



Strasbourg, 23 October 2020

CAHAI-COG(2020)PV1

**AD HOC COMMITTEE ON ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE (CAHAI)  
CONSULTATION AND OUTREACH GROUP  
(CAHAI-COG)**

**1<sup>st</sup> online meeting  
5-6 October 2020**

---

**Meeting Report**

---

Prepared by the Secretariat

[www.coe.int/cahai](http://www.coe.int/cahai)

## **1. Opening of the meeting**

1. The Consultation and Outreach Group (CAHAI-COG) held its first meeting online from 5 to 6 October 2020, in conformity with its terms of reference adopted by the Ad hoc Committee on Artificial Intelligence (CAHAI) at its second plenary meeting (6-8 July 2020) <sup>1</sup>. The meeting was chaired by the Secretariat until the designation of the Co-Chairs.

2. The CAHAI-COG took note of the opening statement of Mr Gregor Strojín (Slovenia), Chair of the CAHAI, and its call to the working group to ensure that consultations planned for 2021 be truly inclusive, diverse by design, open to the participation of representatives from the private sector, academia, civil society and national human rights institutions and that the roadmap and key actors for this process should be developed in close consultation and coordination with the Policy Development Group (CAHAI-PDG). He also indicated the readiness of numerous CAHAI member states to undertake consultations at national level and recalled the role of the CAHAI-COG to support defining common methodologies and templates.

3. The CAHAI-COG took note of the statement by Mr Jan Kleijssen, Director, Information Society- Action against Crime Directorate, who spoke of the growing concern about the impact of artificial intelligence (AI) on society, and expectations from the citizens and the governments that AI applications should be developed according to certain requirements, and that high-risk applications should be subject of oversight and control. He stressed the added value of multi-stakeholder consultations and contributions made in such processes, including by civil society and the private sector, referring to the success of the standard-setting work of the Council of Europe in elaborating legally-binding instruments with the participation of a wide range of stakeholders, a model that CAHAI should build on.

## **2. Adoption of the Agenda**

4. The CAHAI-COG adopted the draft agenda, as set out in Appendix I. The list of participants is set out in Appendix II.

## **3. Designation and Information by the Co-Chairs**

5. The CAHAI-COG took note of the expressions of interest of Mr Andrej NEZNAMOV, Senior researcher of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Executive Director for AI regulation (Russian Federation), and Ms Victoria ALSINA BURGUES (Spain), Industry Assistant Professor and Academic Director, Center for Urban Science and Progress in New York (Spain) to chair this working group. Following presentations of their respective background, experience and current work in the field of AI, they were unanimously designated as Co-Chairs of the CAHAI-COG.

## **4. Tour de table**

6. A tour de table was held where all CAHAI-COG members, participants and observers presented their experience and expertise relevant to the scope of work of the working group.

## **5. Setting the scene: Terms of reference of the CAHAI-COG**

7. The Secretariat introduced the work carried out by the committee, the consultative role of the CAHAI-COG, its main tasks and planned deliverables, the planned work programme in 2020 and beyond, as well as its interaction and expected close co-operation with the other working groups (CAHAI-PDG and the CAHAI-LFG). It was also clarified that CAHAI-COG's proposals should be submitted for examination and approval to the CAHAI. Following an intervention by the CAHAI's gender equality rapporteur, the Secretariat underlined that all planned consultations should be carried out in an inclusive manner and take due account of the gender dimension.

---

<sup>1</sup> See [CAHAI \(2020\)10ADDrev1](#).

8. Mr Wolfgang TEVES, Co-Chair of the CAHAI-PDG, informed the Group of the progress made by the CAHAI-PDG during its first meeting (21 to 22 September), of the main policy issues discussed and of the modalities for taking forward the preparation of the draft feasibility study through several sub-groups<sup>2</sup>. He also underlined the importance of the two working groups working closely together.

9. The CAHAI-COG took note of the above-mentioned presentations.

#### **6. CAHAI's multi-stakeholder consultations: overall framework, scope, objectives and modalities**

10. The CAHAI-COG took note of the presentation made by the Secretariat, of the CAHAI's decision regarding the general principles applicable to consultations and objectives of planned processes, which the Group should take into consideration when discussing implementation modalities.

11. Based on the discussions, the CAHAI-COG took note of the work and the overarching framework for consultations decided by the CAHAI.

12. The CAHAI-COG agreed that the identification of stakeholders to be prioritised for consultation processes was essential, and that they should include all groups of society, including marginalised groups, possibly by specific modalities or ad hoc approaches when necessary. As regards the scope, it was considered important to ensure that consultations should cover the fundamental principles identified in the feasibility study.

#### **7. Mapping of stakeholders**

13. The CAHAI-COG took note of the presentation by the Secretariat on the preliminary results of the electronic consultation undertaken by the CAHAI.

14. The CAHAI-COG reviewed the responses to the consultations received to date and discussed the range of stakeholders, including those that should be consulted and prioritised. They also considered that when the CAHAI-PDG has progressed with the draft feasibility study it would become easier to plan consultations in order to ensure that those bring an added value to the process. It was also proposed to consider an ecosystem approach, using the 10 components of the AI ecosystem as a foundation for structuring stakeholders.

15. Taking that into account, the members underlined that the CAHAI should involve stakeholders who have a stated interest in AI regulation progress but also who may not usually have an interest in AI, stakeholders affected both directly and indirectly by AI. The CAHAI-COG underlined that CAHAI should involve in consultations States' officials, representatives of international organisations, academia, civil society, the private sector, opinion leaders and citizens (including youth). Specific attention should be given to ensuring diversity and inclusiveness within groups.

#### **8. Consultations at international level: experience sharing**

16. The CAHAI-COG held a thematic discussion on how the CAHAI should consult at international level, taking into account the experiences and lessons learnt from other consultations on AI regulation at international level, in order to develop a common understanding on options available and also consider the feasibility of those options when contextualised to the CAHAI's mandate and roadmap.

17. The CAHAI-COG heard with great interest presentations by Mr Martin ULBRICH, Senior Expert of the Commission, Mr Marc Antoine DILHAC, CIFAR Chair in AI Ethics (Quebec Artificial

---

<sup>2</sup> For further information, see [CAHAI-PDG\(2020\)PV1](#).

Intelligence Institute) and Mr Antoine VERGNE, Director of Berlin Office, Missions Publiques, Bringing Citizens into Politics, who presented experiences at European and UN level on the consultation of stakeholders to collect feedback and insights with respect to the European Commission's White paper on AI and UNESCO's recommendation on the ethics of artificial intelligence.

18. As a result of the exchanges and discussions on lessons learnt from the above-mentioned processes, some of which are still ongoing, the CAHAI-COG took note of the following proposals :

- A preference was expressed to avoid consultations involving submissions of position papers, but rather prefer specific multiple choice-questions (machine readable) and the possibility for the consultation to enable expression of opinions in free text;
- Surveys, if and when used, should include a limited number of questions, be very focused, ethical, and tailored to the audience being consulted (whether specialised or the wider public);
- The process should be inclusive with a deliberative dialogue, both centralised and decentralised, engaging people in face-to-face discussions on important questions, to ensure an informed response;
- The consultation should ensure gender balance and adequate representation of relevant stakeholders, taking into account that consulting citizens, and the wider general public would result in a wider diversity of views, whereas consultations of technical stakeholders, although easier to implement, may result in less diversity;
- The robustness of the consultation process would render its results legitimate and valuable;
- There is an expectation that the results of the consultation would have an impact, which then should be transparently reported to avoid disengagement and disappointment;
- There are a number of recent consultations on AI regulation completed or underway involving member states, and their findings could also be of relevance for the CAHAI;
- Co-design of questions with recipients, although considered to be an important consultation dimension, was not considered suitable for the CAHAI, given its roadmap.

19. It was suggested that the consultations should cover the key principles and/or challenging red lines, and that any questions should focus on issues where there are outstanding doubts or there is a lack of agreement, leaving aside those for which the CAHAI has already the necessary information. The CAHAI-COG concluded that these issues should be further considered when developing its proposals with respect to future consultations to be undertaken by the CAHAI at international level.

#### **9. Consultations at national level: experience sharing and opportunities for further engagement**

20. The CAHAI-COG held a thematic discussion on how the CAHAI should consult at national level, taking into account the experiences and lessons learnt from member and observer states who have engaged in consultations on AI regulation in their jurisdictions.

21. The CAHAI-COG heard with great interest presentations by Ms Aphra KERR, Professor, National University of Ireland, Maynooth on the findings of the research undertaken with respect to consultations in the UK and Ireland on AI regulation and by Mr Marc-Antoine DILHAC, CIFAR Chair in AI Ethics @ Mila (Quebec Artificial Intelligence Institute) on the Canadian experience of consultations with citizens on artificial intelligence.

22. The CAHAI -COG took note of the following aspects to consider, on the basis of the many lessons drawn following the consultations as presented by the two experts and the debate that followed:

- Engaging citizens in a citizens' consultation was considered a good model to consider, building on existing practices of citizens' involvement and consultations and building on approaches which have already been validated and tested;

- Specific considerations apply to online consultations, taking into account the existing pandemic situation. In addition, some found it difficult to address through surveys issues such as discrimination, autonomy and dignity; and considered that diversified consultations needed to be carried out to overcome this;
- There needs to be a clear methodology in considering who and how to engage, especially when reaching out to citizens, as opposed to expert stakeholders, taking also into account the influence that the media may have had on citizens and how this may affect the results of consultations;
- There are challenges when asking the public, with a need to make sure the public understands fully and work with technical experts to make sure all is understood and correct;
- There are benefits in using closed questions in online workshops where multi-stakeholders could be involved.

23. The CAHAI-COG took note also of the points below which require further consideration:

- For the engagement with citizens, a selected partner should be used in each country, taking into account the differences that exist among countries and the need for use or adaptation of different methods; in particular the marginalised population should be included while bearing in mind that some people do not use the internet (consideration of other relevant channels);
- The assessment of the national partners' capacity in contributing and implementing the consultation project was considered essential;
- Consultations should take into account specific national aspects, which may require for instance to divide the consultations into geographical groups;
- Contextual and cultural contexts would need to be taken into account, by paying attention to the specific audience through contextual mapping, and the need for diversity and inclusiveness within groups (i.e. youth voices, older voices, people specifically impacted) and regional aspects;
- The identification of citizens should be appropriate taking into account the local context with both fixed and variable criteria among the categories mentioned were: citizens able to articulate problems unknown to the government - explorers; citizens who can bring and generate ideas-improvers; designers and diffusers), with the possibility of designing varied engagement processes;
- The proposal of the representative of the Conference of INGOs to undertake a preliminary mapping of civil society stakeholders for this process;
- The member states' experience should be carefully considered, and the modalities of planning could involve communications via the permanent representations to the Council of Europe and the field offices;
- The dimension of feedback mechanisms to inform on progress and results after the processes should be taken into account.

#### **10. Consultations with external stakeholders: key aspects and processes to be considered for specific audiences**

24. The CAHAI-COG discussed specific considerations arising in the context of future consultations with specific audiences (i.e. industry, civil society, academia, citizens, youth) and what might reasonably be expected to be achieved through such engagements.

25. Ms Emilja GAGRCIN, representative of the Advisory Council on Youth, gave a presentation on artificial intelligence and its impact on young people<sup>3</sup>, underlining also the [Council of Europe youth sector strategy 2030](#) includes several actions and objectives such as improving institutional responses to emerging issues affecting young people's rights in the area of AI. She particularly recommended consultation with policy makers at the intersection of youth and AI as well as including

---

<sup>3</sup> See also the Council of Europe report on [Artificial intelligence and its impact on young people](#).

consultations with marginalised young people and those at risk. Ms Gagrcin also underlined the need for accessibility and CAHAI's role to make citizens, including young people, feel part of the process.

26. Mr Antoine VERGNE, Director of Berlin Office, Missions Publiques, Bringing Citizens into Politics, then gave an overview of a methodology for consultation that was carried out on behalf of the European Space Agency of which the implementation modalities may be of interest to the CAHAI, as it not only allowed a view of citizens and priorities for future engagement with them, but also allowed a deepening of political priorities for a 4-year strategy. He underlined two important notions when choosing partners at national level. First there is a need to evaluate the partners on their capacity for the project. Secondly a training programme is needed on all modules of the dialogue. The types of partners can vary depending on the country, and sometimes several bodies can collaborate together in one country.

27. The need to include citizens as stakeholders was once again highlighted by the Group. The youth dimension was also mentioned, and the need to have clear thresholds with respect to the evidence and results stemming from existing research which should be considered by the CAHAI, taking into account the relevance of granular data (per age groups). In order to reach out to young people different means, such as social media or public libraries could also be considered. It was agreed that turning to well-known experts and opinion leaders could be interesting in terms of visibility, expertise and attracting a larger audience. However, this should not take the attention away from other lesser well-known parts of AI. It was argued that the well-known experts could be in fact innovation leaders in technology and policy.

28. The Group concluded that the accent should be on including specific audiences, ensuring diversity and inclusiveness and taking regional aspects into account, either between or within states.

29. Ms Claudia Luciani, Director, Directorate of Human Dignity, Equality and Governance concluded this item by expressing her appreciation for the Group's experience to guide the work of the CAHAI and the need for careful consideration on how to enhance the dissemination of information on the consultation process, including through an appropriate media strategy. She underlined the uniqueness of this exercise being planned by the CAHAI, which may serve in the medium and long term as a useful role model to follow by other intergovernmental committees and Council of Europe sectors.

30. The CAHAI-COG noted that the CAHAI's final decision with respect to the approach to be undertaken for mapping stakeholders and undertaking consultations could also serve as useful inspiration for other intergovernmental committees of the Council of Europe.

#### **11. Key take-aways on proposals for consultations at international and national level: oral summary by the Co-Chairs**

31. The Co-Chairs provided an oral summary of the key issues discussed, common understanding of expectations and proposals of CAHAI-COG members, participants and observers arising from the discussions and issues that were identified as requiring further discussion. The presentations made would be available on the restricted cloud of the CAHAI for all participants.

#### **12. Discussion of the organisation of future work, distribution of tasks and next steps**

32. The CAHAI-COG discussed the organisation of its future work. It decided to create two sub-groups: SWG1, focusing on the mapping of stakeholders both at international and national level while SWG2 would focus on the mapping of tools. This process would focus on identifying a methodology and templates for national consultations.

33. Following the organisation of online polls, the CAHAI-COG agreed on the composition of each sub-group, as well as on the tasks and timelines, which would be communicated to all members following the meeting.

34. The CAHAI-COG agreed to appoint a drafting coordinator for each sub-group, who will be in charge of coordinating the preparation and submission of the sub-group's input to the Co-Chairs: SWG1 would be coordinated by the representative of the Conference of INGOs, with the support of the Co-Chair on behalf of the Russian Federation, while SWG2 would be coordinated by the representative of the Republic of Moldova, with the support of the Co-Chair on behalf of Spain. The sub-groups' inputs would be consolidated and next steps identified and discussed at the second meeting of CAHAI-COG.

35. The Co-Chairs expressed their appreciation and thanked warmly the members of the sub-groups for having volunteered to lead the mapping process, and the other members, observers and participants for providing the necessary contributions in a timely manner.

36. The CAHAI-COG underlined the need for coordinating its work with the CAHAI-PDG and ensuring close collaboration. The Co-Chairs of the two working groups would hold a joint meeting in November to discuss possible issues and questions that should be further explored in the framework of the multi-stakeholder consultations, based on the draft feasibility study and the CAHAI-COG's proposals with respect to stakeholders, tools and consultations.

### **13. Dates of the next meetings**

37. The CAHAI-COG took note of its next meeting, scheduled on 5-6 November 2020.

38. The CAHAI-COG also took note of the dates of the forthcoming CAHAI-PDG meeting (15-16 October), as well as of the dates of the CAHAI's third plenary meeting (15–17 December 2020).

### **14. Any other business**

39. Not applicable.

### **15. Closing remarks**

40. The Co-Chairs thanked all participants for their active participation in the meeting, as well as the Secretariat for its smooth organisation.

## APPENDIX I – AGENDA

Monday, 5 October 2020		
Time	Document reference	Agenda item
9.30 am	<a href="#">CM(2020)90 final</a> (CAHAI's progress report)	<p><b>1. Opening of the meeting</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mr Gregor Strojín, President of the CAHAI</li> <li>Mr Jan Kleijssen, Director, Information Society- Action against Crime, Council of Europe</li> </ul> <p><u>Required action:</u> the CAHAI-COG will take note of the information provided by the CAHAI President.</p>
9.40 am	<a href="#">CAHAI-COG(2020)OJ1</a>	<p><b>2. Adoption of the Agenda</b></p> <p><u>Required action:</u> the CAHAI-COG is invited to review and adopt the draft agenda.</p>
9.45 am		<p><b>3. Designation and Information by the Co-Chairs</b></p> <p><u>Required action:</u> the CAHAI-COG is invited to designate the Chair and Co-Chair and take note of the information provided by them.</p>
9.50 am	<a href="#">CAHAI-COG(2020)LOP1</a>	<p><b>4. Tour de table</b></p> <p><u>Required action:</u> CAHAI-COG members, participants and observers are invited to introduce themselves and make short interventions on their experience and expertise relevant to the scope of work of the working group.</p>
10.20	<p><a href="#">Terms of Reference</a></p> <p>Background documents:</p> <p><a href="#">CAHAI (2020)18</a> (draft outline feasibility study)</p> <p>CAHAI-PDG(2020) PV1 (first meeting report)</p> <p>CAHAI-COG(2020)1 (Background note)</p>	<p><b>5. Setting the scene: Terms of reference of the CAHAI-COG</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Presentation of CAHAI's work on consultations and outreach: progress to date, scope and objectives, and the instrumental role of the CAHAI-COG and cooperation with other CAHAI working groups</li> <li>CAHAI-COG's main tasks, planning and timelines in 2020 and beyond, cooperation with the CAHAI-PDG and CAHAI-LFG</li> <li>Update by Wolfgang Teves, Co-Chair of the CAHAI-PDG</li> </ul> <p><u>Required action:</u> The CAHAI-COG is invited to take note of the presentations made, which will be followed by a roundtable discussion to discuss expectations and common understanding of CAHAI-COG's role, actions to be implemented, key timelines and role of its members and observers, planned deliverables, and any other aspect they consider relevant in this regard.</p> <p><i>Time for presentation: 25 minutes; Time for discussion: 30 minutes</i></p>



<p>11.15 am</p>	<p><a href="#">CAHAI(2019)04</a> (General principles and stakeholders)</p> <p><a href="#">CAHAI (2020)18</a> <a href="#">CAHAI(2020)03 – Rev1</a> (Multi-stakeholder consultations: proposals for the way forward)</p>	<p><b>6. CAHAI's multi-stakeholder consultations: overall framework, scope, objectives and modalities</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Introduction by the secretariat of CAHAI's decisions with respect to planning of multi-stakeholder consultations: general principles, objectives and modalities</li> <li>• Interventions by members, participants and observers</li> </ul> <p><u>Required action:</u> the CAHAI-COG is invited to take note of the work carried out by the CAHAI and the proposals for the way forward. All participants are invited to discuss how to operationalize the multi-stakeholder consultations and how to ensure CAHAI's engagement with the relevant stakeholders on the key aspects of the feasibility study, and what can perhaps be better achieved at the national level.</p> <p><i>Time for presentation: 10 minutes; Time for discussion: 35 minutes</i></p>
<p>12.00 pm</p>		<p><b>Lunch break</b></p>
<p>2.30 pm</p>	<p>CAHAI-COG (2020)2 restricted (excerpts of contributions to the consultation)</p>	<p><b>7. Mapping of stakeholders</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Interventions by members, participants and observers</li> </ul> <p><u>Required action:</u> to date, the CAHAI has already undertaken written consultations, including on the range of stakeholders which should be involved in the process. The CAHAI-COG is invited to review the responses received to date and discuss the range of relevant stakeholders, mapping out those that should be consulted and prioritised (stakeholders affected both directly and indirectly by AI, stakeholders who will have a role to implement consultations, stakeholders who have a stated interest in AI regulation, etc), their importance and their role in this field, their capacities, needs and possible engagement with the CAHAI.</p> <p><i>Time for discussion: 30 minutes</i></p>
<p>3.00 pm</p>	<p><a href="#">The Open Dialogue on AI Ethics</a></p>	<p><b>8. Consultations at international level: experience sharing</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lessons learnt from consultations organised on AI related aspects of regulation at international level, followed by discussion <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Martin Ulbrich, Senior expert, CNECT.DDG1.A2., European Commission</li> <li>- Marc-Antoine Dilhac, CIFAR Chair in AI Ethics @ Mila( Quebec Artificial Intelligence Institute</li> <li>- Antoine Vergne, Director of Berlin Office, Missions Publiques, Bringing Citizens into Politics</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Interventions by members, participants and observers</li> </ul> <p><u>Required action:</u> The CAHAI-COG is invited to hold a thematic discussion and develop proposals on how the CAHAI should consult at international level, taking into account the experiences and lessons learnt from other consultations on AI regulation at international level. The objective of the discussion is to develop a common understanding on options available and also consider the feasibility of those options when contextualised to the CAHAI's mandate and roadmap, so that a tentative calendar of thematic consultations could be developed. This should enable to identify some of the specific issues that merit a further in-depth discussion in the next CAHAI-COG meeting.</p> <p><i>Time for presentation: 30 minutes; Time for discussion: 40 minutes</i></p>

4.10 pm	CAHAI-COG(2020)3 restricted  <a href="#">Report</a>	<p><b>9. Consultations at national level: experience sharing and opportunities for further engagement</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lessons learnt from public engagement processes on AI in a national context, followed by discussion <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Aphra Kerr, Professor Aphra Kerr, Maynooth University and the ADAPT SFI Research Centre for Digital Media Technology, Ireland</li> <li>- Marc-Antoine Dilhac, CIFAR Chair in AI Ethics @ Mila( Quebec Artificial Intelligence Institute)</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Interventions by members, participants and observers</li> </ul> <p><u>Required action:</u> The CAHAI-COG is invited to hold a thematic discussion and develop proposals on how the CAHAI should consult at national level, taking into account the experiences and lessons learnt from member and observer states' when they have engaged in consultations on AI regulation in their jurisdictions. The CAHAI-COG should also review the proposals received from member states who expressed their availability to conduct national consultations and discuss the scope of such consultations and possible timelines, so that a workplan for the preparation of the methodology and relevant templates be developed and agreed upon.</p> <p><i>Time for presentation: 30 minutes; Time for discussion: 40 minutes</i></p>
5.00 pm		<b>Closing of the first day</b>
<b>Tuesday, 6 October 2020</b>		
9.30 am		<p><b>9. Consultations at national level: experience sharing and opportunities for further engagement</b> <i>(continued if necessary)</i></p>
10.00 am	<a href="#">Artificial intelligence and its impact on young people</a>	<p><b>10. Consultations with external stakeholders: key aspects and processes to be considered for specific audiences</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Emilja Gagrcin, Advisory Council on Youth</li> <li>• Antoine Vergne, Director of Berlin Office, Missions Publiques, Bringing Citizens into Politics</li> <li>• Interventions by members, participants and observers</li> </ul> <p><u>Required action:</u> The CAHAI-COG is invited to discuss specific considerations arising in the context of future consultations with specific audiences (i.e. industry, civil society, academia, citizens, youth) and what might reasonably be expected to be achieved through such engagements. They should also discuss the possible formats of such engagements, possible processes to be planned, and tools to be developed or used.</p> <p><i>Time for discussion: 90 minutes</i></p>
11.30 am		<p><b>11. Key take-aways on proposals for consultations at international and national level: oral summary by the co-chairs</b></p> <p><u>Required action:</u> The Co-Chairs will provide an oral summary of the key issues discussed, common understanding of expectations and proposals of CAHAI-COG members, participants and observers from the discussions and issues that were identified as requiring further discussion.</p>

		<p><i>Time for presentations: 20 minutes</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Intervention by Ms Claudia Luciani, Director, Directorate of Human Dignity, Equality and Governance</li> </ul>
12.00 am		<b>Lunch Break</b>
2.30 pm		<p><b>12. Discussion of the organisation of future work, distribution of tasks and next steps</b></p> <p><u>Required action:</u> the CAHAI-COG is invited to discuss the organisation of its future work, individual allocation of tasks among its members, and timelines for the finalisation of proposals for further consultations.</p>
3.30 pm		<p><b>13. Dates of the next meetings</b></p> <p>Dates of the working groups CAHAI-COG: 5-6 November 2020</p> <p>For information: CAHAI-PDG: 2<sup>nd</sup> meeting, 15-16 October 2020 CAHAI, third plenary meeting, 15-17 December 2020</p>
3.35 pm		<b>14. Any other business</b>
3.40 pm		<p><b>15. Closing remarks</b></p> <p><b><i>End of the meeting</i></b></p>

## APPENDIX II – LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

### MEMBERS OF THE POLICY DEVELOPMENT GROUP / MEMBRES DU GROUPE D'ELABORATION DES POLITIQUES

#### BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA / BOSNIE-HERZEGOVINE

---

**Mr Dag DZUMRUKCIC** – Minister counsellor, Department for International Legal Affairs, Ministry of Foreign Affairs / Ministre conseiller, Département des affaires juridiques internationales, Ministère des affaires étrangères

#### CZECH REPUBLIC / REPUBLIQUE TCHEQUE

---

**Ms Adriana DERGAM** - Member of the Council of the Government of the Czech Republic for Human Rights, Board Member of the LatAm Chapter, European Chamber of Technology (EUTEC) / Membre du Conseil du Gouvernement de la République tchèque pour les droits de l'homme, Membre du Conseil d'administration du chapitre LatAm, Chambre européenne des technologies (EUTEC)

#### GERMANY / ALLEMAGNE

---

**Mr Tobias KATZSCHMANN** - Federal Ministry of Education and Research / Ministère fédéral de l'Éducation et de la Recherche (Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung - BMBF) – Apologised / Excusé

**Mr Nikolas EISENTRAUT**, Federal Ministry of Education and Research, Division 114 – Ethics and Law; Parameters of Digitalization / Ministère fédéral de l'éducation et de la recherche, division 114 - Éthique et droit ; paramètres de la numérisation

#### GREECE / GRECE

---

**Ms Kalliopi POLITOU** - Executive, Department of Digital Investment Economics and Digital Skills / Exécutif, Département d'économie numérique des investissements et des compétences numériques

#### IRELAND / IRLANDE

---

**Ms Aphra KERR** – Professor, Maynooth University and the ADAPT SFI Research Centre for Digital Media Technology / Professeur, Université de Maynooth et Centre de Recherche ADAPT SFI pour les technologies des médias numériques

#### ITALY / ITALIE

---

**Ms Serena DELL'AGLI** - PhD in European Law and Economics, Infratel Italia S.p.A. Technical Secretariat staff member / Docteur en droit européen et en économie, Infratel Italia S.p.A. Membre du personnel du secrétariat technique

#### LUXEMBOURG / LUXEMBOURG

---

**Mr Max GINDT** - Department of State, Media and Communications Services / Ministère d'État, Service des médias et des communications - Apologised / Excusé

## REPUBLIC OF MOLDOVA / REPUBLIQUE DE MOLDOVA

---

**Ms Veronica CRETU** - Independent expert / Expert indépendant

## POLAND / POLOGNE

---

**Mr Robert KROPLEWSKI** - Plenipotentiary of the Minister of Digitization for the Information Society, Ministry of Digitization / Plénipotentiaire du ministre de la numérisation pour la société de l'information, Ministère de la numérisation

## RUSSIAN FEDERATION / FEDERATION DE RUSSIE

---

**Mr Andrey NEZNAMOV** - Co-Chair of CAHAI-COG/ Co-président du CAHAI-COG

Senior researcher of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Executive Director for AI regulation, Sberbank / Chercheur principal de l'Académie russe des sciences, Directeur exécutif de la réglementation de l'IA, Sberbank

## SLOVAKIA / SLOVAQUIE

---

**Ms. Jana NOVOHRADSKÁ** - Digital Agenda Division Officer, Department of Digital Policies and International Cooperation, Ministry of Investments, Regional Development and Informatization of the Slovak Republic, *CAHAI Gender Equality Rapporteur*/ Responsable de la Division de l'agenda numérique, Service des politiques numériques et de la coopération internationale, Ministère des investissements, du Développement régional et de l'Informatisation de la République slovaque, *Rapporteuse sur l'égalité de genre du CAHAI*

## SPAIN / ESPAGNE

---

**Ms Victoria ALSINA BURGUES** - Co-Chair of CAHAI-COG/ Co-présidente du CAHAI-COG

Industry Assistant Professor and Academic Director, Center for Urban Science and Progress, Associated Faculty, Technology, Culture and Society Department, Senior Fellow, The Governance Lab / Professeur adjoint en industrie et Directeur académique, Center for Urban Science and Progress, Faculté associée, Département Technologie, Culture et Société, Senior Fellow, The Governance Lab

## TURKEY / TURQUIE

---

**Ms Zümür MUFTUOGLU** – Expert, Digital Transformation Office, Presidency of the Republic of Turkey / Expert, Bureau de la transformation numérique, Présidence de la République de Turquie

## Other Member States of the Council of Europe / Autres Etats membres du Conseil de l'Europe

## GERMANY / ALLEMAGNE

---

**Mr Wolfgang TEVES** – Chair of the CAHAI PDG/ Président du CAHAI PDG

Head of Division for Digital Strategy; Key Policy Issues of the Information Society, Federal Ministry of Justice and Consumer Protection / Chef de la Division de la stratégie numérique, questions politiques clés de la société de l'information, ministère fédéral de la justice et de la protection des consommateurs

## **SLOVENIA / SLOVENIE**

---

**Mr Gregor STROJIN** – Chair of the CAHAI / Président du CAHAI

Advisor to the President, Supreme Court of the Republic of Slovenia / Conseiller du Président, Cour suprême de la République de Slovénie

## **PARTICIPANTS**

**Council of Europe bodies and institutions / Organes et institutions du Conseil de l'Europe**

### **CONFERENCE OF INGOS / CONFÉRENCE DES OING**

**Ms Francesca FANUCCI** - Senior legal advisor at European Center for Not-for-Profit Law, Netherlands / Conseiller juridique principal au Centre européen pour le droit des associations à but non lucrative, Pays-Bas

### **ADVISORY COUNCIL ON YOUTH (CCJ) / CONSEIL CONSULTATIF SUR LA JEUNESSE (CCJ)**

**Ms Emilija GAGRCIN** - Advisory Council on Youth / Conseil consultatif sur la jeunesse

**European Union and Observers from International Organisations / Union européenne et Observateurs des Organisations Internationales**

### **EUROPEAN UNION / UNION EUROPEENNE**

**Mr Eike GRAEF** - Policy officer, Directorate-General for Justice and Consumers, Directorate C : Fundamental Rights and Rule of Law, Unit C.2: Fundamental rights policy, European Commission / Responsable des politiques, Direction générale de la justice et des consommateurs, Direction C : Droits fondamentaux et de l'état de droit, Unité C.2: Politique des droits fondamentaux, Commission européenne

**Mr Martin ULBRICH**, European Commission - Directorate-General for Communications Networks, Content and Technology - Deputy Director-General in charge of Directorates A-C-E-H - Artificial Intelligence and Digital Industry - Technologies and Systems for Digitising Industry (CNECT.DDG1.A.2) / Commission européenne - Direction générale des réseaux, contenus et technologies de communication - Directeur général adjoint en charge des directions A-C-E-H - Intelligence artificielle et industrie numérique - Technologies et systèmes pour l'industrie de la numérisation (CNECT.DDG1.A.2)

### **EUROPEAN DATA PROTECTION SUPERVISOR (EDPS) / CONTROLEUR EUROPEEN DE LA PROTECTION DES DONNEES (CEPD)**

**Mr Achim KLABUNDE** - Adviser to the European Data Protection Supervisor / Conseiller au Contrôleur européen de la protection des données

### **ORGANIZATION FOR SECURITY AND CO-OPERATION IN EUROPE (OSCE) / ORGANISATION POUR LA SECURITE ET LA COOPERATION EN EUROPE (OSCE)**

**Ms Julia HAAS** - Assistant Project Officer, Office of the Representative on Freedom of the Media / Chargé de projet adjoint, Bureau du Représentant pour la liberté des médias

## **OBSERVERS ADMITTED TO THE CAHAI / OBSERVATEURS ADMIS AU CAHAI**

**Council of Europe partner Internet companies / Entreprises internet partenaires du Conseil de l'Europe**

### **INTERNATIONAL COMMUNICATIONS CONSULTANCY ORGANISATION (ICCO)**

---

**Ms Christina FORSGÅRD** - Senior Partner, Founder of Netprofile in Finland / Associé principal, fondateur de Netprofile en Finlande

### **RIPE NCC**

---

**Ms Athina FRAGKOULI** - Chief Legal Officer, RIPE Network Coordination Centre (NCC) / Juriste en chef, Centre de coordination du réseau RIPE (NCC)

**Civil Society Organisations, other private sector and academic actors relevant to the work of the CAHAI / Organisations de la Société civile, autres acteurs du secteur privé et académique, concernés par les travaux du CAHAI**

### **MEDIA LAWS**

---

**Mr Marco BASSINI** – Postdoctoral Researcher and Professor of IT Law, Bocconi University - Vice Editor-in-Chief, MediaLaws / Chercheur postdoctoral et professeur de droit des technologies de l'information, Université Bocconi - Vice-rédacteur en chef, MediaLaws

## **INVITED SPEAKERS / ORATEURS INVITES**

**Mr Marc-Antoine DILHAC**, PhD, Professor of philosophy at the Université de Montréal, Associate member of the Mila and holder of the Canadian Research Chair in Public Ethics and Political Theory / Professeur de philosophie à l'Université de Montréal, membre associé du Mila et titulaire de la Chaire de recherche du Canada en éthique publique et théorie politique

**M. Antoine VERGNE**, PhD, Director of Berlin Office / Directeur du bureau de Berlin, Missions Publiques, Bringing Citizens into Politics

## **SECRETARIAT GENERAL OF THE COUNCIL OF EUROPE**

### **DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF HUMAN RIGHTS AND RULE OF LAW / DIRECTION GÉNÉRALE DES DROITS DE L'HOMME ET DE L'ÉTAT DE DROIT (DG I)**

**Mr Jan KLEIJSEN** - Director, Information Society – Action against Crime, Council of Europe / Directeur, Société de l'Information – Lutte contre la Criminalité, Conseil de l'Europe

**Mr Patrick PENNINGKX** - Head of Department, Information Society Department / Chef du Service, Service de la société de l'information - Apologised/Excusé

### **DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF DEMOCRACY / DIRECTION GÉNÉRALE DE LA DÉMOCRATIE (DG II)**

**Ms Claudia LUCIANI** – Director, Directorate of Human Dignity, Equality and Governance / Directrice, Direction de la dignité humaine, de l'égalité et de la gouvernance

## SECRETARIAT OF THE CAHAI / SecrÉTARIAT DU CAHAI

**Ms Clementina BARBARO**  
Co-Secretary of CAHAI / *Co-Secrétaire du CAHAI*

**Ms Livia STOICA BECHT**  
Co-Secretary of CAHAI / *Co-Secrétaire du CAHAI*

**Mr Yannick MENECEUR** - Policy Adviser /  
*Conseiller en politiques*

**Ms Lucy ANCELIN** - Assistant to the CAHAI /  
*Assistante du CAHAI*

**Ms Claire ROBINS** - Secretariat, Counter-  
terrorism division / *Secrétariat, Division Anti-  
terrorisme*

\*\*\*

## INTERPRETERS / INTERPRÈTES

**Mme Chloé CHENETIER**  
**Mme Isabelle MARCHINI**  
**M. Jean-Jacques PEDUSSAUD**



