.


Overall efficiency by instance and by case matter

Overall efficiency by instance and by case matter		Civil and commercial litigious cases		Administrative law cases		Total criminal law cases	
		Finland	EU Median	Finland	EU Median	Finland	EU Median
Clearance Rate (%)	1st instance	89,3%	96,6%	104,9%	100,9%	95,1%	99,6%
	2nd instance	102,1%	101,3%	NAP	97,7%	97,9%	100,0%
	Supreme Court	96,9%	102,9%	107,5%	106,0%	93,5%	99,0%
Disposition Time (days)	1st instance	349	247	263	322	173	134
	2nd instance	211	204	NAP	288	212	148
	Supreme Court	178	234	174	220	173	108

Clearance Rate by instance and by matter in 2023 (%)



DispositionTime by instance and by matter in 2023 (days)



In contrast with the EU trend, in 2023, the administrative proceedings (all instances combined) appear as the fastest in Finland, followed by criminal and civil cases respectively. In fact, the Clearance Rate indicator in administrative matters is above the 100% and the Disposition Time indicator is well below the EU median for both instances. As to civil and criminal cases, the total length of proceedings in both matters is longer than the respective EU medians.

With regard to civil and commercial litigious cases, the Clearance rate indicator is above the 100% threshold only at second instance where the Disposition Time value got closer to the EU median. At the Supreme Court level, the Clearance Rate dropped between 2022 and 2023 below the 100% but the Disposition Time remained significantly below the EU median. Indeed, it seems that in 2023 first instance courts faced some difficulties in dealing with civil litigious cases, with a Clearance Rate only at 89% and a Disposition Time considerably above the EU median.


As concerns criminal cases, it proves that courts faced difficulties at all instances with a Clearance Rate below the 100% and a Disposition Time higher than the respective EU medians. More precisely, the values of the Disposition Time indicator increased at all three instances. If in the EU the total length of criminal proceedings was 390 days in 2023, in Finland it was 558 days.



First instance Civil (and commercial) litigious cases

◦ Incoming, resolved and pending cases

First instance Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	Incoming cases per 100 inhabitants	Resolved cases per 100 inhabitants	Pending cases on 31 Dec. per 100 inh.
2013	0,20	0,21	0,16
2014	0,20	0,20	0,16
2015	0,20	0,19	0,17
2016	0,16	0,19	0,13
2017	0,15	0,17	0,12
2018	0,15	0,15	0,11
2019	0,15	0,15	0,12
2020	0,17	0,16	0,13
2021	0,16	0,16	0,13
2022	0,14	0,15	0,13
2023	0,17	0,16	0,15
2023 EU Median	1,89	1,68	1,07




The number of incoming cases in 2023 in Finland (0,17 per 100 inhabitants) is significantly below the EU median (1,89 per 100 inhabitants). Indeed, Finland is the country with the lowest number of incoming cases per 100 inhabitant in EU.

The same conclusion can be made concerning the number of resolved cases (0,16 per 100 inhabitants) which is also significantly below the EU median (1,68 per 100 inhabitants).

As to the pending cases at the end of 2023 (0,15 per 100 inhabitants), their number is also considerably below the EU median (1,07 per 100 inhabitants).

◦ Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

First instance Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	Clearance Rate (%)		Disposition Time (days)	
	Finland	EU Median	Finland	EU Median
2013	106,3%	101,2%	288	247
2014	104,6%	101,8%	289	201
2015	94,2%	102,3%	332	190
2016	124,8%	102,0%	252	196
2017	110,8%	101,3%	258	204
2018	102,2%	101,2%	273	220
2019	99,9%	99,9%	280	213
2020	93,6%	98,5%	300	221
2021	100,3%	102,5%	305	234
2022	100,5%	100,5%	327	239
2023	89,3%	96,6%	349	247



With a Clearance Rate calculated at 89,3% in 2023 Finland seems to face difficulties in dealing with its first instance civil (and commercial) litigious cases.

Between 2022 and 2023, the Clearance Rate has decreased by -11,2 points.

In 2023, first instance Civil (and commercial) litigious cases are solved in approximately 349 days, which is somewhat above the EU median of 247 days.


The analysis of the 2022 - 2023 period reveals a 6,7% increase of the Disposition Time.



First instance Administrative law cases

◦ Incoming, resolved and pending cases

First instance Administrative law cases	Incoming cases per 100 inhabitants	Resolved cases per 100 inhabitants	Pending cases on 31 Dec. per 100 inh.
2013	0,52	0,49	0,37
2014	0,52	0,50	0,38
2015	0,49	0,50	0,37
2016	0,71	0,56	0,43
2017	0,50	0,54	0,38
2018	0,45	0,50	0,32
2019	0,46	0,46	0,32
2020	0,45	0,44	0,33
2021	0,39	0,40	0,32
2022	0,38	0,40	0,31
2023	0,38	0,40	0,29
2023 EU Median	0,36	0,34	0,22




The number of incoming administrative cases in 2023 in Finland (0,38 per 100 inhabitants) is slightly above the EU median (0,36 per 100 inhabitants).

Similarly, the number of resolved administrative cases in 2023 in Finland (0,40 per 100 inhabitants) is slightly above the EU median (0,34 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of pending administrative cases at the end of 2023 in Finland (0,29 per 100 inhabitants) is somewhat above the EU median (0,22 per 100 inhabitants).

◦ Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

First instance Administrative law cases	Clearance Rate (%)		Disposition Time (days)	
	Finland	EU Median	Finland	EU Median
2013	94,8%	100,3%	277	281
2014	97,1%	99,6%	280	305
2015	101,8%	103,7%	271	315
2016	79,4%	103,0%	279	297
2017	107,4%	102,1%	255	303
2018	112,3%	99,7%	235	308
2019	99,8%	102,1%	254	284
2020	98,7%	100,1%	274	388
2021	101,7%	101,7%	296	296
2022	104,2%	98,8%	281	288
2023	104,9%	100,9%	263	322



With a Clearance Rate calculated at 104,9% in 2023 Finland seems to be able to deal with its first instance administrative law cases.

Between 2022 and 2023, the Clearance Rate has increased by 0,7 points.


In 2023, first instance administrative law cases are solved in approximately 263 days, which is quite below the EU median of 322 days.

The analysis of the 2022 - 2023 period reveals a -6,4% decrease of the Disposition Time.

First instance Total of other than criminal cases

◦ Incoming, resolved and pending cases

First instance Total other than criminal cases	Incoming cases per 100 inhabitants	Resolved cases per 100 inhabitants	Pending cases on 31 Dec. per 100 inh.
2013	9,52	9,52	2,52
2014	8,05	8,23	2,33
2015	8,05	7,95	2,42
2016	8,20	8,04	2,49
2017	9,01	8,68	2,80
2018	9,05	9,60	2,25
2019	9,47	8,97	2,59
2020	8,46	8,89	2,37
2021	8,82	9,03	2,17
2022	8,24	8,12	2,29
2023	9,22	8,77	2,73
2023 EU Median	7,06	7,03	2,88




The number of incoming cases in 2023 in Finland (9,22 per 100 inhabitants) is somewhat above the EU median (7,06 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of resolved cases in 2023 in Finland (8,77 per 100 inhabitants) is also above the EU median (7,03 per 100 inhabitants).

Conversely, the number of pending cases at the end of 2023 in Finland (2,73 per 100 inhabitants) is slightly below the EU median (2,88 per 100 inhabitants).

◦ Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

First instance Total other than criminal cases	Clearance Rate (%)		Disposition Time (days)	
	Finland	EU Median	Finland	EU Median
2013	99,9%	100,7%	97	119
2014	102,3%	101,9%	103	133
2015	98,8%	101,0%	111	119
2016	98,1%	101,5%	113	98
2017	96,4%	100,6%	118	107
2018	106,0%	100,6%	86	91
2019	94,8%	99,8%	105	111
2020	105,1%	98,7%	97	109
2021	102,3%	101,2%	88	107
2022	98,6%	99,8%	103	100
2023	95,1%	99,9%	114	110



With a Clearance Rate calculated at 95,1% in 2023 Finland seems face some difficulties in dealing with its other than criminal cases.

Between 2022 and 2023, the Clearance Rate has decreased by -3,4 points.

In 2023, other than criminal cases are solved in approximately 114 days, which is slightly above the EU median of 110 days.


The analysis of the 2022 - 2023 period reveals a 10,4% increase of the Disposition Time.

First instance Criminal Law Cases


◦ Incoming, resolved and pending cases

First instance Criminal Law Cases	Pending cases 1 Jan	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases 31 Dec
Total	28 149	69 860	66 434	31 575
Severe criminal cases	NA	NA	NA	NA
Misdemeanour and/or minor cases	NA	NA	NA	NA
Other criminal cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP

First instance Criminal Law Cases Per 100 inh.	Pending cases 1 Jan	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases 31 Dec
Total	0,50	1,24	1,18	0,56
Severe criminal cases	NA	NA	NA	NA
Misdemeanour and/or minor cases	NA	NA	NA	NA
Other criminal cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP



Severe, Misdemeanour and/or minor criminal cases, and other criminal law cases per 100 inhabitants



The number of total incoming criminal cases in 2023 in Finland (1,24 per 100 inhabitants) is somewhat below the EU median (1,64 per 100 inhabitants).


Similarly, the number of total resolved criminal cases in 2023 in Finland (1,18 per 100 inhabitants) is somewhat below the EU median (1,59 per 100 inhabitants).

Conversely, the number of total pending criminal cases at the end of 2023 in Finland (0,56 per 100 inhabitants) is slightly above the EU median (0,48 per 100 inhabitants).

◦ Clearance Rate and Disposition Time

First instance Total criminal law cases	Clearance Rate (%)		Disposition Time (days)	
	Finland	EU Median	Finland	EU Median
2020	89,3%	95,2%	189	139
2021	97,5%	100,0%	160	134
2022	100,0%	100,0%	164	136
2023	95,1%	99,6%	173	134

Clearance Rate (%) and Disposition Time (days) for First Instance Total criminal law cases



With a Clearance Rate calculated at 95,1% in 2023 Finland seems to face some difficulties in dealing with its first instance total criminal law cases.

Between 2022 and 2023, the Clearance Rate has decreased by -4,9 points.


In 2023, first instance total criminal law cases are solved in approximately 173 days, which is somewhat above the EU median of 134 days.

The analysis of the 2022 - 2023 period reveals a 5,6% increase of the Disposition Time.

5. Public prosecution services in Finland (2023 data)

Public prosecution implemented budget

Total implemented annual public budget allocated to the public prosecution services in €	Absolute Number	Per inhabitant	
	Finland	Finland	EU Median
2016	46 243 000 €	8,4 €	12,3 €
2017	44 800 000 €	8,1 €	12,3 €
2018	45 042 000 €	8,2 €	13,8 €
2019	48 848 000 €	8,8 €	14,0 €
2020	50 210 000 €	9,1 €	14,5 €
2021	51 760 000 €	9,3 €	15,8 €
2022	55 299 030 €	9,9 €	16,3 €
2023	60 454 000 €	10,8 €	17,2 €



Implemented annual public budget allocated to training of public prosecution services in € (included in the total)	Absolute Number	Per inhabitant	
	Finland	Finland	EU Median
2020	379 043 €	0,07 €	0,01 €
2021	407 595 €	0,07 €	0,02 €
2022	715 813 €	0,13 €	0,01 €
2023	933 000 €	0,17 €	0,02 €

The increase in the budget is due to increase in public prosecutors' personel resources. This is explained by the wish to control the amount of pending cases and shorten case processing time. The approved training budget contains only direct costs but the implemented training budget also contains indirect costs such as travel expenses. Overall, there is an upward trend concerning the participation to training courses.

Training costs have increased strongly in the recent years. Besides, the number of training participants has increased: 475 (2021), 484 (2022), 783 (2023) as were the training days: 1189 (2021), 1555 (2022) and 1821 (2023).



It should be recalled that in 2020, due to the pandemic, the expenses related to training were historically low, while in 2022 the expenses were closer to the annual average. In 2022, there were about 50 % more training days than in 2020. More people also participated in the trainings. In addition, the travel costs for trainings increased in 2022.

Public prosecution caseflow: Number of first instance criminal cases

Public prosecution caseflow: Number of first instance criminal cases	Absolute Number *			Per 100 inhabitants	
	Finland	% Variation 2022 - 2023		Finland	Eu Median
1. Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	18 895	▲ 4,9%		0,34	0,92
2. Incoming/received cases	87 931	▲ 3,4%		1,57	2,96
3. Processed cases (3.1 + 3.2 + 3.3 + 3.4)	89 503	▲ 6,3%		1,59	3,16
3.1. Discontinued during the reference year	35 126	▲ 7,0%		0,63	2,10
3.1.1 Discontinued by the public prosecutor because the offender could not be identified	NAP	NAP		NAP	0,66
3.1.2 Discontinued by the public prosecutor due to the lack of an established offence or a specific legal situation	113	▼ -5,0%		0,00	0,79
3.1.3 Discontinued by the public prosecutor for reasons of opportunity	18 285	▼ -16,8%		0,33	0,13
3.1.4 Discontinued for other reasons	16 728	▲ 55,9%		0,30	0,35
3.2. Concluded by a penalty or a measure imposed or negotiated by the public prosecutor	4	▼ -55,6%		0,0001	0,17
3.3. Cases brought to court	54 373	▲ 5,9%		0,97	0,57
4. Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	17 323	▼ -8,2%		0,31	0,88


\* Please note that these figures include traffic offence cases.

With regard to "3.1.4. Discontinued for other reasons", in contrast with the previous cycle when this category was including only motions to dismiss and restrictions of pretrial investigations, for 2023, it also encompasses other technical decisions (5205 cases "closed for other reasons"). As to "3.2 Concluded by a penalty or a measure imposed or negotiated by the public prosecutor", the absolute figures are very small and accordingly variations in % appear considerable. Indeed, the number fo such cases dropped from 9 to 4 which is a normal variation.



◦ Evolution of incoming, processed and pending cases

First instance Total other than criminal cases	Incoming cases per 100 inhabitants	Processed cases per 100 inhabitants	Pending cases on 31 Dec. per 100 inh.
2021	1,61	1,60	0,32
2022	1,53	1,51	0,34
2023	1,57	1,59	0,31
2023 EU Median	2,96	3,16	0,88



The number of incoming cases in 2023 in Finland (1,57 per 100 inhabitants) is somewhat below EU median (2,96 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of resolved cases in 2023 in Finland (1,59 per 100 inhabitants) is somewhat below EU median (3,16 per 100 inhabitants).

The number of pending cases at the end of 2023 in Finland (0,31 per 100 inhabitants) is significantly below EU median (0,88 per 100 inhabitants).

## 6. Mediators and notaries in Finland (2023 data)

### Number of mediators in 2023

In Finland, there are not accredited or registered mediators who practise court related mediation.

A specific training is required for judges who want to become mediators. The training is not an actual legal requirement, but it is a general rule to get special training in order to mediate.

In Finland when you mediate in the court, you do not have to be an accredited or registered mediator. Even the training is not actual legal requirement, but it's a general rule to get a training before being able to mediate. There are two different kind of training paths, depending on the cases - civil cases or custody disputes. Mediation does not exist in criminal matters. In civil cases training is targeted to judges only and it consist of 3 parts, totally 6 days. First part is a 3-days training and is done before the judge start mediation, 2 others are done while the judge has mediated some time (year/ 2 years). The first training includes basic theories about mediation, examples, structure of the process and practise. Two latter trainings are basically aimed at deepening the skills.

Child dispute mediation training is meant for judges and auxiliaries. The training path consists of 5 different trainings, some targeted to judges, some to auxiliaries and some are joint trainings, in total 8 days. The training includes basic mediation theory, custody dispute theory/law, child law/ child rights, practise etc.

### Number of notaries in 2023

Notaries	Absolute Number	Per 100 000 inhabitants	
	Finland	Finland	EU Median
<b>Total</b>	140	2,5	7,3
<b>1.Private professionals</b>	NAP	NAP	NA
<b>2.Holders of public offices appointed by the State</b>	NAP	NAP	7,5
<b>3.Civil servants</b>	140	2,5	1,6
<b>4.Other</b>	NAP	NAP	10,8

In 2023, there are 140 notaries which represents 2,5 notaries per 100 000 inhabitants.

In Finland, the notary system is not similar to some other European countries. Notaries do not work in the courts but in the local register offices. The law on public notaries applies to them: <https://www.finlex.fi/fi/laki/ajantasa/2014/20140420> (FI) or <https://www.finlex.fi/sv/laki/ajantasa/2014/20140420> (SE)

## 7. ICT tools of courts in Finland (2023 data)

### The ICT questions

The answers to the ICT questions in 2023 can be summarised to:

#### Writing assistance tools

Deployment rate  
Usage rate

Civil and/or commercial	Administrative	Criminal
95-100 %	95-100 %	95-100 %
95-100 %	95-100 %	95-100 %

#### Features of the writing assistance tools

Templates  
Automatically generated text  
Automatically suggested decision  
Speech-to-text  
Electronic signature  
Other special functionality

Civil and/or commercial	Administrative	Criminal
Yes	Yes	Yes
Yes	Yes	Yes
No	No	No
No	No	No
Yes	Yes	No
No	No	No

#### Recording of court hearings

Deployment rate  
Usage rate

Civil and/or commercial	Administrative	Criminal
95-100 %	95-100 %	95-100 %
95-100 %	95-100 %	95-100 %

#### Features of the recording of court hearings

Audio recording  
Video recording  
Systematic recording for all hearings  
Automatically indexed recording  
Automatic transcript from recording  
Possibility to request a copy of the recording  
Other special functionality

Civil and/or commercial	Administrative	Criminal
Yes	Yes	Yes
No	No	No
No	No	No
No	No	No
No	No	No
Yes	Yes	Yes
No	No	No

#### Comments on writing assistance tools

The case management systems HAIPA and AIPA use Word as the writing assistance tool.

#### Comments on recording of court hearings

In criminal and civil matters only the witness testimonial is recorded.



## 8. Systems for measuring and evaluating the performance of courts and public prosecution services in Finland (2023 data)

In Finland, there are no quality standards covering the whole judiciary. Instead there are several quality projects going on, for example the Quality Project of the Administrative Courts, which started in 2020 and concerns all administrative courts in Finland. The Rovaniemi Court of Appeal Quality Projects have produced quality benchmarks, which evaluate the quality of the adjudication in courts of law. Last assessment was conducted in 2020.

It should be noted that at the level of the public prosecution services, nationwide and departmental working groups formed for prosecutors evaluate and set quality standards.

### Systems for measuring and evaluating courts' performance

#### A regular monitoring system of court activities is in place concerning:

Number of incoming cases	✓	Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)	✗
Length of proceedings (timeframes)	✓	Costs of the judicial procedures	✓
Number of resolved cases	✓	Number of appeals	✓
Number of pending cases	✓	Appeal ratio	✓
Backlogs	✓	Clearance rate	✓
Productivity of judges and court staff	✓	Disposition time	✓
Satisfaction of court staff	✓	Other	✗

All courts keep statistics of the mentioned court activities in the operational case management systems. The National Courts Administration can access these figures through a reporting system.

#### Quantitative performance targets exist for judges. ✓

There are no consequences if the targets are not met

##### Without disciplinary procedure

Warning by court's president	✗
Temporary salary reduction	✗
Reflected in the individual assessment	✗
Other	✗

##### With disciplinary procedure

Warning by court's president	✗
Temporary salary reduction	✗
Reflected in the individual assessment	✗
Other	✗

A court can decide whether it sets quantitative performance targets for each judge. There are targets set for each judge in some courts.

The National Courts Administration negotiates annually with each court in order to set targets and objectives for the court for the next year. An allocation of permanent staff and possible additional temporary judges or other staff is decided upon these negotiations but within the state budget. Timeframe (length of proceedings) targets are also set. Possible issues and problems with developing the activities of the court are also discussed. Finally, the appropriation to cover the annual costs of the court operations is agreed. A minutes of the negotiation is written and it includes the targets, objectives and estimated workloads for the court for the next year. The chief justices of the courts can then apply this results-based management system in their respective courts as they see appropriate.



A regular monitoring system of public prosecution services activities is in place concerning:

Number of incoming cases	✓	Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the public prosecution)	✗
Length of proceedings (timeframes)	✓	Costs of the judicial procedures	✗
Number of resolved cases	✓	Clearance rate	✗
Number of pending cases	✓	Disposition time	✗
Backlogs	✓	Percentage of convictions and acquittals	✓
Productivity of prosecutors and prosecution staff	✓	Other	✗
Satisfaction of prosecution staff	✓		

In Finland, there is no system to regularly evaluate the activity of each public prosecutor quantitatively nor qualitatively.

Quantitative performance targets exist for public prosecutors. ✓

There are no consequences if the targets are not met

Without disciplinary procedure

Warning by head of prosecution	✗
Temporary salary reduction	✗
Reflected in the individual assessment	✗
Other	✗

With disciplinary procedure

Warning by head of prosecution	✗
Temporary salary reduction	✗
Reflected in the individual assessment	✗
Other	✗

Prosecutors have quantitave targets (management of the prosecutors service). There will not be disciplinary consequences for the prosecutor. The superior of the prosecutor and the prosecutor can discuss about the targets and the amount of pending cases.

Finland

(2013-2023) data tables

Question	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Variations for quantitative questions	
												2013-2023	2022-2023
Table General Data: Economic and demographic data, in absolute values (Q1, Q3, Q5)													
Q1 Number of inhabitants	5 451 270	5 471 753	5 486 616	5 503 297	5 513 130	5 521 773	5 525 292	5 533 793	5 548 241	5 563 970	5 613 972	3,0%	0,9%
Q.3 GDP Per capita (in €) in current prices	37 018	37 559	38 162	38 959	40 612	42 340	43 567	42 701	43 483	43 049	49 285	33,1%	14,5%
Q5. Exchange rate of Nat currency to € on 1 Jan	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
Indicator 1: Systems for measuring and evaluating the performance of courts and prosecution services													
(Q66, Q67, Q77, Q78, Q77-1, Q78-1, Q73, Q73-0, Q73-1, Q73-2, Q73-3, Q73-4, Q73-5, Q73-6, Q70, Q70-1, Q71, Q72, Q83-2, Q83-3, Q120 and Q120-1)													
66 Qlty standards formulated_jud system	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True	True	True	False	False	
67 Specialised court staff entrusted_qlty standards	No	No	No	False	False	False	False	False	False	False	False	False	
070.1.1 number of incoming cases	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True	True	True	True	True	
070.1.2 length of proceedings (timeframes)	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True	True	True	True	True	
070.1.3 number of resolved cases	Yes	Yes	Yes	True	True	True	True	True	True	True	True	True	
070.1.4 number of pending cases							True	True	True	True	True	True	
070.1.5 backlogs							True	True	True	True	True	True	
070.1.6 productivity of judges and court staff							True	True	True	True	True	True	
070.1.7 satisfaction of court staff							True	True	True	True	True	True	
070.1.8 satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)							False	False	False	False	False	False	
070.1.9 costs of the judicial procedures							False	False	False	True	True		
070.1.10 number of appeals							True	True	True	True	True	True	
070.1.11 appeal ratio							True	True	True	True	True	True	
070.1.12 clearance rate							True	True	True	True	True	True	
070-1.1.1 Number of incoming cases									True	True	True		
070-1.1.2 Length of proceedings (timeframes)									True	True	True		
070-1.1.3 Number of resolved cases									True	True	True		
070-1.1.4 Number of pending cases									True	True	True		
070-1.1.5 Backlogs									True	True	True		
070-1.1.6 Productivity of prosecutors and prosecution staff									True	True	True		
070-1.1.7 Satisfaction of prosecution staff									True	True	True		
070-1.1.8 Satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the public prosecution)									False	False	False		
070-1.1.9 Costs of the judicial procedures									False	False	False		
070-1.1.10 Clearance rate									False	False	False		
070-1.1.11 Disposition time									False	False	False		
070-1.1.12 Percentage of convictions and acquittals									True	True	True		
070-1.1.13 Other									False	False	False		
071.1.1 Monitoring backlogs in Civil law cases									True	True	True		
071.1.2 Monitoring backlogs in Criminal law cases									True	True	True		
071.1.3 Monitoring backlogs in Administrative law cases									True	True	True		
072.1.1 Monitoring timeframes Within the courts									True	False	False		

Finland

(2013-2023) data tables

Question	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Variations for quantitative questions	
												2013-2023	2022-2023
072.1.2 Monitoring timeframes Within the public prosecution services									True	False	False		
083-2.1.1 Quantitative performance tagets defined for each prosecutors									True	True	True		
083-3.1.1 Body responsible - Executive power (for example the Ministry of Justice)									False	False	False		
083-3.1.2 Body responsible - Prosecutor General /State public prosecutor									False	False	False		
083-3.1.3 Body responsible - Public Prosecutorial Council									False	False	False		
083-3.1.4 Body responsible - Head of the organisational unit or hierarchically superior public prosecutor									True	True	True		
083-3.1.5 Body responsible - Other									False	False	False		
120.1.1 Qualitative individual assessment of the public prosecutors' work									-	-	-		
120-1.1.1 Feequency - Annual									-	NAP	NAP		
120-1.1.2 Feequency - Less frequent									-	NAP	NAP		
120-1.1.3 Feequency - More frequent									-	NAP	NAP		
Indicator 2: The judicial organisation													
(Q42, Q43 and Q44)													
Q42.1.1Total number of all courts - legal entities									36	36	36	-	0,0%
Q42.1.2 Total number of courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities									26	26	26	-	0,0%
Q42.1.3 First instance courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities	27	27	27	27	27	27	20	20	20	20	20	-25,9%	0,0%
Q42.1.4 Second instance courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities									5	5	5	-	0,0%
Q42.1.5 Highest instance courts of general jurisdiction - legal entities									1	1	1	-	0,0%
Q42.1.6 Total number of specialised courts - legal entities									10	10	10	-	0,0%
43.1.1 Total number of specialised courts of first instance	11	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	-18,2%	0,0%
43.1.2 Commercial courts (excluded insolvency courts)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0,0%	0,0%
43.1.3 Insolvency courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.1.4 Labour courts	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0,0%	0,0%
43.1.5 Family courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-

Finland

(2013-2023) data tables

Question	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Variations for quantitative questions	
												2013-2023	2022-2023
43.1.6 Rent and tenancies courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.1.7 Enforcement of criminal sanctions courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.1.8 Fight against terrorism, organised crime and corruption	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.1.9 Internet related disputes	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.1.10 Administrative courts	8	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	-25,0%	0,0%
43.1.11 Insurance and / or social welfare courts	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0,0%	0,0%
43.1.12 Military courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.1.13 Juvenile courts									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.1.14 Other specialised courts	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.2.1 Total number of specialised courts of higher instances									1	1	1	-	0,0%
43.2.2 Commercial courts (excluded insolvency courts)									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.2.3 Insolvency courts									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.2.4 Labour courts									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.2.5 Family courts									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.2.6 Rent and tenancies courts									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.2.7 Enforcement of criminal sanctions courts									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.2.8 Fight against terrorism, organised crime and corruption									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.2.9 Internet related disputes									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.2.10 Administrative courts									1	1	1	-	0,0%
43.2.11 Insurance and / or social welfare courts									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.2.12 Military courts									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.2.13 Juvenile courts									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
43.2.14 Other specialised courts									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
44.1.1 First instance courts geographic locations									45	45	45	-	0,0%

Finland

(2013-2023) data tables

Question	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Variations for quantitative questions	
												2013-2023	2022-2023
44.1.2 All courts geographic locations	78	81	79	73	73	71	52	52	52	52	52	-33,3%	0,0%
Indicator 3: The performance of courts at all stages of the proceedings													
First instance other than criminal cases (Q91)													
91.1.1 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	137 004	137 261	127 125	128 042	136 237	154 229	115 918	155 291	131 983	120 775	127 869	-6,7%	5,9%
91.1.2 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	9 600	9 321	8 883	9 530	7 358	6 487	6 451	6 497	7 290	7 286	7 319	-23,8%	0,5%
91.1.3 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			91 790	97 217	100 644	121 848	86 233	125 526	101 762	90 897	98 051	-	7,9%
91.1.4 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	103 192	102 233	91 790	97 217	100 644	121 848	86 233	125 526	101 762	90 897	98 051	-5,0%	7,9%
91.1.5 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.1.6 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.1.7 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.1.8 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.1.9 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.1.10 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Administrative law cases	18 849	20 233	20 955	15 553	22 940	20 765	17 620	18 029	18 324	17 900	17 044	-9,6%	-4,8%
91.1.11 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	5 363	5 474	5 497	5 742	5 295	5 129	5 614	5 242	4 607	4 692	5 455	1,7%	16,3%
91.2.1 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	519 154	440 553	441 823	451 430	496 472	499 995	522 977	467 946	489 605	458 372	517 344	-0,3%	12,9%
91.2.2 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	10 644	10 677	11 108	8 587	8 259	8 244	8 448	9 201	8 666	8 048	9 798	-7,9%	21,7%
91.2.3 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			393 554	393 960	450 958	457 303	480 320	425 171	450 037	419 118	475 057	-	13,3%
91.2.4 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	470 137	391 260	393 554	393 960	450 958	457 303	480 320	425 171	450 037	419 118	475 057	1,0%	13,3%
91.2.5 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.2.6 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.2.7 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.2.8 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.2.9 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.2.10 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Administrative law cases	28 214	28 254	27 112	38 831	27 817	24 593	25 396	24 743	21 782	21 203	21 317	-24,4%	0,5%

Finland

(2013-2023) data tables

Question	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Variations for quantitative questions	
												2013-2023	2022-2023
91.2.11 1st inst courts_Incoming cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	10 159	10 362	10 049	10 052	9 438	9 855	8 813	8 831	9 120	10 003	11 172	10,0%	11,7%
91.3.1 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	518 725	450 486	436 443	442 641	478 438	529 974	495 812	491 856	501 069	451 783	492 200	-5,1%	8,9%
91.3.2 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	11 319	11 164	10 463	10 718	9 152	8 427	8 436	8 616	8 695	8 086	8 749	-22,7%	8,2%
91.3.3 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			388 228	390 607	429 811	484 490	452 792	449 309	461 131	412 306	450 218	-	9,2%
91.3.4 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	470 722	401 590	388 228	390 607	429 811	484 490	452 792	449 309	461 131	412 306	450 218	-4,4%	9,2%
91.3.5 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.3.6 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.3.7 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.3.8 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.3.9 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.3.10 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Administrative law cases	26 745	27 429	27 595	30 815	29 878	27 608	25 348	24 432	22 161	22 094	22 366	-16,4%	1,2%
91.3.11 1st inst courts_Resolved cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	9 939	10 303	10 157	10 501	9 597	9 449	9 236	9 499	9 082	9 297	10 867	9,3%	16,9%
91.4.1 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	137 433	127 328	132 586	136 831	154 271	124 250	143 083	131 384	120 519	127 364	153 144	11,4%	20,2%
91.4.2 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	8 925	8 834	9 528	7 399	6 465	6 304	6 463	7 082	7 261	7 248	8 368	-6,2%	15,5%
91.4.3 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)			97 116	100 570	121 791	94 661	113 761	101 388	90 668	97 709	122 890	-	25,8%
91.4.4 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	102 607	91 903	97 116	100 570	121 791	94 661	113 761	101 388	90 668	97 709	122 890	19,8%	25,8%
91.4.5 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.4.6 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.4.7 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.4.8 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other registry cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.4.9 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other non-litigious cases			NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
91.4.10 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Administrative law cases	20 318	21 058	20 475	23 569	20 879	17 750	17 668	18 340	17 945	17 009	16 122	-20,7%	-5,2%
91.4.11 1st inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	5 583	5 533	5 467	5 293	5 136	5 535	5 191	4 574	4 645	5 398	5 764	3,2%	6,8%

    Clearence rate and Disposition time for first instance other than criminal cases (Q91)

Finland

(2013-2023) data tables

Question	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Variations for quantitative questions	
												2013-2023	2022-2023
CR Total of other than criminal law cases	99,9%	102,3%	98,8%	98,1%	96,4%	106,0%	94,8%	105,1%	102,3%	98,6%	95,1%	(4,78)	(3,42)
CR Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	106,3%	104,6%	94,2%	124,8%	110,8%	102,2%	99,9%	93,6%	100,3%	100,5%	89,3%	(17,05)	(11,18)
CR Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	102,6%	98,6%	99,1%	95,3%	105,9%	94,3%	105,7%	102,5%	98,4%	94,8%	-	(3,60)
CR General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	100,1%	102,6%	98,6%	99,1%	95,3%	105,9%	94,3%	105,7%	102,5%	98,4%	94,8%	(5,35)	(3,60)
CR Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
CR Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
CR Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
CR Other registry cases	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
CR Other non-litigious cases	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
CR Administrative law cases	94,8%	97,1%	101,8%	79,4%	107,4%	112,3%	99,8%	98,7%	101,7%	104,2%	104,9%	10,13	0,72
CR Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	97,8%	99,4%	101,1%	104,5%	101,7%	95,9%	104,8%	107,6%	99,6%	92,9%	97,3%	(0,56)	4,33
DT Total of other than criminal law cases	97	103	111	113	118	86	105	97	88	103	114	17,4%	10,4%
DT Civil (and commercial) litigious cases	288	289	332	252	258	273	280	300	305	327	349	21,3%	6,7%
DT Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	-	84	91	94	103	71	92	82	72	86	100	-	15,2%
DT General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases	80	84	91	94	103	71	92	82	72	86	100	25,2%	15,2%
DT Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT Non litigious land registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT Non-litigious business registry cases	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT Other registry cases	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT Other non-litigious cases	-	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT Administrative law cases	277	280	271	279	255	235	254	274	296	281	263	-5,1%	-6,4%
DT Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)	205	196	196	184	195	214	205	176	187	212	194	-5,6%	-8,6%
Second instance other than criminal cases (Q97)													



Finland

(2013-2023) data tables

Question	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Variations for quantitative questions	
												2013-2023	2022-2023
97.1.1 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)				1 912	1 363	1 456	1 288	1 395	1 285	1 346	1 317	-	-2,2%
97.1.2 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases				1 606	1 161	1 267	1 120	1 181	1 102	1 202	1 167	-	-2,9%
97.1.3 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)				252	162	138	117	177	132	144	150	-	4,2%
97.1.4 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases				252	162	138	117	177	132	144	150	-	4,2%
97.1.5 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.1.6 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious land registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.1.7 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non-litigious business registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.1.8 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.1.9 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other non-litigious cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.1.10 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Administrative law cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.1.11 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other cases				54	40	51	51	37	51	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.2.1 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)				3 069	2 894	2 739	2 801	2 493	2 652	2 411	2 446	-	1,5%
97.2.2 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases				2 376	2 281	2 163	2 187	1 833	2 078	1 916	1 907	-	-0,5%
97.2.3 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)				651	562	529	569	581	518	495	539	-	8,9%
97.2.4 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases				651	562	529	569	581	518	495	539	-	8,9%
97.2.5 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.2.6 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious land registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.2.7 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Non-litigious business registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.2.8 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Other registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.2.9 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Other non-litigious cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.2.10 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Administrative law cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.2.11 2nd inst courts_Incoming cases_Other cases				42	51	47	45	79	56	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.3.1 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)				3 618	2 804	2 905	2 698	2 604	2 547	2 440	2 527	-	3,6%
97.3.2 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases				2 821	2 176	2 310	2 117	1 914	1 979	1 951	1 947	-	-0,2%



Finland

(2013-2023) data tables

Question	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Variations for quantitative questions	
												2013-2023	2022-2023
97.3.3 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)				741	586	550	523	625	506	489	580	-	18,6%
97.3.4 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases				741	586	550	523	625	506	489	580	-	18,6%
97.3.5 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.3.6 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious land registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.3.7 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Non-litigious business registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.3.8 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Other registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.3.9 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Other non-litigious cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.3.10 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Administrative law cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.3.11 2nd inst courts_Resolved cases_Other cases				56	42	45	58	65	62	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.4.1 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)				1 363	1 453	1 290	1 391	1 284	1 390	1 317	1 236	-	-6,2%
97.4.2 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases				1 161	1 266	1 120	1 190	1 100	1 201	1 167	1 127	-	-3,4%
97.4.3 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)				162	138	117	163	133	144	150	109	-	-27,3%
97.4.4 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases				162	138	117	163	133	144	150	109	-	-27,3%
97.4.5 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.4.6 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious land registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.4.7 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non-litigious business registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.4.8 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.4.9 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other non-litigious cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.4.10 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Administrative law cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.4.11 2nd inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other cases				40	49	53	38	51	45	NAP	NAP	-	-
97.5.1 2nd inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)				NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
97.5.2 2nd inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Civil (and commercial) litigious cases				NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
97.5.10 2nd inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Administrative law cases				NAP	NA	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-

Clearance rate and disposition time for second instance other than criminal law cases (Q97)

Finland

(2013-2023) data tables

Question	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Variations for quantitative questions	
												2013-2023	2022-2023
CR Total of other than criminal law cases				117,9%	96,9%	106,1%	96,3%	104,5%	96,0%	101,2%	103,3%	-	2,11
CR Civil (and commercial) litigious cases				118,7%	95,4%	106,8%	96,8%	104,4%	95,2%	101,8%	102,1%	-	0,27
CR Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)				113,8%	104,3%	104,0%	91,9%	107,6%	97,7%	98,8%	107,6%	-	8,82
CR General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases				113,8%	104,3%	104,0%	91,9%	107,6%	97,7%	98,8%	107,6%	-	8,82
CR Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
CR Non litigious land registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
CR Non-litigious business registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
CR Other registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
CR Other non-litigious cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
CR Administrative law cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
CR Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)				133,3%	82,4%	95,7%	128,9%	82,3%	110,7%	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT Total of other than criminal law cases				138	189	162	188	180	199	197	179	-	-9,4%
DT Civil (and commercial) litigious cases				150	212	177	205	210	222	218	211	-	-3,2%
DT Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)				80	86	78	114	78	104	112	69	-	-38,7%
DT General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases				80	86	78	114	78	104	112	69	-	-38,7%
DT Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT Non litigious land registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT Non-litigious business registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT Other registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT Other non-litigious cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT Administrative law cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)				261	426	430	239	286	265	NAP	NAP	-	-
Supreme courts' other than criminal law cases (Q99)													
99.1.1 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)				4 746	4 012	3 648	3 791	3 758	3 543	2 633	2 508	-	-4,7%

Finland

(2013-2023) data tables

Question	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Variations for quantitative questions	
												2013-2023	2022-2023
99.1.2 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases				549	482	359	292	314	259	321	287	-	-10,6%
99.1.3 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.1.4 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.1.5 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.1.6 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non litigious land registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.1.7 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Non-litigious business registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.1.8 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.1.9 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other non-litigious cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.1.10 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Administrative law cases				3 916	3 294	3 057	3 337	3 312	3 129	2 138	2 091	-	-2,2%
99.1.11 High inst courts_Pending cases on 1 Jan. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)				281	236	232	162	132	155	174	130	-	-25,3%
99.2.1 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)				6 195	7 736	7 321	7 177	6 188	5 143	4 606	4 495	-	-2,4%
99.2.2 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases				999	862	767	725	683	733	653	649	-	-0,6%
99.2.3 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.2.4 High inst courts_Incoming cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.2.5 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.2.6 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Non litigious land registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.2.7 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Non-litigious business registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.2.8 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Other registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.2.9 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Other non-litigious cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.2.10 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Administrative law cases				4 785	6 411	6 199	6 113	5 204	4 099	3 713	3 570	-	-3,9%
99.2.11 High inst courts_Incoming cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)				411	463	355	339	301	311	240	276	-	15,0%
99.3.1 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)				6 905	8 094	7 155	7 215	6 383	6 048	4 730	4 717	-	-0,3%
99.3.2 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Civil (and commercial) litigious cases				1 066	988	829	703	740	673	689	629	-	-8,7%
99.3.3 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-

Finland

(2013-2023) data tables

Question	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Variations for quantitative questions	
												2013-2023	2022-2023
99.3.4 High inst courts_Resolved cases_General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.3.5 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.3.6 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Non litigious land registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.3.7 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Non-litigious business registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.3.8 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Other registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.3.9 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Other non-litigious cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.3.10 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Administrative law cases				5 382	6 638	5 902	6 138	5 365	5 083	3 757	3 837	-	2,1%
99.3.11 High inst courts_Resolved cases_Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)				457	468	424	374	278	292	284	251	-	-11,6%
99.4.1 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)				4 036	3 654	3 814	3 753	3 563	2 638	2 509	2 286	-	-8,9%
99.4.2 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases				482	356	297	314	257	319	285	307	-	7,7%
99.4.3 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.4.4 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.4.5 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.4.6 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non litigious land registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.4.7 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Non-litigious business registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.4.8 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.4.9 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other non-litigious cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
99.4.10 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Administrative law cases				3 319	3 067	3 354	3 312	3 151	2 145	2 094	1 824	-	-12,9%
99.4.11 High inst courts_Pending cases on 31 Dec. Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)				235	231	163	127	155	174	130	155	-	19,2%
99.5.1 High inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)				NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
99.5.2 High inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Civil (and commercial) litigious cases				NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
99.5.10 High inst courts_Pending more than 2 years - Administrative law cases				NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
Clearance rate and disposition time for Supreme courts' other than criminal law cases (Q97)													
CR Total of other than criminal law cases				111,5%	104,6%	97,7%	100,5%	103,2%	117,6%	102,7%	104,9%	-	2,25

Finland

(2013-2023) data tables

Question	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Variations for quantitative questions	
												2013-2023	2022-2023
CR Civil (and commercial) litigious cases				106,7%	114,6%	108,1%	97,0%	108,3%	91,8%	105,5%	96,9%	-	(8,59)
CR Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
CR General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
CR Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
CR Non litigious land registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
CR Non-litigious business registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
CR Other registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
CR Other non-litigious cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
CR Administrative law cases				112,5%	103,5%	95,2%	100,4%	103,1%	124,0%	101,2%	107,5%	-	6,29
CR Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)				111,2%	101,1%	119,4%	110,3%	92,4%	93,9%	118,3%	90,9%	-	(27,39)
DT Total of other than criminal law cases				213	165	195	190	204	159	194	177	-	-8,6%
DT Civil (and commercial) litigious cases				165	132	131	163	127	173	151	178	-	18,0%
DT Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT Non litigious land registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT Non-litigious business registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT Other registry cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT Other non-litigious cases				NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT Administrative law cases				225	169	207	197	214	154	203	174	-	-14,7%
DT Other cases (e.g. insolvency registry cases)				188	180	140	124	204	218	167	225	-	34,9%
First instance criminal law cases (Q94)													
094.1.1 Total - pending 1 Jan								20 227	28 360	29 093	28 149	-	-3,2%
094.1.2 Severe cases - pending 1 Jan								NAP	NAP	NA	NA	-	-

Finland

(2013-2023) data tables

Question	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Variations for quantitative questions	
												2013-2023	2022-2023
094.1.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 1 Jan								NAP	NAP	NA	NA	-	-
094.1.4 Other - pending 1 Jan								NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
094.2.1 Total -incoming								56 932	70 375	64 657	69 860	-	8,0%
094.2.2 Severe cases - incoming								NAP	NAP	NA	NA	-	-
094.2.3 Misdemeanour cases - incoming								NAP	NAP	NA	NA	-	-
094.2.4 Other - incoming								NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
094.3.1 Total - resolved								50 834	68 638	64 657	66 434	-	2,7%
094.3.2 Severe cases -resolved								NAP	NAP	NA	NA	-	-
094.3.3 Misdemeanour cases - resolved								NAP	NAP	NA	NA	-	-
094.3.4 Other - resolved								NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
094.4.1 Total - pending 31 Dec								26 325	30 097	29 093	31 575	-	8,5%
094.4.2 Severe cases - pending 31 Dec								NAP	NAP	NA	NA	-	-
094.4.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 31 Dec								NAP	NAP	NA	NA	-	-
094.4.4 Other - pending 31 Dec								NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
094.5.1 Total - pending more then 2 years								NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
094.5.2 Severe cases - pending more then 2 years								NAP	NAP	NA	NA	-	-
094.5.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending more then 2 years								NAP	NAP	NA	NA	-	-
094.5.4 Other - pending more then 2 years								NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
Clearance rate and disposition time for first instance criminal law cases (Q94)													
CR of Total								89%	98%	100%	95%	-	(4,9)
CR of Severe cases								NAP	NAP	NA	NA	-	-
CR of Misdemeanour cases								NAP	NAP	NA	NA	-	-
CR of Other								NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT of Total								189	160	164	173	-	5,6%

Finland

(2013-2023) data tables

Question	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Variations for quantitative questions	
												2013-2023	2022-2023
DT of Severe cases								NAP	NAP	NA	NA	-	-
DT of Misdemeanour cases								NAP	NAP	NA	NA	-	-
DT of Other								NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
Second instance criminal law cases (Q98)													
098.1.1 Total - pending 1 Jan								2 760	2 543	2 858	2 928	-	2,4%
098.1.2 Severe cases - pending 1 Jan								NAP	NAP	NA	NA	-	-
098.1.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 1 Jan								NAP	NAP	NA	NA	-	-
098.1.4 Other - pending 1 Jan								NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
098.2.1 Total -incoming								4 876	5 574	5 460	5 351	-	-2,0%
098.2.2 Severe cases - incoming								NAP	NAP	NA	NA	-	-
098.2.3 Misdemeanour cases - incoming								NAP	NAP	NA	NA	-	-
098.2.4 Other - incoming								NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
098.3.1 Total - resolved								5 094	5 269	5 390	5 239	-	-2,8%
098.3.2 Severe cases -resolved								NAP	NAP	NA	NA	-	-
098.3.3 Misdemeanour cases - resolved								NAP	NAP	NA	NA	-	-
098.3.4 Other - resolved								NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
098.4.1 Total - pending 31 Dec								2 542	2 848	2 928	3 040	-	3,8%
098.4.2 Severe cases - pending 31 Dec								NAP	NAP	NA	NA	-	-
098.4.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 31 Dec								NAP	NAP	NA	NA	-	-
098.4.4 Other - pending 31 Dec								NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
098.5.1 Total - pending more then 2 years								NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
098.5.2 Severe cases - pending more then 2 years								NAP	NAP	NA	NA	-	-
098.5.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending more then 2 years								NAP	NAP	NA	NA	-	-
098.5.4 Other - pending more then 2 years								NAP	NAP	NAP	NA	-	-



Finland

(2013-2023) data tables

Question	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Variations for quantitative questions	
												2013-2023	2022-2023
Clearance rate and disposition time for second instance criminal law cases (Q98)													
CR of Total								104,5%	94,5%	98,7%	97,9%	-	(0,82)
CR o2 Severe cases								NAP	NAP	NA	NA	-	-
CR of Misdemeanour cases								NAP	NAP	NA	NA	-	-
CR of Other								NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT of Total								182	197	198	212	-	6,8%
DT of Severe cases								NAP	NAP	NA	NA	-	-
DT of Misdemeanour cases								NAP	NAP	NA	NA	-	-
DT of Other								NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
Supreme courts' criminal law cases (Q100)													
100.1.1 Total - pending 1 Jan								205	243	308	343	-	11,4%
100.1.2 Severe cases - pending 1 Jan								NAP	NAP	NA	NA	-	-
100.1.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 1 Jan								NAP	NAP	NA	NA	-	-
100.1.4 Other - pending 1 Jan								NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
100.2.1 Total -incoming								833	889	921	908	-	-1,4%
100.2.2 Severe cases - incoming								NAP	NAP	NA	NA	-	-
100.2.3 Misdemeanour cases - incoming								NAP	NAP	NA	NA	-	-
100.2.4 Other - incoming								NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
100.3.1 Total - resolved								778	800	856	849	-	-0,8%
100.3.2 Severe cases -resolved								NAP	NAP	NA	NA	-	-
100.3.3 Misdemeanour cases - resolved								NAP	NAP	NA	NA	-	-
100.3.4 Other - resolved								NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
100.4.1 Total - pending 31 Dec								260	332	373	402	-	7,8%
100.4.2 Severe cases - pending 31 Dec								NAP	NAP	NA	NA	-	-



Finland

(2013-2023) data tables

Question	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Variations for quantitative questions	
												2013-2023	2022-2023
100.4.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending 31 Dec								NAP	NAP	NA	NA	-	-
100.4.4 Other - pending 31 Dec								NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
100.5.1 Total - pending more then 2 years								NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
100.5.2 Severe cases - pending more then 2 years								NAP	NAP	NA	NA	-	-
100.5.3 Misdemeanour cases - pending more then 2 years								NAP	NAP	NA	NA	-	-
100.5.4 Other - pending more then 2 years								NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-

Clearance rate and disposition time for supreme courts' criminal law cases (Q100)													
CR of Total								93,4%	90,0%	92,9%	93,5%	-	0,56
CR of Severe cases								NAP	NAP	NA	NA	-	-
CR of Misdemeanour cases								NAP	NAP	NA	NA	-	-
CR of Other								NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
DT of Total								122	151	159	173	-	8,7%
DT of Severe cases								NAP	NAP	NA	NA	-	-
DT of Misdemeanour cases								NAP	NAP	NA	NA	-	-
DT of Other								NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-

Indicator 4: Public prosecution services													
(Q107, Q107-1, Q109)													
1. Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year								13 991	17 725	18 011	18 895	-	4,9%
2. Incoming/received cases								91 246	89 256	85 053	87 931	-	3,4%
3. Processed cases (3.1 + 3.2 + 3.3 + 3.4)								87 530	88 979	84 195	89 503	-	6,3%
3.1. Discontinued during the reference year (3.1.1+3.1.2+3.1.3+3.1.4.)								25 888	27 916	32 827	35 126	-	7,0%
3.1.1 Discontinued by the public prosecutor because the offender could not be identified								NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
3.1.2 Discontinued by the public prosecutor due to the lack of an established offence or a specific legal situation								389	136	119	113	-	-5,0%

Finland

(2013-2023) data tables

Question	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Variations for quantitative questions	
												2013-2023	2022-2023
3.1.3 Discontinued by the public prosecutor for reasons of opportunity								16 809	15 904	21 977	18 285	-	-16,8%
3.1.4 Discontinued for other reasons								8 690	11 876	10 731	16 728	-	55,9%
3.2. Concluded by a penalty or a measure imposed or negotiated by the public prosecutor								12	16	9	4	-	-55,6%
3.3. Cases brought to court								56 713	56 364	51 359	54 373	-	5,9%
4. Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year								17 707	18 002	18 869	17 323	-	-8,2%
Public prosecution: Ratio of processed cases with incoming cases								0,96	1,00	0,99	1,02	-	2,8%
Public prosecution: Ratio of pending cases with incoming cases								0,21	0,21	0,22	0,20	-	-8,2%
Number of cases concluded with the guilty plea procedure - Total								NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
Number of cases concluded with the guilty plea procedure - Total - Severe criminal cases								NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
Number of cases concluded with the guilty plea procedure - Total - Misdemeanour and / or minor criminal cases								NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
Number of cases concluded with the guilty plea procedure - Before the main trial - Total								NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
Number of cases concluded with the guilty plea procedure - Before the main trial - Severe criminal cases								NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
Number of cases concluded with the guilty plea procedure - Before the main trial - Misdemeanour and / or minor criminal cases								NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
Number of cases concluded with the guilty plea procedure - During the main trial - Total								NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
Number of cases concluded with the guilty plea procedure - During the main trial - Severe criminal cases								NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
Number of cases concluded with the guilty plea procedure - During the main trial - Misdemeanour and / or minor criminal cases								NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
Budget of public prosecution services (Q13)													
Total approved annual public budget allocated to the public prosecution services in €	45 947 000 €	46 223 000 €	43 800 000 €	46 243 000 €	44 000 000 €	45 042 000 €	48 848 000 €	51 540 000 €	57 320 000 €	56 577 000 €	59 038 000 €	28,5%	4,35%

Finland

(2013-2023) data tables

Question	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Variations for quantitative questions	
												2013-2023	2022-2023
Total implemented annual public budget allocated to the public prosecution services in €	-	46 223 000 €	42 200 000 €	46 243 000 €	44 800 000 €	45 042 000 €	48 848 000 €	50 210 000 €	51 760 000 €	55 299 030 €	60 454 000 €	-	9,32%
Approved annual public budget allocated to training of public prosecution services in € (included in the total)	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
Implemented annual public budget allocated to training of public prosecution services in € (included in the total)	-	-	-	-	-	NA	586 128 €	379 043 €	407 595 €	715 813 €	933 000 €	-	30,34%

Indicator 5: Access to justice													
System for compensating users													
(Q37)													
Number of requests for compensation													
037.1.1 Total								NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
037.1.2 Excessive length of proceedings								56	49	96	162	-	68,8%
037.1.3 Non-execution of court decisions								NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
037.1.4 Wrongful arrest/detention								NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
037.1.5 Wrongful conviction								NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
037.1.6 Other								NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
Number of compensations granted													
037.2.1 Total								NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
037.2.2 Excessive length of proceedings								40	28	75	104	-	38,7%
037.2.3 Non-execution of court decisions								NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
037.2.4 Wrongful arrest/detention								NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
037.2.5 Wrongful conviction								NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
037.2.6 Other								NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
Amount of compensations granted (in €)													
037.3.1 Total								NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-

Finland

(2013-2023) data tables

Question	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Variations for quantitative questions	
												2013-2023	2022-2023
037.3.2 Excessive length of proceedings								154 264 €	212 218 €	173 250 €	262 660 €	-	51,6%
037.3.3 Non-execution of court decisions								NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
037.3.4 Wrongful arrest/detention								NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
037.3.5 Wrongful conviction								NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
037.3.6 Other								NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-

Indicator 6: The ICT tools of courts and for court users													
(Q62-23, Q62-24, Q62-25, Q62-26)													
Writing assistance tools													
062-23.1.1.1 Deployment rate in civil and/or commercial matter										95-100 %	95-100 %		
062-23.1.2.1 Usage rate in civil and/or commercial matter										95-100 %	95-100 %		
062-23.1.3.1 Deployment rate in administrative matter										95-100 %	95-100 %		
062-23.2.1.1 Usage rate in administrative matter										95-100 %	95-100 %		
062-23.2.2.1 Deployment rate in criminal matter										95-100 %	95-100 %		
062-23.2.3.1 Usage rate in criminal matter										95-100 %	95-100 %		
Features of the writing assistance tools													
Civil and/or commercial matter													
062-24.1.1.1 Templates										True	True		
062-24.1.1.2 Automatically generated text										True	True		
062-24.1.1.3 Automatically suggested decision										False	False		
062-24.1.1.4 Speech-to-text										False	False		
062-24.1.1.5 Electronic signature										True	True		
062-24.1.1.6 Other special functionality										False	False		
Administrative matter													
062-24.1.2.1 Templates										True	True		

Finland

(2013-2023) data tables

Question	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Variations for quantitative questions	
												2013-2023	2022-2023
062-24.1.2.2 Automatically generated text										True	True		
062-24.1.2.3 Automatically suggested decision										False	False		
062-24.1.2.4 Speech-to-text										False	False		
062-24.1.2.5 Electronic signature										True	True		
062-24.1.2.6 Other special functionality										False	False		
Criminal matter													
062-24.1.3.1 Templates										True	True		
062-24.1.3.2 Automatically generated text										True	True		
062-24.1.3.3 Automatically suggested decision										False	False		
062-24.1.3.4 Speech-to-text										False	False		
062-24.1.3.5 Electronic signature										False	False		
062-24.1.3.6 Other special functionality										False	False		
Recording of court hearings													
062-25.1.1.1 Deployment rate in civil and/or commercial matter										95-100 %	95-100 %		
062-25.1.2.1 Usage rate in civil and/or commercial matter										95-100 %	95-100 %		
062-25.1.3.1 Deployment rate in administrative matter										95-100 %	95-100 %		
062-25.2.1.1 Usage rate in administrative matter										95-100 %	95-100 %		
062-25.2.2.1 Deployment rate in criminal matter										95-100 %	95-100 %		
062-25.2.3.1 Usage rate in criminal matter										95-100 %	95-100 %		
Features of the recording of court hearings													
Civil and/or commercial matter													
062-26.1.1.1 Audio recording										True	True		
062-26.1.1.2 Video recording										False	False		
062-26.1.1.3 Systematic recording for all hearings										False	False		

Finland

(2013-2023) data tables

Question	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Variations for quantitative questions	
												2013-2023	2022-2023
062-26.1.1.4 Automatically indexed recording										False	False		
062-26.1.1.5 Automatic transcript from recording										False	False		
062-26.1.1.6 Possibility to request a copy of the recording										True	True		
062-26.1.1.7 Other special functionality							-	-		False	False		
Administrative matter													
062-26.1.2.1 Audio recording										True	True		
062-26.1.2.2 Video recording										False	False		
062-26.1.2.3 Systematic recording for all hearings										False	False		
062-26.1.2.4 Automatically indexed recording										False	False		
062-26.1.2.5 Automatic transcript from recording										False	False		
062-26.1.2.6 Possibility to request a copy of the recording										True	True		
062-26.1.2.7 Other special functionality							-	-		False	False		
Criminal matter													
062-26.1.3.1 Audio recording										True	True		
062-26.1.3.2 Video recording										False	False		
062-26.1.3.3 Systematic recording for all hearings										False	False		
062-26.1.3.4 Automatically indexed recording										False	False		
062-26.1.3.5 Automatic transcript from recording										False	False		
062-26.1.3.6 Possibility to request a copy of the recording										True	True		
062-26.1.3.7 Other special functionality										False	False		

Indicator 7: Professionals of justice													
(Q46, Q46-2, Q52, Q52-1, Q55, Q60, Q4, Q132, Q133, Q144, Q145, Q146)													
46.1.1 Total Number of professional judges	986	988	991	1 068	1 045	1 081	1 087	1 077	1 158	1 148	1 180	19,7%	2,8%
46.1.2 Number of 1st inst professional judges	758	758	761	834	817	850	850	854	901	895	923	21,8%	3,1%

Finland

(2013-2023) data tables

Question	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Variations for quantitative questions	
												2013-2023	2022-2023
46.1.3 Number of 2nd inst professional judges	185	186	188	184	178	184	191	178	209	208	213	15,1%	2,4%
46.1.4 Number of Supreme court professional judges	43	44	42	50	50	47	46	45	48	45	44	2,3%	-2,2%
46.2.1 Number of professional judges_males	484	473	451	485	460	452	451	433	462	457	451	-6,8%	-1,3%
46.2.2 Number of 1st instance professional judges_males	362	356	338	368	350	344	342	323	339	335	330	-8,8%	-1,5%
46.2.3 Number of 2nd instance professional judges_males	95	89	85	84	78	78	90	81	95	94	91	-4,2%	-3,2%
46.2.4 Number of Supreme court professional judges_males	27	28	28	33	32	30	29	29	28	28	30	11,1%	7,1%
46.3.1 Number of professional judges_females	502	515	540	583	585	629	636	644	696	691	729	45,2%	5,5%
46.3.2 Number of 1st inst professional judges_females	396	402	423	466	467	506	508	531	562	560	593	49,7%	5,9%
46.3.3 Number of 2nd inst professional judges_females	90	97	103	100	100	106	111	97	114	114	122	35,6%	7,0%
46.3.4 Number of Supreme court professional judges_females	16	16	14	17	18	17	17	16	20	17	14	-12,5%	-17,6%
046-2.1.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Total									1 158	1 148	1 180	-	2,8%
046-2.1.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Total									901	895	923	-	3,1%
046-2.1.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Total									209	208	213	-	2,4%
046-2.1.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Total									48	45	44	-	-2,2%
046-2.2.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Civil and commercial									NA	NA	NA	-	-
046-2.2.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Civil and commercial									NA	NA	NA	-	-
046-2.2.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Civil and commercial									NA	NA	NA	-	-
046-2.2.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Civil and commercial									NA	NA	NA	-	-
046-2.3.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Criminal									NA	NA	NA	-	-
046-2.3.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Criminal									NA	NA	NA	-	-
046-2.3.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Criminal									NA	NA	NA	-	-
046-2.3.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Criminal									NA	NA	NA	-	-
046-2.4.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Administrative									310	307	314	-	2,3%
046-2.4.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Administrative									282	282	290	-	2,8%



Finland

(2013-2023) data tables

Question	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Variations for quantitative questions	
												2013-2023	2022-2023
046-2.4.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Administrative									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
046-2.4.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Administrative									28	25	24	-	-4,0%
046-2.5.1 Number of professional judges (FTE) - Other									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
046-2.5.2 Professional judges of first instance (FTE) - Other									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
046-2.5.3 Professional judges of second instance (FTE) - Other									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
046-2.5.4 Professional judges of supreme court (FTE) - Other									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
52.1.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts	2 196	2 161	2 145	2 170	2 137	2 131	2 128	2 162	2 208	2 194	2 199	0,1%	0,2%
52.1.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-
52.1.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
52.1.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
52.1.5 Number of Technical staff	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
52.1.6 Number of Other non judge staff	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
52.2.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts(men)	2 196	NA	NA	NA	NA	488	498	516	520	516	506	-77,0%	-1,9%
52.2.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)(men)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-
52.2.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges(men)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
52.2.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks(men)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
52.2.5 Number of Technical staff(men)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
52.2.6 Number of Other non judge staff(men)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
52.3.1 Total Number of non judge staff who are working in courts(women)	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	1 643	1 630	1 646	1 688	1 678	1 693	-	0,9%
52.3.2 Number of Non judge staff (Rechtspfleger)(women)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NAP	NAP	-	-
52.3.3 Number of Non-judge staff assisting the judges(women)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
52.3.4 Number of Staff in charge of administrative tasks(women)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
52.3.5 Number of Technical staff(women)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
52.3.6 Number of Other non judge staff(women)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-

Finland

(2013-2023) data tables

Question	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Variations for quantitative questions	
												2013-2023	2022-2023
052-1.1.1 Non-judge staff (Total)									2 208	2 194	2 199	-	0,2%
052-1.1.2 Non-judge staff at first instance (total)									1 822	1 810	1 815	-	0,3%
052-1.1.3 Non-judge staff at second instance (total)									237	242	238	-	-1,7%
052-1.1.4 Non-judge staff at Supreme court (total)									149	142	146	-	2,8%
052-1.2.1 Non-judge staff (Males)									520	516	506	-	-1,9%
052-1.2.2 Non-judge staff at first instance (males)									422	418	409	-	-2,2%
052-1.2.3 Non-judge staff at second instance (males)									60	61	57	-	-6,6%
052-1.2.4 Non-judge staff at Supreme court (males)									38	37	40	-	8,1%
052-1.3.1 Non-judge staff (females)									1 688	1 678	1 693	-	0,9%
052-1.3.2 Non-judge staff at first instance (females)									1 400	1 392	1 406	-	1,0%
052-1.3.3 Non-judge staff at second instance (females)									177	181	181	-	0,0%
052-1.3.4 Non-judge staff at supreme court (females)									111	105	106	-	1,0%
055.1.1 Prosecutors (total)									424	441	457	-	3,6%
055.1.2 Prosecutors (1st inst.)									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
055.1.3 Prosecutors (2nd inst.)									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
055.1.4 Prosecutors (Highest instance)									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
055.2.1 Prosecutors - Males -total									158	158	155	-	-1,9%
055.2.2 Prosecutors - Males, 1st inst.									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
055.2.3 Prosecutors - Males, 2nd inst.									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
055.2.4 Prosecutors - Males, Supreme courts									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
055.3.1 Prosecutors - Females, Total									266	283	302	-	6,7%
055.3.2 Prosecutors - Females, 1st inst.									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
055.3.3 Prosecutors - Females, 2nd inst.									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
055.3.4 Prosecutors - Females, Supreme courts									NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
057 Other with similar duties as public prsecutors											True	-	-

Finland

(2013-2023) data tables

Question	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Variations for quantitative questions	
												2013-2023	2022-2023
056.1.1 Heads of prosecution (total)										6	6	-	0,0%
056.1.2 Heads of prosecution (1st inst.)										NAP	NAP	-	-
056.1.3 Heads of prosecution (2nd inst.)										NAP	NAP	-	-
056.1.4 Heads of prosecution (Highest instance)										NAP	NAP	-	-
056.2.1 Heads of prosecution - Males -total										4	4	-	0,0%
056.2.2 Heads of prosecution - Males, 1st inst.										NAP	NAP	-	-
056.2.3 Heads of prosecution - Males, 2nd inst.										NAP	NAP	-	-
056.2.4 Heads of prosecution - Males, Supreme courts										NAP	NAP	-	-
056.3.1 Heads of prosecution - Females, Total										2	2	-	0,0%
056.3.2 Heads of prosecution - Females, 1st inst.										NAP	NAP	-	-
056.3.3 Heads of prosecution - Females, 2nd inst.										NAP	NAP	-	-
056.3.4 Heads of prosecution - Females, Supreme courts										NAP	NAP	-	-
060.1.1 Number of non-prosecutor staff Total							-	139	169	176	185	-	5,1%
060.2.1 Number of non-prosecutor staff Males							-	11	14	12	13	-	8,3%
060.3.1 Number of non-prosecutor staff Females							-	128	155	164	172	-	4,9%
004 Annual average salary in the country							42 336 €	43 140 €	47 516 €	47 696 €	51 663 €	-	8,3%
132.1.1 Gross annual salary, in € - Professional judge at the beginning of career							64 500 €	66 900 €	68 900 €	70 628 €	80 761 €	-	14,3%
132.1.2 Gross annual salary, in € - Judge of the Supreme Court							134 500 €	136 300 €	141 720 €	141 720 €	152 787 €	-	7,8%
132.1.3 Gross annual salary, in € - Public prosecutor at the beginning of career							50 400 €	50 880 €	50 880 €	59 000 €	59 000 €	-	0,0%
132.1.4 Gross annual salary, in € - Public prosecutor of the Supreme Court or the Highest Appellate Instance							NAP	NAP	NAP	95 000 €	95 000 €	-	0,0%
132.2.1 Net annual salary, in € - Professional judge at the beginning of career							NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
132.2.2 Net annual salary, in € - Judge of the Supreme Court							NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
132.2.3 Net annual salary, in € - Public prosecutor at the beginning of career							NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
132.2.4 Net annual salary, in € - Public prosecutor of the Supreme Court or the Highest Appellate Instance							NAP	NAP	NAP	NA	NA	-	-
133.1.1.1 - Additional benefits for judges - Reduced taxation							False	False	False	False	False		

Finland

(2013-2023) data tables

Question	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Variations for quantitative questions	
												2013-2023	2022-2023
133.1.2.1 - Additional benefits for judges - Special pension							False	False	False	False	False		
133.1.3.1 - Additional benefits for judges - Housing							False	False	False	False	False		
133.1.4.1 - Additional benefits for judges - Other financial benefit							False	False	False	False	False		
133.2.1.1 - Additional benefits for prosecutors - Reduced taxation							False	False	False	False	False		
133.2.2.1 - Additional benefits for prosecutors - Special pension							False	False	False	False	False		
133.2.3.1 - Additional benefits for prosecutors - Housing							False	False	False	False	False		
133.2.4.1 - Additional benefits for prosecutors - Other financial benefit							False	False	False	False	False		

Finland

(2013-2023) data tables

Question	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Variations for quantitative questions	
												2013-2023	2022-2023
Lawyers													
(Q146, Q147, Q148)													
146.1.1 Total number of lawyers practising	2 009	2 115	3 550	3 791	3 846	3 965	4 022	4 087	4 173	4 098	4 138	106,0%	1,0%
146.2.1 Practicing lawyers - man							NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
146.3.1 Practicing lawyers - woman							NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
147 Does this figure include “legal advisors” who cannot represent their clients in court (for example, some solicitors or in-house counsellors)?				False	False	False	False	False	False	False	False	-	-
148 Number of legal advisors who cannot represent their clients in court:				NAP	NAP	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-
Indicator 8: Mediators and notaries													
(Q166, Q157)													
166 Number of accredited or registered mediators who practice judicial mediation:	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
166.2.1 Mediators - male							NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
166.3.1 Mediators - female							NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	NAP	-	-
192.1.1 Number of notaries										144	140	-	-2,8%
192.1.2 Private professionals (without control from public authorities)										NAP	NAP	-	-
192.1.3 Holders of public offices appointed by the State										NAP	NAP	-	-
192.1.4 Civil servants (paid by the State)										144	140	-	-2,8%
192.1.5 Other										NAP	NAP	-	-
192.2.1 Number of notaries- male										31	34	-	9,7%
192.2.2 Private professionals (without control from public authorities)- male										NAP	NAP	-	-
192.2.3 Holders of public offices appointed by the State- male										NAP	NAP	-	-
192.2.4 Civil servants (paid by the State)- male										31	34	-	9,7%
192.2.5 Other- male										NAP	NAP	-	-
192.3.1 Number of notaries- female										113	106	-	-6,2%
192.3.2 Private professionals (without control from public authorities)- female										NAP	NAP	-	-
192.3.3 Holders of public offices appointed by the State- female										NAP	NAP	-	-
192.3.4 Civil servants (paid by the State)- female										113	106	-	-6,2%
192.3.5 Other- female										NAP	NAP	-	-

Key: Variation of more than (+ -) 30%