

BIENNIAL REPORT OF ARMENIA 2017-2018

EXCEPTIONS CONCERNING STRICTLY PROTECTED FLORA SPECIES (ART. 5 - APPENDIX I)

Name of the species	No. of specimens involved (when practical)	No. of licences	Reasons for issuing of licences (art. 9, i. to v.) ¹	Impact on population
1. <i>Microcnemum coralloides</i> 2. <i>Ferula orientalis</i> L.	1 Sheet of herbarium 2000 seed 1000 seed	1	<u>Science research</u> Permission for NAS, Institute of Botany, Armenian Botanical Society NGO	NO

Where appropriate, please add a text providing information on:

Information on the conservation status of the derogated species	Microcnemum coralloides included in the Red Book of Plants of Republic of Armenia /Status-EN B1 ab (iii,iv) +2ab (iii,iv)
The authority empowered to declare that the conditions have been fulfilled	Ministry of Environment, RA, NAS, Institute of Botany, Armenian Botanical Society NGO
Conditions of risk and the circumstances and the time and place under which exception where granted	-
The controls involved	-

¹ i.: protection of flora /fauna

ii.: prevention of serious damage to crops, livestock, forests, fisheries, water and other forms of property

iii.: in the interests of public health and safety, air safety or other overriding public interests (which?)

iv.: for research / education / repopulation / reintroduction / necessary breeding

v.: judicious exploitation of certain wild plants in small numbers and under certain conditions

Justification for derogation for a species in an unfavourable conservation status	-
Alternative solutions considered and scientific data to compare them	-
Results of derogations (e.g. Cumulative effects and compensation measures where relevant)	-
Comments/notes	

EXCEPTIONS CONCERNING STRICTLY PROTECTED FAUNA SPECIES (ART. 6 - APPENDIX II)

Name of the species	No. of specimens involved (when practical)	Authorized action (art. 6, a. to f.) ²	No. of licences	Reasons for issuing of licences (art. 9, i. to v.) ³	Impact on population
1. <i>Canis lupus</i>	4 individuals		2	<u>Science research</u> Permission for NAS, Scientific Center of Hydroecology and Zoology, RA	NO
2. <i>Sciurus anomalus</i>	4 individuals		2		NO

² A: Deliberate killing

B: Deliberate damage to or destruction of breeding or resting sites

C: Deliberate capture and keeping

D: Deliberate disturbance of wild fauna

E: Deliberate destruction or taking of eggs

F: Possession and internal trade

³ i.: protection of flora /fauna

ii.: prevention of serious damage to crops, livestock, forests, fisheries, water and other forms of property

iii.: in the interests of public health and safety, air safety or other overriding public interests (which?)

iv.: for research / education / repopulation / reintroduction / necessary breeding

v.: judicious exploitation of certain wild plants in small numbers and under certain conditions

3. Bufo viridis	40		2		NO
4. Pelobates syriacus	8		2		NO
/Status VU B2ab /ii,iii/					NO
5. Mauremys caspica	12		2		NO
6.Emys orbicularis	12		2		NO
7.Testudo graeca	20		2		NO
/Status-VU A2cd,B1a+2ab/					NO
8. Riparia riparia	10		2		NO
9. Sciurus anomalus	4		2		NO
10. Myotis blythi	10		2		NO
11.Rhinolophus ferrumequinus	16				NO
12. Rhinolophus hipposideros	10		2		NO
13. Pipistrellus kuhlii	10		2		NO
17. Tringa ochropus	8		2		NO
18. Nycticorax nycticorax	4		2		NO
19. Egretta garzetta	4		2		NO
20. Circus aeruginosus	2		2		NO
21. Motacilla alba	6		2		NO
23.Hirundo rustica	4		2		NO

Where appropriate, please add a text providing information on:

Information on the conservation status of the derogated species

1.Testudo graeca included Red Book of Animals Republic of Armenia /Status-VU A2cd, B1a+2ab /

	2. Pelobates syriacus included Red Book of Animals Republic of Armenia /Status VU B2ab /ii,iii/
The authority empowered to declare that the conditions have been fulfilled	Ministry of Environment, RA
Conditions of risk and the circumstances and the time and place under which exception where granted	
The controls involved	
Justification for derogation for a species in an unfavourable conservation status	
Alternative solutions considered and scientific data to compare them	
Results of derogations (e.g. Cumulative effects and compensation measures where relevant)	
Comments/notes	

EXCEPTIONS CONCERNING FALCONRY

For each species used in falconry, state (use a separate sheet for each species):

Name of species:	Falco tinnunculus Appendix II
No. of birds in captivity (after entry into force of the Convention)	2 individual Science research permission for NAS, Scientific Center of of Hydroecology and Zoology, RA
Origin of birds:	
% captured from the wild in the State	
% imported	

% reared in captivity	
Estimated population in the wild (in the State)	
No. of birds captured from the wild each year	
No. of birds imported (specify country of origin)	
Means authorised for capture	
Controls involved	

EXCEPTIONS CONCERNING PROTECTED SPECIES (RES.6)

Name of the species	No. of specimens involved (when practical)	No. of licences	Reasons for issuing of licences (art. 9, i. to v.) ⁴	Impact on population
1. Nycticorax nycticorax	4	2	<p align="center"><u>Science research</u> permission for NAS, Scientific Center of Hydroecology and Zoology, RA</p>	<p align="center">NO</p>
2. Ardeolla ralloides	4	2		
3. Egretta garzetta	4	2		
4. Circus aeruginosus	2	2		
5. Myotis blythi	10	2		
6. Rhhinolophus ferrumequinus	16	2		
7. Rhhinolophus	10	2		

⁴ i.: protection of flora /fauna

ii.: prevention of serious damage to crops, livestock, forests, fisheries, water and other forms of property

iii.: in the interests of public health and safety, air safety or other overriding public interests (which?)

iv.: for research / education / repopulation / reintroduction / necessary breeding

v.: judicious exploitation of certain wild plants in small numbers and under certain conditions

hipposideros				

EXCEPTIONS CONCERNING PROTECTED FAUNA SPECIES (ART. 7

4. APPENDIX III)⁵

Name of the species	No. of individuals involved (when practical)	Exception made	Reasons for issuing of licences (art. 9, i. to v.) ⁶	Impact on the population
1.Meles meles	2 individuals		<u>Science research</u> Permission for NAS, Scientific Center of Hydroecology and Zoology, RA	NO
2.Microtus nivalis	13 individuals		<u>Science research</u> Permission for NAS, Scientific Center of Hydroecology and Zoology, RA	NO
3.Martes foina	4 individuals		<u>Science research</u> Permission for NAS, Scientific Center of Hydroecology and Zoology, RA	NO
4.Larus ridibundus	6		<u>Science research</u> Permission for NAS Scientific Center of Hydroecology and Zoology, RA	NO
5.Larus armenicus VU B1ab(iii)+2ab(iii)	14		<u>Science research</u> Permission for NAS, Scientific Center of Hydroecology and	NO

⁵ Kindly note that exceptions to species listed in Appendix III concern only those captured or killed using indiscriminate means of capture or killing and in particular methods specified in Appendix IV.

⁶ i.: protection of flora /fauna

ii.: prevention of serious damage to crops, livestock, forests, fisheries, water and other forms of property

iii.: in the interests of public health and safety, air safety or other overriding public interests (which?)

iv.: for research / education / repopulation / reintroduction / necessary breeding

v.: judicious exploitation of certain wild plants in small numbers and under certain conditions

			Zoology, RA	
6. <i>Aythya ferina</i>	10		Science research Permission for NAS, Scientific Center of Hydroecology and Zoology, RA	NO
7. <i>Anas crecca</i>	6		Science research Permission for NAS, Scientific Center of Hydroecology and Zoology, RA	NO
8. <i>Fulica atra</i>	10		Science research Permission for NAS, Scientific Center of Hydroecology and Zoology, RA	NO
9. <i>Vanellus vanellus</i>	6		Science research Permission for NAS, Scientific Center of Hydroecology and Zoology, RA	NO
10. <i>Apus apus</i>	4		Science research Permission for NAS, Scientific Center of Hydroecology and Zoology, RA	NO

Where appropriate, please add a text providing information on:

Information on the conservation status of the derogated species	
The authority empowered to declare that the conditions have been fulfilled	Ministry of Environment, RA, NAS, Scientific Center of Hydroecology and Zoology, RA
Conditions of risk and the circumstances and the time and place	

under which exception where granted
The controls involved
Justification for derogation for a species in an unfavourable conservation status
Alternative solutions considered and scientific data to compare them
Results of derogations (e.g. Cumulative effects and compensation measures where relevant)
Comments/notes