CONSULTATIVE COUNCIL OF EUROPEAN JUDGES
(CCJE)

Questionnaire with a view of the preparation of Opinion No. 15 on specialisation of judges and courts
## 1. Specialisation of courts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of courts/judges</th>
<th>(A) Tick the box if your country have specialised courts/judges in:</th>
<th>(B) If yes to (A), tick the box if they are specialised judges/chambers within a generalist court</th>
<th>(C) If yes to (A), tick the box if they are a separate institution within the general organisation of the judiciary</th>
<th>(D) If yes to (A), tick the box if they have a system of their own(^1)</th>
<th>(E) If yes to (A), tick the box if they have a composition including lay members or only of lay members(^2)</th>
<th>(F) If yes to (A), tick the box if they have a territorial competence different from generalist courts (please specify if appropriate)</th>
<th>(G) If yes to (A), tick the box if they apply rules (procedure, evidence, etc.) that are different from those applicable in generalist courts (please specify if appropriate)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Family courts</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juvenile courts</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative courts/council of state</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immigration/Asylum</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Courts of Account</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military Courts</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax Courts</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labour/social courts</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Courts for agricultural contracts</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumers' claims courts</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small claims courts</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Courts for wills and inheritances</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>YES – Notary Public</td>
<td>YES – Notary Public</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patent/copyrights/trademark courts</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial courts</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bankruptcy courts</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Courts for land disputes</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Courts d'arbitrage&quot;</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serious crimes courts/courts of assize</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Courts for the supervision of criminal investigations (e.g. authorising arrest, wire-tappings, etc.)</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) For example, appeals on decisions of the specialised court of first instance is filed with a specialised court of appeals, council of state, etc.

\(^2\) For example, composition including lay members: jurors, psychologists, engineers; please specify qualifications and system of recruitment. For example composition of only lay members: representatives of labour organisations, aldermen-échevins, justices of the peace, magistrates etc.
To what extent specialisation of courts is relevant in your system?

2. Specialisation of judges

a) Does the recruitment procedure take into account the specialised studies accomplished after the university diploma in law? Does it take into account the specialised professional experience? Please specify.

No, the specialisation and professional experience in specific field of law are not necessary for recruitment.

b) Are judges promoted to a higher court, or assigned to a post of chief judge, on the basis of a procedure giving relevance to specialisation? Please specify.

No, specialisation is not relevant. However, it is common that – for instance – civil-law-judge after recruitment to higher court will also deal with civil law cases.

c) May a judge from a generalist court move to a specialised court, or from one specialisation to another:

Yes, it is possible.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>As to separate court systems:</th>
<th>Within the same court system:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☒ by just filing an application examined on the basis of seniority and other criteria not including previous specialisation?</td>
<td>☒ by showing professional experience?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ by resigning from original post and participate to a new recruitment?</td>
<td>☐ by attending specialisation/reconversion courses?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ other?</td>
<td>☐ by passing a specialisation exam?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

d) Does the system ensure that all judges may access specialisation (e.g. by giving them the appropriate information)?

Yes, it does.

e) What are for judges the criteria for access to specialisation?

The need of court and huge scope of field of law or cases that judges deal with are relevant. For instance, in civil law – the specialisation on family law, labour law, commercial law, property law, inheritance law, etc. – or in field of the administrative law – the specialisation on tax law, minor offences law, competition law, patent law, social welfare law, civil service law, refugee law, etc.
f) Do financial provisions exist helping judges to transfer to other towns where specialisation may be acquired?
   No.

  
g) Are there access/reconversion courses to specialised functions:
   - Yes, within the judicial training institute? ☒
   - Yes, organised by an institution different from the judicial training institute? ☐
   - No? ☐

  
h) Are there training courses reserved only to specialised judges?
   
   No, access is free for all judges.

  
i) Are exchanges of judicial experiences between different specialisations and/or generalist groups of judges organised?
   
   Yes, for instance between civil law and administrative law judges or between civil law and commercial law judges.

  
j) Do specialised positions exist in court for organisational purposes only (e.g., judge serving as spokesperson for the court; judge for the development of IT in court; judge cooperating with ADR services, etc.)?
   
   No, it does not.

  
k) If yes, is there a specific training for this type of posts? Please specify.

  
l) Do specialised judges have a higher compensation than generalist judges? Please distinguish, if relevant, according to whether the specialist judges belong to the same court system or a separate court system (e.g., in some countries, depending on the distinction between ordinary judges and administrative judges).
   
   Nowadays, no.

  
m) Are there special allowances, or benefits in kind, for specialised judges?
   
   No.

  
n) Are specialised judges entitled to a preferential access to higher courts? If yes, is such access limited to the specialisation field of the judge concerned?
   
   No.

  
3. Specialisation of courts vis-à-vis specialisation of other actors of justice

a) Is the bar and/or professional associations of lawyers organised on a specialised basis in your country? Please specify.
   
   No.

  
b) In order to practice before specialised courts, have lawyers to be specialised (e.g. registered in special bar listings)?
   
   No.
c) Is public prosecution organised on a specialised basis in your country? Please specify.
   Yes, public prosecution is specialised in criminal law area and non-criminal law area (civil law, administrative law, commercial law).

d) In order to practice before specialised courts, have prosecutors to be specialised (e.g. belong to specialised department of the prosecution service)?
   Yes, please see answer to previous question.

e) Are specialised judges assisted by specialised staff (clerks, technical staff, etc.)? If yes, is this staff recruited on an ad hoc basis?
   All judges, despite their specialisation, are assisted by clerks.

4. Specialisation and governance

Is your Council for the judiciary or other another equivalent independent body entrusted with the protection of the independence of judges competent for all specialised judges, as for all generalist judges? If not, please specify the specialised judges that are not under the governance of the Council.

Yes.

5. Specialisation, professional associations of judges, judicial ethics

a) Are there in your country professional associations of specialised judges?
   No.

b) Do specialised judges have separate or common “principles of judicial ethics” with respect to generalist judges? If separate principles apply, please specify (i.e. separate principles needed by exposure of specialised judges to problems affecting juveniles, family problems, labour disputes, etc.).
   No.

6. Conclusion

a) Please give your opinion on the advantages and disadvantages of special courts

b) Please give your opinion on the advantages and disadvantages of specialisation of judges

   From my point of view, the specialisations of judges and courts are necessary. For instance, field of administrative law seeks such specialisation due to its huge scope of cases, situations and variety of legal acts. The specialisation in field of tax law, environmental law, refugee law, competition law, patent law, social welfare law etc. is an advantage. The same situation is in field of civil / private law – family law, consumers’ law, property law, inheritance law, labour law, commercial law, contract law etc. – where the specialisation of judges is helpful as well. On the other hand specialisation

---

3 See principles of judicial ethics as defined in CCJE’s Opinion No. 3 (i.e. rules having no disciplinary impact).
brings reduction of specific knowledge of other branches of law. But it would not be a problem thanks to judicial training institute or Judicial Academy and thanks to plenary sessions of judges.