



BERN

Biennial Report 2019-2020

Article 9 to the Bern Convention permits exceptions from the obligations accepted by Contracting Parties in pursuance of Articles 4 to 8. The possibility of derogating from the mentioned articles of the Convention is subject to two very clearly defined general conditions, and some non cumulative specific reasons, listed exhaustively in Article 9.

The two general conditions that must be met are:

- a. that there is no other satisfactory solution; and
- b. that the exception will not be detrimental to the survival of the population concerned.

These two conditions are mandatory and cumulative.

The specific reasons for which the exceptions may be granted are the following:

- i. for the protection of flora and fauna;
- ii. to prevent serious damage to crops, livestock, forests, fisheries, water and other forms of property;
- iii. in the interests of public health and safety, air safety or other overriding public interests (please, specify);
- iv. for the purposes of research and education, of repopulation, of reintroduction and for the necessary breeding;
- v. to permit, under strictly supervised conditions, on a selective basis and to a limited extent, the taking, keeping or other judicious exploitation of certain wild animals and plants in small numbers
- vi. falconry (falconry is dealt with separately)

These specific reasons are mandatory and non cumulative.

According to Article 9§2, Contracting Parties shall report every two years to the Standing Committee on the exceptions made. The reports must specify:

- a. the populations which are or have been subject to the exceptions and, when practical, the number of specimens involved;
- b. the means authorised for the killing or capture;
- c. the conditions of risk and the circumstances of time and place under which such exceptions were granted;
- d. the authority empowered to declare that these conditions have been fulfilled, and to take decisions in respect of the means that may be used, their limits and the persons instructed to carry them out;
- e. the controls involved.

Respondent details

Country

>> Norway

Entity

>> Norwegian Environment Agency

EXCEPTIONS CONCERNING STRICTLY PROTECTED FAUNA SPECIES (ART. 6 - APPENDIX II)

Article 6 of the Convention prohibits the following:

- a) all forms of deliberate capture and keeping and deliberate killing;
- b) the deliberate damage to or destruction of breeding or resting sites;
- c) the deliberate disturbance of wild fauna, particularly during the period of breeding, rearing and hibernation, insofar as disturbance would be significant in relation to the objectives of this Convention;
- d) the deliberate destruction or taking of eggs from the wild or keeping these eggs even if empty;
- e) the possession of and internal trade in these animals, alive or dead, including stuffed animals and any readily recognisable part or derivative thereof, (where this would contribute to the effectiveness of the provisions of this article).

Vertebrates

MAMMALS > CARNIVORA

Mustelidae

Gulo gulo

Confirmation of a derogation of this species

Please confirm that a derogation for this species has occurred in the country

There is a derogation concerning this species

No. of specimens involved (when practical)

>>> 208

Authorised action (art. 6, a. to f.)

all forms of deliberate capture and keeping and deliberate killing;

No. of licences

>>> 1

Reasons for issuing of licences (art. 9, i. to v.)

ii.: prevention of serious damage to crops, livestock, forests, fisheries, water and other forms of property

Impact on population

>>> Reducing population to target as set politically. Population is viable, but reduced. The 208 specimens include licenses issued and specimens shot in depredation situation.

Conditions of risk and the circumstances and the time and place under which exceptions were granted

>>> Regulated by political targets and annual culling as evaluated and determined by carnivore board. Culling mostly conducted by state nature inspectorate.

The authority empowered to declare that the conditions have been fulfilled

>>> National policy as determined by Parliament, annually evaluated by carnivore board, final evaluation by Ministry of Climate and Environment under advice by NEA.

The controls involved

>>> State nature inspectorate.

Additional details

Where appropriate, please add a text providing information on:

Information on the conservation status of the derogated species

>>> Listed as EN on national Red List (2021)

Justification for derogation for a species in an unfavourable conservation status

>>> To maintain a politically decided population level, the annual offtake target follows this to reach the set population target, also based on expert advice.

Alternative solutions considered and scientific data to compare them

>>> National policy targets as set by Parliament.

Results of derogations (e.g. Cumulative effects and compensation measures where relevant)
>>> Compensation measures are i.a. monetary for losses of husbandry, for mitigation projects, for change of practices etc.

Comments/notes

>>> Based on national policy as agreed by Parliament and population and offtake monitored through a monitoring program (Rovdata)

You have attached the following Web links/URLs to this answer.

[Rovdata](#) - Norwegian Large Predator Monitoring Program

Ursidae

All species of Ursidae (*Ursus arctos*)

Confirmation of a derogation of this species

Please confirm that a derogation for this species has occurred in the country

There is a derogation concerning this species

No. of specimens involved (when practical)

>>> 18

Authorised action (art. 6, a. to f.)

all forms of deliberate capture and keeping and deliberate killing;

No. of licences

>>> 1

Reasons for issuing of licences (art. 9, i. to v.)

ii.: prevention of serious damage to crops, livestock, forests, fisheries, water and other forms of property

Impact on population

>>> Reducing population to target as set politically. Population is viable, but reduced. The 18 specimens include specimens shot as part of depredation situation.

Conditions of risk and the circumstances and the time and place under which exceptions were granted

>>> Regulated by political targets and annual culling as evaluated and determined by carnivore board.

The authority empowered to declare that the conditions have been fulfilled

>>> National policy as determined by Parliament, annually evaluated by carnivore board, final evaluation by Ministry of Climate and Environment under advice by NEA.

The controls involved

>>> State Nature Inspectorate if shot by Government decision.

Additional details

Where appropriate, please add a text providing information on:

Information on the conservation status of the derogated species

>>> Listed as EN on national Red List (2021)

Justification for derogation for a species in an unfavourable conservation status

>>> To maintain a politically decided population level, the annual offtake target follows this to reach the set population target, also based on expert advice.

Alternative solutions considered and scientific data to compare them

>>> National policy to reduce depredation and keep population at agreed level.

Results of derogations (e.g. Cumulative effects and compensation measures where relevant)

>>> Compensation measures are i.a. monetary for losses of husbandry, for mitigation projects, for change of practices etc.

Comments/notes

>>> Based on national policy as agreed by Parliament and population and offtake monitored through a monitoring program

You have attached the following Web links/URLs to this answer.

[Rovdata](#) - Norwegian Large Predator Monitoring Program

Canidae

Canis lupus

Confirmation of a derogation of this species

Please confirm that a derogation for this species has occurred in the country

There is a derogation concerning this species

No. of specimens involved (when practical)

>>> 37

Authorised action (art. 6, a. to f.)

all forms of deliberate capture and keeping and deliberate killing;

No. of licences

>>> 2

Reasons for issuing of licences (art. 9, i. to v.)

ii.: prevention of serious damage to crops, livestock, forests, fisheries, water and other forms of property

Impact on population

>>> Reducing population to target as set politically. Population is viable, but reduced. The 37 specimens include all animals either hunted with a licence or as part of depredation situations.

Conditions of risk and the circumstances and the time and place under which exceptions were granted

>>> Regulated by political targets and annual culling as evaluated and determined by carnivore board.

The authority empowered to declare that the conditions have been fulfilled

>>> National policy as determined by Parliament, annually evaluated by carnivore board, final evaluation by Ministry of Climate and Environment under advice by NEA.

The controls involved

>>> State Nature Inspectorate.

Additional details

Where appropriate, please add a text providing information on:

Information on the conservation status of the derogated species

>>> Listed as CR on national Red List (2021).

Justification for derogation for a species in an unfavourable conservation status

>>> To maintain a politically decided population level, the annual offtake target follow this to reach the set population target, also based on expert advice.

Alternative solutions considered and scientific data to compare them

>>> National policy to reduce depredation and keep population at agreed level. Offtake monitored through a monitoring program.

You have attached the following Web links/URLs to this answer.

[Rovdata](#) - Norwegian Large Predator Monitoring Program

Results of derogations (e.g. Cumulative effects and compensation measures where relevant)

>>> Compensation measures are i.a. monetary for losses of husbandry, for mitigation projects, for change of practices etc.

Comments/notes

>>> On reasons for issuing of license:

The licences to cull in 2019/20 were issued either with reference to Article 9, ii or to Article 9, iii. In the reporting tool, only one reason can be chosen. Therefore, only one reason has been selected there, but the accurate distribution of reasons are stated here:

In 2019/20, of the 37 specimens killed, 26 were killed with reference to Article 9, ii, "prevention of serious damage to crops, livestock, forests, fisheries, water and other forms of property" and 11 were killed with

reference to Article 9, iii "in the interests of public health and safety, air safety or other overriding public interests".

You have attached the following Web links/URLs to this answer.

[Rovdata](#) - Norwegian Large Predator Monitoring Program

MAMMALS > CETACEA

Balaenopteridae

Balaenoptera acutorostrata

Confirmation of a derogation of this species

Please confirm that a derogation for this species has occurred in the country

There is a derogation concerning this species

No. of specimens involved (when practical)

>>> 932

Authorised action (art. 6, a. to f.)

all forms of deliberate capture and keeping and deliberate killing;

No. of licences

>>> 2

Reasons for issuing of licences (art. 9, i. to v.)

iii.: in the interests of public health and safety, air safety or other overriding public interests (which?)

Please specify

>>> Annual quota as set by Ministry of Fisheries according to calculations as agreed by the International Whaling Commission.

Impact on population

>>> Negligible, as population counts upwards of 100.000

Conditions of risk and the circumstances and the time and place under which exceptions where granted

>>> Offtake strictly controlled and regulated through a separate regulation.

The authority empowered to declare that the conditions have been fulfilled

>>> Ministry of Trade, Industry and Fisheries

The controls involved

>>> Food and health authority and tracing system through DNA sampling.

Additional details

Where appropriate, please add a text providing information on:

Information on the conservation status of the derogated species

>>> Not listed on national Red List (2021), as it is in the LC category.

EXCEPTIONS CONCERNING PROTECTED FAUNA SPECIES (ART. 7 APPENDIX III)

According to article 7 of the Convention, any exploitation of wild fauna specified in Appendix III shall be regulated in order to keep the populations out of danger.

Measures to be taken shall include:

- i. closed seasons and/or other procedures regulating the exploitation;
- ii. the temporary or local prohibition of exploitation, as appropriate, in order to restore satisfactory population levels;
- iii. the regulation as appropriate of sale, keeping for sale, transport for sale or offering for sale of live and dead wild animals.

Exceptions to species listed in Appendix III concern the use of means of capture and killing specified in Appendix IV.

Vertebrates

FISHES > SALMONIFORMES

Salmonidae

Salmo salar

Confirmation of a derogation of this species

Please confirm that a derogation for this species has occurred in the country

There is a derogation concerning this species

No. of individuals involved (when practical)

>>> 175000

Exception made

>>> Common species. Fishing period from June through August every year.

Reasons for issuing of licences (art. 9, i. to v.)

iii.: in the interests of public health and safety, air safety or other overriding public interests (which?)

Please specify

>>> Sustainable use of biological diversity for the benefit of the public.

Impact on the population

>>> Low

Conditions of risk and the circumstances and the time and place under which exceptions were granted

>>> Traditional offtake, closely monitored.

The authority empowered to declare that the conditions have been fulfilled

>>> NEA

The controls involved

>>> NEA

Thymallus thymallus

Confirmation of a derogation of this species

Please confirm that a derogation for this species has occurred in the country

There is a derogation concerning this species

No. of individuals involved (when practical)

>>> 0

Exception made

>>> Common species with no regulation for offtake.

Reasons for issuing of licences (art. 9, i. to v.)

iii.: in the interests of public health and safety, air safety or other overriding public interests (which?)

Please specify

>>> Sustainable use of biodiversity

Impact on the population

>>> None

Conditions of risk and the circumstances and the time and place under which exceptions were granted

>>> None

The authority empowered to declare that the conditions have been fulfilled

>>> NEA

The controls involved

>>> NEA

Coregonus all species (Coregonus lavaretus)

Confirmation of a derogation of this species

Please confirm that a derogation for this species has occurred in the country

There is a derogation concerning this species

No. of individuals involved (when practical)

>>> 0

Exception made

>>> Common species with no regulation of offtake.

Reasons for issuing of licences (art. 9, i. to v.)

iii.: in the interests of public health and safety, air safety or other overriding public interests (which?)

Please specify

>>> Sustainable use of biodiversity

Impact on the population

>>> None

Conditions of risk and the circumstances and the time and place under which exceptions were granted

>>> None

The authority empowered to declare that the conditions have been fulfilled

>>> NEA

The controls involved

>>> NEA

FISHES > CYPRINIFORMES

Cyprinidae

Aspius aspius

Confirmation of a derogation of this species

Please confirm that a derogation for this species has occurred in the country

There is a derogation concerning this species

No. of individuals involved (when practical)

>>> 0

Exception made

>>> Widespread in Southern Norway. No regulation of offtake.

Reasons for issuing of licences (art. 9, i. to v.)

iii.: in the interests of public health and safety, air safety or other overriding public interests (which?)

Please specify

>>> Sustainable use of biodiversity.

Impact on the population

>>> None

Conditions of risk and the circumstances and the time and place under which exceptions were granted

>>> None

The authority empowered to declare that the conditions have been fulfilled

>>> NEA

The controls involved

>>> NEA

BIRDS > ANSERIFORMES

Anatidae

All species of Birds not included in Appendix II (*Aythya fuligula*)

Confirmation of a derogation of this species

Please confirm that a derogation for this species has occurred in the country

There is a derogation concerning this species

No. of individuals involved (when practical)

>>> 350

Exception made

>>> General hunting period: 10.9-23.12.

In addition there is a regulation opening for Sami people traditional hunt in Kautokeino municipality during the spring migration in May-June of up to 150 male birds of the species *Aythya fuligula*, *Mergus serrator* and *Anas platyrhynchos*, of which no more than 100 shall be *Aythya fuligula*.

Reasons for issuing of licences (art. 9, i. to v.)

iii.: in the interests of public health and safety, air safety or other overriding public interests (which?)

Please specify

>>> Traditional harvest/Sami culture.

Impact on the population

>>> None

Conditions of risk and the circumstances and the time and place under which exceptions were granted

>>> Common and traditional huntable species. Spring hunt politically decided by Government.

The authority empowered to declare that the conditions have been fulfilled

>>> NEA

The controls involved

>>> NEA

All species of Birds not included in Appendix II (*Mergus serrator*)

Confirmation of a derogation of this species

Please confirm that a derogation for this species has occurred in the country

There is a derogation concerning this species

No. of individuals involved (when practical)

>>> 1500

Exception made

>>> General hunting period: 10.9-23.12.

In addition there is a regulation opening for Sami people traditional hunt in Kautokeino municipality during the

spring migration in May-June of up to 150 male birds of the species *Aythya fuligula*, *Mergus serrator* and *Anas platyrhynchos*.

Reasons for issuing of licences (art. 9, i. to v.)

iii.: in the interests of public health and safety, air safety or other overriding public interests (which?)

Please specify

>>> Traditional/Sami harvest

Impact on the population

>>> None

Conditions of risk and the circumstances and the time and place under which exceptions were granted

>>> Common and huntable species. Acces to traditional hunt is a Government decision.

The authority empowered to declare that the conditions have been fulfilled

>>> NEA

The controls involved

>>> NEA

All species of Birds not included in Appendix II (*Anas penelope*)

Confirmation of a derogation of this species

Please confirm that a derogation for this species has occurred in the country

There is a derogation concerning this species

No. of individuals involved (when practical)

>>> 2500

Exception made

>>> Huntable 21.8-23.12

Reasons for issuing of licences (art. 9, i. to v.)

i.: protection of flora /fauna

Impact on the population

>>> None

Conditions of risk and the circumstances and the time and place under which exceptions were granted

>>> Common species, traditionally huntable

The authority empowered to declare that the conditions have been fulfilled

>>> NEA

The controls involved

>>> NEA

All species of Birds not included in Appendix II (*Anas crecca*)

Confirmation of a derogation of this species

Please confirm that a derogation for this species has occurred in the country

There is a derogation concerning this species

No. of individuals involved (when practical)

>>> 3000

Exception made

>>> Huntable 21.8-23.12

Reasons for issuing of licences (art. 9, i. to v.)

i.: protection of flora /fauna

Impact on the population

>>> None

Conditions of risk and the circumstances and the time and place under which exceptions were granted

>>> Common and huntable species

The authority empowered to declare that the conditions have been fulfilled

>>> NEA

The controls involved

>>> NEA

All species of Birds not included in Appendix II (Mergus merganser)

Confirmation of a derogation of this species

Please confirm that a derogation for this species has occurred in the country

There is a derogation concerning this species

No. of individuals involved (when practical)

>>> 1500

Exception made

>>> Huntable 10.9-23.12

Reasons for issuing of licences (art. 9, i. to v.)

i.: protection of flora /fauna

Impact on the population

>>> None

Conditions of risk and the circumstances and the time and place under which exceptions were granted

>>> Common and traditionally huntable species.

The authority empowered to declare that the conditions have been fulfilled

>>> NEA

The controls involved

>>> NEA

All species of Birds not included in Appendix II (Anas platyrhynchos)

Confirmation of a derogation of this species

Please confirm that a derogation for this species has occurred in the country

There is a derogation concerning this species

No. of individuals involved (when practical)

>>> 19000

Exception made

>>> General hunting period: 21.8-23.12.

In addition there is a regulation opening for Sami people traditional hunt in Kautokeino municipality during the spring migration in May-June of up to 150 male birds of the species *Aythya fuligula*, *Mergus serrator* and *Anas platyrhynchos*.

Reasons for issuing of licences (art. 9, i. to v.)

iii.: in the interests of public health and safety, air safety or other overriding public interests (which?)

Please specify

>>> Traditional/Sami harvest

Impact on the population

>>> None

Conditions of risk and the circumstances and the time and place under which exceptions were granted

>>> Common and huntable species.

The authority empowered to declare that the conditions have been fulfilled
>>> NEA

The controls involved
>>> NEA

All species of Birds not included in Appendix II (*Anser anser*)

Confirmation of a derogation of this species

Please confirm that a derogation for this species has occurred in the country

There is a derogation concerning this species

No. of individuals involved (when practical)
>>> 28000

Exception made
>>> Huntable 10.8-23.10

Reasons for issuing of licences (art. 9, i. to v.)
 ii.: prevention of serious damage to crops, livestock, forests, fisheries, water and other forms of property

Impact on the population
>>> None

Conditions of risk and the circumstances and the time and place under which exceptions were granted
>>> Common and traditionally huntable species.

The authority empowered to declare that the conditions have been fulfilled
>>> NEA

The controls involved
>>> NEA

All species of Birds not included in Appendix II (*Somateria mollissima*)

Confirmation of a derogation of this species

Please confirm that a derogation for this species has occurred in the country

There is a derogation concerning this species

No. of individuals involved (when practical)
>>> 4900

Exception made
>>> Huntable 1.10-30.11 only in South Norway, only drakes

Reasons for issuing of licences (art. 9, i. to v.)
 i.: protection of flora /fauna

Impact on the population
>>> None

Conditions of risk and the circumstances and the time and place under which exceptions were granted
>>> Common and huntable species

The authority empowered to declare that the conditions have been fulfilled
>>> NEA

The controls involved
>>> NEA

All species of Birds not included in Appendix II (*Anser brachyrhynchus*)

Confirmation of a derogation of this species

Please confirm that a derogation for this species has occurred in the country

There is a derogation concerning this species

No. of individuals involved (when practical)

>>> 8500

Exception made

>>> Huntable 10.8-23.12

Reasons for issuing of licences (art. 9, i. to v.)

i.: protection of flora /fauna

Impact on the population

>>> None

Conditions of risk and the circumstances and the time and place under which exceptions were granted

>>> Kortnebbgås is part of an international management plan under the auspices of the Waterfowl Agreement (AEWA), where several countries are responsible for sustainable management. The annual quota for Norway has been 2000 individuals.

The authority empowered to declare that the conditions have been fulfilled

>>> NEA

The controls involved

>>> NEA

All species of Birds not included in Appendix II (*Melanitta nigra*)

Confirmation of a derogation of this species

Please confirm that a derogation for this species has occurred in the country

There is a derogation concerning this species

No. of individuals involved (when practical)

>>> 2800

Exception made

>>> Huntable only in former Østfold County 10.9-23.12

Reasons for issuing of licences (art. 9, i. to v.)

i.: protection of flora /fauna

Impact on the population

>>> None

Conditions of risk and the circumstances and the time and place under which exceptions were granted

>>> Common in the area, traditional hunt

The authority empowered to declare that the conditions have been fulfilled

>>> NEA

The controls involved

>>> NEA

All species of Birds not included in Appendix II (*Bucephala clangula*)

Confirmation of a derogation of this species

Please confirm that a derogation for this species has occurred in the country

There is a derogation concerning this species

No. of individuals involved (when practical)

>>> 2400

Exception made

>>> Huntable 10.9-23.12.

Reasons for issuing of licences (art. 9, i. to v.)

i.: protection of flora /fauna

Impact on the population

>>> None

Conditions of risk and the circumstances and the time and place under which exceptions were granted

>>> Common and traditionally huntable species

The authority empowered to declare that the conditions have been fulfilled

>>> NEA

The controls involved

>>> NEA

BIRDS > GALLIFORMES

Phasianidae

All species of Birds not included in Appendix II (Tetrao tetrix)

Confirmation of a derogation of this species

Please confirm that a derogation for this species has occurred in the country

There is a derogation concerning this species

No. of individuals involved (when practical)

>>> 32000

Exception made

>>> Huntable 10.9-23.12

Reasons for issuing of licences (art. 9, i. to v.)

i.: protection of flora /fauna

Impact on the population

>>> None

Conditions of risk and the circumstances and the time and place under which exceptions were granted

>>> Common species, traditionally huntable

The authority empowered to declare that the conditions have been fulfilled

>>> NEA

The controls involved

>>> NEA

All species of Birds not included in Appendix II (Tetrao urogallus)

Confirmation of a derogation of this species

Please confirm that a derogation for this species has occurred in the country

There is a derogation concerning this species

No. of individuals involved (when practical)

>>> 1600

Exception made

>>> Huntable 10.9-23.12

Impact on the population

>>> None

Conditions of risk and the circumstances and the time and place under which exceptions were granted

>>> Common species, traditional hunt

The authority empowered to declare that the conditions have been fulfilled
>>> NEA

The controls involved
>>> NEA

All species of Birds not included in Appendix II (*Lagopus lagopus*)

Confirmation of a derogation of this species

Please confirm that a derogation for this species has occurred in the country

There is a derogation concerning this species

No. of individuals involved (when practical)
>>> 231000

Exception made
>>> Hunttable 10.9-28.(29.)2

Reasons for issuing of licences (art. 9, i. to v.)
 i.: protection of flora /fauna

Impact on the population
>>> Marginal.

Conditions of risk and the circumstances and the time and place under which exceptions were granted
>>> Common species, traditional hunt. Offtake monitored and regulated.

The authority empowered to declare that the conditions have been fulfilled
>>> NEA

The controls involved
>>> NEA

All species of Birds not included in Appendix II (*Lagopus mutus*)

Confirmation of a derogation of this species

Please confirm that a derogation for this species has occurred in the country

There is a derogation concerning this species

No. of individuals involved (when practical)
>>> 95000

Exception made
>>> Hunttable 10.9-28.(29.)2

Impact on the population
>>> Marginal

Conditions of risk and the circumstances and the time and place under which exceptions were granted
>>> Traditional hunt. Offtake monitored and regulated.

The authority empowered to declare that the conditions have been fulfilled
>>> NEA

The controls involved
>>> NEA

BIRDS > PASSERIFORMES

Corvidae

All species of Birds not included in Appendix II (*Corvus cornix*)

Confirmation of a derogation of this species

Please confirm that a derogation for this species has occurred in the country

There is a derogation concerning this species

No. of individuals involved (when practical)

>>> 70000

Exception made

>>> Huntable 15.7-31.3

Reasons for issuing of licences (art. 9, i. to v.)

i.: protection of flora /fauna

Impact on the population

>>> None

Conditions of risk and the circumstances and the time and place under which exceptions were granted

>>> Common species, traditional hunt

The authority empowered to declare that the conditions have been fulfilled

>>> NEA

The controls involved

>>> NEA

All species of Birds not included in Appendix II (Corvus corax)

Confirmation of a derogation of this species

Please confirm that a derogation for this species has occurred in the country

There is a derogation concerning this species

No. of individuals involved (when practical)

>>> 10000

Exception made

>>> Huntable 10.8-28.(29.)2

Reasons for issuing of licences (art. 9, i. to v.)

i.: protection of flora /fauna

Impact on the population

>>> None

Conditions of risk and the circumstances and the time and place under which exceptions were granted

>>> Common species, traditional hunt

The authority empowered to declare that the conditions have been fulfilled

>>> NEA

The controls involved

>>> NEA

MAMMALS > RODENTIA

Sciuridae

Sciurus vulgaris

Confirmation of a derogation of this species

Please confirm that a derogation for this species has occurred in the country

There is a derogation concerning this species

No. of individuals involved (when practical)

>>> 1000

Exception made

>>> Hutable 1.11-15.3

Reasons for issuing of licences (art. 9, i. to v.)

i.: protection of flora /fauna

Impact on the population

>>> None

Conditions of risk and the circumstances and the time and place under which exceptions were granted

>>> Very common species, traditionally hutable

The authority empowered to declare that the conditions have been fulfilled

>>> NEA

The controls involved

>>> NEA

Castoridae

Castor fiber

Confirmation of a derogation of this species

Please confirm that a derogation for this species has occurred in the country

There is a derogation concerning this species

No. of individuals involved (when practical)

>>> 1600

Exception made

>>> Hutable 1.10-30.4 in selected municipalities

Reasons for issuing of licences (art. 9, i. to v.)

i.: protection of flora /fauna

Impact on the population

>>> None

Conditions of risk and the circumstances and the time and place under which exceptions were granted

>>> Very common species, purpose of hunt often to keep away from areas where trees should not be felled or areas not flooded.

The authority empowered to declare that the conditions have been fulfilled

>>> NEA

The controls involved

>>> NEA

MAMMALS > CARNIVORA

Phocidae

Halichoerus grypus

Confirmation of a derogation of this species

Please confirm that a derogation for this species has occurred in the country

There is a derogation concerning this species

No. of individuals involved (when practical)

>>> 400

Exception made

>>> Annual quota fixed by Ministry of Trade, Industry and Fisheries

Reasons for issuing of licences (art. 9, i. to v.)

i.: protection of flora /fauna

Impact on the population

>>> None

Conditions of risk and the circumstances and the time and place under which exceptions were granted

>>> Regulated as an annual offtake

The authority empowered to declare that the conditions have been fulfilled

>>> Directorate of Fisheries

The controls involved

>>> Directorate of Fisheries

Additional details

Where appropriate, please add a text providing information on:

Information on the conservation status of the derogated species

>>> Red List category VU

Phoca vitulina

Confirmation of a derogation of this species

Please confirm that a derogation for this species has occurred in the country

There is a derogation concerning this species

No. of individuals involved (when practical)

>>> 800

Exception made

>>> Annual quota fixed by Ministry of Trade, Fisheries and Industry

Reasons for issuing of licences (art. 9, i. to v.)

i.: protection of flora /fauna

Impact on the population

>>> None

Conditions of risk and the circumstances and the time and place under which exceptions were granted

>>> Regulated as annual offtake

The authority empowered to declare that the conditions have been fulfilled

>>> Directorate of Fisheries

The controls involved

>>> Directorate of Fisheries

Additional details

Where appropriate, please add a text providing information on:

Information on the conservation status of the derogated species

>>> Red List category NT

Mustelidae

Meles meles

Confirmation of a derogation of this species

Please confirm that a derogation for this species has occurred in the country

There is a derogation concerning this species

No. of individuals involved (when practical)

>>> 5000

Exception made

>>> Hutable 21.8-31.1

Reasons for issuing of licences (art. 9, i. to v.)

i.: protection of flora /fauna

Impact on the population

>>> None

Conditions of risk and the circumstances and the time and place under which exceptions where granted

>>> Extremely common species, traditionally hunted

The authority empowered to declare that the conditions have been fulfilled

>>> NEA

The controls involved

>>> NEA

Mustela erminea

Confirmation of a derogation of this species

Please confirm that a derogation for this species has occurred in the country

There is a derogation concerning this species

No. of individuals involved (when practical)

>>> 3400

Exception made

>>> Hutable 21.8-15-3

Reasons for issuing of licences (art. 9, i. to v.)

i.: protection of flora /fauna

Impact on the population

>>> None

Conditions of risk and the circumstances and the time and place under which exceptions where granted

>>> Common species, traditionally hutable

The authority empowered to declare that the conditions have been fulfilled

>>> NEA

The controls involved

>>> NEA

Felidae

Lynx lynx

Confirmation of a derogation of this species

Please confirm that a derogation for this species has occurred in the country

There is a derogation concerning this species

No. of individuals involved (when practical)

>>> 134

Exception made

>>> Hutable 1.2-31.3 in parts of the country where local boards determine quotas

Reasons for issuing of licences (art. 9, i. to v.)

ii.: prevention of serious damage to crops, livestock, forests, fisheries, water and other forms of property

Impact on the population

>>> Limits the population growth

Conditions of risk and the circumstances and the time and place under which exceptions were granted
>>> Annual quotas as set by regional panels and national large carnivore policy.

The authority empowered to declare that the conditions have been fulfilled
>>> Ministry of Climate and Environment/NEA

The controls involved
>>> NEA/State Nature Inspectorate

Additional details

Where appropriate, please add a text providing information on:

Information on the conservation status of the derogated species
>>> Listed as EN on national Red List (2021)

Justification for derogation for a species in an unfavourable conservation status
>>> The annual offtake is set to follow the politically decided population level, based on expert advice

Alternative solutions considered and scientific data to compare them
>>> Based on national policy as agreed by Parliament and population and offtake monitored through a monitoring program

You have attached the following Web links/URLs to this answer.

[Rovdata](#) - Norwegian Large Predator Monitoring Program

Results of derogations (e.g. Cumulative effects and compensation measures where relevant)
>>> Population is maintained at politically agreed level.

MAMMALS > ARTIODACTYLA

Cervidae

All species of Cervidae (*Cervus elaphus*)

Confirmation of a derogation of this species

Please confirm that a derogation for this species has occurred in the country

There is a derogation concerning this species

No. of individuals involved (when practical)
>>> 95000

Exception made
>>> Huntable 1.9-23.12

Reasons for issuing of licences (art. 9, i. to v.)
 i.: protection of flora /fauna

Impact on the population
>>> None

Conditions of risk and the circumstances and the time and place under which exceptions were granted
>>> Common species, traditional hunt.

The authority empowered to declare that the conditions have been fulfilled
>>> NEA

The controls involved
>>> NEA

All species of Cervidae (*Rangifer tarandus*)

Confirmation of a derogation of this species

Please confirm that a derogation for this species has occurred in the country

There is a derogation concerning this species

No. of individuals involved (when practical)

>>> 9500

Exception made

>>> Huntable 20.8-30.9

Reasons for issuing of licences (art. 9, i. to v.)

i.: protection of flora /fauna

Impact on the population

>>> Hunting regulates population.

Conditions of risk and the circumstances and the time and place under which exceptions where granted

>>> Traditional hunt. Regulated by quotas.

The authority empowered to declare that the conditions have been fulfilled

>>> NEA

The controls involved

>>> NEA

All species of Cervidae (Alces alces)

Confirmation of a derogation of this species

Please confirm that a derogation for this species has occurred in the country

There is a derogation concerning this species

No. of individuals involved (when practical)

>>> 62000

Exception made

>>> Huntable 25.9-23.12

Reasons for issuing of licences (art. 9, i. to v.)

i.: protection of flora /fauna

Impact on the population

>>> None

Conditions of risk and the circumstances and the time and place under which exceptions where granted

>>> Extremely common species, traditional hunt.

The authority empowered to declare that the conditions have been fulfilled

>>> NEA

The controls involved

>>> NEA

All species of Cervidae (Capreolus capreolus)

Confirmation of a derogation of this species

Please confirm that a derogation for this species has occurred in the country

There is a derogation concerning this species

No. of individuals involved (when practical)

>>> 66000

Exception made

>>> Huntable 25.9-23.12, roebucks also 10.8-25.9

Reasons for issuing of licences (art. 9, i. to v.)

i.: protection of flora /fauna

Impact on the population

>>> None

Conditions of risk and the circumstances and the time and place under which exceptions where granted

>>> Common species, traditional hunt

The authority empowered to declare that the conditions have been fulfilled

>>> NEA

The controls involved

>>> NEA