



AWARENESS AND PRACTICES RELATED TO ADDICTIVE SUBSTANCES AMONG SCHOOLCHILDREN IN LEBANON IN 2008

MEDSPAD LEBANON

BEIRUT - LEBANON OCTOBER 2009

AWARENESS AND PRACTICES RELATED TO ADDICTIVE SUBSTANCES AMONG SCHOOLCHILDREN IN LEBANON IN 2008

TECHNICAL GROUP

- Salim M. Adib (Coordinator- Email: salim.adib@usj.edu.lb)
- Naim Bejjani
- Charbel El-Bcheraoui
- Viviane Challita
 Department of Social and Family Medicine, Saint-Joseph University,
 Beirut, Lebanon

LEBANESE OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE

- Fady Yarak (Chairman), Director-General of Education, Ministry of Education and Higher Education (MEHE)
- Rasha Al Hamra, Office of the Minister of Public Health
- Nina Laham, School Health Department, MEHE
- Mneimneh, MEHE
- Reem Rabah, Makassed Educational Foundation
- Marwan Tabet, General Secretariat of Catholic Schools in Lebanon
- Renée Sabbagh, UNODC officer in Lebanon
- Sarah Trad, MedNET Coordinator for Lebanon

EXPERT TEAM SUPPORT

France

- Jean-Michel Costes, Director, Observatoire Français des Drogues et des Toxicomanies (OFDT) (Email: jean-michel.costes@ofdt.fr)
- Stéphane Legleye, Pôle enquêtes en population, OFDT

Malta

 Richard Muscat, MedSPAD Coordinator, Permanent Delegate of Groupe Pompidou, Department of Biomedical Sciences, University of Malta

Meetings were organized by the Secretariat within the framework of MedNET Secrétariat of Groupe Pompidou – Council of Europe

• Florence Mabileau-Whomsley, Programme Manager (Email: florence. mabileau-whomsley@coe.int)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

A.	INTRODUCTION	
	A1. Preamble	6
	A2. Review of current knowledge	
	A3. Aims and objectives	8
В.	METHODOLOGY	
	B1. Target population	9
	B2. Sample size	9
	B3. Sampling procedures	9
	B4. Questionnaire	10
	B5. Variables	10
	B6. Validity procedures	10
	B7. Ethical considerations	11
	B8. Plan of analysis	11
C.	RESULTS	
	C1. Sociodemographic characteristics of participants	11
	C2. Cigarette smoking	
	C3. Nargileh smoking	12
	C4. Alcohol use	13
	C5. Contact with other addictive substances	14
	C6. Hashish awareness and use	14
	C7. Ecstasy awareness and use	
D.	DISCUSSION	
	D1. Study limitations	15
	D2. Review of results	
	D3. Discussion of results	18
Е.	PRACTICAL IMPLICATIONS	19
	DECEDENCES	10

1. Sociodemographic characteristics of students surveyed	
in MedSPAD-Lebanon (N= 1097)	21
2. Cigarette smoking among students surveyed in	
MedSPAD-Lebanon (N= 1097)	22
3. Nargileh smoking among students surveyed in	
MedSPAD-Lebanon (N= 1097)	23
4. Combined cigarette and nargileh smoking behaviors	
among students surveyed in MedSPAD-Lebanon (N= 1097)	24
5. Alcohol use among students surveyed in	
MedSPAD-Lebanon (N= 1097)	26
6. Knowledge and use of various drugs among students	
surveyed in MedSPAD-Lebanon (N= 1097)	28
7. Prevalence of selected drugs among students surveyed in	
MedSPAD-Lebanon (N= 1097)	30
8. Prevalence by gender and districts of selected drugs	
among students surveyed in MedSPAD-Lebanon	31
9. Prevalence by age and districts of selected drugs among	
students surveyed in MedSPAD-Lebanon (N= 1097)	32
10. Prevalence of selected drugs by school type & districts	
among students surveyed in MedSPAD-Leb (N= 1097)	34
APPENDICES	
1. Excerpts from the high school sample surveyed as part of	
the Lebanon Rapid Assessment Study (2001-2002)	35
2. Family Health Survey (PAPFAM) 2004	
(Ministry of Social Affairs)	37
3. Alcohol and other drugs use among students by	
sex- GSHS 2005 (N= 5115)	38
4. GYTS 2005	39
5. List of schools selected for the MedSPAD-Lebanon survey	
6. MedSPAD-Lebanon Questionnaire (French version)	43
7. MedSPAD-Lebanon Questionnaire (Arabic version)	58

AWARENESS AND PRACTICES RELATED TO ADDICTIVE SUBSTANCES AMONG SCHOOLCHILDREN IN LEBANON IN 2008

A. INTRODUCTION

A1. Preamble

The Co-operation Group to Combat Drug Abuse and Illicit Trafficking in Drugs (Pompidou Group) is an inter-governmental body formed in 1971 at the initiative of the late French President Georges Pompidou. Initially, the aim of this forum was to share the experience of combating drug abuse and drug trafficking. In 1980 the Group was incorporated into the institutional framework of the Council of Europe and at present it comprises 35 member states. Epidemiological research and surveillance activities started in 1982 with the multi-city project and Pompidou Group was a precursor in that field in Europe. The Pompidou Group started the European School Survey Project on Alcohol and other Drugs (EDSPAD) in 1993 as a collaborative research project on school surveys of alcohol and other drug use. Pompidou Group funds the participation of non-EU experts in project coordination meetings. The survey is repeated every four years in 35 countries and is unique in Europe.

Cooperation in the Mediterranean region started in 1999 with a Conference in Malta in 1999 which then initiated the Mediterranean School Survey Project on Alcohol an Other Drugs (MedSPAD). MedSPAD provides an insight into the drug use situation in non-European countries of the Mediterranean Region. It gathers information about young people's attitudes and behaviour in relation to the consumption of alcohol, tobacco and other substances. It adapted the ESPAD methodology to the Mediterranean context. Pilot surveys explored methodological validity and actual school surveys have been carried out in Algiers and Rabat.

Since 2006, the Pompidou Group has increased co-operation activities for and with non member States from the Mediterranean Basin such as Algeria, Morocco, Tunisia and Lebanon through the MedNET network. This network for cooperation on drugs and addictions aims to foster cooperation, exchange and transfer two-way knowledge between North and South as well as within countries of the Mediterranean basin (South-South). It is within this network that cooperation with Lebanon started in 2006.

The Department of Social and Family Medicine at Saint-Joseph University (USJ) was contacted by the Lebanese representative at MedSPAD at the end of 2007, to establish this type of partnership on behalf of Lebanon. The partnership when in place will include an exchange of training programs, workshops and educational material to law enforcement

agencies, community health and social workers, and at-risk groups. The current Chairman of the Saint-Joseph University (USJ) Social and Family Medicine Department had already participated in efforts in 2003-2004 to establish a National Drug Strategy, under the auspices of the UN Office for Drugs and Crime (UNODC). Work on the Strategy had aborted as a consequence of the political unrest in Lebanon since 2005.

All nations have to gather all possible resources to control the burden of drug addiction, or even better to prevent the spread of this dangerous epidemic. The government of Lebanon has only limited resources to offer for that problem, thus the European partnership becomes timely and crucial. The partners have requested as a pre-requisite for participation in Medspad that a series of national surveys in various sub-populations, starting with schoolchildren, is conducted across the country. All concerned in Lebanon, including governmental agencies, were highly motivated to support this request.

A2. Review of current knowledge

There are anecdotal information suggesting that drug addiction may be increasing in Lebanon, and that patterns of utilization are changing. While the occasional use of hashish alone or mixed with tobacco in cigarettes or in nargilehs still exists, the old pattern of heroin IV injection which was predominant before the civil wars (1975-1991) is still persisting in specific clusters. The use of prescription or non-prescription pills seems to be on the rise, both orally with or without combination with alcohol, sometimes in mixes burned in the nargileh (or water-pipe). The utilization of nargileh among children and adolescents has increased in recent years to the dimension of a public health concern, while cigarette smoking remains highly prevalent.

In the first decade following the return of civil peace, the Family Planning Association, funded by the Ministry of Social Affairs, had conducted in 1996 a survey on addiction-related issues of 1000 high school adolescents. At that time, 21% knew someone addicted to drugs, 39% to tranquilizers, 48% to alcohol and 75% to tobacco. Among those adolescents, 29% believed addiction to be a national problem.

Under the auspices of UNODC, Lebanon has produced a rapid assessment survey (RAS 2002), using a series of non-random convenient samples of various subgroups in the Lebanese population. The youngest such subgroup was that of high school students predominantly from the Greater Beirut area, with a mean age of 17 years. Parts of the RAS final report relevant to that subgroup have been excerpted in Appendix 1 and used in planning the present survey. At that time, 17% had already smoked daily for at least 30 days. The age of onset of use was 15 years, with at least 37% starting at an age younger than 15. Almost 70% had already tried alcohol and 50% had used it at least once in the past 30 days. The age of first having 12 drinks or more in a year was 13 years on average, and that of first drunkenness for those 26% who reported it was 15 years. Ever-used drugs were: hashish/marijuana (6.8%) followed by tranquilizers (3.3%) and Ecstasy (2.8). Under all patterns of use, these three categories of drugs were consistently the most reported and in the same order of importance. Figures provided by RAS 2002 are generally believed to be over-estimates biased by the higher representation of middle-class high-school children from private schools in Beirut city. Indeed, later national surveys, conducted on less biased

random samples of the population provided more conservative national figures, more so for drugs and alcohol utilization than for tobacco use.

A WHO-sponsored Global School Health Survey was subsequently conducted in 2004-2005 (GSHS 2005), targeting more than 5000 students randomly selected from presecondary classes across the country. Of those students, about 48% were boys, and the vast majority were between the ages of 13-15 years. All results related to utilization of addictive substances in that survey are presented in Appendix 3. As may be noted, and because addiction was not the major focus of that survey, questions were somewhat general in nature, and did not provide detailed information on types of drugs ever used. To the question of ever-using any drug even once, the positive response was 3.5%. Information more detailed was obtained on alcohol use. It appeared that about 20% had at least one drink in the previous 30 days and 14% had been drunk at least once in their lifetime. The gender gap remained in this survey, with males regularly scoring higher risks than females.

In 2004, the Ministry of Social Affairs conducted a Family Health Survey (PAPFAM 2006) in which more than 3300 children aged <20 years were surveyed for their smoking status. Those results are shown in Appendix 2. While about 1/4th of the entire sample of about 9000 persons involved was described as current smokers, this proportion was 0.9% in the age-group 0-14 years, and 7.7 in the 15-19 age-group. At all ages, smoking was more frequent among males compared to females.

About the same time, a CDC-sponsored Global Tobacco Youth Survey was also conducted in Lebanon (Saade et al. 2008) which targeted adolescents 13-15 using the same methodology as GSHS. Results indicated that among more than 3300 students, current cigarettes smoking was 10% but that of the nargileh was almost 59%. More than 78% were exposed to indoor smoke. The increasing predominance of nargileh use even among adolescents further confirmed a previous study among more than 1400 adolescents in the Southern suburbs of Beirut which found that 24% smoked at least one head of nargileh at least once a week. Among those adolescents with a mean age of 15, first initiation started at 13, and 28% were initiated by a member of the direct family (Zoughaib et al. 2004).

A3. Aims and Objectives

This survey was conducted primarily to collect data collected suitable for Education and Prevention Programs. With data, programs may be introduced or revised. Such surveys repeated at different time points may then be used to monitor trends and indirectly provide information on the impact of a particular policy or programs in operation. To those aims, the main objectives of this school survey are:

- To determine the magnitude of social contact and actual use of drugs among pre-secondary schoolchildren in all areas of Lebanon.
- 2. To complete data regarding cigarette and nargileh contact and use in that subgroup of the population.
- **3.** To confirm and detail data regarding alcohol contact and utilization in that subgroup.

B. METHODS

B1. Target population

The subgroup of schoolchildren targeted for this survey, conducted in 2008, was the last class in the complementary cycle, which corresponds to the 9th grade. This decision was made mostly for two reasons:

- 1. Existing data had been collected at least 4 years ago, and needed both to be re-estimated and refined (see section A2).
- 2. After finishing complementary schooling, a substantial yet unknown proportion of students did not go on to the secondary cycle, and left to join the workforce or to enter technical training.

Thus, 9th grade was an adequate class to assess early contact with addictive substances, while avoiding the social reluctance to address these issues with younger children. The number of students in primary and complementary cycles is estimated yearly at 115,000 children, distributed in nine grades, for about 12,000 per grade (assuming equal distribution). The schoolchildren's distribution is about 60% in the public school system and 40% in private schools. Regionally, 10% are found in the mohafazat (province) of Beirut, 40% in Mount-Lebanon, 20% in North-Lebanon and South-Lebanon, and 10% in the mohafazat of Bekaa (CAS 2006).

B2. Sample size

The number need for this survey was calculated based on an estimation of 11% of direct or indirect contact with drugs and/or alcohol among schoolchildren (RAS 2002; GSHS 2005). The alpha error tolerated was 0.05 and the projected sampling error of 2%. With these figures, the needed number of children for this survey was 940. Since children were to be drawn from all five "muhafazats" of the country, it was decided that an equal number of 200 participants would be selected from each, and prevalence rates would be weighted for proportional distribution during analysis. The projected number of students to be selected was 1000.

B3. Sampling procedures

A two-stage stratified cluster sampling procedure. Assuming that each class includes about 20 students, and that only one class could come from any one school, 10 schools had to be selected in each mohafazat. In fact 15 were selected to accommodate smaller classes and/or refusal to participate. In each mohafazat, all schools with at least one 9th grade class were listed and given weights according to the number of classes. Schools were then randomly selected, those having more weights having higher chances of being selected. In each selected school, a specific class was then randomly selected for the survey. Appendix 4 presents the list of selected schools.

B4. Questionnaire

The standard ESPAD French questionnaire was adopted and adapted to the specific Lebanese context (Appendix 5). An Arabic version was developed, building partly on a previous one prepared for MedSPAD-Morocco and adapting it to local Lebanese Arabic (Appendix 6). The questionnaire was titled "What do young people know about addictive substances". It included detailed sections on contact with and actual use of cigarettes, nargileh, alcohol, hashish and Ecstasy. A section included general questions about other types of drugs and drug-related behaviors. The questionnaire concluded with a sociodemographic section.

A first version was piloted in one urban and one rural classroom, and it was modified accordingly. The most important consequence of the piloting was to alert the research team to the questions which this survey raised in the minds of students, and to the importance of providing answers to those questions. More is detailed on that issue below under "ethical considerations". The questionnaire was designed to be presented in class and auto-completed in about 20 minutes, with a trained assistant at hand to provide support if needed. When the questionnaire was completed, it was placed in a sealed envelop in full view of the students. The research assistant received training on the questionnaires prior to being dispatched to schools.

B5. Variables

The following detailed variables were obtained on cigarettes, nargileh, alcohol, hashish and Ecstasy:

- Knowing or hearing about a specific substance
- Knowing of someone who uses that substance in general and among friends and family
- Frequency of self-reported lifetime substance abuse; in the last 12 months; and in the last 30 days
- Place and persons with whom substance has been used
- Availability of the substance in the student's environment Socio-demographic data obtained included:
- Age and sex
- Parents education
- Maternal employment
- Socio-economic status (SES) indicated by the household crowding index measured in persons/room. Higher crowding indicates lower SES (Melki et al. 2004).

B6. Validity procedures

The integrity of the data was assessed using logical and range tests. Detected errors were corrected whenever possible by returning to the original hard copy of the corresponding questionnaire. The validity of the content was partly evaluated for those questions concerned with the prevalence of use of illegal drugs. The use of a fictitious substance "Abanol" was

queried in the questionnaire, and some respondents declared having heard or used that substance. Subsequently, prevalence figures were adjusted for illegal drugs by removing those "users" of an inexistent substance, as being unreliable respondents.

B7. Ethical considerations

Prior to administering the questionnaire, a negative consent form was sent to all parents, requesting that they sign a form if they did not want their child to participate. The preamble of the questionnaire assured children that their participation was free, that their answers will remain anonymous and not shared with anyone at school or elsewhere, and that there were no correct or incorrect answers. At the end of the survey, each participant received brochures and pamphlets describing substances mentioned in the survey and highlighting the harm which they can cause. Their science teacher received a booklet and a DVD which included materials to be used in preparing class presentations on issues of drugs and addiction.

B8. Plan of analysis

All variables were described as frequencies and percentages for categorical variables, and as means and standard deviations (SD) for continuous ones. Gross, and where needed validity-adjusted national prevalence rates, were compiled using weights to the distribution of the students' population (section B1). They were presented with their corresponding 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). The associations of prevalence rates with gender, age and type of school system were measured using the odds-ratio (OR). An association exists for an OR 1. The association is significant if the corresponding 95% CI does not include the value 1. All computations were conducted using SPSS-16.

C. RESULTS

C1. Sociodemographic characteristics of participants

At the end of the data collection, a total of 1097 questionnaires had been completed in 59 classes, almost equally distributed between the five administrative districts of Lebanon. A mean of 19 students were selected in each class, with some classes providing more students to compensate for those classes with less than 20 students. Students selected were distributed between the public educational system (65%) and private schools (35%). The sample included slightly more girls (54%) than boys (46%). Ages ranged from 12 to 19 years, with a mean of 14.6 years (SD= 1.1). Most children were between ages 14-15. There were no differences in mean ages between boys and girls. However, a significant differences existed between children in public (14.9 \pm 1.3) and private (14.1 \pm 0.7) schools (p<0.01). Schoolchildren were significantly younger on average in Beirut (14.3 \pm 1.0) and Mount-Lebanon (14.5 \pm 1.0), and significantly older in South-Lebanon (14.7 \pm 1.0) and North-Lebanon (15.0 \pm 1.2) (p<0.01).

About 25% of mothers had an elementary education, and more than 80% were home-makers. Almost the same proportion of fathers had an elementary education. Based on the crowding index, the sample indicated a socio-economic status (SES) slightly skewed towards less affluent levels. A crowding rate of 1 person/room is generally considered the limit from upper-middle to lower-middle class. The average crowding in this sample was 1.6 persons/room (SD= 0.7). Details are presented in Table 1.

C2. Cigarette smoking

Just about 1 out of 4 participants reported living in a household with no smokers. More fathers (56%) than mothers (39%) were reported as smokers. About 38% of those children declared having no smokers among their friends, versus 20% declaring all their friends to be smokers. In this group, 10% had ever tried cigarettes and about 3.9% were "frequent" smokers (10 times or more). Of "frequent" smokers (n=49), 60% were already smoking at least one cigarette per day, with am mean number of 8. Among the 154 students who had ever smoked even if once, the most common places where this occurred were the child's home (43%), or a friend's home (39%). About 25% smoke alone but most (60%) do so with friends or neighbors. Age at first cigarette was 13 years, and had started about 2 years prior to the survey (Table 2). The overall weighted prevalence of "frequent" cigarette use was 4.3% (3.1-5.5), with highest prevalence rates found in Mount-Lebanon (7.9%) followed by Beirut city (6.2%) (Table 7). In boys it was 7.9% (5.5-10.3) and in girls 1.3 (0.4-2.3) (Table 8). The prevalence increased rapidly with age, from 2.2% (0.9-3.4) at age 14 to 15% (9.9-20.1) at age 16 or older (Table 9). It was higher in public schools (5.6%) versus private schools (2.4%) (Table 10).

C3. Nargileh smoking

About 36% of selected students reported living in households with no nargileh smokers. Almost equal proportions of nargileh smokers were found in fathers (27%) than in mothers (23%). In sharp contrast with social contact with cigarettes, that of nargileh was remarkably higher. No more than 19% of those children declared no nargileh smokers among their friends, versus 42% declaring all their friends as nargileh smokers. In this group, more than 25% had ever tried nargileh and about 19% were "frequent" users (10 times or more). Of those "frequent" users (n=198), 69% were already smoking nargileh at least once a week, with a mean of 4 "heads" per week. Among those who ever-smoked the nargileh (n=465), the most common places where this behavior occurred were the child's home (58%) or a friend's home (46%), but 50% also used the nargileh in restaurants and cafés. Nargileh use in a highly social behavior: very few smoke it alone (<4%) but most of it occurs with friends, relatives and siblings. The age at first nargileh was 14 years, and had started about 2 years prior to the survey (Table 3). The perfumed mix "mouassal", reputed more chemically dangerous was nevertheless preferred by 79% of ever-user compared to 5% who preferred the pure tobacco mix "ajami".

The overall weighted prevalence of frequent nargileh use was 18.1% (15.8-20.4) with the highest prevalence (24.1%) found in South-Lebanon (Table 7). In boys it was 19.8%

(16.3-23.2) and in girls 14.0 (11.2-16.8) (Table 8). The weighted prevalence increased with age, increasing from 10.3% (7.2-12.9) at age 14 to 31.1% (24.4-37.7) at age 16 and older (Table 9). It was higher in public (20.8%) than in private schools (10.4%) (Table 10).

Heavier nargileh users were 10 times more likely to be also heavier cigarette smokers (OR= 9.8; 95% CI: 5.0-19.5). This association between heavier use of both nargileh and cigarettes cigarettes suggests some process of instrumental facilitation between the two behaviors. Nargileh users who did so at home were about 4 times more likely to also smoke cigarettes at home compared to those who used nargileh outside their home (OR= 3.7; 95% CI: 1.6-8.3). This suggests that family permissiveness to one behavior may also lead to permissiveness to the other, and that households with exposure to two sources of indoor smoke pollution may be more numerous than generally perceived. In this cross-sectional design, it is not possible to assert which tobacco-related behavior preceded the other, although the mean age of initiation of cigarette smoking was lower by about one year than that of nargileh initiation (Table 4).

C4. Alcohol use

More than 60% of surveyed students reported no alcohol use at all in their family and about 50% reported no use among friends. However, at least 16% declared that all their friends drank alcohol. Because alcohol use is a stigmatized behavior in some areas, at least 11% reported being unsure of their friends' contact with that substance. About 65% had never used alcohol in their lives, while about 20% reported doing so in the 4 weeks preceding the survey. The patterns of use of different types of alcoholic drinks differed in frequency and intensity. Beer was by far the most common drink used even once in a life-time (60%) or in the previous 4 weeks (17%). It was followed in frequency by whisky, wine, arack and vodka. Among those who had a drink in the past 4 weeks, almost half did not have more than 2 drinks, regardless of the type of alcoholic drink used. There were differences in intensity of use in the previous 4 weeks by type of drink. Highest rate of consumption were found for arack (almost 40%), followed by wine (32%), vodka (31%), beer (30%) and whisky (25%).

Among those who had ever had any alcoholic drink, a pattern of trying more than one type appeared. While only 64% of beer users had tried any other alcoholic drink, almost all those who had tried any other drink had also tried at least one more. Data are presented for those who tried one or more types with vodka (95%) or with arack (94%). (Table 5).

When consumed, alcohol was consumed mostly at home (71%) or in restaurants (50%), with relatives (59%) or friends (47%) and rarely alone (12%). Of 380 children who had ever used alcohol, 27% had been drunk at least once, 5% in the previous 4 weeks. About 9% had a few friends who get drunk about once a week, with 3% reporting all their friends to be drunk on a weekly basis. About 8% of the sample reported having friends who mixed alcohol with tranquilizers at various degrees (Table 5).

The national rate of alcohol ever-use was 36.5% (33.6-39.4) with rates markedly higher in Mount-Lebanon (74.3%) than in all other regions (Table 7). Alcohol ever-use was higher in males (45%) than in females (26.1%) (Table 8). Unlike in tobacco products, this

prevalence varied only slightly by age. Among children 13 or less it was 34.6% (23.6-45.6) and rose to only 37% (30-1-43.9) among those 16 or more (Table 9). Alcohol ever-use was higher in private schools (45.8%) than in public ones (29.3%) (Table 10).

C5. Contact with other addictive substances

Most students surveyed recognized "classical" illegal drugs such as cocaine and heroin reputed to be relatively common in Lebanon. The real level of recognition of less frequent drugs may be much lower, as indicated by the fact that at least 10% were able to "recognize" a fictitious substance "Apanol". This 10% rate was subsequently used to adjust for the validity of results presented in the following section.

About 80% of all participants and ever heard about cocaine and 64% about heroin. Further, 7% knew someone who used heroin and 11% someone who used cocaine. Less recognized were other illegal substances less frequently used in Lebanon such as LSD (15%), amphetamines (14%) and crack (9%). About 1% knew someone who used LSD or crack and about 3% knew someone who used amphetamines.

Awareness of items found on the open market which can be misused as drugs was assessed for oil "benzene", organic solvents "thinner", or glue. Proportions of students who knew someone, mostly friends, using those items were about 11%, 7% and 5% respectively. In addition, 10% knew of someone, mostly friends, who used tranquilizers without a medical prescription (Table 6).

C6. Hashish awareness and use

Of all licit and illicit drugs queried in this survey, hashish was the most known, as more than 85% of all students had heard of this substance, and almost 20% knew someone who uses it. At least 7% of all students reported use at various frequencies in their immediate circle of friends (Table 6). The weighted prevalence of ever-use was 4% (2.8-5.1) with rates highest in Greater Beirut (5.6%) (Table 7). Rates were more than twice higher in males (5.9%) than in females (2.7%) (Table 8). With this substance like with all other addictive products, prevalence increased with age, reaching 8% at age 16 and older (Table 9). It was higher in public school students (4.8%) than in private school students (3%) (Table 10).

C7. Ecstasy awareness and use

Of all surveyed students, less than 15% had heard of Ecstasy and less than 5% knew someone, mostly a friend, who uses it. Ever-use of ecstasy was reported by only 1.5% of the group (Table 6). The weighted prevalence of ever-use was 1.6% (0.9-2.4) with rates highest in Mount-Lebanon (5%) (Table 7). Ecstasy use was reported only by boys (3.8%) (Table 8). Prevalence was generally low in younger ages, but was markedly elevated among boys 16 or older (6.8%) (Table 9). Ecstasy use was higher in public schools (2.3%) than in private schools (1.0%) (Table 10).

D. DISCUSSION

D1. Survey limitations

Since the 2002 rapid situation assessment (RAS), several well-planned surveys have addressed more or less comprehensively various issues of addictive substance use among adolescents. These surveys have focused more on cigarette smoking (GYTS 2005; PAPFAM 2006) and alcohol (GYHS 2005) and much less on nargileh use, despite increasing evidence that this behavior has been rapidly increasing in Lebanon (Zoughaib et al., 2004). Practically none of those surveys explored illegal or addictive drug use. MEDSPAD-Lebanon updates and completes knowledge regarding the social contact and awareness of adolescents, and their potential use of addictive substances. While most previous surveys were conducted among high school students, this one targeted younger ones just about to finish their complementary cycle, prior to many going to occupational schools or leaving academia to enter the job market. The caveat for surveying students of $14 ext{-}15$ years is that the prevalence of use of illegal, expensive and difficult to find drugs would be limited. Consequently, only hashish and Ecstasy use were queried in details. This decision was further consolidated by conclusions from RAS (2002) showing those two substances and tranquilizers as drugs most frequently mentioned by high school students gathered in focus groups. Retrospectively, the levels of awareness of surveyed adolescents with "classical" drugs such as cocaine and heroin were important enough to have justified more detailed exploration. Inversely, their full awareness of which prescription drugs may actually be "tranquilisers" can be disputed, and thus related information may have to be considered with caution.

The survey was expected to select a representative sample of 9th graders from across the country. However, several upscale private schools refused to open their doors to the surveyors, despite the total backing of the Ministry of Education and Higher Education (MEHE) and of the Association of Private Schools in Lebanon, who provided the study with letters of support. Schools which refused to participate were replaced when possible by neighboring ones with as similar a student body as possible. Nevertheless, none of those replacements was at par socio-economically with the non-collaborating institutions. Thus, a small yet significant section of students from private schools, those with easier means to access illegal drugs may have been lost. This unavoidable selection bias has resulted in a slight over-representation of the public sector (65%) beyond its real dimension (60%), and an under-estimation of rarer risk behaviors, such as use of Ecstasy and other illegal drugs.

D2. Review of results

Illicit drug use

In this survey of adolescents with an average age of 14-15, remarkable differences appeared in the prevalence of initiation of use of "legal" addictive substances and those that are not. The use of cigarettes, nargileh and alcohol was consistently higher than that of hashish or Ecstasy. The former was the illegal drug most commonly tried by those adolescents. The 4% figure found in this survey confirms one which was provided earlier in the GYHS 2005. In that survey, a question mixing marijuana, cocaine, heroin, ecstasy and tranquilizers found a global trial rate of 3.5% (Appendix 3). Later in life, hashish remains the most frequently used drug in Lebanon. A 2008 publication indicated a lifetime prevalence

of hashish use at 4.6% in a survey of 1,031 adults 18 years. Conversely, cocaine use in that survey was as low as 0.7%. None of those surveyed remembered starting on cocaine at 15, while 0.3% reported starting hashish at that age (Degenhardt et al. 2008). This last finding is in contrast with the incidence found in this survey. This present level is probably more valid as a national figure because it is weighted for all areas of Lebanon and explores the behavior at the time of the survey and therefore is less affected by recall bias.

As expected, boys were consistently more likely to engage in risky behaviors than girls. Risk-taking was more important with years of age, consistently showing a marked increase immediately after 16. The average age of children in public schools was significantly, if slightly higher than in private schools. This age difference contributed to differences in behaviors between the two school systems, with prevalence rates found to be consistently higher in public schools. Prevalence increases remarkably with age in all substances, but is less marked with alcohol use. The social specificity of this behavior is discussed below.

Cigarette smoking

Cigarette use is highly prevalent in Lebanon. Almost three in every four students surveyed lived in households with smokers. The presence of smokers in the family environment of those adolescents provides a positive role model and facilitates access to cigarettes. Thus it is not surprising that among those 14-15 year-olds, 14% had already experimented with smoking relatively recently, and often at home or at some friend's home. This figure is not very different from an earlier finding (PAPFAM 2004) where 15 seemed to be an age-limit for a sudden increase in cigarette smoking from 0.9% to 7.7%. At later ages, the prevalence of cigarette smoking increases remarkably. Among adolescents who had experimented with cigarettes, about 60% seemed to have already acquired a "regular", at times daily smoking habit. "Regular" smoking was 17% among 15-16 year-old adolescents in GYTS (2005), and 16% in this survey. The prevalence of potentially habitual smokers was higher in boys than in girls in this survey like in all preceding ones (PAPFAM 2004; GYTS 2005). The prevalence was three times higher in public than in private school students. In addition to age differences already mentioned above, this finding may be attributed to organizational factors such as poor compliance with smoke-free policies among public school staff. Smoking teachers add to the adverse modeling effects of smoking parents and siblings (Ennett et al. 1997; GSPS 2001). Stringent inspection and penalties should be exerted on public schools to force the implementation of already declared anti-smoking regulations.

Most children tried their first cigarette at 13, although some did so as early as 6. In addition to parental modeling, peer influence is also reported as determining the initiation of smoking behavior (Weinberg et al. 1998). The importance of peer influence is indicated by the fact that 60% smoked with friends. Further analysis indicated that "regular" smokers were also significantly likely to be "regular" nargileh users as well. This finding highlights the importance of consistently linking the preventive/educational messages regarding the adverse effects of cigarettes to those regarding nargileh's health consequences.

Nargileh use

Nargileh use has been on the rise since the 1990s (Nuwayhid et al. 1998). It has been documented at relatively high rates among adolescents, with estimates in various studies reaching 25% (Zoughaib et al, 2004) and even 59% (GSPS 2001). It is widely believed that social acceptance of this behavior has contributed to its increase among children and adolescents at rates which do not compare with those of cigarette smoking (Turkmani 2004). The latter is still considered as an unacceptable behavior for adolescents in more conservative communities. Indeed, participants indicated engaging in nargileh smoking with adult relatives in large proportions (54%) than in cigarette smoking (22%). If cigarettes remain more present in the children's social/family environment than nargileh, it is most likely due to the fact that nargileh remains a somewhat complex behavior which, unlike cigarettes, requires special preparations and specific paraphernalia. A family environment conducive to adolescents' cigarette smoking seems to foster also higher permissiveness for home nargileh use. Adolescents who find ways of smoking at home are almost 4 times more likely to also use nargileh at home. The two behaviors seem to cluster in the same adolescents, though it is difficult to determine which starts first, as mean ages of initiation are very near to each other.

The importance of social norms appears to influence nargileh use much more than cigarette smoking. Unlike cigarette smoking, nargileh use is rarely done alone. In more than 40% of cases, all friends smoked the nargileh, whereas all friends smoked cigarettes in only 20% of cases. More often than not, those adolescents smoked the nargileh at home, with family members in attendance. One may argue that nargileh is acquiring the social status usually occupied by alcohol in those families where alcohol is not an acceptable part of meals and festive gatherings. This is shown by the parallel rise of nargileh use and decrease in alcohol use within various areas of the country. However, mounting evidence indicates that social/occasional drinking is less likely to lead to habituation or addiction than occasional nargileh use (Zoughaib et al. 2004, GYTS 2005).

Alcohol use

Alcohol use is the only behavior which does not elicit a unanimously negative attitude in the Lebanese public. There was no age-associated increase in alcohol ever-use between 13 and 16 years. This finding is probably indicative of the predominant socio-cultural norms associated with alcohol use which vary across regions. There was an exceptionally higher proportion of adolescents who had ever tried alcohol in Mount-Lebanon compared to all other areas of the country. This part of Lebanon, composed essentially of Beirut's suburbs, is also arguably the most urbanized, least traditional part of the country outside the capital city. It has also the highest concentration of the non-Muslim population in Lebanon. While any alcohol use is considered unacceptable in observing Muslim communities, social drinking is normative in non-observing ones and certainly in non-Muslim communities. In the latter, early initiation of children to a taste of alcohol, be it arack or wine, is not unusual during family meals or festive events. As a matter of fact, most alcohol use in this group is reported at "adult-supervised" places such as home or in restaurants and much less in isolated spots such as public gardens or parks. It was also often done with relatives and much less alone than cigarette smoking.

Evidence for patent addiction is low, as indicated by the fact that only 19 adolescents reported getting drunk in the month preceding the survey. However, it is difficult to assess whether early familiarity with alcohol in this group of 14-15 year-olds would not be a factor predisposing to escalated use in the coming few years. Occasional alcohol use is mostly limited to beer which is rarely used more than twice a week. Thus, the importance of publicly and privately held cultural norms on the adoption of some potentially dangerous behaviors is once more confirmed. Similar dynamics can also be found in cigarette smoking and nargileh use.

D3. Discussion of results

This survey indicates that the use of various types of drugs in 9th grade schoolchildren is relatively low. Nevertheless, some results must be highlighted:

- These students have already heard not only of hashish, assumed to be an endemic substance in our culture, but also of so-called "hard drugs" such as cocaine and heroine. This finding should assuage any fears that discussing drugs with those children at this level may prematurely "strip them from their innocence". The absence of formal education on drugs at this level can more probably mean that adolescents are left to their own devices to obtain incomplete information. This puts them at an increased risk of under-estimating the dangers of experimenting with those substances.
- Not only have important proportions of children already heard about several categories of drugs, but equally important proportions know of their presence in their social environment. It was surprising and disturbing to find that between 10% to 15% of these children with a mean age of 14-15 already know someone who uses either cocaine or heroin or tranquilizers, more than 20% someone who uses hashish. These figures raise the issue of the ease of access to those substances for adolescents in Lebanon which has to be explored.
- The rapid increase in hashish and Ecstasy use starting age 16 compared to 14-15 suggests that interventions to build lifestyle skills resistant to drug initiation should indeed be started before accessing to the secondary school cycle.
- This survey confirms the predominance of cigarette and nargileh presence in the social environment of these children, and that experimentation and early initiation are relatively frequent. The importance of addressing the issue of nargileh use is highlighted by the higher exposure of these students, when compared to cigarettes. Tobacco use is often performed in familiar settings with no apparent restrictions or stigmatization. The fact that use of one tobacco product increases the risk of using another one further indicates the importance of immediate action on this issue inside schools, but also with parents and society at large.

E. PRACTICAL IMPLICATIONS

The MedSPAD-Lebanon survey is the first detailed assessment of the contact which children 14-15 years may have with addictive substances in their social environment. This national survey confirms data already existing regarding the major extent of exposure to both active and passive cigarette and nargileh smoking in practically all areas, even at such an early age. This added evidence confirms the importance of enacting executive decrees to the Framework Convention on Tobacco Control which Lebanon has already ratified into national law in 2005. In particular, anti-smoking education and skills training, as well as non-smoking policies must become a priority for all those in charge of the school curriculum and environment in Lebanon. These all-out activities need to be started as early as the complementary cycle (grades 6-9), and certainly sustained in the secondary cycle.

With nargileh in particular, prevention and control efforts must extend beyond the school limits to reach parents and society at large. The aim would be to modify the complacent, even favorable attitude towards nargileh use by highlighting its addictive influence and its long-term consequences on children's physical and psychological development.

While the actual use of drugs of all kinds remains relatively low, albeit with some marked increase starting age 16, there is evidence now that these children are aware of the existence of drugs and drug-users in their social environment. This is true for "hard" substances such as cocaine and heroin, as well as for hashish. "Unconventional" or "new" drugs such as Ecstasy, glue or thinners are less commonly encountered in that age-group, yet not totally absent. At this point in time, when social norms are still very much hostile to any kind of compromise with even "recreational" drug use, an intervention at the 9th grade level may be advisable. It would provide correct information about these substances and the consequences of use, and emphasize the dangers associated with even a one-time trial. Whichever approach is adopted for drug prevention in the pre-secondary cycle, it should be evaluated after a while, and data provided in this survey may be considered as an adequate baseline to monitor change.

F. REFERENCES

- 1. CDC. Global Youth Tobacco Survey (GYTS)- Lebanon 2005. Site: www.cdc.gov/tobacco/global/gyts/factsheets/emro/2005/Lebanon_factsheet.htm
- 2. Central Agency for Statistics (CAS). Statistical Bulletin- Lebanon 2006. Beirut, 2008.
- **3.** Degenhardt L, Chiu W-T, Sampson N, Kessler RC, Anthony JC, Angermeyer M, et al. Towards a global view of alcohol, tobacco, cannabis and cocaine use: Findings form the WHO World Mental Surveys. PLOS Medicine 2008; 5:1-15 (www.plosmedicine.org)
- 4. Ennett ST, Flewelling RL, Lindrooth RC, Norton EC. School and neighborhood characteristics associated with school rates of alcohol, cigarette and marijuana. J Health & Social Behavior 1997; 38:55-71.

- 5. Family Planning Association. The problem of addiction in Lebanon (Arabic report), Beirut 1996.
- **6.** Lebanon Rapid Situation Assessment and Responses Study on Substance Abuse (RSA) (2002). Site: www.idraac.org
- 7. Melki IS, Beydoun HA, Khogali M, Tamim H, Yunis KA, For the National Collaborative Perinatal J Epidemiol Community Health. Household crowding index: a correlate of socioeconomic status and inter-pregnancy spacing in an urban setting. Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health 2004; 58:476–480.
- 8. Ministry of Social Affairs. Family and Child Survey (PAPFAM). Beirut 2006. Site www.socialaffairs.gov.lb/files/PapfamreportEn.pdf
- 9. Saadé G, Abou Jaoude S, Afifi R, Warren CW, Jones NR. Patterns of tobacco use: Results from the 2006 Global Youth Tobacco Survey in Lebanon. East Mediterranean Health J 2008; 14:1280-1289.
- **10.** Nuwayhid IA, Yamout B, AzarG and Kambris MA. Water-pipe (hubble-bubble) smoking, low-birth weight and other pregnancy outcomes. American J of Epidemiology 1998; 148:375-383.
- 11. Turkmani A. [Narghilé : A tradition which resists time]. Al-Balad (daily Lebanese journal) 2004; 347:17.
- **12.** World Health Organization. Global Youth Health Survey (GYHS)- Lebanon 2005. Site www.who.int/chp/gshs/2007_Lebanon_GSHS_Country_Report.pdf
- 13. Weinberg NZ, Rahdert E, Colliver JD, Glanz MD. Adolescent substance abuse: A review of the past 10 years. J American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry 1998; 37:252-261.
- **14.** Zoughaib SS, Adib SM, Jabbour J. Prevalence and determinants of water pipe or narghile use among students in Beirut's southern suburbs. Lebanese Medical Journal 2004; 52:143-148.

TABLE 1

SOCIODEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS OF STUDENTS
SURVEYED IN MEDSPAD- LEBANON (N= 1097)*

VARIABLES	n (%)
SEX Boys Girls	500 (45.6) 597 (54.4)
AGE (mean=14.6 years ; SD= 1.1 ; interval 12-19) ≤ 13 14 15 16 >16	72 (6.6) 526 (47.9) 312 (28.4) 120 (10.9) 67 (6.1)
DISTRICTS ("MOHAFAZATS") Beirut Mount-Lebanon North South Bekaa	225 (20.5) 232 (21.1) 215 (19.6) 212 (19.3) 213 (19.4)
SCHOOL SYSTEM Public Private	716 (65.3) 361 (34.7)
MOTHER'S EDUCATION Did not go to school Primary level Complementary Secondary University Child did not know	53 (4.8) 240 (21.9) 203 (18.5) 211 (19.2) 203 (18.5) 187 (17.0)
MOTHER'S EMPLOYMENT Full-time Part-time Home-maker	99 (9.0) 104 (9.5) 893 (81.5)
FATHER'S EDUCATION Did not go to school Primary level Complementary Secondary University Child did not know	47 (4.3) 241 (22.0) 174 (15.9) 148 (13.5) 239 (21.8) 248 (22.6)
CPOWDING INDEX (narrang /room)	Mean(SD) [Interval]
CROWDING INDEX (persons/room)	1.6 (0.7) [0.2-7.0]

^{*} Totals vary because of some missing data

TABLE 2 **CIGARETTE SMOKING AMONG STUDENTS SURVEYED IN MEDSPAD-LEBANON (N= 1097)**

VARIABLES	n (%)
CIGARETTES SMOKING IN THE FAMILY	
None	291 (26.5)
Father	613 (55.8)
Mother	432 (39.3)
Siblings	183 (16.6)
SMOKING AMONG FRIENDS (n= 1040)	401 (00 4)
None A few	421 (38.4)
Some	154 (14.0) 237 (21.6)
Almost all	228 (20.8)
	220 (20.0)
PERSONAL CIGARETTE SMOKING	042 (06 0)
Never	943 (86.0)
A few times (<10 times) Often (10 times or more)	111 (10.1) 43 (3.9)
<u>'</u>	43 (3.7)
PLACE WHERE CIGARETTES HAVE BEEN	
SMOKED (n= 154)*	(7 (40 5)
Home Friends house	67 (43.5) 60 (38.9)
Open public places	55 (35.7)
School	12 (7.8)
Restaurants or cafés	54 (35.1)
Others (store, car, etc)	4 (2.6)
PARTNERS IN CIGARETTE SMOKING	
(n=154)*	
Smokes alone	39 (25.3)
Relatives	34 (22.1)
Friends or neighbors	92 (59.7)
Siblings	12 (7.9)
	Mean(SD)
	[Interval]
AMONG THOSE WHO SMOKED OFTEN	
(n=43):	
Number of cigarettes smoked per day**	8 (11) [<1-40]
Age at first cigarette	13 (2) [6-16]
Duration of smoking (in months)	23 (23) [2-96]

Among those who smoked at least once in their lifetime. More than one answer was possible

** Of those, 39.5% do not smoke daily, while 60.5% do so at various degrees

TABLE 3

NARGILEH SMOKING AMONG STUDENTS SURVEYED IN **MEDSPAD-LEBANON (N= 1097)**

NARGILEH SMOKING IN THE FAMILY None 388 (36.3) Father 294 (27.5) Mother 249 (23.3) 3418 (39.1) NARGILEH USE AMONG FRIENDS (n= 1097) None 206 (18.8) 16 (10.6) Some 270 (24.6) Almost all 458 (41.8) PERSONAL NARGILEH SMOKING Never 580 (55.5) 267 (25.6) Often (10 times or more) 198 (18.9) TYPES OF NARGILEH FREQUENTLY USED (n=465)* 347 (78.7) 75 (17.0) PLACES WHERE NARGILEH HAS BEEN SMOKED (n=465)* 107 (23.0) Restaurants or cafés 233 (50.1) PARTINERS IN NARGILEH SMOKING (n=465)* Smokes alone 17 (3.7) Relatives 250 (53.8) Friends or neighbors 290 (62.3) Siblings 203 (43.7) AMONG THOSE WHO SMOKED NARGILEH OFTEN (n= 198)** Number of nargileh heads smoked per week Age at first nargileh Age at first nargileh 14 (2) [8-17] Duration of smoking (in months) 23 (1911-153)	VARIABLES		
Father Mother			
Mother 249 (23.3) Siblings 418 (39.1) NARGILEH USE AMONG FRIENDS (n=1097) None 206 (18.8) A few 116 (10.6) Some 270 (24.6) Almost all 458 (41.8) PERSONAL NARGILEH SMOKING Never 580 (55.5) A few times (<10 times)	<td></td> <td></td>		
Siblings			
NARGILEH USE AMONG FRIENDS (n= 1097) None A few A few Some Almost all PERSONAL NARGILEH SMOKING Never A few times (<10 times) Often (10 times or more) TYPES OF NARGILEH FREQUENTLY USED (n=465)* Ajami Mouassal Mouassal Mouassal Mouassal Mouassal Moybright Smoke regularly PLACES WHERE NARGILEH HAS BEEN SMOKED (n=465)* Home Friends house Open public places Priends house Open public places Restaurants or cafés PARTNERS IN NARGILEH SMOKING (n=465)* Smokes alone Relatives Friends or neighbors Siblings AMONG THOSE WHO SMOKED NARGILEH OFTEN (n= 198)** Number of nargileh heads smoked per week Age at first nargileh 4 (5) [<1-30] A (2) [8-17]		, , ,	
1097) None		410 (39.1)	
None	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
A few Some 270 (24.6) Almost all 270 (24.6) Almost all 458 (41.8) PERSONAL NARGILEH SMOKING Never 580 (55.5) A few times (<10 times) 267 (25.6) Often (10 times or more) 198 (18.9) TYPES OF NARGILEH FREQUENTLY USED (n=465)* Ajami 19 (4.3) Mouassal 347 (78.7) Doesn't smoke regularly 75 (17.0) PLACES WHERE NARGILEH HAS BEEN SMOKED (n=465)* Home 272 (58.5) Friends house 214 (46.0) Open public places 214 (46.0) Open public places 233 (50.1) PARTNERS IN NARGILEH SMOKING (n=465)* Smokes alone 17 (3.7) Relatives 250 (53.8) Friends or neighbors 290 (62.3) Siblings 203 (43.7) AMONG THOSE WHO SMOKED NARGILEH OFTEN (n= 198)** Number of nargileh heads smoked per week A (5) [<1-30] Age at first nargileh		206 (18.8)	
Almost all 458 (41.8) PERSONAL NARGILEH SMOKING Never			
PERSONAL NARGILEH SMOKING 580 (55.5) Never 580 (55.5) A few times (<10 times)			
Never	Almost all	458 (41.8)	
A few times (<10 times) Often (10 times or more) TYPES OF NARGILEH FREQUENTLY USED (n=465)* Ajami Mouassal Doesn't smoke regularly PLACES WHERE NARGILEH HAS BEEN SMOKED (n=465)* Home Friends house Open public places Restaurants or cafés PARTNERS IN NARGILEH SMOKING (n=465)* Smokes alone Relatives Friends or neighbors Siblings AMONG THOSE WHO SMOKED NARGILEH OFTEN (n= 198)** Number of nargileh heads smoked per week Age at first nargileh 19 (4.3) 19 (4.3) 347 (78.7) 75 (17.0) P2 (58.5) 272 (58.5) 272 (58.5) 274 (46.0) 107 (23.0) 275 (58.5) 276 (58.5) 277 (3.7) 278 (23.7) Mean(SD) [Interval] AMONG THOSE WHO SMOKED NARGILEH OFTEN (n= 198)** Number of nargileh heads smoked per week Age at first nargileh			
Often (10 times or more) 198 (18.9) TYPES OF NARGILEH FREQUENTLY USED (n=465)* 19 (4.3) Ajami 19 (4.3) Mouassal 347 (78.7) Doesn't smoke regularly 75 (17.0) PLACES WHERE NARGILEH HAS BEEN SMOKED (n=465)* 272 (58.5) Friends house 214 (46.0) Open public places 107 (23.0) Restaurants or cafés 233 (50.1) PARTNERS IN NARGILEH SMOKING (n=465)* 17 (3.7) Smokes alone 17 (3.7) Relatives 250 (53.8) Friends or neighbors 290 (62.3) Siblings 203 (43.7) AMONG THOSE WHO SMOKED NARGILEH OFTEN (n= 198)** Number of nargileh heads smoked per week Age at first nargileh 4 (5) [<1-30]	1 - 1 - 1 - 1		
TYPES OF NARGILEH FREQUENTLY USED (n=465)* Ajami			
(n=465)* 19 (4.3) Ajami 347 (78.7) Doesn't smoke regularly 75 (17.0) PLACES WHERE NARGILEH HAS BEEN SMOKED (n=465)* 272 (58.5) Friends house 214 (46.0) Open public places 107 (23.0) Restaurants or cafés 233 (50.1) PARTNERS IN NARGILEH SMOKING (n=465)* 17 (3.7) Smokes alone 17 (3.7) Relatives 250 (53.8) Friends or neighbors 290 (62.3) Siblings 203 (43.7) AMONG THOSE WHO SMOKED NARGILEH OFTEN (n= 198)** Number of nargileh heads smoked per week Age at first nargileh 4 (5) [<1-30]		196 (16.9)	
Ajami			
Mouassal 347 (78.7) Doesn't smoke regularly 75 (17.0) PLACES WHERE NARGILEH HAS BEEN SMOKED (n=465)* SMOKED (n=465)* 272 (58.5) Friends house 214 (46.0) Open public places 107 (23.0) Restaurants or cafés 233 (50.1) PARTNERS IN NARGILEH SMOKING (n=465)* Smokes alone 17 (3.7) Relatives 250 (53.8) Friends or neighbors 290 (62.3) Siblings 203 (43.7) Mean(SD) [Interval] AMONG THOSE WHO SMOKED NARGILEH OFTEN (n= 198)** Number of nargileh heads smoked per week A (5) [<1-30]		19 (4-3)	
Doesn't smoke regularly 75 (17.0)	1 7	` ,	
SMOKED (n=465)* Home 272 (58.5) Friends house 214 (46.0) Open public places 107 (23.0) Restaurants or cafés 233 (50.1) PARTNERS IN NARGILEH SMOKING (n=465)* 17 (3.7) Smokes alone 250 (53.8) Friends or neighbors 290 (62.3) Siblings 203 (43.7) AMONG THOSE WHO SMOKED NARGILEH OFTEN (n= 198)** Mean(SD) [Interval] Number of nargileh heads smoked per week 4 (5) [<1-30]	Doesn't smoke regularly	` ,	
Home			
Friends house Open public places Restaurants or cafés 107 (23.0) 233 (50.1) PARTNERS IN NARGILEH SMOKING (n=465)* Smokes alone Relatives Friends or neighbors Siblings 17 (3.7) 250 (53.8) 290 (62.3) 290 (62.3) 203 (43.7) Mean(SD) [Interval] AMONG THOSE WHO SMOKED NARGILEH OFTEN (n= 198)** Number of nargileh heads smoked per week Age at first nargileh 4 (5) [<1-30] 14 (2) [8-17]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	272 (58.5)	
Open public places 107 (23.0) Restaurants or cafés 233 (50.1) PARTNERS IN NARGILEH SMOKING (n=465)* 17 (3.7) Smokes alone 250 (53.8) Relatives 250 (53.8) Friends or neighbors 290 (62.3) Siblings 203 (43.7) AMONG THOSE WHO SMOKED Mean(SD) [Interval] NARGILEH OFTEN (n= 198)** 4 (5) [<1-30]		, , ,	
PARTNERS IN NARGILEH SMOKING (n=465)* Smokes alone Relatives Friends or neighbors Siblings AMONG THOSE WHO SMOKED NARGILEH OFTEN (n= 198)** Number of nargileh heads smoked per week Age at first nargileh AGE 17 (3.7) 250 (53.8) 290 (62.3) 203 (43.7) Mean(SD) [Interval] 4 (5) [<1-30] 14 (2) [8-17]			
Smokes alone 17 (3.7) Relatives 250 (53.8) Friends or neighbors 290 (62.3) Siblings 203 (43.7) Mean(SD) [Interval] AMONG THOSE WHO SMOKED NARGILEH OFTEN (n= 198)** 4 (5) [<1-30]	Restaurants or cafés	233 (50.1)	
Relatives Friends or neighbors Siblings 250 (53.8) 290 (62.3) 203 (43.7) Mean(SD) [Interval] AMONG THOSE WHO SMOKED NARGILEH OFTEN (n= 198)** Number of nargileh heads smoked per week Age at first nargileh 4 (5) [<1-30] 14 (2) [8-17]			
Friends or neighbors Siblings 290 (62.3) 203 (43.7) Mean(SD) [Interval] AMONG THOSE WHO SMOKED NARGILEH OFTEN (n= 198)** Number of nargileh heads smoked per week Age at first nargileh 4 (5) [<1-30] 14 (2) [8-17]			
Siblings 203 (43.7) Mean(SD) [Interval] AMONG THOSE WHO SMOKED NARGILEH OFTEN (n= 198)** Number of nargileh heads smoked per week Age at first nargileh 4 (5) [<1-30] 14 (2) [8-17]			
AMONG THOSE WHO SMOKED NARGILEH OFTEN (n= 198)** Number of nargileh heads smoked per week Age at first nargileh Mean(SD) [Interval] 4 (5) [<1-30] 14 (2) [8-17]		, , ,	
AMONG THOSE WHO SMOKED NARGILEH OFTEN (n= 198)** Number of nargileh heads smoked per week Age at first nargileh [Interval] 4 (5) [<1-30] 14 (2) [8-17]	Sionings		
NARGILEH OFTEN (n= 198)** Number of nargileh heads smoked per week Age at first nargileh 4 (5) [<1-30] 14 (2) [8-17]		` '	
Number of nargileh heads smoked per week 4 (5) [<1-30] Age at first nargileh 14 (2) [8-17]			
Age at first nargileh 14 (2) [8-17]		4 (E) [-1 20]	
Duration of smoking (in months) 14 (2) [0-17]			
	Duration of smoking (in months)	23 (19) [1-153]	

^{*} Among those who smoked at least once in their lifetime.
** Of those, 31.3% do not smoke weekly, while 68.7% do so at various degrees.

TABLE 4

COMBINED CIGARETTE AND NARGILEH SMOKING BEHAVIORS
AMONG STUDENTS SURVEYED IN MEDSPAD-LEBANON
(N= 1097)

BEHAVIORS	n (%)	n (%)	Total
NARGILEH SMOKING Cigarettes >9 times Cigarettes ≤9 times Total OR (95% CI) >9 times	> 9 times 27 (14.8) 155 (85.2) 182	≤ 9 times 16 (1.7) 899 (98.3) 915	43 (3.9) 1054 (96.1) 1097 9.8 (5.0-19.5)
NARGILEH SMOKING Cigarettes smoked at home Cigarettes smoked elsewhere Total OR (95% CI)	At home 42 (56.0) 33 (44.0) 75	Elsewhere 15 (25.9) 43 (74.1) 58	57 (42.9) 76 (57.1) 133 3.7 (1.6- 8.3)

TABLE 5

ALCOHOL USE AMONG STUDENTS SURVEYED
IN MEDSPAD-LEBANON (N= 1097)

VARIABLES	n (%)
ALCOHOL USE IN THE FAMILY	
None	688 (62.7)
Father Mother	304 (27.7)
Siblings	149 (13.6) 167 (15.2)
ALCOHOL USE AMONG FRIENDS	107 (10.2)
None	526 (47.9)
A few	136 (12.4)
Some	133 (12.1)
Almost all	180 (16.4)
Unsure	122 (11.1)
PERSONAL ALCOHOL USE Ever	380 (34.6)
In the previous 12 months	313 (28.5)
In the previous 4 weeks	215 (20.6)
MOST COMMON ALCOHOLIC DRINKS*	
Beer	230 (60.5)
Vodka	62 (16.3)
Whisky Wine	51 (13.4) 50 (13.2)
Arack	39 (10.3)
BEER USE IN THE PREVIOUS 4 WEEKS	, ,
Never	915 (83.4)
A few times (1-2 times)	89 (8.1)
Occasionally (3-9 times) Often (>9 times)	39 (3.6) 54 (4.9)
	J4 (4.9)
FREQUENCY IN THE PREVIOUS 4 WEEKS (n=182)	
A few times (1-2 times)	89 (48.9)
Occasionally (3-9 times)	39 (21.4)
Often (>9 times)	54 (29.7)
VODKA USE IN THE PREVIOUS 4 WEEKS Never	1033 (04.9)
A few times (1-2 times)	1033 (94.2) 30 (2.7)
Occasionally (3-9 times)	14 (1.3)
Often (>9 times)	20 (1.8)
FREQUENCY IN THE PREVIOUS 4 WEEKS (n= 64)	
A few times (1-2 times)	30 (46.9)
Occasionally (3-9 times)	14 (21.9)
Often (>9 times)	20 (31.2)

Table 5 (continued)

VARIABLES	n (%)
WHISKY USE IN THE PREVIOUS 4 WEEKS Never A few times (1-2 times) Occasionally (3-9 times) Often (>9 times)	1015 (92.5) 49 (4.5) 13 (1.2) 20 (1.8)
FREQUENCY IN THE PREVIOUS 4 WEEKS A few times (1-2 times) Occasionally (3-9 times) Often (>9 times)	49 (59.8) 13 (15.6) 20 (24.6)
WINE USE IN THE PREVIOUS 4 WEEKS Never A few times (1-2 times) Occasionally (3-9 times) Often (>9 times)	1039 (94.7) 31 (2.8) 8 (0.8) 19 (1.7)
FREQUENCY IN THE PREVIOUS 4 WEEKS A few times (1-2 times) Occasionally (3-9 times) Often (>9 times)	31 (53.4) 8 (13.8) 19 (32.8)
ARACK USE IN THE PREVIOUS 4 WEEKS Never A few times (1-2 times) Occasionally (3-9 times) Often (>9 times)	1027 (93.6) 38 (3.5) 14 (1.3) 18 (1.6)
FREQUENCY IN THE PREVIOUS 4 WEEKS A few times (1-2 times) Occasionally (3-9 times) Often (>9 times)	38 (54.3) 14 (20.0) 18 (39.7)
DRINKS ASSOCIATED WITH BEER (n=337)* Only beer Beer + one other alcoholic drink Beer + 2 or more drinks	65 (19.3) 15 (4.5) 216 (64.1)
DRINKS ASSOCIATED WITH VODKA (n=128)* Only vodka** Vodka + one more alcoholic drink Vodka + 2 or more drinks	5 (3.9) 7 (5.5) 114 (89.1)
DRINKS ASSOCIATED WITH ARACK (n=206)* Only arack Arack + one more alcoholic drink Arack + 2 or more drinks	5 (2.4) 8 (3.9) 186 (90.3)

Table 5 (continued)

VARIABLES	n (%)
PLACE OF ALCOHOL USE (n=380)*	
Home	270 (71.1)
Friends house	136 (35.8)
Open public places	85 (22.4)
Restaurants or cafés	192 (50.5)
PARTNERS IN ALCOHOL USE (n=380)*	
Drinks alone	46 (12.1)
Relatives	225 (59.2)
Friends or neighbors	178 (46.8)
Siblings	148 (38.9)
FREQUENCY OF DRUNKEN EPISODES	
(n= 380)*	
At least once in a lifetime	102 (26.8)
At least once in the previous 12 months	66 (17.4)
At least once in the previous 4 weeks	19 (5.0)
DRINKS ASSOCIATED WITH BEER	
(n=337)*	65 (19.3)
Only beer	15 (4.5)
Beer + one other alcoholic drink	216 (64.1)
Beer + 2 or more drinks	
FRIENDS GET DRUNK AT LEAST ONCE/	
WEEK	
None	762 (69.5)
A few	98 (8.9)
Some	42 (3.8)
Almost all	33 (3.0)
Unsure	162 (14.8)

Among those who had used alcohol at least once in a lifetime. Ways vodka is used include: pure, with soda (such as 7Up), in cocktails (Hawaii, Jamaika), with fruit juice, with Energy drinks (such as Red Bull)

TABLE 6

KNOWLEDGE AND USE OF VARIOUS DRUGS AMONG
STUDENTS IN MEDSPAD-LEBANON (N= 1097)

Yes (%)	Gross	Valid*
EVER HEARING ABOUT HASHISH	941 (85.8)	834 (85.1)
KNOWING SOMEONE WHO USES HASHISH	246 (22.4)	193 (19.7)
EVER USING HASHISH	42 (3.8)	24 (2.4)
FRIENDS WHO USE HASHISH None A few Some Almost all Unsure	820 (74.7) 52 (4.7) 30 (2.7) 25 (2.3) 170 (15.5)	750 (76.5) 39 (4.0) 21 (2.1) 10 (1.0) 160 (16.3)
EVER HEARING ABOUT ECSTASY	194 (17.7)	145 (14.8)
KNOWING SOMEONE WHO USES ECSTASY	68 (6.2)	42 (4.3)
EVER USING ECSTASY	17 (1.5)	3 (0.3)
FRIENDS WHO USE ECSTASY None A few Some Almost all Unsure	644 (58.7) 33 (3.0) 14 (1.3) 17 (1.5) 389 (35.5)	582 (59.5) 24 (2.4) 12 (1.2) 6 (0.6) 355 (36.2)
EVER HEARING ABOUT LSD	206 (18.8)	148 (15.1)
KNOWING SOMEONE WHO USES LSD	26 (2.4)	13 (1.3)
EVER HEARING ABOUT AMPHETAMINES KNOWING SOMEONE WHO USES AMPHETAMINES	198 (18.0) 55 (5.0)	135 (13.8) 36 (3.7)
EVER HEARING ABOUT CRACK	149 (13.6)	92 (9.4)
KNOWING SOMEONE WHO USES CRACK	26 (2.4)	12 (1.2)
EVER HEARING ABOUT COCAINE	895 (81.6)	781 (79.7)
KNOWING SOMEONE WHO USES COCAINE	154 (14.0)	110 (11.2)
EVER HEARING ABOUT HEROIN	737 (67.2)	626 (63.9)
KNOWING SOMEONE WHO USES HEROIN	103 (9.4)	69 (7.0)

Table 6 (continued)

Yes (%)	Gross	Valid*
KNOWING SOMEONE WHO USES TRANQUILLIZERS WITHOUT MEDICAL PRESCRIPTION	120 (10.9)	97 (9.9)
FRIENDS WHO USE TRANQUILIZERS None A few Some Almost all Unsure	796 (72.6) 74 (6.7) 21 (1.9) 25 (2.3) 181 (16.5)	721 (73.5) 68 (6.9) 14 (1.4) 15 (1.5) 162 (16.5)
FRIENDS MIX ALCOHOL AND TRANQUILIZERS None A few Some Almost all Unsure	844 (76.9) 48 (4.4) 13 (1.2) 16 (1.5) 176 (16.0)	776 (79.2) 33 (3.4) 4 (0.4) 9 (0.9) 158 (16.1)
KNOWING SOMEONE WHO SMELLS "THINNER"	88 (8.0)	70 (7.1)
FRIENDS WHO SMELL "THINNER" None A few Some Almost all Unsure	806 (73.5) 53 (4.8) 21 (1.9) 14 (1.3) 203 (18.5)	727 (74.2) 41 (4.2) 19 (1.9) 10 (1.0) 183 (18.7)
LANGUING COMPONIC MILLO CMPLLC "DENZINE"		
KNOWING SOMEONE WHO SMELLS "BENZINE" FRIENDS WHO SMELL "BENZINE" None A few Some Almost all Unsure	129 (11.8) 809 (73.7) 69 (6.3) 36 (3.3) 24 (2.2) 159 (14.5)	109 (11.1) 727 (74.2) 62 (6.3) 30 (3.1) 17 (1.7) 144 (14.7)
KNOWING SOMEONE WHO SMELLS GLUE	55 (5.0)	46 (4.7)
FRIENDS WHO SMELL GLUE None A few Some Almost all Unsure	827 (75.4) 32 (2.9) 10 (0.9) 13 (1.2) 215 (19.6)	742 (75.7) 28 (2.9) 10 (1.0) 8 (0.8) 192 (19.6)
EVER HEARING ABOUT APANOL** KNOWING SOMEONE WHO USES APANOL	117 (10.7) 27 (2.5)	

^{*} Without those who had an invalid response on "Apanol" (n=117)

** Fictitious substance

PREVALENCE OF SELECTED DRUGS AMONG STUDENTS **SURVEYED IN MEDSPAD-LEBANON (N= 1097)**

DRUGS BY DISTRICTS	PREVALENCE (95% CI)
CIGARETTES* Great Beirut Mount-Lebanon North-Lebanon South-Lebanon Bekaa National (unweighted) National (weighted)	6.2 (3.7-8.7) 7.9 (2.6-13.2) 1.9 (0.1-3.7) 1.9 (0.1-3.7) 2.3 (0.3-4.3) 4.0 4.3 (3.1-5.5)
NARGILEH* Great Beirut Mount-Lebanon North-Lebanon South-Lebanon Bekaa National (unweighted) National (weighted)	19.0 (14.9-23.1) 17.3 (9.9-24.7) 12.1 (7.7-16.5) 24.1 (18.3-29.9) 15.5 (10.6-20.4) 17.6 18.1 (15.8-20.4)
HASHISH** Great Beirut Mount-Lebanon North-Lebanon South-Lebanon Bekaa National (unweighted) National (weighted)	5.6 (3.2-8.0) 5.0 (0.7- 9.3) 1.9 (0.1-3.7) 2.4 (0.3-4.5) 3.8 (1.2-6.4) 3.7 4.0 (2.8-5.1)
ECSTASY** Great Beirut Mount-Lebanon North-Lebanon South-Lebanon Bekaa National (unweighted) National (weighted)	2.2 (0.7-3.7) 5.0 (0.7-9.3) 0 0.5 (0.0-1.4) 1.4 (0.0-3.0) 1.8 1.6 (0.9-2.4)
ALCOHOL USE*** Great Beirut Mount-Lebanon North-Lebanon South-Lebanon Bekaa National (unweighted) National (weighted)	48.0 (42.8-53.2) 74.3 (65.8-82.8) 24.7 (18.9-30.5) 11.3 (7.0-15.6) 26.8 (21.9-32.7) 37.0 36.5 (33.6-39.4)

<sup>Prevalence of those who smoked more than 9 times in their life.
Prevalence of those who used it at least once in their life.</sup>

^{***} Prevalence of at least one single lifetime use of alcohol

PREVALENCE BY GENDER AND DISTRICTS OF SELECTED **DRUGS AMONG STUDENTS SURVEYED IN MEDSPAD-LEBANON (N= 1097)**

DRUGS BY DISTRICTS	MALES (%) (95% CI)	FEMALES (%) (95% CI)
CIGARETTES*		
Great Beirut	10.7 (5.8-15.6)	2.9 (0.6-5.2)
Mount-Lebanon	15.9 (5.1-26.7)	1.8 (0.0-5.3)
North-Lebanon	4.0 (0.2-7.8)	0
South-Lebanon	3.6 (0.2-7.0)	0
Bekaa	5.6 (0.9-10.3)	0
National (unweighted)	7.9	0.9
National (weighted)	7.9 (5.5-10.3)	1.3 (0.4-2.3)
NARGILEH*		
Great Beirut	18.0 (12.8-23.2)	14.6 (9.8-19.4)
Mount-Lebanon	20.5 (10.0-31.0)	10.5 (2.5-18.5)
North-Lebanon	14.0 (7.2-20.8)	10.4 (4.8-16.0)
South-Lebanon	28.6 (20.3-36.9)	19.2 (11.4-27.0)
Bekaa	21.1 (12.8-29.4)	11.7 (5.9-17.5)
National (unweighted)	20.2	13.3
National (weighted)	19.8 (16.3-23.2)	14.0 (11.2-16.8)
HASHISH**		
Great Beirut	8.7 (4.2-13.2)	3.4 (0.9-5.9)
Mount-Lebanon	11.4 (2.0-20.8)	0
North-Lebanon	2.0 (0.0-4.7)	1.7 (0.0-4.1)
South-Lebanon	0.9 (0.0-2.6)	4.0 (0.1-7.9)
Bekaa	6.5 (1.5-11.5)	1.7 (0.0-4.0)
National (unweighted)	5.9	2.2
National (weighted)	5.9 (3.8-7.9)	2.7 (1.4-4.0)
ECSTASY**		
Great Beirut	5.3 (1.7-8.9)	0
Mount-Lebanon	11.4 (2.0-20.8)	0
North-Lebanon	0	0
South-Lebanon	0.9 (0.0-2.6)	0
Bekaa	3.2 (0.0-6.8)	0
National (unweighted)	4.2	0
National (weighted)	3.8 (2.1-5.4)	0
ALCOHOL USE***		
Great Beirut	55.3 (54.3-56.3)	37.9 (31.3-44.5)
Mount-Lebanon	90.9 (82.4-99.4)	56.1 (43.2-69.0)
North-Lebanon	37.0 (27.5-46.5)	12.2 (6.2-18.2)
South-Lebanon	17.7 (10.7-24.7)	3.0 (0.0-6.4)
Bekaa	29.0 (19.8-38.2)	22.5 (15-30.0)
National (unweighted)	46.4	26.3
National (weighted)	45.0 (40.7-49.4)	26.1 (22.5-29.6)

<sup>Prevalence of those who smoked more than 9 times in their life.
Prevalence of those who used it at least once in their life.</sup>

^{***} Prevalence of at least one single lifetime use of alcohol

PREVALENCE BY AGE AND DISTRICTS OF SELECTED DRUGS AMONG STUDENTS SURVEYED IN MEDSPAD-LEBANON (N= 1097)

27.5 (13.7-41.3) 50.0 (15.4-84.6) 27.9 (16.6-39.2) 25.6 (11.9-39.3) 43.6 (28.0-59.2) 34.9 31.1 (24.4-37.7) 15.0 (9.9-20.1) 4.9 (0.0-10.3) 5.1 (0.0-12.0) 22.5 (9.6-35.4) 37.5 (4.0-71.0) 16 years (%) 2.6 (0.0-7.6) (95% CI) 21.6 (17.0-26.2) 8.8 (1.4-16.2) 28.6 (17.4-39.8) 15.9 (6.9-24.9) 26.5 (17.8-35.2) 19.4 (5.5-33.3) 8.2 (2.8-13.6) 6.5 (0.0-15.2) 4.4(2.1-6.7)15 years (%) 4.8(0.0-10.1)(95% CI) 20.8 (12.9-28.7) 5.0 (0.7-9.3) 9.5 10.3 (7.7-12.9) 10.2 (5.7-14.7) 7.5 (3.6-14.6) 4.2 (0.2-8.2) 14 years (%) (95% CI) 2.3 (0.1-4.5) 5.7 (0.0-11.9) 1.0 (0.0-3.0) 2.0 (0.0-4.7) 2.2 (0.9-3.4) 1.0(0.0-3.0)8.4 (2.0-14.8) 22.2 (0.0-49.4) 11.1 (0.0-31.6) 9.1 (0.0-26.1) 9.4 1.0(0.0-3.2)13 years (%) (95% CI) 4.8(0.0-11.3)2.4 (0.0-7.0) DRUGS BY DISTRICTS National (unweighted) National (unweighted) National (weighted) National (weighted) Mount-Lebanon Mount-Lebanon CIGARETTES North-Lebanon South-Lebanon North-Lebanon South-Lebanon NARGILEH* Great Beirut Great Beirut Bekaa

TABLE 9 (cont)

DRUGS BY DISTRICTS	13 years (%) (95% CI)	14 years (%) (95% CI)	15 years (%) (95% CI)	16 years (%) (95% CI)
HASHISH**	2.4 (0.0-7.0)	5.1 (1.8-8.4)	5.1 (0.7-9.5)	12.5 (2.3-22.7)
Great Beitut Mount-Lebanon	00	2.7 (0.0-11.3) 2.1 (0.0-5.0)	3.5 (0.0-8.3)	0.66-0.0) 0.62
North-Lebanon	0	3.0 (0.0-6.3)	3.2 (0.0-7.5)	0
South-Lebanon	0 0	1.0 (0.0-3.0)	7.9 (1.2-14.6)	5.1 (0.0-12.0)
Dekaa National (unweighted) National (weighted)	1.0 (0.0-3.2)	3.7 (2.1-5.3)	4.2 (2.0-6.4)	8.0 (4.1-11.9)
ECSTASY**				
Great Beirut	0	1.7 (0.0-3.6)	1.0 (0.0-3.0)	10.0 (0.7-19.3)
Mount-Lebanon	0	3.8 (0.0-8.9)	3.2 (0.0-9.4)	25.0 (0.0-55.0)
North-Lebanon	0	0	0	0
South-Lebanon	0	1.0 (0.0-2.9)	0	0
Bekaa	0	0	3.2 (0.0-7.5)	2.6 (0.0-7.6)
National (unweighted)	0 0	1.3	1.5	7.5
National (Weignted)	0	1.5 (0.5-2.2)	1.0 (0.0-2.2)	6.8 (3.2-10.4)
ALCOHOL USE***	28.6 (14.9-42.3)	44.9 (37.6-52.2)	51.0 (41.1-60.9)	50.0 (34.5-65.5)
Great Beirut	22.2 (0.0-49.4)	81.1 (70.6-91.6)	96.7 (90.4-100.0)	75.0 (45.0-100.0)
Mount-Lebanon	0	33.3 (23.9-42.7)	19.3 (9.1-29.5)	11.5 (3.5-19.5)
North-Lebanon	100	12.9 (6.4-19.4)	7.9 (1.2-14.6)	12.8 (2.3-23.3)
South-Lebanon	9.1 (0.0-26.1)	19.0 (11.3-26.7)	25.4 (14.7-36.1)	46.2 (30.6-61.8)
Bekaa	32.0	38.2	40.0	39.1
National (unweighted) National (weighted)	34.6 (23.6-45.6)	37.2 (33.1-41.3)	38.0 (32.7-43.4)	37.0 (30.1-43.9)

^{*} Prevalence of those who smoked more than 9 times in their life. ** Prevalence of those who used it at least once in their life. *** Prevalence of at least one single lifetime use of alcohol

PREVALENCE BY SCHOOL TYPE AND DISTRICTS OF SELECTED DRUGS AMONG STUDENTS SURVEYED IN **MEDSPAD-LEBANON (N= 1097)**

CIGARETTES* Great Beirut Mount-Lebanon South-Lebanon South-Lebanon RAGILEH* Great Beirut Mount-Lebanon South-Lebanon Rount-Lebanon South-Lebanon RAGILEH* Great Beirut Mount-Lebanon South-Lebanon South-Lebanon Rount-Lebanon Rount-Rou	DRUGS BY DIST RICTS	PUBLIC (%) (95% CI)	PRIVATE (%) (95% CI)
Great Beirut 7.8 (4.2-11.4) 3.6 (0.5-6.7) Mount-Lebanon 12.2 (2.2-22.2) 5.0 (0.0-10.5) North-Lebanon 2.9 (0.1-5.7) 0 South-Lebanon 2.9 (0.1-5.7) 0 Bekaa 3.3 (0.5-6.1) 0 National (unweighted) 5.6 2.1 National (weighted) 5.6 (3.9-7.3) 2.4 (0.8-3.9) NARGILEH* 6reat Beirut 18.9 (13.7-24.1) 11.5 (6.2-16.8) Mount-Lebanon 31.7 (17.5-45.9) 3.3 (0.0-7.8) North-Lebanon 13.8 (8.6-19.0) 6.2 (0.0-13.0) South-Lebanon 26.1 (18.8-33.4) 20.3 (11.1-29.5) Sekaa 20.9 (14.5-27.3) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) National (unweighted) 22.3 8.6 National (unweighted) 20.8 (17.8-23.8) 10.4 (7.3-13.5) HASHISH** 6.9 (3.5-10.3) 3.6 (0.5-6.7) Mount-Lebanon 9.8 (0.7-18.9) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) North-Lebanon 1.8 (0.0-3.8) 2.1 (0.0-6.2) National (unweighted) 4.9 2.6 National (weighted)	CIGARETTES*		(10) (2010-24)
Mount-Lebanon 12.2 (2.2-22.2) 5.0 (0.0-10.5) North-Lebanon 1.8 (0.0-3.8) 2.1 (0.0-6.2) South-Lebanon 2.9 (0.1-5.7) 0 Bekaa 3.3 (0.5-6.1) 0 National (unweighted) 5.6 2.1 National (weighted) 5.6 (3.9-7.3) 2.4 (0.8-3.9) NARGILEH* 18.9 (13.7-24.1) 11.5 (6.2-16.8) Great Beirut 13.7 (17.5-45.9) 3.3 (0.0-7.8) North-Lebanon 13.8 (8.6-19.0) 6.2 (0.0-13.0) South-Lebanon 26.1 (18.8-33.4) 20.3 (11.1-29.5) Bekaa 20.9 (14.5-27.3) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) National (unweighted) 22.3 8.6 National (weighted) 20.8 (17.8-23.8) 10.4 (7.3-13.5) HASHISH** 6.9 (3.5-10.3) 3.6 (0.5-6.7) Great Beirut 6.9 (3.5-10.3) 3.6 (0.5-6.7) Mount-Lebanon 1.8 (0.0-3.8) 2.1 (0.0-6.2) National (unweighted) 4.9 2.6 National (unweighted) 4.9 2.6 National (weighted) 4.8 (3.3-6.4)		7.8 (4.2-11.4)	3.6 (0.5-6.7)
South-Lebanon 2.9 (0.1-5.7) 0 Bekaa 3.3 (0.5-6.1) 0 National (unweighted) 5.6 2.1 Natronal (weighted) 5.6 (3.9-7.3) 2.4 (0.8-3.9) NARGILEH* 18.9 (13.7-24.1) 11.5 (6.2-16.8) Great Beirut 31.7 (17.5-45.9) 3.3 (0.0-7.8) Mount-Lebanon 31.7 (17.5-45.9) 3.3 (0.0-7.8) South-Lebanon 26.1 (18.8-33.4) 20.3 (11.1-29.5) Bekaa 20.9 (14.5-27.3) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) National (unweighted) 22.3 8.6 National (weighted) 20.8 (17.8-23.8) 10.4 (7.3-13.5) HASHISH** 6.9 (3.5-10.3) 3.6 (0.5-6.7) Mount-Lebanon 9.8 (0.7-18.9) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) North-Lebanon 1.8 (0.0-3.8) 2.1 (0.0-6.2) South-Lebanon 1.4 (0.0-3.4) 4.1 (0.0-8.6) National (unweighted) 4.9 2.6 National (weighted) 4.8 (3.3-6.4) 3.0 (1.3-4.7) ECSTASY** 7 7 (0.0-5.0) Great Beirut 2.8 (0.6-5.0) 1.4			
Bekaa National (unweighted) S.6 2.1 0 1.5 0 2.4 (0.8-3.9)	North-Lebanon	1.8 (0.0-3.8)	2.1 (0.0-6.2)
National (unweighted) 5.6 2.1 NARGILEH* 5.6 (3.9-7.3) 2.4 (0.8-3.9) Great Beirut 18.9 (13.7-24.1) 11.5 (6.2-16.8) Mount-Lebanon 31.7 (17.5-45.9) 3.3 (0.0-7.8) North-Lebanon 13.8 (8.6-19.0) 6.2 (0.0-13.0) South-Lebanon 26.1 (18.8-33.4) 20.3 (11.1-29.5) Bekaa 20.9 (14.5-27.3) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) National (unweighted) 22.3 8.6 National (weighted) 20.8 (17.8-23.8) 10.4 (7.3-13.5) HASHISH** 6.9 (3.5-10.3) 3.6 (0.5-6.7) Great Beirut 6.9 (3.5-10.3) 3.6 (0.5-6.7) Mount-Lebanon 9.8 (0.7-18.9) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) North-Lebanon 1.8 (0.0-3.8) 2.1 (0.0-6.2) South-Lebanon 4.6 (1.7-7.9) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) National (unweighted) 4.8 (3.3-6.4) 3.0 (1.3-4.7) ECSTASY** 3.0 (1.3-4.7) Great Beirut 2.8 (0.6-5.0) 1.4 (0.0-3.4) Mount-Lebanon 0 1.4 (0.0-4.1) Bekaa 2.0 (0.0-4.2)			•
National (weighted) 5.6 (3.9-7.3) 2.4 (0.8-3.9) NARGILEH* 18.9 (13.7-24.1) 11.5 (6.2-16.8) Mount-Lebanon 31.7 (17.5-45.9) 3.3 (0.0-7.8) North-Lebanon 26.1 (18.8-33.4) 20.3 (11.1-29.5) Bekaa 20.9 (14.5-27.3) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) National (unweighted) 22.3 8.6 National (weighted) 20.8 (17.8-23.8) 10.4 (7.3-13.5) HASHISH** 6.9 (3.5-10.3) 3.6 (0.5-6.7) Mount-Lebanon 9.8 (0.7-18.9) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) North-Lebanon 1.8 (0.0-3.8) 2.1 (0.0-6.2) North-Lebanon 1.8 (0.0-3.4) 4.1 (0.0-8.6) Bekaa 4.6 (1.7-7.9) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) National (unweighted) 4.9 2.6 National (weighted) 4.8 (3.3-6.4) 3.0 (1.3-4.7) ECSTASY** 2.8 (0.6-5.0) 1.4 (0.0-3.4) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) North-Lebanon 0 0 0 0 North-Lebanon 0 1.4 (0.0-4.1) 0 0 National (unweighted) 2.9 <			-
NARGILEH* Great Beirut 18.9 (13.7-24.1) 11.5 (6.2-16.8) Mount-Lebanon 31.7 (17.5-45.9) 3.3 (0.0-7.8) North-Lebanon 26.1 (18.8-33.4) 20.3 (11.1-29.5) Bekaa 20.9 (14.5-27.3) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) National (unweighted) 22.3 8.6 National (weighted) 20.8 (17.8-23.8) 10.4 (7.3-13.5) HASHISH** 6.9 (3.5-10.3) 3.6 (0.5-6.7) Mount-Lebanon 9.8 (0.7-18.9) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) North-Lebanon 1.8 (0.0-3.8) 2.1 (0.0-6.2) South-Lebanon 1.4 (0.0-3.4) 4.1 (0.0-8.6) Bekaa 4.6 (1.7-7.9) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) National (unweighted) 4.9 2.6 National (weighted) 4.8 (3.3-6.4) 3.0 (1.3-4.7) ECSTASY** 6.2 (0.0-4.2) 0.0 0.0 Forat Beirut 2.8 (0.6-5.0) 1.4 (0.0-3.4) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) North-Lebanon 0 0.1 (0.0-4.1) 0.0 North-Lebanon 0 1.4 (0.0-4.1) 0.0 North-Lebanon<			
Great Beirut 18.9 (13.7-24.1) 11.5 (6.2-16.8) Mount-Lebanon 31.7 (17.5-45.9) 3.3 (0.0-7.8) North-Lebanon 26.1 (18.8-33.4) 20.3 (11.1-29.5) Bekaa 20.9 (14.5-27.3) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) National (unweighted) 22.3 8.6 National (weighted) 20.8 (17.8-23.8) 10.4 (7.3-13.5) HASHISH** Great Beirut 6.9 (3.5-10.3) 3.6 (0.5-6.7) Mount-Lebanon 9.8 (0.7-18.9) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) North-Lebanon 1.8 (0.0-3.8) 2.1 (0.0-6.2) South-Lebanon 1.4 (0.0-3.4) 4.1 (0.0-8.6) Bekaa 4.6 (1.7-7.9) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) National (unweighted) 4.8 (3.3-6.4) 3.0 (1.3-4.7) ECSTASY** Great Beirut 2.8 (0.6-5.0) 1.4 (0.0-3.4) Mount-Lebanon 9.8 (0.7-18.9) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) North-Lebanon 0 0 North-Lebanon 0 1.4 (0.0-4.1) Bekaa 2.0 (0.0-4.2) 0 National (unweighted) 2.3 (1.2-3.		5.6 (3.9-7.3)	2.4 (0.8-3.9)
Mount-Lebanon 31.7 (17.5-45.9) 3.3 (0.0-7.8) North-Lebanon 13.8 (8.6-19.0) 6.2 (0.0-13.0) South-Lebanon 26.1 (18.8-33.4) 20.3 (11.1-29.5) Bekaa 20.9 (14.5-27.3) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) National (unweighted) 22.3 8.6 National (weighted) 20.8 (17.8-23.8) 10.4 (7.3-13.5) HASHISH** Great Beirut 6.9 (3.5-10.3) 3.6 (0.5-6.7) Mount-Lebanon 9.8 (0.7-18.9) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) North-Lebanon 1.8 (0.0-3.8) 2.1 (0.0-6.2) South-Lebanon 1.4 (0.0-3.4) 4.1 (0.0-8.6) Bekaa 4.6 (1.7-7.9) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) National (unweighted) 4.9 2.6 National (weighted) 4.8 (3.3-6.4) 3.0 (1.3-4.7) ECSTASY** 5 1.4 (0.0-3.4) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) North-Lebanon 0 0 0 North-Lebanon 0 0 0 National (unweighted) 2.9 0.9 National (weighted) 2.3 (1.2-3.4) 1.0 (0			
North-Lebanon 13.8 (8.6-19.0) 6.2 (0.0-13.0) South-Lebanon 26.1 (18.8-33.4) 20.3 (11.1-29.5) Bekaa 20.9 (14.5-27.3) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) National (unweighted) 20.8 (17.8-23.8) 10.4 (7.3-13.5) HASHISH** 6.9 (3.5-10.3) 3.6 (0.5-6.7) Mount-Lebanon 9.8 (0.7-18.9) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) North-Lebanon 1.8 (0.0-3.8) 2.1 (0.0-6.2) North-Lebanon 1.4 (0.0-3.4) 4.1 (0.0-8.6) Bekaa 4.6 (1.7-7.9) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) National (unweighted) 4.9 2.6 National (weighted) 4.8 (3.3-6.4) 3.0 (1.3-4.7) ECSTASY** 3.0 (1.3-4.7) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) Great Beirut 2.8 (0.6-5.0) 1.4 (0.0-3.4) Mount-Lebanon 0 0 North-Lebanon 0 1.4 (0.0-4.1) Bekaa 2.0 (0.0-4.2) 0 National (unweighted) 2.9 0.9 National (weighted) 2.3 (1.2-3.4) 1.0 (0.0-2.0) ALCOHOL USE*** 42.9 (36.3-49.5) 48.9 (4		,	
South-Lebanon 26.1 (18.8-33.4) 20.3 (11.1-29.5) Bekaa 20.9 (14.5-27.3) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) National (unweighted) 22.3 8.6 National (weighted) 20.8 (17.8-23.8) 10.4 (7.3-13.5) HASHISH** Great Beirut 6.9 (3.5-10.3) 3.6 (0.5-6.7) Mount-Lebanon 9.8 (0.7-18.9) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) North-Lebanon 1.8 (0.0-3.8) 2.1 (0.0-6.2) South-Lebanon 1.4 (0.0-3.4) 4.1 (0.0-8.6) Bekaa 4.6 (1.7-7.9) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) National (unweighted) 4.9 2.6 National (weighted) 4.8 (3.3-6.4) 3.0 (1.3-4.7) ECSTASY** 2.8 (0.6-5.0) 1.4 (0.0-3.4) Mount-Lebanon 0 0 North-Lebanon 0 1.4 (0.0-4.1) Bekaa 2.0 (0.0-4.2) 0 National (unweighted) 2.9 0.9 National (weighted) 2.3 (1.2-3.4) 1.0 (0.0-2.0) ALCOHOL USE*** 42.9 (36.3-49.5) 48.9 (40.6-57.2) Mount-Lebanon		,	
Bekaa 20.9 (14.5-27.3) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) National (unweighted) 22.3 8.6 National (weighted) 20.8 (17.8-23.8) 10.4 (7.3-13.5) HASHISH** 6.9 (3.5-10.3) 3.6 (0.5-6.7) Mount-Lebanon 9.8 (0.7-18.9) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) North-Lebanon 1.8 (0.0-3.8) 2.1 (0.0-6.2) South-Lebanon 1.4 (0.0-3.4) 4.1 (0.0-8.6) Bekaa 4.6 (1.7-7.9) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) National (unweighted) 4.9 2.6 National (weighted) 4.8 (3.3-6.4) 3.0 (1.3-4.7) ECSTASY** 2.8 (0.6-5.0) 1.4 (0.0-3.4) Great Beirut 2.8 (0.6-5.0) 1.4 (0.0-3.4) Mount-Lebanon 0 0 North-Lebanon 0 1.4 (0.0-4.1) Bekaa 2.0 (0.0-4.2) 0 National (unweighted) 2.9 0.9 National (weighted) 2.3 (1.2-3.4) 1.0 (0.0-2.0) ALCOHOL USE*** 42.9 (36.3-49.5) 48.9 (40.6-57.2) Mount-Lebanon 56.1 (40.9-71.3) 81.7 (71.9-91.5)			
National (unweighted) 22.3 8.6 National (weighted) 20.8 (17.8-23.8) 10.4 (7.3-13.5) HASHISH** 6.9 (3.5-10.3) 3.6 (0.5-6.7) Mount-Lebanon 9.8 (0.7-18.9) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) North-Lebanon 1.8 (0.0-3.8) 2.1 (0.0-6.2) South-Lebanon 1.4 (0.0-3.4) 4.1 (0.0-8.6) Bekaa 4.6 (1.7-7.9) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) National (unweighted) 4.9 2.6 National (weighted) 4.8 (3.3-6.4) 3.0 (1.3-4.7) ECSTASY** 2.8 (0.6-5.0) 1.4 (0.0-3.4) Great Beirut 2.8 (0.6-5.0) 1.4 (0.0-3.4) Mount-Lebanon 0 0 South-Lebanon 0 1.4 (0.0-4.1) Bekaa 2.0 (0.0-4.2) 0 National (unweighted) 2.9 0.9 National (weighted) 2.3 (1.2-3.4) 1.0 (0.0-2.0) ALCOHOL USE*** 42.9 (36.3-49.5) 48.9 (40.6-57.2) Mount-Lebanon 56.1 (40.9-71.3) 81.7 (71.9-91.5) North-Lebanon 56.1 (40.9-71.3) 81.7 (71.9-9		,	,
National (weighted) 20.8 (17.8-23.8) 10.4 (7.3-13.5) HASHISH** 6.9 (3.5-10.3) 3.6 (0.5-6.7) Mount-Lebanon 9.8 (0.7-18.9) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) North-Lebanon 1.8 (0.0-3.8) 2.1 (0.0-6.2) South-Lebanon 1.4 (0.0-3.4) 4.1 (0.0-8.6) Bekaa 4.6 (1.7-7.9) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) National (unweighted) 4.9 2.6 National (weighted) 4.8 (3.3-6.4) 3.0 (1.3-4.7) ECSTASY** 2.8 (0.6-5.0) 1.4 (0.0-3.4) Great Beirut 9.8 (0.7-18.9) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) North-Lebanon 0 0 South-Lebanon 0 1.4 (0.0-4.1) Bekaa 2.0 (0.0-4.2) 0 National (unweighted) 2.9 0.9 National (weighted) 2.3 (1.2-3.4) 1.0 (0.0-2.0) ALCOHOL USE*** 42.9 (36.3-49.5) 48.9 (40.6-57.2) Mount-Lebanon 56.1 (40.9-71.3) 81.7 (71.9-91.5) North-Lebanon 55.1 (1.4-8.8) 21.6 (12.2-31.0) Sekaa 28.8 (21.6-36.0) 16.7			
HASHISH** 6.9 (3.5-10.3) 3.6 (0.5-6.7) Mount-Lebanon 9.8 (0.7-18.9) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) North-Lebanon 1.8 (0.0-3.8) 2.1 (0.0-6.2) South-Lebanon 1.4 (0.0-3.4) 4.1 (0.0-8.6) Bekaa 4.6 (1.7-7.9) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) National (unweighted) 4.9 2.6 National (weighted) 4.8 (3.3-6.4) 3.0 (1.3-4.7) ECSTASY** 2.8 (0.6-5.0) 1.4 (0.0-3.4) Great Beirut 2.8 (0.6-5.0) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) North-Lebanon 0 0 South-Lebanon 0 1.4 (0.0-4.1) Bekaa 2.0 (0.0-4.2) 0 National (unweighted) 2.9 0.9 National (weighted) 2.3 (1.2-3.4) 1.0 (0.0-2.0) ALCOHOL USE*** 42.9 (36.3-49.5) 48.9 (40.6-57.2) Mount-Lebanon 56.1 (40.9-71.3) 81.7 (71.9-91.5) North-Lebanon 55.1 (1.4-8.8) 21.6 (12.2-31.0) Bekaa 28.8 (21.6-36.0) 16.7 (7.3-26.1)			
Great Beirut 6.9 (3.5-10.3) 3.6 (0.5-6.7) Mount-Lebanon 9.8 (0.7-18.9) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) North-Lebanon 1.8 (0.0-3.8) 2.1 (0.0-6.2) South-Lebanon 1.4 (0.0-3.4) 4.1 (0.0-8.6) Bekaa 4.6 (1.7-7.9) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) National (unweighted) 4.9 2.6 National (weighted) 4.8 (3.3-6.4) 3.0 (1.3-4.7) ECSTASY** 2.8 (0.6-5.0) 1.4 (0.0-3.4) Mount-Lebanon 9.8 (0.7-18.9) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) North-Lebanon 0 0 South-Lebanon 0 1.4 (0.0-4.1) Bekaa 2.0 (0.0-4.2) 0 National (unweighted) 2.9 0.9 National (weighted) 2.3 (1.2-3.4) 1.0 (0.0-2.0) ALCOHOL USE*** 42.9 (36.3-49.5) 48.9 (40.6-57.2) Mount-Lebanon 56.1 (40.9-71.3) 81.7 (71.9-91.5) North-Lebanon 13.2 (8.1-18.3) 60.4 (46.6-74.2) South-Lebanon 5.1 (1.4-8.8) 21.6 (12.2-31.0) Bekaa 28.8 (21.6-36.0) 16.7 (7.3-26.1)	· • ·	20.0 (17.0 20.0)	10.1 (7.0 10.0)
Mount-Lebanon 9.8 (0.7-18.9) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) North-Lebanon 1.8 (0.0-3.8) 2.1 (0.0-6.2) South-Lebanon 1.4 (0.0-3.4) 4.1 (0.0-8.6) Bekaa 4.6 (1.7-7.9) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) National (unweighted) 4.9 2.6 National (weighted) 2.8 (0.6-5.0) 3.0 (1.3-4.7) ECSTASY** 3.0 (1.3-4.7) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) Mount-Lebanon 9.8 (0.7-18.9) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) North-Lebanon 0 0 South-Lebanon 0 1.4 (0.0-3.4) National (unweighted) 2.9 0.9 National (unweighted) 2.9 0.9 National (weighted) 2.3 (1.2-3.4) 1.0 (0.0-2.0) ALCOHOL USE*** 42.9 (36.3-49.5) 48.9 (40.6-57.2) Great Beirut 42.9 (36.3-49.5) 48.9 (40.6-57.2) Mount-Lebanon 56.1 (40.9-71.3) 81.7 (71.9-91.5) North-Lebanon 13.2 (8.1-18.3) 60.4 (46.6-74.2) South-Lebanon 5.1 (1.4-8.8) 21.6 (12.2-31.0) Bekaa 28.8 (21.6-36.0)		60/25102)	36(0567)
North-Lebanon 1.8 (0.0-3.8) 2.1 (0.0-6.2) South-Lebanon 1.4 (0.0-3.4) 4.1 (0.0-8.6) Bekaa 4.6 (1.7-7.9) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) National (unweighted) 4.9 2.6 National (weighted) 4.8 (3.3-6.4) 3.0 (1.3-4.7) ECSTASY** 2.8 (0.6-5.0) 1.4 (0.0-3.4) Mount-Lebanon 9.8 (0.7-18.9) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) North-Lebanon 0 0 South-Lebanon 0 1.4 (0.0-4.1) Bekaa 2.0 (0.0-4.2) 0 National (unweighted) 2.9 0.9 National (weighted) 2.3 (1.2-3.4) 1.0 (0.0-2.0) ALCOHOL USE*** 42.9 (36.3-49.5) 48.9 (40.6-57.2) Mount-Lebanon 56.1 (40.9-71.3) 81.7 (71.9-91.5) North-Lebanon 13.2 (8.1-18.3) 60.4 (46.6-74.2) South-Lebanon 5.1 (1.4-8.8) 21.6 (12.2-31.0) Bekaa 28.8 (21.6-36.0) 16.7 (7.3-26.1)		` ,	
South-Lebanon 1.4 (0.0-3.4) 4.1 (0.0-8.6) Bekaa 4.6 (1.7-7.9) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) National (unweighted) 4.9 2.6 National (weighted) 4.8 (3.3-6.4) 3.0 (1.3-4.7) ECSTASY** 2.8 (0.6-5.0) 1.4 (0.0-3.4) Great Beirut 2.8 (0.6-5.0) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) North-Lebanon 0 1.7 (0.0-5.0) North-Lebanon 0 1.4 (0.0-4.1) Bekaa 2.0 (0.0-4.2) 0 National (unweighted) 2.9 0.9 National (weighted) 2.3 (1.2-3.4) 1.0 (0.0-2.0) ALCOHOL USE*** 42.9 (36.3-49.5) 48.9 (40.6-57.2) Great Beirut 42.9 (36.3-49.5) 48.9 (40.6-57.2) Mount-Lebanon 56.1 (40.9-71.3) 81.7 (71.9-91.5) North-Lebanon 13.2 (8.1-18.3) 60.4 (46.6-74.2) South-Lebanon 5.1 (1.4-8.8) 21.6 (12.2-31.0) Bekaa 28.8 (21.6-36.0) 16.7 (7.3-26.1)		,	` ,
Bekaa 4.6 (1.7-7.9) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) National (unweighted) 4.9 2.6 National (weighted) 4.8 (3.3-6.4) 3.0 (1.3-4.7) ECSTASY** Creat Beirut 2.8 (0.6-5.0) 1.4 (0.0-3.4) Mount-Lebanon 9.8 (0.7-18.9) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) North-Lebanon 0 1.4 (0.0-4.1) Bekaa 2.0 (0.0-4.2) 0 National (unweighted) 2.9 0.9 National (weighted) 2.3 (1.2-3.4) 1.0 (0.0-2.0) ALCOHOL USE*** 42.9 (36.3-49.5) 48.9 (40.6-57.2) Mount-Lebanon 56.1 (40.9-71.3) 81.7 (71.9-91.5) North-Lebanon 13.2 (8.1-18.3) 60.4 (46.6-74.2) South-Lebanon 5.1 (1.4-8.8) 21.6 (12.2-31.0) Bekaa 28.8 (21.6-36.0) 16.7 (7.3-26.1)			
National (unweighted) 4.9 2.6 National (weighted) 4.8 (3.3-6.4) 3.0 (1.3-4.7) ECSTASY** 2.8 (0.6-5.0) 1.4 (0.0-3.4) Great Beirut 2.8 (0.6-5.0) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) Mount-Lebanon 0 0 North-Lebanon 0 1.4 (0.0-4.1) Bekaa 2.0 (0.0-4.2) 0 National (unweighted) 2.9 0.9 National (weighted) 2.3 (1.2-3.4) 1.0 (0.0-2.0) ALCOHOL USE*** 42.9 (36.3-49.5) 48.9 (40.6-57.2) Mount-Lebanon 56.1 (40.9-71.3) 81.7 (71.9-91.5) North-Lebanon 13.2 (8.1-18.3) 60.4 (46.6-74.2) South-Lebanon 5.1 (1.4-8.8) 21.6 (12.2-31.0) Bekaa 28.8 (21.6-36.0) 16.7 (7.3-26.1)			
ECSTASY** 2.8 (0.6-5.0) 1.4 (0.0-3.4) Mount-Lebanon 9.8 (0.7-18.9) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) North-Lebanon 0 0 South-Lebanon 0 1.4 (0.0-4.1) Bekaa 2.0 (0.0-4.2) 0 National (unweighted) 2.9 0.9 National (weighted) 2.3 (1.2-3.4) 1.0 (0.0-2.0) ALCOHOL USE*** 42.9 (36.3-49.5) 48.9 (40.6-57.2) Great Beirut 42.9 (36.3-49.5) 48.9 (40.6-57.2) Mount-Lebanon 56.1 (40.9-71.3) 81.7 (71.9-91.5) North-Lebanon 13.2 (8.1-18.3) 60.4 (46.6-74.2) South-Lebanon 5.1 (1.4-8.8) 21.6 (12.2-31.0) Bekaa 28.8 (21.6-36.0) 16.7 (7.3-26.1)	National (unweighted)	T	,
Great Beirut 2.8 (0.6-5.0) 1.4 (0.0-3.4) Mount-Lebanon 9.8 (0.7-18.9) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) North-Lebanon 0 1.4 (0.0-4.1) Bekaa 2.0 (0.0-4.2) 0 National (unweighted) 2.9 0.9 National (weighted) 2.3 (1.2-3.4) 1.0 (0.0-2.0) ALCOHOL USE*** 42.9 (36.3-49.5) 48.9 (40.6-57.2) Mount-Lebanon 56.1 (40.9-71.3) 81.7 (71.9-91.5) North-Lebanon 13.2 (8.1-18.3) 60.4 (46.6-74.2) South-Lebanon 5.1 (1.4-8.8) 21.6 (12.2-31.0) Bekaa 28.8 (21.6-36.0) 16.7 (7.3-26.1)	National (weighted)	4.8 (3.3-6.4)	3.0 (1.3-4.7)
Mount-Lebanon 9.8 (0.7-18.9) 1.7 (0.0-5.0) North-Lebanon 0 0 South-Lebanon 0 1.4 (0.0-4.1) Bekaa 2.0 (0.0-4.2) 0 National (unweighted) 2.9 0.9 National (weighted) 2.3 (1.2-3.4) 1.0 (0.0-2.0) ALCOHOL USE*** 42.9 (36.3-49.5) 48.9 (40.6-57.2) Mount-Lebanon 56.1 (40.9-71.3) 81.7 (71.9-91.5) North-Lebanon 13.2 (8.1-18.3) 60.4 (46.6-74.2) South-Lebanon 5.1 (1.4-8.8) 21.6 (12.2-31.0) Bekaa 28.8 (21.6-36.0) 16.7 (7.3-26.1)	ECSTASY**		
North-Lebanon 0 0 South-Lebanon 0 1.4 (0.0-4.1) Bekaa 2.0 (0.0-4.2) 0 National (unweighted) 2.9 0.9 National (weighted) 2.3 (1.2-3.4) 1.0 (0.0-2.0) ALCOHOL USE*** 42.9 (36.3-49.5) 48.9 (40.6-57.2) Great Beirut 42.9 (36.3-49.5) 81.7 (71.9-91.5) Mount-Lebanon 56.1 (40.9-71.3) 81.7 (71.9-91.5) North-Lebanon 13.2 (8.1-18.3) 60.4 (46.6-74.2) South-Lebanon 5.1 (1.4-8.8) 21.6 (12.2-31.0) Bekaa 28.8 (21.6-36.0) 16.7 (7.3-26.1)	Great Beirut	2.8 (0.6-5.0)	1.4 (0.0-3.4)
South-Lebanon 0 1.4 (0.0-4.1) Bekaa 2.0 (0.0-4.2) 0 National (unweighted) 2.9 0.9 National (weighted) 2.3 (1.2-3.4) 1.0 (0.0-2.0) ALCOHOL USE*** 42.9 (36.3-49.5) 48.9 (40.6-57.2) Great Beirut 42.9 (36.3-49.5) 81.7 (71.9-91.5) Mount-Lebanon 56.1 (40.9-71.3) 81.7 (71.9-91.5) North-Lebanon 13.2 (8.1-18.3) 60.4 (46.6-74.2) South-Lebanon 5.1 (1.4-8.8) 21.6 (12.2-31.0) Bekaa 28.8 (21.6-36.0) 16.7 (7.3-26.1)		9.8 (0.7-18.9)	1.7 (0.0-5.0)
Bekaa 2.0 (0.0-4.2) 0 National (unweighted) 2.9 0.9 National (weighted) 2.3 (1.2-3.4) 1.0 (0.0-2.0) ALCOHOL USE*** 42.9 (36.3-49.5) 48.9 (40.6-57.2) Mount-Lebanon 56.1 (40.9-71.3) 81.7 (71.9-91.5) North-Lebanon 13.2 (8.1-18.3) 60.4 (46.6-74.2) South-Lebanon 5.1 (1.4-8.8) 21.6 (12.2-31.0) Bekaa 28.8 (21.6-36.0) 16.7 (7.3-26.1)		0	•
National (unweighted) 2.9 0.9 National (weighted) 2.3 (1.2-3.4) 1.0 (0.0-2.0) ALCOHOL USE*** 42.9 (36.3-49.5) 48.9 (40.6-57.2) Great Beirut 42.9 (36.3-49.5) 48.9 (40.6-57.2) Mount-Lebanon 56.1 (40.9-71.3) 81.7 (71.9-91.5) North-Lebanon 13.2 (8.1-18.3) 60.4 (46.6-74.2) South-Lebanon 5.1 (1.4-8.8) 21.6 (12.2-31.0) Bekaa 28.8 (21.6-36.0) 16.7 (7.3-26.1)		0	·
National (weighted) 2.3 (1.2-3.4) 1.0 (0.0-2.0) ALCOHOL USE*** 42.9 (36.3-49.5) 48.9 (40.6-57.2) Great Beirut 42.9 (36.3-49.5) 81.7 (71.9-91.5) Mount-Lebanon 56.1 (40.9-71.3) 81.7 (71.9-91.5) North-Lebanon 13.2 (8.1-18.3) 60.4 (46.6-74.2) South-Lebanon 5.1 (1.4-8.8) 21.6 (12.2-31.0) Bekaa 28.8 (21.6-36.0) 16.7 (7.3-26.1)		`	
ALCOHOL USE*** Great Beirut 42.9 (36.3-49.5) 48.9 (40.6-57.2) Mount-Lebanon 56.1 (40.9-71.3) 81.7 (71.9-91.5) North-Lebanon 13.2 (8.1-18.3) 60.4 (46.6-74.2) South-Lebanon 5.1 (1.4-8.8) 21.6 (12.2-31.0) Bekaa 28.8 (21.6-36.0) 16.7 (7.3-26.1)			
Great Beirut 42.9 (36.3-49.5) 48.9 (40.6-57.2) Mount-Lebanon 56.1 (40.9-71.3) 81.7 (71.9-91.5) North-Lebanon 13.2 (8.1-18.3) 60.4 (46.6-74.2) South-Lebanon 5.1 (1.4-8.8) 21.6 (12.2-31.0) Bekaa 28.8 (21.6-36.0) 16.7 (7.3-26.1)		2.3 (1.2-3.4)	1.0 (0.0-2.0)
Mount-Lebanon56.1 (40.9-71.3)81.7 (71.9-91.5)North-Lebanon13.2 (8.1-18.3)60.4 (46.6-74.2)South-Lebanon5.1 (1.4-8.8)21.6 (12.2-31.0)Bekaa28.8 (21.6-36.0)16.7 (7.3-26.1)		40.0 (06.0 40.5)	40.0 (40.6 57.0)
North-Lebanon 13.2 (8.1-18.3) 60.4 (46.6-74.2) South-Lebanon 5.1 (1.4-8.8) 21.6 (12.2-31.0) Bekaa 28.8 (21.6-36.0) 16.7 (7.3-26.1)		, ,	
South-Lebanon 5.1 (1.4-8.8) 21.6 (12.2-31.0) Bekaa 28.8 (21.6-36.0) 16.7 (7.3-26.1)			
Bekaa 28.8 (21.6-36.0) 16.7 (7.3-26.1)		,	,
		, , ,	,
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,
National (weighted) 29.3 (26.0-32.6) 45.8 (40.8-50.8)			

^{*} Prevalence of those who smoked more than 9 times in their life.

** Prevalence of those who used it at least once in their life.

*** Prevalence of at least one single lifetime use of alcohol

APPENDIX 1

EXCERPTS FROM THE HIGH SCHOOL SAMPLE SURVEYED AS PART OF THE LEBANON RAPID ASSESSMENT STUDY (2001-2002)

SOCIO-DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERIST	rics
N	1307
Male gender (n, %)	675 (51.8)
Mean age (SD)	17.1 (0.9)
Proportion aged ≤ 16 years (n, %)	320 (24.6)
Lived abroad >3 months (n, %)	490 (37.9)
TOBACCO USE	
Ever smoked regularly* (n, %)	225 (17.3)
Age at onset of smoking (mean, SD)	14.8 (2.0)
Proportion starting at <15 years (n, %)	81 (37.0)
Cigarettes used per day** (n, %)	
<5	85 (41.1)
5-10	51 (24.6)
10-20	46 (22.2)
>20	25 (12.1)
Total	207 (100)
ALCOHOL USE	
Alcohol ever-use	898 (69.1)
Alcohol use in the last 30 days	568 (50.9)
Age of ever having ≥ 12 drinks/year (mean, SD)	13.3 (2.8)
Proportion of ever drinking 12 times age <15***	124 (28.2)
Age at first drunkenness (mean, SD) (26.7%)	15.3 (1.8)

^{*} Defined as ever-smoking daily for a period of one month or more Reported for the period when the respondent smoked most Among 440 who had ever had 12 or more drinks in a year

EXCERPTS FROM THE HIGH SCHOOL SAMPLE SURVEYED AS PART OF THE LEBANON RAPID ASSESSMENT STUDY (2001-2002) (continued)

Substance	Ev	Ever	Last 12	Last 12 months	Last 30 days) days	More than 5 times use	times use	Daily use for a	Daily use for at least 2 weeks
	وقتًا	ا في أي وقت	خلال آخر 12 أشهر	خلال آخر ا	خلال آخر 30 يوم	خلال آخا	الاستعمال أكثر من 5 مرات	الاستعمال أك	الفترة أسبوعين	الاستعمال يوميا» لفترة أسبوعين
	u	%	Z	%	Z	%	u	%	u	%
Hashish/marijuana	88	8.9	22	4.4	28	2.2	31	2.4	17	1.3
Heroin	10	8.0	10	8.0	2	0.4	9	0.5	2	0.2
Cocaine	22	1.7	15	1.2	6	0.7	11	0.8	6	0.7
Ecstasy	36	2.8	97	20	15	1.2	15	1.2	7	0.5
Amphetamines/ Stimulants	15	1.2	2	0.5	3	0.2	9	0.5	2	0.5
Tranquilizers	43	3.3	56	2.2	15	1.2	17	1.3	6	0.7
Barbiturates	16	16 1.2	6	0.7	3	0.2	7	0.5	3	0.2

FAMILY HEALTH SURVEY (PAPFAM) 2004 (MINISTRY OF SOCIAL AFFAIRS)

Percentage of smokers by age and gender

Age Categories	Ge	nder	Total	
Age Categories	Male	Female	Iotai	
0-14	1.0	0.8	0.9	
15-19	12.2	3.1	7.7	
20-29	30.7	11.7	21.8	
30-39	46.6	27.4	36.4	
40-49	54.5	35.8	44.3	
50-59	53.0	33.5	42.6	
60+	32.0	17.3	24.7	
Total	32.3	18.9	25.7	

APPENDIX 3

ALCOHOL AND OTHER DRUGS USE AMONG STUDENTS BY SEX-GSHS 2005 (N= 5115)

	T.401 07	Sex	Xa
Questions	(CI)*	Male % (CI)*	Female % (CI)*
Drank at least one drink containing alcohol on one or more of the past 30 days **	19.5 (15.6 – 23.4)	27.8 (22.1 – 33.4)	$ \begin{array}{c} 12.2 \\ (8.9 - 15.5) \end{array} $
Drank two or more drinks per day on the days they drank alcohol during the past 30 days **	38.8 (35.2 – 42.4)	44.9 (41.1 – 48.8)	26.7 (22.5 – 31.0)
Usually got the alcohol they drank by buying it in a store, shop, or from a street vendor during the past 30 days **	23.5 (19.8 – 27.1)	29.2 (25.3 – 33.0)	$\begin{array}{c} 11.2 \\ (7.1 - 15.4) \end{array}$
Drank so much alcohol they were really drunk one or more times during their life **	13.8 (11.3 – 16.3)	21.2 (17.0 – 25.3)	7.1 (5.6 – 8.7)
Had a hang-over, felt sick, got into trouble, missed school, one or more times as a result of drinking alcohol during their life **	17.0 (14.9 – 19.2)	23.7 (20.2 – 27.1)	$ \begin{array}{c} 11.1 \\ (9.4 - 12.7) \end{array} $
Probably or definitely would not drink alcohol if offered by their best friends **	91.2 (90.1 – 92.4)	86.8 (85.0 – 88.6)	95.3 (94.2 – 96.3)
Used drugs such as marijuana, cocaine, heroin, ecstasy and medical tranquilizers one or more times during their life **	3.5 (2.8 – 4.1)	5.6 (4.3 – 6.9)	$\frac{1.5}{(1.0-2.0)}$
Students who were taught in any of their classes this year the dangers of drinking alcohol or using drugs	30.0 (27.7 – 32.2)	30.8 (28.1 – 33.6)	29.1 (26.6 – 31.6)

^{95%} confidence interval. statistically significant difference between girls and boys *

GYTS 2005

Eastern Mediterranean Health Journal Vol. 14 No 6 2008

Table 1 Prevalence of of tobacco use among Lebanese schoolchildren

Variable	Males (n=1391)% (95% CI)	Females (n=1867)% (95% CI)	Total (n=3314) % (35% CI)
Ever Smoked cigarettes (even 1 or 2 puffs)	36.9 (30.7 – 43.5)	20.1 (17.6 – 22.9)	27.0 (23.8 – 30.6)
Ever tried to smoke narghile	60.2 (54.3 – 65.9)	51.3 (47.4 – 55.3)	55.1 (51.3 – 58.8)
Never smokers susceptible to initiating smoking3	2206 (18.6 – 27.3)	18.9 (16.2 – 22.0)	20.3 (17.6 – 23.2)
Tobacco Product Cigarettes Other tobacco products	66.4 (60.5 – 71.9) 14.8 (11.4 – 19.0) 64.1 (58.6 – 69.4)	55.4 (52.3 – 58.6) 6.7 (5.2 – 8.6) 54.7 (51.5 – 57.8)	60.1a (56.8 – 63.3) 10.0b (8.3 – 12.0) 58.8b (55.5 – 62.0)
Current smokers Desire to stop Tried to stop this year	62.2 (52.1 – 71.4) 56.9 (45.7 – 67.5)	57.0 (45.4 – 67.9) 45.9 (34.0 – 58.2)	60.2 (52.7 – 67.3) 53.6 (44.9 – 62.0)

a- Susceptibility defined as the absence of a firm decision not to smoke (9) b- Percentage of the total number of students CI = confidence interval

LIST OF SCHOOLS SELECTED FOR THE MEDSPAD-LEBANON SURVEY

نوع المدرسة	نوع المدرسة	المنطقة	القضاء	المحافظة	اسم المدرسة	الرقم
للبنات	خاص	البسطة التحتا	بيروت		ثانوية المقاصد للبنات ١٠١٠	• 1
للبنين	رسمي	الأشرفية	بيروت		ثانوية الأشرفية الأولى الرسمية للبنين٢٠١٠	٠٢
مختلط	رسمي	الأشرفية- شارع الزهار	بيروت		سلمى الصايغ الرسمية للبنات	٠٣
مختلط	خاص	الأشرفية	بيروت		ثانوية راهبات الحبة –الاشرفية	٠٤
للبنات	رىىدمىي	رأس بيروت — شارع القلعة	بيروت		ثانوية زاهية قدورة الرسمية للبنات	٠۵
للبنات	رسمي	برج أبي حيدر	بيروت		ثانوية فخر الدين المعني الرسمية للبنات	٠1
للبنين	رسمي	رأس النبع —شارع الحمصاني	بيروت	1	ثانوية بيروت الحرج الرسمية للبنين رأس النبع	٠٧
مختلط	رسمي	الصنائع –شارع الراشدين	بيروت	بيروت	ثانوية الرئيس رينيه معوض الرسمية	٠٨
مختلط	خاص	الجامعة الأميركية	بيروت		الجالية الأميركية	٠٩
مختلط	خاص	رأس بيروت — شـارع بليس	بيروت		انترناسيونال كولدج	1.
مختلط	خاص	القنطاري – شارع المكسيك	بيروت		الأرمنية الانجيلية العالية	11
مختلط	خاص	خندق الغميق- شارع الشدياق	بيروت		أزهر جبل عامل	١٢
مختلط	خاص	عين سعاده	المتن		الفرير- مون لاسال	۱۳
مختلط	رىسمىي	ضبیه- شارع عوکر	المتن		ثانوية ضبية الرسمية	١٤
للبنات	رسمي	سد البوشرية — شارع مار تقلا	المتن		سد البوشرية المتوسطة الرسمية للبنات	۱۵
مختلط	رسمي	حارة حريك- الشارع العام	بعبدا		متوسطة حارة حريك الرسمية الثانية الختلطة	11
للبنات	رسمي	عين الرمانة	بعبدا		ثانوية فرن الشباك الرسمية للبنات	1٧
مختلط	رسمي	الشويفات	عاليه	٢	ثانوية الشويفات الرسمية الختلطة	۱۸
مختلط	خاص	بعقلين	الشوف	جبل	ثانوية الايمان	19
مختلط	خاص	جبيل- الميناء- شارع القلعة	جبيل	لبنان	ثانوية راهبات القلبين الأقدسين	۲٠
مختلط	خاص	جبيل- الفرير	جبيل		سيدة لورد الثانوية للإخوة المريميين	٢١
مختلط	خاص	عين سعادة	المتن		الحكمة فرع مريم أم الحكمة	۲۲
للبنات	رسمي	مار مارون — شارع لمع لمع	بعبدا		الشياح الثانية المتوسطة	۲۳
للبنين	رىدمىي	سد البوشرية —شارع مار تقلا	المتن		سد البوشرية العالية الرسمية	٢٤

للبنين	رسمي	الميناء	طرابلس		ثانوية طرابلس الميناء الرسمية للبنين	٢۵
للبنين	رسمي	شارع الرفاعية	طرابلس		ثانوية طرابلس الحدادين الرسمية للبنين	٢٦
للبنات	رسمي	أبو سمرا	طرابلس		ثانوية طرابلس الحدادين الرسمية	۲۷
مختلط	رسمي	القربات- الكنيسة- الشارع العام	عكار		القريات الرسمية الختلطة	۲۸
للبنات	رسمي	عيات	عكار		متوسطة عيات الرسمية	19
مختلط	خاص	القبة- حي الراهبات	طرابلس	٠,٣	الارثوذكسية الثانوية القبة	۳٠
مختلط	خاص	الجديدة — الطريق العام	زغرتا	لبنان الشمالي	نورث لیبانون کولدج	۳۱
مختلط	رسمي	بطرام	الكورة		بطرام/ خليل سالم الرسمية	۳۲
للبنات	رسمي	ببنین- ضهر ریا	عكار		متوسطة ببنين الرسمية	٣٣
مختلط	خاص	مجدليا —طواحين السكر	زغرتا		الكرملية	٣٤
مختلط	رىىيە	كوسبا - المدارس	الكورة		كوسبا الرسمية للبنات	۳۵
مختلط	رىىيە	العبدة – تل الزراعنة	عكار		تل الزراعنة الرسمية	۳٦
للبنين	رسمي	عين الحلوة	صيدا		ثانوية صيدا الرسمية للبنين	۳۷
مختلط	رىسمى	الغازية	صيدا		ثانوية الغازية الرسمية	۳۸
مختلط	خاص	حبوش- المدرسة الدولية	النبطية		مدرسة حبوش الدولية	۳۹
مختلط	رىىيە	النبطية – السلام	النبطية		النبطية الثالثة الرسمية	٤٠
مختلط	خاص	البيسارية	صيدا	٠٤	ثانوية الشهيد مصطفى شمران	٤١
مختلط	خاص	النبطية- الميدان	النبطية	2. لبنان	الانجلية الوطنية في النبطية	٤٢
مختلط	رسمي	جباع	النبطية	الجنوبي	ثانوية جباع الرسمية	٤٣
للبنين	رسمي	صور الرمل	صور	والنبطية	ثانوية صور الرسمية للبنين	٤٤
للبنات	رىسمىي	البص	صور		ثانوية صور الرسمية للبنات	٤۵
مختلط	رسمي	عبا – الشارع العام	النبطية		عبا المتوسطة الرسمية	٤٦
مختلط	رىسمى	الزرارية – الشارع العام	صيدا		ثانوية الشهيد نعمة هاشم	٤٧
مختلط	خاص	جديدة مرجعيون- المدارس	مرجعيون		راهبات القلبين الأقدسين	٤٨

مختلط	رسمي	مجدل عنجر	زحلة		ثانوية مجدل عنجر الرسمية	٤٩
للبنات	رسمي	زحلة البولفار —الراسية الفوقا	زحلة		ثانوية زحلة الرسمية	۵٠
للبنين	رسمي	الميدان	زحلة		ثانوية زحلة الرسمية للبنين	۵۱
مختلط	خاص	البولفار – شارع الميدان	زحلة		الثانوية الانجيلية	۵٢
للبنات	رسمي	بعلبك- الشميس	بعلبك		بعلبك الثانية للبنات	۵۳
مختلط	رسمي	نحلة	بعلبك		نحلة الرسمية	۵٤
مختلط	رسمي	رياق- الشارع العام	زحلة		متوسطة رياق الأولى الختلطة	۵۵
مختلط	رسمي	بعلبك- الريش- شارع رأس العين	بعلبك	٠٥ البقاع	بعلبك الثانية الرسمية الختلطة	۵٦
مختلط	خاص	الخيارة — الشارع العام	البقاع الغربي		مركز عمر الختار التربوي	۵۷
مختلط	رسمي	إيعات — الحسينية- شارع الأرز القديم	بعلبك		متوسطة إيعات الرسمية	۵۸
مختلط	خاص	المرج – الشارع العام	البقاع الغربي		البيت المعمور	۵۹
مختلط	خاص	جب جنين	البقاع الغربي		الثناء	1.

MEDSPAD-LEBANON QUESTIONNAIRE (FRENCH VERSION)



QUE SAVENT LES JEUNES SUR LE TABAC ET LES AUTRES DROGUES AU LIBAN?

Chers élèves :

Beaucoup de choses sont dites en ce qui concerne les dangers du tabac et des drogues, et sur leur consommation au Liban. En collaboration avec des chercheurs internationaux, le Ministère de l'Education Nationale a voulu estimer votre contact avec les cigarettes, le nargileh et les autres drogues au Liban.

Des enquêtes comme celle-ci peuvent contribuer à mieux comprendre et à mieux aider les gens qui souffrent de l'addiction. Les informations obtenues seront employées dans un but statistique. Les réponses sont anonymes et ne pourront pas être utilisées contre vous. Il n'y a pas de «bonnes» réponses, nous vous demandons ainsi de répondre aussi franchement que possible.

Les questionnaires sont strictement anonymes. Après les avoir complété vous allez les déposer dans une grande enveloppe qui ne sera plus ouverte à l'intérieur de l'école.

Merci de participer à cette enquête et de répondre à toutes ces questions.

Les questions suivantes concernent les cigarettes, le narghileh, l'alcool, et les différents types de drogues. Si vous n'avez rien à dire en ce qui concerne l'une ou l'autre de ces questions, ne répondez pas et passez à la question suivante.
Cigarettes
 Y-a-t-il quelqu'un parmi les membres de votre famille qui fume les cigarettes? O Personne O Le père O La mère Un des frères/ sœurs
 Durant votre vie combien de fois avez-vous fumé des cigarettes ? □ Jamais (passer à Q8) □ Entre 1 et 9 fois (passe à Q6) □ Plus que 9 fois
3. Si plus que 9 fois, combien de cigarettes fumez-vous par jour ? Pas chaque jour □ OU par jour
4. Si plus que 9 fois, depuis quand avez-vous commencé à fumer? Mois OU Années
5. Quel âge aviez-vous lorsque vous avez fumé votre première cigarette? 1 ans OU 2. □ Je ne me souviens pas
 6. Où fumez-vous des cigarettes ? (Possibilité de plusieurs réponses) 1. O A la maison 2. O Chez des amis 3. O Lieu public : rue, jardin public, plage, etc 4. O A l'école 5. O Restaurant/Café 6. O Ailleurs, spécifiez : 7. O Jamais consommé
 7. Qui fume avec vous les cigarettes? (Possibilité de plusieurs réponses) 1. O Je fume seul 2. O Un des membres de la famille/oncles/cousins 3. O Un des amis/voisins/copains 4. O Un des frères/ sœurs

Numéro de série : _____ Date : _____ Enquêteur : _____

Narghileh

1. O	a-t-il quelqu'un pa Personne La mère	2. O	de votre famille q Le père Un des frères/s	jui fume le narguileh? sœurs
1. □	•	ombien de fois ave a Q17) 2. 🏻 egulièrement		
	•	is, combien de nat semaine 🏻	•	•
	Si plus que 9 foi Mois	is, depuis quand fu	umez-vous le narç OUA	
fois?		-	avez fumé le na	rghileh pour la première
1	ans C	OU 2. □	Je ne me souvie	ens pas
narghi	leh au cours des	ent à peu prés avo 4 dernières sema vous n'achetez pa	ines?	sur la consommation du
1. □ 2. □	» عجمي « Ājami » « Moassal » معسل	rghileh fumez-vou , narghileh régulière		
1. O 3. O	A la maison Lieu public : jaro	din public, plage, o	Chez des amis etc	rs réponses) O Jamais consommé
1. O	Je fume seul	ous le narghileh? 2. O Un de isins/copains	es membres de la	famille/oncles/cousins

Boissons alcoolisées

17. Y-a-t-il quelqu'un parmi les membres de votre famille qui consomme des boissons alcoolisées?

1. O Personne

2. O Le père

3. O La mère

4. O Un des frères/sœurs

Durant votre vie, avez-vous déjà bu une ou plusieurs de ces boissons?

Type de boisson	Non (1)	1-2 fois (2)	3-9 fois (3)	Plus que 9 fois (4)
18. Arak				
19. Whisky				
20. Vin				
21. Bière				
22. Autres, spécifiez:				

Si vous avez répondu « Non » à toutes les questions 18 à 22, passez à Q39

Durant les 12 derniers mois, avez-vous déjà bu une ou plusieurs de ces boissons?

Type de boisson	Non (1)	1-2 fois (2)	3-9 fois (3)	Plus que 9 fois (4)
23. Arak				
24. Whisky				
25. Vin				
26. Bière				
27. Autres, spécifiez:				

Durant les 4 dernières semaines, avez-vous déjà bu une ou plusieurs de ces boissons?

Type de boisson	Non (1)	1-2 fois (2)	3-9 fois (3)	Plus que 9 fois (4)
28. Arak				
29. Whisky				
30. Vin				
31. Bière				
32. Autres, spécifiez:				

33. Où buvez-vous des boissons alcoolisées? (Possibilité de plusieurs réponses)

1. O A la maison

2. O Chez des amis

3. O Lieu public : le jardin public, la plage, etc....

4. O Restaurant/Café

5. O Ailleurs, spécifiez :

6. O Jamais consommé

34. Qui boit avec vous des boissons alcoolisées? (Possibilité de plusieurs réponses) 1. O Je bois seul 2. O Un des membres de la famille/oncles/cousins 3. O Un des amis/voisins/copains 4. O Un des sœurs ou des frères					
35. Indiquez la boisson	que vous con	sommez le pl	us.		
Type de boisson					
1. Arak □ 2. Whis	sky □ 3.	Vin □			
4. Bière □ 5. Autr	es, spécifiez 🗆]			
Combien de fois avez-vous	s été ivre ? (si d	ca s'est jamais	passé)		
Aucune fois 1-2 3-9 Plus que 9 fois (4)					
36. Durant votre vie					
37. Durant les 12 derniers mois					
38. Durant les 4					

Drogues:

Haschisch

Avez-vous jamais entendu parler du hashish? 39.

Oui (1) Non(2) Si non passez à la Q 50

Si non passez à la Q 48

Ou 2. \square Je ne me souviens pas

Connaissez-vous quelqu'un qui fume du haschisch? 40.

Avez-vous jamais fumé cette substance même pour une fois? 41.

Quel âge aviez-vous lors de votre première consommation?

Depuis le premier essai, combien de fois avez-vous consommé cette substance?

43.

42.

Si jamais passez à la Q47 ——— L.L – fois

« x » si pas de dépenses Combien avez-vous dépensé pour cette consommation durant les 4 dernières semaines? 44.

Si vous avez jamais fumé le hashish, où le consommez-vous? (Possibilité de plusieurs réponses) A la maison

2. O Chez des amis 5. O Restaurant/Café

3. O Lieu public ex. la rue, le jardin public, la plage, etc.... 6. O Ailleurs, spécifiez : 7. O Jamais consommé

Avec qui fumez-vous le hashish? (Possibilité de plusieurs réponses)
Seul
1. O Un des membres de la famille/oncles/cousins
1.1 Un des frères/sœurs

				plusieurs réponses)?								es plus dangereuses?
nu?				ossibilité de	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	on de drogu
47. Lors de votre dernière consommation de haschich, comment l'avez-vous obtenu?	 Je ne me souviens plus des circonstances de ma première consommation Je l'ai pris d'un membre de la famille Je l'ai fumé avec un ou plusieurs copains Je l'ai acheté d'un copain 	 5. Je l'ai acheté d'une personne dont j'avais entendu parler sans la connaître 6. Je l'ai acheté d'une personne inconnue 7. Je l'ai trouvé à la maison 	8. Autres circonstances (décrire en bref) 9. Je n'ai jamais fumé le haschich	48. A votre avis, quels sont des lieux où on peut trouver facilement du hashish (Possibilité de plusieurs réponses)?	1. On trouve des vendeurs dans la rue ou le jardin public dans notre voisinage	2. A l'école	3. Dans un restaurant ou un café bien connu pour ça	4. A la maison d'un vendeur	5. Livraison à la demande	6. Ailleurs, spécifie :	7. Je ne connais aucun endroit où on peut trouver des drogues	49. A votre avis, la consommation du haschich peut-elle mener a la consommation de drogues plus dangereuses? 1. □ Oui 2. □ Non 3. □ Je ne sais pas

	2	
	5	
	ä	
•	1	
	S	
	Ç	
r	7 7	

Avez-vous jamais entendu parler des pilules d'Ecstasy? 50.

Oui (1) Non (2) Si non passez à la Q60

Si non passez à la Q59

Connaissez-vous quelqu'un qui consomme de l'Ecstasy? 51.

Avez-vous jamais consommé cette substance, même pour une fois? 52.

2. \square Je ne me souviens pas on _ ans Quel âge aviez-vous lors de votre première consommation?

Depuis le premier essai, combien de fois avez-vous consommé cette substance?

54.

53.

Si jamais passez à la Q58

« x » si pas de dépenses Combien avez-vous dépensé pour cette consommation durant les 4 dernières semaines?____LL 55.

3. O Lieu public ex. la rue, le jardin public, la plage, etc.... 6. O Ailleurs, spécifiez : 7. O Jamais consommé Si vous avez déjà consommé de l'Ecstasy, où la consommez-vous? (Possibilité de plusieurs réponses) 2. O Chez des amis 5. O Restaurant/Café

A la maison A l'école

0.0

2. O Un des membres de la famille/oncles/cousins 4. O Un des frères/ sœurs Avec qui consommez-vous de l'Ecstasy? (Possibilité de plusieurs réponses)

Un des amis/voisins/copains

58. 1. Je 2. Je 3. Je 4. Je 5. Je 5. Je 6. Je 6. Je 8. je 18. je 19. je	 58. Lors de votre dernière consommation de l'Ecstasy, comment l'avez-vous obtenue? 1. Je ne me souviens plus des circonstances de ma première consommation 2. Je l'ai prise d'un membre de la famille 3. Je l'ai consommée avec un ou plusieurs copains 4. Je l'ai achetée d'un copain 5. Je l'ai achetée d'une personne dont j'avais entendu parler sans la connaître 6. Je l'ai achetée d'une personne inconnue 7. Je l'ai trouvée à la maison 8. je n'ai jamais consommé d'ecstasy
59.	A votre avis, quels sont des lieux où on peut trouver facilement de l'Ecstasy (Possibilité de plusieurs réponses)?

0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1. On trouve des vendeurs dans la rue ou le jardin public dans notre voisinage 7. Je ne connais aucun endroit où on peut trouver des drogues 3. Dans un restaurant ou un café bien connu pour ça 4. A la maison d'un vendeur 5. Livraison à la demande 6. Ailleurs, spécifie : 2. A l'école

Autres substances

	Oui (1)	Oui Non (1)	
60. Avez-vous jamais entendu parler du LSD?			Si non passez à Q62
61. Connaissez-vous quelqu'un qui consomme le LSD?			
62. Avez-vous jamais entendu parler des amphétamines ?			Si non passez à Q64
63. Connaissez-vous quelqu'un qui consomme des amphétamines ?			
64. Avez-vous jamais entendu parler du crack?			Si non passez à Q66
65. Connaissez-vous quelqu'un qui consomme du crack?			
66. Avez-vous jamais entendu parler de la cocaïne ?			Si non passez à Q68
67. Connaissez-vous quelqu'un qui consomme de la cocaïne ?			
68. Avez-vous jamais entendu parler de l'héroïne ?			Si non passez à Q70
69. Connaissez-vous quelqu'un qui consomme de l'héroïne ?			
70. Avez-vous jamais entendu parler de l'abanol ?			Si non passez à Q72
71. Connaissez-vous quelqu'un qui consomme de l'abanol ?			

Selon vous, quelle est la situation de la consommation habituelle parmi vos copains (une seule réponse par ligne)

Consommation	Je ne connais Je ne Aucun pas cette sais pas 3 substance 1 2	Je ne sais pas 2	Aucun 3	Rare 4	Quelques fois 5	Quelques Beaucoup fois 6 5
72. Fument des cigarettes						
73. Fument la nargileh						
74. Consomment de boissons alcoolisées						
75.Sont ivres presque chaque semaine						
76. Mélangent alcool et tranquillisants						
77. Fument du hashish						
78. Consomment de l'Ecstasy						
79. Consomment tranquillisants ou des somnifères sans prescription médicale						
80. Sniffent de la térébenthine (« tinner »)						
81. Sniffent de l'essence						
82. Sniffent de la glue						

Toutes les substances dont on parle dans cette enquête sont des matières dangereuses qui causent des maladies sérieuses et mettent les utilisateurs en danger parfois mortel. Il vaut mieux ne jamais les essayer. Si on les emploie régulièrement, il faut demander conseil pour s'en débarrasser. Consultez la spécialiste de santé de votre école pour de plus amples informations.

9)
(1)
_
_
a)
_
ersonne
C
-
(1)
~
α.
ions
_
-
$\mathbf{\circ}$
•
ä
-
.0
rma
-
. •
-
_

- Féminin 🗆 Année 8 Mois Masculin 🛘 ij. Jour Date de naissance: Sexe 83. 84.
- Quel est le nombre de personnes qui partagent le logement avec vous, en permanence (>6 mois par an), les femmes de ménage inclues? 85.
- Quel est le nombre de pièces que comporte votre logement (sauf la cuisine et les salles de bain)? 86.
- Frère Parmi vos frères et sœurs combine sont-ils plus âgés que vous? Combien de frères et de sœurs de la même mère avez-vous? 87. 88
 - Frère Parmi vos frères et sœurs combine sont-ils plus jeunes que vous? 89.
- 90. Combien de voitures avez-vous à la maison?
- 91. Combien d'ordinateurs avez-vous à la maison?

□ Zero

□ Zero

92. Quel est le niveau d'études de votre mère?	1 d'études de v	otre mèr	e?				
1. Non scolarisée		5.	2. Ecole primaire		က်	Ecole complémentaire	
4. Ecole secondaire		5.	Etudes universitaires		9.	Je ne sais pas	
93. Votre mère exerce-t-elle une activité professionnelle? 1. Oui, à temps plein	e-t-elle une ac	tivité pro 2.	té professionnelle? 2. Oui, à temps partiel		က	Ne travaille pas	
94. Quel est le niveau d'études de votre père?	ı d'études de v	otre père	, co			•	
 Non scolarisé Ecole secondaire 		5.25	2. Ecole primaire □ 5. Etudes universitaires	က်	Ecole 6.	Ecole complémentaire \Box 6. Je ne sais pas	

95.	Avez-vous des remarques en ce qui concerne ce questionnaire?
96.	A votre avis, le problème des drogues est-il important en ce moment au Liban?
97.	Quelles sont vos suggestions pour la prévention contre les drogues au Liban?

Brèves informations sur certaines drogues

Ecstasy

L'ecstasy est une pilule de couleurs et de formes différentes décorée par un certain schéma. L'appellation concerne une molécule chimique particulière appelée MDMA responsable des effets psychiques. La composition de la pilule d'ecstasy est souvent modifiée; elle pourrait être mélangée avec d'autres substances comme les amphétamines, les calmants, les hallucinogènes, ou autres. Aussi, pourrait-elle être mélangée avec la caféine, les féculents, les détergents, le savon et autres.

L'acide LSD

Hallucinogène fort causant des changements neurosensoriels sérieux et des hallucinations continues et incontrôlables. Sa consommation pourrait mener à des problèmes graves et chroniques.

Alcool

L'alcool n'est pas digéré mais passe directement du système digestif à la circulation sanguine pour être transporté par le sang en une petite période à tous les organes du corps. La consommation excessive de l'alcool, même à court terme, mène à des problèmes digestifs, à la nausée et aux vomissements, et à des problèmes des problèmes divers:

1- Problèmes sociaux:

- Diminution de la vigilance ce qui mène souvent à des accidents de voitures ou à des urgences aux lieux de travail.
- Perte de l'autocontrôle résultant en des actes violents, viols, suicide...
- Violence à cause d'un comportement provocateur et incapacité de se défendre...

2- Problèmes de santé:

• Plusieurs maladies a long terme comme les cancers de la cavité buccale, de la gorge, les maladies du foie (cirrhose) et du pancréas, des troubles cardiovasculaires, des maladies du système nerveux, des troubles psychiques comme l'insomnie, la dépression et des troubles du comportement...

FIN DE L'ENQUETE MERCI POUR VOTRE COOPERATION

QUESTIONNAIRE MEDSPAD-LEBANON (ARABIC VERSION)







ماذا يعرف الشباب عن التدخين والمخدرات في لبنان؟

أعزائي الطلاب،

يقال الكثير حول أخطار التدخين والمخدرات ومدى استعمالها في لبنان. بالتعاون مع باحثين عالميين، أرادت وزارة التربية والتعليم العالي قياس علاقتكم بالسيجارة، النرجيلة، وباقي المخدرات في لبنان.

تساهم هذه المعلومات في فهم ومساعدة الأشخاص الذين يعانون من الإدمان. تتسم هذه المعلومات بصفات إحصائية بعتة، إضافة إلى أنه لا يوجد جواب صحيح أو خاطئ، لذا نرجو منك التجاوب والتعاون معنا والصراحة التامة في إجاباتكم.

لن يظهر اسم أحد منكم على الاستمارات، وسوف توضع عند النهاية في مغلف كبير لا يفتح إلا بعد الخروج من المدرسة.

شكراً لمشاركتكم معنا في تعبئة هذه الاستمارة.

 اسم الباحث:	التاريخ:	رقم الاستمارة:	
روبات الكحولية، ومختلف المواد المخدر صراحة، وفي حالة عدم إمكانية ذلك ف			
لية تدور حول السجائر	الأسئلة التاا		
Ą	، يدخن السجائر	٢. هل لديك أحد من أفراد عائلتك	
О الوالد	۲.	١. 🔾 لا أحد	
 أحد الإخوة/الأخوات 	٤.	٣. 🔾 الوالدة	
ن مرة و٩ مرات (إنتقل إلى السؤال ٦)		 ٣. كم مرة في حياتك قمت بتدخين ١. □ ولا مرة (إنتقل إلى السؤال ٣. □ أكثر من ٩ مرات 	
		 3. إذا أكثر من ٩ مرات، كم سيجار □ لا أدخن يومياً 	
سنة	تدخن السجائر؟ أو.	 ه. إذا أكثر من ٩ مرات، منذ متى السياد شهر 	
□ لاأذكر	فين السجائر؟ أو ٢.	٦. كم كان عمرك عندما بدأت تدخيراً١. سنة	
عتمالات)	ن المكن عدة اح	٧. أين تقوم بتدخين السجائر؟ (مر	
 بيت أحد الأصدقاء 		۱. 🔾 البيت	
		۳. 🔾 مكان عام مثل الشارع، حدية	
О المطعم/المقهى	.0	٤. 🔾 المدرسة	
		 ٦.	
مالات)	المكن عدة احت	 ۸. من یدخن معك السجائر؟ (من 	
أحد الأقارب		١. 🔾 لا أحد	
 أحد الإخوة/الأخوات 	٤.	 أحد الأصدقاء/ الجيران 	

الأسئلة التالية تدور حول النرجيلة

S	٩. هل لديك أحد من أفراد عائلتك يدخن النرجيلة
• الوائد	۱. 🔾 لا أحد ٢.
 أحد الإخوة/الأخوات 	
☐ بين مرة و٩ مرات (إنتقل إلى السؤال ١٣)	 ١٠. كم مرة في حياتك قمت بتدخين النرجيلة؟ ١٠. □ ولا مرة (إنتقل إلى السؤال ١٧) ٣. □ أكثر من ٩ مرات
	 ۱۱. إذا أكثر من ٩ مرات، كم رأس نرجيلة تدخن في المن إذا أقل من رأس بالأسبوع أو
	۱۲. إذا أكثر من ٩ مرات، منذ متى تدخن النرجيلة؟سهر، أوسهر
□ لاأذكر	 ١٣. كم كان عمرك عندما بدأت تدخين النرجيلة؟ ١٠ سنة أو ٢.
	 ١٤. ما هي كلفة استعمالك التقريبية خلال الأسابيع ل.ل (يمكنك وضع علامة «X» ــ
	 ١٥. ما هو نوع النرجيلة الأكثر استعمالاً من قبلك؟ ١٠ □ عجمي ٢٠ □ معسل ٣٠ □ لا أدخن النرجيلة بشكل عادي
🗖 بيت أحد الأصدقاء	 ٣. مكان عام مثل الشارع، حديقة عامة، الشام
مالات) □ أحد الأقارب □ أحد الإخوة/الأخوات	

الأسئلة التالية تدور حول المشروبات الكحولية

	ن المكن عدة احتمالات)	_	يتناول المش	۱۸. هل لديك أحد من أفراد عائلتك
		۲. 🔾 الوالد		١. 🔾 لا أحد
	ة/الأخوات	٤. ۞ أحد الإخوة		٣. 🔾 الوالدة
		ية خلال حياتك؟	بات الكحول	هل سبق أن تناولت إحدى هذه المشرو
أكثر من ٩ مرات	۳-۹ مرات	مرة أو مرتين	کلا	نوع المشروب
(٤)	(٣)	(٢)	(١)	
				١٩. عرق
				۲۰.ویسکی
				۲۱.نبید
				۲۲.بیرة
				۲۳.مشروبات كحولية أخرى، حدد:
				إذا كانت الإجابة «كلا» على كافة الأس هل سبق أن تناولت إحدى هذه المشرو
أكثر من ٩ مرات	۳-۹ مرات	مرة أو مرتين	کلا	نوع المشروب
(٤)	(٣)	(٢)	(١)	
				۲٤. عرق
				۲۵.ویسکی
				۲۲.نبید
				۲۷.بیرة
				۲۸.مشروبات كحولية أخرى، حدد:
	ربعة الماضية؟	ية خلال الأسابيع الأ	بات الكحول	هل سبق أن تناولت إحدى هذه المشرو
أكثر من ٩ مرات	۳-۹ مرات	مرة أو مرتين	کلا	نوع المشروب
(٤)	(٣)	(٢)	(١)	
				۲۹. عرق
				۳۰.ویسکی
				۳۱.نبید
				۳۲.بیرة
				٣٣.مشروبات كحولية أخرى، حدد:
	لأصدقاء نتوح	٢. 🔾 بيت أحد اا	ة عامة، الش	
				٦. 🔾 لا أتناول المشروبات الكحولية

0 1

3. عَ الأسابيع الأربعة الماضية					
٩٦٠ في الأشهر ال١٢ الماضية					
۲۸. يخ حياتك					
	$\widehat{\mathcal{E}}$	(۲)	(٢)	(٤)	
	χ	مرة أو مرتين	٣-٩ مران	١٠ مرات أو أكثر	
كم مرة حدث لك أن سكرت نتيجة تناول المشروبات الكحولية؟ (إذا كان ذلك قد حدث لك)	شروبات	الكحولية؟ (إذا كان	ذلك قد حدث لك)		
رئ. بيرة □ ه.	مشروبا	٥. مشروبات كحولية أخرى، حدد: 🗖 ـ	_c:		'
۱.عرق 🗖 ۲.	۲. ويسكي 🗖		۲. نبیز 🗖		
نوع المشروب					
٣٧٠. ما هي المشروبات الكحولية الأكثر استعمالاً من قبلك؟	مالاً من	قبلك			
٣. 🔾 أحد الأُصدقاء/ الجيران	w	3. 🔾 أحد الإخوة/الأخوات	لأخوات		
ر. 🔾 لا أحد	>	٢. 🔾 أحد الأقارب			
١١٠ من يبياول معك المسروبات الدحوليه: (من الممحن عده احتمالات)	رمي الم	لل عده احتمالات)			

الأسئلة التائية تدور حول المواد المخدرة

الحشيشة

	2K (Y)	نعم (١)		
(إذا كان الجواب كلا، انتقل إلى الرقم ٥٠)	_	. 🗆	14	31. هل سبق لك أن سمعت بالحشيشة
			ئيشةك	31. هل تعرف شخصاً يستعمل الحشيشة؟
(إذا كان الجواب كلا، انتقل إلى الرقم ٤٨)			ولو لمرة واحدة؟	33. هل سبق أن استعملت الحشيشة ولو لمرة واحدة؟
لاأذكر	۲. □	mi ë	U UDS 1.	33. كم كان عمرك لدى أول استعمال لك؟
(إذا كان الجواب صفر، انتقل إلى الرقم ٤٧)		ير ا	: أول استعمال؟	30. كم مرة استعملت الحشيشة منذ أول استعمال؟
$\left(\mathop{ ext{rank}} ight)$ وضع علامة « X » يغ حال لم تنفق شيئاً	D.J.	3	لاضية على استعمالك الحشيشة:	31. كم أنفقت في الأسابيع الأربعة الماضية على استعمالك الحشيشة؟
 مكان عام مثل الشارع، حديقة عامة، الشاطئ، أو أي مكان مفتوح أماكن أخرى، حدد: 	۲. 🔾 مكان عام مثل الشارع، م ۲. 🔾 أماكن أخرى، حدد: —		، أين تقوم بذلك؟ (من المكن عا ٢٠ ◘ بيت أحد الأصدقاء ٥٠ ◘ المطعم/المقهى	 ٧٤. إذا كنت قد استعملت الحشيشة، أين تقوم بذلك؟ (من المكن عدة احتمالات) ١٠٠ لييت ١٠٠ لييت ٥٠٠ الملعم/المقهى
			۲. \(\rightarrow\) أحد الأقارب3. \(\rightarrow\) أحد الإخوة/الأخوات	31، من يستعمل معك الحشيشة؟ 1. • لا أحد 7. • أحد الأصدقاء/ الجيران

Ecstasy كالماكية

60. من يستعمل معك الاكستاسي؟ ١. 〇 لا أحد الأقارب ٢. 〇 أحد الأصدقاء/ الحد ان 3. 〇 أحد الاخمة/ الأخوات		
60. إذا كنت قد استعملت الاكستاسي، أين تقوم بذلك؟ (من المكن عدة احتمالات) 1. O بيت أحد الأصدقاء 2. O المدرسة م. O الطعم/المقهى		 مكان عام مثل الشارع، حديقة عامة، الشاطئ، أو أي مكان مفتوح ل أماكن أخرى، حدد:
٥٠٠ كم أنفقت في الأسابيع الأربعة الماضية على استعمالك الاكستاسي؟		- ل.ل (يمكنك وضع علامة «X» في حال لم تنفق شيئاً)
٥٠ كم مرة استعملت الاكستاسي منذ أول استعمال؟	 	(إذا كان الجواب صفر، انتقل إلى الرقم ٥٨)
٥٥٠ كم كان عمرك لدى أول استعمال لك؟ ٢٠ ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	سنة	اُو ۲. □لا اُذکر
٥٥٠ هل سبق أن استعملت الاكستاسي ولو لمرة واحدة؟		 (إذا كان الجواب كلا، انتقل إلى الرقم ٥٩)
٥٠٠ هل تعرف شخصاً يستعمل الاكستاسي؟		
٥٠. هل سبق لك أن سمعت بالاكستاسي	(<u>.</u>	کلا (۲) (إذا كان الجواب كلا ، انتقل إلى الرقم ۲۰)

	6. F.		۲.	٢.	3.	٥.	۲.	>.
 ا. عيد آخر استعمال لك للإكستاسي، كيف حصلت عليها (١. لا أذ كر كيف حصلت بداية على الأكستاسي ٦. أعطاها إلي أحد أفر إد عائلتي ١. كنا مجموعة من الأصدقاء وقمنا بتناولها معاً ١. اشتريتها من شخص أسمع عنه ولكني لا أعرفه شخصياً ١. اشتريتها من شخص أسمع عنه ولكني لا أعرفه شخصياً ١. اشتريتها في شخص غريب لا أعرفه ٨. وجدتها في المنزل ٨. حصلت عليها بطريقة أخرى (أوصف ما هي باختصار): 	 ٩٠ لم استعمل آبدا الاكستاسي ١٦٠ يغ اعتقادك من أي الأماكن التالية يمكنك أن تشتري الاكستاسي بسهولة (ممكن عدة احتمالات) 	١٠ الشارع أو الحديقة العامة	۲. المدرسة	۳. مقهی/مطعم/Pub	منزل بائع مخدرات	٥٠ خدمة توصيل إلى المنزل	٦. أماكن أخرى، حدد	 ٧. لا أعرف مثل هذه الأماكن
)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

مواد أخلت

		نعم (١) کلا (٢)
(إذا كان الجواب كلا، انتقل إلى الرقم ٢٢)		۱۲. هل سبق لك أن سمعت بالاسيد LSD \$ ۱۲. هل تعرف شخصاً يستعمل الاسيد LSD\$
(إذا كان الجواب كلا، انتقل إلى الرقم ٢٤)		 على سبق لك أن سمعت بالأمفيتامينات؟ مل تعرف شخصاً يستعمل الأمفيتامينات؟
(إذا كان الجواب كلا، انتقل إلى الرقم ٢٦)		۲۱. هل سبق لك أن سيمعت بالكراك \$Crack مل تعرف شخصاً يستعمل بالكراك \$Crack ما تعرف شخصاً
(إذا كان الجواب كلا، انتقل إلى الرقم ٢٨)		۸۲. هل سبق لك أن سمعت بالكوكايين؟۹۲. هل تعرف شخصاً يستعمل الكوكايين؟
(إذا كان الجواب كلا، انتقل إلى الرقم ٧٠)		 مل سبق لك أن سمعت بالهيرويين؟ مل تعرف شخصاً يستعمل الهيرويين؟
(إذا كان الجواب كلا، انتقل إلى الرقم ٢٧)		 هل سبق لك أن سمعت بالابانول؟ هل تعرف شخصاً يستعمل الابانول؟

في تقديرك .. كم عدد أصدقائك الذين يفعلون الآتي؟ (ضع علامة في مربع واحد فقط أمام كل سطر)

	٧٠. يدخنون السجائر	٥٧٠ يد خنون النرجيلة	٧٦٠. يتاولون المشروبات الكحولية (بيرة، نبيذ،عرق، ويسكي، الخ)	٧٧٠. يسكرون على الأقل مرة أسبوعياً	٨٧٠. يستعملون الكحول والمهدئات في نفس الوقت	٧٧٠ يد حنون الحشيشة	٨٠. يستعملون الأكستاسي	٨١. يستعملون المهدئات أو المنومات بدون نصيحة طبيب	۸۸. يستنشقون التنر (مادة تستعمل <u>ف</u> دهان البويا)	۸۸. ستتشقون البنزين	٤٨.يستنشقون الصمغ
لا أعرف هذه المادة ١											
لا أعرف											
لا يوجا											
نادراً 3											
نعضهما											
کثیر منهم ۲											

إن جميع المواد التي تم ذكرها في هذه الاستمارة تعتبر مواد خطرة وممكن أن تؤدي بمتناولها إلى الموت. من الأفضل عدم تجربتها حتى ولا مرة واحدة. وإذا تم استعمالها بشكل عادي، يجب استشارة المختصين بهذا الموضوع للتخلص منها بأسرع وقت ممكن. استشر اختصاصية الصحة في مدرستك لمزيد من الملومات.

			. 1
وعائلية:	شخصىة	بو مات	ا ا. معا

۲. أنثى			۱. ذکر 🗖	٨٥. الجنس
سنة	شهر		.ة يوم	٨٦. تاريخ الولاد
ً أشهر في السنة) بمن فيهم الخدم؟ -	ل بصورة دائمة (> ١	نون في المنز	شخاص الذين يسك	٨٧. كم عدد الأ
	خ والحمامات)؟	نا عدا المطب	الغرف في المنزل (ه	۸۸. ما هو عدد
		ة ما عداك	ت لديك من أم واحد	٨٩. كم أخ وأخن
——— أخت	ــــــ أخ	5 J_	ت أكبر منك في المنز	٩٠. كم أخ وأخد
أخت	أخ	ـزل؟	ت أصغر منك في المن	٩١. كم أخ وأخن
-	أو	لر □	ديك في المنزل؟ صن	۹۲. کم سیارة ل
-	⊐ أو	زل؟ صفر [مبيوتر لديك في المنا	۹۳. کم جهاز ک
٣. أكملت الدراسة الابتدائية٥. أكملت الدراسة المهنية/الثانوية٧. لا أعرف			مة المهنية/المتوسطة	٢.لم تذهب إلى
دوام جزئي □	۲. نعم،	لنزل؟	والدة حالياً خارج ا، ي 🏻	90. هل تعمل الـ 1. نعم، دوام كلر 7. لا تعمل □
٢. لم يذهب إلى المدرسة٤. أكمل الدراسة المهنية/المتوسطة٢. دراسات جامعية/عليا			وى التعليمي للوالد؟ ة الابتدائية ة المهنية/الثانوية	 الاأعرف أكمل الدراسا

٩٠. هل لديك أية ملاحظات على هذه الاستمارة؟
.٩٠. هل تعتقد أن مشكلة المخدرات مهمة حالياً في لبنان؟
٩٠. ما هي اقتراحاتك للوقاية من إدمان المخدرات في لبنان؟

نهاية الاستمارة - شكراً لتعاونكم

معلومات مختصرة حول بعض المواد المضرة

الاكستاسي:

إن الاكستاسي قرص يتخذ ألواناً وأشكالاً مختلفة ويزينه رسم ما. تشير تسمية الاكستاسي إلى جزيئية كيميائية خاصة تدعى الMDMA، وهي مسؤولة عن التأثيرات النفسية. غالبا ما تتبدل تركيبة قرص الاكستاسي فقد تمزج مع مواد أخرى مثل الأمفيتامينات، المسكنات، والمهلوسات، أو غيرها. كذلك، يمكن مزجها مع الكافيين، النشاء، المنظفات، الصابون وغيرها...

الاسيد LSD:

هو مهلوس قوي يتسبب بتغييرات حسية حادة وبهلوسات متواصلة لا يمكن السيطرة عليها. وقد يؤدي استهلاكه إلى مشاكل خطرة ومزمنة.

الكحول:

لا تهضم الكحول بل تنتقل مباشرة من القناة الهضمية إلى الأوعية الدموية وينقلها الدم في دقائق معدودة إلى أعضاء الجسم كافة. إن استهلاك الكحول بكميات كبيرة وإن على المدى القصير يؤدي إلى حالات ثمل وإلى مشاكل هضمية وغثيان وتقيؤ... و عدة مخاطر أخرى:

- تدنى درجة التيقظ ما يؤدى غالباً إلى حوادث سير أو طوارئ عمل.
- فقدان السيطرة على الذات ينتج عنها أعمال عنف، ارتكاب جرائم، انتحار،...
- التعرض إلى اعتداءات بسبب تصرف استفزازي أو لعدم قدرة الشخص الثمل على الدفاع عن نفسه...
- التعرض إلى أمراض عدة على المدى الطويل مثل: سرطان الفم، الحنجرة، المريء، أمراض الكبد (التشمع)، اضطرابات قلبية، أمراض الجهاز العصبى واضطرابات نفسية كالقلق، الاكتئاب، واضطراب في السلوك...