

COUNCIL OF EUROPE



CONSEIL DE L'EUROPE

CAHAI-COG(2020)PV2

Strasbourg, 19 November 2020

AD HOC COMMITTEE ON ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE (CAHAI)

Consultations and Outreach Group (CAHAI-COG)

2nd Meeting, 5-6 November 2020

ABRIDGED REPORT

www.coe.int/cahai

1. Opening of the meeting

1. Mr Gregor Strojín (Slovenia), Chair of the CAHAI, opened the meeting by thanking the Co-Chairs and co-drafters for their work and input into this current meeting. He underlined the importance of coordination with the Co-Chairs of the CAHAI Policy Development Group (CAHAI-PDG), in identifying the subjects of the multi-stakeholder consultation, based on the main findings of the draft feasibility study which is being finalised. He urged the Group to make pragmatic proposals to the next CAHAI plenary meeting on 15-17 December so that the multi-stakeholder consultation can get underway at the beginning of next year.
2. Ms Claudia Luciani, Director, Directorate of Human Dignity, Equality and Governance, Council of Europe also thanked the members of the Group for their efforts on this complex task. She underlined that:
 - The multi-stakeholder consultation is an integral part of the brief from the Committee of Ministers. It is unique and unprecedented and should be intertwined with the group of the other 2 working groups (CAHAI-PDG and CAHAI-LFG);
 - The consultations should be focused. It is important to choose wisely when deciding on the questions to be asked, how they should be framed and who should be consulted;
 - It is important to keep in mind the aim of the consultations and be realistic on what is possible in terms of human and financial resources.
3. The CAHAI-COG took note of these points.

2. Adoption of the Agenda

4. The CAHAI-COG reviewed and adopted the draft agenda. The agenda and list of participants appear in Appendix I and Appendix II respectively.

3. Information by the Co-Chairs

5. Update by the Co-Chairs of the CAHAI-COG and the CAHAI-PDG had held an informal coordination meeting where information on the work being carried out by each Group had been exchanged.
6. The Co-Chairs of the CAHAI-PDG gave an update on progress made by the CAHAI-PDG. Mr Wolfgang Teves (Germany), Co-Chair of the CAHAI-PDG explained that the current version of the feasibility study had been sent to the CAHAI-PDG for comments, and an updated version taking into account those comments was currently being prepared. This version will be sent to the CAHAI for an initial reaction before being presented at the plenary meeting of the CAHAI in December.
7. Concerning issues that could be discussed with stakeholders, he underlined the importance of identifying key elements of the Feasibility Study that could be put to the multi-stakeholder consultation. He proposed that subjects could be identified within Chapter 7 of the Feasibility Study on the main elements of the legal framework and Chapter 9 on possible practical and follow-up mechanisms.
8. Mr Zoltan Turbek (Hungary), Co-Chair of the CAHAI-PDG also mentioned Chapter 8 of the Feasibility Study as being an overview of the pros and cons of each option for a legal instrument capturing the main elements of various options. He stated that there is no simple best option and that the CAHAI-PDG had decided that a combined approach with complementary instruments would be the best way forward.
9. Ms Jana Novohradská (Slovakia), the CAHAI gender equality rapporteur, raised the issue of the use of the expression “gender equality” in [the English version of] the draft Feasibility Study. Ms Novohradská highlighted that women are under-represented in the field of AI as far as the technological side is concerned. On the first point, Ms Luciani underlined that “gender equality” is an agreed expression which has been used throughout the Council of Europe’s legal texts and in Council of Europe’s activities for decades.
10. It was agreed that the Co-Chairs of the CAHAI-PDG would put together a list of key issues from the Feasibility Study, to be finalised with the Co-Chairs of the COG, by late November, which would put later for consultation.

11. The Secretariat explained that the work of the CAHAI-COG must fit into the intergovernmental work of the CAHAI. After approval from the plenary of the outputs being prepared by CAHAI-COG according to its terms of reference, the consultation could begin in early 2021 to feed the work the CAHAI-LFG, which will be in charge of drafting the main elements of a future legal instrument on AI.

4. Mapping of Tools

12. Ms Veronica Cretu (Republic of Moldova), lead coordinator on the mapping of tools, gave an update on the state of progress.

13. Although work carried out by the sub-Group on mapping of tools, sets out many different tools, she underlined that it is important to prioritise a minimum set of tools and make the consultation preferably online due to current sanitary context.

14. Moreover, she emphasised that, at national level, stakeholders need the right knowledge and expertise. A final decision is needed on who the stakeholders will be in order to ask relevant questions.

15. Mr Antoine Vergne, Director of Berlin Office, Missions publiques underlined the benefits of synchronised participation when carrying out consultations.

16. Mr Vergne went on to say that the timing of the consultations is not just about when to open and close consultation, time to design, recruit, interpret results is also needed. For recruitment a clear idea of the output required is necessary.

17. Indeed, the consultation takes place in three stages: preparation (share of information, who is going to be consulted, when); targeted consultations; post consultation (additional input, reflexion, feedback and updates).

18. For Mr Marc-Antoine Dilhac, CIFAR Chair in AI Ethics at Mila (Quebec Artificial Intelligence Institute), there could be three types of consultation: international, national, citizen/multi-stakeholder. He also recommended the use of both online and offline in person consultations if possible.

19. He agreed that there is a need to always mix participants and take advantage of their different points of views. Separating the audience would make the consultation less informative and less diverse. A diverse stakeholder group will provide views.

20. Mr Dilhac also emphasised that to prepare consultations the need to know the desired outcome is important. Also important is moderation when carrying out the consultations.

21. Identifying few, broader subjects for the consultation could be a starting point with the individual questions refined later.

22. The Co-Chair of the CAHAI-COG, Mr Andrey Neznamov (Russian Federation) informed the group that around 40 tools have been identified. The pros and cons for each tool have been examined, and the choice of each tool depends on the key issues under discussion. Tools would be split into national and international levels of consultation and tools could be proposed for each group. It was pointed out that there is a need to be cautious when using too many tools and assertive on the choice of tools. The more tools that are used the more work is required.

23. From the discussion Ms Cretu identified three steps:

- Before the consultation: share information about the consultation and the text, and also what will be consulted and when. General phase that prepares the actors for the next phase
- Consultation itself: targeted consultation and provide a more focused space for more "shy" stakeholders
- Post-consultation: review and feedback on points of the consultation outcome.

24. Mr Patrick Penninckx, Head of the Information Society Department addressed the Group while congratulating the Group on the full picture of tools that had been identified, he underlined the need to consider the time available, the means available, human resources and the implication of various stakeholders. He emphasised that it is essential to be precise and realistic in our objectives, and to keep multi-stakeholder consultation.

25. The Co-Chair agreed that the Group must look at the tools which would be most effective.
26. The Secretariat advised the Group that the list of tools should be reviewed and reduced to a small set of relevant and applicable tools.
27. The Group agreed to making a smaller list of tools after the meeting while keeping the original detailed list as a separate document that could be used in the future. This would be carried out by e-mail within the CAHAI-COG after the meeting.

5. Mapping of stakeholders

28. Ms Francesca Fanucci, Conference INGOs, lead coordinator on the mapping of stakeholders gave an update on the state of progress. An extensive provisional list of stakeholders has been defined, however this needs refining and is still a work in progress.
29. She underlined the importance of equal access in the process and also the need to reach out to marginalised groups. This could be done at national level through the member States.
30. Mr Vergne highlighted the need for a recruitment strategy and the possibility of asking national partners in this regard. He pointed out three levels of action: deciding who to reach out to; deciding which means to invited people to take part; monitoring participation, diversity, gaps.
31. The Secretariat mentioned that stakeholders already involved in the CAHAI should be taken into account as a starting point.
32. The Co-Chair agreed that CAHAI members and participants should be included however other stakeholders could be added to this.
33. Mr Dilhac reminded the group that all stakeholders are not consulted in the same way or in the same format for example regulators cannot be mixed with citizens. Hence there is an obvious need for moderation.
34. Ms Fanucci mentioned the need to deal with stakeholders separately or at least mitigate the risk of stakeholders being consulted together where certain groups may not speak up or not be heard. All opinions should be voices.
35. The Group highlighted the need for diversity and to prioritise inclusiveness by having proactive recruitment.
36. The Secretariat reminded the Group that the principles in the Feasibility Study should be taken into account when choosing the stakeholders.
37. The Group agreed to finalise the mapping of stakeholders by an e-mail exchange within the CAHAI-COG.

6. Analysis of the electronic consultation

38. The Secretariat presented a document which outlined the analysis of the electronic consultation of CAHAI members and representatives of bodies, committees, observer organisations and partner companies, carried out by the Secretariat and opened in December 2019.
39. It was explained that the first deadline for responses to the questionnaire was February 2020, and then the questionnaire was re-opened with 2 additional questions relating to COVID 19 until September 2020.
40. For the moment the analysis is not public.
41. It revealed that 26 member States are ready to consider being involved in multi-stakeholder consultations as well as two observer States.
42. The Secretariat invited initial feedback from the CAHAI-COG on this document and the methodology for its development.
43. The CAHAI-COG Co-Chair, Mr Neznamov, praised the work as being unique and valuable.
44. It was noted that it would be useful to keep this document up to date in light of the continuous developments in the field of AI and to renew consultation on a regular basis. The online consultation would allow sharing knowledge of developments in the field of AI and of policy making initiatives at national level, this inspiring good practices among member States.

45. Certain delegates mentioned the importance of reaching out to the general public and in particular the younger generation and thus increasing the visibility of the CAHAI's work. For this new methods could be envisaged.
46. In this regard the Secretariat mentioned that it is currently working on a video clip on the work of the CAHAI as well as a publication. In future working with tv/streaming networks on a documentary reaching out to the greater public could be envisaged. The Secretariat also stated that it is working with other international organisations on developing a common website on AI. While steps are being taken to raise knowledge about CAHAI's work, the main focus should remain the implementation of CAHAI's mandate and addressing primarily its stakeholders.
47. The CAHAI-COG were of the consensus that the analysis of the electronic consultation could become public in the future and that its findings should be built upon.

7. Implementation of multi-stakeholder consultations

7.1. Development of the methodology and templates for consultations at national level

- 48 The Secretariat reminded the CAHAI-COG of the timeline for the work of the CAHAI. The results of the multi-stakeholder consultations must reach the CAHAI by the beginning of June 2021 in time for the 4th CAHAI plenary meeting in late June/July. This implies the consultations being carried out beginning in February 2021 and ending in April 2021, with May being devoted to the preparation of the report on the multi-stakeholder consultation to be presented to the CAHAI.
- 49 Moreover, the input of the CAHAI-COG should come in time to influence the work of the CAHAI-LFG which should finalise its output(s) in October 2021.
- 50 Mr Strojín also underlined that the CAHAI-COG needs to be realistic in its goals while taking into account the terms of reference of the CAHAI and its intergovernmental activity, in particular as regards the elaboration of the elements of a legal framework. Hence, it is important to stick to the timeline indicated by the Secretariat.
- 51 In view of the limited time available it was highlighted by the Group that digital communication is to be preferred. In particular the current COVID 19 situation and how this can influence the methods that can be used for any consultations should be taken into account.
- 52 The CAHAI-COG indicated that young people should be included in the consultations due to their active current and future involvement in AI. The Secretariat mentioned that [Webinars](#) on AI are regularly organised by the Council of Europe involving, among others, university students. The next Webinar is taking place on 10 December, which is Human Rights Day.
- 53 Although there was support for a wider consultation, the need to be pragmatic was noted.
- 54 Ms Victoria Alsina, Co-Chair of the CAHAI-COG, mentioned that it would be good idea to share best practices and experiences between countries in light of the consultations. She also highlighted the need to be realistic and to discuss the order in which the different steps should happen.
- 55 The Secretariat agreed on the importance of reporting and sharing experiences once the multi-stakeholder consultation is completed, so as to benefit from lessons learnt in the framework of future consultations.
- 56 The Group mentioned that national coordinators could be envisaged who would be in touch with the different stakeholders at national level. A key contact person could take national specificities into account.
- 57 The use of pilot activities was also mentioned. For example, pilot consultations could be carried out in one large and one small country. This could be followed by taking stock and seeing what works and what doesn't work. The Secretariat underlined in this respect that proper consideration should be given to time constraints.
- 58 The Group agreed to produce a written recommendation with templates for in-country consultations following this meeting.

7.2 Update on the citizens' dialogue on the future of Internet

- 59 Mr Antoine Vergne, Director of Berlin Office, Missions Publiques, gave an update on the citizen's dialogue on followed by questions.

- 60 He underlined the importance of the preparation of the consultations which can take longer than the consultations themselves which can be done over a couple of days. Therefore, the timeline proposed for the CAHAI-COG and the consultations is perfectly doable.
- 61 Mr Vergne supports coalitions at national level. If stakeholders are engaged from the very beginning, they have more ownership of the project.
- 62 He agreed with the concept of producing written recommendations with templates for the consultations, however stressed that they should be written in a clear manner. He added that reporting the results after the consultations is also very important.
- 63 Mr Vergne also supported the idea of using pilot consultations which would allow anything that did not work to be changed if necessary. This could be done very quickly if the right countries agreed to participate.
- 64 If possible, dialogues in local languages could also be helpful, the fact that the Council of Europe already works in French and English is already an asset.
- 65 The Co-Chair concluded by saying that the Group should try to focus on what we need to achieve and a final decision on the next steps should be taken.

7.3. Development of a proposed calendar for consultations

- 66 The Chair of the CAHAI kindly asked members to not forget the importance of the general deadline for June to share the final result and reminded the Group of the limitations due to these deadlines.

8. Discussion of the organisation of future work, distribution of tasks and next steps

- 67 The CAHAI-COG was invited to discuss the organisation of its work for the completion of its tasks and future contributions required.
- 68 The Co-Chair, Mr Neznamov, gave a PowerPoint presentation on future steps which include 5 tasks and 5 outcomes:
- Draft report on international consultations including a) **map** of international stakeholders b) proposed **tools for each group** of stakeholders at international level c) **key principles**;
 - Draft **recommendation** to states on methodology of in-country consultations, with summary on whole available tools;
 - Draft **calendars of thematic consultations** of different stakeholders and other events: **a) for international and b) for national**;
 - Draft document with **key issues** of feasibility study to be put under consultations;
 - Support to the online consultation.
- 69 Concerning the draft report, the Group agreed that 1-3 best tools could be chosen for each category of stakeholder. This could be done in the coming week by the Co-Chairs. The CAHAI-COG agreed that the observers and participants in the CAHAI form a good starting point for choosing stakeholders, however as other groups might have something to add, the CAHAI stakeholders could be complemented with others.
- 70 The Secretariat underlined that it is important to provide clear, well-defined options for the multi-stakeholder consultation, ideally 1 or 2. Also it is important not to repeat what already exists and put straightforward solutions to the CAHAI plenary. The observers and participants in the CAHAI form a good base of stakeholders making it easy to be effective.
- 71 The Chair of the CAHAI, Mr Strojín, added that the mandate of the CAHAI is based on what has already been done by other bodies/organisations. The work of the CAHAI-COG should streamline the work of the CAHAI-PDG and feed into the work of the CAHAI-LFG. He put forward the idea of having short-term proposals which would fit into the requirements and deadlines of the CAHAI and long-term proposals which could build upon the results of the short-term work and form the basis for future needs.
- 72 For the draft recommendation to States on modalities and templates for in-country consultations, the Group agreed to set up an authors' group to prepare this by the end of November (Ms Adriana Dergam, Czech Republic, Ms Veronica Cretu, Republic of Moldova, Ms Jana Novohradská, Slovakia and Ms Francesca Fanucci, Conference of INGOs and the Co-Chairs). The Secretariat made it clear that it was unable to provide

secretarial support for this author's group and that these activities which would have to be carried out by the members of the CAHAI-COG alone.

- 73 There would be two calendars of thematic consultations, one national and one international. The Co-Chairs would make a draft of calendars for the end of November. However, the Co-Chair, Mr Neznamov expressed the wish to discuss this with the Bureau of the CAHAI.
- 74 The initial consultative document would include the key issues of the Feasibility Study to be put to the consultations. This could be prepared in co-operation with the CAHAI-PDG. It could be prepared by the Co-Chairs and discussed in the plenary meeting of the CAHAI.
- 75 The CAHAI-COG approved the initial draft analysis of the electronic consultation submitted by the Secretariat. They recognised the work done as extremely valuable and agreed that it should be included in the CAHAI-COG final report. They were of the opinion that further questionnaires should be carried out in the future and that the results of the questionnaire and the activities of the CAHAI-COG could be highlighted in the media.
- 76 The CAHAI-COG stressed the importance of working together in particular during November to achieve concrete results. The Co-Chairs expressed the wish to have an extra meeting of the Group at the end of November/beginning of December to discuss, finalise and approve the results. However, agreed that this could also be done electronically.
- 77 The Secretariat indicated that it was unable to support an extra meeting due to the budgetary, human resources and time limitations. In particular the deadlines for the work of the CAHAI have been fixed by the Committee of Ministers and are not subject to change.

9. Key take-aways: oral summary by the Co-Chairs

- 78 The Co-Chairs requested to be able to address the next Bureau meeting to discuss of the work they are undertaken and ask for support.
- 79 The CAHAI-COG took good notes of the deadlines however expressed concerns at being able to finalise their work within this timeline.
- 80 They underlined their desire to finish their mandate in the best and most efficient manner.
- 81 The Secretariat underlined that if the consultations take place between February and April, then the month of May should be dedicated to the analysis of the outcomes.

10. Dates of the next meetings

- 82 The third plenary meeting of the CAHAI will be taking place online on 15-17 December 2020.
- 83 The dates of possible CAHAI-COG meetings in 2021 remain to be confirmed.

11. Any other business

- 84 The meeting was closed by Mr Jan Kleijssen, Director, Information Society - Action against Crime, Council of Europe. He emphasised the need to concentrate on what are the best possible options for the CAHAI-COG going forward. Mr Kleijssen recommended that the Group begin with the stakeholders already in the CAHAI when choosing possible partners for the consultations.
- 85 He stated that although the multi-stakeholder approach is part of the CAHAI's mandate the possibilities are limited, also taking into account the current sanitary context.
- 86 Mr Kleijssen took note of the wish of the CAHAI-COG Co-Chairs to discuss the deadlines and other substantive aspects with the Bureau of the CAHAI, however he reiterated that the timelines of CAHAI's intergovernmental activity have been decided upon by the Committee of Ministers and that decisions on calendar and substantive aspects would have to be taken by the CAHAI plenary.

87 The ideas raised by the Group were very important and he reminded the Group that the consultation process would continue in the long-term. He thanked the CAHAI-COG for their creativity and engagement. Finally, he encouraged a pragmatic approach going forward.

Appendix I
Agenda and Order of Business

5 November 2020		
Time	Document reference	Agenda item
2.00 pm	CAHAI-COG(2020)PV1 (first meeting report)	<p>1. Opening of the meeting</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mr Gregor Strojín, President of the CAHAI • Ms Claudia Luciani, Director, Directorate of Human Dignity, Equality and Governance, Council of Europe <p><u>Required action:</u> the CAHAI-COG will take note of the information provided by the CAHAI President and by Ms Luciani.</p>
2.15 pm	CAHAI-COG(2020)OJ2	<p>2. Adoption of the Agenda</p> <p><u>Required action:</u> the CAHAI-COG is invited to review and adopt the draft agenda.</p>
2.20 pm	CAHAI-PDG(2020)PV2 (second meeting report)	<p>3. Information by the Co-chairs</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Update by the Co-Chairs of the CAHAI-PDG on progress since the first meeting • Update by the Co-chairs of the CAHAI-COG on the outcome of their coordination meeting with the Co-chairs of the CAHAI-PDG <p><u>Required action:</u> the CAHAI-COG will take note of the information provided by the Co-chairs.</p>
2.40 pm	<p>CAHAI(2019)04 (General principles and stakeholders)</p> <p>CAHAI(2020)03 – Rev1 (Multi-stakeholder consultations: proposals for the way forward)</p> <p>[CAHAI-COG document on the mapping of tools to be added]</p>	<p>4. Mapping of Tools</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Update by the lead coordinator on the state of progress followed by discussion • Interventions by members, participants, observers and experts: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Marc-Antoine Dilhac, CIFAR Chair in AI Ethics at Mila (Quebec Artificial Intelligence Institute) ○ Antoine Vergne, Director of Berlin Office, Missions Publiques, Bringing Citizens into Politics ○ Jana Novohradská, Gender Equality Rapporteur, CAHAI <p><u>Required action:</u> the CAHAI-COG is invited to review the contributions received, finalise the preliminary mapping of tools and undertake a selection of tools to be proposed for CAHAI's use in consultations at national and international level.</p>
3.50 pm	CAHAI(2019)04	5. Mapping of stakeholders

	<p>(General principles and stakeholders)</p> <p>CAHAI(2020)03 – Rev1 PPT presentation on the mapping of stakeholders</p> <p>[compilation of replies and full text of submission available on the Cloud]</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Update by the lead coordinator on the state of progress followed by discussion • Interventions by members, participants, observers and experts: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Marc-Antoine Dilhac, CIFAR Chair in AI Ethics at Mila (Quebec Artificial Intelligence Institute) ○ Antoine Vergne, Director of Berlin Office, Missions Publiques, Bringing Citizens into Politics ○ Jana Novohradská, Gender Equality Rapporteur, CAHAI <p><u>Required action:</u> the CAHAI-COG is invited to review the contributions received, finalise the preliminary mapping of stakeholders and proposals included therein for next steps in view of the submission of its report on this aspect for examination by the CAHAI at its forthcoming plenary meeting.</p>
4.00 pm		<i>End of the first day session</i>
6 November 2020		
9.30 am	CAHAI(2020)09rev1.2 Restricted	<p>6. Analysis of the electronic consultation</p> <p>Presentation by the Secretariat</p> <p><u>Required action:</u> The CAHAI-COG is invited to give an overall feedback on the document and the methodology used, with a view to its submission to the CAHAI for examination at its forthcoming plenary meeting. The CAHAI-COG is invited in particular to discuss the added value of a system of collection and sharing of legislative and policy developments on AI systems and as to whether it should be given further visibility in internal (within the CAHAI) and in external (to the public).</p>
10.00 am	<p>PPT presentation on stage 2</p> <p>[full text of contributions for stage 2 on the Cloud]</p>	<p>7. Implementation of multi-stakeholder consultations</p> <p>7.1. Development of the methodology and templates for consultations at national level</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Interventions by members, participants, observers and experts • <u>Required action:</u> The CAHAI-COG is invited to discuss and finalise its proposals on the methodology and the templates to be considered for use in CAHAI consultations at national level, taking into account the findings of the preliminary mappings. The CAHAI-COG is expected to finalise the proposal with respect to the scope of such consultations, any specificities for engagement of different stakeholders, the formats of such engagements, and any other related processes to be planned and/or tools to be developed or used. Subject to progress made, the CAHAI-COG is invited to discuss any required further work for the finalisation of the templates and timelines for its completion.
11.00 am		7.2 Update on the citizens' dialogue on the future of Internet

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Presentation by Antoine Vergne, Director of Berlin Office, Missions Publiques, followed by questions
11.20 am	PPT presentation on stage 2 [full text of contributions for stage 2 on the Cloud]	<p>7.3. Development of a proposed calendar for consultations</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Interventions by members, participants, observers and experts <p><u>Required action:</u> The CAHAI-COG is invited to review the proposals received from member states who expressed their availability to conduct national consultations and finalise a proposed calendar and clear timelines for such processes for their submission to the CAHAI. Furthermore, the CAHAI-COG should also consider the development of a calendar for consultations at international level.</p>
12.00 am		Lunch Break
2.30 pm		7.3 [Continued]: Development of a proposed calendar for consultations
3.00 pm	PPT presentation by the Co-Chairs	<p>8. Discussion of the organisation of future work, distribution of tasks and next steps</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Interventions by members, participants and observers <p><u>Required action:</u> the CAHAI-COG is invited to discuss the organisation of its work for the completion of its tasks and future contributions required, taking into consideration its role and possible extension of its terms of reference by the CAHAI for 2021 where appropriate.</p>
4.00 pm		<p>9. Key take-aways: oral summary by the co-chairs</p> <p><u>Required action:</u> The Co-Chairs will provide an oral summary of the key issues discussed, common understanding of expectations and proposals finalised for submission to the CAHAI.</p> <p><i>Time for presentations: 20 minutes</i></p>
4.30 pm		<p>10. Dates of the next meetings</p> <p>For information: CAHAI, third plenary meeting, 15-17 December 2020</p>
		11. Any other business
4.40 pm		Closing by Mr Jan Kleijssen, Director, Information Society - Action against Crime, Council of Europe
5.00 pm		End of the meeting

Appendix II

List of participants

MEMBERS OF THE POLICY DEVELOPMENT GROUP / MEMBRES DU GROUPE D'ELABORATION DES POLITIQUES

BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA / BOSNIE-HERZEGOVINE

Mr Dag DZUMRUKCIC – Minister counsellor, Department for International Legal Affairs, Ministry of Foreign Affairs / Ministre conseiller, Département des affaires juridiques internationales, Ministère des affaires étrangères

CZECH REPUBLIC / REPUBLIQUE TCHEQUE

Ms Adriana DERGAM - Member of the Council of the Government of the Czech Republic for Human Rights, Board Member of the LatAm Chapter, European Chamber of Technology (EUTEC) / Membre du Conseil du Gouvernement de la République tchèque pour les droits de l'homme, Membre du Conseil d'administration du chapitre LatAm, Chambre européenne des technologies (EUTEC)

GERMANY / ALLEMAGNE

Mr Tobias KATZSCHMANN - Federal Ministry of Education and Research / Ministère fédéral de l'Éducation et de la Recherche (Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung - BMBF)

GREECE / GRECE

Ms Kalliopi POLITOU - Executive, Department of Digital Investment Economics and Digital Skills / Exécutif, Département d'économie numérique des investissements et des compétences numériques

IRELAND / IRLANDE

Ms Aphra KERR – Professor, Maynooth University and the ADAPT SFI Research Centre for Digital Media Technology / Professeur, Université de Maynooth et Centre de Recherche ADAPT SFI pour les technologies des médias numériques

ITALY / ITALIE

Ms Serena DELL'AGLI - PhD in European Law and Economics, Infratel Italia S.p.A. Technical Secretariat staff member / Docteur en droit européen et en économie, Infratel Italia S.p.A. Membre du personnel du secrétariat technique

LUXEMBOURG / LUXEMBOURG

Mr Max GINDT - Department of State, Media and Communications Services / Ministère d'État, Service des médias et des communications

REPUBLIC OF MOLDOVA / REPUBLIQUE DE MOLDOVA

Ms Veronica CRETU - Independent expert / Expert indépendant

POLAND / POLOGNE

Mr Robert KROPLEWSKI - Plenipotentiary of the Minister of Digitization for the Information Society, Ministry of Digitization / Plénipotentiaire du ministre de la numérisation pour la société de l'information, Ministère de la numérisation

RUSSIAN FEDERATION / FEDERATION DE RUSSIE

Mr Andrey NEZNAMOV - Co-Chair of CAHAI-COG/ Co-président du CAHAI-COG

Senior researcher of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Executive Director for AI regulation, Sberbank / Chercheur principal de l'Académie russe des sciences, Directeur exécutif de la réglementation de l'IA, Sberbank

SLOVAKIA / SLOVAQUIE

Ms. Jana NOVOHRADSKÁ - Digital Agenda Division Officer, Department of Digital Policies and International Cooperation, Ministry of Investments, Regional Development and Informatization of the Slovak Republic, *CAHAI Gender Equality Rapporteur*/ Responsable de la Division de l'agenda numérique, Service des politiques numériques et de la coopération internationale, Ministère des investissements, du Développement régional et de l'Informatisation de la République slovaque, *Rapporteuse sur l'égalité de genre du CAHAI*

SPAIN / ESPAGNE

Ms Victoria ALSINA BURGUES - Co-Chair of CAHAI-COG/ Co-présidente du CAHAI-COG

Industry Assistant Professor and Academic Director, Center for Urban Science and Progress, Associated Faculty, Technology, Culture and Society Department, Senior Fellow, The Governance Lab / Professeur adjoint en industrie et Directeur académique, Center for Urban Science and Progress, Faculté associée, Département Technologie, Culture et Société, Senior Fellow, The Governance Lab

TURKEY / TURQUIE

Ms Zümrüt MUFTUOGLU – Expert, Digital Transformation Office, Presidency of the Republic of Turkey / Expert, Bureau de la transformation numérique, Présidence de la République de Turquie

Other Member States of the Council of Europe / Autres Etats membres du Conseil de l'Europe

GERMANY / ALLEMAGNE

Mr Wolfgang TEVES – Chair of the CAHAI-PDG/ Président du CAHAI PDG

Head of Division for Digital Strategy; Key Policy Issues of the Information Society, Federal Ministry of Justice and Consumer Protection / Chef de la Division de la stratégie numérique, questions politiques clés de la société de l'information, ministère fédéral de la justice et de la protection des consommateurs

HUNGARY / HONGRIE

Mr Zoltán TURBÉK – Co-Chair of the CAHAI-PDG/ Co-Président du CAHAI-PDG
Director, Department of International Organisations, Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade / Directeur,
Département des organisations internationales, Ministère des affaires étrangères et du commerce

SLOVENIA / SLOVENIE

Mr Gregor STROJIN – Chair of the CAHAI / Président du CAHAI
Advisor to the President, Supreme Court of the Republic of Slovenia / Conseiller du Président, Cour suprême
de la République de Slovénie

PARTICIPANTS

Council of Europe bodies and institutions / Organes et institutions du Conseil de l'Europe

CONFERENCE OF INGOS / CONFÉRENCE DES OING

Ms Francesca FANUCCI - Senior legal advisor at European Center for Not-for-Profit Law, Netherlands /
Conseiller juridique principal au Centre européen pour le droit des associations à but non lucrative, Pays-Bas

ADVISORY COUNCIL ON YOUTH (CCJ) / CONSEIL CONSULTATIF SUR LA JEUNESSE (CCJ)

Ms Emilija GAGRCIN - Advisory Council on Youth / Conseil consultatif sur la jeunesse

**European Union and Observers from International Organisations / Union européenne et
Observateurs des Organisations Internationales**

EUROPEAN UNION / UNION EUROPEENNE

Mr Eike GRAEF - Policy officer, Directorate-General for Justice and Consumers, Directorate C : Fundamental
Rights and Rule of Law, Unit C.2: Fundamental rights policy, European Commission / Responsable des
politiques, Direction générale de la justice et des consommateurs, Direction C : Droits fondamentaux et de
l'état de droit, Unité C.2: Politique des droits fondamentaux, Commission européenne

EUROPEAN DATA PROTECTION SUPERVISOR (EDPS) / CONTROLEUR EUROPEEN DE LA PROTECTION DES DONNEES (CEPD)

Mr Achim KLABUNDE - Adviser to the European Data Protection Supervisor / Conseiller au Contrôleur
européen de la protection des données

ORGANIZATION FOR SECURITY AND CO-OPERATION IN EUROPE (OSCE) / ORGANISATION POUR LA SECURITE ET LA COOPERATION EN EUROPE (OSCE)

Ms Julia HAAS - Assistant Project Officer, Office of the Representative on Freedom of the Media / Chargé de
projet adjoint, Bureau du Représentant pour la liberté des médias

OBSERVERS ADMITTED TO THE CAHAI / OBSERVATEURS ADMIS AU CAHAI

Council of Europe partner Internet companies / Entreprises internet partenaires du Conseil de l'Europe

INTERNATIONAL COMMUNICATIONS CONSULTANCY ORGANISATION (ICCO)

Ms Christina FORSGÅRD - Senior Partner, Founder of Netprofile in Finland / Associé principal, fondateur de Netprofile en Finlande

RIPE NCC

Ms Athina FRAGKOULI - Chief Legal Officer, RIPE Network Coordination Centre (NCC) / Juriste en chef, Centre de coordination du réseau RIPE (NCC)

Civil Society Organisations, other private sector and academic actors relevant to the work of the CAHAI / Organisations de la Société civile, autres acteurs du secteur privé et académique, concernés par les travaux du CAHAI

MEDIALAWS

Mr Marco BASSINI – Postdoctoral Researcher and Professor of IT Law, Bocconi University - Vice Editor-in-Chief, MediaLaws / Chercheur postdoctoral et professeur de droit des technologies de l'information, Université Bocconi - Vice-rédacteur en chef, MediaLaws

Mr Giovanni DE GREGORIO - PhD Candidate, Milan State University - Bicocca and Research Fellow in Media Law, Bocconi University / Candidat au doctorat, Université d'État de Milan - Bicocca et chercheur en droit des médias, Université Bocconi

Ms Maria Chiara MENEGHETTI - PhD Researcher at Bocconi University, fellow at MediaLaws / Chercheur en PhD à l'université Bocconi, membre de MediaLaws

INVITED SPEAKERS / ORATEURS INVITES

Mr Marc-Antoine DILHAC, PhD, Professor of philosophy at the Université de Montréal, Associate member of the Mila and holder of the Canadian Research Chair in Public Ethics and Political Theory / Professeur de philosophie à l'Université de Montréal, membre associé du Mila et titulaire de la Chaire de recherche du Canada en éthique publique et théorie politique

M. Antoine VERGNE, PhD, Director of Berlin Office / Directeur du bureau de Berlin, Missions Publiques, Bringing Citizens into Politics

SECRETARIAT GENERAL OF THE COUNCIL OF EUROPE

DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF HUMAN RIGHTS AND RULE OF LAW / DIRECTION GÉNÉRALE DES DROITS DE L'HOMME ET DE L'ÉTAT DE DROIT (DG I)

Mr Jan KLEIJSSSEN - Director, Information Society – Action against Crime, Council of Europe / Directeur, Société de l'Information – Lutte contre la Criminalité, Conseil de l'Europe

Mr Patrick PENNINGCKX - Head of Department, Information Society Department / Chef du Service, Service de la société de l'information

**DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF DEMOCRACY / DIRECTION GÉNÉRALE DE LA DÉMOCRATIE
(DG II)**

Ms Claudia LUCIANI – Director, Directorate of Human Dignity, Equality and Governance / Directrice, Direction de la dignité humaine, de l'égalité et de la gouvernance

SECRETARIAT OF THE CAHAI / SecrÉTARIAT DU CAHAI

Ms Clementina BARBARO
Secretary of CAHAI / Secrétaire du CAHAI

Ms Lucy ANCELIN - Assistant to the CAHAI /
Assistante du CAHAI

Mr Yannick MENECEUR - Policy Adviser /
Conseiller en politiques

Ms Claire ROBINS - Secretariat, Counter-
terrorism division / SecrÉTariat, Division Anti-
terrorisme

Ms Laetitia DIMANCHE, CAHAI Project Assistant
/ Assistante du Projet CAHAI

INTERPRETERS / INTERPRÈTES

M. Nicolas GUITTONNEAU

M. Didier JUNGLING

